**RECEIVED** By Alameda County Environmental Health at 3:27 pm, Jun 21, 2013

# **Atlantic Richfield Company**

Shannon Couch Operations Project Manager

PO Box 1257 San Ramon, CA 94583 Phone: (925) 275-3804 Mobile: (510) 798-8314 E-Mail: Shannon.Couchl@bp.com

June 19, 2013

Re: Addendum to the Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan Atlantic Richfield Company Station #2111 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California ACEH Case #RO0000494

"I declare, that to the best of my knowledge at the present time, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document are true and correct."

Submitted by,

Shannon Couch Operations Project Manager

Attachment:



#### Prepared for

Ms. Shannon Couch Operations Project Manager Atlantic Richfield Company P.O. Box 1257 San Ramon, California 94583

### ADDENDUM TO THE REVISED SOIL & GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION WORK PLAN

Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California Prepared by



875 Cotting Lane, Suite G Vacaville, California 95688 (707) 455-7290 www.broadbentinc.com

June 19, 2013

Project No. 06-88-615



June 19, 2013

Project No. 06-88-615

Atlantic Richfield Company P.O. Box 1257 San Ramon, CA 94583 Submitted via ENFOS

Attn.: Ms. Shannon Couch

Re: Addendum to the Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California; ACEH Case No.RO0000494

Dear Ms. Couch:

Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (Broadbent) is pleased to submit this Addendum to the Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan for Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2111 located at 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California (Site). This document was prepared to evaluate current Site conditions and define the downgradient extent of hydrocarbons in groundwater. After the completion of the Conceptual Site Model, Broadbent is proposing to move forward with the scope of work described in the Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan (Broadbent, 2013). In addition, Broadbent proposes to advance three soil borings onsite and perform additional data evaluations to close Site data gaps.

Should you have questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us at (707) 455-7290.

Sincerely, BROADBENT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Alejandra Hernandez Project Geologist

Kristene Tidwell, P.G., C.HG. Senior Geologist

Enclosures



cc: Ms. Dilan Roe, Alameda County Environmental Health (Submitted via ACEH ftp site) Electronic copy uploaded to GeoTracker

### ADDENDUM TO THE REVISED SOIL & GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION WORK PLAN Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000494

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 SITE BACKGROUND	1
3.0 REVISED PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK	2
4.0 DATA OBJECTIVES	
5.0 LIMITATIONS	3
6 0 REFERENCES	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
	··········

#### DRAWINGS

1-Site Location Map
2-Site Map with Monitoring Well, Historical Boring, and Soil Vapor Sampling Locations
3-Site Map with Proposed Soil Boring Locations
4-Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Map
5-GRO Isoconcentration Contour Map
6-Benzene Isoconcentration Contour Map
7-MTBE Isoconcentration Contour Map

#### TABLES

- 1 Conceptual Site Model
- 2 Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses
- 3 Summary of Fuel Additives Analytical Data
- 4 Historic Groundwater Gradient Direction and Magnitude

#### APPENDICES

- A Recent Regulatory Correspondence
- B Summary of Previous Site Activities
- C Historical Site and Offsite Data
- D Soil Boring and Well Construction Logs
- E Geologic Cross-Sections
- F GRO, Benzene, and MTBE Concentration Trend Graphs

### ADDENDUM TO THE REVISED SOIL & GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION WORK PLAN Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000494

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of the Atlantic Richfield Company (ARC)- a BP affiliated company, Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (Broadbent) has prepared this Addendum to the Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan (Addendum) for the Atlantic Richfield Company (ARC) Station No. 2111 (Site), located at 1156 Davis Street, San Lendro, California (Site). The initial Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan (2009 Work Plan; Broadbent, 2009) was prepared in response to a July 9, 2009 directive letter from Mr. Paresh Khatri of Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH), provided within Appendix A. Since 2009, Site conditions, regulatory oversight, and the regulations have changed. For these reasons a document entitled Revised Soil and Groundwater Investigation Work Plan dated October 25, 2012 (2012 Work Plan) was submitted to the ACEH. This October 2012 Work Plan was rejected by the ACEH and a revised Work Plan was requested in an email from the ACEH dated January 14, 2013. An additional Revised Soil and Groundwater Investigation Work Plan dated February 28, 2013 (2013 Work Plan) was submitted to the ACEH in response to the January 14, 2013 ACEH email. A subsequent email from the ACEH was received on February 21, 2013. This email requested Conceptual Site Models (CSMs) with all Work Plan submittals, which had not been previously required. This document, Addendum to the Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan and Conceptual Site Model (Work Plan Addendum and CSM) is intended to satisfy all of the remaining ACEH requirements in order to proceed with field activities. Recent regulatory correspondence (2009 to present) is presented in Appendix A. Previous environmental activities performed at the Site are summarized in Appendix B.

The purpose of this document is to summarize and present current Site conditions in the form of a CSM and evaluate these conditions and any potential data gaps in order to move the Site towards closure based on the Low Threat UST Closure Policy. The CSM is included in Table 1 of this Work Plan Addendum and CSM. This CSM summarizes current Site conditions and identifies any and all data gaps. Investigation activities in addition to those described in the previous 2012 and 2013 Work Plans are proposed herein to close any and all Site data gaps. These additional activities include:

- Advancing one onsite boring
- Conduct a preferential pathway study.

These activities are being proposed in addition to the those described in the 2013 Work Plan. These additional activities are described in Section 3.0.

### 2.0 SITE BACKGROUND

Station No. 2111 is located at 1156 Davis Street in San Leandro, California. It is an active ARCO branded gasoline station. Current improvements at the Site include two gasoline underground storage tanks (USTs) believed to have been installed in 2000, two fuel dispenser islands with a total of four double-sided dispensers, and a convenience store building. The majority of the Site surface is paved with asphalt and concrete. The Site is bound by Preda Street to the east, Davis Street to the south, single-family residential dwellings to the north and the First Christian Church property immediately to the west. Other solvent sites, which include perchloroethylene (PCE) and trichloroethylene (TCE), are present in the area. A regional groundwater contamination map, provided by the SFRWQCB in the San Leandro Times on June 5, 1993, is provided in Appendix C. A Site Location Map is provided as Drawing 1.

A Site Plan depicting current well locations is provided as Drawing 2. Proposed soil boring locations are presented in Drawing 3. A Groundwater Elevation Contour Map depicting the most current groundwater data (February 7, 2013) is provided as Drawing 4. Isoconcentration contour maps for GRO, benzene, and MTBE are provided as Drawings 5 through 7, respectively. A summary of previous environmental activities performed at the Site is provided in Appendix B.

The regional geology and hydrogeology are provided in the CSM table (Table 1). Current and historic analytical groundwater monitoring data is presented in Tables 2 and 3. Historic groundwater gradient with direction and magnitude is presented as Table 4. Historical Site data, including historical drawings, soil and groundwater analytical data, and a regional groundwater contamination map for offsite and regional wells are provided in Appendix C. Copies of available soil boring and monitoring well construction logs are provided within Appendix D. Copies of geologic cross-sections for the Site are provided in Appendix E. GRO, benzene, and MTBE concentration trend graphs for wells MW-2, MW-5, MW-7, and MW-8 are provided in Appendix F.

## 3.0 REVISED PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK

This scope of work is being proposed in order to close any potential data gaps to move this Site towards closure based on the Low Threat UST Closure Policy. Broadbent proposes to implement the scope of work in a phased approach as described in the 2013 Revised Work Plan. As described in this 2013 Revised Work Plan, the first phase of this investigation consist of drilling and sampling six offsite borings to further characterize groundwater downgradient of the Site. In the 2013 Revised Work Plan, the proposed six soil borings were labeled SB-1 through SB-6; however, due to the presence of historic borings SB-1 and SB-2 and to avoid confusion, the proposed soil borings will be renamed SB-3 through SB-8. In addition, two onsite boring (SB-9 and SB-10) will be advanced during the first phase to evaluate the effectiveness of the DPE system in remediating the soil and groundwater adjacent to the USTs (SB-9), and to evaluate potentially suspect data collected from well MW-8 (SB-10; see Table 1 for discussion). Proposed onsite and offsite boring locations are presented in Drawing 3.

Additional efforts will be made to contact the owners of wells located within 1,000 feet from the Site to determine their existence and use. Contingent to results from the onsite borings from the first phase, the second phase of this investigation will consist of installing onsite and offsite soil vapor borings. Based on results from the first phase, a preferential pathway study will be performed to evaluate the migration, if any, from the Site plume into utility trenches. Preliminary activities, permitting, and notifications will be conducted as detailed in the 2013 Revised Work Plan. Detailed process, procedures, and activities of the proposed scope of work can be found in the 2013 Revised Work Plan.

### 4.0 DATA OBJECTIVES

As described above, the proposed soil boring investigation intends to close any potential data gaps to move this Site towards closure based on the Low Threat UST Closure Policy. The data objectives for each specific proposed soil boring are summarized in the following table. The locations of the proposed borings are included in Drawing 3.

Proposed CPT Boring	Location	Data Objective
SB-3	Offsite in alley between Site and downgradient apartment building; adjacent to former boring H-2 where historically, high concentrations of petroleum compounds were reported.	Evaluate current offsite petroleum concentrations in groundwater for both lateral and vertical definition; Assess the need for downgradient soil vapor sampling to evaluate risks to offsite residents
SB-4	Offsite in cul-de-sac on Douglas Ct	Define the downgradient extent of petroleum in groundwater associated with the Site; assess potential risks to downgradient receptors
SB-5	Offsite near the commercial building complex west of the Site	Evaluate current offsite petroleum concentrations in groundwater for lateral distribution
SB-6	Offsite in alley between Site and downgradient apartment building	Evaluate current offsite petroleum concentrations in groundwater for lateral distribution
SB-7	Offsite in alley between Site and downgradient apartment building	Evaluate potential current offsite risks
SB-8	Offsite in alley between Site and downgradient apartment building	Evaluate potential current offsite risks
SB-9	Onsite near area of former high concentration of petroleum compounds in soil prior to remediation	Evaluate current soil concentrations near source are; assess the effectiveness of soil remediation in source area
SB-10	Onsite, near well MW-8	Assess validity of suspect data collected from well MW-8

# Table A – Data Objectives for CPT Boring Locations

#### 5.0 LIMITATIONS

The findings presented in this document are based upon: observations of field personnel from previous consultants, the points investigated, and results of analytical tests performed by various laboratories.

Our services were performed in accordance with the generally accepted standard of practice at the time this document was written. No other warranty, expressed or implied was made. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of BP. It is possible that variations in soil or groundwater conditions could exist beyond points explored in this investigation. Also changes in site conditions could occur in the future due to variations in rainfall, temperature, regional water usage, or other factors.

### 6.0 REFERENCES

Broadbent & Associates, Inc., 28 February 2013. Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Atlantic Richfield Company Station #2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California.

SFRWQCB in the San Leandro Times, 5 June 1993. *California environmental Protection Agency* Department of Toxic Substance Control, Caterpillar Fact Sheet No. 1.















# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street

San Leandro, California

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Geology and Hydrogeology	Regional	According to the <i>East Bay Plain Groundwater Basin Beneficial Use Evaluation Report</i> (SFRWQCB, June 1999), the Site is located within the San Leandro Sub-Area, near the northern boundary of the San Lorenzo Sub-Area, in the East Bay Plain of the San Francisco Basin. These Sub-Areas share the same hydrogeologic characteristics, yet are separated by the junction of the surface trace between the San Leandro and San Lorenzo alluvial fans. These Sub-Areas consist primarily of alluvial fan sediments with the distinction of the Yerba Buena Mud extending west into the San Leandro and San Lorenzo Sub-Areas, unlike the northern Sub-Areas. The Yerba Buena Mud forms a major aquitard between the shallow and deep aquifers throughout much of southwestern area of the East Bay Plain. The San Leandro and San Lorenzo Sub-Areas alluvial fans are finer grained and produce less groundwater than the Niles Cone basin to the south. Geologic data derived from on-site borings indicate unconsolidated sediments consisting of silts and clays from two to 40 ft bgs. Poor and well graded sands, and sandy clay zones underlies and overlies these silty clays and silts. Soil boring and well construction logs are provided in Appendix D. Copies of geologic cross-sections for the Site are provided in Appendix E.	None	NA
	Site	Sediments encountered during previous Site investigations consists of silty clay and clayey silt near the surface to approximately 20 ft bgs. Varying thicknesses of beds and lenses of clay, clayey sand, sandy clay, sandy silts, gravel, and gravely sand are located below the silty clay and clayey silt layer to maximum depth explored (40.5 ft bgs). However, the lithology observed during the advancement of monitoring well MW-8 may not be representative of current Site conditions. This observation is based on inconsistencies in the logging and well construction data noted in the boring for	Yes	Advance boring next to well MW-8

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Geology and Hydrogeology (continued)	Site (continued)	well MW-8 (Appendix D). An additional boring will be advance near well MW-8 to confirm lithology and collect a grab-groundwater sample.		
(		Towards the eastern portion of the Site, and across Preda Street, the underlying layer from the surface to 25 ft bgs consists of beds and lenses of varying thicknesses of clay, clayey gravel, and sandy clay. The groundwater was first encountered in soil at an approximate depth ranging from 13.5 to 17.5 ft bgs. Lithologic cross-sections are included in Appendix E. The lithology represented in the cross-sections is consistent with the geologic environment of alluvial deposits and consistent with the regional geologic environment. Historical depth to groundwater in Site wells have ranged from 10.56 to 24.10 ft bgs. Average groundwater flow direction is to the west and is shown on the groundwater contour map (Drawing 3).		
Surface Water Bodies		Preliminary results of a Sensitive Receptor Survey performed by Closure Solutions, Inc. on October 2012 identified the nearest surface water body as the San Leandro Creek, located approximately 1,600 ft north and cross-gradient of the Site. The San Leandro Creek eventually empties into the Pacific Ocean at San Leandro Bay located approximately 2.6 mile northwest of the Site.	None	NA
Nearby Wells		Preliminary results of a Sensitive Receptor Survey performed by Closure Solutions, Inc. on October 2012 identified the presence of 10 wells within a half-mile radius. Two of the 10 wells are located within 1,000 ft from the Site. The closest well, located approximately 850 ft west-northwest and downgradient of the Site, appears to be a domestic well. The second well, located 950 ft southeast and upgradient of the Site, is of unknown use. An effort will be made to contact the residents of the address where wells are suspected to be located and determine the presence and/or purpose and	Potential	Contact well owners to verify use of domestic wells

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Nearby Wells (continued)		extent of its use. In addition, in an article of the Caterpillar Fact Sheet No.1, released by the SFRWQCB in the San Leandro Times on June 5, 1993, the residents were warned not to use their private wells because of PCE/TCE found in groundwater (Appendix C). Furthermore, it was noted that private wells are used for irrigation and gardening purposes; therefore, it is not believed there is a significant health risk associated with using groundwater for irrigation.		
Constituents of Concern	Light-Non Aqueous Phase Liquid (LNAPL)	Periodically between January 28, 1999 and October 24, 2006, measurable LNAPL had been observed in monitoring well MW-2. LNAPL was also detected in well MW-7 on February 9, 2000; however, LNAPL has not been observed prior to or after the February 9, 2000 detection. Thus, the single observation of LNAPL may be an isolated event. It is possible that the isolated LNAPL observation in well MW-7 may be related to a surface incident. Measurable LNAPL has not been observed in any groundwater monitoring well since October 24, 2006.	None	NA
	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	Historically, concentrations of GRO have been detected in monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-5 and MW-7 through MW-8. Concentrations of GRO in well MW-6 have not been detected, with the exception of one detection on July 23, 2010 at 210 µg/L. Since concentrations of GRO have not been observed prior to and after the July 23, 2010 sampling event in well MW-6, it is assumed that the single detection was an anomaly. A historical maximum concentration of GRO was reported in well MW-2 at 160,000 µg/L in January 28, 1999. Maximum detected concentration within the last four monitoring events was reported in well MW-7 at 480 µg/L, indicating a strong decreasing GRO trend over time. Based on recent and historical data, the GRO plume has been delineated, except to	Yes	Advance down- gradient borings

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street

# San Leandro, California

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Constituents of Concern (continued)	GRO (continued)	the south near the two dispenser islands and to the west (downgradient) of the Site. Historic and current groundwater gradient has generally been to the west and the Site GRO plume has decreased significantly over time and will continue to degrade; therefore, migration up-gradient (south and southeast) of the Site is not anticipated. However, offsite and downgradient (to the west) migration has not been fully delineated. Maximum concentration of GRO was observed in boring H-2 at a concentration of 260,000 $\mu$ g/L. Additional downgradient borings will be advanced west of the Site to further delineate the extent of the GRO plume. A GRO isoconcentration contour map for the most recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event (1Q13) is presented as Drawing 4. GRO concentration trend graphs for wells MW-2, MW-5, MW-7, and MW-8 are included in Appendix F. These graphs show a strong decreasing trend for GRO in all Site wells, indicating a shrinking plume.		
	Benzene	<ul> <li>Historically, concentrations of benzene have been detected in monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-4 and MW-7. Benzene concentrations have been detected sporadically and in low concentrations in wells MW-5 and MW-8, and have not been detected in well MW-6. Historical maximum concentration of benzene was reported in well MW-2 at 6,900 µg/L in June 25, 1999. Detected concentrations within the last four monitoring events have been reported at less than 10 µg/L, indicating a strong decreasing benzene trend over time.</li> <li>Based on recent and historical data, the benzene plume has been delineated, except for a minor concentration in well MW-7. During the recent downgradient investigation, benzene was not detected above the specific laboratory method detection limit; however, the method detection limit for benzene in boring H-2 was &lt;500 µg/L (Appendix C). Additional downgradient borings will be advanced west of</li> </ul>	Yes	Advance down- gradient borings

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Constituents of Concern (continued)	Benzene (continued)	the Site to further delineate the extent of the benzene plume. A benzene isoconcentration contour map for the most recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event (1Q13) is presented as Drawing 5. Benzene concentration trend graphs for wells MW-2, MW-5, MW-7, and MW-8 are included in Appendix F. These graphs show a strong decreasing trend for benzene in all Site wells, indicating a shrinking plume.		
	Methyl tert- butyl ether (MTBE)	Historically, concentrations of MTBE have been detected in monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-5 and MW-7 through MW-8. MTBE concentrations have been detected sporadically and in low concentrations in well MW-6. Historical maximum concentration of MTBE was reported in well MW-7 at 120,000 $\mu$ g/L in October 9, 2002. Maximum detected concentration within the last four monitoring events was reported in well MW-7 at 210 $\mu$ g/L, indicating a strong decreasing MTBE trend over time. In all monitoring wells, with the exception of monitoring well MW-7, current concentrations of MTBE did not exceed 5 $\mu$ g/L, indicating that MTBE in groundwater has almost completely degraded over time.	Yes	Advance down- gradient borings
		Based on recent and historical data, the MTBE plume has been delineated, except in well MW-7 and west (downgradient) of the Site. Maximum concentration of MTBE was observed in a grab-groundwater sample collected in March 2004 from boring H-2 at a concentration of 7,600 $\mu$ g/L. Additional downgradient borings will be advanced west of the Site to further delineate the extent of the MTBE plume. An MTBE isoconcentration contour map for the most recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event (1Q13) is presented as Drawing 6. MTBE concentration trend graphs for wells MW-2, MW-5, MW-7, and MW-8 are included in Appendix F. These graphs show a strong decreasing trend for MTBE in all Site wells, indicating a shrinking plume.		

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Potential Sources	Onsite	The exact release source and volume released at the Site is unknown; however, it is assumed that the source was the former UST and former waste oil tank complex located at the southeastern and northern portion of the Site, respectively. These assumptions are supported by historical data including proximity to historical free product and higher dissolved-phase petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations. Additional areas of documented soil contamination occurred beneath a hydraulic hoist, dispensers, and associated product pipelines, particularly the northwestern and southern end of the Site, respectively. An unknown amount of residual petroleum hydrocarbon contamination is presently within the soil matrix in these areas, and dissolved in groundwater beneath and downgradient of the Site. A fluctuating groundwater table has likely caused a contaminant smear zone where the residual hydrocarbon mass remains. However, the trends for the residual petroleum compounds in groundwater indicate that the concentrations in this smear zone have degraded over time and are impacting the groundwater beneath the Site to a far lesser degree than in the past, and will likely continue to degrade over time (Appendix F).	None	NA
		The removal and/or replacement of the hydraulic hoist, waste-oil tank, storage, and dispensing system was conducted to stop the potential release. The removal of the hydraulic hoist activities were documented in the <i>Letter Report of The Results of Soil Sampling Associated with Hydraulic Hoist Removal</i> , ARCO Service Station 2111 (GSI, 1993). The removal of the waste-oil tank activities were documented in the <i>Report for Waste-Oil Tank Removal Activities at ARCO Station 2111</i> . The UST removal activities were documented in the <i>Tank Basin, Product Line, and Dispenser Island Sampling Results, ARCO Station No. 2111</i> (Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc., 2001).		

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Potential Sources (continued)	Offsite	An offsite potential petroleum source has not been identified near the Site. However, several chlorinated solvent sites are present in the area. A Regional Groundwater Contamination map provided by the SFRWQCB is presented in Appendix C. One site was identified as a Former Caterpillar Manufacturing Site located approximately 1,800 ft northeast (upgradient) from the Site (San Leandro Times, 1993). The Former Caterpillar Site could be a potential source of chlorinated solvents and various other contaminants to the Site and offsite receptors.	None	NA
Nature and Extent of Environmental Impacts	Extent in Soil	Soil appears defined at the Site. Downgradient soil borings MW-1 and MW-5, installed by EMCON in 1995 and 1996, respectively, had no detections of petroleum hydrocarbons. Crossgradient soil borings MW-3 and MW-4, installed by EMCON in 1995, had no detections of petroleum hydrocarbons. Upgradient soil boring MW-6, performed by EMCON in 1996, had no detections of petroleum hydrocarbons. Based on historical data, the highest concentrations of GRO and benzene were detected at the southern portion of the Site, near the southern dispenser island and the northern end of the former UST complex. The highest concentrations were generally reported at approximately 15 ft bgs, which is consistent with the capillary fringe zone at the Site. A DPE system operated on Site between 2007 and 2009. Additional soil borings have not been advanced in the areas of historical high petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations to evaluate the effect of the remediation system. The highest GRO concentration (1,100 mg/kg) in soil was detected on the northern end of the former UST complex. Soil was defined laterally to non-detect for all petroleum compounds to the north (MW-3 and MW-4), northeast (MW-6), northwest (MW-8), and southwest (MW-5). Soil has not been defined laterally to the south and southeast. Lowest GRO concentrations to the south and south-east are 230 mg/kg and 76 mg/kg, respectively. In addition, the Site petroleum hydrocarbon plume has decreased	Possible	Additional soil borings to evaluate the effect of the DPE system.

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Nature and	Extent in Soil	significantly over time and will continue to degrade; therefore, migration upgradient		
Extent of	(continued)	(south and southeast) of the Site is not anticipated.		
Impacts		The source areas have been removed and these concentrations were representative		
(continued)		of overall soil concentrations at the time of sampling, it is likely that these		
()		concentrations have further attenuated over the last 15 years.		
	Extent in	The groundwater monitoring network at the Site includes source area wells (MW-2,	Yes	Advance
	Shallow	MW-7, and MW-8); an upgradient well (MW-6); crossgradient wells (MW-3 and		down-
	Groundwater	MW-4); and downgradient wells (MW-1 and MW-5). Isoconcentration maps for the		gradient
		most recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event (1Q13) for GRO, benzene,		borings
		and MTBE are included as Drawings 4 through 6, respectively. Concentrations of		
		petroleum hydrocarbon have decreased significantly in all monitoring wells since their		
		initial sampling (Tables 2 and 3, and Appendix F). In addition, monitoring wells MW-1		
		and MW-3 through MW-6 did not contain any petroleum hydrocarbon compounds		
		over the last four groundwater monitoring events, with the exception of minor detections (<0.9 $\mu$ g/l) of MTBE in MW-5. Based on these data, the extent of		
		petroleum compounds is well defined to the north (MW-3 and MW-4), northeast		
		(MW-6), northwest (MW-1 and MW-8), and southwest (MW-5), and is predominately		
		limited to onsite, with the exception of the southern and western extend of the plume		
		which has not been fully delineated. However, based on the observed decreasing		
		trends, the extent of petroleum compounds is small and the plume appears to be		
		shrinking and will continue to degrade (Appendix F). In addition, historic and current		
		groundwater gradient has predominately been to the west; therefore, migration		
		upgradient (south and southeast) of the Site is not anticipated. However, offsite and		
		downgradient (to the west) migration has not been fully delineated. Maximum		

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Nature and Extent of Environmental Impacts (continued)	Extent in Shallow Groundwater (continued)	concentrations of GRO and MTBE were observed in a grab-groundwater sample collected from boring H-2 at 260,000 μg/L and 7,600 μg/L, respectively. Additional downgradient borings will be advanced west of the Site to further delineate the extent of the petroleum hydrocarbon plume in that area. Free product was last observed at the Site in 2006 and dissolved petroleum concentrations are decreasing.		
	Extent in Deeper Groundwater	The extent of environmental impact in deeper groundwater was investigated offsite in downgradient borings (H-4 and H-5) performed in 2004 (URS, 2004). Five discrete grab-groundwater samples were collected from each boring at depths ranging between 27 and 40 ft bgs. Hydrocarbon concentrations were not detected at any depth, with the exception of one GRO detection of 53 $\mu$ g/L in H-5-40 and one total xylenes detection of 0.72 $\mu$ g/L in H-4-27. Based on the low to no concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in the deep zone borings, it appears that the migration from the Site to deeper zone aquifers has not occurred.	None	NA
	Extent in Soil Vapor	A soil vapor assessment has not been performed at the Site. However, four onsite vapor extraction wells (VW-1 through VW-4) were installed near the former UST complex and dispenser islands in 1996 (EMCON, 1996). The locations of the vapor extraction wells are indicated in Drawing 2. During a pilot test, performed in 2002 by Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc., soil vapor samples were collected from wells VW-2 and MW-7, located in the source area (Delta, 2002). The samples were analyzed for petroleum compounds including GRO, BTEX, and MTBE. Analytical results from the last day of the pilot test had maximum detections of GRO at 190 ppmv, benzene at 3.9 ppmv, toluene at 2.3 ppmv, ethylbenzene at 1.9 ppmv, total xylenes at 4.2 ppmv,	Possible	Advance borings to evaluate soil vapor

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Nature and Extent of Environmental Impacts (continued)	Extent in Soil Vapor (continued)	and MTBE at 128 ppmv. It is possible that higher petroleum impacts are present near the source areas; however, since sampling occurred in 2002, much of the soil in these areas have been treated by the operation of a DPE system. Additional soil borings may need to be advanced near the former UST complex to evaluate the extent, if any, of soil vapor and to evaluate the effect of the DPE system at the Site. This data gap will be addressed after the current proposed scope of work is carried out.		
Migration Pathways	Potential Conduits	A potential transmissive conduit study has not been performed on Site. Thus, there is a potential for sewer and/or storm drains to be located along Preda and Davis Street. However, sewer and storm drains generally tend to be shallow (above 10 ft bgs), and depth to groundwater at the Site is between 10.56 and 24.10 ft bgs. Therefore, migration through the utility trenches is unlikely to occur. Furthermore, current and historic groundwater gradient is predominately to the west, denoting that groundwater flow is moving away from Preda and Davis Street. In addition, groundwater monitoring data from well MW-6, located upgradient of the Site and across Preda Street, has not contained any hydrocarbon concentrations since its installation, with the exception of detections of GRO and TBA in July 2010 and MTBE in April 2002, indicating migration through the utility trenches have not likely occurred.	Possible	Perform a preferential pathway study
Potential Receptors	Onsite	No onsite water supply wells or surface water exists. The only potential onsite receptor would be onsite workers exposed to gasoline vapors. However, the exposure from current fueling operations represents a greater risk than any associated with potential groundwater or soil vapor exposure (State Water Resources Control Board, 2012).	None	NA

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Potential	Offsite	As discussed above, the nearest surface water body is the San Leandro Creek, located	Possible	Offsite
(continued)		approximately 1,600 it north and crossgradient of the site.		down-
(continued)		Results of a receptor survey noted above indicate two wells were identified within 1,000 ft from the Site. Efforts will be made to contact the residents of the address where wells are suspected to be located and determine the presence and/or purpose and extent of its use. Review of available satellite images (Google Maps or equivalent) was conducted to identify any sensitive land uses such as schools, day care facilities, hospitals, or elder care facilities within 500 ft of the Site. Two facilities were identified: Davis Street Children Center; and WellBound of San Leandro. Davis Street Children to and west of the Site.		gradient of the Site
		Additional offsite soil borings need to be performed to evaluate the extent of petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations near the children's center. WellBound of San Leandro, a Satellite Healthcare which provides personalized training and support for dialysis patients, is located at 1040 Davis Street, Suite 101, San Leandro, California approximately 450 ft east (up-gradient) of the Site. Monitoring well MW-6, located east of the Site, has not contained concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons since its installation, with the exception of minor and sporadic GRO, MTBE, and TBA concentrations. Which indicates plume migrations to the east (upgradient) has not occurred. In addition, the Site petroleum hydrocarbon plume has decreased significantly over time and will continue to degrade; therefore, migration up-gradient of the Site is not anticipated.		

#### CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

#### Notes:

ARCO = Atlantic Richfield Company bgs = below ground surface BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes CSM = Conceptual Site Model DPE = Dual-phase extraction ft = foot GRO = Gasoline Range Organics GSI = GeoStrategies, Inc. LNAPL = Light-Non Aqueous Phase Liquid mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl Ether No. = Number PCE = Perchloroethylene ppmv = parts per million by volume SFRWQCB = California Regional Water Quality Control Board – San Francisco Bay Region TBA = tert-butyl alcohol TCE = trichloroethylene UST = Underground Storage Tank  $\mu g/L = micrograms per liter$ 

All report references are included in Section 6 of the preceding report

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	Level Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-1															
6/26/2000		39.60	12.50	26.00	16.46	23.14									
7/20/2000			12.50	26.00	16.89	22.71	360	110	<0.5	<0.5	2.7	2,100			
9/19/2000			12.50	26.00	17.62	21.98	290	76	<0.5	<0.5	2.3	1,500			
12/21/2000			12.50	26.00	17.39	22.21	257	64	2.89	1.31	4.57	1,080/1,060			
3/13/2001			12.50	26.00	15.70	23.90	<500	52.5	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	1,430/1,370			
9/18/2001			12.50	26.00	18.24	21.36	<500	64	7.3	<5.0	52	810/1,100			
12/28/2001			12.50	26.00	15.95	23.65	<500	<5.0	<5.0	5	22	1,200/1,100			
3/14/2002			12.50	26.00	16.01	23.59	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	34/40			
4/23/2002			12.50	26.00	15.43	24.17	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	30			
7/17/2002	NP		12.50	26.00	17.50	22.10	<50	1.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	29	6.9	6.9	
10/9/2002			12.50	26.00	18.27	21.33	240	4.9	<1.0	4.1	7.0	290	6.5	6.5	С
1/13/2003			12.50	26.00	15.37	24.23	760	34	11	17	56	300	6.8	6.8	С
04/07/03			12.50	26.00	16.61	22.99	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	22	6.8	6.8	
7/9/2003			12.50	26.00	17.27	22.33	<2,500	<25	<25	<25	<25	690	6.7	6.7	
02/05/2004	NP	39.49	12.50	26.00	16.28	23.21	2,800	31	<25	<25	<25	1,100	0.9	6.5	m
04/05/2004	NP		12.50	26.00	16.25	23.24	5,800	46	<25	<25	<25	1,700	1.0		
07/13/2004	NP		12.50	26.00	17.57	21.92	<1,000	<10	<10	<10	<10	730	0.5	6.6	
11/04/2004	NP		12.50	26.00	17.78	21.71	560	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	380	0.8	6.5	
01/20/2005	NP		12.50	26.00	15.50	23.99	670	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	570	0.6	6.0	
04/11/2005	NP		12.50	26.00	14.82	24.67	<2,500	<25	<25	<25	25	1,100	0.9	6.9	
08/01/2005	NP		12.50	26.00	16.77	22.72	2,200	33	<10	110	<10	1,400	1.27	7.3	
10/21/2005	NP		12.50	26.00	17.71	21.78	<2,500	<25	<25	<25	<25	970	1.17	6.6	
01/18/2006	NP		12.50	26.00	14.70	24.79	300	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	330	1.07	6.6	n
04/14/2006	NP		12.50	26.00	13.41	26.08	330	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	310	0.79	6.6	
7/19/2006	NP		12.50	26.00	15.86	23.63	<250	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	180	1.2	6.7	q
10/24/2006	Р		12.50	26.00	17.15	22.34	710	4.2	<2.5	19	13	360		6.68	
1/15/2007	Р		12.50	26.00	16.81	22.68	470	2.8	<2.5	14	8.4	220	1.14	7.12	
4/18/2007	NP		12.50	26.00	16.69	22.80	100	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	150	1.20	6.85	
7/17/2007	NP		12.50	26.00	20.85	18.64	<50	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	94	1.91	6.98	
10/11/2007	NP		12.50	26.00	18.10	21.39	66	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	62	1.60	7.00	

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-1 Cont.															
1/8/2008	NP	39.49	12.50	26.00	15.97	23.52	140	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	90	1.19	5.60	n
4/8/2008	NP		12.50	26.00	16.53	22.96	88	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	110	1.73	6.89	
8/20/2008	NP		12.50	26.00	18.32	21.17	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.3	2.37	6.95	
11/17/2008	NP		12.50	26.00	18.38	21.11	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	21	0.94	6.96	
2/3/2009	NP		12.50	26.00	18.08	21.41	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	16	1.66	6.95	
5/12/2009	NP		12.50	26.00	17.05	22.44	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	9.3	0.88	6.88	
8/13/2009	NP		12.50	26.00	18.01	21.48	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	5.5	0.14	7.02	u
2/18/2010	NP		12.50	26.00	16.14	23.35	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.4	2.22	6.69	
7/23/2010	NP		12.50	26.00	17.11	22.38	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.3	0.77	6.7	
2/10/2011	NP		12.50	26.00	16.42	23.07	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.1	1.19	7.2	
8/30/2011	NP		12.50	26.00	17.13	22.36	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.1	0.98	6.9	
2/17/2012	Р		12.50	26.00	17.41	22.08	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.85	1.39	7.05	
8/30/2012	Р		12.50	26.00	17.92	21.57	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	0.74	1.71	7.04	
2/7/2013	Р		12.50	26.00	16.44	23.05	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	0.87	1.89	7.33	
MW-2															
6/26/2000		37.99	12.00	26.00	14.60	23.39									а
7/20/2000			12.00	26.00	15.14	22.85	95,000	2,300	18,000	2,500	19,000	13,000			
9/19/2000			12.00	26.00	15.95	22.04	63,000	1,200	6,300	2,000	14,000	19,000			
12/21/2000			12.00	26.00	15.60	22.39	5,010	360	189	213	626	54,300/89,200			b
12/21/2000			12.00	26.00	15.60	22.39	45,900		2,130	1,160	9,460	22,400/24,700			
3/13/2001			12.00	26.00	13.77	24.22	<20,000	525	466	408	1,460	91,700/76,000			b
3/13/2001			12.00	26.00	13.77	24.22	3,650	98.1	<5.0	<5.0	6.42	3,590/3,260			
9/18/2001			12.00	26.00	16.86	21.13									а
12/28/2001			12.00	26.00	14.28	23.71	31,000	1,500	3,800	1,300	4,800	9,300/8,800			
3/14/2002			12.00	26.00	14.15	23.84	1,800	25	43	43	270	990/960			
4/23/2002			12.00	26.00	13.60	24.39	9,000	220	110	470	2,500	8,500			
7/17/2002	NP		12.00	26.00	15.75	22.24	74,000	280	290	820	10,000	19,000/0.4	6.8	6.8	a, c
10/9/02	NP		12.00	26.00	16.69	21.30									g
1/13/03			12.00	26.00	13.59	24.40									g, h
04/07/03			12.00	26.00	14.70	23.29									g, h

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	.evel Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-2 Cont.															
07/09/03		37.99	12.00	26.00	15.48	22.51									g, h
02/05/2004	NP	37.86	12.00	26.00	14.43	23.43									g,m
04/05/2004	NP		12.00	26.00	14.35	23.51	2,300	33	<5.0	<5.0	200	750	0.6		
07/13/2004	NP		12.00	26.00	15.79	22.07	59,000	380	<50	2,100	7,900	5,800	0.3	6.4	
08/31/2004			12.00	26.00	15.89	21.97									
11/04/2004			12.00	26.00	15.92	21.94									g, h
01/20/2005	NP		12.00	26.00	13.71	24.15	30,000	450	<50	1,300	3,300	7,000	0.7	6.2	0
04/11/2005	NP		12.00	26.00	12.70	25.16	11,000	170	<50	580	630	2,700	0.9	6.8	
08/01/2005	NP		12.00	26.00	14.89	22.97	24,000	170	<50	1,100	2,700	2,700	0.64	6.9	
10/21/2005			12.00	26.00	16.05	21.81									а
01/18/2006	NP		12.00	26.00	12.81	25.05	21,000	71	<50	470	1,400	1,600	1.18	6.6	а
04/14/2006	NP		12.00	26.00	12.24	25.62	7,800	78	<50	94	130	2,100	0.81	6.7	а
7/19/2006	NP		12.00	26.00	14.00	23.86	4,900	31	<10	98	75	930	1.1	6.5	q
10/24/2006			12.00	26.00	15.38	22.48								6.45	g
1/15/2007	Р		12.00	26.00	15.00	22.86	5,000	51	<10	49	34	1,400	1.85	7.13	
4/18/2007	NP		12.00	26.00	14.82	23.04	3,000	39	<10	32	22	1,100	1.95	7.10	
7/17/2007	NP		12.00	26.00	18.00	19.86	1,100	53	<10	28	<10	1,300	4.84	7.09	n
10/11/2007	NP		12.00	26.00	16.38	21.48	1,800	17	<10	<10	11	1,000	1.52	7.05	
1/8/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	14.10	23.76	1,900	65	<10	37	28	1,300	1.06	4.22	n
4/8/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	14.70	23.16	200	34	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	690	3.24	6.95	
8/20/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	16.66	21.20	990	21	<10	<10	<10	190	1.54	6.91	
11/17/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	19.28	18.58	290	9.3	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	89	0.71	6.75	
2/3/2009	NP		12.00	26.00	16.45	21.41	86	3.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	31	2.71	6.96	
5/12/2009	NP		12.00	26.00	15.30	22.56	390	1.3	<0.50	<0.50	0.82	25	0.82	6.96	
8/13/2009	NP		12.00	26.00	16.88	20.98	330	<10	<10	<10	<10	39	0.81	7.12	u
2/18/2010	NP		12.00	26.00	14.20	23.66	950	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	1.18	6.94	
7/23/2010	NP		12.00	26.00	15.37	22.49	330	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	6.5	1.70	6.7	v (GRO)
2/10/2011	NP		12.00	26.00	14.53	23.33	960	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	12	0.58	6.8	v (GRO)
8/30/2011	NP		12.00	26.00	15.35	22.51	200	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.5	0.67	6.7	w (GRO)
2/17/2012	Р		12.00	26.00	15.63	22.23	190	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	2.9	0.80	7.00	w (GRO)

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	Level Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-2 Cont.															
8/30/2012	Р	37.86	12.00	26.00	16.18	21.68	67	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	2.4	1.23	6.92	
2/7/2013	Р		12.00	26.00	14.60	23.26	53	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	2.7	1.35	7.25	
MW-3															
6/26/2000		39.32	12.00	26.00	15.96	23.36									
7/20/2000			12.00	26.00	16.42	22.90	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	130			
9/19/2000			12.00	26.00	17.18	22.14	190	17	<0.5	1.4	2.4	160			
12/21/2000			12.00	26.00	16.97	22.35	187	17.8	<0.5	2.47	2.5	143/125			
3/13/2001			12.00	26.00	15.17	24.15	72.4	2.83	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	126/122			
9/18/2001			12.00	26.00	17.81	21.51	140	6.4	<0.5	3.5	1.6	110/75			
12/28/2001			12.00	26.00	15.44	23.88	130	5.9	<0.5	0.99	0.55	90/63			
3/14/2002			12.00	26.00	15.50	23.82	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	100/88			
4/23/2002			12.00	26.00	14.96	24.36	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	77			
7/17/2002	NP		12.00	26.00	17.09	22.23	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	47	7.2	7.2	
10/9/2002	NP		12.00	26.00	17.87	21.45	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	26/29	7.2	7.2	
1/13/2003	NP		12.00	26.00	14.78	24.54	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	59	6.8	6.8	I
04/07/03	NP		12.00	26.00	16.15	23.17	88	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	75	7.0	7.0	
7/9/2003			12.00	26.00	16.79	22.53	100	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	52	6.5	6.5	
02/05/2004	NP	39.19	12.00	26.00	15.66	23.53	240	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	37	0.5		m
04/05/2004	NP		12.00	26.00	15.78	23.41	140	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.60	53	1.0	6.6	
07/13/2004	NP		12.00	26.00	17.20	21.99	120	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	35	0.8	6.7	
11/04/2004	NP		12.00	26.00	17.32	21.87	160	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	25	0.8	6.5	
01/20/2005	NP		12.00	26.00	15.07	24.12	160	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	27	0.6	6.1	
04/11/2005	NP		12.00	26.00	14.24	24.95	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	21	0.6	6.1	
08/01/2005	NP		12.00	26.00	16.29	22.90	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	23	1.04	7.2	
10/21/2005	NP		12.00	26.00	17.41	21.78	88	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	19	1.9	6.6	
01/18/2006	NP		12.00	26.00	13.80	25.39	73	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	13	1.13	6.6	
04/14/2006	NP		12.00	26.00	12.55	26.64	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	6.7	0.71	6.6	
7/19/2006	NP		12.00	26.00	15.04	24.15	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	11	2.0	6.6	q
10/24/2006	Р		12.00	26.00	16.45	22.74	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	33		6.77	
1/15/2007	Р		12.00	26.00	16.00	23.19	<50	<0.50	<0.50	0.61	<0.50	29	1.11	7.03	

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level Concentrations in µg/L									
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-3 Cont.															
4/18/2007	NP	39.19	12.00	26.00	15.87	23.32	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	9.5	1.67	7.07	
7/17/2007	NP		12.00	26.00	19.40	19.79	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	19	4.25	7.27	
10/11/2007	NP		12.00	26.00	17.43	21.76	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	5.3	1.62	7.10	
1/8/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	15.16	24.03	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	8.9	2.02	6.94	
4/8/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	15.75	23.44	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.98	6.80	
8/20/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	17.65	21.54	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.85	7.62	
11/17/2008	NP		12.00	26.00	17.76	21.43	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.6	1.36	6.90	
2/3/2009	NP		12.00	26.00	17.36	21.83	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.1	2.55	7.04	
5/12/2009	NP		12.00	26.00	16.30	22.89	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.1	1.68	6.98	
8/13/2009	NP		12.00	26.00	18.75	20.44	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.7	0.15	7.03	
2/18/2010	NP		12.00	26.00	15.31	23.88	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.59	2.07	6.83	v (GRO)
7/23/2010	NP		12.00	26.00	16.34	22.85	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.85	1.23	7.4	
2/10/2011	NP		12.00	26.00	15.63	23.56	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.51	2.11	6.9	
8/30/2011	NP		12.00	26.00	16.45	22.74	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.83	6.9	
2/17/2012	Р		12.00	26.00	16.70	22.49	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.85	7.12	
8/30/2012	Р		12.00	26.00	17.15	22.04	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	0.56	1.69	7.11	
2/7/2013	Р		12.00	26.00	15.68	23.51	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	1.78	7.39	
MW-4															
8/30/202		NS	10.00	24.00	16.18										
6/26/2000		38.10	10.00	24.00	14.59	23.51									
7/20/2000			10.00	24.00	15.04	23.06	97	7.9	<0.5	<0.5	1.1	51			
9/19/2000			10.00	24.00	15.83	22.27	110	7	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	60			
12/21/2000			10.00	24.00	15.59	22.51	120	5.6	<0.5	1.72	<0.5	46.3/48.6			
3/13/2001			10.00	24.00	13.73	24.37	76	0.796	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	53.7/50			
9/18/2001			10.00	24.00	16.50	21.60	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	25/26			
12/28/2001			10.00	24.00	14.03	24.07	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	15/11			
3/14/2002			10.00	24.00	14.10	24.00	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	31/28			
4/23/2002			10.00	24.00	13.57	24.53	<50	2.8	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	42			
7/17/2002	NP		10.00	24.00	15.76	22.34	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	16	7.1	7.1	
10/9/2002	NP		10.00	24.00	16.59	21.51	<50	2.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	20/23	7.1	7.1	

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	Level Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-4 Cont.															
1/13/2003	NP	38.10	10.00	24.00	13.43	24.67	52	<0.50	1.6	<0.50	<0.50	22	6.6	6.6	d
04/07/03	NP		10.00	24.00	14.74	23.36	65	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	24	6.6	6.6	
7/9/2003			10.00	24.00	15.44	22.66	120	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	34	6.6	6.6	
02/05/2004	NP	37.99	10.00	24.00	14.39	23.60	120	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	22	0.5	6.6	m
04/05/2004	NP		10.00	24.00	14.37	23.62	110	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	27	1.1	6.5	
07/13/2004	NP		10.00	24.00	15.96	22.03	77	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	27	0.6	6.6	
11/04/2004	NP		10.00	24.00	16.02	21.97	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	19	1.2	6.7	
01/20/2005	NP		10.00	24.00	13.72	24.27	65	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	18	0.6	6.1	
04/11/2005	NP		10.00	24.00	12.80	25.19	51	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	14	0.7	6.2	
08/01/2005	NP		10.00	24.00	14.88	23.11	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	18	1.46	7.3	
10/21/2005	NP		10.00	24.00	15.01	22.98	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	15	1.24	7.6	
01/18/2006	NP		10.00	24.00	12.92	25.07	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	8.9	0.77	6.5	
04/14/2006	NP		10.00	24.00	11.41	26.58	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.2	0.84	6.6	
7/19/2006	NP		10.00	24.00	13.86	24.13	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.4	1.0	6.7	
10/24/2006	Р		10.00	24.00	15.35	22.64	<50	<0.50	<0.50	2.0	<0.50	3.5		6.90	
1/15/2007	Р		10.00	24.00	14.96	23.03	<50	<0.50	<0.50	0.96	<0.50	3.8		7.04	
4/18/2007	NP		10.00	24.00	14.80	23.19	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	5.6	5.33	6.93	
7/17/2007	NP		10.00	24.00	16.10	21.89	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	6.6	3.73	6.87	
10/11/2007	NP		10.00	24.00	16.45	21.54	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.81	2.68	7.07	
1/8/2008	NP		10.00	24.00	14.10	23.89	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.2	3.50	6.74	
4/8/2008	NP		10.00	24.00	14.68	23.31	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.7	2.54	6.80	
8/20/2008	NP		10.00	24.00	16.65	21.34	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.70	2.36	6.90	
11/17/2008	NP		10.00	24.00	16.73	21.26	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.73	1.07	6.83	
2/3/2009	NP		10.00	24.00	16.36	21.63	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.67	3.92	7.34	
5/12/2009	NP		10.00	24.00	15.26	22.73	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.62	0.81	6.98	
8/13/2009	NP		10.00	24.00	16.87	21.12	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.65	0.94	7.12	u
2/18/2010	NP		10.00	24.00	14.22	23.77	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.20	6.25	
7/23/2010	NP		10.00	24.00	15.36	22.63	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.52	0.68	7.0	
2/10/2011	NP		10.00	24.00	14.54	23.45	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.52	6.8	
8/30/2011	NP		10.00	24.00	15.38	22.61	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.03	7.0	

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level									
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-4 Cont.															
2/17/2012	Р	37.99	10.00	24.00	15.66	22.33	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.96	7.06	
8/30/2012	Р		10.00	24.00	16.18	21.81	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	1.54	7.02	
2/7/2013	Р		10.00	24.00	14.57	23.42	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	1.44	7.33	
MW-5															
6/26/2000		37.21	9.50	23.50	14.27	22.94									
7/20/2000			9.50	23.50	14.69	22.52	55	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	14,000			
9/19/2000			9.50	23.50	15.36	21.85	54	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	13,000			
12/21/2000			9.50	23.50	15.15	22.06	72.9	2.51	<0.5	<0.5	0.961	19,200/21,200			
3/13/2001			9.50	23.50	13.50	23.71	<500	<5	<5	<5	<5	15,900/20,000			
9/18/2001			9.50	23.50	15.94	21.27	<10,000	<100	<100	<100	<1,000	22,000/20,000			
12/28/2001			9.50	23.50	13.45	23.76	<10,000	<100	<100	<100	<100	10,000/10,000			
3/14/2002			9.50	23.50	13.82	23.39	<5,000	<50	<50	<50	<50	7,100/7,700			
4/23/2002			9.50	23.50	13.25	23.96	<5,000	<50	<50	<50	<50	8,900			
7/17/2002	NP		9.50	23.50	15.27	21.94	7,900	<50	<50	<50	<50	13,000	7.5	7.5	d
10/9/2002	NP		9.50	23.50	16.02	21.19	2,400	<20	<20	<20	<20	7,300/7,500	6.7	6.7	e
1/13/2003	NP		9.50	23.50	13.20	24.01	6,400	<50	<50	<50	<50	8,900	6.8	6.8	e, k, j
04/07/03	NP		9.50	23.50	14.42	22.79	<10,000	<100	<100	<100	<100	3,700	6.8	6.8	
7/9/2003			9.50	23.50	15.01	22.20	11,000	<50	<50	<50	<50	6,500	6.9	6.9	
02/05/2004	NP	37.12	9.50	23.50	14.10	23.02	8,100	<50	<50	<50	<50	7,900	1.5		m
04/05/2004	NP		9.50	23.50	14.14	22.98	4,000	<25	<25	<25	<25	2,000	1.0	6.6	
07/13/2004	NP		9.50	23.50	15.37	21.75	<5,000	<50	<50	<50	<50	4,000	0.8	6.7	
11/04/2004	NP		9.50	23.50	15.53	21.59	7,400	<50	<50	<50	<50	6,300	3.5	6.7	
01/20/2005	NP		9.50	23.50	13.51	23.61	6,500	<50	<50	<50	<50	6,900	0.7	6.5	n
04/11/2005	NP		9.50	23.50	12.75	24.37	<5,000	<50	<50	<50	<50	2,600	0.5	7.0	
08/01/2005	NP		9.50	23.50	14.59	22.53	110	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	130	1.36	7.5	
10/21/2005	NP		9.50	23.50	15.57	21.55	<250	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	86	1.53	6.8	
01/18/2006	NP		9.50	23.50	12.60	24.52	<250	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	100	1.2	6.7	
04/14/2006	NP		9.50	23.50	11.74	25.38	310	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	240	0.93	6.6	
7/19/2006	NP		9.50	23.50	13.78	23.34	<50	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	84	1.2	6.6	
10/24/2006	Р		9.50	23.50	14.95	22.17	61	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	17		6.69	

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr						
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-5 Cont.															
1/15/2007	Р	37.12	9.50	23.50	14.63	22.49	73	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	36	2.8	6.73	
4/18/2007	NP		9.50	23.50	14.50	22.62	93	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	16	1.66	6.84	n, EBZ present in method blank
7/17/2007	NP		9.50	23.50	15.55	21.57	53	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	6.6	5.02	7.02	n
10/11/2007	NP		9.50	23.50	15.83	21.29	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.8	2.92	7.23	
1/8/2008	NP		9.50	23.50	13.82	23.30	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	5.6	1.80	6.91	
4/8/2008	NP		9.50	23.50	14.38	22.74	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	8.0	1.14	6.76	
8/20/2008	NP		9.50	23.50	16.11	21.01	<50	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	3.6	1.65	6.86	
11/17/2008	NP		9.50	23.50	16.15	20.97	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.3	0.66	6.93	
2/3/2009	NP		9.50	23.50	15.83	21.29	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.38	6.77	
5/12/2009	NP		9.50	23.50	14.48	22.64	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.5	0.41	6.83	
8/13/2009	NP		9.50	23.50	16.30	20.82	<50	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.3	0.78	7.06	u
2/18/2010	NP		9.50	23.50	13.95	23.17	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.2	1.36	6.40	
7/23/2010	NP		9.50	23.50	14.98	22.14	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.75	7.2	
2/10/2011	NP		9.50	23.50	14.24	22.88	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.73	0.83	6.7	
8/30/2011	NP		9.50	23.50	14.99	22.13	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.9	1.64	8.2	
2/17/2012	Р		9.50	23.50	15.16	21.96	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.98	0.85	7.05	
8/30/2012	Р		9.50	23.50	15.69	21.43	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	1.5	1.60	7.10	
2/7/2013	Р		9.50	23.50	14.27	22.85	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	1.5	1.95	7.26	
MW-6															
6/26/2000		37.11	10.00	25.00	13.46	23.65									
7/20/2000			10.00	25.00	13.94	23.17	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	<3.0			
9/19/2000			10.00	25.00	14.41	22.70	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	<3.0			
12/21/2000			10.00	25.00	14.53	22.58	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5			
3/13/2001			10.00	25.00	12.67	24.44	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5			
9/18/2001			10.00	25.00	15.42	21.69	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5/<2.0			
12/28/2001			10.00	25.00	12.96	24.15	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	12/<0.5			
3/14/2002			10.00	25.00	12.98	24.13	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5			
4/23/2002			10.00	25.00	12.44	24.67	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3.1			
7/17/2002	NP		10.00	25.00	14.65	22.46	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<2.5	7.3	7.3	
10/9/2002	NP		10.00	25.00	15.51	21.60	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<2.5	7.1	7.1	

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	Level Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-6 Cont.															
1/13/2003	NP	37.11	10.00	25.00	12.27	24.84	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<2.5	6.8	6.8	
04/07/03	NP		10.00	25.00	13.61	23.50	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	6.6	6.6	
7/9/2003			10.00	25.00	14.34	22.77	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	7	7.0	
02/05/2004			10.00	25.00	13.38	23.73									m
04/05/2004			10.00	25.00	13.31	23.80									
07/13/2004	NP		10.00	25.00	14.65	22.46	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.7	6.8	
11/04/2004			10.00	25.00	14.95	22.16									
01/20/2005			10.00	25.00	12.57	24.54									
04/11/2005			10.00	25.00	12.05	25.06									
08/01/2005	NP		10.00	25.00	13.79	23.32	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.15	7.6	
10/21/2005			10.00	25.00	14.60	22.51									
01/18/2006			10.00	25.00	11.80	25.31									
04/14/2006			10.00	25.00	10.92	26.19									
7/19/2006	NP		10.00	25.00	12.92	24.19	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.3	6.9	
10/24/2006			10.00	25.00	14.23	22.88									
1/15/2007			10.00	25.00	13.80	23.31									
4/18/2007			10.00	25.00	13.67	23.44									
7/17/2007	NP		10.00	25.00	14.08	23.03	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.40	7.02	
10/11/2007			10.00	25.00	15.28	21.83									
1/8/2008			10.00	25.00	13.08	24.03									
4/8/2008			10.00	25.00	13.52	23.59									
8/20/2008	NP		10.00	25.00	15.59	21.52	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.66	6.83	
11/17/2008			10.00	25.00	15.61	21.50									
2/3/2009			10.00	25.00	15.23	21.88									
5/12/2009			10.00	25.00	14.09	23.02									
8/13/2009	NP		10.00	25.00	15.80	21.31	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.85	7.02	u
2/18/2010			10.00	25.00	12.96	24.15									
7/23/2010	NP		10.00	25.00	13.91	23.20	210	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.65	6.73	
2/10/2011			10.00	25.00	13.15	23.96									
8/30/2011	NP		10.00	25.00	13.10	24.01	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.60	7.2	
# Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	Level Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-6 Cont.															
2/17/2012		37.11	10.00	25.00	14.46	22.65									
8/30/2012	Р		10.00	25.00	14.22	22.89	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	1.54	6.97	
2/7/2013			10.00	25.00	13.44	23.67									
MW-7															
6/26/2000		38.68	12.00	27.00	14.34	24.34									
7/20/2000			12.00	27.00	15.26	23.42	14,000	5.4	<0.5	2.8	5.9	71,000			
9/19/2000			12.00	27.00	15.70	22.98	8,400	420	38	470	220	5,600			
12/21/2000			12.00	27.00	16.02	22.66									
3/13/2001			12.00	27.00	14.18	24.50	<2,000	154	63	46.3	127	75,000/160,00			
9/18/2001			12.00	27.00	17.02	21.66	<100,000	1,900	<1,000	<1,000	2,800	90,000/370,00			
12/28/2001			12.00	27.00	14.81	23.87	<20,000	<200	<200	<200	<200	84,000/72,000			
3/14/2002			12.00	27.00	14.60	24.08	<50,000	<500	<500	<500	<500	85,000/85,000			
4/23/2002			12.00	27.00	13.94	24.74	<20,000	530	200	220	800	67,000			
7/17/2002	NP		12.00	27.00	16.27	22.41	26,000	720	<250	<250	860	120,000	6.9	6.9	d
10/9/2002	NP		12.00	27.00	17.16	21.52	110,000	1,500	4,400	820	5,400	97,000/120,000	6.8	6.8	d
1/13/2003	NP		12.00	27.00	13.82	24.86	<50,000	<500	<500	<500	2,200	33,000	6.6	6.6	f
04/07/03	NP		12.00	27.00	14.52	24.16	<2,500	30	<25	<25	<25	710	7.0	7.0	
7/9/2003			12.00	27.00	15.97	22.71	66,000	<500	<500	<500	<500	36,000	6.7	6.7	
02/05/2004	NP	38.54	12.00	27.00	14.75	23.79	55,000	300	<250	<250	<250	34,000	1.0	6.7	m
04/05/2004	NP		12.00	27.00	14.63	23.91	62,000	520	<250	<250	380	37,000	1.0	6.7	
07/13/2004	NP		12.00	27.00	16.31	22.23	<100,000	<1,000	<1,000	<1,000	<1,000	56,000	0.7	6.7	
11/04/2004			12.00	27.00	16.46	22.08	70,000	<500	<500	<500	<500	71,000	2.0	6.6	
01/20/2005	NP		12.00	27.00	14.05	24.49	34,000	<250	<250	<250	<250	36,000	0.6	6.3	n
04/11/2005	NP		12.00	27.00	12.55	25.99	<2,500	46	<25	<25	<25	1,200	0.7	6.8	
08/01/2005	NP		12.00	27.00	15.11	23.43	<25,000	<250	<250	<250	<250	4,800	1.78	7.3	
10/21/2005	NP		12.00	27.00	15.65	22.89	14,000	350	<100	<100	110	12,000	1.41	6.6	р
01/18/2006	NP		12.00	27.00	12.60	25.94	16,000	310	<100	<100	110	13,000	0.87	6.7	
04/14/2006	NP		12.00	27.00	12.09	26.45	<10,000	<100	<100	<100	<100	4,700	0.88	6.9	
7/19/2006	NP		12.00	27.00	13.58	24.96	1,300	23	<10	18	26	1,600	1.1	6.8	q
10/24/2006	Р		12.00	27.00	15.13	23.41	6,800	100	<5.0	16	15	14,000		6.93	

# Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	evel Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-7 Cont.															
1/15/2007	Р	38.54	12.00	27.00	14.43	24.11	2,500	<100	<100	<100	<100	3,900	2.12	7.44	n
4/18/2007	NP		12.00	27.00	14.30	24.24	3,000	50	<50	<50	<50	2,700	4.47	7.22	n
7/17/2007	NP		12.00	27.00	23.75	14.79	560	<25	<25	<25	<25	890	4.23	7.41	n
10/11/2007	NP		12.00	27.00	16.18	22.36	210	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	370	2.99	7.33	t (GRO)
1/8/2008	NP		12.00	27.00	13.90	24.64	5,100	45	<25	<25	<25	6,100	2.50	7.23	n
4/8/2008	NP		12.00	27.00	14.22	24.32	270	0.50	<0.50	1.2	0.66	1,200	1.67	7.17	
8/20/2008	NP		12.00	27.00	16.57	21.97	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	39	2.12	7.04	
11/17/2008	NP		12.00	27.00	22.91	15.63	68	1.8	1.9	0.54	2.0	28	1.14	6.95	
2/3/2009	NP		12.00	27.00	17.86	20.68	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	18	2.58	6.97	
5/12/2009	NP		12.00	27.00	15.36	23.18	110	2.0	<0.50	<0.50	2.9	390	0.72	7.14	
8/13/2009	NP		12.00	27.00	24.10	14.44	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	21	0.84	7.11	u
2/18/2010	NP		12.00	27.00	14.21	24.33	190	<25	<25	<25	<25	1,300	1.52	7.06	v (GRO)
7/23/2010	NP		12.00	27.00	15.50	23.04	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1,000	0.57	6.89	v (GRO)
2/10/2011	Р		12.00	27.00	14.44	24.10	440	<25	<25	<25	<25	310	0.76	7.0	v (GRO)
8/30/2011	NP		12.00	27.00	15.10	23.44	480	<25	<25	<25	<25	180	0.80	6.9	w (GRO)
2/17/2012	Р		12.00	27.00	15.46	23.08	220	0.84	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	110	1.99	7.50	w (GRO)
8/30/2012	Р		12.00	27.00	15.94	22.60	230	<10	<10	<10	<20	210	1.15	7.15	
2/7/2013	Р		12.00	27.00	14.19	24.35	310	8.9	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	98	1.30	7.65	
MW-8															
02/05/2004	Р	38.91			15.61	23.30	3,600	<25	<25	<25	<25	1,900	6.9	6.8	m
04/05/2004	Р				15.64	23.27	1,900	<10	<10	<10	<10	1,200	3.2	6.7	
07/13/2004	Р				17.22	21.69	<1,000	<10	<10	<10	<10	760	1.6	6.7	
11/04/2004	Р				17.19	21.72	960	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	820	1.8	6.7	
01/20/2005	Р				15.25	23.66	<2,500	<25	<25	<25	<25	1,400	1.5	6.4	
04/11/2005	Р				14.17	24.74	700	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	610	1.1	7.1	
08/01/2005	Р				16.10	22.81	<1,000	<10	<10	<10	<10	900	2.58	7.7	
10/21/2005	Р				17.18	21.73	530	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	490	1.4	6.7	n
01/18/2006	Р				13.60	25.31	<500	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	500	2.28	6.6	
04/14/2006	Р				12.36	26.55	<500	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	300	1.97	6.6	
7/19/2006	Р				14.75	24.16	4,500	<25	<25	<25	<25	4,200	1.2	6.6	

# Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	Level Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-8 Cont.															
10/24/2006		38.91													S
1/15/2007	Р				15.67	23.24	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	67	1.35	6.68	
4/18/2007	Р				15.53	23.38	100	0.51	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	130	1.49	6.86	n
7/17/2007	NP				16.76	22.15	63	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	96	1.85	6.97	n
10/11/2007	Р				16.99	21.92	100	0.52	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	130	1.67	7.18	
1/8/2008	Р				14.83	24.08	51	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	49	1.30	6.88	n
4/8/2008	Р				15.38	23.53	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	32	1.60	6.77	
8/20/2008	Р				17.80	21.11	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	13	1.18	6.94	
11/17/2008	Р				17.47	21.44	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	14	3.74	6.63	
2/3/2009	Р				16.96	21.95	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	16	0.83	6.9	
5/12/2009	Р				15.93	22.98	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	30	0.31	6.90	
8/13/2009	Р				17.50	21.41	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	7.5	0.65	7.44	
2/18/2010	Р				14.93	23.98	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	12	0.64	6.62	
7/23/2010	Р				16.02	22.89	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	8.2	0.94	6.7	
2/10/2011	Р				15.28	23.63	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.5	1.08	6.8	
8/30/2011	Р				16.08	22.83	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.6	0.86	6.8	
2/17/2012	Р				16.34	22.57	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.8	0.83	7.10	
8/30/2012	Р				16.84	22.07	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	1.9	1.58	7.02	
2/7/2013	Р				15.31	23.60	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	3.6	1.56	7.36	

