# RECEIVED



8:49 am, Sep 20, 2010

Alameda County Environmental Health **Stacie H. Frerichs** Team Lead Marketing Business Unit Chevron Environmental Management Company 6001 Bollinger Canyon Road San Ramon, CA 94583 Tel (925) 842-9655 Fax (925) 842-8370

September 15, 2010

Alameda County Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Re: Chevron Facility #\_9-8341\_\_\_\_

Address: 3530 MacArthur Boulevard, Oakland, California

I have reviewed the attached report titled <u>Additional Site Investigation Report and Case Closure Request</u> and dated <u>September 15, 2010</u>.

I agree with the conclusions and recommendations presented in the referenced report. The information in this report is accurate to the best of my knowledge and all local Agency/Regional Board guidelines have been followed. This report was prepared by Conestoga-Rovers & Associates, upon whose assistance and advice I have relied.

This letter is submitted pursuant to the requirements of California Water Code Section 13267(b)(1) and the regulating implementation entitled Appendix A pertaining thereto.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Sincerely,

5H Frencho

Stacie H. Frerichs Project Manager

Enclosure: Report



10969 Trade Center Drive Rancho Cordova, California 95670 Telephone: (916) 889-8900 Fax: (916) 889-8999 www.CRAworld.com

September 15, 2010

Reference No. 611650

Mr. Mark Detterman, P.G., C.E.G. Alameda County Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, California 94502-6577

Re: Additional Site Investigation Report and Case Closure Request Former Chevron Station 9-8341 3530 MacArthur Boulevard Oakland, California LOP Case RO0000405

Dear Mr. Detterman:

Conestoga-Rovers & Associates (CRA) has prepared this *Additional Site Investigation Report and Case Closure Request* on behalf of Chevron Environmental Management Company (Chevron) presenting the results of the recent investigation at the site referenced above. In a letter dated January 28, 2009 (Attachment A), Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) requested preparation of a site conceptual model (SCM) to establish site conditions and evaluate if any data gaps exist. CRA subsequently prepared and submitted the April 29, 2009 *Site Conceptual Model and Work Plan for Additional Subsurface Investigation* (SCM/Work Plan). In the SCM/Work Plan, the vertical extent of impacted soil in the area of previous excavation soil sample PX-8 and the lateral extent of impacted groundwater were identified as data gaps that warranted further investigation. To assess these data gaps, exploratory borings B-12 through B-15 were drilled offsite and boring B-16 was drilled onsite. The work was performed in general accordance with the SCM/Work Plan. Presented below are the site description and background, details and results of the investigation, and our conclusions and recommendations.

## SITE DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

The site is a former Chevron service station (currently a Valero service station) located on the northern corner of the intersection of MacArthur Boulevard and Magee Avenue in Oakland, California (Figure 1). The Chevron station reportedly was constructed in 1963. Original station facilities included a station building with two hydraulic hoists in the service area, two 7,500-gallon and one 3,000-gallon, steel gasoline underground storage tanks (USTs) located on the northwest side of the site, a 1,000-gallon steel used-oil UST adjacent to the northeast side of the building, and two dispenser islands on the southwest side of the site. In 1984, the steel gasoline USTs were replaced with three 10,000-gallon, single-walled fiberglass tanks, and the

Equal Employment Opportunity Employer



Reference No. 611650

steel used-oil UST was replaced with a 1,000-gallon, single-walled fiberglass tank. The station was again renovated in 1994. As part of the renovation activities, the used-oil UST was removed and replaced with one adjacent to the southwest side of the building; the gasoline USTs were also upgraded and the product lines replaced. The site was occupied by a Chevron station until 2004 when it was de-branded. The site was then occupied by a United Gasoline service station, and later a Valero service station. The property owner is 3530 MacArthur Blvd Gas Station, Inc.

2

Surrounding land use is mixed commercial and residential. The site is bounded by MacArthur Boulevard to the southwest, a small commercial building with accompanying residence behind the building to the northwest, an alleyway to the northeast, and Magee Avenue to the southeast. An open fuel (gasoline and diesel) release case (Scooter Wilson at 3600 MacArthur Boulevard; a former service station and auto repair facility) is present across Magee Avenue to the southeast; this facility is currently vacant and investigation to evaluate the extent of contamination is ongoing. However, it appears that no work has been performed since 2006 due to a recalcitrant property owner. Based on historical aerial photographs, a service station has been located on this property since at least 1946. Current and former site facilities and the adjacent facility are shown on Figure 2.

Environmental investigation at the subject site has been ongoing since 1993. Prior to the current investigation, groundwater monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-3 have been installed, and borings B-1, B-3 through B-9, and B-11 have been drilled. Remedial activities have included over-excavation of impacted soil (approximately 285 cubic yards), and the removal of approximately 25,000 gallons of impacted groundwater during the 1994 UST system upgrade. A summary of the previous environmental work is included as Attachment B. The approximate well, boring, and soil sample locations are presented on Figure 2.

As stated in Technical Comment No. 3 of the January 28, 2009 letter, no soil or groundwater samples had been collected offsite; thus the lateral extent of petroleum hydrocarbons had not been adequately evaluated and therefore this was identified as a data gap in the SCM/Work Plan. As stated in Technical Comment No. 4, previous excavation sample PX-8, collected at 5 feet below grade (fbg) adjacent to the southwest dispenser island during the 1994 product line replacement, contained total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) at 1,300 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg); low concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) (benzene at 6 mg/kg) were also detected. Further over-excavation in this area was limited due to the adjacent canopy footings. Since no deeper samples were collected in this area, the vertical extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil had not been adequately evaluated and this was also identified as a data gap. The current investigation was proposed in the SCM/Work Plan to address these data gaps. The remaining Technical Comments in the letter (Nos. 1, 2, and 5) were also addressed in the SCM/Work Plan and thus are not discussed herein.



Reference No. 611650

## **INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES**

Borings B-12 through B-15 were drilled in MacArthur Boulevard to the southwest/south of the site to further evaluate the extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater. Boring B-16 was drilled onsite near previous sample PX-8 (as close as possible due to product piping) to evaluate the vertical extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil. The approximate boring locations are shown on Figure 2. The details of the investigation are presented in the following sections. Fieldwork was performed by CRA Staff Scientist Chris Benedict under the supervision of James Kiernan, P.E.

3

## **Drilling** Activities

The borings were drilled under Permit No. 2010-0287 from Alameda County Public Works Agency. A copy of the permit is included as Attachment C. Drilling activities were performed by PeneCore Drilling (C-57 License 906899) of Woodland, California, under the supervision of CRA.

Drilling was performed on May 11 and 12, 2010. Borings B-12 through B-16 were advanced to total depths of approximately 9 fbg, 6 fbg, 8 fbg, 7.5 fbg, and 8 fbg, respectively. The borings were drilled using a hand auger due to nearby underground utility lines or product piping. Soil samples were obtained continuously from the borings for logging and observation purposes. The soil encountered in the borings was logged in accordance with American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D-2488 protocols, and generally consisted of a small amount of fill material (generally less than 1 foot) beneath the concrete, followed by clay with varying amounts of sand and gravel; some clayey sand was also observed. Groundwater was encountered in the borings from approximately 5.5 to 8.5 fbg. Copies of the boring logs are included in Attachment C. Soil samples were screened in the field for the presence of organic vapors using a photo-ionization detector (PID) and visually observed for any evidence of impact. The PID measurements are also presented on the boring logs. CRA's standard field procedures are included as Attachment D.

## Soil Sampling and Laboratory Analysis

No field or PID evidence of petroleum hydrocarbon impact was observed in borings B-12 through B-14 or B-16; therefore, soil samples collected just above groundwater (approximate depths of 8, 5, 7, and 7 fbg, respectively) were retained for laboratory analysis. In boring B-15, the sample collected just above groundwater (approximate depth of 7 fbg) was retained for laboratory analysis as a PID measurement of 374 parts per million by volume (ppmv) was observed.

The samples were collected using the hand auger and were placed in stainless-steel liners, capped using Teflon tape and plastic end caps, labeled, placed in an ice-chilled cooler, and



Reference No. 611650

transported under chain-of-custody (COC) to Lancaster Laboratories, Inc. (Lancaster) in Lancaster, Pennsylvania, for analysis. The soil samples were analyzed for the following constituents:

- TPHg by EPA Method 8015B
- BTEX and the five fuel oxygenates (methyl tertiary butyl ether [MTBE], tertiary amyl methyl ether [TAME], tertiary butyl alcohol [TBA], ethyl tertiary butyl ether [ETBE], and di-isopropyl ether [DIPE]) by EPA Method 8260B

4

## Groundwater Sampling and Laboratory Analysis

Groundwater samples were also collected from borings B-12 through B-16 by placing temporary slotted PVC casing in the boreholes to allow for the infiltration of groundwater. The samples were then collected by lowering a disposable Teflon bailer down the PVC casing to the screen zone. The groundwater samples were collected in the appropriate laboratory-supplied containers, placed in an ice-chilled cooler, and transported under COC to Lancaster for analysis.

The groundwater samples were analyzed for the same constituents as the soil samples; the samples collected from borings B-12, B-13, and B-15 were additionally analyzed for TPH as diesel (TPHd) by EPA Method 8015B to evaluate potential contributions from the Scooter Wilson facility.

## Investigation-Derived Waste

Soil cuttings and decontamination rinsate generated during drilling activities were temporarily stored onsite in a 55-gallon steel drum, and sampled for disposal purposes. Once profiled, the drum was removed from the site by Integrated Wastestream Management (IWM) of San Jose, California, and transported to an appropriately-permitted facility for disposal.

## SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

No TPHg, BTEX, or fuel oxygenates were detected in the soil samples collected from borings B-12, B-13, B-14, or B-16. TPHg (56 mg/kg) and BTEX (0.004 mg/kg, 0.003 mg/kg, 0.27 mg/kg, and 0.019 mg/kg, respectively) were detected in the soil sample collected from boring B-15; fuel oxygenates were not detected. The soil sample analytical results are presented in Table 1. A copy of the laboratory report and chain-of-custody documentation is included as Attachment E.



Reference No. 611650

## **GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS**

TPHg (17,000 micrograms per liter  $[\mu g/L]$ ) and BTEX (34  $\mu g/L$ , 17  $\mu g/L$ , 490  $\mu g/L$ , and 65  $\mu g/L$ , respectively) were only detected in the groundwater sample collected from boring B-15. Fuel oxygenates were not detected in any of the groundwater samples with the exception of MTBE at 0.6  $\mu g/L$  in the sample collected from boring B-14. TPHd was detected in the groundwater samples collected from borings B-12 (230  $\mu g/L$ ), B-13 (220  $\mu g/L$ ), and B-15 (40,000  $\mu g/L$ ). The groundwater sample analytical results are presented in Table 2. A copy of the laboratory report and chain-of-custody documentation is included as Attachment E.

5

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

During this investigation, borings B-12 through B-15 were drilled to the southwest/south of the site to further evaluate the lateral extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater and boring B-16 was drilled onsite near previous excavation sample PX-8 to evaluate the vertical extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil in this area.

No TPHg, BTEX, or fuel oxygenates were detected in the soil sample collected at 7 fbg from boring B-16. Based on the analytical results, the vertical extent of impacted soil in the area of previous sample PX-8 (collected at 5 fbg) has been defined; thus addressing the previous data gap.

Petroleum hydrocarbons generally were not detected in the soil samples collected from the offsite borings with the exception of low concentrations of TPHg (56 mg/kg) and BTEX (up to 0.27 mg/kg) in the sample collected at 7 fbg from B-15. The detections are likely due to contact with impacted groundwater.

Petroleum hydrocarbons generally were not detected in the groundwater samples collected from borings B-12 through B-14 with the exception of low concentrations of TPHd (up to 230  $\mu$ g/L) in those collected from B-12 and B-13. As diesel fuel does not appear to have been dispensed at the site, the detected TPHd appears to be from an offsite source or based on these low concentrations, may also be due to the presence of natural organic matter. A low concentration of MTBE (0.6  $\mu$ g/L) was detected in the sample collected from boring B-14. Based on the analytical results, groundwater in the area of these borings is not significantly impacted. Petroleum hydrocarbons were not detected in the groundwater sample collected from onsite boring B-16, confirming the historical monitoring results in nearby well MW-1 which is not impacted.



Reference No. 611650

Elevated concentrations of TPHd (40,000  $\mu$ g/L) and TPHg (17,000  $\mu$ g/L) were detected in the groundwater sample collected from boring B-15; only low to relatively low concentrations of BTEX (benzene at 34  $\mu$ g/L) were detected and MTBE was not detected. As previously mentioned, diesel does not appear to have been dispensed at the site and the groundwater sample collected from the used-oil UST excavation at the subject site did not contain TPHd. Conversely, the Scooter Wilson facility formerly had a 6,000-gallon diesel UST (Figure 2), and based on the long use of the property as a service station (since at least 1946), likely had previous USTs. A diesel release is documented at this facility as significantly elevated concentrations of TPHd were detected in the groundwater samples collected from the fuel UST (75,000  $\mu$ g/L) and used-oil UST (69,000  $\mu$ g/L) excavations in 1994. The groundwater flow direction at this facility has ranged from west-northwest to south-southeast.

6

Based on the above information and the analytical results, the petroleum hydrocarbons in groundwater in boring B-15 appear to be from the Scooter Wilson facility. Monitoring results indicate that only MTBE remains in groundwater at the subject site (up to 500  $\mu$ g/L during the most recent event in August 2010) and elevated concentrations have historically been detected in well MW-2; TPHg and BTEX generally have not been detected throughout the course of monitoring. As MTBE exhibits greater mobility in the subsurface than TPHg or TPHd, if the impacts in B-15 were at least partially from the subject site, we would expect MTBE to be present in this area; but it was not detected in soil or groundwater. MTBE has not been detected in soil or groundwater at the Scooter Wilson facility. Therefore, there appears to be some variation in the groundwater flow direction downgradient of the subject site and it may flow more to the southwest towards San Francisco Bay in this area. As the Scooter Wilson property has been occupied by a service station since at least 1946 and likely earlier, it appears groundwater with elevated concentrations of TPH has migrated across MacArthur Boulevard over the years.

