# RECEIVED

1:15 pm, May 13, 2010

Alameda County Environmental Health



May 7, 2010

Jerry Wickham Alameda County Health Agency 1131 Harbor Bay parkway, Suite250 Alameda, California 94502-577

#### Re: Feasibility Study and Additional Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report 76 Service Station # 7376 RO # 0361 4191 First Street Pleasanton, CA

Dear Mr. Wickham:

I declare under penalty of perjury that to the best of my knowledge the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached report is/are true and correct.

. . .

If you have any questions or need additional information, please call me at (916) 558-7666.

Sincerely,

Terry L. Grayson Site Manager Risk Management & Remediation

# FEASIBILITY STUDY AND ADDITIONAL SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION REPORT 76 SERVICE STATION NO. 7376 4191 FIRST STREET PLEASANTON, CALIFORNIA ALAMEDA COUNTY FUEL LEAK CASE NO. RO0000361

Prepared for:

ConocoPhillips Company 76 Broadway Sacramento, CA 95818

Prepared by:



Delta Consultants 312 Piercy Road San Jose, California 95138

May 15, 2010

# **CERTIFICATION**

The following report was prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned California Professional Geologist.

# **DELTA CONSULTANTS**

R. Lee Dooley California Certified Hydrogeologist #0831

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATION	I
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	2
2.1 SITE LOCATION	2 2
3.0 SITE SETTING	3
3.1 REGIONAL GEOLOGIC SETTING	3
3.2 REGIONAL HYDROGEOLOGIC SETTING	3
3.3 SITE HYDROGEOLOGIC CONDITIONS	4
4.0 NATURE AND EXTENT OF SOURCE	4
4 1 FORMER USTS	4
4.2 RELEASE REPORTS 1984-1994	5
4.3 POTENTIAL OFFSITE SOURCES	6
4.3.1 Shell Oil Service Station	6
4.3.2 Bunker C Oil Tank	6
4.3.3 KinderMorgan Pipeline	7
5.0 ADDITIONAL SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION	8
5.1 Pre-field Activities	8
5.2 Well Installation	8
5.3 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	0
5.4 Soil and Groundwater Sampling 1	1
5.5 Soil Analytical Results 1	2
5.5.1 CWA-1 SOIL SAMPLE RESULTS	2
5.5.2 CWB-1 SOIL SAMPLE RESULTS	.4
5.5.3 MW-13 SOIL SAMPLE RESULTS	4
5.6 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS	.) 5
5.7 QUALITY ASSURANCE/ QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC)	5
J.0 DISCUSSION	5
6.0 SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION FEASIBILITY STUDY	7
6.1 APRIL 20, 2010 SVE STEP TEST - ONSITE WELLS CWA-1,2,3 1	8
6.2 APRIL 21, 2010 SVE STEP TEST – OFFSITE/DOWNGRADIENT WELLS CWB-1,2,3 1	9
6.3 APRIL 22, 2010 COMBINED SVE STEP TEST – ONSITE & OFFSITE EXTRACTION WELLS 1	9

6.4 SVE PILOT TEST RESULTS	
6.5 April 20, 2010 – Onsite SVE Step Test	
6.5.1 Extraction at CWA-1	
6.5.2 Extraction at CWA-2	
6.5.3 Extraction at CWA-3	
6.6 April 21, 2010 – Offsite SVE Step Test	
6.6.1 Extraction at CWB-1	
6.6.2 Extraction at CWB-2	
6.6.3 Extraction at CWB-3	
6.7 April 22, 2010 – Combined Onsite and Offsite SVE Test	
6.7.1 Phase 1: Extraction at CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2	
6.7.2 Phase 2: Extraction at CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3	
6.8 SVE PILOT TEST CONCLUSIONS	
	20
7.0 GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION FEASIBILITY STUDY	
7.1 Step Drawdown Test	
7.1 STEP DRAWDOWN TEST 7.1.2 Well MW-5 7.1.3 Step Drawdown Test 7.1.4 Water in Well CWB-3 7.1.5 Additional Water Levels	
7.1 STEP DRAWDOWN TEST 7.1.2 Well MW-5 7.1.3 Step Drawdown Test 7.1.4 Water in Well CWB-3 7.1.5 Additional Water Levels. 7.2 CONCLUSIONS	30 30 30 30 31 31 31
7.1 STEP DRAWDOWN TEST 7.1.2 Well MW-5 7.1.3 Step Drawdown Test 7.1.4 Water in Well CWB-3 7.1.5 Additional Water Levels 7.2 CONCLUSIONS	30 30 30 30 31 31 31
<ul> <li>7.0 GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION FEASIBILITY STUDY</li></ul>	30 30 30 30 31 31 31 31 31 31
<ul> <li>7.0 GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION FEASIBILITY STUDY</li></ul>	30 30 30 30 31 31 31 31 31
<ul> <li>7.0 GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION FEASIBILITY STUDY</li></ul>	30 30 30 30 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 32
<ul> <li>7.0 GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION FEASIBILITY STUDY</li></ul>	30 30 30 30 31 31 31 31 31 31 32
<ul> <li>7.0 GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION FEASIBILITY STUDY.</li> <li>7.1 STEP DRAWDOWN TEST</li></ul>	30 30 30 30 31 31 31 31 31 31 32 33

