

RECEIVED

3:43 pm, Feb 05, 2009



Alameda County Environmental Health

Atlantic Richfield Company (a BP affiliated company)

P.O. Box 1257 San Ramon, CA 94583 Phone: (925) 275-3801 Fax: (925) 275-3815

3 February 2009

 Re: Monitoring Well Installation and Dual-Phase Extraction Pilot Testing Work Plan Former BP Station # 11109 4280 Foothill Boulevard Oakland, California ACEH Case #RO0000426

"I declare, that to the best of my knowledge at the present time, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document are true and correct."

Submitted by:

Tail Supple

Paul Supple Environmental Business Manger



Prepared for

Mr. Paul Supple Environmental Business Manager Atlantic Richfield Company P.O. Box 1257 San Ramon, California 94583

Prepared by

BROADBENT & ASSOCIATES, INC. ENGINEERING, WATER RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENTAL

1324 Mangrove Avenue, Suite 212 Chico, California 95926 (530) 566-1400 www.broadbentinc.com

3 February 2009

Project No. 06-88-646

Monitoring Well Installation and Dual-Phase Extraction Pilot Testing Work Plan Former BP Station No. 11109

4280 Foothill Boulevard, Oakland, California ACEH Case No. RO0000426

BROADBENT & ASSOCIATES, INC ENVIRONMENTAL. WATER RESOURCES & ENGINEERING

3 February 2009

Project No. 06-88-646

Atlantic Richfield Company P.O. Box 1257 San Ramon, CA 94583 Submitted via ENFOS

Attn.: Mr. Paul Supple

Re: Monitoring Well Installation and Dual-Phase Extraction Pilot Testing Work Plan at Former BP Service Station #11109, 4280 Foothill Boulevard, Oakland, California (ACEH Case #RO0000426)

Dear Mr. Supple:

Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (BAI) is pleased to present this Monitoring Well Installation and Dual-Phase Extraction Pilot Testing Work Plan for the Former BP Station No. 11109, located at 4280 Foothill Boulevard, Oakland, California (Site). BAI prepared this work plan in response to the 5 December 2008 letter request from Mr. Paresh Khatri of Alameda County Environmental Health Services (ACEH). This work plan includes the proposed scope of work for monitoring well installation and dual-phase extraction pilot testing with a proposed completion schedule.

Should you have questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us at (530) 566-1400.

Sincerely,

BROADBENT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Thomas A. Venus, P.E. Senior Engineer

The but 7. The

Robert H. Miller, P.G., C.HG. Principal Hydrogeologist

Enclosures



Mr. Paresh Khatri, Alameda County Environmental Health (Submitted via ACEH ftp site)
 Ms. Shelby Lathrop, Conoco Phillips, 76 Broadway, Sacramento, CA 95818
 Electronic copy uploaded to GeoTracker

MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION AND **DUAL-PHASE EXTRACTION PILOT TESTING WORK PLAN** Former BP Station No. 11109 4280 Foothill Boulevard, Oakland, California ACEH Fuel Leak Case No. RO000426

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>No.</u>	Section	Page							
1.0	Introduction	1							
2.0	Site Background	1							
3.0	Geology								
	3.1 Regional Geology	2							
	3.2 Topography	3							
	3.3 Stratigraphy	3							
4.0	Monitoring Well Installation	3							
	4.1 Proposed Well Installation Locations	3							
	4.2 Soil Borings	3							
	4.3 Monitoring Well Construction	4							
	4.4 Well Development	4							
	4.5 Well Surveying	4							
	4.6 Well Sampling	5							
5.0	Dual-Phase Extraction Pilot Testing	5							
	5.1 Description of DPE Pilot Testing Activities								
	5.2 Vapor and Ground-Water Sample Collection	6							
	5.3 Calculations of Contaminant Mass Removal								
	5.4 Background Conditions and Observation Well Monitoring	7							
6.0	Pre-Mobilization Activities	7							
7.0	Documentation and Reporting8								
8.0	Proposed Schedule								
9.0	Closure								
10.0) References								

ATTACHMENTS

Drawing 1	Site Location Map
Drawing 2	Site Layout Plan with Proposed Monitoring/Recovery Well Locations

APPENDICES

No. Section

Appendix B Soil Boring/Well Construction Logs with Geologic Cross-Sections

MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION AND DUAL-PHASE EXTRACTION PILOT TESTING WORK PLAN Former BP Station No. 11109 4280 Foothill Boulevard, Oakland, California ACEH Fuel Leak Case No. RO000426

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (BAI) has prepared this Monitoring Well Installation and Dual-Phase Extraction Pilot Testing Work Plan for the Former BP Station No. 11109, located at 4280 Foothill Boulevard, Oakland, California (Site). This work plan was prepared in partial response to the 5 December 2008 directive letter request from Mr. Paresh Khatri of Alameda County Environmental Health Services (ACEH). In addition to requesting a work plan for soil and ground-water characterization and vapor phase or dual-phase extraction (DPE) pilot testing, the directive letter also requested the preparation of a preferential pathway study for incorporation within the work plan. As ACEH is aware by our release signature request, BAI has sought from the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) copies of nearby confidential well records. The requested well records have not been received from the DWR yet. BAI has received offsite subsurface water and sanitary sewer plans from the East Bay Municipal Utility District. However, BAI has not received subsurface gas and electric plans from Pacific Gas & Electric Company, nor storm drain plans from the City of Oakland. BAI shall endeavor to acquire this data in time to incorporate them into the Soil & Water Investigation with DPE Feasibility Study Report which will result from implementation of this work plan. A copy of this directive letter is provided within Appendix A. This work plan has also been prepared for the benefit of Stratus Environmental, Inc. (Stratus), who will be performing the scope of work provided herein, under the direction of BAI and BP.

This work plan includes the proposed scope of work for monitoring well installation and dualphase extraction pilot testing and a completion schedule. Monitoring well installation is to be performed in the area of well MW-5 in the southern corner of the property. MW-5 is located in an area containing elevated hydrocarbon concentrations, but the top of the screen interval is often submerged. The monitoring/recovery wells proposed to be installed will be appropriately screened across the water table. Finally, pilot testing activities are to be performed to assist with evaluation of DPE as a potentially viable remediation technology to address soil and groundwater contamination at the Site.

2.0 SITE BACKGROUND

The Site is currently an operating service station located on the north corner of Foothill Boulevard and High Street in a mixed commercial and residential area of Oakland, California. The Site features include a station building containing three service bays (converted into a convenience store) and four pump islands with a canopy and concrete drive slab. Existing underground storage tanks (USTs) include three double-wall fiberglass gasoline tanks (10,000 gallons each) and one double-wall fiberglass waste oil tank (1,000 gallon). The three 10,000gallon USTs store regular, plus, and super unleaded gasoline and were reportedly installed in 1991. The waste oil tank was reportedly installed in 1989 or 1990 (EMCON, 12/27/1994). The Site was operated by Mobil Oil Corporation (Mobil) as Mobil Service Station No.10-H69 since at least the early 1970's. BP acquired the station from Mobil on 1 May 1989 (BP 1990) and operated the station under the BP brand. BP sold the station in 1994 to Tosco, which was acquired by ConocoPhillips who operated a 76-branded station for some time. Currently, the station operates under an independent brand. The ACEH-assigned Fuel Leak Case number for the Site is RO0000426 / GeoTracker Global ID No. T0600100217.

A church borders the Site to the northeast. Single-family residences border the Site to the northwest. The paved recreation courts and playing field of Fremont High School are located across High Street to the southeast. A Chevron-branded gasoline service station is located across Foothill Boulevard (4265 Foothill Boulevard) to the southwest of the Site. Chevron Gasoline Station No. 9-0076 is an active leaking UST case (ACEH Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000427 / GeoTracker Global ID No. T0600100339). A former Shell-branded gasoline service station was previously located at 4411 Foothill Boulevard across Foothill Boulevard and High Street to the south of the Site. This former Shell station is an active leaking UST case also (ACEH Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000415 / GeoTracker Global ID No. T0600101065). This southern corner of the intersection of Foothill Boulevard and High Street is presently developed into a small strip mall with shops and restaurants. The reader is referred to the recently submitted *Initial Site Conceptual Model* (BAI, 11/7/2008) for a summary of past environmental investigations and remediation activities conducted at the Site.

3.0 GEOLOGY

3.2 Regional Geology

According to the *East Bay Plain Groundwater Basin Beneficial Use Evaluation Report* (California Regional Water Quality Control Board – San Francisco Bay Region/SFRWQCB, June 1999), the Site is located within the Oakland Sub-Area of the East Bay Plain of the San Francisco Basin. The Oakland Sub-Area contains a sequence of alluvial fans. The alluvial fill thickness ranges from 300 to 700 feet deep. There are no well-defined aquitards such as estuarine mud. The largest and deepest wells in this sub-area historically pumped one to two million gallons per day at depths greater than 200 feet. Overall, sustainable yields are low due in part to low recharge potential. The Merritt sand in West Oakland was an important part of the early water supply for the City of Oakland. It is shallow (up to 60 feet), but before the turn of the last century, septic systems contaminated the water supply wells.

