### RECEIVED

3:17 pm, Oct 19, 2011 Alameda County Environmental Health

October 14, 2011

Jerry Wickham, CEG Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist Alameda County Environmental Health 1131Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Subject: Sunol Tree Gas 3004 Andrade Road, Sunol Fuel Leak Case No. RO0002448

Dear Mr. Wickham:

Enclosed is the *Addendum to the IRAP* for the subject LUFT site. In compliance with state and local regulations, electronic submittals of this report have been uploaded to the Geotracker database and the Alameda County ftp website.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached report are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Please call Tim Cook at Cook Environmental Services at (925) 478-8390 if you have questions or comments in regards to the technical content of this report.

Very truly yours,

Khan Petroleum, Inc.

Obaid Abdullat President

cc: Jennifer Rice, Esq Tim Cook, Cook Environmental Services, Inc.

GENERAL ENGINEERING CONTRACTOR A, HAZ #921387



October 14, 2011

Jerry Wickham, CEG Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist Alameda County Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Subject: Addendum to Interim Remedial Action Plan Sunol Tree Gas 3004 Andrade Road, Sunol Fuel Leak Case No. RO0002448

Dear Mr. Wickham:

This Addendum to Interim Remedial Action Plan for Sunol Tree Gas ("the Site") located at 3004 Andrade Road in Sunol, Alameda County (Figure 1) is submitted in response to our meeting of March 9, 2011 and is submitted to revised the Interim Remedial Action and Pilot Test Work Plan (IRAP) dated March 15, 2011.

The purpose of this document is to revise our plan to conduct a pilot test using ozone sparge technology which addresses low level MtBE contamination at the Site. This addendum to the IRAP describes methods and procedures to conduct a pilot test evaluating the effectiveness of ozone sparging to reduce MtBE concentrations in groundwater downgradient from the site. As a demonstration, we selected the intermediate water-bearing zone (30-45 fbg) immediately upgradient of Transect A-A' for the installation of additional monitoring wells and ozone sparge wells. The locations chosen are upgradient of the highest MtBE concentrations in groundwater previously observed along Transect A-A' (**Figure 2**).

### Background

Three cone penetrometer (CPT) borings (CPT-1 through CPT-3) were advanced on July 25, 2011 at the locations shown on **Figure 2** to accurately determine depth intervals associated with the shallow, intermediate and deep water-bearing zones. CPT-1 was located approximately 45 feet upgradient of CMT-7. CPT-2 and CPT-3 were located approximately 54 feet and 38 feet, respectively, upgradient of CMT-4. CMT-4 and CMT-7 have consistently exhibited the highest MtBE concentrations. CPT-1, CPT-2 and CPT-3 hit refusal at depths of 51, 55 and 55 feet below grade (fbg), respectively. The depth to the intermediate and deep water bearing zones in the locations of the CPT borings are estimated to be approximately 55 and 65 fbg, respectively. CPT-1 was able to penetrate just to the top of the intermediate zone (55 fbg) and CPT-3 was able to penetrate approximately 3 feet into the intermediate zone (53 fbg). Depth intervals for collecting groundwater sampling from the deep zones was determined using the spacing between these water bearing zones established in well logs for CMT-4 and CMT-7. CPT

Addendum to IRAP	October 14, 2011
Sunol Tree Gas Station	Project No. 1024
Sunol, California	Page 2

logs showing the stratigraphy encountered in the CPT borings are provided in **Appendix A**. The methods and procedures used for CPT borings is provided in **Appendix B**.

Groundwater samples were collected from a boring located one foot from CPT-3 on July 25 and from borings one foot from CPT-1 and CPT-2 on July 26, 2011. Water samples were collected in all three water bearing zones (27, 55 and 65 feet below grade) using a Hydropunch sampler. The sampler provides depth discrete water samples by advancing a well point to a pre-designated depth and pulling the drill string back up to 18 inches and exposing a stainless steel screen. A sample is withdrawn using a peristaltic pump and dedicated sample tubing.

Samples were collected in 40 ml VOA vials preserved with concentrated hydrochloric acid. Two VOA vials were filled per sample and sealed such that there was no headspace. The vials were then chilled to 4 degrees Celsius in a sample cooler and transported to McCampbell Analytical Laboratory that same day under chain of custody control. Samples were analyzed for fuel oxygenates (including MtBE and tBA) by EPA method 8260B. Laboratory reports are provided in **Appendix C**.

Fuel oxygenates were not detected in any of the nine water samples collected. Based on these results, CES determined that this locale would not be an effective location to locate ozone sparge wells to conduct the ozone sparge pilot test. CES contacted Jerry Wickham of ACEH via email to inform him of these results. He requested submittal of a work plan addendum for the pilot test. ACEH would establish a revised submittal date for the pilot test report upon review of the work plan addendum.

### **Revised Scope of Work**

The scope of work for this Addendum to the IRAP includes the following tasks:

- Obtaining approval of the addendum to the IRAP from ACEH;
- Obtain well permits from Alameda County Public Works Agency;
- Obtain permission to install the two ozone sparge wells from the owner of the T-Bear Ranch;
- Notify USA Alert of well locations and obtain underground utility clearance;
- Install two pilot test ozone sparge wells approximately 15 feet upgradient of existing wells CMT-4 and CMT-7, on the T-Bear Ranch property;
- Survey ozone sparge wells;
- Install mobile ozone sparge system, including electrical and plumbing hookups;
- Perform startup activities;
- Perform operation and maintenance activities on the ozone sparge system for three months;
- Collect groundwater samples from the two downgradient multi-chamber wells and analyze for dissolved oxygen, total chromium, hexavalent chromium, bromide, bromated and fuel oxygenates;
- Prepare a *Pilot Test Report* for submittal to ACEH;
- Submit survey data, lab data, and the *Pilot Test Report* to the ACEH FTP site and the SWRCB Geotracker database.

