

Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health

From: Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2009 1:03 PM
To: 'Phillips, Hollis'
Subject: RE: couple of questions and follow - up

Hello Hollis,

The letter you sent is related to RO0002888 which is closed since 1999. But that site was re-opened with a different number (RO0002431), which is still open. I hope that this provides some clarification. All records relating to both cases are on our website listed below.

Sincerely,



Paresh C. Khatri
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Environmental Health
Local Oversight Program
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway
Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Phone: (510) 777-2478
Fax: (510) 337-9335

E-mail: Paresh.Khatri@acgov.org

<http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/lop.htm>

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and protected information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Phillips, Hollis [mailto:Hollis.Phillips@arcadis-us.com]
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2009 12:20 PM
To: Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health
Subject: RE: couple of questions and follow - up

Paresh:

I just want to follow up on Site 11120. As you can see in the attached letter ACEH closed site 11120 in 1999, can you clarify if the site is open or closed?

Hollis

Hollis E. Phillips, PG
Sr. Geologist
ARCADIS-US, Inc.
100 Montgomery St., Ste. 300

San Francisco, CA 94104
415.374.2744 X13
510.219.7764 (cell)
415.374.2745 (fax)
hollis.phillips@arcadis-us.com
www.arcadis-us.com



Please consider the environment before printing this email

From: Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health [mailto:paresh.khatri@acgov.org]
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2009 10:13 AM
To: Phillips, Hollis
Subject: RE: couple of questions and follow - up

Hello Hollis,

The following are responses to your questions.

1. *How is the closure report on 11107 coming?*

The closure summary has been started, but has not been completed. I anticipate that it may take a few more months as I will be working on it as time permits. However, to expedite the closure process, you may submit a case closure summary (in MS Word format), prepared in accordance with the ACEH's Draft closure template (attached). This will aid in expediting ACEH's evaluation of the site. Please contact me should you choose to prepare the summary or have any questions with the attached template. Please focus on the "Maximum Concentrations Before and After cleanup" table and the "Site History and Description of Corrective Actions," as well as the analytical summary tables, as I can fill in the rest of the information.

2. *Have you looked into the possible closure status of 11120?*

The review for this case has not been completed. I anticipate the review to be completed by the end of January 2010. However, to expedite the closure process, you may submit a case closure summary (in MS Word format), as stated above.

3. *Site 11102 MacArthur Blvd – We will be unable to install a well at location SB-10 – MW-5 due to its location on MacArthur. Additionally I'm not sure we could get a drill rig safely in position to install SB-9/MW-4 but we could probably get a GeoProbe. We could get a drill rig in the vicinity of SB-11/MW-6. However I'd prefer not to have two mobs (HSA rig and GeoProbe). Would grab groundwater samples be sufficient to establish that contamination has/has not migrated from the site?*

The intent of the investigation appears to be groundwater contaminant plume delineation. Therefore, "grab" groundwater sample collection through temporary borings in lieu of permanent monitoring points is acceptable. However, ACEH is interested in obtaining analytical data from the SB-10 location, as it is down-gradient of the source areas. Based on a review of aerial photography, installing a boring at the SB-10 location appears feasible with a limited access drill rig. Since permanent monitoring points are not being installed at this time, it would be advantageous to use the limited access drill rig to install a transect of borings along MacArthur Boulevard (in line with SB-10) as well as the other two proposed borings in their respective locations. This should allow for boring installations in a single mobilization. Please note however, that if elevated concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons are detected in "grab" groundwater samples, permanent monitoring points may be necessary.

4. *On July 24, 2009 in a correspondence to inform BP about changes to GW monitoring you indicated Site 11127 (5425 MLK Way, Oakland) was in the process of a case closure review and monitoring was not required. What is up with this site?*

Completion of the case closure review is necessary before decisions can be made regarding site closure. I anticipate the review to be completed by the end of January 2010 with results available a few weeks after. However, as stated above, to expedite the closure process, you may submit a case closure summary (in MS Word format) for review.

Please contact me should you have any questions regarding this e-mail.

Sincerely,



Paresh C. Khatri
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Environmental Health
Local Oversight Program
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway
Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Phone: (510) 777-2478
Fax: (510) 337-9335

E-mail: Paresh.Khatri@acgov.org

<http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/lop.htm>

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and protected information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Phillips, Hollis [mailto:Hollis.Phillips@arcadis-us.com]
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 6:51 PM
To: Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health
Subject: FW: couple of questions and follow - up

Paresh:

Thanks for looking into the closure issues. I have one other site with a closure question – On July 24, 2009 in a correspondence to inform BP about changes to GW monitoring you indicated Site 11127 (5425 MLK Way, Oakland) was in the process of a case closure review and monitoring was not required. What is up with this site?

Also - what about the grab groundwater samples in item 3 listed below?