Throughout most of the Alameda County portion of the East Bay Plain, from Hayward north to Albany, water level contours show that the general direction of ground-water flow is from east to west or from the Hayward Fault to the San Francisco Bay. Ground-water flow direction generally correlates to topography. Flow direction and velocity are also influenced by buried stream channels that typically are oriented in an east to west direction. In the southern end of the study area however, near the San Lorenzo Sub-Area, the direction of flow may not be this simple. According to information presented in *East Bay Plain Groundwater Basin Beneficial Use Evaluation Report*, the small set of water level measurements available seemed to show that the ground water in the upper aquifers may be flowing south, with the deeper aquifers, the Alameda Formation, moving north. The nearest natural drainage is Peralta Creek, located approximately 1,500 feet west of the Site. Peralta Creek flows generally north to south at its closest distance from the Site.

3.2 Topography

The Site is situated at an approximate elevation of 42 feet above mean sea level. The Site is relatively flat, but slopes slightly to the southwest, consistent with the local topography.

3.3 Stratigraphy

Soils underlying the Site have been consistently characterized as interbedded layers of sandy clay or silty clay, clayey silt, clayey sand, and clayey gravel with occasional sand or gravelly sand. The presence of these soils, usually of low to very low permeability, complicate plans and limit available technologies for remediation at this Site. Copies of available lithologic soil boring logs and well construction details are provided within Appendix B. Previously constructed geologic cross-sections are provided within Appendix B also.

4.0 MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION

4.1 **Proposed Well Installation Locations**

To adequately characterize the extent of separate-phase hydrocarbons (i.e. free product, FP) in the vicinity of existing ground-water monitoring well MW-5, BAI proposes to have Stratus install three ground-water monitoring wells, with the potential for future use as recovery wells, in the immediate vicinity of existing ground-water monitoring well MW-5. The proposed ground-water monitoring wells are to be identified as MW-10, MW-11 and MW-12. Well MW-10 is proposed to be located approximately 15 feet south of existing well MW-5, closer to the property boundary in the down-gradient direction. Well MW-11 is proposed to be located approximately 50 feet roughly northwest of existing well MW-5. Well MW-12 is proposed to be located approximately 40 feet roughly east of existing well MW-5. The locations of proposed wells MW-10, MW-11 and MW-12 should create an effective capture zone in the vicinity of the downgradient corner of the Site which is known in well MW-5 to contain FP. The proposed locations for these new wells are shown on Drawing 2.

4.2 Soil Borings

The borings for wells MW-10, MW-11, and MW-12 will be completed under the supervision of a Stratus field geologist with the use of a drill rig equipped with 10-inch diameter hollow-stem augers. The borings will be advanced to a total depth of approximately 30 feet below ground surface (bgs) using a hollow-stem auger drilling rig. Continuous core soil samples will be collected from the soil borings using an 18-inch California-modified split-spoon sampler for lithologic description (per Unified Soil Classification System). Soil samples for chemical analyses will be retained and submitted to the analytical laboratory at five foot intervals, the capillary fringe, and at zones of detected subsurface contamination (precluding the saturated zone). Field screening for hydrocarbons will include visual and olfactory observations and portable photo-ionization detector (PID) measurements.

Each soil sample collected for chemical analysis will be covered at each end with Teflon sheeting, capped with plastic end caps, labeled, and placed in a chilled cooler for preservation. Sample labels will include the boring number, sample name, sample depth interval, sampling

date and time, analytical methods, and sampler's initials. Samples will be transported under chain-of-custody protocol to Calscience Environmental Laboratory (Garden Grove), a California state-certified analytical laboratory.

Soil samples will be analyzed for the following: Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C12) by EPA Method 8015B; and Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Total Xylenes (BTEX), tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME), tert-Butyl alcohol (TBA), Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE), 1,2-Dibromomethane (EDB), 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA), Ethanol, Ethyl-tert-butyl ether (ETBE), and Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) by EPA Method 8260B.

4.3 Monitoring Well Construction

Proposed monitoring wells MW-10, MW-11 and MW-12 will be constructed of four-inch diameter, threaded Schedule 40 PVC factory slotted casing and blank casing, installed down the center of the boring within the augers. Slotted casing (0.020 inch slots) shall be installed from total depth of the boring to approximately seven feet below ground surface, with blank casing installed from approximately seven ft bgs to approximately 0.5 ft bgs. Sorted silica sand (#3) shall be placed in the annular space from total depth to approximately six ft bgs. A bentonite plug approximately two feet thick shall be placed above the sand pack. A neat cement grout shall be placed above the bentonite to approximately 0.5 ft bgs. The well head shall be completed with a locking security plug and covered with a traffic-rated well vault. The surface completion shall be finished with neat cement and graded to drain away from the well head.

4.4 Well Development

The proposed wells should be developed no sooner than 48 hours after well installation but no more than one week after well installation. The well development process will consist of carefully surging and bailing the well to remove fine-grained sediments from the well and sand filter pack. A minimum of three and a maximum of ten wetted casing volumes of ground water will be removed until relatively silt-free water is obtained and water quality parameters have stabilized. Periodic measurements of the water quality parameters including pH, temperature, conductivity, and observed turbidity will be recorded during the development to establish baseline values for ground water. Water generated during development activities will be handled according to BP protocols and procedures.

4.5 Well Surveying

After well development, all monitoring wells associated with the Site will be surveyed. A California-licensed Professional Land Surveyor will be subcontracted to survey the well heads for top of casing elevation with respect to mean sea level, and for lateral position using Northing's and Easting's per NAD'88. Surveying should be scheduled for completion within two weeks of well completion. A stamped written report and electronic deliverable (i.e. AutoCAD file meeting GeoTracker specifications) shall be submitted following surveying activities.

4.6 Well Sampling

The wells will be sampled no sooner than 48 hours after well development. The sampling procedure for the wells consists of first measuring the water level and depth to bottom, and checking for the presence of separate phase hydrocarbons (free product) using an electronic oilwater interface probe. If the well does not contain free product, it will be purged of approximately three wetted casing volumes of water (or until dewatered) using a centrifugal pump, gas displacement pump, or bailer. During purging, temperature, pH, and electrical conductivity will be monitored to document that these parameters have stabilized prior to collecting samples. After purging, water levels will be allowed to partially (at least 80%) recover. Ground-water samples will be collected using a dedicated disposable bailer, placed into appropriate containers, labeled, logged onto chain-of-custody records, and transported on ice to the laboratory. Sample labels will include sample name, sampling time and date, analytical methods, and sampler's initials. If the well contains free product, it will not be sampled and free product will be removed according to California Code of Regulations, Title 23, Division 3, Chapter 16, Section 2655, UST Regulations. Ground-water samples will be analyzed for the following: GRO by EPA Method 8015B and BTEX, TAME, TBA, DIPE, EDB, 1,2-DCA, Ethanol, ETBE, and MTBE using EPA Method 8260B.

5.0 DUAL-PHASE EXTRACTION PILOT TESTING

5.1 Description of DPE Pilot Testing Activities

A DPE unit with a liquid-ring pump and a thermal oxidizer will be mobilized to the Site to facilitate pilot testing activities. Air and water will be extracted from selected recovery wells using an approximate one-inch diameter stinger lowered into each well. Extracted ground water and soil vapors will be directed to a water knockout tank. Processor air will be treated by the thermal oxidizer prior to discharge while ground water will be transferred to an on-site holding tank, temporarily accumulated, until transportation for disposal/treatment at an appropriate facility. Based on historical ground-water contaminant concentrations and location relative to the former UST complex, pilot testing activities will be performed on wells MW-5, MW-10, MW-11, and MW-12. Pilot testing activities will include individual well step tests, individual well constant rate tests, followed by a combined multiple well evaluation test.

The stinger depth in each well will be set at approximately two feet below static ground-water levels for testing activities. It is anticipated that the depth of the stinger will need to be adjusted during each extraction test in order to maximize recovery of soil vapors. Once ground water has been dewatered to the end of the stinger, the applied vacuum will be incrementally increased as a means to evaluate the optimal extraction rate (maximum air flow rate) during the step test. A PID will be used to record concentrations of recovered vapors during the step test. A step test is not anticipated to exceed six hours in duration consisting of various applied vacuum for one to two hour periods. After the optimum extraction flow rate from the step test has been determined, an up to 12 hour constant-rate DPE pilot test will be performed on the extraction well to observe influence, if any, in adjacent observation wells, and to observe the sustainability of recovered hydrocarbon vapors.

Individual well DPE testing (step and constant) is not expected to exceed 12 hours for each well. This is also dependent upon ability to run the test equipment prior to 7 a.m. or after 7 p.m. However, individual extractions may be terminated early based on observed conditions and decreased vapor extraction recovery rates. If hourly PID readings decrease to values below 250 parts per million volume (ppmv) and adjustment of the stinger depth does not influence PID readings for two to three continuous hours, the individual DPE constant rate extraction may be terminated after notification and discussion with BAI.