### Planning

Upon approval of this Addendum to the IRAP by Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH), Cook Environmental Services, Inc. (CES) will contact the owner of the T-Bear Ranch to access his property, obtain well permits from Alameda County Public Works, contract with a C-57 licensed drilling contractor, and notify USA Alert of the proposed ozone sparge wells so that a utility location survey will be completed. A site specific Health and Safety Plan for the fieldwork will be prepared in compliance with 29 CFR 1910.120.

## **Field Work**

The drilling contractor will advance the two ozone sparge wells in the locations shown on **Figure 3**. These wells will be located approximately 15 upgradient of CMT-4 and CMT-7 and will inject ozone into the intermediate water-bearing zone (depth interval 40 to 42 fbg) as determined from the boring logs for CMT-4 and CMT-7. The intermediate water-bearing zone in wells CMT-4 and CMT-7 yielded the highest MtBE concentrations during the April 2010 sampling event. A cross-section of multiple well sampling intervals along the two traverses as well as MtBE concentrations for the April 2010 sampling event is presented on **Figure 4**.

All three sampling intervals in wells CMT-4 and CMT-7 will be used to monitor groundwater quality during the ozone pilot test. These monitoring wells screen the shallow, intermediate and deep water bearing zone at approximately 20, 40 and 50 fbg.

Sparge wells O-1 and O-2 will have a 30-inch long sparge diffuser that will be surrounded by #60 sugar sand. Approximately 2 feet of bentonite slurry will be placed above the sand to seal the diffuser and prevent short-circuiting of ozone to the surface via the well annulus. The remainder of the annulus will be sealed with neat cement slurry. A <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch diameter riser will extend from the surface to the sparge diffuser. Surface completion of the sparge wells will be in a traffic box. Check valves will prevent the backflow of ozone to the ozone generator. Wellhead adapters and HDPE tubing will connect the sparge wells to the ozone treatment unit.

A licensed well surveyor will survey the latitude and longitude of ozone sparge wells O-1 and O-2 to tie them into the existing survey network. Survey coordinates will be uploaded to the ACEH ftp site and the Geotracker database.

The ozone treatment unit will be trailer mounted and capable of producing at least 0.5 pounds of ozone per day. The initial treatment cycle will pump ozone to each sparge well at 30 minute intervals and will run for 22 hours per day. The unit will derive electrical power from a 20 amp circuit subpanel on the main power panel at the Sunol Tree Gas Station. Since the pilot test is temporary a flexible SO cord will provide power from the subpanel to the treatment system.

## Monitoring

Constituents to be monitored in wells CMT-4 and CMT-7 include dissolved oxygen (DO), oxidation potential (eH), total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-g), benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes (BTEX), fuel oxygenates (MtBE, tBA, EtBE, TAME and DIPE), bromide ion (Br<sup>-</sup>), bromate (BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>), total chromium (Cr) and hexavalent chromium (Cr<sup>+6</sup>). Samples will be collected immediately prior to the pilot test and bi-weekly during the pilot test. Sampling protocols and handling procedures will follow EPA guidance. Electronic copies of

Addendum to IRAP	October 14, 2011
Sunol Tree Gas Station	Project No. 1024
Sunol, California	Page 4

sample results will be uploaded to the ACEH ftp site and the Geotracker database within one week of receipt.

### **Contingency Plan**

If toxic daughter products ( $Cr^{+6}$  and  $BrO_3^{-}$ ) in wells CMT-4 and CMT-7 exceed environmental screening levels (ESLs) established for drinking water aquifers with residential land use then confirmation samples for  $Cr^{+6}$  and  $BrO_3^{-}$  will be collected from these wells within seven days. If  $Cr^{+6}$  and  $BrO_3^{-}$  are again detected in these wells above ESLs, the ozone treatment system will be shut down. Confirmation samples will be collected from CMT-4 and CMT-7 on a weekly basis until these constituents drop below their respective ESLs.

### Duration

The pilot test will last for three months from startup. At the end of that time the trailer mounted ozone treatment unit will be demobilized from the site. The results will be evaluated and the findings will be transmitted to ACEH in a *Pilot Test Report*.

### Reporting

A Pilot Test Report will be submitted to ACEH within four weeks of concluding the pilot test. The report will contain methods and procedures used to install the sparge wells and ozone sparge system, pilot test monitoring results, descriptions of detections of toxic daughter products and descriptions of any contingency measures employed. In addition, recommendations will be made with respect to a final remediation plan (FRP) for the Site.

We request that you respond with your comments to this IRAP. Please call me at (925) 478-8390 if you have questions or comments in regards to the technical content of this document.

