

RECEIVED

By Alameda County Environmental Health 1:50 pm, Jan 12, 2016



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING, INC.

6620 Owens Drive, Suite A • Pleasanton, CA 94588

TEL (925)734-6400 • FAX (925)734-6401

www.somaenv.com

January 12, 2016

Mr. Mark E. Detterman, PG, CEG
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, California 94502-6577

Subject: Freedom Food and Gas (Formerly Freedom ARCO Mini-Mart)
Site Address: 15101 Freedom Avenue, San Leandro, California
STID 4473/RO0000473

Dear Mr. Detterman:

SOMA's "Workplan for Further Investigation" for the subject property has been uploaded to the State's GeoTracker database and Alameda County's FTP site for your review.

Thank you for your time in reviewing our report. Please do not hesitate to call me at (925) 734-6400, if you have questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Mansour Sepehr, Ph.D., PE
Principal Hydrogeologist

cc: Mr. Mohammad Pazdel



Workplan for Further Investigation

**Freedom Gas and Food
15101 Freedom Avenue
San Leandro, California**

January 12, 2016

Project 2550

Prepared for

**Mohammad Pazdel
1770 Pistacia Court
Fairfield, California**



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING, INC.

6620 Owens Drive Suite A Pleasanton CA 94588 Ph: 925.734.6400 F: 925.734-6401 www.somaenv.com

PERJURY STATEMENT

Site Location: 15101 Freedom Avenue, San Leandro, California

"I declare under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge".


Mohammad Pazdel
1770 Pistacia Court
Fairfield, California 94533
Responsible Party

CERTIFICATION

SOMA Environmental Engineering, Inc. submits this workplan on behalf of Mr. Mohammad Pazdel, owner of the property located at 15101 Freedom Avenue, San Leandro, California. This workplan has been prepared pursuant to the request of Alameda County Health Care Services – Environmental Health Services contained in correspondence dated October 28, 2015.



Mansour Sepehr, PhD, PE
Principal Hydrogeologist



TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATION	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ii
LIST OF FIGURES	iii
LIST OF APPENDICES	iii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Overview.....	1
1.2 Site Location and Description	1
2. SCOPE OF WORK	1
2.1 Permit Acquisition and Other Preparatory Work.....	2
2.2 Advance Soil Borings	2
2.3 Laboratory Analysis	3
2.4 Well Installation	3
2.4.1 Proposed Well Installation and Reconstruction	4
2.4.2 Well Development, Sampling, and Survey	4
2.5 Waste Disposal.....	5
2.6 Reporting.....	5
2.7 Off-Site MPE Event	6
3. SCHEDULE	6

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1: Site Vicinity Map
- Figure 2: Site Map Showing Locations of USTs, Fuel Dispensers, Soil Borings, and Groundwater Monitoring Wells
- Figure 3: Proposed Locations of Soil Borings, MPE Wells, and MTS with Ramps

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A: Previous Activities
- Appendix B: General Field Procedures

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

SOMA Environmental Engineering, Inc. (SOMA) has prepared this workplan for the site located at 15101 Freedom Avenue, San Leandro, California. In a correspondence of October 28, 2015 to Mr. Mohammad Pazdel, property owner, Alameda County Health Care Services – Environmental Health Services (ACHCS) requested a work plan to define the downgradient extent of contaminant plume.

1.2 Site Location and Description

The site is located at the foot of the San Leandro Hills, along the west side of San Leandro Valley (Figure 1). It is bounded on the north by Freedom Avenue, on the east by Fairmont Avenue, on the south by residential properties and on the west by 151st Avenue. It currently operates as a Texaco gasoline service station with mini-mart, and retails Texaco-branded gasoline and diesel fuel. No automotive repair facility is on the site. There are three canopied product dispenser islands and three underground storage tanks (USTs) on-site: one 6,000-gallon diesel UST, one 8,000-gallon gasoline UST, and one 10,000-gallon gasoline UST. Figure 2 illustrates site features.

The site has operated as a gasoline service station since the 1960s. Mr. Pazdel, the responsible party, sold the property to Farrokh Hosseinyoun in 2010. Mr. Hosseinyoun subsequently sold the property to Mohammad Mashhoon in 2010. The station currently operates under the business name Freedom Gas and Food (formerly Freedom ARCO Mini-Mart). Previous site activities are summarized in Appendix A.

2. SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of work has been organized into the following tasks:

1. Permit acquisitions, preparation of health and safety plan, and field preparation
2. Drill three soil borings using direct push technology (DPT) and collect soil and groundwater samples;
3. Analyze soil and groundwater samples;
4. Well installation/reconstruction;
5. Prepare report;
6. Off-site MPE event.

Following are the description of above tasks.

2.1 Permit Acquisition and Other Preparatory Work

Prior to initiating field activities, SOMA will obtain required drilling and encroachment permits from Alameda County Public Works Agency and submit all the required drilling notifications.

SOMA will prepare a site-specific Health and Safety Plan (HASP). The HASP is a requirement of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), “Hazardous Waste Operation and Emergency Response” guidelines (29 CFR 1910.120) and the California Occupational Safety and Health Administration (Cal/OSHA) “Hazardous Waste Operation and Emergency Response” guidelines (CCR Title 8, section 5192). The HASP is designed to address safety provisions during field activities and protect the field crew from physical and chemical hazards resulting from drilling and sampling. It establishes personnel responsibilities, general safe work practices, field procedures, personal protective equipment standards, decontamination procedures, and emergency action plans. The HASP will be reviewed and signed by field staff and contractors prior to beginning field operations.

