Atlantic Richfield Company

Shannon CouchOperations Project Manager

PO Box 1257 San Ramon, CA 94583 Phone: (925) 275-3804 Mobile: (510) 798-8314 E-Mail: Shannon.Couchl@bp.com

June 19, 2013

Re: Addendum to the Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan

Atlantic Richfield Company Station #2111 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California

ACEH Case #RO0000494

"I declare, that to the best of my knowledge at the present time, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document are true and correct."

Submitted by,

Shannon Couch

Operations Project Manager

Attachment:

RECEIVED

By Alameda County Environmental Health at 11:10 am, Jun 27, 2013



Prepared for

Ms. Shannon Couch Operations Project Manager Atlantic Richfield Company P.O. Box 1257 San Ramon, California 94583

ADDENDUM TO THE REVISED SOIL & GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION WORK PLAN

Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

Prepared by



875 Cotting Lane, Suite G Vacaville, California 95688 (707) 455-7290 www.broadbentinc.com

June 19, 2013

Project No. 06-88-615



June 19, 2013

Project No. 06-88-615

Atlantic Richfield Company P.O. Box 1257 San Ramon, CA 94583 Submitted via ENFOS

Attn.: Ms. Shannon Couch

Re:

Addendum to the Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Atlantic Richfield

Company Station No. 2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California; ACEH Case

No.RO0000494

Dear Ms. Couch:

Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (Broadbent) is pleased to submit this Addendum to the Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan for Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2111 located at 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California (Site). This document was prepared to evaluate current Site conditions and define the downgradient extent of hydrocarbons in groundwater. After the completion of the Conceptual Site Model, Broadbent is proposing to move forward with the scope of work described in the Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan (Broadbent, 2013). In addition, Broadbent proposes to advance three soil borings onsite and perform additional data evaluations to close Site data gaps.

Should you have questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us at (707) 455-7290.

TIDWELL lo.__969 CERTIFIED HYDRO

Sincerely,

BROADBENT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Alejandra Hernandez Project Geologist

Kristene Tidwell, P.G., C.HG.

Senior Geologist

Enclosures

cc: Ms. Dilan Roe, Alameda County Environmental Health (Submitted via ACEH ftp site)

Electronic copy uploaded to GeoTracker

ADDENDUM TO THE REVISED SOIL & GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION WORK PLAN

Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000494

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 SITE BACKGROUND	
3.0 REVISED PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK	2
4.0 DATA OBJECTIVES	2
5.0 LIMITATIONS	
6.0 REFERENCES	

DRAWINGS

- 1-Site Location Map
- 2-Site Map with Monitoring Well, Historical Boring, and Soil Vapor Sampling Locations
- 3-Site Map with Proposed Soil Boring Locations
- 4-Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Map
- 5-GRO Isoconcentration Contour Map
- 6-Benzene Isoconcentration Contour Map
- 7-MTBE Isoconcentration Contour Map

TABLES

- 1 Conceptual Site Model
- 2 Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses
- 3 Summary of Fuel Additives Analytical Data
- 4 Historic Groundwater Gradient Direction and Magnitude

APPENDICES

- A Recent Regulatory Correspondence
- B Summary of Previous Site Activities
- C Historical Site and Offsite Data
- D Soil Boring and Well Construction Logs
- E Geologic Cross-Sections
- F GRO, Benzene, and MTBE Concentration Trend Graphs

ADDENDUM TO THE REVISED SOIL & GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION WORK PLAN Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California Fuel Leak Case No. R00000494

1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of the Atlantic Richfield Company (ARC)- a BP affiliated company, Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (Broadbent) has prepared this Addendum to the Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan (Addendum) for the Atlantic Richfield Company (ARC) Station No. 2111 (Site), located at 1156 Davis Street, San Lendro, California (Site). The initial Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan (2009 Work Plan; Broadbent, 2009) was prepared in response to a July 9, 2009 directive letter from Mr. Paresh Khatri of Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH), provided within Appendix A. Since 2009, Site conditions, regulatory oversight, and the regulations have changed. For these reasons a document entitled Revised Soil and Groundwater Investigation Work Plan dated October 25, 2012 (2012 Work Plan) was submitted to the ACEH. This October 2012 Work Plan was rejected by the ACEH and a revised Work Plan was requested in an email from the ACEH dated January 14, 2013. An additional Revised Soil and Groundwater Investigation Work Plan dated February 28, 2013 (2013 Work Plan) was submitted to the ACEH in response to the January 14, 2013 ACEH email. A subsequent email from the ACEH was received on February 21, 2013. This email requested Conceptual Site Models (CSMs) with all Work Plan submittals, which had not been previously required. This document, Addendum to the Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan and Conceptual Site Model (Work Plan Addendum and CSM) is intended to satisfy all of the remaining ACEH requirements in order to proceed with field activities. Recent regulatory correspondence (2009 to present) is presented in Appendix A. Previous environmental activities performed at the Site are summarized in Appendix B.

The purpose of this document is to summarize and present current Site conditions in the form of a CSM and evaluate these conditions and any potential data gaps in order to move the Site towards closure based on the Low Threat UST Closure Policy. The CSM is included in Table 1 of this Work Plan Addendum and CSM. This CSM summarizes current Site conditions and identifies any and all data gaps. Investigation activities in addition to those described in the previous 2012 and 2013 Work Plans are proposed herein to close any and all Site data gaps. These additional activities include:

- Advancing one onsite boring
- Conduct a preferential pathway study.

These activities are being proposed in addition to the those described in the 2013 Work Plan. These additional activities are described in Section 3.0.

2.0 SITE BACKGROUND

Station No. 2111 is located at 1156 Davis Street in San Leandro, California. It is an active ARCO branded gasoline station. Current improvements at the Site include two gasoline underground storage tanks (USTs) believed to have been installed in 2000, two fuel dispenser islands with a total of four double-sided dispensers, and a convenience store building. The majority of the Site surface is paved with asphalt and concrete. The Site is bound by Preda Street to the east, Davis Street to the south, single-family residential dwellings to the north and the First Christian Church property immediately to the west. Other solvent sites, which include perchloroethylene (PCE) and trichloroethylene (TCE), are present in the area. A regional groundwater contamination map, provided by the SFRWQCB in the San Leandro Times on June 5, 1993, is provided in Appendix C. A Site Location Map is provided as Drawing 1.

June 19, 2013 Page 2

A Site Plan depicting current well locations is provided as Drawing 2. Proposed soil boring locations are presented in Drawing 3. A Groundwater Elevation Contour Map depicting the most current groundwater data (February 7, 2013) is provided as Drawing 4. Isoconcentration contour maps for GRO, benzene, and MTBE are provided as Drawings 5 through 7, respectively. A summary of previous environmental activities performed at the Site is provided in Appendix B.

The regional geology and hydrogeology are provided in the CSM table (Table 1). Current and historic analytical groundwater monitoring data is presented in Tables 2 and 3. Historic groundwater gradient with direction and magnitude is presented as Table 4. Historical Site data, including historical drawings, soil and groundwater analytical data, and a regional groundwater contamination map for offsite and regional wells are provided in Appendix C. Copies of available soil boring and monitoring well construction logs are provided within Appendix D. Copies of geologic cross-sections for the Site are provided in Appendix E. GRO, benzene, and MTBE concentration trend graphs for wells MW-2, MW-5, MW-7, and MW-8 are provided in Appendix F.

3.0 REVISED PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK

This scope of work is being proposed in order to close any potential data gaps to move this Site towards closure based on the Low Threat UST Closure Policy. Broadbent proposes to implement the scope of work in a phased approach as described in the 2013 Revised Work Plan. As described in this 2013 Revised Work Plan, the first phase of this investigation consist of drilling and sampling six offsite borings to further characterize groundwater downgradient of the Site. In the 2013 Revised Work Plan, the proposed six soil borings were labeled SB-1 through SB-6; however, due to the presence of historic borings SB-1 and SB-2 and to avoid confusion, the proposed soil borings will be renamed SB-3 through SB-8. In addition, two onsite boring (SB-9 and SB-10) will be advanced during the first phase to evaluate the effectiveness of the DPE system in remediating the soil and groundwater adjacent to the USTs (SB-9), and to evaluate potentially suspect data collected from well MW-8 (SB-10; see Table 1 for discussion). Proposed onsite and offsite boring locations are presented in Drawing 3.

Additional efforts will be made to contact the owners of wells located within 1,000 feet from the Site to determine their existence and use. Contingent to results from the onsite borings from the first phase, the second phase of this investigation will consist of installing onsite and offsite soil vapor borings. Based on results from the first phase, a preferential pathway study will be performed to evaluate the migration, if any, from the Site plume into utility trenches. Preliminary activities, permitting, and notifications will be conducted as detailed in the 2013 Revised Work Plan. Detailed process, procedures, and activities of the proposed scope of work can be found in the 2013 Revised Work Plan.

4.0 DATA OBJECTIVES

As described above, the proposed soil boring investigation intends to close any potential data gaps to move this Site towards closure based on the Low Threat UST Closure Policy. The data objectives for each specific proposed soil boring are summarized in the following table. The locations of the proposed borings are included in Drawing 3.

Page 3

Table A – Data Objectives for CPT Boring Locations

Proposed CPT Boring	Location	Data Objective
SB-3	Offsite in alley between Site and downgradient apartment building; adjacent to former boring H-2 where historically, high concentrations of petroleum compounds were reported.	Evaluate current offsite petroleum concentrations in groundwater for both lateral and vertical definition; Assess the need for downgradient soil vapor sampling to evaluate risks to offsite residents
SB-4	Offsite in cul-de-sac on Douglas Ct	Define the downgradient extent of petroleum in groundwater associated with the Site; assess potential risks to downgradient receptors
SB-5	Offsite near the commercial building complex west of the Site	Evaluate current offsite petroleum concentrations in groundwater for lateral distribution
SB-6	Offsite in alley between Site and downgradient apartment building	Evaluate current offsite petroleum concentrations in groundwater for lateral distribution
SB-7	Offsite in alley between Site and downgradient apartment building	Evaluate potential current offsite risks
SB-8	Offsite in alley between Site and downgradient apartment building	Evaluate potential current offsite risks
SB-9	Onsite near area of former high concentration of petroleum compounds in soil prior to remediation	Evaluate current soil concentrations near source are; assess the effectiveness of soil remediation in source area
SB-10	Onsite, near well MW-8	Assess validity of suspect data collected from well MW-8

5.0 LIMITATIONS

The findings presented in this document are based upon: observations of field personnel from previous consultants, the points investigated, and results of analytical tests performed by various laboratories.


Page 4


Our services were performed in accordance with the generally accepted standard of practice at the time this document was written. No other warranty, expressed or implied was made. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of BP. It is possible that variations in soil or groundwater conditions could exist beyond points explored in this investigation. Also changes in site conditions could occur in the future due to variations in rainfall, temperature, regional water usage, or other factors.


6.0 REFERENCES


Broadbent & Associates, Inc., 28 February 2013. Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Atlantic Richfield Company Station #2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California.


SFRWQCB in the San Leandro Times, 5 June 1993. *California environmental Protection Agency Department of Toxic Substance Control, Caterpillar Fact Sheet No. 1.*

















CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Geology and Hydrogeology	Regional	According to the East Bay Plain Groundwater Basin Beneficial Use Evaluation Report (SFRWQCB, June 1999), the Site is located within the San Leandro Sub-Area, near the northern boundary of the San Lorenzo Sub-Area, in the East Bay Plain of the San Francisco Basin. These Sub-Areas share the same hydrogeologic characteristics, yet are separated by the junction of the surface trace between the San Leandro and San Lorenzo alluvial fans. These Sub-Areas consist primarily of alluvial fan sediments with the distinction of the Yerba Buena Mud extending west into the San Leandro and San Lorenzo Sub-Areas, unlike the northern Sub-Areas. The Yerba Buena Mud forms a major aquitard between the shallow and deep aquifers throughout much of southwestern area of the East Bay Plain. The San Leandro and San Lorenzo Sub-Areas alluvial fans are finer grained and produce less groundwater than the Niles Cone basin to the south. Geologic data derived from on-site borings indicate unconsolidated sediments consisting of silts and clays from two to 40 ft bgs. Poor and well graded sands, and sandy clay zones underlies and overlies these silty clays and silts. Soil boring and well construction logs are provided in Appendix D. Copies of geologic cross-sections for the Site are provided in Appendix E.	None	NA
	Site	Sediments encountered during previous Site investigations consists of silty clay and clayey silt near the surface to approximately 20 ft bgs. Varying thicknesses of beds and lenses of clay, clayey sand, sandy clay, sandy silts, gravel, and gravely sand are located below the silty clay and clayey silt layer to maximum depth explored (40.5 ft bgs). However, the lithology observed during the advancement of monitoring well MW-8 may not be representative of current Site conditions. This observation is based on inconsistencies in the logging and well construction data noted in the boring for	Yes	Advance boring next to well MW-8

CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Geology and Hydrogeology (continued)	Site (continued)	well MW-8 (Appendix D). An additional boring will be advance near well MW-8 to confirm lithology and collect a grab-groundwater sample.		
		Towards the eastern portion of the Site, and across Preda Street, the underlying layer from the surface to 25 ft bgs consists of beds and lenses of varying thicknesses of clay, clayey gravel, and sandy clay. The groundwater was first encountered in soil at an approximate depth ranging from 13.5 to 17.5 ft bgs. Lithologic cross-sections are included in Appendix E. The lithology represented in the cross-sections is consistent with the geologic environment of alluvial deposits and consistent with the regional geologic environment. Historical depth to groundwater in Site wells have ranged from 10.56 to 24.10 ft bgs. Average groundwater flow direction is to the west and is shown on the groundwater contour map (Drawing 3).		
Surface Water Bodies		Preliminary results of a Sensitive Receptor Survey performed by Closure Solutions, Inc. on October 2012 identified the nearest surface water body as the San Leandro Creek, located approximately 1,600 ft north and cross-gradient of the Site. The San Leandro Creek eventually empties into the Pacific Ocean at San Leandro Bay located approximately 2.6 mile northwest of the Site.	None	NA
Nearby Wells		Preliminary results of a Sensitive Receptor Survey performed by Closure Solutions, Inc. on October 2012 identified the presence of 10 wells within a half-mile radius. Two of the 10 wells are located within 1,000 ft from the Site. The closest well, located approximately 850 ft west-northwest and downgradient of the Site, appears to be a domestic well. The second well, located 950 ft southeast and upgradient of the Site, is of unknown use. An effort will be made to contact the residents of the address where wells are suspected to be located and determine the presence and/or purpose and	Potential	Contact well owners to verify use of domestic wells

CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Nearby Wells (continued)		extent of its use. In addition, in an article of the Caterpillar Fact Sheet No.1, released by the SFRWQCB in the San Leandro Times on June 5, 1993, the residents were warned not to use their private wells because of PCE/TCE found in groundwater (Appendix C). Furthermore, it was noted that private wells are used for irrigation and gardening purposes; therefore, it is not believed there is a significant health risk associated with using groundwater for irrigation.		
Constituents of Concern	Light-Non Aqueous Phase Liquid (LNAPL)	Periodically between January 28, 1999 and October 24, 2006, measurable LNAPL had been observed in monitoring well MW-2. LNAPL was also detected in well MW-7 on February 9, 2000; however, LNAPL has not been observed prior to or after the February 9, 2000 detection. Thus, the single observation of LNAPL may be an isolated event. It is possible that the isolated LNAPL observation in well MW-7 may be related to a surface incident. Measurable LNAPL has not been observed in any groundwater monitoring well since October 24, 2006.	None	NA
	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	Historically, concentrations of GRO have been detected in monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-5 and MW-7 through MW-8. Concentrations of GRO in well MW-6 have not been detected, with the exception of one detection on July 23, 2010 at 210 μ g/L. Since concentrations of GRO have not been observed prior to and after the July 23, 2010 sampling event in well MW-6, it is assumed that the single detection was an anomaly. A historical maximum concentration of GRO was reported in well MW-2 at 160,000 μ g/L in January 28, 1999. Maximum detected concentration within the last four monitoring events was reported in well MW-7 at 480 μ g/L, indicating a strong decreasing GRO trend over time.	Yes	Advance down- gradient borings
		four monitoring events was reported in well MW-7 at 480 μg/L, indicating a strong		

CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Constituents of Concern (continued)	GRO (continued)	the south near the two dispenser islands and to the west (downgradient) of the Site. Historic and current groundwater gradient has generally been to the west and the Site GRO plume has decreased significantly over time and will continue to degrade; therefore, migration up-gradient (south and southeast) of the Site is not anticipated. However, offsite and downgradient (to the west) migration has not been fully delineated. Maximum concentration of GRO was observed in boring H-2 at a concentration of 260,000 $\mu g/L$. Additional downgradient borings will be advanced west of the Site to further delineate the extent of the GRO plume. A GRO isoconcentration contour map for the most recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event (1Q13) is presented as Drawing 4. GRO concentration trend graphs for wells MW-2, MW-5, MW-7, and MW-8 are included in Appendix F. These graphs show a strong decreasing trend for GRO in all Site wells, indicating a shrinking plume.		
	Benzene	Historically, concentrations of benzene have been detected in monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-4 and MW-7. Benzene concentrations have been detected sporadically and in low concentrations in wells MW-5 and MW-8, and have not been detected in well MW-6. Historical maximum concentration of benzene was reported in well MW-2 at 6,900 μ g/L in June 25, 1999. Detected concentrations within the last four monitoring events have been reported at less than 10 μ g/L, indicating a strong decreasing benzene trend over time. Based on recent and historical data, the benzene plume has been delineated, except for a minor concentration in well MW-7. During the recent downgradient investigation, benzene was not detected above the specific laboratory method detection limit; however, the method detection limit for benzene in boring H-2 was <500 μ g/L (Appendix C). Additional downgradient borings will be advanced west of	Yes	Advance down- gradient borings

CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Constituents of Concern (continued)	Benzene (continued)	the Site to further delineate the extent of the benzene plume. A benzene isoconcentration contour map for the most recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event (1Q13) is presented as Drawing 5. Benzene concentration trend graphs for wells MW-2, MW-5, MW-7, and MW-8 are included in Appendix F. These graphs show a strong decreasing trend for benzene in all Site wells, indicating a shrinking plume.		
	Methyl tert- butyl ether (MTBE)	Historically, concentrations of MTBE have been detected in monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-5 and MW-7 through MW-8. MTBE concentrations have been detected sporadically and in low concentrations in well MW-6. Historical maximum concentration of MTBE was reported in well MW-7 at 120,000 $\mu g/L$ in October 9, 2002. Maximum detected concentration within the last four monitoring events was reported in well MW-7 at 210 $\mu g/L$, indicating a strong decreasing MTBE trend over time. In all monitoring wells, with the exception of monitoring well MW-7, current concentrations of MTBE did not exceed 5 $\mu g/L$, indicating that MTBE in groundwater has almost completely degraded over time.	Yes	Advance down- gradient borings
		Based on recent and historical data, the MTBE plume has been delineated, except in well MW-7 and west (downgradient) of the Site. Maximum concentration of MTBE was observed in a grab-groundwater sample collected in March 2004 from boring H-2 at a concentration of 7,600 μ g/L. Additional downgradient borings will be advanced west of the Site to further delineate the extent of the MTBE plume. An MTBE isoconcentration contour map for the most recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event (1Q13) is presented as Drawing 6. MTBE concentration trend graphs for wells MW-2, MW-5, MW-7, and MW-8 are included in Appendix F. These graphs show a strong decreasing trend for MTBE in all Site wells, indicating a shrinking plume.		

CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Potential Sources	Onsite	The exact release source and volume released at the Site is unknown; however, it is assumed that the source was the former UST and former waste oil tank complex located at the southeastern and northern portion of the Site, respectively. These assumptions are supported by historical data including proximity to historical free product and higher dissolved-phase petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations. Additional areas of documented soil contamination occurred beneath a hydraulic hoist, dispensers, and associated product pipelines, particularly the northwestern and southern end of the Site, respectively. An unknown amount of residual petroleum hydrocarbon contamination is presently within the soil matrix in these areas, and dissolved in groundwater beneath and downgradient of the Site. A fluctuating groundwater table has likely caused a contaminant smear zone where the residual hydrocarbon mass remains. However, the trends for the residual petroleum compounds in groundwater indicate that the concentrations in this smear zone have degraded over time and are impacting the groundwater beneath the Site to a far lesser degree than in the past, and will likely continue to degrade over time (Appendix F).	None	NA
		The removal and/or replacement of the hydraulic hoist, waste-oil tank, storage, and dispensing system was conducted to stop the potential release. The removal of the hydraulic hoist activities were documented in the <i>Letter Report of The Results of Soil Sampling Associated with Hydraulic Hoist Removal</i> , ARCO Service Station 2111 (GSI, 1993). The removal of the waste-oil tank activities were documented in the <i>Report for Waste-Oil Tank Removal Activities at ARCO Station 2111</i> . The UST removal activities were documented in the <i>Tank Basin, Product Line, and Dispenser Island Sampling Results, ARCO Station No. 2111</i> (Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc., 2001).		

CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Potential Sources (continued)	Offsite	An offsite potential petroleum source has not been identified near the Site. However, several chlorinated solvent sites are present in the area. A Regional Groundwater Contamination map provided by the SFRWQCB is presented in Appendix C. One site was identified as a Former Caterpillar Manufacturing Site located approximately 1,800 ft northeast (upgradient) from the Site (San Leandro Times, 1993). The Former Caterpillar Site could be a potential source of chlorinated solvents and various other contaminants to the Site and offsite receptors.	None	NA
Nature and Extent of Environmental Impacts	Extent in Soil	Soil appears defined at the Site. Downgradient soil borings MW-1 and MW-5, installed by EMCON in 1995 and 1996, respectively, had no detections of petroleum hydrocarbons. Crossgradient soil borings MW-3 and MW-4, installed by EMCON in 1995, had no detections of petroleum hydrocarbons. Upgradient soil boring MW-6, performed by EMCON in 1996, had no detections of petroleum hydrocarbons. Based on historical data, the highest concentrations of GRO and benzene were detected at the southern portion of the Site, near the southern dispenser island and the northern end of the former UST complex. The highest concentrations were generally reported at approximately 15 ft bgs, which is consistent with the capillary fringe zone at the Site. A DPE system operated on Site between 2007 and 2009. Additional soil borings have not been advanced in the areas of historical high petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations to evaluate the effect of the remediation system. The highest GRO concentration (1,100 mg/kg) in soil was detected on the northern end of the former UST complex. Soil was defined laterally to non-detect for all petroleum compounds to the north (MW-3 and MW-4), northeast (MW-6), northwest (MW-8), and southwest (MW-5). Soil has not been defined laterally to the south and southeast. Lowest GRO concentrations to the south and south-east are 230 mg/kg and 76 mg/kg, respectively. In addition, the Site petroleum hydrocarbon plume has decreased	Possible	Additional soil borings to evaluate the effect of the DPE system.

CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Nature and	Extent in Soil	significantly over time and will continue to degrade; therefore, migration upgradient		
Extent of	(continued)	(south and southeast) of the Site is not anticipated.		
Environmental				
Impacts		The source areas have been removed and these concentrations were representative		
(continued)		of overall soil concentrations at the time of sampling, it is likely that these		
		concentrations have further attenuated over the last 15 years.		
	Extent in	The groundwater monitoring network at the Site includes source area wells (MW-2,	Yes	Advance
	Shallow	MW-7, and MW-8); an upgradient well (MW-6); crossgradient wells (MW-3 and		down-
	Groundwater	MW-4); and downgradient wells (MW-1 and MW-5). Isoconcentration maps for the		gradient
		most recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event (1Q13) for GRO, benzene,		borings
		and MTBE are included as Drawings 4 through 6, respectively. Concentrations of		
		petroleum hydrocarbon have decreased significantly in all monitoring wells since their		
		initial sampling (Tables 2 and 3, and Appendix F). In addition, monitoring wells MW-1		
		and MW-3 through MW-6 did not contain any petroleum hydrocarbon compounds		
		over the last four groundwater monitoring events, with the exception of minor		
		detections (<0.9 μg/L)of MTBE in MW-5. Based on these data, the extent of		
		petroleum compounds is well defined to the north (MW-3 and MW-4), northeast		
		(MW-6), northwest (MW-1 and MW-8), and southwest (MW-5), and is predominately		
		limited to onsite, with the exception of the southern and western extend of the plume		
		which has not been fully delineated. However, based on the observed decreasing		
		trends, the extent of petroleum compounds is small and the plume appears to be		
		shrinking and will continue to degrade (Appendix F). In addition, historic and current		
		groundwater gradient has predominately been to the west; therefore, migration		
		upgradient (south and southeast) of the Site is not anticipated. However, offsite and		
		downgradient (to the west) migration has not been fully delineated. Maximum		

CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Nature and Extent of Environmental Impacts (continued)	Extent in Shallow Groundwater (continued)	concentrations of GRO and MTBE were observed in a grab-groundwater sample collected from boring H-2 at 260,000 μ g/L and 7,600 μ g/L, respectively. Additional downgradient borings will be advanced west of the Site to further delineate the extent of the petroleum hydrocarbon plume in that area. Free product was last observed at the Site in 2006 and dissolved petroleum concentrations are decreasing.		
	Extent in Deeper Groundwater	The extent of environmental impact in deeper groundwater was investigated offsite in downgradient borings (H-4 and H-5) performed in 2004 (URS, 2004). Five discrete grab-groundwater samples were collected from each boring at depths ranging between 27 and 40 ft bgs. Hydrocarbon concentrations were not detected at any depth, with the exception of one GRO detection of 53 μ g/L in H-5-40 and one total xylenes detection of 0.72 μ g/L in H-4-27. Based on the low to no concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in the deep zone borings, it appears that the migration from the Site to deeper zone aquifers has not occurred.	None	NA
	Extent in Soil Vapor	A soil vapor assessment has not been performed at the Site. However, four onsite vapor extraction wells (VW-1 through VW-4) were installed near the former UST complex and dispenser islands in 1996 (EMCON, 1996). The locations of the vapor extraction wells are indicated in Drawing 2. During a pilot test, performed in 2002 by Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc., soil vapor samples were collected from wells VW-2 and MW-7, located in the source area (Delta, 2002). The samples were analyzed for petroleum compounds including GRO, BTEX, and MTBE. Analytical results from the last day of the pilot test had maximum detections of GRO at 190 ppmv, benzene at 3.9 ppmv, toluene at 2.3 ppmv, ethylbenzene at 1.9 ppmv, total xylenes at 4.2 ppmv,	Possible	Advance borings to evaluate soil vapor

CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Nature and Extent of Environmental Impacts (continued)	Extent in Soil Vapor (continued)	and MTBE at 128 ppmv. It is possible that higher petroleum impacts are present near the source areas; however, since sampling occurred in 2002, much of the soil in these areas have been treated by the operation of a DPE system. Additional soil borings may need to be advanced near the former UST complex to evaluate the extent, if any, of soil vapor and to evaluate the effect of the DPE system at the Site. This data gap will be addressed after the current proposed scope of work is carried out.		
Migration Pathways	Potential Conduits	A potential transmissive conduit study has not been performed on Site. Thus, there is a potential for sewer and/or storm drains to be located along Preda and Davis Street. However, sewer and storm drains generally tend to be shallow (above 10 ft bgs), and depth to groundwater at the Site is between 10.56 and 24.10 ft bgs. Therefore, migration through the utility trenches is unlikely to occur. Furthermore, current and historic groundwater gradient is predominately to the west, denoting that groundwater flow is moving away from Preda and Davis Street. In addition, groundwater monitoring data from well MW-6, located upgradient of the Site and across Preda Street, has not contained any hydrocarbon concentrations since its installation, with the exception of detections of GRO and TBA in July 2010 and MTBE in April 2002, indicating migration through the utility trenches have not likely occurred.	Possible	Perform a preferential pathway study
Potential Receptors	Onsite	No onsite water supply wells or surface water exists. The only potential onsite receptor would be onsite workers exposed to gasoline vapors. However, the exposure from current fueling operations represents a greater risk than any associated with potential groundwater or soil vapor exposure (State Water Resources Control Board, 2012).	None	NA

CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Potential Receptors	Offsite	As discussed above, the nearest surface water body is the San Leandro Creek, located approximately 1,600 ft north and crossgradient of the Site.	Possible	Offsite borings
(continued)		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		down-
,		Results of a receptor survey noted above indicate two wells were identified within		gradient o
		1,000 ft from the Site. Efforts will be made to contact the residents of the address		the Site
		where wells are suspected to be located and determine the presence and/or purpose and extent of its use.		
		Review of available satellite images (Google Maps or equivalent) was conducted to		
		identify any sensitive land uses such as schools, day care facilities, hospitals, or elder care facilities within 500 ft of the Site. Two facilities were identified: Davis Street		
		Children Center; and WellBound of San Leandro. Davis Street Children Center is		
		located at 1190 Davis Street, San Leandro, California, adjacent to and west of the Site.		
		Additional offsite soil borings need to be performed to evaluate the extent of		
		petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations near the children's center. WellBound of		
		San Leandro, a Satellite Healthcare which provides personalized training and support		
		for dialysis patients, is located at 1040 Davis Street, Suite 101, San Leandro, California		
		approximately 450 ft east (up-gradient) of the Site. Monitoring well MW-6, located east of the Site, has not contained concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons since its		
		installation, with the exception of minor and sporadic GRO, MTBE, and TBA		
		concentrations. Which indicates plume migrations to the east (upgradient) has not		
		occurred. In addition, the Site petroleum hydrocarbon plume has decreased		
		significantly over time and will continue to degrade; therefore, migration up-gradient		
		of the Site is not anticipated.		

CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

Notes:

ARCO = Atlantic Richfield Company

bgs = below ground surface

BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes

CSM = Conceptual Site Model

DPE = Dual-phase extraction

ft = foot

GRO = Gasoline Range Organics

GSI = GeoStrategies, Inc.