# TABLE

Table 1 – Soil Analytical Results

Table 2 – Groundwater Analytical Results

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	1	<ul> <li>— Site</li> </ul>	Location	Мар
--------	---	----------------------------	----------	-----

- Figure 2 Site Plan
- Figure 3 Cross-Section A-A' TPH-G Soil Data
- Figure 3b Cross Section A-A' TPH-D Soil Data
- Figure 4 Cross-Section B-B' TPH-G Soil Data
- Figure 4b Cross-Section B-B', TPH-D Soil Data

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A ACEH Letters Dated October 16, 2009 and January 6, 2010.
- Appendix B Historic Sanborn Maps and Geologic Cross Sections
- Appendix C Summary of Site Assessment Activites
- Appendix D Zone 7 Water Agency Well Installation Permit
- Appendix E Soil Boring Logs and Well Construction Diagrams
- Appendix F Field Data Sheets for Well Development
- Appendix G Mid Coast Engineers Survey Report
- Appendix H Laboratory Analytical Reports
- Appendix I SVE Tables and Graphs

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Delta Consultants, (Delta), on behalf of ConocoPhillips (COP) has prepared this Feasibility Study (FS) and Additional Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report for the 76 Service Station No. 7376, located at 4191 First Street in Pleasanton, California (site) (Figure 1). The investigation was first requested by the ACEH in a letter dated October 16, 2009 (Appendix A). The investigation was carried out as proposed in Delta's Work Plan and Feasibility Study dated December 16, 2009, and in accordance with a letter from the Alameda County Health Services Agency, Environmental Protection (ACEH) dated January 6, 2010 (Appendix A). In the January 6, 2010 letter, the ACEH agreed with Delta's proposal to install an additional monitoring well MW-13, and soil vapor extraction (SVE) and observation wells (Clusters A and B). The ACEH also requested that during the SVE testing for Cluster A, induced vacuum measurements be collected periodically in well MW-2C in addition to observation wells. The investigation was first requested by the ACEH in a letter dated October 16, 2009 (Appendix A). The purpose of this investigation was to identify the down gradient extent of the groundwater petroleum hydrocarbon and constituent plume in the Alameda county open space corridor adjacent to the site, and to conduct a soil vapor extraction and groundwater extraction (GWE) test in order to evaluate potential remedial options at the site.

The following report provides a site description and history, a summary of regional and site hydrogeologic conditions, a summary of field procedures, results of the soil and groundwater investigation and SVE and GWE extraction pilot tests, and recommendations regarding site remediation

# 2.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

The following sections provide a description of the site and surrounding area.

# 2.1 Site Location

The site (Alameda County Assessor's Parcel # 94-110-12-4) is a rectangular property located at the intersection of Ray Street and First Street in Pleasanton, California (**Figures 1 and 2**).

# 2.2 Site Description

The site is currently an active 76 Service Station. Current site facilities consist of a cashier's kiosk, four product dispenser islands and two 12,000-gallon double-wall fiberglass gasoline underground storage tanks (USTs) – **Figure 2**. There are currently 12 active groundwater-monitoring wells and four former groundwater monitoring wells at and in the site vicinity. Properties in the immediate site vicinity are used for a mix of residential and commercial purposes. The site is bounded to the northwest by a former Southern Pacific Railroad right-of-way currently owned by Alameda County, to north and northeast by a commercial building, to the southeast by First Street, and to the southwest by Ray Street. There is an underground KinderMorgan petroleum pipeline located on Alameda County property (former Southern Pacific right-of way) adjacent to the northwest edge of the site (**Figure 2**). A Shell service station is located southeast of the site across First Street.

The property was developed around 1907 as a warehouse to store grain and hay (Sanborn Insurance Maps). As shown on a Sanborn map, an "in-ground" bunker fuel storage tank was installed northwest of the property as early as 1907 to support the railway. Overlays of historic site features upon the current arrangement of properties and site features were produced from historic Sanborn maps and presented in **Appendix B**.

A service station was first constructed on the site in approximately 1976. The station was acquired by Unocal Corporation in 1988, and then ConocoPhillips.

