

Beacon #546 , Mission Boulevard

5/12/89

Glen Dembroff - He has taken over
CA contaminated sites from Steve Epperson.

15 month time limit specified by
Mr. Sommer to be agreed to by Beacon.
~~Beacon~~ ^{Beacon} hopes that Hayward & RWQCB
intervene if at the end of 15 months
Mr. Sommer wants to remove the well
before

I told him I could not say what more
we could do on the RWQCB to legally
require Sommer to allow a well on his
property or keep a well on his property.
He could follow-up w/ Board.

Mr Lemmes, Holiday Bowl
2-2-89

5/5/89

15 months OK, but will NOT have
open ended time frame at discretion
of agency. Cost is not appropriate.

Beacon @ 29705 Mission Blvd. 9⁰⁰ am 4-28-89

Bill Howell, Applied Geo 651-1906

Plans for ~~draw~~ vertical & lateral extent and remediation?

Bill sent letter to Steve Epperson 3/29

Samples analysis 1 quarterly monitoring report

gradient is flat

proposed well on Industrial

29705
Beacon @ mission

9:5 am

4/29/89

Conversation w/ Steve Epperson (209) 582-0241

Q: Status of remediation?

A: He will review the AGS proposal to decide what to do. Main problem is Sommers won't allow well on his property

Soil back May 2 or 3 (back in excavations)

however the entire depression won't be filled

so plan to use some of soil from Harder & Bishop when it is clean enough

Evacs - combusting soil at Harder & Bishop

soil may be used in a month (3 more weeks)

~ 150 yds → 20% take to Beacon on mission

marc did
4/29/89

→ Ask marc to talk to him

Conversation w/ Bill Howell

2-23-89

1. Bill found out from Egerson that Egerson never sent the draft letter to RWQCB asking them to intervene with Mr. Sommer.
2. Has Beacon sat down with Sommer? A: No
3. Bill has tried to talk with Sommer, however, Bill finds Sommer to be irrational and virtually impossible to deal with.
4. Bill proposes to ask w/ of city assessor this week to see where Sommer's property line ends & what other ^{surrounding} properties are that may be in suitable locations for monitoring. He thinks Industrial may be a suitable location, but then A & G would need some help from the RWQCB or us to get Caltrans to permit a well in the street or sidewalk.
5. Bill to call me next Fri or I to call him regarding what he found ^{out} about property lines.

Call from

2-10-89

Bill Howell, Applied Geosystems
Beacon on Mission ~~case~~ case

Last activity - Letter to RWQCB from
Nov 28 - I was cc Tim or,
Epperson?
I never got a copy.
Bill to ck w/ Epperson to make
sure letter went to Board & me.

Then to let me know.

To do
→

~~I~~ do not hear from Bill Howell,
call him back or call Epperson
2/23

Beacon @ 29705 Mission

12/9/88

1. Try to Call Tim O'Brian 12/9/88
He is out of town until the 12th

- Q1 Soil - plans for removal or backfilling
(Applied ego recommends removal or backfilling)
What does Water Bd recommend?
- Q2 Letter or conv. w/ Reg Bd regarding Mr. Sommers
- Q3 Proceeding on groundwater monitoring?

Beacon @ Harder & Bishop

- Q1. Reviewed Mobil Report?
- Q2. Talked to Regional Bd?
- Q3. Plans to work w/ Mobil on recommendations

2. Try to call Steven Epperson, he is
out ~~until~~ this week. Left a message
for him to call me on the 14th

Conversation w/ Tim O'Brien 11:30-11:45 11-9-88
Applied Geosystems 651-1906

Told Tim to write a request to Lisa McLann,
RQCB, to talk with Mr. Sommer on
Beacon's behalf ^{Lisa} to explain Sommer's potential
liability if he does not allow monitoring wells on his property.
With ~~the~~ the letter, ~~to~~ Tim should ~~of~~ supply
documentation of ~~con~~ information given to
Mr. Sommer and Sommer's response (if poss.)

Tim to CC us; we will get involved
if it ~~we~~ would help

IMPORTANT MESSAGE

FOR Susie

DATE _____ TIME _____ A.M.
P.M.

M. Tim O'Brian

OF Applied Geosystems

PHONE 651-1906

AREA CODE NUMBER EXTENSION

| | | | |
|--------------------|--|-------------------|--|
| TELEPHONED | | PLEASE CALL | |
| CAME TO SEE YOU | | WILL CALL AGAIN | |
| WANTS TO SEE YOU | | RUSH | |
| RETURNED YOUR CALL | | SPECIAL ATTENTION | |

MESSAGE _____

SIGNED _____

LITHO IN U.S.A.

Call to Tom O'Brian, Applied GeoSystems
651-1906

12/24/88

3

Q: recovery well - status
not marked on the proposal

A: recovery well only if necessary after
the monitoring wells

Q: monitoring well status? Drilling begun?

A: Mr Sommers concerned. He was told that one
or two years ^{monitoring} would be necessary. He said
15 months only then wells ^{to be} abandoned.
Bd. may require longer, so Beacon would then

Q: Mr Sommers' status -

A: He was ^{is} concerned still about length of time of wells

Tim's view: His problem is with amt. of time
He is accountant & can't see beyond
one year time

- He plans to die (faciations) this yr.

Q: Soil piles - status (perhaps can be used as negotiating

A: Contamination is gone from them - report out soon. (tip)

→ Me or Hugh → call Lisa McCann

→ Tom O'Brian out of town Thurs. Nov. 3
Glen Dembroff - also can help at A.G. in interim

10/24/88

Discuss with Hugh

done

1. Call Lisa McCann, Reg. Bd
2. Find out who might be mediator
 - i. Reg Bd @ Chamber of Commerce
3. Use soil piles removal as negotiating tip.
4. Explain any liability issues of cooperating now at Beacon's expense @ later when he might inherit some responsibility for clean up

Lisa says that RWQCB has ~~no~~ 10/26/88

No power to force adjacent property to allow wells.

He may inherit the liability, however, eg

If Beacon remediates, to point of ~~cleanup~~ ^{initial contamination} is clean, but later the plume under Sommers moves or is

~~Assess of lease agreement~~ redetected further down gradient, then Sommers could be liable ~~from first~~

Lisa's ideas:

1. Sommers arrange a lease agreement
2. She can talk to Sommers to explain the potential liability.

Hugh says he doesn't want this →