

A Report Prepared for

Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland 1333 Broadway, 9th Floor Oakland, California 94612

REPORT OF GROUNDWATER MONITORING MARCH 1992 CHINATOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

HLA Job No. 9382,040.02

Submitted to:

California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region 2101 Webster Street, Suite 500 Oakland, California 94612

by

Mark T. Egbert Project Geologist

David F. Leland, P.E. Associate Engineer

Harding Lawson Associates 7655 Redwood Boulevard P.O. Box 578 Novato, California 94948 415/892-0821

May 20, 1992

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TA	BLES	iii
LIST OF ILL	USTRATIONS	iii
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING	2
3.0	RESULTS	3
	3.1 Groundwater Elevations and Potentiometric Contours 3.2 Analytical Results - Groundwater Monitoring Wells	3
4.0	DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5
5.0	REFERENCES	7
TABLES		
ILLUSTRAT	ION	
Appendix		
	LTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF INDWATER SAMPLES FROM MONITORING WELLS	

D23098-H ii

**DISTRIBUTION** 

# LIST OF TABLES

Table I	Water-Level Elevations - August 1990 through March 1992
Table 2	Results of Organic Chemical Analyses of Groundwater Samples from Monitoring Wells

# **ILLUSTRATION**

Plate 1 Plan of Sites and Vicinity and Water-Level Contour Map - March 1992

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of quarterly groundwater monitoring in the Chinatown Redevelopment Project Area (Project Area) of Oakland, California (Plate 1), for March 1992. Dewatering activities at the Pacific Renaissance Plaza (PRP) site were completed on July 1, 1991. Quarterly groundwater monitoring was recommended through June 1992 in Harding Lawson Associates' report titled Groundwater Monitoring and Dewatering Effluent Treatment System Operation and Monitoring. April through July 1991 (HLA, 1991).

Groundwater monitoring in March 1992 consisted of sampling four monitoring wells and measuring water levels in 11 wells. Groundwater flow directions were evaluated in the vicinity of the PRP site; the presence and distribution of gasoline and gasoline constituents also were evaluated in groundwater at the PRP site.

D23098-H 1 of 7

#### 2.0 QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING

Water levels were measured in 11 wells and groundwater samples were collected from Monitoring Wells MW-7, MW-18, and MW-19, and MW-23 on March 27, 1992. Samples were taken to monitor hydraulic conditions in the Project Area and to monitor groundwater chemistry in the 4 wells. A field blank was also poured and kept with the groundwater samples.

Standard HLA decontamination protocol was followed prior to sampling. HLA employees performing field work were trained in safety procedures and used Level D personal protective equipment.

At least three well volumes were purged from the wells prior to sampling; the purge water was collected in 55-gallon drums onsite. Groundwater samples were collected with a stainless steel bailer. After being decanted into 40-milliliter sample bottles, samples were labeled and stored on ice until delivery under chain of custody to Pace Laboratories, Inc. (PACE), of Novato, California, for chemical analysis. The groundwater samples collected from Wells MW-7 and MW-19 were analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) using EPA Test Method 8020 and for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as gasoline using EPA Test Method 8015. The groundwater samples collected from Wells MW-18 and MW-23 and the field blank sample were analyzed using EPA Test Method 8020.

D23098-H 2 of 7

#### 3.0 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Groundwater Elevations and Potentiometric Contours

Depths to groundwater and calculated water levels for March 1992 are presented in Table 1; potentiometric contours interpreted from the water-level data are shown on Plate 1. The data indicate groundwater flow is to the northwest in the northwestern portions of the Project Area and generally west to south in the southern portions of the Project Area. Water level elevations along Webster Street at Wells MW-3 and MW-19 are higher than at Well MW-22, indicating an easterly component of flow in this area.

Potentiometric data are not interpreted in the area bounded by 9th, 11th,

Franklin, and Webster streets, the area occupied by the PRP and East Bay Municipal

Utility District buildings.

Water levels increased in all 11 wells between December 20, 1991 and March 27, 1992, with increases ranging from 0.51 foot at MW-21 to 2.29 feet at MW-3.

#### 3.2 Analytical Results - Groundwater Monitoring Wells

Results of chemical analyses of the groundwater samples collected on March 27, 1992, are presented in Table 2 along with historical groundwater chemistry data for BTEX and TPH as gasoline for all monitoring wells. Laboratory reports for groundwater samples are presented in the Appendix.

BTEX compounds were detected in groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells MW-7, MW-19, and MW-23. BTEX compounds detected at MW-19 have decreased since December 1991 and are lower than concentrations measured before PRP dewatering activities began in November 1990. Except for a trace of toluene on June 6, 1991, BTEX compounds had not been previously detected in samples from Well MW-23. In the sample from Monitoring Well MW-7, benzene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes were

D23098-H 3 of 7

detected at concentrations similar to pre-dewatering concentrations. Benzene was the only BTEX compound detected in the sample from Well MW-18. BTEX compounds were not detected in the field blank sample.