Very truly yours,

### Cook Environmental Services, Inc.

Tim Cook, P.E. President

cc: Jennifer Rice, Esq Obaid Abdullah, Kahn Petroleum



# FIGURES



### Cook Environmental Services, Inc. 1485 Treat Blvd. Ste. 203A

1485 Treat Blvd. Ste. 203A Walnut Creek, CA (925) 478-8390 work (925) 787-6869 cell tcook@cookenvironmental.com Sunol Tree Gas Station Site Location Map 3004 Andrade Road Sunol, CA 94586

Project: <b>1024</b>	Figure:
Date: 10/14/11	
Scale:1" = 2000 '	





# Figure: Date: 10/14/11 = 100 Project 1024 Scale: 1" =

## LEGEND

#### EB - Exploratory Boring: Weber, Hayes & Associates

PZ - 1a PZ - Driven Probe, converted to a shallow Piezometer Well (0.75" diameter) in July 2004 by Weber, Hayes & Associates

PZ - 1b PZ - Driven Probe, converted to a deep Piezometer Well (0.75" diameter) in July 2004 by Weber, Hayes & Associates

Approximate location of water supply well

Underground Fuel Storage Tanks

Previous Boring: Clearwater Consultants, 2002

Monitoring Well with Significant MtBE Concentration

Planned Ozone Sparge Well

Cone Penetrometer Test (CPT) borings advanced on July 25, 2011

All well head elevations were surveyed by Robert McGregor (Lic. #5946); PZ wells on July 26, 2004 and CMT wells on January 21, 2005.

Adapted from Weber, Hayes & Associates figures in their June 30, 2008

Sunol Tree Gas Station CPT Boring Locations 3004 Andrade Road Sunol, CA 94586

Cook Environmental Services, Inc. 1485 Treat Blvd, Ste. 203A Walnut Creek, CA 94597 (925) 787-6869 cell (925) 787-6869 cell tcook@cookenvironmental.com



Well # A1



## LEGEND

EB - Exploratory Boring: Weber, Hayes & Associates

PZ - 1a PZ - Driven Probe, converted to a shallow Piezometer Well (0.75" diameter) in July 2004 by Weber, Hayes & Associates

PZ - 1b PZ - Driven Probe, converted to a deep Piezometer Well (0.75" diameter) in July 2004 by Weber, Hayes & Associates

Approximate location of water supply well

**Underground Fuel Storage Tanks** 

Previous Boring: Clearwater Consultants, 2002

Monitoring Well with Significant MtBE Concentration

Planned Ozone Sparge Well

Planned CMT - Continuous Multi-Chamber Tubing Wells (3 - 0.375" wells) in one boring location

All well head elevations were surveyed by Robert McGregor (Lic. #5946); PZ wells on July 26, 2004 and CMT wells on January 21, 2005.

Adapted from Weber, Hayes & Associates figures in their June 30, 2008





# APPENDIX A CPT Logs



Avg. Interval: 0.328 (ft)

SBT: Soil Behavior Type (Robertson 1990)



Avg. Interval: 0.328 (ft)

SBT: Soil Behavior Type (Robertson 1990)



Avg. Interval: 0.328 (ft)

SBT: Soil Behavior Type (Robertson 1990)

# APPENDIX B CPT Methods & Procedures



## Cone Penetration Testing Procedure (CPT)

Gregg Drilling carries out all Cone Penetration Tests (CPT) using an integrated electronic cone system, *Figure CPT*. The soundings were conducted using a 20 ton capacity cone with a tip area of 15 cm<sup>2</sup> and a friction sleeve area of 225 cm<sup>2</sup>. The cone is designed with an equal end area friction sleeve and a tip end area ratio of 0.80.

The cone takes measurements of cone bearing  $(q_c)$ , sleeve friction  $(f_s)$  and penetration pore water pressure  $(u_2)$  at 5cm intervals during penetration to provide a nearly continuous log. CPT data reduction and interpretation is performed in real time facilitating on-site decision The making. above mentioned parameters are stored on disk for further analysis and reference. All CPT soundings are performed in accordance with revised (2007) ASTM standards (D 5778-07).

The cone also contains a porous filter element located directly behind the cone tip  $(u_2)$ . It consists of porous plastic and is 5.0mm thick. The filter element is used to obtain penetration pore pressure as the cone is advanced as well as Pore Pressure Dissipation Tests (PPDT's) during appropriate pauses in penetration. It should be noted that prior to penetration, the element is fully saturated with oil under vacuum pressure to ensure accurate and fast dissipation.

The cone has the following accuracy: 1 tsf for  $q_c$ , 0.02 tsf for  $f_s$  and 0.5 psi for  $u_2$ . In soft clays, a lower capacity cone should be used for improved accuracy.



Figure CPT

When the soundings are complete, the test holes are grouted. The grouting procedures generally consist of pushing a hollow tremie pipe with a "knock out" plug to the termination depth of the CPT hole. Grout is then pumped under pressure as the tremie pipe is pulled from the hole. Disruption or further contamination to the site is therefore minimized.



## **Cone Penetration Test Data & Interpretation**

The Cone Penetration Test (CPT) data collected from your site are presented in graphical form in the attached report. The plots include interpreted Soil Behavior Type (SBT) based on the charts described by Robertson (1990). Typical plots display SBT based on the non-normalized charts of Robertson et al (1986). For CPT soundings extending greater than 50 feet, we recommend the use of the normalized charts of Robertson (1990) which can be displayed as SBTn, upon request. The report also includes spreadsheet output of computer calculations of basic interpretation in terms of SBT and SBTn and various geotechnical parameters using current published correlations based on the comprehensive review by Lunne, Robertson and Powell (1997), as well as recent updates by Professor Robertson. The interpretations are presented only as a guide for geotechnical use and should be carefully reviewed. Gregg Drilling & Testing Inc. do not warranty the correctness or the applicability of any of the geotechnical parameters interpreted by the software and do not assume any liability for any use of the results in any design or review. The user should be fully aware of the techniques and limitations of any method used in the software.

Some interpretation methods require input of the groundwater level to calculate vertical effective stress. An estimate of the in-situ groundwater level has been made based on field observations and/or CPT results, but should be verified by the user.

A summary of locations and depths is available in Table 1. Note that all penetration depths referenced in the data are with respect to the existing ground surface.

