## RECEIVED

2:01 pm, Nov 13, 2007

Alameda County Environmental Health

Perjury Statement

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Ted Dang, President

<u>1/12/06</u> Date



November 5, 2007 Project: CA1264-7

Global ID: SL0609503209

Mr. Jerry Wickham Alameda County Health Care Services Agency 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, California 94502-6577

## Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Third Quarter 2007 Former Gas Station 2547 East 27th Street Oakland, California

Dear Mr. Wickham:

Ceres Associates is pleased to present this Third Quarter 2007, Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report, on behalf of Tomorrow Development for the former gas station at 2547 East 27th Street, Oakland, California ("Property"; *refer to Figure 1 - Property Location Map*). This report is being provided to the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA) as required by your letter, dated October 4, 2007.

## Background

The Property is currently undeveloped with a chain-link fence along the perimeter. Some concrete pieces, remnants of the former foundation, were observed on the Property. The Property is located amongst single and multiple family residences.

The Property was formerly developed with a fuel and service station between 1927 and 1994. In 1994, one 100-gallon waste oil underground storage tank (UST) and four 500-gallon gasoline USTs were removed from the Property. After the tanks were removed, the excavation pits were lined with visqueen plastic and backfilled with the excavated material.

Assessment of the Property began in 2002 by Kleinfelder, followed by additional sampling events both on and off-site by Ceres Associates in 2005 and 2006. Contaminated backfill material was identified as a potential source of subsurface contamination. A total of approximately 200 cubic yards of contaminated soil was excavated and removed from the Property and the site was backfilled with imported materials in late 2006 and early 2007. Copies of previous assessments can be found by contacting the ACHCSA.

The regulatory risk criteria utilized in this report are Environmental Screening Levels (ESLs) established by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) for residential sites where groundwater IS a potential or current drinking water source. The Property is slated for redeveloped with two residential structures.

## Geology and Hydrogeology

The soils on the Property consist of generally sandy gravel fill from the surface to four (4) feet below ground surface (bgs). From four (4) to twelve (12) feet bgs the soil appears to be fat and lean silty clays. Below twelve (12) feet the soil is generally gravel and sand with some clay. Off-site soils are generally consistent with on-site soils.

Groundwater has been encountered on the Property between approximately three and fourteen (14) feet bgs. Once encountered, groundwater appears to rise to within approximately three to five feet of the ground surface. The variable groundwater elevations across the Property suggest the possibility of a perched groundwater lense. Groundwater flow gradients have historically been to the east-southeast.

## Scope of Sampling

Ceres Associates conducted quarterly groundwater sampling activities of six monitoring wells on July 24, 2007: MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, and EX-1. Due to the lack of sufficient water, Ceres Associates was not able to collect a sample from MW-2 *(refer to Figure 2 – Third Quarter 2007 Quarterly Monitoring Results).* 

## Sampling Process

Ceres Associates measured the depth to water from the top of each well casing *(refer to Appendix for a copy of the Monitoring Well Data Forms)*.

As per the approved work plan, Ceres Associates employed a "low flow technique" to monitor the groundwater at the site. Polyethylene tubing was extended from the surface to the approximate mid-point of the screened interval of the well. The tubing was connected to a peristaltic pump, which pumped the groundwater to a flow-through multi-parameter cell devise. The water then flowed into additional tubing into a collection bucket to be transferred to the above mentioned 55-gallon drum for future disposal.

The wells were purged for at least five minutes at a rate of less than 1 liter per minute until the readings on the flow-through devise showed less than a 10% change for three consecutive minutes, for the following parameters: pH, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, and temperature. A sounding probe was used during the collection so that the pumping rate could be adjusted to assure that the well water depth remained stable. However, MW-2 was not able to supply enough water to allow for pumping for more than approximately six minutes. Thus, for this well only, the 10% change for three consecutive minutes parameter was not followed. For MW-2 the 40-milliliter glass vial



samples were collected during the first attempt after a five minute purge, then the one-liter amber bottle sample was collected approximately 90 minutes later, after a three minute purge.

All of the water samples were then collected in laboratory-cleaned 40-milliliter glass vials and oneliter amber bottles with Teflon-lined caps, and preserved with HCl. The samples were then placed into an ice-cooled chest for delivery to a State of California-certified analytical laboratory.

Decontamination was accomplished by discarding all the tubing and then washing the flow-through cell and sounding probe using a non-phosphate detergent followed by two freshwater rinses.

Groundwater generated during the sampling and decontamination processes was placed into an onsite 55-gallon drum, pending laboratory analysis for proper disposal.

Ceres Associates requested that the laboratory analyze the sample for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as gasoline (TPHg), as diesel (TPHd), and as motor oil (TPHmo) using US EPA method 8015C; for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) using US EPA Method 8021B; and for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) using US EPA Method 8260B [with special focus on 1,2-dibromoethane (EDB), ethylene dichloride (EDC), methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE), tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME), ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE), diisopropyl ether (DIPE), t-butyl alcohol (TBA), tetrachloride, ethylene dichloride, methylene chloride, tetrachloroethane, and trichloroethylene]. The sampling schematic changed since the previous quarterly monitoring event in compliance with a request made by the EHD in a letter dated April 26, 2007 (refer to Appendix – Regulatory Correspondence). Where analytes overlapped in methods, the higher result was reported herein.

## Results

During July 2007, the groundwater gradient ranged from east to south-southeast, with an overall trend toward the southeast *(refer to Figure 3 - Groundwater Contour Map)*.

Concentrations of toluene were reported in MW-1 at 0.5 micrograms per Liter ( $\mu$ g/L); in MW-3 at 0.67  $\mu$ g/L; and, in MW-4 at 0.66  $\mu$ g/L. Concentrations of TPHg were reported in EX-1 at 210  $\mu$ g/L. Concentrations of TPHd were reported in MW-1 at 170  $\mu$ g/L. Other target analytes were not reported above their respective laboratory reporting limits. The following table details the concentrations reported by the laboratory for samples submitted from this sampling event as well as historic values (no isoconcentration maps were generated for this data because there are insufficient data points for contouring).



## Discussion

## Petroleum Hydrocarbons

TPHg, TPHd, and TPHmo were not detected in samples collected from MW-1, MW-3, MW-4, or MW-5. This is consistent with the prior quarterly monitoring event at the Property.

Concentrations of TPHd in MW-2 have been sporadic, being reported above the method detection limit in half of the quarterly monitoring events. During the Third Quarter 2006 monitoring event TPHd was detected at 78  $\mu$ g/L and during the Second Quarter 2007 monitoring event TPHd was detected at 60  $\mu$ g/L. Both of these reported concentrations are below the Residential ESL for these compounds of 100  $\mu$ g/L. However, due to insufficient water, Ceres Associates was not able to take samples from this well during this quarterly monitoring event.

In groundwater monitoring well EX-1 concentrations of TPHg and TPHd have fluctuated over time. Further, concentrations of TPHmo have been reported above the method detection limit during only one quarter monitoring event, First Quarter 2007. The concentrations of TPHg and TPHd in groundwater monitoring well EX-1 have exceeded the Residential ESL of 100  $\mu$ g/L for these compounds since August 2006. The concentrations of these analytes peaked during First Quarter 2007 Monitoring, reporting 2,200  $\mu$ g/L of TPHg and 800  $\mu$ g/L of TPHd and have declined during the Second and Third Quarter 2007 monitoring events. The Third Quarter 2007 results for TPHg and TPHd were reported at 210  $\mu$ g/L and 170  $\mu$ g/L, respectively. Given the recent remedial efforts on-site, and given the proximity of this well to the remediation area, it is likely that the decreased concentrations are due to effective remedial efforts. Further, it is likely that future groundwater monitoring events will continue to show a decrease in constituents in the groundwater in this area.

## Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)

At the request of the ACHCSA, Ceres Associates requested VOC analysis using US EPA method 8260b. VOC analytes were not detected in those samples collected from MW-1, MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, or EX-1. This is consistent with historical monitoring events. Further, EDB, EDC, MTBE, TAME, ETBE, DIPE, and TBA were not detected above the method detection levels in all wells sampled. Chlorinated hydrocarbons carbon tetrachloride, ethylene dichloride, methylene chloride, tetrachloroethane, and trichloroethylene were also not detected above method detection levels in all wells sampled.

Benzene has only been reported in one well (EX-1) and in only one quarter (First Quarter 2007). However, concentrations of benzene were not reported during the current Third Quarter 2007 event above the method reporting limit of  $0.5 \ \mu g/L$ . During the current sampling, sec-butyl benzene (refer to full laboratory reports in the appendix) was reported at  $1.6 \ \mu g/L$ , isopropylbenzene at  $2.1 \ \mu g/L$ , and n-propyl benzene at  $1.4 \ \mu g/L$ . The reported levels of these compounds are all below the reported levels for the Second Quarter 2007. According to the laboratory these compounds are benzene rings with additional organic constituents, and are all common components of gasoline fuel.



The laboratory further noted that these constituents are not usually significant components of diesel or kerosene.

