

10969 Trade Center Drive, Suite 107 Rancho Cordova, California 95670 Telephone: (916) 889-8900 Fax: (916) 889-8999 www.CRAworld.com

TRANSMITTAL

DATE:	3-30-11		Refe	ERENCE NO.:	631916
то:	Mr. Jer Alame 1131 H Alame	ry Wickham da County Environi arbor Bay Parkway da, California 94502	PRO mental Health , Suite 250 26577	JECT NAME:	Park Street Landing (former Chevron 20-6127) RECEIVED 12:06 pm, Apr 04, 2011 Alameda County Environmental Health
Please find	d enclose	d: Draft Draft Prints		Final Other	
Sent via:		MailOvernight	Courier 🛛	Same Day (Other <u>E</u>	Courier lectronic Upload
OUAN	TITY			DESCRI	PTION
1		SECOND SOIL VAI	POR, SUB-SLAB,	AND INDO	OR AIR SAMPLING REPORT
As R	lequested Your Use	[[For Review	and Comme	nt
		[
COMME We appre (916) 889-	NTS: ciate the 8908 if y	opportunity to wor ou have any questic	k with you on t	this project. dditional inf	Please contact Mr. Brian Silva at formation.
Copy to:]]]]]	Mr. Mike Bauer (<i>electro</i> r Ms. Julie Beck Ball Mr. Peter Reinhold Beck Mr. Monroe Wingate Mr. Tom Foley	nic)		AD
Complete	d by:	B. Silva		Signed:	

[Please Print]



Mike Bauer Project Manager Marketing Business Unit **Chevron Environmental Management Company** 145 S. State College Blvd Brea, CA 92821 Tel (714) 671-3200 Fax (714) 671-3440 mbauer@chevron.com

March 30, 2011

Mr. Jerry Wickham Alameda County Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, California 94502-6577

Re: Former Signal Oil Marine Storage and Distribution Facility (Former Chevron Bulk Plant 20-6127) 2301-2311 Blanding Avenue Alameda, California LOP Case RO0002466

Dear Mr. Wickham:

The purpose of this letter is to verify that as a representative for Chevron Environmental Management Company (Chevron), I reviewed, and concur with, the comments in the *Second Soil Vapor, Sub-Slab, and Indoor Air Sampling Report* for the referenced facility, prepared on behalf of Chevron by Conestoga-Rovers & Associates. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Please feel free to contact me at (714) 671-3207 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

MS Bauer

Mike Bauer Project Manager



SECOND SOIL VAPOR, SUB-SLAB, AND INDOOR AIR SAMPLING REPORT

FORMER SIGNAL OIL MARINE STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION FACILITY CHEVRON FACILITY 20-6127 2301-2311 BLANDING AVENUE ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA AGENCY CASE RO# 0002466

Prepared For: Mr. Jerry Wickham Alameda County Health Care Services Agency Environmental Health Services

Prepared by: Conestoga-Rovers & Associates

10969 Trade Center Drive, #107 Rancho Cordova, California U.S.A. 95670

Office: (916) 889-8900 Fax: (916) 889-8999

web: <u>http://www.CRAworld.com</u>

MARCH 30, 2011 REF. NO. 631916 (18) This report is printed on recycled paper.



SECOND SOIL VAPOR, SUB-SLAB, AND INDOOR AIR SAMPLING REPORT

FORMER SIGNAL OIL MARINE STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION FACILITY CHEVRON FACILITY 20-6127 2301-2311 BLANDING AVENUE ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA AGENCY CASE RO# 0002466



Brian Silva

Greg Barclay, PG 6260



Prepared by: Conestoga-Rovers & Associates

10969 Trade Center Drive, #107 Rancho Cordova, California U.S.A. 95670

Office: (916) 889-8900 Fax: (916) 889-8999

web: http://www.CRAworld.com

MARCH 30, 2011 Ref. NO. 631916 (18)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

|--|

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	SITE BACKGROUND 2.1 SITE DESCRIPTION 2.2 SITE GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY 2.3 PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL WORK	1 1 2 2
3.0	VAPOR SAMPLING ACTIVITIES AND LABORATORY ANALYSIS 2 3.1 SOIL AND SUB-SLAB VAPOR SAMPLING 3.2 INDOOR AND OUTDOOR AIR SAMPLING 3.3 LABORATORY ANALYSIS	2 2 3 4
4.0	SOIL VAPOR AND INDOOR/OUTDOOR AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS4	4
5.0	AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT	6 6 7
6.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	8

LIST OF FIGURES (Following Text)

- FIGURE 1 VICINITY MAP
- FIGURE 2 SITE PLAN

LIST OF TABLES (Following Text)

TABLE 1	SOIL VAPOR ANALYTICAL RESULTS
TABLE 2	INDOOR AND OUTDOOR AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS
TABLE 3	COMPARISON OF SOIL VAPOR AND AIR SAMPLING ANALYTICAL DATA

LIST OF APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A REGULATORY CORRESPONDENCE
- APPENDIX B PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION
- APPENDIX C BUILDING SURVEY FORMS
- APPENDIX D LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Conestoga-Rovers & Associates (CRA) is submitting this *Second Soil Vapor, Sub Slab, and Indoor-Air Sampling Report* on behalf of Chevron Environmental Management Company (Chevron) for the former Signal Oil Marine Storage and Distribution facility (former Chevron facility 20-6127) located at 2301-2311 Blanding Avenue in Alameda, California. This is the second soil vapor and indoor/outdoor sampling event performed to confirm results from CRA's September 17, 2010 *Soil Vapor, Sub Slab, and Indoor-Air Sampling Report.* The work was performed in accordance with Alameda County Health Care Services Agency, Environmental Health Services (ACEH) correspondence dated April 19, 2010 (Appendix A).

The purpose of the work was to evaluate the temporal variability in vapor samples previously collected and to compare subsurface conditions with indoor and ambient air conditions. The work was performed in general accordance with CRA's April 1, 2010 *Revised Vapor Sampling Plan* (including the provisions outlined in ACEH's April 19, 2010 letter in which the work plan was approved). This report includes the results of the most recent vapor sampling, indoor and outdoor air analyses, and air quality assessment, as well as a summary of the site background, previous environmental work, and conclusions and recommendations.

2.0 <u>SITE BACKGROUND</u>

The following sections provide a description of the site and a summary of the geologic and hydrogeologic setting at the site.

2.1 <u>SITE DESCRIPTION</u>

The approximately 3.5-acre site is located on the northeast side of Blanding Avenue between Oak and Park Streets in Alameda, California (Figures 1 and 2). Land use in the site vicinity is primarily commercial and industrial. The Alameda Canal and a marina are located adjacent to the northeast side of the site. The site is currently occupied by three large commercial buildings, which are used for office, retail, and storage space, and identified as Park Street Landing at 2307-2337 Blanding Avenue. A summary of the site history dating back to 1897 is included in Appendix B.

2.2 SITE GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

Based on past investigation, the soils encountered beneath the site generally consist of silty sand and clayey sand from just beneath grade to approximately 5 to 9 feet below grade (fbg). Fill consisting of black sand and debris, including concrete fragments, has been reported in several borings at shallow depths. A 4 to 5 foot-thick layer of clay with some sand underlies the silty sand and clayey sand. Below the clay is silty sand and sandy silt to the maximum depth explored of approximately 20.5 fbg. Groundwater is typically encountered in site borings at approximately 14.5 to 15 fbg within the silty sand and sandy silt, and subsequently rises in the borings/wells to approximately 7 to 10 fbg suggesting the groundwater beneath the site is semi-confined.

2.3 PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL WORK

To date, seven groundwater monitoring wells, one replacement groundwater monitoring well, six vapor wells, and seven sub-slab vapor wells have been installed at the site. Additionally, twenty-eight soil borings have been advanced and three surface soil samples have been collected at the site. Quarterly monitoring and sampling of wells MW-1 through MW-5 initiated in 2001 is ongoing. Recently installed wells MW-1RA, MW-1RB (which were installed to replace well MW-1), and MW-6 have been added to the quarterly monitoring and sampling program, beginning in the fourth quarter 2010. A summary of previous environmental work performed at the site is presented in Appendix B.

3.0 <u>VAPOR SAMPLING ACTIVITIES AND LABORATORY ANALYSIS</u>

CRA collected vapor samples from site vapor wells and sub-slab vapor points as well as indoor and outdoor air samples. Samples were collected to confirm results from the June 29, 2010 event, to evaluate temporal variability in previously collected subsurface vapor, and to compare subsurface conditions with indoor and ambient air conditions. Details of this work are described below.

3.1 SOIL AND SUB-SLAB VAPOR SAMPLING

On November 16, 2010, CRA collected vapor samples from vapor wells VP-2 through VP-5 and sub-slab vapor probes VP-7 through VP-13 (Figure 2) in 1-liter Summa[™] canisters connected to the vapor wells using dedicated flow regulators set at

167 milliliters per minute (mL/min). Approximately three volumes of stagnant air in the sub-slab vapor probes and the soil vapor wells (approximately 30 or 100 mL, respectively) were purged prior to sample collection. The SummaTM canister valves were opened and the vacuum of the canisters was used to draw the soil vapor through the flow regulator until a negative pressure between 5 inches to 8 inches of mercury was observed on the vacuum gauge. Field duplicate samples were collected simultaneously from VP-5 and VP-11. In accordance with the Department of Toxic Substances Control's (DTSC) *Advisory-Active Soil Gas Investigations* guidance document, dated January 28, 2003, leak testing was performed during sampling using helium.

Samples could not be collected from vapor wells VP-1 and VP-6 due to excess moisture in the vapor well tubing. This is likely due to the wet weather that was experienced this fall through the month of October and the first part of November.

3.2 INDOOR AND OUTDOOR AIR SAMPLING

On November 16, 2010, CRA collected eight-hour (approximate) indoor air samples from sampling points IA-1 through IA-4, and an eight-hour outdoor air sample from sampling point OA-1 (Figure 2) in 6-liter SummaTM canisters using dedicated flow regulators set at 11.5 mL/min.

A survey of the four suites from which the indoor air samples were collected was made prior to conducting indoor air sampling and an inventory of potential VOC contributors were noted on the Building Survey Form (Appendix C) from the California Environmental Protection Agency and DTSC's *Guidance for the Evaluation and Mitigation of Subsurface Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air – Interim Final* dated December 15, 2004 and revised February 7, 2005. Several potential background sources such as paint, paint thinners, and gasoline cans were noted in each of the suites.

Indoor air sampling was conducted in conditions representative of normal use by suite occupants. This meant that heating or cooling systems were operated normally, and doors or windows may have been opened during the sampling event. During sample collection, the back door at the Enterprise Rent-a-Car suite (IA-1) was open all day, as is typical during business hours. The back door at the Mark's Paints suite (IA-2) was left open during sampling, and is typically open 90 percent of the time during business hours. Exterior doors were closed in the empty suite (Suite E, IA-3). All doors were open in the Hansen Rigging suite (IA-4) for approximately 20 percent of the sampling duration as is typical during business hours.

Outside ambient air conditions were warm at 65 degrees Fahrenheit with intermittent breezes in a predominantly westerly direction. An eight-hour upwind outdoor air (ambient air) sample was collected from sampling point OA-1 (Figure 2), located in a landscaped area in the vicinity of VP-2.

3.3 <u>LABORATORY ANALYSIS</u>

Samples were transported, under chain-of-custody, to Air Toxics, LTD, a California certified laboratory in Folsom, California for the following analyses:

- Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by Modified EPA Method TO-15.
- TPHg and VOCs by EPA Method TO-15 Selective Ion Monitoring (SIM) (indoor and outdoor air samples).
- Oxygen, nitrogen, carbon dioxide, methane, and helium (leak check compound) by American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Method D-1946.

Pressure readings from the laboratory confirmed the residual vacuum, ensuring that the canisters had not been compromised during the shipment to, and storage at, the laboratory.

4.0 SOIL VAPOR AND INDOOR/OUTDOOR AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Analytical results for TPHg, benzene, and helium (leak check compound) are summarized below. Shallow soil vapor and indoor air analytical results were compared to the San Francisco Region's Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Environmental Screening Levels (ESLs) for indoor air and shallow soil vapor in a commercial/industrial land use reported in Table E of RWQCB's May 2008 *Screening for Environmental Concerns at Sites with Contaminated Soil and Groundwater*. In addition, subslab vapor results were compared to shallow soil vapor ESLs and the indoor air ESLs, adjusted by an attenuation factor of 0.01. to account for attenuation from sub-slab to indoor air and are considered overly conservative. Due to a laboratory error, TPHg analysis for the sub-slab vapor probes was not initially conducted despite being noted on the chain-of-custody. As noted on the laboratory report, CRA requested that the report be re-issued with the TPHg results included. Because the TPHg single point calibration was not analyzed at the time of the initial analysis (it was conducted four days after), TPHg results for the sub-slab probes (VP-7 through VP-13) are considered approximate. A memo from the laboratory documenting this oversight is included with the laboratory report. Cumulative soil vapor analytical data compared to ESLs are summarized in Table 1 and cumulative indoor and outdoor air analytical data compared to ESLs are summarized in Table 2. Laboratory analytical reports are included in Appendix D.

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline

- TPHg was only detected in two of the seven sub-slab probes at concentrations of 260 micrograms per cubic meter ($\mu g/m^3$) and 450 $\mu g/m^3$ in VP-10 and VP-13, respectively. Both are below commercial indoor air ESL of 1,400 $\mu g/m^3$.
- TPHg was detected in vapor wells analyzed ranging from 340,000 μ g/m³ in VP-3 through 130,000,000 μ g/m³ in VP-4.
- The TPHg results from vapor wells VP-3, VP-4, and VP-5 exceed the shallow soil vapor ESL of 29,000 $\mu g/m^3.$
- TPHg was also detected in all indoor air samples and the outdoor air sample collected at concentrations ranging from $110 \,\mu g/m^3$ in OA-3 to $530 \,\mu g/m^3$ in IA-3.
- The TPHg results for the indoor air sampling are above the indoor air ESL for commercial and industrial sites of $14 \ \mu g/m^3$.

Benzene

- No benzene was detected in the vapor samples from vapor wells VP-2 and VP-3, or the sub-slab vapor probes.
- Benzene was detected in vapor wells VP-4 and VP-5 at concentrations of $830,000 \ \mu g/m^3$ and $12,000 \ \mu g/m^3$, respectively, both of which exceed the shallow soil vapor ESL of $280 \ \mu g/m^3$.
- Benzene was also detected in all indoor and outdoor air samples collected at concentrations ranging from 0.61 μ g/m³ in OA-1 to 4.2 μ g/m³ in IA-3.
- The benzene results for the indoor air sampling are above the indoor air ESL for commercial and industrial sites of $0.14 \ \mu g/m^3$.

Helium

- No helium was detected in the vapor samples from vapor wells VP-2, VP-3, and VP-5, sub-slab vapor probes VP-9, VP-11, and VP-12, and indoor and outdoor air samples IA-1 through IA-4, and OA-1.
- Helium was detected in vapor samples from vapor well VP-4, sub-slab vapor probes VP-7, VP-8, VP-10, and VP-13 at 0.28 percent, 0.54 percent, 1.10 percent, 10 percent, and 4.70 percent, respectively (indicating that there was a leak of ambient

air into the sampling apparatus). However, given the low percentage of helium reported and low concentrations of hydrocarbon vapor detected (well below any commercial/industrial ESLs for shallow soil vapor), the minor detection of helium does not nullify results of the vapor well and sub-slab sampling.

In addition to the target compounds listed above, several non-target analytes (e.g. toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes) were detected (Appendix D). However, the majority of these detections were below the applied ESLs with the exception of ethylbenzene detected at 470,000 μ g/m³ in vapor well VP-4.

5.0 AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT

The following sections assess the results of the laboratory analysis of the soil vapor, subslab vapor, indoor air, and outdoor air samples.

5.1 <u>CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN IN SOIL VAPOR</u>

To assess the results of the laboratory analysis of the soil vapor samples, the SFRWQCB ESLs for shallow soil vapor under a commercial/industrial land use reported in Table E of SFRWQCB (2008), are typically applied as screening values. Comments received from the ACEH dated February 5, 2010, regarding the Soil Vapor Sampling Report (CRA, 2009) indicate that comparing the sub-slab soil vapor analytical results directly to the ESLs for shallow soil vapor is inappropriate, and that an attenuation factor of 0.01 should be applied to the sub-slab soil vapor analytical results such that they may be compared directly to the ESLs for indoor air. However, as indicated by the SFRWQCB (2008), both sub-slab and shallow soil gas data (i.e. < 1.5 m bgs) should be compared to the shallow soil vapor screening levels presented in Table E. For conservatism, the sub-slab soil vapor and shallow soil vapor analytical results are compared to both Table E standards for shallow soil vapor, as well as the indoor air screening levels adjusted by an attenuation factor of 100 to account for attenuation from sub-slab to indoor air (i.e. indoor ESLs were multiplied by 100 to result in the corresponding sub-slab soil vapor ESLs).

The applied soil vapor screening levels are presented in Table 1. A comparison of the chemical concentrations detected in soil vapor samples to these screening criteria is also presented in Table 1. Chemicals with a maximum detected concentration in sub-slab or shallow soil vapor greater than the applied screening concentrations were identified as Contaminants of Concern (COCs) in the soil vapor. As indicated in Table 1, the

concentration of TPHg measured in shallow soil vapor sample VP-3 exceeded the shallow soil vapor ESL and sub-slab ESL based on indoor air (multiplied by an attenuation factor of 100 to account for attenuation between sub-slab and indoor air). The concentration of TPHg, benzene, and ethylbenzene measured in shallow soil vapor sample VP-4 exceeded the shallow soil vapor ESLs and sub-slab ESLs based on indoor air (multiplied by an attenuation factor of 100 to account for attenuation between subslab and indoor air), as well, VP-4 concentrations for toluene and m,p-xylene were above the sub-slab ESLs based on indoor air (multiplied by an attenuation factor of 100 to account for attenuation between sub-slab and indoor air) but was less than the ESL for shallow soil vapor. The concentration of TPHg and benzene measured in shallow soil vapor sample VP-5 exceeded the shallow soil vapor ESL and sub-slab ESL based on indoor air (multiplied by an attenuation factor of 100 to account for attenuation between sub-slab and indoor air). As a result, TPHg, benzene, and ethylbenzene have been identified as COCs in soil vapor at the site during the most recent sampling event. Toluene and m,p-xylene were not identified as COCs since they were below ESL for soil vapor.

An evaluation of site-specific data suggests that the attenuation factor of 0.01 is overly conservative for the site. Table 3 presents a comparison of the measured indoor air and sub-slab soil vapor concentrations for those analytes that were analyzed for in both media. The estimated sub-slab soil vapor concentrations that would be expected, based on multiplying the measured indoor air concentrations by an attenuation factor of 100, are also presented for comparative purposes. As shown in Table 3, the estimated sub-slab soil vapor probes. In all soil vapor probes, the analytes were not detected in sub-slab soil vapor at detection limits.

5.2 <u>CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN IN AIR</u>

To assess the results of the laboratory analysis of the indoor and ambient air samples, the SFRWQCB ESLs for indoor air in a commercial/industrial land use reported in Table E of SFRWQCB (2008) were applied. The applied indoor air screening levels are presented in Table 2. A comparison of the chemical concentrations detected in indoor and ambient air samples to these screening criteria is also presented in Table 2. Chemicals with a maximum detected concentration in indoor or ambient air greater than the applied screening concentration were identified as COCs in the indoor and/or ambient air at the site. As indicated in Table 1, this included TPHg, benzene, and ethylbenzene which are consistent with the June/July 2010 sampling event.

As shown in Table 2, the indoor air and outdoor ambient air concentrations are fairly consistent and of the same order of magnitude. Note that except for the empty suite, exterior doors were often opened during the sampling event. As each unit is located in close proximity to the parking lot, emissions from passing or idling vehicle traffic could impact the indoor air quality.

6.0 <u>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

As indicated in Table 1, TPHg, benzene, and ethylbenzene were identified as COCs in indoor air at the site. The only COCs identified in ambient air at the site were TPHg and benzene. The concentration of benzene measured in ambient air was less than those measured in the indoor air of the sampled suites. However, the indoor air and ambient air results are of similar magnitude, which indicates that background and outside sources are contributing to the indoor air quality at the site. The measured indoor air concentrations could exceed the levels considered acceptable for human health based on the indoor air inhalation pathway and may require further investigation.

As indicated in Table 2, there were no COCs identified in sub-slab soil vapor or soil vapor at the site based on the ESLs for shallow soil vapor. The measured concentrations are therefore within the levels considered acceptable for human health based on the indoor air inhalation pathway. There were no exceedances of the indoor air ESL for the sub-slab vapor probes during this event.

Although it is difficult to make comparisons between indoor air and sub-slab soil vapor analytical data due to the difference in detection limits, a relative comparison does indicate that concentrations are often higher in indoor air samples as compared to subslab soil vapor probes (Table 3). The indoor air samples were analyzed with EPA method TO-15 SIM, while the soil vapor samples were analyzed with EPA method TO-15, accounting for the differences in the detection limits attained. Regardless of detection limits, the comparison suggests that the COCs in indoor air may be attributable to background indoor air sources, rather than from soil vapor beneath the site.

During the past two sub-slab sampling events that were conducted during the indoor air evaluation, none of the site COCs were detected above the indoor air ESLs in the subslab vapor probes. While COCs were detected in the indoor air samples, they were typically higher than those observed in the sub-slab vapor samples. Additionally, each of the suites where the indoor air samples were collected had numerous sources of VOCs present and the outdoor air sample also contained TPHg and benzene levels above the indoor air ESLs. Based on this information, it does not appear that the elevated COC concentrations observed in vapor wells VP-1 through VP-6 present a vapor intrusion risk at the site. CRA recommends that further sub-slab vapor and indoor air sampling be discontinued and that the sub-slab probes be destroyed.