As only a low concentration of MTBE was detected in B-14, the extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in groundwater from the Chevron site appears to have been adequately evaluated. With regards to underground utility lines in MacArthur Boulevard potentially acting as preferential pathways, given the high concentrations in B-15 on the far side of the street, it does not appear this is a concern. Therefore, all the data gaps identified in the SCM/Work Plan have been addressed and no further investigation pertaining to the Chevron site appears warranted.

Based on the results of the current investigation and the information previously presented in the SCM/Work Plan, this site meets low-risk criteria and does not pose a significant threat to human health or the environment. Therefore, on behalf of Chevron, CRA respectfully requests that the site be granted low-risk case closure.



7

Reference No. 611650

We appreciate your assistance on this project and look forward to your reply. If you have any questions or need any additional information, please contact Mr. James Kiernan at (916) 889-8917.

Sincerely,

CONESTOGA-ROVERS & ASSOCIATES


Christopher J. Benedict

CB/jm/9 Encl.


ATES	No. 68498
	James P. Kiernan, P.E.

Figure 1	Vicinity Map
Figure 2	Site Plan
Table 1	Soil Sample Analytical Results
Table 2	Groundwater Sample Analytical Results
Attachment A	ACEH Letter Dated January 28, 2009
Attachment B	Summary of Previous Environmental Investigation and Remediation
Attachment C	Drilling Permit and Boring Logs
Attachment D	Standard Field Procedures
Attachment E	Laboratory Report

cc: Ms. Stacie Frerichs, Chevron (electronic copy only) Mr. Hai Pham, 3530 MacArthur Blvd Gas Station, Inc. FIGURES



611650-203(009)GN-WA001 MAY 28/2010



611650-203(009)GN-WA002 SEP 03/2010

TABLES

#### TABLE 1

### SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS FORMER CHEVRON STATION 9-8341 3530 MACARTHUR BOULEVARD OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Boring ID	Sample Depth (fbg)	Sample Date	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	MTBE	TAME	TBA	ETBE	DIPE
			◄		— Conc	entrations report	ted in millig	rams per kilo	ogram (mg/	kg) —		
B-12	8	5/11/10	<1	< 0.0005	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	< 0.0005	< 0.001	< 0.022	< 0.001	< 0.001
B-13	5	5/11/10	<1	< 0.0005	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	< 0.0005	< 0.001	<0.020	<0.001	< 0.001
B-14	7	5/12/10	<1	<0.0005	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.0005	< 0.001	< 0.020	< 0.001	< 0.001
B-15	7	5/12/10	56	0.004	0.003	0.27	0.019	<0.0005	< 0.001	< 0.020	<0.001	< 0.001
B-16	7	5/12/10	<1	<0.0006	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.0006	<0.001	< 0.022	< 0.001	< 0.001

## Abbreviations/Notes:

fbg = feet below grade

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline by EPA Method 8015

Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX) by EPA Method 8260B

MTBE = Methyl tertiary butyl ether by EPA Method 8260B

TAME = Tertiary amyl methyl ether by EPA Method 8260B

TBA = Tertiary butyl alcohol by EPA Method 8260B

ETBE = Ethyl tertiary butyl ether by EPA Method 8260B

DIPE = Di-isopropyl ether by EPA Method 8260B

<x = Not detected at or above stated laboratory reporting limits

#### TABLE 2

### GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS FORMER CHEVRON STATION 9-8341 3530 MACARTHUR BOULEVARD OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Boring ID	Sample Date	TPHd	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	MTBE	TAME	TBA	ETBE	DIPE
		•			Concent	trations reported i	n micrograms	s per liter (µg	/L)			
B-12	5/11/10	230	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5
B-13	5/11/10	220	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5
B-14	5/12/10		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.6	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5
B-15	5/12/10	40,000	17,000	34	17	490	65	<1	<1	<5	<1	<1
B-16	5/12/10		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5

#### Abbreviations/Notes:

TPHd = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel by EPA Method 8015

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline by EPA Method 8015

Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX) by EPA Method 8260B

MTBE = Methyl tertiary butyl ether by EPA Method 8260B

TAME = Tertiary amyl methyl ether by EPA Method 8260B

TBA = Tertiary butyl alcohol by EPA Method 8260B

ETBE = Ethyl tertiary butyl ether by EPA Method 8260B

DIPE = Di-isopropyl ether by EPA Method 8260B

<x = Not detected at or above stated laboratory reporting limits

-- = Not analyzed

ATTACHMENT A

ACEH LETTER DATED JANUARY 28, 2009

# ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES



411450

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

January 28, 2009

Stacie Harting-Frerichs Chevron Corporation 6111 Bollinger Canyon Rd, RM 3596 San Ramon, CA 94583

DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

AGENCY

Hai Pham 3530 Macarthur Blvd Gas Station, Inc. 3530 Macarthur Boulevard Oakland, CA 94619

Subject: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000405 and GeoTracker Global ID T0600101790, Chevron #9-8341, 3530 Macarthur Boulevard, Oakland, CA 94619

Dear Ms. Harting-Frerichs and Mr. Pham:

Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the case file for the abovereferenced site including the recently submitted document entitled, "Subsurface Investigation Report," dated March 28, 2008, which was prepared by Conestoga-Rovers & Associates for the subject site. The approved scope of work consisted of installing six borings to delineate the vertical and lateral extent of soil and groundwater contamination. However, CRA stated that only one boring was installed. According to CRA, the remaining five borings could not be installed since multiple subsurface utilities are on Magee Avenue and Macarthur Boulevard, private property owners denied access to their properties, and the City of Oakland does not allow soil borings in the sidewalk. Please note that ACEH oversees several leaking underground storage tank cases in Oakland, and Responsible Parties have been able to obtain necessary permits/access agreements and complete the required site assessment work.

At this time, ACEH requests that you address the following technical comments and send us the technical work plans and reports requested below.

#### TECHNICAL COMMENTS

1. Monitoring Well Construction and Hydrogeologic Setting – Currently, there are three groundwater monitoring wells (MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3) at the site. Groundwater monitoring well MW-1 is screened from 7 to 27 feet below the ground surface (bgs), and MW-2 and MW-3 are screened from 7 to 32 feet bgs. Depth to water (DTW) typically ranges from approximately 2 to 4 feet bgs. According to the boring log for MW-1, saturated medium dense sand is encountered from approximately 1 to approximately 7.5 feet bgs, underlain by sandy clay to a depth of approximately 12.5 feet bgs. Silty sand is encountered from approximately 12.5 to 17 feet bgs, underlain by silt to an approximately depth of 27 feet bgs. The boring log for MW-3 indicates a sandy clay from approximately 1 to 4 feet bgs, underlain by a clayey sand to approximately 13.25 feet bgs. Sandy silt is encountered from approximately 13.25 feet bgs. They solve a depth of approximately 13.25 feet bgs. Sandy silt is encountered from approximately 13.25 feet bgs. Sandy silt is encountered from approximately 26.75 feet bgs. From 26.75 feet bgs to 32 feet bgs, silty sand is encountered, underlain by clay to approximately 40 feet bgs, the maximum depth explored.

ACEH has two concerns regarding monitoring well construction at the site. The first concern is that the DTW at the site is above the screened interval of the monitoring wells. Since groundwater elevation is above the screened interval for site monitoring wells and petroleum hydrocarbons have a specific gravity that is lower than water (therefore, float on water); concentrations of contaminants detected may not be representative of actual site conditions. Therefore, the monitoring wells appear to be incorrectly constructed, which may affect the contaminant concentrations detected in groundwater. The second concern is that the existing groundwater monitoring wells appear to have excessively lengthy screened intervals over what appear to be multiple coarse-grained units or water-bearing zones that are separated by fine-grained units. Please evaluate and discuss the effect that groundwater elevations rising above monitoring well screens have on hydrocarbon concentrations for each monitoring well at the site, as well as the fact that the screened intervals appear to intersect multiple water-bearing zones. Please address the above-mentioned concerns and include your analysis in the Site Conceptual Model (SCM) and Data Gap Work Plan requested below. Also please construct the proposed monitoring wells so that accurate groundwater concentrations, indicative of actual site conditions can be collected. It may be advantageous to propose depth-discrete groundwater samples or installing multi-level monitoring wells, monitoring well clusters, or systems capable of monitoring multiple depths.

¥

2. Preferential Pathway Study – Depth to groundwater at the site has ranged between approximately 2 to 4 feet bgs. Since groundwater is relatively shallow at the site, a preferential pathway evaluation appears prudent. The purpose of the preferential pathway study is to locate potential migration pathways and conduits and determine the probability of the NAPL and/or dissolved-phase plume encountering preferential pathways and conduits that could spread contamination. We request that you perform a preferential pathway study that details the potential migration pathways and potential conduits (wells, utilities, pipelines, etc.) for vertical and lateral migration that may be present in the vicinity of the site.

Discuss your analysis and interpretation of the results of the preferential pathway study (including the well survey and utility survey requested below) and report your results in the SCM and Data Gap Work Plan requested below. The results of your study shall contain all information required by California Code of Regulations, Title 23, Division 3, Chapter 16, §2654(b).

a. Utility Survey

يه جري في في

1

An evaluation of all utility lines and trenches (including sewers, storm drains, pipelines, trench backfill, etc.) within and near the site and plume area(s) is required as part of your study. Please include maps and cross-sections illustrating the location and depth of all utility lines and trenches within and near the site and plume areas(s) as part of your study.

b. Well Survey

The preferential pathway study shall include a well survey of all wells (monitoring and production wells: active, inactive, standby, decommissioned (sealed with concrete), abandoned (improperly decommissioned or lost); and dewatering, drainage, and cathodic protection wells) within a ¼ mile radius of the subject site. Use the results of your background study to determine the existence of unrecorded/unknown (abandoned) wells,

which can act as contaminant migration pathways at or from your site. Please review and submit copies of historical maps, such as Sanborn maps, aerial photographs, etc., when conducting the background study.

- 3. Soil and Groundwater Characterization Currently, the extent of soil and groundwater contamination appears undefined and the most recent subsurface investigation failed to address the data gap. As mentioned above, ACEH oversees several leaking underground storage tank cases in Oakland, and Responsible Parties have been able to obtain necessary permits/access agreement and complete the required site assessment work. For all future correspondences sent to the City of Oakland for permits or private property owners for access agreements, please copy ACEH so that we may assist in should permitting or access issues arise. Please propose a scope of work to address the above-mentioned concerns and submit a work plan due by the date specified below.
- 4. <u>Contaminant Source Area Characterization</u> In May 1994, one 1,000-gallon waste gil <u>Contamination</u> UST was removed from the site as well as the product piping lines. Soil sample P-3 near the north side of the western most dispenser island detected TPH-g and benzene at concentrations of 1,200 mg/kg and 2.2 mg/kg respectively. Due to the evident soil contamination present, over-excavation was conducted. Over-excavation confirmation soil <u>Contamination</u> present, over-excavation was conducted. Over-excavation confirmation soil <u>Contamination</u> soil <u>Contamination</u> present, over-excavation was conducted. Over-excavation confirmation soil <u>Contamination</u> soil <u>Contamination</u> present, over-excavation was conducted. Over-excavation confirmation soil <u>Contamination</u> soil <u>Contamination</u> present, over-excavation was conducted. Over-excavation confirmation soil <u>Contamination</u> soil <u>Contamination</u> present, over-excavation was conducted. Over-excavation confirmation soil <u>Contamination</u> soil <u>Contamination</u> present, over-excavation was conducted. Over-excavation confirmation soil <u>Contamination</u> soil <u>Contamination</u> present, over-excavation was conducted. Over-excavation confirmation soil <u>Contamination</u> soil <u>Contamination</u> present, over-excavation was conducted. Over-excavation confirmation soil <u>Contamination</u> soil <u>Contamination</u> present, over-excavation was conducted. Over-excavation confirmation soil <u>Contamination</u> soil <u>Contamination</u> present, over-excavation was conducted. Over-excavation confirmation soil <u>Contamination</u> soil <u>Contamination</u> present, over-excavation was conducted. Over-excavation confirmation soil <u>Contamination</u> soil <u>Contamination</u> present, over-excavation was conducted. Over-excavation contamination soil <u>Contamination</u> source area appears undefined and the site poses a potential risk to human health and the <u>Contamination</u> environment. Please propose a scope of work to address the above-mentioned concerns and submit a work plan due by the date specified below.
  - 5. <u>Site Conceptual Model</u> At this juncture, it may be advantageous to develop a site conceptual model (SCM), which synthesizes all the analytical data and evaluates all potential exposure pathways and potential receptors that may exist at the site, including identifying or developing site cleanup objectives and goals. At a minimum, the SCM should include:
    - Local and regional plan view maps that illustrate the location of sources (former facilities, piping, tanks, etc.) extent of contamination, direction and rate of groundwater flow, potential preferential pathways, and locations of receptors;
    - (2) Geologic cross section maps that illustrate subsurface features, man-made conduits, and lateral and vertical extent of contamination;
    - (3) Plots of chemical concentrations versus time;
    - (4) Plots of chemical concentrations versus distance from the source;
    - (5) Summary tables of chemical concentrations in different media (i.e. soil, groundwater, and soil vapor); and
    - (6) Well logs, boring logs, and well survey maps;

(7) Discussion of likely contaminant fate and transport.

If data gaps (i.e. potential contaminant volatilization to indoor air or contaminant migration along preferential pathways, etc.) are identified in the SCM, please include a proposed scope of work to address those data gaps in the work plan due by the date specified below. Please note that the work plan must address all technical comments presented in this correspondence as well as all data gaps identified in the SCM.

#### NOTIFICATION OF FIELDWORK ACTIVITIES

• :: .:,

 Please schedule and complete the fieldwork activities by the date specified below and provide ACEH with at least three (3) business days notification prior to conducting the fieldwork including routine groundwater sampling.