After individual well DPE testing activities have been completed, a multiple well DPE step and constant-rate test using a combination of the three on-site test wells will be performed (MW-10, MW-11, and MW-12). Accordingly, it is requested that additional supplies be available at the Site to accommodate a multiple extraction event (e.g., stingers and hoses). The multiple well extraction pilot test will be performed following the same protocol as the individual DPE pilot tests conducted on wells MW-5, MW-10, MW-11, and MW-12, and shall not exceed 12 hours in duration.

Pilot testing activities are not expected to exceed seven working days in duration. Early termination (i.e., prior to five days) of this DPE pilot test may be warranted based on field observations and decreased recovery rates. However, it is requested that BAI personnel be contacted prior to early termination of the DPE testing.

5.2 Vapor and Ground-Water Sample Collection

Vapor and ground-water samples will be collected after the first hour and every three to four hours after the initial hour of operation during each constant rate extraction. For example, if an extraction is performed for 12 hours, samples will be collected at one hour, three hours, six hours, nine hours, and twelve hours. Not all collected samples will be submitted for analysis. It is anticipated that a minimum of three vapor and three ground-water samples will be submitted for laboratory analysis for each test. Submitted samples will likely include the one hour sample, an approximate mid-point sample, and the approximate end-point sample of each extraction.

Collected samples will be submitted promptly under chain-of-custody protocol to Calscience Environmental Laboratories, Inc. in Garden Grove, California (CA-ELAP #1230, NELAP #03220CA). Submitted samples will be analyzed for GRO by EPA Method 8015M and BTEX and MTBE by EPA Method 8260B. Ground-water samples will also be analyzed for TBA, DIPE, ETBE, TAME and Ethanol by EPA Method 8260B.

5.3 Calculations of Contaminant Mass Removal

The contaminant mass recovered from both the gas-phase and liquid-phase process streams shall be calculated on a total and well-by-well basis. For the gas-phase, the calculation for contaminant mass removal (in pounds, lbs) will be the calculated removal rate (in lbs/hr) multiplied by time, using the following model equation:

lbs/hr = ("x" ppmv/1,000,000)("Q" ft³/min)("M.W." lb/lb-mol)(60 min/hr)(lb-mol/379.5 ft³) where: "x" is influent concentration in ppmv of GRO or Benzene; "Q" is the average flow rate in ft³/min; and "M.W." is the molecular weight in lb/lb-mol (100.2 for GRO, 78.1 for Benzene).

For the liquid-phase, the calculation for contaminant mass removal (in pounds) will be calculated using the following model equation:

 $lbs = (``x`` \mu g/L)(gram/1,000,000 \mu g)(lbs/454 grams)(3.78 L/gal)(gallons pumped)$

where: "x" is influent concentration in micrograms per liter of GRO or Benzene.

Gallons of GRO and Benzene removed shall be calculated also by dividing pounds removed of each by the density of GRO (6.2 lbs/gal) and Benzene (7.3 lbs/gal).

5.4 Background Conditions and Observation Well Monitoring

Prior to initiating each DPE extraction, background depth to water level measurements will be recorded for each well associated with the Site, and the hour meter on the DPE equipment will be recorded. Field personnel will record the DPE equipment hour meter reading, applied vacuum, air flow, totalizer reading, and collect an PID reading of recovered vapors on an hourly basis during each DPE step and constant-rate test.

Remaining wells associated with the Site will be used as observation wells during step and constant-rate extraction tests. Periodic monitoring activities in surrounding observation wells will include determining if vacuum influence is observed using Magnehelic gauges (with appropriate sensitivity) installed on each observation well head in addition to recording the depth to ground water. Periodic monitoring activities on observation wells should be conducted on an hourly basis during testing activities.

6.0 PRE-MOBILIZATION ACTIVITIES

Prior to initiating field activities, Stratus will obtain the necessary permits from Alameda County; prepare a site health and safety plan (HASP) for the proposed work; clear the Site for subsurface utilities; and provide 72-hour advance written notification(s) to ACEH (email preferred to paresh.khatri@acgov.org) and BAI (email tvenus@broadbentinc.com or mobile phone 530-588-5887) prior to the start of field activities. The utility clearance will include notifying Underground Service Alert (USA) of the pending work a minimum of 48 hours prior to initiating the subsurface field investigation. In addition, the services of a private underground utility locator will be utilized.

The Site-specific HASP will be prepared for use by personnel implementing the work plan. The HASP will address the proposed soil boring/monitoring well construction and DPE pilot testing scope of work. A copy of the HASP will be available on-site during work. The subcontractor(s) performing field activities will be provided with a copy of the HASP prior to initiating work. A safety tailgate meeting will also be conducted daily to review the Site hazards and work scope.

7.0 DOCUMENTATION AND REPORTING

Upon completion of the work activities described above and after receipt of laboratory analytical data, it is expected that Stratus will submit a data package including the following information at a minimum:

- Brief descriptions of the work performed;
- Copies of the required permits;
- Copies of all field notes;
- Soil boring logs;
- Well construction diagrams;
- Well drillers reports;
- Surveyor's report;
- Tabulated results and measurements; and
- Laboratory analytical reports with copies of chain-of-custody records.

BAI shall use the data and information provided above to prepare a Soil & Water Investigation with DPE Feasibility Study Report. BAI plans to incorporate into this resulting report the requested preferential pathway evaluation unless significant delays are encountered obtaining the requested plans.

8.0 PROPOSED SCHEDULE

The schedule for the above-noted work shall proceed as follows:

- <u>Implementation of Monitoring Well Installation</u> Upon approval of this work plan, obtaining the necessary permits, and scheduling the required subcontractors;
- <u>DPE Pilot Testing</u> Upon installation, development, sampling, and surveying of the monitoring/recovery wells, obtaining the necessary permits, and scheduling the required subcontractors;
- <u>Submittal of Soil & Water Investigation with DPE Feasibility Study Report</u> Within 60 days after receipt of certified field data package following completion of field work, receipt of confidential well records from DWR, and receipt of remaining plans requested from subsurface utility owners/operators.

9.0 CLOSURE

Discovery of hazardous or regulated materials constitutes a changed condition mandating a renegotiation of the scope of work described herein or termination of services. BAI will endeavor to alert the client of matters which, in the opinion of BAI, require immediate attention to protect the public health, safety, and environment. BAI will endeavor to advise the client of matters which should be reported to proper governmental entities. However, the client is solely responsible for reporting such matters and BAI shall not be held liable in the event the proper agency is not notified. Our services will be performed in accordance with the generally accepted practice at the time work commences. Results and recommendations will be based on laboratory results, observations of Stratus field personnel, and the points investigated. No other warranty,

expressed on implied was made. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Atlantic Richfield Company.

10.0 REFERENCES

- ACEH, 5 December 2008. Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000426 and GeoTracker Global ID T0600100213, BP #11109, 3201 35th Avenue, Oakland, CA 94619. Submitted by Mr. Paresh Khatri to Messrs. Paul Supple for Atlantic Richfield Company, Terry Grayson for Conoco Phillips, and Steve Mahoney, Khalid & Romana Usman.
- BAI, 7 November 2008. Initial Site Conceptual Model, Former BP Station #11109, 4280
 Foothill Boulevard, Oakland, California; ACEH Case #RO0000426. Submitted to
 Messrs. Paul Supple for Atlantic Richfield Company and Mr. Paresh Khatri for ACEH.
- California Regional Water Quality control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, Groundwater Committee, June 1999. *East Bay Plain Groundwater Basin Beneficial Use Evaluation Report, Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, CA.*





APPENDIX A

Recent Regulatory Correspondence

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES



AGENCY

DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

DEC 1 3 2008

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

December 5, 2008

Paul Supple Atlantic Richfield Company (A BP Affiliated Company) P.O. Box 1257 San Ramon, CA 94583

30 Northwest Street Yerlington, NV 89447

Steve Mahoney

Terry Grayson Conoco Phillips 76 Broadway Street Sacramento, CA 95818 Khalid & Romana Usman 3670 Ralston Avenue Hillsborough, CA 94010

Subject: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000426 and GeoTracker Global ID T0600100217, BP #11109, 4280 Foothill Boulevard, Oakland, CA 94601

Dear Mr. Supple:

Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the case file for the abovereferenced site including the recently submitted document entitled, "Initial Site Conceptual Model," dated November 7, 2008, which was prepared by Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (BAI) for the subject site. The report summarizes the apparent data gaps identified in the Site Conceptual Model (SCM) and proposes a scope of work to address those data gaps.

ACEH generally concurs with the BAI's recommendations and proposed scope of work. However, sufficient detail was not presented to approve the proposed well installations and subsequent pilot testing (interim remedial action). ACEH requests that you address the following technical comments, perform the proposed preferential pathway evaluation, and send us the technical reports described below.

TECHNICAL COMMENTS

 Preferential Pathway Study – BAI proposes to conduct a preferential pathway evaluation at the site. Since free product is still present and groundwater is relatively shallow at the site, a preferential pathway evaluation appears warranted. The purpose of the preferential pathway study is to locate potential migration pathways and conduits and determine the probability of the NAPL and/or plume encountering preferential pathways and conduits that could spread contamination. We request that you perform a preferential pathway study that details the potential migration pathways and potential conduits (wells, utilities, pipelines, etc.) for vertical and lateral migration that may be present in the vicinity of the site.

