Subject:

RO2621 - 5885 Hollis

Entry Type:

Phone call

Start: End: Wed 9/21/2005 9:30 AM Wed 9/21/2005 9:30 AM

Duration:

0 hours

RO2621 - 5885 Hollis 09/20/05, 112p, Ignacio, 596-4356 developer has not heard frm ACEH. Pls update him on status

09/21/05, 930a, Ignacio

Barney has case, he will call Ignacio re: requests/timeframe, etc. Give him a couple days to lok over file, considering working on OT possibly, currently other developments in Emery (Oak Walk, Green Cities) have wanted immediate review.

Subject:

RO2621 - 5885 Hollis St

Entry Type:

Phone call

Start: End: Thu 9/1/2005 10:00 AM Thu 9/1/2005 10:00 AM

Duration:

0 hours

RO2621 - 5885 Hollis St

8/23/05, 119p, Ignacio, convers, 07/28, check our staffing & who assigned to , its been nearly 4 weeks, planning commission wants to know so know schedule to factor into Neg Dec, call glen Leong directly.

08/24/05, 208p, Ignacio, appreciate call back. Its been a month & our deposit has been submitted, developer wants to know what schedule is like

08/26/05, 117p, Ignacio, checking in again

08/30/05, 145p, Ignacio, checking in

08/31/05, 933a, Ignacio,

Developer submitted site demo plan for review, need feedback if these things appear reasonable Realize we are busy & John Wolfendin told them he is also busy as well as the other agencies Developers complaining to our city council Susan Coleman does the work, but RB oversees work Not this site but many others are being delayed

09/01/05, 940a,

Schedule works, plug into CEQA document a schedule for reg review. Okay.

I discussed the issue of other developers conplaining to him, What sites are these. He did not identify any, some are just sites where potential devel will make them a priority, or dev complain to him but he tells them not to complain to the reg agency, what sites? he will tell me later. If he hears of an issue re: our oversight how does he determine if it is valid or not if he does not call ACEH re: the site or if we are never informend there is an issu at a site? He listens to the developers issues without determining validity. He could not identify any particular site with an issue.

He talked abourt Green Cities, but site is active & we have a meeting with One & Green next week, so that site is proceeding. Is this one of the sites he heard complaints about - No. He is just concerned, developer did the right thisn & dug up his site. Yes but approval for his development granted with also requests & dierctivesd to address his off-site contammination, cannot just walk away from off-site problem & leave innicent property owner with contamination, nearly all sites have off-site contamin, not unusual.

Subject:

RO2621 - 5885 Hollis St

Entry Type:

Phone call

Start: End: Thu 7/28/2005 2:02 PM Thu 7/28/2005 2:02 PM

Duration:

0 hours

Ignacio - City of Emeryville Glenn Leong - Treadwell & Rollo

Marks proposed office building in past. Real estate market tanked, development fell through & they stopped corrective actions at site.

Propose to dig up 10-12' & remove all soil.

Office commercial, lab space w/underground parking

Former union oil, tank operations on west end of site No MTBE so not likely from Chevron station

Have significant GW issues, did not specifically look at volitilization from GW, reports assume path eliminated.

Idealized timing October 2005 to break ground, want expedited turn around time.

UST removed in 1990, no documentation

RP will send Finance check for \$6k for oversight fees

Ignacio exploring taking lead on case, but we already have it open. He does not think he can turn it around faster any other agency. He said RB & DTSC also have full caseloads. What if consultant sends reports to DTSC won't they just open case & ask file be transfreed to them. Told him consultants responsibility to identfy agency providing oversight so dulplicate cases are not opened at multiple agencies. Case here at least to 2000. Developer plan fell through & they decided not to pursue work, no funds in our account for oversight of case. Issue of no progress on case over last 5 years & regulatory involvement not solicited until moment development ready to proceed, not an effective way to handle project nor address regulatory concerns at site. Stressed involving regulatory agency early on in process rather than at end so regulatory involvement can be included in their schedule & enabling all of us to work together on a mutually agreeable schedule; schedule for environmental issues is not same as an escrow schedule.

Ignacit & Glen Leong said they understand this, developer asked them to ask that project be expidited.

Subject:

RO2621 - 5858 hollis,

Entry Type:

Phone call

Start: End: Tue 7/19/2005 10:30 AM Tue 7/19/2005 10:30 AM

Duration:

0 hours

07/19/05, 1033a, Ignacio, city of Emery, 596-4356

5858 hollis, developer interested in site couple yrs ago, now new developer same proposal, T&R sent reports, review yet & assign to staff, see what load is, just idea of we can expect re: time to reivew it