. •	TECHNICAL REPORT REQUEST	de la constante
	Please submit technical reports to ACEH (Attention: Steven Plunkett), according to t	ne following
:	schedule:	• • · ·
ا ج ب		*
	BMarch 30, 2009 – SCM & Data Gap Work Planter	A AN CONTRACTOR
5. 4	(1,2,2,3) and $(2,2,3)$ is the set of the $X$ - $(1,2,3)$ and $(1,2,3)$ is the set of $X$ - $(1,2,3)$ and $(1,2,3)$	• • • •
	April 30, 2009 – Quarterly Monitoring Report (1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter 2009)	$x \in B_{n-1} \cap A_{n-2} \cap A_{n-2} \cap A_{n-2}$
	·	
	<ul> <li>July 30, 2009 – Quarterly Monitoring Report (2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2009)</li> </ul>	

- October 30, 2009 Quarterly Monitoring Report (3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter 2009)
- January 30, 2010 Quarterly Monitoring Report (4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 2009)

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654, and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

#### ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS

ACEH's Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of reports in electronic form. The electronic copy replaces paper copies and is expected to be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities. Instructions for submission of electronic documents to the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Program FTP site are provided on the attached "Electronic Report Upload Instructions." Submission of reports to the Alameda County FTP site is an addition to existing requirements for electronic submittal of information to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) GeoTracker website. In September 2004, the SWRCB adopted regulations that require electronic submittal of information for all groundwater cleanup programs. For several years, responsible parties for cleanup of leaks from underground storage tanks (USTs) have

been required to submit groundwater analytical data, surveyed locations of monitoring wells, and <u>other</u> data to the GeoTracker database over the Internet. Beginning July 1, 2005, these same reporting requirements were added to Spills, Leaks, Investigations, and Cleanup (SLIC) sites. Beginning July 1, 2005, electronic submittal of a complete copy of all reports for all sites is required in GeoTracker (in PDF format). Please visit the SWRCB website for more information on these requirements (http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/ust/electronic submittal/report rgmts.shtml.

#### PERJURY STATEMENT

All work plans, technical reports, or technical documents submitted to ACEH must be accompanied by a cover letter from the responsible party that states, at a minimum, the following: "I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge." This letter must be signed by an officer or legally authorized representative of your company. Please include a cover letter satisfying these requirements with all future reports and technical documents submitted for this fuel leak case.

#### PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION & CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The California Business and Professions Code (Sections 6735, 6835, and 7835.1) requires that work plans and technical or implementation reports containing geologic or engineering evaluations and/or judgments be performed under the direction of an appropriately registered or certified professional. For your submittal to be considered a valid technical report, you are to present site specific data, data interpretations, and recommendations prepared by an appropriately licensed professional and include the professional registration stamp, signature, and statement of professional certification. Please ensure all that all technical reports submitted for this fuel leak case meet this requirement.

#### UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLEANUP FUND

Please note that delays in investigation, later reports, or enforcement actions may result in your becoming ineligible to receive grant money from the state's Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Senate Bill 2004) to reimburse you for the cost of cleanup.

#### AGENCY OVERSIGHT

If it appears as though significant delays are occurring or reports are not submitted as requested, we will consider referring your case to the Regional Board or other appropriate agency, including the County District Attorney, for possible enforcement actions. California Health and Safety Code, Section 25299.76 authorizes enforcement including administrative action or monetary penalties of up to \$10,000 per day for each day of violation.

If you have any questions, please call me at (510) 383-1767 or send me an electronic mail message at Steven.Plunkett@acgov.org.

Sincerely,

Steven Plunkett Hazardous Materials Specialist

Paresh C. Khatri Hazardous Materials Specialist

. .

Donna L. Drogo's, PE Supervising Hazardous Materials Specialist

Enclosure: ACEH Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions

 cc: Brian P. Carey, Conestoga Rovers & Associates, 2000 Opportunity Drive, Suite 110, Roseville, CA 95678
 Leroy Griffin, Oakland Fire Department, 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Ste. 3341, Oakland, CA 94612-2032
 Donna Drogos, ACEH

. .

Steven Plunkett, ACEH Paresh Khatri, ACEH File

Alameda County Environmental Cleanup	ISSUE DATE: July 5, 2005				
Oversight Programs	REVISION DATE: December 16, 2005				
(LOP and SLIC)	PREVIOUS REVISIONS: October 31, 2005				
SECTION: Miscellaneous Administrative Topics & Procedures	SUBJECT: Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions				

Effective January 31, 2006, the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of all reports in electronic form to the county's ftp site. Paper copies of reports will no longer be accepted. The electronic copy replaces the paper copy and will be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities.

#### REQUIREMENTS

- Entire report including cover letter must be submitted to the ftp site as a single portable document format (PDF) with no password protection. (Please do not submit reports as attachments to electronic mail.)
- It is preferable that reports be converted to PDF format from their original format, (e.g., Microsoft Word) rather than scanned.
- Signature pages and perjury statements must be included and have either original or electronic signature.
- Do not password protect the document. Once indexed and inserted into the correct electronic case file, the document will be secured in compliance with the County's current security standards and a password. Documents with password protection will not be accepted.
- Each page in the PDF document should be rotated in the direction that will make it easiest to read on a computer monitor.
- Reports must be named and saved using the following naming convention: RO#\_Report Name\_Year-Month-Date (e.g., RO#5555\_WorkPlan\_2005-06-14)

### Additional Recommendations

A separate copy of the tables in the document should be submitted by e-mail to your Caseworker in Excel format. These are for use by assigned Caseworker only.

### **Submission Instructions**

- 1) Obtain User Name and Password:
  - a) Contact the Alameda County Environmental Health Department to obtain a User Name and Password to upload files to the ftp site.
    - i) Send an e-mail to <u>dehloptoxic@acgov.org</u> or
    - ii) Send a fax on company letterhead to (510) 337-9335, to the attention of Alicia Lam-Finneke.
  - b) In the subject line of your request, be sure to include "ftp PASSWORD REQUEST" and in the body of your request, include the Contact Information, Site Addresses, and the Case Numbers (RO# available in Geotracker) you will be posting for.

## 2) Upload Files to the ftp Site

- a) Using Internet Explorer (IE4+), go to ftp://alcoftp1.acgov.org
  - (i) Note: Netscape and Firefox browsers will not open the FTP site.
- b) Click on File, then on Login As.
- c) Enter your User Name and Password. (Note: Both are Case Sensitive.)
- d) Open "My Computer" on your computer and navigate to the file(s) you wish to upload to the ftp site.
- e) With both "My Computer" and the ftp site open in separate windows, drag and drop the file(s) from "My Computer" to the ftp window.
- 3) Send E-mail Notifications to the Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs
  - a) Send email to <u>dehloptoxic@acgov.org</u> notify us that you have placed a report on our ftp site.
  - b) Copy your Caseworker on the e-mail. Your Caseworker's e-mail address is the entire first name then a period and entire last name at acgov.org. (e.g., firstname.lastname@acgov.org)
  - c) The subject line of the e-mail must start with the RO# followed by Report Upload. (e.g., Subject: RO1234 Report Upload)

ATTACHMENT B

SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION AND REMEDIATION

## SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION AND REMEDIATION FORMER CHEVRON STATION 9-8341

### 1993 Trench Sampling

In January 1993, Touchstone Developments (Touchstone) collected three soil samples (TR-1 through TR-3) from a trench that Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E) had excavated in the sidewalk near the southwestern edge of the site. The trench was approximately 2 feet wide by 4 feet deep and approximately 28 feet long. The soil samples were collected from the northeast sidewall of the trench at approximately 2.5 feet below grade (fbg). The three soil samples were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX). Low concentrations of TPHg (up to 7 milligrams per kilogram [mg/kg]) and BTEX (up to 1.5 mg/kg) were detected in the samples. Water was also observed in the bottom of the trench at approximately 3 fbg; it was not known if the water sample (TR-4) was collected and also analyzed for TPHg and BTEX. TPHg was detected in the water sample at 2,500 micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L); benzene (390  $\mu$ g/L), toluene (80  $\mu$ g/L), ethylbenzene (140  $\mu$ g/L), and xylenes (300  $\mu$ g/L) were also detected. It was concluded that the water sample may not be representative of groundwater conditions. The results of this investigation were presented in Touchtone's until letter report dated January 27, 1993.

#### 1994 Used-Oil UST and Product Line Removal

In May 1994, Touchstone observed the removal of a 1,000-gallon, single-walled fiberglass, used-oil underground storage tank (UST) and gasoline product piping at the site. Two soil samples (WO-N and WO-S) were collected beneath the ends of the former UST at approximately 6 fbg and analyzed for TPHg; TPH as diesel (TPHd); BTEX; halogenated volatile organic compounds (HVOCs); total oil and grease (TOG); semi-VOCs; and the metals cadmium, chromium, lead, nickel, and zinc. TPHg, TPHd, BTEX, TOG, HVOCs, semi-VOCs, cadmium, and lead were not detected in either of the samples. Background levels of chromium (up to 20 mg/kg), nickel (up to 18 mg/kg), and zinc (up to 30 mg/kg) were detected in both of the samples. Groundwater was encountered at approximately 6 fbg in the excavation, and a grab-groundwater sample (WO-H<sub>2</sub>O) was collected and analyzed for TPHg, BTEX, TPHd, TOG, HVOCs, semi-VOCs, and metals; which were not detected with the exception of low concentrations of chloroethane (0.6  $\mu$ g/L), chromium (20  $\mu$ g/L), lead (7  $\mu$ g/L), nickel (28  $\mu$ g/L), and zinc (29  $\mu$ g/L). Six soil samples (P-1 through P-6) were collected at depths of 2 to 4.5 fbg beneath the product piping and analyzed for TPHg and BTEX. TPHg (ranging from 14 to 1,200 mg/kg) and BTEX (ranging from 0.086 to 70.9 mg/kg) were detected in samples P-1, P-2, P-5, and P-6. Over-excavation was subsequently conducted to remove impacted soil beneath the product piping. Eleven confirmation soil samples (PX-1 through PX-11) were collected at depths of 3 to 5.5 fbg following over-excavation and analyzed for TPHg and BTEX. TPHg and BTEX were detected in six of the samples at concentrations up to 1,300 mg/kg (PX-8 at 5 fbg) and 170 mg/kg (PX-8 at 5 fbg), respectively. Further over-excavation was limited due to the presence of the canopy concrete footing. Approximately 285 cubic yards of impacted soil was removed and disposed offsite during the work; approximately 25,000 gallons of groundwater was also pumped from the excavation and disposed offsite. The results of this

investigation were presented in Touchtone's *Waste Oil Tank and Product Line Removal and Overexcavation Report* dated June 28, 1994.

## 1996 Monitoring Well Installation

In March 1996, Touchstone installed three groundwater monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-3) at the site. Groundwater was encountered in the well borings at approximately 4 fbg. A total of 22 soil samples were collected at various depths from the borings and analyzed for TPHg and BTEX. TPHg was only detected in the soil samples collected at 5.5 fbg from borings MW-2 (9.5 mg/kg) and MW-3 (400 mg/kg); low concentrations of toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (up to 32 mg/kg) were also detected in the two samples. A trace concentration of xylenes (0.0069 mg/kg) was detected in the soil sample collected at 20 fbg from boring MW-3. The initial groundwater samples collected from the wells were analyzed for TPHg, BTEX, and methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE), which generally were not detected with the exception of MTBE at 6,100 micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L) in MW-2. The results of this investigation were presented in Touchstone's *Well Installation Report* dated July 11, 1996.

## 1999 Utility Survey

In 1999, Pacific Environmental Group, Inc. (PEG) conducted a survey to identify underground utilities in the site vicinity. The survey included water, sanitary sewer, and storm drain lines. A 10-inch water line (depth ranging from approximately 35 to 42 inches) and an 8-inch sanitary sewer line (depth of 6 feet) were identified beneath MacArthur Boulevard to the south-southwest of the site. A 12-inch storm drain line (depth of 7 feet), an 8-inch sanitary sewer line (depth of 6 feet), and a 4-inch water line (depth of 34 to 42 inches) were identified beneath Magee Avenue to the south-southeast of the site. Based on the results of the survey, it was concluded that due to the shallow depth to groundwater at the site, it was possible that some utility lines may act as preferential pathways for the migration of impacted groundwater. The results of the survey were presented in PEG's *Utility Survey Report* dated June 28, 1999.

### 2003 Subsurface Investigation

In July 2003, Cambria Environmental Technology, Inc. (Cambria [now CRA]) advanced eight exploratory borings (B-1 and B-3 through B-9) to total depths of 6 to 10.5 fbg to further evaluate the extent of hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater onsite. One or two soil samples were collected at various depths from each boring and analyzed for TPHg, BTEX, fuel oxygenates, 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCA), ethylene dibromide (EDB), and ethanol. TPHg was only detected in the soil samples collected at 1.5 fbg from boring B-7 (2.5 mg/kg) and 2 fbg from boring B-8 (3.3 mg/kg). BTEX generally were not detected in the samples with the exception of trace concentrations of ethylbenzene (0.001 mg/kg) and xylenes (up to 0.002 mg/kg) in the samples collected from boring B-8. MTBE (up to 0.13 mg/kg) was detected in the majority of the soil samples. Other fuel oxygenates, 1,2-DCA, EDB, and ethanol generally were not detected with the exception of low concentrations of tertiary amyl methyl ether (TAME) (up to 0.002 mg/kg) and tertiary butyl alcohol (TBA) (0.044 mg/kg) in three and one of the samples, respectively. Groundwater was encountered in the borings (except B-7) at depths of 3 to 10 fbg. A grab-groundwater sample was collected from each boring and analyzed for TPHg, BTEX, fuel

oxygenates, 1,2-DCA, EDB, and ethanol. TPHg was only detected in the samples collected from borings B-7 (98  $\mu$ g/L) and B-8 (5,200  $\mu$ g/L), and benzene was only detected in the sample collected from boring B-8 (3  $\mu$ g/L). MTBE was detected in all of the samples at concentrations ranging from 2 (B-6) to 980  $\mu$ g/L (B-8). Low concentrations of TAME (up to 15  $\mu$ g/L) and TBA (up to 41  $\mu$ g/L) were detected in several of the samples. The results of this investigation were presented in Cambria's *Additional Subsurface/Baseline Investigation Report* dated September 2, 2003.

## 2006 Subsurface Investigation

In December 2006, Cambria advanced exploratory boring B-11 onsite to 24 fbg to further evaluate the extent of impacted soil and groundwater. The boring was located upgradient of the USTs. Five other borings were attempted in Magee Avenue and MacArthur Boulevard but could not be completed due to the presence of numerous underground utility lines. Soil samples were collected at depths of 5, 10, 15, 20, and 24 fbg from the boring and analyzed for TPHg, BTEX, fuel oxygenates, 1,2-DCA, EDB, ethanol, and methanol. The analytes generally were not detected in the soil samples with the exception of toluene at 0.002 mg/kg in the sample collected at 10 fbg; methanol (up to 0.33 mg/kg) was also detected in four of the samples. Groundwater was not encountered in the boring. The results of this investigation were presented in CRA's *Subsurface Investigation Report* dated March 28, 2008.