# 3.0 SITE SETTING

The following sections provide a summary of the regional geologic and hydrogeologic setting.

# 3.1 Regional Geologic Setting

The subject site is located near the southwest portion of the Livermore Valley. The site is situated on a northern facing hill, and slopes slightly to the north at an elevation of approximately 360 feet above mean sea level (MSL). The Arroyo Valley stream is located approximately 1,100 feet to the north of the site (**Figure 1**). The site is underlain by Holocene age alluvial fan deposits, described by the Department of Water Resources (DWR) in <u>Bulletin 118-3</u> as "unconsolidated, moderately sorted, permeable fine sand and silt, with gravel becoming more abundant toward fan heads with canyons." The site is approximately three miles east of the northwest trending Pleasanton Fault (USGS 2006). Holocene alluvial fan deposits under the site are underlain by the Livermore Formation, consisting of northward dipping sand and gravel deposits. In 1999, Gettler Ryan interpreted deposits beneath the site to be steeply dipping beds. Their cross sections illustrating their interpretation are presented in **Attachment B**.

# 3.2 Regional Hydrogeologic Setting

The site is located within the Amador Sub-basin of the Livermore Valley Groundwater Basin. The Amador Sub-basin is bounded on the east by the Livermore Fault and on the west by the Pleasanton Fault. The main watercourses in the basin are the Arroyo Valle and Arroyo Mocho, which both drain into the Arroyo de la Laguna. Gravel pits which locally impact groundwater levels are present in the central portion of the subbasin. The estimated depth to the regional groundwater is 40 feet below ground surface (bgs) and the regional flow direction is north and northeast (ACWD-Zone 7, 1993-2006).

Groundwater in the Amador subbasin occurs in both unconfined and confined conditions. In the shallower, unconfined aquifers, groundwater is first encountered generally about 30 to 50 feet bgs. Deeper aquifers are encountered within sand and gravel deposits at a depth of approximately 90 to 100 feet bgs (DWR, 2003). A Zone 7 contour map shows groundwater flow in both confined and unconfined aquifers toward the gravel pits in the center of the subbasin. A contour map from the Zone 7 Well Master Plan shows a flow within the "deeper aquifer" to the west. Sand and gravel pit groundwater extraction areas are located greater than one mile north of the site in the central portion of the subbasin. The site appears to be outside the area of influence of any groundwater extraction wells.

The City of Pleasanton is served by the Zone 7 Water Agency. Based on information provided by personnel from the Zone 7 Water Agency, the City of Pleasanton obtains 80% of its water from the Hetch-Hetchy reservoir, the San Joaquin/Sacramento Delta and multiple deep-water wells located in the Fremont area. The remaining water is pumped from wells in Pleasanton that range in depth from 50-600 feet bgs (ACWD 1993-2006).

### 3.3 Site Hydrogeologic Conditions

The site is underlain by complexly interlayered clay (Unified Soil Classification CL), silt (ML), silty sand (SM), clayey sand (SC), silty gravel (GM), sand (SP, SW), and gravel (GW). Contacts between soil types are often gradational. All soils contain various percentages of silt and sand. Site subsurface conditions are illustrated on cross-sections from the current investigation on **Figures 3**, **3b**, **4 and 4b**. Soils have been combined into three units; 1) generally fine grained silts sand clays (CL, ML), 2) mixed fine and coarse grained deposits consisting of gravelly and sandy clay and silt to clayey/silty sands and gravels (SM, SC, GM), and 3) generally coarse-grained, moderate to high permeable soils consisting of sand and gravel (SP, SW, GP, GW).

# 4.0 NATURE AND EXTENT OF SOURCE

The following sections describe the source(s) of the petroleum hydrocarbons that have been detected in soil and groundwater beneath and adjacent to the site. A summary of site assessment activities is presented as **Appendix C**.

# 4.1 Former USTs

The first soil and groundwater investigation report dated September 9, 1987 shows four 12,000-gallon petroleum product USTs near the current tank pit location in the northeastern portion of the site. The four former USTs were replaced with two 12,000 gallon double-walled steel USTs in December 1987 as part of the property sale agreement. A Union Oil Company of California drawing dated November 17, 1987 shows the two 12,000- gallon fuel USTs to be located in the northeastern end of the site in their current location (Enviros 1995).

#### 4.2 Release Reports 1984-1994

<u>November 8, 1982</u>: The Pleasanton Fire Department reported that approximately nine gallons of gasoline had leaked from a damaged fuel filter and collected in the base sand area directly below the pumps.

<u>November 23, 1982</u>: The Valley Times Newspaper (volume 97 No. 230 dated November 23, 1982) reported that approximately 100 gallons of gasoline was discovered welling from an underground storage tank and pooling in a nearby parking lot. The nearby stream, Arroyo Del Valle, was reportedly not affected by the gasoline release.