Hollis E. Phillips, PG
Sr. Geologist
ARCADIS-US, Inc.
100 Montgomery St., Ste. 300
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.374.2744 X13
510.219.7764 (cell)
415.374.2745 (fax)
hollis.phillips@arcadis-us.com
www.arcadis-us.com

From: Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health [mailto:paresh.khatri@acgov.org]
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 12:45 PM
To: Phillips, Hollis
Subject: RE: couple of questions and follow - up

Hello Hollis,

Let me look into the case files regarding the sites and I will get back to you.

Sincerely,

Paresh C. Khatri
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Environmental Health
Local Oversight Program
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway
Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Phone: (510) 777-2478
Fax: (510) 337-9335

E-mail: Paresh.Khatri@acgov.org

<http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/lop.htm>

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and protected information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Phillips, Hollis [mailto:Hollis.Phillips@arcadis-us.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2009 2:09 PM
To: Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health
Subject: couple of questions and follow - up

Paresh:

I just wanted to follow-up on a few sites.

5. How is the closure report on 11107 coming?
6. Have you looked into the possible closure status of 11120
7. Site 11102 MacArthur Blvd – We will be unable to install a well at location SB-10 – MW-5 due to its location on MacArthur. Additionally I'm not sure we could get a drill rig safely in position to install SB-9/MW-4 but we could probably get a GeoProbe. We could get a drill rig in the vicinity of SB-11/MW-6. However I'd prefer not to have two mobs (HSA rig and GeoProbe). Would grab groundwater samples be sufficient to establish that contamination has/has not migrated from the site?

Hollis

Hollis E. Phillips, PG
Sr. Geologist
ARCADIS-US, Inc.
100 Montgomery St., Ste. 300
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.374.2744 X13
510.219.7764 (cell)
415.374.2745 (fax)
hollis.phillips@arcadis-us.com
www.arcadis-us.com



NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are the property of ARCADIS U.S., Inc. and its affiliates. All rights, including without limitation copyright, are reserved. The proprietary information contained in this e-mail message, and any files transmitted with it, is intended for the use of the recipient(s) named above. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this e-mail in error and that any review, distribution or copying of this e-mail or any files transmitted with it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original message and any files transmitted. The unauthorized use of this e-mail or any files transmitted with it is prohibited and disclaimed by ARCADIS U.S., Inc. and its affiliates. Nothing herein is intended to constitute the offering or performance of services where otherwise restricted by law.

Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health

From: Phillips, Hollis [Hollis.Phillips@arcadis-us.com]
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2009 1:17 PM
To: Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health
Subject: RE: couple of questions and follow - up

Pity.....thanks for the clarification

Hollis E. Phillips, PG
Sr. Geologist
ARCADIS-US, Inc.
100 Montgomery St., Ste. 300
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.374.2744 X13
510.219.7764 (cell)
415.374.2745 (fax)
hollis.phillips@arcadis-us.com
www.arcadis-us.com



Please consider the environment before printing this email

From: Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health [mailto:paresh.khatri@acgov.org]
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2009 1:03 PM
To: Phillips, Hollis
Subject: RE: couple of questions and follow - up

Hello Hollis,

The letter you sent is related to RO0002888 which is closed since 1999. But that site was re-opened with a different number (RO0002431), which is still open. I hope that this provides some clarification. All records relating to both cases are on our website listed below.

Sincerely,

Paresh C. Khatri
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Environmental Health
Local Oversight Program
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway
Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Phone: (510) 777-2478
Fax: (510) 337-9335

E-mail: Paresh.Khatri@acgov.org

<http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/lop.htm>

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and protected information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Phillips, Hollis [mailto:Hollis.Phillips@arcadis-us.com]
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2009 12:20 PM
To: Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health
Subject: RE: couple of questions and follow - up

Paresh:

I just want to follow up on Site 11120. As you can see in the attached letter ACEH closed site 11120 in 1999, can you clarify if the site is open or closed?

Hollis

Hollis E. Phillips, PG
Sr. Geologist
ARCADIS-US, Inc.
100 Montgomery St., Ste. 300
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.374.2744 X13
510.219.7764 (cell)
415.374.2745 (fax)
hollis.phillips@arcadis-us.com
www.arcadis-us.com



Please consider the environment before printing this email

From: Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health [mailto:paresh.khatri@acgov.org]
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2009 10:13 AM
To: Phillips, Hollis
Subject: RE: couple of questions and follow - up

Hello Hollis,

The following are responses to your questions.

1. *How is the closure report on 11107 coming?*

The closure summary has been started, but has not been completed. I anticipate that it may take a few more months as I will be working on it as time permits. However, to expedite the closure process, you may submit a case closure summary (in MS Word format), prepared in accordance with the ACEH's Draft closure template (attached). This will aid in expediting ACEH's evaluation of the site. Please contact me should you choose to prepare the summary or have any questions with the attached template. Please focus on the "Maximum Concentrations Before and After cleanup" table and the "Site History and Description of Corrective Actions," as well as the analytical summary tables, as I can fill in the rest of the information.

2. *Have you looked into the possible closure status of 11120?*

The review for this case has not been completed. I anticipate the review to be completed by the end of January 2010. However, to expedite the closure process, you may submit a case closure summary (in MS Word format), as stated above.