ATTACHMENT C

DRILLING PERMIT AND BORING LOGS

## Alameda County Public Works Agency - Water Resources Well Permit



Applicant:

Client:

399 Elmhurst Street Hayward, CA 94544-1395 Telephone: (510)670-6633 Fax:(510)782-1939

#### Application Approved on: 04/27/2010 By jamesy

Permit Numbers: W2010-0287 Permits Valid from 05/11/2010 to 05/12/2010 City of Project Site:Oakland Application Id: 1271973024372 Site Location: 3530 MacArthur Blvd, Oakland, CA **Project Start Date:** 05/11/2010 Completion Date:05/12/2010 Assigned Inspector: Contact Ron Smalley at (510) 670-5407 or ronaldws@acpwa.org Conestoga-Rovers & Associates - Chris Phone: 916-889-8900 Benedict 10969 Trade Center Dr #107, Rancho Cordova, CA 95620 **Property Owner:** Hai Thanh Pham Phone: --3530 MacArthur BI, Oakland, CA 94612 Chevron Gas Phone: --6001 Bollinger Canyon, San Ramon, CA 94583 Total Due: \$265.00

Receipt Number: WR2010-0134 Total Amount Paid: <u>\$265.00</u> Payer Name : Conestoga-Rovers & Paid By: CHECK **PAID IN FULL** 

Associates

#### **Works Requesting Permits:**

Borehole(s) for Investigation-Geotechnical Study/CPT's - 5 Boreholes Driller: Penecore - Lic #: 906899 - Method: Hand

Work Total: \$265.00

Specifications									
Permit	Issued Dt	Expire Dt	#	Hole Diam	Max Depth				
Number			Boreholes						
W2010-	04/27/2010	08/09/2010	5	3.25 in.	12.00 ft				
0287									

#### **Specific Work Permit Conditions**

1. Backfill bore hole by tremie with cement grout or cement grout/sand mixture. Upper two-three feet replaced in kind or with compacted cuttings. All cuttings remaining or unused shall be containerized and hauled off site.

2. Boreholes shall not be left open for a period of more than 24 hours. All boreholes left open more than 24 hours will need approval from Alameda County Public Works Agency, Water Resources Section. All boreholes shall be backfilled according to permit destruction requirements and all concrete material and asphalt material shall be to Caltrans Spec or County/City Codes. No borehole(s) shall be left in a manner to act as a conduit at any time.

3. Permittee shall assume entire responsibility for all activities and uses under this permit and shall indemnify, defend and save the Alameda County Public Works Agency, its officers, agents, and employees free and harmless from any and all expense, cost, liability in connection with or resulting from the exercise of this Permit including, but not limited to, properly damage, personal injury and wrongful death.

4. Prior to any drilling activities, it shall be the applicant's responsibility to contact and coordinate an Underground Service Alert (USA), obtain encroachment permit(s), excavation permit(s) or any other permits or agreements required for that Federal, State, County or City, and follow all City or County Ordinances. No work shall begin until all the permits and requirements have been approved or obtained. It shall also be the applicants responsibilities to provide to the Cities or to Alameda County an Traffic Safety Plan for any lane closures or detours planned. No work shall begin until all the permits and requirements have been approved or obtained.

## Alameda County Public Works Agency - Water Resources Well Permit

5. Permittee, permittee's contractors, consultants or agents shall be responsible to assure that all material or waters generated during drilling, boring destruction, and/or other activities associated with this Permit will be safely handled, properly managed, and disposed of according to all applicable federal, state, and local statutes regulating such. In no case shall these materials and/or waters be allowed to enter, or potentially enter, on or off-site storm sewers, dry wells, or waterways or be allowed to move off the property where work is being completed.

6. Copy of approved drilling permit must be on site at all times. Failure to present or show proof of the approved permit application on site shall result in a fine of \$500.00.

7. Permit is valid only for the purpose specified herein. No changes in construction procedures, as described on this permit application. Boreholes shall not be converted to monitoring wells, without a permit application process.

8. Applicant shall contact Ron Smalley for an inspection time at 510-670-5407 or email to ronaldws@acpwa.org at least five (5) working days prior to starting, once the permit has been approved. Confirm the scheduled date(s) at least 24 hours prior to drilling.



CLIENT NAME

LOCATION

DRILLER

JOB/SITE NAME

PROJECT NUMBER

DRILLING METHOD

REVIEWED BY

BORING DIAMETER

Conestoga-Rovers & Associates 10969 Trade Center Drive Suite 107 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670 Telephone: (916) 889-8900 Fax: (916) 889-8999

Former Chevron 9-8341

PeneCore Drilling

J. Kiernan, PE# C68498

Hand-Auger

C. Benedict

3530 MacArthur Boulevard, Oakland, CA

Chevron

611650

3.25"

# **BORING/WELL LOG**

BORING/WELL NAME	B-12		
DRILLING STARTED	11-May-10		
DRILLING COMPLETED	11-May-10		
WELL DEVELOPMENT DA	ATE (YIELD)	NA	
GROUND SURFACE ELEV	VATION	Not Surveyed	-
TOP OF CASING ELEVAT	ION Not Surv	eyed	
SCREENED INTERVAL	NA		~
DEPTH TO WATER (First	Encountered)	8.5 fbg (11-May-10)	$\overline{\nabla}$
DEPTH TO WATER (Statio	c)	NA	Ţ

REMARKS

LOGGED BY

PID (ppm)	BLOW COUNTS	SAMPLE ID	EXTENT	DEPTH (fbg)	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG	LITHOLOGIC DESCRIPTION	CONTACT DEPTH (fbg)	WEL	L DIAGRAM
					CL		8 inches concrete.  FILL: Sandy CLAY with gravel; dark brown; moist; medium estimated plasticity.  Sandy CLAY with gravel: dark brown; moist; high estimated plasticity; 1/2 to 1 inch sub-angular gravel.  Color change to brown.	2.0		<ul> <li>Concrete</li> </ul>
		B-12- 5		- 5	sc		<u>Clayey SAND with gravel:</u> moderate brown; moist; 1/2 to 1 inch sub-angular gravel. <u>Clayey SAND:</u> red brown; moist.	5.5		✓ Portland Type I/II
0.0		B-12- 8 (			SC			9.0		Bottom of Boring @ 9 fbg



CLIENT NAME

JOB/SITE NAME LOCATION

PROJECT NUMBER

DRILLING METHOD

BORING DIAMETER \_

Conestoga-Rovers & Associates 10969 Trade Center Drive Suite 107 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670 Telephone: (916) 889-8900 Fax: (916) 889-8999

Former Chevron 9-8341

J. Kiernan, PE# C68498

PeneCore Drilling

Hand-Auger

3530 MacArthur Boulevard, Oakland, CA

Chevron

611650

3.25" C. Benedict

# **BORING/WELL LOG**

	BORING/WELL NAME	B-13		
_	DRILLING STARTED	11-May-10		
	DRILLING COMPLETED	11-May-10		
_	WELL DEVELOPMENT D	ATE (YIELD)	NA	
_	GROUND SURFACE ELE	VATION	Not Surveyed	
_	TOP OF CASING ELEVAT	Not Sur	veyed	
	SCREENED INTERVAL	NA		
	DEPTH TO WATER (First	Encountered)	5.5 fbg (11-May-10)	$\overline{\Delta}$
	DEPTH TO WATER (Stati	c)	NA	Ţ

REMARKS

LOGGED BY

REVIEWED BY

DRILLER

0.0 P-13-6 P-1 

Conestoga-Rovers & Associates 10969 Trade Center Drive Suite 107 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670 Telephone: (916) 889-8900 Fax: (916) 889-8999

# **BORING/WELL LOG**

1	TAX )
(	GRA

CLIENT NAME	Chevron	BORING/WEI
JOB/SITE NAME	Former Chevron 9-8341	DRILLING ST
LOCATION	3530 MacArthur Boulevard, Oakland, CA	DRILLING CO
PROJECT NUMBER	611650	WELL DEVEL
DRILLER	PeneCore Drilling	GROUND SU
DRILLING METHOD	Hand-Auger	TOP OF CAS
BORING DIAMETER	3.25"	SCREENED I
LOGGED BY	C. Benedict	DEPTH TO W
REVIEWED BY	J. Kiernan, PE# C68498	DEPTH TO W

BORING/WELL NAME	B-14		
DRILLING STARTED	11-May-10		
DRILLING COMPLETED	12-May-10		
WELL DEVELOPMENT DA	TE (YIELD)	NA	
GROUND SURFACE ELE	ATION	Not Surveyed	
TOP OF CASING ELEVAT	ION Not Sur	veyed	
SCREENED INTERVAL	NA		
DEPTH TO WATER (First	Encountered)	7.5 fbg (12-May-10)	$\overline{\Delta}$
DEPTH TO WATER (Statio	2)	NA	Ţ

REMARKS

PID (ppm)	BLOW COUNTS	SAMPLE ID	EXTENT	DEPTH (fbg)	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG	LITHOLOGIC DESCRIPTION	CONTACT DEPTH (fbg)	WEL	L DIAGRAM
MELL LUG (PILD) I:/CHEVKOW6116611050361100036110503610361105036103611050361105036110503611050361105036110503611050361105036110503611050361105036110503611050361105036100361105036110503611050361105036110503611050361105036110503611050361105036100361105036100361003601036010360100361003601003600		со В-14- 5 В-14- 7.5			CL		8 inches concrete.         FILL: Sandy CLAY; brown; medium estimated plasticity.         CLAY: dark grey; moist; high estimated plasticity.         CLAY with sand: Dark grey; moist; high estimated plasticity.         Wet at 7.5 fbg.	.0.7		Concrete  Portland Type I/II  Bottom of Boring @ 8 fbg

Conestoga-Rovers & Associates 10969 Trade Center Drive Suite 107 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670 Telephone: (916) 889-8900 Fax: (916) 889-8999

J. Kiernan, PE# C68498

# **BORING/WELL LOG**

(916) 889-8999		
Chevron	BORING/WELL NAME	B-15
Former Chevron 9-8341	DRILLING STARTED	12-May-10
3530 MacArthur Boulevard, Oakland, CA	DRILLING COMPLETED	12-May-10
611650	WELL DEVELOPMENT DA	ATE (YIELD)
PeneCore Drilling	GROUND SURFACE ELE	ATION
Hand-Auger	TOP OF CASING ELEVAT	ION Not Su
3.25"	SCREENED INTERVAL	NA
C. Benedict	DEPTH TO WATER (First	Encountered

BORING/WELL NAME	B-15		
DRILLING STARTED	12-May-10		
DRILLING COMPLETED	12-May-10	· .	
WELL DEVELOPMENT DA	ATE (YIELD)	NA	
GROUND SURFACE ELE	VATION	Not Surveyed	
TOP OF CASING ELEVAT	ION Not Surv	reyed	
SCREENED INTERVAL	NA	4	
DEPTH TO WATER (First	Encountered)	7.5 fbg (12-May-10)	$\overline{\Delta}$
DEPTH TO WATER (Stati		NA	Ţ

#### REMARKS

LOGGED BY

REVIEWED BY

CLIENT NAME

LOCATION

DRILLER

JOB/SITE NAME

PROJECT NUMBER

DRILLING METHOD BORING DIAMETER

PID (ppm)	BLOW COUNTS	SAMPLE ID	EXTENT	DEPTH (fbg)	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG	LITHOLOGIC DESCRIPTION	CONTACT DEPTH (fbg)	WELL DIAGRAM
				  - 1 			8 inches concrete. <u>FILL:</u> Clayey SAND; brown; moist. <u>CLAY with sand:</u> dark grey; moist; high estimated plasticity.	0.7	°°°° ≺ Concrete
20.0 0100 15.5				- 2					Portland Type
WELL LOG (PLD) [:/CHEVKON6116-611650-1611650-3611650-6101 BOKING LOGS.GPJ DEFAULI GD1 5/201 5/201 2/201 5/200 5/201 5/20		B-15- 5		  - 5   	CL		1/4 to 2 inch gravel; color change to grey.		Portland Type
19-0001 08-0001 01-0110		B-15- 7	20	  - 7 			— — <u>— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — </u>	7.5	Bottom of Boring @ 7.5 fbg
								-	PAGE 1 OF



CLIENT NAME

LOCATION

DRILLER

JOB/SITE NAME

PROJECT NUMBER

DRILLING METHOD

BORING DIAMETER

Conestoga-Rovers & Associates 10969 Trade Center Drive Suite 107 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670 Telephone: (916) 889-8900 Fax: (916) 889-8999

Former Chevron 9-8341

J. Kiernan, PE# C68498

PeneCore Drilling

Hand-Auger

3530 MacArthur Boulevard, Oakland, CA

Chevron

611650

3.25" C. Benedict

# **BORING/WELL LOG**

	BORING/WELL NAME	B-16		
	DRILLING STARTED	12-May-10		
	DRILLING COMPLETED	12-May-10		
_	WELL DEVELOPMENT D	ATE (YIELD)	NA	
	GROUND SURFACE ELE	VATION	Not Surveyed	
_	TOP OF CASING ELEVAT	Not Sur	veyed	
_	SCREENED INTERVAL	NA		
	DEPTH TO WATER (First	Encountered)	7.5 fbg (12-May-10)	$\overline{\nabla}$
	DEPTH TO WATER (Stati	c)	NA	Ţ

REMARKS

LOGGED BY REVIEWED BY

PID (ppm)	BLOW COUNTS	SAMPLE ID	DEPTH (fbg)	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG	LITHOLOGIC DESCRIPTION	CONTACT DEPTH (fbg)	WEL	L DIAGRAM
WELL LOG (PID) I:\CHEVRONI6116\611650-3\611650-3\617650-GINT BORING LOGS.GPJ DEFAULT.GDT 5/28/10 .0 .6		B-16- 7.5		CL		5 inches concrete. FILL: 3 inches pea gravel. CLAY with gravel: brown; moist; high estimated plasticity. CLAY with sand: dark grey; moist; high estimated plasticity. Wet at 7.5 fbg. ✓	0.5 0.8		<ul> <li>Concrete</li> <li>Portland Type I/II</li> <li>Bottom of Boring @ 8 fbg</li> </ul>

## ATTACHMENT D

## STANDARD FIELD PROCEDURES

# Conestoga-Rovers & Associates

## STANDARD FIELD PROCEDURES FOR HAND-AUGER SOIL BORINGS

This document describes Conestoga-Rovers & Associates standard field methods for drilling and sampling soil borings using a hand-auger. These procedures are designed to comply with Federal, State and local regulatory guidelines. Specific field procedures are summarized below.

