DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

RAFAT A. SHAHID, ASST. AGENCY DIRECTOR

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Clean Water Programs
UST Local Oversight Program
80 Swan Way, Rm 200
Oakland, CA 94621
(510) 271-4530

# REMEDIAL ACTION COMPLETION CERTIFICATION

StID 3603

July 29, 1994

Mr. Brian Ward US Wind Power 6952 Preston Ave Livermore, CA 94550

Dear Mr. Ward:

This letter confirms the completion of site investigation and remedial action for the former waste oil underground storage tank removed from the above site on March 1990.

Based upon the available information and with the provision that the information provided to this agency was accurate and representative of site conditions, no further action related to the underground tank release is required.

This notice is issued pursuant to a regulation contained in Title 23, Division 3, Chapter 16, Section 2721(e) of the California Code of Regulations. Please contact Ms. Eva Chu at (510) 567-6700 if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Very truly yours,

Rafat A. Shahid

Assistant Agency Director

cc: Edgar B. Howell, Chief, Hazardous Materials Division

Kevin Graves, RWQCB

Mike Harper, SWRCB (with attachment)

files (uswind3)

## CASE CLOSURE SUMMARY Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program

Date: April 22, 1994 AGENCY INFORMATION I.

Agency name: Alameda County-HazMat Address: 80 Swan Wy., Rm 200

City/State/Zip: Oakland Phone: (510) 271-4320
Responsible staff person: Eva Chu Title: Hazardous Materials Spec.

II. CASE INFORMATION

Site facility name: US Wind Power

Site facility address: 17350 Patterson Pass Rd, Livermore 94550

RB LUSTIS Case No: N/A Local Case No./LOP Case No.: 3603 URF filing date: 5/23/00 SWEEPS No: N/A

<u> Addresses:</u> Phone Numbers: Responsible Parties:

1. US Wind Power 6952 Preston Ave Attn. G. Morales Livermore, CA 94550

2. Malgreeney Ranch P.O. Box 2053, Livermore 94550

Contents: <u>Closed in-place</u> <u>Tank</u> <u>Size in</u>

or removed?: No: gal.:

1 550 gallon Waste Oil Removed 3/26/90

# III. RELEASE AND SITE CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION

Cause and type of release: Overfilling tank w/ used transmission fluid

Site characterization complete? YES

Date approved by oversight agency: 4/21/94 Monitoring Wells installed? NO Number:

Proper screened interval? NA

Highest GW depth below ground surface: NA Lowest depth:

Flow direction: NA

Most sensitive current use: Irrigation

Are drinking water wells affected? Unknown Aquifer name: Is surface water affected? NO Nearest affected SW name:

Off-site beneficial use impacts (addresses/locations):

Report(s) on file? YES Where is report(s) filed? Alameda County 80 Swan Wy., Rm 200 Oakland CA 94621

## Treatment and Disposal of Affected Material:

<u>Material</u>	Amount (include units)	Action (Treatment or Disposal w/destination)	<u>Date</u>
Tank Piping Free Product	1 UST V <del>A</del>	Taken to Erickson	3/26/90
Soil Groundwater Barrels	15 cy NA	Bioremediated and left onsite	

Maximum Documented Contaminant Concentrations - - Before and After Cleanup Soil (ppm) Water (ppb) Contaminant Before After Before After TPH (Gas) ND ND NA 14 ND TPH (Diesel) ND ND Benzene Toluene .0087 ND ND ND Ethylbenzene .010 ND Xylenes ND Oil & Grease 700 Heavy metals - none analyzed Other Cl-HC

Comments (Depth of Remediation, etc.):

## IV. CLOSURE

Does completed corrective action protect existing beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? YES

Does completed corrective action protect potential beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? YES

Does corrective action protect public health for current land use? YES

Site management requirements: None

Should corrective action be reviewed if land use changes? YES

Monitoring wells Decommisioned: NA

Number Decommissioned: Number Retained:

List enforcement actions taken: None

List enforcement actions rescinded:

## Treatment and Disposal of Affected Material:

<u>Material</u>	Amount (include units)	Action (Treatment or Disposal w/destination)	<u>Date</u>
Tank Piping Free Product Soil Groundwater Barrels	1 UST	Taken to Erickson	3/26/90
	15 CY	Bioremediated and left onsite	

Maximum Documented Contaminant Concentrations - - Before and After Cleanup Water (ppb) Contaminant Soil (ppm) Before After Before After ND ND TPH (Gas) NA ND TPH (Diesel) 14 ND ND Benzene .0087 ND Toluene ND ND Ethylbenzene .010 ND Xylenes Oil & Grease 700 ND none analyzed Heavy metals -Other Cl-HC

Comments (Depth of Remediation, etc.):

See section VII, additional comments...