LNAPL = Light-Non Aqueous Phase Liquid

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl Ether

No. = Number

PCE = Perchloroethylene

ppmv = parts per million by volume

SFRWQCB = California Regional Water Quality Control Board – San Francisco Bay Region

TBA = tert-butyl alcohol

TCE = trichloroethylene

UST = Underground Storage Tank

μg/L = micrograms per liter

All report references are included in Section 6 of the preceding report

Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in μg	:/L				
Well ID and		TOC	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-1															
6/26/2000		39.60	12.50	26.00	16.46	23.14									
7/20/2000			12.50	26.00	16.89	22.71	360	110	<0.5	<0.5	2.7	2,100			
9/19/2000			12.50	26.00	17.62	21.98	290	76	<0.5	<0.5	2.3	1,500			
12/21/2000			12.50	26.00	17.39	22.21	257	64	2.89	1.31	4.57	1,080/1,060			
3/13/2001			12.50	26.00	15.70	23.90	<500	52.5	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	1,430/1,370			
9/18/2001			12.50	26.00	18.24	21.36	<500	64	7.3	<5.0	52	810/1,100			
12/28/2001			12.50	26.00	15.95	23.65	<500	<5.0	<5.0	5	22	1,200/1,100			
3/14/2002			12.50	26.00	16.01	23.59	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	34/40			
4/23/2002			12.50	26.00	15.43	24.17	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	30			
7/17/2002	NP		12.50	26.00	17.50	22.10	<50	1.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	29	6.9	6.9	
10/9/2002			12.50	26.00	18.27	21.33	240	4.9	<1.0	4.1	7.0	290	6.5	6.5	С
1/13/2003			12.50	26.00	15.37	24.23	760	34	11	17	56	300	6.8	6.8	С
04/07/03			12.50	26.00	16.61	22.99	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	22	6.8	6.8	
7/9/2003			12.50	26.00	17.27	22.33	<2,500	<25	<25	<25	<25	690	6.7	6.7	
02/05/2004	NP	39.49	12.50	26.00	16.28	23.21	2,800	31	<25	<25	<25	1,100	0.9	6.5	m
04/05/2004	NP		12.50	26.00	16.25	23.24	5,800	46	<25	<25	<25	1,700	1.0		
07/13/2004	NP		12.50	26.00	17.57	21.92	<1,000	<10	<10	<10	<10	730	0.5	6.6	
11/04/2004	NP		12.50	26.00	17.78	21.71	560	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	380	0.8	6.5	
01/20/2005	NP		12.50	26.00	15.50	23.99	670	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	570	0.6	6.0	
04/11/2005	NP		12.50	26.00	14.82	24.67	<2,500	<25	<25	<25	25	1,100	0.9	6.9	
08/01/2005	NP		12.50	26.00	16.77	22.72	2,200	33	<10	110	<10	1,400	1.27	7.3	
10/21/2005	NP		12.50	26.00	17.71	21.78	<2,500	<25	<25	<25	<25	970	1.17	6.6	
01/18/2006	NP		12.50	26.00	14.70	24.79	300	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	330	1.07	6.6	n
04/14/2006	NP		12.50	26.00	13.41	26.08	330	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	310	0.79	6.6	
7/19/2006	NP		12.50	26.00	15.86	23.63	<250	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	180	1.2	6.7	q
10/24/2006	Р		12.50	26.00	17.15	22.34	710	4.2	<2.5	19	13	360		6.68	
1/15/2007	Р		12.50	26.00	16.81	22.68	470	2.8	<2.5	14	8.4	220	1.14	7.12	
4/18/2007	NP		12.50	26.00	16.69	22.80	100	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	150	1.20	6.85	
7/17/2007	NP		12.50	26.00	20.85	18.64	<50	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	94	1.91	6.98	
10/11/2007	NP		12.50	26.00	18.10	21.39	66	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	62	1.60	7.00	
												I			

Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in μg	;/L				
Well ID and		TOC	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-1 Cont.															
1/8/2008	NP	39.49	12.50	26.00	15.97	23.52	140	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	90	1.19	5.60	n
4/8/2008	NP		12.50	26.00	16.53	22.96	88	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	110	1.73	6.89	
8/20/2008	NP		12.50	26.00	18.32	21.17	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.3	2.37	6.95	
11/17/2008	NP		12.50	26.00	18.38	21.11	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	21	0.94	6.96	
2/3/2009	NP		12.50	26.00	18.08	21.41	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	16	1.66	6.95	
5/12/2009	NP		12.50	26.00	17.05	22.44	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	9.3	0.88	6.88	
8/13/2009	NP		12.50	26.00	18.01	21.48	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	5.5	0.14	7.02	u
2/18/2010	NP		12.50	26.00	16.14	23.35	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.4	2.22	6.69	
7/23/2010	NP		12.50	26.00	17.11	22.38	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.3	0.77	6.7	
2/10/2011	NP		12.50	26.00	16.42	23.07	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.1	1.19	7.2	
8/30/2011	NP		12.50	26.00	17.13	22.36	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.1	0.98	6.9	
2/17/2012	Р		12.50	26.00	17.41	22.08	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.85	1.39	7.05	
8/30/2012	Р		12.50	26.00	17.92	21.57	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	0.74	1.71	7.04	
2/7/2013	P		12.50	26.00	16.44	23.05	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	0.87	1.89	7.33	
MW-2															
6/26/2000		37.99	12.00	26.00	14.60	23.39									a
7/20/2000			12.00	26.00	15.14	22.85	95,000	2,300	18,000	2,500	19,000	13,000			
9/19/2000			12.00	26.00	15.95	22.04	63,000	1,200	6,300	2,000	14,000	19,000			
12/21/2000			12.00	26.00	15.60	22.39	5,010	360	189	213	626	54,300/89,200			b
12/21/2000			12.00	26.00	15.60	22.39	45,900		2,130	1,160	9,460	22,400/24,700			
3/13/2001			12.00	26.00	13.77	24.22	<20,000	525	466	408	1,460	91,700/76,000			b
3/13/2001			12.00	26.00	13.77	24.22	3,650	98.1	<5.0	<5.0	6.42	3,590/3,260			
9/18/2001			12.00	26.00	16.86	21.13									а
12/28/2001			12.00	26.00	14.28	23.71	31,000	1,500	3,800	1,300	4,800	9,300/8,800			
3/14/2002			12.00	26.00	14.15	23.84	1,800	25	43	43	270	990/960			
4/23/2002			12.00	26.00	13.60	24.39	9,000	220	110	470	2,500	8,500			
7/17/2002	NP		12.00	26.00	15.75	22.24	74,000	280	290	820	10,000	19,000/0.4	6.8	6.8	a, c
10/9/02	NP		12.00	26.00	16.69	21.30									g
1/13/03			12.00	26.00	13.59	24.40									g, h
04/07/03			12.00	26.00	14.70	23.29									g, h

Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	Level Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-2 Cont.															
07/09/03		37.99	12.00	26.00	15.48	22.51									g, h
02/05/2004	NP	37.86	12.00	26.00	14.43	23.43									g,m
04/05/2004	NP		12.00	26.00	14.35	23.51	2,300	33	<5.0	<5.0	200	750	0.6		
07/13/2004	NP		12.00	26.00	15.79	22.07	59,000	380	<50	2,100	7,900	5,800	0.3	6.4	
08/31/2004			12.00	26.00	15.89	21.97									
11/04/2004			12.00	26.00	15.92	21.94									g, h
01/20/2005	NP		12.00	26.00	13.71	24.15	30,000	450	<50	1,300	3,300	7,000	0.7	6.2	0
04/11/2005	NP		12.00	26.00	12.70	25.16	11,000	170	<50	580	630	2,700	0.9	6.8	
08/01/2005	NP		12.00	26.00	14.89	22.97	24,000	170	<50	1,100	2,700	2,700	0.64	6.9	
10/21/2005			12.00	26.00	16.05	21.81									а
01/18/2006	NP		12.00	26.00	12.81	25.05	21,000	71	<50	470	1,400	1,600	1.18	6.6	а
04/14/2006	NP		12.00	26.00	12.24	25.62	7,800	78	<50	94	130	2,100	0.81	6.7	а
7/19/2006	NP		12.00	26.00	14.00	23.86	4,900	31	<10	98	75	930	1.1	6.5	q
10/24/2006			12.00	26.00	15.38	22.48								6.45	g
1/15/2007	Р		12.00	26.00	15.00	22.86	5,000	51	<10	49	34	1,400	1.85	7.13	
4/18/2007	NP		12.00	26.00	14.82	23.04	3,000	39	<10	32	22	1,100	1.95	7.10	
7/17/2007	NP		12.00	26.00	18.00	19.86	1,100	53	<10	28	<10	1,300	4.84	7.09	n
10/11/2007	NP		12.00	26.00	16.38	21.48	1,800	17	<10	<10	11	1,000	1.52	7.05	
1/8/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	14.10	23.76	1,900	65	<10	37	28	1,300	1.06	4.22	n
4/8/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	14.70	23.16	200	34	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	690	3.24	6.95	
8/20/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	16.66	21.20	990	21	<10	<10	<10	190	1.54	6.91	
11/17/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	19.28	18.58	290	9.3	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	89	0.71	6.75	
2/3/2009	NP		12.00	26.00	16.45	21.41	86	3.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	31	2.71	6.96	
5/12/2009	NP		12.00	26.00	15.30	22.56	390	1.3	<0.50	<0.50	0.82	25	0.82	6.96	
8/13/2009	NP		12.00	26.00	16.88	20.98	330	<10	<10	<10	<10	39	0.81	7.12	u
2/18/2010	NP		12.00	26.00	14.20	23.66	950	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	1.18	6.94	
7/23/2010	NP		12.00	26.00	15.37	22.49	330	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	6.5	1.70	6.7	v (GRO)
2/10/2011	NP		12.00	26.00	14.53	23.33	960	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	12	0.58	6.8	v (GRO)
8/30/2011	NP		12.00	26.00	15.35	22.51	200	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.5	0.67	6.7	w (GRO)
2/17/2012	Р		12.00	26.00	15.63	22.23	190	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	2.9	0.80	7.00	w (GRO)

Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	1.0.								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-2 Cont.															
8/30/2012	Р	37.86	12.00	26.00	16.18	21.68	67	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	2.4	1.23	6.92	
2/7/2013	Р		12.00	26.00	14.60	23.26	53	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	2.7	1.35	7.25	
MW-3															
6/26/2000		39.32	12.00	26.00	15.96	23.36									
7/20/2000			12.00	26.00	16.42	22.90	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	130			
9/19/2000			12.00	26.00	17.18	22.14	190	17	<0.5	1.4	2.4	160			
12/21/2000			12.00	26.00	16.97	22.35	187	17.8	<0.5	2.47	2.5	143/125			
3/13/2001			12.00	26.00	15.17	24.15	72.4	2.83	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	126/122			
9/18/2001			12.00	26.00	17.81	21.51	140	6.4	<0.5	3.5	1.6	110/75			
12/28/2001			12.00	26.00	15.44	23.88	130	5.9	<0.5	0.99	0.55	90/63			
3/14/2002			12.00	26.00	15.50	23.82	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	100/88			
4/23/2002			12.00	26.00	14.96	24.36	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	77			
7/17/2002	NP		12.00	26.00	17.09	22.23	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	47	7.2	7.2	
10/9/2002	NP		12.00	26.00	17.87	21.45	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	26/29	7.2	7.2	
1/13/2003	NP		12.00	26.00	14.78	24.54	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	59	6.8	6.8	I
04/07/03	NP		12.00	26.00	16.15	23.17	88	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	75	7.0	7.0	
7/9/2003			12.00	26.00	16.79	22.53	100	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	52	6.5	6.5	
02/05/2004	NP	39.19	12.00	26.00	15.66	23.53	240	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	37	0.5		m
04/05/2004	NP		12.00	26.00	15.78	23.41	140	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.60	53	1.0	6.6	
07/13/2004	NP		12.00	26.00	17.20	21.99	120	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	35	8.0	6.7	
11/04/2004	NP		12.00	26.00	17.32	21.87	160	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	25	0.8	6.5	
01/20/2005	NP		12.00	26.00	15.07	24.12	160	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	27	0.6	6.1	
04/11/2005	NP		12.00	26.00	14.24	24.95	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	21	0.6	6.1	
08/01/2005	NP		12.00	26.00	16.29	22.90	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	23	1.04	7.2	
10/21/2005	NP		12.00	26.00	17.41	21.78	88	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	19	1.9	6.6	
01/18/2006	NP		12.00	26.00	13.80	25.39	73	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	13	1.13	6.6	
04/14/2006	NP		12.00	26.00	12.55	26.64	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	6.7	0.71	6.6	
7/19/2006	NP		12.00	26.00	15.04	24.15	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	11	2.0	6.6	q
10/24/2006	Р		12.00	26.00	16.45	22.74	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	33		6.77	
1/15/2007	Р		12.00	26.00	16.00	23.19	<50	<0.50	<0.50	0.61	<0.50	29	1.11	7.03	

Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in μg	;/L				
Well ID and		TOC	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-3 Cont.															
4/18/2007	NP	39.19	12.00	26.00	15.87	23.32	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	9.5	1.67	7.07	
7/17/2007	NP		12.00	26.00	19.40	19.79	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	19	4.25	7.27	
10/11/2007	NP		12.00	26.00	17.43	21.76	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	5.3	1.62	7.10	
1/8/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	15.16	24.03	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	8.9	2.02	6.94	
4/8/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	15.75	23.44	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.98	6.80	
8/20/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	17.65	21.54	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.85	7.62	
11/17/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	17.76	21.43	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.6	1.36	6.90	
2/3/2009	NP		12.00	26.00	17.36	21.83	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.1	2.55	7.04	
5/12/2009	NP		12.00	26.00	16.30	22.89	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.1	1.68	6.98	
8/13/2009	NP		12.00	26.00	18.75	20.44	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.7	0.15	7.03	
2/18/2010	NP		12.00	26.00	15.31	23.88	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.59	2.07	6.83	v (GRO)
7/23/2010	NP		12.00	26.00	16.34	22.85	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.85	1.23	7.4	
2/10/2011	NP		12.00	26.00	15.63	23.56	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.51	2.11	6.9	
8/30/2011	NP		12.00	26.00	16.45	22.74	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.83	6.9	
2/17/2012	Р		12.00	26.00	16.70	22.49	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.85	7.12	
8/30/2012	Р		12.00	26.00	17.15	22.04	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	0.56	1.69	7.11	
2/7/2013	P		12.00	26.00	15.68	23.51	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	1.78	7.39	
MW-4															
8/30/202		NS	10.00	24.00	16.18										
6/26/2000		38.10	10.00	24.00	14.59	23.51									
7/20/2000			10.00	24.00	15.04	23.06	97	7.9	<0.5	<0.5	1.1	51			
9/19/2000			10.00	24.00	15.83	22.27	110	7	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	60			
12/21/2000			10.00	24.00	15.59	22.51	120	5.6	<0.5	1.72	<0.5	46.3/48.6			
3/13/2001			10.00	24.00	13.73	24.37	76	0.796	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	53.7/50			
9/18/2001			10.00	24.00	16.50	21.60	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	25/26			
12/28/2001			10.00	24.00	14.03	24.07	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	15/11			
3/14/2002			10.00	24.00	14.10	24.00	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	31/28			
4/23/2002			10.00	24.00	13.57	24.53	<50	2.8	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	42			
7/17/2002	NP		10.00	24.00	15.76	22.34	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	16	7.1	7.1	
10/9/2002	NP		10.00	24.00	16.59	21.51	<50	2.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	20/23	7.1	7.1	

Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	Level Concentrations in μg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-4 Cont.															
1/13/2003	NP	38.10	10.00	24.00	13.43	24.67	52	<0.50	1.6	<0.50	<0.50	22	6.6	6.6	d
04/07/03	NP		10.00	24.00	14.74	23.36	65	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	24	6.6	6.6	
7/9/2003			10.00	24.00	15.44	22.66	120	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	34	6.6	6.6	
02/05/2004	NP	37.99	10.00	24.00	14.39	23.60	120	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	22	0.5	6.6	m
04/05/2004	NP		10.00	24.00	14.37	23.62	110	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	27	1.1	6.5	
07/13/2004	NP		10.00	24.00	15.96	22.03	77	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	27	0.6	6.6	
11/04/2004	NP		10.00	24.00	16.02	21.97	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	19	1.2	6.7	
01/20/2005	NP		10.00	24.00	13.72	24.27	65	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	18	0.6	6.1	
04/11/2005	NP		10.00	24.00	12.80	25.19	51	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	14	0.7	6.2	
08/01/2005	NP		10.00	24.00	14.88	23.11	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	18	1.46	7.3	
10/21/2005	NP		10.00	24.00	15.01	22.98	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	15	1.24	7.6	
01/18/2006	NP		10.00	24.00	12.92	25.07	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	8.9	0.77	6.5	
04/14/2006	NP		10.00	24.00	11.41	26.58	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.2	0.84	6.6	
7/19/2006	NP		10.00	24.00	13.86	24.13	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.4	1.0	6.7	
10/24/2006	Р		10.00	24.00	15.35	22.64	<50	<0.50	<0.50	2.0	<0.50	3.5		6.90	
1/15/2007	Р		10.00	24.00	14.96	23.03	<50	<0.50	<0.50	0.96	<0.50	3.8		7.04	
4/18/2007	NP		10.00	24.00	14.80	23.19	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	5.6	5.33	6.93	
7/17/2007	NP		10.00	24.00	16.10	21.89	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	6.6	3.73	6.87	
10/11/2007	NP		10.00	24.00	16.45	21.54	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.81	2.68	7.07	
1/8/2008	NP		10.00	24.00	14.10	23.89	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.2	3.50	6.74	
4/8/2008	NP		10.00	24.00	14.68	23.31	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.7	2.54	6.80	
8/20/2008	NP		10.00	24.00	16.65	21.34	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.70	2.36	6.90	
11/17/2008	NP		10.00	24.00	16.73	21.26	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.73	1.07	6.83	
2/3/2009	NP		10.00	24.00	16.36	21.63	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.67	3.92	7.34	
5/12/2009	NP		10.00	24.00	15.26	22.73	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.62	0.81	6.98	
8/13/2009	NP		10.00	24.00	16.87	21.12	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.65	0.94	7.12	u
2/18/2010	NP		10.00	24.00	14.22	23.77	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.20	6.25	
7/23/2010	NP		10.00	24.00	15.36	22.63	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.52	0.68	7.0	
2/10/2011	NP		10.00	24.00	14.54	23.45	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.52	6.8	
8/30/2011	NP		10.00	24.00	15.38	22.61	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.03	7.0	

Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in μg	:/L				
Well ID and		TOC	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-4 Cont.															
2/17/2012	Р	37.99	10.00	24.00	15.66	22.33	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.96	7.06	
8/30/2012	Р		10.00	24.00	16.18	21.81	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	1.54	7.02	
2/7/2013	Р		10.00	24.00	14.57	23.42	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	1.44	7.33	
MW-5															
6/26/2000		37.21	9.50	23.50	14.27	22.94									
7/20/2000			9.50	23.50	14.69	22.52	55	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	14,000			
9/19/2000			9.50	23.50	15.36	21.85	54	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	13,000			
12/21/2000			9.50	23.50	15.15	22.06	72.9	2.51	<0.5	<0.5	0.961	19,200/21,200			
3/13/2001			9.50	23.50	13.50	23.71	<500	<5	<5	<5	<5	15,900/20,000			
9/18/2001			9.50	23.50	15.94	21.27	<10,000	<100	<100	<100	<1,000	22,000/20,000			
12/28/2001			9.50	23.50	13.45	23.76	<10,000	<100	<100	<100	<100	10,000/10,000			
3/14/2002			9.50	23.50	13.82	23.39	<5,000	<50	<50	<50	<50	7,100/7,700			
4/23/2002			9.50	23.50	13.25	23.96	<5,000	<50	<50	<50	<50	8,900			
7/17/2002	NP		9.50	23.50	15.27	21.94	7,900	<50	<50	<50	<50	13,000	7.5	7.5	d
10/9/2002	NP		9.50	23.50	16.02	21.19	2,400	<20	<20	<20	<20	7,300/7,500	6.7	6.7	е
1/13/2003	NP		9.50	23.50	13.20	24.01	6,400	<50	<50	<50	<50	8,900	6.8	6.8	e, k, j
04/07/03	NP		9.50	23.50	14.42	22.79	<10,000	<100	<100	<100	<100	3,700	6.8	6.8	
7/9/2003			9.50	23.50	15.01	22.20	11,000	<50	<50	<50	<50	6,500	6.9	6.9	
02/05/2004	NP	37.12	9.50	23.50	14.10	23.02	8,100	<50	<50	<50	<50	7,900	1.5		m
04/05/2004	NP		9.50	23.50	14.14	22.98	4,000	<25	<25	<25	<25	2,000	1.0	6.6	
07/13/2004	NP		9.50	23.50	15.37	21.75	<5,000	<50	<50	<50	<50	4,000	0.8	6.7	
11/04/2004	NP		9.50	23.50	15.53	21.59	7,400	<50	<50	<50	<50	6,300	3.5	6.7	
01/20/2005	NP		9.50	23.50	13.51	23.61	6,500	<50	<50	<50	<50	6,900	0.7	6.5	n
04/11/2005	NP		9.50	23.50	12.75	24.37	<5,000	<50	<50	<50	<50	2,600	0.5	7.0	
08/01/2005	NP		9.50	23.50	14.59	22.53	110	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	130	1.36	7.5	
10/21/2005	NP		9.50	23.50	15.57	21.55	<250	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	86	1.53	6.8	
01/18/2006	NP		9.50	23.50	12.60	24.52	<250	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	100	1.2	6.7	
04/14/2006	NP		9.50	23.50	11.74	25.38	310	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	240	0.93	6.6	
7/19/2006	NP		9.50	23.50	13.78	23.34	<50	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	84	1.2	6.6	
10/24/2006	Р		9.50	23.50	14.95	22.17	61	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	17		6.69	

Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

	Top of Bottom of Water Level Concentrations in µg/L									ations in μg	;/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-5 Cont.															
1/15/2007	Р	37.12	9.50	23.50	14.63	22.49	73	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	36	2.8	6.73	
4/18/2007	NP		9.50	23.50	14.50	22.62	93	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	16	1.66	6.84	n, EBZ present in method blank
7/17/2007	NP		9.50	23.50	15.55	21.57	53	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	6.6	5.02	7.02	n
10/11/2007	NP		9.50	23.50	15.83	21.29	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.8	2.92	7.23	
1/8/2008	NP		9.50	23.50	13.82	23.30	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	5.6	1.80	6.91	
4/8/2008	NP		9.50	23.50	14.38	22.74	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	8.0	1.14	6.76	
8/20/2008	NP		9.50	23.50	16.11	21.01	<50	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	3.6	1.65	6.86	
11/17/2008	NP		9.50	23.50	16.15	20.97	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.3	0.66	6.93	
2/3/2009	NP		9.50	23.50	15.83	21.29	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.38	6.77	
5/12/2009	NP		9.50	23.50	14.48	22.64	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.5	0.41	6.83	
8/13/2009	NP		9.50	23.50	16.30	20.82	<50	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.3	0.78	7.06	u
2/18/2010	NP		9.50	23.50	13.95	23.17	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.2	1.36	6.40	
7/23/2010	NP		9.50	23.50	14.98	22.14	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.75	7.2	
2/10/2011	NP		9.50	23.50	14.24	22.88	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.73	0.83	6.7	
8/30/2011	NP		9.50	23.50	14.99	22.13	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.9	1.64	8.2	
2/17/2012	Р		9.50	23.50	15.16	21.96	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.98	0.85	7.05	
8/30/2012	Р		9.50	23.50	15.69	21.43	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	1.5	1.60	7.10	
2/7/2013	P		9.50	23.50	14.27	22.85	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	1.5	1.95	7.26	
MW-6															
6/26/2000		37.11	10.00	25.00	13.46	23.65									
7/20/2000			10.00	25.00	13.94	23.17	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	<3.0			
9/19/2000			10.00	25.00	14.41	22.70	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	<3.0			
12/21/2000			10.00	25.00	14.53	22.58	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5			
3/13/2001			10.00	25.00	12.67	24.44	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5			
9/18/2001			10.00	25.00	15.42	21.69	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5/<2.0			
12/28/2001			10.00	25.00	12.96	24.15	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	12/<0.5			
3/14/2002			10.00	25.00	12.98	24.13	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5			
4/23/2002			10.00	25.00	12.44	24.67	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3.1			
7/17/2002	NP		10.00	25.00	14.65	22.46	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<2.5	7.3	7.3	
10/9/2002	NP		10.00	25.00	15.51	21.60	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<2.5	7.1	7.1	

Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in μg					
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-6 Cont.															
1/13/2003	NP	37.11	10.00	25.00	12.27	24.84	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<2.5	6.8	6.8	
04/07/03	NP		10.00	25.00	13.61	23.50	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	6.6	6.6	
7/9/2003			10.00	25.00	14.34	22.77	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	7	7.0	
02/05/2004			10.00	25.00	13.38	23.73									m
04/05/2004			10.00	25.00	13.31	23.80									
07/13/2004	NP		10.00	25.00	14.65	22.46	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.7	6.8	
11/04/2004			10.00	25.00	14.95	22.16									
01/20/2005			10.00	25.00	12.57	24.54									
04/11/2005			10.00	25.00	12.05	25.06									
08/01/2005	NP		10.00	25.00	13.79	23.32	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.15	7.6	
10/21/2005			10.00	25.00	14.60	22.51									
01/18/2006			10.00	25.00	11.80	25.31									
04/14/2006			10.00	25.00	10.92	26.19									
7/19/2006	NP		10.00	25.00	12.92	24.19	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.3	6.9	
10/24/2006			10.00	25.00	14.23	22.88									
1/15/2007			10.00	25.00	13.80	23.31									
4/18/2007			10.00	25.00	13.67	23.44									
7/17/2007	NP		10.00	25.00	14.08	23.03	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.40	7.02	
10/11/2007			10.00	25.00	15.28	21.83									
1/8/2008			10.00	25.00	13.08	24.03									
4/8/2008			10.00	25.00	13.52	23.59									
8/20/2008	NP		10.00	25.00	15.59	21.52	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.66	6.83	
11/17/2008			10.00	25.00	15.61	21.50									
2/3/2009			10.00	25.00	15.23	21.88									
5/12/2009			10.00	25.00	14.09	23.02									
8/13/2009	NP		10.00	25.00	15.80	21.31	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.85	7.02	u
2/18/2010			10.00	25.00	12.96	24.15									
7/23/2010	NP		10.00	25.00	13.91	23.20	210	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.65	6.73	
2/10/2011			10.00	25.00	13.15	23.96									
8/30/2011	NP		10.00	25.00	13.10	24.01	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.60	7.2	

Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in µg	·/I				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/		Concenti	Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	•	Xylenes	МТВЕ	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-6 Cont.															
2/17/2012		37.11	10.00	25.00	14.46	22.65									
8/30/2012	Р		10.00	25.00	14.22	22.89	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	1.54	6.97	
2/7/2013			10.00	25.00	13.44	23.67									
MW-7															
6/26/2000		38.68	12.00	27.00	14.34	24.34									
7/20/2000			12.00	27.00	15.26	23.42	14,000	5.4	<0.5	2.8	5.9	71,000			
9/19/2000			12.00	27.00	15.70	22.98	8,400	420	38	470	220	5,600			
12/21/2000			12.00	27.00	16.02	22.66									
3/13/2001			12.00	27.00	14.18	24.50	<2,000	154	63	46.3	127	75,000/160,00			
9/18/2001			12.00	27.00	17.02	21.66	<100,000	1,900	<1,000	<1,000	2,800	90,000/370,00			
12/28/2001			12.00	27.00	14.81	23.87	<20,000	<200	<200	<200	<200	84,000/72,000			
3/14/2002			12.00	27.00	14.60	24.08	<50,000	<500	<500	<500	<500	85,000/85,000			
4/23/2002			12.00	27.00	13.94	24.74	<20,000	530	200	220	800	67,000			
7/17/2002	NP		12.00	27.00	16.27	22.41	26,000	720	<250	<250	860	120,000	6.9	6.9	d
10/9/2002	NP		12.00	27.00	17.16	21.52	110,000	1,500	4,400	820	5,400	7,000/120,000	6.8	6.8	d
1/13/2003	NP		12.00	27.00	13.82	24.86	<50,000	<500	<500	<500	2,200	33,000	6.6	6.6	f
04/07/03	NP		12.00	27.00	14.52	24.16	<2,500	30	<25	<25	<25	710	7.0	7.0	
7/9/2003			12.00	27.00	15.97	22.71	66,000	<500	<500	<500	<500	36,000	6.7	6.7	
02/05/2004	NP	38.54	12.00	27.00	14.75	23.79	55,000	300	<250	<250	<250	34,000	1.0	6.7	m
04/05/2004	NP		12.00	27.00	14.63	23.91	62,000	520	<250	<250	380	37,000	1.0	6.7	
07/13/2004	NP		12.00	27.00	16.31	22.23	<100,000	<1,000	<1,000	<1,000	<1,000	56,000	0.7	6.7	
11/04/2004			12.00	27.00	16.46	22.08	70,000	<500	<500	<500	<500	71,000	2.0	6.6	
01/20/2005	NP		12.00	27.00	14.05	24.49	34,000	<250	<250	<250	<250	36,000	0.6	6.3	n
04/11/2005	NP		12.00	27.00	12.55	25.99	<2,500	46	<25	<25	<25	1,200	0.7	6.8	
08/01/2005	NP		12.00	27.00	15.11	23.43	<25,000	<250	<250	<250	<250	4,800	1.78	7.3	
10/21/2005	NP		12.00	27.00	15.65	22.89	14,000	350	<100	<100	110	12,000	1.41	6.6	р
01/18/2006	NP		12.00	27.00	12.60	25.94	16,000	310	<100	<100	110	13,000	0.87	6.7	
04/14/2006	NP		12.00	27.00	12.09	26.45	<10,000	<100	<100	<100	<100	4,700	0.88	6.9	
7/19/2006	NP		12.00	27.00	13.58	24.96	1,300	23	<10	18	26	1,600	1.1	6.8	q
10/24/2006	Р		12.00	27.00	15.13	23.41	6,800	100	<5.0	16	15	14,000		6.93	

Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in μg	/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-7 Cont.															
1/15/2007	Р	38.54	12.00	27.00	14.43	24.11	2,500	<100	<100	<100	<100	3,900	2.12	7.44	n
4/18/2007	NP		12.00	27.00	14.30	24.24	3,000	50	<50	<50	<50	2,700	4.47	7.22	n
7/17/2007	NP		12.00	27.00	23.75	14.79	560	<25	<25	<25	<25	890	4.23	7.41	n
10/11/2007	NP		12.00	27.00	16.18	22.36	210	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	370	2.99	7.33	t (GRO)
1/8/2008	NP		12.00	27.00	13.90	24.64	5,100	45	<25	<25	<25	6,100	2.50	7.23	n
4/8/2008	NP		12.00	27.00	14.22	24.32	270	0.50	<0.50	1.2	0.66	1,200	1.67	7.17	
8/20/2008	NP		12.00	27.00	16.57	21.97	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	39	2.12	7.04	
11/17/2008	NP		12.00	27.00	22.91	15.63	68	1.8	1.9	0.54	2.0	28	1.14	6.95	
2/3/2009	NP		12.00	27.00	17.86	20.68	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	18	2.58	6.97	
5/12/2009	NP		12.00	27.00	15.36	23.18	110	2.0	<0.50	<0.50	2.9	390	0.72	7.14	
8/13/2009	NP		12.00	27.00	24.10	14.44	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	21	0.84	7.11	u
2/18/2010	NP		12.00	27.00	14.21	24.33	190	<25	<25	<25	<25	1,300	1.52	7.06	v (GRO)
7/23/2010	NP		12.00	27.00	15.50	23.04	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1,000	0.57	6.89	v (GRO)
2/10/2011	Р		12.00	27.00	14.44	24.10	440	<25	<25	<25	<25	310	0.76	7.0	v (GRO)
8/30/2011	NP		12.00	27.00	15.10	23.44	480	<25	<25	<25	<25	180	0.80	6.9	w (GRO)
2/17/2012	Р		12.00	27.00	15.46	23.08	220	0.84	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	110	1.99	7.50	w (GRO)
8/30/2012	Р		12.00	27.00	15.94	22.60	230	<10	<10	<10	<20	210	1.15	7.15	
2/7/2013	Р		12.00	27.00	14.19	24.35	310	8.9	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	98	1.30	7.65	
MW-8															
02/05/2004	Р	38.91			15.61	23.30	3,600	<25	<25	<25	<25	1,900	6.9	6.8	m
04/05/2004	Р				15.64	23.27	1,900	<10	<10	<10	<10	1,200	3.2	6.7	
07/13/2004	Р				17.22	21.69	<1,000	<10	<10	<10	<10	760	1.6	6.7	
11/04/2004	Р				17.19	21.72	960	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	820	1.8	6.7	
01/20/2005	Р				15.25	23.66	<2,500	<25	<25	<25	<25	1,400	1.5	6.4	
04/11/2005	Р				14.17	24.74	700	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	610	1.1	7.1	
08/01/2005	Р				16.10	22.81	<1,000	<10	<10	<10	<10	900	2.58	7.7	
10/21/2005	Р				17.18	21.73	530	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	490	1.4	6.7	n
01/18/2006	Р				13.60	25.31	<500	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	500	2.28	6.6	
04/14/2006	Р				12.36	26.55	<500	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	300	1.97	6.6	
7/19/2006	Р				14.75	24.16	4,500	<25	<25	<25	<25	4,200	1.2	6.6	

Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in µg	:/L				
Well ID and Date Monitored	P/NP	TOC (feet)	Screen (ft bgs)	Screen (ft bgs)	DTW (feet)	Elevation (feet)	GRO/ TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- Benzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE	DO (mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-8 Cont.															
10/24/2006		38.91													S
1/15/2007	Р				15.67	23.24	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	67	1.35	6.68	
4/18/2007	Р				15.53	23.38	100	0.51	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	130	1.49	6.86	n
7/17/2007	NP				16.76	22.15	63	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	96	1.85	6.97	n
10/11/2007	Р				16.99	21.92	100	0.52	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	130	1.67	7.18	
1/8/2008	Р				14.83	24.08	51	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	49	1.30	6.88	n
4/8/2008	Р				15.38	23.53	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	32	1.60	6.77	
8/20/2008	Р				17.80	21.11	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	13	1.18	6.94	
11/17/2008	Р				17.47	21.44	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	14	3.74	6.63	
2/3/2009	Р				16.96	21.95	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	16	0.83	6.9	
5/12/2009	Р				15.93	22.98	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	30	0.31	6.90	
8/13/2009	Р				17.50	21.41	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	7.5	0.65	7.44	
2/18/2010	Р				14.93	23.98	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	12	0.64	6.62	
7/23/2010	Р				16.02	22.89	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	8.2	0.94	6.7	
2/10/2011	Р				15.28	23.63	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.5	1.08	6.8	
8/30/2011	Р				16.08	22.83	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.6	0.86	6.8	
2/17/2012	Р				16.34	22.57	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.8	0.83	7.10	
8/30/2012	Р				16.84	22.07	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	1.9	1.58	7.02	
2/7/2013	P		==		15.31	23.60	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	3.6	1.56	7.36	

Symbols & Abbreviations:

- -- = Not analyzed/applicable/measured/available
- < = Not detected at or above specified laboratory reporting limit
- DO = Dissolved oxygen
- DTW = Depth to water in ft bgs
- ft bgs = feet below ground surface
- ft MSL = feet above mean sea level
- GRO = Gasoline range organics
- GWE = Groundwater elevation in ft MSL
- mg/L = Milligrams per liter
- MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether
- NP = Well not purged prior to sampling
- P = Well purged prior to sampling
- TOC = Top of casing elevation in ft MSL
- TPH-g = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline
- μg/L = Micrograms per liter

Footnotes:

- a = Product sheen noted
- b = Well was sampled after batch extraction event
- c = Chromatogram Pattern: Gasoline C6-C10 for GRO/TPH-g
- d = Hydrocarbon pattern was present in the requested fuel quantitation range but did not resemble the pattern of the requested fuel for GRO/TPH-g
- e = Discrete peak @C6-C7 for GRO/TPH-g
- f = This sample was analyzed beyond the EPA recommended holding time for TPH-g, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX), and MTBE. The results may still be useful for their intended purpose
- g = Well not sampled due to the detection of free product (FP)
- h = GWE adjusted for FP: (thickness of FP x 0.8) + measured GWE
- j = The closing calibration for benzene and total xylenes was outside acceptance limits by 1%. This should be considered in evaluating the result. The average % difference for all analytes met the 15% requirement and the QC suggested that calibration linearity was not a factor
- k = The closing calibration was outside acceptance limits by 6%. This should be considered in evaluating the result. The average % difference for all analytes met the 15% requirement and the QC suggested that calibration linearity was not a factor
- I = Toluene and MTBE were not confirmed using a secondary column in accordance to client contract
- m = TOC elevations re-surveyed to NAVD '88 on February 23, 2004
- n = Hydrocarbon result for GRO partly due to indiv. peak(s) in quantitative range
- o = Light to moderate sheen
- p = Result for MTBE partly due to individual peak(s) in quant. range
- q = Gauged with tubing in well
- r = Calib. verif. is within method limits but outside contract limits
- s = Well inaccessible
- t = Initial analysis within holding time but required dilution
- u = Sample taken from VOA vial with air bubble > 6mm diameter
- v = Quantitation of unknown hydrocarbon(s) in sample based on gasoline
- w = Quantitated against gasoline