FIGURES



631916-2010(015)GN-WA001 AUG 17/2010



631916-2010(015)GN-WA002 SEP 15/2010

																1,3,5-				,		
						Ethyl-	m,p-								Propyl-	Trimethy	4-Ethyl-					
		TPHd	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	benzene	Xylene	Naphthalene	Chloromethane	Bromomethane	Hexane	Cyclohexane	Heptane	Cumene	benzene	l-benzene	toluene	<i>O</i> ₂	N_2	CO_2	CH_4	He
Vapor Well	Sample Date	$(\mu g/m^3)$	(µg/m ³)	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^{3})$	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	$(\mu g/m^{3})$	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	(µg/m ³)	$(\mu g/m^3)$	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
<u>Soil Vapor Probes</u>																						
VP-1	08/19/08	13,000	1,300,000	<u>300</u>	140	240	540		<160	<75	9,400	12,000	27,000	1,600	2,800	<95	660	17		4.00		< 0.12
	10/22/09		<88	<3.4	<4.1	<4.7	<4.7		<8.9	<4.2	<3.8	<3.7	<4.4	<5.3	<5.3	<5.3	<5.3	9.4		5.70		< 0.11
	06/29/10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	11/16/10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
VP-2	08/19/08	24,000	1,500,000	140	<86	130	300		<190	<89	5,500	19,000	12,000	900	1,700	<110	370	8.9		11.00		< 0.11
	10/22/09		<95	<3.7	<4.4	<5.0	<5.0		<9.6	<4.5	<4.1	<4.0	<4.8	<5.7	<5.7	<5.7	<5.7	13		8.00		< 0.12
	06/29/10		<280	<4.3	<5	<5.9	<5.9	<28										16	79	5.10	0.0005	< 0.14
	$06/29/10^{1}$		820	<4.3	<5.0	<5.8	<5.8	<28										16	79	5.10	< 0.00027	< 0.13
	$11/16/10^2$		<160	<3.8	<4.4	<5.1	<5.1	<25										18	79	3.10	< 0.00024	< 0.12
VP-3	08/19/08	53,000E	4,100,000	<700	<830	<960	1,200		<1,800	<850	38,000	47,000	77,000	4,000	5,700	1,200	<1100	1.7		11.00		<0.11
	10/22/09		1,800,000	<130	<150	<180	<180		<330	<160	6,200	6,200	1,800	<200	<200	<200	<200	1.4		8.10		< 0.12
	06/29/10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	11/16/10		340,000	<38	<45	<52	<52	<250										4.1	87	8.10	0.66	< 0.12
VP-4	08/19/08	<u>91,0005</u>	220,000,000	<u>1,100,000</u>	49,000	<u>570,000</u>	70,000		<u>3,900,000</u>	<u>70,000</u>	8,400,000	3,600,000	5,100,000	57,000	84,000	<19,000	37,000	0.55		16.00		<0.13
	10/22/09		140,000,000	<u>1,100,000</u>	<48,000	<u>650,000</u>	71,000		<100,000	<49,000	7,700,000	3,400,000	4,900,000	64,000	110,000	<62,000	<62,000	0.64		15.00		< 0.13
	$10/22/09^1$		130,000,000	1,000,000	<46,000	540,000	57,000		<100,000	<47,000	7,300,000	3,200,000	4,600,000	<59,000	92,000	<59,000	<59,000	0.62		14.00		< 0.12
	06/29/10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	11/16/10		<u>130,000,000</u>	<u>830,000</u>	30,000	<u>470,000</u>	44,000	<25,000										1.1	43	12.00	41	0.28
VP-5	08/19/08	<u>110,000S</u>	<u>29,000,000</u>	<u>28,000</u>	<4,400	<5,000	<5,000		<9,600	<4,500	630,000	430,000	660,000	7,000	<5,700	<5,700	<5,700	2.0		15.00		<0.12
	10/22/09		20,000,000	<u>16,000</u>	<4,800	<5,500	<5,500		<10,000	<4,900	370,000	310,000	490,000	12,000	15,000	<6,200	<6,200	1.3		17.00		< 0.13
	06/29/10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	11/16/10		<u>18,000,000</u>	<u>11,000</u>	1,600	<1600	1,600	<8000										1.5	82	16.00	0.030	< 0.11
	$11/16/10^{1}$		<u>18,000,000</u>	<u>12,000</u>	1,500	<1600	1,700	<8000										1.4	82	16.00	0.030	<0.11
VP-6	08/19/08	<u>96,000S</u>	<u>150,000,000</u>	<u>20,000</u>	<10,000	<12,000	<12,000		<u>1,200,000</u>	25,000	3,300,000	3,200,000	2,800,000	17,000	<14,000	<14,000	<14,000	3.9		9.80		<0.11
	$08/19/08^{1}$	22,000	840,000	100	<86	130	290		<190	<89	4,400	9,800	12,000	890	1,700	<110	390	9.2		10.00		<0.11
	06/29/10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	11/16/10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

SOIL VAPOR ANALYTICAL RESULTS FORMER SIGNAL OIL BULK PLANT (CHEVRON FACILITY 20-6127) 2301-2311 BLANDING AVENUE ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA

		TPHd	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	m,p- Xylene	Naphthalene	Chloromethane	Bromomethane	Hexane	Cyclohexane	e Heptane	Cumene	Propyl- benzene	1,3,5- Trimethy l-benzene	4-Ethyl- toluene	O ₂	N_2	<i>CO</i> ₂	CH ₄	He
Vapor Well	Sample Date	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	(µg/m ³)	$(\mu g/m^3)$	(µg/m ³)	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	(µg/m ³)	(µg/m ³)	$(\mu g/m^3)$	(µg/m ³)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
<u>Soil Vapor Probes</u>																						
Sub-Slab Soil Vapo	r Probes																					
VP-7	07/24/09		<95	<3.7	<4.4	<5.0	<5.0		<9.6	<4.5	<4.1	<4.0	<4.8	<5.7	<5.7	<5.7	<5.7	19		0.60		< 0.12
	06/29/10		<240	<3.7	<4.3	<5.0	<5.0	<24										21	78	0.30	< 0.00023	0.21
	11/16/10		<260 ³	<4.1	<4.9	<5.6	<5.6	<27										20	79	0.50	<0.00026	0.54
VP-8	07/24/09		490	<3.5	<4.1	<4.8	<4.8		<9.1	<4.3	<3.9	<3.8	<4.5	<5.4	<5.4	<5.4	<5.4	21		0.56		<0.11
	$07/24/09^{1}$		8,200	7	48	24	100		<9.1	<4.3	<3.9	<3.8	<4.5	<5.4	14	33	79	21		0.56		< 0.11
	06/29/10		310	<u>24</u>	71	5.9	47	<25										20	79	0.61	< 0.00024	0.57
	$06/29/10^{1}$		340	<u>24</u>	70	5.3	44	<25														
	11/16/10		$<250^{3}$	<3.9	<4.6	<5.2	<5.2	<25										19	79	0.98	< 0.00024	1.10
VP-9	07/24/09		8,800	<3.8	38	<5.3	19		<9.8	<4.6	<4.2	<4.1	<4.9	<5.8	<5.8	<5.8	<5.8	15		0.14		29.00
	10/22/09		<90	<3.5	<4.1	<4.8	<4.8		<9.1	<4.3	<3.9	<3.8	<4.5	<5.4	<5.4	<5.4	<5.4	20		0.73		< 0.11
	06/29/10		<230	<3.6	<4.3	<4.9	<5.0	<24										19	80	1.10	< 0.00023	< 0.11
	11/16/10		<250 ³	<3.9	<4.6	<5.3	<5.3	<26										19	80	1.20	< 0.00024	< 0.12
VP-10	07/24/09		2.500B	<3.7	7	52	130		<9.6	<4.5	<4.1	<4.0	12	<5.7	12	21	59	17		0.48		16.00
	10/22/09		2,100	16	6.1	12	<5.2		<10	<4.7	100	45	91	<5.9	<5.9	<5.9	<5.9	20		0.29		2.40
	06/29/10		<250	<3.8	<4.5	<5.2	<5.2	<25										19	73	0.43	< 0.00024	7.30
	11/16/10		260^{3}	<4.0	6.3	<5.4	<5.4	<26										18	72	0.42	< 0.00025	10.00
VP-11	07/24/09		450B	<3.9	13	<5.2	8		<10	<4.7	<4.3	<4.2	<5.0	<5.9	<5.9	<5.9	<5.9	16		0.26		22.00
	10/22/09		<99	<3.9	<4.6	<5.2	<5.2		<10	<4.7	<4.3	<4.2	<5.0	<5.9	<5.9	<5.9	<5.9	14		4.00		< 0.12
	06/29/10		<240	<3.8	<4.5	<5.1	<5.1	<25										18	80	1.90	< 0.00024	< 0.12
	11/16/10		<260	<4.0	<4.7	<5.4	<5.4	<26										18	80	1.70	< 0.00025	< 0.12
	$11/16/10^{1}$		<260 ³	<4.0	<4.7	<5.4	<5.4	<26										18	80	1.70	< 0.00025	< 0.12
VP-12	07/24/09		190B	<3.6	<4.2	<4.9	<4.9		<9.2	<4.3	<3.9	<3.8	<4.6	<5.5	<5.5	<5.5	<5.5	19		0.73		0.43
	$07/24/09^1$		<u>1,600B</u>	<3.6	<4.2	<4.9	<4.9		<9.2	<4.3	<3.9	<3.8	<4.6	<5.5	<5.5	<5.5	<5.5	19		0.73		0.44
	10/22/09		<95	<3.7	<4.4	<5.0	<5.0		<9.6	<4.5	<4.1	<4.0	<4.8	<5.7	<5.7	<5.7	<5.7	18		1.40		< 0.12
	06/29/10		<220	<3.5	<4.1	<4.8	<4.8	<23										20	80	0.45	< 0.00022	<0.11
	11/16/10		<24 0 ³	<3.8	<4.5	<5.2	<5.2	<25										20	80	0.50	< 0.00024	<0.12
VP-13	07/24/09		<u>8,600B</u>	<3.6	200	<5.0	9		<9.4	<4.4	<4.0	<3.9	<4.7	<5.6	<5.6	<5.6	<5.6	15		0.16		26.00
	10/22/09		<95	<3.7	<4.4	<5.0	<5.0		<9.6	<4.5	<4.1	<4.0	<4.8	<5.7	<5.7	<5.7	<5.7	20		1.30		< 0.12
	06/29/10 11/16/10		<240 450^{3}	<3.8 <3.9	<4.4 <4 6	<5.1 <5.3	<5.1 <5.3	<25 <26										16 15	82 78	2.00 2.60	<0.00024	<0.12 4.70
	11/ 10/ 10		100		0.1-	-0.0		-20										10	.0	2.00	-0.00024	1.70
SFRWQCB ESLs ^a		29,000	29,000	280	180,000	3,300	58000 ⁴	240	53,000	2,900	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
SFKWQCB ESLs		1,400	1,400	14	8,800	160	2,900 ⁻	12	2,600	150	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE

SOIL VAPOR ANALYTICAL RESULTS FORMER SIGNAL OIL BULK PLANT (CHEVRON FACILITY 20-6127) 2301-2311 BLANDING AVENUE ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA

					Ethyl-	<i>m,p</i> -								Propyl-	Trimethy	4-Ethyl-					
	TPHd	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	benzene	Xylene	Naphthalene	Chloromethane	Bromomethane	Hexane	Cyclohexane	Heptane	Cumene	benzene	l-benzene	toluene	<i>O</i> ₂	N_2	<i>CO</i> ₂	CH_4	He
Vapor Well	Sample Date $(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^{3})$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^{3})$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	(µg/m ³)	$(\mu g/m^{3})$	$(\mu g/m^{3})$	$(\mu g/m^{3})$	$(\mu g/m^{3})$	(µg/m ³)	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^{3})$	$(\mu g/m^{3})$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)

Soil Vapor Probes

Abbreviations and Notes:

Bold = indicates that measured concentration exceeds the ESL for shallow soil gas under commercial/industrial land use.

<u>Underline</u> = indicates that measured concentration exceeds the ESL for indoor air under commercial/industrial land use adjusted by a factor of 100 to account for attenuation between sub-slab and indoor air. TPHd = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel by EPA Method TO-17

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline by EPA Method TO-3 (8/19/08) or TO-15 GC/MS

Volatile Organic Compounds by EPA Method TO-15

Oxygen (O₂₎, nitrogen (N₂), carbon dioxide (CO₂₎, methane (CH₄) and helium (He) by ASTM Method D-1946

NE = Not established

NS = Not sampled due to the presence of water in vapor well

B = Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit, background subtraction not per

^a = Environmental Screening Levels for shallow soil gas associated with potential vapor intrusion concerns at commercial/industrial sites (Table E, SFRWQCB, 2008).

^b = Environmental Screening Levels for indoor air under commercial/industrial land use adjusted by a factor of 100 to account for attenuation between sub-slab and indoor air (Table E, SFRWQCB, 2008).

¹ = Field duplicate sample

 2 = TPHg analysis by TO-15 APH

³ = Estimated value due to laboratory error

 4 = ESL is for total xylenes

< = Not detected at or above stated laboratory reporting limit

-- = Not analyzed

TABLE 1

SOIL VAPOR ANALYTICAL RESULTS FORMER SIGNAL OIL BULK PLANT (CHEVRON FACILITY 20-6127) 2301-2311 BLANDING AVENUE ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA

1,3,5-

INDOOR AND OUTDOOR AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS FORMER SIGNAL OIL BULK PLANT (CHEVRON FACILITY 20-6127) 2301-2311 BLANDING AVENUE ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA

		TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	m,p-Xylene	Naphthalene	<i>O</i> ₂	N_2	<i>CO</i> ₂	CH_4	He
Vapor Well	Sample Date					(µg/11	1 ³)					
TA_1	06/29/10	290	0.52	4 50	0.27	0.97	<4 0					
	$11/16/10^2$	220	1.70	7.70	0.61	2.20	<4.1	22	78	0.042	0.00021	<0.078
IA-2	06/29/10	490	0.57	5.20	2.30	8.3	<4.1					
	$11/16/10^2$	390	0.97	15.00	1.80	5.7	<4.4	22	78	0.048	0.00021	< 0.084
IA-3	07/09/10	110	0.39	1.80	0.27	0.92	<4.3	22	78	0.040	0.00019	< 0.082
	$07/9/10^3$	100	0.41	2.00	0.26	0.91	<4.3					
	$11/16/10^2$	530	4.20	35.00	6.00	23.00	<4.2	22	78	0.046	0.00021	< 0.081
IA-4	06/29/10	490	1.80	16.00	2.10	7.9	<4.0					
	$11/16/10^2$	200	0.77	4.40	0.74	2.5	<4.4	22	78	0.041	0.00020	< 0.084
OA-1	06/29/10	<160	0.24	0.78	0.15	0.48	<4.0					
	$11/16/10^2$	110	0.61	2.10	0.38	1.20	<4.1	22	78	0.043	0.00021	<0.078
SFRWQCB ESLs		14	0.14	88.00	1.60	29 ¹	0.12	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE

Abbreviations and Notes:

Bold = indicates that measured concentration exceeds the ESL for indoor air under commercial/industrial land use.

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline by EPA Method TO-15 GC/MS SIM.

Volatile organic compounds by EPA Method TO-15 GC/MS SIM.

Oxygen (O₂), nitrogen (N₂), carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄) and helium (He) by ASTM Method D-1946.

ESLs = Environmental Screening Levels associated with ambient and indoor air at commercial/industrial sites (Table E, SFRWQCB, 2008).

 1 = ESL is for total xylenes.

² = Samples analyzed by Modified TO-15 APH

³ = Field duplicate sample

NE = Not established.

< = Not detected at or above stated laboratory reporting limit.

-- = Not analyzed/not applicable.

COMPARISON OF SOIL VAPOR AND AIR SAMPLING ANALYTICAL DATA FORMER SIGNAL OIL BULK PLANT (CHEVRON FACILITY 20-6127) 2301-2311 BLANDING AVENUE ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA

					Sub-Slab S	oil Gas	
Enterprise Rent-	A-Car Suite	Indoo	or Air	Predicted	Predicted	Ac	tual
	Vapor Well	IA-1	IA-1	Based on Indoor	Based on Indoor	VP-9	VP-9
	Units ample Date	6/29/2010	11/16/2010	Air ¹	Air ²	6/29/2010	11/16/2010
TPHg	$(\mu g/m^3)$	290	220	29,000	22,000	<230	<250 ³
Benzene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	0.52	1.7	52	170	<3.6	<3.9
Toluene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	4.5	7.7	450	770	<4.3	<4.6
Ethylbenzene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	0.27	0.61	27	61	<4.9	<5.3
m,p-Xylene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	0.97	2.2	97	220	<5.0	<5.3
Naphthalene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	<4.0	<4.1	<400	<410	<24	<26

							Sub-Slab S	Soil Gas	
Mark's Paints Su	uite	Indoo	or Air	Predicted	Predicted		Ac	tual	
	Vapor Well	IA-2	IA-2	Based on Indoor	Based on Indoor	VP-7	VP-8	VP-7	VP-8
	Units Sample Date	6/29/2010	11/16/2010	Air ¹	Air ²	6/29/2010	6/29/2010	11/16/2010	11/16/2010
TPHg	$(\mu g/m^3)$	490	390	49,000	39,000	<240	310/340	<260 ³	<250 ³
Benzene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	0.57	0.97	57	97	<3.7	24/24	<4.1	<3.9
Toluene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	5.2	15	520	1,500	<4.3	71/70	<4.9	<4.6
Ethylbenzene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	2.3	1.8	230	180	<5.0	5.9/5.3	<5.6	<5.2
m,p-Xylene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	8.3	5.7	830	570	<5.0	47/44	<5.6	<5.2
Naphthalene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	<4.1	<4.4	<410	<440	<24	<25/<25	<27	<25

							Sub-Slab S	Soil Gas			
Empty Suite	_	Indo	or Air	Predicted	Predicted			Ac	tual		
	Vapor Well	IA-3	IA-3	Based on Indoor	Based on Indoor	VP-10	VP-11	VP-12	VP-10	VP-11	VP-12
	Units Sample Date	7/9/2010	11/16/2010	Air ¹	Air ²	6/29/2010	6/29/2010	6/29/2010	11/16/2010	11/16/2010	11/16/2010
TPHg	(µg/m ³)	110/100	530	11,000/10,000	53,000	<250	<240	<220	260^{3}	<260 ³	<240 ³
Benzene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	0.39/0.41	4.2	39/41	420	<3.8	<3.8	<3.5	<4.0	<4.0/<4.0	<3.8
Toluene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	1.8/2	35	180/200	3,500	<4.5	<4.5	<4.1	6.3	<4.7/<4.7	<4.5
Ethylbenzene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	0.27/0.26	6	27/26	600	<5.2	<5.1	<4.8	<5.4	<5.4/<5.4	<5.2
m,p-Xylene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	0.92/0.91	23	92/91	2,300	<5.2	<5.1	<4.8	<5.4	<5.4/<5.4	<5.2
Naphthalene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	<4.3/<4.3	<4.2	<430/<430	<420	<25	<25	<23	<26	<26/<26	<25

COMPARISON OF SOIL VAPOR AND AIR SAMPLING ANALYTICAL DATA FORMER SIGNAL OIL BULK PLANT (CHEVRON FACILITY 20-6127) 2301-2311 BLANDING AVENUE ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA

					Sub-Slab S	oil Gas	
Hansen Rigging	Suite	Indoo	or Air	Predicted	Predicted	Act	tual
	Vapor Well	IA-4	IA-4	Based on Indoor	Based on Indoor	VP-13	VP-13
	Units ample Date	6/29/2010	11/16/2010	Air ¹	Air ²	6/29/2010	6/29/2010
TPHg	$(\mu g/m^3)$	490	200	49,000	20,000	<240	450^{3}
Benzene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	1.8	0.77	180	77	<3.8	<3.9
Toluene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	16	4.4	1,600	440	<4.4	<4.6
Ethylbenzene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	2.1	0.74	210	74	<5.1	<5.3
m,p-Xylene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	7.9	2.5	790	250	<5.1	<5.3
Naphthalene	$(\mu g/m^3)$	<4.0	<4.4	<400	<440	<25	<26

Notes:

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline

 μ g/m³ = Micrograms per cubic meter < = Not detected at or above stated laboratory reporting limit.

¹ = Predicted sub-slab soil gas concentrations calculated by multiplying the measured June 2010 indoor air concentrations by an attenuation factor of 100, as indicated by Alameda County Health Care Services.

² = Predicted sub-slab soil gas concentrations calculated by multiplying the measured November 2010 indoor air concentrations by an attenuation factor of 100, as indicated by Alameda County Health Care Services.

APPENDIX A

REGULATORY CORRESPONDENCE

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES



ALEX BRISCOE, Agency Director

AGENCY

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

April 19, 2010

Mr. Mike Bauer Chevron Environmental Management Company 145 S. State College Blvd. Brea, CA 92821

Ms. Julie Beck Ball Mr. Peter Reinhold Beck 2720 Broderick Street San Francisco, CA 94123

Subject: SLIC Case No. RO0002466 and Geotracker Global ID T06019744728, Park Street Landing 2301-2337 Blanding Avenue, Alameda, CA 94501 – Revised Vapor Sampling Plan

Dear Mr. Bauer and Ms. Ball:

Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the Spills, Leaks, Investigations, and Cleanups (SLIC) case file for the above referenced site including the recently submitted document entitled, "*Revised Vapor Sampling Plan*," dated April 1, 2010. The document, which was prepared on Chevron's behalf by Conestoga-Rovers & Associates, proposes sampling the vapor wells and sub-slab vapor points twice (April and November) and concurrently conducting an indoor and outdoor air survey. The two sampling events would be in lieu of conducting quarterly vapor sampling for a period of one year. The two proposed vapor and indoor and outdoor air sampling events and methods are acceptable and may be implemented as proposed.