Symbols & Abbreviations: -- = Not analyzed/applicable/measured/available < = Not detected at or above specified laboratory reporting limit DO = Dissolved oxygen DTW = Depth to water in ft bgs ft bgs = feet below ground surface ft MSL = feet above mean sea level GRO = Gasoline range organics GWE = Groundwater elevation in ft MSL mg/L = Milligrams per liter MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether NP = Well not purged prior to sampling P = Well purged prior to sampling TOC = Top of casing elevation in ft MSL TPH-g = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline  $\mu g/L = Micrograms per liter$ 

#### Footnotes:

a = Product sheen noted

b = Well was sampled after batch extraction event

c = Chromatogram Pattern: Gasoline C6-C10 for GRO/TPH-g

d = Hydrocarbon pattern was present in the requested fuel quantitation range but did not resemble the pattern of the requested fuel for GRO/TPH-g

e = Discrete peak @C6-C7 for GRO/TPH-g

f = This sample was analyzed beyond the EPA recommended holding time for TPH-g, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX), and MTBE. The results may still be useful for their intended purpose

g = Well not sampled due to the detection of free product (FP)

h = GWE adjusted for FP: (thickness of FP x 0.8) + measured GWE

j = The closing calibration for benzene and total xylenes was outside acceptance limits by 1%. This should be considered in evaluating the result. The average % difference for all analytes met the 15% requirement and the QC suggested that calibration linearity was not a factor

k = The closing calibration was outside acceptance limits by 6%. This should be considered in evaluating the result. The average % difference for all analytes met the 15% requirement and the QC suggested that calibration linearity was not a factor

I = Toluene and MTBE were not confirmed using a secondary column in accordance to client contract

m = TOC elevations re-surveyed to NAVD '88 on February 23, 2004

n = Hydrocarbon result for GRO partly due to indiv. peak(s) in quantitative range

o = Light to moderate sheen

p = Result for MTBE partly due to individual peak(s) in quant. range

q = Gauged with tubing in well

r = Calib. verif. is within method limits but outside contract limits

s = Well inaccessible

t = Initial analysis within holding time but required dilution

u = Sample taken from VOA vial with air bubble > 6mm diameter

v = Quantitation of unknown hydrocarbon(s) in sample based on gasoline

w = Quantitated against gasoline

#### Notes:

Beginning with the second quarter 2003 sampling event (04/07/03), TPH-g, BTEX, and MTBE analyzed by EPA method 8260B. Prior to 04/07/03, TPH-g was analyzed by EPA method 8015 modified and MTBE was analyzed by EPA methods 8020/ 8260B

Beginning in the fourth quarter 2003, the laboratory modified the reported analyte list. TPH-g was changed to GRO. The resulting data may be impacted by the potential of non-TPH-g analytes within the requested fuel range resulting in a higher concentration being reported

Beginning in the second quarter 2004, the carbon range for GRO was changed from C6-C10 to C4-C12

Values for DO and pH were obtained through field measurements

GRO analysis was completed by EPA method 8260B (C4-C12) for samples collected from the time period April 2006 through February 4, 2008. The analysis for GRO was changed to EPA method 8015B (C6-C12) for samples collected from the time period February 5, 2008 through the present

The data within this table collected prior to April 2006 was provided to Broadbent & Associates, Inc. by Atlantic Richfield Company and their previous consultants. Broadbent & Associates, Inc. has not verified the accuracy of this information

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-1									
7/20/2000			2,100						
9/19/2000			1,500						
12/21/2000			1,080/1,060						
3/13/2001			1,430/1,370						
9/18/2001			810/1,100						
12/28/2001			1,200/1,100						
3/14/2002			34/40						
4/23/2002			30						
7/17/2002			29						
10/9/2002			290						
1/13/2003			300						
04/07/03	<100	<20	22	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50			
7/9/2003	<5,000	<1,000	690	<25	<25	<25			
02/05/2004	<5,000	<1,000	1,100	<25	<25	32	<25	<25	
04/05/2004	<5,000	<1,000	1,700	<25	<25	38	<25	<25	а
07/13/2004	<2,000	780	730	<10	<10	19	<10	<10	а
11/04/2004	<1,000	<200	380	<5.0	<5.0	12	<5.0	<5.0	
01/20/2005	<1,000	<200	570	<5.0	<5.0	17	<5.0	<5.0	а
04/11/2005	<5,000	<1,000	1,100	<25	<25	34	<25	<25	
08/01/2005	<2,000	<400	1,400	<10	<10	40	<10	<10	
10/21/2005	<5,000	<1,000	970	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
01/18/2006	<1,500	<100	330	<2.5	<2.5	9.7	<2.5	<2.5	
04/14/2006	<1,500	<100	310	<2.5	<2.5	9.3	<2.5	<2.5	
7/19/2006	<1,500	<100	180	<2.5	<2.5	3.2	<2.5	<2.5	
10/24/2006	<1,500	<100	360	<2.5	<2.5	10	<2.5	<2.5	
1/15/2007	<1,500	<100	220	<2.5	<2.5	6.8	<2.5	<2.5	
4/18/2007	<1,500	<100	150	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/17/2007	<600	<40	94	<1.0	<1.0	2.3	<1.0	<1.0	
10/11/2007	<300	<20	62	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
1/8/2008	<300	74	90	<0.50	<0.50	2.5	<0.50	<0.50	а
4/8/2008	<300	57	110	<0.50	<0.50	2.6	<0.50	<0.50	
8/20/2008	<300	<10	3.3	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	

Well ID and				Concentrati	ions in μg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-1 Cont.									
11/17/2008	<300	<10	21	<0.50	<0.50	0.52	<0.50	<0.50	
2/3/2009	<300	<10	16	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/12/2009	<300	<10	9.3	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<300	<10	5.5	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	b
2/18/2010	<300	<10	1.4	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/23/2010	<300	<10	1.3	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/10/2011	<300	<10	1.1	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2011	<300	<10	2.1	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/17/2012	<300	<10	0.85	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2012	<150	<10	0.74	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/7/2013	<150	<10	0.87	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-2									
7/20/2000			13,000						
9/19/2000			19,000						
12/21/2000			54,300/89,200						
12/21/2000			22,400/24,700						
3/13/2001			91,700/76,000						
3/13/2001			3,590/3,260						
12/28/2001			9,300/8,800						
3/14/2002			990/960						
4/23/2002			8,500						
7/17/2002			19,000/0.4						
04/05/2004	<1,000	<200	750	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
07/13/2004	<10,000	12,000	5,800	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	a
08/31/2004									a
01/20/2005	<10,000	<2,000	7,000	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	a
04/11/2005	<10,000	<2,000	2,700	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
08/01/2005	<10,000	<2,000	2,700	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
01/18/2006	<30,000	<2,000	1,600	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
04/14/2006	<30,000	<2,000	2,100	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
7/19/2006	<6,000	<400	930	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-2 Cont.									
1/15/2007	<6,000	1,900	1,400	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
4/18/2007	<6,000	1,200	1,100	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
7/17/2007	<6,000	1,000	1,300	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
10/11/2007	<6,000	1,300	1,000	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
1/8/2008	<6,000	2,600	1,300	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	а
4/8/2008	<300	970	690	<0.50	<0.50	3.3	<0.50	<0.50	
8/20/2008	<6,000	470	190	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
11/17/2008	<3,000	740	89	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
2/3/2009	<1,500	230	31	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
5/12/2009	<300	590	25	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<6,000	2,300	39	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	b
2/18/2010	<3,000	1,000	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
7/23/2010	<1,200	410	6.5	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	
2/10/2011	<2400	2800	12	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	
8/30/2011	<300	340	4.5	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/17/2012	<1,500	920	2.9	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
8/30/2012	<150	190	2.4	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/7/2013	<150	230	2.7	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-3									
7/20/2000			130						
9/19/2000			160						
12/21/2000			143/125						
3/13/2001			126/122						
9/18/2001			110/75						
12/28/2001			90/63						
3/14/2002			100/88						
4/23/2002			77						
7/17/2002			47						
10/9/2002			26/29						
1/13/2003			59						
04/07/03	<100	<20	75	<0.50	<0.50	6.5			

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-3 Cont.									
7/9/2003	<100	<20	52	<0.50	<0.50	4.2			
02/05/2004	<100	<20	37	<0.50	<0.50	3.1	<0.50	<0.50	
04/05/2004	<100	<20	53	<0.50	<0.50	3.7	<0.50	<0.50	а
07/13/2004	<100	44	35	<0.50	<0.50	3.2	<0.50	<0.50	
11/04/2004	<100	<20	25	<0.50	<0.50	2.2	<0.50	<0.50	
01/20/2005	<100	<20	27	<0.50	<0.50	2.6	<0.50	<0.50	
04/11/2005	<100	<20	21	<0.50	<0.50	2.0	<0.50	<0.50	
08/01/2005	<100	<20	23	<0.50	<0.50	1.9	<0.50	<0.50	
10/21/2005	<100	<20	19	<0.50	<0.50	2.0	<0.50	<0.50	
01/18/2006	<300	<20	13	<0.50	<0.50	1.3	<0.50	<0.50	
04/14/2006	<300	<20	6.7	<0.50	<0.50	0.61	<0.50	<0.50	
7/19/2006	<300	<20	11	<0.50	<0.50	0.72	<0.50	<0.50	r
10/24/2006	<300	<20	33	<0.50	<0.50	2.8	<0.50	<0.50	
1/15/2007	<300	<20	29	<0.50	<0.50	2.9	<0.50	<0.50	
4/18/2007	<300	<20	9.5	<0.50	<0.50	0.90	<0.50	<0.50	
7/17/2007	<300	<20	19	<0.50	<0.50	1.5	<0.50	<0.50	
10/11/2007	<300	<20	5.3	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
1/8/2008	<300	<20	8.9	<0.50	<0.50	0.84	<0.50	<0.50	а
4/8/2008	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/20/2008	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
11/17/2008	<300	<10	3.6	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/3/2009	<300	<10	2.1	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/12/2009	<300	<10	2.1	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<300	<10	2.7	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/18/2010	<300	<10	0.59	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/23/2010	<300	14	0.85	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/10/2011	<300	<10	0.51	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/17/2012	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2012	<150	<10	0.56	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/7/2013	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	

Well ID and	Concentrations in µg/L								
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-4									
7/20/2000			51						
9/19/2000			60						
12/21/2000			46.3/48.6						
3/13/2001			53.7/50						
9/18/2001			25/26						
12/28/2001			15/11						
3/14/2002			31/28						
4/23/2002			42						
7/17/2002			16						
10/9/2002			20/23						
1/13/2003			22						
04/07/03	<100	<20	24	<0.50	<0.50	7.3			
7/9/2003	<100	<20	34	<0.50	<0.50	9.8			
02/05/2004	<100	<20	22	<0.50	<0.50	6.2	<0.50	<0.50	
04/05/2004	<100	<20	27	<0.50	<0.50	7.2	<0.50	<0.50	а
07/13/2004	<100	26	27	<0.50	<0.50	7.4	<0.50	<0.50	а
11/04/2004	<100	<20	19	<0.50	<0.50	5.1	<0.50	<0.50	
01/20/2005	<100	<20	18	<0.50	<0.50	5.2	<0.50	<0.50	
04/11/2005	<100	<20	14	<0.50	<0.50	4.0	<0.50	<0.50	
08/01/2005	<100	<20	18	<0.50	<0.50	3.9	<0.50	<0.50	
10/21/2005	<100	<20	15	<0.50	<0.50	4.6	<0.50	<0.50	
01/18/2006	<300	<20	8.9	<0.50	<0.50	2.5	<0.50	<0.50	
04/14/2006	<300	<20	4.2	<0.50	<0.50	1.3	<0.50	<0.50	
7/19/2006	<300	<20	3.4	<0.50	<0.50	0.69	<0.50	<0.50	r
10/24/2006	<300	<20	3.5	<0.50	<0.50	0.91	<0.50	<0.50	
1/15/2007	<300	<20	3.8	<0.50	<0.50	0.98	<0.50	<0.50	
4/18/2007	<300	<20	5.6	<0.50	<0.50	1.1	<0.50	<0.50	
7/17/2007	<300	<20	6.6	<0.50	<0.50	1.7	<0.50	<0.50	
10/11/2007	<300	<20	0.81	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
1/8/2008	<300	<20	1.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	а
4/8/2008	<300	<10	1.7	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/20/2008	<300	<10	0.70	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in μg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-4 Cont.									
11/17/2008	<300	<10	0.73	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/3/2009	<300	<10	0.67	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/12/2009	<300	<10	0.62	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<300	<10	0.65	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	b
2/18/2010	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/23/2010	<300	<10	0.52	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/10/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/17/2012	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2012	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/7/2013	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-5									
7/20/2000			14,000						
9/19/2000			13,000						
12/21/2000			19,200/21,200						
3/13/2001			15,900/20,000						
9/18/2001			22,000/20,000						
12/28/2001			10,000/10,000						
3/14/2002			7,100/7,700						
4/23/2002			8,900						
7/17/2002			13,000						
10/9/2002			7,300/7,500						
1/13/2003			8,900						
04/07/03	<20,000	<4,000	3,700	<100	<100	<100			
7/9/2003	<10,000	<2,000	6,500	<50	<50	<50			
02/05/2004	<10,000	<2,000	7,900	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	а
04/05/2004	<5,000	<1,000	2,000	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	а
07/13/2004	<10,000	3,200	4,000	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	а
11/04/2004	<10,000	<2,000	6,300	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
01/20/2005	<10,000	<2,000	6,900	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	а
04/11/2005	<10,000	3,600	2,600	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-5 Cont.									
08/01/2005	<200	1,600	130	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
10/21/2005	<500	1,400	86	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
01/18/2006	<1,500	2,200	100	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
04/14/2006	<1,500	2,100	240	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/19/2006	<1,500	2,800	84	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	r
10/24/2006	<300	1,200	17	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	а
1/15/2007	<300	990	36	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
4/18/2007	<1,500	2,000	16	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/17/2007	<1,500	1,100	6.6	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
10/11/2007	<300	750	4.8	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
1/8/2008	<300	220	5.6	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	а
4/8/2008	<300	300	8.0	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/20/2008	<600	520	3.6	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
11/17/2008	<300	160	1.3	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/3/2009	<300	94	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/12/2009	<300	29	2.5	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<600	180	1.3	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	b
2/18/2010	<300	17	2.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/23/2010	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/10/2011	<300	<10	0.73	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2011	<300	<10	1.9	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/17/2012	<300	<10	0.98	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2012	<150	<10	1.5	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/7/2013	<150	57	1.5	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-6									
7/20/2000			<3.0						
9/19/2000			<3.0						
12/21/2000			<2.5						
3/13/2001			<2.5						
9/18/2001			<2.5/<2.0						
12/28/2001			12/<0.5						

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-6 Cont.									
3/14/2002			<2.5						
4/23/2002			3.1						
7/17/2002			<2.5						
10/9/2002			<2.5						
1/13/2003			<2.5						
04/07/03	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50			
7/9/2003	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50			
07/13/2004	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	а
08/01/2005	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/19/2006	<300	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	r
7/17/2007	<300	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/20/2008	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	b
7/23/2010	<300	15	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2012	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-7									
7/20/2000			71,000						
9/19/2000			5,600						
3/13/2001			75,000/160,00						
9/18/2001			90,000/370,00						
12/28/2001			34,000/72,000						
3/14/2002			35,000/85,000						
4/23/2002			67,000						
7/17/2002			120,000						
10/9/2002			7,000/120,000						
1/13/2003			33,000						
04/07/03	<5,000	<1,000	710	<25	<25	<25			
7/9/2003	<100,000	<20,000	36,000	<500	<500	<500			
02/05/2004	<50,000	<10,000	34,000	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	
04/05/2004	<50,000	<10,000	37,000	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-7 Cont.									
07/13/2004	<200,000	<40,000	56,000	<1,000	<1,000	1,300	<1,000	<1,000	
11/04/2004	<100,000	<20,000	71,000	<500	<500	<500	<500	<500	
01/20/2005	<50,000	<10,000	36,000	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	а
04/11/2005	<5,000	<1,000	1,200	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
08/01/2005	<50,000	<10,000	4,800	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	
10/21/2005	<20,000	24,000	12,000	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	
01/18/2006	<60,000	15,000	13,000	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	
04/14/2006	<60,000	<4,000	4,700	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	
7/19/2006	<6,000	720	1,600	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
10/24/2006	<3,000	10,000	14,000	<5.0	<5.0	31	<5.0	<5.0	a
1/15/2007	<60,000	9,300	3,900	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	
4/18/2007	<30,000	<2,000	2,700	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
7/17/2007	<15,000	<1,000	890	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
10/11/2007	<1,500	150	370	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
1/8/2008	<15,000	1,400	6,100	<25	<25	32	<25	<25	
4/8/2008	<300	700	1,200	<0.50	<0.50	5.1	<0.50	<0.50	
8/20/2008	<300	34	39	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
11/17/2008	<300	44	28	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/3/2009	<300	66	18	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/12/2009	<300	75	390	<0.50	<0.50	1.2	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<300	19	21	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	b
2/18/2010	<15,000	2,300	1,300	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
7/23/2010	<300	7,800	1,000	<0.50	<0.50	3.6	<0.50	<0.50	
2/10/2011	<15,000	9900	310	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
8/30/2011	<15,000	9,500	180	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
2/17/2012	<300	12,000	110	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2012	<3,000	14,000	210	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
2/7/2013	<150	7,700	98	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-8									
02/05/2004	<5,000	<1,000	1,900	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
04/05/2004	<2,000	<400	1,200	<10	<10	12	<10	<10	а

Well ID and	Concentrations in µg/L								
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-8 Cont.									
07/13/2004	<2.000	770	760	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	а
11/04/2004	<1.000	<200	820	<5.0	<5.0	9.6	<5.0	<5.0	
01/20/2005	<5.000	<1 000	1 400	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	a
04/11/2005	<1 000	<200	610	<5.0	<5.0	8.1	<5.0	<5.0	
08/01/2005	<2 000	<400	900	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
10/21/2005	<1 000	<200	490	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
01/18/2006	<3.000	<200	500	<5.0	<5.0	5.2	<5.0	<5.0	
04/14/2006	<3.000	<200	300	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
7/19/2006	<15,000	<1 000	4 200	<25	<25	45	<25	<25	
1/15/2007	<300	52	67	<0.50	<0.50	0.88	<0.50	<0.50	
4/18/2007	<300	120	130	<0.50	<0.50	1.9	<0.50	<0.50	
7/17/2007	<300	110	96	<0.50	<0.50	1.5	<0.50	<0.50	
10/11/2007	<200	250	120	<0.50	<0.50	1.2	<0.50	<0.50	
1/8/2008	<200	50	10	<0.50	<0.50	0.80	<0.50	<0.50	
4/8/2008	<200	110	4J 20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
4/0/2000	<300	62	12	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/20/2008	<200	24	15	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/2/2000	<200	17	14	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/3/2009	<300	17	10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/12/2009	<300	18	30	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/13/2009	<300	28	7.5	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/18/2010	<300	3/	12	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
//23/2010	<300	53	8.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/10/2011	<300	23	4.5	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2011	<300	<10	3.6	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/17/2012	<300	<10	1.8	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
8/30/2012	<150	<10	1.9	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/7/2013	<150	<10	3.6	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	

Symbols & Abbreviations: -- = Not analyzed/applicable/measured/available < = Not detected at or above specified laboratory reporting limit 1,2-DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane DIPE = Diisopropyl ether EDB = 1,2-Dibromoethane ETBE = Ethyl tert-butyl ether MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether TAME = tert-Amyl methyl ether TBA = tert-Butyl alcohol µg/L = Micrograms per Liter

Footnotes:

a = The continuing calibration verification for ethanol was outside of client contractual acceptance limits. However, it was within method acceptance limits. The data should still be considered useful for its intended purpose

b = Sample taken from VOA vial with air bubble > 6mm diameter

Notes:

All volatile organic compounds analyzed using EPA Method 8260B

The data within this table collected prior to April 2006 was provided to Broadbent & Associates, Inc. by Atlantic Richfield Company and their previous consultants. Broadbent & Associates, Inc. has not verified the accuracy of this information

Date Measured	Approximate Gradient Direction	Approximate Gradient Magnitude (ft/ft)
7/20/2000	West-Northwest	0.006
9/19/2000	West-Northwest	0.004
12/21/2000	West-Northwest	0.004
3/13/2001	West-Northwest	0.005
5/30/2001	West-Northwest	0.004
9/18/2001	West-Northwest	0.003
12/28/2001	West-Northwest	0.003
3/14/2002	West	0.004
4/23/2002	West	0.006
7/17/2002	West	0.003
10/9/2002	West	0.002
1/13/2003	Southwest	0.0043
4/7/2003	West-Northwest	0.009 to 0.011
7/9/2003	West-Northwest	0.004
10/1/2003	West	0.002
2/5/2004	West	0.004
4/5/2004	West-Southwest	0.004
7/13/2004	West-Southwest	0.003
11/4/2004	West	0.003
1/20/2005	West	0.009
4/11/2005	North to West	0.009 to 0.01
8/1/2005	West to Northwest	0.006 to 0.004
10/21/2005	West	0.008
1/18/2006	North and West	0.01
4/14/2006	South	0.008
7/19/2006	Northwest to Southwest	0.004 to 0.008
10/24/2006	West	0.003
1/15/2007	Southwest	0.004
4/18/2007	West	0.009
7/17/2007	Southeast	0.05
10/11/2007	West	0.01
1/8/2008	West	0.008
4/8/2008	West	0.006
8/20/2008	West	0.006
11/17/2008	South-Southeast	0.05
2/3/2009	South-Southeast	0.01
5/12/2009	North to West	0.004
8/13/2009	South	0.006
2/18/2010	West-Southwest	0.001
7/23/2010	West-Southwest	0.002
2/10/2011	West	0.002
8/30/2011	West	0.01
2/17/2012	North to West	0.008

# Table 4. Historical Groundwater Gradient - Direction and MagnitudeARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

# Table 4. Historical Groundwater Gradient - Direction and Magnitude

Date Measured	Approximate Gradient Direction	Approximate Gradient Magnitude (ft/ft)
8/30/2012	West	0.005
2/7/2013	West	0.004

ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA

Notes:

The data within this table collected prior to April 2006 was provided to Broadbent & Associates, Inc. by Atlantic Richfield Company and their previous consultants. Broadbent & Associates, Inc. has not verified the accuracy of this information

# APPENDIX A

Recent Regulatory Correspondence

BP	Fuel Leak	Work Plan Title	Prepared	Date	ACEH Response
Station	Case Number		Ву	Received	
Station 402	RO0000307	Revised Workplan for Monitoring Well Installation and Vapor Intrusion Assessment	Tom Venus, BAI	11/8/2012	Teleconference call and email correspondence with Tom Venus on 1/2/2013 to discuss ACEH comments on work plan including proposed locations of groundwater monitoring wells (plume delineation due to variable groundwater flow directions, proposed long screen intervals (purpose of monitoring – gravel aquifer, clay layers, water table fluctuations, etc.), collection of soil samples in the vadose zone only, and attempts to locate monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3.
Station 2107	RO0002526	Work Plan for Groundwater Investigation	Kristine Tidwell, BAI	11/08/2012	Teleconference call on 1/11/2013 to discuss ACEH's concerns with proposed off-site investigation without an updated SCM that discusses vertical gradients observed in nested monitoring wells.
Station 2111	RO0000494	Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan	Kristine Tidwell, BAI	11/08/2012	Teleconference call with Kristine Tidwell on 1/11/2013 and email correspondence on 1/14/2013 to discuss ACEH comments on work plan including soil boring locations, soil and groundwater sample collection and analysis methods, well survey evaluation, evaluation of monitoring well MW-8 and validation of data, confirmation sampling, and vapor intrusion to indoor air in adjacent off-site buildings.
Station 2162	RO0000190	Revised Work Plan for Off-Site Groundwater Investigation	Tom Venus, BAI	1/3/2013	ACEH review complete – work plan not supported by a SCM and data gaps not addressed.
Station 374	RO0000078	Soil Vapor Investigation WP	Kristine Tidwell, BAI	11/21/2012	Teleconference call on 1/28/2013 to discuss ACEH's comments on work plan including adequacy of proposed soil vapor investigation in light of shallow groundwater conditions, migration in utility corridors, and potential vapor intrusion in adjacent buildings.

# Alejandra Hernandez

From:	Kristene Tidwell
Sent:	Tuesday, June 18, 2013 4:36 PM
То:	Alejandra Hernandez
Subject:	FW: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000307 (BP Station 402), Fuel Leak Case No. RO0002526 (BP Station 2107), Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000494 (BP Station 2111), Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000190 (BP Station 2162), Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000078 (BP Station 374)
Attachments:	BP Workplans.docx

From: Roe, Dilan, Env. Health [mailto:Dilan.Roe@acgov.org]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 5:32 PM
To: Couch, Shannon L. (URS) (Shannon.Couch@bp.com); Skance, John
Cc: Kristene Tidwell; Tom Venus; Matt Herrick
Subject: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000307 (BP Station 402), Fuel Leak Case No. RO0002526 (BP Station 2107), Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000494 (BP Station 2111), Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000190 (BP Station 2162), Fuel Leak Case No. RO000078 (BP Station 374)

Shannon and John:

Alameda County Environmental Health has reviewed the five work plans recently submitted by Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (BAI) for the subject sites (see attached file for details). ACEH has evaluated the data and recommendations presented in the work plans in conjunction with information contained in the case files and the State Water Resources Control Board's Low Threat Closure Policy (LTCP) criteria.

Due to the extensive nature of our comments on the work plans, I scheduled several lengthy teleconference calls with BAI staff last month on January 2, January 11, and January 28 to discuss the inadequacy of the proposed scopes of work. My concerns include but are not limited to the lack of site conceptual models for the site that justify the proposed scope of work, the failure to address data gaps that are critical to advancing your sites towards closure under the LTCP, a lack of understanding of the LTCP criteria, and the lack of inclusion of standard elements in the work plans that address goals and objectives, data quality objectives, and standard operating procedures/sampling and analysis plan.

Local Oversight Agencies are under mandate by the SWRCB to conduct evaluations of all sites using the LTCP, identify data gaps and impediments to closure, and work with responsible parties to develop a Path to Closure Plan by December 31, 2013. The Path to Closure must have milestone dates by calendar quarter which will achieve site cleanup and case closure in a timely and efficient manner that minimizes the cost of corrective action.

Therefore, I would like to schedule a meeting with you both as well as Matt Herrick, Kristine Tidwell, and Tom Venus to discuss our comments and a more efficient path forward. I would like to schedule a four hour meeting as my comments are extensive and the goal of this meeting is for everyone to come away with a thorough understanding of each of the sites and a plan to <u>efficiently</u> move them towards closure.

Please propose some times in the near future when your team could be available to meet, with the exception of next week.

Thank you,

Dilan Roe, P.E.

Hazardous Materials Specialist Alameda County Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, CA 94502

# **Kristene Tidwell**

From: Sent: To: Subject: Kristene Tidwell Monday, February 18, 2013 3:59 PM dilan.roe@acgov.org FW: Fuel Leak Case RO0000494, ARCO Station #2111

From: Roe, Dilan, Env. Health [mailto:Dilan.Roe@acgov.org]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 7:39 PM
To: Kristene Tidwell
Cc: Couch, Shannon L. (URS) (Shannon.Couch@bp.com); Matt Herrick
Subject: Fuel Leak Case RO0000494, ARCO Station #2111

Hi Kristine:

Thank you for the recently submitted document prepared by Broadbent and Associates, Inc. (Broadbent) on behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO) entitled, *"Revised Soil & Groundwater Investigation Work Plan"* (Revised Work Plan), dated November 6, 2012, for the ARCO Station No. 2111, Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000494. Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the proposed Work Plan in conjunction with our review of the case under the State Water Resources Control Board's Low Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy (LTCP).

The Work Plan is intended to supersede the initial *Soil and Groundwater Investigation Work Plan*, dated August 31, 2009, prepared by Broadbent on behalf of ARCO in response to a September 24, 2009 directive letter from ACEH. ACEH concurs with Broadbent's assertion that "since 2009, site conditions, regulatory oversight, and the regulations have changed" and therefore a Revised Work Plan was necessary to address these changes". The operation of the dual-phase extraction system from 2007 until September 2009 appears to have effectively reduced petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant mass in soil and groundwater as indicated by decreasing trends in contaminants detected in groundwater samples collected from on-site monitoring wells.

Based on ACEH's review of the Work Plan and the case files, and our discussions during the meetings held on October 9, 2012 and January 11, 2013 with representatives from ACEH, Broadbent, and ARCO, we request that you resubmit the work plan to address the data gaps and technical comments listed below in order to move the site towards case closure under the LTCP.

• Soil Boring Locations - Broadbent states that the initial Soil and Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, dated August 31, 2009, and prepared by Broadbent on behalf of ARCO, was prepared in response to a July 9, 2009 directive letter from ACEH. Broadbent further states that the August 31, 2009 work plan, which included installation of three off-site groundwater monitoring wells, was approved by ACEH but never implemented due to off-site access issues on neighboring property. However, a review of the case file indicates that ACEH requested an addendum to the work plan in a directive letter dated September 24, 2009 to justify proposed monitoring well construction (15 foot screen intervals) and groundwater sample representativeness.

In the Revised Work Plan, Broadbent proposes to install two soil borings rather than the three groundwater monitoring wells originally proposed in the August 31, 2009 Work Plan to determine the downgradient extent of hydrocarbons in groundwater. Boring SB-1 is proposed to be located in the general vicinity of the previously proposed monitoring well MW-11, approximately 20 feet south of former boring H-2 on the First Christian Church and Community property. Boring SB-2 is proposed to be located on Douglas Court in a residential area west of the Site, and corresponds to the location of the originally proposed monitoring well MW-10.

A review of historic groundwater elevation maps indicates the direction of groundwater flow at the site has ranged from southwest to northwest. However, no boring is proposed in the Revised Work Plan in the vicinity of the originally proposed monitoring well MW-9 (i.e., within the Liberty Fitness parking lot southwest of the site) due to unsuccessful attempts by ACEH, Broadbent and ARCO to obtain offsite access from the property owners at 1290 Davis Street. ACEH recommends ARCO and Broadbent make a final attempt to gain access to the property for advancement of a third boring in the location previously proposed in the August 31, 2009 work plan. ACEH will assist in this matter by writing a second letter to the Jaheh's requesting access to their property for the purpose of conducting a subsurface investigation.

Additionally, ACEH recommends advancing an additional boring in the vicinity of boring H-4/H-5 to define the extent of the groundwater plume and potential impacts on the residences located downgradient in the west-northwest direction.