Notes:

Beginning with the second quarter 2003 sampling event (04/07/03), TPH-g, BTEX, and MTBE analyzed by EPA method 8260B. Prior to 04/07/03, TPH-g was analyzed by EPA methods 8015 modified and MTBE was analyzed by EPA methods 8020/8260B

Beginning in the fourth quarter 2003, the laboratory modified the reported analyte list. TPH-g was changed to GRO. The resulting data may be impacted by the potential of non-TPH-g analytes within the requested fuel range resulting in a higher concentration being reported

Beginning in the second quarter 2004, the carbon range for GRO was changed from C6-C10 to C4-C12

Values for DO and pH were obtained through field measurements

GRO analysis was completed by EPA method 8260B (C4-C12) for samples collected from the time period April 2006 through February 4, 2008. The analysis for GRO was changed to EPA method 8015B (C6-C12) for samples collected from the time period February 5, 2008 through the present

The data within this table collected prior to April 2006 was provided to Broadbent & Associates, Inc. by Atlantic Richfield Company and their previous consultants. Broadbent & Associates, Inc. has not verified the accuracy of this information

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-1									
			2 100						
7/20/2000			2,100						
9/19/2000			1,500						
12/21/2000			1,080/1,060						
3/13/2001			1,430/1,370						
9/18/2001			810/1,100						
12/28/2001			1,200/1,100						
3/14/2002			34/40						
4/23/2002			30						
7/17/2002			29						
10/9/2002			290						
1/13/2003			300						
04/07/03	<100	<20	22	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50			
7/9/2003	<5,000	<1,000	690	<25	<25	<25			
02/05/2004	<5,000	<1,000	1,100	<25	<25	32	<25	<25	
04/05/2004	<5,000	<1,000	1,700	<25	<25	38	<25	<25	a
07/13/2004	<2,000	780	730	<10	<10	19	<10	<10	a
11/04/2004	<1,000	<200	380	<5.0	<5.0	12	<5.0	<5.0	
01/20/2005	<1,000	<200	570	<5.0	<5.0	17	<5.0	<5.0	a
04/11/2005	<5,000	<1,000	1,100	<25	<25	34	<25	<25	
08/01/2005	<2,000	<400	1,400	<10	<10	40	<10	<10	
10/21/2005	<5,000	<1,000	970	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
01/18/2006	<1,500	<100	330	<2.5	<2.5	9.7	<2.5	<2.5	
04/14/2006	<1,500	<100	310	<2.5	<2.5	9.3	<2.5	<2.5	
7/19/2006	<1,500	<100	180	<2.5	<2.5	3.2	<2.5	<2.5	
10/24/2006	<1,500	<100	360	<2.5	<2.5	10	<2.5	<2.5	
1/15/2007	<1,500	<100	220	<2.5	<2.5	6.8	<2.5	<2.5	
4/18/2007	<1,500	<100	150	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/17/2007	<600	<40	94	<1.0	<1.0	2.3	<1.0	<1.0	
10/11/2007	<300	<20	62	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
1/8/2008	<300	74	90	<0.50	<0.50	2.5	<0.50	<0.50	a
4/8/2008	<300	57	110	<0.50	<0.50	2.6	<0.50	<0.50	
8/20/2008	<300	<10	3.3	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in μg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-1 Cont.									
11/17/2008	<300	<10	21	<0.50	<0.50	0.52	<0.50	<0.50	
2/3/2009	<300	<10	16	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/12/2009	<300	<10	9.3	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<300	<10	5.5	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	b
2/18/2010	<300	<10	1.4	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/23/2010	<300	<10	1.3	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/10/2011	<300	<10	1.1	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2011	<300	<10	2.1	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/17/2012	<300	<10	0.85	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2012	<150	<10	0.74	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/7/2013	<150	<10	0.87	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-2									
7/20/2000			13,000						
9/19/2000			19,000						
12/21/2000			54,300/89,200						
12/21/2000			22,400/24,700						
3/13/2001			1,700/76,000						
3/13/2001			3,590/3,260						
12/28/2001			9,300/8,800						
3/14/2002			990/960						
4/23/2002			8,500						
7/17/2002			19,000/0.4						
04/05/2004	<1,000	<200	750	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
07/13/2004	<10,000	12,000	5,800	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	a
08/31/2004									a
01/20/2005	<10,000	<2,000	7,000	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	a
04/11/2005	<10,000	<2,000	2,700	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
08/01/2005	<10,000	<2,000	2,700	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
01/18/2006	<30,000	<2,000	1,600	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
04/14/2006	<30,000	<2,000	2,100	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
7/19/2006	<6,000	<400	930	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in μg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-2 Cont.									
1/15/2007	<6,000	1,900	1,400	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
4/18/2007	<6,000	1,200	1,100	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
7/17/2007	<6,000	1,000	1,300	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
10/11/2007	<6,000	1,300	1,000	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
1/8/2008	<6,000	2,600	1,300	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	a
4/8/2008	<300	970	690	<0.50	<0.50	3.3	<0.50	<0.50	
8/20/2008	<6,000	470	190	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
11/17/2008	<3,000	740	89	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
2/3/2009	<1,500	230	31	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
5/12/2009	<300	590	25	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<6,000	2,300	39	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	b
2/18/2010	<3,000	1,000	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
7/23/2010	<1,200	410	6.5	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	
2/10/2011	<2400	2800	12	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	
8/30/2011	<300	340	4.5	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/17/2012	<1,500	920	2.9	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
8/30/2012	<150	190	2.4	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/7/2013	<150	230	2.7	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-3									
7/20/2000			130						
9/19/2000			160						
12/21/2000			143/125						
3/13/2001			126/122						
9/18/2001			110/75						
12/28/2001			90/63						
3/14/2002			100/88						
4/23/2002			77						
7/17/2002			47						
10/9/2002			26/29						
1/13/2003			59						
04/07/03	<100	<20	75	<0.50	<0.50	6.5			

Table 3. Summary of Fuel Additives Analytical Data
ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in μg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-3 Cont.									
7/9/2003	<100	<20	52	<0.50	<0.50	4.2			
02/05/2004	<100	<20	37	<0.50	<0.50	3.1	<0.50	<0.50	
04/05/2004	<100	<20	53	<0.50	<0.50	3.7	<0.50	<0.50	a
07/13/2004	<100	44	35	<0.50	<0.50	3.7	<0.50	<0.50	a
11/04/2004	<100	<20	25	<0.50	<0.50	2.2	<0.50	<0.50	
01/20/2005	<100	<20	27	<0.50	<0.50	2.6	<0.50	<0.50	
04/11/2005	<100	<20	21	<0.50	<0.50	2.0	<0.50	<0.50	
08/01/2005	<100	<20	23	<0.50	<0.50	1.9	<0.50	<0.50	
10/21/2005	<100	<20	19	<0.50	<0.50	2.0	<0.50	<0.50	
01/18/2006	<300	<20	13	<0.50	<0.50	1.3	<0.50	<0.50	
04/14/2006	<300	<20	6.7	<0.50	<0.50	0.61	<0.50	<0.50	
7/19/2006				<0.50		0.61			_
	<300	<20	11		<0.50		<0.50	<0.50	r
10/24/2006 1/15/2007	<300	<20	33	<0.50	<0.50	2.8	<0.50	<0.50	
	<300 <300	<20 <20	29	<0.50	<0.50	2.9	<0.50	<0.50	
4/18/2007			9.5	<0.50	<0.50	0.90	<0.50	<0.50	
7/17/2007	<300	<20	19	<0.50	<0.50	1.5	<0.50	<0.50	
10/11/2007	<300	<20	5.3	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	_
1/8/2008	<300	<20	8.9	<0.50	<0.50	0.84	<0.50	<0.50	a
4/8/2008	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/20/2008	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
11/17/2008	<300	<10	3.6	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/3/2009	<300	<10	2.1	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/12/2009	<300	<10	2.1	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<300	<10	2.7	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/18/2010	<300	<10	0.59	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/23/2010	<300	14	0.85	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/10/2011	<300	<10	0.51	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/17/2012	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2012	<150	<10	0.56	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/7/2013	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in μg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-4									
			F1						
7/20/2000 9/19/2000			51						
			60						
12/21/2000			46.3/48.6						
3/13/2001 9/18/2001			53.7/50						
			25/26						
12/28/2001			15/11						
3/14/2002			31/28						
4/23/2002			42						
7/17/2002			16						
10/9/2002			20/23						
1/13/2003			22						
04/07/03	<100	<20	24	<0.50	<0.50	7.3			
7/9/2003	<100	<20	34	<0.50	<0.50	9.8			
02/05/2004	<100	<20	22	<0.50	<0.50	6.2	<0.50	<0.50	
04/05/2004	<100	<20	27	<0.50	<0.50	7.2	<0.50	<0.50	a
07/13/2004	<100	26	27	<0.50	<0.50	7.4	<0.50	<0.50	a
11/04/2004	<100	<20	19	<0.50	<0.50	5.1	<0.50	<0.50	
01/20/2005	<100	<20	18	<0.50	<0.50	5.2	<0.50	<0.50	
04/11/2005	<100	<20	14	<0.50	<0.50	4.0	<0.50	<0.50	
08/01/2005	<100	<20	18	<0.50	<0.50	3.9	<0.50	<0.50	
10/21/2005	<100	<20	15	<0.50	<0.50	4.6	<0.50	<0.50	
01/18/2006	<300	<20	8.9	<0.50	<0.50	2.5	<0.50	<0.50	
04/14/2006	<300	<20	4.2	<0.50	<0.50	1.3	<0.50	<0.50	
7/19/2006	<300	<20	3.4	<0.50	<0.50	0.69	<0.50	<0.50	r
10/24/2006	<300	<20	3.5	<0.50	<0.50	0.91	<0.50	<0.50	
1/15/2007	<300	<20	3.8	<0.50	<0.50	0.98	<0.50	<0.50	
4/18/2007	<300	<20	5.6	<0.50	<0.50	1.1	<0.50	<0.50	
7/17/2007	<300	<20	6.6	<0.50	<0.50	1.7	<0.50	<0.50	
10/11/2007	<300	<20	0.81	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
1/8/2008	<300	<20	1.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	a
4/8/2008	<300	<10	1.7	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/20/2008	<300	<10	0.70	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in μg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-4 Cont.									
11/17/2008	<300	<10	0.73	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/3/2009	<300	<10	0.67	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/12/2009	<300	<10	0.62	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<300	<10	0.65	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	b
2/18/2010	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/23/2010	<300	<10	0.52	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/10/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/17/2012	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2012	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/7/2013	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-5									
7/20/2000			14,000						
9/19/2000			13,000						
12/21/2000			19,200/21,200						
3/13/2001			15,900/20,000						
9/18/2001			22,000/20,000						
12/28/2001			10,000/10,000						
3/14/2002			7,100/7,700						
4/23/2002			8,900						
7/17/2002			13,000						
10/9/2002			7,300/7,500						
1/13/2003			8,900						
04/07/03	<20,000	<4,000	3,700	<100	<100	<100			
7/9/2003	<10,000	<2,000	6,500	<50	<50	<50			
02/05/2004	<10,000	<2,000	7,900	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	а
04/05/2004	<5,000	<1,000	2,000	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	a
07/13/2004	<10,000	3,200	4,000	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	a
11/04/2004	<10,000	<2,000	6,300	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
01/20/2005	<10,000	<2,000	6,900	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	а
04/11/2005	<10,000	3,600	2,600	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in μg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-5 Cont.									
08/01/2005	<200	1,600	130	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
10/21/2005	<500	1,400	86	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
01/18/2006	<1,500	2,200	100	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
04/14/2006	<1,500	2,100	240	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/19/2006	<1,500	2,800	84	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	r
10/24/2006	<300	1,200	17	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	a
1/15/2007	<300	990	36	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
4/18/2007	<1,500	2,000	16	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/17/2007	<1,500	1,100	6.6	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
10/11/2007	<300	750	4.8	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
1/8/2008	<300	220	5.6	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	a
4/8/2008	<300	300	8.0	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/20/2008	<600	520	3.6	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
11/17/2008	<300	160	1.3	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/3/2009	<300	94	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/12/2009	<300	29	2.5	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<600	180	1.3	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	b
2/18/2010	<300	17	2.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/23/2010	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/10/2011	<300	<10	0.73	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2011	<300	<10	1.9	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/17/2012	<300	<10	0.98	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2012	<150	<10	1.5	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/7/2013	<150	57	1.5	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-6									
7/20/2000			<3.0						
9/19/2000			<3.0						
12/21/2000			<2.5						
3/13/2001			<2.5						
9/18/2001			<2.5/<2.0						
12/28/2001			12/<0.5						

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in μg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-6 Cont.									
3/14/2002			<2.5						
4/23/2002			3.1						
7/17/2002			<2.5						
10/9/2002			<2.5						
1/13/2003			<2.5						
04/07/03	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50			
7/9/2003	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50			
07/13/2004	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	a
08/01/2005	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/19/2006	<300	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	r
7/17/2007	<300	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/20/2008	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	b
7/23/2010	<300	15	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2012	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-7									
7/20/2000			71,000						
9/19/2000			5,600						
3/13/2001			75,000/160,00						
9/18/2001			90,000/370,00						
12/28/2001			34,000/72,000						
3/14/2002			35,000/85,000						
4/23/2002			67,000						
7/17/2002			120,000						
10/9/2002			7,000/120,000						
1/13/2003			33,000						
04/07/03	<5,000	<1,000	710	<25	<25	<25			
7/9/2003	<100,000	<20,000	36,000	<500	<500	<500			
02/05/2004	<50,000	<10,000	34,000	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	
04/05/2004	<50,000	<10,000	37,000	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in μg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-7 Cont.									
07/13/2004	<200,000	<40,000	56,000	<1,000	<1,000	1,300	<1,000	<1,000	
11/04/2004	<100,000	<20,000	71,000	<500	<500	<500	<500	<500	
01/20/2005	<50,000	<10,000	36,000	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	a
04/11/2005	<5,000	<1,000	1,200	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
08/01/2005	<50,000	<10,000	4,800	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	
10/21/2005	<20,000	24,000	12,000	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	
01/18/2006	<60,000	15,000	13,000	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	
04/14/2006	<60,000	<4,000	4,700	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	
7/19/2006	<6,000	720	1,600	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
10/24/2006	<3,000	10,000	14,000	<5.0	<5.0	31	<5.0	<5.0	a
1/15/2007	<60,000	9,300	3,900	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	
4/18/2007	<30,000	<2,000	2,700	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
7/17/2007	<15,000	<1,000	890	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
10/11/2007	<1,500	150	370	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
1/8/2008	<15,000	1,400	6,100	<25	<25	32	<25	<25	
4/8/2008	<300	700	1,200	<0.50	<0.50	5.1	<0.50	<0.50	
8/20/2008	<300	34	39	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
11/17/2008	<300	44	28	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/3/2009	<300	66	18	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/12/2009	<300	75	390	<0.50	<0.50	1.2	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<300	19	21	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	b
2/18/2010	<15,000	2,300	1,300	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
7/23/2010	<300	7,800	1,000	<0.50	<0.50	3.6	<0.50	<0.50	
2/10/2011	<15,000	9900	310	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
8/30/2011	<15,000	9,500	180	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
2/17/2012	<300	12,000	110	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2012	<3,000	14,000	210	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
2/7/2013	<150	7,700	98	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-8									
02/05/2004	<5,000	<1,000	1,900	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
04/05/2004	<2,000	<400	1,200	<10	<10	12	<10	<10	а

Table 3. Summary of Fuel Additives Analytical Data
ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

Well ID and	Concentrations in µg/L								
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-8 Cont.									
07/13/2004	<2,000	770	760	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	a
11/04/2004	<1,000	<200	820	<5.0	<5.0	9.6	<5.0	<5.0	
01/20/2005	<5,000	<1,000	1,400	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	a
04/11/2005	<1,000	<200	610	<5.0	<5.0	8.1	<5.0	<5.0	
08/01/2005	<2,000	<400	900	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
10/21/2005	<1,000	<200	490	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
01/18/2006	<3,000	<200	500	<5.0	<5.0	5.2	<5.0	<5.0	
04/14/2006	<3,000	<200	300	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
7/19/2006	<15,000	<1,000	4,200	<25	<25	45	<25	<25	
1/15/2007	<300	52	67	<0.50	<0.50	0.88	<0.50	<0.50	
4/18/2007	<300	120	130	<0.50	<0.50	1.9	<0.50	<0.50	
7/17/2007	<300	110	96	<0.50	<0.50	1.2	<0.50	<0.50	
10/11/2007	<300	350	130	<0.50	<0.50	1.7	<0.50	<0.50	
1/8/2008	<300	59	49	<0.50	<0.50	0.80	<0.50	<0.50	
4/8/2008	<300	110	32	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/20/2008	<300	62	13	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
11/17/2008	<300	24	14	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/3/2009	<300	17	16	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/12/2009	<300	18	30	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<300	28	7.5	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/18/2010	<300	37	12	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/23/2010	<300	53	8.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/10/2011	<300	23	4.5	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2011	<300	<10	3.6	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/17/2012	<300	<10	1.8	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2012	<150	<10	1.9	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/7/2013	<150	<10	3.6	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	

Symbols & Abbreviations:

-- = Not analyzed/applicable/measured/available

< = Not detected at or above specified laboratory reporting limit

1,2-DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane

DIPE = Diisopropyl ether

EDB = 1,2-Dibromoethane

ETBE = Ethyl tert-butyl ether

MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether

TAME = tert-Amyl methyl ether

TBA = tert-Butyl alcohol

μg/L = Micrograms per Liter

Footnotes:

a = The continuing calibration verification for ethanol was outside of client contractual acceptance limits. However, it was within method acceptance limits. The data should still be considered useful for its intended purpose

b = Sample taken from VOA vial with air bubble > 6mm diameter

Notes:

All volatile organic compounds analyzed using EPA Method 8260B

The data within this table collected prior to April 2006 was provided to Broadbent & Associates, Inc. by Atlantic Richfield Company and their previous consultants. Broadbent & Associates, Inc. has not verified the accuracy of this information

Table 4. Historical Groundwater Gradient - Direction and Magnitude ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

Date Measured	Approximate Gradient Direction	Approximate Gradient Magnitude (ft/ft)
7/20/2000	West-Northwest	0.006
9/19/2000	West-Northwest	0.004
12/21/2000	West-Northwest	0.004
3/13/2001	West-Northwest	0.005
5/30/2001	West-Northwest	0.004
9/18/2001	West-Northwest	0.003
12/28/2001	West-Northwest	0.003
3/14/2002	West	0.004
4/23/2002	West	0.006
7/17/2002	West	0.003
10/9/2002	West	0.002
1/13/2003	Southwest	0.0043
4/7/2003	West-Northwest	0.009 to 0.011
7/9/2003	West-Northwest	0.004
10/1/2003	West	0.002
2/5/2004	West	0.004
4/5/2004	West-Southwest	0.004
7/13/2004	West-Southwest	0.003
11/4/2004	West	0.003
1/20/2005	West	0.009
4/11/2005	North to West	0.009 to 0.01
8/1/2005	West to Northwest	0.006 to 0.004
10/21/2005	West	0.008
1/18/2006	North and West	0.01
4/14/2006	South	0.008
7/19/2006	Northwest to Southwest	0.004 to 0.008
10/24/2006	West	0.003
1/15/2007	Southwest	0.004
4/18/2007	West	0.009
7/17/2007	Southeast	0.05
10/11/2007	West	0.01
1/8/2008	West	0.008
4/8/2008	West	0.006
8/20/2008	West	0.006
11/17/2008	South-Southeast	0.05
2/3/2009	South-Southeast	0.01
5/12/2009	North to West	0.004
8/13/2009	South	0.006
2/18/2010	West-Southwest	0.001
7/23/2010	West-Southwest	0.002
2/10/2011	West	0.002
8/30/2011	West	0.01
2/17/2012	North to West	0.008

Table 4. Historical Groundwater Gradient - Direction and Magnitude

ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

Date Measured	Approximate Gradient Direction	Approximate Gradient Magnitude (ft/ft)		
8/30/2012	West	0.005		
2/7/2013	West	0.004		

Notes:

The data within this table collected prior to April 2006 was provided to Broadbent & Associates, Inc. by Atlantic Richfield Company and their previous consultants. Broadbent & Associates, Inc. has not verified the accuracy of this information

APPENDIX A

Recent Regulatory Correspondence

BP Station Number	Fuel Leak Case Number	Work Plan Title	Prepared By	Date Received	ACEH Response
Station 402	RO0000307	Revised Workplan for Monitoring Well Installation and Vapor Intrusion Assessment	Tom Venus, BAI	11/8/2012	Teleconference call and email correspondence with Tom Venus on 1/2/2013 to discuss ACEH comments on work plan including proposed locations of groundwater monitoring wells (plume delineation due to variable groundwater flow directions, proposed long screen intervals (purpose of monitoring – gravel aquifer, clay layers, water table fluctuations, etc.), collection of soil samples in the vadose zone only, and attempts to locate monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3.
Station 2107	RO0002526	Work Plan for Groundwater Investigation	Kristine Tidwell, BAI	11/08/2012	Teleconference call on 1/11/2013 to discuss ACEH's concerns with proposed off-site investigation without an updated SCM that discusses vertical gradients observed in nested monitoring wells.
Station 2111	RO0000494	Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan	Kristine Tidwell, BAI	11/08/2012	Teleconference call with Kristine Tidwell on 1/11/2013 and email correspondence on 1/14/2013 to discuss ACEH comments on work plan including soil boring locations, soil and groundwater sample collection and analysis methods, well survey evaluation, evaluation of monitoring well MW-8 and validation of data, confirmation sampling, and vapor intrusion to indoor air in adjacent off-site buildings.
Station 2162	RO0000190	Revised Work Plan for Off-Site Groundwater Investigation	Tom Venus, BAI	1/3/2013	ACEH review complete – work plan not supported by a SCM and data gaps not addressed.
Station 374	RO0000078 Soil Vapor Investigation WP		Kristine Tidwell, BAI	11/21/2012	Teleconference call on 1/28/2013 to discuss ACEH's comments on work plan including adequacy of proposed soil vapor investigation in light of shallow groundwater conditions, migration in utility corridors, and potential vapor intrusion in adjacent buildings.

Alejandra Hernandez

From: Kristene Tidwell

Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2013 4:36 PM

To: Alejandra Hernandez

Subject: FW: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000307 (BP Station 402), Fuel Leak Case No. RO0002526 (BP

Station 2107), Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000494 (BP Station 2111), Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000190 (BP Station 2162), Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000078 (BP Station 374)

Attachments: BP Workplans.docx

From: Roe, Dilan, Env. Health [mailto:Dilan.Roe@acgov.org]

Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 5:32 PM

To: Couch, Shannon L. (URS) (Shannon.Couch@bp.com); Skance, John

Cc: Kristene Tidwell; Tom Venus; Matt Herrick

Subject: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000307 (BP Station 402), Fuel Leak Case No. RO0002526 (BP Station 2107), Fuel Leak

Case No. RO0000494 (BP Station 2111), Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000190 (BP Station 2162), Fuel Leak Case No.

RO000078 (BP Station 374)

Shannon and John:

Alameda County Environmental Health has reviewed the five work plans recently submitted by Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (BAI) for the subject sites (see attached file for details). ACEH has evaluated the data and recommendations presented in the work plans in conjunction with information contained in the case files and the State Water Resources Control Board's Low Threat Closure Policy (LTCP) criteria.

Due to the extensive nature of our comments on the work plans, I scheduled several lengthy teleconference calls with BAI staff last month on January 2, January 11, and January 28 to discuss the inadequacy of the proposed scopes of work. My concerns include but are not limited to the lack of site conceptual models for the site that justify the proposed scope of work, the failure to address data gaps that are critical to advancing your sites towards closure under the LTCP, a lack of understanding of the LTCP criteria, and the lack of inclusion of standard elements in the work plans that address goals and objectives, data quality objectives, and standard operating procedures/sampling and analysis plan.

Local Oversight Agencies are under mandate by the SWRCB to conduct evaluations of all sites using the LTCP, identify data gaps and impediments to closure, and work with responsible parties to develop a Path to Closure Plan by December 31, 2013. The Path to Closure must have milestone dates by calendar quarter which will achieve site cleanup and case closure in a timely and efficient manner that minimizes the cost of corrective action.

Therefore, I would like to schedule a meeting with you both as well as Matt Herrick, Kristine Tidwell, and Tom Venus to discuss our comments and a more efficient path forward. I would like to schedule a four hour meeting as my comments are extensive and the goal of this meeting is for everyone to come away with a thorough understanding of each of the sites and a plan to efficiently move them towards closure.

Please propose some times in the near future when your team could be available to meet, with the exception of next week.

Thank you,

Dilan Roe, P.E.

Hazardous Materials Specialist Alameda County Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, CA 94502

Kristene Tidwell

From:

Kristene Tidwell

Sent:

Monday, February 18, 2013 3:59 PM

To:

dilan.roe@acgov.org

Subject:

FW: Fuel Leak Case RO0000494, ARCO Station #2111

From: Roe, Dilan, Env. Health [mailto:Dilan.Roe@acgov.org]

Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 7:39 PM

To: Kristene Tidwell

Cc: Couch, Shannon L. (URS) (Shannon.Couch@bp.com); Matt Herrick

Subject: Fuel Leak Case RO0000494, ARCO Station #2111

Hi Kristine:

Thank you for the recently submitted document prepared by Broadbent and Associates, Inc. (Broadbent) on behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO) entitled, "Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan" (Revised Work Plan), dated November 6, 2012, for the ARCO Station No. 2111, Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000494. Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the proposed Work Plan in conjunction with our review of the case under the State Water Resources Control Board's Low Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy (LTCP).

The Work Plan is intended to supersede the initial *Soil and Groundwater Investigation Work Plan*, dated August 31, 2009, prepared by Broadbent on behalf of ARCO in response to a September 24, 2009 directive letter from ACEH. ACEH concurs with Broadbent's assertion that "since 2009, site conditions, regulatory oversight, and the regulations have changed" and therefore a Revised Work Plan was necessary to address these changes". The operation of the dual-phase extraction system from 2007 until September 2009 appears to have effectively reduced petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant mass in soil and groundwater as indicated by decreasing trends in contaminants detected in groundwater samples collected from on-site monitoring wells.

Based on ACEH's review of the Work Plan and the case files, and our discussions during the meetings held on October 9, 2012 and January 11, 2013 with representatives from ACEH, Broadbent, and ARCO, we request that you resubmit the work plan to address the data gaps and technical comments listed below in order to move the site towards case closure under the LTCP.

Soil Boring Locations - Broadbent states that the initial Soil and Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, dated August 31, 2009, and prepared by Broadbent on behalf of ARCO, was prepared in response to a July 9, 2009 directive letter from ACEH. Broadbent further states that the August 31, 2009 work plan, which included installation of three off-site groundwater monitoring wells, was approved by ACEH but never implemented due to off-site access issues on neighboring property. However, a review of the case file indicates that ACEH requested an addendum to the work plan in a directive letter dated September 24, 2009 to justify proposed monitoring well construction (15 foot screen intervals) and groundwater sample representativeness.

In the Revised Work Plan, Broadbent proposes to install two soil borings rather than the three groundwater monitoring wells originally proposed in the August 31, 2009 Work Plan to determine the downgradient extent of hydrocarbons in groundwater. Boring SB-1 is proposed to be located in the general vicinity of the previously proposed monitoring well MW-11, approximately 20 feet south of former boring H-2 on the First Christian Church and Community property. Boring SB-2 is proposed to be located on Douglas Court in a residential area west of the Site, and corresponds to the location of the originally proposed monitoring well MW-10.

A review of historic groundwater elevation maps indicates the direction of groundwater flow at the site has ranged from southwest to northwest. However, no boring is proposed in the Revised Work Plan in the vicinity of the originally proposed monitoring well MW-9 (i.e., within the Liberty Fitness parking lot southwest of the site) due to unsuccessful attempts by ACEH, Broadbent and ARCO to obtain offsite access from the property owners at 1290 Davis Street. ACEH recommends ARCO and Broadbent make a final attempt to gain access to the property for advancement of a third boring in the location previously proposed in the August 31, 2009 work plan. ACEH will assist in this matter by writing a second letter to the Jaheh's requesting access to their property for the purpose of conducting a subsurface investigation.

Additionally, ACEH recommends advancing an additional boring in the vicinity of boring H-4/H-5 to define the extent of the groundwater plume and potential impacts on the residences located downgradient in the west-northwest direction.

- Well Survey According to a well survey conducted in 1996 (based on the County of Alameda Public Works Agency database) 43 irrigations wells, 6 industrial supply wells, and 4 domestic supply wells are within ½ mile radius of the site. Wells identified downgradient of the site included several active irrigation and industrial wells and one domestic supply well. The downgradient domestic supply well (#2S/3W 27R-7) was reported to be located approximately 650 feet west-southwest of the site. ACEH understands a new well survey has been conducted by Closure Solutions, Inc. on behalf of ARCO. Due to off-site access issues, ACEH recommends Broadbent evaluate the results of the new well survey and identify the location of additional borings into the Work Plan if appropriate and/or develop a well sampling plan to rule out the possibility that downgradient wells have been impacted by the site.
- Soil Sample Collection Broadbent proposes to advance the soil borings using direct-push technology to a proposed total approximate depth of 25 feet below ground surface (bgs). Soil samples will be collected from borings at three-foot intervals, beginning at a depth of 6.5 feet bgs following borehole clearance with an air knife or hand auger methods until total depth. The soil samples from above the first encountered groundwater (capillary fringe) within each boring will be submitted to the laboratory for chemical analysis. ACEH understands that the depth to groundwater has historically ranged from approximately to 12 feet bgs to 24 feet bgs. Therefore, please prepare a scope of work to submit soil samples collected within the entire extent of the smear zone to the laboratory for chemical analysis.
- Groundwater Sample Collection Broadbent proposes to collect one grab-groundwater sample from each boring for submittal to the laboratory for chemical analysis using a hydropunch-type sampler. Although ACEH agrees that this type of groundwater sample allows a specific interval of groundwater to be isolated, ACEH is concerned that due to the low permeability of the soil and difficulties collecting depth discrete groundwater samples during the 2004 field investigation, the proposed use of direct push technology may not provide sufficient delineation of the subsurface conditions and groundwater plume and adequately define permeable layers extending in the vicinity and down-gradient beyond boring H-2 which contained high petroleum concentrations in grab groundwater at the time it was collected in 2004. Please prepare a scope of work using cone penetration technology (CPT) to adequately delineate the vertical distribution of soil and groundwater impacts and identify locations for collection of depth discrete groundwater samples.
- Soil Sample Analysis Broadbent proposes to analyze soil samples collected from borings SB-1 and SB-2 for gasoline range organics (hydrocarbon chain lengths of C6 12) by EPA Method 8015B, and benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylenes (BTEX), methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE), t-butyl alcohol (TBA), tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME), ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE), di-isopropyl ether (DIPE), ethylene dibromide (EDB), 1,2,- DCA, and ethanol by EPA Method 8260. Please include ethylene dichloride (EDC) in the list of analytes for soil samples.
- Groundwater Sample Analysis ACEH also notes that Broadbent does not propose specific analytes for groundwater samples. Please revise the Work Plan to include appropriate analytes for groundwater.
 Additionally, please collect and analyze groundwater samples from the proposed soil borings SB-1 and SB-2 and

existing groundwater monitoring wells for volatile organic compounds, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and napthalene by EPA Method 8260 in order to close the data gap on potential impacts from the waste oil tank removed in 2004 due to laboratory reporting limits being greater than the environmental screening limits for these analytes.

