20 August 1999 Project No. 1132.04

Mr. Leroy Griffin City of Oakland Fire Services Agency Hazardous Materials Management Program 505 – 14th Street, 5th Floor Oakland, CA 94612

Subject:

460 Grand Avenue, Oakland, California

Former Gulf Service Station #0006 Alameda County Case Number 3615

Dear Mr. Griffin:

As we discussed earlier this week, the enclosed information is submitted to your agency for review and action. The 460 Grand Avenue site was a Gulf Service Station, and underground storage tanks (USTs) were removed from the site in 1990 and 1994. Treadwell & Rollo, Inc., performed an Oakland RBCA Tier 2 site screening (Attachment 1) consistent with the Urban Land Redevelopment (ULR) Program to support the conclusion that no institutional controls for future residential land use are required at this site. Alameda County-HazMat issued a Case Closure Summary on November 19, 1996 (Attachment 2), and a Remedial Action Completion Certification on December 3, 1998 (Attachment 3). The Case Closure Summary includes a property use restriction, as follows:

Residential site development would be acceptable, provided that either 1) the development should include a 15' setback distance from Grand Ave., or 2) soil will be excavated within the 15' setback zone, soil samples collected under the purview of this Agency, and laboratory analysis indicates the samples are either non-detect or within acceptable concentrations (as per additional calculations and another revised Risk Evaluation).

Treadwell & Rollo, Inc., used the Oakland RBCA Tier 2 spreadsheet provided through the ULR Program to screen the site using the currently accepted risk based approach. Tier 2 uses site data to establish site-specific target levels (SSTLs) for chemical compounds (the site soil type is "clayey silts"; borings logs are included in the Case Closure Summary). The completed Oakland RBCA Eligibility Checklist (included in Attachment 1) demonstrates that the site is eligible for the Oakland RBCA process. The Oakland RBCA Cover Sheet (Attachment 1) presents the site-specific information, and is consistent with Case Closure Summary. A partial print-out of the Oakland RBSLs (risk-based screening levels) table (Attachment 1) shows the results of the Tier 2 analysis for benzene with residential land use, as follows:

Mr. Leroy Griffin Page 2 20 August 1999

Medium	Inhalation of Outdoor Air Vapors	Inhalation of Indoor Air Vapors
Subsurface soil	160 milligrams/kilogram (mg/kg)	3.3 mg/kg
Groundwater	> solubility	6.6 milligrams/Liter (mg/L)

Included in Attachment 1, Table 1 (Summary of Benzene Data) presents a summary of the benzene concentrations in soil used in the risk assessment included in the 19 November 1996 Case Closure Summary as well as a statistical analysis of that data. The 1996 risk assessment used the maximum detected value of benzene in soil and was based on a "forward calculation" to estimate risk due to exposure at that maximum concentration. The current Oakland RBCA process is based on a "backward calculation", resulting in an SSTL. The SSTL is then compared to the site data. The relevant results of the statistical analysis of the same 1996 site data and the results of the Oakland RBCA Tier 2 for residential use are as follows:

Sample mean

1.1 mg/kg

Upper confidence limit (UCL)

2.3 mg/kg

SSTL

3.3 mg/kg (lowest applicable RBSL)

The Oakland ULR Program guidance document states that if "the existing concentration of . . . chemicals of concern at your site is lower than the Tier 2 SSTL . . ., you may immediately petition the lead regulatory agency for site closure". The setback included in the 1996 Case Closure Summary (an institutional control) is <u>not</u> required based on the results of the RBCA Tier 2 analysis—the UCL is less than the SSTL for residential land use. Therefore, it is <u>requested</u> that the Case Closure Summary be amended to allow unrestricted residential land use.

We appreciate your prompt attention to this request. Redevelopment plans are currently underway to return this vacant lot to productive use as new residential units for the City of Oakland. Please call me if you have any questions.

Sincerely yours, TREADWELL & ROLLO, INC.