#### IV. CLOSURE

Does completed corrective action protect existing beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? YES

Does completed corrective action protect potential beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? YES

Does corrective action protect public health for current land use? YES

Site management requirements: None

Should corrective action be reviewed if land use changes? YES

Monitoring wells Decommisioned: NA

Number Decommisioned: NA Number Retained: NA

List enforcement actions taken: None

List enforcement actions rescinded:

## V. LOCAL AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE DATA

Name: Eva Chu Title: Haz Mat Specialist

Signature: Date:

Reviewed by

Name: Jennifer Eberle Title: Haz Mat Specialist

Signature: Date:

Name: Scott Seery Title: Sr. Haz Mat Specialist

Signature: Date:

VI. RWQCB NOTIFICATION

Date Submitted to RB: RB Response:

RWQCB Staff Name: Kevin Graves Title: AWRCE

Signature: Date:

### VII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, DATA, ETC.

A 550 gallon waste transmission fluid oil UST was removed on 3/26/90. The doubled-walled (STIP-3) tank had no signs of corrosion, but the sides were stained with oil and exhibited a slight hydrocarbon odor. From these observations, soil contamination was most likely due to overfilling of the UST. A soil sample collected at 8.5' depth, beneath the tank exhibited 700 ppm TOG and 14 ppm TPH-D. The pit was overexcavated to 13' depth, where a soil sample analyzed did not exhibit TPH-G, TPH-D, BTEX, or Cl-HC. Even though analysis for TOG, was not performed after overexcavation, At this depth, we have transmission fluid does not contain volatile compounds. Residual TOG at a concentrat, 700 ppm or less, at 137 bga, is not likely to affect human health at this remote area. And it's slow mobility in soil is not likely affect.

Groundwater, which is at least 80' bgs.

15 cy of soil were bioremediated onsite. A discrete sample exhibited 77 ppm TOG and ND for TPH-D. Another soil sample was analyzed using thin layer chromatography by Friedman and Bruya, who report "there was no indication of the presence of saturated hydrocarbons that are major components of petroleum products. There might be low levels of asphalt present in this sample." The bioremediated soil was disposed by spreading onsite.

The site is located on a peak within the Altamont Pass. A steep grade surrounds the site and it is approximately 80' above the closest road, Gomes Blvd. It is believed groundwater is in excess of 30' below grade, based on records provided by Alameda County Zone 7 Water Agency to US Windpower, Soil type found beneath the tank are highly-indurated, folded sandstones of the GVS.

Native lithologies

for a site approximately one wile away and 300' lower in elevation than

With the removal of the UST and contaminated subsurface soil, as well as the apparent depth to groundwater and nature of underlying lithologies, there appears to be minimal threat to groundwater. Groundwater monitoring wells do not appear warranted.

uswind2

## CASE CLOSURE SUMMARY Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program

I. AGENCY INFORMATION Date: June 28, 1994

Alameda County-HazMat Address: 80 Swan Wy., Rm 200

City/State/Zip: Oakland Phone: (510) 271-4320

Responsible staff person: Eva Chu Title: Hazardous Materials Spec.

II. CASE INFORMATION

Site facility name: US Wind Power

Site facility address: 17350 Patterson Pass Rd, Livermore 94550

RB LUSTIS Case No: N/A Local Case No./LOP Case No.: 3603 URF filing date: 5/23/00 SWEEPS No: N/A

Responsible Parties: Addresses: Phone Numbers:

1. US Wind Power 6952 Preston Ave Attn. G. Morales Livermore, CA 94550

2. Malgreeney Ranch P.O. Box 2053, Livermore 94550

Tank Size in Contents: Closed in-place Date:

No: qal.: or removed?:

550 gallon Waste Oil 1 Removed 3/26/90

#### III. RELEASE AND SITE CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION

Cause and type of release: Overfilling tank w/ used transmission fluid

Site characterization complete? YES

Date approved by oversight agency: 4/21/94

Monitoring Wells installed? NO Number:

Proper screened interval? NA

Highest GW depth below ground surface: NA Lowest depth:

Flow direction: NA

Most sensitive current use: Irrigation

Are drinking water wells affected? Unknown Aquifer name:

Is surface water affected? NO Nearest affected SW name: Off-site beneficial use impacts (addresses/locations):

Report(s) on file? YES Where is report(s) filed? Alameda County

80 Swan Wy., Rm 200

Oakland CA 94621

Treatment and Disposal of Affected Material:

Action (Treatment Date Amount Material (include units) or Disposal w/destination) 3/26/90 Taken to Erickson Tank 1 UST Piping Free Product Bioremediated and left onsite 15 cy Soil Groundwater Barrels

Maximum Documented Contaminant Concentrations - - Before and After Cleanup Contaminant Soil (ppm) Water (ppb) Before After Before After TPH (Gas) ND ND NA TPH (Diesel) 14 ND ND ND Benzene .0087 ND Toluene ND Ethylbenzene ND ND .010 Xylenes Oil & Grease 700 NA none analyzed Heavy metals -Other Cl-HC ND

Comments (Depth of Remediation, etc.):

See section VII, additional comments...