- Existing Monitoring Well MW-8 A review of the construction log and historic groundwater elevation data for
 monitoring well MW-8 indicates the well may not be screened appropriately to adequately characterize
 groundwater conditions. Please present an analysis of this data and make conclusions regarding the validity of
 data collected from this well and recommendations for corrective action if appropriate.
- From 2007 until September 2009 appears to have effectively reduced petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant mass in soil and groundwater as indicated by decreasing trends in contaminants detected in groundwater samples collected from on-site monitoring wells. ACEH recommends collection of confirmation sampling in the source areas to verify that the site satisfies the LTCP media specific criteria for Direct Contact and Outdoor Air Exposure in the upper ten feet of soil. Please note, in lieu of this data, the LTCP allows closure under the LTCP if the maximum concentration of petroleum constituents in soil are less than levels that a site specific risk assessment demonstrates will have no significant risk of adversely affecting human health or the regulatory agency determines that the concentrations of petroleum constituents in soil will have no significant risk of adversely affecting human health as a result of controlling exposure through the use of institutional controls (i.e., land use restrictions, etc).
- Field Investigation Standard Operating Procedures The Work Plan does not provide a description of the proposed methods for collection of soil and groundwater samples. Please include Broadbent's standard operating procedures in an appendix to the Work Plan.
- Site Figures As requested in ACEH's Directive Letter dated July 9, 2009, please prepare site maps which utilize
 aerial photographs as base maps for the site, and accurately depict neighboring structures and site features in
 relation to the groundwater contaminant plume in all future reports.
- Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Although the site is an active commercial petroleum fueling facility, it does not qualify for an exemption from the LTCP Media Specific Criteria for Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air due historic off-site migration of the petroleum hydrocarbon groundwater plume and potentially impacted adjacent residential and commercial parcels. Evidence of historic off-site migration can be found in free product observations in MW-2, soil and groundwater analytical data collected from the off-site monitoring well MW-5 and the onsite perimeter monitoring well network, and depth-discrete and grab groundwater samples collected from offsite borings H-1 through H-5. Please prepare a work plan to collect and analyze the data required to evaluate vapor intrusion to indoor air impacts on buildings located on parcels potentially impacted by the site using one of the three petroleum vapor intrusion to indoor air specific criteria in the LTCP criteria (i.e., survey of building foundations, characterization of bioattenuation zone, direct measurement of soil gas concentrations, or a site specific risk assessment).

ACEH looks forward to working with Broadbent and ARCO in identifying and implementing the steps necessary to move the site to closure under the LTCP as expeditiously as possible. Please submit a schedule with proposed dates to ACEH by January 25, 2013 for resubmittal and implementation of the Revised Soil and Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, as well as the other phases of work discussed above as deemed necessary to satisfy the LTCP General and Media Specific Criteria (i.e., Groundwater, Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air, Direct Contact and Outdoor Air Exposure).

Regards,

Dilan Roe, P.E. Hazardous Materials Specialist

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES AGENCY



DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

July 9, 2009

(Paul Supple (Sent via E-mail to: paul.supple@bp.com)
Atlantic Richfield Company
(A BP Affiliated Company)
P.O. Box 1257
San Ramon, CA 94583

Subject: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000494 and GeoTracker Global ID T0600101764, ARCO

#2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, CA 94577

Dear Mr. Supple:

Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the case file for the abovereferenced site including the recently submitted document entitled, "Response To Request For Site Conceptual Model and Soil & Ground-Water Investigation Work Plan," dated June 23, 2009, which was prepared by Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (BAI) for the subject site. In our April 24, 2009 correspondence, ACEH noted that elevated concentrations of hydrocarbons were detected in a "grab" groundwater sample collected in March 2004 from boring H-2, in which a permeable sand unit was identified between 15 to 20 feet bgs. A permeable unit was also identified in MW-7 from approximately 20 feet bgs to its total installed depth of 35 feet bgs. BAI states that "[f]rom review of the available lithologic logs and resultant cross sections, we do not believe the permeable unit (identified as Clayey Sand at MW-7) extends to the H-2 location. Furthermore, the URS cross section C-C' (Figure 4 of the 6 May 2004 report) does not connect the 29-foot deep, two foot thick well-graded Sand (SW) at SB-2 with the much shallower 16-foot deep, four foot thick well-graded sand (SW) found at the boring H-2 location." BAI further states that "[t]o verify or refute this lack of continuity depicted by URS might require additional drilling of multiple borings in the area north of the First Christian Church Community Center building. To extend this level of investigation does not appear to be justified as one may, or may not discover a reliable conclusion of a preferential pathway between the MW-7, SB-2 and H-2 locations."

ACEH's requests that you address the following technical comments work and send us the technical reports requested below

TECHNICAL COMMENTS

1. Regional Geologic and Hydrogeologic Setting – As mentioned above, in our April 24, 2009 correspondence, ACEH stated that elevated concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons were detected in a "grab" groundwater sample collected in March 2004 from boring H-2, in which a permeable sand unit was identified between 15 to 20 feet bgs. ACEH does not dispute BAI's technical rationale for why they believe the permeable layer identified at MW-7 located on-site does not extend to boring H-2 located off-site. However, ACEH's primary concern is that contaminants may be migrating further off-site through this permeable zone.

BAI did not provide any rationale for why significantly elevated concentrations of TPH-q and MTBE detected at 260,000 µg/L and 7,600 µg/L), respectively, in a "grab" groundwater sample collected from boring H-2 located offsite, if the permeable layer encountered in boring H-2 is not connected in some way to the permeable layer identified at MW-7, located near the source area. Please note that during that same timeframe, groundwater samples collected from monitoring well MW-5 detected TPH-g and MTBE at concentrations of 8,000 µg/L and 2,000 µg/L, respectively, and the highest concentrations of TPH-g and MTBE on-site were detected in well MW-7 at concentrations of 62,000 µg/L and 37,000 µg/L, respectively. Based on the analytical data, the extent of the groundwater contaminant plume appears undefined and a permanent monitoring point in the vicinity of boring H-2 appears warranted in addition to proposed groundwater monitoring wells MW-9 and MW-10. Please propose a scope of work to address the above-mentioned concerns and submit a work plan due by the date specified below. The need for additional boring locations to evaluate the potential for groundwater contaminant migration along preferential pathways (i.e. contaminant flow through permeable zones on and off-site) may be required based on current groundwater contaminant data collected in the immediate vicinity of boring H-2.

2. <u>Extended Site Figures</u> - Please note that the figures included in submittals provided to date are insufficient to adequately depict the extent of your contaminant plume in relation to adjacent and neighboring properties. Please prepare extended site maps, which utilize aerial photographs as base maps for your site, and accurately depict neighboring structures and site features in relation to the groundwater contaminant plume in all future reports.

NOTIFICATION OF FIELDWORK ACTIVITIES

Please schedule and complete the fieldwork activities by the date specified below and provide ACEH with at least three (3) business days notification prior to conducting the fieldwork, including routine groundwater sampling.

TECHNICAL REPORT REQUEST

Please submit technical reports to ACEH (Attention: Paresh Khatri), according to the following schedule:

- July 30, 2009 Remediation Summary Report (2nd Quarter 2009)
- August 31, 2009 Soil and Water Investigation Work Plan
- October 30, 2009 Semi-annual Monitoring & Remediation Summary Report (3rd Quarter 2009)
- January 30, 2010 Remediation Summary Report (4th Quarter 2009)
- April 30, 2010 Semi-annual Monitoring & Remediation Summary Report (1st Quarter 2010)

Mr. Supple RO0000494 July 9, 2009, Page 3

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654, and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS

ACEH's Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of reports in electronic form. The electronic copy replaces paper copies and is expected to be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities. Instructions for submission of electronic documents to the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Program FTP site are provided on the attached "Electronic Report Upload Instructions." Submission of reports to the Alameda County FTP site is an addition to existing requirements for electronic submittal of information to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) GeoTracker website. In September 2004, the SWRCB adopted regulations that require electronic submittal of information for all groundwater cleanup programs. For several years, responsible parties for cleanup of leaks from underground storage tanks (USTs) have been required to submit groundwater analytical data, surveyed locations of monitoring wells, and other data to the GeoTracker database over the Internet. Beginning July 1, 2005, these same reporting requirements were added to Spills, Leaks, Investigations, and Cleanup (SLIC) sites. Beginning July 1, 2005, electronic submittal of a complete copy of all reports for all sites is required in GeoTracker (in PDF format). Please visit the SWRCB website for more information on these requirements (http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/ust/electronic_submittal/report_rgmts.shtml.

PERJURY STATEMENT

All work plans, technical reports, or technical documents submitted to ACEH must be accompanied by a cover letter from the responsible party that states, at a minimum, the following: "I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge." This letter must be signed by an officer or legally authorized representative of your company. Please include a cover letter satisfying these requirements with all future reports and technical documents submitted for this fuel leak case.

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION & CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The California Business and Professions Code (Sections 6735, 6835, and 7835.1) requires that work plans and technical or implementation reports containing geologic or engineering evaluations and/or judgments be performed under the direction of an appropriately registered or certified professional. For your submittal to be considered a valid technical report, you are to present site specific data, data interpretations, and recommendations prepared by an appropriately licensed professional and include the professional registration stamp, signature, and statement of professional certification. Please ensure all that all technical reports submitted for this fuel leak case meet this requirement.

Mr. Supple RO0000494 July 9, 2009, Page 4

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLEANUP FUND

Please note that delays in investigation, later reports, or enforcement actions may result in your becoming ineligible to receive grant money from the state's Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Senate Bill 2004) to reimburse you for the cost of cleanup.

AGENCY OVERSIGHT

If it appears as though significant delays are occurring or reports are not submitted as requested, we will consider referring your case to the Regional Board or other appropriate agency, including the County District Attorney, for possible enforcement actions. California Health and Safety Code, Section 25299.76 authorizes enforcement including administrative action or monetary penalties of up to \$10,000 per day for each day of violation.

If you have any questions, please call me at (510) 777-2478 or send me an electronic mail message at paresh.khatri@acgov.org.

Sincerely,

Paresh C. Khatri Hazardous Materials Specialist

Enclosure: ACEH Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions

cc: Tom Venus, Broadbent & Associates, 1324 Mangrove Avenue, Suite 212, Chico, CA 95926 (Sent via E-mail to: tvenus @broadbentinc.com)

Donna Drogos, ACEH (Sent via E-mail to: donna.drogos@acgov.org)
Paresh Khatri, ACEH (Sent via E-mail to: paresh.khatri@acgov.org)
GeoTracker

File

APPENDIX B

Summary of Previous Site Activities

Previous Environmental Activities at Site

On August 30, 1993 GeoStrategies, Inc. (GSI) observed the removal of a hydraulic hoist and underlying material. GSI collected four soil samples from the excavation pit S-7-HL (7.0 feet below ground surface, ft bgs), S-7½-HL (7.5 ft bgs), S-8-HL (8 ft bgs), and S-9-HL (9 ft bgs). The concentrations of total extractable petroleum hydrocarbons (TEPH) as hydraulic oil ranged from 9,200 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) to 27,000 mg/kg in samples S-9-HL and S-7-HL, respectively (GSI, 10/4/1993). Historical analytical results are tabulated within Appendix C.

On March 4, 1994 GSI observed the advancement of two soil borings (B-1 and B-2) to find the extent of the hydraulic oil contamination. Both borings were advanced to a depth of approximately 20.0 ft bgs in the vicinity of the former hydraulic hoist. During the investigation eight soil samples were collected with concentrations ranging from non-detect (less than or equal to 1.0 mg/kg) to 11 parts per million (ppm) in samples B1-4.5 and B2-20 respectively. GSI concluded that the hydraulic oil had not significantly impacted the surrounding area. However, GSI also concluded that unidentified hydrocarbons had impacted the capillary fringe beneath the northwestern corner of the service station building (GSI, 4/13/1994).

On August 15, 1994 GSI observed the removal of a 280 gallon waste-oil tank and over excavation of the surrounding area. Seven soil samples were collected during the excavation, four of which (soil samples WO-N, WO-1, WO-B and WO-B2) contained petroleum hydrocarbon at maximum concentrations of: 310 ppm total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-g); 780 mg/kg total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPH-d); 2,000 ppm total petroleum hydrocarbons as motor oil range (TPH-mo); 7,900 mg/kg total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons (TRPH) (GSI, 9/27/1994). On September 12, 1994, GSI observed the installation of a 600 gallon waste-oil tank in the same area as the former waste-oil tank.

On July 12 and 13, 1995, EMCON observed the installation of onsite monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-4. The total depths for the monitoring well borings ranged between 27.5 ft bgs and 40 ft bgs. Soil samples collected from borings for wells MW-1, MW-3, and MW-4 did not contain any petroleum hydrocarbon contamination. However, soil samples collected from the boring for well MW-2 contained maximum concentrations of TPH-g at 320 mg/kg, benzene at 0.26 mg/kg, ethylbenzene at 3.4 mg/kg, and Total Xylenes at 1.5 mg/kg (EMCON, 11/8/1995). Historical boring locations are depicted in Drawing 2. Tabulated historic soil and groundwater analytical results are provided within Appendix C.

Between February 28 and March 1, 1996, EMCON observed the installation of offsite monitoring wells MW-5 and MW-6, onsite monitoring well MW-7, and onsite vapor extraction wells VW-1 through VW-4. Soil samples collected from offsite wells MW-5 and MW-6 did not contain petroleum hydrocarbons. Soil samples from onsite well MW-7 adjacent to the corner of the underground storage tanks (UST) pit contained up to 55 mg/kg of TPH-g, up to 0.11 mg/kg of benzene, up to 0.80 mg/kg of ethylbenzene, and up to 1.5 mg/kg of total xylenes. Soil samples from each of vapor extraction wells VW-1 through VW-4 contained petroleum hydrocarbons, with the most significant concentrations being in VW-2 and VW-4: up to 1,100 mg/kg of TPH-g (VW-4), up to 0.30 mg/kg of benzene (VW-2), up to 0.50 mg/kg of ethylbenzene (VW-1), and up to 3 mg/kg of total xylenes (VW-4) (EMCON, 9/19/1996).

In October 2000, Petcon Technologies, Inc. removed the three 12,000-gallon former USTs, product lines and dispensers from the Site. Approximately 930 cubic yards (yd³) of soil was excavated from under the former gasoline USTs (to a depth of 17 ft bgs), product lines and dispenser islands. A representative of

Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc. (Delta) collected soil samples from former USTs, product lines and dispenser islands. In the area of the former gasoline USTs, soil samples T1-S, T1-N, T2-S, T2-N, T2-M, T3-S, and T3-N contained maximum concentrations of TPH-g at 4,400 mg/kg (T2-N), methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) at 89 mg/kg, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) at 7.7 mg/kg, 190 mg/kg, 58 mg/kg, and 300 mg/kg, respectively. Soil samples collected under the product lines contained at 430 mg/kg of TPH-g (PL-1), MTBE at 4.7 mg/kg, and BTEX at 0.16 mg/kg, 0.02 mg/kg, 2.1 mg/kg, and 3.6 mg/kg, respectively. Soil samples collected under the dispenser islands contained 2,100 mg/kg of TPH-g, 13 mg/kg of MTBE, and BTEX at 2.0 mg/kg, 20 mg/kg, 30 mg/kg, and 170 mg/kg, respectively. The highest product line (PL-1) and dispenser island soil confirmation sample concentrations (DP-1) were from the southeast dispenser pump area. This area was over-excavated up to 10 ft bgs, with confirmation samples still containing 19 mg/kg of TPH-g, 7.7 mg/kg of MTBE, and BTEX at 0.4 mg/kg, 0.81 mg/kg, 0.42 mg/kg, and 2.6 mg/kg, respectively. The excavations were reportedly backfilled with clean pea gravel (Delta, 2/2/2001).

On May 5, 2001, Delta conducted soil sampling during the removal and upgrade of a sump within the service station building. A Delta representative collected one soil core sample at two feet (ft) below the bottom of the sump following its removal. Laboratory analysis of the soil sample reported 305 mg/kg of TPH-g, 465 mg/kg of TPH-d, and 543 mg/kg of TRPH. No concentrations of benzene, toluene, or MTBE were detected above the laboratory reporting limits. Minor to trace concentrations of ethylbenzene, total xylenes, sec-butylbenzene, p-isopropyltoluene, naphthalene, 2-methylnaphthalene, n-propylbenzene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (Delta, 8/9/2001).

In January 2002, Delta conducted a three-day dual-phase soil vapor and groundwater extraction (DPE) pilot test from the vapor extraction well VW-2, and then limited DPE pilot tests from monitoring wells MW-2 and MW-7. Water levels typically decreased several feet in the extraction wells and exhibited varied responses in the observation wells. Estimated average vapor-phase removal rates were 11.6 pounds of TPH-g per day from well VW-2 and 7.32 pounds of TPH-g per day from well MW-7. Grab groundwater samples collected showed a decreasing trend in petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations from well VW-2 during the short-term pilot test. Concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil vapor before and after the pilot tests remained approximately the same order of magnitude. A total of 14,900 gallons of water was extracted during the DPE pilot test. Delta concluded that limited DPE was possible at the Site. Even though in the short term they admitted that DPE was limited in its ability to quickly lower groundwater levels to expose impacted soils for soil vapor extraction (SVE), they hypothesized that given enough time of system operation it was reasonable to expect that the groundwater levels could be adequately lowered. Furthermore, Delta noted that even though significant hydrocarbon vapor recovery rates might not be reasonably expected from DPE due to the fine-grained soils, the overall effect of reducing the groundwater levels in itself might allow the soils to be exposed to atmospheric oxygen from SVE, which in turn might enhance the natural attenuation of the impacted soils and groundwater. The test also indicated that just those wells completed in finer-grained materials onsite would be effective in a DPE system, whereas monitoring well MW-2 would not serve as a practical DPE well due to its excessive groundwater production rates (Delta, 7/16/2002).

On November 26, 2003, URS observed the installation of onsite monitoring well MW-8. Eight soil samples were collected from the borehole advanced prior to the installation of well MW-8 with a maximum concentration of 150 mg/kg of TPH-g at 16.5 ft bgs. On March 20 and 21, 2004, URS observed the drilling of six off-site borings (H-1 through H-5 and SB-1) and one on-site boring (SB-2) using direct-push technology. Five of the seven borings (H-1 through H-5) had sufficient groundwater for grab samples. Grab groundwater samples were collected from H-1, H-2, and H-3 while multiple

depth-discrete groundwater samples were collected from borings H-4 and H-5. Borings SB-1 and SB-2 were advanced for lithologic logging purposes and were not sampled. Groundwater samples H-1, H-2, and H-5 at 40 ft bgs contained gasoline range organics (GRO) at 820 micrograms per liter (μ g/L), 260,000 μ g/L, and 53 μ g/L, respectively. Grab groundwater sample H-2 also contained ethylbenzene at 5,800 μ g/L, total xylenes at 11,000 μ g/L, and MTBE at 7,600 μ g/L. Depth-discrete groundwater sample H-4 at 27 ft bgs also contained 0.72 μ g/L of total xylenes. Benzene, toluene, ethanol, tert-butyl alcohol (TBA), di-isopropyl alcohol (DIPE), ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE), tert-amyl methyl ether (TAME), 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCA), and 1,2-dibromomethane (EDB) were not detected above the various laboratory reporting limits (URS, 5/6/2004).

During the First Quarter of 2007, a DPE system was started up at the Site that extracted soil vapor and groundwater from wells V-1, V-2, V-3, MW-1, MW-2 (groundwater extraction only), MW-3, and MW-7. The DP system operated until September 2009, when it was shut down due to asymptotic mass removal rates (Broadbent, 2009). In July 2012 the DPE system, which had been sitting idle since 2009, was removed. All equipment was removed and properly disposed of by Belshire Environmental.

.


References

- Broadbent & Associates, Inc., 30 October 2009. Third Quarter 2009 Ground-Water Monitoring and Remediation System Status Report, Atlantic Richfield Company Station #2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California; ACEH Case #RO0000494
- Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc., 2 February 2001. *Tank Basin, Product Line and Dispenser Island Sampling Results, ARCO Station No.2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California*.
- Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc., 9 August 2001. Sump Sampling Results, ARCO Service Station No.2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California.
- Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc., 16 July 2002. Results of a Dual Phase Extraction Pilot Test, ARCO Service Station No.2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California.
- EMCON, 8 November 1995. Site Characterization, ARCO Service Station 2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California.
- EMCON, 19 September 1996. Soil and Groundwater Assessment Report, ARCO Service Station 2111, San Leandro, California.
- GeoStrategies, Inc., 4 October 1993. Letter Report of The Results of Soil Sampling Associated with Hydraulic Hoist Removal at ARCO Station 2111, 1156 Davis Street in San Leandro, California.
- GeoStrategies, Inc., 13 April 1994. Report of Initial Subsurface Investigation, ARCO Station 2111, 1156

 Davis Street, San Leandro, California.
- GeoStrategies, Inc., 27 September 1994. Report for Waste-Oil Tank Removal Activities at ARCO Station 2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California.
- URS Consultants, Inc., 6 May 2004. Additional Subsurface Investigation Report, ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis Street, Hayward [sic], California.

APPENDIX C

Historical Site and Offsite Data



N 1300 Ket and

California Environmental Protection Agency Department of Toxic Substances Control



CATERPILLAR FACT SHEET NO. 1

Caterpillar has been conducting soil and groundwater testing mand around the former Caterpillar facility near Davis and Alvarado streets in San Leandro, California. This testing has been conducted as part of an overall study of the area (known as a Remedial Investigation) and indicates that shallow groundwater is affected with volatile organic commonwealth of the area. pounds (VOCs). The site is designated as that area encompassed by the limits of VOCs that have been found in the groundwater downgradient of the former Caterpillar facility. As directed by the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), the lead regulatory agency overseeing work at the site, Caterpillar has developed an Interna Remedial Measures Plan (IRM, Plan) and hasperformed a Public Health Evaluation (PHE) for the 6th (Large for the study area.

This fact sheet provides:

- Site description and history;
- Summary of soil and groundwater investigations;
- Summary of interim remedial actions;
- Health and environmental issues;
- Puture site activities; and
- Public involvement information.

Throughout this fact sheet, words or phrases in stalicized type are defined in the Glossary.

SITE DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY

The Site was developed in the 1920s by Caterpillar and manufacturing operations in California ceased in 1980. Former Site uses include the administrative headquarters and manufacturing of parts for earth moving equipment.

Several structures have been constructed at the location of the former Caterpillar facility, including a three-story office building and a two-story office com-plex at the comer of Davis and Alvarado streets. Other portions of the former Caterpillars itemay be developed in the future.

SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATIONS

Concurrent with the sale of the Caterpillar properly to local developers, soil and groundwater investigations were conducted on the property, and groundwater monitoring wells were constructed. Analyses of water samples from the monitoring wells indicated that concentrations of several VOCs exceeded. the Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) for drinking

water sources established by the State of California.


In the summer of 1988, soil remediation was conducted at the Site. Approximately 16,000 cubic yards of soil containing motor oil, diesel fuel, and VOCs was excavated, remediated, and hauled to a permitted landfill.

Caterpillar subsequently prepared a series of studies, including a Phase 1 Hydrogeologic and Groundwater Quality Investigation, and Phase II Parts Groundwater Quality Investigation, and Phase II Parts A, B, C and D reports. These studies examined site stratigraphy, hydrogeology and concentrations of VOCs in the groundwater at the Site and surrounding area using a variety of subsurface exploratory techniques. These studies also evaluated the subsurface characteristics that may affect applications of groundwater extraction in controlling the migration of groundwater containing VOCs. Caterpillar has also prepared and implemented a groundwater monitoring plan. The reports discussed in this Fact Sheet are available for public review in the information repository located in the San Leandro Public Library. in the Sari Leandro Public Library

INTERIM REMEDIAL MEASURES

Caterpillar evaluated different methods of remediation in a report entitled "Remedial Alternatives Evaluation." Subsequently, an IRM Plan was prepared for the initial phase of ground water remediation. This plan describes the installation of three groundwater extraction and treatment systems. System one will extract and treat the groundwater with the highest

Former Caterpillar Manufacturing Site



concentration of VOCs within the plume, while sys tems 2 & 3 will hydraulically control migration of groundwater containing VOCs. These systems will extract groundwater from wells and remove VOCs from the water by passing it through activated carbon prior to discharge into the storm sewer. The first extraction and treatment system, located near the intersection of Dabner and Lucille streets, is in place and start-up is scheduled for June 1993. Two addi. tional systems are plauned for installation in late-1993 near the intersection of Davis Street and Interstate 880 in San Leandro and on 105th Avenue near San Leandro Creek in Oakland.

HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

East Bay Municipal Utility District provides the public water supply to the area. Such water supply is typically used for drinking, cooking, showering and bathing ("domesticuse"). Residents who are using thus system are not exposed to any contaminants.

Private wells are sometimes used for landscape irrigation and for gardening ("irrigation"). DTSC does not believe them is a sensificant health rick associated.

irrigation and for gardening ('tringation'). DTSC does not believe there is a significant health risk associated with using groundwater for irrigation.

However, as previously stated in DTSC's publication entitled "Well Testing Information FactSheet" (dated October, 1991). DTSC believes that a potential health risk may exist for San Leandro residents who regularly use their private wells for dranking, cooking, showering or bathing purposes. DTSC has previously issued a public health advisory to the users of private wells in the area. The advisory recommends that private wells not be used for drinking, cooking, showering or bathing purposes unless the wells have

been tested for common chemical contaminants.

No soil contamination or air pollution problem exists in connection with this site.

FUTURE ACTIVITIES

Cateroillar has evaluated the extent of the VOCs in soil and groundwater on- and off-site, as well as prepared an interim remedial measure plan. Anticipated future work includes the following activities:

Implementation of the IRM Plans

Quarterly sampling of the groundwater monitoring wells; and

Preparation of a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) after the three groundwater extraction and treatment systems are in operation.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

Throughout the site mitigation process, Caterpillar will elicit comments from community members on the remedial activities and progress with remediation. Caterpillar implemented a Public Par-ticipation Program in 1991, under guidance from the DTSC, to facilitate community involvement in this project. Caterpillar provided copies of reports to the San Leandro Public Library for public review. In ad-dition, community representatives have been inter-viewed and their comments have been incorporated into the Public Participation Plan (PPP).

A public meeting will be held, and a 30-day public comment period will be open, at the completion of the draft RAP. This meeting is anticipated to occur in 1994. Community members are encouraged to review site-related documents and confact DTSC repre sentatives with any questions concerning the information in this fact sheet and or other site-related issues.

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Copies of site-related documents are available for public review at the information repository located at:

San Leandro Community Library Center, Reference Desk 300 Estudillo Avenue, San Leandro, California 94577 (510) 577-3490

Hours: Monday - Thursday: 10a.m. - 5p.m. Friday: 10a.m. - 5:30p.m., Saturday: 10a.m. - 5p.m.

If you would like more information about the Site, please contact the DTSC Public Participation Coordi-nator or Project Manager by telephone or write:

Mr. Stan Giorgi Public Participation Coordinator 510-540-3920

> Mr. Ted K. Park, P.E. Project Manager 510-540-3845

California Environmental Protection Agency Department of Toxic Substances Control Building F, Second Floor 700 Heinz Avenue Berkeley, California 94710-2737

GLOSSARY

Activated Carbon — Highly absorbent carbon, obtained by neating granulated charcoal, which is a reliable technology used to absorb volatile organic compounds and e them from water

Groundwater - Water beneath the earth's surface that flows through soil and rock openings and often serves as a primary source of drinking water.

Hydrogeology — The interrelationships of geologic materials with water.

Interim Remedial Measures Plan (JRM Plan) — A plan to begin implementation of site clean up while the RAP is being developed; also known as "Interim Remedial Action Plan".

Maximum Contammant Levels (MCLs) -- If well water contains concentrations of a substance greater than the MCL for that substance, the water can not be used for domestic purposes, according to State Law. Domestic purposes include drinking, showering or bathing, and cooking.

Monitoring Wells — Specially constructed wells used exclusively for testing water quality.

Public Health Evaluation (PHE) — A study whose purpose is to assess human health risks due to potential exposure to hazardous substances; also known as seline Risk Assessment".

Public Participation Plan - A plan to keep community members informed about progress being made at the

Remedial Action Plan (RAP) — A pian, approved by DTSC, that outlines a specific program leading to the remediation of a site. Once the Draft RAP is prepared, a public meetings is teld and comments from the public are solicited for a period of no less than 30 days. After the public comment period has ended, DTSC approves the final remedy for the site (final RAP) and responds in writing to comments received.

Remedial Alternatives Evaluation --- An evaluation to assess the most technically sound and cost effective alternatives for remediation

Remedial Investigation (RD-An environmental study to determine the type, extent, and source of contamir

Remediation — Action taken at a site to reduce potential risks to human health or the environment.

Stratigraphy — The study of the layering of rocks or sediment deposits and their characteristics in terms of mode or origin and geologic history.

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) — An organic (carbon containing compound that evaporates (becomes a gas) readily at room temperature. Common forms of VOCs include industrial solvents such as Trichloroethylene and Tetrachloroethylene.

Table 2
Groundwater Analytical Results
ARCO #2111
1156 Davis St., San Leandro, CA

Well Number	Date Sampled	GRO (µg/L)	Benzene (ug/L)	Toluene (µg/L)	Ethyl- benzene (µg/L)	Total Xylenes (µg/L)	Ethanoi (µg/L)	TBA (µg/L)	MTBE (µg/L)	DIE (µg/L)	ETBE (µg/L)	TAME (µg/L)	1,2-DCA (mg/L)	1,2-DBA (mg/L)
H-1	03/21/04	820	NEXS	ND<5	ND<5	ND<5	ND<1000	ND<200	550	ND<5	ND<5	ND<5	ND<5	ND<5
H-2	03/21/04	260,000 -	ND<500	ND<500	5,800	11,000	ND<100,000	NID<500	7,600	ND<500	ND<500	ND<500	ND<500	ND<500
H-3	03/21/04	ND<50	NT><0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<100	ND<20	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	NID<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50
H-4-27	03/20/04	NID<50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	0.72	ND<100	ND<20	ND<0.50	ND<0,50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50
H-4-35	03/20/04	NID<50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<100	ND<20	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50
H-5-27	03/20/04	ND<50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<100	ND<20	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50
H-5-32	03/20/04	ND<50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<100	ND<20	NID<0.50	NEX<0.50	NID<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50
H-5-40	03/21/04	53	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<100	ND<20	NID<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0,50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50

Notes:

GRO = Gasoline Range Organics

BTEX = Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl-benzene, and Total Xylenes analyzed by EPA method \$260B.

MTBE = Methyl tertiary butyl other analyzed by EPA Method 8260B.

TBA = tert-Butyl alcohol DIE = Di-isopropyl ether ETBE = Ethyl tert-butyl ether TAME = tert-Amyl methyl ether 1,2-DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane 1.2-DBA = 1,2 Dibromoethane (EDB) μg/L = Micrograms per liter MSL = Mean sea level

ND< = Not detected at or above specified laboratory method detection limit

Groundwater elevation measurments are from first encountered groundwater during drilling.

Source: The data within this table collected prior to July 2002 was provided to URS by Group Environmental Management Company and their previous consultants.

URS has not verified the accuracy of this information.

April 13, 1994

TABLE 1 SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS FORMER HYDRAULIC HOIST EXCAVATION PIT ARCO Station 2111 San Leandro, California


Sample ID	TEPH as Hydraulic Oi
August 30, 1993	
S-7-HL	27,000
S-7 ½-HL	22,000
S-8-HL	11,000
S-9-HL	9,200

All results shown in parts per million (ppm).

TEPH: Total extractable petroleum hydrocarbons as hydraulic oil by EPA methods 3550/8015.


Sample Identification:

S-7-HL Hydreulic Lift
Soil Sample and Depth in Feet



EXPLANATION

Soil boring



Bose Map:

ARCO Petroleum Products Compony conversion to MP & G tune-up dwg. dated 6/6/85 sht. 1 of 1



GeoStrategies Inc.

SITE PLAN ARCO Service Station #2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

DATE

3/94

ildio, odinornia

JOB NUMBER 7940

REVIEWED BY

REVISED DATE

PLATE

TABLE 2 RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES - Fuel Fingerprint as Hydraulic Oil ARCO Station 2111 San Leandro, California

Sample ID	Fuel Fingerprint as Hydraulic Oil	TPH-G	BTEX	TCLP BTEX	TCLP TPH-G	STLC Lead	RCI
March 4,	1994						
B1-4.5	3.0*	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
B1-10	<1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
B1-15	<1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
B1-20	1.7**	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
B2-5	1.7	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
B2-10	<1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
B2-15	2.0***	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
B2-20	11****	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
CSS-1A-1	D NA	<0.0050	<1.0	<50	<0.5	0.18	NH

All results shown in parts per million (ppm), except TCLP TPH-G and BTEX are shown in parts per billion (ppb). Fuel fingerprint as hydraulic oil was performed using EPA Methods 3550/8015.

TPH-G = Total petroleum hydrocarbone as gasoline using EPA modified Method 8015.

BTEX = Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes using EPA Method 8020.

TCLP = Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure

STLC = Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration

RCI = Reactivity, ignitability, and corrosivity

NH = Non hazardous. Composited Sample indicated non-reactivity with sulfide, cyanide, and water, a pH of 7.0 and ignitability of greater than 100 degrees centigrade.

- Unidentified hydrocarbons greater than C9.
- Unidentified hydrocarbons greater ranging from C11 to C15.
- *** = Discrete peaks unidentified.
- **** = Unidentified hydrocarbons ranging from C11 to C24.