<u>February 20, 1984</u>: The Pleasanton Fire Department filed a fire incident report for a gasoline leak at the site. According to the report, pump #12 located on the south fuel island was observed to be leaking. Approximately 30 gallons of gasoline was estimated to have leaked from possibly damaged underground product piping. Approximately 10 gallons of pooled gasoline was recovered using a soil berm in the southeast portion of the site.

<u>January 7, 1985</u>: A complaint report was filed against the station, reporting a "strong odor of gasoline around storm drains." Station attendants stated that the site's gasoline USTs were filled that morning and that gasoline from a possible overfill was likely transported to the drain via rain water runoff.

<u>February 8, 1985</u>: The Pleasanton Fire Department filed a Leak Reporting Form documenting an unknown amount of gasoline contaminated rain runoff entering two separate sewer drains. The nature of the spill was likely due to overfilling of the gasoline USTs.

<u>July 16, 1987</u>: A Petro Tite System Test revealed a leak of 0.93 gallons per hour in the North No. 1 regular gasoline UST. This result was followed up by an additional test on July 25<sup>th</sup> to confirm the leak. Leakage during the confirmation test was reported at 0.028 gallons per hour, and was deemed mathematically tight. It is unclear whether repairs were made to the UST system to repair the leak, or whether the leak detection was false (Environmental Laboratories Inc., 1987).

<u>October 17, 1994</u>: An unauthorized Release Report was filed following soil sampling performed on September 9, 1994. The report did not specify a release date or quantity released, but identified the contaminant as gasoline/waste oil. The report was filed

following product piping replacement, during which old single-walled product lines were discovered, as well as soil contamination.

# 4.3 Potential Offsite Sources

### 4.3.1 Shell Oil Service Station

A Shell service station is located approximately 75 feet southeast of the site. This site has had an open leaking underground storage tank (LUST) case since 1995. Petroleum hydrocarbons were detected below the former USTs in the northern portion of the site in 1985 during UST replacement. In January 2005, waste oil was poured into a part of the waste oil tank which releases straight to the surrounding pea gravel. Oil and grease was detected in pea gravel, but soil samples confirmed that waste oil was confined to the pea gravel. Groundwater flow at the site has historically been to the north-northeast (Delta 2006). In September 2006, Shell advanced a CPT boring (CPT-2) in First Street between the Shell and 76 sites. Groundwater samples were collected at depths of approximately 78 and 98 feet bgs. Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-G), toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes were all below the laboratory reporting limit (LRL) in both samples. Benzene was detected in the 78-foot sample at 0.99 micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L) along with 15  $\mu$ g/L methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) and 27 µg/L tertiary butyl alcohol (TBA). MTBE was the only constituent detected in the 98-foot sample (47  $\mu$ g/L) (Delta 2006b). It was concluded that the Shell service station had little or no impact on the site.

#### 4.3.2 Bunker C Oil Tank

A Bunker C fuel oil tank was installed at the site sometime around 1907 according to Sanborn insurance maps. The bunker fuel oil tank is/was located just to the northwest of the site in the vicinity of boring SB-1 (**Figure 2**). The bunker fuel oil tank was used to service the railroad that bordered the site to the northwest. The tank is believed to have been removed from the site, but removal documents are not available. Currently, there are no documents verifying the removal of this bunker tank. Delta utilized ground penetrating radar (GPR) technology to determine the current presence or absence of the bunker tank. The bunker tank was not detected; however, GPR results may not be conclusive depending on the material with which the tank was made.

#### 4.3.3 KinderMorgan Pipeline

A KinderMorgan pipeline is located approximately 20 feet northwest of the site, within the former Southern Pacific right-of-way and is approximately 6 to 8 feet deep. The pipeline is a 10-inch steel pipe which transports gasoline, diesel and jet fuel. An investigation was performed by TRC in 2005 to determine whether the pipeline could be considered a potential source of contamination. The investigation reported that the pipeline is inspected every 5 years by an internal inspection device, and no damage or repairs had been reported. In 2007, BSK reported detections of TPH-jet fuel and TPH-aviation fuel in soil borings SB-5, SB-6 and SB-7, located between the pipeline and the site (Figure 2), with a maximum concentration of 6,300 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) in boring SB-5 at a depth of 30 feet bgs. The indication of the petroleum hydrocarbons as jet fuel appeared to be questionable. A note on the soil analytical summary table stated "TPH - total petroleum hydrocarbons - Jet Fuel (Hydrocarbons reported within diesel range)" (BSK 2008).