In the sample from Monitoring Well MW-19, TPH as gasoline was detected in March 1992 at a concentration of 3.6 mg/l, lower than the concentration of 9.6 mg/l measured in December 1991. TPH as gasoline was detected in Well MW-7 at a concentration of 0.11 mg/l, which is lower than the concentration of 0.32 mg/l measured in December 1991.

D23098-H 4 of 7

#### 4.0 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Results of analysis of water samples collected in March 1992 indicate that concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons and BTEX compounds at MW-19 are lower than the December 1991 concentrations and generally lower than the pre-dewatering concentrations for those constituents. In addition, the chemical concentrations at MW-7 are similar to pre-dewatering concentrations and appear to originate from an upgradient chemical source associated with the two underground storage tanks removed in December 1991 from near the corner of 10th and Franklin Streets. This is suggested by the absence of BTEX constituents during dewatering when flow directions at MW-7 were generally south to east (HLA, 1991). Except for toluene, BTEX compounds had not been detected previously at MW-23.

On the basis of water levels measured in March 1988 (HLA, 1989), before the initiation of dewatering activities in the Project Area, HLA estimated groundwater flow to be generally to the west. The March 1992 measurements, the third round of water-level data collected since dewatering activities ceased, continue to show some influence of the buildings constructed in the Project Area. The potentiometric contours show the effect of the PRP building and shoring system as barriers to groundwater flow. These barriers may affect upgradient (east side) water levels and may account, in part, for the relatively greater increases in water levels observed at Wells MW-3 and MW-19 than at other wells. In addition, the apparent change in groundwater flow direction on the east side of the Project Area, compared to westerly preconstruction flow directions, suggests the possible presence of a recharge source in this area. Possible explanations for this pattern include exfiltration from storm drains during storm events or a leaking water main. The pattern of potentiometric contours interpreted from the March water-level measurements indicates that the effects of dewatering are no longer evident.

D23098-H 5 of 7

In the vicinity of Well MW-19, groundwater flow is estimated to be west to south. At MW-7, the flow direction is estimated to be generally to the west.

The next quarterly groundwater monitoring round is scheduled for June 1992. Water levels will be measured in Monitoring Wells MW-2, MW-3, MW-6, MW-7, MW-8, and MW-18 through MW-23. Samples from Monitoring Wells MW-18 and MW-23 will be analyzed for BTEX, and samples from MW-7 and MW-19 will be analyzed for TPH as gasoline and BTEX. In addition, a duplicate sample will be collected from MW-23 to assess the accuracy of laboratory results. Results will be presented in a report to be submitted to the Regional Water Quality Control Board.

D23098-H 6 of 7

#### 5.0 REFERENCES

Harding Lawson Associates, 1989. A-Aquifer Monitoring Report, Chinatown Redevelopment Project Area, Oakland, California. January 31.

\_\_\_\_\_\_, 1991. Groundwater Monitoring and Dewatering Effluent Treatment System, Operation and Monitoring, April through July 1991, Chinatown Redevelopment Project Area, Oakland, California. August 16.

Table 1. WATER-LEVEL ELEVATIONS - AUGUST 1990 THROUGH MARCH 1992

Well No.	MW	-2	MW	-3	MW	-6	MW	-7	MW-	-8	MW-	-12
	GROUND SURFACE 40.05	TOP OF CASING 39.55	GROUND SURFACE 39.02	TOP OF CASING 38.35	GROUND SURFACE 39.95	TOP OF CASING 39.59	GROUND SURFACE 39.35	TOP OF CASING 39.10	GROUND SURFACE 40.63	TOP OF CASING 40.47	GROUND SURFACE 37.70	TOP OF CASING 37.00
DATE	Depth to Water	Ele- vation										
3-Aug-90	25.59	13.96	25.33	13.02	25.37	14.22	25.38	13.72	27.02	13.45	21.15	15.85
27-Aug-90	-	-	•	-	-		-	-	•	-	•	_
12-Sep-90	-	-	•		-	•	-		-		24.08	12.92
13-Sep-90	•	-	•	-	•	-	25.15	13.95	-		-	
14-Nov-90	25.38	14.17	23.91	14.44	25.25	14.34	24.97	14.13	26.72	13.75	23.37	13.63
3-Dec-90	26.12	13.43	24.69	13.66	25.44	14.15	27.66	11.44	27.28	13.19	25.45	11.55
11-Jan-91	28.60	10.95	28.97	9.38	27.50	12.09	29.82	9.28	29.04	11.43	•	•
11-Feb-91	32.39	7.16	32.37	5.98	29.43	10.16	32.35	6.75	30.88	9.59	•	•
8-Mar-91	33.57	5.98	32.29	6.06	30.41	9.18	32.04	7.06	31.98	8.49	•	•
12-Apr-91	32.67	6.88	31.89	6.46	30.25	9.34	31.37	7.73	32.01	8.46	•	•
10-May-91	31.90	7.65	31.29	7.06	29.94	9.65	30.94	8.16	31.66	8.81	•	•
6-Jun-91	32.56	6.99	30.94	7.41	30.27	9.32	31.06	8.04	31.94	8.53	•	•
19-Sep-91	26.94	12.61	25.28	13.07	26.58	13.01	26.96	12.14	28.65	11.82	•	•
20-Dec-91	25.94	13.61	24.23	14.12	25.74	13.85	25.83	13.27	27.47	13.00	•	•
27-Mar-92	24.05	15.50	21.94	16.41	23.92	15.67	24.01	15.09	25.64	14.83	•	•

#### NOTES:

Elevations are in feet above mean sea level (MSL).