#### Objectives

Soil samples are collected to characterize subsurface lithology, assess whether the soils exhibit obvious hydrocarbon or other compound vapor odor or staining, estimate ground water depth and quality and to submit samples for chemical analysis.

#### Soil Classification/Logging

All soil samples are classified according to the Unified Soil Classification System by a trained geologist or engineer working under the supervision of a California Professional Geologist (PG) or a Certified Engineering Geologist (CEG). The following soil properties are noted for each soil sample:

- Principal and secondary grain size category (i.e. sand, silt, clay or gravel)
- Approximate percentage of each grain size category,
- Color,
- Approximate water or product saturation percentage,
- Observed odor and/or discoloration,
- Other significant observations (i.e. cementation, presence of marker horizons, mineralogy), and
- Estimated permeability.

#### Soil Boring and Sampling

Hand-auger borings are typically drilled using a hand-held bucket auger to remove soil to the desired sampling depth. Samples are collected using lined split-barrel or equivalent samplers driven into undisturbed sediments beyond the bottom of the augered hole. The vertical location of each soil sample is determined using a tape measure. All sample depths use the ground surface immediately adjacent to the boring as a datum. The horizontal location of each boring is measured in the field from an onsite permanent reference using a measuring wheel or tape measure.

Augering and sampling equipment is steam-cleaned prior to drilling and between borings to prevent crosscontamination. Sampling equipment is washed between samples with trisodium phosphate or an equivalent EPAapproved detergent.

#### Sample Storage, Handling and Transport

Sampling tubes chosen for analysis are trimmed of excess soil and capped with Teflon tape and plastic end caps. Soil samples are labeled and stored at or below 4°C on either crushed or dry ice, depending upon local regulations. Samples are transported under chain-of-custody to a State-certified analytic laboratory.

# Conestoga-Rovers & Associates

# **Field Screening**

One of the remaining tubes is partially emptied leaving about one-third of the soil in the tube. The tube is capped with plastic end caps and set aside to allow hydrocarbons to volatilize from the soil. After ten to fifteen minutes, a portable photoionization detector (PID) measures volatile hydrocarbon vapor concentrations in the tube headspace, extracting the vapor through a slit in the cap. PID measurements are used along with the field observations, odors, stratigraphy and ground water depth to select soil samples for analysis.

# Water Sampling

Water samples, if they are collected from the boring, are collected from the open borehole using bailers. The ground water samples are decanted into the appropriate containers supplied by the analytic laboratory. Samples are labeled, placed in protective foam sleeves, stored on crushed ice at or below 4°C, and transported under chain-of-custody to the laboratory.

# **Duplicates and Blanks**

Blind duplicate water samples are collected usually collected only for monitoring well sampling programs, at a rate of one blind sample for every 10 wells sampled. Laboratory-supplied trip blanks accompany samples collected for all sampling programs to check for cross-contamination caused by sample handling and transport. These trip blanks are analyzed if the internal laboratory QA/QC blanks contain the suspected field contaminants. An equipment blank may also be analyzed if non-dedicated sampling equipment is used.

# Grouting

The borings are filled to the ground surface with cement grout poured or pumped through a tremie pipe.

# Waste Handling and Disposal

Soil cuttings from drilling activities are usually stockpiled onsite on top of and covered by plastic sheeting. At least four individual soil samples are collected from the stockpiles for later compositing at the analytic laboratory. The composite sample is analyzed for the same constituents analyzed in the borehole samples. Soil cuttings are transported by licensed waste haulers and disposed in secure, licensed facilities based on the composite analytic results.

Ground water removed during sampling and/or rinsate generated during decontamination procedures are stored onsite in sealed 55-gallon drums. Each drum is labeled with the drum number, date of generation, suspected contents, generator identification and consultant contact. Disposal of the water is based on the analytic results for the well samples. The water is either pumped out using a vacuum truck for transport to a licensed waste treatment/disposal facility or the individual drums are picked up and transported to the waste facility where the drum contents are removed and appropriately disposed.

# ATTACHMENT E

# LABORATORY REPORT



2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 •717-656-2300 Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.lancasterlabs.com

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Prepared by:

Lancaster Laboratories 2425 New Holland Pike Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 Prepared for:

Chevron c/o CRA Suite 107 10969 Trade Center Drive Rancho Cordova CA 95670

May 25, 2010

Project: 98341

Submittal Date: 05/14/2010 Group Number: 1194585 PO Number: 98341 Release Number: MTI State of Sample Origin: CA

Client Sample Description B-12-S-8-100511 Grab Soil B-12-W-100511 Grab Water B-13-S-5-100511 Grab Water B-13-W-100511 Grab Water B-14-S-7.5-100512 Grab Soil B-14-W-100512 Grab Water B-16-S-7.5-100512 Grab Water B-15-S-7-100512 Grab Soil B-15-W-100512 Grab Water

# Lancaster Labs (LLI) #

The specific methodologies used in obtaining the enclosed analytical results are indicated on the Laboratory Sample Analysis Record.

ELECTRONIC Chevron c/o CRA COPY TO ELECTRONIC Chevron c/o CRA COPY TO Attn: CRA EDD

Attn: James Kiernan





Questions? Contact your Client Services Representative Angela M Miller at (717) 656-2300 Ext. 1903

Respectfully Submitted,

Sarah nC

Sarah M. Snyder Senior Specialist





Page 1 of 1

# Sample Description: B-12-S-8-100511 Grab Soil Facility# 98341 MTI# 611650 CRAW 3530 MacArthur Blvd-Oakland T0600101790 B-12

### LLI Sample # SW 5980190 LLI Group # 1194585 Account # 11997

### Project Name: 98341

Collected:	05/11/2010	10:40	by CB	Chevron c/o CRA
				Suite 107
Submitted:	05/14/2010	09:00		10969 Trade Center Drive
Reported:	05/25/2010	14:17		Rancho Cordova CA 95670
Discard:	06/25/2010			

OB128

CAT No.	Analysis Name		CAS Number	As Received Result	As Received Method Detection Limit*	As Received Limit of Quantitation	Dilution Factor
GC/MS	Volatiles	SW-846	8260B	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	
10950	t-Amyl methyl ether		994-05-8	N.D.	0.001	0.005	1.08
10950	Benzene		71-43-2	N.D.	0.0005	0.005	1.08
10950	t-Butyl alcohol		75-65-0	N.D.	0.022	0.11	1.08
10950	Ethyl t-butyl ether		637-92-3	N.D.	0.001	0.005	1.08
10950	Ethylbenzene		100-41-4	N.D.	0.001	0.005	1.08
10950	di-Isopropyl ether		108-20-3	N.D.	0.001	0.005	1.08
10950	Methyl Tertiary Buty	yl Ether	1634-04-4	N.D.	0.0005	0.005	1.08
10950	Toluene		108-88-3	N.D.	0.001	0.005	1.08
10950	Xylene (Total)		1330-20-7	N.D.	0.001	0.005	1.08
GC Vol	latiles	SW-846	8015B modified	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	
01725	TPH-GRO N. CA soil (	C6-C12	n.a.	N.D.	1	1	24.9

#### General Sample Comments

State of California Lab Certification No. 2501

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Batch#	Analysis Date and Ti	me	Analyst	Dilution Factor
00374	GC/MS - Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:54	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
00374	GC/MS - Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	2	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:54	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
06646	GC/MS HL Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:37	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
10950	BTEX + 5 Oxygenates 8260 Soil	SW-846 8260B	1	B101371AA	05/17/2010	14:50	Chelsea B Eastep	1.08
01150	GC - Bulk Soil Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:38	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
01725	TPH-GRO N. CA soil C6-C12	SW-846 8015B modified	1	10134A34A	05/17/2010	14:37	Elizabeth J Marin	24.9





Account

2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 •717-656-2300 Fax:717-656-2681 • www.lancasterlabs.com

Page 1 of 1

LLI Sample # WW 5980191

# 11997

LLI Group # 1194585

# Sample Description: B-12-W-100511 Grab Water Facility# 98341 MTI# 611650 CRAW 3530 MacArthur Blvd-Oakland T0600101790 B-12

### Project Name: 98341

Collected:	05/11/2010 11	1:15	by CB	Chevron c/o CRA
				Suite 107
Submitted:	05/14/2010 09	9:00		10969 Trade Center Drive
Reported:	05/25/2010 14	4:17		Rancho Cordova CA 95670
Discard:	06/25/2010			

#### MOB12

CAT No.	Analysis Name		CAS Number	As Received Result	As Received Method Detection Limit*	As Received Limit of Quantitation	Dilution Factor
GC/MS	Volatiles	SW-846	8260B	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
10943	t-Amyl methyl ether		994-05-8	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Benzene		71-43-2	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	t-Butyl alcohol		75-65-0	N.D.	2	5	1
10943	Ethyl t-butyl ether		637-92-3	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Ethylbenzene		100-41-4	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	di-Isopropyl ether		108-20-3	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Methyl Tertiary But	yl Ether	1634-04-4	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Toluene		108-88-3	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Xylene (Total)		1330-20-7	N.D.	0.5	1	1
GC Vo	latiles	SW-846	8015B	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
01728	TPH-GRO N. CA water	C6-C12	n.a.	N.D.	50	100	1
GC Ext	tractable TPH	SW-846	8015B	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
08269	TPH-DRO water C10-C	28	n.a.	230	31	95	1

### General Sample Comments

State of California Lab Certification No. 2501 Trip blank vials were not received by the laboratory for this sample group.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Batch#	Analysis Date and Time		Analyst	Dilution Factor
01163	GC/MS VOA Water Prep	SW-846 5030B	1	D101381AA	05/18/2010 1	7:09	Ginelle L Feister	1
10943	BTEX + 5 Oxygenates 8260 Water	SW-846 8260B	1	D101381AA	05/18/2010 1	7:09	Ginelle L Feister	1
01146	GC VOA Water Prep	SW-846 5030B	1	10137C20A	05/18/2010 1	5:51	Marie D John	1
01728	TPH-GRO N. CA water C6-C12	SW-846 8015B	1	10137C20A	05/18/2010 1	5:51	Marie D John	1
07003	Extraction - DRO (Waters)	SW-846 3510C	1	101350016A	05/17/2010 09	9:15	Karen R Rettew	1
08269	TPH-DRO water C10-C28	SW-846 8015B	1	101350016A	05/20/2010 23	1:55	Dustin A Underkoffler	1





Page 1 of 1

# Sample Description: B-13-S-5-100511 Grab Soil Facility# 98341 MTI# 611650 CRAW 3530 MacArthur Blvd-Oakland T0600101790 B-13

### LLI Sample # SW 5980192 LLI Group # 1194585 Account # 11997

### Project Name: 98341

Collected:	05/11/2010 13	3:10	by CB	Chevron c/o CRA
				Suite 107
Submitted:	05/14/2010 09	9:00		10969 Trade Center Drive
Reported:	05/25/2010 14	4:17		Rancho Cordova CA 95670
Discard:	06/25/2010			

OB135

CAT No.	Analysis Name		CAS Number	As Received Result	As Received Method Detection Limit*	As Received Limit of Quantitation	Dilution Factor
GC/MS	Volatiles	SW-846	8260B	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	
10950	t-Amyl methyl ether		994-05-8	N.D.	0.001	0.005	0.99
10950	Benzene		71-43-2	N.D.	0.0005	0.005	0.99
10950	t-Butyl alcohol		75-65-0	N.D.	0.020	0.099	0.99
10950	Ethyl t-butyl ether		637-92-3	N.D.	0.001	0.005	0.99
10950	Ethylbenzene		100-41-4	N.D.	0.001	0.005	0.99
10950	di-Isopropyl ether		108-20-3	N.D.	0.001	0.005	0.99
10950	Methyl Tertiary Buty	/l Ether	1634-04-4	N.D.	0.0005	0.005	0.99
10950	Toluene		108-88-3	N.D.	0.001	0.005	0.99
10950	Xylene (Total)		1330-20-7	N.D.	0.001	0.005	0.99
GC Vol	latiles	SW-846	8015B modified	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	
01725	TPH-GRO N. CA soil (	C6-C12	n.a.	N.D.	1	1	24.06

#### General Sample Comments

State of California Lab Certification No. 2501

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Batch#	Analysis Date and Time	Analyst	Dilution Factor
00374	GC/MS - Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	201013421129	05/14/2010 14	:54 Larry E Bevins	n.a.
00374	GC/MS - Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	2	201013421129	05/14/2010 14	:54 Larry E Bevins	n.a.
00374	GC/MS - Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	3	201013821160	05/18/2010 10	:54 Larry E Bevins	n.a.
06646	GC/MS HL Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	201013421129	05/14/2010 14	:40 Larry E Bevins	n.a.
10950	BTEX + 5 Oxygenates 8260 Soil	SW-846 8260B	1	B101381AA	05/18/2010 15	:20 Chelsea B Eastep	0.99
01150	GC - Bulk Soil Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	201013421129	05/14/2010 14	:41 Larry E Bevins	n.a.
01725	TPH-GRO N. CA soil C6-C12	SW-846 8015B modified	1	10134A34A	05/17/2010 15	:13 Elizabeth J Marin	n 24.06





Page 1 of 1

# Sample Description: B-13-W-100511 Grab Water Facility# 98341 MTI# 611650 CRAW 3530 MacArthur Blvd-Oakland T0600101790 B-13

### LLI Sample # WW 5980193 LLI Group # 1194585 Account # 11997

#### Project Name: 98341

Collected:	05/11/2010	13:25	by CB	Chevron c/o CRA
				Suite 107
Submitted:	05/14/2010	09:00		10969 Trade Center Drive
Reported:	05/25/2010	14:17		Rancho Cordova CA 95670
Discard:	06/25/2010			