SOMA will visit the site and mark boring locations using chalk-based white paint and then contact Underground Service Alert (USA) to verify that drilling areas are clear of underground utilities. Following USA clearance, SOMA will retain a private utility locator to survey proposed drilling areas and locate any additional subsurface conduits. Immediately prior to onset of drilling activities, each drilling location will be hand augered to a depth of 5 feet bgs.

2.2 Advance Soil Borings

SOMA proposes advancing three soil borings downgradient of the site in the vicinity of MW-10 (DP-7 through DP-9) within the First WBZ at off-site locations illustrated in Figure 3. Locations were selected based on the presence of elevated levels of site-related contaminants in MW-10, as discussed in the Third Quarter 2015 groundwater monitoring report dated October 13, 2015. TPH-g concentrations as high as 28,000 µg/L were observed in MW-10 during this monitoring event.

SOMA proposes utilizing DPT to advance these borings. DPT is an efficient method of collecting continuous soil cores while preventing cross-contamination; it involves hydraulically hammering a set of steel rods into the subsurface with the lead section consisting of a polyethylene-lined sampler. After drilling rods are pushed to the desired depth, the soil-filled liner is retrieved.

Each boring will be continuously cored, and descriptions of cored soil will be entered in logs in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). In addition, cored soil will be checked for hydrocarbon odors and visual staining, and screened using a photo-ionization detector (PID). PID readings will be noted in boring logs.

SOMA proposes advancing all borings in that area to a depth of 30 feet bgs. Soil samples may be collected from areas of gross contamination of each boring for chemical analysis.

SOMA will collect grab groundwater samples using disposable bailers. A disposable bailer will be used to evacuate a desirable amount of groundwater and decant it slowly (to avoid volatilization) into appropriately preserved laboratory-supplied containers. Each sample will be labeled with a unique sample identifier and preserved on ice pending delivery to a certified analytical laboratory. All samples will be delivered to the laboratory for chemical analysis under appropriate chain-of-custody protocol.

Following groundwater sampling, borings will be destroyed with a neat cement grout mixture, tremmied into place, and completed at the surface with materials to match existing grade. Appendix B includes the general field procedures.

2.3 Laboratory Analysis

As described in the previous section, groundwater samples, along with selected soil samples based on PID readings, will be submitted to a California state-certified environmental laboratory for chemical analysis of the following:

- Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-g)
- Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes (collectively termed BTEX)
- Fuel oxygenates, additives and lead scavengers including methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MtBE), tertiary-butyl alcohol (TBA), ethyl tertiary-butyl ether (ETBE), diisopropyl ether (DIPE), tertiary-amyl methyl ether (TAME), 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCA), 1,2-dibromomethane (EDB), and ethanol.

All analyses will be conducted using USEPA Method 8260B.

2.4 Well Installation

Based on the laboratory analytical from the soil and groundwater samples, if high contamination is observed at any of the boring locations, SOMA will install a 4-inch well at that location.

Currently, the off-site well MW-10 is a 2-inch well. SOMA proposes to reconstruct this well into a 4-inch well so it can be utilized as an MPE well. The newly

installed/reconstructed wells will be utilized as MPE wells during the next off-site MPE operation.

2.4.1 Proposed Well Installation and Reconstruction

The existing off-site well MW-10 will be over drilled utilizing a hollow stem auger (HSA) rig with an auger bigger in diameter than that was used to initially install this well. All well casing and annular material will be removed. The well will be reinstalled (MW-10R) as follows, similar to the other proposed wells.

An HSA rig will be used during advancement and installation of the proposed off-site wells (MW-10R, MPE-3, MPE-4, and MPE-5) in the First WBZ. These wells will be installed as 4-inch groundwater extraction wells.

Since these wells will be installed at the previously drilled locations (MW-10, DP-7, DP-8 and/or DP-9), no soil sampling or lithologic logging is proposed. The lithology on the borehole logs will be inferred from the corresponding initial boring location.

The upper portion of wells will consist of blank Schedule 40 PVC. A PVC cap will be fitted to the bottom of each casing, without adhesives or tape. A No. 3 Monterey sand pack filter with 0.02-inch perforated screen, will be emplaced around the perforated screen at appropriate thickness and surged to consolidate the filter pack and eliminate voids. The filter pack will be emplaced 1-foot above the height of the top of the screens and sealed with a 1-foot-thick appropriately hydrated bentonite plug, followed by an annular seal of neat cement to surface.

Based on observations during the previous investigation, the proposed screen interval is between 15 and 30 feet bgs. The proposed screen interval will be adjusted pending the field observations of groundwater bearing and smear zones.

To protect wells from accidental damage or tampering, a traffic-rated utility box with internal steel protective covers and locking caps will be placed over each wellhead, and set in concrete, resting flush with existing grade. The well installation report will include well construction diagrams and boring logs.