Depth to water measured in feet from top of casing.

<sup>\*</sup> Well MW-12 was damaged during excavation and construction activities and can no longer be monitored.

Table 1. WATER-LEVEL ELEVATIONS - AUGUST 1990 THROUGH MARCH 1992

Well No.	MW-	18	MW-	19	MW-	20	MW-	21	MW-	22	MW-	23
	GROUND SURFACE 36.52	TOP OF CASING 35.88	GROUND SURFACE 37.15	TOP OF CASING 36.62	GROUND SURFACE 38.32	TOP OF CASING 37.86	GROUND SURFACE 38.67	TOP OF CASING 38.08	GROUND SURFACE 37.70	TOP OF CASING 37.34	GROUND SURFACE 34.68	TOP OF CASING 34,23
DATE	Depth to Water	Ele- vation	Depth to Water	Ela- vation								
3-Aug-90	24.41	11.47	25.32	11.30	25.01	12.85	27.60	10.48		•	-	•
27-Aug-90	-	•	•	•	-	-	27.52	10.56	22.93	14.41	22.45	11.78
12-Sep-90	-	-	-	-	24.06	13.80	27.38	10.70	-	•	-	
13-Sep-90	24.33	11.55	22.44	14.18	-	-	•		22.78	14.56	21.27	12.96
14-Nov-90	24.13	11.75	21.97	14.65	24.47	13.39	27.32	10.76	22.65	14.69	21.80	12.43
3-Dec-90	24.81	11.07	22.16	14.46	26.29	11.57	27.39	10.69	22.78	14.56	22.00	12.23
11-Jan-91	25.90	9.98	25.33	11.29	28.38	9.48	28.03	10.05	24.98	12.36	22.51	11.72
11-Feb-91	26.40	9.48	26.55	10.07	29.55	8.31	28.08	10.00	26.05	11.29	22.69	11.54
0-Mar-91	26.44	9.44	26.56	10.06	29.95	7.91	28.33	9.75	26.63	10.71	22.77	11.46
12-Apr-91	26,31	9.57	25.92	10.70	29.62	8.24	28.52	9.56	26.22	11.12	22.36	11.87
10-May-91	25.48	10.40	24.90	11.72	29.01	8.85	28.34	9.74	25.84	11.50	22.14	12.09
6-Jun-91	25.61	10.27	24.75	11.87	29.06	8.80	28.21	9.87	25.69	11.65	22.17	12.06
19-Sep-91	25.23	10.65	23.12	13.50	26.46	11.40	27.81	10.27	23.73	13.61	22.35	11.88
20-Dec-91	24.81	11.07	22.37	14.25	25.56	12.30	27.33	10.75	23.11	14.23	22.46	11.77
27-Mar-92	23.70	12.18	20.42	16.20	23.95	13.91	26.82	11.26	21.62	15.72	21.05	13.18

NOTES:

Elevations are in feet above mean sea level (MSL). Depth to water measured in feet from top of casing.

Table 2. RESULTS OF ORGANIC CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLES FROM MONITORING WELLS

Purgeable Aromatics (EPA Method 8020) Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPA Method 8015)