- Well Survey –According to a well survey conducted in 1996 (based on the County of Alameda Public Works Agency database) 43 irrigations wells, 6 industrial supply wells, and 4 domestic supply wells are within ½ mile radius of the site. Wells identified downgradient of the site included several active irrigation and industrial wells and one domestic supply well. The downgradient domestic supply well (#2S/3W 27R-7) was reported to be located approximately 650 feet west-southwest of the site. ACEH understands a new well survey has been conducted by Closure Solutions, Inc. on behalf of ARCO. Due to off-site access issues, ACEH recommends Broadbent evaluate the results of the new well survey and identify the location of additional borings into the Work Plan if appropriate and/or develop a well sampling plan to rule out the possibility that downgradient wells have been impacted by the site.
- Soil Sample Collection Broadbent proposes to advance the soil borings using direct-push technology to a
  proposed total approximate depth of 25 feet below ground surface (bgs). Soil samples will be collected from
  borings at three-foot intervals, beginning at a depth of 6.5 feet bgs following borehole clearance with an air
  knife or hand auger methods until total depth. The soil samples from above the first encountered groundwater
  (capillary fringe) within each boring will be submitted to the laboratory for chemical analysis. ACEH understands
  that the depth to groundwater has historically ranged from approximately to 12 feet bgs to 24 feet bgs.
  Therefore, please prepare a scope of work to submit soil samples collected within the entire extent of the smear
  zone to the laboratory for chemical analysis.
- Groundwater Sample Collection Broadbent proposes to collect one grab-groundwater sample from each boring for submittal to the laboratory for chemical analysis using a hydropunch-type sampler. Although ACEH agrees that this type of groundwater sample allows a specific interval of groundwater to be isolated, ACEH is concerned that due to the low permeability of the soil and difficulties collecting depth discrete groundwater samples during the 2004 field investigation, the proposed use of direct push technology may not provide sufficient delineation of the subsurface conditions and groundwater plume and adequately define permeable layers extending in the vicinity and down-gradient beyond boring H-2 which contained high petroleum concentrations in grab groundwater at the time it was collected in 2004. Please prepare a scope of work using cone penetration technology (CPT) to adequately delineate the vertical distribution of soil and groundwater impacts and identify locations for collection of depth discrete groundwater samples.
- Soil Sample Analysis Broadbent proposes to analyze soil samples collected from borings SB-1 and SB-2 for gasoline range organics (hydrocarbon chain lengths of C6 12) by EPA Method 8015B, and benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylenes (BTEX), methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE), t-butyl alcohol (TBA), tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME), ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE), di-isopropyl ether (DIPE), ethylene dibromide (EDB), 1,2,- DCA, and ethanol by EPA Method 8260. Please include ethylene dichloride (EDC) in the list of analytes for soil samples.
- Groundwater Sample Analysis ACEH also notes that Broadbent does not propose specific analytes for groundwater samples. Please revise the Work Plan to include appropriate analytes for groundwater. Additionally, please collect and analyze groundwater samples from the proposed soil borings SB-1 and SB-2 and

existing groundwater monitoring wells for volatile organic compounds, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and napthalene by EPA Method 8260 in order to close the data gap on potential impacts from the waste oil tank removed in 2004 due to laboratory reporting limits being greater than the environmental screening limits for these analytes.

- Existing Monitoring Well MW-8 A review of the construction log and historic groundwater elevation data for monitoring well MW-8 indicates the well may not be screened appropriately to adequately characterize groundwater conditions. Please present an analysis of this data and make conclusions regarding the validity of data collected from this well and recommendations for corrective action if appropriate.
- Confirmation Sampling As indicted above, ACEH concurs that operation of the dual-phase extraction system from 2007 until September 2009 appears to have effectively reduced petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant mass in soil and groundwater as indicated by decreasing trends in contaminants detected in groundwater samples collected from on-site monitoring wells. ACEH recommends collection of confirmation sampling in the source areas to verify that the site satisfies the LTCP media specific criteria for Direct Contact and Outdoor Air Exposure in the upper ten feet of soil. Please note, in lieu of this data, the LTCP allows closure under the LTCP if the maximum concentration of petroleum constituents in soil are less than levels that a site specific risk assessment demonstrates will have no significant risk of adversely affecting human health or the regulatory agency determines that the concentrations of petroleum constituents in soil will have no significant risk of adversely affecting human health as a result of controlling exposure through the use of institutional controls (i.e., land use restrictions, etc).
- Field Investigation Standard Operating Procedures The Work Plan does not provide a description of the proposed methods for collection of soil and groundwater samples. Please include Broadbent's standard operating procedures in an appendix to the Work Plan.
- Site Figures As requested in ACEH's Directive Letter dated July 9, 2009, please prepare site maps which utilize aerial photographs as base maps for the site, and accurately depict neighboring structures and site features in relation to the groundwater contaminant plume in all future reports.
- Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Although the site is an active commercial petroleum fueling facility, it does not qualify for an exemption from the LTCP Media Specific Criteria for Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air due historic offsite migration of the petroleum hydrocarbon groundwater plume and potentially impacted adjacent residential and commercial parcels. Evidence of historic off-site migration can be found in free product observations in MW-2, soil and groundwater analytical data collected from the off-site monitoring well MW-5 and the onsite perimeter monitoring well network, and depth-discrete and grab groundwater samples collected from offsite borings H-1 through H-5. Please prepare a work plan to collect and analyze the data required to evaluate vapor intrusion to indoor air impacts on buildings located on parcels potentially impacted by the site using one of the three petroleum vapor intrusion to indoor air specific criteria in the LTCP criteria (i.e., survey of building foundations, characterization of bioattenuation zone, direct measurement of soil gas concentrations, or a site specific risk assessment).

ACEH looks forward to working with Broadbent and ARCO in identifying and implementing the steps necessary to move the site to closure under the LTCP as expeditiously as possible. Please submit a schedule with proposed dates to ACEH by January 25, 2013 for resubmittal and implementation of the Revised Soil and Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, as well as the other phases of work discussed above as deemed necessary to satisfy the LTCP General and Media Specific Criteria (i.e., Groundwater, Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air, Direct Contact and Outdoor Air Exposure).

Regards,

Dilan Roe, P.E. Hazardous Materials Specialist ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES AGENCY DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director



ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

July 9, 2009

(Paul Supple (Sent via E-mail to: paul.supple@bp.com)
Atlantic Richfield Company
(A BP Affiliated Company)
P.O. Box 1257
San Ramon, CA 94583

Subject: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000494 and GeoTracker Global ID T0600101764, ARCO #2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, CA 94577

Dear Mr. Supple:

Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the case file for the abovereferenced site including the recently submitted document entitled, "Response To Request For Site Conceptual Model and Soil & Ground-Water Investigation Work Plan," dated June 23, 2009, which was prepared by Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (BAI) for the subject site. In our April 24, 2009 correspondence, ACEH noted that elevated concentrations of hydrocarbons were detected in a "grab" groundwater sample collected in March 2004 from boring H-2, in which a permeable sand unit was identified between 15 to 20 feet bgs. A permeable unit was also identified in MW-7 from approximately 20 feet bgs to its total installed depth of 35 feet bgs. BAI states that "[f]rom review of the available lithologic logs and resultant cross sections, we do not believe the permeable unit (identified as Clayey Sand at MW-7) extends to the H-2 location. Furthermore, the URS cross section C-C' (Figure 4 of the 6 May 2004 report) does not connect the 29-foot deep, two foot thick well-graded Sand (SW) at SB-2 with the much shallower 16-foot deep, four foot thick well-graded sand (SW) found at the boring H-2 location." BAI further states that "[t]o verify or refute this lack of continuity depicted by URS might require additional drilling of multiple borings in the area north of the First Christian Church Community Center building. To extend this level of investigation does not appear to be justified as one may, or may not discover a reliable conclusion of a preferential pathway between the MW-7, SB-2 and H-2 locations."

ACEH's requests that you address the following technical comments work and send us the technical reports requested below

# TECHNICAL COMMENTS

<u>Regional Geologic and Hydrogeologic Setting</u> – As mentioned above, in our April 24, 2009 correspondence, ACEH stated that elevated concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons were detected in a "grab" groundwater sample collected in March 2004 from boring H-2, in which a permeable sand unit was identified between 15 to 20 feet bgs. ACEH does not dispute BAI's technical rationale for why they believe the permeable layer identified at MW-7 located on-site does not extend to boring H-2 located off-site. However, ACEH's primary concern is that contaminants may be migrating further off-site through this permeable zone.

BAI did not provide any rationale for why significantly elevated concentrations of TPH-g and MTBE detected at 260,000 µg/L and 7,600 µg/L), respectively, in a "grab" groundwater sample collected from boring H-2 located offsite, if the permeable layer encountered in boring H-2 is not connected in some way to the permeable layer identified at MW-7, located near the source area. Please note that during that same timeframe, groundwater samples collected from monitoring well MW-5 detected TPH-g and MTBE at concentrations of 8,000 µg/L and 2,000 µg/L, respectively, and the highest concentrations of TPH-g and MTBE on-site were detected in well MW-7 at concentrations of 62,000 µg/L and 37,000 µg/L, respectively. Based on the analytical data, the extent of the groundwater contaminant plume appears undefined and a permanent monitoring point in the vicinity of boring H-2 appears warranted in addition to proposed groundwater monitoring wells MW-9 and MW-10. Please propose a scope of work to address the above-mentioned concerns and submit a work plan due by the date specified below. The need for additional boring locations to evaluate the potential for groundwater contaminant migration along preferential pathways (i.e. contaminant flow through permeable zones on and off-site) may be required based on current groundwater contaminant data collected in the immediate vicinity of boring H-2.

2. <u>Extended Site Figures</u> - Please note that the figures included in submittals provided to date are insufficient to adequately depict the extent of your contaminant plume in relation to adjacent and neighboring properties. Please prepare extended site maps, which utilize aerial photographs as base maps for your site, and accurately depict neighboring structures and site features in relation to the groundwater contaminant plume in all future reports.

# **NOTIFICATION OF FIELDWORK ACTIVITIES**

Please schedule and complete the fieldwork activities by the date specified below and provide ACEH with at least three (3) business days notification prior to conducting the fieldwork, including routine groundwater sampling.

# TECHNICAL REPORT REQUEST

Please submit technical reports to ACEH (Attention: Paresh Khatri), according to the following schedule:

- July 30, 2009 Remediation Summary Report (2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2009)
- August 31, 2009 Soil and Water Investigation Work Plan
- October 30, 2009 Semi-annual Monitoring & Remediation Summary Report (3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter 2009)
- January 30, 2010 Remediation Summary Report (4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 2009)
- April 30, 2010 Semi-annual Monitoring & Remediation Summary Report (1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2010)

Mr. Supple RO0000494 July 9, 2009, Page 3

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654, and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

# ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS

ACEH's Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of reports in electronic form. The electronic copy replaces paper copies and is expected to be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities. Instructions for submission of electronic documents to the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Program FTP site are provided on the attached "Electronic Report Upload Instructions." Submission of reports to the Alameda County FTP site is an addition to existing requirements for electronic submittal of information to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) GeoTracker website. In September 2004, the SWRCB adopted regulations that require electronic submittal of information for all groundwater cleanup programs. For several years, responsible parties for cleanup of leaks from underground storage tanks (USTs) have been required to submit groundwater analytical data, surveyed locations of monitoring wells, and other data to the GeoTracker database over the Internet. Beginning July 1, 2005, these same reporting requirements were added to Spills, Leaks, Investigations, and Cleanup (SLIC) sites. Beginning July 1, 2005, electronic submittal of a complete copy of all reports for all sites is required in GeoTracker (in PDF format). Please visit the SWRCB website for more information on these requirements (http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/ust/electronic submittal/report rgmts.shtml.

# PERJURY STATEMENT

All work plans, technical reports, or technical documents submitted to ACEH must be accompanied by a cover letter from the responsible party that states, at a minimum, the following: "I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge." This letter must be signed by an officer or legally authorized representative of your company. Please include a cover letter satisfying these requirements with all future reports and technical documents submitted for this fuel leak case.

# PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION & CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The California Business and Professions Code (Sections 6735, 6835, and 7835.1) requires that work plans and technical or implementation reports containing geologic or engineering evaluations and/or judgments be performed under the direction of an appropriately registered or certified professional. For your submittal to be considered a valid technical report, you are to present site specific data, data interpretations, and recommendations prepared by an appropriately licensed professional and include the professional registration stamp, signature, and statement of professional certification. Please ensure all that all technical reports submitted for this fuel leak case meet this requirement.

Mr. Supple RO0000494 July 9, 2009, Page 4

# UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLEANUP FUND

Please note that delays in investigation, later reports, or enforcement actions may result in your becoming ineligible to receive grant money from the state's Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Senate Bill 2004) to reimburse you for the cost of cleanup.

# AGENCY OVERSIGHT

If it appears as though significant delays are occurring or reports are not submitted as requested, we will consider referring your case to the Regional Board or other appropriate agency, including the County District Attorney, for possible enforcement actions. California Health and Safety Code, Section 25299.76 authorizes enforcement including administrative action or monetary penalties of up to \$10,000 per day for each day of violation.

If you have any questions, please call me at (510) 777-2478 or send me an electronic mail message at paresh.khatri@acgov.org.

Sincerely,

Paresh C. Khatri Hazardous Materials Specialist

Enclosure: ACEH Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions

cc: Tom Venus, Broadbent & Associates, 1324 Mangrove Avenue, Suite 212, Chico, CA 95926 (Sent via E-mail to: <u>tvenus@broadbentinc.com</u>)
Donna Drogos, ACEH (Sent via E-mail to: <u>donna.drogos@acgov.org</u>)
Paresh Khatri, ACEH (Sent via E-mail to: <u>paresh.khatri@acgov.org</u>)
GeoTracker
File

# APPENDIX B

Summary of Previous Site Activities

# **Previous Environmental Activities at Site**

On August 30, 1993 GeoStrategies, Inc. (GSI) observed the removal of a hydraulic hoist and underlying material. GSI collected four soil samples from the excavation pit S-7-HL (7.0 feet below ground surface, ft bgs), S-7½-HL (7.5 ft bgs), S-8-HL (8 ft bgs), and S-9-HL (9 ft bgs). The concentrations of total extractable petroleum hydrocarbons (TEPH) as hydraulic oil ranged from 9,200 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) to 27,000 mg/kg in samples S-9-HL and S-7-HL, respectively (GSI, 10/4/1993). Historical analytical results are tabulated within Appendix C.

On March 4, 1994 GSI observed the advancement of two soil borings (B-1 and B-2) to find the extent of the hydraulic oil contamination. Both borings were advanced to a depth of approximately 20.0 ft bgs in the vicinity of the former hydraulic hoist. During the investigation eight soil samples were collected with concentrations ranging from non-detect (less than or equal to 1.0 mg/kg) to 11 parts per million (ppm) in samples B1-4.5 and B2-20 respectively. GSI concluded that the hydraulic oil had not significantly impacted the surrounding area. However, GSI also concluded that unidentified hydrocarbons had impacted the capillary fringe beneath the northwestern corner of the service station building (GSI, 4/13/1994).

On August 15, 1994 GSI observed the removal of a 280 gallon waste-oil tank and over excavation of the surrounding area. Seven soil samples were collected during the excavation, four of which (soil samples WO-N, WO-1, WO-B and WO-B2) contained petroleum hydrocarbon at maximum concentrations of: 310 ppm total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-g); 780 mg/kg total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPH-d); 2,000 ppm total petroleum hydrocarbons as motor oil range (TPH-mo); 7,900 mg/kg total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons (TRPH) (GSI, 9/27/1994). On September 12, 1994, GSI observed the installation of a 600 gallon waste-oil tank in the same area as the former waste-oil tank.

On July 12 and 13, 1995, EMCON observed the installation of onsite monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-4. The total depths for the monitoring well borings ranged between 27.5 ft bgs and 40 ft bgs. Soil samples collected from borings for wells MW-1, MW-3, and MW-4 did not contain any petroleum hydrocarbon contamination. However, soil samples collected from the boring for well MW-2 contained maximum concentrations of TPH-g at 320 mg/kg, benzene at 0.26 mg/kg, ethylbenzene at 3.4 mg/kg, and Total Xylenes at 1.5 mg/kg (EMCON, 11/8/1995). Historical boring locations are depicted in Drawing 2. Tabulated historic soil and groundwater analytical results are provided within Appendix C.

Between February 28 and March 1, 1996, EMCON observed the installation of offsite monitoring wells MW-5 and MW-6, onsite monitoring well MW-7, and onsite vapor extraction wells VW-1 through VW-4. Soil samples collected from offsite wells MW-5 and MW-6 did not contain petroleum hydrocarbons. Soil samples from onsite well MW-7 adjacent to the corner of the underground storage tanks (UST) pit contained up to 55 mg/kg of TPH-g, up to 0.11 mg/kg of benzene, up to 0.80 mg/kg of ethylbenzene, and up to 1.5 mg/kg of total xylenes. Soil samples from each of vapor extraction wells VW-1 through VW-4 contained petroleum hydrocarbons, with the most significant concentrations being in VW-2 and VW-4: up to 1,100 mg/kg of TPH-g (VW-4), up to 0.30 mg/kg of benzene (VW-2), up to 0.50 mg/kg of ethylbenzene (VW-1), and up to 3 mg/kg of total xylenes (VW-4) (EMCON, 9/19/1996).

In October 2000, Petcon Technologies, Inc. removed the three 12,000-gallon former USTs, product lines and dispensers from the Site. Approximately 930 cubic yards (yd<sup>3</sup>) of soil was excavated from under the former gasoline USTs (to a depth of 17 ft bgs), product lines and dispenser islands. A representative of

Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc. (Delta) collected soil samples from former USTs, product lines and dispenser islands. In the area of the former gasoline USTs, soil samples T1-S, T1-N, T2-S, T2-N, T2-M, T3-S, and T3-N contained maximum concentrations of TPH-g at 4,400 mg/kg (T2-N), methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) at 89 mg/kg, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) at 7.7 mg/kg, 190 mg/kg, 58 mg/kg, and 300 mg/kg, respectively. Soil samples collected under the product lines contained at 430 mg/kg of TPH-g (PL-1), MTBE at 4.7 mg/kg, and BTEX at 0.16 mg/kg, 0.02 mg/kg, 2.1 mg/kg, and 3.6 mg/kg, respectively. Soil samples collected under the dispenser islands contained 2,100 mg/kg of TPH-g, 13 mg/kg of MTBE, and BTEX at 2.0 mg/kg, 20 mg/kg, 30 mg/kg, and 170 mg/kg, respectively. The highest product line (PL-1) and dispenser island soil confirmation sample concentrations (DP-1) were from the southeast dispenser pump area. This area was over-excavated up to 10 ft bgs, with confirmation samples still containing 19 mg/kg of TPH-g, 7.7 mg/kg of MTBE, and BTEX at 0.4 mg/kg, 0.81 mg/kg, 0.42 mg/kg, and 2.6 mg/kg, respectively. The excavations were reportedly backfilled with clean pea gravel (Delta, 2/2/2001).

On May 5, 2001, Delta conducted soil sampling during the removal and upgrade of a sump within the service station building. A Delta representative collected one soil core sample at two feet (ft) below the bottom of the sump following its removal. Laboratory analysis of the soil sample reported 305 mg/kg of TPH-g, 465 mg/kg of TPH-d, and 543 mg/kg of TRPH. No concentrations of benzene, toluene, or MTBE were detected above the laboratory reporting limits. Minor to trace concentrations of ethylbenzene, total xylenes, sec-butylbenzene, p-isopropyltoluene, naphthalene, 2-methylnaphthalene, n-propylbenzene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (Delta, 8/9/2001).

In January 2002, Delta conducted a three-day dual-phase soil vapor and groundwater extraction (DPE) pilot test from the vapor extraction well VW-2, and then limited DPE pilot tests from monitoring wells MW-2 and MW-7. Water levels typically decreased several feet in the extraction wells and exhibited varied responses in the observation wells. Estimated average vapor-phase removal rates were 11.6 pounds of TPH-g per day from well VW-2 and 7.32 pounds of TPH-g per day from well MW-7. Grab groundwater samples collected showed a decreasing trend in petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations from well VW-2 during the short-term pilot test. Concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil vapor before and after the pilot tests remained approximately the same order of magnitude. A total of 14,900 gallons of water was extracted during the DPE pilot test. Delta concluded that limited DPE was possible at the Site. Even though in the short term they admitted that DPE was limited in its ability to quickly lower groundwater levels to expose impacted soils for soil vapor extraction (SVE), they hypothesized that given enough time of system operation it was reasonable to expect that the groundwater levels could be adequately lowered. Furthermore, Delta noted that even though significant hydrocarbon vapor recovery rates might not be reasonably expected from DPE due to the fine-grained soils, the overall effect of reducing the groundwater levels in itself might allow the soils to be exposed to atmospheric oxygen from SVE, which in turn might enhance the natural attenuation of the impacted soils and groundwater. The test also indicated that just those wells completed in finer-grained materials onsite would be effective in a DPE system, whereas monitoring well MW-2 would not serve as a practical DPE well due to its excessive groundwater production rates (Delta, 7/16/2002).

On November 26, 2003, URS observed the installation of onsite monitoring well MW-8. Eight soil samples were collected from the borehole advanced prior to the installation of well MW-8 with a maximum concentration of 150 mg/kg of TPH-g at 16.5 ft bgs. On March 20 and 21, 2004, URS observed the drilling of six off-site borings (H-1 through H-5 and SB-1) and one on-site boring (SB-2) using direct-push technology. Five of the seven borings (H-1 through H-5) had sufficient groundwater for grab samples. Grab groundwater samples were collected from H-1, H-2, and H-3 while multiple

depth-discrete groundwater samples were collected from borings H-4 and H-5. Borings SB-1 and SB-2 were advanced for lithologic logging purposes and were not sampled. Groundwater samples H-1, H-2, and H-5 at 40 ft bgs contained gasoline range organics (GRO) at 820 micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L), 260,000  $\mu$ g/L, and 53  $\mu$ g/L, respectively. Grab groundwater sample H-2 also contained ethylbenzene at 5,800  $\mu$ g/L, total xylenes at 11,000  $\mu$ g/L, and MTBE at 7,600  $\mu$ g/L. Depth-discrete groundwater sample H-4 at 27 ft bgs also contained 0.72  $\mu$ g/L of total xylenes. Benzene, toluene, ethanol, tert-butyl alcohol (TBA), di-isopropyl alcohol (DIPE), ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE), tert-amyl methyl ether (TAME), 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCA), and 1,2-dibromomethane (EDB) were not detected above the various laboratory reporting limits (URS, 5/6/2004).

During the First Quarter of 2007, a DPE system was started up at the Site that extracted soil vapor and groundwater from wells V-1, V-2, V-3, MW-1, MW-2 (groundwater extraction only), MW-3, and MW-7. The DP system operated until September 2009, when it was shut down due to asymptotic mass removal rates (Broadbent, 2009). In July 2012 the DPE system, which had been sitting idle since 2009, was removed. All equipment was removed and properly disposed of by Belshire Environmental.

# References

- Broadbent & Associates, Inc., 30 October 2009. *Third Quarter 2009 Ground-Water Monitoring and Remediation System Status Report, Atlantic Richfield Company Station #2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California; ACEH Case #RO0000494*
- Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc., 2 February 2001. *Tank Basin, Product Line and Dispenser Island Sampling Results, ARCO Station No.2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California.*
- Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc., 9 August 2001. Sump Sampling Results, ARCO Service Station No.2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California.
- Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc., 16 July 2002. *Results of a Dual Phase Extraction Pilot Test, ARCO Service Station No.2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California*.
- EMCON, 8 November 1995. Site Characterization, ARCO Service Station 2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California.
- EMCON, 19 September 1996. Soil and Groundwater Assessment Report, ARCO Service Station 2111, San Leandro, California.
- GeoStrategies, Inc., 4 October 1993. Letter Report of The Results of Soil Sampling Associated with Hydraulic Hoist Removal at ARCO Station 2111, 1156 Davis Street in San Leandro, California.
- GeoStrategies, Inc., 13 April 1994. Report of Initial Subsurface Investigation, ARCO Station 2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California.
- GeoStrategies, Inc., 27 September 1994. *Report for Waste-Oil Tank Removal Activities at ARCO Station* 2111, 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California.
- URS Consultants, Inc., 6 May 2004. Additional Subsurface Investigation Report, ARCO Service Station #2111, 1156 Davis Street, Hayward [sic], California.

# APPENDIX C

Historical Site and Offsite Data



15(12) STREET FRIDARES (CONTRACTOR)

~ 1300 tet any San Kenndre Cinuca Saturday, June 5, 1993 9

California Environmental Protection Agency Department of Toxic Substances Control



#### **CATERPILLAR FACT SHEET NO.1**

Caterpillar has been conducting soil and groundwater testing in and around the former Caterpil-lar facility near Davis and Alvarado streets in San Leandro, California. This testing has been conducted as part of an overall study of the area (known as a *Remedial Investigation*) and indicates that shallow groundwater is affected with wolatile organic com-and OVCA. The the is descended as that area pounds (VOCs). The site is designated as that area encompassed by the limits of VOCs that have been encompassed by the limit of VCCs where the former Caterpillar facility. As directed by the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), the lead regulatory agency overseeing work at the site, Caterpillar has developed an Interum Remedial Measures Plan (IRM, Plan) and hasperformed a Public Health Evaluation (PHE) for the 6th up read for the study area.

This fact sheet provides:

- Site description and history;
- Summary of soil and groundwater investigations;
- Summary of interim remedial actions;
- Health and environmental issues;
- Puture site activities; and
- Public involvement information.

Throughout this fact sheet, words or phrases in stalicized type are defined in the Glossary.

#### SITE DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY

The Site was developed in the 1920s by Caterpillar and manufacturing operations in California ceased in 1980. Former Site uses include the administrative headquarters and manufacturing of parts for earth moving equipment.

Several structures have been constructed at the location of the former Caterpillar facility, including a three-story office building and a two-story office com-plex at the comer of Davis and Alvarado streets. Other portions of the former Caterpillar site may be developed in the future.

#### SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATIONS

Concurrent with the sale of the Caterpillar concurrent with the sale of the Caterplane property to local developers, soil and groundwater investigations were conducted on the property, and groundwater monitoring wells were constructed. Analyses of water samples from the monitoring wells indicated that concentrations of several VOC sexceeded the Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) for drinking water sources established by the State of California. In the summer of 1988, soil *remediation* was

conducted at the Site. Approximately 16,000 cubic yards of soil containing motor oil, diesel fuel, and VOCs was excavated, remediated, and hauled to a permitted landfill.

Caterpillar subsequently prepared a series of studies, including a Phase 1 Hydrogeologic and GroundwaterQualityInvestigation, and Phase IIParts Groundwater Quality Investigation, and Phase III Parts A, B, C and D reports. These studies examined site stratigraphy, hydrogeology and concentrations of VOCs in the groundwater at the Site and surrounding area using a variety of subsurface exploratory techniques. These studies also evaluated the subsurface character-istics that may affect applications of groundwater extraction in controlling the migration of groundwater containing VOCs. Caterpillar has also prepared and implemented a groundwater monitoring plan. The reports discussed in this Fact Sheet are available for public review in the information repository located in the Sai Leandro Public Library. in the Sari Leandro Public Library.

#### INTERIM REMEDIAL MEASURES

Caterpillar evaluated different methods of remediation in a report entitled "Remedial Alternatives Evaluation." Subsequently, an IRM Plan was prepared for the initial phase of groundwater remediation. This plan describes the installation of three groundwater extraction and treatment systems. System one will extract and treat the groundwater with the highest

#### Former Caterpillar Manufacturing Site



concentration of VOCs within the plume, while sys tents 2 & 3 will hydraulically control migration of groundwater containing VOCs. These systems will extract groundwater from wells and remove VOCs from the water by passing it through activated aroon prior to discharge into the storm sewer. The first extraction and treatment system, located near the intersection of Danner and Lucille streets, is in place and start-up is scheduled for June 1993. Two addi. tional systems are plauned for installation in late-1993 near the intersection of Davis Street and Interstate 880 in San Leandro and on 105th Avenue near San Leandro Creek in Oakland.

#### HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL **ISSUES**

East Bay Municipal Utility District provides the public water supply to the area. Such water supply is typically used for drinking, cooking, showering and bathing ("domesticuse"). Residents who are using thus system are not exposed to any contaminants. Private wells are sometimes used for landscape urigation and for gardening ("tringation"). DTSC does not below them us a sufficient health risk ascorded

Irrigation and for gardening ("irrigation"). DTSC does not believe there is a significant health risk associated with using groundwater for irrigation. However, as previously stated in DTSC's pub-lication entitled "Well Testing Information FactSheet" (dated October, 1991). DTSC believes that a potential health risk may exist for San Leandro residents who regularly use their private wells for drinking, cooking, theorem the thing and the statements of the statements. showering or bathing purposes. DTSC has previously issued a public health advisory to the users of private wells in the area. The advisory recommends that private wells not be used for drinking, cooking, howering or bathing purposes unless the wells have been tested for common chemical contaminants. No soil contamination or air pollution problem

exists in connection with this site.

#### **FUTURE ACTIVITIES**

Caterpillar has evaluated the extent of the VOCs in soil and groundwater on- and off-site, as well as prepared an interim remedial measure plan. Antici-pated future work includes the following activities:

Implementation of the IRM Plan:

Quarterly sampling of the groundwater monitoring wells; and

Preparation of a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) after the three groundwater extraction and treatment systems are in operation.

#### PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

Throughout the site mitigation process, Caterpillar will elicit comments from community members on the remedial activities and progress with remediation. Caterpillar implemented a Public Par-ticapation Program in 1991, under guidance from the DTSC, to facilitate community involvement in this project. Caterpillar provided copies of reports to the San Leandro Public Library for public review. In ad-dition, community representatives have been inter-viewed and their comments have been incorporated into the Public Participation Plan (PPP).

A public meeting will be held, and a 30-day public comment period will be open, at the completion of the draft RAP. This meeting is anticipated to occur in 1994. Community members are encouraged to review site-related documents and contact DTSC repre sentatives with any questions concerning the information in this fact sheet and or other site-related issues.

#### FOR MORE INFORMATION

Copies of site-related documents are available for public review at the information repository located at:

San Leandro Community Library Center, Reference Desk 300 Estudillo Avenue, San Leandro, California 94577 (510) 577-3490

Hours: Monday - Thursday: 10a.m. - 5p.m. Friday: 10a.m. - 5:30p.m., Sanrday: 10a.m. - 5p.m.

If you would like more information about the Site, please contact the DTSC Public Participation Coordi-nator or Project Manager by telephone or write:

Mr. Stan Giorgi Public Participation Coordinator 510-540-3920

> Mr. Ted K. Park, P E. Project Manager 510-540-3845

California Environmental Protection Agency Department of Toxic Substances Control Building F, Second Floor 700 Henz Avenue Berkeley, California 94710-2737

#### GLOSSARY

Activated Carbon — Highly absorbent carbon, obtained by neating granulated charcoal, which is a reliable tech-nology used to absorb volatile organic compounds and e them from water

Groundwater --- Water beneath the earth's surface that flows through soil and rock openings and often serves as a primary source of drinking water.

Hydrogeology — The interretationships of geologic materials with water.

Interim Remedial Measures Plan (JRM Flan) — A plan to begin implementation of site clean up while the RAP is being developed; also known as "Interim Remedial Action Plan".

Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) --- If well water contains concentrations of a substance greater than the MCL for that substance, the water can not be used for domestic purposes, according to State Law. Domestic purposes according to Slate Law. Domestic purposes include drinking, showering or bathing, and cooking.

Monitoring Wells --- Specially constructed wells used exclusively for testing water quality.

Public Health Evaluation (PHE) — A study whose purpose is to assess human health risks due to potential exposure to hazardous substances; also known as seline Risk Assessment"

Public Participation Plan - A plan to keep community members informed about progress being made at the

Remedial Action Plan (RAP) — A pian, approved by DTSC, that outlines a specific program leading to the remediation of a site. Once the Draft RAP is prepared, a public-meetings held and comments from the public are solicited for a period of no less than 30 days. After the public comment period has ended, DTSC approves the final remedy for the site (final RAP) and responds in writing to comments received.

Remedial Alternatives Evaluation --- An evaluation to assess the most technically sound and cost effective alternatives for remediation

Remedial Investigation (RD-An environmental study to determine the type, extent, and source of contamu ion at a site

Remediation — Action taken at a site to reduce potential risks to human health or the environment.

Stratigraphy — The study of the layering of rocks or sediment deposits and their characteristics in terms of mode or origin and geologic history.