#### MOB13

CAT No.	Analysis Name		CAS Number	As Received Result	As Received Method Detection Limit*	As Received Limit of Quantitation	Dilution Factor
GC/MS	Volatiles	SW-846	8260B	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
10943	t-Amyl methyl ether		994-05-8	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Benzene		71-43-2	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	t-Butyl alcohol		75-65-0	N.D.	2	5	1
10943	Ethyl t-butyl ether		637-92-3	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Ethylbenzene		100-41-4	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	di-Isopropyl ether		108-20-3	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Methyl Tertiary But	yl Ether	1634-04-4	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Toluene		108-88-3	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Xylene (Total)		1330-20-7	N.D.	0.5	1	1
GC Vo	latiles	SW-846	8015B	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
01728	TPH-GRO N. CA water	C6-C12	n.a.	N.D.	50	100	1
GC Ext	tractable TPH	SW-846	8015B	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
08269	TPH-DRO water C10-C	28	n.a.	220	30	95	1

### General Sample Comments

State of California Lab Certification No. 2501 Trip blank vials were not received by the laboratory for this sample group.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Batch#	Analysis Date and Time	1	Analyst	Dilution Factor
01163	GC/MS VOA Water Prep	SW-846 5030B	1	D101381AA	05/18/2010 1	7:31	Ginelle L Feister	1
10943	BTEX + 5 Oxygenates 8260 Water	SW-846 8260B	1	D101381AA	05/18/2010 1	7:31	Ginelle L Feister	1
01146	GC VOA Water Prep	SW-846 5030B	1	10137C20A	05/18/2010 1	6:13	Marie D John	1
01728	TPH-GRO N. CA water C6-C12	SW-846 8015B	1	10137C20A	05/18/2010 1	6:13	Marie D John	1
07003	Extraction - DRO (Waters)	SW-846 3510C	1	101350016A	05/17/2010 0	9:15	Karen R Rettew	1
08269	TPH-DRO water C10-C28	SW-846 8015B	1	101350016A	05/20/2010 23	2:16	Dustin A Underkoffler	1





Account

2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 •717-656-2300 Fax:717-656-2681 • www.lancasterlabs.com

Page 1 of 1

LLI Sample # SW 5980194

# 11997

LLI Group # 1194585

# Sample Description: B-14-S-7.5-100512 Grab Soil Facility# 98341 MTI# 611650 CRAW 3530 MacArthur Blvd-Oakland T0600101790 B-14

#### Project Name: 98341

Collected:	05/12/2010	10:00	by CB	Chevron c/o CRA
				Suite 107
Submitted:	05/14/2010	09:00		10969 Trade Center Drive
Reported:	05/25/2010	14:17		Rancho Cordova CA 95670
Discard:	06/25/2010			

OB147

CAT No.	Analysis Name		CAS Number	As Received Result	As Received Method Detection Limit*	As Received Limit of Quantitation	Dilution Factor
GC/MS	Volatiles	SW-846	8260B	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	
10950	t-Amyl methyl ether		994-05-8	N.D.	0.001	0.005	0.98
10950	Benzene		71-43-2	N.D.	0.0005	0.005	0.98
10950	t-Butyl alcohol		75-65-0	N.D.	0.020	0.098	0.98
10950	Ethyl t-butyl ether		637-92-3	N.D.	0.001	0.005	0.98
10950	Ethylbenzene		100-41-4	N.D.	0.001	0.005	0.98
10950	di-Isopropyl ether		108-20-3	N.D.	0.001	0.005	0.98
10950	Methyl Tertiary Buty	/l Ether	1634-04-4	N.D.	0.0005	0.005	0.98
10950	Toluene		108-88-3	N.D.	0.001	0.005	0.98
10950	Xylene (Total)		1330-20-7	N.D.	0.001	0.005	0.98
GC Vol	latiles	SW-846	8015B modified	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	
01725	TPH-GRO N. CA soil (	C6-C12	n.a.	N.D.	1.0	1.0	25.33

#### General Sample Comments

State of California Lab Certification No. 2501

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Batch#	Analysis Date and Ti	me	Analyst	Dilution Factor
00374	GC/MS - Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:54	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
00374	GC/MS - Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	2	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:54	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
06646	GC/MS HL Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:43	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
10950	BTEX + 5 Oxygenates 8260 Soil	SW-846 8260B	1	A101371AA	05/17/2010	15:51	Chelsea B Eastep	0.98
01150	GC - Bulk Soil Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:44	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
01725	TPH-GRO N. CA soil C6-C12	SW-846 8015B modified	1	10134A34A	05/17/2010	15:50	Elizabeth J Marin	25.33





Page 1 of 1

# Sample Description: B-14-W-100512 Grab Water Facility# 98341 MTI# 611650 CRAW 3530 MacArthur Blvd-Oakland T0600101790 B-14

## LLI Sample # WW 5980195 LLI Group # 1194585 Account # 11997

#### Project Name: 98341

Collected:	05/12/2010 1	2:30	by CB	Chevron c/o CRA
				Suite 107
Submitted:	05/14/2010 0	9:00		10969 Trade Center Drive
Reported:	05/25/2010 1	4:17		Rancho Cordova CA 95670
Discard:	06/25/2010			

#### MOB14

CAT No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	As Received Result	As Received Method Detection Limit*	As Received Limit of Quantitation	Dilution Factor
GC/MS	Volatiles SW-8	46 8260B	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
10943	t-Amyl methyl ether	994-05-8	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Benzene	71-43-2	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	t-Butyl alcohol	75-65-0	N.D.	2	5	1
10943	Ethyl t-butyl ether	637-92-3	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	di-Isopropyl ether	108-20-3	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Methyl Tertiary Butyl Eth	er 1634-04-4	0.6	0.5	1	1
10943	Toluene	108-88-3	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Xylene (Total)	1330-20-7	N.D.	0.5	1	1
GC Vol	latiles SW-8	46 8015B	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
01728	TPH-GRO N. CA water C6-C1	2 n.a.	N.D.	50	100	1

#### General Sample Comments

State of California Lab Certification No. 2501 Trip blank vials were not received by the laboratory for this sample group.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Batch#	Analysis Date and Time	Analyst	Dilution Factor
01163	GC/MS VOA Water Prep	SW-846 5030B	1	D101381AA	05/18/2010 17:54	Ginelle L Feister	1
10943	BTEX + 5 Oxygenates 8260 Water	SW-846 8260B	1	D101381AA	05/18/2010 17:54	Ginelle L Feister	1
01146	GC VOA Water Prep	SW-846 5030B	1	10138B20A	05/19/2010 00:17	Tyler O Griffin	1
01728	TPH-GRO N. CA water C6-C12	SW-846 8015B	1	10138B20A	05/19/2010 00:17	Tyler O Griffin	1





Account

2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 •717-656-2300 Fax:717-656-2681 • www.lancasterlabs.com

Page 1 of 1

LLI Sample # SW 5980196

# 11997

LLI Group # 1194585

# Sample Description: B-16-S-7.5-100512 Grab Soil Facility# 98341 MTI# 611650 CRAW 3530 MacArthur Blvd-Oakland T0600101790 B-16

#### Project Name: 98341

Collected:	05/12/2010 1	3:30	by CB	Chevron c/o CRA
				Suite 107
Submitted:	05/14/2010 0	9:00		10969 Trade Center Drive
Reported:	05/25/2010 1	4:17		Rancho Cordova CA 95670
Discard:	06/25/2010			

OB167

CAT No.	Analysis Name		CAS Number	As Received Result	As Received Method Detection Limit*	As Received Limit of Quantitation	Dilution Factor
GC/MS	Volatiles	SW-846	8260B	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	
10950	t-Amyl methyl ether		994-05-8	N.D.	0.001	0.006	1.1
10950	Benzene		71-43-2	N.D.	0.0006	0.006	1.1
10950	t-Butyl alcohol		75-65-0	N.D.	0.022	0.11	1.1
10950	Ethyl t-butyl ether		637-92-3	N.D.	0.001	0.006	1.1
10950	Ethylbenzene		100-41-4	N.D.	0.001	0.006	1.1
10950	di-Isopropyl ether		108-20-3	N.D.	0.001	0.006	1.1
10950	Methyl Tertiary Buty	/l Ether	1634-04-4	N.D.	0.0006	0.006	1.1
10950	Toluene		108-88-3	N.D.	0.001	0.006	1.1
10950	Xylene (Total)		1330-20-7	N.D.	0.001	0.006	1.1
GC Vol	latiles	SW-846	8015B modified	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	
01725	TPH-GRO N. CA soil C	C6-C12	n.a.	N.D.	1	1	24.37

#### General Sample Comments

State of California Lab Certification No. 2501

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Batch#	Analysis Date and Ti	me	Analyst	Dilution Factor
00374	GC/MS - Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:54	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
00374	GC/MS - Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	2	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:54	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
06646	GC/MS HL Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:47	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
10950	BTEX + 5 Oxygenates 8260 Soil	SW-846 8260B	1	A101381AA	05/19/2010	09:34	Holly Berry	1.1
01150	GC - Bulk Soil Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:48	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
01725	TPH-GRO N. CA soil C6-C12	SW-846 8015B modified	1	10134A34A	05/17/2010	16:26	Elizabeth J Marin	24.37





Page 1 of 1

# Sample Description: B-16-W-100512 Grab Water Facility# 98341 MTI# 611650 CRAW 3530 MacArthur Blvd-Oakland T0600101790 B-16

## LLI Sample # WW 5980197 LLI Group # 1194585 Account # 11997

#### Project Name: 98341

Collected:	05/12/2010 13:3	by CB	Chevron c/o CRA
			Suite 107
Submitted:	05/14/2010 09:0	00	10969 Trade Center Drive
Reported:	05/25/2010 14:1	-7	Rancho Cordova CA 95670
Discard:	06/25/2010		

#### MOB16

CAT No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	As Received Result	As Received Method Detection Limit*	As Received Limit of Quantitation	Dilution Factor
GC/MS	Volatiles SW-846	8260B	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
10943	t-Amyl methyl ether	994-05-8	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Benzene	71-43-2	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	t-Butyl alcohol	75-65-0	N.D.	2	5	1
10943	Ethyl t-butyl ether	637-92-3	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	di-Isopropyl ether	108-20-3	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether	1634-04-4	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Toluene	108-88-3	N.D.	0.5	1	1
10943	Xylene (Total)	1330-20-7	N.D.	0.5	1	1
GC Vol	latiles SW-846	8015B	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
01728	TPH-GRO N. CA water C6-C12	n.a.	N.D.	50	100	1

#### General Sample Comments

State of California Lab Certification No. 2501 Trip blank vials were not received by the laboratory for this sample group.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Batch#	Analysis Date and Time	Analyst	Dilution Factor
01163	GC/MS VOA Water Prep	SW-846 5030B	1	Z101403AA	05/21/2010 01:16	Florida A Cimino	1
10943	BTEX + 5 Oxygenates 8260 Water	SW-846 8260B	1	Z101403AA	05/21/2010 01:16	Florida A Cimino	1
01146	GC VOA Water Prep	SW-846 5030B	1	10138B20A	05/19/2010 00:38	Tyler O Griffin	1
01728	TPH-GRO N. CA water C6-C12	SW-846 8015B	1	10138B20A	05/19/2010 00:38	Tyler O Griffin	1





Page 1 of 1

# Sample Description: B-15-S-7-100512 Grab Soil Facility# 98341 MTI# 611650 CRAW 3530 MacArthur Blvd-Oakland T0600101790 B-15

### LLI Sample # SW 5980198 LLI Group # 1194585 Account # 11997

#### Project Name: 98341

Collected:	05/12/2010 1	L5:30	by CB	Chevron c/o CRA
				Suite 107
Submitted:	05/14/2010 0	09:00		10969 Trade Center Drive
Reported:	05/25/2010 1	L4:17		Rancho Cordova CA 95670
Discard:	06/25/2010			

OB157

CAT No.	Analysis Name		CAS Number	As Received Result	As Received Method Detection Limit*	As Received Limit of Quantitation	Dilution Factor
GC/MS	Volatiles	SW-846	8260B	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	
10950	t-Amyl methyl ether		994-05-8	N.D.	0.001	0.005	1
10950	Benzene		71-43-2	0.004	0.0005	0.005	1
10950	t-Butyl alcohol		75-65-0	N.D.	0.020	0.10	1
10950	Ethyl t-butyl ether		637-92-3	N.D.	0.001	0.005	1
10950	Ethylbenzene		100-41-4	0.27	0.001	0.005	1
10950	di-Isopropyl ether		108-20-3	N.D.	0.001	0.005	1
10950	Methyl Tertiary Buty	yl Ether	1634-04-4	N.D.	0.0005	0.005	1
10950	Toluene		108-88-3	0.003	0.001	0.005	1
10950	Xylene (Total)		1330-20-7	0.019	0.001	0.005	1
GC Vol	latiles	SW-846	8015B modified	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	
01725	TPH-GRO N. CA soil (	C6-C12	n.a.	56	2.1	2.1	51.55

#### General Sample Comments

State of California Lab Certification No. 2501

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Batch#	Analysis Date and Ti	me	Analyst	Dilution Factor
00374	GC/MS - Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:54	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
00374	GC/MS - Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	2	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:54	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
06646	GC/MS HL Bulk Sample Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:51	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
10950	BTEX + 5 Oxygenates 8260 Soil	SW-846 8260B	1	A101371AA	05/17/2010	19:53	Chelsea B Eastep	1
01150	GC - Bulk Soil Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	201013421129	05/14/2010	14:51	Larry E Bevins	n.a.
01725	TPH-GRO N. CA soil C6-C12	SW-846 8015B modified	1	10134A34A	05/17/2010	18:49	Elizabeth J Marin	51.55





Page 1 of 1

# Sample Description: B-15-W-100512 Grab Water Facility# 98341 MTI# 611650 CRAW 3530 MacArthur Blvd-Oakland T0600101790 B-15

## LLI Sample # WW 5980199 LLI Group # 1194585 Account # 11997

#### Project Name: 98341

Collected:	05/12/2010	15:45	by CB	Chevron c/o CRA
				Suite 107
Submitted:	05/14/2010	09:00		10969 Trade Center Drive
Reported:	05/25/2010	14:17		Rancho Cordova CA 95670
Discard:	06/25/2010			