MET	DATE	99/29·E	TOLLENE	ethml 8enzene	XYLENES, TOTAL	TPH AS GASOLINE
LOD	(mg/l)	0.0005/0.0002	0.0005/0.0002	0.0005/0.0002	0.0005/0.0002	0.25/0.05
W-3	10-Mar-88	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	18-Mar-88	NO	ND	NO	ND	ND
	25-Mar-88	NO	ND	NO	ND	ND
	1-Apr-88	0.7	0.4	ND	1.2	ND
	15-Apr-88	ND	NO	ND	ND	ND
	28-Apr-88 @	ND/ND (0.4)	NO/ND (0.4)	ND/ND (0.4)	ND/ND (0.4)	ND/ND
	11-May-88	ND (U.V)	ND	ND (0.4)	ND	ND
	27-May-88	ND	ND	NO	NO	ND
	16-Jun-88	ND	ND	NO NO	ND	ND
	27-Jul-86	ND	ND	NO NO	NO NO	NO
	26-Aug-88	NO	ND	NO NO	ND ND	NO
	30-Sep-88	NO	NO	NO NO	ND ND	NO NO
	2-Nov-88					
		ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND
	2-Dec-88	ND ND	ND ND	ND	NO	ND
	4-Jan-89	NO APS	ND	NO .	ND NO	ND
	3-Feb-89	NO ND	0.0009	NO	NO NO	ND
	3-Dec-90		0.0002 ↑	ND	ND	ND
	8-Mar-91	ND	ND	ND	ND ·	NO
	6-Jun- <b>91</b>	NO	ND	NO	ND a	NT
MW-7	4-Apr-89	NO	0.0007	0.0010	0.0012	ND
	3-May-89	NO	0.0012	0.0018	0.0048	0.27
	6-Jun-89	0.0010	0.001	0.0022	0.0011	0.40
	7-Jul-89	0.0002	0.001	0.00034	0.0059	0.56
	2-Aug-89	ND	0.0015	0.0054	0.0059	0.70
	7-Sep-89	ND	ND	ND	0.0015	0.59
	5-Oct-89	ND	0.0011	0.0006	0.0013	0.73
	2-Nov-89	0.0002	0.001	0.0055	0.0036	0.63
	6-Dec-89	0.0006	0.0087	0.0059	0.0036	0.32
	3-Jan-90	0.0007	0.0007	0.0006	0.0013	0.18
	1-Feb-90	ND	0.0009	ND	0.0003	NO
	26-Feb-90	ND	0.0008	0.0004	0.0052	0.09
	11-Apr-90	ND	0.0007	0.0033	0.0029	0.13
	18-May-90	NO	0.0008	0.0014	0.0028	0.43
	13-Sep-90	NO NO	0.0019	ND	ND	NT
	3-Dec-90	0.0002	0.0024	0.0019	0.0012	0.32
	11-Feb-91	ND	ND	ND	ND .	ND:
	8-Mar-91	ND	ND		ND	
	6-Jun-91	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND		ND
	20-Dec-91	0.0002	ND ND	· <del>-</del>	ND a	ND 0.32
	27-Mar-92	0.0002	ND b	0.0029	0.0078	0.32
	£ / *₩ <b>E</b> (* <b>7</b> €	V.VU0	NUU	0.0010	0.0020	0.11
/W-12	15-Feb-89	ND	ND	NO	NO	ND
	3-Mar-89	NT	NT	NT	NT	NO
	5-Apr-89	0.0014	0.0023	NO	0.0054	ND
	2-May-89	0.026	0.0033	ND	0.0063	0.10
	7-Jun-89	0.034	0.0037	NO	0.012	0.18
	6-Jul- <b>89</b>	0.029	0.0025	ND	0.0059	0.12
	2-Aug-69	0.023	0.002	NO	0.005	ND
	7-Sep-89 🤣	0.051/0.059	0.0016/0.0022	ND/ND	0.0049/0.0058	ND/ND
	5-Oc1-89 @	0.037/0.040	0.0032/0.0031	NO/NO	0.0086/0.0094	ND/ND
	2-Nov-89	0.0056	0.0011	ND	0.0019	0.071
	6-Dec-89	0.0062	0.0012	ND	0.0017	0.06
	3-Jan-90	0.0086	0.0010	ND	0.0012	0.09
	1-Feb-90 @	0.0018/0.0024	0.0010/0.0004	ND/ND	0.0005/0.0004	ND/ND
	1-Mar-90	0.0016	0.0014	ND	0.0003	ND
	11-Apr-90	0.0066	0.0174	0.0015	0.0116	0.147
	18-May-90	ND	0.0009	ND	ND	ND
	12-Sep-90	ND	ND	NO NO	0.0002	NT
	3-Dec-90	0.0006	0.0002 †	ND	0.0002	ND ND

Table 2. RESULTS OF ORGANIC CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLES FROM MONITORING WELLS

Purgeable Aromatics (EPA Method 8020) Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPA Method 8015)