2.4.2 Well Development, Sampling, and Survey

SOMA will develop newly installed wells a minimum of 72 hours following installation; see Appendix B for general well development procedures. The wells will be developed by bailing out sediment-rich groundwater followed by pumping and surging the wells. This process will continue until purged groundwater clarifies substantially and groundwater quality parameters have stabilized; slow water recoveries are anticipated and recovery rates will be recorded for future use.

The water-bearing intervals will be developed by surging and bailing, using a suitably sized surge block. Development of the water-bearing zone will continue until the well is producing clear water with less than 2 to 5 ppm by weight sand and/or other suspended solids. Groundwater stabilization parameters will be maintained during the development process and records of this data will be included as an appendix to SOMA's final report. At least three days after completion of well development, groundwater samples will be collected from the newly installed wells in 40-mL VOA vials, pre-preserved with hydrochloric acid, which will be completely filled and sealed properly to prevent air bubbles from forming within the headspace of the vials.

If this well installation coincides with the planned groundwater monitoring event, the post well installation sampling will not be implemented and instead groundwater samples will be collected during the scheduled monitoring event. Collected samples will be labeled with unique sample identifiers, date and time of sample collection, recorded on a chain-of-custody form, and placed in a cooled ice chest pending transport to a California state-certified analytical laboratory for analyses.

Once well installation is complete, SOMA will retain a licensed surveyor to survey all newly installed wells. The survey report will be included as an appendix to SOMA's final report.

2.5 Waste Disposal

Soil cuttings and waste water generated during soil boring advancement will be temporarily stored on-site in a secure area in DOT-rated 55-gallon steel drums pending characterization, profiling, and transport to an approved disposal-recycling facility. Each drum will be labeled with site address, contents, date of accumulation, and contact phone number.

2.6 Reporting

The report will document boring advancement and all related activities. The report will also include the following:

- field conditions observed during soil boring advancement activities, including boring logs describing soil types encountered, sample intervals, and PID vapor readings;
- laboratory analytical results of soil and groundwater samples collected during boring advancement.

2.7 Off-Site MPE Event

Due to the presence of high contaminant concentrations in the downgradient off-site well MW-10 and based on ACEH directive dated October 28, 2015, SOMA proposes to conduct an MPE event utilizing MW-10 and any other newly installed wells as extraction wells. For this purpose, as proposed in Section 2.4, MW-10 will be converted from a 2-inch well to a 4-inch well.

In order to conduct an MPE event at these off-site locations, SOMA will obtain an encroachment permit and any other necessary permits from the Alameda County Public Works Agency.

The MPE operation will be performed using a self-contained mobile treatment system (MTS), equipped with an electrical generator, propane tank, liquid ring vacuum pump rated at 25-horsepower and 428-standard cubic feet per minute (scfm), electrical submersible pumps, air/water separator vessel, discharge hoses and traffic-rated hose ramps, downhole stingers, and a thermal oxidizer for vapor abatement. The oxidizer operates under a valid various locations BAAQMD permit. Both soil vapor and groundwater will be extracted from the subsurface. Extracted groundwater will be discharged into the existing treatment system.



The MTS will be parked in the parking lane along Fairmont Drive. Stingers will run across Fairmont Drive from the MTS to the MPE wells under traffic rated ramps (Figure 3). If required by the County, signs will be posted along the street to warn the drivers of the MTS and ramps on the street.

Details of the field activities and results of the MPE event will be reported along with the next groundwater monitoring and remediation progress report.

3. SCHEDULE

The workplan will be implemented upon receipt of written authorization from ACHCS. SOMA anticipates that the proposed work will be completed in six to eight weeks following receipt of necessary approvals, authorizations, and permits. Field activities will be scheduled according to availability of necessary equipment and field personnel. The report will be submitted within 30 days of completing the field activities.


FIGURES



approximate scale in feet



Figure 1: Site vicinity map.



- Ambient Air and Crawl Space Sampling Location (2014)
- ◆ Soil Boring (2014)
- ◇ CPT/MIP Borings (2014)
- ◆ Soil Borings (2011)
- ⊗ Decommissioned Monitoring Wells Nov 2009
- ▲ Second WBZ Monitoring Wells (2007)
- ▲ First WBZ Monitoring Wells
- ▲ MPE wells and Extraction Wells (2009)
- ◆ Soil Borings drilled July 2001
- ⊕ Temporary Well Borehole Drilled by SOMA September 2003
- ⊕ CPT/MIP Borehole

Residential Well
1573 153rd Ave




Figure 2: Site Map Showing Locations of USTs, Fuel Dispensers, Soil Borings, Vapor Samples, and Groundwater Monitoring Wells





Figure 3: Proposed Locations of Soil Borings, MPE Wells, and MTS with Ramps



APPENDIX A

Previous Activities

In May 1999, three 10,000-gallon USTs, approximately 250 feet of product piping, and six product dispensers were removed from the site (Geo-Logic, 1999). A total of 21 soil samples were collected for laboratory analyses from the removal areas, including seven from the east and west sides of the UST removal excavation, at depths ranging from 12 to 14 feet below ground surface (bgs), and 14 from beneath the fuel dispensers and product delivery piping ranging in depth from 2.5 to 3.5 feet bgs. Samples were analyzed for the following: total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-g); benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes (BTEX); and methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MtBE). Analysis results indicated the need for removal of additional soil from product piping areas and the UST removal excavation. Concentrations of TPH-g, BTEX and MtBE in soil samples from the UST removal excavation were elevated relative to those from the product piping and dispenser areas, where concentrations were relatively low. Following overexcavation, three soil samples were collected for laboratory analysis from the enlarged UST removal excavation ranging in depth from 16.5 to 24.5 feet bgs, and one from the product delivery piping at 5 feet bgs. Laboratory analysis detected elevated concentrations in soil samples at 24.5 feet bgs from the UST removal excavation relative to those at 16.5 and 19.5 feet bgs. Low concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons were detected in the soil sample from the product delivery piping.