Our previous February 5, 2010 correspondence contained technical comments regarding sub-slab sampling methods, comparison of sub-slab vapor sampling results to ESLs, temporal variability of soil vapor sampling results, groundwater monitoring, and evaluation of shallow groundwater. The proposed vapor and indoor and outdoor air sampling generally addresses our comment regarding variability of soil vapor sampling results. The remaining technical comments in our February 5, 2010 remain applicable and are to be considered and addressed in future work.

TECHNICAL REPORT REQUEST

Please submit technical reports to Alameda County Environmental Health (Attention: Jerry Wickham), according to the following schedule:

- 30 days after end of each quarter Groundwater Monitoring Report
- May 12, 2010 Well Installation Report or Work Plan to Assess Potential Discharges to Alameda Canal
- July 23, 2010 Soil Vapor, Sub-slab, and Indoor Air Sampling Report

Mr. Mike Bauer Ms. Julie Beck Ball RO0002466 April 19, 2010 Page 2

If you have any questions, please call me at (510) 567-6791 or send me an electronic mail message at jerry.wickham@acgov.org.

Sincerely,

Jerry Widshow

Digitally signed by Jerry Wickham DN: cn=Jerry Wickham, o, ou, email=jerry.wickham@acgov.org, c=US Date: 2010.04.20 17:22:04 -07'00'

Jerry Wickham, California PG 3766, CEG 1177, and CHG 297 Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist

Enclosure: ACEH Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions

cc: Mr. Brian Silva, Conestoga-Rovers & Associates, 10969 Trade Center Drive, Suite 107, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670 (Sent via E-mail to: <u>bsilva@craworld.com</u>)

Mr. Monroe Wingate, C/o Alan Wingate, 18360 Carriger Road, Sonoma, CA 95476

Donna Drogos, ACEH (*Sent via E-mail to: <u>donna.drogos@acgov.org</u>)* Jerry Wickham, ACEH Geotracker, File

Attachment 1 <u>Responsible Party(ies) Legal Requirements/Obligations</u>

REPORT REQUESTS

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654, and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS

ACEH's Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of reports in electronic form. The electronic copy replaces paper copies and is expected to be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities. Instructions for submission of electronic documents to the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Program FTP site are provided on the attached "Electronic Report Upload Instructions." Submission of reports to the Alameda County FTP site is an addition to existing requirements for electronic submittal of information to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) GeoTracker website. In September 2004, the SWRCB adopted regulations that require electronic submittal of information for all groundwater cleanup programs. For several years, responsible parties for cleanup of leaks from underground storage tanks (USTs) have been required to submit groundwater analytical data, surveyed locations of monitoring wells, and <u>other</u> data to the GeoTracker database over the Internet. Beginning July 1, 2005, these same reporting requirements were added to Spills, Leaks, Investigations, and Cleanup (SLIC) sites. Beginning July 1, 2005, electronic submittal of a complete copy of all reports for all sites is required in GeoTracker (in PDF format). Please visit the SWRCB website for more information on these requirements (http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/ust/electronic_submittal/report_rqmts.shtml.

PERJURY STATEMENT

All work plans, technical reports, or technical documents submitted to ACEH must be accompanied by a cover letter from the responsible party that states, at a minimum, the following: "I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge." This letter must be signed by an officer or legally authorized representative of your company. Please include a cover letter satisfying these requirements with all future reports and technical documents submitted for this fuel leak case.

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION & CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The California Business and Professions Code (Sections 6735, 6835, and 7835.1) requires that work plans and technical or implementation reports containing geologic or engineering evaluations and/or judgments be performed under the direction of an appropriately registered or certified professional. For your submittal to be considered a valid technical report, you are to present site specific data, data interpretations, and recommendations prepared by an appropriately licensed professional and include the professional registration stamp, signature, and statement of professional certification. Please ensure all that all technical reports submitted for this fuel leak case meet this requirement.

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLEANUP FUND

Please note that delays in investigation, later reports, or enforcement actions may result in your becoming ineligible to receive grant money from the state's Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Senate Bill 2004) to reimburse you for the cost of cleanup.

AGENCY OVERSIGHT

If it appears as though significant delays are occurring or reports are not submitted as requested, we will consider referring your case to the Regional Board or other appropriate agency, including the County District Attorney, for possible enforcement actions. California Health and Safety Code, Section 25299.76 authorizes enforcement including administrative action or monetary penalties of up to \$10,000 per day for each day of violation.

Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC)	ISSUE DATE: July 5, 2005
	REVISION DATE: March 27, 2009
	PREVIOUS REVISIONS: December 16, 2005, October 31, 2005
SECTION: Miscellaneous Administrative Topics & Procedures	SUBJECT: Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions

The Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of all reports in electronic form to the county's ftp site. Paper copies of reports will no longer be accepted. The electronic copy replaces the paper copy and will be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities.

REQUIREMENTS

- Entire report including cover letter must be submitted to the ftp site as a single portable document format (PDF) with no password protection. (Please do not submit reports as attachments to electronic mail.)
- It is preferable that reports be converted to PDF format from their original format, (e.g., Microsoft Word) rather than scanned.
- Signature pages and perjury statements **must** be included and have either original or electronic signature.
- Do not password protect the document. Once indexed and inserted into the correct electronic case file, the document will be secured in compliance with the County's current security standards and a password.
 Documents with password protection will not be accepted.
- Each page in the PDF document should be rotated in the direction that will make it easiest to read on a computer monitor.
- Reports must be named and saved using the following naming convention: RO#_Report Name_Year-Month-Date (e.g., RO#5555_WorkPlan_2005-06-14)

Additional Recommendations

A separate copy of the tables in the document should be submitted by e-mail to your Caseworker in Excel format.
These are for use by assigned Caseworker only.

Submission Instructions

- 1) Obtain User Name and Password:
 - a) Contact the Alameda County Environmental Health Department to obtain a User Name and Password to upload files to the ftp site.
 - i) Send an e-mail to <u>dehloptoxic@acgov.org</u>
 - Or
 - ii) Send a fax on company letterhead to (510) 337-9335, to the attention of My Le Huynh.
 - b) In the subject line of your request, be sure to include "ftp PASSWORD REQUEST" and in the body of your request, include the Contact Information, Site Addresses, and the Case Numbers (RO# available in Geotracker) you will be posting for.
- 2) Upload Files to the ftp Site
 - a) Using Internet Explorer (IE4+), go to <u>ftp://alcoftp1.acgov.org</u>
 - (i) Note: Netscape and Firefox browsers will not open the FTP site.
 - b) Click on File, then on Login As.
 - c) Enter your User Name and Password. (Note: Both are Case Sensitive.)
 - d) Open "My Computer" on your computer and navigate to the file(s) you wish to upload to the ftp site.
 - e) With both "My Computer" and the ftp site open in separate windows, drag and drop the file(s) from "My Computer" to the ftp window.
- 3) Send E-mail Notifications to the Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs
 - a) Send email to <u>dehloptoxic@acgov.org</u> notify us that you have placed a report on our ftp site.
 - b) Copy your Caseworker on the e-mail. Your Caseworker's e-mail address is the entire first name then a period and entire last name @acgov.org. (e.g., firstname.lastname@acgov.org)
 - c) The subject line of the e-mail must start with the RO# followed by **Report Upload**. (e.g., Subject: RO1234 Report Upload) If site is a new case without an RO# use the street address instead.
 - d) If your document meets the above requirements and you follow the submission instructions, you will receive a notification by email indicating that your document was successfully uploaded to the ftp site.

APPENDIX B

PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION

PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION FORMER SIGNAL OIL MARINE STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION FACILITY 20-6127(CHEVRON 20-6127)

Site History

A Sanborn map dated 1897 showed the site as occupied by several residential structures and outbuildings; the southeast portion of the site was shown as occupied by a laundry facility and a blacksmith. From at least 1930 until approximately 1961, the northwestern portion of the site was occupied by a petroleum bulk plant operated by Signal Oil & Gas Company. Former bulk plant facilities consisted of one large and seven smaller gasoline aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) within concrete secondary containment, underground piping, an office building, a loading rack, and a small structure containing gasoline pumps (Figure 2). The northeast portion of the facility was shown as occupied by a structure identified as an auto garage and also used for paint storage on Sanborn maps dated between 1932 and 1950. A rail spur was shown to service the facilities on Blanding Avenue. The central portion of the site was shown as occupied by two structures identified as wholesale tires and a can warehouse. An additional larger structure was shown in the central portion of the site that was identified as vacant on the 1948 Sanborn map and as a ladder factory on the 1950 Sanborn map. Several structures appeared to be present in the southeast portion of the site in the 1939 aerial photograph. However, only one or two small sheds were shown in this area on the 1948 and 1950 Sanborn maps. In the 1958 aerial photograph, the ladder factory structure no longer appeared present and the southeast portion of the site appeared vacant and used for parking. Between 1957 and 1963, the buildings at the site were reportedly removed; it is assumed that the ASTs and piping were also removed at this time. In the 1965 aerial photograph, all the bulk plant facilities appear to have been removed and the majority of the site appears occupied by a construction materials yard with several small structures. Several additional structures also appear present in the southeast portion of the site. From 1973 to 1983, the northwestern portion of the site reportedly was used as a construction yard and for boat repair activities; and the southeastern portion was occupied by a restaurant, paved parking area, and a possible automobile sales lot. In 1987, the site was redeveloped with the current configuration.

1995 Soil and Groundwater Investigation

In February 1995, Geomatrix Consultants, Inc. (Geomatrix) advanced eight soil borings (SB-1 through SB-8) to approximately 10 feet below grade (fbg) in the northwestern portion of the site to evaluate if previous site uses had impacted soil and groundwater quality. Groundwater was not encountered in the borings. Two to three soil samples were collected at various depths from each boring for laboratory analysis. Nineteen samples were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) and diesel (TPHd); and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX). TPHg was detected in six of the samples at concentrations ranging from 4.0 to 2,000 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg). TPHd was detected in the majority of the samples at concentrations ranging from 10 to 250 mg/kg. BTEX were also detected in several of the samples (benzene up to 3.7 mg/kg). The highest concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons generally were detected in borings SB-2 and SB-4 located in the vicinity of the former ASTs and

gasoline pump, respectively, between 4 and 7 fbg. One sample from each boring (depths ranging from 0.5 to 3 fbg) was also analyzed for CAM 17 metals. The detected metals concentrations generally appeared to be within the range of natural background levels with the exception of slightly elevated arsenic in a few samples. Arsenic was detected in the samples collected at 1 fbg from borings SB-3, SB-4, and SB-6 at 68 mg/kg, 46 mg/kg, and 130 mg/kg, respectively. As a result, deeper samples collected from borings SB-3 (6.5 fbg) and SB-6 (8 fbg) were also analyzed for arsenic; arsenic was not detected in the sample collected from SB-3, but was detected at 2.5 mg/kg in the sample collected from SB-6. Based on these results, the soil impacted with arsenic appeared to be of limited vertical extent. Three soil samples (SB-4-7', SB-5-6', and SB-8-7') were also analyzed for VOCs, which were not detected. Based on the soil analytical results, a shallow groundwater survey was recommended to evaluate if groundwater had been impacted by petroleum hydrocarbons.

In April 1995, Geomatrix collected grab-groundwater samples from 10 shallow borings (GWS-7 through GWS-16) drilled to depths of 15 to 21.5 fbg at the site. Borings GWS-7 through GWS-12 were located in the northeastern portion of the site adjacent to Alameda Canal to evaluate if impacted groundwater was flowing toward the canal; based on an assumed groundwater flow direction toward the canal. Borings GWS-13 through GWS-15 were located on the southwest and northwest property boundaries in the assumed upgradient and perimeter crossgradient directions to evaluate the quality of groundwater coming onto the site. Boring GWS-16 was located to the northeast of the former ASTs and was drilled approximately 6 feet deeper than the remaining borings to evaluate deeper groundwater quality. The groundwater samples were analyzed for TPHg, BTEX, and TPHd; the samples were filtered by the laboratory to remove turbidity and a silica-gel cleanup was performed to remove non-petroleum organic matter prior to the TPHd analysis. TPHg was detected in the samples collected from borings GWS-8 through GWS-11 and GWS-16 at concentrations ranging from 70 (GWS-16) to 22,000 micrograms per liter (μ g/L) (GWS-9). TPHd was detected in the samples collected from borings GWS-8 through GWS-11 at concentrations ranging from 60 (GWS-8) to 1,200 µg/L (GWS-9). Benzene was detected in the samples collected from borings GWS-8 through GWS-10 and GWS-16 at concentrations of 36 µg/L, 6,200 µg/L, and 880 µg/L, respectively. Toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (up to $1,200 \mu g/L$) were also detected in several of the samples. The maximum concentrations were detected in boring GWS-9 located downgradient of the gasoline pump and loading rack. Petroleum hydrocarbons were not detected in the upgradient borings GWS-13 through GWS-15. The deeper sample (GWS-16) contained only low to trace hydrocarbon concentrations.

A black granular material was encountered in boring GWS-7 in the northern corner of the site from approximately 2.5 to 6 fbg. This material appeared similar to a small pile of black granular material observed on the northwestern property boundary that appeared to have originated from the adjacent property (a metal fabrication company). A sample of this material was collected and analyzed for TPHd, VOCs, semi-VOCs, and CAM 17 metals. An elevated concentration of copper (1,700 mg/kg) was detected in the sample. The detected concentration did not exceed the Total Threshold Limit Concentration (TTLC) of 2,500 mg/kg, which is the concentration above which a waste may be considered hazardous in California. The sample was also analyzed for soluble copper using the Waste Extraction Test (WET) method; which

was detected at 0.04 milligrams per liter (mg/L). The detected soluble lead concentration did not exceed the Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration (STLC) of 25 mg/L, which is also the concentration above which a waste may be considered hazardous in California. Details of this investigation were presented in the report titled *Soil Investigation and Shallow Groundwater Survey, Northwestern Portion of the Park Street Landing Site,* prepared by Geomatrix and dated September 1995.

1998 RBCA Tier 1 Evaluation

In July 1998, RRM, Inc. (RRM) performed a Tier 1 Risk-Based Corrective Action (RBCA) assessment to evaluate the potential health risks posed by residual petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater at the site. Based on the results, RRM recommended the collection of site-specific data to complete a Tier 2 RBCA evaluation; the identification of the beneficial uses of groundwater beneath the site; an evaluation of background water quality in Alameda Canal; and to provide evidence that biodegradation was reducing hydrocarbon concentrations. Details of this investigation were presented in the report entitled *Risk-Based Corrective Action (RBCA) Tier 1 Evaluation, Park Street Landing Site*, prepared by RRM and dated July 24, 1998.

1998 Soil and Groundwater Investigation

In October 1998, RRM performed an additional soil and groundwater investigation at the site. The purpose of the investigation was to

1) collect site-specific data to complete a Tier 2 RBCA evaluation; 2) identify the beneficial uses of groundwater beneath the site; 3) evaluate the background water quality in Alameda Canal; and 4) evaluate whether biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons was occurring beneath the site. Four additional borings (SB-9 through SB-12) were advanced to depths of 15 to 18 fbg during the investigation. A total of eight soil samples were collected at various depths from the borings and analyzed for TPHg, TPHd, BTEX, and methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE). TPHg was detected in the soil samples collected at 5 and 13 fbg from boring SB-9 (130 and 900 mg/kg, respectively); and in the sample collected at 6 fbg from boring SB-11 (140 mg/kg). TPHd was detected in the soil samples collected at 5, 13, and 15 fbg from boring SB-9 (3,300 mg/kg, 1,300 mg/kg, and 1.2 mg/kg, respectively); in the sample collected at 5.5 fbg from boring SB-10 (130 mg/kg); and in the sample collected at 6 fbg from boring SB-11 (60 mg/kg). BTEX (up to 3.3 mg/kg) were detected in the soil samples collected from borings SB-9 and SB-11; MTBE (using EPA Method 8020) was only detected in the sample collected at 13 fbg from boring SB-9 (12 mg/kg). Following the initial TPHd analysis, two rounds of silica gel cleanup followed by TPHd analysis were performed on the soil samples from boring SB-9. The detected TPHd concentrations were reduced after each round, indicating that biodegradation was occurring, and natural organic matter was present in the subsurface.

Grab-groundwater samples were collected from each boring and analyzed for TPHg, TPHd, BTEX, and MTBE. TPHg was only detected in the samples collected from borings SB-9 (14,000 μ g/L) and SB-11 (310 μ g/L). TPHd was detected in the samples collected from borings SB-9 (83,000 μ g/L), SB-10 (97 μ g/L), and SB-11 (270 μ g/L). Benzene and MTBE (using

EPA Method 8020) were only detected in the sample collected from boring SB-9 (1,400 and 260 μ g/L, respectively); the sample was re-analyzed for MTBE using EPA Method 8260, and MTBE was not detected. Toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (up to 630 μ g/L) were detected in the samples collected from borings SB-9 and SB-11. As with the soil samples, a silica-gel cleanup reduced the detected TPHd concentrations. Based on the depth to water in the borings, and the elevation of the borings, the groundwater flow direction was calculated to be northerly. Based on natural biodegradation indicator parameters in groundwater (dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, nitrate, and sulfate), it appeared that petroleum hydrocarbons were being degraded both aerobically and anaerobically; although it appeared that anaerobic processes dominated.

Three grab-water samples (CS-1 through CS-3) were collected from Alameda Canal (Figure 2) and analyzed for TPHg, TPHd, BTEX, and MTBE; which were not detected. Water level measurements were collected from the Alameda Canal and the four temporary wells placed in borings SB-9 through SB-12 to evaluate potential tidal influence on groundwater beneath the site. The fluctuations in borings SB-10 through SB-12 were minimal indicating that groundwater was tidally influenced to a limited degree in these areas. A more significant fluctuation was observed in SB-9; suggesting that groundwater in this area was tidally influenced, and tidal fluctuations would tend to stabilize the petroleum hydrocarbon plume in this area. Two concrete sea walls separated shallow groundwater beneath the site from canal water; likely causing the limited tidal influence. Based on the site data, relevant beneficial uses, and associated water quality parameters, the most applicable beneficial use of groundwater beneath the site was determined to be freshwater replenishment to surface water.

A well survey was performed for a ¹/₂-mile radius around the site. Nine wells were identified within the search radius (one recovery well, one irrigation well, five extraction wells, and two industrial wells). All the wells were either located up-gradient of the site or across the Alameda Canal. Based on the results of the Tier 2 RBCA evaluation, soil and groundwater petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations at the site did not exceed the site-specific target levels (SSTLs). Details of this investigation were presented in the report entitled *Soil and Groundwater Investigation Results, Former Signal Oil Marine Terminal*, prepared by RRM and dated May 7, 1999.

2000 Monitoring Well Installation

In December 2000 Gettler-Ryan Inc., under the supervision of Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc. (Delta), installed one groundwater monitoring well (MW-1) along the northeastern portion of the site adjacent to the Alameda Canal. Soil samples were collected at depths of 5, 10, and 15 fbg from the well boring and analyzed for TPHg, TPHd, BTEX, and MTBE. TPHg was only detected in the sample collected at 10 fbg (320 mg/kg). TPHd was only detected in the samples collected at 5 and 10 fbg (30 and 160 mg/kg, respectively). Low concentrations of BTEX were detected in all the samples; MTBE was not detected in any of the samples. The initial groundwater sample collected from the well contained TPHg, TPHd, and benzene at $5,210 \mu g/L$, $1,100 \mu g/L$, and $868 \mu g/L$, respectively. Details of this investigation were presented

in the report entitled *Monitoring Well Installation Report*, prepared by Delta and dated April 10, 2001.

2004 Soil Investigation

In January 2004, Cambria Environmental Technology, Inc. (Cambria) collected three surface soil samples (S1, S2, and S3) from the bank above the western shore of the Alameda Canal. Sample S2 was collected directly down-slope of well MW-1 near a water seep observed on the slope above the canal. Samples S1 and S3 were collected approximately 70 feet east and 90 feet north of well MW-1, respectively, to evaluate background concentrations. The three samples were analyzed for TPHg, TPHd, BTEX, and MTBE. TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE were not detected in any of the samples. TPHd was detected in samples S1, S2, and S3 at 14 mg/kg, 220 mg/kg, and 220 mg/kg, respectively. The laboratory chromatographs indicated that the hydrocarbon pattern observed in these soil samples was not typical of diesel fuel. Therefore, it was concluded the TPHd detections may have represented either highly-degraded diesel fuel from various historical onsite and nearby operations, or residual organic material of unknown origin present in local fill material. Details of this investigation were presented in the report entitled *Soil Sampling Report*, prepared by Cambria and dated February 18, 2004.

Based on generally decreasing petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in well MW-1 observed during quarterly monitoring, Cambria submitted a case closure request to ACEH dated January 10, 2006. In response to this request, and in a letter dated October 17, 2007, the ACEH requested the collection of additional data to substantiate the conclusion that petroleum hydrocarbons were not migrating and discharging into Alameda Canal. In addition, the potential for vapor intrusion was to be evaluated. Therefore, CRA prepared and submitted *Soil Boring and Vapor Point Installation Work Plan*, dated January 10, 2008. In a letter dated January 30, 2008, the ACEH approved the work plan, with several provisions.