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) - An organic (carbon containing) compound that evaporates (becomes a gas) readily at room temperature. Common forms of VOCs include industrial solvents such as Trichloroethviene and Tetrachloroethylene.
Groundwater Analytical Results ARCO #2111 1156 Davis St., San Leandro, CA														
Well Number	Date Sampled	GRO (µg/L)	Benzene (µg/L)	Toluene (µg/L)	Ethyl- benzene (µg/L)	Total Xylenes (µg/L)	Ethanol (4g/L)	TBA (µg/L)	MTBE (µg/L)	DIE (µg/L)	ETBE (µg/L)	TAME (µg/L)	1,2-DCA (mg/L)	1,2-DBA (mg/L)
H-1	03/21/04	820	ND<5	ND<5	ND<5	ND<5	ND<1000	ND<200	550	ND<5	ND<5	ND<5	ND<5	ND<5
H-2	03/21/04	260,000	ND<500	ND<500	5,800	11,000	ND<100,000	ND<500	7,600	ND<500	ND<500	ND<500	ND<500	ND<500
H-3	03/21/04	ND<50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<100	ND<20	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	NID<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50
H-4-27	03/20/04	ND~50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	0.72	ND<100	ND<20	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50
H-4-35	03/20/04	ND<50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<100	ND<20	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50
H-5-27	03/20/04	ND<50	NID<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<100	ND<20	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50
H-5-32	03/20/04	ND<50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<100	ND<20	NID<0.50	ND<0.50	NID<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50
H-5-40	03/21/04	53	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<100	ND<20	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50

Table 2

Notes:

- GRO = Gasoline Range Organics
- BTEX = Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl-benzene, and Total Xylenes analyzed by EPA method \$260B.
- MTBE = Methyl tertiary butyl other analyzed by EPA Method 8260B.
- TBA = tert-Butyl alcohol
- DIE = Di-isopropyl ether

ETBE = Ethyl tert-butyl ether

TAME = tert-Amyl methyl ether

2,2-DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane

1,2-DBA = 1,2 Dibromoethane (EDB)

μg/L = Micrograms per liter

MSL = Mean sea level

ND< = Not detected at or above specified laboratory method detection limit

\* = Groundwater elevation measurments are from first encountered groundwater during drilling.

Source : The data within this table collected prior to July 2002 was provided to URS by Group Environmental Management Company and their previous consultants. URS has not verified the accuracy of this information.

#### ARCO Station 2111 Initial Subsurface Investigation 7940.03

٠

#### TABLE 1 SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS FORMER HYDRAULIC HOIST EXCAVATION PIT ARCO Station 2111 San Leandro, California

Cample	_
Sample ID	TEPH as Hydraulic Oil
August 30, 1993	
S-7-HL	27,000
S-7 %-HL	22,000
S-8-HL	11,000
S-9-HL	9,200

All results shown in parts per million (ppm).

TEPH: Total extractable petroleum hydrocarbons as hydraulic oil by EPA methods 3550/8015.

Sample Identification:

<u>S-7</u> -HL	
	Hydraulic Lift
L	Soil Sample and Depth in Feet



#### ARCO Station 2111 Initial Subsurface Investigation 7940.03

TABLE 2         RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES         OF SOIL SAMPLES - Fuel Fingerprint as Hydraulic Oil         ARCO Station 2111         San Leandro, California									
Sample ID	Fuel Fingerprint as Hydraulic Oil	tph-g	BTEX	TCLP BTEX	TCLP TPH-G	STLC Load	RC		
March 4, 1	994								
B1-4.5	3.0*	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
B1-10	<1.0	NA	NA	NA	NÁ	NA	NA		
B1-15	<1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
B1-20	1.7**	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
82-5	1.7	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
B2-10	<1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
B2-15	2.0***	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
B2-20	11****	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CSS-1A-1D	NA NA	<0.0050	<1.0	<50	<0.5	0.18	NH		

All results shown in parts per million (ppm), except TCLP TPH-G and BTEX are shown in parts per billion (ppb). Fuel fingerprint as hydraulic oil was parformed using EPA Methods 3550/8015.

= Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline using EPA modified Method 8015. TPH-G

= Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes using EPA Method 8020. BTEX

TCLP = Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure

= Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration STLC

RCI = Reactivity, ignitability, and corrosivity

- = Non hazardous. Composited Sample indicated non-reactivity with sulfide, cyanide, and water, a NH pH of 7.0 and ignitability of greater than 100 degrees centigrade.
  - = Unidentified hydrocarbons greater than C9.
- = Unidentified hydrocarbons greater ranging from C11 to C15.
- \* \* \* = Discrete peaks - unidentified.
- = Unidentified hydrocarbons ranging from C11 to C24. \* \* \* \*

Sample Identification:

B2-10	
	Sample Depth in Feet
İ	Soil Boring

CSS 1A-1D

Sample Numbers **Composite Soil Sample** 

#### Table 1

#### Well Details ARCO Service Station 2111

Well ID	Installation Date	Total Depth of Well (feet)	Casing Diameter (inches)	Screened Interval (feet)
MW-1	7/12/95	27.0	4.0	12.5 - 26.2
MW-2	7/12/95	27.0	4.0	12.0 - 26.2
MW-3	7/13/95	27.0	4.0	11.9 - 26.2
MW-4	7/13/95	25.0	4.0	10.0 - 24.0
MW-5	3/1/96	25.0	2.0	9.4 - 23.4
MW-6	3/1/96	25.0	2.0	10.0 - 25.0
MW-7	2/29/96	27.0	4.0	12.0 - 27.0
V-1	2/29/96	20.0	4.0	5.0 - 20.0
V-2	2/29/96	20.0	4.0	5.0 - 20.0
V-3	2/28/96	20.0	4.0	5.0 - 20.0
V-4	2/28/96	20.0	4.0	6.5 - 19.5

÷

### Table 2 Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

ARCO Service Station 2111 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California

									and the second sec			ALLER A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A CONTRACT				
Well Designation	Water Level Field Date	Top of Casing Elevation	Depth to Water	Groundwater Elevation	Floating Product Thickness	Groundwater Plow Direction	Hydraulic Gradient	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG LUFT Method	Benzene EPA 8020	Toluene BPA 8020	Ethyidenzene EPA 8020	Total Xylenes EPA 8020	MTBE EPA 8020	<b>TRPH</b> EPA 418.1	TPHD LUIT Method
		ft-MSL	feet	ft-MSL	feet	MWN	ft/ft		μg/L	μg/L	μg/ <b>ι</b> .	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	µg/L	µg/L
MW-1	08-01-95	39.60	17.45	22.15	ND	NR	NR	08-01-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			
MW-I	12-14-95	39.60	17.09	22.51	ND	W	0.002	12-14-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		• •
MW-1	03-21-96	39.60	14.72	24.88	ND	WSW	0.005	03-21-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0,5	<0.5	<3		
MW-1	05-24-96	39.60	15.94	23.66	ND	W	0.003	05-24-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		••
MW-1	08-09-96	39.60	17.89	21.71	ND	WNW	0.01	08-09-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		• •
MW-2	08-01-95	37. <b>99</b>	15.67	22.32	ND	NR	NR	08-01-95	23000	1300	310	500	3500			
MW-2	12-14-95	37.99	15,36	22.63	ND	W	0.002	12-14-95	7300	900	25	180	1000	<200*		
MW-2	03-21-96	37.99	12.84	25,15	ND	WSW	0.005	03-21-96	9600	850	30	280	1400	250	÷ -	
MW-2	05-24-96	37.99	14.03	23.96	ND	W	0.003	05-24-96	2300	300	<5*	73	310	<25*		• •
MW-2	08-09-96	37.99	16.10	21.89	ND	WNW	0.01	08-09-96	2800	290	6	75	320	50		
MW-3	08-01-95	39.32	17.00	22.32	ND	NR	NR	08-01-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		600	76^
MW-3	12-14-95	39.32	16.70	22.62	ND	W	0.002	12-14-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	<500	<50
MW-3	03-21-96	39.32	14.17	25.15	ND	WSW	0.005	03-21-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0,5	3	<500	<50
MW-3	05-24-96	39.32	15.30	24.02	ND	W	0.003	05-24-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	<500	<50
MW-3	08-09-96	39.32	17.58	21.74	ND	WNW	0.01	08-09-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	<0.5	
MW-4	08-01-95	38.10	15.65	22.45	ND	NR	NR	08-01-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			
MW-4	12-14-95	38.10	15.35	22.75	ND	w	0.002	12-14-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		
MW-4	03-21-96	38.10	12.74	25.36	ND	WSW	0.005	03-21-96	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3	~ -	
MW-4	05-24-96	38.10	14.03	24.07	ND	w	0.003	05-24-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		<b>.</b>
MW-4	08-09-96	38.10	16.10	22.00	ND	WNW	0.01	08-09-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		
MW-5	03-21-96	37.21	12.60	24.61	ND	wsw	0.005	03-22-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	⊲0.5	82		
MW-5	05-24-96	37.21	13.71	23.50	ND	w	0.003	05-24-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	7	••	
MW-5	08-09-96	37.21	15.60	21.61	ND	WNW	0.01	08-09-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	8		+ -

ß

Date: 09-17-96

#### Table 2 Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

ARCO Service Station 2111 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California

·····

Well Designation	Water Level Field Date	Top of Casing TSW-11 Flevation	B Depth to Water	ty Groundwater TSW Elevation	Floating Product	K Groundwater R Flow Direction	Hydraulic Mg Gradient	Water Sam <b>ple</b> Field Date	the TPHG 같은 LUFT Method	표 Benzene 양 EPA 8020	번 Tohuene 양 EPA 8020	E E P A 8020	F Total Xylenes	전 전 EPA 8020	ттрн % 7, БРА 418.1	표 TPHD 여러 LUFT Method
MW-6	03-21-96	37.11	11.55	25.56	ND	wsw	0.005	03-22-96	<50	<0.5	1.9	<0.5	<0.5	<3		
MW-6	05-24-96	37.11	12.80	24.31	ND	W	0.003	05-24-96	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	6	••	
MW-6	08-09-96	37.11 No	t surveyed:	Car parked or	n well			08-09-96	Not sampled: C	ar parked on	well					
MW-7	03-21-96	38.68	13.32	25,36	ND	WSW	0.005	03-22-96	32000	870	450	970	4900	280		
MW-7	05-24-96	38.68	14.58	24,10	ND	W	0.003	05-24-96	22000	570	40	42	1900	<200*		• •
MW-7	08-09-96	38.68	15.33	23.35	ND	WNW	0.01	08-09-96	14000	390	<10*	180	470	<200*		

ft-MSL: elevation in feet, relative to mean sea level

- MWN: ground-water flow direction and gradient apply to the entire monitoring well network
- ft/ft: foot per foot

TPHG: total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline. California DHS LUFT Method

ug/L; micrograms per liter

EPA: United States Environmental Protection Agency

MTBE: Methyl-tert-butyl ether

TRPH: total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons

TPHD: total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel, California DHS LUFT Method

NR: not reported; data not available or not measurable

ND: none detected

W: west

WSW: west-southwest

NW: northwest

^: chromatogram fingerprint is not characteristic of diesel

\*: method reporting limit was raised due to: (1) high analyte concentration requiring sample dilution, or (2) matrix interference

- -: not available

esj/h;\2111\2111mdb.xls\Table 2:imi 20805-127.003 Date: 09-17-96

#### Table 3

#### Soil Analytical Data ARCO Service Station 2111

Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Depth (feet)	TPHG <sup>2</sup>	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	TRPH	TPHD
MW-1	7/12/95	6.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-1	7/12/95	11.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-1	7/12/95	16.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
<b>MW-1</b>	7/12/95	21.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-1	7/12/95	26	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-2	7/12/95	6.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-2	7/12/95	11.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-2	7/12/95	16.5	2	0.045	ND	0.027	0.04	NA	NA
MW-2	7/12/95	19	29	0.26	ND	0.3	1.5	NA	NA
MW-2	7/12/95	21	320	<0.5**	<1**	3.4	1.4	NA	NA
MW-3	7/13/95	6.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	10	ND
MW-3	7/13/95	11	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<b>MW-</b> 3	7/13/95	14	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	7/13/95	17	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	7/13/95	19.5	ND	ND	ND	NID	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	7/13/95	22.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	7/13/95	27.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	7/ <b>13/95</b>	36	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<b>MW</b> -3	7 <b>/13/95</b>	40	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<b>MW-</b> 4	7/13/95	6.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-4	7/13/95	11.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-4	7/13/95	16.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-4	7/ <b>13/95</b>	21.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA.	NA
MW-5	3/1/96	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-5	3/1/96	10	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-5	3/1/96	15	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-5	3/1/96	30	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-6	3/1/96	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-6	3/1/96	10	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-6	3/1/96	15	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-6	3/1/96	27	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA

ESJ\I:\ARCO\RKD01173.DOC-96\Ijt:2

#### Table 3

#### Soil Analytical Data **ARCO Service Station 2111**

#### (continued)

Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Depth (feet)	TPHG <sup>2</sup>	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	TRPH	TPHD
MW-7	2/29/96	5.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-7	2/29/96	10	ND	0.01	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-7	2/29/96	15	1	0.11	ND	0.080	0.90	NA	NA
MW-7	2/29/96	21	55	<0.1*	<0.2*	0.80	1.5	NA	NA
MW-7	2/29 <b>/96</b>	33	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.006	NA	NA
VW-1	2/29/96	5.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-1	2/29/96	10.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-1	2/29/96	13	1	0.020	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-1	2/29 <b>/96</b>	19.5	40	0.10	ND	0.50	0.80	NA	NA
VW-2	2/29 <b>/96</b>	5.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-2	2/29/96	10.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-2	<b>2</b> /29/96	13	4	0.20	<0.025*	0.080	0.080	NA	NA
VW-2	<b>2/</b> 29/96	<b>1</b> 5. <b>5</b>	18	0.30	<0.05*	0.30	0.40	NA	NA
VW-2	<b>2</b> /29 <b>/96</b>	19.5	230	<0.5*	<1*	<1*	2	NA	NA
VW-3	2/28 <b>/96</b>	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-3	2/28/9 <b>6</b>	10	ND	0.020	ND	ND	0.005	NA	NA
VW-3	<b>2/</b> 28/96	15	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-3	<b>2/</b> 28/96	19.5	76	<0.1*	<0.2*	0.4	0.8	NA	NA
VW-4	<b>2</b> /28/96	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
VW-4	2/28/96	10.5	12	<0.05*	<0.1*	<0.1*	<0.1*	NA	NA
VW-4	2/28/9 <b>6</b>	15	1,100	<]*	<2	<2*	3	NA	NA
VW-4	2/28/9 <b>6</b>	19.5	420	<0.5*	<1*	<1*	3	NA	NA

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram TPHG = total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline TRPH = total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons TPHD = total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel NA = not analyzed 2 3

4

5

indicates laboratory minimum reporting limit raised MRL due to high analyte concentration requiring sample dilution < \*

#### ANALYTICAL RESULTS OF SOIL SAMPLES COLLECTED FROM BENEATH THE FORMER WASTE-OIL TANK

AT ARCO STATION 2111 1156 Davis Street

San Leandro, California

	Sample ID	Late	Depth feet	(Pijmo (ppm)	TPHd (ppm)	TPHg (ppm)	TRPH (ppm)	VOC₄ (ppm)	PCBs/BNAs (ppm)	Cadmium (ppm)	Chromium (ppm)	Nicksi (ppm)	Lesd (ppm)	Zinc (ppm)
	WO-E	8/15/94	10	<10	<1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	WO-W	8/15/94	10.5	< 10	<1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	WO-N	8/15/94	14	12	2.8	ŇĂ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	WO-S	8/15/94	12.5	<10	<1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	WO-1	8/15/94	9.5	NA	780	310	7,900	22.2 5.0,5	< 5.0	0.79	38	34	56	50
	wo-в	8/15/94	14.5	800	660	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NĂ	NĂ
	WO-82	8/16/94	18.5	2,000	400	130	2,600	< 2.5	< 5.0	0.90	46	8.6	55	53
6: 1-	CCS-1A-1D	9/14/94	يينية.	840	NA	5.7	960	<0.5	<0.5	<0.01	0,13	0.81	0.27	4.4
40 <b>1</b>	CCS-2A-2D	9/14/94	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,400	NA	6.1	2,300	<0.5	< 0.5	0.011	0.11	0.96	1.4	0.63

TPHmo = Total petroleum hydrocarbons reported as motor oil by Standard Method (SM) 5520E&F.

TPHd \* Total petroleum hydrocarbons reported as diesel by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Methods 5030/8015 (modified).

TPHg \* Total petroleum hydrocarbons reported as gasoline by EPA Methods 5030/8015 (modified).

TRPH = Total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons by SM 5520E&F.

VOCs » Volatile organic compounds by EPA Method 8240.

PCBs/BNAs = Polychlorinated biphenals and base/acid neutrals by EPA Method 8270.

ppm = Parts per million.

Metals were analyzed using EPA Methods 6010/7010 series.

Notes: 1 All data listed as <x indicates a not detected concentration.

	F
-	1
-	1
	١.
~N	1
~	1
•	L.
<b>-</b>	
-	
<b>n</b> u	1
22	₹
-	1
- XI	1
- QF	£
÷Υ.	2
	12
	÷.
-5	3
- 22	3 -
- 55	3
- 52	÷.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
<u>ب</u>	÷.
- 24	- S -
- 49	3
- 73	3
×	3
	4
ഗ	÷.
	3
- 52	8
φ	ž
_	
1.5	-
-	- 2 -
- 6-2	÷.
	-
- 72	1
- ယ	- 2
~	- 2
Q	-
~~	- 8 -
5	ŝ
<u> </u>	1
<u>چ</u>	1
5	1
50	1
- 75	3
_ ¥	÷.
- 10	ş
- m	- 5
ژینه 🗧	5
_	

-

LEGE	END:	
Ð	MW-1	MONITORING WELL LOCATION
۲	V-1	VAPOR EXTRACTION WELL LOCATION
Ф	<b>B-1</b>	SOIL BORING LOCATION
	V-4	DESTROYED WELL LOCATION
	T-1N	TANK BASIN SOIL SAMPLE LOCATIONS
×	PL-1	FORMER PRODUCT LINE/ DISPENSER PUMP SOIL SAMPLE LOCATIONS

≻

CEDAR GROVE APARTMENTS

<

Å

w

RIV

Ο

MW-5 Ø

ENCLOSURE

FIRST

CHRISTIAN

CHURCH

COMMUNITY

CENTER

1 

FORMER	TANK BASIN
SAMPLE I D	SAMPLE DEPTH
T1-N	17 FEET
T2-N	17 FEET
T3-N	16 FEET
T2-M	18 FEET
T1-S	16 FEET
T2-S	16 FEET
T3-S	16 FEET

FORMER	TANK BASIN
SAMPLEID	SAMPLE DEPT
T1-N	17 FEET
T2-N	17 FEET
T3-N	16 FEET
T2-M	18 FEET



🕐 MW-7

Vop.

wiese.

¥.210404.

MW-3

A

(10)

OP-8 OP-7

814

-CP-6 \ DP-5

6 4

ARCO SERVICE

STATION

DP-3-

1

Ô.S

OV.7

PL-1-

PC-3 DP-24

. توریک

12

V-1 🕥

N/V-2

Ø.

Fax (pi) \*\*\*\*\*

27. 60696

DAVIS STREET

22

8.2

MWA 🔗

Vil.

3.5

۲-3

PROJECT NO

DO00-JOS FILE NO

REVISION NO

Ę

BLAGINE AL

PREDA STREET

FORMER W.O. TANK

a sair yaali Mari

1. F.M.

### FIGURE 3

SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP ARCO SERVICE STATION NO. 2111 1156 DAVIS STREET

SAN LEANDRO, CALIFORNIA

Delta

// Consultants, Inc.

DRAWNBY

PASPARED BY TLA.

REVIEWED BY

TLA 11/02/06





SAMPLE I D.	SAMPLE DEPTH
DP-1	5.0 FEET
OP-2	8.0 FEET
DP-3	4.0 FEET
DP-4	4.5 FEET
DP-5	4 0 FEET
DP-6	4.0 FEET
DP-7	50 FEET
DP-8	5.0 FEET
PL-1	4 0 FEET
PL-2	6.0 FEET
PL-3	6.0 FEET
PL-4	5.0 FEET
OX-1	10.0 FEET
OX-2	9.5 FEET

**DISPENSER PUMP & PRODUCT LINES** 

#### SOIL SAMPLE LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS

ARCO Service Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro California

Sample ID	Date	Depth (ft)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethyl- benzene (mg/kg)	Total Xylenes (mg/kg)	TPH as Gasoline (mg/kg)	MTBE (mg/kg)	Lead (mg/kg)
Dispenser Isl	and Samples								
DP-1	10/17/00	5.0	2	20	30	170	2,100	13	15
DP-2	10/17/00	8.0	0.77	0.84	7.4	32	440	4.4	13
DP-3	10/17/00	4.0	0.014	0.12	0.26	1.9	31	2,2	15
DP-4	10/17/00	4.5	0.0056	0.059	0.1	0.68	9.4	0.9	12
DP-5	10/17/00	4.0	0.0061	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<1.0	1.5	14
DP-6	10/17/00	4.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<1.0	0.2	25
DP-7	10/17/00	5.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	2.2	2.4	13
DP-8	10/17/00	5.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.092	<1,0	0.35	13
Product Line	<u>Samples</u>								
PL-1	10/17/00	4.0	0.16	<0.05	2.1	3.6	430	0.36	14
PL-2	10/17/00	6.0	<0.005	0.02	0.0077	0,6	14	4.7	12
PL-3	10/17/00	5.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<1.0	0.17	12
PL-4	10/17/00	5.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.043	1.3	0.86	11
<u>Tank Basin S</u>	amples								
T <b>1-S</b>	10/19/00	17.0	0,21	2.1	1.6	8.5	110	33	8.9
T1-N	10/19/00	16.0	4.7	79	30	170	1,900	89	10
T2-S	10/19/00	16.0	1.1	26	14	77	1,100	18	8.1
Т2-М	10/19/00	16.0	1.9	38	11	59	800	59	8.3
T2-N	10/19/00	17.0	7.7	190	58	300	4,400	76	13
T3-S	10/19/00	16.0	1.3	8.4	29	120	340	6.5	12
T3-N	10/19/00	16.0	5.0	76	28	140	1,800	83	12
Soil Overexca	iyation Sam	Dies							
OX-1	10/26/00	10.0	0.4	<0.005	<0.005	0.0091	2.7	1,5	9.7
OX-2	10/26/00	9.5	0.18	0.81	0.42	2.6	19	7.7	11
Soil Stockpile	Results								
STK-1	10/19/00	Composite	0.019	0.017	0.052	0.27	8	NA	11
STK-2	10/26/00	Composite	0.054	0.48	0.64	3.8	86	0.91	9.6
TPH = Total petn	oleum hydrocart	xons.							

MTBE = Methyl tertiary butyl ether (analyzed by EPA Method 8260)

NA = Not Analyzed



#### SOIL CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL DATA

ARCO Service Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

vanners ID	Collected	ersent (faat)	e (mg/kg)	1015-000 (mg/kg)	Ethyl- San <b>zene</b> (mg/kg)	Total Xyienss (mg/kg)	) Prig (mg/kg)	TPHd (mg/kg)	in i BE (mg/kg)	PCB (mg/kg)	TRPH (mg/kg)	VOC 1 (mg/kg)	VOC <sup>2</sup> (mg/kg)	svoc (mg/kg)	Total Metais (mg/kg)
Sump	5/5/2001	2	<0.025	<0.025	0.0616	0.209	305	465	*0.25	ND	543	ND	0.637 <sup>a</sup> , 1.11 <sup>b</sup> , 4.47 <sup>c</sup> , 0.575 <sup>d</sup> , 9.81 <sup>e</sup> , 3.30 <sup>f</sup> , 0.219 <sup>g</sup>	0.51 <sup>1</sup> , 0.61°	38 <sup>°</sup> , 52 <sup>°</sup> , 9,7 <sup>°</sup> , 69 <sup>°</sup>
Exclanal BTEX = b TPHg = to TPHd = to MTBE = m PCB = pol TBPH = M VOC = vo VOC <sup>1</sup>	2011 Inzano, toluen Ial petroleum f Nathyl lartiery b Ychlorinaled bi Ial recoverabli Ialle organic c	e, ethylben nydrocarbor nydrocarbor utyl ether phanyls a petroleum ompounda	ene, and lotal s as gasoline s as dissel hydraselbona	xylenes Loil & grease	΀:	Analytical N DHS LUFT DHS LUFT DHS LUFT EPA Method APHA/EPA 1 EPA Method EPA Method	lethods 18062 Methods 18010 18280A						ζ. Φτο του Τ		
SVOC = s Total Mau	emi-volstile or tis		cunds Inducedo <sup>d</sup> a da	nhitiziana		EPA Method EPA 600017	1 8270C 000 Series M	lethods							

14 a a-propybenzene \*\* 1,2,4-trimethybenzene.

- 1,3,5-trimelinyibenzens, m.p-xylene chromlum, nickel, Gead, Zinc

\*2-methylnaphthalene

ND = Non detact (see laboratory reports for specific detection levels)

8 · ·

#### PILOT TEST AIR ANALYTICAL DATA

ARCO Service Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

Sample I.D.	Date Sampled	Time	Benzene (ppmv)	Toluene (ppmv)	Ethyl- benzene (ppmv)	Total Xylenes (ppmv)	TPHg (ppmv)	MTBE (8020) (ppmv)	MTBE (8260) (ppmv)
VW-2 (V-2)	01/07/02	10:45	4.1	0.82	1.8	4.5	55ª	84	84
1-7-02 (V-2)	01/07/02	16:00	. 2.1	0.34	0.68	1. <del>5</del>	25	NA	64
1-8-02 (V-2)	01/08/02	8:00	2.9	1.0	1.3	2. <b>2</b>	97	NA	209
1-9-02 (V-2)	01/09/02	8:00	5.5	2.3	2.1	3.8	210	NA	179
1-10 <b>-02</b> (V-2)	01/10/02	8:00	3.9	1.3	1.9	4.2	190	53	95
1-11 <b>-02 (MW-7)</b>	01/11/02	9:00	2.0	2.3	0.85	2.3	80	72	128

 $a^{*}$  = Hydrocarbon pattern is present in the requested fuel quantitation but does not resemble the pattern of the requested fuel.

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

MTBE = Methyl tertiary butyl ether analyzed by EPA Method 8021B unless otherwise noted

-

µg/L = Micrograms per liter

NA = Not analyzed

\_

#### PILOT TEST WATER ANALYTICAL DATA

ARCO Service Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

Sample I.D.	Date Sampled	Time	Benzene (µg/L)	Toluene (μg/L	Ethyl- benzene (μg/L)	Total Xylenes (µg/L)	TPH (µg/L)	MTBE (8020) (μg/L)	МТВЕ (8260) (µg/L)
<b>∨W-</b> 2 (∨-2)	01/07/02	10:50	860	<500	<500	1,400	<50,000	160,000	180,000
1-7-02 (∨-2)	01/07/02	16:00	240	51	93	280	18,000ª	NA	98,000
1-8-02 (∨-2)	01/08/02	8:00	42	11	<0.5	53	1,800	NA	16,000
1- <del>9-</del> 02 (V-2)	01/09/02	8:00	46	45	81	360	6,600	NA	8,100
1-10-02 (V-2)	01/10/02	8:00	28	<20	25	71	<2,000	6,300	5,600
1-11-02 (MW-7)	01/11/02	9:00	<20	23	<20	52	<2,000	6,800	5,800

\* = Hydrocarbon pattern is present in the requested fuel quantitation but does not resemble the pattern of the requested fuel.

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

MTBE = Methyl tertiary butyl ether analyzed by EPA Method 8021B unless otherwise noted

µg/L = Micrograms per liter

NA = Not analyzed

#### DUAL PHASE EXTRACTION PILOT TEST VAPOR RESULTS TABLE

#### ARCO Service Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

#### V-2 PILOT TEST VAPOR EXTRACTION RESULTS - 2002

Date & Time Sampled	Influent Flowrate (ft <sup>3</sup> /min)	Laboratory TPHg Influent (ppmv)	Influent Non- methane Hydrocarbons by FID (ppmv)	Laboratory Benzene Influent (ppmv).	TPH Extraction Rate (Ibs/hour)	Non- Methane Hydrocarbons by FID (Ibs/hour)	Benzene Extraction Rate (Ibs/hour)	Cumulative Volume of Processed Air (cubic feet)	Cumulative Laboratory TPHg Extraction (lbs)	Cumulative FID Non- Methane Hydrocarbon Extraction (lbs)	Total Hours Operated	Change in Hours of Operation
1/7/02 9:00	236	NA	260	NA	NC	0.82	NC	0	NC	0.0	0.00	0.00
1/7/02 9:30	236	NA	260	NA	NC	0.94	NC	7,080	NC	0,4	0.50	0.50
1/7/02 9:45	226	NA	262	NA	NC	0.91	NC	10,470	NC	0.7	0.75	0.25
1/7/02 10:00	226	NA	216	NA	NC	0.75	NC	13,860	NC	0.9	1.00	0.25
1/7/02 10:30	247	NA	112	NA	NC	0.42	NC	21,270	NC	1.2	1.50	0.50
1/7/02 10:45	247	55	112	4.1	0.18	0.37	0.34	24,975	0.3	1.3	1.75	0.25
1/7/02 12:00	238	NA	197	NA	NC	0.72	NC	42,825	NC	2.0	3.00	1 25
1/7/02 16:00	260	25	884	2.1	0.09	3.06	0.18	105,225	1.0	9.5	7.00	4.00
1/7/02 17:00	263	NA	808	NA	NC	3.26	NC	121,005	NC	12.7	8.00	1.00
<b>1/7/02 18:</b> 00	261	NA	1,087	NA	NC	4.36	NC	136,665	NC	16.5	9.00	1.00
1/8/02 8:00	274	97	381	2.9	0.35	1.39	0.27	366,825	4.5	56.7	23.00	14.00
1/9/02 8:00	263	210	417	5.5	0.74	1.46	0.48	745,545	17.6	91.0	47.00	24.00
1/10/02 8:00	224	190	381	3.9	0.57	1.14	0.29	1,068,105	33.3	122.1	71.00	24.00
1/10/02 15:45	261	190*	185	3.9*	0.66	0.64	0.34	1,189,470	38.0	129.0	78.75	7.75

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline.

ppmv = Parts per million by volume.

\* = assumed to be same as previous sample results

NC = Not Calculated

NA = Not Analyzed

Gallons of Vapor Equivalent Gasoline Removed: 6.2

Average Vapor Gallons Removed per Minute: 0.001

#### DUAL PHASE EXTRACTION PILOT TEST VAPOR RESULTS TABLE

#### ARCO Service Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

#### MW-7 PILOT TEST VAPOR EXTRACTION RESULTS - 2002

Date & Time Sampled	Influent Flowrate (ft <sup>3</sup> /min)	Laboratory TPHg Influent (ppmv)	Influent Non- methane Hydrocarbons by FID (ppmv)	Laboratory Benzene Influent (ppmv)	Laboratory TPHg Extraction Rate (lbs/hour)	Non- Methane Hydrocarbons by FID (Ibs/hour)	Benzene Extraction Rate (Ibs/hour)	Cumulative Volume of Processed Air (cubic feet)	Cumulative Laboratory TPHg Extraction (Ibs)	Cumulative FID Non- Methane Hydrocarbon Extraction (lbs)	Total Hours Operated	Change in Hours of Operation
1/10/02 16:00	NM	NA	NM	NA	NC	NC	NC	0	0.0	NĊ	0.00	0.00
1/10/02 17:00	NM	NA	NM	NA	NC	NC	NC	15.000	0.3	NC	0.00	1.00
1/11/02 9:00	250	80	NM	2	0.31	NC	0.17	255.000	5.2	NC	17.00	16.00
1/11/02 10:00	NM	NA	NM	NA	NC	NC	NC	270.000	5.5	NC	18.00	10.00
1/11/02 11:00	NM	NA	NM	NA	NC	NC	NC	285.000	5.8	NC	10.00	1.00
1/11/02 12:00	NM	NA	NM	NA	NC	NC	NC	300,000	6.1	NC	20.00	1.00

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline.

ppmv = Parts per million by volume.