In July 1999, one 14,000-gallon UST divided into a 6,000-gallon unit for diesel and an 8,000-gallon unit for gasoline, and one 20,000-gallon UST for gasoline were installed at the site (Geo-Logic, 1999).

On January 3, 2000, ACHCS notified the property owner, Mr. Pazdel, of an unauthorized release that had occurred during removal of old USTs in May 1999. ACHCS requested a preliminary site assessment.

On July 5, 2001, a soil and groundwater investigation was conducted at the site to delineate the extent of soil and groundwater impact discovered during removal of the USTs, product delivery piping and product dispensers in May 1999 (CSS Environmental Services, 2001). Five soil borings, SB-1 through SB-5, were advanced using direct-push methods, to a maximum depth of 31 feet bgs. Groundwater was encountered in borings at depths ranging from 29 to 30 feet bgs, and stabilized at depths ranging from 17 to 20 feet bgs. Ten soil samples were collected from borings for laboratory analysis of TPH-g, BTEX and MtBE. Analytical results revealed elevated concentrations between 19 and 25.5 feet bgs. Maximum concentrations of TPH-g and BTEX in samples were 470,000 µg/kg, 2,600 µg/kg, 16,000 µg/kg, 12,000 µg/kg, and 73,000 µg/kg, respectively. MtBE was not detected in any soil samples. Grab groundwater samples were collected from each boring for laboratory analysis of TPH-g, BTEX and MtBE. Maximum concentrations of TPH-g and benzene in boring samples were 83,000 µg/L and 19,000 µg/L, respectively. MtBE was detected in four of five grab groundwater samples, at a maximum concentration of 87,000 µg/L.

Workplan for Further Investigation

In April 2002, groundwater monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-5 were installed on the site to a total depth of 30 feet bgs, and completed with well screens installed between 15 and 30 feet bgs. The wells were installed to evaluate the groundwater flow gradient and the extent of dissolved-phase fuel hydrocarbons in groundwater (SOMA, 2002). Groundwater was first encountered at depths ranging from approximately 25 to 29 feet bgs, and stabilized at depths ranging from 21 to 23 feet bgs. Five soil samples were collected from borings for laboratory analyses of TPH-g, BTEX and MtBE. Results revealed elevated concentrations of TPH-g and BTEX between 21 and 26 feet bgs, coincident with the depth at which groundwater was first encountered in the boreholes. No MtBE was detected in soil samples. Groundwater samples were initially collected from each monitoring well during Second Quarter 2002 (May 2002) for laboratory analyses of TPH-g, BTEX and MtBE (SOMA, 2002a). Maximum concentrations of TPH-g, benzene and MtBE in groundwater samples were 44,000 µg/L, 6,000 µg/L and 12,000 µg/L, respectively. Groundwater was determined to flow south across the site. Elevated levels of dissolved-phase hydrocarbons in the farthest downgradient monitoring well indicated off-site migration.

Between August and October 2003, a soil and groundwater investigation was conducted to evaluate off-site extent of dissolved-phase hydrocarbon migration with groundwater (SOMA, 2003). The investigation included a sensitive receptor survey to locate water supply wells and/or water bodies within a 2,000-foot radius of the site, and a conduit study to identify underground utilities adjacent to the site beneath Freedom Avenue, Fairmont Drive and 153rd Avenue. Soil borings TWB-1 through TWB-6 were advanced to depths ranging from 30 to 44 feet bgs, at locations ranging from 125 to 750 feet hydraulically downgradient from the site. Fourteen soil samples were collected at depths ranging from 16 to 39 feet bgs for laboratory analysis of TPH-g, BTEX, MtBE and 1,2-dichloroethene (1,2-DCE). Results revealed soil impact off-site to a maximum distance of 265 feet hydraulically downgradient of the site, at depths ranging from 18 to 31.5 feet bgs. Elevated concentrations were detected at depths ranging from 21.5 to 24.5 feet bgs, approximately 125 feet hydraulically downgradient from the site. Concentrations of benzene, MtBE and 1,2 DCE were not detected in soil samples. Grab groundwater samples were collected from each boring for laboratory analysis of TPH-g, BTEX, MtBE and 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCA). Maximum concentrations of TPH-g and benzene were 410,000 µg/L and 2,200 µg/L, respectively, detected in a boring 125 feet hydraulically downgradient of the site. Maximum concentration of MtBE was 34 µg/L, detected in a boring 265 feet hydraulically downgradient of the site. The investigation resulted in preliminary identification of two water-bearing zones beneath the site and proximity. The sensitive receptor survey identified 10 wells within 2,000 feet of the site. Three are located hydraulically downgradient of the site: one irrigation well and two wells of unknown use. The remaining wells are either hydraulically upgradient or crossgradient of the site. No water body was identified within a 0.5-mile distance

from the site. The conduit study revealed two sewer lines beneath Fairmont Drive and 153rd Avenue; it was determined that neither was submerged by groundwater.