Margaret K. (Peggy) Peischl, P.E. Senior Engineer

H:\..\Falaschi\460 Grand\Griffin 8-20.doc

Included to complete this document:

Attachment 1

Oakland RBCA Documentation

Attachment 2

Remedial Action Completion Certificate, 3 December 1998

Attachment 3

Case Closure Summary, 19 November 1996

ATTACHMENT 1

Oakland RBCA Documentation

Oakland RBCA Eligibility Checklist

The Oakland Tier 1 RBSLs and Tier 2 SSTLs are intended to address human health and environmental concerns at the majority of small to medium-sized sites in Oakland where commonly-found contaminants are present. Large and/or complicated sites—especially those with continuing releases, special ecological concerns or unusual subsurface conditions—will likely require a Tier 3 analysis. The following checklist is designed to assist you in determining your site's eligibility for the Oakland RBCA levels.

CRITERIA	YES	NO
Source: Is there a continuing, primary source of a chemical of concern, such as a leaking container, tank or pipe? (This does not include secondary/residual sources.)	<u></u>	
Is there any mobile or potentially-mobile free product?		N
Are there more than five chemicals of concern at the site, each of which is at a concentration greater than the lowest applicable Oakland RBCA level?		\boxtimes
Pathways:		
Are there any preferential vapor migration pathways—such as gravel channels or utility corridors—that are potential conduits for the migration, on-site or off-site, of a volatilized chemical of concern?		× ×
Is there a chemical of concern at the site within 20 feet of a surface water body?		\boxtimes
If groundwater ingestion is <i>not</i> an exposure pathway of concern, does groundwater at the site both (a) exist at depths less than 10 feet and (b) contain volatile chemicals of concern? (If groundwater ingestion is an exposure pathway of concern, this criterion may be disregarded because the Oakland RBCA levels will be protective for all potential groundwater-related exposure scenarios.)		
Are there any existing on-site or off-site structures intended for future use that are adjacent to a volatile chemical of concern and possess at least one of the following?		
(a) A slab-on-grade foundation that is less than 15 cm (6 inches) thick (i.e., that		
does not meet Uniform Building Code standards) (b) An enclosed, below-grade space (e.g., a basement) that has floors or walls less		
than 15 cm (6 inches) thick		\boxtimes
(c) A crawl space that is not ventilated		
Receptors:		8
Are there any immediate health risks to humans associated with contamination at the site (i.e., explosive levels of a chemical or vapor concentrations that could cause acute health effects)?		\boxtimes
Are there any complete pathways to nearby ecological receptors, such as endangered species, wildlife refuge areas, wetlands or other protected areas?		\boxtimes

If you answer "no" to all questions, your site is eligible for the Oakland RBCA levels. If you answer "yes" to any of the questions, your site is not eligible for the Oakland RBCA levels.

Oakland RBCA Cover Sheet

Project Proponent: Falaschi Brothers Site Address: 460 Grand Avenue, Oakland, CA 94610

Alameda County Parcel Number(s):

