

Chavron  
16204 Foothill  
San Leandro

11/5/88

Memo for f(t6)

I called Jeff Monroe today to discuss the recent sample data FAXed to me for some of the analyses performed on soil samples collected at this site during UST and hydraulic hoist removal activities. I wanted to discuss: 1) the apparent incongruity between sample data from fuel UST soil samples vs. observations made at the time they were collected, and 2) the absence of analyses for metals and TPH-D for samples collected from waste oil UST excavation.

I had spoken previously (10/30/88) with Chavron's Phil Briggs about the clear contrast between lab results and field observations for the fuel UST excavation samples. Material brought to the surface in the excavator bucket were very odorous of "fresh" gasoline (in some instances), and less fresh gasoline in others. Nearly all such bucket-fills of soils (5 of 6? 4 of 6?) were clearly contaminated, and it was from the most odorous portions of each such buckets that the soil samples were collected. The lab data do not reflect this.

Secondarily, ~~the~~ lab detection limits were up to 1000 times too high on initial dataset. This was discussed w/  
Mr. Briggs. Lab data were resubmitted but only were improved by a factor of 2 in the best case.

SJS

Clayton  
16304 Foothill B1

San Leandro

page 2

I also discussed the "free product" issue w/  
Mr. Briggs, reminding that the only reason it was not  
dealt with during the AST removal is the reported  
malfunction of the vacuum truck. I asked that  
he seriously contemplate how he would address the  
need to remove FP prior to completion of site  
restoration.

As of 11/5/58 (and perhaps earlier) the site had  
been completely "restored" to grade.

SOS