In September 2004, an additional soil and groundwater investigation was conducted to further evaluate the extent of dissolved-phase hydrocarbon migration with groundwater off-site (SOMA 2004). Groundwater monitoring wells MW-6 thru MW-9 were installed downgradient from the site to total depths ranging from 21 to 33 feet bgs, and completed with well screens ranging from 4 to 15 feet long installed at the base of each well. Groundwater was first encountered at depths ranging from approximately 15 to 20 feet bgs, and stabilized at depths ranging from 12 to 17 feet bgs. Four soil samples were collected from one monitoring well borehole. Soil samples were not collected from other boreholes because of extensive and unexpected lateral lithologic changes encountered between the well boreholes during drilling, necessitating continuous coring that precluded soil sample collection. Collected samples were analyzed for TPH-g and BTEX; neither was detected.

During this investigation, an attempt was made to collect a groundwater sample from an irrigation well hydraulically downgradient from the site, identified by the sensitive receptor survey conducted between August and October 2003. The irrigation well had been unused for some time and, subsequently, no groundwater sample could be collected.

An attempt was made to locate another well of unknown use hydraulically downgradient from the site, also identified by the sensitive receptor survey. This well could not be located despite canvassing of the surrounding residential neighborhood with written requests for information. Based on results of this investigation and the previous investigation conducted between August and October 2003, one water-bearing zone was identified to consist of discontinuous water-bearing layers and stringers separated by discontinuous clay lenses of varying thickness. Additionally, a preferential flow pathway study was proposed consisting of a possible buried stream channel trending north to south beneath the eastern portion of the site, and extending off-site to the south, beneath the intersection of 153rd Avenue, Fairmont Drive and Liberty Avenue, which is hydraulically downgradient from the site.

On November 21, 2005, ACHCS requested that the property owner submit a workplan for a soil and water investigation by January 21, 2006. It was submitted on December 28, 2005 (SOMA, 2005) and proposed installation of eight cone penetrometer test (CPT), membrane interface probe (MIP) borings to refine hydrogeologic conditions using CPT technology on- and off-site. The purpose of this investigation was to define the horizontal and vertical extent of the soil and groundwater impact on- and off-site using MIP technology, and to collect soil and groundwater samples for laboratory analyses to support MIP findings.

Workplan for Further Investigation

Based on a telephone conversation between SOMA and ACHCS, an addendum to SOMA's December 2005 workplan was prepared and submitted on March 3, 2006. The workplan provided further clarification for advancing the CPT/MIP as requested by ACHCS.

On April 10, 2006, SOMA oversaw drilling of CPT/MIP boreholes. Fisch Environmental, SOMA's subcontractor, used a Geoprobe 6600. Because of unforeseen subsurface drilling conditions, and the fact that Fisch's drilling rig was not strong enough to drill through the hard subsurface materials, drilling could not advance beyond 35 feet bgs in any of the CPT/MIP locations despite three days effort. An ACHCS representative was present during this operation. On April 26, using a hollow stem auger, a CPT calibration borehole was drilled to 47 feet bgs. Because CPT/MIP boreholes could not be advanced to targeted depths, Gregg Drilling was selected to drill CPT/MIP boreholes at a later date, and Fisch's compensation was to be appropriately reduced.

In a letter dated May 29, 2006, ACHCS reduced the quantity of on-site CPT/MIP borings from six to five, altered some boring locations, adjusted depths at which to collect groundwater samples, and requested development of a site conceptual model (SCM) and corrective action plan (CAP) along with an interim remediation and migration control evaluation. ACHCS established a November 30, 2006 deadline for report submittal.

On September 7, 2006, SOMA resumed the field investigation. To characterize site lithology and hydrogeology, and evaluate lateral and vertical distribution of soil and groundwater impact on- and off-site, SOMA supervised advancement of eight CPT/MIP borings by Gregg, using a 25-ton CPT rig. The MIP portion of the study was performed by Fisch utilizing an MIP probe attached to Gregg's CPT probe. After completion of the CPT/MIP program, eight borings were advanced using direct-push drilling methods, in the immediate proximity of the CPT/MIP borings. These borings were advanced to collect soil and groundwater samples for laboratory analyses to support MIP findings.

Investigation results were presented by SOMA in "Additional Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report and Initial Conceptual Site Model, Texaco Gasoline Service Station, 15101 Freedom Avenue, San Leandro, California," dated November 27, 2006. The report also included an interim remediation and migration control evaluation.