	Chen	nicals of Concern	1 AND THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO PERSON N
1) Benzene	(4)	· ·	(7)
2)	(5)		(8)
3)	(6)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(9)
	FYNOSUTA	Pathways of Concern	
urficial Soil	Laposaro	Ground	dwater
Tingestion	dermal contact/inhalation		☐Ingestion of groundwater
uhsurface Soil			Inhalation of indoor air vapors
Ingestion	of groundwater impacted by le	eachate	Inhalation of outdoor air vapors
Muhalation	of indoor air vapors	Water	Used for Recreation
⊠ Inhalation	of outdoor air vapors		☐Ingestion/dermal contact
	La	nd Use Scenario	
	Residential		Commercial/Industrial
	Me	thod of Analysis	
-		tilon or remarkan	
	soil type: Merritt sands	s sandy silts	⊠clayey silts) (specify:
Tier 2 (specify	soil type: Merritt sands	s sandy silts	
Tier 2 (specify Tier 3 Model(s	soil type:	s sandy silts	(specify:)
Tier 2 (specify Tier 3 Model(s) As evidence that n As target cleanup Other (specify: As	soil type: Merritt sands) employed: Oakland Applica o further action required	s Sandy silts RBCA Other(s)	(specify:)
Tier 2 (specify Tier 3 Model(s) As evidence that no has target cleanup Other (specify: As	soil type: Merritt sands s) employed: Oakland Applica o further action required levels for removal or treatmen evidence to remove setback re County HazMat, 11/19/96)	s sandy silts RBCA Other(s) tion of RBCA Levels t of chemical(s) of concequirement for resident	(specify:)
As evidence that n As target cleanup Other (specify: As Summary, Alameda (Applica o further action required levels for removal or treatmen evidence to remove setback re County HazMat, 11/19/96) Cont rial:)	s sandy silts RBCA Other(s) tion of RBCA Levels t of chemical(s) of concequirement for resident	(specify:)
Tier 2 (specify Tier 3 Model(s) As evidence that n As target cleanup Other (specify: As Summary, Alameda (s) Cap (specify mate Other(s) (specify:	Applica o further action required levels for removal or treatmen evidence to remove setback re County HazMat, 11/19/96) Cont rial:)	s sandy silts RBCA Other(s) tion of RBCA Levels t of chemical(s) of concequirement for resident	(specify:)
As evidence that n As target cleanup Other (specify: As Summary, Alameda (Applica of further action required levels for removal or treatmen evidence to remove setback re County HazMat, 11/19/96) Cont rial:) hat will be affected:	s sandy silts RBCA Other(s) tion of RBCA Levels t of chemical(s) of concequirement for resident	(specify:) cern ial use included in Case Closure r (specify material:)
As evidence that no As target cleanup Souther (specify: As Summary, Alameda Cap (specify mate Other(s) (specify: Exposure pathways to Summary t	Applica of further action required levels for removal or treatmen evidence to remove setback re County HazMat, 11/19/96) Cont rial:) hat will be affected:	s	(specify:)
As evidence that n As target cleanup Other (specify: As Summary, Alameda (Cap (specify mate Other(s) (specify: Exposure pathways t	soil type:	s	(specify:) cern ial use included in Case Closure r (specify material:)
Tier 2 (specify Tier 3 Model(s) As evidence that n As target cleanup Other (specify: As aummary, Alameda () Cap (specify mate Other(s) (specify: Exposure pathways t	soil type: Merritt sands) employed: Oakland Applica o further action required levels for removal or treatmen evidence to remove setback re County HazMat, 11/19/96) Cont rial:)) hat will be affected:	s	(specify:) cern ial use included in Case Closure r (specify material:)
Tier 2 (specify Tier 3 Model(s) As evidence that n As target cleanup Other (specify: As Summary, Alameda (s) Cap (specify mate Other(s) (specify: Exposure pathways to	Applica o further action required levels for removal or treatmen evidence to remove setback re County HazMat, 11/19/96) Cont rial:) hat will be affected: Deed restriction Other(s) (specify:	s	(specify:) cern ial use included in Case Closure r (specify material:)

Submitted by: Margaret K. (Peggy) Peischl, P.E.; Treadwell & Rollo, Inc.