Concentrations of toluene above the method reporting limits in three wells, MW-1, MW-3, and MW-4, during the current Third Quarter 2007 event may be attributable to cross-contamination by on-site personnel or laboratory personnel. Toluene has not before been reported above the method reporting limits for groundwater sampling at the Property. Ceres Associates has taken additional precautions for the next quarterly groundwater monitoring event. It is not anticipated that these minor concentrations will adversely impact future residential occupants of the Property.

## Groundwater Contours around MW-4

Prior groundwater elevation contour maps indicated a depression around MW-4; however, this was not accurate. It does not appear that a depression has formed around MW-4, and without additional data points either south or east of MW-4, an actual depression can not be characterized. Unfortunately, the software used to create the contour around MW-4 made incorrect assumptions without valid data points. This has been corrected in the current groundwater elevation map, and we will continue to work with the program and valid data points to analyze the groundwater flow directions.

## **Conclusions and Recommendations**

Concentrations of TPHg and TPHd were detected in groundwater monitoring well EX-1 at concentrations above the residential ESL. Concentrations of these analytes were not reported in the other monitoring wells. These results indicated that the affected groundwater is localized in the area of well EX-1. Minor concentrations of VOCs (primarily ethylbenzene and toluene) have been associated with higher concentrations of TPH compounds detected in well EX-1. These gasoline constituent-component concentrations have continued to decrease over time, and are below the Residential ESLs. It appears that on-site remedial efforts have beneficially impacted the Property by reducing source material. We would anticipate continued downward trends of target analytes in EX-1.

Ceres Associates recommends conducting additional quarterly groundwater monitoring at the Property to assess the effectiveness of on-site remediation as well as general natural attenuation processes. The next quarterly groundwater monitoring event is scheduled for October 2007.



### Limitations

This report was prepared according to accepted industry standards and guidelines for similar activities conducted in this geographic region at this time. Any data supplied by others is not the responsibility of Ceres Associates.

If you have questions regarding this project please contact Ryan Meyer at (707) 748-3170 or via email at <u>ryanmeyer@ceresassociates.com</u>.

Prepared by: Ryan Meyer, REA Project Geologist, Project Manager GEO Reviewed by: BRAND ٩ No. 6658 1/08 Kims Exp. Kimberly Brandt, PG CHG Senior Associate Hydrogeologist



Appendix

Figures





A1264-7 1 Quarter 2007 Monitoring Results	2/th Street California Figure 2	-
es Former Gase	oline Station	]
er		
		/



Laboratory Data Sheets



Ceres Associates	Client Project ID: #CA1364-6	Date Sampled: 07/24/07
424 First Street		Date Received: 07/24/07
Denisis CA 04610	Client Contact: Ryan Meyer	Date Reported: 07/31/07
Benicia, CA 94510	Client P.O.:	Date Completed: 07/31/07

WorkOrder: 0707543

July 31, 2007

#### Dear Ryan:

Enclosed are:

1). the results of 5 analyzed samples from your **#CA1364-6 project**,

2). a QC report for the above samples

3). a copy of the chain of custody, and

4). a bill for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.

If you have any questions please contact me. McCampbell Analytical Laboratories strives for excellence

in quality, service and cost. Thank you for your business and I look forward to working with you again.

Best regards,

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

## McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

1534 Willow Pass Rd Bittshum, CA 04565 1701

## **CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD**

Page 1 of 1

(925) 252-9262	94565-1701 2					Work	Order:	0707	543	C	ClientIE	): CAB	5				
				EDF	C	Excel	[	Fax	Ε	Email		Hard	Сору	🗌 Thi	rdParty		
Report to:							Bill t						Red	queste	d TAT:	5 (	lays
Ryan MeyerErrCeres AssociatesTEL424 First StreetProBenicia, CA 94510PO			ryanmeyer@ceresassociates.com (707) 748-317 FAX: (707) 748-317 #CA1364-6					Chwania Mejia Ceres Associates 424 First Street Benicia, CA 94510 cmejia@ceresassociates.com			<i>Date Received</i> 07/24/2007 <i>Date Printed:</i> 07/24/2007 m			2007 2007			
									Requ	lested	Tests	(See leg	gend b	elow)			
Sample ID	ClientSampID		Matrix	<b>Collection Date</b>	Hold	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
0707543-001	EX-1		Water	7/24/2007	ТОТ	С	A	A	В								
0707543-002	MW-01		Water	7/24/2007		С	A		в								
0707543-003	MW-03		Water	7/24/2007	Π	С	A		В							<u> </u>	
0707543-004	MW-04		Water	7/24/2007	TO	С	A		B								
0707543-005	MW-05	-	Water	7/24/2007		Ċ	A		В								

Test Legend:

1 8260B W	2 G-MBTEX_W	3 PREDF REPORT	4 TPH(DMO)_W	5
6	7	8	9	10
11	12			

Prepared by: Chloe Lam

#### **Comments:**

NOTE: Samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made. Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.

1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269	.201 Miccallellenalytical, Inc.

.

## Sample Receipt Checklist

TTLC Metal - pH acceptable upon receipt (pH<2)?	səY		□ °N	N AN	
Sample labels checked for correct preservation?	səY		□ 9N		
Seelddud on \ eosqabaen ores even alsiv AOV - retsW	29Y		N □ 0N	D bettimdus slaiv AOV ov	
Container/Temp Blank temperature	Coolei	:dməT ı	J°4.11		
Semit gniblor nithiw bevieser selqmss IIA	SəY		□ 9N		
Sample Preser	noitev	oH bns (	l (TH) emiT b	noitemtota	
	60.1				
Steet heterihni tot amulov elomes treirittus	297				
Sample containers intact?	səY		□ °N		
Samples in proper containers/bottles?	səY		ON ON		
Shipping containet/cooler in good condition?	səY		0N		
Custody seals intact on shipping container/cooler?	səY			N AN	
₽ <u>S</u>	i əlqmı	<u>tqieseA</u>	<u>noitemtoin</u>		
Samplers name noted on COC?	səт				
	621	2 5			
SOOD as trail? without a citralies is a mit has sted	90V				
Sample IDs noted by Client on COC?	səY		D 9N		
Chain of custody agrees with sample labels?	səY		□ 9N		
Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received?	səY		□ °N		
Chain of custody present?	səY		□ 9N		
	en0 to	D) ybot	itemiotal (OC	σσ	
WorkOrder N°: 070543 Matrix Watel			Carrier:	<u>Derik Cartan (MAI Courier)</u>	
Project Name: #CC136-66			Checklis	st completed and reviewed by:	msJ eoldD
Client Name: Ceres Associates			bns elsO	Time Received: 7/24/2007	M9 74:20:8 7

Date contacted:

\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Contacted by:

Client contacted:

:sinemmoD

McCampbell Ar		1534 Willow Pa Web: www.mccampb Telephone: 82	ass Road, Pittsburg, CA cell.com E-mail: mair 77-252-9262 Fax: 92	4 94565-1701 @mccampbell.com 5-252-9269					
Ceres Associates	Client P	roject ID:	#CA	1364-6	Date Sampled:	07/24/07			
		<b>j</b>		Ţ	Date Received:	07/24/07	<u></u>		
424 First Street	Client (	Contact: F	Ryan N	Meyer	Date Extracted:	07/28/07			
Benicia, CA 94510	Client P	2.0.:			Date Analyzed	07/28/07			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Volatile Organ	nics by P&	zT and	d GC/MS (Basic Ta	rget List)*		_		
Extraction Method: SW5030B	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Analytical Me	thod:	SW8260B	· · · ·	Work Order: 0707	1543		
Lab ID				0707543	-001C				
Client ID				EX-	·L	_			
Matrix				Wate	er				
Compound	Concentration *	DF	leporting Limit	Compoun	d	Concentration *	DF	Reporting Limit	
Acetone	ND	1.0	10	Acrolein (Propenal)		ND	1.0	5.0	
Acrylonitrile	ND	1.0	2.0	tert-Amvl methyl et	her (TAME)	ND	1.0	0.5	
Benzene	ND	1.0	0.5	Bromobenzene		ND	1.0	0.5	
Bromochloromethane	ND ND		0.5	Bromodichlorometha	ane	ND ND	1.0	0.5	
2 Putenone (MEK)	ND	1.0	2.0	t Butul alcohol (TP)	• •	ND	1.0	<u> </u>	
n-Butyl benzene		1.0	0.5	sec-Butyl henzene			1.0	1 0 5	
tert-Butyl benzene	ND	1.0	0.5	Carbon Disulfide			1.0	0.5	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	1.0	0.5	Chlorobenzene		ND	1.0	0.5	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0 0.5 2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether				ND	1.0	1.0	
Chloroform	ND	ND 1.0 0.5 Chloromethane				ND	1.0	0.5	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND 1.0 0.5 4-Chlorotoluene					ND	1.0	0,5	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	1.0	0.5	1.2-Dibromo-3-chlo	ropropane	ND	1.0	0.5	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	1.0	0.5	Dibromomethane		ND	1,0	0.5	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0.5	1.3-Dichlorobenzene	<u>ND</u>	1,0	0.5		
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0.5	Dichlorodifluoromet	NDND	1.0	0.5		
1.1-Dichloroethane	NDND		0.5	1.2-Dichloroethane	ND	1.0	0.5		
I.I-Dichloroethene	ND		0.5	cis-1,2-Dichloroethe	:ne			0.5	
1 3-Dichloropropage	ND		0.5	2.2 Dichloropropane	•		1.0		
L }-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	05	cis-1 3-Dichloropropane		ND		0.2	
trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	0.5	Diisopropyl ether (D			1.0	0.5	
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	0.5	Ethyl tert-butyl ethe	r (ETRF)		1.0	0.5	
Freon 113	ND	1.0	10	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	0.5		
Hexachloroethane	ND	1.0	0,5	2-Hexanone		ND	1.0	0.5	
Isopropylbenzene	2.1	1.0	0.5	4-Isopropyl toluene		ND	1.0	0.5	
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND		0.5	Methylene chloride		ND	1.0	0.5	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND	1.0	0.5	Naphthalene		<u>ND</u>	1.0	0.5	
Nitrobenzene	<u>ND</u>	1.0	10	n-Propyl benzene	_	1.4	1.0	0.5	
Styrene	<u>ND</u>	1.0	0.5	1.1.1.2-Tetrachlorog	ethane	ND	1,0	0.5	
Taluana	ND	1.0	0.5	Tetrachloroethene		ND	1.0	0.5	
1 2 4-Trichlorohenzene			0,5	1.2.3-Trichlorobenzo	ene	ND	1.0	0.5	
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	ND		0.5	Trichloroethan	ne		1.0	$\frac{0.5}{0.5}$	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	1.0	0.5	1.2.3-Trichloroprop		9.1			
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	0.5	1.3.5-Trimethylbenz	rene		1.0	0.2	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	1.0	0.5	Xvlenes			10	0.5	
		Surrog	ate Re	coveries (%)					
%SS1:	11	6		%SS2:		0	5		
%SS3:							<u>v</u>		
Comments:						·			