2008 Site Investigation

In July 2008, CRA advanced six soil borings (SB-13 through SB-15 and SB-17 through SB-19) to a maximum depth of 16 fbg, and installed and sampled six permanent soil vapor wells (VP-1 through VP-6) to depths of 4.5 to 6 fbg. Soil boring SB-16 was cleared to 3 fbg but could not be completed due to refusal encountered at three locations (16A, B, and C). Soil boring SB-16 was cleared to 3 fbg but could not be completed due to refusal encountered at three locations (16A, B, and C).

Soil boring SB-16 was cleared to 3 fbg but could not be completed due to refusal encountered at three locations (16A, B, and C).

Soil analytical data indicated that the majority of TPHd and TPHg concentrations in soil are generally located in the area of and downgradient of the former ASTs. The highest concentrations were detected in boring VP-4 at 5 fbg. Relatively low concentrations of TPHd and TPHg were detected in the perimeter borings. Low concentrations of petroleum-related VOCs were also detected in the majority of the soil samples. The BTEX and VOC concentrations generally did not exceed the ESLs, with the exception of a few samples. Concentrations generally appeared to attenuate or were significantly reduced at 10 fbg. Generally, concentrations of metals were consistent with background levels and only exceeded
the ESLs in a few of the samples. Metals in shallow soil across the northwest portion of the site do not appear to be a result of former bulk plant operations. The metals do not appear to have impacted groundwater as only barium was detected in well MW-1.

The highest concentrations of hydrocarbons in groundwater were generally located downgradient of the former ASTs. TPHd, TPHg, and benzene were detected in downgradient boring SB-18 at 19,000 μ g/L, 3,800 μ g/L, and 590 μ g/L, respectively; but only at 1,600 μ g/L, 650 μ g/L, and 3 μ g/L, respectively, in boring SB-19 adjacent to the former large AST. Only relatively low concentrations of TPHd (up to 750 μ g/L) were detected in perimeter borings SB-13, SB-14, and SB-15; and as evidenced by the work performed by RRM, some or most of the detected TPHd may be due to natural organic matter. The extent of the impacted groundwater is well-defined by borings GWS-7, GWS-12 through GWS-15, SB-10 (following silica gel cleanup), and SB-12. Chlorinated solvents were not detected in any of the soil samples collected, and generally were not detected in the groundwater samples with the exception of low concentrations of TCE, cis-1,2-DCE, and vinyl chloride in the sample collected from boring SB-15 in the northeast corner of the site.

The highest hydrocarbon concentrations in soil gas were detected in vapor wells VP-4, VP-5, and VP-6 located in the area of the former ASTs. Significantly lower concentrations were detected in vapor wells VP-1 and VP-2 located downgradient of VP-4. Chlorinated solvents were not detected in the soil vapor samples. Additional details of this investigation are presented in CRA's report entitled *Site Investigation Report*, dated October 2008.

2009 Monitoring Well Installation and Sub-Slab Vapor Sampling

In June 2009, CRA installed monitoring wells MW-2 through MW-5 to total depths of 16 to 20.5 fbg in order to further evaluate groundwater quality beneath the site. The new monitoring wells were installed within the former ASTs (MW-3), and north (MW-5), south (MW-2), and east (MW-4) of the former ASTs. Soil analytical data indicated that the majority of TPHd and TPHg concentrations in soil are located north to south through the former ASTs and generally decreases with depth. The highest TPHd concentration detected was from well boring MW-3 at 4 fbg at a concentration of 610 mg/kg. The highest TPHg concentration detected was from well boring MW-2 at 4.5 fbg at 1,100 mg/kg. No petroleum hydrocarbons were detected in perimeter well boring MW-4. No grab-groundwater samples were collected.

CRA also installed sub-slab vapor points beneath the two western buildings at the site in order to further evaluate potential vapor intrusion beneath the buildings. Two sub-slab vapor points (VP-7 and VP-8) were installed inside 2317 Blanding Avenue and five sub-slab vapor points (VP-9 through VP-13) were installed inside 2307 Blanding Avenue. The highest hydrocarbon concentrations in soil gas were detected in vapor points VP-9 and VP-13, located west-southwest of the former ASTs. Lower concentrations were detected in vapor points VP-8, and VP-10 through VP-12. All detected concentrations were below the shallow soil gas ESL of 29,000 micrograms per cubic meter (μ g/m³). Target chlorinated solvents were not detected in the soil vapor samples. Additional details of this investigation are presented in CRA's *Well Installation and Sub-Slab Vapor Sampling Report*, dated September 8, 2009.

631916 (18)-APPB

2009 Vapor Sampling

In October 2009, CRA re-install and re-sample sub-slab vapor points VP-9 through VP-13 due to ambient air leaks detected during the initial sampling and to further evaluate the elevated soil vapor concentrations detected in vapor wells VP-1 through VP-6. The results of the re-sampling of the vapor wells VP-1 through VP-5 located outside of the buildings were consistent with previous results for vapor wells VP-3 through VP-5. However, results of the re-sampling of vapor wells VP-1 and VP-2 indicated no TPHg or benzene vapor concentrations at each of these locations, which is not consistent with the initial sample results from August 2008. Additional details of this investigation are presented in CRA's *Vapor Sampling Report*, dated December 2, 2009.

2010 Well Installation

In August 2010, CRA replaced well MW-1 with a more discretely screened well, MW-1RB, and installed wells MW-1RA and MW-6 to depths between 13 to 20 fbg to further evaluate shallow groundwater near Alameda Canal. Well MW-1RA and MW-1RB are located in the vicinity of former well MW-1 and MW-6 is located downgradient of well MW-5. Soil analytical data indicated that minor hydrocarbon impact to soil remains in the vicinity of MW-1 and generally decreases with depth. The highest TPHd and TPHg concentrations detected were from well boring MW-1RA at 10 fbg at a concentration of 260 mg/kg and at 13.5 fbg at 490 mg/kg, respectively. Only trace concentrations of hydrocarbons were detected in well boring MW-6. No grab-groundwater were collected from the well boring as the wells will be incorporated into the site's monitoring and sampling program. Additional details of this investigation are presented in CRA's *Well Installation Report*, dated September 29, 2010.

APPENDIX C

BUILDING SURVEY FORMS

	APPENDI	X K - BUILDING SURVEY FORM
	This form must be completed for eac	ch building involved in an indoor air investigation.
	Preparer's name TAN HULL	Date prepared 11/16/2010
	Preparer's affiliation CRA For	CHEVRON EMC
	Telephone number 510-420-	0700
	1. OCCUPANT	Name MARK'S PAINTS
		Address 2317 BLANDING AVE
		SUITES A-C
		City ALAMEDA
į		Home telephone number 510 - 522 - 0717
		Office telephone number
	2. OWNER OR LANDLORD	Name JULIE BALL, PETER BECK, TOTSY BECK (If different than occupant)
		Address P.O. Box 278 # 220
		MEADOW VALLEY ON 95956
		Telephone number
	A. Type of Building Construction	
	Type (circle appropriate responses):	Single Family Multiple Dwelling Commerciab
•	Ranch Raised Ranch Split Level Colonial Mobile Home Apartment Building: Other	Two-family Duplex Office Warehouse Strip Mall ems Number of Unit s 8
	Building Age	Number of stories ONE, VAULTED
	Area of the Building (square feet)	~G, 400
	Is the building insulated 2000 / no	How sealed is the huilding?
	is the building insulated (Ves) no	now sealed is the building: MODERTIE, BACK DO

State of CaliforniaDTSC / Cal - EPAVapor Intrusion Guidance Document Final InterimDecember 15, 2004
Condition of the elevator pits (sealed, open earth, etc.) NIA
General description of building construction materials METAL Wrop Der WALL
B. Foundation Characteristics (circle all that apply)
1. Full basement, crawlspace, slab on grade, other
2. Basement floor description: concrete, dirt, wood, other <u>v IA</u>
a. The basement is: wet, damp, dry
b. Sump present? yes / noWater in sump? yes / no
c. The basement is: finished, unfinished
d. Is the basement sealed? Provide a description
3. Concrete floor description: unsealed, painted, covered, with <u>TITE</u>
4. Foundation walls: poured concrete, block, stone, wood, other MENAL
5. Identify all potential soil gas entry points and their size (e.g., cracks, voids, pipes, utility ports, sumps, drain holes, etc.). Include these points on the building diagram.
C. Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (circle all that apply)
1. The type of heating system(s):
Hot Air Circulation Heat Pump
Hot Water Radiation Unvented Kerosene Heater
Steam Radiation Wood Stove
Electric Baseboard Other (specify)
2. The type of fuel used: Natural Gas, Fuel Oil, Electric, Wood, Coal, Solar
Other (specify)
3. Location of heating system: ABOVE FRONT POOM, NOT OFTEN USED
4. Is there air-conditioning? yes Ino Gentral Air or Window Units?

(i i

e of or <u>li</u>	California Di SC / Gal - Er A rusion Guidance Document – Final Interim December 15, 2004	
	Specify the location NIA	
5.	Are there air distribution ducts present? yes) no	
6.	Describe the supply and cold air return duct work including whether there is a cold air return and comment on the tightness of duct joints.	•
7.	NO COLD AVE RETURN Is there a whole house fan? yes / no What is the rated size of the fan?	
8.	Temperature settings inside during sampling. Note day and night temperatures. a. Daytime temperature(s) אסאב b. Nighttime temperature(s) אסאב (Note times if system cycles during non-occupied hours during the day)	
9	Estimate the average time doors and windows are open to allow fresh outside air into the building. Note rooms that frequently have open windows or doors. BACK PAINT MIXING ROOM OF NOTION ADDITION ALL NOTION A	0
P	orev poors/wwp.dvs otential Indoor Sources of Pollution	
1	Is the laundry room located inside the home? yes 100	
2	Has the house ever had a fire? yes 100	
2	Is there an attached garage? Ves / no	
	Is a vehicle normally parked in the garage?	
Z	Is there a kerosene heater present? yes 160	
Ę	Is there a workshop, hobby or craft area in the residence? (ves)/ no	
	An inventory of all products used or stored in the home should be performed. A products that contain volatile organic compounds or chemicals similar to the targ compounds should be listed. The attached product inventory form should be used this purpose.	וץ et or
	. Is there a kitchen exhaust fan? yes mo Where is it vented? NA	
	Is the stove gas or electric? <u>NIA</u> Is the oven gas or electric?	
). Is there an automatic dishwasher? yes /@	
	10. Is smoking allowed in the building? yes (no)	

i (a...

K - 3

Source of Water	•			
Public Water Drilled We	II Driven Well	Dug Well	Other (Specify)	
Water Well Specifications				
Well Diameter		Grouted o	or Ungrouted	<u>}</u>
Well Depth		Type of S	torage Tank	
Depth to Bedrock	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Size of SI	torage Tank	
Feet of Casing	·	Describe	type(s) of Treatment	
Water Quality Taste and/or odor problems	with water? yes	Ino If so,	describe	•
Is the water chlorinated, bro	ominated, or ozor	nated? ves	no	
How long has the taste and	/or odor problem	been presen	t? <u>NIA</u>	

F. Plan View

Sketch each floor and if applicable, indicate air sampling locations, possible indoor air pollution sources, preferential pathways and field instrument readings.

G. Potential Outdoor Sources of Pollution

Draw a diagram of the area surrounding the building being sampled. If applicable, provide information on the spill locations (if known), potential air contamination sources (industries, service stations, repair shops, retail shops, landfills, etc.), outdoor air sampling locations, and field instrument readings.

Also, on the diagram, indicate barometric pressure, weather conditions, ambient and indoor temperatures, compass direction, wind direction and speed during sampling, the locations of the water wells, septic systems, and utility corridors if applicable, and a statement to help locate the site on a topographical map.

K - 4

State of California
Vapor Intrusion Guidance Document – Final Interim

APPENDIX L -- HOUSEHOLD PRODUCTS INVENTORY FORM

Occupant of Building MARK'S PAINT

Address 2317 BLANDING AVE, SUITES A-C

City ALAMEDA, CALIFORMIA

Field Investigator TAN HULL Date 11/16/2010

		Field Instrument Reading	
PAINT		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
PAINT HARDENERS			
PAINT DIE			
PAINT THINNER			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
i			

Comments:

APPENDIX K - BUILDING SURVEY FORM

This form must be completed for ea	ach building involved in an indoor air investigation.				
Preparer's name TAN HULL	Date prepared 11/16/2016				
Preparer's affiliation CRA For	r citerron Emc				
Telephone number 510-420-	- 0700				
1. OCCUPANT	Name ENTERPRISE CAR RENTAL				
	Address 2307 BLANDING AVE				
	SUITE A				
	City ALAMEDA, CA				
	Home telephone number				
	Office telephone number (510) 523 - 7457				
2. OWNER OR LANDLORD	Name JULIE BELL, PETER AND BISY BECK (If different than occupant)				
	Address P.O. Box 278 #220				
	MEADOW WALLEY, CA 95956				
	Telephone number				
A. Type of Building Construction	<u>n</u>				
Type (circle appropriate responses): Single Family Multiple Dwelling Commercial				
Ranch Raised Ranch Split Level Colonial Mobile Home Apartment Building: Other	Two-family Duplex Office Warehouse Strip Mall Number of Units				
Building Age <u>Un Krown</u>	Number of stories				
Area of the Building (square feet)	~ 2,500				
Is the building insulated yes) no	How sealed is the building? MODERATELY				

× .	State of CaliforniaDTSC / Cal - EPAVapor Intrusion Guidance Document – Final InterimDecember 15, 2004
	Condition of the elevator pits (sealed, open earth, etc.) <u>VIA</u>
	General description of building construction materials METAL, MOOD, DRY WALL
	IN GOOD CONDITION
	B. Foundation Characteristics (circle all that apply)
	1. Full basement, crawlspace, slab on grade, other
	2. Basement floor description: concrete, dirt, wood, other
	a. The basement is: wet, damp, dry
	b. Sump present? yes no Water in sump? yes mo
	c. The basement is: finished, unfinished
	d. Is the basement sealed? Provide a description
	3. Concrete floor description unsealed, painted, covered, with
. (22	4. Foundation walls: poured concrete, block, stone, wood, other METAL
	5. Identify all potential soil gas entry points and their size (e.g., cracks, voids, pipes, utility ports, sumps, drain holes, etc.). Include these points on the building diagram.
	C. Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (circle all that apply)
. <u></u> <u>.</u>	1. The type of heating system(s):
	(Hot Air Circulation) Heat Pump
	Hot Water Radiation Unvented Kerosene Heater
	Steam Radiation Wood Stove
	Electric Baseboard Other (specify)
	2. The type of fuel used: Natural Gas, Fuel Oil, Electric, Wood, Coal, Solar
	Other (specify)
	3. Location of heating system: BACK Reom
	4. Is there air-conditioning? yes) no Central Air or Window Units?

Vapor Intrusion Guidance Document – Final Interim

Specify the location ______ BACK poon

- 5. Are there air distribution ducts present? (ves) no
- 6. Describe the supply and cold air return duct work including whether there is a cold air return and comment on the tightness of duct joints.
- 7. Is there a whole house fan? yes ho What is the rated size of the fan?
- 8. Temperature settings inside during sampling. Note day and night temperatures.
 - a. Daytime temperature(s) ~ 70° F
 - b. Nighttime temperature(s) <u>~ Gs[°]</u> ∉ (Note times if system cycles during non-occupied hours during the day)
- 9. Estimate the average time doors and windows are open to allow fresh outside air into the building. Note rooms that frequently have open windows or doors.
 FRONT FROM C 00% , BACK FROM 100% WHILE BUSINESS OPEN

D. Potential Indoor Sources of Pollution

- 1. Is the laundry room located inside the home? yes /no)
- 2. Has the house ever had a fire? yes / 6
- 2. Is there an attached garage? yes/ no
- 3. Is a vehicle normally parked in the garage? (ves)/ no
- 4. Is there a kerosene heater present? yes / 60
- 5. Is there a workshop, hobby or craft area in the residence? yes /
- 6. An inventory of all products used or stored in the home should be performed. Any products that contain volatile organic compounds or chemicals similar to the target compounds should be listed. The attached product inventory form should be used for this purpose.
- 7. Is there a kitchen exhaust fan? yes (no) Where is it vented?

Is the oven gas or electric? —

8. Is the stove gas or electric? <u>VIA</u>

9. Is there an automatic dishwasher? yes (no)

- 10. Is smoking allowed in the building? yes (no)
- 11. Has the house ever been fumigated or sprayed for pests? If yes, give date, type and location of treatment.

State <u>Vapo</u>	of California r Intrusion Guidance Document – Fin	al Interim			DTSC / Cal - EPA December 15, 2004	
E. <u>\</u>	Nater and Sewage (Circle the	e appropriate r	<u>esponse</u>)			
Ş	Source of Water					
. (Public Water Drilled Well	Driven Well	Dug Well	Other (Specify)		
١	Water Well Specifications	•				
	Well Diameter		Grouted o	r Ungrouted		
	Well Depth		Type of S	orage Tank		
	Depth to Bedrock		Size of St	orage Tank		
	Feet of Casing		Describe	ype(s) of Treatm	ient	
١	Water Quality					
	Taste and/or odor problems wi	th water? yes /(no) If so, c	lescribe		
	Is the water chlorinated, brominated, or ozonated? yes/ no					
.	How long has the taste and/or odor problem been present?					
;	Sewage Disposal: Public Se	ewer) Septic Ta	nk Leach F	ield Other (Spe	ecify)	
	Distance from well to septic sy	stem	Type of ser	otic tank additive	s	
F.	Plan View	i				

Sketch each floor and if applicable, indicate air sampling locations, possible indoor air pollution sources, preferential pathways and field instrument readings.

G. Potential Outdoor Sources of Pollution

Draw a diagram of the area surrounding the building being sampled. If applicable, provide information on the spill locations (if known), potential air contamination sources (industries, service stations, repair shops, retail shops, landfills, etc.), outdoor air sampling locations, and field instrument readings.

Also, on the diagram, indicate barometric pressure, weather conditions, ambient and indoor temperatures, compass direction, wind direction and speed during sampling, the locations of the water wells, septic systems, and utility corridors if applicable, and a statement to help locate the site on a topographical map.

State of California Vapor Intrusion Guidance Document – Final Interim I		
RODUCTS INVENTORY FOR	M	
AR RENTAL		
, SUITE A		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Date 11/1612	010	
Volatile Ingredients in the Product	Field Instrument Reading	
GA3		
1999 - 1999 	\	
SUDIUM HYDROXIDE		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•		
) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
·		
·		
	RODUCTS INVENTORY FOR REPENTAL SUITE A Date <u>II (IG 12</u> Volatile Ingredients in the Product GA3 SCOLUM HYPROXIDE	

CLEAN CARS IN BACK ROOM OFTEN

L - 1

State of California <u>Vapor Intrusion Guidance Document – F</u>	DTSC / Cal - E inal Interim December 15, 20
APPEN	NDIX K - BUILDING SURVEY FORM
This form must be completed for	each building involved in an indoor air investigation.
Preparer's name TAN HUL	Date prepared 11/16/2010
Preparer's affiliation CFA Fo	OR CHEVRON EMC
Telephone number <u>510 - 420</u>	<u>~ 0700</u>
1. OCCUPANT	Name EMPTY (SUITE E)
	Address 2307 BLANDING AVE
	SUTE E
	City <u>ALAMEDA</u> , CA
	Home telephone number
	Office telephone number
2. OWNER OR LANDLORD	Name JULIE BALL, PETER AND TOTSY BI
	Address P.O. Box 278 # 220
	MEADOW VALLEY, CA 95956
	Telephone number
A. Type of Building Construct	ion
Type (circle appropriate response	es): Single Family Multiple Dwelling Commercial
Ranch Raised Ranch Split Level	Two-family Duplex Office
Colonial Mobile Home Apartment Building Other	Warehouse Strip Mall Rms Number of Units 3 w/ BATTHEOOM
Building Age	Number of stories ONE VAULTED
Area of the Building (square feet)~1,800
Is the building insulated ves/ no	D How sealed is the building? NODERATE
Number of elevators in the buildi	ng 🖒

State of California Vapor Intrusion Guidance Document – Final Interim	DTSC / Cal - EPA December 15, 2004
Condition of the elevator pits (sealed, open earth, etc.)	
General description of building construction materials STEEL OR	1 PON WI
SHEETROOK	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
B. Foundation Characteristics (circle all that apply)	
1. Full basement, crawlspace, slab on grade, other	
2. Basement floor description: concrete, dirt, wood, other <u>NIA</u>	
a. The basement is: wet, damp, dry	
b. Sump present? yes / noWater in sump? yes / no _	
c. The basement is: finished, unfinished	
d. Is the basement sealed? Provide a description	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3. Concrete floor description: unsealed, painted, covered; with	RPFT
4. Foundation walls: poured concrete, block, stone, wood, other	ETAL
 Identify all potential soil gas entry points and their size (e.g., crack ports, sumps, drain holes, etc.). Include these points on the build 	<s, -<="" del="" pipes,="" utility="" voids,=""> ing diagram.</s,>
C. Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (circle all that apply)	
1. The type of heating system(s):	
Hot Air Circulation Heat Pump	
Hot Water Radiation Unvented Kerosene Heater	
Steam Radiation Wood Stove	
Electric Baseboard Other (specify)	
2. The type of fuel used: Natural Gas, Fuel Oil, Electric, Wood, Coa	al, Solar
Other (specify)	
3. Location of heating system: ABOVE BATH REOM	-
4 Is there air-conditioning? ves)/ no (Central Air or Window Unite	s?
T. Is there an conditioning year no Contraining of Window Office	~ ·

ø.,

BATTH ROOM Specify the location ABOVE

- 5. Are there air distribution ducts present? (yes) / no
- 6. Describe the supply and cold air return duct work including whether there is a cold air return and comment on the tightness of duct joints. IN GOOD CONDITION NO COLD AVE FETURN
- 7. Is there a whole house fan? yes / no ~o What is the rated size of the fan? -
- 8. Temperature settings inside during sampling. Note day and night temperatures.
 - a. Daytime temperature(s) 65 °F
 - b. Nighttime temperature(s) G5°F
 - (Note times if system cycles during non-occupied hours during the day)
- Estimate the average time doors and windows are open to allow fresh outside air into the building. Note rooms that frequently have open windows or doors. SHUT: BOTH ROOMS 100% OF THE

D. Potential Indoor Sources of Pollution

- 1. Is the laundry room located inside the home? yes (no)
- 2. Has the house ever had a fire? yes /no)
- 2. Is there an attached garage? yes (no)
- 3. Is a vehicle normally parked in the garage? yes / 6
- 4. Is there a kerosene heater present? yes 100
- 5. Is there a workshop, hobby or craft area in the residence? yes (no)
- 6. An inventory of all products used or stored in the home should be performed. Any products that contain volatile organic compounds or chemicals similar to the target compounds should be listed. The attached product inventory form should be used for this purpose.