MEIT	DATE	BENZENE	TOLLIENE	ethyl Benzene	XYLENES, TOTAL		TPH AS GASOUNE
LOD	(mg/l)	0.0005/0.0002	0.0005/0.0002 *	0.0005/0.0002	0.0005/0.0002	•	0.25/0.05
W-18	15-Feb-89	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND
	3-Mar-89	NT	NT	NT	NT		ND
	5-Apr-89	ND	NID	ND	ND		ND
	2-May-89	ND	ND ND	NO NO	ND		ND
	7-Jun-89	ND	NO NO	NO NO	NO NO		ND ND
	28-luL-8	ND ND	ND	NO	NO NO		ND ND
	2-Aug-89	ND	ND ND				
	6-Sep-89	NO NO		NO	ND NO		NO
	•	ND ND	NO	ND	ND		NO NO
	5-Oct-89	. —	NO	NO NO	ND		NB
	1-Nov-89	ND	NO	ND	ND		ND
	6-Dec-89	NO	0.0009	NO	0.0013		ND
	2-Jan-90	0.016	0.0080	0.0014	0.0098		0.10
	1-Feb-90	ND	NO	NO	ND		ND
	1-Mar-90	0.0003	ND	ND	0.0002		ND
	11-Apr-90	0.0004	0.0006	0.0005	0.0003		ND
	18-May-90	ND	NO	NO	NO		ND .
	13-Sep-90	0.0027	NO	ND	NO		NT
	4-Dec-90	0.0029	0.0002 †	ND	0.0003	†	ND
	8-Mar-91	0.0009	0.0003	NO.	NO.		NĐ
	6-Jun-91	ND	NO	ND	NO a		NT
	19-Sep-91	ND b	ND b	NO b	ND b		ND
	20-Dec-91	0.0004	ND	ND b	ND b		NT
	27-Mar-92	0.0016	ND b	ND b	NO b		NT
IW-19	15-Dec-89	5.0	0.30	0.078	0.61		12
	3-Jan-90	3.0	0.48	0.12	1.1		13
	1-Feb-90	1.1		LT 0.0040	0.032		1.9
	1-Mar-90	4.2	0.92	0.24	0.82		9.2
	11-Apr-90	3.8	1.1	0.82	0.34		10
	18-May-90	5.8	0.75	0.70	0.78		11
	13-Sep-90	1.4	1.2	0.35	1,6		NT
	4-Dec-90	2.1	1.5	0.42	1.6		12
	11-Feb-91	0.45	0.12	0.086	0.21		2.7
	8-Mar-91	0.52	0.057		0.083		
		0.32		0.020			1.4
	10-May-91		0.088	0.055	0.160		1.8
	6-Jun-91 @	0.38/0.46	0.027/0.038	0.023/0.030	0.092/0.15	٠	3.4/NT
	19-Sep-91	0.21	0.023	0.094	0.15		3.5
	20-Dec-91	1.0	0.24	0.5	1.2		9.6
	27-Mar-92	0.34	0.13	0.12	0.34		3.6
IW-20	15-Dec-89	ND 0.0004	ND	NO	ND		ND
	3-Jan-90	0.0004	0.0004	ND	0.0008		ND
	1-Feb-90	NO NO	0.0014	ND	0.0005		ND
	28-Feb-90	NĎ	NO	ND	0.0005		ND
	11-Apr-90	0.0028	0.0110	0.0011	0.0066		ND
	18-May-90	NO	ND	NO	ND		ND
	12-Sep-90	ND	ND	ND	ND		NT
	3-Dec-90	ND	0.0002 †	ND	ND		ND
	8-Mar-91	ND NO	ND NO	ND	ND NO -		ND
	8-Jun-91	ND	NO	ND	ND a		NT
W-21	27-Aug-90	NO	ND	NO	ND		NT
	12-Sep-90	ND	NID	NO	ND		NT
	3-Dec-90	ND	0.0005	NO	0.0011	t	ND
	8-Mar-91	ND	NID	ND	NO	•	ND
	6-Jun-91	ND	ND	NO NO	ND a		NT

Table 2. RESULTS OF ORGANIC CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLES FROM MONITORING WELLS

Purgeable Aromatics (EPA Method 8020) Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPA Method 8015)

WEIT	DATE	BENZENE	TOWERE	ethml Benzene	XYLENES, TOTAL	TPH AS GASOUNE
LOD	(mg/l)	0.0005/0.0002	0.0005/0.0002	0.0005/0.0002	0.0005/0.0002	0.25/0.05
MW-22	27-Aug-90	ND	NO	NO	NO NO	NT
	13-Sep-90	ND	NO	NO	NO	NT
	4-Dec-90	ND	0.0002 †	NO	0.0002 †	ND
	8-Mar-91	NID	ND	NO	ND ,	' ND
	6-Jun-91	ND	ND	ND	ND a	NT
MW-23	27-Aug-90	ND	ND	ND	ND	NT
	13-Sep-90	NID	ND	NO	ND	NT
	4-Dec-90	NO	0.0002 †	NO	ND	ND
	8-Mar-91	ND	ND	NO	ND	ND
	6-Jun-91	NO	0.0004	ND	ND a	NT
	20-Dec-91	ND	ND	ND b	ND b	NT
	27-Mar-92	0.0056	0.0064	0.0016	0.0082	NT
BLANK	5-Apr-89	0.5	ND	ND .	ND	ND
	1-May-89	NID	ND	ND ·	NO	NO
	6-Jun-89 @	ND/ND	NDAND	ND/ND	ND/ND	ND/ND
	1-Aug-89	NO	ND	ND	NID	ND
	2-Aug-89	NO	NID	NEO.	ND	ND
	3-Aug-89	NO	ND	NO	NID	ND
	6-Sep-89	NO	NO	NO	ND	ND
	7-Sep-89	NO	NO	ND	NO	ND
	4-Oct-89	ND	NO	NO	ND ·	ND
	2-Nov-89	NO	NO	NO	ND	ND
	5-Dec-89	NO	ND	NO	ND	ND
	3-Jan-90	ND	0.0006	ND	0.0017	ND
	13-Sep-90	ND	ND	NO	ND	NT
	11-Feb-81	ND	ND	NO	NO	NT
	8-Mar-91	NO	ND	ND	ND	ND
	19-Sep-91	ND b	NO b	ND b	ND b	ND
	20-Dec-91	ND	NO	NO b	NO b	NT
	27-Mar-92	ND b	NO b	ND b	ND b	NT

#### NOTES:

Results reported in milligrams per liter (mg/l); equivalent to parts per million.

Analyses performed by PACE Laboratories, Inc., Novato, California,

Specific limits of detection for compounds detected in March 1992 groundwater samples are presented in the appendix of this report.