Sample Identification:




Table 1

Well Details

ARCO Service Station 2111

Well ID	Installation Date	Total Depth of Well (feet)	Casing Diameter (inches)	Screened Interval (feet)
MW-1	7/12/95	27.0	4.0	12.5 - 26.2
MW-2	7/12/95	27.0	4.0	12.0 - 26.2
MW-3	7/13/95	27.0	4.0	11.9 - 26.2
MW-4	7/13/95	25.0	4.0	10.0 - 24.0
MW-5	3/1/96	25.0	2.0	9.4 - 23.4
MW-6	3/1/96	25.0	2.0	10.0 - 25.0
MW-7	2/29/96	27.0	4.0	12.0 - 27.0
V-1	2/29/96	20.0	4.0	5.0 - 20.0
V-2	2/29/96	20.0	4.0	5.0 - 20.0
V-3	2/28/96	20.0	4.0	5.0 - 20.0
V-4	2/28/96	20.0	4.0	6.5 - 19.5

Table 2
Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data
Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

Date: 09-17-96

Well Designation	Water Level Field Date	Top of Casing Signation	ਨੇ Depth to Water	Groundwater	Floating Product	Groundwater Flow Direction	Hydraulic	Water Sample Field Date	t TPHG	Benzene P BPA 8020	a Toluene راج EPA 8020	Ethytbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE	क TRPH % EPA 418.1	TPHD
MW-1	08-01-95	39.60	17.45	22.15	ND	NR	NR	08-01-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			
MW-I	12-14-95	39.60	17.09	22.51	ND	W	0.002	12-14-95	<50	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		
MW-1	03-21-96	39.60	14.72	24.88	ND	wsw	0.005	03-21-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0,5	<0.5	<3		
MW-1	05-24-96	39.60	15.94	23.66	ND	W	0.003	05-24-96	<50	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		
MW-I	08-09-96	39.60	17.89	21.71	ND	WNW	0.01	08-09-96	<50	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		
MW-2	08-01-95	37. 9 9	15.67	22.32	ND	NR	NR	08-01-95	23000	1300	310	500	3500			
MW-2	12-14-95	37.99	15.36	22.63	ND	W	0.002	12-14-95	7300	900	25	180	1000	<200*		~ -
MW-2	03-21-96	37. 9 9	12.84	25,15	ND	wsw	0.005	03-21-96	9600	850	30	280	1400	250		
MW-2	05-24-96	37. 9 9	14.03	23.96	ND	W	0.003	05-24-96	2300	300	<5*	73	310	<25*		
MW-2	08-09-96	37.99	16.10	21.89	ND	WNW	0.01	08-09-96	2800	290	6	75	320	50		
MW-3	08-01-95	39.32	17.00	22.32	ND	NR	NR	08-01-95	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5		600	76^
MW-3	12-14-95	39.32	16.70	22.62	ND	W	0.002	12-14-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3	<500	<50
MW-3	03-21-96	39.32	14.17	25.15	ND	WSW	0.005	03-21-96	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3	<500	<50
MW-3	05-24-96	39.32	15.30	24.02	ND	W	0.003	05-24-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	<500	<50
MW-3	08-09-96	39.32	17.58	21.74	ND	WNW	0.01	08-09-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<3	< 0.5	* *
MW-4	08-01-95	38.10	15.65	22.45	ND	NR	NR	08-01-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	••		
MW-4	12-14-95	38.10	15.35	22.75	ND	W	0.002	12-14-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		
MW-4	03-21-96	38.10	12.74	25.36	ND	wsw	0.005	03-21-96	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<3	^ -	
MW-4	05-24-96	38.10	14.03	24.07	ND	w	0.003	05-24-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		* *
MW-4	08-09-96	38.10	16.10	22.00	ND	WNW	0.01	08-09-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		
MW-5	03-21-96	37.21	12.60	24.61	ND	wsw	0.005	03-22-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	82		o m
MW-5	05-24-96	37.21	13.71	23.50	ND	W	0.003	05-24-96	<50	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	7	• •	
MW-5	08-09-96	37.21	15.60	21.61	ND	WNW	10.0	08-09-96	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	8	••	+-

Table 2
Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data
Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

Date: 09-17-96

Well Designation	Water Level Field Date	15 Top of Casing TS Elevation	57 Depth to Water	TS Elevation	Floating Product	Groundwater Groundwater Flow Direction	Hydraulic Gradient	Water Sample Field Date	ř Va LUFT Method	표 Benzene % EPA 8020	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	世 所 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日	் TRPH இ EPA 418.1	TPHD
MW-6	03-21-96	37.11	11.55	25.56	ND	wsw	0.005	03-22-96	<50	<0.5	1.9	<0.5	<0.5	<3		
MW-6	05-24-96	37.11	12.80	24.31	ND	W	0.003	05-24-96	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	6	* *	
MW-6	08-09-96	37.11 No	ot surveyed:	Car parked or	n well			08-09-96	Not sampled: C	Car parked on	well					
MW-7	03-21-96	38.68	13.32	25,36	ND	wsw	0.005	03-22-96	32000	870	450	970	4900	280	- 4	- 4
MW-7	05-24-96	38.68	14.58	24,10	ND	W	0.003	05-24-96	22000	570	40	42	1900	<200*		
MW-7	08-09-96	38.68	15.33	23.35	ND	WNW	10.0	08-09-96	14000	390	<10*	180	470	<200*		

ft-MSL: elevation in feet, relative to mean sea level

MWN; ground-water flow direction and gradient apply to the entire monitoring well network

fuft: foot per foot

TPHG: total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline, California DHS LUFT Method

µg/L: micrograms per liter

EPA: United States Environmental Protection Agency

MTBE: Methyl-tert-butyl ether

TRPH; total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons

TPHD: total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel, California DHS LUFT Method

NR: not reported; data not available or not measurable

ND: none detected

W: west

WSW: west-southwest

NW: northwest

^: chromatogram fingerprint is not characteristic of diesel

*: method reporting limit was raised due to: (1) high analyte concentration requiring sample dilution, or (2) matrix interference

- -: not available

Table 3

Soil Analytical Data

ARCO Service Station 2111

Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Depth (feet)	TPHG ²	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	TRPH	TPHD
MW-1	7/12/95	6.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA.	NA
MW-1	7/12/95	11.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-1	7/12/95	16.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA.
MW-1	7/12/95	21.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-1	7/12/95	26	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA.	NA
MW-2	7/12/95	6.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-2	7/12/95	11.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-2	7/12/95	16.5	2	0.045	ND	0.027	0.04	NA	NA
MW-2	7/12/95	19	29	0.26	ND	0.3	1.5	NA	NA
MW-2	7/12/95	21	320	<0.5**	<l**< td=""><td>3.4</td><td>1.4</td><td>NA</td><td>NA</td></l**<>	3.4	1.4	NA	NA
MW-3	7/13/95	6.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	10	ND
MW-3	7/13/95	11	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	7/13/95	14	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	7/13/95	17	ND	ND	ND	ND .	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	7/13/95	19.5	ND	ND	ND	NID	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	7/13/95	22.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	7/13/95	27. 5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	7/13/95	36	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	7/13/95	40	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-4	7/13/95	6.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-4	7/13/95	11.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-4	7/13/95	16.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-4	7 /13/95	21.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-5	3/1/96	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-5	3/1/96	10	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-5	3/1/96	15	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-5	3/1/96	30	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-6	3/1/96	5	ND	ND	ND	NID	ND	NA	NA
MW-6	3/1/96	10	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-6	3/1/96	15	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-6	3/1/96	27	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA

Table 3 Soil Analytical Data **ARCO Service Station 2111**

(continued)

Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Depth (feet)	TPHG ²	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	TRPH	TPHD
MW-7	2/29/96	5.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-7	2/29/96	10	ND	0.01	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-7	2/29/96	15	1	0.11	ND	0.080	0.90	NA	NA
MW-7	2/29/96	21	55	<0.1*	<0.2*	0.80	1.5	NA	NA
MW-7	2/29 /96	33	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.006	NA	NA
VW-1	2/29/96	5.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-1	2/29/96	10.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-1	2/29/96	13	1	0.020	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-1	2/29/96	19.5	40	0.10	ND	0.50	0.80	NA	NA
VW-2	2/29 / 96	5.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-2	2/29/96	10.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-2	2 /29/9 6	13	4	0.20	<0.025*	0.080	0.080	NA	NA
VW-2	2/29/96	15.5	18	0.30	<0.05*	0.30	0.40	NA	NA
VW-2	2/29/96	19.5	230	<0.5*	<1*	<1*	2	NA	NA
VW-3	2/28/96	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-3	2/28/9 6	10	ND	0.020	ND	ND	0.005	NA	NA
VW-3	2/28/96	15	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-3	2 /28/9 6	19.5	76	<0.1*	<0.2*	0.4	0.8	NA	NA
VW-4	2 /28/9 6	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-4	2/28/96	10.5	12	<0.05*	<0.1*	<0.1*	<0.1*	NA	NA
VW-4	2/28/96	15	1,100	*</td <td><2</td> <td><2*</td> <td>3</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td>	<2	<2*	3	NA	NA
VW-4	2/28/96	19.5	420	<0.5*	<1*	<1*	3	NA	NA

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

TPHG = total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline

TRPH = total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons

TPHD = total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel

NA = not analyzed

indicates laboratory minimum reporting limit raised MRL due to high analyte concentration requiring sample dilution

TABLE 1

ANALYTICAL RESULTS OF SOIL SAMPLES COLLECTED FROM BENEATH THE FORMER WASTE-OIL TANK AT ARCO STATION 2111

1155 Davis Street San Leaudro, California

A to account of the second	فتناشا ومأسي والمستون	enterioramente de la constitución		rorowineaninteeninteenin					i toler in the lease and and in the fact of the common contents				processor and the second
Sample ID	Ligije Jane	Depth feet	(Plimo (ppm)	TP+id (ppm)	TPHg (ppm)	TRPH (ppm)	VOCs (ppm)	PCBs/BNAs (ppm)	Cadmium (ppm)	Chromium (ppm)	Nickel (ppm)	Lesd (ppm)	Zinc (ppm)
WO-E	8/15/94	10	<10	<1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
WO-W	8/15/94	10,5	<10	<1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
WO-N	8/15/94	14	12	2.8	ÑΑ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NΑ
wo-s	8/15/94	12.5	<10	<1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA.	NA	AN	NA	NA	NA
WO-1	8/15/94	9.5	NA	780	310	7,900	5-47,5	< 5.0	0.79	38	34	56	50
wo-e	8/15/94	14.5	800	660	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
WO-82	8/16/94	18.5	2,000	400	190	2,800	<2.5	<5.0	0.90	46	8.6	55	53
CCS-1A-1D	9/14/94	خند	840	NA	5.7	960	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.01	0.13	0.81	0.27	4.4
CCS-2A-2D	9/14/94		1,400	NA	6.1	2,300	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.011	0.11	0.96	1,4	0.63

4

TPHmo = Total petroleum hydrocarbons reported as motor oil by Standard Method (SM) 5520E&F.

TPHd *Total petroleum hydrocarbons reported as diesel by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Methods 5030/8015 (modified).

TPHg * Total petroleum hydrocarbons reported as gasoline by EPA Methods 5030/8015 (modified).

TRPH = Total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons by SM 5520EMF.

VOCs ... Voletile organic compounds by EPA Method 8240.

PCBs/BNAs = Polychlorinated biphensis and base/sold neutrals by EPA Method 8270.


ppm = Parts per million.


Metals were analyzed using EPA Methods 6010/7010 series.

Notes: 1.All data listed as <x indicates a not detected concentration.

DISPENSER PUMP & PRODUCT LINES

SAMPLE LO.	SAMPLE DEPTH
DP-1	5.0 FEET
OP-2	8.0 FEET
DP-3	4.0 FEET
DP-4	4.5 FEET
DP-5	4.0 FEET
DP-6	4.0 FEET
DP-7	50 FEET
DP-8	5.0 FEET
PL-1	4 O FEET
PL-2	6.0 FEET
PL-3	5.0 FEET
PL-4	5.0 FEET
OX-1	10.0 FEET
OX-2	9.5 FEET





DAVIS STREET

LEGEND:

MW-1 MONITORING WELL LOCATION

V-1 VAPOR EXTRACTION WELL LOCATION

B-1 SOIL BORING LOCATION

V-4 DESTROYED WELL LOCATION

- T-1N TANK BASIN SOIL SAMPLE LOCATIONS

¥ PL-1 FORMER PRODUCT LINE/ DISPENSER PUMP SOIL SAMPLE LOCATIONS

FORMER TANK BASIN

SAMPLEID	SAMPLE DEPTH
T1-N	17 FEET
T2-N	17 FEET
T3-N	16 FEET
T2-M	10 FEET
T1-S	16 FEET
T2-S	16 FEET
T3-S	16 FEET

FIGURE 3 SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP

ARCO SERVICE STATION NO. 2111 1156 DAVIS STREET SAN LEANDRO, CALIFORNIA

PROJECT NO COOLJON	DRAWN BY TLA 11/03/00
FILE NO	PREPARED BY
REVISION NO	REVIEWED BY



TABLE 1

SOIL SAMPLE LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS


ARCO Service Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro California

Sample ID	Date	Depth (ft)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethyl- benzene (mg/kg)	Total Xylenes (mg/kg)	TPH as Gasoline (mg/kg)	MTBE (mg/kg)	Lead (mg/kg)
ispenser Isla	and Samples	(Biggie)							
DP-1	10/17/00	5.0	2	20	30	170	2,100	13	15
DP-2	10/17/00	8.0	0.77	0.84	7.4	32	440	4.4	13
DP-3	10/17/00	4.0	0.014	0.12	0.26	1.9	31	2,2	15
DP-4	10/17/00	4.5	0.0056	0.059	0.1	0.68	9.4	0.9	12
DP-5	10/17/00	4.0	0.0061	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<1.0	1,5	14
DP-6	10/17/00	4.0	< 0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<1.0	0.2	25
DP-7	10/17/00	5.0	<0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	2.2	2.4	13
DP-8	10/17/00	5.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.092	<1.0	0.35	13
roduct Line	<u>Samples</u>								
PL-1	10/17/00	4.0	0.16	<0.05	2.1	3.6	430	0.36	14
PL-2	10/17/00	6.0	<0.005	0.02	0.0077	0.6	14	4.7	12
PL-3	10/17/00	5.0	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	<1.0	0.17	12
PL-4	10/17/00	5.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.043	1.3	0.86	11
ank Basin S	amples								
T1-S	10/19/00	17.0	0,21	2.1	1.6	8.5	110	33	8.9
T1-N	10/19/00	16,0	4.7	79	30	170	1,900	89	10
T2-S	10/19/00	16.0	1.1	26	14	77	1,100	18	8.1
T2-M	10/19/00	16.0	1.9	38	11	59	800	59	8.3
T2-N	10/19/00	17.0	7.7	190	58	300	4,400	76	13
T3-S	10/19/00	16.0	1.3	8.4	29	120	340	6.5	12
Т3-N	10/19/00	16.0	5.0	76	28	140	1,800	83	12
oli Overexca	Yation Sam	ilas							
OX-1	10/26/00	10.0	0.4	<0.005	<0.005	0.0091	2.7	1.5	9.7
OX-2	10/26/00	9.5	0.18	0.81	0.42	2.6	19	7.7	11
oil Stockpile	Results								
STK-1	10/19/00	Composite	0.019	0.017	0.052	0.27	8	NA	11
STK-2	10/26/00	Composite	0.054	0.48	0.64	3.8	86	0.91	9.6

TPH = Total petroleum hydrocarbons.

MTBE = Methyl tertiary butyl ether (analyzed by EPA Method 8260)

NA = Not Analyzed



LEGENO:

MW-1 MONITORING WELL LOCATION

V-1 VAPOR EXTRACTION WELL LOCATION

B-1 SDIL BORING LOCATION

V-4 DESTROYED WELL LOCATION

- SUMP SAMPLE LOCATION

FIGURE 2 SITE MAP

ARCO SERVICE STATION NO. 2111 1156 DAVIS STREET SAN LEANDRO, CALIFORNIA

PRIME CT NO.	INVANVA BY
CXXXX-1080	11,4 8/20/01
FARMC	PREPARED SY
2433.1	技术
HEVISKON NO.	REVENED BY
2	!



TABLE 1

SOIL CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL DATA

ARCO Service Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

vaitę iš ID	Collected	magest (fe ct)	(ma/kg)	(mg/kg)	Ethyl- Lanzane (mg/kg)	Total Xylenus (mg/kg)		TPHd (mg/kg)	MIBE (mg/kg)	PCB (mg/kg)	TRPH (mg/kg)	VOC ¹ (mg/kg)	VOG ² (mg/kg)	SVOC (mg/kg)	Total Metals (mg/kg)
Sump	5/5/2001	2	**************************************	<0.025	0.0616	0.209	305	465		NO	543	ND	0.637°, 1.11°, 4.47°, 0.575°, 9.81°, 3.30′, 0.219°	0.51 ¹ , 0.61 ^c	36", 52°, 8.7,60°

Explanation	Analytical Methoda
BTEX = benzene, toluene, strylbenzene, and lotal xylenes	DHS LUFT
TPHg = total pelmieum hydrocarbons as gasoline	DHS LUFT
TPHd = total petroleum hydrocarbone as diesel	DHS LUFT
MTBE = methyl (emary butyl ether	DHSLUFT
PCB = polychlorinated biptienyle	EPA Method 8062
TRPH = total recoverable perceleum hydrocarbons (oil & grease)	APHA/EPA Methods
VOC = volatile organic compounds	
Vac*	EPA Method 5010
VO:	EPA Method 5260A
SVOC = semi-volatile organic compounds	EPA Method 8270C
Total Matels	EPA 6000/7000 Series Methodo

p-Isopropyltokiene, maphilialese.

p-Isopropyltokiene, maphilialese.

p-propyltokiene, maphilialese.

p-1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, map-xylene
chromium nicket lead, zinc

1 2 methylnaphthalene

NO = Non delect (see laboratory reports for specific detection levels)

TABLE 1
PILOT TEST AIR ANALYTICAL DATA

							•			
Sample	I.D.	Date Sampled	Time	Benzene (ppmv)	Toluene (ppmv)	Ethyl- benzene (ppmv)	Total Xylenes (ppmv)	TPHg (ppmv)	MTBE (8020) (ppmv)	MTBE (8260) (ppmv)
VW-2 (V-	2)	01/07/02	10:45	4.1	0.82	1.8	4.5	55ª	84	84
1-7-02 (V-	-2)	01/07/02	16:00	2.1	0.34	0.68	1.5	25	NA	64
1-8-02 (V-	-2)	01/08/02	8:00	2.9	1.0	1.3	2.2	97	NA	209
1-9-02 (V-	2)	01/09/02	8:00	5.5	2.3	2.1	3.8	210	NA	179
1-10-02 (V	-2)	01/10/02	8:00	3.9	1.3	1.9	4.2	190	53	95
1-11 - 02 (MV	V-7)	01/11/02	9:00	2.0	2.3	0.85	2.3	80	72	128

^a = Hydrocarbon pattern is present in the requested fuel quantitation but does not resemble the pattern of the requested fuel.

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

MTBE = Methyl tertiary butyl ether analyzed by EPA Method 8021B unless otherwise noted

μg/L = Micrograms per liter

NA = Not analyzed

TABLE 2 PILOT TEST WATER ANALYTICAL DATA

ARCO Service Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

Sample I.D.	Date Sampled	Time	Benzene (μg/L)	Toluene (μg/L	Ethyl- benzene (μg/L)	Total Xylenes (µg/L)	TPH (µg/L)	MTBE (8020) (μg/L)	MTBE (8260) (μg/L)
VW-2 (V-2)	01/07/02	10:50	860	<500	<500	1,400	<50,000	160,000	180,000
1-7-02 (V-2)	01/07/02	16:00	240	51	93	280	18,000°	NA	98,000
1-8-02 (V-2)	01/08/02	8:00	42	11	<0.5	53	1,800	NA	16,000
1-9-02 (V-2)	01/09/02	8:00	46	45	81	360	6,600	NA	8,100
1-10-02 (V-2)	01/10/02	8:00	28	<20	25	71	<2,000	6,300	5,600
1-11-02 (MW-7)	01/11/02	9:00	<20	23	<20	52	<2,000	6,800	5,800

^{* =} Hydrocarbon pattern is present in the requested fuel quantitation but does not resemble the pattern of the requested fuel.

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

MTBE = Methyl tertiary butyl ether analyzed by EPA Method 8021B unless otherwise noted

μg/L = Micrograms per liter

NA = Not analyzed

TABLE 3

DUAL PHASE EXTRACTION PILOT TEST VAPOR RESULTS TABLE

V-2 PILOT TEST VAPOR EXTRACTION RESULTS - 2002

Date & Time Sampled	Influent Flowrate (ft ³ /min)	Laboratory TPHg Influent (ppmv)	Influent Non- methane Hydrocarbons by FID (ppmv)	Laboratory Benzene Influent (ppmv)	TPH Extraction Rate (lbs/hour)	Non- Methane Hydrocarbons by FID (lbs/hour)	Benzene Extraction Rate (lbs/hour)	Cumulative Volume of Processed Air (cubic feet)	Cumulative Laboratory TPHg Extraction (lbs)	FID Non- Methane Hydrocarbon Extraction (lbs)	Total Hours Operated	Change in Hours of Operation
1/7/02 9:00	236	NA	260	NA	NC	0.82	NC	0	NC	0.0	0.00	0.00
1/7/02 9:30	236	NA	260	NA	NC	0.94	NC	7,080	NC	0.4	0.50	0.50
1/7/02 9:45	226	NA	262	NA	NC	0.91	NC	10,470	NC	0.7	0.75	0.25
1/7/02 10:00	226	NA	216	NA	NC	0.75	NC	13,860	NC	0.9	1.00	0.25
1/7/02 10:30	247	NA	112	NA	NC	0.42	NC	21,270	NC	1.2	1,50	0.50
1/7/02 10:45	247	55	112	4.1	0.18	0.37	0.34	24,975	0.3	1.3	1.75	0.25
1/7/02 12:00	238	NA	197	NA	NC	0.72	NC	42,825	NC	2.0	3.00	1.25
1/7/02 16:00	260	25	884	2.1	0.09	3.06	0.18	105,225	1.0	9.5	7.00	4.00
1/7/02 17:00	263	NA	808	NA	NC	3.26	NC	121,005	NC	12.7	8.00	1.00
1/7/02 18:00	261	NA	1,087	NA	NC	4.36	NC	136,665	NC	16.5	9.00	1.00
1/8/02 8:00	274	97	381	2.9	0.35	1.39	0.27	366,825	4.5	56.7	23.00	14.00
1/9/02 8:00	263	210	417	5.5	0.74	1.46	0.48	745,545	17.6	91.0	47.00	24.00
1/10/02 8:00	224	190	381	3.9	0.57	1.14	0.29	1,068,105	33.3	122.1	71.00	24.00
1/10/02 15:45	261	190*	185	3.9*	0.66	0.64	0.34	1,189,470	38.0	129.0	78.75	7.75

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline.

ppmv = Parts per million by volume.

* = assumed to be same as previous sample results

NC = Not Calculated

NA = Not Analyzed

Gallons of Vapor Equivalent Gasoline Removed: 6.2
Average Vapor Gallons Removed per Minute: 0.001

Cumulative

TABLE 3 DUAL PHASE EXTRACTION PILOT TEST VAPOR RESULTS TABLE

ARCO Service Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

MW-7 PILOT TEST VAPOR EXTRACTION RESULTS - 2002

Date & Time Sampled	Influent Flowrate (ft ³ /min)	Laboratory TPHg Influent (ppmv)	Influent Non- methane Hydrocarbons by FID (ppmv)	Laboratory Benzene Influent (ppmv)	Laboratory TPHg Extraction Rate (lbs/hour)	Non- Methane Hydrocarbons by FID (lbs/hour)	Benzene Extraction Rate (lbs/hour)	Cumulative Volume of Processed Air (cubic feet)	Cumulative Laboratory TPHg Extraction (lbs)	Cumulative FID Non- Methane Hydrocarbon Extraction (lbs)	Total Hours Operated	Change in Hours of Operation
1/10/02 16:00	NM	NA	NM	NA	NC	NC	NC	0	0.0	NC	0.00	0.00
1/10/02 17:00	NM	NA	NM	NA	NC	NC	NC	15,000	0.3	NC	1.00	0.00 1.00
1/11/02 9:00	250	80	NM	2	0.31	NC	0.17	255,000	5.2	NC	17.00	16.00
1/11/02 10:00	NM	NA	NM	NA	NC	NC	NC	270,000	5.5	NC	18.00	1.00
1/11/02 11:00	NM	NA	NM	NA	NC	NC	NC	285,000	5.8	NC	19.00	1.00
1/11/02 12:00	NM	NA	NM	NA	NC	NC	NC	300,000	6.1	NC	20.00	1.00

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline.

ppmv = Parts per million by volume.

Gallons of Vapor Equivalent Gasoline Removed:

Average Vapor Gallons Removed per Minute:

1.0 0.001

Note: Laboratory results and flow rates are assumed to be consistant for entire event on MW-7. FID did not function properly during test on MW-7 therefore, no recordings were made.

NC = Not Calculated

NA = Not Analyzed

TABLE 3 DUAL PHASE EXTRACTION PILOT TEST VAPOR RESULTS TABLE

ARCO Service Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

MW-2 PILOT TEST VAPOR EXTRACTION RESULTS - 2002

Date & Time Sampled	Influent Flowrate (ft³/min)		Influent Non- methane Hydrocarbons by FID (ppmv)	Laboratory Benzene Influent (ppmv)	Laboratory TPHg Extraction Rate (lbs/hour)	Non- Methane Hydrocarbons by FID (Ibs/hour)	Benzene Extraction Rate (lbs/hour)	Cumulative Volume of Processed Air (cubic feet)	Cumulative Laboratory TPHg Extraction (lbs)	Cumulative FID Non- Methane Hydrocarbon Extraction (lbs)	Total Hours Operated	Change in Hours of Operation
1/11/02 12:00	292	NA	10,176	NA	NC	45.65	NC	0	NC	0.0	0.00	0.00
1/11/02 12:15	NM	NA	2,406	NA	NC	10.79	NC	4,380	NC	7.1	0.25	0.25
1/11/02 12:30	NM	NA	971	NA	NC	4.36	NC	8,760	NC	8.9	0.50	0.25
1/11/02 13:00	NM	NA	690	NA	NC	3.09	NC	17,520	NC	10.8	1.00	0.50
1/11/02 14:00	NM	NA	300	NA	NC	1.35	NC	35,040	NC	13.0	2.00	1.00
1/11/02 15:00	NM	NA	351	NA	NC	1.58	NC	52,560	NC	14.5	3.00	1.00
1/11/02 17:00	NM	NA	351	NA	NC	1.58	NC	87,600	NC	17.6	5.00	2.00

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline.

ppmv = Parts per million by volume.

NC = Not Calculated

NA = Not Analyzed

Gallons of Vapor Equivalent Gasoline Removed: 2.9
Average Vapor Gallons Removed per Minute: 0.016

TABLE 4

DUAL PHASE EXTRACTION SYSTEM FIELD DATA

Pilot Test on V-2	ot Test on V-2 System Readings						V	′-2	Μ\	N-2	MV	V-7	٧	-1	ν	-3	MV	W-1
Date	Time	System Vacuum ("Hg)	System Conc (ppmv)	System Flowrate (ft ³ /min)	Water Meter (gallons)	Total Discharge (gpm)	Vacuum Reading ("H₂O)	Depth to Water (Feet)	Vacuum Reading ("H _z O)	Depth to Water (Feet)	Vacuum Reading ("H ₂ O)	Depth to Water (Feet)						
1/7/02 9:00	9:00	24	260.3	236	NM	NC	NM	13.48	NM	13.20	NM	13,60	NM	14.14	NM	12.99	NM	45.00
1/7/02 9:30	9:30	24	260.3	236	2,552,890	NC	265	NM	0.10	13.22	0.00	13.62	0.00	14.12	0.00	13.00	0.00	15.09
1/7/02 9:45	9:45	24	261,7	226	NM	NC	265	NM	0.10	13.21	0.00	13.61	0.00	14.14	0.00	13.00	0.00	15.12 15.11
1/7/02 10:00	10:00	24	216.4	NM	2,552,980	3.00	MM	NM	0.05	13.24	0.01	13.60	0.00	14.16	0.00	13.01	0.00	15.11
1/7/02 10:30	10:30	24	112.4	247	NM	NC	265	NM	0.05	13.25	0.01	13,60	0.00	14.16	0.00	13.01	0.02	15.13
1/7/02 11:00	11:00	24	60,3	224	NM	NC	NM	NM	0.05	13.24	0.01	13.60	0.00	14.25	0.00	13.00	0.02	15.14
1/7/02 12:00	12:00	20	196,7	238	NM	NC	220	NM	0.05	13,25	0.01	13.60	0.00	14.15	0.00	13.00	0.02	15,14
1/7/02 13:00	13:00	22	320.4	247	2,553,140	0.89	230	NM	0.05	13.25	0.01	13.60	0.00	1 4.16	0.00	13.01	0.02	15.14
1/7/02 14:00	14:00	22	387,4	263	NM	NC	230	NM	0.05	13.25	0.01	13.60	0.00	14.16	0.00	13.01	0.02	15.14
1/7/02 15:00	15:00	NM	System Do	own	NM	NC	NM	NM	NM	NM								
1/7/02 16:00	16:00	NM	883,7	260	NM	NC	NM	NM	0.05	13.24	0.01	13.60	0.00	14.15	0.00	13.00	0.02	15.14
1/7/02 17:00	17:00	22	807.6	263	2,553, 250	0.46	230	NM	0.05	13.25	0.01	13.60	0.00	1 4.15	0.00	13.01	0.02	15.14
1/7/02 18:00	18:00	24	1087	261	NM	NC	265	NM	0.05	13,25	0.01	13.61	0.00	14.15	0.00	13.01	0.02	15.14
1/8/02 8:00	8:00	24	380.7	274	2,554,700	1,61	265	15+	NM	13.31	NM	13.64	NM	14.24	NM	13.04	NM	15.17
1/9/02 8:00	8:00	24	416.6	263	2,557,220	1.75	265	19+	0.08	13.35	0.00	13.68	0.00	14.25	0.00	13.11	0.02	15.25
1/10/02 8:00	8:00	24	380.7	224	2,559,570	1,63	240	NM	0.22	13.39	0.00	13.69	0.00	14.29	0.00	13.16	0.03	15.27
1/10/02 15:45	15:45	24	184.7	261	2,560,010	0,95	240	19+	0.22	13.46	0.00	13.70	0.00	14.36	0.00	13.20	0.02	15.30
Totals/Avg:	4725	23.3	388.6	248.2	7,120	1.51	248.3	5,52		0.26		0.10		0.22		0.21		0.21

ppmv = parts per million by volume.

"Hg = inches of Mercury

"H₂O = inches of water collumn

NM = Not Measured

TABLE 4

DUAL PHASE EXTRACTION SYSTEM FIELD DATA

ARCO Service Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

Pilot Test on MV	V-7			System R	Readings		٧	-2	M\	N-2	M	N-7	14	'-1	14			
Date	Time	System Vacuum ("Hg)	System Conc (ppmv)	System Flowrate (ft ³ /min)		Total Discharge (gpm)	Vacuum Reading ("H ₂ O)	Depth to Water (Feet)	Vacuum Reading ("H ₂ O)	Depth to Water (Feet)	Vacuum Reading ("H ₂ O)	Depth to Water		-	Vacuum Reading	Water	Vacuum Reading	Water
1/10/02 16:00 1/11/02 12:00	16:00 12:00	24 24	NM NM	250 250	2,560,010 2,561,910	NC	NM	13.69	NM	13.45	240.00	13.77	NM	14.35	("H ₂ O) NM	(Feet) 13.20	("H ₂ O) NM	(Feet) 15.32
Totals/Avg:	1200	<u> </u>	14(1)	250	1,900	1.58 1.58	NM	13.67 -0.02	NM	13,50 0,05	240.00 240.0	13.89 0.12	NM	14.37 0.02	NM	13.20 0.00	NM	15.35 0.03

Pilot Test on MV	V-2			System R	teadings		٧	-2	MV	V-2	MV	V_7	v	'-1				
Date	Time	System Vacuum ("Hg)	System Conc (ppmv)	System Flowrate (ft³/min)	Water Meter (gallons)	Total Discharge (gpm)	Vacuum Reading ("H ₂ O)	Depth to Water (Feet)		Depth to		Depth to		Depth to	Vacuum Reading	Water	Vacuum Reading	Water
1/11/02 12:00 1/11/02 17:00	12:00 17:00	18 18	10,176 351,4	342 292	2,561,910 2,567,870	NC 19,87	NM NM	13.67 13.71	NM	13.50	NM	13.80	NM	14.37	("H ₂ O) NM	(Feet) 13.21	("H₂O) NM	(Feet) 15.35
Totals/Avg:	300	······································		317	5,960	19.87	TVIVI	0.04	150.00 150.0	13,69 0,19	NM	13.87 0.07	NM	14.38 0.01	NM	13.20 -0.01	NM	15.35 0.00

ppmv = parts per million by volume.

"Hg = inches of Mercury

"H_zO = inches of water collumn

NM = Not Measured




Table 1 Soil Analytical Results ARCO #2111 1156 Davis St., San Leandro, CA

Well Number	Date Sampled	TPH-g (mg/kg)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethyl- benzene (mg/kg)	Total Xylenes (mg/kg)	TBA (mg/kg)	MTBE (mg/kg)	DIPE (mg/kg)	ETBE (mg/kg)	TAME (mg/kg)
MW-8-5	11/26/04	ND<1.0	ND<0.005	NE><0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.010	ND<0.005	ND<0,010	ND<0.005	NT><0.005
MW-8-10	11/26/04	NID<1.0	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.010	NT)<0.005	ND<0.010	ND<0.005	ND<0.005
MW-8-15	11/26/04	2.1	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	0.017	0.032	ND<0,010	ND<0.005	ND<0.005
MW-8-16.5	11/26/04	150 ~	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	0.60	0.84	NID<2.5	ND<0.50	NIX1.0	ND<0.5	25
MW-8-23	11/26/04	ND<5,0	NID<0.025	ND<0.025	ND<0.025	ND<0.025	ND<0.050	1.4	ND<0.050	ND<0.025	ND<0.025
MW-8-28	11/26/04	ND<1.0	NID<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.010	0.12	ND<0.010	ND<0.005	ND<0.005
MW-8-33	11/26/04	ND<1.0	NID<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.010	0.037	ND<0.010	ND<0.005	ND<0.005
MW-8-38	11/26/04	ND<1.0	ND<0.005	NID<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.010	0.027	NID<0.010	ND<0.005	ND<0.005

Notes:

TPH-g = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons analyzed by EPA method 8260B.