\* water and vapor samples are reported in µg/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe samples in µg/wipe.

ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.

# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.



McCampbell A		1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269							
Cares Associates	Client P	roject ID:	#CA	1364-6 Da	te Sampled:	07/24/07			
					te Received:	07/24/07			
424 First Street	Client	Contact: E			Date Received. 07/24/07				
Benicia CA 94510	Client B		cyan r		te Apoluzad	07/28/07			
		.0				0//28/07			
Enterning Marked, CW/4020D	Volatile Organ	nics by P&	T and	d GC/MS (Basic Targe	t List)*	Work Order 0707	541		
Extraction Method. 3W 90905	<u>,</u>	-mary near time		0707542 007					
				0707343-002	<u>.</u>	. <u> </u>			
				Water					
	<u> </u>	IR	enorting	water				Reporting	
Compound	Concentration *	DF "	Limit	Compound		Concentration *	DF	Limit	
Acetone	ND	1.0	10	Acrolein (Propenal)		ND	1.0	5.0	
Acrylonitrile	ND	1.0	2.0	tert-Amyl methyl ether (	(TAME)	ND	1.0	0.5	
Benzene	ND	1.0	0.5	Bromobenzene		<u>ND</u>	1.0	0.5	
Bromochloromethane	ND		0.5	Bromodichloromethane		ND ND	1.0	0.5	
2 Butenone (MEK)			2.0	t Butyl alashal (TRA)		ND	1.0	5.0	
n-Butyl benzene			sec-Butyl henzene		ND	1.0	0.5		
tert-Butyl benzene		1.0	0.5	Carbon Disulfide		ND	1.0	0.5	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	1.0	0.5	Chlorobenzene		ND	1.0	0.5	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	0,5	2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ethe	er	ND	1.0	1.0	
Chloroform	ND 1.0 0.5 Chloromethane					ND	1.0	0.5	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND 1.0 0.5 4-Chlorotoluene					ND	1.0	0.5	
Dibromochloromethane	ND 1.0 0.5 1.2-Dibromo-3-chl				opane	ND	1.0	0.5	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	<u>ND</u>	1.0	0.5	Dibromomethane		ND	1.0	0.5	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0.5	1.3-Dichlorobenzene		<u>ND</u>	1.0	0.5	
1.4-Dichlorobenzene		1.0	0.5	Dichlorodifluoromethane	<u>e</u>	ND ND		0.5	
1.1-Dichloroethene	ND ND	1.0	0.5	cir. 1.2-Dichloroethane 11.2-	•D(A)		1.0	0.5	
trans_1 2-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0	0.5	1.2 Disbloropropune		ND	1.0	0.5	
1.3-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	0.5	2.2-Dichloropropane		ND ND	1.0	0.3	
1.1-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	0.5	cis-1.3-Dichloropropene	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ND	1.0	0.5	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	0.5	Dijsopropyl ether (DIPE		ND	1.0	0.5	
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	0.5	Ethyl tert-butyl ether (E	TBE)	ND	1.0	0.5	
Freon 113	ND	1.0	10	Hexachlorobutadiene		ND	1.0	.0.5	
Hexachloroethane	ND	1.0	0.5	2-Hexanone		ND	1.0	0.5	
Isopropylbenzene	ND	1.0	0.5	4-Isopropyl toluene		<u>ND</u>	1.0	0.5	
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	1.0	0.5	Methylene chloride		ND	1.0	0.5	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND	1.0	0.5	Naphthalene		<u>ND</u>	1.0	0.5	
Nitrobenzene	ND	1.0	10	n-Propyl benzene		ND	1.0	0.5	
Styrene	ND ND	1.0	0.5	1.1.1.2-Tetrachloroethar	ne	ND	1.0	0.5	
Toluene			0.5	Tetrachloroethene		ND	1.0	0.5	
1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene		10	0.5	1.1.1.Trichloropenzene		ND ND	1.0	0.5	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		1.0	0.5	Trichloroethene			1.0	0.2	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND		0.5	1.2.3-Trichloronronane		ND	1.0	0.5	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND 1.0 0.5 1.3.5-Trimethylbenzene ND 1.0							05	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	1.0	0.5	Xvlenes		ND	1.0	0.5	
		Surrog	ate Re	coveries (%)					
%\$\$1;	11	7		%\$\$2;		9	9	_	
%SS3:	9	6							
Comments									

\* water and vapor samples are reported in µg/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe samples in µg/wipe.

ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.

# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.



McCampbell Ar	<u>nc.</u>		1534 Willow Pas Web: www.mccampbo Telephone: 87	ss Road, Pittsburg, CA Ell.com E-mail: main 7-252-9262 Fax: 92	94565-1701 @mccampbell.com 5-252-9269				
Ceres Associates	Client F	Project ID:	#CA	1364-6	Date Sampled:	07/24/07			
				Γ	Date Received:	07/24/07			
424 First Street	Client	Contact: R	yan I	Meyer	Date Extracted:	07/28/07			
Benicia, CA 94510	Client F	<b>?</b> .O.:			Date Analyzed	07/28/07			
	Volatile Orgai	nics by P&	 T ano	d GC/MS (Basic Tai	rget List)*				
Extraction Method: SW5030B		Analytical Met	hod: :	SW8260B	_	Work Order: 0707	543		
Lab ID				0707543-	003C				
Client ID				MW-0					
		Re	porting	wate	<u>r</u>			Reporting	
Compound	Concentration *	DF	Limit	Compound		Concentration *	DF	Limit	
Acetone	ND	1.0	10	Acrolein (Propenal)	or (TAME)	ND ND	1.0	5,0	
Benzene	ND	1.0	<u>7.0</u> 0.5	Bromobenzene	er (IAME)	ND ND	1.0	0.5	
Bromochloromethane	ND	1.0	0.5	Bromodichlorometha	ne	ND	1.0	0.5	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	0.5	Bromomethane		ND	1.0	0.5	
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND	1.0	2.0	t-Buty] alcohol (TBA	)	ND	1.0	5.0	
n-Butyl benzene	ND	ND 1.0 0.5 sec-Butyl benzene				ND	1.0	0.5	
tert-Butyl benzene	ND	1.0	0.5	Carbon Disulfide		ND ND	1.0	0.5	
Chloroethane	ND ND	1.0	0.5	2 Chlorosthul Vinul	Ether	ND	1.0		
Chloroform	ND		0.5	Chloromethane	ether		1.0		
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0 0.5 4-Chlorotoluene				ND	1.0	$\frac{1}{0.5}$	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	1.0	0.5	1.2-Dibromo-3-chlor	opropane	ND	1.0	0.5	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	1.0	0,5	Dibromomethane		ND	1.0	0.5	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0.5	1.3-Dichlorobenzene		ND	1.0	0.5	
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0.5	Dichlorodifluorometh	ane	ND	1.0	0.5	
1.1-Dichloroethene	ND ND		0.5	1.2-Dichloroethane (	<u>1.2-DCA)</u>	ND		0.5	
trans+1.2-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0	0.5	1.2-Dichloropropage			1.0		
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	0.5	2.2-Dichloropropane			1.0	0.5	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	0.5	cis-1.3-Dichloroprop	ene	ND	1.0	0.5	
trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	0,5	Diisopropyl ether (D	<u>(PE)</u>	ND	1.0	0.5	
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	0.5	Ethyl tert-butyl ether	(ETBE)	ND	1.0	0.5	
Freon 113	ND		10	Hexachlorobutadiene		<u>ND</u>	1.0	0.5	
	ND	1.0	0.5	2-Hexanone		ND ND	1.0	0.5	
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	1.0	0.5	4-isopropyl toluene Methylene chloride			1.0	0.5	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND	1.0	0.5	Naphthalene			1.0	0.5	
Nitrobenzene	ND	1.0	10	n-Propyl benzene		ND	1.0	0.5	
Styrene	ND	1.0	0.5	1.1.1.2-Tetrachloroet	thane	ND	1.0	0.5	
1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	0.5	Tetrachloroethene		ND	1.0	0.5	
1 2 4 Trichland engage	0,60	1.0	0.5	1,2,3-Trichlorobenze	ne	ND		0.5	
1,2,4-menorobenzene		<u> </u>	0.5	Trickler and	<u> </u>	<u>ND.</u>	1.0	0.5	
Trichlorofluoromethane		1.0	0.5	1.2.3-Trichloropropa	ne		1.0	0.5	
1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	0.5	1,3,5-Trimethylbenze	ne		1.0	0.5	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	1.0	0.5	Xvlenes		ND	1.0	0.5	
		Surroga	te Re	coveries (%)					
%SS1:	11	4		%\$\$2;		10	)()		
<u>%\$\$3:</u>	9	6							
Comments:									

