

## Drogos, Donna, Env. Health

---

**Subject:** RO394 - 187 N L  
**Entry Type:** Phone call  
**Start:** Mon 5/15/2006 5:37 PM  
**End:** Mon 5/15/2006 5:37 PM  
**Duration:** 0 hours

RO394 - 187 N L  
05/15/06, 836a, Tracy, ETIC, 925-602-4710 X16  
File review last Fri, computers down, LOP docs not avail  
3 docs on CD, one doc is not what requested CD & what request

--> 05/15/06, Fwd to Jerry

05/12/06, Fri, 252p, christic martig, etic, not all files there on CD

--> 05/15/06, Fwd to Jerry

**Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health**

**Subject:** RO0394 Arrow Rentals, 187 North L Street, Livermore Phone Logs files  
**Due Date:** Friday, May 05, 2006

**Status:** Not Started  
**Percent Complete:** 0%

**Total Work:** 0 hours  
**Actual Work:** 0 hours

**Owner:** Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health

Abdul Karim Yusufzai 916-341-5742 Of the UST Cleanup Fund called on 8/9/2005 at 8:35 am. He thinks that Arrow Rentals is closable and does not want to pay funds for groundwater monitoring. Said I would get back to him by Thursday 8/11. I called him back on 8/11/2005 and gave him facts as to why case is not closable. He agrees site needs corrective action but does not want to pay for continued monitoring. He is approving funds and is concerned that site has had monitoring since 1993?? Told him I will send out letter within one week. He would like to get a copy - add him as cc.

Rita Sullins called on 8/23/2005 regarding the letter. Abdul from Cleanup Fund told her and her consultant that the site was closable. He told her that the Cleanup Fund would not pay for further ??? Someone also told her that the Cleanup Fund could override Alameda County decision. She asked me about when MTBE was used. She asked why Exxon Mobil was listed. I explained RP process and indicated that letter is addressed to all RPs as required by our regulations. She wants Exxon Mobil to deal with the problem now. Told her that we do not assign a proportion but the RPs must work that out amongst themselves. They have spent \$300k on the site with no cleanup so far; concerned with reaching the \$1.5M max. She wanted to know what the cost would be but I would not give an estimate. She asked if site was closable and I told her that I did not think it was. She might hire a lawyer to see about appealing the decision. She was frustrated that Abdul had told her site was closable and then had reversed his position.

Rita Sullins called on 8/26/2005. 925-454-0123. Still unhappy that Abdul from Cleanup fund changed positions so quickly. Will appeal to State Board for closure. Why did Eva not ask for this additional work - everything seemed fine to her? She settled with Pittcock and the insurance company for costs and signed an indemnification with them. She is having to pay 15%. Will she have to meet deadlines if she appeals to State Board?

I called Rita Sullins on 8/31/2005 and gave her the opportunity to come in and talk with Donna and myself. She asked if we had the Woodward-Clyde July 1989 report, in which they say the site is closable. We have it; later W-C report in 1991 discusses SVE and GW. Invoices from Dec 1993 to Dec 1995 list "remediation" instead of investigation or monitoring so she thinks that some remediation has been done on the site. Rita Sullins called back and would like to meet on Sept 27 at 10:00 am.

Rita Sullins called on 9/26/2005; she is ill and needs to cancel the 9/27/2005 meeting. Will re-schedule the meeting and I will send her an e-mail putting the October 25, 2005 Work Plan deadling in abeyance.

9/30/2005 Chris Davidson from City of Livermore called. The City of Livermore is considering a Pelanco request for the site. She questioned why additional work is needed and was particularly interested in the shallow soil and soil gas measurements.

10?17/2005 Meeting with Tony and Rita Sullins, City of Livermore, Fugro, and Aquifer Science. See meeting notes.

2/2/2006 Rita Sullins called at 12:45 pm. Fugro costs were \$16,500 for soil gas survey. UST Cleanup Fund approved \$10,400. Aquifer Sciences has drawn up a work plan but she can't pay the bill without pre-authorization from Cleanup Fund. Total cost will be \$66k. She will have to pay 15% of \$66k. Abdul say he will pre-approve tomorrow. OK for Abdul to call me with questions. The City paid Fugro then City got paid back by Rita Sullins with money from UST Cleanup Fund. City checked to make sure this was ok. OK as long as there is agreement with City. Rita Sullins has not signed the agreement but she will pay money back.