Note that it is not always possible to clearly identify a soil type based solely on  $q_t$ ,  $f_s$ , and  $u_2$ . In these situations, experience, judgment, and an assessment of the pore pressure dissipation data should be used to infer the correct soil behavior type.





### (After Robertson, et al., 1986)

Figure SBT

# APPENDIX C Laboratory Analytical Reports



1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269

"When Quality Counts"

# **Analytical Report**

Cook Environmental Services, Inc.	Client Project ID: #1024; Khan Petroleum	Date Sampled: 07/26/11
1485 Treat Blvd. Ste. 203A		Date Received: 07/26/11
	Client Contact: Tim Cook	Date Reported: 07/28/11
Walnut Creek, CA 94597	Client P.O.:	Date Completed: 07/27/11

### WorkOrder: 1107713

July 28, 2011

Dear Tim:

Enclosed within are:

- 1) The results of the **6** analyzed samples from your project: **#1024; Khan Petroleum,**
- 2) A QC report for the above samples,
- 3) A copy of the chain of custody, and
- 4) An invoice for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to give me a call. Thank you for choosing McCampbell Analytical Laboratories for your analytical needs.

Best regards,

Angela Rydelius Laboratory Manager McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

The analytical results relate only to the items tested.

		1		_			· · ·					_	L'	160	ra	CKC	1 1	.Dr	Ę	1	Che	eck	if sa	mpl	e is	effl	uent	tan	d "J" fla	g is required
Report To: Tim Cook Bill To: Same							-	-	-	-	-	A	nal	ysis	Rec	jues	1	_	_	_			Other	Comments						
Company: Cool	Company: Cook Environmental Services										~					x			5							**Indicate				
1485	nut Creek C	A 0450	7 F-M9	il. to	nok a	eor	kens	iron	me	ntal	cor	n	TBE		B&F					gene			No							here if thes
Tele: 975-478-8	300	1 /45/	F	ax:	OUNIN	e u	inc in v	ii vii	me	in curs	.con		W		20 E/					Con			B			50)	20)		alysi	potentially
Project #: 1024	570		P	rojec	t Nan	ie:	Kha	n Pe	trol	eum	t -		8015		155	1	8	021)		lors		es)	X		(5	1.60	1 60		the ar	dangerous
Project Location	: Sunol												+		1664	(418	IV0	2/8	(s)	Aroc		bicid	5	-	PNA	0109	6010	-	met	handle:
Sampler Signatu	re: 💥	7 1	Lorrai	ine f	Fulle	~	-						/802		aste	bons	21 (1	19 V.	stici	LV;	ides)	Her	)Cs)	00	Hs/	0.8 /	0.8 /	602	VED	
	SAMPLING MATRIX METHO PRESERV			IOD RVED	45 (602		& Grt	drocar	10780	LV (EP	(CI Pe	3's ON	Pestic	idie Cl	2A) 093	70 (SV	Vd) 019	0.7 / 20	0.7 / 24	/ 0109 /	IOSS									
SAMPLE ID	PLE ID LOCATION/ Field Point Name Date Time	D LOCATION/ Field Point Name Date Time	ID LOCATION/ Field Point Name Date Time	E ID LOCATION/ Field Point Name Date Time Time	TE ID Field boint Name Date Time Time Time Soil Other HUC, HUO, HUC, HUC, HUC, HUC, HUC, HUC, HUC, HUC	Other Other	Other BTEN & TPH as G	TPH as Diesel (801 Total Petroleum O	Total Petroleum O	Total Petroleum Hy	EPA 502.27601780	MTBE / BTEX ON	EPA 505/ 608 / 8081	EPA 608 / 8082 PCI	EPA 507 / 8141 (N)	EPA 5157 8151 (Au	EPA 524.2 / 624 / 83	EPA 525.2 / 625 /	EPA 8270 SIM / 83	CAM 17 Metals (20	RCRA 8 Metals (20	Lead (200.7 / 200.8	Filter sample for D							
CPT-1-1	24-27	7-26	7:4200	2	10A	X				XY	<		1										X							
CPT-1-2	52-55	7-26	8:50 Am	2	10A	X				XX	<		1										X							
CPT-1-3	(2-65)	7-24	8:57au	2	Val	X		1		XX	x		1										×							
CIDT-2-1	06-21	7-26	10.214	2	IDA	V				XX	K		1										X							
CPT-7-7	52-55	7-26	10:4242	2	Val	X				XS	×		1										X							
CITAR	12-15	100	11.10	2	VAL	2				XX	è	-		-									4							
CFFA J	62 00	1 00	1 1-1 Jan	d	Yorg			T						-						-										
																														-
								L		ļ		1		t																
**MAI clients MUSI gloved, open air, sam allowing us to work s	disclose any dar ple handling by a fely.	igerous ch MAI staff.	emicals kn Non-discle	own to isure i	) be pre ncurs a	sent n im	in the media	ir sul te \$25	omitt 50 su	ed sa irchai	impl rge a	es in and th	conce ne clie	entrat ent is	subje	that is	nay full	legal	e imi l liab	medi: ility	ate h for h	arm	or suffe	erious cred.	Tha	ink y	ealth ou fo	r yo	ingerment ir underst	as a result of br anding and for
Relinquished By:		Date:	Time:	Reco	eved B	V:	<i>c n</i>	0	-	_	-		10	EAT	7.	Ð				-		_	-		-		CON	IME	NTS:	
Lorrailer	nlle	7-26	2:32	L	ful	V	al	0					G	COD	CON	DIT	ION RSF	NT	_											
Relinquished By:		Date:	Time:	Reco	eived B	y:	-	-	-	-	-		D	ECHI	OR	INAT	ED	INL	AB	_	_									
													Al	PPRC	PRI	ATE	CO	NTA	INEI	RS	_	-								
Relinquished By:		Date:	Time:	Rece	eived B	y:							1"	LOL	NY C	1010	Link.		-					and						
																	VC	MAS	0.8	617	MF	TAL	S	OTH	FR					