Discuss your analysis and interpretation of the results of the preferential pathway study (including the detailed well survey and utility survey requested below) and report your results

in the Soil and Groundwater Investigation Work Plan requested below. The results of your study shall contain all information required by California Code of Regulations, Title 23, Division 3, Chapter 16, §2654(b).

a. Utility Survey

An evaluation of all utility lines and trenches (including sewers, storm drains, pipelines, trench backfill, etc.) within and near the site and plume area(s) is required as part of your study. Please include maps and cross-sections illustrating the location and depth of all utility lines and trenches within and near the site and plume areas(s) as part of your study.

b. Well Survey

The preferential pathway study shall include a well survey of all wells (monitoring and production wells: active, inactive, standby, decommissioned (sealed with concrete), abandoned (improperly decommissioned or lost); and dewatering, drainage, and cathodic protection wells) within a ¼ mile radius of the subject site. As part of your well survey, please perform a background study of the historical land uses of the site and properties in the vicinity of the site. Use the results of your background study to determine the existence of unrecorded/unknown (abandoned) wells, which can act as contaminant migration pathways at or from your site. Please review and submit copies of historical maps, such as Sanborn maps, aerial photographs, etc., when conducting the background study.

2. Soil and Groundwater Characterization & Vapor Phase and/or DPE Pilot Test – To adequately characterize the extent of free phase petroleum hydrocarbons in the vicinity of groundwater monitoring well MW-5, BAI proposes to install three groundwater monitoring wells, with the potential for future use as recovery wells, in the immediate vicinity of existing groundwater monitoring well MW-5. Although the scope of work is conceptually acceptable, sufficient detail was not presented in the SCM. The depth and screened intervals of the wells were proposed, but the diameter of the well was not mentioned nor was rationale for the selected well locations adequately detailed.

A vapor phase and/or dual-phase extraction (DPE) pilot test utilizing the existing and newly installed wells would be performed during a 5-day mobile test event. The pilot test has been referred to as an interim remedial measure, thereby precluding the preparation of a formal feasibility study (FS), which would evaluate several cleanup alternatives that would have likelihood for successfully cleaning up the site. Although ACEH encourages the preparation of an FS, it is not a requirement for an interim remedial measure. However, ACEH does require that the proposed pilot test be adequately detailed so that an evaluation can be conducted, as well as include cleanup levels and cleanup goals. At a minimum, the following should be discussed in the work plan.

- Statement of objectives
- Description of site lithology, including intrinsic permeability and soil moisture content in the unsaturated zone, and applicability of proposed remediation alternative.

- Baseline data collected during earlier investigation phases that may be relevant in describing initial conditions.
- Proposed system start-up operating procedures that will be used.
- Proposed time and duration of testing for each well or well(s) and identification of observation wells.
- Frequency of pressure and vacuum measurements taken at blowers and other above ground equipment.
- Pressure and vacuum measurements in recovery wells (before and after balancing flows and in vadose zone monitoring points, if applicable.
- Proposed number of samples to be collected and analyzed for influent and effluent groundwater and gas (vapor).
- Proposed calculations to estimate contaminant mass in the subsurface and recovered contaminant mass in water and gas streams, both total and on a well-by-well basis, if possible.
- Corrective action design in relation to site conditions.

Please prepare a scope of work to address the above-mentioned concerns and submit a work plan due by the date specified below.

NOTIFICATION OF FIELDWORK ACTIVITIES

Please schedule and complete the fieldwork activities by the date specified below and provide ACEH with at least three (3) business days notification prior to conducting the fieldwork.

TECHNICAL REPORT REQUEST

Please submit technical reports to ACEH (Attention: Paresh Khatri), according to the following schedule:

- February 3, 2009 Interim Remedial Action Plan
- April 30, 2009 Semi-annual Monitoring Report (1st Quarter 2009)
- October 30, 2009 Semi-annual Monitoring Report (3rd Quarter 2009)

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654, and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS

ACEH's Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of reports in electronic form. The electronic copy replaces paper copies and is expected to be used

Mr. Supple RO0000426 December 5, 2008, Page 4

for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities. Instructions for submission of electronic documents to the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Program FTP site are provided on the attached "Electronic Report Upload Instructions." Submission of reports to the Alameda County FTP site is an addition to existing requirements for electronic submittal of information to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) GeoTracker website. In September 2004, the SWRCB adopted regulations that require electronic submittal of information for all groundwater cleanup programs. For several years, responsible parties for cleanup of leaks from underground storage tanks (USTs) have been required to submit groundwater analytical data, surveyed locations of monitoring wells, and other data to the GeoTracker database over the Internet. Beginning July 1, 2005, these same reporting requirements were added to Spills, Leaks, Investigations, and Cleanup (SLIC) sites. Beginning July 1, 2005, electronic submittal of a complete copy of all reports for all sites is required in GeoTracker (in PDF format). Please visit the SWRCB website for more information on these requirements (<u>http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/ust/electronic submittal/report rgmts.shtml</u>.

PERJURY STATEMENT

All work plans, technical reports, or technical documents submitted to ACEH must be accompanied by a cover letter from the responsible party that states, at a minimum, the following: "I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge." This letter must be signed by an officer or legally authorized representative of your company. Please include a cover letter satisfying these requirements with all future reports and technical documents submitted for this fuel leak case.

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION & CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The California Business and Professions Code (Sections 6735, 6835, and 7835.1) requires that work plans and technical or implementation reports containing geologic or engineering evaluations and/or judgments be performed under the direction of an appropriately registered or certified professional. For your submittal to be considered a valid technical report, you are to present site specific data, data interpretations, and recommendations prepared by an appropriately licensed professional and include the professional registration stamp, signature, and statement of professional certification. Please ensure all that all technical reports submitted for this fuel leak case meet this requirement.

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLEANUP FUND

Please note that delays in investigation, later reports, or enforcement actions may result in your becoming ineligible to receive grant money from the state's Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Senate Bill 2004) to reimburse you for the cost of cleanup.

AGENCY OVERSIGHT

If it appears as though significant delays are occurring or reports are not submitted as requested, we will consider referring your case to the Regional Board or other appropriate agency, including the County District Attorney, for possible enforcement actions. California Health and Safety

Mr. Supple RO0000426 December 5, 2008, Page 5

Code, Section 25299.76 authorizes enforcement including administrative action or monetary penalties of up to \$10,000 per day for each day of violation.

If you have any questions, please call me at (510) 777-2478 or send me an electronic mail message at paresh.khatri@acgov.org.

Sincerely,

Paresh C. Khatri Hazardous Materials Specialist

Donna L. Drogos, PE Supervising Hazardous Materials Specialist

Enclosure: ACEH Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions

cc: Tom Venus, Broadbent & Associates, 1324 Mangrove Avenue, Suite 212, Chico, CA 95926 Leroy Griffin, Oakland Fire Department, 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Ste. 3341, Oakland, CA 94612-2032

Donna Drogos, ACEH Paresh Khatri, ACEH File

Alameda County Environmental Cleanup	ISSUE DATE: July 5, 2005				
Oversight Programs	REVISION DATE: December 16, 2005				
(LOP and SLIC)	PREVIOUS REVISIONS: October 31, 2005				
SECTION: Miscellaneous Administrative Topics & Procedures	SUBJECT: Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions				

Effective **January 31, 2006**, the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of all reports in electronic form to the county's ftp site. Paper copies of reports will no longer be accepted. The electronic copy replaces the paper copy and will be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities.

REQUIREMENTS

- Entire report including cover letter must be submitted to the ftp site as a single portable document format (PDF) with no password protection. (Please do not submit reports as attachments to electronic mail.)
- It is preferable that reports be converted to PDF format from their original format, (e.g., Microsoft Word) rather than scanned.
- Signature pages and perjury statements **must** be included and have either original or electronic signature.
- Do not password protect the document. Once indexed and inserted into the correct electronic case file, the document will be secured in compliance with the County's current security standards and a password.
 Documents with password protection will not be accepted.
- Each page in the PDF document should be rotated in the direction that will make it easiest to read on a computer monitor.
- Reports must be named and saved using the following naming convention:
 RO# Report Name, Year Month Data (a.g., RO#5555, Work Dian, 20)
 - RO#_Report Name_Year-Month-Date (e.g., RO#5555_WorkPlan_2005-06-14)

Additional Recommendations

 A separate copy of the tables in the document should be submitted by e-mail to your Caseworker in Excel format. These are for use by assigned Caseworker only.