2/3/2006 Kharim from UST Cleanup Fund called at 10:20 am. Asked what work we were requesting. I looked at my comment letter and indicated four borings with grab groudwater samples and sampling the existing monitoring wells.

3/14/2006. Spoke with Joe Angulo 209-522-4119 at 10:00 am. Geological Techniques in Modesto. Asked by Rita Sullins to prepare a summary report with recommendations. He wants to submit a report to complete site investigation and

propose interim remediation. Asked if I had any objections to what he was proposing. I told him that case had been convoluted and I would be cautious about changes in direction for site.

3/28/2006. Jenny of Geotechniques 209-522-4119 called re sending reports. Correspondence can be uploaded electronically but there is not a requirement to send correspondence electronically. Wells have not been surveyed at site? Will be sending in report after getting password for our ftp site. May be issue with uploading early reports to Geotrcker; they will upload work from here on out.

4/12/2006. Called Joe Angulo at Geological Technics 209-522-4119. The request for a 45-day extension is just to get a Work Plan. They want to review well permits for site. Question as to whether Sullins are the RP for site. Would want to review our files also. Would not begin work until June.

4/12/2006. 3:25 pm. Left message for Rita Sullins to call.

4/12/2006. Spoke with Rita Sullins. Changed consultants due to cost of proposed work. She came across Geological Technics, Inc. in phone book and is happy with relationship. Geological Technics will do all the Cleanup Fund paperwork for here and knows what costs fund will reimburse. Rita was told by Chris Davidson that she did not have to worry about Pelanco letter from Livermore. Chris Davidson said the letter was just to get Exxon off their duff. Rita is not sure that City has her best interest in mind. They originally wanted Signature Homes to find them a new piece of property so that Signature could get their property. Signature had list of needs and showed them site. Never came to pass. Market has slowed. Signature did some borings on property directly south and west of site.

4/19/2006. Christa Marting called to ask about latest developments on case and whether additional work has taken place.

4/21/2006. Returned 4/20/2006 call from Chris Davidson on 4/21/2006 tel 925-960-4143. Concerned about coordination. Sent Pelanco request on 3/1/2006; I confirmed that we have a copy. Things not happening fast enough. Sullins and Exxon Mobil need to meet their deadline for a remedial action plan. Told her I did not know what they were asking since Work Plans were previously submitted for the soil vapor survey and soil borings by Aquifer Science. Livermore claims that City's requirements legally supercede ours. I thought that sending out the Pelanco request on March 1 was bizarre since work was already planned. They want environmental agreements and schedule for cleanup. Exxon Mobil has contacted the City and will be sending them "some information." Chris Davidson stated that Exxon Mobil needs to be active. Rita and Tony Sullins have been slow to act and have delayed project. She will work with the Sullins to have them meet City's schedule for submittal of a remedial plan. I am not available for a conference call on Monday.

5/2/2006. Christa Marting of ETIC called. New project manager for Exxon Mobil Gene Ortega wants to meet. Concerned that Exxon is a named Responsible Party. Exxon's lawyer has talked with City of Livermore re Pelanco. I told that we typically do not get in the middle of assigning proportions for RPs. If you meet one of the four definitions for an RP in Title 23 then you remain an RP. Does not require discretion on my part. Meeting with ExxonMobil will not change RP designation. She will conduct a file review to get the latest documents; Exxon is not receiving documents from Geological Technics or Fugro. I indicated I was surprised that there had not been communications with the Sullins.

6/9/2006. Called Christa Marting of ETIC. Exxon is not preparing a Work Plan to submit to City of Livermore. Waiting to see Work Plan and our comments.

7/14/2006. Called Joe Angulo of Geological Technics, Inc. 209-522-4119. Indicated that we need a perjury statement from the Sullins for the Feasibility Study Work Plan. He will have his office manager take care of getting statement from the Sullins. I will send him an email requesting rationale for location of well EW-1 in the FS Work Plan. I verbally indicated that the revised well locations address our technical comments.

**Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health**

**Subject:** RO0394 Arrow Rentals, 187 North L Street, Livermore Phone Logs  
**Due Date:** Tuesday, October 25, 2005

**Status:** Not Started  
**Percent Complete:** 0%

**Total Work:** 0 hours  
**Actual Work:** 0 hours

**Owner:** Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health

Abdul Karim Yusufzai 916-341-5742 Of the UST Cleanup Fund called on 8/9/2005 at 8:35 am. He thinks that Arrow Rentals is closable and does not want to pay funds for groundwater monitoring. Said I would get back to him by Thursday 8/11. I called him back on 8/11/2005 and gave him facts as to why case is not closable. He agrees site needs corrective action but does not want to pay for continued monitoring. He is approving funds and is concerned that site has had monitoring since 1993?? Told him I will send out letter within one week. He would like to get a copy - add him as cc.

Rita Sullins called on 8/23/2005 regarding the letter. Abdul from Cleanup Fund told her and her consultant that the site was closable. He told her that the Cleanup Fund would not pay for further ??? Someone also told her that the Cleanup Fund could override Alameda County decision. She asked me about when MTBE was used. She asked why Exxon Mobil was listed. I explained RP process and indicated that letter is addressed to all RPs as required by our regulations. She wants Exxon Mobil to deal with the problem now. Told her that we do not assign a proportion but the RPs must work that out amongst themselves. They have spent \$300k on the site with no cleanup so far; concerned with reaching the \$1.5M max. She wanted to know what the cost would be but I would not give an estimate. She asked if site was closable and I told her that I did not think it was. She might hire a lawyer to see about appealing the decision. She was frustrated that Abdul had told her site was closable and then had reversed his position.

Rita Sullins called on 8/26/2005. 925-454-0123. Still unhappy that Abdul from Cleanup fund changed positions so quickly. Will appeal to State Board for closure. Why did Eva not ask for this additional work - everything seemed fine to her? She settled with Pittcock and the insurance company for costs and signed an indemnification with them. She is having to pay 15%. Will she have to meet deadlines if she appeals to State Board?

I called Rita Sullins on 8/31/2005 and gave her the opportunity to come in and talk with Donna and myself. She asked if we had the Woodward-Clyde July 1989 report, in which they say the site is closable. We have it; later W-C report in 1991 discusses SVE and GW. Invoices from Dec 1993 to Dec 1995 list "remediation" instead of investigation or monitoring so she thinks that some remediation has been done on the site. Rita Sullins called back and would like to meet on Sept 27 at 10:00 am.

Rita Sullins called on 9/26/2005; she is ill and needs to cancel the 9/27/2005 meeting. Will re-schedule the meeting and I will send her an e-mail putting the October 25, 2005 Work Plan deadling in abeyance.

9/30/2005 Chris Davidson from City of Livermore called. The City of Livermore is considering a Pelanco request for the site. She questioned why additional work is needed and was particularly interested in the shallow soil and soil gas measurements.

10?17/2005 Meeting with Tony and Rita Sullins, City of Livermore, Fugro, and Aquifer Science. See meeting notes.

## Schultz, Robert, Env. Health

---

**Subject:** Rita Sullins  
**Entry Type:** Phone call  
**Start:** Thu 12/9/2004 2:22 PM  
**End:** Thu 12/9/2004 2:22 PM  
**Duration:** 0 hours

eva chu  
925-454-0123

arrow rentals - detection?

t&r map mw's

12/9/04  
ustcf has called and said they would not pay claim for monitoring work  
Abdul Karim called her consultant

1972 property purchase  
old gas station before purchase - tanks leaked, spill from delivery co - poured gas into monitoring well  
old and new tank removed

1987 contracted with Woodward Clyde - City interested in redevelopment of surrounding property  
property testing, contamination detected and city did not take over site  
decision to monitor - 4 times per year  
annual monitoring request by rita

187 North L St., Livermore

## Schultz, Robert, Env. Health

---

**Subject:** abdul karim in sac  
**Entry Type:** Phone call  
**Company:** swrcb

**Start:** Tue 12/7/2004 2:54 PM  
**End:** Tue 12/7/2004 2:54 PM  
**Duration:** 0 hours

abdul karim, swrcb in sac  
187 nob hill, livermore  
916-3341-5742

monitoring since 1993  
187 north hill st, livermore

aquifer science is monitoring the site  
abdul spoke with president of aquifer science - no more payment for monitoring  
abdul said that concentrations were still high: 39,000 tph, four digit benzene, etc.  
he will contact aquifer science again to give them my phone number and suggest they contact me to move sight forward  
i requested that abdul float the idea of putting the site into the pay-for-performance

i have added the site to my review list and will respond once we receive info from the consultant