Gallons of Vapor Equivalent Gasoline Removed: 1.0

Average Vapor Gallons Removed per Minute: 0.001

Note : Laboratory results and flow rates are assumed to be consistant for entire event on MW-7. FID did not function properly during test on MW-7 therefore, no recordings were made.

NC = Not Calculated

NA = Not Analyzed

#### DUAL PHASE EXTRACTION PILOT TEST VAPOR RESULTS TABLE

#### ARCO Service Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

#### MW-2 PILOT TEST VAPOR EXTRACTION RESULTS - 2002

Date & Time Sampled	Influent Flowrate (ft <sup>3</sup> /min)	Laboratory TPHg Influent (ppmv)	Influent Non- methane Hydrocarbons by FID (ppmv)	Laboratory Benzene Influent (ppmv)	Laboratory TPHg Extraction Rate (lbs/hour)	Non- Methane Hydrocarbons by FID (Ibs/hour)	Benzene Extraction Rate (Ibs/hour)	Cumulative Volume of Processed Air (cubic feet)	Cumulative Laboratory TPHg Extraction (Ibs)	Cumulative FID Non- Methane Hydrocarbon Extraction (lbs)	Total Hours Operated	Change in Hours of Operation
1/11/02 12:00	292	NA	10,176	NA	NC	45.65	NC	0	NC	0.0	0.00	0.00
1/11/02 12:15	NM	NA	2,406	NA	NC	10.79	NC	4,380	NC	7.1	0.25	0.25
1/11/02 12:30	NM	NA	971	NA	NC	4.36	NC	8,760	NC	8.9	0.50	0.25
1/11/02 13:00	NM	NA	690	NA	NC	3.09	NC	17,520	NC	10.8	1.00	0.50
1/11/02 14:00	NM	NA	300	NA	NC	1.35	NC	35,040	NC	13.0	2.00	1.00
1/11/02 15:00	NM	NA	351	NA	NC	1.58	NC	52,560	NC	14.5	3.00	1.00
1/11/02 17:00	NM	NA	351	NA	NC	1.58	NC	87,600	NC	17.6	5.00	2.00

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline.

ppmv = Parts per million by volume.

NC = Not Calculated

NA = Not Analyzed

Gallons of Vapor Equivalent Gasoline Removed: 2.9

Average Vapor Gallons Removed per Minute: 0.016

#### DUAL PHASE EXTRACTION SYSTEM FIELD DATA

ARCO Service Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

1	Pilot Test on V-2	2	System Readings						V-2		MW-2		V-7	V-1		V-3		MW-1	
	Date	Time	System Vacuum ("Hg)	System Conc (ppmv)	System Flowrate (ft <sup>3</sup> /min)	Water Meter (gallons)	Total Discharge (gpm)	Vacuum Reading ("H <sub>2</sub> O)	Depth to Water (Feet)	Vacuum Reading ("H <sub>2</sub> O)	Depth to Water (Feet)	Vacuum Reading ("H₂O)	Depth to Water (Feet)	Vacuum Reading ("H <sub>2</sub> O)	Depth to Water (Feet)	Vacuum Reading ("H <sub>z</sub> O)	Depth to Water (Feet)	Vac <b>uum</b> Reading ("H <sub>2</sub> O)	Depth to Water (Feet)
	1/7/02 9:00	9:00	24	260.3	236	NM	NC	NM	13.48	NM	13.20	NM	13.60	NM	14.14	NM	12.99	NM	15.00
	1/7/02 9:30	9:30	24	260.3	236	2,552,890	NC	265	NM	0.10	13.22	0.00	13,62	0.00	14.12	0.00	13.00	0.00	15.00
Contraction of the local division of the loc	1/7/02 9:45	9:45	24	261.7	226	NM	NC	265	NM	0.10	13.21	0.00	13.61	0.00	14.14	0.00	13.00	0.00	15.11
	1/7/02 10:00	10:00	24	216.4	NM	2,552,980	3.00	NM	NM	0.05	13.24	0.01	13.60	0.00	14.16	0.00	13.01	0,02	15.13
	1/7/02 10:30	10:30	24	112.4	247	NM	NC	265	NM	0.05	13.25	0.01	13,60	0.00	14.16	0.00	13.01	0.02	15.14
	1/7/02 11:00	11:00	24	<del>6</del> 0,3	224	NM	NC	NM	NM	0.05	13.24	0.01	13.60	0.00	14.25	0.00	13.00	0.02	15,14
	1/7/02 12:00	12:00	20	196,7	238	NM	NC	220	NM	0.05	13.25	0.01	13. <b>60</b>	0.00	14.15	0.00	13.00	0.02	15,14
	1/7/02 13:00	13:00	22	320,4	247	2,553,140	0.89	230	NM	0.05	13.25	0.01	13.60	0.00	<b>1</b> 4.16	0.00	13.01	0.02	15.14
	1/7/02 14:00	14:00	22	387,4	263	NM	NC	230	NM	0.05	13.25	0.01	13.60	0.00	14.16	0.00	13.01	0.02	15.14
	1/7/02 15:00	15:00	NM	System D	own	NM	NC	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
	1/7/02 16:00	15:00	NM	883.7	260	NM	NC	NM	NM	0.05	13.24	0.01	13.60	0.00	14.15	0.00	13.00	0.02	15.14
	1/7/02 17:00	17:00	22	807.6	263	2,553,250	0.46	230	NM	0.05	13.25	0.01	13.60	0.00	<b>1</b> 4.15	0.00	13.01	0.02	15.14
ŀ	1/8/02 8:00	8:00	24	200.7	201	NM	NC	265	NM	0.05	13.25	0.01	13.61	0.00	14.15	0.00	13.01	0.02	15.14
	4/0/02 8:00	8:00	24	300.7	2/4	2,554,700	1,61	265	15+	NM	13.31	NM	13.64	NM	14.24	NM	13.04	NM	15.17
	1/10/02 8:00	8:00	24	410.0	263	2,557,220	1,75	265	19+	0.08	13.35	0.00	13.68	0.00	14.25	0.00	13.11	0.02	15.25
	1/10/02 6:00	0:00 15:45	24	380.7	224	2,559,570	1,63	240	NM	0.22	13.39	0.00	13.69	0.00	14.29	0.00	13.16	0.03	15.27
Ĺ	Totolo/1040	13,40	<u>24</u>	104.7	201	2,560,010	0,95	240	19+	0.22	13.46	0.00	13.70	0.00	14.36	0.00	13.20	0.02	15.30
	rotais/Avg;	4720	23.3	300.9	248.2	7,120	1.51	248.3	5,52		0.26		0.10		0.22		0.21		0.21

ppmv = parts per million by volume.

"Hg = inches of Mercury

"H<sub>2</sub>O = inches of water collumn

NM = Not Measured

.

### DUAL PHASE EXTRACTION SYSTEM FIELD DATA

#### ARCO Service Station No. 2111 1156 Davis Street San Leandro, California

Pilot Test or	n MW-7				System F	Readings		v	-2	M	N-2	M	N-7	v	.1				
Date	Time	Syste Vacuu ("Hg	m Sy m C (p	ystem Conc opmv)	System Flowrate (ft <sup>3</sup> /min)	Water Meter (gallons)	Total Discharge (gpm)	Vacuum Reading ("H <sub>2</sub> O)	Depth to Water (Feet)	Vacuum Reading ("H <sub>2</sub> O)	Depth to Water (Feet)	Vacuum Reading ("H₂O)	Depth to Water (Feet)	Vacuum Reading ("H <sub>2</sub> O)	Depth to Water (Feet)	Vacuum Reading	-3 Depth to Water	MV Vacuum Reading	V-1 Depth to Water
1/10/02 16:0	00 16:00	24	i	NM	250	2,560,010	NC	NM	13.69	NM	13 45	240.00	12 77	(1120)	(1000)	( n <sub>2</sub> 0)	(reet)	("H <sub>2</sub> O)	(Feet)
1/11/02 12:0	00 12:00	24		NM	250	2,561,910	1.58	NM	13.67	NM	13.50	240.00	13.77	NM	14.35	NM NM	13.20	NM	15.32
i otais/A	vg: 1200				250	1,900	1.58		-0.02		0,05	240.0	0.12		0.02		0.00	INIM	0.03

Pilot Test on My	V-2	<b></b>		System F	leadings		v	-2	M	N-2	M	N_7	v	4				
Date	Time	System Vacuum ("Hg)	System Conc (ppmv)	System Flowrate (ft <sup>3</sup> /min)	Water Meter (gallons)	Total Discharge (gpm)	Vacuum Reading ("H₀O)	Depth to Water (Feet)	Vacuum Reading ("H <sub>2</sub> O)	Depth to Water (Feet)	Vacuum Reading	Depth to Water	Vacuum Reading	Depth to Water	V Vacuum Reading	-3 Depth to Water	MV Vacuum Reading	V-1 Depth to Water
1/11/02 12:00	12:00	18	10 176	342	2 504 040	LIO.			(1.20)	(, 504)	(120)	(reel)	('H <sub>2</sub> O)	(reet)	("H <sub>2</sub> O)	(Feet)	("H <sub>2</sub> O)	(Feet)
1/11/02 17:00	17:00	18	351,4	292	2,567,870	NC 19.87	NM NM	13.67 13.71	NM 150.00	13.50 13.69	NM NM	13.80 13.87	NM NBA	14.37	NM	13,21	NM	15.35
Totals/Avg:	300			317	5,960	19.87		0.04	150.0	0.10	L	0.07	TYP	14.30	NIM	13,20	NM	15.35
										V. 10		0.07		0.01		-0.01		0.00

ppmv = parts per million by volume.

"Hg = inches of Mercury

"H<sub>z</sub>O = inches of water collumn

NM = Not Measured



Table 1
Soil Analytical Results
ARCO #2111
1156 Davis St., San Leandro, CA

Sectoria A

**MARKED** 

Well Number	Date Sampled	TPH-g (mg/kg)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethyl- benzene (mg/kg)	Total Xylenes (mg/kg)	TBA (mg/kg)	MTBE (mg/kg)	DIPE (mg/kg)	ETBE (mg/kg)	TAME (mg/kg)
MW-8-5	11/26/04	ND<1.0	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.010	ND<0.005	ND<0.010	ND<0.005	NT><0.005
MW-8-10	11/26/04	ND<1.(1	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.010	NT)<0.005	ND<0.010	ND<0.005	ND<0.005
MW-8-15	11/26/04	2.1	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	0.017	0.032	ND<0.010	ND<0.005	ND<0.005
MW-8-16.5	11/26/04	150 -	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	0.60	0.84	ND<2.5	ND<0.50	ND×1.0	ND<0.5	25
MW-8-23	11/26/04	ND<5.0	NID<0.025	ND<0.025	ND<0.025	ND<0.025	ND<0.050	1.4	ND<0.050	ND<0.025	ND<0.025
MW-8-28	11/26/04	ND<1.0	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.010	0.12	ND<0.010	ND<0.005	ND<0.005
MW-8-33	11/26/04	ND<1.0	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	NE×0.010	0.037	ND<0.010	ND<0.005	ND<0.005
MW-8-38	11/26/04	ND<1.0	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.010	0.027	ND<0.010	ND<0.005	ND<0.005

#### Notes:

STREES STREET

Since South

TPH-g	<ul> <li>Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons analyzed by EPA method 8260B.</li> </ul>
BTEX	= Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl-benzene, and Total Xylenes analyzed by EPA method 8260B.
MTBE	= Methyl tertiary butyl ether analyzed by EPA Method 8260B.
TBA	= tert-Butyl alcohol
DIPE	= Di-isopropyl ether
ETBE	= Ethyl tert-butyl ether
TAME	= tert-Amyl methyl other
1,2-DCA	= 1,2-Dichlorocthane
l,2 DBA	= 1,2 Dibromosthate (EDB)
mg/kg	= Micrograms per kilogram
MSL	= Mean sea level
ND<	= Not detected at or above specified laboratory method detection limit

#### Table 1 Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

#### ARCO Service Station 2111 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California

Well Designation	Water Level Field Date	H Top of Casing Casing C Elevation	Depth to	Free Product	H Groundwater C Elevation	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG TUFT Method	표 <b>Benzen</b> e 역 EPA 8021B*	표 Toluene 역 EPA 8021B*	Ethylbenzen 태 e EPA 김 8021B*	Total 또 Xylenes 는 EPA 8021B*	표 MTBE 역 EPA 8021B*	표 MTBE 면 EPA 8260	E TRPH	는 LUFT Rethod	B Dissolved P Oxygen	The Purged/
MW-1	08-01-95	39.60	17.45	ND	22.15	08-01-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5						
MW-1	12-14-95	39.60	17.09	ND	22.51	12-14-95	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-1	03-21-96	39.60	14.72	ND	24.88	03-21-96	<\$0	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3					
MW-1	05-24-96	39.60	15.94	ND	23.66	05-24-96	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		• •			
MW-1	08-09-96	39.60	17.89	ND	21.71	08-09-96	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	<0,5	<0.5	<3					
MW-1	11-06-96	39.60	18.66	ND	20.94	11-06-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-1	03-24-97	39.60	16.13	ND	23.47	03-24-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-1	05-27-97	39.60	17.23	ND	22.37	05-28-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-1	08-07-97	39.60	18.68	ND	20.92	08-07-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			~ -		
MW-1	11-10-97	39.60	19.19	ND	20.41	11-10-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	<b>.</b> -				
MW-1	02-16-98	39.60	12.61	ND	26.99	02-16-98	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-1	04-15-98	39.60	14.30	ND	25.30	04-15-98	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-1	07-24-98	39.60	16.40	ND	23.20	07-24-98	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-1	10-19-98	39.60	17.90	ND	21.70	10-19-98	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-1	01-28-99	39.60	16.85	ND	22.75	01-28-99	<20,000	580	<200	<200	320	14,000	<b>-</b>				
MW-1	06-25-99	39.60	17.35	ND	22.25	06-25-99	730	140	5	3	2	7,700				0.79	NP
MW-1	08-25-99	39.60	18.20	ND	21.40	08-25-99	390	66	8.5	<2.5	8.6	3,700				1.56	NP
MW-1	11-10-99	39.60	17.77	ND	21.83	11-10-99	360	70	13	2.2	13	980				0.30	NΡ
MW-1	02-09-00	39.60	16.25	ND	23.35	02-09-00	190	4.5	0.9	<0.5	12	3,500				0.53	NP
MW-2	08-01-95	37.99	15.67	ND	22.32	08-01-95	23,000	1,300	310	500	3,500	• •					
MW-2	12-14-95	37.99	15.36	ND	22.63	12-14-95	7,300	900	25	180	1,000	<200					
MW-2	03-21-96	37.99	12.84	ND	25.15	03-21-96	9,600	850	30	280	1,400	250					
MW-2	05-24-96	37.99	14.03	ND	23.96	05-24-96	2,300	300	<5	73	310	<25	• -				
MW-2	08-09-96	37.99	16.10	ND	21.89	08-09-96	2,800	290	6		320	50					

4

### Table 1Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical DataPetroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

#### ARCO Service Station 2111 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California

Well Designation	Water Level Field Date	Top of     Top of     Casing     C Elevation	Depth to tage Water	Free Product	-25 Groundwater 75 Elevation	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG the LUFT Rethod	는 Benzene 또 EPA 8021B*	표 Toluene 전 EPA 8021B*	<ul> <li>Ethylbenzen</li> <li>t e EPA</li> <li>t g 8021B*</li> </ul>	Total 또 Xylenes 기 EPA 8021B*	표 MTBE 정 EPA 8021B*	표 MTBE 면 EPA 8260	F TRPH	ka LUFT r Method	g Dissolved P Oxygen	R Purged/ R Not Purged
MW-2	11-06-96	37.99	16.98	ND	21.01	11-06-96	750	76	<1	15	51	110					
MW-2	03-24-97	37.99	14.22	ND	23.77	03-24-97	790	18	<1	2	6	280					
MW-2	05-27-97	37.99	15.42	ND	22.57	05-28-97	750	14	<1	<1	10	150					
MW-2	08-07-97	37.99	16.92	ND	21.07	08-07-97	360	31	<2.5	<2.5	15	260					
MW-2	11-10-97	37.99	17.52	ND	20.47	11-10-97	1,300	82	<5	14	49	550					
MW-2	02-16-98	37.99	12.04	ND	25.95	02-16-98	<2,500	<25	<25	<25	<25	4,200					
MW-2	04-15 <b>-98</b>	37.99	12.34	ND	25.65	04-15-98	<10,000	<100	<100	<100	<100	7,300					
MW-2	07-24-98	37.99	14.45	ND	23.54	07-24-98	<2,500	<25	<25	<25	<25	1,500					
MW-2	10-19-98	37.99	16.08	ND	21.91	10-19-98	<1,000	18	<10	<10	<10	1,100					
MW-2	01-28-99	37.99	15.59	0.02	22.41 [1]	01-28-99	160,000	3,000	24,000	4,400	31,000	23,000					
MW-2	06-25-99	37.99	19.20	3.73[4]	21.51 [1]	06-25-99	120,000	6,900	21,000	2,600	19,000	18,000	17,000[3]			0.49	NP
MW-2	08-25-99	37.99	16.49	0.02	21.51 [1]	08-25-99	92,000	2,200	16,000	3,200	19,000	11,000	9,400[3]		~ -	0.84	NP
MW-2	11-10-99	37.99	16.08	ND	21.91	11-10-99	56,000	2,400	5,900	1,500	10,000	17,000	21,000[3]			0.41	NP
MW-2	02-09-00	37.99	14.85	ND	23.14	02-09-00	1,700	270	14	17	21	70,000	55,000[3]			0.97	NP
MW-3	08-01-95	39.32	17.00	ND	22.32	08-01-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			600	76101		
MW-3	12-14-95	39.32	16.70	ND	22.62	12-14-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		~500	/0[2]		
MW-3	03-21-96	39.32	14.17	ND	25.15	03-21-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	~		<500	~50		
MW-3	05-24-96	39.32	15.30	ND	24.02	05-24-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		~500	~50		
MW-3	08-09-96	39.32	17.58	ND	21.74	08-09-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	~		~500	~50		
MW-3	11-06-96	39.32	18.33	ND	20.99	11-06-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1		<b>NU00</b>			
MW-3	03-24-97	39.32	15.44	ND	23.88	03-24-97	<50	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	~7 <1			• •		
MW-3	05-27-97	39.32	16.75	ND	22.57	05-28-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	(-) (-)					
MW-3	08-07-97	39.32	18.35	ND	20.97	08-07-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5 <0.5	<3					
MW-3	11-10-97	39.32	18.83	ND	20.49	11-10-97	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					

·1

# Table 1Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical DataPetroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

#### ARCO Service Station 2111 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California

Wcll Designation	Water Level Field Date	Top of Casing F. Elevation	Depth to tage Water	Free Product	R Groundwater	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG 비나다 지역 Method	표 Benzene 면 EPA 8021B*	는 Toluene 전 EPA 8021B*	는 Ethylbenzen 번 e EPA 면 8021B*	Total Tylenes EPA 8021B*	표 MTBE 면 EPA 8021B*	표 MTBE 영 EPA 8260	EPA 418.1	표 LUFT 전 Method	E Dissolved C Oxygen	Z Purged/ Z Not Purged
MW-3	02-16-98	39.32	11.99	ND	27.33	02-16-98	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		~ -			
MW-3	04-15-98	39.32	13.75	ND	25.57	04-15-98	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-3	07-24-98	39.32	15.90	ND	23.42	07-24-98	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	<b>.</b>				
MW-3	10- <b>19-98</b>	39.32	17.45	ND	21.87	10-19-98	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-3	01-28-99	39.32	16.40	ND	22.92	01-28-99	<100	14	4	<	6	100					
MW-3	06-25-99	39.32	17.92	ND	21.40	06-25-99	83	9.0	1.4	<0.5	2.5	220				1.11	NP
MW-3	08-25-99	39.32	17.79	ND	21.53	08-25-99	240	41	12	3.7	9.9	160				1.13	NP
MW-3	11-10-99	39.32	17.37	ND	21.95	11-10-99	620	100	9.7	4.1	21	150				0.24	NP
MW-3	02-09-00	39.32	15.77	ND	23.55	02-09-00	<50	<0.5	0.7	<0.5	<1	180				0.62	NP
	00.01.05	20.10															
MW-4	08-01-95	38.10	10.60	ND	22.45	08-01-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5						
MW-4	12-14-90	38.10	10.30	ND	22.75	12-14-95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-4	03-21-90	38.10	12.74	ND	23.36	03-21-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
	00-24-90	38.10	14.03	ND	24.07	05-24-96	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
	11 04 04	20.10	10.10	ND	22.00	08-09-96	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	<b>-</b> -				
	02 24 07	30.10	14.00		21.10	11-06-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	* *				
AVI VY -4	05-24-97	20.10	14.21	ND	23.89	03-24-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
1VI W-4	03-27-97	20.10	15.38		22.72	03-28-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	- +				
1VI W-4	11 10 07	38.10	10.90		21.15	08-07-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3		<u>-</u> -			
MW-4	11-10-97	38.10	17.55	ND	20.57	11-10-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3					
1V1 W-4	02-10-98	38.10	10.65	ND	27.45	02-16-98	<50	<0,5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-4	07-04-09	38.10	12.20	ND	25.90	04-15-98	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MIW-4	10 10 00	38.10 20.10	14.4/	ND	23.63	07-24-98	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
IVI VY -4	10-19-98	38.10 29.10	10.20	ND	21.90	10-19-98	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
L 1V1 VV -4	01-20-99	20.10	13.02	ND	23.08	01-28-99		52	5.5	<0.5	74	31					

4

Table 1Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical DataPetroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

#### ARCO Service Station 2111 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California

Well Designation	Water Level Field Date	Top of Casing C Elevation	Depth to tage Water	Free Product	H Groundwater 79. Elevation	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG TUFT 가 Method	는 Benzene 정 EPA 8021B*	표 Toluene 전 EPA 8021B*	୍ Ethylbenzen ଅଟି EPA ମୁଷ 8021B*	Total Total Xylenes T EPA 8021B*	표 MTBE 역 EPA 8021B*	표 MTBE 역 EPA 8260	F TRPH	는 LUFT Rethod	H Dissolved	는 Purged/ 도 Not Purged
MW-4	06-25-99	38.10	15.57	ND	22.53	06-25-99	510	78	4.1	0.5	18	94				0.00	<u></u>
MW-4	08-25 <b>-</b> 99	38.10	16.43	ND	21.67	08-25-99	660	130	21	6.4	39	110				1.61	ND
MW-4	11-10-99	38.10	16.02	ND	22.08	11-10-99	510	98	5.1	3.1	15	69				0.28	NP
MW-4	02-09-00	38.10	14.30	ND	23,80	02-09-00	<50	<0.5	0.9	<0.5	<1	55				0.20	NP
	07 01 04															0107	144
MW-5	03-21-96	37.21	12.60	ND	24.61	03-22-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	82					
MW-5	05-24-96	37.21	13.71	ND	23.50	05-24-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	7					
MW-3	08-09-96	37.21	15.60	ND	21.61	08-09-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	8					
C-WM	11-06-96	37.21	16.36	ND	20.85	11-06-96	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	100					
MW-5	03-24-97	37.21	15.87	ND	23.34	03-24-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	460					
MW-5	05-27-97	37.21	14.71	ND	22.50	05-28-97	<100	<1	<1	<[	<1	120					
MW-D	08-07-97	37.21	16.90		20.31	08-07-97	<250	<2.5	<2_5	<2.5	<2,5	250					
	11-10-97	37.21	16.88	ND	20.33	11-10-97	<1,000	<10	<10	<10	<10	770			~ ~		
MW-5	02-10-98	37.21	10.56	ND	26.65	02-16-98	<200	<2	<2	<2	<2	230		÷			
INIW-3	04-12-98	37.21	12.20	DN ND	25.01	04-15-98	<500	<5	<5	<5	<5	900					
	10 10 09	37.21	14.20	ND	23.01	07-24-98	<500	<5	<5	<5	<5	570					
MW 5	10-19-98	37.21	10.74	ND	21.47	10-19-98	<250	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	300					1
	01-28-99	37.21	14.60	ND	22.61	01-28-99	<500	8	<5	<5	<5	290					
NIW-5	00-23-99	37.21	15.10	ND	22.11	06-25-99	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1,300				0.76	NP
MW-5	05-25-99	37.21	15.91	ND	21.30	08-25-99	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	6,700				0.98	NP
I MW-D	11-10-99	37.21	15.52	ND	21.69	11-10-99	130	2.0	7.0	1.3	21	5,000				0.21	NP
MM-2	02-09-00	51.21	14.03	ND	23.18	02-09-00	92	<0.5	0.8	<0.5	1.0	7,900				0.51	NP
MW-6 MW-6	03-21-96 05-24-96	37.11 37.11	11.55 12,80	ND ND	25.56 24.31	03-22-96 05-24-96	<50 <50	<0.5 <0.5	1.9 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5	<3 6					

4

# Table 1Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical DataPetroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

#### ARCO Service Station 2111 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California

Well Designation	Water Level Field Date	H Top of Casing TC Elevation	Depth to	Free Product	H Groundwater K Elevation	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG t LUFT Method	표 <b>Benzene</b> 역 EPA 8021B*	표 Toluene 야 EPA 8021B*	/ Ethylbenzen t e EPA [] 8021B*	Total Total Total Total EPA 8021B*	두 MTBE 편 8021B*	t∓ MTBE © EPA 8260	EPA 418.1	F LUFT	H Dissolved C Oxygen	는 Purged/ 국 Not Purged
MW-6	08-09-96	37.11	Not surve	eyed		08-09-96	Not sa	npled <sup>.</sup> Car	parked on	well							
MW-6	11-06-96	37.11	Not surve	eyed		11-06-96	Not sa	npled: Car	parked on	well							
MW-6	03-24-97	37.11	13.06	ND	24.05	03-24-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-6	05-27-97	37.11	14.30	ND	22.81	05-28-97	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-6	08-07-97	37.11	16.40	ND	20.71	08-07-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-6	11-10-97	37.11	16.53	ND	20.58	11-10-97	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	~ -				
MW-6	02-16-98	37.11	Not surve	eyed		02-16-98	Not sai	npled: Car	parked on	well							
MW-6	04-15-98	37.11	10.95	ND	26.16	04-15-98	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-0	07-24-98	37.11	13.30	ND	23.81	07-24-98	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW-0	01 29 00	37.11	NOI SULVE	eyea	00.10	10-19-98	Not sa	npled: Car	parked on	well							
MANA C	01-28-99	37.11	13.92		23.19	01-28-99	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3					
MW W-0	08 25 08	27.11	15.47		21.04	00-23-99	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	• -			0.74	NP
MW-0	11 10 00	27.11	12.39		21.72	U8-20-99	UC>	<0.5	3.4	0.6	3.7	<3				0.92	NP
MW-6	02-09-00	37.11	14.52		22.19	02.00.00	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<3	• •			0.31	NP
	02-09-00	J7.11	13.30	nD	23.01	02-09-00	< 30	<0.5	0.9	<0.5	1.5	<3	• -			0.79	NP
MW-7	03-21-96	38.68	13.32	ND	25 36	03-22-96	32.000	870	450	070	4 000	200					
MW-7	05-24-96	38.68	14.58	ND	24.10	05-24-96	22,000	570	450	42	1,900	200 ~200(2)					
MW-7	08-09-96	38.68	15.33	ND	23.35	08-09-96	14,000	390	<10	180	470	<200[2]		••			
MW-7	11-06-96	38.68	16.95	ND	21.73	11-06-96	9.500	440	<10	210	150	<100[2]	* -				
MW-7	03-24-97	38.68	14.65	ND	24.03	03-24-97	6,400	42.0	<10	260	13	480					
MW-7	05-27-97	38.68	15.58	ND	23.10	05-28-97	5,000	420	<5	230	10	460					
MW-7	08-07-97	38.68	17.10	ND	21.58	08-07-97	3,900	350	<š	200	10	330					
MW-7	11-10-97	38.68	18.05	ND	20.63	11-10-97	5,600	590	10	370	43	540					
MW-7	02-16-98	38.68	12.03	ND	26.65	02-16-98	<5,000	390	<50	<50	61	4,300					

ş

,

# Table 1Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical DataPetroleum Hydrocarbons and Their Constituents

#### ARCO Service Station 2111 1156 Davis Street, San Leandro, California

Well Designation	Water Level Field Date	T Top of W Casing T Elevation	Depth to	Free Product	Groundwater Groundwater G Elevation	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG 는 LUFT 면 Method	E Benzene E EPA 8021B*	ਸ Toluene ਵਿ EPA 8021B*	Ethylbenzen E e EPA S 8021B*	Total Total The Xylenes	는 MTBE 전 EPA 8021B*	中 第一日 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本	TRPH C EPA 418.1	는 LUFT 기 Method	ш Dissolved 7 Oxygen	R Purged/ R Not Purged
MW-7 0 MW-7 0 MW-7 1 MW-7 0 MW-7 0 MW-7 0 MW-7 0 MW-7 0 MW-7 0 MW-7 0 MW-7 0 MW-7 0 MW-7 0 A MW-7 0 MW-7 0	14-15-98 17-24-98 0-19-98 11-28-99 16-25-99 18-25-99 11-10-99 12-09-00 00 nin feet, rel troleum hydr tert-butyl eth coverable pet troleum hydr 8020 prior t ates Environ ms per liter ted le or not anal oratory detec elevation (27) ram fingerpri ed for fuel oo s suspected t	38.68 38.68 38.68 38.68 38.68 38.68 38.68 38.68 38.68 38.68 38.68 ative to mean s ocarbons as gas her roleum hydroca ocarbons as die o 11/10/99 mental Protection yzed tion limit stated j = Z + (h + 0.7) nt is not charac tygenates o be erroneous	13.02 14.18 15.99 15.69 15.36 16.71 16.76 14.45 ca level oline, Californi on Agency ito the right 3) where: Z terristic of die based on sub	ND ND ND ND ND 0.03 mia DHS LU ia DHS LU	25.66 24.50 22.69 23.32 21.97 21.92 24.25 [1] UFT Method FT Method	04-15-98 07-24-98 10-19-98 01-28-99 06-25-99 08-25-99 11-10-99 02-09-00	<10,000 5,800 <2,500 4,500 3,900 3,400 15,000 Not samp	<100 180 54 560 520 730 340 oled: free pro	<100 <50 <25 250 160 77 19 oduct pres	<100 74 72 <50 46 51 13 ept	<100 <50 <25 94 100 110 20	8,900 4,200 3,000 6,200 45,000 62,000 55,000	63,000[3] 76,000[3] 91,000[3]			0.56 0.90 0.37	NP NP NP

1

#### APPENDIX D

Soil Boring and Well Construction Logs



. .. .