Date submitted: 20 August 1999

Medium	Exposure Pathway	Land Use	Type of Risk	Acenaph- thene	Acenaph- thylene	Acetone	Anthra- cene	Arsenic	Barium	Benz(a)- anthracene	Benzene	Benzo(a)- pyrene
Surficial Soil Ingestion/ [mg/kg] Ingestion/ Inhalation	Residential	Carcinogenic					2.6E+00		1.7E+00	1.9E+01	1.7E-01	
		Hazard	2.3E+03	2.3E+03	3.7E+03	1.2E+04	1.8E+01	5.0E+03		6.3E+01		
	Commercial/ Industrial	Cardinogenic					9.5E+00		4.3E+00	4.9E+01	4.3E-01	
		Hazard	1.1E+04	1.1E+04	1.8E+04	5.6E+04	1.5E+02	7.1E+04		3,0E+02		
202.00000000000000000000000000000000000	NAME OF BUILDINGS	Residential	Carcinogenic							SAT	1.6E+02	SAT
	Inhalation of Outdoor Air	Bration of	Hazard	SAT	SAT	1.2E+05	SAT				6.5E+02	
	Vapors	Commercial/	Carcinogenic		1,74					SAT	6.2E+02	SAT
	Caronia:	Industrial	Hazard	SAT	SAT	SAT	SAT				SAT	
	6 7850 8	Residential	Carcinogenic							SAT	3.3E+00	SAT
Subsurface Soll	Inhalation of Indoor Air	residential	Hazard	SAT	SAT	1.2E+04	SAT				1.1E+01	
[mg/kg]	Vapors	Commercial/	Carcinogenic							SAT	5.2E+01	SAT
	- Contraction	Industrial	Hazard	SAT	SAT	SAT	SAT				3.2E+02	
	Ingestion of	Residential	Carcinogenic					4.4E+00	1.3E+02	1.4E+01	4.5E-03	1.2E+01
	Groundwater	Residential	Hazard	4.0E+02	2.7E+02	1.5E+00	SAT	4.4E+00	1.3E+02		4.5E-03	1.2E+01
	Impacted by	Commercial/ Industrial	Carcinógenic					4.4E+00	1.3E+02	5.8E+01	4.5E-03	1.2E+01
	Leachate		Hazard	SAT	SAT	9.7E+00	SAT	4.4E+00	1.3E+02		4.5E-03	1.2E+01
			Carcinogenic					5.0E-02	1.0E+00	5.6E-04	1.0E-03	2.0E-04
-00	Ingestion of		Hazard	9.4E-01	9.4E-01	1.6E+00	>Sol	5.0E-02	1.0E+00		1.0E-03	2.0E-04
	Groundwater		Carcinogenic			- :		5.0E-02	1.0E+00	2.4E-03	1.0E-03	2.0E-04
			Hazard	>Sol	>Sol	1.0E+01	>Sal	5.0E-02	1.0E+00		1.0E-03	2.0E-04
		Residential	Carcinogenic						F.W. 50.50.551	>Sal	6.6E+00	>Sal
Groundwater	Inhalation of		Hazard	>Sol	>Sol	4.0E+04	>Sol				2.2E+01	
[mg/l] Indoor Air Vapors	1 1 1 1 1 1 TV CHOCK CO. CO. SOCKHILL	Commercial/	Carcinogenic							>Sal	1.0E+02	>Sol
	Industrial	Hazard	>Sal	>\$al	>Sol	>Sal_				6.3E+02		
	40-40-40-40-40-4	CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	Carcinogenic							>Sal	>Sal	>Sol
	inhalation of Outdoor Air		Hazard	>Sal	>Sal	9.5E+05	>Sol_				>Sol	
	Vapors	1 AA 1 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Carcinogenic							>So!	>Sal	>Sol
			Hazard	>Sal	>Sol	>Sol	>Sof				>Sol	
Water for	Ingestion/	Residential	Carcinogenic					2.0E-02		1.6E-04	6.3E-02	1.1E-05
Recreation [mg/l] Dermal	rmal Residerilai	Hazard	1.1E+00	1.7E+00	4.2E+01	>Sol	1.2E-01	2.8E+01		1.8E-01		

^{*}Italicized concentrations based on California MCLs

SAT = RBSL exceeds saturated soil concentration of chemical >SOL = RBSL exceeds solubility of chemical in water

TABLE 1 Summary of Benzene Data 460 Grand Avenue, Oakland, California

Benzene (mg/kg)

 $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$

Sample	Depth (feet, bgs	. x	<u>x</u> ²
WO-8	4.5	0.0005	0.00000025
WO-9	5.5	0.077	0.005929
IX-18	4	0.18	0.0324
IX-15	5	1.2	1.44
TX-13	5.5	0.41	0.1681
IX-11	5	0.6	0.36
C-3	5	0.008	0.000064
C-2	5	13	169
C-1*	5	0.0025	0.00000625
IX-20*	5	0.0025	
WO-10*	5	0.0025	
WO-11*	4.5	0.0025	0.00000625
WO-7*	5	0.0025	
WO-5*	5	0.0025	0.00000625
Sum of Values	Sx	15.4905	
Sum of Values Square	$c Sx^2$	171.01	
Number of Samples	n	14	
Sample Mean	X	1.1	
Variance	s ²	11.836	
Sample St. Dev.	S	3.440	
Standard Error of Sam	η S _æ	0.919	12
Degrees of Freedom	n-1	13	2018
t. ₂₀ for n-1 degrees of freedom	t. ₂₀	1.35	
Confidence Interval	CI	2.3	Upper CI
(two-tailed with proba	bility = 0.20 or	-0.1	Lower CI
one-tailed with probab		a	

^{*}Assume value of 1/2 the Reporting Limit (0.005 mg/kg) for NDs Statistical Analysis per:

Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-847, Third Edition, Vol. 2, USEPA, November 1986