#### IV. CLOSURE

Does completed corrective action protect existing beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? YES
Does completed corrective action protect potential beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? YES
Does corrective action protect public health for current land use? YES
Site management requirements: None

Should corrective action be reviewed if land use changes? YES

Monitoring wells Decommisioned: NA

Number Decommissioned: NA Number Retained: NA

List enforcement actions taken: None

List enforcement actions rescinded:

#### V. LOCAL AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE DATA

Name: Eva Chu

Title: Haz Mat Specialist

Signature:

Date: 7/5/94

Reviewed by

Name: Jennifer Eberle

Signature: Walley

Name: Scott Seery

Signature:

VI. RWOCE (NOTIFICATION

Date Submitted to RB: 7/6/94

RWQCB Staff Name: Kevin Graves

Signature:

Title: Haz Mat Specialist

Date: 7-5-94

Title: Sr. Haz Mat Specialist

Date: 7-5-94

RB Response:

Title: AWRCE

Date:

#### VII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, DATA, ETC.

A 550 gallon waste transmission fluid oil UST was removed on 3/26/90. The doubled-walled (STIP-3) tank had no signs of corrosion, but the sides were stained with oil and exhibited a slight hydrocarbon odor. From these observations, soil contamination was most likely due to overfilling of the UST. A soil sample collected at 8.5' depth, beneath the tank exhibited 700 ppm TOG and 14 ppm TPH-D. The pit was overexcavated to 13' depth, where a soil sample analyzed did not exhibit TPH-G, TPH-D, BTEX, or Cl-HC. TOG analysis was not performed after overexcavation. At this depth, however, a concentration of 700 ppm or less is not likely to migrate to any significant degree or, hence, affect human health.

15 cy of soil were bioremediated onsite. A discrete sample exhibited 77 ppm TOG and ND for TPH-D. Another soil sample was analyzed using thin layer chromatography by Friedman and Bruya, who report "there was no indication of the presence of saturated hydrocarbons that are major components of petroleum products. There might be low levels of asphalt present in this sample." The bioremediated soil was disposed by spreading onsite.

The site is located on a peak within the Altamont Pass. A steep grade surrounds the site and it is approximately 80' above the closest road, Gomes Blvd. It is believed groundwater is in excess of 80' below grade, based on records provided by Alameda County Zone 7 Water Agency to US Windpower for a site approximately one mile away and 300' lower in elevation than the subject site. Native lithologies found beneath the site are highly-indurated, folded sandstones of the GVS.

# LOCAL AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE DATA

Name: Eva Chu

Signature:

Reviewed by

Jennifer Eberle Name:

Signature: When

Scott Seery Name:

Signature:

rwoeb (notification

Date Submitted to RB: 7/6/94

RWQCB Staff Name://tevin Graves

Signature:

Title: Haz Mat Specialist

Date: 7/5/94

Title: Haz Mat Specialist

Date: 7-5-94

Title: Sr. Haz Mat Specialist

Date: 7-5-9

RB Response: Approved
Title: AWRCE

VII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, DATA, ETC.

A 550 gallon waste transmission fluid oil UST was removed on 3/26/90. doubled-walled (STIP-3) tank had no signs of corrosion, but the sides were stained with oil and exhibited a slight hydrocarbon odor. From these observations, soil contamination was most likely due to overfilling of the UST. A soil sample collected at 8.5' depth, beneath the tank exhibited 700 ppm TOG and 14 ppm TPH-D. The pit was overexcavated to 13' depth, where a soil sample analyzed did not exhibit TPH-G, TPH-D, BTEX, or CI-HC. analysis was not performed after overexcavation. At this depth, however, a concentration of 700 ppm or less is not likely to migrate to any significant degree or, hence, affect human health.

15 cy of soil were bioremediated onsite. A discrete sample exhibited 77 ppm TOG and ND for TPH-D. Another soil sample was analyzed using thin layer chromatography by Friedman and Bruya, who report "there was no indication of the presence of saturated hydrocarbons that are major components of petroleum products. There might be low levels of asphalt present in this sample." The bioremediated soil was disposed by spreading onsite.

The site is located on a peak within the Altamont Pass. A steep grade surrounds the site and it is approximately 80' above the closest road, Gomes Blvd. It is believed groundwater is in excess of 80' below grade, based on records provided by Alameda County Zone 7 Water Agency, to US Windpower for a site approximately one mile away and 300' lower in elevation than the subject site. Native lithologies found beneath the site are highly-indurated, folded sandstones of the GVS.

With the removal of the UST and contaminated subsurface soil, as well as the apparent depth to groundwater and nature of underlying lithologies, there appears to be minimal threat to groundwater. Groundwater monitoring wells do not appear warranted.

uswind2