$\int$				LC	G	OF E	EXPLORATORY BORING	
PROJ	IECT NUM	IBER: 080	5-127.0	01			BORING NO.: MW-1	
PROJ	IECT NAM	ie: Arco s	Service	Stati	on 2	111	PAGE: 2 of 2	
BY: I	R. Davis			DA	TE:	7/12/95	SURFACE ELEVATION: 39.84 ft.	
RECOVERY (ft/ft)		PENETRA- TION (biws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FEET	SAMPLES	L TIHOGRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAIL
100%	0	24					CLAYEY SANDY SILT (ML), light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4) with yellowish brown (IOYR, 5/6) mottling; 85-90% low to medium plasticity fines; 10-15% fine to coarse sand; firm; wet; no product odor. @22': driller noted harder drilling in more competant material.	
60%	0	15		25			@25.0-26.5': 5-10% fine sand; very stiff; damp to wet (moisture visible in voids); no odor.	
40%	0	8		30			SILTY CLAY (CL), dark greyish brown (2.5Y, 4/2); 90-95% low- to medium-plasticity fines; 5-10% fine sand; soft to firm; very moist, wet in void spaces; no product odor. BORING TERMINATED AT 30.0 FEET BGS.	
			-	35-				
$\sim$		REMARKS		40-				
EMCO ASSOCIAT	N ES	Boring drille a 2" diamet (PVC) grou columns of	ed with 8 er modif ndwater this log.	" diam ied-Ca monito See (	eter alifor pring expla	hollow-s nia split well. Se mation si	stem augers and reamed with 10" diameter augers. Samples were taken spoon sampler. Boring converted into a 4" diameter polyvinyl chloride e explanation sheet for definition of symbols used in well detail and sam heet for definition of symbols on this log.	using nple




PROJ	ECT NUM	BER: 805-	-127.01			BORING NO.: MW-2	
PROJ	ECT NAM	E: ARCO S	Service	Static	en 2111	PAGE: 2 of 2	
8Y: <b>R</b>	. Davis			DAT	'E: <b>7/12/95</b>	SURFACE ELEVATION: 38.71 ft.	
RECOVERY (ft/ft)		PENETRA- TION (Diws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FEET	SAMPLES LTEHOGRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAIL
100%	183	22				SILTY CLAY (CL), continued.	
90%	44	35	-	-		SANDY CLAY (CL), light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 70% medium- plasticity fines; 30% fine to coarse sand; very stiff to hard; moist; no odor.	
25%	10	14	-	-	0000	GRAVEL (GP), dark grayish brown (2.5Y, 4/2); 5-10% low- plasticity fines; 35% fine to coarse	
30%		23	_	25-	0000 0000	sand; 55–60% fine gravel; medium dense; wet; product odor. @25.0-27.2': 10% fines; 40% fine to coarse sand, f:m:c= 2:1:1; 50% fine to coarse gravel to 1.25'';	
20%		13	-	-	0000	wet; product odor.	
5%		16	-	-		©27.5-30.5': poor recovery of native material because of heaving sands inside augers.	
10%		19	-	- 30		CLAY to SANDY CLAY (CL), light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 75–90% low- to medium-plasticity fines; 10–25% fine to coarse sand; trace fine gravel, rounded; stiff; wet; no product odor.	
			-	-		BORING TERMINATED AT 30.5 FEET BGS.	
			-	-			
			-				-
				35—			
			-	-			
	:		-	-			
			<u></u>	40			





[				LO	G OF	F EXPLORATORY BORING		
PROJECT NUMBER: 805-127.01						BORING NO.: MW-3		
PROJ	ECT NAM	E: ARCO S	Service	Static	on 2111	PAGE: 1 of 3		
BY: P	R. Davis			DAT	E: 7/12/	2/95 SURFACE ELEVATION: 40.01 ft.		
RECOVERY (ft/ft)		PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FEET	SAMPLES	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAIL	
		1	1			ASPHALT	• •	
				1		FILL – SANDY GRAVEL (GP).		
60%		27	_	5		SILTY CLAY (CL), very dark grayish brown (10YR, 3/2); 95-100% low- to medium-plasticity		
70%	0	21		7		fines; trace to 5% fine sand; very stiff; damp; no odor.		
	6.0		-	-		@7.0': 10% fine to coarse sand; trace fine gravel.		
60%	0	32	-	-				
60%	0.9	26		10		@10.0-14.5': 95% medium-plasticity fines; 5% fine		
100%	0	25	-	_		to medium sand; very stiff to hard; damp; no odor.		
100%	0	41		1				
60%	0	28	<u></u>	-		@14.5-15.5': mottled olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4) and dark olive gray (5Y, 3/2); moist; no odor. CLAYEY SAND (SC) AND SANDY CLAY (CL)		
100%		25	-			CLAYEY SAND (SC), olive gray (5Y, 5/2); 40%		
80%	0	33	- ¥	-		no odor.		
100%	0	18	- -			low- to medium- plasticity fines; 30-40% fine to medium sand; moist; reddish brown veins; no odor. @16.7-20.0`: 80-85% low- to medium-plasticity fines; 15-20% fine to coarse sand; stiff; moist; no odor.		
	REMARKS Boring drilled with 8" diameter hollow-stem augers and reamed with 10" diameter augers. Samples were taken using							





EMCON ASSOCIATES

$\int$		LOG	OF E	XPLORATORY BORING	
PROJE	CT NUMBER: 805-	-127.01		BORING NO.: MW-3	
PROJECT NAME: ARCO Service Station 2111			2111	PAGE: 3 of 3	
8Y: <b>R</b> .	Davis	DATE	7/12/95	SURFACE ELEVATION: 40.01 ft.	
RECOVERY (ft/ft)	PENETRA- TION (Diws/It)	GROUND DEPTH WATER IN LEVELS FEET	LTIHOGRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAIL
				SILTY GRAVEL (GM), light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 10-20% low- plasticity fines; 30% fine to coarse sand; 50-60% fine to coarse gravel; dense; wet; no odor. BORING TERMINATED AT 40.5 FEET.	
	REMARKS Boring drills	ed with R" diamet	er hollow-«	stem augers and reamed with 10" diameter augers. Samples were taken	using

EMCON ASSOCIATES



	PROJECT NAMEARCO 2111	
EMCON	LUCATION 1150 Davis Street, Sair Leandid	GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 38.88
ASSOCIATES	WELL PERMIT NO. <u>na</u>	DATUMM.S.L.
	a na na sana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana	INSTALLATION DATE
	TOC (Top of casing)	
	Water-tight vault box (Std.)	
4		
		LORATORY BORING
	a. 1	Total depth ft.
	b. I	Diameter10.0_ in.
		Drilling method <u>Hollow Stem Auger</u>
е	h <u>WEI</u>	LL CONSTRUCTION
	с. 1	Fotal casing lengthft.
		Material Schedule 40 PVC
	d. E	Diameter4.0_ in.
a c	e. I	Depth to top perforations10.0_ ft.
	f. F	Perforated lengthft.
	F F	Perforated interval from <u>10.0</u> to <u>24.0</u> ft.
	F 1 1 F	Perforation type Machine Slotted
	F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	Perforation size 0.020 inch
	g. s	Surface seal ft.
	E I I I	Material Concrete
f		Backfill <u>6.0</u> ft.
	N F 1	Material Cement
	. s	Seal <u>1.5</u> ft.
	. [∭F =[∭ ]	Material Bentonite
	. c	Gravel pack16.5_ ft.
		Bravel pack interval from 8.5 to 25.0 ft.
↓ ↓ ·····		Material 2/12 Sand
	Талана ке	Bottom seal/fill ft.
<u> </u>	k	MaterialNative Slough
	b	
_		
Form prepared by	<u>n. Davis</u>	)



$\int $				LOG	OFE	EXPLORATORY BORING	
PROJ	ECT NUM	BER: <b>805</b> -	-127.01			BORING NO.: MW-4	
PROJECT NAME: ARCO Service Station 211			Station	2111	PAGE: 2 of 2		
BY: F	R. Davis			DATE	: 7/13/95	SURFACE ELEVATION: 38.88 ft.	
RECOVERY (ft/ft)		PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS		L TIHOGRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAIL
100% 90% 60%	0	26 56		25		SANDY CLAY (CL), continued. @25.0-27.7': 25% fine to medium sand; iron oxide staining; firm; wet; no product odor.; 70% medium-plasticity fines; 30% fine to coarse sand ; very stiff; moist; no odor. CLAYEY GRAVEL (GC) TO CLAYEY SAND (SC), light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 10-20% medium plastic fines; 40-45% fine gravel; very dense; wet; no product odor. BORING TERMINATED AT 28.5 FEET BGS.	
		REMARKS		40			

EMCON ASSOCIATES



PROJECT NUMBER20805-127.001BORING/WELL NO. MW-5PROJECT NAMEArcoStation #2111TOP OF CASING ELEV. 37.21 COUNTY San Leandro WELL PERMIT NO. 96126 (ZONE 7)

BORING/WELL NO. MW-5 GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 37.66 DATUM \_\_\_\_\_MSL\_\_\_\_ INSTALLATION DATE 3/1/96



#### EXPLORATORY BORING

a.	Total depth	<u>30</u> ft.
ь.	Diameter	<u>    8                                </u>

Drilling method HOLLOW STEM AUGER

#### WELL CONSTRUCTION

c.	Total casing length	<u>24</u> _ft.
	Material <u>SCH 40 PVC</u>	
d.	Diameter	<u>2</u> in.
e.	Depth to top perforations	<u>9.4</u> ft.
f.	Perforated length	<u>14.0</u> ft.
	Perforated interval from 9.4	t <u>o 23.4 ft</u> .
	Perforation type MACHINE SL	OTTED
	Perforation size 0.010 INCH	
g.	Surface seal	<u>0.5</u> ft.
	Seal material <u>CONCRETE</u>	
h.	Backfill	<u>6.5</u> ft.
	Backfill material <u>CEMENT</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ī.	Seal	<u>1.0</u> ft.
	Seal material <u>BENTONITE</u>	
İ۰	Gravel pack	<u>15.0</u> ft.
	Pack material <u>#2/12 SAND</u>	
k.	Bottom seal	<u>6.0</u> ft.
	Seal material <u>BENTONITE</u>	

		AAAATHO YAAAAAAAAAAAA	]	00	<b>G</b> (	OF E	XPLORATORY BORING			
PRO	DJECT NU	IMBER	2080	5-127	.00	1	BORING NO.	MW-5		
PRO	JECT NA	ME	Arco	Serv	ice	Station	#2111, San Leandro, California PAGE	1 OF 2		
BY	R. Dav	is	DAT	Е :	3/1/	/96	SURFACE ELEV.	37.66 fi		
PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Recovery (ft./ft.)	Penetra- tion (Blows per 6")	GROUND MATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION		W DE	ELL TAIL
			-				ASPHALT.			-
			-	-			ROADBASE FILL: CLAYEY GRAVEL, no product odor.	· · ·		م م م م م م م م م م م م م م م م م م م
0.0	1.5/1.5	4 15 20		5			SILTY CLAY (CL), dark grayish brown (10YR, 3/2); 100% low to medium-plasticity fines; trace fine sand; roots and rootholes common; hard; damp; no odor.			
0.0	1.5/1.5	7 13 19		10-			@9-10.5': very dark grayish brown (10YR, 3/2); rootholes common; hard; damp; no hydrocarbon odor.		[]]	
0.0	1.5/1.5	5 11 12	- - - - - - -				@14-15.5': light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4) with trace black mottling; 90% low to medium-plasticity fines; 10% fine-grained sand; hard; moist; no hydrocarbon odor.			
0.0	1.5/1.5	15 18	-				<ul> <li>@17': Water visible inside augers.</li> <li>@19-20.5': as above; grayish veins present; hard; wet; no hydrocarbon odor.</li> </ul>			
	RE Bo Bo	EMARKS ring drilled to ring complete	o a depth ed as a 2"	of 30 f dia. P	eet l VC	below grad	de (fbg) by West Hazmat using 8" dia. hollow-stem auger equipment.			

Boring completed as a 2" dia. PVC groundwater monitoring well screened from 9 to 24 fbg. Groundwater encountered at 17 fbg and stabilized at 13 fbg.

EMCON

ſ			I	200	3 (	OF E	XPLORATORY BORING		
PRC	DJECT NU	MBER	2080	5-127	.00	1	BORING NO.	MW-5	
PRC	JECT NA	ME	Arco	Servi	ice	Station	#2111, San Leandro, California PAGE	2 OF 2	
BY	R. Dav	is	DAT	Е 3	3/1/	/96	SURFACE ELEV.	37.66 ft	
PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Recovery (ft./ft.)	Penetra- tion (Blows per 6")	GROUND MATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION		WELL DETAIL
		25					SILTY CLAY (CL), continued.		
0.0	1.5/1.5	4 4 8					@24-25.5': as above; no hydrocarbon odor.		
0.0	1.5/1.5	7 11 15		-			@28.5-30': as above; wet; no hydrocarbon odor.		
				35			BORING TERMINATED AT 30 FBG.		
EMC	RE Bo Bo ene	EMARKS oring drilled t oring complet countered at	o a depth o ed as a 2" 17 fbg and	of 30 fé dia. P' I stabili	eet I VC ized	pelow grad groundwai at 13 fbg.	ie (fbg) by West Hazmat using 8" dia. hollow-stem suger equipment. ter monitoring well screened from 9 to 24 fbg. Groundwater was first		



PROJECT NAME Arco Station #2111 TOP OF CASING ELEV. 37.11 COUNTY San Leandro GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 38.19 WELL PERMIT NO. 96126 (ZONE 7)

BORING/WELL NO. MW-6 DATUM MSL INSTALLATION DATE 3/1/96



## EXPLORATORY BORING

a.	Total	depth	<u>27.5</u> ft.
----	-------	-------	-----------------

_in	
	_in

Drilling method HOLLOW STEM AUGER

#### WELL CONSTRUCTION

ç.	Total casing length	<u>24</u> ft.
	Material <u>SCH 40 PVC</u>	11 115 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
d.	Diameter	<u>2</u> in.
e.	Depth to top perforations	<u>10</u> ft.
f.	Perforated length	<u>15</u> ft.
	Perforated interval from 10	t <u>o 25</u> ft.
	Perforation type <u>MACHINE SL</u>	OTTED
	Perforation size 0.010 INCH	
g.	Surface seal	<u>0.5</u> ft.
	Seal material <u>CONCRETE</u>	
h.	Backfill	<u>7.5</u> ft.
	Backfill material <u>CEMENT</u>	
i.	Seal	<u>1.0</u> ft.
	Seal material <u>BENTONITE</u>	
j.	Gravel pack	<u>16.0</u> ft.
	Pack material <u>#2/12 SAND</u>	
k.	Bottom seal	<u>2.5</u> ft.
	Seal material <u>NATIVE SLOUG</u>	<u>H</u>

$\bigcap$		····	I	2 <b>0</b> 6	<b>; 0</b> ]	F EX	XPLORATORY BORING		
PRC	PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001						BORING NO.	MW-6	
PRC	JECT NA	ME	Arco	Arco Service Station #			#2111, San Leandro, California PAGE	1 OF 2	
BY	R. Davi	is	DAT	E 3	/1/96	5 	SURFACE ELEV.	38.19 ft	•
PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Recovery (ft./ft.)	Penetra- tion (Blows per 6")	GROUND HATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SAMPLES	ITHO- APHIC OLUMN	DESCRIPTION		WELL DETAIL
			-				ASPHALT.	/	
				- - 			ROADBASE FILL: CLAYEY GRAVEL (GC), no hydrocarbon odor.		աներեներիների երելեներիների
0.0	1.3/1.5	6 10 10	er Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari Taritari	5-			CLAY (CL), dark grayish brown (10YR, 3/2); 100% medium-plasticity fines; trace fine sand; very stiff; damp; no hydrocarbon odor.		անություններին են երերերին։ Անեներին երերերին երերերին
0.0	1.5/1.5	7 11 20		10-			@9-10.5': as above; 10% fine gravel, angular; very stiff; damp; no hydrocarbon odor.		11111111111111111111111111111111111111
0.0	1.5/1.5	6 11 12					<ul> <li>@14-15.5': light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4) with trace black mottling; 100% low to medium-plasticity fines (high silt content); trace fine sand; very stiff; moist; no hydrocarbon odor.</li> <li>@16.5-18': as above; wet; no product odor.</li> </ul>		
0.0	1.5/1.5	12 15					@19-20.5': as above; trace black mottling; 10-20%		
0.0	1.4/1.5	8 9		20			fine to coarse-grained sand; no hydrocarbon odor.		
(	RE Bo	EMARKS ring drilled t	o a depth	of 27.5	feet b	elow gr	ade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 8" dia. hollow-stem auger equipmen	t.	

EMCON

Boring completed as a 2" dia. PVC groundwater monitoring well screened from 10 to 25 fbg. Groundwater was first encountered at 16 fbg and stabilized at 14 fbg.

(		<u></u>	I	JOG	OF	EXPLORATORY BORING		
PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001						BORING NO.	MW-6	
PRO	JECT NA	ME	Arco	Servic	e Stati	n #2111, San Leandro, California PAGE	2 OF 2	1
BY	R. Davi	is	DAT	E 3/	1/96	SURFACE ELEV.	38.19 ft	•
PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Recovery (ft./ft.)	Penetra- tion (Blows per 6")	GROUND MATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	GRAP	C DESCRIPTION N		WELL DETAIL
0.0	0.9/1.5	13 15		) - -		CLAY (CL), continued. CLAYEY GRAVEL (GC), light olive brown (2.5Y, S(4): 20-25% low to medium- plasticity fines:		
0.0	1.0/1.5	19 25 25		-		20% fine to coarse-grained sand; 55-60% fine to coarse gravel (to 2" dia.); dense; wet; no hydrocarbon odor.		
0.0	0.8/1.5	28 50/5.5" 10 25 45		25-		@24.5-27.5': as above; no hydrocarbon odor.		1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1
				30		BORING TERMINATED AT 27.5 FBG.		
EMC		EMARKS oring drilled oring comple ncountered at	to a depth sted as a 2' : 16 fbg an	of 27.5 ' dia. P d stabili	feet bek /C grout zed at 14	v grade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 8" dia. hollow-stem auger equipmen water monitoring well screened from 10 to 25 fbg. Groundwater was firs fbg.	t. t	



b

PROJECT NUMBER20805-127.001PROJECT NAMEArcoStation#2111COUNTYSanLeandroGIWELLPERMITNO.96126D

BORING/WELL NO. MW-7 TOP OF CASING ELEV. <u>38.68</u> GROUND SURFACE ELEV. <u>38.99</u> DATUM <u>MSL</u> INSTALLATION DATE <u>2/29/96</u>

# XXXX ĝ 4. 0 d e h i C a f i k Ь

## EXPLORATORY BORING

а.	Total	depth	<u>33.5</u> ft.
----	-------	-------	-----------------

Diameter		1	<u>0 in.</u>
Drilling method	HOLLOW	STEM	AUGER

#### WELL CONSTRUCTION

¢.	Total casing length	<u>27</u> _ft.
	Material <u>SCH 40 PVC</u>	
d.	Diameter	<u>4</u> in.
e.	Depth to top perforations	<u>12</u> ft.
f.	Perforated length	<u>15</u> ft.
	Perforated interval from 12	to 27 ft.
	Perforation type MACHINE SL	OTTED
	Perforation size 0.010 INCH	
g.	Surface seal	<u>0.5</u> ft.
	Seal material <u>CONCRETE</u>	
h.	Backfill	<u>9.0</u> ft.
	Backfill material <u>CEMENT</u>	
<b>i</b> .	Seal	<u>1.0</u> ft.
	Seal material <u>BENTONITE</u>	·····-
į٠	Gravel pack	<u>16.5</u> ft.
	Pack material <u>#2/12 SAND</u>	
k.	Bottom seal	<u>6.5</u> ft.
	Seal material NATIVE SLOUG	Η

			I	LOG	+ (	OF E	XPLORATORY BORING		
PRO	OJECT NU	MBER	2080	5-127.	001	L	BORING NO.	MW-7	:
PR	OJECT NA	Image: NAME         Arco Service Station #2111, San Leandro, California         PAGE		Arco Service Station #			1 OF 2		
BY	R. Dav	is	DAT	E 24	/29	/96	SURFACE ELEV.	38.99 fi	t <b>.</b>
PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Recovery (ft./ft.)	Penetra- tion (Blows per 6")	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION		WELL DETAIL
<u> </u>	1			<u> </u>	 		- ASPHALT		
							FILL: GRAVEL (GP) ROADBASE.		444444
				 		×.	FILL: CLAYEY GRAVEL (GC), brown; damp; no hydrocarbon odor.		والإلارانية الإلارانية (1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/
2.8	1.0/1.5	16 20 26		5-1			SILTY CLAY (CL), dark grayish brown (10YR, 4/2); 85-90% low to medium-plasticity fines; 10-15% fine to coarse-grained sand; trace iron oxide staining; hard; damp; no hydrocarbon odor.		ություները որեներին երեներին երեներին։ ԱՅԱՆԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐԵՐ
7.9	1.2/1.5	5 8 18		10-			@9.5-11': very dark grayish brown (10YR, 3/2); as above (high silt content); trace rootholes; very stiff; damp; no hydrocarbon odor.		
	0/1.5		- 	-			@12-13.5': no recovery.		
28.0	1.5/1.5	7 17	- 	15-			@14.5-15': as above; moist. @15-16': gray (5Y, 5/1) with yellowish brown		
- 34.0	1.5/1.5	20 8 18	. <u>.</u>	•			(101 R, 5/4) mottling; rootholes common; hard; moist; hydrocarbon odor.		
77.0	1.0/1.5	22 9 12	-				@17.5-19': grayish veins present; 90% low to medium-plasticity fines; 10% fine-grained sand;		
101.0	1.3/1.5	13 15	- •	20-			u ace nine graver; naro; wet; nydrocarbon odor.		
EMCO	RE Bor enc	MARKS ing drilled to ing complete ountered at 1	a depth o d as a 4" o 7 fbg.	f 33.5 f lia. PV	èet i C gi	below gra roundwate	nde (fbg) by West Hazmat using 10" dia. hollow-stem auger equipmen er monitoring well screened from 12 to 27 fbg. Groundwater was	t.	

$\bigcap$			]	LOG	÷	OF E	XPLORATORY BORIN	√G		
PRO	DJECT NU	MBER	2080	5-127.	.00	1		BORING NO.	MW-7	
PRO	DJECT NA	ME	Arco	Servi	ce	Station	#2111, San Leandro, California	PAGE	2 OF 2	
BY	R. Dav	is	DAT	E 2	/29	9/96	S	URFACE ELEV.	38.99 ft	•
PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Recovery (ft./ft.)	Penetra- tion (Blows per 6")	GROUND HATER LEVELS	DEPTH In Ft.	SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPT	ION		WELL DETAIL
	1.3/1.5	20 8 15	-				SANDY CLAY (CL), yellowish with gray (5Y, 5/1) mottling; medium-plasticity fines; 25-30 coarse-grained sand; 5% fine	brown (10YR, 5/4) 65-75% low to 0% fine to	ſ	
	0.5/1.5	20 22	-	.			wet; hydrocarbon odor.	blive brown (2.5Y	]	
~-	0.2/1.5	30 50/6"	: - 	-			4/4) to yellowish brown (10Y low to medium-plasticity fines coarse-grained sand; 25% fine	R, 5/4); 25-30% s; 45-50% fine to e to coarse gravel;		
w	0.2/0.5	50/6"		25-j			dense; wet; hydrocarbon odor @22-23.5': very dense; wet; hyd @23.5-25': no recovery; very den	rocarbon odor, nse.		
	0.1/0.5	50/6"		]						
	0.2/0.5	50/6"		]			From 25 to 32.5': Minimal recov sands.	ery due to heaving		
	0.2/0.5	50/6"		<sup>30</sup> -]						
 1.4	0.5/0.5 0.6/1.0	50/6" 50 50					CLAY (CL), mottled yellowish b to dark brown (10YR, 5/2); 8	rown (10YR, 5/4) 5-95%	·	
							medium-plasticity fines; 5-159 coarse-grained sand; hard; we odor.	% fine to t; no hydrocarbon		
			-	-			BORING TERMINATED AT 33	.5 FBG.		
			-							
				40-						
	RE Box Box end	MARKS ring drilled to ring complete countered at 1	o a depth o ed as a 4" 17 fbg.	of 33.5 dia. PV	feet /C g	t below gr groundwat	ade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 10" dia. ho er monitoring well screened from 12 to 27 f	llow-stem auger equipmen bg. Groundwater was	IE,	
EMCO	ÓN		-							

b.



PROJECT NUMBER20805-127.001BORING/WELL NO. VW-1PROJECT NAMEArcoStation#2111TOP OF CASING ELEV.38.94 COUNTY San Leandro WELL PERMIT NO. \_\_\_\_96126 (ZONE 7)

GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 39.39 DATUM \_\_\_\_MSL INSTALLATION DATE 2/29/96

## XXXXX ģ 4. 1 d e h i С α f Ĩ k b

## EXPLORATORY BORING

a. T	otal	depth	<u>_20f</u> 1	ł.
------	------	-------	---------------	----

Diameter	<u>10</u> in.
----------	---------------

Drilling method HOLLOW STEM AUGER

#### WELL CONSTRUCTION

ċ.	Total casing length	<u>19.5</u> ft.
	Material <u>SCH 40 PVC</u>	
d.	Diameter	_4in.
e.	Depth to top perforations	<u>5</u> ft.
f.	Perforated length	<u>15</u> ft.
	Perforated interval from 5	t <u>o 20</u> ft.
	Perforation type MACHINE SI	OTTED
	Perforation size 0.020 INCH	
g.	Surface seal	<u>0.5</u> ft.
	Seal material <u>CONCRETE</u>	
h.	Backfill	<u>3.0</u> ft.
	Backfill material <u>CEMENT</u>	
i.	Seal	<u>1.5</u> _ft.
	Seal material <u>BENTONITE</u>	
1.	Gravel pack	<u>15.0</u> ft.
	Pack material <u>#2/12 SAND</u>	
k.	Bottom seal	NA ft.
	Seal material <u>NA</u>	

$\bigcap$	LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING								
PRO	JECT NU	MBER	2080	5-127.0	01	BORING NO.	VW-1		
PRO	JECT NA	ME	Arco	Service	Station	#2111, San Leandro, California PAGE	1 OF 1		
BY	R. Davi	is	DAT	E 2/2	9/96	SURFACE ELEV.	39.39 ft		
					T				
PID Reading	Sample Recovery	Penetra- tion		Ξ⊢ u	LITHO-	DECOD FOR TON		WELL	
		(Blows	ROU JATE EVE		COLUMN	DESCRIPTION		DETAIL	
(ppm)	(ft./ft.)	per 6")	6-1	N H					
			L		-	ASPHALT.			
ĺ			-			ROADBASE FILL: GRAVEL (GP)		ել լեն կել կելել	
			-		-	ETT CTAVEN CDAVET (C/C) light collegeigh		ililili ililili	
						brown; no hydrocarbon odor.		19116	
			-					Î	
2.7	1.0/1.5	8	-			SILTY CLAY (CL), dark grayish brown (10YR,			
		16	-	2-		3/2); 95-100% low to medium-plasticity fines;			
		17	-			rootholes and orange mottling; hard; damp; no		E	
			-	·		hydrocarbon odor.			
					VIIII				
			-					E	
			-						
22	1 2/1 5	10				@9.5-11': light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4) with			
	2.24 1.5	11		10-		occassional dark brown mottling; rootholes			
		16		]		present; very stiff; damp; no hydrocarbon odor.			
				~					
1.3	1.0/1.5	7	-			@12-13.5': mottled gray (5Y, 5/1) and light olive		Ш	
		10	_			brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 90% low to medium-plasticity fines: 10% fine to medium-prained sand:		Ш	
		14	-			rootholes present; very stiff; moist; hydrocarbon			
						odor.			
5.3	1.2/1.5	9 10		15-		@14.5-16; as above; moist; hydrocarbon odor.			
		10	$\nabla$	-		@16', wat (mainture wights in waide), hudesarehan			
			-			odor.			
16.0	1 3/1 5	4	-	7		@17-18.5'; as above; wet; hydrocarbon odor.			
10.0	1,511,5	9		_		, , , , ,			
010.0	1.011 5	12				@18.5.201. or shows 200% fine to scores preined			
210.0	1.3/1.5	7				sand; wet; hydrocarbon odor.			
		17	-	20-		BORING TERMINATED AT 20 FBG.			
	RF	EMARKS		20					
	Bo	ring drilled t	o a depth	of 20 feet	below grad	le (fbg) by West Hazmat using 10" dia. hollow-stem auger equipment.			

EMCON Bo

Boring drilled to a depth of 20 feet below grade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 10" dia. hollow-stem auger equipment. Boring completed as a 4" dia. PVC vapor extraction well screened from 5 to 15 fbg. Groundwater was encountered at 16 fbg.



PROJECT NAME Arco Station #2111 TOP OF CASING ELEV. 38.28 COUNTY San Leandro WELL PERMIT NO. 96126 (ZONE 7)

BORING/WELL NO. W-2 GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 38.99 DATUM MSL INSTALLATION DATE 2/29/96



## EXPLORATORY BORING

a.	Total	depth	<u>_20ft</u> .
----	-------	-------	----------------

<u>10</u> in. b. Diameter

Drilling method HOLLOW STEM AUGER

#### WELL CONSTRUCTION

c.	Total casing length	<u>19.5</u> ft.
	Material <u>SCH 40 PVC</u>	
d.	Diameter	<u>4</u> in.
е.	Depth to top perforations	<u> </u>
f.	Perforated length	<u>15</u> ft.
	Perforated interval from 5	t <u>o 20</u> ft.
	Perforation type MACHINE SL	OTTED
	Perforation size 0.020 INCH	
g.	Surface seal	<u>0.5</u> ft.
	Seal material <u>CONCRETE</u>	
h.	Backfill	<u>3.5ft</u> .
	Backfill material <u>CEMENT</u>	
ī.	Seal	<u>1.0</u> ft.
	Seal material <u>BENTONITE</u>	
1.	Gravel pack	<u>15.0</u> ft.
	Pack material <u>#2/12 SAND</u>	
k.	Bottom seal	NAft.
	Seal material <u>NA</u>	

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING										
PRO	DJECT NU	IMBER	20805-127.001			1		BORING NO.	VW-2	
PRO	JECT NA	ME	Arco Service Station #			Station	#2111, San Leandro, California	PAGE	1 OF 2	
BY	R. Dav	is	DATE 2/29/96			9/96	SU	RFACE ELEV.	38.99 ft	•
PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Recovery (ft./ft.)	Penetra- tion (BLows per 6")	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTIO	N		WELL DETAIL
	1						- ASPHALT.			*
			-			a to attack a same	<b>ROADBASE FILL</b> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,1111, 1111,
0.4	1 0/1 5	15					FILL: SANDY SILTY CLAY (CL brown (10YR, 3/2).	), dark grayish		riternternetternetternetternetternetternetternetternetternetternetternetternetternetternetternetternetternetter
0.4	1.071.0	22 30		5-			SILTY CLAY (CL), light olive browith grayish orange mottling; 9 medium-plasticity fines; 10% fi medium-grained sand; hard; dar hydrocarbon odor.	own (2.5Y, 5/4) 0% low to ne to np; no		
2.2	1.5/1.5	7 8 14		10-			@9.5-11': dark grayish brown (10) no hydrocarbon odor.	(R, 3/2); damp;		
12.0	1.5/1.5	9 14 20		-  			@12-13.5': as above; no hydrocarb	on odor.		
74.0	1.2/1.5	7 17 18	- - - ¥	15-			@14.5-16': olive gray (2.5Y, 5/1); content; rootholes present; mois odor.	increasing silt t; hydrocarbon		
79.0		6 10 17	- 							
159.0		6 12 17	- - -	20			SANDY CLAY (CL), mottled yello (10YR, 5/4) to light olive browr 75-80% low to medium-plasticit fine to coarse-grained sand; 5%	wish brown 1 (2.5Y, 5/4); y fines; 15-20% fine gravel; very		
REMARKS Boring drilled to a depth of 20 feet below grade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 10" dia. hollow-stem auger equipment. Boring completed as a 4" dia. PVC vapor extraction well screened from 5 to 20 fbg. Groundwater was encountered at 16 fbg.										