#### MOB15

CAT No.	Analysis Name		CAS Number	As Received Result	As Received Method Detection Limit*	As Received Limit of Quantitation	Dilution Factor
GC/MS	Volatiles	SW-846	8260B	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
10943	t-Amyl methyl ether		994-05-8	N.D.	1	3	2.5
10943	Benzene		71-43-2	34	1	3	2.5
10943	t-Butyl alcohol		75-65-0	N.D.	5	13	2.5
10943	Ethyl t-butyl ether		637-92-3	N.D.	1	3	2.5
10943	Ethylbenzene		100-41-4	490	13	25	25
10943	di-Isopropyl ether		108-20-3	N.D.	1	3	2.5
10943	Methyl Tertiary But	yl Ether	1634-04-4	N.D.	1	3	2.5
10943	Toluene		108-88-3	17	1	3	2.5
10943	Xylene (Total)		1330-20-7	65	1	3	2.5
GC Vol	latiles	SW-846	8015B	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
01728	TPH-GRO N. CA water	C6-C12	n.a.	17,000	1,000	2,000	20
GC Ext	ractable TPH	SW-846	8015B	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
08269	TPH-DRO water C10-C	28	n.a.	40,000	1,500	4,700	50

### General Sample Comments

State of California Lab Certification No. 2501 Trip blank vials were not received by the laboratory for this sample group.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Batch#	Analysis Date and Time	Analyst	Dilution Factor
01163	GC/MS VOA Water Prep	SW-846 5030B	1	Z101403AA	05/21/2010 01:3	Florida A Cimino	2.5
01163	GC/MS VOA Water Prep	SW-846 5030B	2	Z101403AA	05/21/2010 02:0	L Florida A Cimino	25
10943	BTEX + 5 Oxygenates 8260 Water	SW-846 8260B	1	Z101403AA	05/21/2010 01:3	9 Florida A Cimino	2.5
10943	BTEX + 5 Oxygenates 8260 Water	SW-846 8260B	1	Z101403AA	05/21/2010 02:0	L Florida A Cimino	25
01146	GC VOA Water Prep	SW-846 5030B	1	10138B20A	05/19/2010 04:3	3 Tyler O Griffin	20
01728	TPH-GRO N. CA water C6-C12	SW-846 8015B	1	10138B20A	05/19/2010 04:3	3 Tyler O Griffin	20
07003	Extraction - DRO (Waters)	SW-846 3510C	1	101350016A	05/17/2010 09:1	5 Karen R Rettew	1
08269	TPH-DRO water C10-C28	SW-846 8015B	1	101350016A	05/21/2010 14:0	B Dustin A Underkoffler	50



2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 • 717-656-2300 Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.lancasterlabs.com

Page 1 of 6

# Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Chevron c/o CRA Reported: 05/25/10 at 02:17 PM Group Number: 1194585

Matrix QC may not be reported if site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.

# Laboratory Compliance Quality Control

Analysis Name	Blank <u>Result</u>	Blank MDL**	Blank <u>LOQ</u>	Report <u>Units</u>	LCS <u>%REC</u>	LCSD <u>%REC</u>	LCS/LCSD <u>Limits</u>	<u>RPD</u>	<u>RPD Max</u>
Batch number: A101371AA	Sample numb		,						
t-Amyl methyl ether	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	99	92	69-124	8	30
Benzene	N.D.	0.0005	0.005	mg/kg	105	103	80-120	2	30
t-Butyl alcohol Ethyl t-butyl ether	N.D. N.D.	0.020	0.10 0.005	mg/kg mg/kg	105 97	108 94	71-122 70-122	3 3	30 30
Ethylbenzene	N.D.	0.001 0.001	0.005	mg/kg	97 99	94 97	80-120	2	30
di-Isopropyl ether	N.D. N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	99 102	97 98	73-121	2 4	30
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether	N.D.	0.0001	0.005	mg/kg	102	93	74-121	4 10	30
Toluene	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	98	96	80-120	2	30
Xylene (Total)	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	95	92	80-120	3	30
-				ilig/ kg	55	52	00-120	5	50
Batch number: A101381AA	Sample numb								
t-Amyl methyl ether	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	94	93	69-124	2	30
Benzene	N.D.	0.0005	0.005	mg/kg	105	102	80-120	3	30
t-Butyl alcohol	N.D.	0.020	0.10	mg/kg	97	97	71-122	0	30
Ethyl t-butyl ether	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	94	92	70-122	2	30
Ethylbenzene	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	98	95	80-120	3	30
di-Isopropyl ether	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	96	94	73-121	2	30
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether	N.D.	0.0005	0.005	mg/kg	95	93	74-121	2	30
Toluene	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	95	94	80-120	2	30
Xylene (Total)	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	94	91	80-120	3	30
Batch number: B101371AA	Sample numb	per(s): 59	80190						
t-Amyl methyl ether	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mq/kq	99	99	69-124	1	30
Benzene	N.D.	0.0005	0.005	mg/kg	109	100	80-120	9	30
t-Butyl alcohol	N.D.	0.020	0.10	mg/kg	108	113	71-122	5	30
Ethyl t-butyl ether	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	103	101	70-122	2	30
Ethylbenzene	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	102	93	80-120	9	30
di-Isopropyl ether	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	105	104	73-121	0	30
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether	N.D.	0.0005	0.005	mg/kg	99	102	74-121	3	30
Toluene	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	101	92	80-120	9	30
Xylene (Total)	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	102	93	80-120	9	30
Batch number: B101381AA	Sample numb								
t-Amyl methyl ether	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	106	106	69-124	0	30
Benzene	N.D.	0.0005	0.005	mg/kg	111	110	80-120	0	30
t-Butyl alcohol	N.D.	0.020	0.10	mg/kg	111	112	71-122	1	30
Ethyl t-butyl ether	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	107	110	70-122	3	30
Ethylbenzene	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	105	104	80-120	1	30
di-Isopropyl ether	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	113	114	73-121	1	30
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether	N.D.	0.0005	0.005	mg/kg	107	107	74-121	0	30
Toluene	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	104	103	80-120	1	30
Xylene (Total)	N.D.	0.001	0.005	mg/kg	103	104	80-120	0	30
Batch number: D101381AA	Sample numb	per(s): 59	80191,598	0193,5980195	5				
t-Amyl methyl ether	N.D.	0.5	1	ug/l	95		77-120		
Benzene	N.D.	0.5	1	ug/l	97		79-120		

\*- Outside of specification

\*\*-This limit was used in the evaluation of the final result for the blank

(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.



2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 •717-656-2300 Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.lancasterlabs.com

Page 2 of 6

# Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Chevron c/o CRA Reported: 05/25/10 at 02:17 PM Group Number: 1194585

# Laboratory Compliance Quality Control

Analysis Name t-Butyl alcohol Ethyl t-butyl ether Ethylbenzene di-Isopropyl ether Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether Toluene Xylene (Total)	Blank Result N.D. N.D. N.D. N.D. N.D. N.D. N.D. N.D	Blank <u>MDL**</u> 2. 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	<b>Blank</b> 5 1 1 1 1 1 1	Report           Units           ug/1           ug/1           ug/1           ug/1           ug/1           ug/1	<b>LCS</b> <u>%REC</u> 87 91 96 90 92 96 102	LCSD <u>%REC</u>	LCS/LCSD Limits 73-120 76-120 79-120 71-124 76-120 79-120 80-120	<u>RPD</u>	<u>RPD Max</u>
Batch number: Z101403AA	Sample num	ber(s): 59	980197,598	0199					
t-Amyl methyl ether Benzene t-Butyl alcohol Ethyl t-butyl ether Ethylbenzene di-Isopropyl ether Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether Toluene Xylene (Total)	N.D. N.D. N.D. N.D. N.D. N.D. N.D. N.D.	0.5 0.5 2. 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1	ug/1 ug/1 ug/1 ug/1 ug/1 ug/1 ug/1 ug/1	100 98 94 99 98 98 100 98 99		77-120 79-120 73-120 76-120 79-120 71-124 76-120 79-120 80-120		
Batch number: 10134A34A	Sample num	ber(s): 59	980190,598	0192,5980194	,59801	96,5980	198		
TPH-GRO N. CA soil C6-C12	N.D.	1.0	1.0	mg/kg	82	89	67-119	8	30
Batch number: 10137C20A TPH-GRO N. CA water C6-C12	Sample num N.D.	ber(s): 59 50.	980191,598 100	0193 ug/l	118	118	75-135	0	30
Batch number: 10138B20A TPH-GRO N. CA water C6-C12	Sample num N.D.	ber(s): 59 50.	980195,598 100	0197,5980199 ug/l	118	118	75-135	0	30
Batch number: 101350016A TPH-DRO water C10-C28	Sample num N.D.	ber(s): 59 32.	980191,598 100	0193,5980199 ug/l	96		56-122		

## Sample Matrix Quality Control

Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate

Analysis Name	MS <u>%REC</u>	MSD <u>%REC</u>	MS/MSD <u>Limits</u>	<u>RPD</u>	RPD <u>MAX</u>	BKG <u>Conc</u>	DUP <u>Conc</u>	DUP <u>RPD</u>	Dup RPD <u>Max</u>
Batch number: A101371AA t-Amyl methyl ether Benzene t-Butyl alcohol Ethyl t-butyl ether Ethylbenzene di-Isopropyl ether Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether Toluene Xylene (Total)	Sample : 78 93 86 80 84 87 84 84 86 78	number(s)	$\begin{array}{c} : 5980194 \\ 59-123 \\ 55-143 \\ 47-153 \\ 58-124 \\ 44-141 \\ 59-133 \\ 55-129 \\ 50-146 \\ 44-136 \end{array}$	,598019	98 UNSP	K: 5980194			
Batch number: A101381AA t-Amyl methyl ether Benzene	Sample : 92 117	number(s)	: 5980196 59-123 55-143	UNSPK:	P9812	05			

\*- Outside of specification

\*\*-This limit was used in the evaluation of the final result for the blank

(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.



2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 • 717-656-2300 Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.lancasterlabs.com

Page 3 of 6

# Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Chevron c/o CRA Reported: 05/25/10 at 02:17 PM Group Number: 1194585

# Sample Matrix Quality Control

Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate

<u>Analysis Name</u> t-Butyl alcohol Ethyl t-butyl ether Ethylbenzene di-Isopropyl ether Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether Toluene Xylene (Total)	MS <u>%REC</u> 112 95 108 102 93 115 101	MSD <u>%REC</u>	<b>MS/MSD</b> <u>Limits</u> 47-153 58-124 44-141 59-133 55-129 50-146 44-136	<u>RPD</u>	RPD <u>MAX</u>	BKG <u>Conc</u>	DUP <u>Conc</u>	DUP <u>RPD</u>	Dup RPD <u>Max</u>
Batch number: B101371AA t-Amyl methyl ether Benzene t-Butyl alcohol Ethyl t-butyl ether Ethylbenzene di-Isopropyl ether Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether Toluene Xylene (Total)	Sample 96 111 126 99 107 105 98 106 106	number(s)	$\begin{array}{c} 5980190\\ 59-123\\ 55-143\\ 47-153\\ 58-124\\ 44-141\\ 59-133\\ 55-129\\ 50-146\\ 44-136\end{array}$	UNSPK:	598019	90			
Batch number: B101381AA t-Amyl methyl ether Benzene t-Butyl alcohol Ethyl t-butyl ether Ethylbenzene di-Isopropyl ether Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether Toluene Xylene (Total)	Sample 88 109 106 94 88 106 96 97 87	number(s)	$\begin{array}{c} : 5980192\\ 59-123\\ 55-143\\ 47-153\\ 58-124\\ 44-141\\ 59-133\\ 55-129\\ 50-146\\ 44-136\\ \end{array}$	UNS PK :	P98122	27			
Batch number: D101381AA t-Amyl methyl ether Benzene t-Butyl alcohol Ethyl t-butyl ether Ethylbenzene di-Isopropyl ether Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether Toluene Xylene (Total)	Sample 91 95 81 89 96 87 85 95 99	number(s) 101 107 101 99 106 98 95 106 112	: $5980191$ 75-122 80-126 67-119 74-122 71-134 70-129 72-126 80-125 79-125	,598019 11 12 22 10 10 12 12 11 12	3,59801 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	.95 UNSPK:	P980219		
Batch number: Z101403AA t-Amyl methyl ether Benzene t-Butyl alcohol Ethyl t-butyl ether Ethylbenzene di-Isopropyl ether Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether Toluene Xylene (Total) Batch number: 10137C20A	100 102 91 100 104 100 100 103 104	100 101 92 100 103 100 97 104 104	: 5980197 75-122 80-126 67-119 74-122 71-134 70-129 72-126 80-125 79-125 : 5980191	1 1 1 1 0 3 1 0	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30				

\*- Outside of specification

\*\*-This limit was used in the evaluation of the final result for the blank

(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.



2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 • 717-656-2300 Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.lancasterlabs.com

Page 4 of 6

# Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Chevron c/o CRA Reported: 05/25/10 at 02:17 PM Group Number: 1194585

Sample Matrix Quality Control

Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate

<u>Analysis Name</u> TPH-GRO N. CA water C6-C12	<b>MS</b> <u>%REC</u> 127	MSD <u>%REC</u>	<b>MS/MSD</b> <u>Limits</u> 63-154	<u>RPD</u>	RPD <u>MAX</u>	BKG <u>Conc</u>	DUP <u>Conc</u>	DUP <u>RPD</u>	Dup RPD <u>Max</u>
Batch number: 10138B20A TPH-GRO N. CA water C6-C12	Sample 118	number(s)	: 5980195 63-154	,59801	97,5980	199 UNSPK:	P980213		
Batch number: 101350016A TPH-DRO water C10-C28	Sample 90	number(s) 87	: 5980191 19-173		93,5980 20	199 UNSPK:	P979350		

# Surrogate Quality Control

Surrogate recoveries which are outside of the QC window are confirmed unless attributed to dilution or otherwise noted on the Analysis Report.