In summary, the report described two main water-bearing zones designated as the First and Second water-bearing zones (WBZs). Both WBZs appear to be laterally continuous across the site and hydraulically downgradient of the site, and are separated by a laterally continuous aquitard. Moderately weathered fuel hydrocarbons are adsorbed to soil or dissolved in groundwater within the First

and Second WBZs. The source area in the First WBZ appears to be in proximity to the location of the former USTs and the existing fuel dispensers in both the north and southeast portions of the site. A source area for the Second WBZ is indeterminate because limited data for the Second WBZ was generated by the investigation. The site is located in an area of primarily residential properties with a commercial property to the east. Population/receptors exposed to fuel hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater of the First WBZ on- and off-site include current and future on-site workers and current off-site commercial workers and residents. Sources are fuel hydrocarbons adsorbed to soil, and dissolved-phase hydrocarbons in groundwater, of the First WBZ. Exposure pathways for on-site receptors are inhalation of volatile emissions from impacted soil and groundwater of the First WBZ. The only exposure pathway for off-site residents appears to be incidental ingestion of groundwater from the First and Second WBZs. The soil interim remediation alternatives evaluated included soil excavation, soil vapor extraction (SVE), and multi-phase extraction (MPE). Groundwater interim remediation alternatives included groundwater extraction, ozone sparging and hydrogen peroxide injection.

ACHCS correspondence dated March 14, 2007 directed that a workplan be prepared to address ACHCS comments contained therein and SOMA's recommendations in the November 27, 2006 report.

A workplan detailing proposed monitoring well installation, soil gas survey and remediation feasibility study was submitted to ACHCS on April 11, 2007 and approved in ACHCS correspondence dated October 18, 2007.

SOMA submitted "Additional Soil and Groundwater Investigation for Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study" on March 14, 2008. ACHCS comments included in correspondence dated April 25, 2008 were addressed by SOMA's correspondence dated June 9, 2008.

In December 2007 SOMA installed three groundwater monitoring wells within the Second WBZ (MW-1D, MW-2D, and MW-3D) to approximately 60 feet bgs. A soil vapor study was conducted utilizing four soil gas sampling probes (SGS-1 through SGS-4, advanced to 5 feet bgs). Based on results of the soil gas sampling, concentrations of COCs in soil gas at the site are not considered a significant risk to human health.

In March 2009, ACHCS approved SOMA's CAP and initiated a public comment period for affected stakeholders to comment on SOMA's remedial action plan. On April 27, 2009, SOMA installed extraction wells MPE-1 and MPE-2 onsite. In their May 2009 correspondence, ACHCS approved SOMA's recommendation to decommission MW-8 and MW-9, off site wells that have consistently demonstrated COCs below ESLs and laboratory detection limits. November

2009, SOMA installed EX-1 and EX-2 off-site, within the downgradient plume and installed a groundwater extraction and treatment system at the site.

Quarterly and/or Semi-Annual groundwater monitoring/sampling has been regularly conducted at the site since Second Quarter 2002.

SOMA conducted MPE pilot testing between November 13 and 16, 2007. An estimated VOC mass of 106 lbs was removed during testing, at a mass removal rate of 35 lbs/day over 72 hours. Several week-long and extended MPE events have been conducted at the site with a total of 3,302 lbs of VOCs being removed as of September 2014.

The groundwater extraction system was initiated on December 9, 2009.

In July 20 and 21, 2011, SOMA advanced five soil borings in the vicinity of MW-6 and EX-2 within the First WBZ. TPH-g was detected above environmental screening levels (ESL) published by SB Bay Region RWQCB in DP-4 (located in the sidewalk area) at 24 feet bgs (140 mg/kg). TPH-g in all other soil samples was either below the laboratory-reporting limit or below ESL (100 mg/kg). Toluene was the only other contaminant of concern (COC), and was detected above ESL (2.9 mg/kg) in DP-1 at 20 feet bgs (2.94 mg/kg), and in DP-4 at 24 feet bgs (6.79 mg/kg). TPH-g in grab groundwater samples from advanced soil borings ranged from 1,500 µg/L (DP-3) to 84,000 µg/L (DP-1). Maximum benzene concentration was detected in DP-5 at 290 µg/L; Maximum MtBE and TBA were detected in DP-3 at 150 µg/L and 40 µg/L, respectively, and were below laboratory-detection limits in the other borings.

Based on ACEH directive dated April 22, 2013, SOMA submitted a data gaps workplan along with an updated site conceptual model on July 22, 2013 and an addendum was submitted on October 17, 2013. ACEH approved the workplan on October 30, 2013.

In October 2013, SOMA obtained a sample of free-product from MW-6 and had the laboratory run fingerprinting analysis on it. The laboratory reported that chromatographic pattern for the sample included a wide range of peaks in C6 through C12 range. However, this pattern did not resemble that of TPH-g or any other light-end distillates for which the laboratory has standards.

During January and February 2014, SOMA advanced eleven cone penetrometer test (CPT) and/or membrane interface technology (MIP) borings (MIP-9 through MIP-19) to the south of DP-4 and DP-5 and upgradient of the source on 151st Avenue. DP-6 was installed in the backyard of adjacent residential property and DP-6-SO was installed on-site. An air sample was obtained from the crawl space of the same adjacent property. Based on the results of this investigation, ACEH

requested installation of three off-site groundwater monitoring wells, and an additional crawl space air sample.

APPENDIX B

General Field Procedures

GENERAL FIELD PROCEDURES

Hydraulic Push (GEOPROBE) Drilling

Utility Locating

Prior to drilling, boring locations are marked with white paint or other discernible marking and cleared for underground utilities through Underground Service Alert (USA). In addition, the first five feet of each borehole are air-knifed, or carefully advanced with a hand auger if shallow soil samples are necessary, to help evaluate the borehole location for underground structures or utilities.