7. Is there a kitchen exhaust fan? yes / no Where is it vented?

8. Is the stove gas or electric? NIA

Is the oven gas or electric?

9. Is there an automatic dishwasher? yes (no)

- 10. Is smoking allowed in the building? yes (no)
- 11. Has the house ever been fumigated or sprayed for pests? If yes, give date, type and location of treatment.

IN KNOWN

Sta Var	te of California or Intrusion Guidance Document – Final Interim			DTSC / Cal - EPA December 15, 2004	
E.	Water and Sewage (Circle the appropriate	response)	· · · · ·		
	Source of Water				
	Public Water Drilled Well Driven Well	Dug Well	Other (Specify)		
	Water Well Specifications			,	
	Well Diameter	Grouted o	or Ungrouted		
	Well Depth	Type of S	torage Tank		
	Depth to Bedrock	Size of St	orage Tank	<u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>	
	Feet of Casing	Describe	type(s) of Treatm	nent	
	Water Quality		· · · ·	··· ·· · ·	
Taste and/or odor problems with water? yes / 1 so, describe					
	Sewage Disposal: Public Sewer Septic 7	Fank Leach I	Field Other (Spe	ecify)	
	Distance from well to septic system	Type of se	ptic tank additive	S	

F. Plan View

Sketch each floor and if applicable, indicate air sampling locations, possible indoor air pollution sources, preferential pathways and field instrument readings.

G. Potential Outdoor Sources of Pollution

Draw a diagram of the area surrounding the building being sampled. If applicable, provide information on the spill locations (if known), potential air contamination sources (industries, service stations, repair shops, retail shops, landfills, etc.), outdoor air sampling locations, and field instrument readings.

Also, on the diagram, indicate barometric pressure, weather conditions, ambient and indoor temperatures, compass direction, wind direction and speed during sampling, the locations of the water wells, septic systems, and utility corridors if applicable, and a statement to help locate the site on a topographical map.

State of California Vapor Intrusion Guidance Document -- Final Interim

DTSC / Cal - EPA December 15, 2004

APPENDIX L – HOUSEHOLD PRODUCTS INVENTORY FORM

Occupant of Building EMPTY (GLEN HANSEN TEMP BOAT, MOTORCYCLE STURADE) Address 2307 BLANDING AUE, SUITE E City ALANEDA, CA

Field Investigator IAN HULL Date 11/16/2010

Product Description (commercial name, dispenser type, container size, manufacturer, etc.)	Volatile Ingredients in the Product	Field Instrument Reading	
· GASOLINE (IN ENGINES, TANKS	GASOLINE		
OF MOTOPCYCLES)		· ·	
O OTHER ENGINE FLUIDS IN			
MOTORCYLLES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

	<u></u>		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	1 		
	1		

TNO	MOTORCYLLES	STORED.	TRAILER WI	SAILING	EQUIP
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

4000	SAIL	BOATS	STORED	IN	BACK	Room	oF	SUITE	

State of Californ	nia			
Vapor Intrusion	Guidance	Document	– Final	Interim

APPENDIX K - BUILDING SURVEY FORM

This form must be completed for ea	ch building involved in an indoor air investigation.
Preparer's name TAN HULL	Date prepared 11/16/2010
Preparer's affiliation CRA For	e chevron Emc
Telephone number 510 - 420	- 0700
1. OCCUPANT	Name HANSEN RUGING
	Address 2307 BLANDING AVE
	SUITE G
	City ALAMEDA, CA
	Home telephone number $510 - 521 - 7027$
	Office telephone number
2. OWNER OR LANDLORD	Name JULIE BALL, PETER AND TOTSY BECK (If different than occupant)
	Address P.O. Box 278 #220
	MEADOW VALLEY, CA 95956
	Telephone number
A. Type of Building Construction	1
Type (circle appropriate responses)	: Single Family Multiple Dwelling Commercial
Ranch Raised Ranch Split Level Colonial Mobile Home Apartment Building: Other	Two-family Duplex Office Warehouse Strip Mall Number of Units
Building Age UN KN	Number of stories
Area of the Building (square feet)	3,000
Is the building insulated ves/ no	How sealed is the building? MOPERMELY
Number of elevators in the building	0

State of California	
Vapor Intrusion Guidance Document Final Inte	ərim

Condition of the elevator pits (sealed, open earth, etc.) NIA

General description of building construction materials METAL, WCOD, DRYWALL

B. Foundation Characteristics (circle all that apply)

- 1. Full basement, crawlspace, slab on grade, other
- 2. Basement floor description: concrete, dirt, wood, other <u>NIA</u>
 - a. The basement is: wet, damp, dry
 - b. Sump present? yes / no _____Water in sump? yes / ho ____
 - c. The basement is: finished, unfinished ____
 - d. Is the basement sealed? Provide a description
- 3. Concrete floor description: unsealed, painted, covered, with _____
- 5. Identify all potential soil gas entry points and their size (e.g., cracks, voids, pipes, utility ports, sumps, drain holes, etc.). Include these points on the building diagram.

C. <u>Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (circle all that apply)</u>

1.	The type of heating system(s):	
	(Hot Air Circulation	Heat Pump
	Hot Water Radiation	Unvented Kerosene Heater
	Steam Radiation	Wood Stove
	Electric Baseboard	Other (specify)
2.	The type of fuel used Natural G	as, Fuel Oil, Electric, Wood, Coal, Solar
	Other (specify)	
3.	Location of heating system:	V CEILING ABOVE BATTHEOON AND IN WORK AREA
Δ	Is there air-conditioning?	no Central Air or Window Units?

State of Califorr	nia		
Vapor Intrusion	Guidance	Document	Final Interin

Specify the location CELLING

- 5. Are there air distribution ducts present yes y no
- 6. Describe the supply and cold air return duct work including whether there is a cold air return and comment on the tightness of duct joints.

UNKNOW, IN CEILING

- 7. Is there a whole house fan? yes (no) What is the rated size of the fan? ----
- 8. Temperature settings inside during sampling. Note day and night temperatures.
 - a. Daytime temperature(s) ~ 65° F
 - b. Nighttime temperature(s) ~Gs°F
 - (Note times if system cycles during non-occupied hours during the day)
- 9. Estimate the average time doors and windows are open to allow fresh outside air into the building. Note rooms that frequently have open windows or doors.

N 20%, IF OPENED, FRONT DOORS AND REAR FOLL-UP DOOR ARE ONLY OBENING TO OUTSIDE AIR D. Potential Indoor Sources of Pollution

- 1. Is the laundry room located inside the home? yes (no)
- 2. Has the house ever had a fire? yes 100
- 2. Is there an attached garage? (es) / no
- 3. Is a vehicle normally parked in the garage? (ves) no
- 4. Is there a kerosene heater present? yes (no)
- 5. Is there a workshop, hobby or craft area in the residence? (yes) no
- 6. An inventory of all products used or stored in the home should be performed. Any products that contain volatile organic compounds or chemicals similar to the target compounds should be listed. The attached product inventory form should be used for this purpose.
- 7. Is there a kitchen exhaust fan? yes / (no) Where is it vented? NA

8. Is the stove gas or electric? NIA

Is the oven gas or electric? <u>NIA</u>

- 9. Is there an automatic dishwasher? yes (no)
- 10. Is smoking allowed in the building? yes (no)
- 11. Has the house ever been fumigated or sprayed for pests? If yes, give date, type and location of treatment.

UNKNOWN

Sta Vaj	te of California por Intrusion Guidance Document – Final Interim	DTSC / Cal - EPA December 15, 2004
E.	Water and Sewage (Circle the appropriate r	response)
	Source of Water	
	Public Water Drilled Well Driven Well	Dug Well Other (Specify)
	Water Well Specifications	
	Well Diameter MA	Grouted or Ungrouted
	Well Depth	Type of Storage Tank
	Depth to Bedrock	Size of Storage Tank
	Feet of Casing	Describe type(s) of Treatment
	Water Quality	
	Taste and/or odor problems with water? yes (no If so, describe
	Is the water chlorinated, brominated, or ozona	ited? yes no
	How long has the taste and/or odor problem b	een present?
	Sewage Disposal: Public Sewer Septic Ta	nk Leach Field Other (Specify)
	Distance from well to septic system	Type of septic tank additives

F. Plan View

Sketch each floor and if applicable, indicate air sampling locations, possible indoor air pollution sources, preferential pathways and field instrument readings.

G. Potential Outdoor Sources of Pollution

Draw a diagram of the area surrounding the building being sampled. If applicable, provide information on the spill locations (if known), potential air contamination sources (industries, service stations, repair shops, retail shops, landfills, etc.), outdoor air sampling locations, and field instrument readings.

Also, on the diagram, indicate barometric pressure, weather conditions, ambient and indoor temperatures, compass direction, wind direction and speed during sampling, the locations of the water wells, septic systems, and utility corridors if applicable, and a statement to help locate the site on a topographical map.

State of California Vapor Intrusion Guidance Document – Final Interim

APPENDIX L – HOUSEHOLD PRODUCTS INVENTORY FORM

Occupant of Building HANSEN RIGGING (SUITE G)

Address 2307 BLACPING AVE, SULTE G

City ALAMEDA

Field Investigator IA~ House Date 11/16/2010

Product Description (commercial name, dispenser type, container size, manufacturer, etc.)	Volatile Ingredients in the Product	Field Instrument Reading	
EPOXY			
ACETONE			
ISOPROPIL ALCOHOL			
SILICONES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
WD-40	·		
WELDING EQUIP		х 	
	:		

Comments:

.

APPENDIX D

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS



12/7/2010 Mr. Ian Hull Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA) 5900 Hollis Street Suite A Emeryville CA 94608

Project Name: Chevron 20-6127 Project #: 631916 Workorder #: 1011486A

Dear Mr. Ian Hull

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 11/19/2010 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Air Toxics Ltd. for your air analysis needs. Air Toxics Ltd. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Kyle Vagadori at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Kga Vych

Kyle Vagadori Project Manager



WORK ORDER #: 1011486A

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Ian Hull	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable
	Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA)		Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA)
	5900 Hollis Street		2055 Niagara Falls Blvd.
	Suite A		Suite Three
	Emeryville, CA 94608		Niagara Falls, NY 14304
PHONE:	510-420-0700	P.O. #	40-4031644
FAX:	510-420-9170	PROJECT #	631916 Chevron 20-6127
DATE RECEIVED:	11/19/2010	CONTACT	Kyle Vagadori
DATE COMPLETED:	12/06/2010	connen	Kyle v agadoli

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	TEST	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	IA-1	Modified TO-15	4.4 "Hg	5 psi
01B	IA-1	Modified TO-15	4.4 "Hg	5 psi
02A	IA-2	Modified TO-15	6.0 "Hg	5 psi
02B	IA-2	Modified TO-15	6.0 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IA-3	Modified TO-15	5.2 "Hg	5 psi
03B	IA-3	Modified TO-15	5.2 "Hg	5 psi
04A	IA-4	Modified TO-15	6.0 "Hg	5 psi
04B	IA-4	Modified TO-15	6.0 "Hg	5 psi
05A	OA-1	Modified TO-15	4.0 "Hg	5 psi
05B	OA-1	Modified TO-15	4.0 "Hg	5 psi
06A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06B	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07B	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08B	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

Continued on next page



WORK ORDER #: 1011486A

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Ian Hull Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA) 5900 Hollis Street Suite A Emeryville, CA 94608	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA) 2055 Niagara Falls Blvd. Suite Three Niagara Falls, NY 14304
PHONE:	510-420-0700	P.O. #	40-4031644
FAX:	510-420-9170	PROJECT #	631916 Chevron 20-6127
DATE RECEIVED:	11/19/2010	CONTACT:	Kyle Vagadori
DATE COMPLETED:	12/06/2010		ngro , ugudon

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	TEST	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
08BB	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

Sinda d. Fruman

DATE: <u>12/06/10</u>

Laboratory Director

Certification numbers: CA NELAP - 02110CA, LA NELAP/LELAP- AI 30763, NY NELAP - 11291, UT NELAP - 9166389892, AZ Licensure AZ0719 Name of Accrediting Agency: NELAP/Florida Department of Health, Scope of Application: Clean Air Act, Accreditation number: E87680, Effective date: 07/01/09, Expiration date: 06/30/11 Air Toxics Ltd. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Air Toxics Ltd.

> 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000. (800) 985-5955. FAX (916) 985-1020



LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Full Scan/SIM Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA) Workorder# 1011486A

Five 6 Liter Summa Canister (SIM Certified) samples were received on November 19, 2010. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the Full Scan and SIM acquisition modes. The method involves concentrating up to 1.0 liters of air. The concentrated aliquot is then flash vaporized and swept through a water management system to remove water vapor. Following dehumidification, the sample passes directly into the GC/MS for analysis.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
ICAL %RSD acceptance criteria	=30% RSD with 2<br compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD	For Full Scan: 30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD For SIM: Project specific; default criteria is =30% RSD with<br 10% of compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD
Daily Calibration	+- 30% Difference	 For Full Scan: <!--= 30% Difference with four allowed out up to</li--> <!--=40%.; flag and narrate outliers</li--> For SIM: Project specific; default criteria is <!--= 30% Difference with 10% of compounds allowed out up to </=40%.; flag and narrate outliers</li-->
Blank and standards	Zero air	Nitrogen
Method Detection Limit	Follow 40CFR Pt.136 App. B	The MDL met all relevant requirements in Method TO-15 (statistical MDL less than the LOQ). The concentration of the spiked replicate may have exceeded 10X the calculated MDL in some cases

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

The results for each sample in this report were acquired from two separate data files originating from the same analytical run. The two data files have the same base file name and are differentiated with a "sim" extension on the SIM data file.



A single point calibration for TPH referenced to Gasoline was performed for each daily analytical batch. Recovery is reported as 100% in the associated results for each CCV.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit.
- UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
- N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Summary of Detected Compounds MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

Client Sample ID: IA-1

Lab ID#: 1011486A-01A

Compound	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	16	54	64	220

Client Sample ID: IA-1

Lab ID#: 1011486A-01B

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	0.078	0.52	0.25	1.7
Toluene	0.031	2.0	0.12	7.7
Ethyl Benzene	0.031	0.14	0.14	0.61
m,p-Xylene	0.063	0.50	0.27	2.2
o-Xylene	0.031	0.17	0.14	0.74

Client Sample ID: IA-2

Lab ID#: 1011486A-02A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	17	96	69	390

Client Sample ID: IA-2

Lab ID#: 1011486A-02B

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	0.084	0.30	0.27	0.97
Toluene	0.034	3.9	0.13	15
Ethyl Benzene	0.034	0.42	0.14	1.8
m,p-Xylene	0.067	1.3	0.29	5.7
o-Xylene	0.034	0.45	0.14	2.0

Client Sample ID: IA-3

Lab ID#: 1011486A-03A



Summary of Detected Compounds MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

Client Sample ID: IA-3

Lab ID#: 1011486A-03A

Compound	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	16	130	66	530

Client Sample ID: IA-3

Lab ID#: 1011486A-03B

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	0.081	1.3	0.26	4.2
Toluene	0.032	9.4	0.12	35
Ethyl Benzene	0.032	1.4	0.14	6.0
m,p-Xylene	0.065	5.2	0.28	23
o-Xylene	0.032	1.8	0.14	7.6

Client Sample ID: IA-4

Lab ID#: 1011486A-04A

Compound	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	17	48	69	200

Client Sample ID: IA-4

Lab ID#: 1011486A-04B

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	0.084	0.24	0.27	0.77
Toluene	0.034	1.2	0.13	4.4
Ethyl Benzene	0.034	0.17	0.14	0.74
m,p-Xylene	0.067	0.57	0.29	2.5
o-Xylene	0.034	0.19	0.14	0.84

Client Sample ID: OA-1

Lab ID#: 1011486A-05A



Summary of Detected Compounds MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

Client Sample ID: OA-1

Lab ID#: 1011486A-05A

Compound	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	16	26	63	110

Client Sample ID: OA-1

Lab ID#: 1011486A-05B

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	0.078	0.19	0.25	0.61
Toluene	0.031	0.55	0.12	2.1
Ethyl Benzene	0.031	0.088	0.13	0.38
m,p-Xylene	0.062	0.28	0.27	1.2
o-Xylene	0.031	0.11	0.13	0.49



Client Sample ID: IA-1 Lab ID#: 1011486A-01A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

File Name:	a113012	Date	of Collection: 11/	16/10 5:52:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.57	Date	of Analysis: 11/3	0/10 05:10 PM
Compound	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
_ Naphthalene TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	0.78 16	Not Detected 54	4.1 64	Not Detected 220

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	97	70-130
Toluene-d8	102	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	88	70-130



Client Sample ID: IA-1 Lab ID#: 1011486A-01B MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	a113012sim Date of Collection: 11/16/10 5:52:00 1.57 Date of Analysis: 11/30/10 05:10 PM		6/10 5:52:00 PM /10 05:10 PM	
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	0.078	0.52	0.25	1.7
Toluene	0.031	2.0	0.12	7.7
Ethyl Benzene	0.031	0.14	0.14	0.61
m,p-Xylene	0.063	0.50	0.27	2.2
o-Xylene	0.031	0.17	0.14	0.74

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	100	70-130	
Toluene-d8	105	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	89	70-130	



Client Sample ID: IA-2 Lab ID#: 1011486A-02A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

File Name:	a113013	Date	e of Collection: 11/	/16/10 4:55:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.68	Date	e of Analysis: 11/3	0/10 06:05 PM
Compound	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Naphthalene	0.84	Not Detected	4.4	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	17	96	69	390

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	95	70-130
Toluene-d8	102	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	90	70-130



Client Sample ID: IA-2 Lab ID#: 1011486A-02B MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	a113013sim Date of Collection: 11/16/10 4:55:0 1.68 Date of Analysis: 11/30/10 06:05 P		6/10 4:55:00 PM /10 06:05 PM	
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	0.084	0.30	0.27	0.97
Toluene	0.034	3.9	0.13	15
Ethyl Benzene	0.034	0.42	0.14	1.8
m,p-Xylene	0.067	1.3	0.29	5.7
o-Xylene	0.034	0.45	0.14	2.0

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	99	70-130
Toluene-d8	105	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	91	70-130


Client Sample ID: IA-3 Lab ID#: 1011486A-03A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

File Name:	a113014	4 Date of Collection: 11/16/10 5:18:00 PM		
Dil. Factor:	1.62	2 Date of Analysis: 11/30/10 06:56 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Naphthalene	0.81	Not Detected	4.2	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	16	130	66	530

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	100	70-130
Toluene-d8	102	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	91	70-130



Client Sample ID: IA-3 Lab ID#: 1011486A-03B MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	a113014sim Date of Co 1.62 Date of Ar		e of Collection: 11/1 e of Analysis: 11/30	6/10 5:18:00 PM /10 06:56 PM
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	0.081	1.3	0.26	4.2
Toluene	0.032	9.4	0.12	35
Ethyl Benzene	0.032	1.4	0.14	6.0
m,p-Xylene	0.065	5.2	0.28	23
o-Xylene	0.032	1.8	0.14	7.6

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	102	70-130
Toluene-d8	105	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	92	70-130



Client Sample ID: IA-4 Lab ID#: 1011486A-04A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

٦

File Name:	a113015	a113015 Date of Collection: 11/16/10 5:18:00 PM		
Dil. Factor:	1.68	1.68 Date of Analysis: 11/30/10 07:36 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Naphthalene	0.84	Not Detected	4.4	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	17	48	69	200

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	97	70-130
Toluene-d8	101	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	90	70-130



Client Sample ID: IA-4 Lab ID#: 1011486A-04B MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	a113015sim Date of Collection: 11/1 1.68 Date of Analysis: 11/30/		6/10 5:18:00 PM /10 07:36 PM	
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	0.084	0.24	0.27	0.77
Toluene	0.034	1.2	0.13	4.4
Ethyl Benzene	0.034	0.17	0.14	0.74
m,p-Xylene	0.067	0.57	0.29	2.5
o-Xylene	0.034	0.19	0.14	0.84

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	100	70-130	
Toluene-d8	105	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	90	70-130	



Client Sample ID: OA-1 Lab ID#: 1011486A-05A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

File Name:	a113016	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 6:12:00 PM		
Dil. Factor:	1.55	Date of Analysis: 11/30/10 08:26 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Naphthalene	0.78	Not Detected	4.1	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	16	26	63	110

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	97	70-130	
Toluene-d8	102	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	92	70-130	



Client Sample ID: OA-1 Lab ID#: 1011486A-05B MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	a113016sim 1.55	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 6 Date of Analysis: 11/30/10 08		6/10 6:12:00 PM /10 08:26 PM
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	0.078	0.19	0.25	0.61
Toluene	0.031	0.55	0.12	2.1
Ethyl Benzene	0.031	0.088	0.13	0.38
m,p-Xylene	0.062	0.28	0.27	1.2
o-Xylene	0.031	0.11	0.13	0.49