Submission Instructions

- 1) Obtain User Name and Password:
 - a) Contact the Alameda County Environmental Health Department to obtain a User Name and Password to upload files to the ftp site.
 - i) Send an e-mail to <u>dehloptoxic@acgov.org</u>
 - or
 - ii) Send a fax on company letterhead to (510) 337-9335, to the attention of Alicia Lam-Finneke.
 - b) In the subject line of your request, be sure to include "ftp PASSWORD REQUEST" and in the body of your request, include the Contact Information, Site Addresses, and the Case Numbers (RO# available in Geotracker) you will be posting for.
- 2) Upload Files to the ftp Site
 - a) Using Internet Explorer (IE4+), go to <u>ftp://alcoftp1.acgov.org</u>
 - (i) Note: Netscape and Firefox browsers will not open the FTP site.
 - b) Click on File, then on Login As.
 - c) Enter your User Name and Password. (Note: Both are Case Sensitive.)
 - d) Open "My Computer" on your computer and navigate to the file(s) you wish to upload to the ftp site.
 - e) With both "My Computer" and the ftp site open in separate windows, drag and drop the file(s) from "My Computer" to the ftp window.
- 3) Send E-mail Notifications to the Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs
 - a) Send email to <u>dehloptoxic@acgov.org</u> notify us that you have placed a report on our ftp site.
 - b) Copy your Caseworker on the e-mail. Your Caseworker's e-mail address is the entire first name then a period and entire last name at acgov.org. (e.g., firstname.lastname@acgov.org)
 - c) The subject line of the e-mail must start with the RO# followed by **Report Upload**. (e.g., Subject: RO1234 Report Upload)

APPENDIX B

Soil Boring/Monitoring Well Construction Logs

and

Geologic Cross-Sections



FIGURE 2



.

FIGURE 3

	ALTO			LO	GOF	BORING	PROJECT NO CLIENT MO LOCATION LOGGED BY	1280 Fo B. Nag	Ie ORILI	En Bayla	30 Land Ind	Sher	33	
Field location of boring:							Ortiling metho	id <u>iki</u>	low-stem	auger	10"	iy) di - iyo madaladana	anadaalinnees	.
1 1 1 1 2					\	X .				Hole Dia.				
				t.		Cashig Install	ation Data	4" perfe	prated (().020"	<u>) pi</u>	×		
	ê	4		>	9 4 5	. His	Casing installation Data <u>4" perforated (0.020") pipe</u> 32-20', #3 lonestar sand 33-18', bentonite							
	X	· .	HIGH		1		pellets 1	18-17';	cement	seal to s	surface	2.	10004 (2009) 700	
Grour	nd Elev	:	a a si fine si a		Dalum						Muuubretunii (1000000	****		
						Water Level	6.72	20.28			<u> </u>			
Blow	PID	0	.5 *	Soli Group	Litte-	Time	11:00	13:51					***	ومدوري
Counts	OVA	ě p - h	٩	Symbol (uscs)	grachic Byrribol	Dete	1/29/90 2	/05/90						ulaur Marro
			*	fremeret.					SCRIPTION					
				****		3" asphalt	; 6" basero	<u>x</u> k						
		2_		CL	VIIII	and the second se	N. C. TARABUTAN CANADA CANA	and a state of the second second						
	25	-^			V////	SILTY CLA	Y: Black	. mois	t, high	plasti	city.			
		4		···	Ka		and the second				anna a sha anna a sha anna anna anna ann			
		1.4			V7///	Appearanc	e of find	e to c	oarse g	rained	sand	; CO	101	r
À O	50			~		change to	dark bro	own.			nya melantaki di ketan ketan ketan k		In a descent of descent	siteboor one
,4,8	JU	6_		CL				and the second			99999999999999999999999999999999999999			*****
****						SILTY CLA	Y: Mottle	ed oli	ve gree	n/brown	1, mo:	lst,	16) - 16 (16) (16) (16)	1988-000
0444-01400-000000-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-		8_			V////	moderate			The second s			million in the second second		
i				~	¥////	moderace	prastici	LY, SL	<u></u>	daars r	ip co	4 *	allon sing som me	INDIALO
		10_	ЦП		17/13	CANON PLA			+ 100	nlacti	- i i i i i i	1107	17	
0,13,1	40			\mathbf{CL}	V////	SANDY CLAY: Brown, moist, low plasticity, very								
		12				stiff; gr	avels up	<u>to </u> ‡"	*					5-100 C 270
					VIII		·				analalan metrekanerenanya	,		1009042424
alaan ahaa ka k		14_			V////	Driller fe	lt auger o	ut of g	ravels a	t 13'				*===
AN AVAILABLE AND A DECIDENT			\square							494990444948 <u>4</u>				
,7,9	40	16			8///	SILTY CLAY	: Tan, đam	p to mo	ist, med	ium plas	ticity	, st	itt.	#
Russelferrærer er er st					V///	blue-gray	staining a	long oc	casional	rootlet	5.		المائدان المرجوع أوري	
		18		X					1					~~~~~
		1 Ca.,			V///		4+****							
	1			$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{L}$	V///	<u></u>	and the second	ondolen werken werke bester op opposite		MACI.				
		20.		λ. Le	V///	Change to	tori maist	÷ ******	aco in 1	" carbon	oranii	les	LANGE AND DESCRIPTION OF	8825.054
,9,10	25				V///	Cascilinge CD	VGLY READE	<u>L</u>					FORGOLETIC (11)	مدينيتم
		22			V////			Mento				onenstiteren ten		
					¥////			anian merenangan pengenakan kering			and the second			
		24			V///>		n na marta and a state of the second seco						<u></u>	annanta
	····				V///					د کمن ۲. دست ۲	aanoonoonoonoonoonoonoonoonoonoonoonoono			
<u>,9,15</u>	50	26		د. مربيع شي	\$ <i>1711</i>	SANDY CLAY	: ние-gra	у со са	ut, molst	1 TOM DI	astici	4-y 1	<u>sul</u>	ڭ مۇر. مىسى
	100				V////	l							-second - 10 finite	in suba teres
	Shoe	28		CL	V///	color chan	ye co 11gh	r Arul.				******	NWHENDOW	
					V///					**************************************				
,6,9		30			V///									,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
1912		24		57	1////	Top of 32'	-331' samp	le wet	with san	dy grave	l stri	nger	S	
,10,14		سر مور	 	Z	V////	up to 2°.	**************************************			**************************************				
1		32		gra in	V///			Sector Contraction Contraction	an a					
	weikener er wieden state in der			<u></u>	14/11	SILTY CLAY	• Mattlea	brown	and orav	, damo. i	medium			-,
		T		·	V///	plasticity	in a second s	And the second se		NAMES OF A DESCRIPTION OF				. ÷
	- 20.0.777.0.7767.0.2777.7				r~≺≪		minated at		Fries my	und wate	r encr	amte	red	Ŋ
					l		Manager (Manager (Ma	www.www.inicializiai.com	**** 3**					
1					1	I ar arorovi	mately 31'							Man

ATA	ALT	ON	GE	DSCIE	NCE -	****	DEDILECT NO 30-103 DATE 01/30/90							
			10	0 0E		PROJECT NO. 30-103 DATE 01/30/90 BORING NO CLIENT Mobil 011 Corporation B-4								
		EY	DI a		GOF	BORING	CLIENT ALBOIT OIT COLDUCATION B-4 LOCATION 4280 Foothill Blvd., Oakland Sheet 1 LOGGED BY B. Nagle DRILLER Bayland							
		5-7		UNAI		DONING	LOGGED BY B. Nagle DRILLER Bayland of 1							
Field	locatio	n of	bor	ing:			Orbing mathad HOLLOW-Sten auger							
	1		 1				Line ma 10"							
1	エド	b	0				Casing Installation Data 4" perforated (0.020") pipe							
	-001 H I			'!			27-20'; #3 lonestar 27-184, bentonite pellets							
		Ľ	16H				$18\frac{1}{2}$ -17 $\frac{1}{2}$; neat cement seal $17\frac{1}{2}$ to surface.							
Groun	nd Elev		10.7	1	Datum									
		b	5	Soft		Water Level	17.07 16.32							
Blow	PID OVA	* P	5 8 6	Group Symbol	Litho- graphic	Thme								
Counts	QVA	- h	i •	(vici)	Symbol	Date								
	, et a statistic constant and the					DESCRIPTION 4" asphalt, 6" baserock								
		2			7.00	a sententers								
		- 1	ļ			STERY CLAY	: Dark brown, damp to moist, high plasticity,							
		4	}		V///	stiff.	· The second							
		14-		these whithe inst	VUU	hof besterde die die								
4,7,7	20	6_				SILTY CLAY	: Mottled orange-brown, damp, low plasticity,							
<u>*,/,/</u>	20	{ °-			V////	stiff: min	or fine sand and angular gravels up to $\frac{1}{4}$ ".							
		8												
		°_		нураны анару А	111	SANDY CLAY	: Light brown, damp, medium plasticity, stiff;							
		10					carbon granules.							
3,5,7	40	и v "				······································								
		12			14	Driller fe	It increase in resistance at $13\frac{1}{2}$ feet.							
		"~_			¥7///									
	10-2-11-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	14				CLAYEY SAN): Brown, moist, medium dense; occasional fine to							
		° -			V///	coarse gra:	ined gravels up to $\frac{1}{4}$ ".							
2 Dillionshinologiayareeter		6_			V///									
6,9,11	25			سير	$\forall / / /$	Driller felt smoother drilling at approximately 17'.								
		h8_												
					V///									
		20_		∇	V///									
4,5,13		X		, 'man, '	441	SANDY CLAY: Light brown, moist, low plasticity, stiff								
2 calor reading rate () in the first		22_			VIII	CLAYEY SAN	D: Light brown, wet, medium dense.							
					V////	an a								
		24_		_??_	V//A									
				• •	0///	SILTY CLAY								
5.9.12	75	26_				very stiff	; minor very fine sand.							
				: 	$\langle / / / \rangle$		aded more pressure to drill at 27'							
		20_				and L.L.R.L. IKS	and libre pressure to drift at 27							
<u>3,7,10</u>	70						Me Meibelak amerika kan waint lan alantisika							
[<u></u>]	CLAYEY SILT: Whiteish gray to tan, moist, low pl													
Į				123	K///	very stiff	; some fine sand.							
					` <i>`</i> ≪∕{	-	ninstad at 281 faat							
	Boring terminated at 29½ feet.					water encountered at approximately 20 feet.								
	a and a second secon	-				TTCC ALOUN	" water encountered at approximately to rate.							
[÷										
					l									

MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL



MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL



BORING NO ALTON GEOSCIENCE PROJECT NO. 30-0248 DATE DRILLED 9/09/91 MW-5 LOG OF EXPLORATORY CLIENT BP Oil Co., Service Station No. 30-0248 WELL NO. BORING LOCATION 4280 Foothill Blvd., Oakland, CA MW-6 LOGGED BY B. Nagle APPROVED BY Page 1 of 1 FIELD SKETCH OF BORING LOCATION DRILLING METHOD C.M.E. 55, HSA HOLE DIAM. 10" (SEE SITE PLAN) SAMPLER TYPE California Modified Split-Spoon Sampler CASING DATA 4" diameter, Schedule 40 PVC, 18' blank, 15' slotted Soils Exploration DRILLER 18.66' 18.55' Well Construction 18.08 DEPTH TO WATER BLOW COUNTS (per 1/2 foot) E 12/16/91 10/15/91 DATE 10/03/91 PROFILE SAMPLE DEPTH 1908 140-140 TME COMMENTS 4" Asphalt, 2" Road Base Christy 2 SILTY CLAY: dark brown, damp, moderate plasticity. 8ox 4 SILTY CLAY: dark brown with olive gray mottling, stiff; occasional sand and gravel. 3.4.10 6 SANDY CLAY: olive green, damp, low plasticity. sch. 40 **PVC** 8 Casing GRAVELY SAND: olive green, damp, medium dense. 10 SI 9, 16, 14 SAND: olive green, damp, fine grained. 12 SM SE GRAVELY SAND: olive green, damp. 14 4.5.10 SILTY CLAY: light brown, damp, medium plasticity, stiff; 16 olive green staining along occasional rootlets, minor fine ĊI. 18 grained sand. GRAVELY SAND: olive gray to brown to gray, moist, medium 20 7. 9. 11 SP dense: hydrocarbon sheen. 22 CLAYEYSILT: tan, moist, stiff, with minor sands; blue-gray sch. 40 **PVC** staining along occasional rootlets at 25'. 6, 11, 14 24 0.020* М Slot 4, 6, 8 26 SAME, firm. 28 CLAYEY SAND: mottled tan and bluish gray, wet, very stiff. 4, 12, 25 SC GRAVELY SAND: gray, wet, loose to medium dense; 30 SP 4, 6, 9 abundant silty sand lenses. End Cap 32 SILTY SAND: light brown, moist, stiff to very stiff; occasional Sh 5, 8, 12 wet sandy gravel. 34 BORING TERMINATED AT 34.5 FEET BELOW GRADE. 36












PORE PRESSURE DISSIPATION CURVES 1860 1660 WATER) 1460 (FEET OF 1260 O Φ ወ Фофон 1060 PRESSURE 860 660 PORE 460 260 IIII B 4 5 6 7 8 9 10¹ 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10³ 60 9 4 6 6 7 8 9 10² 2 З 4 5 8 7 8 9 2 2 2 101 10° TIME (SEC) DEPTH: 0 30.3 FT SOUNDING NUMBER: 11109-SB1 TIP-SENSING PIEZOMETRIC CPT CONE/RIG + 472/RIB#3 PROJECT NAME I EMCON/TOSCO THE EARTH TECHNOLOGY DATE/TIME: 10-19-94 12:07 PROJECT NUMBER 1 95-381-09301

PORE PRESSURE DISSIPATION CURVES 498 ഫാനും WATER) 398 Q ф Φ Ь đ 298 (FEET PRESSURE 198 PORE 98 ዔ - South and the second second 9 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 0 9 -2 3 4 6 6 7 8 9 10⁴ 2 9 4 5 8 7 8 9 10² з 4 5 6 7 6 9 10¹ 2 2 10° TIME (SEC) DEPTH: 0 40.4 FT TIP-SENSING PIEZOMETRIC CPT SOUNDING NUMBER: 11109-SB1 CONE/RIG + 472/RIG=3 I EMCON/TOSCO PROJECT NAME THE EARTH TECHNOLOGY PROJECT NUMBER | 95-381-09301 DATE/TIME: 10-19-94 12:07