3. *Site 11102 MacArthur Blvd – We will be unable to install a well at location SB-10 – MW-5 due to its location on MacArthur. Additionally I'm not sure we could get a drill rig safely in position to install SB-9/MW-4 but we could probably get a GeoProbe. We could get a drill rig in the vicinity of SB-11/MW-6. However I'd prefer not to have two mobs (HSA rig and GeoProbe). Would grab groundwater samples be sufficient to establish that contamination has/has not migrated from the site?*

The intent of the investigation appears to be groundwater contaminant plume delineation. Therefore, "grab" groundwater sample collection through temporary borings in lieu of permanent monitoring points is acceptable. However, ACEH is interested in obtaining analytical data from the SB-10 location, as it is down-gradient of the source areas. Based on a review of aerial photography, installing a boring at the SB-10 location appears feasible with a limited access drill rig. Since permanent monitoring points are not being installed at this time, it would be advantageous to use the limited access drill rig to install a transect of borings along MacArthur Boulevard (in line with SB-10) as well as the other two proposed borings in their respective locations. This should allow for boring installations in a single mobilization. Please note however, that if elevated concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons are detected in "grab" groundwater samples, permanent monitoring points may be necessary.

4. *On July 24, 2009 in a correspondence to inform BP about changes to GW monitoring you indicated Site 11127 (5425 MLK Way, Oakland) was in the process of a case closure review and monitoring was not required. What is up with this site?*

Completion of the case closure review is necessary before decisions can be made regarding site closure. I anticipate the review to be completed by the end of January 2010 with results available a few weeks after. However, as stated above, to expedite the closure process, you may submit a case closure summary (in MS Word format) for review.

Please contact me should you have any questions regarding this e-mail.

Sincerely,



Paresh C. Khatri
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Environmental Health
Local Oversight Program
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway
Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Phone: (510) 777-2478

Fax: (510) 337-9335

E-mail: Paresh.Khatri@acgov.org

<http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/lop.htm>

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and protected information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Phillips, Hollis [mailto:Hollis.Phillips@arcadis-us.com]

Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 6:51 PM

To: Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health
Subject: FW: couple of questions and follow - up

Paresh:

Thanks for looking into the closure issues. I have one other site with a closure question – On July 24, 2009 in a correspondence to inform BP about changes to GW monitoring you indicated Site 11127 (5425 MLK Way, Oakland) was in the process of a case closure review and monitoring was not required. What is up with this site?

Also - what about the grab groundwater samples in item 3 listed below?

Hollis E. Phillips, PG
Sr. Geologist
ARCADIS-US, Inc.
100 Montgomery St., Ste. 300
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.374.2744 X13
510.219.7764 (cell)
415.374.2745 (fax)
hollis.phillips@arcadis-us.com
www.arcadis-us.com



Please consider the environment before printing this email

From: Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health [mailto:paresh.khatri@acgov.org]
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 12:45 PM
To: Phillips, Hollis
Subject: RE: couple of questions and follow - up

Hello Hollis,

Let me look into the case files regarding the sites and I will get back to you.

Sincerely,

Paresh C. Khatri
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Environmental Health
Local Oversight Program
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway
Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Phone: (510) 777-2478
Fax: (510) 337-9335

E-mail: Paresh.Khatri@acgov.org

<http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/lop.htm>

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and protected information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is

prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Phillips, Hollis [mailto:Hollis.Phillips@arcadis-us.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2009 2:09 PM
To: Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health
Subject: couple of questions and follow - up

Paresh:

I just wanted to follow-up on a few sites.

5. How is the closure report on 11107 coming?
6. Have you looked into the possible closure status of 11120
7. Site 11102 MacArthur Blvd – We will be unable to install a well at location SB-10 – MW-5 due to its location on MacArthur. Additionally I'm not sure we could get a drill rig safely in position to install SB-9/MW-4 but we could probably get a GeoProbe. We could get a drill rig in the vicinity of SB-11/MW-6. However I'd prefer not to have two mobs (HSA rig and GeoProbe). Would grab groundwater samples be sufficient to establish that contamination has/has not migrated from the site?

Hollis

Hollis E. Phillips, PG
Sr. Geologist
ARCADIS-US, Inc.
100 Montgomery St., Ste. 300
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.374.2744 X13
510.219.7764 (cell)
415.374.2745 (fax)
hollis.phillips@arcadis-us.com
www.arcadis-us.com



NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are the property of ARCADIS U.S., Inc. and its affiliates. All rights, including without limitation copyright, are reserved. The proprietary information contained in this e-mail message, and any files transmitted with it, is intended for the use of the recipient(s) named above. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this e-mail in error and that any review, distribution or copying of this e-mail or any files transmitted with it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original message and any files transmitted. The unauthorized use of this e-mail or any files transmitted with it is prohibited and disclaimed by ARCADIS U.S., Inc. and its affiliates. Nothing herein is intended to constitute the offering or performance of services where otherwise restricted by law.