- LOD: Limit of Detection.
- ND: Not detected at or above LOD.
- NT: Not tested.
- (0.4): Numbers in parentheses are limits of detection,
  - \*: LOD Changed to 0.0002 on 01-May-89, unless otherwise noted.
- \*\*: LOD Changed to 0.05 on 01-May-89, unless otherwise noted.
- †: PACE laboratory reported toluene and total xylenes in the method blanks analyzed along with the samples.
- @: Two values indicate results of duplicate analyses.
- LT: Less than the concentration indicated.
- a: Method detection limit is 0,0004 mg/l.
- b: Method detection limit is 0.0005 mg/l.

# APPENDIX

RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLES FROM MONITORING WELLS



April 10, 1992

AFR 92 9: 04

Mr. David Leland Harding Lawson Associates 200 Rush Landing Road Novato, CA 94945

PACE Project No. 420327.502 RE:

Client Reference: 09382,039.02

Dear Mr. Leland:

Enclosed is the report of laboratory analyses for samples received March 27, 1992.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us.

Sincerely,

Carol Reid

Project Manager

Enclosures



Mr. David Leland

Page 5

Parameter

April 10, 1992

PACE Project Number: 42032750

Client Reference: 09382,039.02

PACE Sample Number: Date Collected: Date Received: Client Sample ID:

70 0061300 03/27/92 03/27/92

Well MW-7

92032705 DATE ANALYZED

ORGANIC ANALYSIS

PURGEABLE FUELS AND AROMATICS TOTAL FUEL HYDROCARBONS, (LIGHT): 04/07/92 Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015) ug/L 50 110 04/07/92 PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020): 04/07/92 Benzene 0.5 0.6 ug/L 04/07/92 Toluene uq/L 0.5 ND 04/07/92 **Ethylbenzene** ug/L 0.5 1.0 04/07/92 Xylenes, Total 0.5 2.0 04/07/92 ug/L

Units

MDL

MDL ND

Method Detection Limit

Not detected at or above the MDL.

These data have been reviewed and are approved for release.

Mark A. Valentini, Ph.D.

Reglional Director



Mr. David Leland

Page

April 10, 1992

PACE Project Number: 42032750

Client Reference: 09382,039.02

PACE Sample Number: Date Collected: Date Received: Client Sample ID:

70 0061288 03/27/92 03/27/92

Well MW-18

92032703

Parameter

Units

MDL

DATE ANALYZED

ORGANIC ANALYSIS

PURGEABLE AROMATIC COMPOUNDS, EPA 8020 Benzene

Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total ug/L ug/L ug/L

ug/L

0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 1.6 ND ND ND

04/08/92 04/08/92 04/08/92

04/08/92

MDL

Method Detection Limit

ND Not detected at or above the MDL.



Harding Lawson Associates 200 Rush Landing Road Novato, CA 94945 April 10, 1992

PACE Project Number: 42032750

Attn: Mr. David Leland

Client Reference: 09382,039.02

Well

PACE	Sample Number:
Date	Collected:
Date	Received:
Clien	t Sample ID:

70 0061261 03/27/92 03/27/92 MW-19

Client	Sample	ID:
Paramet	ter	

92032701 Units MDL

DATE ANALYZED

# ORGANIC ANALYSIS

PURGEABLE FUELS AND AROMATICS				
TOTAL FUEL HYDROCARBONS, (LIGHT):			-	04/08/92
Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015)	ug/L	500	3600	04/08/92
PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020):			_	04/08/92
Benzene	ug/L	5.0	340	04/08/92
Toluene	ug/L	5.0	130	04/08/92
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	5.0	120	04/08/92
Xylenes, Total	ug/L	5.0	340	04/08/92

MDL

Method Detection Limit



Mr. David Leland Page

April 10, 1992

PACE Project Number: 42032750

Client Reference: 09382,039.02

PACE Sample Number: Date Collected: Date Received: Client Sample ID:

Well 70 0061270 MW-23 03/27/92 03/27/92

92032702

Parameter MDL Units DATE ANALYZED ORGANIC ANALYSIS

PURGEABLE AROMATIC COMPOUNDS, EPA 8020 Benzene 0.5 5.6 ug/L 04/07/92 Toluene 0.5 04/07/92 ug/L 6.4 Ethylbenzene ug/L 0.5 1.6 04/07/92 Xylenes, Total uq/L 0.5 8.2 04/07/92

MDL Method Detection Limit



Mr. David Leland

Page

April 10, 1992

PACE Project Number: 42032750;

Client Reference: 09382,039.02

PACE Sample Number: Date Collected: Date Received: Client Sample ID:

70 0061296 03/27/92 03/27/92

Field Blank

92032704

Parameter

Units

MDL

DATE ANALYZED

# ORGANIC ANALYSIS

PURGEABLE FUELS AND AROMATICS				
TOTAL FUEL HYDROCARBONS, (LIGHT):			-	04/07/92
PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020):			_	04/07/92
Benzene	ug/L	0.5	ND	04/07/92
Toluene	ug/L	0.5	ND	04/07/92
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	0.5	ND	04/07/92
Xylenes, Total	ug/L	0.5	ND	04/07/92

MDL ND

Method Detection Limit

Not detected at or above the MDL.