\* water and vapor samples are reported in μg/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe samples in μg/wipe.

ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.

# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.



McCampbell Analytical, Inc.				1534 Willow Pa Web: www.mccampbo Telephone: 87	ss Road, Pittsburg, CA cll.com E-mail: main 7-252-9262 <u>Fax: 92</u>	94565-1701 @mccampbell.com 5-252-9269			
Ceres Associates	Client P	roject ID:	#CA	1364-6	Date Sampled:	07/24/07			
				F	07/24/07				
424 First Street	Client C	Contact: H	Ryan N	Aeyer	Date Extracted: 07/28/07				
Benicia, CA 94510	Client P	.0.:			Date Analyzed	07/28/07			
	Volatile Organ	uics hy P&	T and	GC/MS (Basic Ta	rget List)*				
Extraction Method: SW5030B	volutile 016	Analytical Mo	thod:	SW8260B		Work Order: 0707	543		
Lab ID				0707543-	-004C				
Client ID				MW-0	04				
Matrix				Wate	:r				
Compound	Concentration *	DF	Reporting Limit	Compound	d	Concentration *	DF	Reporting Limit	
Acetone	ND	1.0	10	Acrolein (Propenal)		ND	1.0	5.0	
Acrylonitrile	ND	1.0	2.0	tert-Amyl methyl et	her (TAME)	ND	1.0	0.5	
Benzene	ND	1.0	0.5	Bromobenzene		ND	1.0	0.5	
Bromochloromethane	ND	1.0	0.5	Bromodichlorometha	inc	ND ND	1.0	0.5	
Bromoform	ND -		0.5	Bromomethane			1.0	5.0	
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND		2.0	t-Butyl alconol (1BA	<u>u</u>	ND	1.0	0.5	
n-Butyl benzene	ND		0.5	Carbon Disulfide			1.0	0.5	
Carbon Tetrachlaride		1.0	0.5	Chlorobenzene		ND	1.0	0.5	
Chlorosthane	ND	1.0	0.5	2-Chloroethyl Vinyl	Fther	ND	1.0	1.0	
Chloroform	ND	ND 1.0 0.5 Chloromethane				ND	1.0	0.5	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	ND 1.0 0.5 4-Chlorotoluene				ND	1.0	0.5	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	1.0	0.5	1.2-Dibromo-3-chlor	ropropane	ND	1.0	0.5	
1.2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	1.0	0.5	Dibromomethane		ND	1.0	0,5	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0,5	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	ND	1.0	_0.5	
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0.5	Dichlorodifluoromet	hane	ND	1.0	0.5	
1.1-Dichloroethane	ND	1.0	0.5	1.2-Dichloroethane (	(1.2-DCA)	ND	1.0	0.5	
1.1-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0	0.5	cis-1,2-Dichloroethe	ne	ND	1.0	0.5	
trans-1.2-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0	0.5	1.2-Dichloropropane	;	_ND	1.0	0.5	
1.3-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	0.5	2,2-Dichloropropane	<u> </u>	ND	1.0	0.5	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	0.5	cis-1,3-Dichloroprop	ene	ND	1.0	0.5	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	0.5	Diisopropyl ether (D	DIPE)	ND	1.0	0.5	
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	0.5	Ethyl tert-butyl ethe	r (ETBE)	ND ND		0.5	
Freon 113			10	Hexachlorobutadiene	:			0.2	
Hexachioroethane		1.0	0.5	4 Iconconvil toluene				0.5	
Nothvi-t-hutvi other (MTRE)	ND	1.0	0.5	Methylene chloride		ND	1.0	0.5	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)		10	0.5	Nanhthalene		ND	10	05	
Nitrobenzene		10	10	n-Propyl benzene		ND	10	0.5	
Styrene	ND	1.0	0.5	1.1.1.2-Tetrachloroe	ethane	ND	1.0	0.5	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1,0	0.5	Tetrachloroethene		ND	1.0	0.5	
Toluene	0.66	1,0	0.5	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzo	ene	ND	1.0	0.5	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0.5	1,1,1-Trichloroethar	ne	ND	1.0	0.5	
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	0.5	Trichloroethene		ND	1.0	0.5	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND ND	1.0	0.5	1.2.3-Trichloroprop	anc	ND	1.0	0.5	
1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	<u>ND</u>	1.0	0.5	1.3.5-Trimethylbenz	ene	ND	1.0	0.5	
LVinvl Chloride	I ND.		0.5	I Xvlenes		I ND	I I.O	1 0.5	
	T	Surro	gate R	ecoveries (%)		·····			
%SS1:	<u>                                      </u>	15		%\$\$ <u>\$</u> ;		1 1	00		
	<u> </u>	1		I					

#### Comments

\* water and vapor samples are reported in μg/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe samples in μg/wipe.

ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.

# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.



McCampbell An "When Ousling"	nalytical, Ir		1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269							
Ceres Associates	Client P	roject ID:	#CA	1364-6	Date Sampled:	07/24/07		-		
424 First Street				Date Received: 07/24/07						
424 First Street	Client (	Contact: R	lyan I	Meyer Date Extracted: 07/28/07						
Benicia, CA 94510	Client P	9.0.:			Date Analyzed	07/28/07				
	Volatile Organ	nics by P&	T and	d GC/MS (Basic Ta	rget List)*			_		
Extraction Method: SW5030B		Analytical Me	thod:	SW8260B		Work Order: 0707	543			
Lab ID				0707543	-005C					
Client ID				MW-	05					
Matrix				Wat	er					
Compound	Concentration *	DF <sup>R</sup>	eporting Limit	Сотроил	d	Concentration *	DF	Reporting Limit		
Acetone	ND	1.0	10	Acrolein (Propenal)		ND	1.0	5,0		
Acrylonitrile	ND	0.1	2.0	tert-Amvl methyl et	her (TAME)	ND	1.0	0.5		
Benzene	ND		0.5	Bromobenzene		ND ND	1.0	0.5		
Bromochloromethane	ND ND	-1.0	0.5	Bromodichlorometh	ane	ND ND	<u> </u>	0.5		
2-Butanone (MEK)			2.0	t Butul alcohol (TR)	A )		1.0	0.5		
n-Butyl benzene	ND	ND 1.0 2.0 t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)					1.0	2.0		
tert-Butyl benzene	ND	1.0	0.5	Carbon Disulfide		ND ND	1.0	0.5		
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	1.0	0.5	Chlorobenzene		ND	1.0	0.5		
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	0.5	2-Chloroethyl Vinvl	Ether	ND	1.0	1.0		
Chloroform	ND	1.0	0.5	Chloromethane		ND	1.0	0,5		
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	D 1.0 0.5 4-Chlorotoluene				ND	1.0	0.5		
Dibromochloromethane	ND	1.0	0.5	1.2-Dibromo-3-chlo	ropropane	ND ND	1.0	0.5		
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	$-\frac{1.0}{1.0}$	0.5	Dibromomethane		ND	<u> </u>	0.5		
1.2-Dichlorobenzene			0.5	1.3-Dichlorobenzene		<u> </u>	1.0	0.5		
1.1-Dichloroethane	ND	10	0.5	1.2 Dichloroethane	nanc			0.5		
1.1-Dichloroethene		1.0	0.5	cis-1.2-Dichloroethe			1.0	0.5		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0	0.5	1.2-Dichloropropane		ND	1.0	0.5		
1.3-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	0.5	2.2-Dichloropropane	2	ND	1.0	0.5		
1.1-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	0.5	cis-1,3-Dichloropror	sene	ND	1.0	0.5		
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	0.5	Diisopropyl ether (D	DIPE)	ND	1.0	0.5		
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	0.5	Ethyl tert-butyl ethe	r (ETBE)	ND	1.0	0.5		
Hencellandshare	ND ND		10	Hexachlorobutadiene	<u> </u>	ND	1.0	0.5		
Isopropylbenzene		1,0	0.5	2-Hexanone	÷	ND	1.0	0,5		
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBF)	ND	1.0	0.5	4-isopropyi ioiuene			1.0	0.5		
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)		1.0	0.5	Nanhthalene		ND	1.0	0.5		
Nitrobenzene	ND	1.0	10	n-Propyl benzene		ND ND	1.0	0.5		
Styrene	ND ND	1.0	0.5	1.1.1.2-Tetrachloroe	ethane	ND	1.0	0.5		
1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	0.5	Tetrachloroethene		ND	1.0	0.5		
Toluene	ND		0.5	1.2.3-Trichlorobenzo	ene	ND	0,1	0.5		
1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene		1.0	0.5	1,1,1-Trichloroethar	1e	ND	1.0	0.5		
Trichlorofluoromathane			0.5	Trichloroethene		<u>ND</u>	1.0	0,5		
1 2 4-Trimethylbonzana		ND         1.0         0.5         1.2.5-1 fichloropropane         ND         1.0         0           ND         1.0         0.5         1.2.5         Trimethylburger         ND         1.0         0								
Vinyl Chloride	ND ND		0.5	Xylenes	ene		1.0	0.5		
		Surrog	ite Re	coveries (%)		<u> </u>	1.0	<u> </u>		
%SS1:	11	<u></u>		%\$\$7.						
%SS3:	9	7								
0										