1534 Willow Pass Rd Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 (925) 252-9262

# CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

Page 1 of 1

(925) 252-9262				WorkOr	der: 1107713	Clier	ntCode: CESW	T	
	WaterTrax	WriteOn	✓ EDF	Excel	Fax	✓ Email	HardCopy	ThirdParty	J-flag
Report to:				Bill	I to:		Rec	uested TAT:	2 days
Tim Cook	Email: to	cook@cookenvir	onmental.com		Tim Cook				
Cook Environmental Services, Inc.	cc:				Cook Enviror	nmental Service	es, Inc.		
1485 Treat Blvd, Ste. 203A	PO:				1485 Treat B	lvd, Ste. 203A	Da	te Received:	07/26/2011
Walnut Creek, CA 94597 925-937-1759 FAX: 925-937-1759	ProjectNo: #	1024; Khan Petr	oleum		Walnut Creel	k, CA 94597	Da	te Printed:	07/26/2011

				[	Requested Tests (See legend below)																
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Hold	1	2	3		4		5		6		7	8		9	10	11	12
1107713-001	CPT-1-1	Water	7/26/2011 7:42		A	А															
1107713-002	CPT-1-2	Water	7/26/2011 8:50		А																
1107713-003	CPT-1-3	Water	7/26/2011 8:52		А	-															
1107713-004	CPT-2-1	Water	7/26/2011 10:21		А																
1107713-005	CPT-2-2	Water	7/26/2011 10:42		А																
1107713-006	CPT-2-3	Water	7/26/2011 11:15		А																

#### Test Legend:

1	9-OXYS_W
6	
11	

2	PREDF REPORT
7	
12	

3	
8	

4	
9	

5	
10	

**Prepared by: Zoraida Cortez** 

### **Comments:**

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days). Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.



# McCampbell Analytical, Inc. "When Quality Counts"

## Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name:	Cook Environmenta	l Services, Inc.			Date a	and Ti	me Received:	7/26/2011 2	:35:18 PM
Project Name:	#1024; Khan Petrole	eum			Check	klist co	ompleted and re	viewed by:	Zoraida Cortez
WorkOrder N°:	1107713	Matrix: <u>Water</u>			Carrie	er:	Client Drop-In		
		Chair	<u>ո of Cւ</u>	ustody (C	OC) Informat	tion			
Chain of custody	present?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Chain of custody	signed when relinquis	hed and received?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Chain of custody	agrees with sample la	abels?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sample IDs noted	d by Client on COC?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Date and Time of	f collection noted by C	lient on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sampler's name	noted on COC?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
		s	Sample	Receipt	Information				
Custody seals int	act on shipping contai	- iner/cooler?	Yes		No 🗌			NA 🖌	
Shipping containe	er/cooler in good cond	lition?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Samples in prope	er containers/bottles?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sample container	rs intact?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sufficient sample	volume for indicated	test?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
		Sampla Pros	rvatio	n and Ha	d Time (UT)	Infor	mation		
All complex recei	wed within helding tim		Voc				manon		
Containor/Tomp	Plank tomporaturo	e:	Coole	er Temp	3.8°C				
		n / ng hubblag?	Yes		No 🗌	No V	/OA vials submit		
Sample labels ch	s have zero neadspac	ervation?	Yes	<u> </u>		NO V			
Metal - pH accep	table upon receipt (nF	1<2)?	Yes					NA 🗸	
Samples Receive	ad on Ice?		Yes	✓					
		(Ice Type	e: WE	TICE )	)				
* NOTE: If the "N	lo" box is checked, se	e comments below.							
							·		

Client contacted:

Date contacted:

Contacted by:

Comments:

McCampbell An "When Quality	<b>alyti</b> <sup>Counts"</sup>	<u>cal, In</u>	<u>C.</u>		1534 Willow F Web: www.mccampt Telephone: 8	ass Road, Pittsburg, CA bell.com E-mail: main 77-252-9262 Fax: 925	94565-1701 @mccampbell.c 5-252-9269	om		
Cook Environmental Services, Inc.		Client Pr	oject ID:	#1024	; Khan	Date Sampled:	07/26/11			
1/85 Treat Blvd Ste 2034		Petroleu	m			Date Received:	07/26/11			
1405 Heat Biva, Ste. 2051		Client Co	ontact: Ti	m Cool	ĸ	Date Extracted: 07/27/11				
Walnut Creek, CA 94597		Client P.	0.:	Date Analyzed:	07/27/11					
Oxygenated Extraction Method: SW5030B	l Volat	<b>ile Organ</b> Ana	ics + EDI	<b>3 and 1</b> 1: SW8260	,2-DCA by P&7	Γ and GC/MS*	Work Order:	1107713		
Lab ID	11077	'13-001A	1107713	-002A	1107713-003A	1107713-004A				
Client ID	СР	T-1-1	CPT-	1-2	CPT-1-3	CPT-2-1	Reporting DF	Limit for =1		
Matrix		W	W		W	W				
DF		1	1		1	1	S	W		
Compound				Conce	entration		ug/kg	µg/L		
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)		ND	ND	•	ND	ND	NA	0.5		
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)		ND	ND	1	ND	ND	NA	2.0		
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		ND	ND	1	ND	ND	NA	0.5		
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)		ND	ND	1	ND	ND	NA	0.5		
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)		ND	ND	1	ND	ND	NA	0.5		
Ethanol		ND	ND	1	ND	ND	NA	50		
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)		ND	ND	1	ND	ND	NA	0.5		
Methanol		ND	ND	•	ND	ND	NA	500		
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)		ND	ND	1	ND	ND	NA	0.5		
		Surro	ogate Rec	overies	s (%)					
%SS1:		125	121		124	126				
Comments		b1	b1		b1	b1	-			
* water and vapor samples are reported in µ extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe samples	g/L, soil/ in µg/wi	sludge/solid pe.	samples in r	ng/kg, pr	oduct/oil/non-aqueo	us liquid samples and	all TCLP & S	PLP		

