
Phone Conversation 5/25/93

Tang Luong, consultant (570) 310-9285
o Juliet Stein

RE: Lurocco Steel, Albany

Mr. Luong called to asked questions
about remedial work at site &
said he would propose two hydro-pneumatics

Phone Conversation 4/8/93

Ron Mayo, Curaco Steel (510) 376-7040
Juliet Shin

RE: 536 Cleveland Ave, Albany

Mr. Mayo called in response to a letter that I recently sent to him regarding groundwater investigations. He described the history of investigations at his site and the type of businesses next door in his argument that no additional work should be required of him. He also stated that he has a buyer for the property, who won't be able to obtain a loan from the bank to purchase property unless the site is certified closed.

He requested that I come out and conduct a site & vicinity reconnaissance to check out how "dirty" the whole area is and why it would be unreasonable to require groundwater investigations.

He said that the neighboring Forge had contamination problems in the past →

and is ^{apparently} continuing to contaminate the area w/ oil & metals. He also mentioned that the man who owns the vacant lot next door drilled holes about 4-5' deep on perimeter of property & it immediately filled up w/ brackish, discolored water. This site places tar down on the ground.

He also mentioned that there's a storm drain located 200 yds. to the north of their property. He stated that a river of oil used to flow behind the plant (i.e. their site), & into this storm drain & out to the bay.

Lastly, he stated that runoff from the highways used to run right onto their property.

Phone Conversation 3/30/93

Robert Elgas, Enviro (510) 655-7400
✓ Juliet Stein

RE: 536 Cleveland Ave., Albany

I asked Mr. Elgas whether additional excavation was conducted around the former OST. He said that two additional phases were conducted and documented in a report dated April 14 '92. The sample result, for the sample collected ^{from} the north wall of the final excavation, identified 16ppm TPH by IR at 2 1/2' depth and 4 1/2 feet beyond where the soil sample was collected from the north wall in the original excavation. The final ^{soil} sample was collected on 2/26/91.

I asked Mr. Elgas if he was aware that a groundwater →

investigation would probably be required at the site due to the contamination identified in pit water samples. He stated that it's difficult to distinguish where groundwater contamination resulted from. The neighboring Southern Pacific site had a cracked underground pipeline that released contamination in the past, and the RWQCB didn't require that any further work be done in 1986 due to the unusable groundwater in the area ~~and the~~ and the clayey soil type.

Mr. Elgas stated that I should contact Mr. Ron Mayo, the property owner for the Curcio Steel site.

200
1/21/86
1/21/86
1/21/86