Fuel fingerprinting has been performed on free product collected from the site in 1997, 1998 and in 2009. In 1997, a fuel fingerprinting analysis determined that the free product in MW-5 was most likely composed of 50% refined gasoline and 50% heavier hydrocarbons resembling crude oil, bunker C fuel, diesel, motor oil, or some combination of the above. The gasoline portion of the product was reported to be relatively "fresh" (Entrix 1997). In 1998, separate phase hydrocarbons (SPH) was collected in soil samples in boring B-11 from depths of 10.5 feet bgs and 61 feet bgs. The SPH was reported to be approximately 90% semi-volatile hydrocarbons consisting of crude oil and 10% slightly weathered gasoline (GR 1999). In 2009, soil samples from the boring for MW-2C were submitted for fuel fingerprinting. The presence of heavy hydrocarbons such as diesel, kerosene and hydraulic oil were reported (Delta 2009). TRC concluded that the source of SPH on site and to the north was likely due to the former underground bunker C fuel tank that was installed at the site in 1907, and not from the Santa Fe Pacific pipeline (TRC 2005)

#### 5.0 ADDITIONAL SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION

Concentrations of MTBE have been rising in offsite well MW-8. In order to define the downgradient extend to MTBE offsite, Delta installed MW-13 located northeast of MW-8. Soil vapor extraction wells and observation wells were installed onsite and offsite for source removal evaluation. During their installation, soil samples were collected for laboratory analysis.

#### 5.1 Pre-field Activities

Prior to field activities, Delta produced a Site Health and Safety Plan, which was reviewed daily by field personnel. Prior to drilling, Delta marked all proposed boring locations and contacted Underground Service Alert (USA ticket numbers 0071183, 0071194 and 0071198) to request the locating and marking of all underground utilities at, or adjacent to the proposed boring locations. Delta also employed a private utility locator to identify possible private underground utilities in the vicinity of the proposed boring locations. Delta met with a representative of KinderMorgan to document the exact location of the pipeline. Additionally, all boring locations were cleared by air knife to a depth of five feet bgs prior to drilling. The purpose of first air knifing each location was to ensure that unmarked underground utilities would not be encountered during drilling. Permits to install monitoring wells were obtained from the Zone 7 Water Agency. Copies of permits are presented in **Appendix D**.

#### 5.2 Well Installation

On March 29<sup>th</sup> through April 7<sup>th</sup>, 2010, Gregg Drilling and Testing, under the supervision of Delta, installed two well clusters (CWA and CWB), two observation wells (OWA-1/2/3 and OWB-1/2/3) and one groundwater monitoring well (MW-13) (**Figure 2**). Each well cluster consists of three individual soil vapor extraction wells spaced within five to seven feet of one another. CWA-1 and CWB-1 were the deepest in each cluster, CWA-2 and CWB-2 were at an intermediate depth, and CWA-3 and CWB-3 were the shallowest. Cluster wells consist of three individual casings with separate screen intervals within one boring. OWA-1 and OWB-1 are the deepest wells, OWA-2 and OWB-2 are an intermediate depth, and OWA-3 and OWB-3 are the shallowest. Observation wells are designated OWA-1/2/3 and OWB-1/2/3 on **Figure 2**. Soil vapor wells screens correspond with one-inch nested observation wells, so that CWA-1 corresponds to OWA-1, etc...

Well diameters are four-inch for soil vapor cluster wells, one-inch for nested observation wells and two inch for monitoring well MW-13. Well screen and sand pack for all wells was 0.02-inch size machine slotted PCV and 2/12 size sand. Soil boring logs including well construction details are presented in **Appendix E**.

In each well cluster, the deepest well was initially advanced and sampled at 10 feet, then continuously from 15 feet bgs to the total depth. Lithology from the deepest borings was used to set the intermediate and shallow wells in each cluster. The deepest borings (CWA-1 and CWB-1) were first drilled with 6-inch hollow stem augers for soil sampling, and then over drilled with 10-inch augers to install 4-inch wells. Shallow and intermediate wells were drilled without soil sampling to their total depths using 10-inch augers.

CWA-1 was screened from 45 to 55 feet bgs, CWA-2 was screened from 36 to 40 feet bgs and CWA-3 was screened from 30 to 35 feet bgs. CWB-1 was screened from 55 to 65 feet bgs, CWB-2 was screened from 47 to 57 feet bgs, and CWB-3 was screened from 30 to 40 feet bgs. Remedial well screen intervals are shown on **Figures 3 through 4**, and in **Appendix E**. Sand packs were extended two feet above each screen interval, followed by a two-foot bentonite seal and Portland cement to grade.