ND means not detected above the reporting limit/method detection limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis; %SS = Percent Recovery of Surrogate Standard; DF = Dilution Factor

# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.

b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment

McCampbell An "When Quality	<u>nc.</u>	W	1534 Willow P eb: www.mccampt Telephone: 8	ass Road, Pittsburg, CA ell.com E-mail: main 77-252-9262 Fax: 925	94565-1701 @mccampbell.c 5-252-9269	om			
Cook Environmental Services, Inc.	Client	Project ID:	#1024; Ki	han	Date Sampled:	07/26/11			
1/85 Treat Blvd Ste 2034	Petrole	um			Date Received:	07/26/11			
1405 Heat Biva, Stc. 2051	Client	Contact: Ti	im Cook		Date Extracted:	07/27/11			
Walnut Creek, CA 94597	Client	P.O.:			Date Analyzed:	07/27/11			
Oxygenated	Volatile Orga	nics + EDI	B and 1,2-I	DCA by P&T	۲ and GC/MS*				
Extraction Method: SW5030B	1107713 005 4	Analytical Metho	d: SW8260B			Work Order:	1107713		
Client ID	CPT-2-2	CPT-	2-3			Denertine	T :: ( f		
Chent ID	01122		2 3			DF	=1		
Matrix	W	W							
DF	1	1				S	W		
Compound			Concentr	ation		ug/kg	µg/L		
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	NE	)			NA	0.5		
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	NE				NA	2.0		
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	NE	)			NA	0.5		
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	NE	)			NA	0.5		
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	NE	)			NA	0.5		
Ethanol	ND	NE	)			NA	50		
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	NE	)			NA	0.5		
Methanol	ND	NE	)			NA	500		
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	NE	)			NA	0.5		
	Sur	rogate Rec	overies (%	D)		•			
%SS1:	125	123	3						
Comments	b1	b1							
* water and vapor samples are reported in µg extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe samples	g/L, soil/sludge/sol in µg/wipe.	id samples in	mg/kg, produc	ct/oil/non-aqueo	us liquid samples and	all TCLP & S	PLP		
ND means not detected above the reporting Surrogate Standard; DF = Dilution Factor	imit/method detec	tion limit; N/2	A means analy	yte not applicabl	e to this analysis; %S	S = Percent R	ecovery of		

# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.

b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment



"When Quality Counts"

### QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water			QC Matri	x: Water			Batch	ID: 59984		WorkC	Order: 11077	13
EPA Method: SW8260B	Extrac	ction: SW	5030B					S	Spiked Sam	ple ID:	1107683-0	02A
Analyte	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acc	eptance	e Criteria (%)	
, analyte	µg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	10	89.3	84.5	5.54	77.2	78.4	1.50	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	50	120	113	6.19	105	105	0	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	10	99.6	96.8	2.89	84.7	87.9	3.62	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	10	108	101	6.72	92.2	93.7	1.68	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	10	117	109	6.65	98.8	101	2.35	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	10	104	97.5	6.62	89	91	2.24	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	10	109	103	5.64	94.4	96.1	1.80	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%SS1:	104	25	101	101	0	102	102	0	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%SS2:	99	25	98	99	1.04	98	98	0	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
All target compounds in the Method Blan NONE	nk of this extr	action bate	h were NI	D less than	the method	l RL with	the follow	ing exception	s:			

#### BATCH 59984 SUMMARY

Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
1107713-001A	07/26/11 7:42 AM	07/27/11	07/27/11 5:06 AM	1107713-002A	07/26/11 8:50 AM	07/27/11	07/27/11 5:50 AM
1107713-003A	07/26/11 8:52 AM	07/27/11	07/27/11 6:32 AM	1107713-004A	07/26/11 10:21 AM	07/27/11	07/27/11 7:14 AM
1107713-005A	07/26/11 10:42 AM	07/27/11	07/27/11 7:59 AM	1107713-006A	07/26/11 11:15 AM	07/27/11	07/27/11 8:41 AM

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 \* (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 \* (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

Laboratory extraction solvents such as methylene chloride and acetone may occasionally appear in the method blank at low levels.

DHS ELAP Certification 1644

A QA/QC Officer



1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269

"When Quality Counts"

# **Analytical Report**

Cook Environmental Services, Inc.	Client Project ID: #1024; Khan Petroleum	Date Sampled: 07/25/11
1485 Treat Blvd. Ste. 203A		Date Received: 07/26/11
	Client Contact: Tim Cook	Date Reported: 07/28/11
Walnut Creek, CA 94597	Client P.O.:	Date Completed: 07/27/11

### WorkOrder: 1107714

July 28, 2011

Dear Tim:

Enclosed within are:

- 1) The results of the **3** analyzed samples from your project: **#1024; Khan Petroleum,**
- 2) A QC report for the above samples,
- 3) A copy of the chain of custody, and
- 4) An invoice for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to give me a call. Thank you for choosing McCampbell Analytical Laboratories for your analytical needs.