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	99	70-130	
Toluene-d8	104	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	93	70-130	



Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 1011486A-06A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

File Name:	a113006	Date	of Collection: NA	0/10 11:51 AM
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date	of Analysis: 11/3	
Compound	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Naphthalene	0.50	Not Detected	2.6	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	10	Not Detected	41	Not Detected

Container Type: NA - Not Applicable

Surrogates	%Becovery	Method Limits
		70,400
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	94	70-130
Toluene-d8	102	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	91	70-130



Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 1011486A-06B MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	a113006sim 1.00	Date Date	of Collection: NA of Analysis: 11/3	0/10 11:51 AM
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	0.050	Not Detected	0.16	Not Detected
Toluene	0.020	Not Detected	0.075	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.020	Not Detected	0.087	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	0.040	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
o-Xylene	0.020	Not Detected	0.087	Not Detected

Container Type: NA - Not Applicable

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	96	70-130	
Toluene-d8	104	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	93	70-130	



Client Sample ID: CCV Lab ID#: 1011486A-07A

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

٦

File Name:	a113002	Date of Collec	tion: NA
DII. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analys	SIS: 11/30/10 08:36 AM
Compound			%Recovery
Naphthalene			68
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=10	00)		100
Container Type: NA - Not A	pplicable		
			Method
Surrogates		%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		102	70-130
Toluene-d8		101	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene		94	70-130



Client Sample ID: CCV Lab ID#: 1011486A-07B

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	a113002sim 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 11/30/10 08:36 AM
Compound		%Recovery
Benzene		94
Toluene		95
Ethyl Benzene		97
m,p-Xylene		92
o-Xylene		96

Container Type: NA - Not Applicable

••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	105	70-130	
Toluene-d8	104	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	96	70-130	



Client Sample ID: LCS Lab ID#: 1011486A-08A

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

٦

File Name:	a113003	Date of Collec	tion: NA
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analys	sis: 11/30/10 09:31 AM
Compound			%Recovery
Naphthalene			74
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=10	00)		Not Spiked
Container Type: NA - Not A	pplicable		
			Method
Surrogates		%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		105	70-130
Toluene-d8		103	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene		97	70-130



Client Sample ID: LCSD Lab ID#: 1011486A-08AA

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

٦

File Name:	a113004	Date of Collec	tion: NA
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analy	sis: 11/30/10 10:10 AM
Compound			%Recovery
Naphthalene			81
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=10	00)		Not Spiked
Container Type: NA - Not A	pplicable		
			Method
Surrogates		%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		102	70-130
Toluene-d8		103	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene		97	70-130



Client Sample ID: LCS Lab ID#: 1011486A-08B

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	a113003sim 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 11/30/10 09:31 AM
Compound		%Recovery
Benzene		96
Toluene		98
Ethyl Benzene		99
m,p-Xylene		94
o-Xylene		100

Container Type: NA - Not Applicable

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	108	70-130	
Toluene-d8	106	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	70-130	



Client Sample ID: LCSD Lab ID#: 1011486A-08BB

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM/FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	a113004sim 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 11/30/10 10:10 AM
Compound		%Recovery
Benzene		96
Toluene		98
Ethyl Benzene		100
m,p-Xylene		96
o-Xylene		101

Container Type: NA - Not Applicable

••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	104	70-130
Toluene-d8	107	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	70-130



Sample Transportation Notice

Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that sample is being shipped in compliance with 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B all applicable local, State, Federal, national, and international laws, regulations and ordinances of any kind. Air Toxics Limited assumes no liability with respect to the collection, handling or shipping of these samples. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Air Toxics Limited against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, or shipping of samples. D.O.T. Hotline (800) 467-4922

FOLSOM, CA 95630-4719 (916) 985-1000 FAX (916) 985-1020

Page 1 of 3

Project Manager BRIAN SILVA			Project Info:			Turn Around Lab Use Only		Only unized by:		
Collected by: (Print and Sign) TAN HULL	Buck		P.O. # 40-4031644			X Normal		Data	unzeu by.	
Company CRA Email by Noc	geraworld.	Com	Ducies	62191	1.		ich			
Address 10969 TRADE CENTER DE. City RANCIO CORQUA State	e <u>Ca</u> Zip <u>950</u>	570	Projeci	#	0		1911	Press	urization (jas:
Phone <u>916-889-8908</u> Fax <u>916-889-8</u>	1999		Project	Name <u>CHE</u>	URON 20-6127	s	pecify		N ₂ H	Э
		D	ate	Time			Canis	ter Pres	ssure/Vac	uum
Lab I.D. Field Sample I.D. (Location)	Can #	of Co	llection	of Collection	Analyses Reques	sted	Initial	Final	Receipt	Final (psi)
OLAB ID-1	25254	11/16	12010	17:52	FOR ALLON PAG	Е:	4-30	-6.5		
()2AB IA-2	33879		1	16:55	· TO-15 (SIM):		-29.5	-7.0		
0346 IA-3	35259			17:18	TPHO, BTEX, ,	JAPH-	-30	-7.0		
AUAB IFA - 4	5745			17:18	THALENE		2-30	-8.0		
ASAB CA - 1	34251		L	18-12	ASTM D-1946	2	2-30	-6.0		
					63 N. 60-	CIAL				
					HEILIA					
	<u></u>				I. CEIVIN					
						,				
Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time Rece Can Aferra II/17/200 0800 FE	l bived by: (signa DF⊁	l iture)	Date/Tin	ne	Notes:			1		nun di sense di sens L'internetta di sense
Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time Rece	eived by: (signa	iture) <u>(</u> Are	Date/Tin	$\frac{1}{21} \frac{1}{19} \frac{10}{10}$	4(5					
Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time Rece	eived by: (signa	iture)	Date/ I in	ne `						
Lab Shipper Name Air Bill #	7	Femp (°C)	Condition	າ Custody Se	eals Int	act?	Work	Order #	
Use Feder	og og sin som	NIA		Good	Yes No	0 (No	one	- 4 A	4 4 4 4	2
Only	t							1.0	114(ָ ָ ָ ט (

Form 1293 rev.11



MEMO

3/1/11

To: Brian Silva/Conestoga-Rovers & Assoc.

From: Kyle Vagadori/Project Manager/Air Toxics Ltd. CC: Phua Penney/Laboratory Director

Dear Mr. Silva,

This letter is an addendum to data we are reissuing for the Chevron 20-6127 (# 631916) project. Air Toxics work order 1011486B is being reissued to include data for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon referenced to gasoline (TPHg). TPHg data was requested per the chain of custody, however, the TPHg was not analyzed. We have started a lab corrective action investigation to address the system failure to prevent a reoccurrence.

We recognize that this error failed to meet our quality standards of excellence and in doing so impacted your ability to successfully evaluate your site. We want to take this opportunity to express how important it is for us to maintain our quality systems and therefore have initiated an internal investigation. The Quality Assurance Department will be instituting changes as a result of the investigation that will guard against future occurrences.

We appreciate the opportunity to continue supporting your media and analytical needs for this and future project. If you have any further questions or concerns please contact me directly at (916) 985-1000 x 1039.

Sincerely,

Kyle Vagadori Project Manager Air Toxics Ltd.





3/1/2011 Mr. Ian Hull Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA) 5900 Hollis Street Suite A Emeryville CA 94608

Project Name: Chevron 20-6127 Project #: 631916 Workorder #: 1011486BR1

Dear Mr. Ian Hull

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 11/19/2010 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 APH are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Air Toxics Ltd. for your air analysis needs. Air Toxics Ltd. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Kyle Vagadori at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Kga Vych

Kyle Vagadori Project Manager

Page 1 of 30



WORK ORDER #: 1011486BR1

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Ian Hull	BILL TO:	Accounts Paya	ble	
	Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA)		Conestoga-Rove	rs Associates (C	RA)
	5900 Hollis Street		2055 Niagara Fa	ılls Blvd.	
	Suite A		Suite Three		
	Emeryville, CA 94608		Niagara Falls, N	Y 14304	
PHONE:	510-420-0700	P.O. #	40-4031644		
FAX:	510-420-9170	PROJECT #	631916 Chevror	n 20-6127	
DATE RECEIVED:	11/19/2010	CONTACT	Kyle Vagadori		
DATE COMPLETED	: 12/06/2010	conner.	Kyle Vagadoll		
DATE REISSUED:	02/28/2011				
				RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	TEST		VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
06A	VP-2	Modified TO-1	15 APH	4.2 "Hg	15 psi
07A	VP-3	Modified TO-1	15 APH	4.6 "Hg	15 psi
08A	VP-4	Modified TO-1	15 APH	4.6 "Hg	15 psi
09A	VP-5	Modified TO-1	15 APH	3.4 "Hg	15 psi
10A	VP-5 DUP	Modified TO-1	15 APH	3.4 "Hg	15 psi
11A	VP-7	Modified TO-1	15 APH	6.6 "Hg	15 psi
12A	VP-8	Modified TO-1	15 APH	5.0 "Hg	15 psi
13A	VP-9	Modified TO-1	15 APH	5.2 "Hg	15 psi
14A	VP-10	Modified TO-1	15 APH	5.8 "Hg	15 psi
15A	VP-11	Modified TO-1	15 APH	5.8 "Hg	15 psi
16A	VP-11 DUP	Modified TO-1	15 APH	5.8 "Hg	15 psi
17A	VP-12	Modified TO-1	15 APH	4.6 "Hg	15 psi
18A	VP-13	Modified TO-1	15 APH	5.2 "Hg	15 psi
19A	TRIP BLANK	Modified TO-1	15 APH	27.8 "Hg	15 psi
20A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-1	15 APH	NA	NA
20B	Lab Blank	Modified TO-1	15 APH	NA	NA
21A	CCV	Modified TO-1	15 APH	NA	NA

Continued on next page



WORK ORDER #: 1011486BR1

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Ian Hull Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA) 5900 Hollis Street Suite A Emeryville, CA 94608	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA) 2055 Niagara Falls Blvd. Suite Three Niagara Falls, NY 14304
PHONE:	510-420-0700	P.O. #	40-4031644
FAX:	510-420-9170	PROJECT #	631916 Chevron 20-6127
DATE RECEIVED:	11/19/2010	CONTACT:	Kyle Vagadori
DATE COMPLETED:	12/06/2010	continent	Ryle vugudoli
DATE REISSUED:	02/28/2011		
FRACTION # NA	ME	<u>TEST</u>	RECEIPT FINAL <u>VAC./PRES.</u> <u>PRESSURE</u>

I Iulo IIol (#		TEST		Indobend
21B	CCV	Modified TO-15 APH	NA	NA
22A	LCS	Modified TO-15 APH	NA	NA
22AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15 APH	NA	NA
22B	LCS	Modified TO-15 APH	NA	NA
22BB	LCSD	Modified TO-15 APH	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

Sinda d. Fruman

DATE: 03/01/11

Laboratory Director

Certification numbers: CA NELAP - 02110CA, LA NELAP/LELAP- AI 30763, NY NELAP - 11291, UT NELAP - 9166389892, AZ Licensure AZ0719 Name of Accrediting Agency: NELAP/Florida Department of Health, Scope of Application: Clean Air Act, Accreditation number: E87680, Effective date: 07/01/09, Expiration date: 06/30/11 Air Toxics Ltd. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Air Toxics Ltd.

> 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000. (800) 985-5955. FAX (916) 985-1020



LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Std & Soil Gas Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA) Workorder# 1011486BR1

Fourteen 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on November 19, 2010. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the Full Scan mode. The method involves concentrating up to 1.0 liter of air. The concentrated aliquot is then flash vaporized and swept through a water management system to remove water vapor. Following dehumidification, the sample passes directly into the GC/MS for analysis.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Daily CCV	+- 30% Difference	= 30% Difference with two allowed out up to </=40%.;<br flag and narrate outliers
Sample collection media	Summa canister	ATL recommends use of summa canisters to insure data defensibility, but will report results from Tedlar bags at client request
Method Detection Limit	Follow 40CFR Pt.136 App. B	The MDL met all relevant requirements in Method TO-15 (statistical MDL less than the LOQ). The concentration of the spiked replicate may have exceeded 10X the calculated MDL in some cases

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

The recovery of surrogate 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 in sample VP-4 was outside control limits due to high level hydrocarbon matrix interference. Data is reported as qualified.

Dilution was performed on samples VP-4, VP-5 and VP-5 DUP due to the presence of high level non-target species.

A single point calibration for TPH referenced to Gasoline was performed for each daily analytical batch. Recovery is reported as 100% in the associated results for each CCV.

PER THE CLIENT'S REQUEST, THE WORK ORDER WAS RE-ISSUED ON 03/01/2011 TO REPORT TPH-G BY TO-15. WHILE THE INITIAL REPORT MET THE LABORATORY DATA QUALITY REQUIREMENTS FOR THE ORIGINALLY REQUESTED COMPOUNDS, THE TPH-G SINGLE POINT CALIBRATION WAS NOT ANALYZED ON 11/29/2010, THE Page 4 of 30



ORIGINAL DATE OF ANALYSIS FOR THESE SAMPLES. THE CALIBRATION FACTOR USED IN QUANTITATING THE TPH-G RESULTS ARE FROM A CALIBRATION POINT ANALYZED 12/2/2010. TPH-G RESULTS ARE FLAGGED AS ESTIMATED.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit.
- UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV and/or LCS.
- N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Summary of Detected Compounds MODIFIED METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client Sample ID: VP-2

Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-06A

No Detections Were Found.

Client Sample ID: VP-3

Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-07A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	240	84000	980	340000

Client Sample ID: VP-4

Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-08A

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	1200	260000	3800	830000
Toluene	1200	7900	4500	30000
Ethyl Benzene	1200	110000	5200	470000
m,p-Xylene	1200	10000	5200	44000
o-Xylene	1200	1400	5200	6200
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	24000	32000000	98000	13000000

Client Sample ID: VP-5

Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-09A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Benzene	380	3600	1200	11000
Toluene	380	430	1400	1600
m,p-Xylene	380	380	1600	1600
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	7600	4300000	31000	18000000

Client Sample ID: VP-5 DUP

Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-10A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Benzene	380	3800	1200	12000



Summary of Detected Compounds MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

Client Sample ID: VP-5 DUP

380	410	1400	1500
380	390	1600	1700
7600	4500000	31000	1800000
	380 380 7600	38041038039076004500000	380410140038039016007600450000031000

Client Sample ID: VP-7

Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-11A

No Detections Were Found.

Client Sample ID: VP-8

Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-12A

No Detections Were Found.

Client Sample ID: VP-9

Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-13A

No Detections Were Found.

Client Sample ID: VP-10

Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-14A

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Toluene	1.2	1.7	4.7	6.3
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	62	64 J	260	260 J

Client Sample ID: VP-11

Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-15A

No Detections Were Found.

Client Sample ID: VP-11 DUP

Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-16A

No Detections Were Found.



Summary of Detected Compounds MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client Sample ID: VP-12

Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-17A

No Detections Were Found.

Client Sample ID: VP-13

Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-18A

Compound	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	61	110 J	250	450 J

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-19A

No Detections Were Found.



Client Sample ID: VP-2 Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-06A MODIFIED METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112909 2.35	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 3:33:00 PM Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 01:31 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	3.8	Not Detected
Toluene	1.2	Not Detected	4.4	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	5.1	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.1	Not Detected
o-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.1	Not Detected
Naphthalene	4.7	Not Detected	25	Not Detected

	. ,	Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	98	70-130
Toluene-d8	89	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	89	70-130



Client Sample ID: VP-3 Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-07A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	b120413 2.39	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 4:15:00 PM Date of Analysis: 12/4/10 01:34 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	12	Not Detected	38	Not Detected
Toluene	12	Not Detected	45	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	12	Not Detected	52	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	12	Not Detected	52	Not Detected
o-Xylene	12	Not Detected	52	Not Detected
Naphthalene	48	Not Detected	250	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	240	84000	980	340000

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	126	70-130
Toluene-d8	108	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	94	70-130



Client Sample ID: VP-4 Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-08A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	b120414 239	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 2:58:00 PM Date of Analysis: 12/4/10 01:57 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	1200	260000	3800	830000
Toluene	1200	7900	4500	30000
Ethyl Benzene	1200	110000	5200	470000
m,p-Xylene	1200	10000	5200	44000
o-Xylene	1200	1400	5200	6200
Naphthalene	4800	Not Detected	25000	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	24000	32000000	98000	13000000

Q = Exceeds Quality Control limits of 70% to 130%, due to matrix effects.

	. ,	Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	167 Q	70-130
Toluene-d8	104	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	96	70-130



Client Sample ID: VP-5 Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-09A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	b120415 76.0	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 4:47:00 PM Date of Analysis: 12/4/10 02:34 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	380	3600	1200	11000
Toluene	380	430	1400	1600
Ethyl Benzene	380	Not Detected	1600	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	380	380	1600	1600
o-Xylene	380	Not Detected	1600	Not Detected
Naphthalene	1500	Not Detected	8000	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	7600	4300000	31000	18000000

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	127	70-130	
Toluene-d8	109	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	95	70-130	



Client Sample ID: VP-5 DUP Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-10A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	b120416 76.0	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 4:47:00 PM Date of Analysis: 12/4/10 03:15 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	380	3800	1200	12000
Toluene	380	410	1400	1500
Ethyl Benzene	380	Not Detected	1600	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	380	390	1600	1700
o-Xylene	380	Not Detected	1600	Not Detected
Naphthalene	1500	Not Detected	8000	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	7600	4500000	31000	18000000

	(10070 0011100)	Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	126	70-130	
Toluene-d8	109	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97	70-130	



Client Sample ID: VP-7 Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-11A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112915r1 2.59	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 10:56:00 A Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 05:03 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	1.3	Not Detected	4.1	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	1.3	Not Detected	5.6	Not Detected
Toluene	1.3	Not Detected	4.9	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	1.3	Not Detected	5.6	Not Detected
o-Xylene	1.3	Not Detected	5.6	Not Detected
Naphthalene	5.2	Not Detected	27	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	65	Not Detected	260	Not Detected

	(100/0 00111102)	Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	106	70-130	
Toluene-d8	91	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	70-130	



Client Sample ID: VP-8 Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-12A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

1

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112916r1 2.42	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 11:16:00 A Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 05:26 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	3.9	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	5.2	Not Detected
Toluene	1.2	Not Detected	4.6	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.2	Not Detected
o-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.2	Not Detected
Naphthalene	4.8	Not Detected	25	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	60	Not Detected	250	Not Detected

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	97	70-130
Toluene-d8	96	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101	70-130



Client Sample ID: VP-9 Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-13A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

1

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112917r1 2.44	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 11:52:00 A Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 05:45 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	3.9	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	5.3	Not Detected
Toluene	1.2	Not Detected	4.6	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.3	Not Detected
o-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.3	Not Detected
Naphthalene	4.9	Not Detected	26	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	61	Not Detected	250	Not Detected

	(Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	110	70-130	
Toluene-d8	96	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	103	70-130	



Client Sample ID: VP-10 Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-14A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

1

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112918r1 2.50	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 2:31:00 PM Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 06:07 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	4.0	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	5.4	Not Detected
Toluene	1.2	1.7	4.7	6.3
m,p-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.4	Not Detected
o-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.4	Not Detected
Naphthalene	5.0	Not Detected	26	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	62	64 J	260	260 J

J = Estimated value.

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	103	70-130
Toluene-d8	77	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101	70-130



Client Sample ID: VP-11 Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-15A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

1

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112919r1 2.50	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 1:44:00 PM Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 06:29 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	4.0	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	5.4	Not Detected
Toluene	1.2	Not Detected	4.7	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.4	Not Detected
o-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.4	Not Detected
Naphthalene	5.0	Not Detected	26	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	62	Not Detected	260	Not Detected

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	103	70-130
Toluene-d8	72	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	70-130



Client Sample ID: VP-11 DUP Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-16A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

1

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112920r1 2.50	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 1:44:00 PM Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 07:25 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	4.0	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	5.4	Not Detected
Toluene	1.2	Not Detected	4.7	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.4	Not Detected
o-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.4	Not Detected
Naphthalene	5.0	Not Detected	26	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	62	Not Detected	260	Not Detected

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	122	70-130	
Toluene-d8	78	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	70-130	



Client Sample ID: VP-12 Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-17A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112921r1 2.39	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 2:03:00 PM Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 07:48 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	3.8	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	5.2	Not Detected
Toluene	1.2	Not Detected	4.5	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.2	Not Detected
o-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.2	Not Detected
Naphthalene	4.8	Not Detected	25	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	60	Not Detected	240	Not Detected

	%Recovery	Method Limits
Surrogates		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	100	70-130
Toluene-d8	87	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	70-130


Client Sample ID: VP-13 Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-18A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112922r1 2.44	Date Date	of Collection: 11/2 of Analysis: 11/2	/16/10 10:06:00 A 9/10 08:09 PM
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	3.9	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	1.2	Not Detected	5.3	Not Detected
Toluene	1.2	Not Detected	4.6	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.3	Not Detected
o-Xylene	1.2	Not Detected	5.3	Not Detected
Naphthalene	4.9	Not Detected	26	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	61	110 J	250	450 J

J = Estimated value.