* * *	******	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*************	**
*		CONE PENF	TRATION TEST	*
*				*
*	SOUNDING :	11109-SB1	PROJECT No.: 95-381-09301	*
*		EMCON/TOSCO	CONE/RIG : 472/RIG#3	
*	DATE/TIME:	10-19-94 12:07	CON1/ RIG : 4/2/ RIG#3	*
*	,			*
***	******	*****	****	*
			~~~~ <del>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</del>	**

PAGE 1 of 2

.

Depth	TIP RESISTANCE	NORMALIZED TIP RESISTANCE		CONE PORE PRESSURE	SOIL BEHAVIOR TYPE
(ft)	(tsf)	(tsf)	(*)	(tsf)	
.49	.0	.0	.00	.00	
.98	.0	.0	.00	.00	
1.48	137.0	285.4	.77	.84	SAND to SILTY SAND
1.97	117.8	229.3	.51	.16	SANDY GRAVEL to SAND
2.46	84.8	156.8	.43	.03	SAND to SILTY SAND
2.95	64.3	113.8	.44	04	SAND to SILTY SAND
3.44	62.1	105.8	.31	.01	SAND to SILTY SAND
3.94	30.6	50.4	.79	.02	SAND to SILTY SAND
4.43	58.3	92.9	.56	. 02	SAND to SILTY SAND
4.92	58.6	90.8	.25	.03	SAND to SILTY SAND
5.41	45.0	67.9	.66	.03	SAND to SILTY SAND
5.91	54.1	79.5	.50	. 03	SAND to SILTY SAND
6.40	49.1	70.5	.53	.03	SAND to SILTY SAND
6.89	46.4	65.1	.69	. 03	SAND to SILTY SAND
7.38	58.4	80.1	.48	.03	SAND to SILTY SAND
7.87	53.9	72.4	.26	.03	SAND to SILTY SAND
8.37	51.3	67.7	. 62	. 04	SAND to SILTY SAND
8.86	51.5	66.6	33	.04	SAND to SILTY SAND
9.35	59.4	75.4	1.29	.04	SAND to SILTY SAND
9.84	69.3	86.5	.50	.04	SAND to SILTY SAND
10.33	52.4	64.2	.25	.04	SAND to SILTY SAND
10.83	38.0	45.9	.44	.04	SAND to SILTY SAND
11.32	36.4	43.2	. 54	.04	SAND to SILTY SAND
11.81	30.8	35.9	.83	.04	SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT
12.30	31.8	36.6	. 58	.05	SAND to SILTY SAND
12.80	44.9	50.9	.74	.08	SAND to SILTY SAND
13.29	60.3	67.4	.54	.08	SAND to SILTY SAND
13.78	73.2	80.6	.32	.12	SAND to SILTY SAND
14.27	29.6	32.2	3.71	. 47	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
14.76	27.0	28.9	2.70	.61	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
15.26	36.1	38.1	5.55	.79	*SANDY CLAY to SILTY CLAY
15.75	35.6	37.1	4.29	1.29	CLAYEY SILT to SILTY CLAY
16.24	22.7	23.4	4.21	1.40	CLAYEY SILT to SILTY CLAY
16.73	30.3	30.8	3.40	1.55	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
17.22	32.1	32.2	3.29	1.65	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
17.72	32.5	32.3	5.08	1.77	*SANDY CLAY to SILTY CLAY
18.21	34.7	34.0	5.19	1.88	*SANDY CLAY to SILTY CLAY
18.70	31.2	30.2	3.23	1.99	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
19.19	34.5	33.0	2.74	2.12	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
19.69	37.2	35.2	2.77	2.27	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
20.18	40.6	38.0	3.77		SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT

TOP 1.0 FT IS DISTURBED SOIL *INDICATES OVERCONSOLIDATED OR CEMENTED MATERIAL ASSUMED TOTAL UNIT WT = 115 PCF ASSUMED DEPTH OF WATER TABLE = 42.0 FT

## SOUNDING : 11109-SB1

÷

DEPTH	TIP RESISTANCE	NORMALIZED TIP RESISTANCE			SOIL BEHAVIOR TYPE
(ft)	(tsf)	(tsf)	(+)	PRESSURE (tsf)	
20.67	54.5	50.4	4.00	2.81	*CLAYEY SAND to SANDY CLAY
21.16	73.8	67.5	4.44	4.23	*SANDY CLAY to SILTY CLAY
21.65	47.7	43.1	2,93	4.29	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
22.15	61.3	54.9	3.96	5.77	*CLAYEY SAND to SANDY CLAY
22,64	62.0	54.9	3.51	7.62	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
23.13	37.9	33.2	2.91	7.85	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
23.62	41.2	35.7	2.91	9.01	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
24.11	37.3	32.0	2.34	9.60 10.20	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
24.61	35.5	30.1	2.06	10.20	SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT
25.10	31.3	26.3	2.49	10.39	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
25.59	30.9	25.7	1.99	12.16	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
26.08	30.1	24.8	2.18	13.13	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
26.57	32.0	26.1	2.03	14.60	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
27.07	33.0	26.7	1.64	15.89	SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT
27.56	31.9	25.5	1.50	17.35	SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT
28.05	. 28.9	22.9	1.34	17.00	SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT
28.54	34.1	26.8	2.03	17.82	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
29,04	37.1	28.8	2.73	17.94	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
29.53	41.4	31.9	2.50	21.41	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
30.02	61.7	47.1	2.91	30.43	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
30.51	112.3	84.9	4.39	3.27	*SANDY CLAY to SILTY CLAY
31.00		161.2	3.37	11.71	*CLAYEY SAND to SANDY CLAY
31.50	56.6	42.0	3.46	7.95	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
31.99	42.0	30.9	2.61	10.19	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
32.48	43.5	31.7	3.23	11,51	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
32.97	41.6	30.2	3.50	12.18	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
33.46	46.6	33.4	3.35	13 51	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
33,96	55.2	39.2	3.51	15.82	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
34.45	120.6	84.8	4.35	16.97	*CLAYEY SAND to SANDY CLAY
34.94	64.2	44.8	3.87	9.56	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
35.43	54.4	37.6	2.33	13.44	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
35.93	50.5	34.6	2.48	16.51	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
36.42	71,0	48.2	2.93	17.65	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
36.91	60.9	41.0	3.36	13.34	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
37.40	44.6	29.8	3.45	11.91	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
37.89	41.0	27.1	3.35	12.83	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
38.39	41.4	27.2	3.18	12.73	SANDY SILT to CLAYEY SILT
38.86	38.0	24.7	1.90	14.64	SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT
39.37	43.8	28.3	5.33	17.31	*SANDY CLAY to SILTY CLAY
39.86	77.3	49.4	4.76	10.12	*SANDY CLAY to SILTY CLAY

*INDICATES OVERCONSOLIDATED OR CEMENTED MATERIAL ASSUMED TOTAL UNIT WT = 115 PCF ASSUMED DEPTH OF WATER TABLE = 42.0 FT

The Earth Technology Corporation



ь

.







ELEVATION IN FEET ABOVE MEAN SEA LEVEL



B' NORTH





*



	TIŎŃ	MAP	C-1		PAC	:1F1	C EF	IVIR	ONMENTAL GROUP, INC. WELL / C-1				
Islands					LOGGE DRILL DRILL SAMP CASIN SLOT	PROJECT NO. 120-57.01PAGE 1 OF 1LOGGED BY: E.G.CLIENT: G.R. CHEYRON USADRILLED BY: BAYLANDDATE DRILLED: 8-13-87DRILLING METHOD: HSALOCATION: HIGH AND FOOTHILLSAMPLING METHOD: CAL. MOD.HOLE DIAMETER: 8"CASING TYPE: SCH. 40 PVCHOLE DEPTH: 40-1/2'SLOT SIZE: 0.020WELL DEPTH: 40'GRAYEL PACK: CAWELL DIAMETER: 3"							
	ELL LETION	MOISTURE	CONTENT	PENETRATION RESISTANCE (BLOW/FT)	DEPTH (feet)	SAMPLE	<b>GRAPHIC</b>	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY/REMARKS				
					2			CL CL	ASPHALT AND BASEROCK. CLAY; fill; black; silty; 0-10% fine to coarse sand; disturbed; soft; no product odor. CLAY; olive; silty; 0-10% fine to medium sand;				
- - - 7772	Z		)	24 28	6 8 10 12			SC	<ul> <li>red to black; FeO stained.</li> <li>?': 20-30% fine to coarse sand; trace caliche; occasional pores; FeO mottled; stiff; trace fine to coarse gravel; no product odor.</li> <li>CLAYEY SAND; yellowish brown; 15-25% fines; fine to coarse grained; 0-10% fine to coarse gravel; sub-rounded; no product odor.</li> </ul>				
-			Mst Mst -Wt	40	14 -			CL	CLAY; olive to strong brown; 10-20% fine to medium sand; trace coarse sand; FeO stains; very stiff; wet in root holes; no product odor.				
 -		1		49	20 -				@ 19': 20-30% fine sand intermittently; moderate plasticity; no product odor.				
-			st ∀t	56	24 - 26 - 28 -				@ 24': 20-30% fine to coarse sand; trace fine gravel; very stiff; moderate plasticity; no product odor.				
			st Vt	62	30 <del>-</del> 32 -				@ 29': light gray; 0-10% fine sand; moderate plasticity; caliche mottle; very stiff; no product odor.				
			/t	68	34 - 36 -			SP- SC	SAND TO CLAYEY SAND; olive to brown; 5-20% fines; fine to coarse grained; 10-25% fine to medium gravel; very dense; faint product odor.				
			٧t	70	38 - 40 -			CL	CLAY; strong brown; as above; 20-30% fine sand to coarse gravel; stiff; no product odor. Bottom of boring at 40-172'				

LÓC	ATION M	AP			PA	CIFI	C EI	IVIR	ONMENTAL GROUP, INC. WELL / C-2 BORING NO.				
Islands						PROJECT NO. 120-57.01PAGE 1 OF 1LOGGED BY: E.G.CLIENT: G.R. CHEVRON USADRILLED BY: BAYLANDDATE DRILLED: 8-13-87DRILLING METHOD: HSALOCATION: HIGH AND FOOTHILLSAMPLING METHOD: CAL. MOD.HOLE DIAMETER: 8"CASING TYPE: SCH. 40 PYCHOLE DEPTH: 40-1/2'SLOT SIZE: 0.020WELL DEPTH: 40'GRAYEL PACK: CAWELL DIAMETER: 3"							
	'ELL PLETION	MOISTURE CONTENT		PENETRATION RESISTANCE (BLOW/FT)	DEPTH (feet)	SAMPLE	GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY/REMARKS				
	-	- - - Dp		22	2 4 6			CL CL	ASPHALT AND BASEROCK. CLAY FILL; black; abundant root fragments; silty; O-10% fine sand; soft; faint product odor. CLAY; gray;5-15% fine to coarse sand; moderate plasticity; silty; trace fine gravel; stiff; no product odor.				