• water and vapor samples are reported in µg/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe samples in µg/wipe.

ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.

# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.



When Quality Counts"						1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269							
Ceres	Associates		Client Proj	ect ID: #	CA13	364-6		Date Sample	d: 07/24/07				
424 Fi	irst Street				Date Received: 07/24/07								
Client Contact: Ryan Meyer								Date Extract	ed: 07/27/07	-07/29	/07		
Denie			Client P.O.	:				Date Analyz	ed 07/27/07	-07/29	/07		
Extracti	Gasolin on method SW5030B	X and MTBE	* Work Order	: 070	7543								
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g)	MTB		Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	DF	% SS				
001A	EX-1	w	210,g,m	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	1	95		
002A	MW-01	w	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	1	93		
003A	MW-03	w	ND	ND		ND	0.67	ND	ND	1	95		
004A	MW-04	w	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	1	91		
005A	MW-05	w	ND	ND ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	1	92		
						-							
						<u> </u>							
											_		
<u> </u>													
Reg	corting Limit for DF =1;	w	50	5.0		0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	μg/L		
ND at	means not detected at or pove the reporting limit	S	NA	NA		NA	ΝΛ	NA	NA	1	mg/Kg		

\* water and vapor samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in ug/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, wipe samples in µg/wipe, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in mg/L.

# cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.

+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline?); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds having broad chromatographic peaks are significant; biologically altered gasoline?; e) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (stoddard solvent / mineral spirit?); f) one to a few isolated non-target peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen/product is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment; j) reporting limit raised due to high MTBE content; k) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (aviation gas). m) no recognizable pattern; n) TPH(g) range non-target isolated peaks subtracted out of the TPH(g) concentration at the client's request; p) see attached narrative.



	Campbell Analyti	cal, Inc.	1534 W Web: www.n Telep	illow I nccamp hone: 1	Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 9456 pbell.com E-mail: main@mcc 877-252-9262 Fax: 925- <u>252-</u>		I		
Ceres Associa	tes	Client Project	ID: #CA1364-6		Date Sampled: 07/2	24/07			
424 First Stree	t.				Date Received: 07/2	24/07			
Benicia CA 94	510	Client Conta	lient Contact: Ryan Meyer Date Extracted: 07/24/07						
Bennena, CA 94		Client P.O.:	Client P.O.: Date Analyzed 07/27/07-07/31/0						
Extraction method:	Diesel (C10-23) and Oil ( SW3510C	C18+) Range H Analytic	Extractable Hydrocarbor al methods: SW8015C	IS AS	Diesel and Motor Oil* Worl	k Order: 07	707543		
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(d)		TPH(mo)	DF	% SS		
0707543-001B	EX-1	w	170,k		ND	1	85		
0707543-002B	MW-01	w	ND		ND	1	102		
0707543-003B	MW-03	w	ND		ND	l	95		
0707543-004B	MW-04	w	ND		ND	I	119		
0707543-005B	MW-05	w	ND		ND	1	117		
					· <u> </u>				
					-				
							<u> </u>		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u> </u>		
				_					
Rep	Reporting Limit for DF =1; W				250	gų	:/L.		
ab	ove the reporting limit	S	NA		NA	mg	/Kg		

• water samples are reported in µg/L, wipe samples in µg/wipe, soil/solid/sludge samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in mg/L, and all DISTLC / STLC / SPLP / TCLP extracts are reported in µg/L.

# cluttered chromatogram resulting in coeluted surrogate and sample peaks, or; surrogate peak is on elevated baseline, or; surrogate has been diminished by dilution of original extract.

+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified diesel is significant; b) diesel range compounds are significant; no recognizable pattern; c) aged diesel? is significant); d) gasoline range compounds are significant; e) unknown medium boiling point pattern that does not appear to be derived from diesel; f) one to a few isolated peaks present; g) oil range compounds are significant (cooking oil?); h) lighter than water immiscible sheen/product is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment; k) kerosene/kerosene range; l) bunker oil range (?); no recognizable pattern; m) fuel oil; n) stoddard solvent/mineral spirits; p) see attached narrative.



"When Quality Counts"

## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8015C

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water

WorkOrder 0707543

EPA Method SW8015C Extraction SW3510C					BatchID: 29494 Spiked Sample ID: N/A					N/A		
Analyte	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	) LCS-LCSD Acceptance Criter			Criteria (%)	I.
	µg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD
TPH(d)	N/A	1000	N/A	N/A	N/A	90.3	92.4	2.32	N/A	N/A	70 - 130	30
%SS:	N/A	2500	N/A	N/A	N/A	101	104	2.95	N/A	N/A	70 - 130	30
All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE												

	BATCH 29494 SUMMARY									
Sample ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed			
0707543-001B	07/24/07	07/24/07	07/27/07 3:05 AM	0707543-002B	07/24/07	07/24/07	07/30/07 8:28 AM			
0707543-003B	07/24/07	07/24/07	07/31/07 6:56 AM	0707543-004B	07/24/07	07/24/07	07/28/07 2:48 PM			
0707543-005B	07/24/07	07/24/07	07/28/07 1:40 PM							

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 \* (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 \* (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.





"When Ouality Counts"

## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water

WorkOrder 0707543

EPA Method SW8260B	Extra	Extraction SW5030B				chID: 29	496	Sp	iked Samj	ole ID:	0707543-00	2C
Analuta	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acc	eptance	Criteria (%)	1
Analyte	µg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	10	94.8	97.8	3.10	98.9	107	7.54	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Benzene	ND	10	109	109	0	113	118	4.29	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	50	104	107	2.20	105	102	3.13	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Chlorobenzene	ND	10	108	106	1.90	123	116	5.95	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	10	91.7	90.4	1.51	87.9	97	9.84	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	10	105	104	1.80	102	104	1.90	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	10	107	107	0	110	111	0.968	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	10	104	103	0.916	107	115	7.31	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	10	95.9	94.1	1.86	99.4	108	8.47	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	10	93.9	94.6	0.690	93.1	103	9.77	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Toluene	ND	10	106	105	1.21	106	105	1.17	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Trichloroethene	ND	10	96.4	95.6	0.839	97.2	98.1	0.909	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%SS1:	118	10	114	115	0.875	117	105	10.9	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%SS2:	97	10	107	107	0	100	95	5.09	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%SS3:	93	10	89	88	1.59	89	95	6.01	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE												

#### BATCH 29496 SUMMARY

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
0707543-001C	07/24/07	07/28/07	07/28/07 10:03 PM	0707543-002C	07/24/07	07/28/07	07/28/07 4:44 PM
0707543-003C	07/24/07	07/28/07	07/28/07 5:28 PM	0707543-004C	07/24/07	07/28/07	07/28/07 6:13 PM
0707543-005C	07/24/07	07/28/07	07/28/07 6:57 PM				

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 \* (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 \* (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.





McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Ouality Counts"

## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8021B/8015Cm

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water

WorkOrder 0707543

EPA Method SW8021B/8015Cm	Extraction SW5030B				BatchID: 29509 Spiked Sample ID: 0707527-001E				1B			
<u>Analyte</u>	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD LCS LCSD LCS-LCSD Acceptance Crite				Criteria (%)	)		
	μg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD
TPH(btexf	ND	60	105	105	0	109	112	2.35	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
мтве	ND	10	88.4	89.1	0.885	116	101	13.3	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Benzene	ND	10	100	93.2	7.23	103	99.3	3.71	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Toluene	ND	10	105	97	8.15	116	110	5.43	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Ethylbenzene	ND	10	106	95.4	10.4	108	108	0	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Xylenes	ND	30	100	90.7	9.79	120	120	0	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%\$\$:	91	10	102	102	0	92	96	4.41	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE												

	BATCH 29509 SUMMARY										
Sample ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed				
0707543-001A	07/24/07	07/29/07	07/29/07 4:08 AM	0707543-002A	07/24/07	07/27/07	07/27/07 12:19 AM				
0707543-003A	07/24/07	07/27/07	07/27/07 1:25 AM	0707543-004A	07/24/07	07/29/07	07/29/07 1:55 AM				
0707543-005A	07/24/07	07/27/07	07/27/07 2:31 AM								

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 \* (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 \* (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

£ TPH(btex) = sum of BTEX areas from the FID.

# cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.



Monitoring Well Data Sheets

	res <sub>ciates</sub>		,	ID#:	MW-1					
Quarter	Da	te 7	24/	07	Sampler	30	(			
W	ell Details				Sampling	Details				
Depth to Water (init Well Diameter 2" Well Depth 12.3 Screened Interval Pumping Point	tial) Ч.i6' .名'			Start Time Stop Time Pump Rate Notes Analysis	I					
Time Depth	<u>Temp</u> (C)	<u>Conc</u> (mS/cr	n)	<u>DO</u> (mg/L)	<u>pH</u> (units)	ORP	<u>Turb</u>			
Q 4.57 <u><u><u></u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	22.87 23.52 23.61 73.70	1710 1663 1652 1646		5,87 2,45 2,37 2,35	9,46 9,65 9,62 9,59	162 83 81 80	3.4			

- both screws missing

9	Ce Asso	ciates		( /	ID#:	MW-	2				
Quarter			Date 7	त्प	07	Sampler	JW				
	V	Vell Detai	ls		Sampling Details						
Depth to Well Diar Well Dep Screened Pumping	Depth to Water (initial) は. Sの <sup>1</sup> Well Diameter ぇ <sup>#</sup> Well Depth 6.55 <sup>1</sup> Screened Interval Pumping Point Wate				Start Time Stop Time Pump Rate Notes Analysis	over	evA				
Time	<u>Depth</u>	Temp (C)	Wate <u>Con</u> (mS/c	er Qualit d m)	ty Data <u>DO</u> (mg//_)	<u>pH</u> (units)	ORP	<u>Turb</u>			
				- +			-				
				$\square$							
			$- \times$			_					
				<u> </u>	-						
		$\vdash$									
					$\mathbf{\Sigma}$						
		<u>/</u>									
Notes:		·		m	seffice	of ha	Aen				

· .

•

SLIEW Missine

•

.

Ceres Associates		ю#: (Л	1w-?	, >						
Quarter	Date 771	07	Sampler							
Well Deta	ils	S	ampling	Details						
Depth to Water (initial) 4.40' Start Time Well Diameter 1.4 Stop Time Well Depth 14.11' Pump Rate Screened Interval Notes Pumping Point Analysis										
[	Weter Quelity Dete									
$\begin{array}{c c} \underline{\text{Time}} & \underline{\text{Depth}} & \underline{\text{Temp}} \\ \hline \\ \underline{\text{C}} & \underline{\text{C}} \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array}$	<u>Cond</u> (mS/cm)	<u>DO</u> (mg/L)	<u>pH</u> (units)	<u>ORP</u>	<u>Turb</u>					
0 4,41 22,87	1.20	5.92	7.15	143	39.1					
5 4.42 3.57	1,22	3.19	6.38	185	39.2					
6 4.47 72.41	1.22	2.98	6.35	184	44.4					
<u><u>+</u> <u>4.47</u> <u>2636</u></u>		226	6152	183	42.0					
		<u> </u>		182						
			ļ		ļ					
	+									

.

-

.

	<b>Ces</b> ciates	ID#: MW-04							
Quarter	Date	= 7-12	467	Sampler	30				
We	ell Details		5	Sampling	Details				
Depth to Water (initi Well Diameter 2" Well Depth (は、つり Screened Interval Pumping Point	al) ų.₂⁊≇ ,	Start Time Stop Time Pump Rate Notes Analysis							
Water Quality Data									
<u>Time Depth</u> ר (ווז	<u>Temp</u> (C)	Cond (mS/cm)	<u>DO</u> (mg/L)	<u>pH</u> (units)	<u>ORP</u>	<u>Turb</u>			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21.99	780 785 784 1784		6,10 5.45 5.44 5.44	207- 145 144 193	5.0 4.6 21.7 5.4			

~ 1/2 above top of pine Water

j.

	$B_{s}$ ID#: $MW-5$									
Quarter	Date 724	07	Sampler	JW						
Weil Det	ails	S	ampling	Details						
Depth to Water (initial) Well Diameter 2" Well Depth 14171 Screened Interval Pumping Point	3581 3,37	Start Time Stop Time Pump Rate Notes Analysis	ju	ust						
	Water Quality Data									
Time Depth Temp (C)	<u>Cond</u> (mS/cm)	<u>DO</u> (mg/L)	<u>pH</u> (units)	<u>ORP</u>	<u>Turb</u>					
0 3.5 71.11	7.54	4.26	5,38	209	14.0					
5 3.90 21.09	755	2.27	5.83	197	3.8					
6 3.88 71.24	754	2.20	5,83	195	2.5					
1 3.93 (1.3)	<u>, 754</u>	<u> </u>	7,84	145	7.5					
G		<u> </u>								
	<u> </u>									
			ļ							

.

	S Sorry	ID#: <sub>t</sub> A	Att Ex-	-1	
Quarter	Date 777	107	Sampler Ju		
Well Details		l s	Sampling Details		
Depth to Water (initial) 4,41' Well Diameter 4'' Well Depth 14,8' Screened Interval Pumping Point		Start Time Stop Time Pump Rate Notes	11:(150	4	
· ···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Analysis	•		
Time Depth Tem (C)	Water Qua <u>p Cond</u> (mS/cm)	ality Data <u>DO</u> (mg/L)	<u>pH</u> OR (units)	<u>P Turb</u>	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8	$-\frac{1.68}{4.51}$ $-\frac{7.45}{7.45}$ $-\frac{7.33}{2.31}$ $-\frac{2.31}{2.31}$	730 Hit 5,90 - 57 5,97 - 80 5,98 - 82 6,00 - 8 6,00 - 8 6,00 - 8		

3**7**. A

Notes:

Stylet petrol odn New

7,1

**Other Documents** 

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES



DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

AGENCY

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

October 4, 2007

Mr. Ted Dang Tomorrow Development Co., Inc. 1305 Franklin Street, #500 Oakland, CA 94612

Mr. John Thorpe 21790 Hesperian Blvd. Hayward, CA 94541-7003

Subject: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000396 and Geotracker Global ID T0600102124, Former Service Station, 2547 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, Oakland, CA 94601

Dear Mr. Dang and Mr. Thorpe:

Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the fuel leak case file for the above-referenced site, including the reports entitled, "Revised Soil Excavation Report," dated August 31, 2007 (received by ACEH on September 4, 2007) and "Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring, Second Quarter 2007," dated July 7, 2007. The Revised Soil Excavation Report presents the results from soil excavation, confirmation soil sampling, and soil disposal activities conducted between November 2006 and January 22, 2007. The report was revised from a previous February 13, 2007 version in order to address technical deficiencies, collect missing data, and correct omissions in reporting.

Approximately 396 tons of contaminated soil was excavated and removed from the property. The excavations were reported to extend to depths of 9.5 feet bgs. Residual soil contamination with concentrations of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as gasoline that exceeded the target cleanup goal of 100 milligrams per kilogram were left in place along the north and west walls of excavation area 1. The Revised Soil Excavation Report indicated that the excavation could not be extended laterally in these areas due to site constraints.

Due to the residual contamination left in place, ineffectiveness of screening during excavation and resulting uncertainties regarding confirmation soil samples as discussed in technical comment 6, detection of benzene at an elevated concentration in soil during tank removal, and the locations of planned residences, we request that you conduct soil vapor sampling to confirm the apparent results of soil and groundwater sampling at the site. We request that you **submit a Work Plan for soil vapor sampling as requested in technical comment 9 by November 9, 2007**.

We request that you address the following technical comments, perform the proposed work, and send us the reports described below.