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	103	70-130	
Toluene-d8	108	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97	70-130	



Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-19A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

1

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112923r1 1.00	Date Date	of Collection: 11/ of Analysis: 11/2	/16/10 4:50:00 PM 9/10 08:28 PM
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	0.50	Not Detected	1.6	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.50	Not Detected	2.2	Not Detected
Toluene	0.50	Not Detected	1.9	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	0.50	Not Detected	2.2	Not Detected
o-Xylene	0.50	Not Detected	2.2	Not Detected
Naphthalene	2.0	Not Detected	10	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	25	Not Detected	100	Not Detected

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	105	70-130	
Toluene-d8	100	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	96	70-130	



Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-20A MODIFIED METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

1

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112908 1.00	Date Date	of Collection: NA of Analysis: 11/2	9/10 12:50 PM
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	0.50	Not Detected	1.6	Not Detected
Toluene	0.50	Not Detected	1.9	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.50	Not Detected	2.2	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	0.50	Not Detected	2.2	Not Detected
o-Xylene	0.50	Not Detected	2.2	Not Detected
Naphthalene	2.0	Not Detected	10	Not Detected

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	100	70-130	
Toluene-d8	111	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	96	70-130	



Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-20B MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	b120409 1.00	Date Date	of Collection: NA of Analysis: 12/4/	/10 11:36 AM
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Benzene	5.0	Not Detected	16	Not Detected
Toluene	5.0	Not Detected	19	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	5.0	Not Detected	22	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	5.0	Not Detected	22	Not Detected
o-Xylene	5.0	Not Detected	22	Not Detected
Naphthalene	20	Not Detected	100	Not Detected
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)	100	Not Detected	410	Not Detected

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	107	70-130
Toluene-d8	101	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	70-130



Client Sample ID: CCV Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-21A MODIFIED METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112902 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 09:15 AM	
Compound		%Recove	
Benzene		94	
Toluene		96	
Ethyl Benzene		103	
m,p-Xylene		106	
o-Xylene		107	
Naphthalene		91	

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	90	70-130
Toluene-d8	108	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	103	70-130



Г

Client Sample ID: CCV Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-21B MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	b120403 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 12/4/10 08:49 AM
Compound		%Recovery
Benzene		105
Toluene		105
Ethyl Benzene		104
m,p-Xylene		102
o-Xylene		101
Naphthalene		60
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)		100

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	105	70-130
Toluene-d8	101	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99	70-130



Client Sample ID: LCS Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-22A MODIFIED METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: 6112903 Dil. Factor: 1.00		Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 09:53 AM
Compound		%Recovery
Benzene		98
Toluene		95
Ethyl Benzene		98
m,p-Xylene		104
o-Xylene		105
Naphthalene		77

		Method		
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	110	70-130		
Toluene-d8	102	70-130		
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97	70-130		



Client Sample ID: LCSD Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-22AA MODIFIED METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: 6112904 Dil. Factor: 1.00		Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 10:26 AM
Compound		%Recovery
Benzene		94
Toluene		94
Ethyl Benzene		97
m,p-Xylene		97
o-Xylene		101
Naphthalene		87

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	94	70-130
Toluene-d8	108	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	70-130



Client Sample ID: LCS Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-22B MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

٦

File Name:b120404Dil. Factor:1.00		Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 12/4/10 09:11 AM			
Compound		%Recovery			
Benzene		107			
Toluene		108			
Ethyl Benzene		109			
m,p-Xylene		105			
o-Xylene		107			
Naphthalene		94			
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)		Not Spiked			

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	105	70-130
Toluene-d8	102	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101	70-130



Client Sample ID: LCSD Lab ID#: 1011486BR1-22BB MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

٦

File Name: b120405 Dil. Factor: 1.00		Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 12/4/10 09:41 AM			
Compound		%Recovery			
Benzene		107			
Toluene		108			
Ethyl Benzene		107			
m,p-Xylene		103			
o-Xylene		105			
Naphthalene		95			
TPH ref. to Gasoline (MW=100)		Not Spiked			

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	107	70-130
Toluene-d8	102	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	102	70-130



Sample Transportation Notice

Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that sample is being shipped in compliance with 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B all applicable local, State, Federal, national, and international laws, regulations and ordinances of any kind. Air Toxics Limited assumes no liability with respect to the collection, handling or shipping of these samples. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Air Toxics Limited against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, or shipping of samples. D.O.T. Hotline (800) 467-4922

FOLSOM, CA 95630-4719 (916) 985-1000 FAX (916) 985-1020

Page 2 of 3

Project Manager BRIAN SILVA			Project Info:			Turn Around Time:		Lab Use Only Pressurized by:			
Collected by: (Print and Sign) IAN HULL Can appear			P.O. #_40-4031644			🛛 Normal		Date: Pressurization Gas:			
Company CRA Email beilva@crowodd. Com			Project # 631 916								
Phone 910	107, SUITE 107 -899-8908 Fax 916-889-	8994		Project	t Name CHEV	fon 20-6127		pecify	N ₂ He		
			D)ate	Time			Canis	ter Pressure/Vacuum		
Lab I.D.	Field Sample I.D. (Location)	Can #	of Co	lection	of Collection	Analyses Reques	sted	Initial	Final	Receipt	Final (psi)
nl.A	IP-7_	9450	11/16	12010	15:33	FOR VP-2 THRU V	P-50P	-30	- 5,5		
OTA .	18-3	97105			16:15	· TO-15 (W) A FRACTIONS):	iph iphs,	2-30	-6.5		
OYA	12-4	93109			14:58	BTEX, NAPHTH ASTM 0-1946: C	ALERE 3.N.	-29,5	-6.0		
04A	18-5	37347			16:47	CO2, CH4, HEU	Um	2-30	-5,0		
104	1P-5 DUP	33395			16:47	1		2-30	-5.5		
CIA	VP-7	31756			10:56	FOR VP-7 THEN VI	P-105	-30	-8.0		
	18-8	37415			11:16	" TO-15 " TPHS	1	-30	-6-0		
13A	10-9	36471			11:52	BTEX, NAPHT	HALELE	2-30	-6.0		
INA V	18-10	35549	1		14:31	- ASTM D-194	6: 021	- 30	-7.0		
						ITELIUM	• -				
Relinquishe	ed by: (signature) Date/Time Red Afrace 11/17/2010 08:00 F	Ceived by: (signa	ature)	Date/Tin	ne	Notes:					
Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time Received by: (signature) Date/Time TSnon 15 Tutter ATC 11/19/10 9115											
Relinquishe	ed by: (signature) Date/Time Red	ceived by: (signa	ature)	Date/Tin	ne						
Lob	Shipper Name Air Bill #		Temp (°C)	Conditior	n Custody Se	eals Int	act?	Work	Order #	
	Lodpy		NA	+ 1	Frod	Yes N	0 (No	one	10	1148	; 6
Only 7	store p 1			\							

Form 1293 rev.11

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

130400336040000000

Sample Transportation Notice

Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that sample is being shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State. Federal, national, and international laws, regulations and ordinances of any kind. Air Toxics Limited assumes no liability with respect to the collection, handling or shipping of these samples. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Air Toxics Limited against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, or shipping of samples. D.O.T. Hotline (800) 467-4922

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA 95630-4719 (916) 985-1000 FAX (916) 985-1020

Page <u>3</u> of <u>3</u>

Project Manager BRIAN SILVA			Project Info:			Turn Around Time:		Lab Use Only Pressurized by:		
Collected by: (Print and Sign)			P.O. # 40-4031644			M Normal		Date:		
Company CRA Email bsilva@comorld.com			Project	# 621916	1	B	ish	Drocco	vrigation (2001
Address 10 969 TRADE CENTER DRCity RANCHO WEDDA State CA Zip 95676			Project	#_051110	3			Pressi	inzation v	<i>3</i> 85.
Phone <u>916-888-8908</u> Fax <u>916-88</u>	99-8999		Project	Name <u>CHEL</u>	1201 20-6127	sp	pecify	A failed of the second	N ₂ He	9
		D	ate	Time			Canis	ter Pres	sure/Vac	uum
Lab I.D. Field Sample I.D. (Location)	Can #	of Co	llection	of Collection	Analyses Reques	sted	Initial	Final	Receipt	Final (psi)
KA VE-11	37345	11/16	12010	13:44	FOR ALL ON PA	GE:	-30	-7.0		
LA VR- N DUP	34086			13:44	* 70-15: TPH3	6	2-30	-7.0		
127A 112-12	36491			14:03	BTEX , NAPHT	HALBE	2-30	-5.0		
NK 10-13	35629			10:06	· ASTA 0-1946:	0 ₂₁	2-30	-6.0		
IAX TRUE DI DUV	12279			16:50	No COD (Ha	Helium	-	er586		
										in a second s
Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time	Received by: (signa	ture)	Date/Tim	ne	Notes:					
Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time	Received by: (signa	iture)	Date/Tin	ne						
	Bran Whi	ttalz	er AT	c a 19/10	915					
Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time	Received by: (signa	iture)	Date/Tin	ne l						
Lah Shipper Name Air Bill #	t	Femp (°C)	Condition	n Custody Se	eals Int	act?	Work	Order #	
Use I and an		J (A		brood	Yes N	0	one	10	114	86
Only Takan I			1						<u> </u>	V



12/6/2010 Mr. Ian Hull Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA) 5900 Hollis Street Suite A Emeryville CA 94608

Project Name: Chevron 20-6127 Project #: 631916 Workorder #: 1011486D

Dear Mr. Ian Hull

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 11/19/2010 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified ASTM D-1946 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Air Toxics Ltd. for your air analysis needs. Air Toxics Ltd. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Kyle Vagadori at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Kga Vych

Kyle Vagadori Project Manager



WORK ORDER #: 1011486D

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Ian Hull	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable
	Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA)		Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA)
	5900 Hollis Street		2055 Niagara Falls Blvd.
	Suite A		Suite Three
	Emeryville, CA 94608		Niagara Falls, NY 14304
PHONE:	510-420-0700	P.O. #	40-4031644
FAX:	510-420-9170	PROJECT #	631916 Chevron 20-6127
DATE RECEIVED:	11/19/2010	CONTACT	Kyle Vagadori
DATE COMPLETED:	12/04/2010	contact.	Kyle vagadoli

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	TEST	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	IA-1	Modified ASTM D-1946	4.4 "Hg	5 psi
02A	IA-2	Modified ASTM D-1946	6.0 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IA-3	Modified ASTM D-1946	5.2 "Hg	5 psi
04A	IA-4	Modified ASTM D-1946	6.0 "Hg	5 psi
05A	OA-1	Modified ASTM D-1946	4.0 "Hg	5 psi
06A	VP-2	Modified ASTM D-1946	4.2 "Hg	15 psi
07A	VP-3	Modified ASTM D-1946	4.6 "Hg	15 psi
08A	VP-4	Modified ASTM D-1946	4.6 "Hg	15 psi
09A	VP-5	Modified ASTM D-1946	3.4 "Hg	15 psi
10A	VP-5 DUP	Modified ASTM D-1946	3.4 "Hg	15 psi
11A	VP-7	Modified ASTM D-1946	6.6 "Hg	15 psi
12A	VP-8	Modified ASTM D-1946	5.0 "Hg	15 psi
13A	VP-9	Modified ASTM D-1946	5.2 "Hg	15 psi
14A	VP-10	Modified ASTM D-1946	5.8 "Hg	15 psi
15A	VP-11	Modified ASTM D-1946	5.8 "Hg	15 psi
16A	VP-11 DUP	Modified ASTM D-1946	5.8 "Hg	15 psi
17A	VP-12	Modified ASTM D-1946	4.6 "Hg	15 psi

Continued on next page



WORK ORDER #: 1011486D

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Ian Hull Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA) 5900 Hollis Street Suite A Emeryville, CA 94608	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA) 2055 Niagara Falls Blvd. Suite Three Niagara Falls, NY 14304
PHONE:	510-420-0700	P.O. #	40-4031644
FAX:	510-420-9170	PROJECT #	631916 Chevron 20-6127
DATE RECEIVED:	11/19/2010	CONTACT:	Kyle Vagadori
DATE COMPLETED:	12/04/2010	00111011	iijie , ugudoli

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	TEST	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
18A	VP-13	Modified ASTM D-1946	5.2 "Hg	15 psi
19A	TRIP BLANK	Modified ASTM D-1946	27.8 "Hg	15 psi
20A	Lab Blank	Modified ASTM D-1946	NA	NA
20B	Lab Blank	Modified ASTM D-1946	NA	NA
21A	LCS	Modified ASTM D-1946	NA	NA
21AA	LCSD	Modified ASTM D-1946	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

Sinda d. Fruman

DATE: <u>12/04/10</u>

Laboratory Director

Certification numbers: CA NELAP - 02110CA, LA NELAP/LELAP- AI 30763, NY NELAP - 11291, UT NELAP - 9166389892, AZ Licensure AZ0719 Name of Accrediting Agency: NELAP/Florida Department of Health, Scope of Application: Clean Air Act, Accreditation number: E87680, Effective date: 07/01/09, Expiration date: 06/30/11 Air Toxics Ltd. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Air Toxics Ltd.

> 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000. (800) 985-5955. FAX (916) 985-1020



LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified ASTM D-1946 Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA) Workorder# 1011486D

Five 6 Liter Summa Canister (SIM Certified) and fourteen 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on November 19, 2010. The laboratory performed analysis via Modified ASTM Method D-1946 for Methane and fixed gases in air using GC/FID or GC/TCD. The method involves direct injection of 1.0 mL of sample.

On the analytical column employed for this analysis, Oxygen coelutes with Argon. The corresponding peak is quantitated as Oxygen.

Since Nitrogen is used to pressurize samples, the reported Nitrogen values are calculated by adding all the sample components and subtracting from 100%.

Requirement	ASTM D-1946	ATL Modifications
Calibration	A single point calibration is performed using a reference standard closely matching the composition of the unknown.	A 3-point calibration curve is performed. Quantitation is based on a daily calibration standard which may or may not resemble the composition of the associated samples.
Reference Standard	The composition of any reference standard must be known to within 0.01 mol % for any component.	The standards used by ATL are blended to a >/= 95% accuracy.
Sample Injection Volume	Components whose concentrations are in excess of 5 % should not be analyzed by using sample volumes greater than 0.5 mL.	The sample container is connected directly to a fixed volume sample loop of 1.0 mL on the GC. Linear range is defined by the calibration curve. Bags are loaded by vacuum.
Normalization	Normalize the mole percent values by multiplying each value by 100 and dividing by the sum of the original values. The sum of the original values should not differ from 100% by more than 1.0%.	Results are not normalized. The sum of the reported values can differ from 100% by as much as 15%, either due to analytical variability or an unusual sample matrix.
Precision	Precision requirements established at each concentration level.	Duplicates should agree within 25% RPD for detections > 5 X's the RL.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.



Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

There were no analytical discrepancies.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Seven qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicate as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit.
- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the detection limit.
- M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client Sample ID: IA-1

Lab ID#: 1011486D-01A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.16	22
Nitrogen	0.16	78
Carbon Dioxide	0.016	0.042
Methane	0.00016	0.00021

Client Sample ID: IA-2

Lab ID#: 1011486D-02A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.17	22
Nitrogen	0.17	78
Carbon Dioxide	0.017	0.048
Methane	0.00017	0.00021

Client Sample ID: IA-3

Lab ID#: 1011486D-03A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.16	22
Nitrogen	0.16	78
Carbon Dioxide	0.016	0.046
Methane	0.00016	0.00021

Client Sample ID: IA-4

Lab ID#: 1011486D-04A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount	
Compound	(%)	(%)	
Oxygen	0.17	22	
Nitrogen	0.17	78	
Carbon Dioxide	0.017	0.041	
Methane	0.00017	0.00020	



Client Sample ID: OA-1

Lab ID#: 1011486D-05A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.16	22
Nitrogen	0.16	78
Carbon Dioxide	0.016	0.043
Methane	0.00016	0.00021

Client Sample ID: VP-2

Lab ID#: 1011486D-06A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.24	18
Nitrogen	0.24	79
Carbon Dioxide	0.024	3.1

Client Sample ID: VP-3

Lab ID#: 1011486D-07A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.24	4.1
Nitrogen	0.24	87
Carbon Dioxide	0.024	8.1
Methane	0.00024	0.66

Client Sample ID: VP-4

Lab ID#: 1011486D-08A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.24	1.1
Nitrogen	0.24	43
Carbon Dioxide	0.024	12
Methane	0.00024	41
Helium	0.12	0.28



Client Sample ID: VP-5

Lab ID#: 1011486D-09A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.23	1.5
Nitrogen	0.23	82
Carbon Dioxide	0.023	16
Methane	0.00023	0.030

Client Sample ID: VP-5 DUP

Lab ID#: 1011486D-10A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.23	1.4
Nitrogen	0.23	82
Carbon Dioxide	0.023	16
Methane	0.00023	0.030

Client Sample ID: VP-7

Lab ID#: 1011486D-11A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.26	20
Nitrogen	0.26	79
Carbon Dioxide	0.026	0.50
Helium	0.13	0.54

Client Sample ID: VP-8

Lab ID#: 1011486D-12A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.24	19
Nitrogen	0.24	79
Carbon Dioxide	0.024	0.98
Helium	0.12	1.1



Client Sample ID: VP-9

Lab ID#: 1011486D-13A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.24	19
Nitrogen	0.24	80
Carbon Dioxide	0.024	1.2

Client Sample ID: VP-10

Lab ID#: 1011486D-14A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.25	18
Nitrogen	0.25	72
Carbon Dioxide	0.025	0.42
Helium	0.12	10

Client Sample ID: VP-11

Lab ID#: 1011486D-15A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.25	18
Nitrogen	0.25	80
Carbon Dioxide	0.025	1.7

Client Sample ID: VP-11 DUP

Lab ID#: 1011486D-16A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.25	18
Nitrogen	0.25	80
Carbon Dioxide	0.025	1.7

Client Sample ID: VP-12

Lab ID#: 1011486D-17A



Client Sample ID: VP-12

Lab ID#: 1011486D-17A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.24	20
Nitrogen	0.24	80
Carbon Dioxide	0.024	0.50

Client Sample ID: VP-13

Lab ID#: 1011486D-18A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Oxygen	0.24	15
Nitrogen	0.24	78
Carbon Dioxide	0.024	2.6
Helium	0.12	4.7

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab ID#: 1011486D-19A

	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(%)	(%)
Nitrogen	0.10	100



Client Sample ID: IA-1 Lab ID#: 1011486D-01A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112905 1.57	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 5:52:00 PM Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 08:51 AM	
Compound		Rpt. Limit	Amount (%)
		0.16	(,,,,
Oxygen		0.16	22
Nitrogen		0.16	78
Carbon Dioxide		0.016	0.042
Methane		0.00016	0.00021
Helium		0.078	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: IA-2 Lab ID#: 1011486D-02A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112906 1.68	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 4:55:00 PM Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 09:16 AM	
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)
Oxygen		0.17	22
Nitrogen		0.17	78
Carbon Dioxide		0.017	0.048
Methane		0.00017	0.00021
Helium		0.084	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: IA-3 Lab ID#: 1011486D-03A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112907 1.62	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 5:18:00 PM Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 09:40 AM	
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)
Oxygen		0.16	22
Nitrogen		0.16	78
Carbon Dioxide		0.016	0.046
Methane		0.00016	0.00021
Helium		0.081	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: IA-4 Lab ID#: 1011486D-04A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112908 1.68	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 5:18:00 PM Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 10:04 AM	
Compound		Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound		(%)	(%)
Oxygen		0.17	22
Nitrogen		0.17	78
Carbon Dioxide		0.017	0.041
Methane		0.00017	0.00020
Helium		0.084	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: OA-1 Lab ID#: 1011486D-05A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112909 1.55	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 6:12:00 PM Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 10:38 AM	
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)
Oxygen		0.16	22
Nitrogen		0.16	78
Carbon Dioxide		0.016	0.043
Methane		0.00016	0.00021
Helium		0.078	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: VP-2 Lab ID#: 1011486D-06A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112910 2.35	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 3:33:00 PM Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 11:01 AM	
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)
Oxygen		0.24	18
Nitrogen		0.24	79
Carbon Dioxide		0.024	3.1
Methane		0.00024	Not Detected
Helium		0.12	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: VP-3 Lab ID#: 1011486D-07A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112911 2.39	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 4:15:0 Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 11:25 A	
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)
Oxygen		0.24	4.1
Nitrogen		0.24	87
Carbon Dioxide		0.024	8.1
Methane		0.00024	0.66
Helium		0.12	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: VP-4 Lab ID#: 1011486D-08A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112912 2.39	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 2:58:00 P Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 11:52 AM	
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)
Oxygen		0.24	1.1
Nitrogen		0.24	43
Carbon Dioxide		0.024	12
Methane		0.00024	41
Helium		0.12	0.28



Client Sample ID: VP-5 Lab ID#: 1011486D-09A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112915 2.28	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 4:47:00 PM Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 01:05 PM	
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)
Oxygen		0.23	1.5
Nitrogen		0.23	82
Carbon Dioxide		0.023	16
Methane		0.00023	0.030
Helium		0.11	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: VP-5 DUP Lab ID#: 1011486D-10A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112916 2.28	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 4:47:00 PM Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 01:46 PM	
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)
Oxygen		0.23	1.4
Nitrogen		0.23	82
Carbon Dioxide		0.023	16
Methane		0.00023	0.030
Helium		0.11	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: VP-7 Lab ID#: 1011486D-11A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112918 2.59	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 10:56:00 A Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 02:40 PM	
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)
Oxygen		0.26	20
Nitrogen		0.26	79
Carbon Dioxide		0.026	0.50
Methane		0.00026	Not Detected
Helium		0.13	0.54



Client Sample ID: VP-8 Lab ID#: 1011486D-12A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112919 2.42	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 11:16:00 A Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 03:26 PM	
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)
Oxygen		0.24	19
Nitrogen		0.24	79
Carbon Dioxide		0.024	0.98
Methane		0.00024	Not Detected
Helium		0.12	1.1



Client Sample ID: VP-9 Lab ID#: 1011486D-13A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112920 2.44	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 11:52:00 A Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 03:51 PM	
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)
Oxygen		0.24	19
Nitrogen		0.24	80
Carbon Dioxide		0.024	1.2
Methane		0.00024	Not Detected
Helium		0.12	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: VP-10 Lab ID#: 1011486D-14A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112921 2.50	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 2:31:00 PM Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 04:15 PM	
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)
		0.25	18
Nitrogen		0.25	72
Carbon Dioxide		0.025	0.42
Methane		0.00025	Not Detected
Helium		0.12	10