Observation wells were set approximately 20 feet away from CWA and CWB, and were sampled at five-foot intervals to assure that wells would be screened in target zones identified in CWA and CWB. No soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis from OWA or OWB. In each boring, three nested one-inch wells were placed at screen depths corresponding to CWA and CWB wells.

OWA-1 was screened from 45 to 50 feet bgs, OWA-2 was screened from 38 to 40 feet bgs, and OWA-3 was screened from 31 to 34 feet bgs. OWB-1 was screened from 55 to 65 feet bgs, OWB-2 was screened from 48 to 53 feet bgs, and OWB-3 was screened from 30 to 40 feet bgs. Sand packs around each screen were extended at least 6 inches above each casing, and at least one foot of bentonite was used as a seal between screened zones.

The boring for MW-13 was advanced to 80 feet bgs using 8-inch augers. The well was set at 77 feet bgs due to flowing sands and gravels encountered between 75 and 80 feet bgs. Soil samples were collected from MW-13 at five foot intervals. Groundwater was encountered at a depth of approximately 75 feet bgs. MW-13 was screened from 62 feet bgs to 77 feet bgs. A sand pack was placed to two feet above the top of the screen, followed by a two-foot bentonite seal, and Portland cement to grade.

#### 5.3 Subsurface Conditions

Continuous coring of the deep boring at each cluster provided a detailed boring log. Borings for wells encountered a mix of coarse grained deposits consisting of sands and gravels with varying amount of silt and clay, separated by thick layers of silt and clay. Beds appeared to be discontinuous and sloping slightly to the north. An approximately 20-foot section of damp orange-tan silt with quartz gravel fragments was used as marker bed to correlate between clusters. From approximately 32 to 35 feet bgs, soil was reportedly wet, indicating the presence of a perched water zone. This perched water zone has also been reported in previous borings at the site. Groundwater, with the exception of a thin perched layer was not encountered in any "A" cluster wells. Groundwater was encountered in the deep boring CWB-1 at a depth of approximately 60 feet bgs. Depth to groundwater in adjacent monitoring well MW-5 was 68 feet below top of casing (BTOC).

Petroleum hydrocarbons including SPH were found throughout the vadose zone. TPH-G and TPH-D concentrations are shown on geologic cross-sections **Figures 3 and 4**.

SPH was reported in the CWA well cluster at a depth of 33 to 39 feet bgs in moist/ wet silt and gravel zones. Directly beneath this zone, the orange clay/ silt zone was reported to a depth of approximately 53.5 feet bgs. No SPH was reported in the orange clay/silt zone indicating a perched zone above. Photo-ionization detector (PID) readings in CWA ranged from 1.5 parts per million volume (ppmv) at a depth of 55 feet bgs (clayey gravel) to 766 ppmv at a depth of 34 feet bgs within a saturated silt zone in which SPH was reported, directly above a gravel zone also with SPH.

In cluster CWB, SPH was reported at a depth of 62 feet bgs within gravelly sand. PID readings in CWA ranged from 0.3 at a depth of 10 feet bgs to 1,620 at a depth of 61 feet bgs within siltly sand, directly above the gravelly sand layer in which SPH was observed.

SPH was in reported OWA from 34 feet bgs to 50 feet bgs within a gravel zone and underlying clay zone. SPH in CWB and OWA was reportedly black and "oily", while SPH in CWA lighter in color with a gasoline odor. PID readings in OWA ranged from 38.6 ppmv at a depth of 20 feet bgs to 1275 ppmv at a depth of 30 feet bgs. The maximum PID reading was reported in a contact between gravelly sand and clay at approximately 30 feet. SPH was not reported in soil samples collected from the OWB boring or MW-13. PID readings in the OWB boring ranged from 1.4 ppmv at a depth of 10 feet bgs to 1,230 ppmv at a depth of 44 feet bgs within a lean clay layer underlying a sandy gravel zone. Below this depth,

PID readings decreased and were reported at less than 5 ppmv from 55 feet bgs to the maximum depth of 65 feet bgs. In MW-13, PID readings ranged from 0.1 ppmv at a depth of 50 feet bgs to 1.4 ppmv at a depth of 60 feet bgs.

On April 26, 2010, monitoring well MW-13 was purged of three additional well casing volumes then sampled. **Appendix F** contains field data collected during well development. Mid-Coast Engineers of Watsonville, California, surveyed the latitude, longitude and elevation of the newly installed wells. The survey reports are contained in **Appendix G**. The GPS survey data has been uploaded into the State of California GeoTracker database.

### 5.4 Soil and Groundwater Sampling

Soil samples were collected using a split spoon sampler equipped with brass sleeves. The samples were logged by the field geologist, utilizing the Unified Soil Classification System by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) method D-2487, dated May 2000. A PID was used to measure concentrations of volatile organic compounds in soil samples collected from the boreholes.

Soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis based on PID reading, change in lithology and for purposes of vertical hydrocarbon delineation.

To obtain a PID reading, a soil sample from each sampling interval was placed in a sealed plastic bag. After approximately five minutes, the PID probe was inserted into the plastic bag and soil gas allowed to pass through the PID until readings stabilized. The resulting concentration reading was recorded in the geologist's field log. Soil Boring Logs with PID readings are presented as **Appendix E**. Selected soil samples in brass sleeves were capped with Teflon<sup>®</sup> and plastic end caps, then immediately placed on ice. The samples were then logged on to chains-of-custody forms, and submitted to BC Laboratories, Inc. Bakersfield, California for analysis.

Soil samples submitted for laboratory analysis were analyzed for TPH-G,\_benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX compounds), MTBE, and total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPH-D) with silica gel cleanup. In addition, soil samples from the deep CWA boring were analyzed for TBA by EPA Method 8260. Soil samples were collected based on lithology, depth and PID reading. Upon receipt of soil sample results for CWA samples, Delta consulted with BC laboratories regarding seemingly low concentrations of TPH-D, considering SPH was observed in several soil samples submitted for analysis. BC

laboratories confirmed that heavier range hydrocarbons appeared to be present in the sample, and that the reported concentrations of TPH-D in soil were an underestimate of actual hydrocarbon concentration. The laboratory recommended a fuel fingerprint analysis, which Delta authorized. Soil samples from CWA were re-analyzed for a fuel fingerprint analysis four days after the 14 day sample hold time.

To ensure the collection of representative groundwater samples, Gregg Drilling and Testing, observed by a Delta geologist, completed well development for well MW-13 on April 7<sup>th</sup>, 2010. In addition to developing MW-13, well MW-5 was re-developed in preparation for a groundwater pumping test. During well development, wells were surged and ten casing volumes were purged from each well.

Following well development, well MW-13 was purged of an additional three casing volumes, and groundwater samples were collected for laboratory analysis. The groundwater samples were decanted into 40-milliliter glass VOA bottles, preserved with Hydrochloric Acid (HCL), and unpreserved 1-liter amber glass bottles. The bottles were placed on ice for transportation to the laboratory. Chain-of-Custody protocol was followed, providing a continuous record of sample possession before analysis. Groundwater samples were analyzed for TPH-G, BTEX compounds, MTBE, TBA, ethyl tertiary butyl ether (ETBE), tertiary amyl methyl ether (TAME), diisopropyl ether (DIPE), and ethanol by EPA Method 8260B.

# 5.5 Soil Analytical Results

The following section presents analytical reports for submitted soil samples from borings CWA-1, CWB-1 and MW-13. A summary of soil analytical results is presented in **Table 1**. Crude oil from the fuel fingerprint analysis is included in **Table 1**. For a complete list of compounds included in the fuel fingerprint analysis please see laboratory analytical reports included in **Appendix H**.

#### 5.5.1 CWA-1 Soil Sample Results

TPH-G was reported in four soil samples from CWA-1 between depths of 17.5 feet bgs to 37 feet bgs. Concentrations ranged from 0.5 mg/kg at 26-26.5 feet bgs to 350 mg/kg at a depth of 36.5-37 feet bgs. Only the sample from 36.5-37 feet bgs exceeds the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Environmental Screening Level (ESL) of 83 mg/kg for

leaching to groundwater. The two soil samples collected below the 36.5-37 foot sample did not contain concentrations of TPH-G above the LRL.

TPH-D was reported in two soil samples from CWA-1, at a depth of 31-31.5 feet bgs (120 mg/kg) and 36.5-37 feet bgs (1,000 mg/kg). Both detections of TPH-D in samples from CWA-1 exceed ESLs, however the two soil samples above and the two samples below the depths with TPH-D detections were not reported to contain concentrations above the LRL. The results may reflect horizontal migration within certain beds.

Benzene was reported only in the sample from 36.5-37 feet bgs at a concentration of 0.062 mg/kg. No samples above or below this depth were reported to contain benzene above the LRL. The reported concentration at 36.5-37 feet bgs is slightly above the ESL of 0.044 mg/kg.

Ethylbenzene, toluene and xylenes were reported only in the soil sample from a depth of 36.5-37 feet bgs at concentrations of 0.015 mg/kg, 0.0098 mg/kg and 0.1 mg/kg. All reported concentrations are below their respective ESLs (**Table 1**).

MTBE was reported in all soil samples collected from CWA-1, with concentrations ranging from 0.015 mg/kg at a depth of 52.5-53 feet bgs to a maximum of 1.7 mg/kg at a depth of 31-31.5 feet bgs. With the exception of the sample from 52.5-53 feet bgs, all concentrations of MTBE exceed the ESL of 0.023 mg/kg.