#### **TECHNICAL COMMENTS**

- 1. Extent of Excavations on Figures 5 and 6. Although we have previously commented on inconsistencies in the locations of the former August 1994 soil excavations on Ceres Associates maps, we note that the "Extent of Former Soil Excavation," shown on Figures 5 and 6 of the Revised Soil Excavation Report and Figure 2 of the Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring, Second Quarter 2007 (shows a green pattern on the figure with no label or note in the legend) are not consistent with the extent of excavation shown in the Kleinfelder investigation report dated August 22, 2002 and the Aqua Science Engineers report on the tank removal report dated September 15, 1994. However, Figure 2 of the Revised Soil Excavation Report by Ceres Associates shows the previous UST locations in the same locations as Kleinfelder investigation report dated August 22, 2002 and the Aqua Science Engineers report on the tank removal report dated September 15, 1994. The locations of the former USTs and former excavations must be shown accurately and consistently on site figures. In future reports, please show the extent of the recent excavation conducted between November 2006 and January 22, 2007, an accurate location of the previous USTs and dispensers, and an accurate extent of the 1994 soil excavation to be consistent with the Excavation Area shown in the Kleinfelder investigation report dated August 22, 2002 and the Aqua Science Engineers report on the tank removal report dated September 15, 1994.
- 2. Recommendations on Page 4. The subsection that describes "Recommendations," from the Aqua Science Engineers 1994 report (3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph, page 4) requires some editing if this section is to be used in future reports.
- 3. Soil Excavation and Confirmation Sampling. The second paragraph on page 11 of the Ceres Associates, "Revised Soil Excavation Report," which discusses ACEH requests regarding the excavations, does not accurately represent comments and directives in ACEH correspondence. The item shown in quotes is taken out of context and is actually not from ACEH correspondence dated May 18, 2006 as indicated but instead is from ACEH correspondence dated August 4, 2006. In previous correspondence, ACEH has requested that contaminated backfill and residual soil contamination in the surrounding areas be removed as the first remedial action for the site; our requests have not been limited to removal of contaminated backfill.
- 4. Depth of Excavation. We note that the depth of excavation is reported as 9.5 feet bgs in each of the excavation areas on page 12 of the Revised Soil Excavation Report dated August 31, 2007. The depth of excavation was previously reported as 8.5 to 9 feet bgs in the Soil Excavation Report dated February 13, 2007. In the Soil Vapor Work Plan requested below, please confirm that the depth of excavation was 9.5 feet bgs.
- 5. Imported Fill. The Revised Soil Excavation Report indicates that the lower three feet of backfill consisted of quarry fines supplied by Curtner Quarry. The use of the quarry fines as base rock is acceptable and no further documentation is required. The upper portion of fill is described as, "clean fill soil from undeveloped land." Please provide further information regarding the upper backfill to ensure that the material is appropriate for residential land use. Guidance from the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) is provided as Attachment A.

- 6. Confirmation Soil Samples. As shown on Table 7 of the Revised Soil Excavation Report. all confirmation soil samples were uniformly collected at a depth of 9 feet bgs. Soil screening during excavation does not appear to have been effective and was apparently not used to identify contaminated soil. Although the confirmation soil samples submitted for laboratory analyses contained up to 600 milligrams per kilogram of TPH as gasoline, the PID readings for all confirmation soil samples were zero. All PID readings appear to have been zero during excavation except two readings from the bottom of Excavation I. Due to the fact that screening was not effective and all confirmation soil samples were collected at a uniform depth, it is uncertain as to whether the confirmation soil samples were collected from optimal locations and depths to define the extent of contamination. The additional soil samples collected in borings CS-1 through CS-10 provide additional data outside the excavations at depths of 5 and 10 feet bgs. However, we note that screening of soil samples in these borings also did not appear to be effective and was not used to select soil samples for laboratory analyses. For borings CS-1, CS-4, and CS-5, the highest PID readings were from soil samples collected at 2.5 feet bgs. However, soil samples from depths of 5 and 10 feet bas in these borings were submitted for laboratory analyses.
- 7. Tables. Tables 8 and 10 are missing numerous data points. As an example, only one sample on Table 10 has a value for TPHg even though all 13 samples were analyzed for TPHg. Please correct these tables in future documents.
- 8. Soil Manifests. The Non-Hazardous Waste Manifests in the Appendix labeled, "Other Documents" has a notation for "TPH and Metals Impacted Soils," in the Special Handling Instructions. The Revised Soil Excavation Report does not discuss elevated concentrations of metals in soils. In the Soil Vapor Sampling Work Plan requested below, please clarify the reason for the notation regarding metals impacts to soils on the soil manifests and present any metals data in addition to the composite stockpile sample data on page 13 that may indicate elevated concentrations of metals in the soil that was disposed off site.
- 9. Soil Vapor Sampling. Based on the residual contamination left in place, uncertainties regarding the confirmation soil samples as discussed in technical comment 6, detection of benzene at an elevated concentration in soil during tank removal, and the outline of residential development, we request that you conduct soil vapor sampling to confirm the apparent results of soil and groundwater sampling at the site. Soil vapor samples are to be collected from two locations within the footprints of each of the two planned residences. Please refer to the January 28, 2003 DTSC/RWQCB-LAR Advisory Active Soil Gas Investigations and the December 15, 2004 DTSC Guidance for the Evaluation and Mitigation of Subsurface Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air to help plan the soil vapor investigation. Please present your plans for soil vapor sampling in the Soil Vapor Sampling Work Plan requested below.
- 10. Groundwater Monitoring. We concur with the proposal to continue quarterly groundwater monitoring using each of the five existing monitoring wells. The groundwater samples are to be analyzed for TPH as gasoline, BTEX, and MTBE using EPA Method SW8021B/8015C and TPH as diesel using EPA Method 8015. Based on the results from groundwater sampling conducted in April 2007, continued analyses for chlorinated hydrocarbons, EDB and

EDC, and fuel oxygenates (TAME, ETBE, DIPE, and TBE) is not required. Please present results from quarterly groundwater sampling in the Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Reports requested below.

11. Groundwater Elevation Map. Groundwater elevations must be posted for each well on Groundwater Elevation Maps. Showing only contours on Figure 3 of the Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring, Second Quarter 2007 without posting data is not acceptable. Figure 3 shows a closed depression surrounding well MW-4, which requires that groundwater is being extracted or leaking into utilities in this area. In the Quarterly Monitoring Report for Third Quarter 2007, please discuss your basis for concluding that there is a groundwater depression in the area of well MW-4.

#### TECHNICAL REPORT REQUEST

Please submit technical reports to Alameda County Environmental Health (Attention: Jerry Wickham), according to the following schedule:

- November 9, 2007 Soil Vapor Sampling Work Plan
- November 10, 2007 Quarterly Monitoring Report for Third Quarter 2007
- February 10, 2008 Quarterly Monitoring Report for Fourth Charter 2007

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654, and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

#### ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS

The Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of all reports in electronic form to the county's ftp site. Paper copies of reports will no longer be accepted. The electronic copy replaces the paper copy and will be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities. Instructions for submission of electronic documents to the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Program ftp site are provided on the attached "Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions." Please do not submit reports as attachments to electronic mail.

Submission of reports to the Alameda County ftp site is an addition to existing requirements for electronic submittal of information to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Geotracker website. Submission of reports to the Geotracker website does not fulfill the requirement to submit documents to the Alameda County ftp site. In September 2004, the SWRCB adopted regulations that require electronic submittal of information for groundwater cleanup programs. For several years, responsible parties for cleanup of leaks from underground storage tanks (USTs) have been required to submit groundwater analytical data, surveyed locations of monitor wells, and <u>other</u> data to the Geotracker database over the Internet. Beginning July 1, 2005, electronic submittal of a complete copy of all necessary reports was

required in Geotracker (in PDF format). Please visit the SWRCB website for more information on these requirements (<u>http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/ust/cleanup/electronic\_reporting</u>).

#### PERJURY STATEMENT

All work plans, technical reports, or technical documents submitted to ACEH must be accompanied by a cover letter from the responsible party that states, at a minimum, the following: "I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge." This letter must be signed by an officer or legally authorized representative of your company. Please include a cover letter satisfying these requirements with all future reports and technical documents submitted for this fuel leak case.

#### PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION & CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The California Business and Professions Code (Sections 6735, 6835, and 7835.1) requires that work plans and technical or implementation reports containing geologic or engineering evaluations and/or judgments be performed under the direction of an appropriately registered or certified professional. For your submittal to be considered a valid technical report, you are to present site specific data, data interpretations, and recommendations prepared by an appropriately licensed professional and include the professional registration stamp, signature, and statement of professional certification. Please ensure all that all technical reports submitted for this fuel leak case meet this requirement.

#### UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLEANUP FUND

Please note that delays in investigation, later reports, or enforcement actions may result in your becoming ineligible to receive grant money from the state's Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Senate Bill 2004) to reimburse you for the cost of cleanup.

#### AGENCY OVERSIGHT

If it appears as though significant delays are occurring or reports are not submitted as requested, we will consider referring your case to the Regional Board or other appropriate agency, including the County District Attorney, for possible enforcement actions. California Health and Safety Code, Section 25299.76 authorizes enforcement including administrative action or monetary penalties of up to \$10,000 per day for each day of violation.

If you have any questions, please call me at (510) 567-6791.

`

Sincerely,

enre

Jerry Wickham Hazardous Materials Specialist

Attachment: Information Advisory, Clean Imported Fill Material, DTSC

Enclosure: ACEH Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions

cc: Ryan Meyer Ceres Associates 424 First Street Benicia, CA 94510

> Kimberly Brandt Ceres Associates 424 First Street Benicia, CA 94510

Pat Preslar State Water Resources Control Board Division of Financial Assistance P.O. Box 944212 Sacramento, CA 94244-2120

Donna Drogos, ACEH Jerry Wickham, ACEH File

Affachment

# Information Advisory Clean Imported Fill Material

October 2001



### **Executive Summary**

This fact sheet has been prepared to ensure that inappropriate fill material is not introduced onto sensitive land use properties under the oversight of the DTSC or applicable regulatory authorities. Sensitive land use properties include those that contain facilities such as hospitals, homes, day care centers, and schools. This document only focuses on human health concerns and ecological issues are not addressed. It identifies those types of land use activities that may be appropriate when determining whether a site may be used as a fill material source area. It also provides guidelines for the appropriate types of analyses that should be performed relative to the former land use, and for the number of samples that should be collected and analyzed based on the estimated volume of fill material that will need to be used. The information provided in this fact sheet is not regulatory in nature, rather is to be used as a guide, and in most situations the final decision as to the acceptability of fill material for a sensitive land use property is made on a case-by-case basis by the appropriate regulatory agency.

EPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES

## Introduction

The use of imported fill material has recently come under scrutiny because of the instances where contaminated soil has been brought onto an otherwise clean site. However, there are currently no established standards in the statutes or regulations that address environmental requirements for imported fill material. Therefore, the California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) has prepared this fact sheet to identify procedures that can be used to minimize the possibility of introducing contaminated soil onto a site that requires imported fill material. Such sites include those that are undergoing site remediation, corrective action, and closure activities overseen by DTSC or the appropriate regulatory agency. These procedures may also apply to construction projects that will result in sensitive land uses. The intent of this fact sheet is to protect people who live on or otherwise use a sensitive land use property. By using this fact sheet as a guide, the reader will minimize the chance of introducing fill material that may result in potential risk to human health or the environment at some future time.

The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption. For a list of simple ways you can reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see our website at <u>www.dtsc.ca.gov</u>.

It is DTSC's mission to restore, protect and enhance the environment, to ensure public health. environmental quality and economic vitality, by regulating hazardous waste. conducting and overseeing cleanups, and developing and promoting pollution prevention. y alle

State of California



California Environmental Protection Agency

## Overview

Both natural and manmade fill materials are used for a variety of purposes. Fill material properties are commonly controlled to meet the necessary site specific engineering specifications. Because most sites requiring fill material are located in or near urban areas, the fill materials are often obtained from construction projects that generate an excess of soil, and from demolition debris (asphalt, broken concrete, etc.). However, materials from those types of sites may or may not be appropriate, depending on the proposed use of the fill, and the quality of the assessment and/or mitigation measures, if necessary. Therefore, unless material from construction projects can be demonstrated to be free of contamination and/or appropriate for the proposed use. the use of that material as fill should be avoided.

## Selecting Fill Material

In general, the fill source area should be located in nonindustrial areas, and not from sites undergoing an environmental cleanup. Nonindustrial sites include those that were previously undeveloped, or used solely for residential or agricultural purposes. If the source is from an agricultural area, care should be taken to insure that the fill does not include former agricultural waste process byproducts such as manure or other decomposed organic material. Undesirable sources of fill material include industrial and/or commercial sites where hazardous ma-

## Potential Contaminants Based on the Fill Source Area

#### **Fill Source:**

Land near to an existing freeway

Land near a mining area or rock quarry

Agricultural land

Residential/acceptable commercial land

## Target Compounds

Lead (EPA methods 6010B or 7471A), PAHs (EPA method 8310)

Heavy Metals (EPA methods 6010B and 7471A), asbestos (polarized light microscopy), pH

Pesticides (Organochlorine Pesticides: EPA method 8081A or 8080A; Organophosphorus Pesticides: EPA method 8141A; Chlorinated Herbicides: EPA method 8151A), heavy metals (EPA methods 6010B and 7471A)

VOCs (EPA method 8021 or 8260B, as appropriate and combined with collection by EPA Method 5035), semi-VOCs (EPA method 8270C), TPH (modified EPA method 8015), PCBs (EPA method 8082 or 8080A), heavy metals including lead (EPA methods 6010B and 7471A), asbestos (OSHA Method ID-191)

\*The recommended analyses should be performed in accordance with USEPA SW-846 methods (1996). Other possible analyses include Hexavalent Chromium: EPA method 7199

Recommended Fill Material Sampling Schedule			
Area of Individual Borrow Area	Sampling Requirements		
2 acres or less	Minimum of 4 samples		
2 to 4 acres	Minimum of 1 sample every 1/2 acre		
4 to 10 acres	Minimum of 8 samples		
Greater than 10 acres	Minimum of 8 locations with 4 subsamples per location		
	por location		
Volume of Borrow Area Stockpile	Samples per Volume		
Volume of Borrow Area Stockpile Up to 1,000 cubic yards	Samples per Volume         1 sample per 250 cubic yards		
Volume of Borrow Area Stockpile Up to 1,000 cubic yards 1,000 to 5,000 cubic yards	Samples per Volume 1 sample per 250 cubic yards 4 samples for first 1000 cubic yards +1 sample per each additional 500 cubic yards		

terials were used, handled or stored as part of the business operations, or unpaved parking areas where petroleum hydrocarbons could have been spilled or leaked into the soil. Undesirable commercial sites include former gasoline service stations, retail strip malls that contained dry cleaners or photographic processing facilities, paint stores, auto repair and/or painting facilities. Undesirable industrial facilities include metal processing shops, manufacturing facilities, aerospace facilities, oil refineries, waste treatment plants, etc. Alternatives to using fill from construction sites include the use of fill material obtained from a commercial supplier of fill material or from soil pits in rural or suburban areas. However, care should be taken to ensure that those materials are also uncontaminated.

## **Documentation and Analysis**

In order to minimize the potential of introducing contaminated fill material onto a site, it is necessary

to verify through documentation that the fill source is appropriate and/or to have the fill material analyzed for potential contaminants based on the location and history of the source area. Fill documentation should include detailed information on the previous use of the land from where the fill is taken. whether an environmental site assessment was performed and its findings, and the results of any testing performed. It is recommended that any such documentation should be signed by an appropriately licensed (CA-registered) individual. If such documentation is not available or is inadequate, samples of the fill material should be chemically analyzed. Analysis of the fill material should be based on the source of the fill and knowledge of the prior land use.

Detectable amounts of compounds of concern within the fill material should be evaluated for risk in accordance with the DTSC Preliminary Endangerment Assessment (PEA) Guidance Manual. If metal analyses are performed, only those metals (CAM 17 / Title 22) to which risk levels have been assigned need to be evaluated. At present, the DTSC is working to establish California Screening Levels (CSL) to determine whether some compounds of concern pose a risk. Until such time as these CSL values are established. DTSC recommends that the DTSC PEA Guidance Manual or an equivalent process be referenced. This guidance may include the Regional Water Quality Control Board's (RWQCB) guidelines for reuse of non-hazardous petroleum hydrocarbon contaminated soil as applied to Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) only. The RWQCB guidelines should not be used for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) or semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCS). In addition, a standard laboratory data package, including a summary of the QA/QC (Quality Assurance/Quality Control) sample results should also accompany all analytical reports.

When possible, representative samples should be collected at the borrow area while the potential fill material is still in place, and analyzed prior to removal from the borrow area. In addition to performing the appropriate analyses of the fill material, are appropriate number of samples should also be determined based on the approximate volume or area of soil to be used as fill material. The table above can be used as a guide to determine the number of samples needed to adequately characterize the fill material when sampled at the borrow site.

## **Alternative Sampling**

A Phase I or PEA may be conducted prior to sampling to determine whether the borrow area may have been impacted by previous activities on the property. After the property has been evaluated, any sampling that may be required can be determined during a meeting with DTSC or appropriate regulatory agency. However, if it is not possible to analyze the fill material at the borrow area or determine that it is appropriate for use via a Phase I or PEA, it is recommended that one (1) sample per truckload be collected and analyzed for all compounds of concern to ensure that the imported soil is uncontaminated and acceptable. (See chart on Potential Contaminants Based on the Fill Source Area for appropriate analyses). This sampling frequency may be modified upon consultation with the DTSC or appropriate regulatory agency if all of the fill material is derived from a common borrow area. However, fill material that is not characterized at the borrow area will need to be stockpiled either on or off-site until the analyses have been completed. In addition, should contaminants exceeding acceptance criteria be identified in the stockpiled fill material, that material will be deemed unacceptable and new fill material will need to be obtained, sampled and analyzed. Therefore, the DTSC recommends that all sampling and analyses should be completed prior to delivery to the site to ensure the soil is free of contamination, and to eliminate unnecessary transportation charges for unacceptable fill material.

Composite sampling for fill material characterization may or may not be appropriate, depending on quality and homogeneity of source/borrow area, and compounds of concern. Compositing samples for volatile and semivolatile constituents is <u>no</u>, accept able. Composite sampling for heavy metals, pesticides, herbicides or PAH's from unanalyzed stockpiled soil is also unacceptable, unless it is stockpiled at the borrow area and originates from the same source area. In addition, if samples are composited, they should be from the same soil layer, and not from different soil layers.

When very large volumes of fill material are anticipated, or when larger areas are being considered as borrow areas, the DTSC recommends that a Phase I or PEA be conducted on the area to ensure that the borrow area has not been impacted by previous activities on the property. After the property has been evaluated, any sampling that may be required can be determined during a meeting with the DTSC.

For further information, call Richard Coffman, Ph.D., R.G., at (818) 551-2175.