Analysis Name: VOCs by 8260B - Solid Batch number: A101371AA

Daten namb	Dibromofluoromethane	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	Toluene-d8	4-Bromofluorobenzene
5980194	99	106	100	94
5980198	87	92	105	96
Blank	99	107	99	93
LCS	101	107	100	99
LCSD	99	104	101	98
MS	100	107	101	98
Limits:	71-114	70-109	70-123	70-111
	ame: VOCs by 8260B - Soli er: A101381AA	d		
	Dibromofluoromethane	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	Toluene-d8	4-Bromofluorobenzene
5980196	97	98	105	83
Blank	98	102	98	94
LCS	100	103	98	98
LCSD	100	100	99	98
MS	98	96	106	87
Limits:	71-114	70-109	70-123	70-111
	ame: VOCs by 8260B - Soli er: B101371AA	d		
	Dibromofluoromethane	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	Toluene-d8	4-Bromofluorobenzene
5980190	100	96	98	92
Blank	102	102	97	92
LCS	101	103	99	100
LCSD	101	104	99	99
MS	100	101	99	98
Limits:	71-114	70-109	70-123	70-111

\*- Outside of specification

\*\*-This limit was used in the evaluation of the final result for the blank

(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.



2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 • 717-656-2300 Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.lancasterlabs.com

Page 5 of 6

# Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Chevron c/o CRA Reported: 05/25/10 at 02:17 PM Group Number: 1194585

# Surrogate Quality Control

Analysis Name: VOCs by 8260B - Solid Batch number: B101381AA

	Dibromofluoromethane	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	Toluene-d8	4-Bromofluorobenzen
5980192	103	101	96	88
Blank	105	104	96	91
LCS	102	104	100	100
LCSD	101	101	99	100
MS	99	94	103	98
Limits:	71-114	70-109	70-123	70-111
Analysis N	ame: UST VOCs by 8260B - er: D101381AA	Water		
Batti IIulib	Dibromofluoromethane	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	Toluene-d8	4-Bromofluorobenzen
5980191	101	99	98	100
5980193	101	98	98	99
5980195	101	99	99	101
Blank	101	99	97	98
LCS	100	100	98	102
MS	98	99	97	102
MSD	100	100	99	102
Limits:	80-116	77-113	80-113	78-113
	ame: UST VOCs by 8260B - er: Z101403AA Dibromofluoromethane	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	Toluene-d8	4-Bromofluorobenzene
5980197	99	97	101	100
5980199	98	96	101	103
Blank	99	97	100	101
LCS	99	99	101	100
MS	99	99	101	99
MSD	99	98	101	100
Limits:	80-116	77-113	80-113	78-113
	ame: TPH-GRO N. CA soil C er: 10134A34A Trifluorotoluene-F	6-C12		
5980190	65			
5980192	74			
5980194	77			
5980196	74			
5980198	95			
Blank	80			
LCS	77			
LCSD	80			
Limits:	61-122			
	ame: TPH-GRO N. CA water er: 10137C20A Trifluorotoluene-F	C6-C12		

\*- Outside of specification

\*\*-This limit was used in the evaluation of the final result for the blank

(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.



2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 • 717-656-2300 Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.lancasterlabs.com

Page 6 of 6

# Quality Control Summary

Group Number: 1194585

Client Name: Chevron c/o CRA Reported: 05/25/10 at 02:17 PM

Surrogate Quality Control

		Sallogado gaallo, conclol
5980191	92	
5980193	93	
Blank	91	
LCS		
	116	
LCSD	113	
MS	119	
Limits:	63-135	
	Name: TPH-GRO N. CA water C6-C12	
Batch numb	ber: 10138B20A	
	Trifluorotoluene-F	
5980195	91	
5980197	90	
5980199	117	
Blank	91	
LCS	110	
LCSD	111	
MS	129	
115	129	
Limits:	63-135	
Analysis 1	Name: TPH-DRO water C10-C28	
Batch numb	ber: 101350016A	
	Orthoterphenyl	
5980191	84	
5980193	78	
5980199	115	
Blank	94	
LCS	110	
MS	103	
MSD	103	
עמא	TOO	
Limits:	54-127	

\*- Outside of specification

- \*\*-This limit was used in the evaluation of the final result for the blank
- (1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
- (2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

# Chevron California Region Analysis Request/Chain of Custody

Lancaster Where quality is a	Labor	atories	1				Ac	xct. #:	<u>[]</u>	90	17	_ Sa	F ample	or L #: _	ancast 598	er Lab	orator	ies y	șe onl 1	y SCR#: _						
MT+GILLSO								Analyses Requested											]Grp#1194585							
Facility # FORMER CHEVEON 9-8341													F	res	ervatio	on Co	des					ve Code				
Site Address: 353	OHA	CARTHU	2 Ru	DALLAN	S. CA							8		171	-+	+	$\left  \right $		+	$H = HCI$ $N = HNO_3$		= Thios = NaOł				
									s			Clean		55						<b>S</b> = H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0	= Othe				
Consultant/Office:	-								inen			Gel (		C G A						J value re	-					
Consultant Prj. Mgr.:			-	•					onta	[ 8021		Silica Gel Cleanup		5	-					Dist meet possible for						
Consultant Phone #:		-			KG 8G99				of Containers	8260 🗙 8021 🗆	GRO			mbe, dide, etbe. Thme. To A						8021 MTBE	Confir	mation				
Sampler: <u>CHRIS B</u>		•						0	ber		g	JO OC		ates	74:					Confirm h	ighest	hit by 82	60			
Service Order #:			[] Nor	n SAR:				osite	Nur	MTBI	15 MC	15 MC	scan	Oxygenates	ପ ର					Confirm a		•				
Field		Repeat	Тор		Time	New	Grab	Composite	Total Number	BTEX + MTBE	TPH 8015 MOD	TPH 8015 MOD DRO	8260 full scan	Lι	-ead 7420					Run     Run	-	-	1			
Point Name		Sample		Year Month Day		Field Pt.		Ŭ	<u>F</u>	B	₽	₽	82	<b>У</b>		_	┝┈┝									
B-12-5	SOIL		5	10 5 11	1010		<u>  X</u>		1	$\mathbf{X}$			<u> </u>							Comments						
B-12-8	SOIL		8	10 5 11	1040		<u>الم</u>		 4	$\times$	X			$\times$			╞╌┠	_		HOLD: B-12-5 (son)						
B-12	WARE	ł		1051	1115		Ŕ.		8	X	X.	X		$\times$						- B-141-5 (SOIL)						
B-13-5	SOIL		5	10 5 11	1310		X		1	17	Ň			$\geq$		_				B-15-5 (Soil) B-16-5 (Soil)						
B-13 B-14-5	WATER			10511	1325		X	-	8		X	X		X			<b>├</b> ──┼			B-11	6-5	- (son	$\mathbf{X}$			
<u>B-14-5</u>	SOIL		5	10512	930		X		1	X	X			X						-	-		,			
B-14-7.5	SOIL		7.5	10512	1000		<u> X</u>		<u> </u>	$\mathbb{X}$	X			X			_+			-						
B-14	WATER			10512	1230		卜		6	X	Х			X			<b> </b>						·			
B-15-5	SOIL	<b>_</b>	5	10512	1150		X		1	X	$\mathbf{X}$			Ķ			┞			4						
B-16-5	2102		5	10512	1315		X		1	X	X		<b></b> ,	X			<b> </b>			4						
B-16-7.5	SOIL		7.5		1330		X		1	$\times$	X		ļ	K			┝─┼			4						
B-16	WATER			10512	1335		ㅅ	L	6	Ķ	X			Ķ		_				_						
B-15-7	5016		7	10512	1530		Х		4-	X	X	└╷╌	L	凶		<u> </u>				<u></u> ل						
Turnaround Time Rec	Relinduished	d by: - Brow	d	孑					Date		Time <b>130</b>	Rec	eived k	by:	/			Date	Time							
STD. TAT	72 hour		8 hour	۲ ۲	Relinquished	d by:				1		_	Date		Time	Rec	eived b		$t \sim t$			Date	Time			
24 hour	4 day	5	i day						$\square$																	
Data Package Options (please circle if required) Relinquished by:									/				Date		Time	Rec	eived b	p∳.				Date	Time			
OC Summary Type L Full														- 1					Data	Time						
Type VI (Raw Data) Coelt Deliverable not needed Relinquished by C															Date 1. (	Time G' への										
								Other     Othur       eceipt 1.8-4.8c°     Custody Seals Intact?										ntlone		14/10	9:00					
Disk	_				Temperature	e Upon Re	ceipt	1-5	5	<u> X</u>	,°					Cus	tody S	eals I	ntact?	Yes!	No					

Lancaster Laboratories, Inc., 2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 (717) 656-2300

3460 Rev. 10/04/01

Copies: White and yellow should accompany samples to Lancaster Laboratories. The pink copy should be retained by the client.

æ

n. F

# Chevron California Region Analysis Request/Chain of Custody

Kancaster Under Guality is a s	_abor	atories							Ac	cct. #	10	99	7	_ Sa	F ample	or L e #:	snca S92	ster I		atori	ŐČ	se or	nły 	SCR#:		
•••• Viriere quality is a s	iciente.			MT	"井)	(e 11(	50								Á	naly	ses	Req	uest	ed				Grp#1	1945	85
Facility #: FORMER CHEVEON 9-83241															F	res	ervat	ion	Cod	es			Ţ		ative Code	-
Site Address: 3530 MACALTHUR BLUD. DAWLAND, CA														đ				-	_		-	_		H = HCl N = HNO₃	T = Thios B = NaOH	
Chevron PM: Ros Spece Lead Consultant: CRA											s			Clean		180 1					ļ			<b>S</b> = H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	O = Other	
Consultant/Office: R	ANCHO	LORDE	vA								ainer	E 8260 X 8021 □		Gel		¥ s								J value repor	-	
Consultant Prj. Mgr.:				~ _							Sonts			] Silic		WBG, GTBX, TSA DIPE, ETBE, TME	-						ľ	Must meet lo Di Must meet lo Di Must meet lo		
Consultant Phone #: 9					916	444	8999				of C		GRO	р С		14 Br								8021 MTBE Co	nfirmation	
Sampler: CULIS						_	0(			e	nber		00	TPH 8015 MOD DRO	   _	E S	74							Confirm high		60
Service Order #:			_ No	n SAR:						posit	Nur	μ	015 M	015 M	ull sca	Dxyge	420							□ Confirm all h □ Run ox	-	st hit
Field Point Name	Matrix	Repeat		Voor	Vionth	Dav	Time Collected	New Field Pt	Grab	Composite	Total Number of Containers	BTEX + MTBE	TPH 8015 MOD	PH 8(	8260 full scan	M	-ead 7420							□ Run o×		
	WATEL		Depan	10			1545		ĬX	Ť	हि	X	Σ	Ī	<u> </u>	$\mathbf{\Sigma}$					-			Comments /	Remarks	
																			_							
									<b> </b>	<u> </u>					<b> </b>			-				_				
									-									_								
·····									t	<u>†</u>																1
												L										_				
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-															
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																		-				_				
									1																	
Turnaround Time Requested (TAT) (please circle)						Relinguisher	1 by: - R	-0	li	ł				Date		Time 730	F	Receiv	/ed b	y:		$\land$		Date	Time	
STD. TAT 72 hour 48 hour						ŀ	Relinquished by:						1		Date		Time			у:				Date	Time	
24 hour	4 day	5	i day									-/-		_							_/					
Data Package Options	(please o	circle if req	uired)				Relinquished	d by:				1			Date		Time	'	Receiv	ved b	y/				Date	Time
	/pe I – Fu ICoeit De		ot neede	ed		ŀ	Relinquished	i by Comn	nerci	al Ca	rrier:							5	Received by:						Date	Time
Type VI (Raw Data) Coelt Deliverable not needed UPS							UPS (	FedEx	/		ther_								Kate have 5/14/10					5/14/10	9:00	
Disk							Temperature	e Upon Re	ceipt		<u>.</u>	^	20						Custo	dy Se	als It	ntact	?	es No		

Lancaster Laboratories, Inc., 2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 (717) 656-2300 Copies: White and yellow should accompany samples to Lancaster Laboratories. The pink copy should be retained by the client. 3460 Rev: 10/04/01

# Lancaster Laboratories Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:

N.D. TNTC IU umhos/cm C Cal meq g ug	none detected Too Numerous To Count International Units micromhos/cm degrees Celsius (diet) calories milliequivalents gram(s) microgram(s) milliter(c)	BMQL MPN CP Units NTU F Ib. kg mg I	Below Minimum Quantitation Level Most Probable Number cobalt-chloroplatinate units nephelometric turbidity units degrees Fahrenheit pound(s) kilogram(s) milligram(s) liter(s)
ml m3	milliliter(s) cubic meter(s)	ul fib >5 um/ml	microliter(s) fibers greater than 5 microns in length per ml

 less than – The number following the sign is the <u>limit of quantitation</u>, the smallest amount of analyte which can be reliably determined using this specific test.

- > greater than
- ppm parts per million One ppm is equivalent to one milligram per kilogram (mg/kg), or one gram per million grams. For aqueous liquids, ppm is usually taken to be equivalent to milligrams per liter (mg/l), because one liter of water has a weight very close to a kilogram. For gases or vapors, one ppm is equivalent to one microliter of gas per liter of gas.
- ppb parts per billion

**Dry weight basis** Results printed under this heading have been adjusted for moisture content. This increases the analyte weight concentration to approximate the value present in a similar sample without moisture.

U.S. EPA data qualifiers:

# **Organic Qualifiers**

- **A** TIC is a possible aldol-condensation product
- **B** Analyte was also detected in the blank
- C Pesticide result confirmed by GC/MS
- **D** Compound quatitated on a diluted sample
- E Concentration exceeds the calibration range of the instrument
- J Estimated value
- **N** Presumptive evidence of a compound (TICs only)
- **P** Concentration difference between primary and confirmation columns >25%
- **U** Compound was not detected
- **X,Y,Z** Defined in case narrative

# **Inorganic Qualifiers**

- B Value is <CRDL, but ≥IDL
- **E** Estimated due to interference
- **M** Duplicate injection precision not met
- **N** Spike amount not within control limits
- S Method of standard additions (MSA) used for calculation
- U Compound was not detected
- W Post digestion spike out of control limits
- \* Duplicate analysis not within control limits
- + Correlation coefficient for MSA < 0.995

Analytical test results for methods listed on the laboratories' accreditation scope meet all requirements of NELAC unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY – In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL LANCASTER LABORATORIES BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWILL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF LANCASTER LABORATORIES AND (B) WHETHER LANCASTER LABORATORIES HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Lancaster Laboratories which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions of Lancaster Laboratories and we hereby object to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.