BTEX = Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl-benzene, and Total Xylenes analyzed by EPA method 8260B.

MTBE = Methyl tertiary butyl ether analyzed by EPA Method 8260B.

TBA = tert-Butyl alcohol
DIPE = Di-isopropyl ether
ETBE = Ethyl tert-butyl ether
TAME = tert-Arnyl methyl ether
1,2-DCA = 1,2-Dichlorocthane
1,2-DBA = 1,2 Dibromoethane (EDB)
mg/kg = Micrograms per kilogram

MSL = Mean sea level

ND< = Not detected at or above specified laboratory method detection limit

Table 1
Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data
Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

ſ 																	
Well Designation	Water Level Field Date	Top of Casing Elevation	Depth to	Free Product	Groundwater	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG E LUFT Method	EPA 8021B*	Toluene E EPA 8021B*	Ethylbenzen E e EPA P 8021B*	Total T Xylenes EPA 8021B*	エ MTBE で EPA 8021B*	TE MTBE	TRPH	क्षि LUFT ्र Method	B Dissolved	Furged/ Z Not Purged
MW-1 MW-1 MW-1	08-01-95 12-14-95 03-21-96	39.60 39.60	17.45 17.09	ND ND	22.15 22.51	08-01-95 12-14-95	<50 <50	<0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5	<3					
MW-1 MW-1	05-24-96 08-09-96	39.60 39.60 39.60	14.72 15.94 17.89	ND ND ND	24.88 23.66 21.71	03-21-96 05-24-96 08-09-96	<50 <50 <50	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5	<3 <3 <3		••			
MW-1 MW-1	11-06-96 03-24-97	39.60 39.60	18.66 16.13	ND ND	20.94 23.47	11-06-96 03-24-97	<50 <50	<0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5	<3 <3					
MW-1 MW-1 MW-1	05-27-97 08-07-97 11-10-97	39.60 39.60 39.60	17.23 18.68 19.19	ND ND ND	22.37 20.92 20.41	05-28-97 08-07-97 11-10-97	<50 <50 <50	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5	<3 <3 <3					
MW-1 MW-1 MW-1	02-16-98 04-15-98 07-24-98	39.60 39.60 39.60	12.61 14.30 16.40	ND ND ND	26.99 25.30 23.20	02-16-98 04-15-98 07-24-98	<50 <50 <50	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5	<3 <3	 				
MW-1 MW-1	10-19-98 01-28-99	39.60 39.60	17.90 16.85	ND ND	21.70 22.75	10-1 9-98 01-28-99	<50 <20,000	<0.5 580	<0.5 <0.5 <200	<0.5 <0.5 <200	<0.5 <0.5 320	<3 <3 14,000					
MW-1 MW-1 MW-1	06-25-99 08-25-99 11-10-99	39.60 39.60 39.60	17.35 18.20 17.77	ND ND ND	22.25 21.40 21.83	06-25-99 08-25-99 11-10-99	730 390 360	140 66 70	5 8.5 13	3 <2.5 2.2	2 8.6 13	7,700 3,700 980				0.79 1.56 0.30	NP NP NP
MW-1	02-09-00	39.60	16.25	ND	23.35	02-09-00	190	4.5	0.9	<0.5	12	3,500	~ -	•		0.53	NP
MW-2 MW-2 MW-2	08-01-95 12-14-95 03-21-96	37.99 37.99 37.99	15.67 15.36 12.84	ND ND ND	22.32 22.63 25.15	08-01-95 12-14-95 03-21-96	23,000 7,300 9,600	1,300 900 850	310 25 30	500 180 280	3,500 1,000 1,400	<200 250			 		
MW-2 MW-2	05-24-96 08-09-96	37.99 37.99	14.03 16.10	ND ND	23.96 21.89	05-24-96 08-09-96	2,300 2,800	300 290	<5 6	73 75	310 320	<25 50					

Table 1
Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data
Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

Well Designation	Water Level Field Date	Top of Casing	Depth to	Free Product	G Groundwater	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG TELUFT PRETHOD	E Benzene	다 Toluene 한 EPA 8021B*	Ethylbenzen F e EPA G 8021B*	Total T Xylenes EPA 8021B*	TE MTBE E EPA 8021B*	= MTBE ⊏ EPA 8260	TRPH	E LUFT	g Dissolved ர Oxygen	દ્ર Purged/ દ્ર Not Purged
MW-2	11-06-96	37.99	16.98	ND	21.01	11-06-96	750	76	~1	1.5		110		-			
MW-2	03-24-97	37.99	14.22	ND	23.77	03-24-97	790 790		<1	15	51	110					
MW-2	05-27-97	37.99	15.42	ND	22.57	05-28-97		18	<1	2	6	280					
MW-2	08-07-97	37.99	16.92	ND	21.07	08-07-97	750 360	14	<1	<] -^. "	10	150					
MW-2	11-10-97	37.99	17.52	ND	20.47			31	<2.5	<2.5	15	260					
MW-2	02-16-98	37.99	12.04	ND ND		11-10-97	1,300	82	<5	14	49	550					
MW-2	04-15-98	37.99 37.99	12.34	ND ND	25.95	02-16-98	<2,500	<25	<25	<25	<25	4,200					
MW-2	07-24-98	37.99 37.99	14.45	ND	25.65	04-15-98	<10,000	<100	<100	<100	<100	7,300					
MW-2	10-19-98	37.99 37.99	16.08	ИD	23.54	07-24-98	<2,500	<25	<25	<25	<25	1,500					
MW-2	01-28-99	37.99 37.99			21.91	10-19-98	<1,000	18	<10	<10	<10	1,100					
MW-2			15.59	0.02	22.41 [1]	01-28-99	160,000	3,000	24,000	4,400	31,000	23,000					
	06-25-99	37.99	19.20	3.73[4]	21.51 [1]	06-25-99	120,000	6,900	21,000	2,600	19,000	18,000	17,000[3]			0.49	NP
MW-2	08-25-99	37. 9 9	16.49	0.02	21.51 [1]	08-25-99	92,000	2,200	16,000	3,200	19,000	11,000	9,400[3]			0.84	NP
MW-2	11-10-99	37.99	16.08	ND	21.91	11-10-99	56,000	2,400	5,900	1,500	10,000	17,000	21,000[3]		- -	0.41	NP
MW-2	02-09-00	37.99	14.85	ND	23.14	02-09-00	1,700	270	14	17	21	70,000	55,000[3]			0.97	NP
MW-3	08-01-95	39.32	17.00	ND	22.32	08-01-95	<50	< 0.5	<0.5	~0 F	-0 e						
MW-3	12-14-95	39.32	16.70	ND	22.62	12-14-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	-2		600	76[2]		
MW-3	03-21-96	39.32	14.17	ND	25.15	03-21-96	<50	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<3	***	<500	<50		
MW-3	05-24-96	39.32	15.30	ND	24.02				< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		<500	<50		
MW-3	03-24-90	39.32 39.32	17.58	ND		05-24-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		<500	<50		
MW-3	11-06-96	39.32 39.32			21.74	08-09-96	<50 -50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<3		<500			
MW-3			18.33	ND	20.99	11-06-96	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<3					
10	03-24-97	39.32	15.44	ND	23.88	03-24-97	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<3					
MW-3	05-27-97	39.32	16.75	ND	22.57	05-28-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-3	08-07-97	39.32	18.35	ND	20.97	08-07-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-3	11-10-97	39.32	18.83	ND	20.49	11-10-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3				_	

Table 1
Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data
Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

							·										
Well Designation	Water Level Field Date	Top of Casing	Depth to	Free Product	Groundwater	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG	க Benzene e EPA 8021B*	도 Toluene 阵 EPA 8021B*	Ethylbenzen E e EPA e 8021B*	Total T Xylenes EPA 8021B*	표 MTBE 한 EPA 8021B*	T MTBE	TRPH © EPA 418.1	म LUFT है Method	த Dissolved ர Oxygen	ट्र Purged/ ट्र Not Purged
MW-3	02-16-98	39.32	11.99	ND	27.22	02 16 00	-50		-0.5								
MW-3	04-15-98	39.32	13.75	ND	27.33	02-16-98	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-3	07-24-98	39.32	15.75	ND ND	25.57 23.42	04-15-98	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-3	10-19-98	39.32	17.45	ND	23.42	07-24-98	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-3	01-28-99	39.32	16.40	ND ND	•	10-19-98	<50 <100	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-3	06-25-99	39.32	17.92	CIN DIN	22.92	01-28-99	<100	14	4	<1	6	100					
MW-3	08-25-99	39.32	17.79	UN.	21.40	06-25-99	83	9.0	1.4	<0.5	2.5	220				1.11	NP
MW-3	11-10-99	39.32	17.79	ND ND	21.53	08-25-99	240	41	12	3.7	9.9	160				1.13	NP
MW-3	02-09-00	39.32 39.32	17.37	ND CM	21.95	11-10-99	620	100	9.7	4.1	21	150			~ -	0.24	NP
141.44-2	02-09-00	39.32	13.77	ND	23.55	02-09-00	<50	<0.5	0.7	<0.5	<1	180				0.62	NP
MW-4	08-01-95	38.10	15.65	ND	22.45	08-01-95	<50	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	~D.F						
MW-4	12-14-95	38.10	15.35	ND	22.75	12-14-95	<50 <50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5						
MW-4	03-21-96	38.10	12.74	ND	25.36	03-21-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<3					
MW-4	05-24-96	38.10	14.03	ND	24.07	05-24-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<3					
MW-4	08-09-96	38.10	16.10	ND	22.00	08-09-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<3					
MW-4	11-06-96	38.10	17.00	ND	21.10	11-06-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<3	-				
MW-4	03-24-97	38.10	14.21	ND	23.89	03-24-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<3					
MW-4	05-27-97	38.10	15.38	ND	22.72	05-24-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<3					
MW-4	08-07-97	38.10	16.95	ND	21.15	08-07-97	<50			< 0.5	< 0.5	<3	- +				
MW-4	11-10-97	38.10	17.53	ND	20.57	11-10-97	<50	<0.5 <0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3					
MW-4	02-16-98	38.10	10.65	ND	27.45	02-16-98	<50		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3					
MW-4	04-15-98	38.10	12.20	ND	25.90	02-16-98	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-4	07-24-98	38.10	14.47	ND ND	23.63	04-13-98 07-24-98		<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3					
MW-4	10-19-98	38.10	16.20	ND ND	21.90		<50	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-4	01-28-99	38.10	15.02	ND ND	23.08	10-19-98 01-28-99	<50 340	<0.5 52	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3					
A*A F7 -T	V1 20 JJ	0.10	مكاتاء ترد	1477	دع.up	ひょ-とローブブ	340	32	5.5	<0.5	74	31					

Table 1
Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data
Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

F								t, buil L									
Well Designation	Water Level Field Date	Top of Casing	Depth to	Free Product	Groundwater	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG T LUFT T Method	E Benzene E EPA 8021B*	Toluene	Ethylbenzen Ethylbenzen Egg 8021B*	Total E Xylenes EPA 8021B*	க MTBE ர EPA 8021B*	म MTBE ए EPA 8260	TRPH F EPA 418.1	म LUFT हि Method	ਸ਼ Dissolved ਵ Oxygen	도 Purged/ 군 Not Purged
MW-4	06-25-99	38.10	15.57	ND	22.53	06-25-99	510	78	4.1	0.5	18	94				0.90	NP
MW-4	08-25-99	38.10	16.43	ND	21.67	08-25-99	660	130	21	6.4	39	110				1.01	
MW-4	11-10-99	38.10	16.02	ND	22.08	11-10-99	510	98	5.1	3.1	15	69				0.28	NP NP
MW-4	02-09-00	38.10	14.30	ND	23,80	02-09-00	<50	< 0.5	0.9	<0.5	<1	55				0.28	NP NP
											•	55	7 -			0.07	NP
MW-5	03-21-96	37.21	12.60	ND	24.61	03-22-96	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	82	- -				
MW-5	05-24-96	37.21	13.71	ND	23.50	05-24-96	<50	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	7					
MW-5	08-09-96	37.21	15.60	ND	21.61	08-09-96	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	8					
MW-5	11-06-96	37.21	16.36	ND	20.85	11-06-96	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	100					
MW-5	03-24-97	37.21	13.87	ND	23.34	03-24-97	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	460					
MW-5	05-27-97	37.21	14.71	ND	22.50	05-28-97	<100	<1	<1	<[<1	120					
MW-5	08-07-97	37.21	16.90	ND	20.31	08-07-97	<250	<2.5	<2_5	<2.5	<2,5	250					
MW-5	11-10-97	37.21	16.88	ND	20.33	11-10-97	<1,000	<10	<10	<10	<10	770					
MW-5	02-16-98	37.21	10.56	ND	26.65	02-16-98	<200	<2	<2	<2	<2	230					
MW-5	04-15-98	37.21	12.20	ND	25.01	04-15-98	<500	<5	<5	<5	<5	900					
MW-5	07-24-98	37.21	14.20	ND	23.01	07-24-98	<500	<5	<5	<5	<5	570					
MW-5	10-19-98	37.21	15.74	ND	21.47	10-1 9-9 8	<250	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	300					
MW-5	01-28-99	37.21	14.60	ND	22.61	01-28-99	<500	8	<5	<5	<5	290					
MW-5	06-25-99	37.21	15.10	ND	22.11	06-25-99	<50	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	1,300				0.76	NP
MW-5	08-25-99	37.21	15.91	ND	21.30	08-25-99	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	6,700				0.98	NP
MW-5	11-10-99	37.21	15.52	ND	21.69	11-10-99	130	2.0	7.0	1.3	21	5,000				0.21	NP
MW-5	02-09-00	37.21	14.03	ND	23.18	02-09-00	92	< 0.5	8.0	<0.5	1.0	7,900				0.51	NP
MW-6	03-21-96	37.11	11.55	ND	25.56	03-22-96	<50	<0.5	1.9	< 0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-6	05-24-96	37.11	12.80	ND	24.31	05-24-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3 6					

Table 1
Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data
Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

Well Designation	Water Level Field Date	Top of Casing	Depth to	Free Product	Groundwater Elevation	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG 中 LUFT 喀 Method	⊤ Benzene ए EPA 8021B*	Toluene E EPA 8021B*	Ethylbenzen E e EPA eg 8021B*	Total T Xylenes EPA 8021B*	F MTBE	TE MTBE	TRPH F EPA 418.1	π LUFT T Method	B Dissolved	는 Purged/ 국 Not Purged
MW-6	08-09-96	37.11	Not surve	eyed		08-09-96	Not sar	npled: Car j	parked on	well							
MW-6	11-06-96	37.11	Not surve	eyed		11-06-96	Not sar	npled: Car	parked on	well							
MW-6	03-24-97	37.11	13.06	ND	24.05	03-24-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<3					
MW-6	05-27-97	37.11	14.30	ND	22.81	05-28-97	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<3					
MW-6	08-07 -9 7	37.11	16.40	ND	20.71	08-07-97	< 50	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3					
MW-6	11-10-97	37.11	16.53	ND	20.58	11-10-97	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	~ -				
MW-6	02-16-98	37.11	Not surve	eyed		02-16-98	Not sar	npled: Car	oarked on			2					
MW-6	04-15-98	37.11	10.95	ND	26.16	04-15-98	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-6	07-24-98	37.11	13.30	ND	23.81	07-24-98	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3					
MW-6	10-19- 9 8	37.11	Not surve			10-19-98	Not san	npled: Car	oarked on	well							
MW-6	01-28-99	37.11	13.92	ND	23.19	01-28-99	<50	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3					
MW-6	06-25-99	37.11	15.47	ND	21.64	06-25-99	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<3				0.74	NP
MW-6	08-25-99	37.11	15.39	ND	21.72	08 - 25-99	<50	<0.5	3.4	0.6	3.7	<3		- ~		0.92	NP
MW-6	11-10-99	37.11	14.92	ND	22.19	11-10-99	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	<3				0.31	NP
MW-6	02-09-00	37.11	13.30	ND	23.81	02-09-00	<50	<0.5	0.9	< 0.5	1.3	<3				0.79	NP
MW-7	03-21-96	38.68	13.32	ND	25.36	03-22-96	32,000	870	450	970	4,900	280					
MW-7	05-24-96	38.68	14.58	ND	24.10	05-24-96	22,000	570	40	42	1,900	<200[2]					
MW-7	08-09-96	38.68	15.33	ND	23.35	08-09-96	14,000	390	<10	180	470	<200[2]		••			
MW-7	11-06-96	38.68	16.95	ND	21.73	11-06-96	9,500	440	<10	210	150	<100[2]					
MW-7	03-24-97	38.68	14.65	ND	24.03	03-24-97	6,400	420	<10	260	130	480					
MW-7	05-27-97	38.68	15.58	ND	23.10	05-28-97	5,000	420	<5	230	10	460					
MW-7	08-07-97	38.68	17.10	ND	21.58	08-07-97	3,900	350	<5	200	10	330					
MW-7	11-10-97	38.68	18.05	ND	20.63	11-10-97	5,600	590	10	370	43	540					
MW-7	02-16-98	38.68	12.03	ND	26.65	02-16-98	<5,000	390	<50	<50	61	4,300					

Table 1 Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

ARCO Service Station 2111 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California

Well Designation	Water Level Field Date	Top of Gasing Elevation	Depth to	Free Product	다 Groundwater 간 Elevation	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG E LUFT Method	E Benzene E EPA 8021B*	Toluene	Ethylbenzen TE e EPA TE 8021B*	Total **EPA 8021B**	TE MTBE TEPA 8021B*	ச MTBE ஜ EPA 8260	TRPH C EPA 418.1	क LUFT ए Method	ਸ਼ Dissolved ਇ Oxygen	र्स Purged/ ट्रे Not Purged
MW-7	04-15-98	38.68	13.02	ND	25.66	04-15-98	<10,000	<100	<100	<100	<100	8,900			~		
MW-7	07-24-98	38.68	14.18	ND	24.50	07-24-98	5,800	180	<50	74	<50	4,200			- -		
MW-7	10-19-98	38.68	15.99	ND	22.69	10-19-98	<2,500	54	<25	72	<25	3,000					
MW-7	01-28-99	38.68	15.69	ND	22.99	01-28-99	4,500	560	250	<50	94	6,200			~		
MW-7	0 6 -25-99	38.68	15.36	ND	23.32	06-25-99	3,900	520	160	46	100	45,000	63,000[3]			0.56	NP
MW-7	08-25-99	38.68	16.71	ND	21.97	08-25-99	3,400	730	77	51	110	62,000	76,000[3]			0.90	NP
MW-7	11-10-99	38.68	16.76	ND	21.92	11-10-99	15,000	340	19	13	20	55,000	91,000[3]			0.37	NP
MW-7	02-09-00	38.68	14.45	0.03	24.25 [1]	02-09-00	Not sam	pled: free pr		sent		,	,[2]			0,51	131

ft-MSL: elevation in feet, relative to mean sea level

TPHG: total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline, California DHS LUFT Method

MTBE: Methyl test-butyl ether

TRPH: total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons

TPHD: total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel, California DHS LUFT Method

*: EPA method 8020 prior to 11/10/99

EPA: United States Environmental Protection Agency

ug/L: micrograms per liter

rng/L: milligrams per liter

ND: none detected

- -: not available or not analyzed
- !<: less than laboratory detection limit stated to the right</p>
- [1]; [corrected elevation (Z')] = Z + (h * 0.73) where: Z = measured elevation, h = floating product thickness, 0.73 density ratio of oil to water
- [2]: chromatogram fingerprint is not characteristic of diesel
- [3]: also analyzed for fuel oxygenates
- [4]: this value is suspected to be erroneous based on subsequent check by bailer (following day). See discussion

APPENDIX D

Soil Boring and Well Construction Logs

G	S	Ge	oStra	teç	jies	, Inc	•	Log of Borin	g B-1
PRO	JECT:	ARC	O PRODUC	CTS	COMP	PANY		LOCATION: 1156 Davis Street, San	Leandro, Ca.
GSI	PROJE	CT N	0.: 794	0.03	}			SURFACE ELEVATION:	
DAT	E STA	RTED	: 3/4/9	4		***************************************		WL (ft. bgs): 20 DATE: 3/4/94	TIME: 10:38
DAT	E FIN	SHEE): <i>3/4/9</i>	4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		WL (ft. bgs): 18 DATE: 3/4/94	TIME: 10:45
DRIL	LING	METH	00: <i>8 in</i>	. Ho	llow S	Stem Au	iger	TOTAL DEPTH: 20 Feet	
DRIL	LING	COMP	ANY: Ex	plor	ation	GeoSe	rvices Inc.	GEOLOGIST: RDC	
DEPTH feet	PID (ppm)	BLOWS/FT. *	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE INT.	GRAPHIC LOG	SOIL CLASS	GE	OLOGIC DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
				Ι.		GW-GM	ASPHALT		•
5-	0	6	B1-4.5			ML	GRAVEL WITH SI (10YR 5/3), damp grained sand, 103 SILT (ML) - very plasticity, medium	LT AND SAND (GW-GM) - brown , 60% angular gravel, 30% medium % silt. y dark gray (10YR 3/1), damp, low n stiff, 55% silt, 40% clay, 5% fine	Boring backfilled 18 to 20 feet with bentonite, surface to 19 feet with 10 sack cement/sand slurry with 5% bentonite.
10-	0.1	22	61-1 0				grained sand.	y dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2), ity, very stiff, 55% siit, 45% clay.	-
15—	a	32	Bt-15				AS ABOVE		-
20-	3.1	21	B1-20				SILT (ML) - browery moist to we 25% clay, 20% fir	wn (10YR 5/3) with green mottling, t, low plasticity, very stiff, 55% slit, ne sand.	
-					-		Bottom of boring	at 20 feet. 3/4/94	-
25-				-				to equivalent standard penetration	-
30-									
35-									-
00			<u> </u>	1	<u></u>	1	<u> </u>		Page 1 of 1

Ğ	S	Ge	oStra	teg	ies, I	nc.		Le	og of Borin	g B-2
PRO:	JECT:	ARC	O PRODUC	TS:	COMPAN	Y		LOCATION: 1156	Davis Street, San	Leandro, Ca.
GSI	PROJE	CT N	0.: 794	0.03				SURFACE ELEVA	TION:	
DAT	E STA	RTED	: 3/4/9	4				WL (ft. bgs); 20	DATE: 3/4/94	TIME: 11:30
DAT	E FIN	SHED): 3/4/9	4		WHAT I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		WL (ft. bgs): 18.5	DATE: 3/4/94	TIME: 11:45
ORI	LING	METH	OD: <i>8 in</i>	. Hol	low Sten	Auger		TOTAL DEPTH:	20 Feet	
DRI	LING	COMP.	ANY: Ex	piora	ation Geo	Services .	Inc.	GEOLOGIST: RD	C	
DEPTH feet	PIO (ppm)	BLOWS/FT, *	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE INT.	GRAPHIC LOS		GE	OLOGIC DESCRIPTION	ON	REMARKS
					GW-	GM A	SPHALT			
5-	O	10	B2-5			GF (1 SE	RAVEL WITH SII 10YR 5/3), damp and, 10% silt. ILT (ML) - verv	T AND SAND (GW-G , 60% angular gravel, dark gray (10YR 3/ 5% silt, 25% clay, 10%	30% medium	Boring backfilled IB to 20 - feet with bentonite, surface to IS feet with IO sack - cement/sand slurry with 5% bentonite.
10-	0	24	B210			53 da fir	ILT (ML) - very amp, low plastici ne sand.	dark grayish brown Ity, very stiff, 80% si	(10YR 3/2), It, 5% clay, 5%	
15-	0.7	40	B2- 15			S) pi	ILT (ML) — very lasticity, hard, é	/ dark gray (10YR 3/ 15% silt, 25% clay, 10%	2), moist, low 6 fine sand.	
- 20	52 45	13	82-20			¥ Si	ILT (ML) -dark liff, 55% slit, 40	brown (10YR 4/3), ic % clay, 5% fine sand.	ow plasticity,	• • •
-				-		В	ottom of boring	at 20 feet. 3/4/94		1
25-							× = converted (lows/ft,)	to equivalent standar	rd penetration	
30 -					***************************************					-
35-				_						

WELL DETAILS



PROJECT NUMBER 0805-127.01

PROJECT NAME ARCO 2111


LOCATION 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro

WELL PERMIT NO. na

BORING / WELL NO. MW-1
TOP OF CASING ELEV. 39.60

dro GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 38.84

DATUM M.S.L.
INSTALLATION DATE 7/12/95



EXPLORATORY BORING

a. Total depth 30.0 ft.
b. Diameter 10.0 in.
Drilling method Hollow Stem Auger

WELL CONSTRUCTION

c. Total casing length na Schedule 40 PVC Material____ 4.0 in. d. Diameter 12.5 ft. e. Depth to top perforations 13.7 ft. f. Perforated length Perforated interval from ____12.5_ to _ 26.2 ft. Perforation type Machine Slotted 0.020 inch Perforation size__ g. Surface seal 1.0 ft. Concrete Material____ 9.5 ft. h. Backfill Material_ Cement 1.5 ft. i. Seal Bentonite Material_ j. Gravel pack <u>16.5</u> ft. Gravel pack interval from 10.5 to 27.0 ft. 2/12 Sand Material___ k. Bottom seal/fill 3.0 ft. **Bentonite** Material____

Form prepared by R. Davis

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING

PROJECT NUMBER: 0805-127,001

BORING NO.: MW-1

PROJECT NAME: ARCO Service Station 2111

PAGE: 1 of 2

BY: R. Davis

DATE: 7/12/95

SURFACE ELEVATION: 39.84 ft.

RECOVERY (ft/ft)		PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND (WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FEET	SAMPLES LTIHOGRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WEL DET	LL AIL
				-		ASPHALT FILL - SANDY GRAVEL (GP). @3.2'; cobbles to 5".	•	
80%	0	22		5-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1		CLAYEY GRAVEL (GC), dark brown (7.5YR, 4/4); 20-25% medium plasticity fines; 30% fine to coarse sand; 45-50% fine to coarse gravel to 1.5"; medium dense; damp; no product odor. SILTY CLAY (CL), dark brown (10YR, 3/3); 95-100% low to medium plasticity fines; trace to 5% fine sand; stiff to very stiff;damp; no product odor.		
100%	0	27	-	10-		@10.0-11.5': dark grayish brown (2.5Y, 4/2); increased silt content; trace dark brown organic fragments (0.5-2.0mm); very stiff; damp; no product odor.		
90%	0	29	- - - - - - -	15-		@15.0-16.5': SILTY CLAY (CL) and CLAYEY SILT (ML)- Interbedded, 70/30: SILTY CLAY (CL), dark brown (10YR, 3/3); 95-100% low to medium plasticity fines; trace to 5% fine sand; stiff to very stiff;damp; no product odor. CLAYEY SILT (ML), light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 95-100% low plasticity fines; trace to 5% fine sand; very stiff to hard; damp; no product odor. @17.5': driller noted easier drilling in looser material.		



REMARKS

Boring drilled with 8" diameter hollow-stem augers and reamed with 10" diameter augers. Samples were taken using a 2" diameter modified-California split spoon sampler. Boring converted into a 4" diameter polyvinyl chloride (PVC) groundwater monitoring well. See explanation sheet for definition of symbols used in well detail and sample columns of this log. See explanation sheet for definition of symbols on this log.

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING

PROJECT NUMBER: 0805-127.001

BORING NO .: MW-1

PROJECT NAME: ARCO Service Station 2111

PAGE: 2 of 2

BY: R. Davis

DATE: 7/12/95

SURFACE ELEVATION: 39.84 ft.

RECOVERY (ft/ft)		PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FEET	SAMPLES	LTIHOGRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAIL
100%	0	24		-			CLAYEY SANDY SILT (ML), light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4) with yellowish brown (10YR, 5/6) mottling; 85-90% low to medium plasticity fines; 10-15% fine to coarse sand; firm; wet; no product odor. @22': driller noted harder drilling in more competant material.	
60%	0	15	_	25-			@25.0-26.5': 5-10% fine sand; very stiff; damp to wet (moisture visible in voids); no odor.	
40%	0	8	-	35-			SILTY CLAY (CL), dark greyish brown (2.5Y, 4/2); 90-95% low- to medium-plasticity fines; 5-10% fine sand; soft to firm; very moist, wet in void spaces; no product odor. BORING TERMINATED AT 30.0 FEET BGS.	
				40				



REMARKS

Boring drilled with 8" diameter hollow-stem augers and reamed with 10" diameter augers. Samples were taken using a 2" diameter modified-California split spoon sampler. Boring converted into a 4" diameter polyvinyl chloride (PVC) groundwater monitoring well. See explanation sheet for definition of symbols used in well detail and sample columns of this log. See explanation sheet for definition of symbols on this log.

WELL DETAILS



PROJECT NUMBER 0805-127.01


PROJECT NAME ARCO 2111

LOCATION 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro

LOCATION 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro

WELL PERMIT NO.____ na

INSTALLATION DATE _7/12/95



EXPLORATORY BORING

a. Total depth

b. Diameter 10.0 in.

30.5 ft.

12.0 ft.

Drilling method Hollow Stem Auger

WELL CONSTRUCTION

c. Total casing length __na__ ft.

Material Schedule 40 PVC

d. Diameter _____4.0_ in,

e. Depth to top perforations

f. Perforated length 14.2 ft.

Perforated interval from 12.0 to 26.2 ft.

Perforation type Machine Slotted

Perforation size 0.020 inch

g. Surface seal <u>1.0</u> ft.

Material Concrete

h. Backfill 7.5 ft.

Material Cement

i. Seal <u>1.5</u> ft.

Material Bentonite

j. Gravel pack <u>17.0</u> ft.

Gravel pack interval from 10.0 to 27.0 ft.

Material 2/12 Sand

k. Bottom seal/fill 3.5 ft.

Material Bentonite & Native Slough

Form prepared by R. Davis

PROJECT NUMBER: 805-127.01

BORING NO .: MW-2

PROJECT NAME: ARCO Service Station 2111

PAGE: 1 of 2

BY: R. Davis

DATE: 7/12/95

SURFACE ELEVATION: 38.71 ft.

RECOVERY (ft/ft)		PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND DEPTH WATER IN LEVELS FEET W	LTIHOGRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAIL
				XXXX	ASPHALT	
		The state of the s			FILL - SANDY GRAVEL (GP).	
100%	0	18	5-		CLAYEY SILT (ML), very dark grayish brown (2.5Y, 3/2); 85-90% low- to medium-plasticity fines; 10-15% fine to coarse sand; stiff to very stiff; damp; no odor.; @5.5'; trace fine gravel.	
100%	0	20	10-		SILTY CLAY (CL), dark brown (2.5Y, 4/2); low-to medium- plasticity fines; trace coarse sand and fine gravel; stiff to very stiff; damp; no product odor.	
100%	0	20	- 15-		@15.0-17.5': very dark grayish brown (2.5Y, 3/2) with yellowish brown mottling; 90-100% low- to medium-plasticity fines; trace to 10% fine to	
100%	6.2	26	_ 		coarse sand; very stiff; damp to moist; no product odor.	
100%	9.3	23	20		@18.0-19.5': as above with grayish mottling; low-to medium- plasticity fines, higher silt content than above; very stiff; moist to wet; product odor.	



REMARKS

PROJECT NUMBER: 805-127.01

BORING NO.: MW-2

PROJECT NAME: ARCO Service Station 2111

PAGE: 2 of 2

BY: R. Davis

DATE: 7/12/95

SURFACE ELEVATION: 38.71 ft.