Client Sample ID: VP-11 Lab ID#: 1011486D-15A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112922 2.50	Date of Colle Date of Anal	collection: 11/16/10 1:44:00 PM analysis: 11/29/10 04:40 PM		
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)		
Oxygen		0.25	18		
Nitrogen		0.25	80		
Carbon Dioxide		0.025	1.7		
Methane		0.00025	Not Detected		
Helium		0.12	Not Detected		



Client Sample ID: VP-11 DUP Lab ID#: 1011486D-16A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112923 2.50	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 1:44:00 Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 05:03 PM					
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)				
Oxygen		0.25	18				
Nitrogen		0.25	80				
Carbon Dioxide		0.025	1.7				
Methane		0.00025	Not Detected				
Helium		0.12	Not Detected				



Client Sample ID: VP-12 Lab ID#: 1011486D-17A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112924 2.39	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 2:03:00 F Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 05:35 PM				
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)			
Oxygen		0.24	20			
Nitrogen		0.24	80			
Carbon Dioxide		0.024	0.50			
Methane		0.00024	Not Detected			
Helium		0.12	Not Detected			



Client Sample ID: VP-13 Lab ID#: 1011486D-18A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112925 2.44	2925 Date of Collection: 11/16/10 10:06:00 2.44 Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 06:00 PM					
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)				
		0.24	15				
Nitrogen		0.24	78				
Carbon Dioxide		0.024	2.6				
Methane		0.00024	Not Detected				
Helium		0.12	4.7				



Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Lab ID#: 1011486D-19A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112926 1.00	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 4:50:00 P Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 06:28 PM				
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)			
Oxygen		0.10	Not Detected			
Nitrogen		0.10	100			
Carbon Dioxide		0.010	Not Detected			
Methane		0.00010	Not Detected			
Helium		0.050	Not Detected			



Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 1011486D-20A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112904 1.00	Date of Coll Date of Ana	ection: NA Iysis: 11/29/10 07:55 AM
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)
Oxygen		0.10	Not Detected
Nitrogen		0.10	Not Detected
Carbon Dioxide		0.010	Not Detected
Methane		0.00010	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 1011486D-20B NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112903b 1.00	Date of Colle Date of Ana	ection: NA ysis: 11/29/10 07:31 AM
Compound		Rpt. Limit (%)	Amount (%)
Helium		0.050	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: LCS Lab ID#: 1011486D-21A NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	9112902 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 06:45 AM
Compound		%Recovery
Oxygen		99
Nitrogen		100
Carbon Dioxide		99
Methane		99
Helium		95



Client Sample ID: LCSD Lab ID#: 1011486D-21AA NATURAL GAS ANALYSIS BY MODIFIED ASTM D-1946

File Name:9112927Dil. Factor:1.00		Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 06:50 PM
Compound		%Recovery
Oxygen		99
Nitrogen		101
Carbon Dioxide		99
Methane		95
Helium		100

Sample Transportation Notice Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that sample is being shipped in compliance with all applicable local. State, Federal, national, and international laws, regulations and ordinances of FOLSOM, CA 95630-4719 any kind. Air Toxics Limited assumes no liability with respect to the collection, handling or shipping of these samples. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Air Toxics Limited against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, or shipping of samples. D.O.T. Hotline (800) 467-4922

(916) 985-1000 FAX (916) 985-1020

Page 📘 of ろ

Project Manager BRIAN SILVA Collected by: (Print and Sign) FAP HULL Address Company CPA Email bsi hua@cranosid.com Address inside TRACE (FUTER DE City Reador Corport State (A Zip 95670				Proje	ct Info:	Turn Aroun Time:		Lab Use Only Pressurized by:			
				Projec	t#_ <u>63191</u>	ц <u>с</u> ,	Rush		Date: Pressurization Gas:		
Phone Ale-		889-8499		Projec	t Name_ <u>CHE</u>	SUPON 20-6127	spe	ecify		N ₂ H	е
	an her son and the second s		Ľ	Date	Time			Canis	ter Pres	ssure/Va	suum
Lab I.D.	Field Sample I.D. (Location)	Can #	¢ of Co	ollection	of Collection	Analyses Reques	sted	Initial	Final	Receipt	Final (psi)
0Å.		25251	+ 11/10	sterio	17:52	FOR ALLON PAG	· C .	$c \ge c$	-6.5		
(12A)	CA-2	2,2579	1		16:55	· 10-15 (SIM);		-29,5	-7.0.		
I NSA 🗆	A - 3	35250	<u>۸</u>		17:18	TENS, BIEX,	SAPH-	-30	-7.0		E Contraction of the second se
্রপ্র হ	FA - H	5745	2		17:18	THALFNE		6-30	~8,0		
55A C	A - 1	342.51	-		18-12	ASTM D-1946	57	6-30	-6.0	in the second	
	2					Oz. No. Co.	CHW.	:			
	•					HELIUM					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
Relinquished	d by: (signature) Date/Time	Received by: (si	gnature)	Date/Tin	ne	Notes:	-				
Relinquished	d by: (signature) Date/Time	Received by: (si	gnature) uttekke	Date/In	ne 	405					
Relinquished	d by: (signature) Date/Time	Received by: (si	gnature)	Date/Tir	ne						
Lab	Shipper Name Air Bi	11 #	Temp (°C)	Conditio	n Custody Se	eals Inta	ict?	Work	Order #	
Use Only	halley		AJ 142		- 6-35- S	Yes N	o <u>(No</u>	<u>ne ></u>	<u> </u>	011	486
		021157776759797777979777777777777777777777		-	na ann an an Airdine ann an Airdine	anna ghrenn a maraonn ann an san an ghrenn ann ann an Chronn ann ann an Chronn ann ann an Chròmadh ann an				For	m 1293 rev.1

Sample Transportation Notice

Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that sample is being shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, national, and international laws, regulations and ordinances of FOLSOM. CA 95630-4719 any kind. Air Toxics Limited assumes no liability with respect to the collection, handling or shipping of these samples. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Air Toxics Limited against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, or shipping of samples. D.O.T. Hotline (800) 467-4922

(916) 985-1000 FAX (916) 985-1020

Page 1 of 3

Project Manager BRIAN SILVA			Project Info:				Turn Around Time:		Lab Use Only Pressurized by:		
Collected by: (Print and Sign) TAN HULL	Herela		P.O. #_	40-4031	64	<u>ų </u>	🖾 No	ormal	Date:		a a a
Company CRA Email beitve	<u>elcranorld.</u> Ite CA Zip 95	<u>con</u>	Project	# 631916			🖵 Rush		Pressurization Gas:		
Phone 916-889-8905 Fax 916-889-	8994		Project	Name CHEU	fon	20-6127	sp	pecify		N ₂ H	Э
		D	ate	Time				Canis	ter Pres	sure/Vac	uum
Lab I.D. Field Sample I.D. (Location)	Can #	of Co	llection	of Collection		Analyses Reques	ted	Initial	Final	Receipt	Final (psi)
nlA VP-2	9450	11/16	2010	15:33	Fo	R VP-2 THRU VI	2-500	-30	- 55		
OTA Ve-2	97105			16:15		· TO-15 (W) A FRACTIONS) - 7	PH PH2	2-30	-6.5		
044 40 - 4	93109			14:58		BTEX, NAPHTH	あたい	-29,5	-6.0		
000 VP	37347			16:47		CO2, CH4, HEL	2	2-30	-5,0		
	23395			16:47				2-30	-5,5		
(1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	31756			10:56	For	2 VP-7 THEU VP	2-105	-30	-8.0		
	37415	· ·		11:16		· TO-15 - TPHS		-30	-6.0		
(1) 12 0	24471	+ }		11:52		BTEX, NAPHTI	HALEJE	2-30	-6.0		
134 00-9	366 40			14.31		* ASTM D-1941	5:021	- 30	-7.0		
144 14-10	//////				_ 	HELIUM	t				
Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time Ref Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time Ref Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time Ref	ceived by: (signa FEDEX ceived by: (signa Smou WTu ceived by: (signa	ature) ature) Hole ature)	Date/Tin Date/Tin A A-7C Date/Tin	$\frac{1}{100}$	5	Notes:	<u>ek i sitti ju</u>	<u></u>			<u>baar</u>
		, 									
Lab Shipper Name Air Bill #		Temp (°C)	Condition	n	Custody Se	eals Int	act?	Work	Order #	. /8
Use Feder		NF	+ (food		Yes No		oñe	10	1148	30
	and the second		a sugar sana katala kata sa sa			ويعمدونه المحافظ ومروع ومحافظ والمجروب ومحافظ المحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ		an a		1000-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00	1000

Sample Transportation Notice

Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that sample is being shipped in compliance with 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B all applicable local, State, Federal, national, and international laws, regulations and ordinances of any kind. Air Toxics Limited assumes no liability with respect to the collection, handling or shipping of these samples. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Air Toxics Limited against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, or shipping of samples. D.O.T. Hotline (800) 467-4922

FOLSOM, CA 95630-4719 (916) 985-1000 FAX (916) 985-1020

小田間

Page <u>}</u> of <u>}</u>

Project Manager BRINI SILVA			P	Project Info:				Around me:	d Lab Use Only Pressurized by:		
Collected by: (Print and Sign) TAM HULL				P.O. #	40-4031	644	_ 🖾 Normal		Date:		
Company CRA Email Disi Na Okraworld. Com					# 631916	3		ısh	Press	urization	Gas:
ddress <u>10</u>	969 TRADE CENTER DR. City RANCH CORDONA	_State_ <u>CA_</u> Zip <u>95</u>	<u>-676</u>	,		0		Ъс,		NI LI	10
hone <u>M</u>	6-884-8908 Fax 916-88	19-8999		Project	Name_CHEV	<u>1408 20-612 1</u>	Sj	pecify			
		0	Date	e	Time of Collection	Analyses Reale	sted	Canis	Einal	Sure/vat	Eina
Lab I.D.	Field Sample I.D. (Location)	Can #	of Collec	cuon		Analyses neque		mila	1 1/101	Tiecoipt	(psi)
KA	VP-II	37345	1116/20	9-0-R	13:44	FOR ALL ON PA	GEI	-30	-7.0		
1.1	VP-N DUP	24086	**************************************	-	13:44	• 10-15: 7015	4	2-30	-7.0	and the second	
1215	10-17 10-17	36491			14:03	BTEX, NAPP.	THALFAE	2-30	-5.0		
ALLEN SULTS		35629 -			10:06	* ASTA 0-1946:	02,	2-30	-6-0		
	V(-15	12279			16:50	no time to be	Holion	-1286	tagan .		
<u> (</u> 4N	IFIP BLANK		wednere		. <u> </u>	A stray of the damp's for 1 and 1	5				
			_	·							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
<u></u>									+		
							nyanggala Man <mark>aga Interasus</mark>				
Relinquis	hed by: (signature) Date/Time	Received by: (sign	ature) Da	ate/Tim	e	Notes:					
- Caral	Geres 11/17/2010 08:00	FEDEX	oturo) Do								
Relinquis	hed by: (signature) Date/Time	Received by: (sign	alure) De			en e					
Polinquie	hed by: (cignature) Date/Time	Received by: (sign	ature) Da	ate/Tim	<u> </u>	/1 \			-107		
rieninquisi	ned by (signature) - Date nine		,					والأر ويرجدون المرينيون			
Lab	Shipper Name Air Bill #	6	Temp (°C))	Conditio	n Custody S	eals In	tact?	Work	Order #	
	Level and in		NA	6	ncod	Yes N	Io (N	one	ñ ť	114	26
Only	<u>+ 19722</u>	<u></u>							L U	LII	0 -
										Foi	orm 1293 re

0



12/7/2010 Mr. Ian Hull Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA) 5900 Hollis Street Suite A Emeryville CA 94608

Project Name: Chevron 20-6127 Project #: 631916 Workorder #: 1011486C

Dear Mr. Ian Hull

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 11/19/2010 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 APH are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Air Toxics Ltd. for your air analysis needs. Air Toxics Ltd. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Kyle Vagadori at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Kga Vych

Kyle Vagadori Project Manager



WORK ORDER #: 1011486C

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Ian Hull	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable
	Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA)		Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA)
	5900 Hollis Street		2055 Niagara Falls Blvd.
	Suite A		Suite Three
	Emeryville, CA 94608		Niagara Falls, NY 14304
PHONE:	510-420-0700	P.O. #	40-4031644
FAX:	510-420-9170	PROJECT #	631916 Chevron 20-6127
DATE RECEIVED:	11/19/2010	CONTACT	Kyle Vagadori
DATE COMPLETED:	12/06/2010	connen	Kyle vagadoli

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
06A	VP-2	Modified TO-15 APH	4.2 "Hg	15 psi
06B	VP-2	Modified TO-15 APH	4.2 "Hg	15 psi
07A(cancelled)	VP-3	Modified TO-15 APH	4.6 "Hg	15 psi
07B(cancelled)	VP-3	Modified TO-15 APH	4.6 "Hg	15 psi
08A(cancelled)	VP-4	Modified TO-15 APH	4.6 "Hg	15 psi
08B(cancelled)	VP-4	Modified TO-15 APH	4.6 "Hg	15 psi
09A(cancelled)	VP-5	Modified TO-15 APH	3.4 "Hg	15 psi
09B(cancelled)	VP-5	Modified TO-15 APH	3.4 "Hg	15 psi
10A(cancelled)	VP-5 DUP	Modified TO-15 APH	3.4 "Hg	15 psi
10B(cancelled)	VP-5 DUP	Modified TO-15 APH	3.4 "Hg	15 psi
11A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15 APH	NA	NA
11 B	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15 APH	NA	NA
12A	CCV	Modified TO-15 APH	NA	NA
12B	CCV	Modified TO-15 APH	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

Sinda d. Fruman

DATE: <u>12/07/10</u>

Laboratory Director

Certfication numbers: CA NELAP - 02110CA, LA NELAP/LELAP- AI 30763, NY NELAP - 11291, UT NELAP - 9166389892, AZ Licensure AZ0719 Name of Accrediting Agency: NELAP/Florida Department of Health, Scope of Application: Clean Air Act, Accreditation number: E87680, Effective date: 07/01/09, Expiration date: 06/30/11 Air Toxics Ltd. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Air Toxics Ltd.

> 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000. (800) 985-5955. FAX (916) 985-1020



LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 & VPH Fractions Conestoga-Rovers Associates (CRA) Workorder# 1011486C

Five 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on November 19, 2010. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 and Air Toxics VPH (Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbon) methods for the Determination of VPH Fractions using GC/MS in the full scan mode. The method involves concentrating up to 0.5 liters of air. The concentrated aliquot is then flash vaporized and swept through a water management system to remove water vapor. Following dehumidification, the sample passes directly into the GC/MS for analysis. This method is designed to measure gaseous phase aliphatic and aromatic compounds in ambient air and soil gas collected in stainless steel Summa canisters. Air Toxics VPH method is a hybrid of EPA TO-15, MADEP APH and WSDE VPH methods. Chromatographic peaks were identified via mass spectrum as either aliphatic or aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons and included in the appropriate range as defined by the method. The volatile Aliphatic hydrocarbons are collectively quantified within the C5 to C6 range, C6 to C8 range, C8 to C10 range and the C10 to C12 range. Additionally, the volatile Aromatic hydrocarbons are collectively quantified within the C5 to C6 range refer to the equivalent carbon (EC) ranges.

Aliphatic data is calculated from the Total Ion chromatogram which has been reprocessed in a duplicate file differentiated from the original by the addition of an alphanumeric extension. The Aromatic calculation also uses the information contained in the associated Extracted Ion file.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

There were no analytical discrepancies.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit.
- UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
- N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.



File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Summary of Detected Compounds MODIFIED METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client Sample ID: VP-2

Lab ID#: 1011486C-06A No Detections Were Found.

Client Sample ID: VP-2

Lab ID#: 1011486C-06B No Detections Were Found.



Client Sample ID: VP-2 Lab ID#: 1011486C-06A MODIFIED METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112909a 2.35	Date Date	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 3:33:00 PM Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 01:31 PM				
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)			
C5-C6 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons (ref. to Pentane + Hexane)	24	Not Detected	76	Not Detected			
>C6-C8 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons (ref. to Heptane)	24	Not Detected	96	Not Detected			
>C8-C10 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons (ref. to Decane)	24	Not Detected	140	Not Detected			
>C10-C12 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons (ref. to Dodecane)	24	Not Detected	160	Not Detected			



Client Sample ID: VP-2 Lab ID#: 1011486C-06B MODIFIED METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

1

File Name:	6112909c	Date	Date of Collection: 11/16/10 3:33:00 PM			
Dil. Factor:	2.35	Date	Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 01:31 PM			
Compound	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount		
	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)		
>C8-C10 Aromatic Hydrocarbons (ref. to 1,2,3-TMB)	24	Not Detected	120	Not Detected		
>C10-C12 Aromatic Hydrocarbons (ref. to 1,2,4,5-TMB)	24	Not Detected	130	Not Detected		



Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 1011486C-11A MODIFIED METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

1

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112908a Date of Collection: NA 1.00 Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 12:			
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
C5-C6 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons (ref. to Pentane + Hexane)	10	Not Detected	32	Not Detected
>C6-C8 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons (ref. to Heptane)	10	Not Detected	41	Not Detected
>C8-C10 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons (ref. to Decane)	10	Not Detected	58	Not Detected
>C10-C12 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons (ref. to Dodecane)	10	Not Detected	70	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 1011486C-11B MODIFIED METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

1

File Name:	6112908c	Date	Date of Collection: NA			
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date	Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 12:50 PM			
Compound	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount		
	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)		
>C8-C10 Aromatic Hydrocarbons (ref. to 1,2,3-TMB)	10	Not Detected	49	Not Detected		
>C10-C12 Aromatic Hydrocarbons (ref. to 1,2,4,5-TMB)	10	Not Detected	55	Not Detected		



Client Sample ID: CCV Lab ID#: 1011486C-12A MODIFIED METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112905a 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 11:00 AM		
Compound		%Recovery		
C5-C6 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons (ref. to Pentane + Hexane) >C6-C8 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons (ref. to Heptane) >C8-C10 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons		87 103 91		
(ref. to Decane) >C10-C12 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons (ref. to Dodecane)		83		



Client Sample ID: CCV Lab ID#: 1011486C-12B MODIFIED METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

-

File Name: Dil. Factor:	6112905c 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 11/29/10 11:00 AM
Compound		%Recovery
>C8-C10 Aromatic Hydrod (ref. to 1,2,3-TMB) >C10-C12 Aromatic Hydro (ref. to 1,2,4,5-TMB)	carbons	81 84
Container Type: NA - No	t Applicable	

Page 11 of 11

Sample Transportation Notice

Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that sample is being shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, national, and international laws, regulations and ordinances of any kind. Air Toxics Limited assumes no liability with respect to the collection, handling or shipping (916) 985-1000 FAX (916) 985-1020 of these samples. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Air Toxics Limited against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, or shipping of samples. D.O.T. Hotline (800) 467-4922

(916) 985-1000 FAX (916) 985-1020

Page ____ of ____

Project Mana	ager REIAN SILVA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Proje	ct Info:		Turn	Around me:	Lab Use Press	<i>Only</i> urized by:	
Collected by: (Print and Sign) TAN HUH			P.O. # 40- 402 1644			🖾 Normal		Date:			
Company <u></u>		Chata de Zin d	name i maj je	Project # 631 916			🖵 Rush		Pressurization Gas:		
Phone 916	-BAR-BACA FACE CITY ED TO 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2	<u>_ Siale <u>CA</u>_ Zip <u>_</u></u>	<u>1>6 F9</u> .	Projec	t Name <u>CHEU</u>	for 20-61277		pecify		N ₂ H	е
				Date	Time			Canis	ter Pres	ssure/Vac	cuum
Lab I.D.	Field Sample I.D. (Location)	Can #	of C	ollection	of Collection	Analyses Reques	sted	Initial	Final	Receipt	Final (psi)
MABY	C-7	9450	4116	12010	15:33	For VP-2 THEU V	(-= 04	-30	- 55	2	
JAR V	¥-7	97105			16:15	- TO-15 CV/ /	YC N YC Hol	6-30	-6.5		
MAR V	v - 14	92109			14:58	BTEX, NAPHTH	MERE	-29,5	-6.0		
B V		37347			16:47	COS, CUM HEL	123%	6-30	-5.0		
JOAB V	0-5 DUE	23-95			16:47	and the second sec		2-30	-5,5		
TOA V	P - 7	31756			10:56	FOR VP-7 THEVIN	6-10%	-30	-8.0		2
	er B	37415			N:16	- 15-12 2 XCH		-30	-6-6		
	<u>1</u>	36471			11:52	BALLY MULLI	HALFAR	4-30	-6.0		
	$\mathcal{C} = \langle 0 \rangle$	25549		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	14:31	ASTA D-124	6: 501	-30	-7.0		
	λ <u>ι</u> το του του του του του του του του του			· · ·		HAT LIVER					
Relinquished	d by: (signature) Date/Time	Received by: (sig	nature)	Date/Tir	ne	Notes:					
and the second s	Alfered 11/17/2010 08:00	FEDEX									
Relinquished	d by: (signature) Date/Time	Received by: (sig	nature)	Date/Tir	ne diatana						
Relinguished	d by: (signature) Date/Time	Received by: (sig	<u>inature)</u>	Date/Tir	ne 1997 a						
			-38 ^{19.}								o.vio
Lab	Shipper Name Air Bill #	¥	Temp	(°C)	Condition	n Custody S	eals Int	act?	Work	Order #	
Use	ad Carl International Contraction of the			<u>} (</u>	2,000d	Yes N	0 (N	one	10	114	86
											andalina Statema
					1990					For	m 1293 rev