Mr. David Leland Page 6

QUALITY CONTROL DATA

April 10, 1992 PACE Project Number: 420327502

Client Reference: 09382,039.02

TPH GASOLINE/BTEX Batch: 70 11351

Samples: 70 0061270, 70 0061300

#### METHOD BLANK:

Parameter TOTAL FUEL HYDROCARBONS, (LIGHT):	Units	MDL	Method Blank
Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015) PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020):	ug/L	50	ND
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5 0.5	ND ND ND
Xylenes, Total	ug/L	0.5	ND

# LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE AND CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE:

			Keterence		Dup!	
Parameter	Units	MDL	Value	Recv	Recv RPD	)
Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015)	ug/L	50	354	109%	110% 0	0%
Benzene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	110%	106% 3	3%
Toluene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	110%	105% 4	1%
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	110%	106% 3	3%
Xylenes, Total	ug/L	0.5	80.0	110%	106% 3	3%

MDL

Method Detection Limit

RPD

Relative Percent Difference

Los Angeles, California



Mr. David Leland Page

QUALITY CONTROL DATA

April 10, 1992 PACE Project Number: 420327502

Client Reference: 09382,039.02

TPH GASOLINE/BTEX Batch: 70 11352 Samples: 70 0061296

#### METHOD BLANK:

Parameter TOTAL FUEL HYDROCARBONS, (LIGHT):	<u>Units</u>	MDL	Method Blank
Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015) PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020):	ug/L	50	ND -
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5 0.5	ND ND ND
Xylenes, Total	ug/L	0.5	ND

### LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE AND CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE:

			Keterence		ו קשע	
Parameter	Units	MDL	Value	Recv	Recv R	PD
Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015)	ug/L	50	349	109%	109%	0%
Benzene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	97%	100%	3%
Toluene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	97%	101%	4%
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	98%	100%	2%
Xylenes, Total	ug/L	0.5	80.0	97%	100%	3%

MDL

Method Detection Limit

RPD

Relative Percent Difference

Los Angeles, California

Denver, Colorado



Mr. David Leland

QUALITY CONTROL DATA

April 10, 1992 PACE Project Number: 420327502

Page 8

Client Reference: 09382,039.02

TPH GASOLINE/BTEX Batch: 70 11392

Samples: 70 0061261, 70 0061288

# METHOD BLANK:

Parameter TOTAL FUEL HYDROCARBONS, (LIGHT):	<u>Units</u>	MDL	Method Blank
Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015) PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020):	ug/L	50	ND -
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5 0.5	ND ND ND
Xylenes, Total	ug/L	0.5	ND

# LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE AND CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE:

Davana Anno			Keterence		Dup?	
Parameter	Units	MDL	Value	Recv	Recv RPD	
Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015)	ug/L	50	354	108%	105% 2%	i
Benzene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	108%	109% 0%	
Toluene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	110%	108% 1%	
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	109%	108% 0%	
Xylenes, Total	ug/L	0.5	80.0	110%	109% 0%	

