

## Detterman, Mark, Env. Health

---

**Subject:** RO2737\_Impulse\_Motors  
**Entry Type:** Phone call  
**Start:** Wed 3/27/2013 11:54 AM  
**End:** Wed 3/27/2013 11:54 AM  
**Duration:** 0 hours

Return a second call from Marisa Frain in regards to their residential backyard well (returned a 3/19 call on Friday 3/22 as I was out of town). There appears to be confusion in regards to what the County (ACEH) requested in our most recent directive letter. ACEH requested well destruction of “onsite” wells at the former service station, and not of her backyard well. Their well was not requested to be destroyed based on the analytical data. When I spoke with Ms. Frain I stated she could keep the well, as is her preference, as ACEH had not requested it be destroyed. I also let her know that because the consultant did not request Silica Gel Cleanup on the TPHd, I suspect, but have no documentation, that the concentration also includes diesel breakdown products that have been demonstrated to be of low health risk concern. Thus it is likely that the diesel concentration is less. I also let her know that the RWQCB has set ESLs for the protection of groundwater at 83 ug/l. She reports it is used only for watering the garden and lawn, and was very pleased to hear these options; she was very concerned about losing the well. I indicated that if it were my well I would not use it as drinking water.