Best regards,

Angela Rydelius Laboratory Manager McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

The analytical results relate only to the items tested.

Website: <u>wy</u> Teleph	McC. ww.mccampbe one: (877) 25	AMPBE 153 Pit <u>II.com</u> 52-9262	LL ANA 4 Willow I tsburg, CA	LYT Pass Ro 9456	ICAL, IN 1. 5 Email: 1 Fa	NC. nain x: (9	@m 925)	ccai	mpb 2-92	ell.	com		2	T El	TUF DF 1	Req	AR	01 01	Coel	AI T	N CIMU	DF E nal)	C		ST	OI 24 Writ	DY HR te O	R n (l	48 I		RD [ 72 1 No	l 🗋 IR 5 DAY
Report To: Tim C	Cook		I	Bill To	D:										-				A	nal	ysis	Rec	ques	it	-		_			(	Other	Comment
Company: Cook 1485 Waln	Environmen Freat Blvd, S ut Creek, CA	tal Serv Suite 203 94597	ices, Inc. A E-Ma	il: ter	ook@coo	ken	viro	nm	ents	ale	om															310						Filter Samples
Tele: (925) 478-8	390		F	ax:	(925) 478	-839	94		CITC	inc	(Jan															0/8						for Metals
Project #:1024			F	rojec	t Name:	Ka	hn I	Petr	olei	um					ou		ene		()							8270						Ves / No
Project Location:	3004 Andra	de Road	, Sunol, 0	CA						-				260	Hd		thal		802		NTN					25 /	020)	(0)	-			1.037110
Sampler Name &	Signature:	->	0- Lo	innai	reFull	n								by 8	& 1	=	nap		02 /		s Ol			(A)		A 6	0/6	/ 60	010			1000
		SAM	PLING		ters	1	MA	<b>FR</b>	IX	1	ME	THO	DD VED	9 Oxys	(8015)	ull Sca	nethyl	0 / 8021	(EPA 6		2 PCB	11	21	oxys or	/ 8270	by EP	ls (601	s (6010	0/6.00			
SAMPLE ID (Field Point Name)	LOCATION	Date	Time	# Container	Type Contain	Water	Soil	AIF	Other	CUIRT	HCL	HNO.	Other	TPH-g, BTEX &	TPH as Diesel	EPA 8260-F	8310 Pluse 2-n	EPA 601 / 8010	BTEX ONLY	EPA 608 / 808	EPA 608 / 808	EPA 8140 / 81	EPA 8150 / 819	EPA 8260 (9	EPA 525 / 625	PAH's/PNA's	CAM-17 Meta	LUFT 5 Metal	Lead (200.8 / 2	SPLC Leach	TTLC Leach	
CPT-3-1	7-10	7-25	3:19 pm	2	VOAS	X				t	X													×								
CPT-3-2	52-55	7-25	3:45pm	2	YDAS	X				T	X			1.1										×								
CPT-3-3	62'-65'	7-25	4.14p	a	VOAS	X					X		_											X								
Relinquished By:		Date:	Time:	Rece	ived By:		0							IC	E/t*	3.	8											CON	MME	NTS	;	
Lo Maine Fi Relinquished By: Relinquished By: Lo Maine Fr	iller Z	7-25 Date: 7/26 Date: 7-26	6 :07pm Time: 1:40 Time: 2:32	Rece	ived By:	J.V.	a	23	2		_			GC HE DE AP PR	OOD CAD S CHI PRO ESE	CON SPAC ORI PRL RVE	DIT CE A NAT ATE D IN TIO	ION BSE ED CON LAI	NT_IN LANTAI B	NEF	sG	ME pH<	TAL	s	отн	IER						

1534 Willow Pass Rd Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 (925) 252-9262

# CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

Page 1 of 1

(925) 252-9262				WorkOr	der: 1107714	Clier	ntCode: CESV	7	
	WaterTrax	WriteOn	✓ EDF	Excel	Fax	✓ Email	HardCopy	ThirdParty	J-flag
Report to:				Bill	l to:		Re	quested TAT:	2 days
Tim Cook	Email:	tcook@cookenvir	onmental.com		Tim Cook				
Cook Environmental Services, Inc.	cc:				Cook Enviror	nmental Service	es, Inc.		
1485 Treat Blvd, Ste. 203A	PO:				1485 Treat B	lvd, Ste. 203A	Da	te Received:	07/26/2011
Walnut Creek, CA 94597	ProjectNo:	#1024; Khan Petr	roleum		Walnut Creel	k, CA 94597	Da	te Printed:	07/26/2011
925-937-1759 FAX: 925-937-1759									

								Re	quested	l Tests (	See leg	end bel	ow)			
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Hold	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1107714-001	CPT-3-1	Water	7/25/2011 15:19		А	Α										
1107714-002	CPT-3-2	Water	7/25/2011 15:45		А											
1107714-003	CPT-3-3	Water	7/25/2011 16:14		А											

#### Test Legend:

1	9-OXYS_W
6	
11	

2	PREDF REPORT
7	
12	

3	
8	

4	
9	

5	
10	

**Prepared by: Zoraida Cortez** 

### **Comments:**

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days). Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.