MDL

Method Detection Limit

RPD Relative Percent Difference

Los Angeles, California

		No	Box valo, (	alifori	nia 94	1948												•		•••	• • •	•	_	•	_	•	•	• •							l	.ab	: _		4	<u>a</u>	C)E	2			<u>-</u> -		<del></del>	
	Telecopy: General 415/892-0831 Accounting 415/898-1052  Samplers: David MEvaus								_ [	ANALYSIS REQUESTED 1																																						
Job Number: 09382,039,039														Γ		7	T		П		T			T																								
Nan	$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}$									_		ĭ			ماره	1			i i																													
Pro									0	7	<	را.	<u> </u>	u	L.	~			-	R	ec	0	r d	le	<b>r</b> :	(Sie		<b>1</b>	ure Re	Quire	ME	e.	w	<u> </u>	_		X			20.5	$\cdot \mid$							
			TRI	_	#1	CON & PI	RES	IN ER	ERS	S		S	UM	PLI	E A						n	Α1	F					Γ				FSCRI	PTIO	u/	$\rceil  $	0010	245	8270	S	HTT								
SOURCE CODE		ment	Soil		res	္က	ا نائ					N	OR LAB NUMBER				DATE							STATION DESCRIPTION/ NOTES								601/8010	EPA 624/8240	625/	ICP METALS	8015M/TPH												
ខ្លួន		Sed	Soil	ē	5	н, SO	Žŀ	Ŧ		Ţ	Υr	W	k		Se	q	1	Υr	T	Мо	T	Dγ	T	T	im	е	11								Ш		1 0	1 2	ᅙ	E P								١
A3		4					T	3		7	12	0	3	a	7	٥	16	í	Ųζ	<b>₹</b>	35	<u>}</u>	20	3	$\frac{1}{2}$	Tc	31			61	126.1				71	Ţγ	đ	$\top$		X	+	†	П	$\top$	$\dagger$		+	+
23	K	d					3	3		•						0						1									27.0			-	71	\	7	Τ			1	1	П		1		$\top$	$\top$
<b>v</b> 3	T;	4					-	3		1	Q				$-\mathbf{r}$	5		_		_		7			_	4	_				28.8				1	\ <u>\</u>	1	1		П	1	T	$\prod$	$\top$	$\top$		_	+
<b>4</b> 5		4		$\perp$	2					h	2					2															24.6					X	Ç						П		1			T
23		4	Ш	_ _	L	Ш	_]:	3	↓																						30.0					<b>5</b>				X					T			1
	$\sqcup \!\!\! \perp$	$\perp$		$\perp$		Ш	1		╧	$oldsymbol{\perp}$	L									$\perp$				$\perp$	$\perp$	L		L															$\prod$	floor	Γ			T
		┸		$\perp$	L	Ц					L				$\perp$			$\perp$	$\perp$	L		L	L			L	Ш	L							IJĹ								П					T
		$\downarrow$	$\sqcup$		L	Ц	$\bot$	_		$\perp$	_	Ц			$\perp$		┸		⊥	$\perp$		_	$oldsymbol{\perp}$		┸	L									$ floor egin{smallmatrix} egi$								$\prod$					T
<u> </u>		1		$\perp$	┸	Ц	4	$\downarrow$	1	1	L	Ц			_	_		$\perp$	$\perp$	L		_		1	$\perp$		┨╏									$\perp$									$oxed{\mathbb{L}}$			T
Ц.			Ш	_Ĺ_	L	Ш	$\perp$		1	L	L	Ш			$\perp$		1	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$				1	1		Jl	L							∖ե							$\perp$	Ш	$\perp$				
				_5	4					_																																						
	NU	LAB IMB	ER			DEP IN FEI	i	h	COL MTC CD	)	CO	A DE				•	MIS	CE	LL	.AN	IEC	)U	S										CHA	IN O	F CU	STO	וסכ	/ RI	EC	ORC	)							
Υr	W۱		Se	q	↓			1		┸	_			L.,						_						<u>}</u>	RF#	<u> </u>	Nou	SHE	9 BY; 55	inestura		$\overline{}$	BEC	FIV	ED	BV.	/\$:		ural				DAT	'6 / T	INAC	
			$\sqcup$	$\perp$	$\perp$	Ц	$\perp$	4	1						_	4	<u> </u>	11		<u>lc</u>	ሂሀ	ے	L			]	1		7		11/2	الدرا	لمرز	]		_	_			A	ui¢,			•	<i>-</i>	Ϊ	1416	
$\sqcup$		_	$\sqcup$		_	Ц		4	1	1	L.	L		_			Z	产	$\subseteq$	$\mathbb{Z}$	_				.,	┟	REI	LI	INQU	ISHE	D BY: /S	Signatur	el	•	REC	EIV	ÆD.	BY:	: (Si	gnat	ure)	=			DAT	E/T	IME	
_ _	$\sqcup$	$\bot$	$\bot$		╀	Ш	4	4	$\perp$	$\perp$	<u> </u>	L		_												۱۲	_							ł			_			_						1		
H	H	+	H	+	-	H	+	+	+	╀	-	_				· · · ·				—				•			E	LII	INQU	ISHE	D BY: /S	Signatun	e)		REC	EIV	/ED	ВУ	: ISi	ignat	ure)		_		DAT	E/T	IME	
		+	†-†	+	1	$\prod$		1	+	$\dagger$		-	-	<del> </del>												F	164	-10	MOU	SHE	D BY: (S	ignatur	e)		RE(	ETV	720	8 Y.	(5)	ignet	ure		_		DAT	E/T	IME	<del></del>
				T				I	I	Ι																		_												_	_							
		+	$\mathbb{H}$	+	╀	H		+	-	-	<u> </u>		_	ļ									_			- 1	Y's	SPA	PAYCH	EDA	W.	saute)	Zky	PATE	TIME	5	RE (Sig	CEI	VE ure)	DI		LAS J			DA1			
廿		<u> </u>		1				1	$\pm$	1																1	ME.	TH	THOD	H'a	HIPMEN	d	" /	ie		_ /	)		/			¥.5×						
											L	abo	rate	ory (	Cop	y	Pro	ect	Off	lice	Co	Pγ	F	ield	or				Сору							-											(	<b>6</b> 50:

#### **DISTRIBUTION**

# REPORT OF GROUNDWATER MONITORING MARCH 1992 CHINATOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA May 20, 1992

Copy No. 5

		Copy No.
1 сору:	California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region 2101 Webster Street, Suite 500 Oakland, California 94612	1
	Attention: Mr. Donald Dalke	
1 copy:	California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region 2101 Webster Street, Suite 500 Oakland, California 94612	2
	Attention: Mr. Rich Hiett	
1 сору:	Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, California 94621	. 3
	Attention: Mr. Paul Smith	
2 copies:	Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland 1333 Broadway, 9th Floor Oakland, California 94612	4-5
	Attention: Mr. Peter Chen	

# DISTRIBUTION (continued)

# 1 copy HLA Master File 6 1 copy Project Chronological File 7

MTE/DFL/yml/D23098-H

QUALITY CONTROL REVIEWER

R. Bruce Scheibach

Registered Geologist - 5062