

Phone Log (2920 C.V. Blvd)

- 1/8/96 Called Mark Miller to discuss case ^{status} briefly. He indicated Chevron was not planning to finalize the Comprehensive Site Eval. & Proposed Future Action Plans until pending legislation was settled.
- 8/20/96 Left msg w/ Phil Briggs Re: sampling schedule @ this site. Asked him to please call me back to discuss.
- 8/21/96 Spoke w/ Phil Briggs who reviewed file & confirmed that ~~was~~ the sampling schedule ~~was~~ stated in our 1/10/96 letter had been implemented.

Chesron
2920 CV Blvd

Review notes:

5-27-93

- ① gradients illustrated not telling whole story - seems to be moving more to SW than shown
- ② MW-6 has BIG hits - what are we (Chesron/ASST) going to do about it?
- ③ ~~is~~ inadequate to just regurgitate data - need some prof. interpretations and recommendations
- ④ need well intermediate to site and MW-6 - median strip site?
- ⑤ 3/4" well (w/ "reproducibility") / DTW measurements compared to MW-3
- ⑥ remind that pilot study not following requested plan
 - missed quarters of data collection
 - did not install 3 wells ^{as requested} ~~requested~~
 - data between MW-3 and -4 ~~is~~ not really comparable

MEMO to file:

9-30-93

Mark Miller of Chesron called today. We spoke about the above list of review notes. I suggested his future reports provide more analysis of data, with professional interpretations and recommendations. I also suggested means to evaluate why MW-6 shows high hits, ~~and~~ ^{while} none of the on-site wells do. I suggested an intermediate well (between the site & MW-6), hydro punches, etc. We also discussed the apparent anomaly ~~between~~ ^{of} DTW between MW-3 and -4.

SOS