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING								
PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001				5-127.0	01	BORING NO.	VW-2	
PRO	PROJECT NAME Arco Service Station #			Service	Station	#2111, San Leandro, California PAGE	2 OF 2	
BY	R. Davi	is	DATI	E 2/2	9/96	SURFACE ELEV.	<b>38.99</b> fi	t.
PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Recovery (ft./ft.)	Penetra- tion (Blows per 6")	GROUND MATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT. SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION		WELL DETAIL
(ppm)	(ft./ft.)	per 6")		25 30 40 40 520 feet		stiff; wet; hydrocarbon odor. BORING TERMINATED AT 20 FBG.		
	16 fbg.							
EMCC	DN							



PROJECT NAME Arco Station #2111 TOP OF CASING ELEV. 38.01 COUNTY <u>San Leandro</u> WELL PERMIT NO. \_\_\_\_96126 (ZONE 7)

BORING/WELL NO. VW-3 GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 38.71 DATUM \_\_\_\_MSL INSTALLATION DATE 2/29/96



## EXPLORATORY BORING

a.	Total	depth	<u>20</u> ft.
----	-------	-------	---------------

b. Diameter	10	_in.
-------------	----	------

Drilling method HOLLOW STEM AUGER

## WELL CONSTRUCTION

c.	Total casing length	<u>19.5</u> ft.
	Material <u>SCH 40 PVC</u>	
d.	Diameter	<u>4</u> in.
e.	Depth to top perforations	<u>5</u> ft.
f.	Perforated length	<u>15</u> ft.
	Perforated interval from 5	t <u>o 20</u> ft.
	Perforation type MACHINE SL	.OTTED
	Perforation size 0.020 INCH	*****
g.	Surface seal	<u>0.5</u> ft.
	Seal material <u>CONCRETE</u>	
h.	Backfill	<u>3.0</u> ft.
	Backfill material CEMENT	
i.	Seal	<u>1.5</u> ft.
	Seal material <u>BENTONITE</u>	
į.	Gravel pack	<u>15.0</u> ft.
	Pack material <u>#2/12 SAND</u>	
k.	Bottom seal	<u>NA</u> ft.
	Seal material <u>NA</u>	

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING								
PROJECT NUMBER			2080	5-127.	001	BORING NO.	VW-3	
PROJECT NAME Arco Service Station			Servi	ce Stat	on #2111, San Leandro, California PAGE	1 OF 1		
BY	R. Dav	is	DAT	E 2	/28/96	SURFACE ELEV.	38.71 ft	•
PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Recovery (ft./ft.)	Penetra- tion (Blows per 6")	GROUND MATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SULITI GRAF COLI	O- NIC DESCRIPTION MN		WELL DETAIL
			_			ASPHALT.		
						FILL MATERIAL,		والمالية المالية القوار المراطقة المراطة والمالية المالية الموالية المراطقة المراطة
5.2	1.5/1.5	7 14 20		5		CLAY (CL), dark grayish brown (10YR, 4/2); 90% medium-plasticity fines; 10% fine-grained sand; rootholes present; iron oxide staining in veins; damp; no hydrocarbon odor.		
6.6	1.5/1.5	7 17 20		10		@9.5-11': very dark grayish brown (10YR, 3/2) with occassional gray and orange-brown (iron oxide) mottling; 90% low to medium-plasticity fines; 10% fine-grained sand; increasing silt content; hard; damp; no hydrocarbon odor.		
15.5		8 14 22		15-		CLAYEY, SANDY SILT (ML), gray (5Y, 5/1); 80-85% low-plasticity fines; 15-20% fine-grained sand; hard; moist; hydrocarbon odor. @16': wet; hydrocarbon odor.		
2.2		6 9 11				SILTY SANDY CLAY (CL), mottled yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4) to light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 75-80% low to medium-plasticity fines; 15-20% fine to coarse-grained sand; 5% fine gravel; very stiff; wet; hydrocarbon odor. BORING TERMINATED AT 20 FBG.		
	RE Bo Bo	MARKS ring drilled to ring complete	o a depth o ed as a 4"	of 20 fee dia. PV	et below C vapor	rade (fbg) by West Hazmat using 10" dia. hollow-stem suger equipment.	*	

EMCON Boring comple

# EMCON

WELL DETAILS PROJECT NAME Arco Station #2111 TOP OF CASING ELEV. 38.38 COUNTY San Leandro WELL PERMIT NO. 96126 (ZONE 7)

BORING/WELL NO. VW-4 GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 39.23 DATUM MSL INSTALLATION DATE 2/28/96



## EXPLORATORY BORING

a. Total depth	<u>20</u> ft.
----------------	---------------

•	Diamete	er		1	<u>0in</u> .	
	Drillina	method	HOLLOW	STEM	AUGER	

## WELL CONSTRUCTION

b.

c.	Total casing length	<u>19.5</u> ft.
	Material <u>SCH 40 PVC</u>	
d.	Diameter	<u>4in.</u>
е.	Depth to top perforations	<u>6.5</u> _ft.
f.	Perforated length	<u>13</u> _ft.
	Perforated interval from 6.5	t <u>o 19.5</u> ft.
	Perforation type MACHINE SL	OTTED
	Perforation size 0.020 INCH	
g.	Surface seal	<u>0.5</u> ft.
	Seal material <u>CONCRETE</u>	
h.	Backfill	<u>4.5</u> ft.
	Backfill material <u>CEMENT</u>	
i.	Seal	<u>1.5</u> _ft.
	Seal material <u>BENTONITE</u> CH	IPS
Ī٠	Gravel pack	<u>13.5</u> _ft.
	Pack material <u>#2/12 SAND</u>	·····
k.	Bottom seal	NAft.
	Seal material <u>NA</u>	

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING								
PROJECT NUMBER 20805-127.001				5-127.00	91	BORING NO.	VW-4	
PROJECT NAME Arco Service Station #				Service	Station	#2111, San Leandro, California PAGE	1 OF 1	
BY	R. Dav	is	DAT	E 2/2	8/96	SURFACE ELEV.	39.23 ft	•
PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Recovery (ft./ft.)	Penetra- tion (Blows per 6")	GROUND MATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT. SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION		WELL DETAIL
						ASPHALT.		
	2		-			CONCRETE.		
0.5	1.2/1.5	9				FILL: SANDY CLAY (CL), brown; 70% medium-plasticity fines; 30% fine to coarse-grained sand; damp; no hydrocarbon odor.		եներներեներներեներեների։ Եթողեներեներեներեներիներ
		15 16				FILL: SILTY CLAY (CL), very dark gray (5Y, 3/1) with olive (5Y, 4/4) mottling; 95-100% medium-plasticity fines; trace to 5% fine-grained sand; very stiff; damp; hydrocarbon odor.		
23.1	0.8/1.5	22 25 29		10-		FILL: CLAYEY SAND (SC), dark gray to yellowish brown; 30-40% medium-plasticity fines; 60-70% fine to coarse-grained sand; trace fine gravel; very dense; damp; hydrocarbon odor.		
92.3	1.2/1.5	69	-  - - -			<ul> <li>CLAYEY SAND (SC), very dark gray (5Y, 3/1);</li> <li>30-35% medium-plasticity fines; 40-45% fine to coarse-grained sand; 25% fine gravel; medium dense; moist; hydrocarbon odor.</li> <li>@15.5': wet (moisture in voids).</li> </ul>		
281.0	1.5/1.5	15 9 12 16				SILTY CLAY (CL), light olive brown (2.5Y, 5/4); 90-95% low to medium-plasticity fines; trace to 5% fine-grained sand; 5% fine gravel; very stiff; wet; hydrocarbon odor.		
878.0	1.5/1.5	6 7 15	-	- 20-		BORING TERMINATED AT 20 FBG.		
EMC		EMARKS oring drilled oring comple : 15.5 fbg.	to a depth ted as a 4	of 20 feet dia. PVC	t below gra C vapor ext	ide (fbg) by West Hazmat using 10" dia, hollow-stem auger equipment traction well screened from 6.5 to 19.5 fbg. Groundwater was encount	t. tered	



PAGE 1 of 2





# 1333 Broadway, Suite 800

LOG	OF BORING
Borehole ID:	SB-1
Total Depth:	37 feet

The set			LOC	g Ol	FΒ	OR	ING		
	1333 Broadway, Suite 80	00	Borehole IC	: SB-	-1				
	Oakland, California 946'	12	Total Depth	<b>: 37</b> 1	feet				
PROJECT	NFORMATION	1	DRILLING INFORMATION						
Project: BP - Site #2111	*******	Drilling	Company: Gre	gg Dril	lling &	& Test	ting		
Site Location: 1156 Davis	Driller:	Germaine/Jose				*****			
Project Manager: Scott R	obínson	Туре о	f Drilling Rig: D	P13 G	eopro	be	<b>₩₽₽₩₩₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽</b>		
RG:	99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99	Drilling	Method: Direct	Push	*****				
Geologist: Christopher She	eridan	Sampling Method: Continuous							
Job Number: 38486896	۵۰۶٬۵۵۵٬۹۹۵٬۰۹۹٬۹۹۹٬۹۹۹٬۹۹۹٬۹۹۹٬۹۹۹٬۹۹۹٬۹۹۹	Date(s)	Drilled: 3/20/04	- 3/21/	/04	et consideration and a second			
	BORING IN	FORMA	ΓΙΟΝ	inen:personaleccessor	**********				
Groundwater Depth (ft b	gs): 20 feet	Boring	Location:Davis	St. Cor	nmun	ity Ce	nter parking lot		
Hand Auger Depth (ft bg	<b>(5):</b> 5.0 feet	Boring Diameter: 2-inch					aan ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa		
Coordinates: X -122.10	692944 <b>Y</b> 37.7223623	Boring	Type: Explorato	ry	minaideeina	in dation into here i			
Depth (ft bgs) Symbol	Lithologic Descriptior	n		USCS	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Commen		
0 CLA <sup>*</sup> clay,	Y: DARK BROWN to BROWN silty clay wit 30% silt, 15% gravel). Soft, low plasticity,	h some gra damp, no	avel (55% odor.	CL	0		L Hand auger to 5 feet bgs		
4 SILT dam; 6	: BROWN clayey silt (35% clay, 65% silt). p.	Soft, no pl	asticity,	ML	0				
8 CLAY to me	Y: DARK BROWN to BROWN silty clay (60 oderately stiff, low plasticity, damp.	)% clay, 40	1% silt). Soft	CL	0				
	: BROWN clayey silt (30% clay, 70% sill).			ML					
L CLAY low p	Y: DARK BROWN silty clay (65% clay, 35% plasticity, damp.	6 silt). Moi	derately stiff,	CL	0				
I SILT	BROWN silt (100% silt). Soft, no plasticit	ly, moist.		ML	0	<b>)</b>			
Tie SANI grade	D: BROWN fine sand with little clay (10% c ed, loose, wet.	ay, 90% s:	and). Poorly	SP	0				
15', c	olor change to LIGHT BROWN		• • •		0				
16', tr	ace sand, moist						32		
20 GRA	VELLY CLAY: (20.25') grades toBROWN 30% gravel). Well graded, wet	gravelley (	clay (70%		0				
E 22	Y: BROWN silty clay (70% clay, 35% silt). I icity, damp.	Moderately	y stiff, no	SP	and the second				
24 SANI	D: BROWN fine sand with little clay (10% c ed. loose, saturated.	lay, 90% s	and). Poorly	CL	0				
= 26	<ol> <li>BROWN Silly Clay with trace tine to coars</li> <li>Sand). Moderately stiff to stiff, no plastic</li> </ol>	se sand (6 city, damp	o% ciay, 30% to moist.						
28 slight	increased fine to coarse sand. Soft, low pl	lasticity, sa	aturated.		0 0				
BP/ARCO	Page 1	of 2			Bo	oreh	ole ID: SB-1		

UR		LOG OF	BORING	B	orel	hole	ID: SB-1
Depth (ft bgs)	Symbol	Lithologic Desc	ription	USCS	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments
1 30 1 32		same silty clay.	<u></u>		0 0		
1 34 1 36 1 36		same silty clay. End of Boring at 37' bgs.			0		98 - 699 - 99 - 99 - 99 - 99 - 99 - 99 -
				our owner of the		~r~L-	
BP/AR	CO	Pe	ige 2 of 2		В	orenc	Na IN' 98-1



#### 1333 Broadway, Suite 800 Oakland, California 94612

	LO	G	OF	BO	RI	NG
A REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PR				and the part of the test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test of test o		a second a second data and the second second

Borehole ID: SB-2 Total Depth: 40 feet **PROJECT INFORMATION DRILLING INFORMATION** Project: BP - Site #2111 Drilling Company: Gregg Drilling & Testing Site Location: 1156 Davis St, San Leandro, CA Driller: Germaine/Jose Project Manager: Scott Robinson Type of Drilling Rig: DP13 Geoprobe RG: Drilling Method: Direct Push Geologist: Christopher Sheridan Sampling Method: Continous Job Number: 38486896 Date(s) Drilled: 3/21/04 **BORING INFORMATION** Boring Location: ARCO #2111 Groundwater Depth (ft bgs): 21 Hand Auger Depth (ft bgs): 5.0 Boring Diameter: 2-inch Coordinates: X-122.1686721 ¥37.7217975 Boring Type: Exploratory Depth (ft bgs) PID (ppm) Recovery uscs Symbol Sample ID / Comments Lithologic Description 0 CLAY: BROWN silty clay with fine to coarse sand and some fine to coarse gravel (35% clay, 25% silt, 25% sand, 15% gravel). Soft, low to no plasticity, damp [FILL]. CL Hand auger 0 to 5 feet bgs 2 4 0 CLAY: DARK BROWN to BROWN silty day with trace fine to coarse CL 6 sand (65% clay, 30% silt, 5% sand) Moderately stiff to stiff, low plasticity, camp. 0 8 same as above 10 0 12 0 stiff -14 22 same as above, some hydrocarbon staining and odor. 150 16 increased staining. 120 18 268 20 37 21'-22', soft, wet, hydrocarbon odor. 22 22.5', stiff 150 30 24 GRAVEL: BROWN and OLIVE GRAY sandy gravel with silt (20% silt, GM 0 35% sand, 45% gravel). Well graded, moist to wet. 26 26.25' to 27', coarse sand grading to ... 0 GRAVEL: GRAY silty gravel with sand (30% silt, 25% sand, 45% SM/d 28 gravel). Well graded, angular to sub-angular, moist to wet. CL CLAY: BROWN clay with fine to coarse sand and silt (60% clay, 20% 0 SW silt, 20% sand). Soft, low to moderate plasticity, wet. **BP/ARCO** Borehole ID: SB-2 Page 1 of 2

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT		LOG OF BORING	B	iore	hole	D: SB-2
Depth (ft bgs)	Symbol	Lithologic Description	USCS .	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comme
E 30		sill, 20% sand). Soft, low to moderate plasticity, wet.	/  sw	]		
- 32		sand 25% gravel).		0		
		Soft, moderate plasticity, saturated.				and a constant of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se
- 34				Ì		
- 36		No recovery				
- JU	2506	CRAVEL: Cravely sluff from above	<u></u>			End of Boring at 40' bgs 1410 on 3/21/04
- 40	<u>8.46</u> .)		( <u>GM</u> ,	]0		
·						



China Landard

钄鼺

# 1333 Broadway, Suite 800

## LOG OF BORING

Oakland, California 94612								
			iotal Deptili:	40 IC				
PROJEC		DRILLING INFORMATION						
Project: BP - Site #211	I	Drilling Company: Gregg Drilling & Lesting						
Site Location: 1156 D	avis SI., San Leandro, UA	Driller: Germaine/Jose						
Project Manager: Sco	I KUDIIISON	Type C	a Mathad Direct P	no oco	PIOD	ιų ····································		
RG: Draing Method: Draing Method: Draing Method: C						inista (na ini ini ang kanang kan Kanang kanang	a ng sé an a sa a sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa Ing sé ang sé ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa ang sa	
Joh Number 3848689	6	) Drilled: 3/21/04		1400 AND 160 AND 160	#10.101.050309#F	9487 CHINATORY (1999) 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997		
	BORING IN	TION			**************			
Groundwater Depth (	ft bgs}: 24.5	Boring	Location:Davis St	t. Com	muni	ty Cer	nter driveway	
Hand Auger Depth (ft	bgs): 5.0	Boring	Diameter: 2-inch	er: 2-inch				
Coordinates: X-12	2.1688693 ¥37.7216522	Boring	Type: Exploratory	/				
Depth (ft bgs Symbol	Lithologic Description	n		nscs	(mdd) Old	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments	
0 2 2 4 4 10 12 14 14 16 18 20 22 24 24 24 26 28	SiLT: BROWN clayey slit with some fine to co pravel (35% clay, 40% slit, 20% sand, 5% gra CLAY: DARK BROWN slity clay with little fine day, 30% slit, 10% sand). Stiff, non plastic, d lo organics. same as above stiff Color change to BROWN Soft to moderately stiff, moderate plasticity Slight staining Same as above Same slity clay. Wet, slight sheen and hydroc Same slity clay. Wet, slight sheen and hydroc	parse sand avel) (FILL) to coarse lamp, organ	and trace sand (60% nics.	ML	0 0 0 6.6 23 25		Hand auger to 5' bgs.	
	Same as above, saturated.							
BP/ARCO	Page	a 1 of 2			B	oreh	ole ID: H-1	

URS	LOG OF BORING	В	оге	hole	ID: H-1
Depth (ft bgs) Symbol	Lithologic Description	nscs	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments
1 30 30 32 34 36	SAND: BROWN clayey fine grained sand (30% clay, 70% sand). Poorly graded, subangular, saturated. CLAY: BROWN silty clay (70% clay, 30% silt). Soft to moderately stiff, low plasticity, moist, slight odor.	SM	59 103 205 195		
38 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Same as above End of Boring at 40' bgs at 1200 on 3/21/04		150 125		Grab groundwater sample taken at 1200: H-1.
BP/ARCO	Page 2 of 2		В	oreh	ole ID: H-1

UR	D	Oakland, California	94612	Borehole II Total Depti	D: H-2 n: 36	2 feet		1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -		
PRO	JECT II	FORMATION		DRILLING INFORMATION						
Project: BP - Site	e#2111		Drillin	Drilling Company: Gregg Drilling & Testing						
Site Location: 11	156 Davis	St., San Leandro, CA	Drille	Driller: Germaine/Jose						
Project Manager	: Scott R	obinson	Type	of Drilling Rig: I	)P13 G	сорго	be			
२G:	mma 1200 Marine A Marine Marine 2000		Drillin	g Method: Direc	t Push			na na sana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana a		
Geologist: Mike I	Berwald/(	Chris Sheridan	Sampling Method: Continuous							
lob Number: 384	486896	Myst 1051165/0011-11465-004-005-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00	Date(s	•) Drilled: 3/21/04	4	an an an an an an an an an an an an an a	antanannenninnet			
		BORIN	G INFORMA	TION		*****				
Groundwater De	pth (ft b	gs): 17 feet	Boring Location:Davis St. Community Center driveway					nter driveway		
land Auger Dep	ith (ft bg	<b>s):</b> 5.0	Boring Dlameter: 2-inch							
Coordinates:	X-122.1	590083 <b>¥</b> 37.7218569	Poling	Iype: Explorate	эгу Ц		1			
Depth (ft bgs) Svmbol		Lithologic Desc	ription		nscs	P(D (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Commen		
- 0 - 2 - 4	CLA' grave mode	Y: DARK BROWN to BROWN clay w el (50% clay, 25% silt, 25% gravel). erate plasticity, damp.	vith silt and fine Moderately stiff	to coarse , low to	CL			Hand auger to 5' bgs.		
nd farihad hud hud hud hud hud hud hud hud hud hu	Trace	race silt and fine gravel. Organics, moist.				0				
ուլ հայերություն հայերություն հայերություն հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայեր հայե հայեր հայեր հայե հայե հայե հայե հայե հայե հայե հայե	Same	came as above, BROWN to Greenwale Addition and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se				193				
16 18	SAN trace suba	D: BROWN and OLIVE GRAY sand silt (5% silt, 70% sand, 25% gravel) ingular to angular, wet.	AY sand with fine to coarse gravel and % gravel). Well-graded, gravel is					XZ.		
20 1 22	CLA sand 5% g	CLAY: BROWN and OLIVE GRAY slity clay with little fine to coarse sand and trace fine to coarse gravel (55% clay, 30% slit, 10% sand, 5% gravel). Moderately stiff, low plasticity, saturated.			CL	130				
24 26	Trace	≥ silt, soft, wet, no staining/odor.			skijijet pot je tektovači skytoteti kohovenet pranijet čono.	3.3				
28 28	eren eren eren eren eren eren eren eren	a ac above			surger consideration	1.1 ი				
UR		LOG OF BORING	B	ore	hole	ID: H-2				
---------------	--------	----------------------------------------------	------	-----------	----------	-----------------------------------------------				
spth (ft bgs)	Symbol	Lithologic Description	uscs	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments				
a		Slight sheen in sluff		0						
34 36		End of Boring at 36' bgs at 1050 on 3/21/04.		8.3 44		Grab groundwater sample taken at 1050: H-2				
	~~~				~~~t-	аla ID· г. г.				
BP/AR	CO	Page 2 of 2			oren	via ID: 11-1				



## 1333 Broadway, Suite 800 Oakland, California 94612

### LOG OF BORING

Borehole ID: H-3 Total Depth: 44 feet **PROJECT INFORMATION DRILLING INFORMATION** Project: BP - Site #2111 Drilling Company: Gregg Drilling & Testing Site Location: 1156 Davis St., San Leandro, CA Driller: Germaine/Jose Type of Drilling Rig: DP13 Geoprobe Project Manager: Scott Robinson Drilling Method: Direct Push RG: Geologist: Christopher Sheridan Sampling Method: Continous Job Number: 38486896 Date(s) Drilled: 3/21/04 BORING INFORMATION Groundwater Depth (ft bgs): 19 feet Boring Location: Davis St. Community Center driveway Boring Diameter: 2-inch Hand Auger Depth (ft bgs): 5.0 Coordinates: X: -122.1691669 Boring Type: Exploratory Y: 37.7221031 (mqq) UI9 Depth (ft bgs) Recovery SCSU Sample ID Symbol Lithologic Description ML SILT: DARK BROWN to BROWN silt with clay and some fine to coarse gravel (25% clay, 60% silt, 15% gravel. Loose, no plasticity, damp [FILL]. 0 Hand auger to 5 bgs. 2 4 0 6 No Recovery 8 10 No Recovery 0 CL CLAY: DARK BROWN clay with trace silt and trace fine to coarse 12 gravel (90% clay, 5% silt, 5% gravel). Soft, moderate to high plasticity, moist. 14 Same clay 0 15.75' - 16.25', increased slit (65% clay, 30% slit, 5% gravel). 16 Soft to moderately stiff O - 18 SZ 0 Color change to BROWN. L. C. A. L. C. C. L. C. 20 Ũ Same as above, saturated. 22 ۵ 12.5.2.1.1.1 24 0 26 Same as above. 28 0 30 Borehole ID: H-3 **URS** Corporation Page 1 of 2

UR		LOG OF BORING	В	ore	hole	ID: H-3
Depth (ft bgs)	Śymbol	Lithologic Description	USCS	(uud) (IId	Racovery	Sample ID
				0		
1 32		SAND: BROWN and GRAY clayey sand with little fine to coarse gravel (30% clay, 60% sand, 10% gravel). Well graded, subangular to subrounded sand and gravel, saturated.	SM			
- 34 		CLAY: BROWN silty clay with fine to coarse sand (50% clay, 30% silt, 20% sand). Soft, moderate plasticity, wet.	CL	÷.		
1 1 1 38						
40		SAND: BROWN fine sand (100% sand). Poorly graded.	ASE ,			Use hammer past 40' b;
L 42		42.5 - 43.5, skiff,		ritéseksz kelente	den universite	Boring is sluffing. Fort of Boring at 44' hos
ana Alia Manalia An An An An An An An An An An An An An	37	GRAVEL: BROWN sandy gravel with little silt (10% silt 30% sand, 60% gravel). Well graded.	GM	0		0925 on 3/21/04.

**Configuration** 

Borehole ID: H-3

UR	C	LOG OF BORING	в	ore	hole	ID: H-3
Depth (it bgs)	Symbol	Lithologic Description	nscs	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments
30 32 34 36 38		SAND: BROWN and GRAY clayey sand with little fine to coarse gravel (30% clay, 60% sand, 10% gravel). Well graded, subangular to subrounded sand and gravel, saturated. CLAY: BROWN silty clay with fine to coarse sand (50% clay, 30% silt, 20% sand). Soft, moderate plasticity, wet.	SC.	D		
40 42		SAND: BROWN fine sand (100% sand). Poorly graded. 42.5 - 43.5, skuff.	(SP)			Use hammer past 40' bgs. Boring is sluffing. End of Boring at 44' bgs at 0925 m 3/21/14
Ē	0	GRAVEL: BROWN Sandy gravel with little slit (10% slit 30% sand, 50% gravel). Well graded.	1.0344	D		- 14-1254, 147 - 14-9 \$ 1445 43. 3 A 14778

Borehole ID: H-3



# 1333 Broadway, Suite 800

# LOG OF BORING

Borehole ID: H-4

Oakland, California 94612 Total Depth: 35 feet **DRILLING INFORMATION PROJECT INFORMATION** Project: BP - Site #2111 Drilling Company: Gregg Drilling & Testing Site Location: 1156 Davis St., San Leandro, CA Driller: Germaine/Jose Type of Drilling Rig: DP13 Geoprobe Project Manager: Scott Robinson Drilling Method: Direct Push RG: Geologist: Christopher Sheridan Sampling Method: Continuous Job Number: 38486896 Date(s) Drilled: 3/20/04 **BORING INFORMATION** Groundwater Depth (ft bgs): 19.5 Boring Location: Davis St. Community Center parking lot Boring Diameter: 2-inch Hand Auger Depth (ft bgs): 5.0 **Coordinates:** X-122.1693232 Y 37.7223485 Boring Type: Hydropunch Depth (ft bgs) (mqq) Olf Recovery JSCS Symbol Sample ID / Comments Lithologic Description Ô CLAY: DARK BROWN to BROWN slity clay with some gravel (55% clay, 30% silt, 15% gravel). Soft, low plasticity, damp, no odor. Lithology from SB-1. 2 Hand auger 0' to 5' bgs. SILT: BROWN clayey silt (35% clay, 65% silt). Soft, no plasticity, 4 damo. 6 CLAY: DARK BROWN to BROWN silty day (60% clay, 40% silt). Soft 8 to moderately stiff, low plasticity, damp. SILT: BROWN clayey silt (30% clay, 70% silt). 10 CLAY: DARK BROWN silty clay (65% clay, 35% silt). Moderately stiff, low plasticity, damp. 12 SILT: BROWN silt (100% silt). Soft, no plasticity, moist. - 14 SAND: BROWN fine sand with little clay (10% clay, 90% sand). Poorly Screen 15' - 17' bgs- DRY graded, loose, wet. 16 15;.color change to LIGHT BROWN 16;, trace sand, moist 18 Screen 19.5' - 20.5' bgs -20 GRAVELLY CLAY: (20.25') grades to .. BROWN gravelley day (70% clay, 30% gravel). Well graded, wet Screen 20.5' - 21.5' bgs -DRY CLAY: BROWN silly clay (70% clay, 35% sill). Moderately stiff, no 22 Screen 20' - 24' bgs - DRY plasticity, damp. SAND: BROWN fine sand with little clay (10% clay, 90% sand). Poorly graded, loose, saturated. - 24 CLAY: BROWN silty clay with trace fine to coarse sand (65% clay, 30% silt, 5% sand). Moderately stiff to stiff, no plasticity, damp to moist. 26 H-4-27 sampled at 1145. slight increased fine to coarse sand. Soft, low plasticity, saturated. Screen 23' - 27' bgs. 28 Borehole ID: H-4 **BP/ARCO** Page 1 of 2

URS	LOG OF BORING	В	ore	hole	iD: H-4
Depth (ft bgs) Symbol	Lithologic Description	nscs	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments
- 30 - 32 - 34	same silty clay.		and the second se		H-4-35 sampled at 1155. Screen 32' - 35' bgs.
	End of Bonng at 35 bgs.		Linxannini		
BP/ARCO	Page 2 of 2		E	loreh	aole ID: H-4



# 1333 Broadway, Suite 800

# LOG OF BORING

- CIEC	Ackland California 046	40	Borehole ID:	H-5			
	Carland, Camornia 540	12	Total Depth:	40 f	eet		
PRO.	ECT INFORMATION		DRILLI	NG II	IFOF	SWA.	TION
Project: BP - Site	#2111	Drillin	g Company: Greg	g Drill	ling &	: Testi	ng
Site Location: 11	6 Davis St., San Leandro, CA	Driller	: Germaine/Jose			*****	:
Project Manager:	Scott Robinson	Туре с	of Drilling Rig: DI	213 Ge	ортођ	e	
RG:		Drillin	g Method: Direct	Push			
Geologist: Christe	pher Sheridan	Sampl	ing Method: Con	tinuou	5		****
Job Number: 384	86896	Date(s	) Drilled: 3/20/04	- 3/21/	04		
	BORING IN	IFORMA	TION				and a state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state
Groundwater De	oth (ft bgs): 19.5	Boring	Location: Davis S	t. Con	າການກາ	ty Cer	nter parking lot
Hand Auger Dep	h (ft bgs): 5.0	Boring	Diameter: 2-incl	<b>.</b>			
Coordinates:	<b>X -122.1692432 Y</b> 37.7223855	Boring	Type: Hydropund	:h			
Depth (ft bgs) Symbol	Lithologic Descriptio	חכ		nscs	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Comments
	CLAY: DARK BROWN to BROWN silty clay w clay, 30% silt, 15% gravel). Soft, low plasticity	ith some g /, damp, no	ravel (55% o edor.	CL			Lithology from SB-1.
се. 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	SILT: BROWN dayey silt (35% day, 65% silt) damp.	. Soft, no j	plasticity,	ML			Hand auger to 5' bgs.
to units units units	CLAY: DARK BROWN to BROWN silty clay ( to moderately stiff, low plasticity, damp. SILT: BROWN clayey silt (30% clay, 70% silt)	50% clay, 4	10% silt). Soft	CL.			
- 10 - 12	CLAY: DARK BROWN silty clay (65% clay, 3 low plasticity, damp.	5% silt). M	oderately stiff,	ML			
E 14	SILT: BROWN silt (100% silt). Soft, no plasti	city, moist		GL			
16	SAND: BROWN fine sand with little clay (10% graded, loose, wet. 15', color change to LIGHT BROWN	o clay, 90%	sand). Poorly	ML			
- 18	16', trace sand, moist						Screen 17 - 20' bgs - DRY 
20	GRAVELLY CLAY: (20.25') grades toBROW clay, 30% gravel). Well graded, wet	N gravelley	v clay (70%	SP CL			
	SAND: BROWN fine sand with little clay (10%) graded, loose, saturated.	, clay, 90%	sand). Poorly	CL			Screen 19' - 23' bgs - DRY
26	CLAY: BROWN silty clay with trace fine to co silt, 5% sand). Moderately stiff to stiff, no play	arse sand i sticity, dam	(65% clay, 30% p to moist.				
28	slight increased fine to coarse sand. Soft, low	v plasticity,	səturəted.				H-5-27 sampled at 1530, 3/20/04. Screen 25' - 27' bgs.
BP/ARC	) Page	1 of 2			B	oreh	ole ID: H-5
L							

URG	LOG OF BORING		Bore	hole	D: H-5
Depth (ft bgs) Symbol	Lithologic Description	nscs	PID (ppm)	Recovery	Sample ID / Commen
30 32 32 4 34	same silty clay.				H-5-35 sampled at 1540, 3/20/04. Screen 32' - 35' bgs
- 36 - 38 - 40	same silty clay. Not logged. End of Boring at 40' bgs.		n na na na na na na na na na na na na na		H-5-40 sampled at 0710, 3/21/04. Screen 36' - 40' bgs.
40	Not logged. End of Boring at 40' bgs.		ning provident and the second second		n-o-40 sampled at 07 3/21/04. Screen 38' - bgs.
					· · ·
					·

#### APPENDIX E

Geologic Cross-Sections



O PROPOSED MONITORING WELL LOCATION

VAPOR EXTRACTION WELL

PREDOMINANT GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION



NOTE: SITE MAP ADAPTED FROM DELTA ENVIRONMENTAL FIGURES. SITE DIMESIONS AND FACILITY LOCATIONS NOT VERIFIED.

FIGURE SITE PLAN 1









APPENDIX F

GRO, Benzene, and MTBE Concentration Trend Graphs

Figure 1. MW-2 Concentrations vs. Time









Figure 3. MW-7 Concentrations vs. Time

## Figure 4. MW-8 Concentrations vs. Time