RECOVERY (ft/ft)		PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FEET	SAMPLES	LTIHOGRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAIL
100%	183	22			I		SILTY CLAY (CL), continued.	
90%	44	35	- -	-			SANDY CLAY (CL), light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 70% medium- plasticity fines; 30% fine to coarse sand; very stiff to hard; moist; no odor.	
25%	78	14	_			000	GRAVEL (GP), dark grayish brown (2.5Y, 4/2);	
30%		23	_	25-		00000 00000	5-10% low- plasticity fines; 35% fine to coarse sand; 55-60% fine gravel; medium dense; wet; product odor. @25.0-27.2': 10% fines; 40% fine to coarse sand, f:m:c= 2:1:1; 50% fine to coarse gravel to 1,25";	
20%		13	<u>.</u>	-		000	wet; product odor.	
5%		16	-				@27.5-30.5': poor recovery of native material because of heaving sands inside augers.	
10%		19	_	30-			CLAY to SANDY CLAY (CL), light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 75–90% low— to medium—plasticity fines; 10–25% fine to coarse sand; trace fine gravel, rounded; stiff; wet; no product odor.	
			-	_	-		BORING TERMINATED AT 30.5 FEET BGS.	
			-					
			<u>.</u>					
				35—				
				-				
		i i	•	40				



REMARKS



PROJECT NUMBER 0805-127.01

PROJECT NAME ARCO 2111

LOCATION 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro

WELL PERMIT NO. _____na_

INSTALLATION DATE 7/13/95

TOC (Top of casing) Water-tight vault box (Std.) e d h а C

EXPLORATORY BORING

WELL CONSTRUCTION

c. Total casing length na Schedule 40 PVC Material____ 4.0 in. d. Diameter 11.9 ft. e. Depth to top perforations 14.3 ft. f. Perforated length 26.2 ft. Perforated interval from 11.9 to Machine Slotted Perforation type____ 0.020 inch Perforation size___ 1.0 ft. g. Surface seal Concrete Material____ 8.5 ft. h. Backfill Material_ Cement 1.5 ft. i. Seal Bentonite Material_ <u>16,0</u> ft. j. Gravel pack Gravel pack interval from 11.0 to 27.0 ft. 2/12 Sand Material 13.0 ft. k. Bottom seal/fill Bentonite Material____

Form prepared by R. Davis

PROJECT NUMBER: 805-127.01

BORING NO .: MW-3

PROJECT NAME: ARCO Service Station 2111

PAGE: 1 of 3

BY: R. Davis

DATE: 7/12/95

SURFACE ELEVATION: 40.01 ft.

RECOVERY (ft/ft)		PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FEET	SAMPLES	LTIHOGRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	DE	ELL TAIL
				-			ASPHALT		22
				1			FILL - SANDY GRAVEL (GP).		
60%	0	27		5-			SILTY CLAY (CL), very dark grayish brown (10YR, 3/2); 95-100% low- to medium-plasticity fines; trace to 5% fine sand; very stiff; damp; no		
70%	6.0	21	-				odor. @7.0°: 10% fine to coarse sand; trace fine gravel.		
60%	0	32	_						
60%	0.9	26	,,,,,,	10-			@10.0-14.5': 95% medium-plasticity fines; 5% fine to medium sand; very stiff to hard; damp; no		
100%	0	25	-	4			odor,		
100%	0	41							
60%	0	28	<u>-</u>	15-			@14.5-15.5': mottled olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4) and dark olive gray (5Y, 3/2); moist; no odor. CLAYEY SAND (SC) AND SANDY CLAY (CL)		
100%		25	-	-			-Interbedded, 60/40: CLAYEY SAND (SC), olive gray (5Y, 5/2); 40% low- to medium- plasticity fines; 60% fine to		
80%	0	33	- ⊈				medium sand, f:m=3:1; medium dense; moist to wet; no odor. SANDY CLAY (CL), olive gray (5Y, 5/2); 60-70%		
100%	0	18	-	20-			low- to medium- plasticity fines; 30-40% fine to medium sand; moist; reddish brown veins; no odor. @16.7-20.0': 80-85% low- to medium-plasticity fines; 15-20% fine to coarse sand; stiff; moist; no odor.		



REMARKS

PROJECT NUMBER: 805-127.01

BORING NO.: MW-3

PROJECT NAME: ARCO Service Station 2111

PAGE: 2 of 3

BY: R. Davis

DATE: 7/12/95

SURFACE ELEVATION: 40.01 ft.

RECOVERY (ft/ft)		PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	WATER	DEPTH IN	LTIHOGRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL
100%		25					
90%	0 0	39				SANDY CLAY (CL), continued. @20.0-23.0': very stiff; moist to wet (moisture	
60%		17	-			visible in voids). `	
50%	0 0	37		25-			
90%		33	_				
90%		27	-	-		SANDY SILT (ML), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4)	
100%	•	16	-			with light brownish gray (2,5Y, 6/2) mottling; 40% low— to medium—plasticity fines; medium dense; wet; no odor.	
60%	0	20	-	30-		well no oddi.	
60%	0	26	-			SILTY CLAY (CL), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4);	
100%	0	30	-	-		75-80% low- to medium-plasticity fines; 20-25% fine to medium sand, f:m=5:1; stiff; wet (moisture visible in voids and fractures); no odor.	
100%	0	24	-	35-		CLAYEY SAND (SC), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4) with light brownish gray (2.5Y, 6/2) mottling; 40% low- to medium- plasticity fines; medium dense; wet; no odor.	
100%		37	. -			SILTY CLAY (CL), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4); 75-80% low- to medium-plasticity fines; 20-25% fine to medium sand, f:m=5:1; stiff; wet (moisture	
100%	:	76	-			visible in voids and fractures); no odor. @34.5-40.0': trace coarse sand and fine gravel.	
100%	0	61	_	40			



REMARKS

PROJECT NUMBER: 805-127.01

BORING NO.: MW-3

PROJECT NAME: ARCO Service Station 2111

PAGE: 3 of 3

BY: R. Davis

DATE: 7/12/95

SURFACE ELEVATION: 40.01 ft.

RECOVERY (ft/ft)	PENETRA-C TION (blws/ft) L	GROUND DEPTH WATER IN LEVELS FEET	SAMPLES LTIHOGRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAIL
			1 2 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SILTY GRAVEL (GM), light office brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 10-20% low- plasticity fines; 30% fine to coarse sand; 50-60% fine to coarse gravel; dense; wet; no odor. BORING TERMINATED AT 40.5 FEET.	
		- 45-			
		- 50 -			
	-				
To a second		- 55- 			
	-	60			



REMARKS



PROJECT NUMBER 0805-127.01

PROJECT NAME ARCO 2111

LOCATION 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro

WELL PERMIT NO. na


BORING / WELL NO. MW-4

TOP OF CASING ELEV. 38.10

Pandro GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 38.88

DATUM M.S.L.

INSTALLATION DATE 7/13/95



EXPLORATORY BORING

a. Total depth ____28.5__ft.
b. Diameter ____10.0__in.

Drilling method ____Hollow Stem Auger

WELL CONSTRUCTION

c. Total casing length na Schedule 40 PVC Material____ 4.0 in. d. Diameter 10.0 ft. e. Depth to top perforations 14.0 ft. f. Perforated length 24.0 ft. Perforated interval from ____10.0_ to __ Machine Stotted Perforation type__ 0.020 inch Perforation size_ 1.0 ft. g. Surface seal Concrete Material ____ 6.0 ft. h. Backfill Cement Material_ 1.5 ft. i. Seal Bentonite Material_ j. Gravel pack 16.5 ft. Gravel pack interval from 8.5 to 25.0 ft. 2/12 Sand Material__ 3.5 ft. k. Bottom seal/fill Native Slough Material____

Form prepared by R. Davis

PROJECT NUMBER: 805-127.01

BORING NO .: MW-4

PROJECT NAME: ARCO Service Station 2111

PAGE: 1 of 2

BY: R. Davis

DATE: 7/13/95

SURFACE ELEVATION: 38.88 ft.

RECOVERY (ft/ft)		PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND DEPTH MATER IN LEVELS FEET &	LTIHOGRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAIL
				***	ASPHALT	
					FILL, SANDY GRAVEL (GP).	
40%	0	16	5-		CLAYEY GRAVEL (GC), very dark grayish brown (10YR, 3/2); 90–95% medium plasticity fines; 5–10% fine to medium sand; stiff; damp; no product odor.	
100%	0	20	- 10- 10-		@10.0-11.5':very stiff; trace calcium carbonate fragments in small voids (0.1-0.25"); damp; no product odor.	
100%	0	28	15-		@15.0-16.5':mottled light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4) and light gray (2.5Y, 7/2); rootholes and small fractures visible.	
			20		SANDY CLAY (CL), mottled light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4) and dark yellowish brown (10YR, 4/4); 65% medium-plasticity fines; 25% fine to coarse sand, f:m:c=2:1:1; 10% fine to coarse gravel; stiff to very stiff; wet (moisture visible in voids); no product odor.	



REMARKS

PROJECT NUMBER: 805-127.01

BORING NO.: MW-4

PROJECT NAME: ARCO Service Station 2111

PAGE: 2 of 2

BY: R. Davis

DATE: 7/13/95

SURFACE ELEVATION: 38.88 ft.

RECOVERY (ft/ft)		PENETRA- TION (blws/It)	GROUND DEPTH WATER IN LEVELS FEET	SAMPLES LTIHOGRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAIL
100%	0	24			SANDY CLAY (CL), continued.	
90%	0	26 56	35-		@25.0-27.7': 25% fine to medium sand; iron oxide staining; firm; wet; no product odor.; 70% medium-plasticity fines; 30% fine to coarse sand; very stiff; moist; no odor. CLAYEY GRAVEL (GC) TO CLAYEY SAND (SC), light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 10-20% medium plastic fines; 40-45% fine to coarse sand, f:m:c=1:2:4; 40-45% fine gravel; very dense; wet; no product odor. BORING TERMINATED AT 28.5 FEET BGS.	
			40			



REMARKS



PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001

PROJECT NAME Arco Station #2111

COUNTY San Leandro

WELL PERMIT NO. 96126 (ZONE 7)

BORING/WELL NO. MW-5
TOP OF CASING ELEV. 37.21
GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 37.66
DATUM ____MSL
INSTALLATION DATE 3/1/96

d C Œ

EXPLORATORY BORING

a. Total depth

30 ft.

b. Diameter <u>8 in.</u>

Drilling method <u>HOLLOW STEM AUGER</u>

WELL CONSTRUCTION

- c. Total casing length <u>24</u> ft. Material <u>SCH 40 PVC</u>
- d. Diameter
- <u>2</u>___in.
- e. Depth to top perforations
- <u>9.4</u> ft.
- f. Perforated length 14.0 ft.

 Perforated interval from 9.4 to 23.4 ft.

 Perforation type MACHINE SLOTTED

 Perforation size 0.010 INCH
- g. Surface seal <u>0.5</u> ft.

 Seal material <u>CONCRETE</u>
- h. Backfill 6.5 ft.
 - Backfill material <u>CEMENT</u>
- i. Seal <u>1.0</u> ft. Seal material <u>BENTONITE</u>
- j. Gravel pack <u>15.0</u> ft. Pack material #2/12 SAND
- k. Bottom seal <u>6.0</u> ft.

 Seal material <u>BENTONITE</u>

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001 BORING NO. MW-5 PROJECT NAME Arco Service Station #2111, San Leandro, California PAGE 1 OF 2 BY R. Davis DATE 3/1/96 SURFACE ELEV. 37.66 ft. PID Sample Penetra-GROUND WATER LEVELS SAMPLES LITHO-DEPTH IN FT. WELL Reading Recovery tion GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL (Blows COLUMN (ppm) (ft./ft.) per 6") ASPHALT. ROADBASE FILL: CLAYEY GRAVEL, no product SILTY CLAY (CL), dark grayish brown (10YR, 0.0 1.5/1.5 3/2); 100% low to medium-plasticity fines; trace 15 fine sand; roots and rootholes common; hard; 20 damp; no odor. 0.0 @9-10.5': very dark grayish brown (10YR, 3/2); 1.5/1.5 rootholes common; hard; damp; no hydrocarbon 13 odor. 19 @14-15.5': light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4) with trace 0.0 1.5/1.5 5 black mottling; 90% low to medium-plasticity 11 fines; 10% fine-grained sand; hard; moist; no 12 hydrocarbon odor. ∇ @17': Water visible inside augers. @19-20.5': as above; grayish veins present; hard; 0.0 1.5/1.5 15 wet; no hydrocarbon odor. 18



REMARKS

Boring drilled to a depth of 30 feet below grade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 8" dia. hollow-stem auger equipment. Boring completed as a 2" dia. PVC groundwater monitoring well screened from 9 to 24 fbg. Groundwater was first encountered at 17 fbg and stabilized at 13 fbg.

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001 BORING NO. MW-5 PROJECT NAME Arco Service Station #2111, San Leandro, California PAGE 2 OF 2 R. Davis DATE 3/1/96 BY SURFACE ELEV. 37.66 ft. DID Sample Penetra-GROUND MATER LEVELS SAMPLES LITHO-WELL DEPTH IN FT. Reading Recovery tion GRAPH1C DESCRIPTION DETAIL (Blows COLUMN (ft./ft.) per 6") (mpqq) 25 SILTY CLAY (CL), continued. @24-25.5': as above; no hydrocarbon odor. 0.0 1.5/1.5 @28.5-30': as above; wet; no hydrocarbon odor. 0.0 1.5/1.5 11 15 BORING TERMINATED AT 30 FBG.



REMARKS

Boring drilled to a depth of 30 feet below grade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 8" dia. hollow-stem auger equipment. Boring completed as a 2" dia. PVC groundwater monitoring well screened from 9 to 24 fbg. Groundwater was first encountered at 17 fbg and stabilized at 13 fbg.



BORING/WELL NO. MW-6
TOP OF CASING ELEV. 37.11
GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 38.19
DATUM MSL
INSTALLATION DATE 3/1/96

ď C đ f

EXPLORATORY BORING

a. Total depth 27.5 ft.
b. Diameter 8 in.

Drilling method HOLLOW STEM AUGER

WELL CONSTRUCTION

c. Total casing length 24 ft. Material SCH 40 PVC d. Diameter _in. 10___ft. e. Depth to top perforations 15 ft. f. Perforated length Perforated interval from 10 to 25 ft. Perforation type MACHINE SLOTTED Perforation size 0.010 INCH a. Surface seal 0.5 ft. Seal material CONCRETE 7.5 ft. h. Backfill Backfill material CEMENT i. Seal <u>1.0</u> ft. Seal material **BENTONITE** 16.0 ft j. Gravel pack Pack material #2/12 SAND k. Bottom seal 2.5 ft. Seal material NATIVE SLOUGH

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING 20805-127.001 PROJECT NUMBER BORING NO. MW-6 PROJECT NAME Arco Service Station #2111, San Leandro, California PAGE 1 OF 2 DATE 3/1/96 BY R. Davis SURFACE ELEV. 38.19 ft. PID Sample Penetra-SAMPLES GROUND HATER LEVELS LITHO-WELL DEPTH IN FT. Reading Recovery tion GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL (Blows COLUMN (ft./ft.) per 6") (ppm) ASPHALT. ROADBASE FILL: CLAYEY GRAVEL (GC), no hydrocarbon odor. CLAY (CL), dark grayish brown (10YR, 3/2); 100% 0.0 1.3/1.5 6 medium-plasticity fines; trace fine sand; very 10 stiff; damp; no hydrocarbon odor. 10 0.0 1.5/1.5 7 @9-10.5': as above; 10% fine gravel, angular; very 11 stiff; damp; no hydrocarbon odor. 20 @14-15.5': light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4) with trace 0.0 1.5/1.56 black mottling; 100% low to medium-plasticity 11 fines (high silt content); trace fine sand; very 12 stiff; moist; no hydrocarbon odor. $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$ @16.5-18': as above; wet; no product odor. 0.0 1.5/1.5 7 12 15 @19-20.5': as above; trace black mottling; 10-20% fine to coarse-grained sand; no hydrocarbon 1.4/1.5 8 0.0 odor. 9



REMARK

Boring drilled to a depth of 27.5 feet below grade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 8° dia. hollow-stem auger equipment. Boring completed as a 2° dia. PVC groundwater monitoring well screened from 10 to 25 fbg. Groundwater was first encountered at 16 fbg and stabilized at 14 fbg.

PROJECT NUMBER

20805-127.001

BORING NO.

MW-6

PROJECT NAME

Arco Service Station #2111, San Leandro, California

PAGE

2 OF 2

BY R. Davis

DATE 3/1/96

SURFACE ELEV.

38.19 ft.

PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Recovery	Penetra- tion (Blows per 6")	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAIL
		13					CLAY (CL), continued.	
0.0	0.9/1.5	15 19 25					CLAYEY GRAVEL (GC), light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 20-25% low to medium- plasticity fines; 20% fine to coarse-grained sand; 55-60% fine to coarse gravel (to 2" dia.); dense; wet; no hydrocarbon odor.	
0.0	1.0/1.5	25 28 50/5.5"		25-	_		@24.5-27.5': as above; no hydrocarbon odor.	
0.0	0.8/1.5	10 25 45	_					
			-				BORING TERMINATED AT 27.5 FBG.	
			<u>-</u>	30-				
				_				
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		<u>-</u>	35-				
·	more very fund by a plate that the		_					
				_				
			<u> </u>	- 40-				<u></u>



REMARKS

Boring drilled to a depth of 27.5 feet below grade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 8" dia. hollow-stem auger equipment. Boring completed as a 2" dia. PVC groundwater monitoring well screened from 10 to 25 fbg. Groundwater was first encountered at 16 fbg and stabilized at 14 fbg.



PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001

PROJECT NAME Arco Station #2111

COUNTY San Leandro

WELL PERMIT NO. 96126 (ZONE 7)

BORING/WELL NO. MW-7
TOP OF CASING ELEV. 38.68
GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 38.99
DATUM ____MSL
INSTALLATION DATE 2/29/96

đ C a

EXPLORATORY BORING

a. Total depth

33.5 ft.

b. Diameter

<u>10</u> in.

Drilling method HOLLOW STEM AUGER

WELL CONSTRUCTION

c. Total casing length	<u>27</u> ft.
Material SCH 40 PVC	
d. Diameter	<u>4</u> in.

e. Depth to top perforations 12 ft.

f. Perforated length 15 ft.

Perforated interval from 12 to 27 ft.

Perforation type MACHINE SLOTTED

Perforation size 0.010 INCH

g. Surface seal <u>0.5</u> ft.

Seal material <u>CONCRETE</u>

h. Backfill 9.0 ft.

Backfill material CEMENT

i. Seal <u>1.0</u> ft. Seal material <u>BENTONITE</u>

j. Gravel pack <u>16.5</u> ft. Pack material <u>#2/12 SAND</u>

k. Bottom seal <u>6.5</u> ft.

Seal material NATIVE SLOUGH

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127,001 BORING NO. MW-7 PROJECT NAME Arco Service Station #2111, San Leandro, California PAGE 1 OF 2 BY R. Davis DATE 2/29/96 SURFACE ELEV. 38.99 ft. PID Sample Penetra-GROUND WATER LEVELS SAMPLES LITHO-OEPTH IN FT. WELL Reading Recovery tion GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL (Blows COLUMN (ppm) (ft./ft.) per 6") ASPHALT. FILL: GRAVEL (GP) ROADBASE. FILL: CLAYEY GRAVEL (GC), brown; damp; no hydrocarbon odor. SILTY CLAY (CL), dark grayish brown (10YR, 2.8 1.0/1.516 20 4/2); 85-90% low to medium-plasticity fines; 10-15% fine to coarse-grained sand; trace iron 26 oxide staining; hard; damp; no hydrocarbon odor. @9.5-11': very dark grayish brown (10YR, 3/2); as 7.9 1,2/1,5 5 10 8 above (high silt content); trace rootholes; very stiff; damp; no hydrocarbon odor. 18 @12-13.5': no recovery. 0/1.5@14.5-15': as above; moist. 28.0 1.5/1.57 15-17 @15-16': gray (5Y, 5/1) with yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4) mottling; rootholes common; hard: 20 34.0 1.5/1.5 8 moist; hydrocarbon odor. 18 $\overline{\Delta}$ 22 @17.5-19': grayish veins present; 90% low to 77.0 1.0/1.5 9 medium-plasticity fines; 10% fine-grained sand; 12 trace fine gravel; hard; wet; hydrocarbon odor. 20 1,3/1,5 101.0 13 15



REMARKS

Boring drilled to a depth of 33.5 feet below grade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 10" dia. hollow-stem auger equipment. Boring completed as a 4" dia. PVC groundwater monitoring well screened from 12 to 27 fbg. Groundwater was encountered at 17 fbg.

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001 BORING NO. MW-7 PROJECT NAME Arco Service Station #2111, San Leandro, California PAGE 2 OF 2 R. Davis DATE 2/29/96 SURFACE ELEV. 38.99 ft. PID Sample Penetra-SAMPLES LITHO-WELL DEPTH IN FT. Reading Recovery tion GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL (Blows COLUMN per 6") (ppm) (ft./ft.) 20 SANDY CLAY (CL), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4) 1.3/1.5 8 with gray (5Y, 5/1) mottling; 65-75% low to 15 medium-plasticity fines; 25-30% fine to 15 coarse-grained sand; 5% fine gravel; very stiff; 0.5/1.520 wet; hydrocarbon odor, 22 CLAYEY SAND (SC), mottled olive brown (2.5Y, 30 4/4) to yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4); 25-30% 0.2/1.550/6" low to medium-plasticity fines; 45-50% fine to coarse-grained sand; 25% fine to coarse gravel; dense; wet; hydrocarbon odor. 0.2/0.550/6" @22-23.5': very dense; wet; hydrocarbon odor. @23.5-25': no recovery; very dense. 0.1/0.550/6" From 25 to 32.5': Minimal recovery due to heaving 0.2/0.550/6" sands. 0.2/0.550/6" 0.5/0.5 50/6" CLAY (CL), mottled yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4) 1.4 0.6/1.050 to dark brown (10YR, 5/2); 85-95% 50 medium-plasticity fines; 5-15% fine to coarse-grained sand; hard; wet; no hydrocarbon **BORING TERMINATED AT 33.5 FBG.**



REMARKS

Boring drilled to a depth of 33.5 feet below grade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 10" dia. hollow-stem auger equipment, Boring completed as a 4" dia. PVC groundwater monitoring well screened from 12 to 27 fbg. Groundwater was encountered at 17 fbg.



PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001

PROJECT NAME Arco Station #2111

COUNTY San Leandro G

WELL PERMIT NO. 96126 (ZONE 7)

BORING/WELL NO. VW-1
TOP OF CASING ELEV. 38.94
GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 39.39
DATUM ____MSL
INSTALLATION DATE 2/29/96

d e C f

EXPLORATORY BORING

a. Total depth <u>20</u> ft.
b. Diameter <u>10</u> in.
Drilling method <u>HOLLOW STEM AUGER</u>

WELL CONSTRUCTION

c. Total casing length <u>19.5</u> ft. Material <u>SCH 40 PVC</u> d. Diameter 5 ft. e. Depth to top perforations 15 ft. f. Perforated length Perforated interval from 5 to 20 ft. Perforation type MACHINE SLOTTED Perforation size 0.020 INCH 0.5 ft. g. Surface seal Seal material <u>CONCRETE</u> 3.0 ft. h. Backfill Backfill material <u>CEMENT</u> 1.5 ft. i. Seal Seal material **BENTONITE** 15.0 ft. i. Gravel pack Pack material #2/12 SAND k. Bottom seal NA ft. Seal material NA

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001 BORING NO. VW-1 PROJECT NAME Arco Service Station #2111, San Leandro, California PAGE 1 OF 1 DATE 2/29/96 BY R. Davis SURFACE ELEV. 39.39 ft. PIO Sample Penetra-LITHO-WELL DEPTH IN FT. Reading Recovery tion GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL (Blows COLUMN per 6") (ppm) (ft./ft.) ASPHALT. ROADBASE FILL: GRAVEL (GP), FILL: CLAYEY GRAVEL (GC), light yellowish brown; no hydrocarbon odor. SILTY CLAY (CL), dark gravish brown (10YR. 1.0/1,5 2,7 8 3/2); 95-100% low to medium-plasticity fines: 16 trace to 5% fine-grained sand; occassional 17 rootholes and orange mottling; hard; damp; no hydrocarbon odor. 2.2 @9.5-11': light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4) with 1.2/1.510 occassional dark brown mottling; rootholes 11 present; very stiff; damp; no hydrocarbon odor. 16 @12-13.5': mottled gray (5Y, 5/1) and light olive 1.0/1.5 1.3 7 brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 90% low to medium-plasticity 10 fines; 10% fine to medium-grained sand; 14 rootholes present; very stiff; moist; hydrocarbon odor. @14.5-16'; as above; moist; hydrocarbon odor. 5.3 1.2/1.59 15 10 12 $\overline{\Delta}$ @16': wet (moisture visible in voids); hydrocarbon @17-18.5': as above; wet; hydrocarbon odor. 1,3/1,5 16.0 9 12 @18.5-20': as above; 30% fine to coarse-grained 210.0 1.3/1.5 7 7 sand; wet; hydrocarbon odor. BORING TERMINATED AT 20 FBG. 17



REMARK

Boring drilled to a depth of 20 feet below grade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 10" dia. hollow-stem anger equipment. Boring completed as a 4" dia. PVC vapor extraction well screened from 5 to 15 fbg. Groundwater was encountered at 16 fbg.



PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001

PROJECT NAME Arco Station #2111

COUNTY San Leandro

WELL PERMIT NO. 96126 (ZONE 7)

BORING/WELL NO. VW-2
TOP OF CASING ELEV. 38.28
GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 38.99
DATUM ____MSL
INSTALLATION DATE 2/29/96

d h C a f

EXPLORATORY BORING

a. Total depth <u>20</u> ft.
b. Diameter <u>10</u> in.

Drilling method <u>HOLLOW STEM AUGER</u>

WELL CONSTRUCTION

19.5 ft. c. Total casing length Material SCH 40 PVC d. Diameter <u>4____in.</u> <u>5</u>___ft. e. Depth to top perforations f. Perforated length 15 ft. Perforated interval from 5 to 20 ft. Perforation type MACHINE SLOTTED Perforation size 0.020 INCH 0.5 ft. g. Surface seal Seal material <u>CONCRETE</u> h. Backfill 3.5 ft. Backfill material CEMENT 1.0 ft. i. Seal Seal material **BENTONITE** i. Gravel pack 15.0 ft. Pack material #2/12 SAND k. Bottom seal NA__ft. Seal material NA

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001 BORING NO. VW-2 PROJECT NAME Arco Service Station #2111, San Leandro, California PAGE 1 OF 2 BY R. Davis DATE 2/29/96 SURFACE ELEV. 38.99 ft. PID Sample Penetra-GROUND WATER LEVELS SAMPLES LITHO-DEPTH IN FT. WELL Reading Recovery tion GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL (Blows COLUMN (ppm) (ft./ft.) per 6") ASPHALT. ROADBASE FILL. FILL: SANDY SILTY CLAY (CL), dark grayish brown (10YR, 3/2), 0.4 1.0/1.515 22 SILTY CLAY (CL), light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4) 30 with grayish orange mottling; 90% low to medium-plasticity fines; 10% fine to medium-grained sand; hard; damp; no hydrocarbon odor. @9.5-11': dark grayish brown (10YR, 3/2); damp; 2.2 1.5/1.58 no hydrocarbon odor. 14 12.0 1.5/1.5 9 @12-13.5': as above; no hydrocarbon odor. 14 20 74.0 1.2/1.5 7 @14.5-16': olive gray (2.5Y, 5/1); increasing silt 15 content; rootholes present; moist; hydrocarbon 17 odor. 18 $\underline{\underline{\nabla}}$ 79.0 6 10 17 SANDY CLAY (CL), mottled yellowish brown 159.0 6 (10YR, 5/4) to light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 12 75-80% low to medium-plasticity fines; 15-20% 17 fine to coarse-grained sand; 5% fine gravel; very



REMARKS

Boring drilled to a depth of 20 feet below grade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 10" dia. hollow-stem auger equipment. Boring completed as a 4" dia. PVC vapor extraction well screened from 5 to 20 fbg. Groundwater was encountered at 16 fbg.

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001 BORING NO. VW-2 PROJECT NAME Arco Service Station #2111, San Leandro, California PAGE 2 OF 2 BY R. Davis DATE 2/29/96 SURFACE ELEV. 38.99 ft. PID Sample Penetra-DEPTH IN FT. SAMPLES LITHO-WELL Reading Recovery tion GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL (Blows COLUMN (ft./ft.) per 6") (ppm) stiff; wet; hydrocarbon odor. BORING TERMINATED AT 20 FBG.



REMARKS

Boring drilled to a depth of 20 feet below grade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 10" dia. hollow-stem auger equipment. Boring completed as a 4" dia. PVC vapor extraction well screened from 5 to 20 fbg. Groundwater was encountered at 16 fbg.



PROJECT NAME Arco Station #2111 COUNTY San Leandro WELL PERMIT NO. ___96126 (ZONE 7)

BORING/WELL NO. VW-3 TOP OF CASING ELEV. 38.01 GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 38.71 DATUM ___MSL_ INSTALLATION DATE 2/29/96

20 ft.

<u>10</u>in.

d h C а f

EXPLORATORY BORING

a. Total depth b. Diameter

Drilling method HOLLOW STEM AUGER

WELL CONSTRUCTION

19.5 ft. c. Total casing length Material SCH 40 PVC d. Diameter e. Depth to top perforations 5____ft. f. Perforated length 15 ft. Perforated interval from 5 to 20 ft. Perforation type MACHINE SLOTTED Perforation size 0.020 INCH g. Surface seal 0.5 ft.Seal material CONCRETE 3.0 ft. h. Backfill Backfill material **CEMENT** 1.5 ft. i. Seal Seal material **BENTONITE** 15.0 ft. i. Gravel pack Pack material #2/12 SAND NA ft. k. Bottom seal Seal material NA

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001 BORING NO. VW-3 PROJECT NAME Arco Service Station #2111, San Leandro, California PAGE 1 OF 1 BY R. Davis DATE 2/28/96 SURFACE ELEV. 38.71 ft. PID Sample Penetra-SAMPLES LITHO-DEPTH IN FT. WELL Reading Recovery tion GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL (Blows COLUMN per 6") (ppm) (ft./ft.) ASPHALT. FILL MATERIAL. CLAY (CL), dark grayish brown (10YR, 4/2); 90% 5.2 1.5/1.5medium-plasticity fines; 10% fine-grained sand; 14 rootholes present; iron oxide staining in veins; 20 damp; no hydrocarbon odor. @9.5-11': very dark grayish brown (10YR, 3/2) with 6.6 1.5/1.5 7 occassional gray and orange-brown (iron oxide) 17 mottling; 90% low to medium-plasticity fines: 20 10% fine-grained sand; increasing silt content; hard; damp; no hydrocarbon odor. CLAYEY, SANDY SILT (ML), gray (5Y, 5/1); 15.5 8 80-85% low-plasticity fines; 15-20% fine-grained 14 sand; hard; moist; hydrocarbon odor. 22 $\underline{\nabla}$ @16': wet; hydrocarbon odor. SILTY SANDY CLAY (CL), mottled yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4) to light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 75-80% low to medium-plasticity fines: 2.2 6 15-20% fine to coarse-grained sand; 5% fine 9 gravel; very stiff; wet; hydrocarbon odor. 11 BORING TERMINATED AT 20 FBG.



REMARKS

Boring drilled to a depth of 20 feet below grade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 10" dia. hollow-stem auger equipment. Boring completed as a 4" dia. PVC vapor extraction well screened from 5 to 20 fbg. Groundwater was encountered at 16 fbg.




PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001

PROJECT NAME Arco Station #2111

COUNTY San Leandro

WELL PERMIT NO. 96126 (ZONE 7)

BORING/WELL NO. VW-4
TOP OF CASING ELEV. 38.38
GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 39.23
DATUM MSL
INSTALLATION DATE 2/28/96



EXPLORATORY BORING

a. Total depth

<u>20</u> ft.

b. Diameter

<u>10</u> in.

Drilling method HOLLOW STEM AUGER

WELL CONSTRUCTION

c.	Total	casing	ler	ngth		<u>19.5</u> ft.	
	Matari	ial S	CH	40	PVC		

- d. Diameter <u>4</u>
- e. Depth to top perforations 6.5 ft.
- f. Perforated length 13 ft.

 Perforated interval from 6.5 to 19.5 ft.

 Perforation type MACHINE SLOTTED

 Perforation size 0.020 INCH
- g. Surface seal 0.5 ft.

Seal material <u>CONCRETE</u>

4.5 ft.

Backfill material <u>CEMENT</u>

h. Backfill

i. Seal <u>1.5</u> ft. Seal material <u>BENTONITE CHIPS</u>

j. Gravel pack 13.5 ft.

Pack material #2/12 SAND

k. Bottom seal NA ft.


Seal material NA


LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING 20805-127.001 VW-4 PROJECT NUMBER BORING NO. PAGE 1 OF 1 Arco Service Station #2111, San Leandro, California PROJECT NAME SURFACE ELEV. 39.23 ft. DATE 2/28/96 R. Davis PID Sample Penetra-GROUND WATER LEVELS LITHO-WELL SAMPLES DEPTH IN FT. Reading Recovery tion GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL (Blows COLUMN per 6") (ppm) (ft./ft.) ASPHALT. հերև հերաքոլուն որև հերև հերև հելունվան CONCRETE. FILL: SANDY CLAY (CL), brown; 70% 0.5 medium-plasticity fines; 30% fine to coarse-grained sand; damp; no hydrocarbon odor. 7.0 1.2/1.515 16 FILL: SILTY CLAY (CL), very dark gray (5Y, 3/1) with olive (5Y, 4/4) mottling; 95-100% medium-plasticity fines; trace to 5% fine-grained sand; very stiff; damp; hydrocarbon odor. FILL: CLAYEY SAND (SC), dark gray to yellowish 23.1 0.8/1.522 brown; 30-40% medium-plasticity fines; 60-70% 25 fine to coarse-grained sand; trace fine gravel; 29 very dense; damp; hydrocarbon odor. CLAYEY SAND (SC), very dark gray (5Y, 3/1); 30-35% medium-plasticity fines; 40-45% fine to coarse-grained sand; 25% fine gravel; medium dense; moist; hydrocarbon odor. 1.2/1.5 92.3 6 @15.5': wet (moisture in voids). 9 $\underline{\nabla}$ 15 SILTY CLAY (CL), light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 9 1.5/1.5 281.0 90-95% low to medium-plasticity fines; trace to 12 5% fine-grained sand; 5% fine gravel; very stiff; 16 wet; hydrocarbon odor. 878.0 1.5/1.5 6 7 BORING TERMINATED AT 20 FBG. 15 20



REMARKS

Boring drilled to a depth of 20 feet below grade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 10" dia. hollow-stem auger equipment. Boring completed as a 4" dia. PVC vapor extraction well screened from 6.5 to 19.5 fbg. Groundwater was encountered at 15.5 fbg.