		- Dp- Mst		42	8 - 10 - 12 -			CL-	CLAY TO CLAYEY GRAYEL; strong brown; 30-60% fine to coarse sand and gravel; FeO mottled; sub- rounded to sub-angular; very stiff; strong product odor.				
////   r		1 -  - Mst wt		50	14 - 16 -			CL	CLAY; Yellowish brown;silty; moderate plasticity; occasional root fragments; FeO mottled; very stiff; 10-20% fine to medium sand; no product odor.				
		- Wt Wt		not rec.	18 - 20 - 22 -				@ 24': contains up to 25% fine to coarse sand				
		-  Mst Wt 		70	24 - 26 - 28 -				and fine gravel; faint product odor.				
		v∃ wt 		42	30 · 32 ·			SC	@ 29': Strong product odor. CLAYEY SAND; dark yellowish brown; 15-20% fines; fine to medium grained; medium dense;				
		- Wt		24	34 36 38				no product odor. CLAY; dark yellowish brown; 15-30% fine to coarse sand; silty; 10-15% fine to medium				
- 		= Wt		57	40	1		CL	gravel; very stiff; no product odor. Bottom of Boring at 40-1/2'				

LÓCATION MAP		PAC	IFIC EN	IVIR	ONMENTAL GROUP, INC. WELL / C-3 BORING NO.				
Islands Tanks ELEVATION 98.13' (	C-3 project)	LOGGEI DRILLE DRILLI SAMPL CASING SLOT S	PROJECT NO. 120-57.01PAGE 1 OF 1LOGGED BY: E.G.CLIENT: G.R. CHEVRON USADRILLED BY: BAYLANDDATE DRILLED: 8-13-87DRILLING METHOD: HSALOCATION: HIGH AND FOOTHILLSAMPLING METHOD: CAL. MOD.HOLE DIAMETER: 8"CASING TYPE: SCH. 40 PYCHOLE DEPTH: 40-1/2'SLOT SIZE: 0.020WELL DEPTH: 40'GRAYEL PACK: CAWELL DIAMETER: 3"						
WELL BUNCH	PENETRATION RESISTANCE (BLOW/FT)	DEPTH (feet)	SAMPLE GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY/REMARKS				
		2 -	_///	CĻ	ASPHALT AND BASEROCK. CLAY FILL; olive to black; 0-10% fine sand; silty;				
Dp	P	4		CL .	soft; no product odor. CLAY; olive; 5-10% fine to coarse sand; slightly silty; stiff; no product odor.				
Dp	79	8			⊕ 9': yellowish brown; 30-40% fine sand to medium     gravel; stiff; faint product odor.				
772 772		12 -		  .					
Dp	36	- 16			@14': yellowish brown; 5-10% fine to medium sand; FeO mottled; trace root fragments; moderate plasticity; no product odor.				
Dp	38	18			@19': no product odor.				
	46	22			@ 24': no product odor.				
		26 — 28 —							
wt	59			GC GC	CLAYEY GRAVEL; yellowish brown; 20-30% fines; 20% fine to coarse sand; fine to coarse grained;				
wt	25			CL	FeD stained; very stiff; no product odor. CLAY; olive to yellowish brown; moderate plasticity; FeD stained; 0-5% fine to coarse sand; very				
		38			stiff; no product odor.				
wt	70	40		4	Bottom of Boring at 40-1/2'				

LOCA	λτιόN Μ	AP			ΡΑ	IF	IC EN	IVIR	ONMENTAL GROUP, INC.	WELL / C-4 BORING NO.	
Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Silands Siland					LOGGE DRILL DRILL SAMP	ED B ED I ING LING IG TY SIZE	Y: E.G BY: BA METH G METI (PE: S :: 0.0;	AYLAN OD: H HOD: ( CH. 4 20			
	ell Pletion	MOISTURE CONTENT		PENETRATION RESISTANCE (BLOW/FT)	DEPTH (feet)	SAMPLE	<b>GRAPHIC</b>	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY/RE	MARKS	
	-	-			2 –			CL	ASPHALT AND BASEROCK. CLAY; fill; black; silty; 0-10% fir	ne sand; no	
	-	Dp		P	4 -			CL	product odor. CLAY; olive; 5-10% fine to coarse silty; stiff; damp; no product od		
  	-	Dp		39	8 10 12			SC	CLAYEY SAND; yellowish brown; 2 fine to medium grained; FeO sta root fragments; hard; strong pr	ined; trace	
  	-	• - Dp 		37	14 - 16 -			CL	CLAY; strong brown; slightly silty plasticity; 10-30% fine sand t hard; no product odor.	; moderate	
- · ·		- - - - - -		49	18 - 20 - 22 -				⊛ 19': no product odor.		
- 	- ↓	- - Dp - D		N/A	24 - 26 -				@ 24': decrease sand; no produc	st odor.	
		- Mst - Mst - Wi		41	28 - 30 - 32 -				@ 29': olive; 0−10% fine to m no product odor.	edi um sand; hard;	
• · · ·		- - Mst 		80	34 - 36 -				@ 34': yellowish brown; 20-2 medium sand; silty; hard; no p		
		- - Mst - Wi		>32	38 - 40 -				♥ 39': olive; 0-10% fine to m slightly silty; hard; no produc Bottom of Boring at 40-1/2'		



÷ .















LOCATION MAP	1	PACIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL	PAGE 1 OF 1					
High Street	Bond Street	PROJECT NO. 325-024.1BCLIENT: CHEVRON.OGGED BY: CWRDATE DRILLED: 7-10-96.DRILLER: MDELOCATION: 4265 Foothill Blvd.DRILLING METHOD: HSAHOLE DIAMETER: 8"SAMPLING METHOD: COREHOLE DEPTH: 45'CASING TYPE: SCH 40 PVCWELL DIAMETER: 2"SLOT SIZE: 0.020"WELL DEPTH: 45'SAND PACK: #3 SANDCASING STICKUP: NA						
WELL AND COMPLETION SION	CONTENT PID PENETRATION (BLOWS/FT)	DEPTH (FEET) *PECOVERY SAMPLE INTERVAL GRAPHIC SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / REMARKS					
	pp st- Vt O Dp O	2       336       GC       ASPHALT         2       GC       CL       CLAYEY C         4       CL       CLAYEY C         4       CL       CLAY: dar         6       CL       CLAY: dar         6       GC       CLAY: dar         10       SANDY CL       plasticity;         10       G       GO         12       CL       SILTY CL	iRAVEL - FILL: dark yellowish brown; 15-20% medium sand; 70-75% subangular gravel to er; wood chips; no product odor. k yellowish brown; moderate plasticity; 90% minor silt; 10% medium sand; no product odor. .AY: dark yellowish brown; moderate 60-70% clay; 30-40% coarse subangular ne subangular gravel; no product odor. above; yellowish brown with pervasive gray k mottling in thin horizontal bands; low to e plasticity; 60% clay; 20% silt; 20% medium poky fractures; manganese oxide streaks and no product odor. AY: dark yellowish brown; moderate plasticity;					
	Op 0 Op 0 Mst 0 Op 0 Op 0	18 18 20 22 24 26 26 18 20 22 24 24 26 18 20 22 24 24 26 26 27 26 27 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	; 30% silt; 10% fine sand; manganese oxide come fracturing; no product odor. as above; yellowish brown with light gray bg; moderate plasticity; trace manganese specks; blocky fractures; no product odor. LAY: yellowish brown; pervasive orange of gray mottling; moderate plasticity; 60% clay; 30% fine sand; manganese oxide specks; cturing; no product odor. Iray with yellowish brown; moderate plasticity; nese oxide specks; 70% clay; 10% silt; 20% fir race fine gravel; extensive blocky fractures; no t odor.					
	Dp 0 Mst 0	30@ 35': a30mottlin32plastic34CLAYEY36SCCLAYEY Gmedium tsubround	is above; yellowish brown with pervasive gray g in horizontal bands; low to moderate ty; 50% clay; 20% silt; 30% fine sand; trace nudstone lithic fragments; no product odor. SAND: yellowish brown; 30-40% clay; 20% sil fine sand; gray mottling; no product odor. iRAVEL: yellowish brown; 20-30% clay; 20% o coarse sand; 50-60% subangular to ed gravel comprised of predominately					
	Ast- Wt O Wt O	40- 40- GC GRAVEL: coarse s	d clastic and volcanic fragments; no product or black, brown, and white; trace fines; 10% and; 85% subrounded to subangular gravel to ter; clastics and volcanic fragments; no produc BOTTOM OF BORING AT 45'					



Cambria Environmental Technology, Inc. 5900 Hollis Street, Suite A Emeryville, California 94608 Telephone: (510) 420-0700 Fax: (510) 420-9170

## **BORING/WELL LOG**

	CLIENT JOB/SIT LOCATH PROJEC DRILLEI DRILLIN BORING LOGGEI REVIEW REMAR	e nam on ct nut r g me g diam d by yed by	/IE4 MBER4 6 THOD IETER8 1 (E	9-007 265 1D- Greg 10  0 3" . Rol 3. Fc	76 Foothil 1977 g Drillin w-stem bb	g auger	evard, C	Dakland CA	DRILLING STARTED       08-Aug-03         DRILLING COMPLETED       08-Aug-03         WELL DEVELOPMENT DATE (YIELD)       09-Sep-03         GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION       38.69 ft above msl         TOP OF CASING ELEVATION       38.37 ft above msl         SCREENED INTERVAL       10 to 30 fbg         DEPTH TO WATER (First Encountered)       24.0 fbg (08-Aug-03)         DEPTH TO WATER (Static)       17.18 fbg (09-Sep-03)         w counts available)       10					
	TPHg (mg/kg)	BLOW COUNTS	SAMPLE ID	EXTENT	DEPTH (fbg)	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG	LITHC	DLOGIC DESCRIPTION		CONTACT DEPTH (fbg)		L DIAGRAM	
	<50	NA	C-10@10'			SM		Vac cleared to 8 fbg Sility SAND with cla silt, 15% clay; low pl	<b>iy:</b> Light Brown; dry; 50% sa asticity, low permeability.		8.0 9.0 10.5		<ul> <li>Concrete</li> <li>Portland Type I/II</li> <li>Bentonite Seal</li> <li>Monterey Sand #2/16</li> </ul>	
WELL LOG (TPH-G) 1:9-0076 OAKLAND19-0076 ADD'L INVESTIGATION FOR DIVESTMENT19-0076.GPU DEFAULT.GDT 10/8/03	<50	NA	C-10@15' C-10@20'		 - 15     	SM SC		silt, 20% clay, 5% gr <u>permeability.</u> <u>Clayey Sandy SILT</u> clay, 20% sand, 5%	Light Brown; dry; 40% sanc avel; moderate plasticity, lo Light Brown; dry; 40% silt, gravel; high plasticity, low	¥ ¥ 35%	14.0 15.5 19.0 20.5		<ul> <li>2"-diam.,</li> <li>0.010" Slotted</li> <li>Schedule 40</li> </ul>	
076 OAKLAND\9-0076 ADD'L INVESTIGATIC	<50 <50	NA	C-10@25' C-10@30'			SC  CL		clay, 20% sand, 5%	:Light Brown; dry; 40% silt, gravel; high plasticity, low rown; dry; 65% clay, 35% si ability.	35%	24.0 25.5 28.5 30.0		PVC Bottom of	
METT TOG (TPH-G) 1:/9-0													Boring @ 30 fbg	