Borehole Advancement

Pre-cleaned push rods (typically one to two inches in diameter) are advanced using a hydraulic push type rig for the purpose of collecting samples and evaluating subsurface conditions. The drill rod serves as a soil sampler, and an acetate liner is inserted into the annulus of the drill rod prior to advancement. Once the sample is collected, the rods and sampler are retracted and the sample tubes are removed from the sampler head. The sampler head is then cleaned, filled with clean sample tubes, inserted into the borehole and advanced to the next sampling point where the sample collection process is repeated.

Soil Sample Collection

The undisturbed soil samples intended for laboratory analysis are cut away from the acetate sample liner using a hacksaw, or equivalent tool, in sections approximately 6 inches in length. The 6-inch samples are lined at each end with Teflon® sheets and capped with plastic caps. Labels documenting job number, borehole identification, collection date, and depth are affixed to each sample. The samples are then placed into an ice-filled cooler for delivery under chain-of-custody to a laboratory certified by the State of California to perform the specified tests. The remaining collected soil that has not been selected for laboratory analysis is logged using the United Soil Classification System (USCS) under the direction of a State Registered Professional Geologist, and is field screened for organic vapors using a photo-ionization detector (PID), or an equivalent tool. Soil cuttings generated are stored in Department of Transportation (DOT) approved 55-gallon steel drums, or an equivalent storage container.

Groundwater Sample Collection

Once the desired groundwater sampling depth has been reached, a Hydropunch tip is affixed to the head of the sampling rods. The Hydropunch tip is advanced between approximately 6 inches to one foot within the desired groundwater sampling zone (effort is made to emplace the Hydropunch screen across the center and lower portion of the water table), and retracted to expose the Hydropunch screen.

Grab groundwater samples are collected by lowering a pre-cleaned, single-sample polypropylene, disposable bailer down the annulus of the sampler rod. The groundwater sample is discharged from the bailer to the sample container through a bottom emptying flow control valve to minimize volatilization. Because the sampling section of the non-discrete groundwater sampler is not protected or sealed, this sampler should only be used where cross contamination from overlying materials is not a concern. Discrete groundwater samplers are driven to the sample interval, and then o-rings, a protective tube/sheath, and an expendable point provide a watertight seal.

Collected water samples are discharged directly into laboratory-provided, pre-cleaned vials or containers

Workplan for Further Investigation

and sealed with Teflon-lined septum, screw-on lids. Labels documenting sample number, well identification, collection date, and type of preservative (if applicable, e.g., HCl for TPH, BTEX, and fuel oxygenates) are affixed to each sample. The samples are then placed into an ice-filled cooler for delivery under chain-of-custody to a laboratory certified by the State of California to perform the specified tests.

Borehole Completion

Upon completion of drilling and sampling, the rods are retracted. Neat cement grout, mixed at a ratio of 6 gallons of water per 94 pounds of Portland cement, is introduced, *via* a tremmie pipe, and pumped to displace standing water in the borehole. Displaced groundwater is collected at the surface into DOT approved 55-gallon steel drums, or an equivalent storage container. In areas where the borehole penetrates asphalt or concrete, the borehole is capped with an equivalent thickness of asphalt or concrete patch to match finished grade.

Organic Vapor Procedures

Soil samples are collected for analysis in the field for ionizable organic compounds using a PID with a 10.2 eV lamp. The test procedure *involves* measuring approximately 30 grams from an undisturbed soil sample, placing this subsample in a Ziploc--type bag or in a clean glass jar, and sealing the jar with aluminum foil secured under a ring-type threaded lid. The container is warmed for approximately 20 minutes (in the sun); then the headspace within the container is tested for total organic *vapor*, measured in parts per million as benzene (ppm; volume/volume). The instrument is calibrated prior to drilling. The results of the field-testing are noted on the boring logs. PID readings are useful for indicating relative levels of contamination, but cannot be used to evaluate petroleum hydrocarbon levels with the confidence of laboratory analyses.

Equipment Decontamination

Equipment that could potentially contact subsurface media and compromise the integrity of the samples is carefully decontaminated prior to drilling and sampling. Drill augers and other large pieces of equipment are decontaminated using high-pressure hot water spray. Samplers, groundwater pumps, liners and other equipment are decontaminated in an Alconox scrub solution and double rinsed in clean tap water rinse followed by a final distilled water rinse.

The rinsate and other wastewater are contained in 55-gallon DOT-approved drums, labeled (to identify the contents, generation date and project) and stored on-site pending waste profiling and disposal.

Soil Cuttings and Rinsate/Purge Water

Soil cuttings and rinsate/purge water generated during drilling and sampling are stored onsite in DOT-approved 55-gallon steel drums pending characterization. A label is affixed to the drums indicating the contents of the drum, suspected contaminants, date of generation, and the boring number from which the waste is generated. The drums are removed from the site by a licensed waste disposal contractor under manifest to an appropriate facility for treatment/recycling.