1333 Broadway, Suite 800 Oakland, California 94612

LOG OF BORING

Borehole ID: SB-1 Total Depth: 37 feet

	1 And makes 2, 1051
PROJECT INFORMATION	DRILLING INFORMATION
Project: BP - Site #2111	Drilling Company: Gregg Drilling & Testing
Site Location: 1156 Davis St., San Leandro, CA	Driller: Germaine/Jose
Project Manager: Scott Robinson	Type of Drilling Rig: DP13 Geoprobe
RG:	Drilling Method: Direct Push
Geologist: Christopher Sheridan	Sampling Method: Continuous
Job Number: 38486896	Date(s) Drilled: 3/20/04 - 3/21/04
BORII	NG INFORMATION
Groundwater Depth (ft bgs): 20 feet	Boring Location: Davis St. Community Center parking lot
Hand Auger Depth (ft bgs): 5.0 feet	Boring Diameter: 2-inch
Coordinates: X-122.1692944 Y37.7223623	Boring Type: Exploratory

Coordinates:	X.	-122.1692944 Y 37.7223623	Boring Type: Exploratory				
Depth (ft bgs)	Symbol	Lithologic Descriptio		nscs	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments
E 0		CLAY: DARK BROWN to BROWN silty clay wi clay, 30% silt, 15% gravel). Soft, low plasticity,	th some gravel (55% damp, no odor.	CL	0		Hand auger to 5 feet bgs
0 2 4 6 8 10 11 14 16		SILT: BROWN clayey silt (35% clay, 65% silt). damp.	Soft, no plasticity,	ML	0		
8 1 8		CLAY: DARK BROWN to BROWN sitty clay (6) to moderately stiff, low plasticity, damp.	0% clay, 40% silt). Soft	CL	0		
10		SILT: BROWN clayey silt (30% clay, 70% silt). CLAY: DARK BROWN silty clay (65% clay, 359 low plasticity, damp.	······································	ML CL	0		
12 14		SILT: BROWN silt (100% silt). Soft, no plastici	ty, moist.	ML	0	1	
i 16		SAND: BROWN fine sand with little clay (10% of graded, loose, wet.	clay, 90% sand). Poorly	SP	0		
		15', color change to LIGHT BROWN 16', trace sand, moist	e management en	ancoron annotament production and an annotame	0		
20		GRAVELLY CLAY: (20.25') grades toBROWN clay, 30% gravel). Well graded, wet		CL CL	0		
= 22 = =		CLAY: BROWN slity clay (70% clay, 35% slit). plasticity, damp. SAND: BROWN fine sand with little clay (10% of the clay)	Moderately stiff, no	SP	0		NAME OF THE PARTY
24 1 26		graded, loose, saturated. CLAY: BROWN silty clay with trace fine to coar silt, 5% sand). Moderately stiff to stiff, no plasti	se sand (65% clav. 30%	CL			na Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna A
1 26 1 28 1 28		slight increased fine to coarse sand. Soft, low p	elasticity, saturated.	1	0		- :
BP/AR	CO	Page 1	of 2		Вс	reho	ole ID: SB-1

Ur		LOG OF BORING	В	ore	hole	ID: SB-1
Depth (ft bgs)	Symbol	Lithologic Description	nscs	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments
30 32 34 34 36		same silty day.		0		
		same silty clay.		0		
Secretary Secret		End of Boring at 37' bgs.		0		Abella sella s

BP/ARCO

Page 2 of 2

Borehole ID: SB-1

1333 Broadway, Suite 800 Oakland, California 94612

LOG OF BORING

Borehole ID: SB-2 Total Depth: 40 feet

Sampling Method: Continous Job Number: 38486896 BORING INFORMATION Groundwater Depth (ft bgs): 21 Hand Auger Depth (ft bgs): 5.0 Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory		Oakiand, Camorna 940	Total Depth	1: 40 t	feet		
Site Location: 136 Davis St, San Leandro, CA Project Manager Scott Robinson Project Manager Scott Robinson Ro: Groundwater Depth (R bgs): 21 Borning Mathod: Direct Push BORNO INFORMATION Groundwater Depth (R bgs): 5.0 Borning Location: ARCO #2111 Hand Auger Depth (R bgs): 5.0 Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X - 122.16%6721 Y 37.7217975 Borling Type: Exploratory CL U. Y. BARK BROWN in the foliation of the fo	PROJE	ECT INFORMATION	DRILL	ING I	NFO	RMA	TION
Project Manager: Scott Robinson Type of Drilling Rig: DP13 Gosprobe RR: Drilling Method: Direct Plash Sampling Method: Continues Date(s) Drillind: 3/21/04 BORING INFORMATION Groundwater Depth (ft bgs): 21 Hand Auger Depth (ft bgs): 5.0 Goordinates: X122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory BORING Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Boring Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory CLAY: BROWN alty day with first to coarse sand and some first to coarse and coarse profession, damp (Ft.L.). CLAY: BROWN alty day with first to coarse and and some first to coarse and coarse profession, damp (Ft.L.). CLAY: BROWN alty day with first to coarse and some first to coarse and	Project: BP - Site #	Drilling Company: Gre	gg Dri	lling d	& Test	ing	
RS: Geologist: Christopher Sherdan Job Number: 38486896 Dates) prilliste: 22:104 BORING INFORMATION Groundwater Depth (it bgs): 21 Boring Location:ARCO #2111 Hand Auger Depth (it bgs): 3.0 Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Emploratory Sample ID / Comments Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Emploratory Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring	Site Location: 1156						
Sampling Method: Continous Sampling Method: Continous	Project Manager: 9	Scott Robinson	Type of Drilling Rig: D	P13 G	eopro	be	
Date	RG:		Drilling Method: Direct	Push	***************************************	>xxx	The state of the s
BORING INFORMATION Groundwater Depth (ft bgs): 21 Boring Location:ARCO #2111 Hand Auger Depth (ft bgs): 5.0 Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Boring Type: Exploratory Boring Type: Exploratory	Geologist: Christop	her Sheridan	Sampling Method: Co	ntinous		90099982222 0 9399 993	
Groundwater Depth (ft bgs): 21 Hand Auger Depth (ft bgs): 5.0 Coordinates: X -122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Diameter: 2-fuch Coordinates: X -122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Graduates: X -122.1686721 Y37.7217975 CL Order Graduates: X -122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Graduates: X -122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring	Job Number: 38486	5896	Date(s) Drilled: 3/21/04		***************************************	(nages)/	
Hand Auger Depth (ft bgs): 5.0 Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Coordinates: X		BORING IN	FORMATION	**************************************			
Coordinates: X-122.1686721 Y37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory CLAY: BROWN sity day with fine to coarse sand and some fine to coarse grave (35% clay, 25% slit, 25% sand, 15% gravel). Scft, low to no plasticity, damp [FILL]. CLAY: DARK EROWN to BROWN slit, 25% sand, 15% gravel). Scft, low to no plasticity, damp [FILL]. CLAY: DARK EROWN to BROWN slit, 25% sand, 15% gravel). Scft, low to no plasticity, damp [FILL]. CLAY: DARK EROWN to BROWN slit, 25% sand). Moderately sliff to sliff, low plasticity, damp. 10	Groundwater Dept	h (ft bgs): 21	Boring Location: ARCC	#2111			
Lithologic Description CLAY: BROWN silly day with fine to coarse sand and some fine to coarse grave (35% clay 25% sill, 25% sand, 15% gravel). Scill, low to no plasticity, damp (FILL). CLAY: DARK BROWN to BROWN silly day with trace fine to coarse sand some fine to coarse gravel (35% clay 25% sill, 25% sand, 15% gravel). Scill, low to no plasticity, damp (FILL). CLAY: DARK BROWN to BROWN silly day with trace fine to coarse sand (65% clay) 30% sill, 5% sand) Moderately still to still, low plasticity, comp. Same as above same as above. 21 still same as above, some hydrocarbon staining and odor. increased staining. 22 still same as above. 23 can be same as above. 24 can be same as above. 25 still same as above. 26 can be same as above. 26 can be same as above. 27 soft, wet, hydrocarbon adds. 28 can be same as above. 29 can be same as above. 20 can be same as above. 21 soft wet, hydrocarbon adds. 21 can be same as above. 21 can be same as above. 26 can be same as above. 27 can be same as above. 28 can be same as above. 29 can be same as above. 30 can be same as above. 30 can be same as above. 30 can be same as above. 31 can be same as above. 31 can be same as above. 32 can be same as above. 33 can be same as above. 34 can be same as above. 35 can day and an add and an add and an add and an	Hand Auger Depth	(ft bgs): 5.0	Boring Diameter: 2-ind	h			
CLAY: BROWN sity day with fine to coarse sand and some fine to coarse grave! (35% clay, 25% slit, 25% sand, 15% grave!). Soft, low to no plasticity, damp [FiLL]. CLAY: DARK BROWN to BROWN slity day with trace fine to coarse sand (65% clay, 30% slit, 5% sand) Moderately stiff to stiff, low plasticity, damp. CLAY: DARK BROWN to BROWN slity day with trace fine to coarse sand (65% clay, 30% slit, 5% sand) Moderately stiff to stiff, low plasticity, damp. 10 aliff same as above 10 Increased staining. 120 21'-22', soft, wet, hydrocarbon staining and odor. 120 21'-22', soft, wet, hydrocarbon codot. 22-5', stiff GRAVEL: BROWN and OLIVE GRAY sandy grave! with slit (20% slit, 35% sand, 45% grave!). Well graded, moist to wet. 28 28 GRAVEL: BROWN and olive grave with sand (30% slit, 25% sand, 45% grave). Well graded, angular to sub-angular, moist to wet. CLAY: BROWN clay with fine to coarse sand and slit (60% day, 20% slit, 50% sand, 45% grave!). Well graded, angular to sub-angular, moist to wet. CLAY: BROWN clay with fine to coarse sand and slit (60% day, 20% slit, 50% sand, 45% grave!). Well graded, angular to sub-angular, moist to wet. CLAY: BROWN clay with fine to coarse sand and slit (60% day, 20% slit, 50% sand, 5cit, tow to moderate plasticity, wet.	Coordinates: X	-122.1686721 Y 37.7217975	Boring Type: Explorate	ту			
same as above, some hydrocarbon staining and odor. 16 17 18 20 21'-22', soft, wet, hydrocarbon odor. 22 21'-22', soft, wet, hydrocarbon odor. 22.5', stiff 24 25 26 27 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 21'-22', soft, wet, hydrocarbon odor. 20 21'-22', soft, wet, hydrocarbon odor. 22.5', stiff 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	Depth (ft bgs) Symbol	Lithologic Description	3	nscs	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments
same as above, some hydrocarbon staining and odor. 16 17 18 20 21'-22', soft, wet, hydrocarbon odor. 22 21'-22', soft, wet, hydrocarbon odor. 22.5', stiff 24 25 26 27 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 21'-22', soft, wet, hydrocarbon odor. 20 21'-22', soft, wet, hydrocarbon odor. 22.5', stiff 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	2	coarse gravel (35% clay, 25% silt, 25% sand, 1	nd and some fine to 5% gravel). Soft, low to	CL	Concession of the Concession o		Hand auger 0 to 5 feet bgs
same as above, some hydrocarbon staining and odor. 16 17 18 20 21'-22', soft, wet, hydrocarbon odor. 22 22: 25', stiff 24 25 26 27 27: 22', soft, wet, hydrocarbon odor. 28 29 20 20 20 20 21'-22', soft, wet, hydrocarbon odor. 20 21'-22', soft, wet, hydrocarbon odor. 22: 5', stiff 23: 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	8	plasticity, damp.	th trace fine to coarse ly stiff to stiff, low	CL.	0		
		same as above, some hydrocarbon staining and	l odor.		22		
	20 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	* ,\A**			150		
	24	GRAVEL: BROWN and OLIVE GRAY sandy gr 35% sand, 45% gravel). Well graded, moist to	avel with silt (20% silt, wet.	GM	4		
	20		when the second	and an analysis of the second			
	28	gravel). Well graded, angular to sub-angular, n CLAY: BROWN clay with fine to coarse sand at	noist to wet. and silt (60% day, 20%	CL			
	***			***	na andreasan T		ala ID. CO A

		LOG OF BORING	В	ore	hole	ID: SB-2
Depth (ft bgs)	Symbol	Lithologic Description	nscs	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comment
= 30 = 32		silt. 20% sand). Soft, low to moderate plasticity, wet. SAND: GRAY sand with gravel. Well graded, subangular, wet (75% sand 25% gravel). CLAY: BROWN clay with fine to coarse sand (75% clay, 25% sand). Soft, moderate plasticity, saturated.	/ sw CL NR	0		
32 34 34 36 38		No recovery		***************************************		
38		GRAVEL: Gravelly sluff from above.	GM,	a		End of Boring at 40' bgs a 1410 on 3/21/04
·						

1333 Broadway, Suite 800 Oakland, California 94612

LOG OF BORING

Borehole ID: H-1 Total Depth: 40 feet

Total Sopiii Total						
PROJECT INFORMATION	DRILLING INFORMATION					
Project: BP - Site #2111	Drilling Company: Gregg Drilling & Testing					
Site Location: 1156 Davis St., San Leandro, CA	Driller: Germaine/Jose					
Project Manager: Scott Robinson	Type of Drilling Rig: DP13 Geoprobe					
RG:	Drilling Method: Direct Push					
Geologist: Christopher Sheridan	Sampling Method: Continuous					
Job Number: 38486896	Date(s) Drilled: 3/21/04					
BORIN	NG INFORMATION					
Groundwater Depth (ft bgs): 24.5	Boring Location: Davis St. Community Center driveway					
Hand Auger Depth (ft bgs): 5.0	Boring Diameter: 2-inch					
Coordinates: X-122.1688693 Y37.7216522	Boring Type: Exploratory					

Coordinates: X-122.1688693 Y37.7216522 Boring Type: Explorate					Circles and Control		
Depth (ff bgs)	Symbol	Lithologic Descriptio	n	SOSN	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments
2 10 10 10 10 10 10				HA COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF			Hand auger to 5' bgs.
10 12 14 16 18 18 20 22 24		SILT: BROWN clayey slit with some fine to congravel (35% clay, 40% slit, 20% sand, 5% grace CLAY: DARK BROWN slity clay with little fine clay, 30% slit, 10% sand). Stiff, non plastic, di		ML CL	0		
10 12		No organics. Same as above			0		
14 16		Stiff Color change to BROWN			0		
18 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	建设建设设施 建设建设建设建设 建设建设建设建设建设建设建设建设建设建设建设设施。	Soft to moderately stiff, moderate plasticity Slight staining Same as above			6.6		
					23		Remark.
26		Same slity clay. Wat, slight sheen and hydroc Same as above, saturated.	erbon odar.	American de la companya de la compa	25		William Control of the Control of th
BP/AF	₹CO	Page	1 of 2		В	oreh	ole ID: H-1

UK		LOG OF BORING	В	оге	hole	ID: H-1
Depth (ft bgs)	Symbol	Lithologic Description	SOSN	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments
30 32 34 36 38 40		SAND: BROWN clayey fine grained sand (30% clay, 70% sand). Poorly graded, subangular, saturated. CLAY: BROWN silty clay (70% clay, 30% silt). Soft to moderately stiff, low plasticity, moist, slight odor. Same as above End of Boring at 40' bgs at 1200 on 3/21/04	SM	59 103 205 195 150 125		Grab groundwater sample taken at 1200: H-1.
70			''			

Page 2 of 2

Borehole ID: H-1

BP/ARCO

BP/ARCO

1333 Broadway, Suite 800 Oakland, California 94612

LOG OF BORING

Borehole ID: H-2

Borehole ID: H-2

Total Depth: 36 feet

		- lotal Depth	: 301	eet		
PRO.	JECT INFORMATION	DRILLING INFORMATION				
Project: BP - Site	#2111	Drilling Company: Gre	gg Dril	ling &	& Test	ing
Site Location: 11	56 Davis St., San Leandro, CA	Driller: Germaine/Jose				
Project Manager:	Scott Robinson	Type of Drilling Rig: D	P13 G	copro	be	
RG:		Drilling Method: Direct	and the second second	***********	~~~~~~~~	
**************************************	Berwald/Chris Sheridan	Sampling Method: Con	***************************************		electronemente en	engergelehr vider teknograf folglich ist de verlenne den der den dem en der den dem er de delakere des bekonne De
Job Number: 384		Date(s) Drilled: 3/21/04	SELECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	NAME WAS ARRESTED A	HOPPINGSSCHOOLS	.
22-08-04-2-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-		FORMATION	THE CHICAGO AND	Patricus (Constitution)	n ne propose de la composiçõe de la comp	
Groundwater Dei	oth (ft bgs): 17 feet	Boring Location:Davis	St. Con	mun	ity Cer	nter driveway
Hand Auger Dept	MARKET TO THE TOTAL CONTROL OF THE TOTAL CONTROL OT THE TOTAL CONTROL OF THE TOTAL CONTROL OF THE TOTAL CONTROL OT	Boring Diameter: 2-inc		Managarkanian.		k zirin kendi wisa kendin kendinan penangan penangan pe nangan penangan menangan penangan penangan penangan pen
- and the second	X-122.1690083 Y37.7218569	Boring Type: Explorator	nucceous control of the control of t	***************************************		HATELET KENTOON KESHANISAN KERINATIS SANAKAA LAMION OO POORTIAN SANAKAA DAARAA KANAAN KANAAN KANAAN KANAAN KANA

Depth (ft bgs)	Lithologic Description	1	nscs	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments
2	CLAY: DARK BROWN to BROWN clay with silt gravel (50% clay, 25% silt, 25% gravel). Moder moderate plasticity, damp.	and fine to coarse ately stiff, low to	CL			Hand auger to 5' bgs.
10 mluuluuluuluuluuluuluuluuluuluuluuluuluu	Trace silt and fine gravel. Organics, moist.			0		
	Same as above, BROWN to GREEN Standard Same as above, hydrocation oxior and sheen			193		
20	SAND: BROWN and OLIVE GRAY sand with fitrace silt (5% silt, 70% sand, 25% gravel). Well subangular to angular, wet.	ne to coarse gravel and I-graded, gravel is	SW	70 72		· 🚾 .
22	CLAY: BROWN and OLIVE GRAY slifty day wit sand and trace fine to coarse gravel (55% clay, 5% gravel). Moderately stiff, low plasticity, satur	30% silt, 10% sand,	CL	130	计算机的变形	
= 24	Trace silt, soft, wet, no staining/odor.		overnov-commers/Consisted			
26			Control of the Contro	3.3		
26 28 28				3.3 1.1		

Page 1 of 2

URS	LOG OF BORING	Borehole ID: H-2
Depth (ff bgs) Symbol	Lithologic Description	USCS PID (ppm) Recovery
34	sheen in sluff. f Boring at 36' bgs at 1050 on 3/21/04.	8.3 Grab groundwater sample taken at 1050: H-2

BP/ARCO

Page 2 of 2



1333 Broadway, Suite 800

LOG OF BORING

Borehole ID: H-3

	Oakland, California 94612 Total Dept					Total Depth	: 441	feet		
PROJECT INFORMATION			DRILLING INFORMATION							
Project: BP -	Site #2	2111		март при	Drillin	g Company: Gre	gg Dril	ling &	t Testi	ng
Site Location	: 1156	Davis St	., San	Leandro, CA	Driller	: Germaine/Jose				
Project Mana	ger: S	cott Robi	nson	eniorristande de semando commitmo mentina de comitmo de la Sel Sel Sel Se de La Galla de Colonidad de Sel Sel Sel Sel Sel Sel Sel Sel Sel Se	Туре с	of Drilling Rig: D	P13 G	eoprol	be	
RG:	ren billion Peterbille bett en	arkil berkular ke i bir lind burakei 201	Habitani de Armenio (C.A.	THE CONTRACTOR OF COMMENT AND	Drillin	g Method: Direct	Push		- Dan ann an Airm	
Geologist: Christopher Sheridan					Sampl	ing Method: Cor	tinous	***********		
Job Number:	38486	896			Date(s) Drilled: 3/21/04	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	************	- consideration and an artist	in the second
The state of the s	al-lamin Management and	distanti autori en en estatan de	censenstraensmander con	BORING IN	IFORMA	TION				
Groundwater	Dept	h (ft bgs); 19 f	est	Boring Location: Davis St. Community Center driveway					
Hand Auger [)epth	(ft bgs):	5.0	ann an sea ann an t-aireann an t	Boring	Boring Diameter: 2-inch				
Coordinates:	X: -	122.16916	569	Y: 37.7221031	Boring	Type: Explorator	гу			
Depth (ft bgs)	Symbol			Lithologic Description			SOSA	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID

Depth (ft bgs)	Symbol	Lithologic Description	SOSA	(mdd) (DIA	Recovery	Sample ID
2 4 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 28 28		SiLT: DARK BROWN to BROWN silt with clay and some fine to coarse gravel (25% clay, 60% silt, 15% gravel. Loose, no plasticity, damp [FILL].	ML	0		Hand auger to 5' bgs.
4				0		
E 6		No Recovery				
8 10 10						
E IU	- CACERGE AND COMPANY	No Recovery		0		
E- 12		CLAY: DARK BROWN clay with trace silt and trace fine to coarse gravel (90% clay, 5% silt, 5% gravel). Soft, moderate to high plasticity, moist.	CL			
14		Same clay				
16		15.75' - 16.25', increased slit (65% clay, 30% slit, 5% gravel). Soft to moderately stiff		0	-	
18				0		. ⊅.
20		Color change to BROWN.		0		and the second s
22		Same as above, saturated.		0		
24			**************************************	0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
26		Same as above.		0		unimental de la companya de la compa
28			N	0		
30						

URS Corporation

Page 1 of 2

U		a D		LOG OF	BORING		В	ore	hole	ID: H-3
Depth (ft bgs)	Symbol		Litholog	lc Description			55	CHICAL CHICA	Recovery	Sample ID
= 20						419111194441777744444		0		
32		SAND: BF (30% clay subrounde	ROWN and GR/ , 60% sand, 10° ed sand and gra	Y clayey sand v % gravel). Well vel, saturated.	vith little fine to c graded, subangu	oarse gravel lar to	SM			
32 34 36 38 40 42					se sand (50% cla		CL	0		
38								irrabak a seatas r reconstruidos		
40	E.M.C.F.	SAND: BF	OWN fine san	l (100% sand).	Poorly graded.		J.SP.	0		Use hammer past 40' bgs.
E 42		42.5 - 43.8	i, skull,		•			describing the second s	somoniai recialita con	Boring is sluffing.
E 44		GRAVEL:	BROWN sandy /ell graded.	gravel with little	silt (10% silt 30'	% sand, 60%	GM	0		End of Boring at 44' bgs at 0925 on 3/21/04.
										ole ID: 14-3

UR		LOG OF BORING	В	ore	hole	ID: H-3
Depth (ft bgs)	Symbol	Lithologic Description	SSSI	ED (ppm)	Tay coap	Sample ID / Comments
30 32 34 36 38 38 40 42 42		SAND: BROWN and GRAY clayey sand with little fine to coarse gravel (30% clay, 60% sand, 10% gravel). Well graded, subangular to subrounded sand and gravel, saturated. CLAY: BROWN silty clay with fine to coarse sand (50% clay, 30% silt, 20% sand). Soft, moderate plasticity, wet. SAND: BROWN fine sand (100% sand). Poorly graded. 42.5 - 43.5, sluff. GRAVEL: BROWN sandy gravel with little silt (10% silt 30% sand, 60% gravel). Well graded.	SSP.	0 0		Use hammer past 40' bgs. Boring is sluffing. End of Boring at 44' bgs at 0925 on 3/21/04.
BP/AF	RCO.	Page 2 of 2		Ē	orela	ole ID: H-3

1333 Broadway, Suite 800 Oakland, California 94612

LOG OF BORING

Borehole ID: H-4

		Oakland, C	alifornia s	94612	Total Depth	n: 351	feet		
PRO.	JECT IN	FORMATION			DRILL	ING I	NFO	RMA	TION
Project: BP - Site	e#2111	2000-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00		Drlli	ing Company: Gre	gg Dri	lling &	. Testi	ing
Site Location: 11	156 Davis	St., San Leandro, C	CA	Drill	er: Germaine/Jose		**************************************	2000000000000000000000000000000000000	
roject Manager:	: Scott Ro	binson		Туре	of Drilling Rig: I)P13 G	eoprol)e	
tG:	promotery country of personnel ary management	NY days aminospolede Remolfass and an anatonian man		Drill	ing Method: Direc	t Push		principality of the contract o	and and a second and
Seologist: Christe	opher She	ridan			pling Method: Co		15	II 6 41001000000000000000000000000000000000	38800AW037KV
ob Number: 384	486896				(s) Drilled: 3/20/04		*****************		MANAGEM PARTY PART
<u> Marinitus propins de la planta de la catalogo de </u>	www.manyenemenene	-cimpon on month of the continue of the contin	BORIN	G INFORM		www.iaddistrict.com	***************************************		
Froundwater De	MARINANT CONTRACTOR MARINA	CHEST ACTION AND CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	***************************************		ng Location:Davis		mmum	ty Cei	nter parking lot
land Auger Dep	MANUFACTURE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY	eli dili tratiti tratili di tratiti di trati			ng Diameter: 2-ind				Gentioneholisasi (duktaatinasioholisasio bestirritorritorritorri ere ere ere ere ere ere ere ere ere
Coordinates:	X-122.16	93 232 Y 37.72	223485	Borin	n g Type: Hydropui	ich			
Depth (ft bgs)		L	Lithologic Desc	ription		SOSN	PID (ppm)	Rесоvегу	Sample ID / Comments
0	CLAY clay,	': DARK BROWN to 30% silt, 15% grave	o BROWN slity c el). Soft, low place	lay with some sticity, damp,	gravel (55% no odor.				Lithology from SB-1.
2 2 4 4 6 10 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	SILT: damp	BROWN clayey sil	t (35% clay, 65%	siit). Soft, п	o plasticity,	and a second	***************************************		Hand auger 0' to 5' bgs.
6	CLAY	: DARK BROWN to	o BROWN silty o	lay (60% clay	, 40% slit). Soft	and the second s	To the state of th		
	BU		***************************************	. AFAL			4		***************************************
10	CLAY	BROWN clayey sil : DARK BROWN s lasticity, damp.	and an action produces as the state of the s	FECURIORI PROBLÉGO PER DESCONDE PRODUCTO POR CONTROL DE	Moderately stiff,				anterior (minimum property)
12									Periodical desiration of the control
14	SILT:	BROWN silt (100%	6 silt). Soft, no p	lasticity, mois	ot.			ANNA MARKANA	
16	• grade	D: BROWN fine san ed, loose, wet. olor change to LIGH	_	(10% clay, 90	% sand). Poorly		**************************************		Screen 15' - 17' bgs- DRY
18	* 16;, tr	ace sand, moist				· contraction of fraction fraction	SAN SERVICE STREET, SAN SE		Screen 19.5' - 20.5' bgs - DRY
20	GRA\ L GRA\ clay,	VELLY CLAY: (20.2 30% gravel). Well	25') grades toBf graded, wet	ROWN gravel	ley day (70%	Æ			Screen 20.5' - 21.5' bgs - DRY
22	, a plasti	/: BROWN silty clar icity, damp:							Screen 20' - 24' bgs - DRY
16 18 20 22 24	\ grade CLA\	D: BROWN fine sar ed, loose, saturated Y: BROWN silty clay % sand). Moderate	v with trace fine t	lo coarse san	d (65% clay, 30%			optivises (statement of the statement of	Videonoverment deposit de des
28	slight	increased fine to co	oarse sand. Soft	t, low plasticit	y, saturated.		- Protestation of the community of the c		H-4-27 sampled at 1145, Screen 23' - 27' bgs.
E BP/ARC			F	Page 1 of 2		:	В	oreh	ole ID: H-4

UR	, (LOG OF BORING	E	ore	hole	ID: H-4
Depth (ft bgs)	Symbol	Lithologic Description	nscs	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments
30 1 32 1 34		same silty clay.		The state of the s	The state of the s	H-4-35 sampled at 1155, Screen 32' - 35' bgs,
Ē 34		End of Boring at 35' bgs.		dimension	***************************************	

BP/ARCO

Page 2 of 2

1333 Broadway, Suite 800 Oakland, California 94612

LOG OF BORING


merepediation by property to dealer.	() Miskipaturine (meisternine en	,,	
Total	Depth:	40 1	feet

PROJECT INFORMATION	N DRI	DRILLING INFORMATION					
Project: BP - Site #2111	Drilling Company: 0	regg Dril	ling 8	Test	ing		
Site Location: 1156 Davis St., San Leand	ro, CA Driller: Germaine/Jos	Driller: Germaine/Jose					
Project Manager: Scott Robinson	Type of Drilling Rig	: DP13 G	oprol)e			
RG:	Drilling Method: Dir	ect Push					
Geologist: Christopher Sheridan	Sampling Method:	Continuou	5				
Job Number: 38486896	Date(s) Drilled: 3/20	04 - 3/21/	04				
	BORING INFORMATION						
Groundwater Depth (ft bgs): 19.5	Boring Location:Day	is St. Con	บบานก	ty Ce	nter parking lot		
Hand Auger Depth (ft bgs): 5.0	Boring Diameter: 2-	inch					
Coordinates: X-122.1692432 Y	77.7223855 Boring Type: Hydrog	unch					
Depth (ft bgs) Symbol	Lithologic Description	SOSI	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments		
CLAY: DARK BROV day, 30% silt, 15% g	VN to BROWN silty clay with some gravel (55% gravel). Soft, low plasticity, damp, no odor.	CL			Lithology from SB-1.		
CLAY: DARK BROWN clays SILT: BROWN clays damp. CLAY: DARK BROWN clays damp. SILT: BROWN clays to moderately stiff, le CLAY: DARK BROWN low plasticity, damp. SILT: BROWN silt (ey silt (35% clay, 65% silt). Soft, no plasticity,	ML			Hand auger to 5' bgs.		
CLAY: DARK BROV to moderately stiff, le	VN to BROWN slity clay (60% clay, 40% silt). Soft ow plasticity, damp.	CL	KANETON HATELPEN KANETON KANET				
SILT: BROWN days	ey slif (30% clay, 70% slif).	ML					
CLAY: DARK BROV low plasticity, damp.	VN silty clay (65% clay, 35% silt). Moderately stiff,	ML	**************************************		THE THE TRANSPORTER AND TH		
SILT: BROWN silt (100% silt). Soft, no plasticity, moist	CL	Name of the last o		no in income and an analysis of the contract o		
SAND: BROWN fine graded, loose, wet.	e sand with little clay (10% clay, 90% sand). Poorly	ML			NO CONTRACTOR AND		
16', trace sand, mois		PRANKESKOVINIKOS			Screen 17' - 20' bgs - DRY		
20 GRAVELLY CLAY:	(20.25') grades toBROWN gravelley clay (70%	SP	•	***************************************			
dlay, 30% gravel). \(\) CLAY: BROWN silt \(\) plasticity, damp.	Nell graded, wet v clay (70% clay, 35% slit). Moderately stiff, no	(CL_) SP					
SAND: BROWN fine graded, loose, saturation of the same	e sand with little clay (10% clay, 90% sand). Poorly ated. y clay with trace fine to coarse sand (65% clay, 30% erately stiff to stiff, no plasticity, damp to moist.	CL		Andreas de la constant de la constan	Screen 19' - 23' bgs - DRY		
26	to coarse sand. Soft, low plasticity, saturated.	With a state of the state of th			H-5-27 sampled at 1530, 3/20/04. Screen 25' - 27' bgs.		
BP/ARCO	Page 1 of 2		В	oreh	ole ID: H-5		


URS	LOG OF BORING	E	orel	hole	ID: H-5
Depth (ft bgs)	Lithologic Description	USCS	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments
32 34 36 38	same silty clay.		- Pack (Databack desiritation and Autoback a	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	H-5-35 sampled at 1540, 3/20/04. Screen 32' - 35' bgs
36	same silty day.		and the state of t		
40	Not logged. End of Boring at 40' bgs.	na volument and an incident and an incident	reservante de la constante de		H-5-40 sampled at 0710, 3/21/04. Screen 38' - 40' bgs.
BP/ARCO				vonaturnia (c)	
	Page 2 of 2		В	oreh	ole ID: H-5


APPENDIX E


Geologic Cross-Sections



San Leandro, California







APPENDIX F

GRO, Benzene, and MTBE Concentration Trend Graphs

Figure 1. MW-2 Concentrations vs. Time




Figure 2. MW-5 Concentrations vs. Time




Figure 3. MW-7 Concentrations vs. Time




Figure 4. MW-8 Concentrations vs. Time