	Campbell Analytica	al, Inc.		1534 Willow Web: www.mccam Telephone	v Pass Road, Pittsburg pbell.com E-mail : 877-252-9262 Fa	g, CA 94565-17 : main@mccamj x: 925-252-926	'01 pbell.com 9
		Sample	Rece	eipt Checklist			
Client Name:	Cook Environmental Services, In	nc.		Date and	Time Received:	7/26/2011	2:53:55 PM
Project Name:	#1024; Khan Petroleum			Checklist of	completed and re	viewed by:	Zoraida (
WorkOrder N°:	1107714 Matrix: <u>Wa</u>	ater		Carrier:	Client Drop-In		
		Chain of Cu	ustody	(COC) Information			
Chain of custody	present?	Yes	✓	No 🗌			
Chain of custody	signed when relinquished and rec	eived? Yes	✓	No 🗌			
Chain of custody	agrees with sample labels?	Yes	✓	No 🗌			
Sample IDs noted	by Client on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌			
Date and Time of	collection noted by Client on COC	C? Yes	✓	No 🗌			
Sampler's name r	noted on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌			
		Sample	Rece	ipt Information			
Custody seals inta	act on shipping container/cooler?	Yes		No 🗌		NA 🔽	
Shipping containe	er/cooler in good condition?	Yes	✓	No 🗌			
Samples in prope	r containers/bottles?	Yes	✓	No 🗌			
Sample container	rs intact?	Yes	✓	No 🗌			
Sufficient sample	volume for indicated test?	Yes	✓	No 🗌			

Ves 🖌 No 🗌

Sample Preservation and Hold Time (HT) Information

All samples received within holding time?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Container/Temp Blank temperature	Coole	r Temp:	3.8°C	NA
Water - VOA vials have zero headspace / no bubbles?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	No VOA vials submitted $\Box$
Sample labels checked for correct preservation?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Metal - pH acceptable upon receipt (pH<2)?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🖌
Samples Received on Ice?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
(Ice Type	e: WE	TICE )		

\* NOTE: If the "No" box is checked, see comments below.

Client contacted:

Date contacted:

Contacted by:

Comments:

Cortez

WcCampbell Analytical, Inc. "When Quality Counts"					1534 Willow F Web: www.mccampl Telephone: 8	Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA pell.com E-mail: main 77-252-9262 Fax: 925	94565-1701 @mccampbell.c 5-252-9269	om		
Cook Environmental Services, Inc. Client Project ID:					: #1024; Khan Date Sampled: 07/25/11					
1485 Treat Blvd Ste 203A	Petroleur	m			Date Received: 07/26/11					
1405 Heat Biva, Stc. 2051	ſ	Client Co	ontact: Ti	m Cool	ζ.	Date Extracted:	07/27/11			
Walnut Creek, CA 94597	ſ	Client P.	0.:			Date Analyzed:	07/27/11			
Oxygenated Extraction Method: SW5030B	l Volati	ile Organ Ana	l <b>ics + EDH</b> alytical Methor	<b>B and 1</b> d: SW826(	<b>,2-DCA by P&amp;</b> '	Г and GC/MS*	Work Order:	1107714		
Lab ID	11077	14-001A	1107714	-002A	1107714-003A					
Client ID	CP	T-3-1	CPT-3	3-2	CPT-3-3		Reporting DF	ng Limit for DF =1		
Matrix	·	W	W		W					
DF		1	1		1		S	W		
Compound				Conce	entration		ug/kg	µg/L		
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	]	ND	ND	)	ND		NA	0.5		
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	]	ND	ND	)	ND		NA	2.0		
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	]	ND	ND	)	ND		NA	0.5		
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	]	ND	ND	)	ND		NA	0.5		
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	]	ND	ND	)	ND		NA	0.5		
Ethanol	]	ND	ND	)	ND		NA	50		
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	]	ND	ND	)	ND		NA	0.5		
Methanol	]	ND	ND	)	ND		NA	500		
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)		ND	ND ND ND			NA	0.5			
Surrogate Recoveries (%)										
%SS1:		99	99		99					
Comments		b1	b1		b1					
* water and vapor samples are reported in μg extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe samples i	ʒ/L, soil/s in μg/wij	sludge/solid pe.	samples in r	ng/kg, pr	oduct/oil/non-aqueo	us liquid samples and	all TCLP & S	PLP		

ND means not detected above the reporting limit/method detection limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis; %SS = Percent Recovery of Surrogate Standard; DF = Dilution Factor

# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.

b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment



"When Quality Counts"

### QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water	QC Matrix: Water					Batch	BatchID: 59984 WorkOrder: 11077				14		
EPA Method: SW8260B	Extraction: SW5030B							Spiked Sample ID: 1107683-002A					
Analyte	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acc	eptance	e Criteria (%)		
	µg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD	
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	10	89.3	84.5	5.54	77.2	78.4	1.50	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	50	120	113	6.19	105	105	0	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	10	99.6	96.8	2.89	84.7	87.9	3.62	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	10	108	101	6.72	92.2	93.7	1.68	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	10	117	109	6.65	98.8	101	2.35	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	10	104	97.5	6.62	89	91	2.24	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	10	109	103	5.64	94.4	96.1	1.80	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
%SS1:	104	25	101	101	0	102	102	0	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
%SS2:	99	25	98	99	1.04	98	98	0	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE													

#### BATCH 59984 SUMMARY

Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
1107714-001A	07/25/11 3:19 PM	07/27/11	07/27/11 6:35 AM	1107714-002A	07/25/11 3:45 PM	07/27/11	07/27/11 7:13 AM
1107714-003A	07/25/11 4:14 PM	07/27/11	07/27/11 7:52 AM				

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 \* (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 \* (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

Laboratory extraction solvents such as methylene chloride and acetone may occasionally appear in the method blank at low levels.

A QA/QC Officer

DHS ELAP Certification 1644