Hollow Stem Auger Drilling/Monitoring Well Installation

Utility Locating

Prior to drilling, boring locations are marked with white paint or other discernible marking, and cleared for underground utilities through Underground Service Alert (USA). In addition, the first five feet of

Workplan for Further Investigation

each borehole are air-knifed, or carefully advanced with a hand auger if shallow soil samples are necessary, to help evaluate the presence of underground structures or utilities.

Borehole Advancement

Pre-cleaned hollow stem augers (typically 8 to 10 inches in diameter) are advanced using a drill rig for the purpose of collecting samples and evaluating subsurface conditions. Upon completion of drilling and sampling, if no well is to be constructed, the augers are retracted, and the borehole is filled with neat cement grout, mixed at a ratio of 6 gallons of water per 94 pounds of Portland cement, through a tremmie pipe to displace standing water in the borehole. In areas where the borehole penetrates asphalt or concrete, the borehole is capped with an equivalent thickness of asphalt or concrete patch to match finish grade.

During the drilling process, a physical description of the encountered soil characteristics (i.e. moisture content, consistency or density, odor, color, and plasticity), drilling difficulty, and soil type as a function of depth are described on boring logs. The soil cuttings are classified in accordance with the uses.

Split-Spoon Sampling

The precleaned split spoon sampler lined with three 6-inch long brass or stainless steel tubes is driven 18 inches into the underlying soils at the desired sample depth interval. The sampler is driven by repeatedly dropping a 140-pound hammer a free fall distance of 30 inches. The number of blows (blow count) to advance the sampler for each six-inch drive length is recorded on the field logs. Once the sampler is driven the 18-inch drive length or the sampler has met refusal (typically 50 blows per six inches), the sampler is retrieved.

Of the three sample tubes, the bottom sample is generally selected for laboratory analysis. The sample is carefully packaged for chemical analysis by capping each end of the sample with a Teflon sheet followed by a tight-fitting plastic cap, and sealing the cap with nonvolatile organic compound (VOC), self-adhering silicon tape. A label is affixed to the sample indicating the sample identification number, borehole number, sampling depth, sample collection date and time, and job number. The sample is then annotated on a chain-of custody form and placed in an ice-filled cooler for transport to the laboratory.

The remaining soil samples are used for soil classification and field evaluation of headspace volatile organic vapors, where applicable, using a photo ionization or flame ionization detector calibrated to a calibration gas (typically isobutylene or hexane). VOC vapor concentrations are recorded on the boring logs.

Grab Groundwater Sample Collection

Grab groundwater samples are collected by lowering a pre-cleaned, single-sample polypropylene, disposable bailer down the borehole or temporary casing. The groundwater sample is discharged from the bailer to the sample container through a bottom emptying flow control valve to minimize volatilization.

Collected water samples are discharged directly into laboratory provided, pre-cleaned, vials or containers and sealed with Teflon-lined septum, screw-on lids. Labels documenting sample number, well identification, collection date and time, type of sample and type of preservative (if applicable, i.e. HCl for TPPH, BTEX, and fuel oxygenates) are affixed to each sample. The samples are then placed into an ice-filled cooler for delivery under chain-of-custody to a laboratory certified by the State of California to perform the specified tests.

Workplan for Further Investigation

Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation and Development

Groundwater monitoring wells are constructed by inserting or tremmieing well materials through the annulus of the hollow stem auger. The groundwater monitoring wells are constructed with a screen interval determined from the encountered soil stratigraphy, to maintain a proper seal at the surface (minimum three feet), to allow flow from permeable zones into the well, and to avoid penetrating aquicludes. Groundwater wells are installed in accordance with the conditions of the well construction permit issued by the regulatory agency exercising jurisdiction over the project site.

The well screen generally consists of schedule 40 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) casing with 0.01 to 0.02-inch factory slots. As a general rule, 0.01-inch slots are used in fine-grained silts and clays, and 0.02-inch slots are used in coarse-grained materials. The screen is then filter packed with #2/12 or #3 sand, or equivalent, for the 0.01 and 0.02 inch slots, respectively.

Once the borehole has been drilled to the desired depth, the well screen and blank well casing are inserted through the annulus of the hollow stem augers. The well screen is sand packed by tremmieing the appropriate filter sand through the annulus between the casing and augers while slowly retracting the augers. During this operation, the depth of the sand pack in the auger is continuously sounded to make sure that the sand remains in the auger annulus during auger retraction to avoid short-circuiting the well. The sand pack is tremmied to approximately two feet above the screen, at which time pre-development surging is performed to consolidate the sand pack. Additional sand is added as necessary so that the sand pack extends approximately two feet above top of screen. Following construction of the sand pack, a one to two foot thick bentonite seal is tremmied over the sand and hydrated in place. The remainder of the borehole is backfilled with Portland neat cement grout (or the equivalent), mixed at ratio of 6 gallons of water per 94 pounds of neat cement. The well head is then capped with a locking cap and secured with a lock to protect the well from surface water intrusion and vandalism.

The well head is further protected from damage with traffic a rated well box in paved areas or locking steel riser in undeveloped areas. The protective boxes or risers are set in concrete. The details of well construction are recorded on well construction logs.

Following well construction, the wells are developed in accordance with agency protocols by intermittently surging and bailing the wells. Development is determined to be sufficient once pH, conductivity, and temperature stabilize to within ± 0.1 , $\pm 3\%$, and $\pm 10\%$, respectively.