TBA was reported in four of the six samples above the LRL, with concentrations ranging from 0.02 mg/kg at 44-44.5 feet bgs to 2 mg/kg at 31-31.5 feet bgs. The laboratory reporting limits for TBA were 0.05 mg/kg, which is above the ESL of 0.075 mg/kg. Additionally, all reported TBA concentrations were above the ESL.

TPH-crude oil was the only fuel detected in the fuel fingerprint analysis. It was reported in two samples at depths of 31-31.5 feet and 36.5-37 feet bgs at concentrations of 370 mg/kg and 3,300 mg/kg, respectively. TPH-crude oil is assumed to fall under the category of TPH (residual fuels), for which the ESL is 250 mg/kg. Both detections of TPH-crude oil exceed this ESL.

#### 5.5.2 CWB-1 Soil Sample Results

TPH-G was reported in five of the six soil samples collected from CWB-1, with concentrations ranging from 0.62 mg/kg at 33.5-35 feet bgs to 70 mg/kg at 38.5-40 feet bgs. All reported concentrations are below the leaching ESL of 83 mg/kg.

TPH-D was reported in four of the six samples from CWB with concentrations ranging from 30 mg/kg at a depth of 55-57 feet bgs, to 270 mg/kg at 38.5-40 feet bgs. Only the sample from 38.5-40 feet bgs exceeds the ESL of 83 mg/kg.

Benzene was reported in three samples above the LRL, and in two samples above the ESL of 0.044 mg/kg. The samples from 50-52 feet bgs and 55-57 feet bgs were reported to contain concentrations of 0.5 mg/kg and 0.25 mg/kg, respectively.

Ethylbenzene was reported below the ESL of 2.9 mg/kg at depths of 50-52 feet bgs and 55-57 feet bgs, with concentrations of 0.079 mg/kg and 0.041 mg/kg, respectively.

Toluene was reported at a depth of 50-52 feet bgs with a concentration of 0.051 mg/kg, which is below the ESL of 3.3 mg/kg.

Xylenes were reported above the ESL of 2.3 at a depth of 50-52 feet bgs with a concentrations of 5 mg/kg, and below the ESL at 55-57 feet bgs with a concentration of 2.2 mg/kg.

MTBE was reported in three samples, ranging from 0.12 mg/kg at 33.5-35 feet bgs to 0.28 mg/kg at 50-52 feet bgs. All reported MTBE concentrations are above the ESL of 0.023 mg/kg. The LRL was raised above the ESL in samples from 38.5-40 and 55-57 feet bgs to LRLs of 0.5 and 0.25 mg/kg, respectively.

#### 5.5.3 MW-13 Soil Sample Results

MTBE was reported at a depth of 64.5 to 65 feet bgs with a concentration of 0.0063 mg/kg, which is below the ESL of 0.023 mg/kg.

No other analytes were reported in soil samples from MW-13 above the LRLs.

# 5.6 Groundwater Analytical Results

The following section presents results of the MW-13 groundwater sample. **Table 2** presents a summary of analytical results, and Laboratory analytical reports are presented in **Appendix G**.

The groundwater sample from well MW-13 contained MTBE at 68 ug/L. TPPH was reported at 67 ug/L but the laboratory noted that "TPPH does not exhibit a 'gasoline pattern. TPPH is entirely due to MTBE." TPH-fuel oil #6 was detected in the sample at 170 ug/L.

# 5.7 Quality Assurance/ Quality Control (QA/QC)

Delta performed a QA/QC data validation check on the BC laboratory analytical results for the March through April 2010 soil and groundwater investigation. The following data qualifiers were noted on individual sample and laboratory control samples:

- Laboratory Data Qualifier "A01": The Practical Quantitation Limit's (PQL) and Method Detection Limits (MDL) are raised due to sample dilution. This data qualifier was noted on EPA Method 8260 and EPA Method 8015M analyses for samples CWB-1 @ 38.5-40, CWB-1 @ 47-48.5, CWB-1 @ 50-52, CWB-1 @ 55-57, CWA-1 @ 36.5-37, CWA-1 @31-31.5, CWA-1@17.5-18, and in TPH-D tetracosane surrogate samples CWB-1 @ 38.5-40, CWB-1 @ 47-48.5 and CWB-1 @ 50-52.
- Laboratory Data Qualifier "A17": Surrogate not reportable due to sample dilution This data qualifier was noted on the tetracosane surrogate for TPH-D analysis in CWB-1 @38.5-40, CWA-1 @36.5-37 and CWA-1 @31-31.5.

No laboratory data qualifiers were noted in the BC Labs report that considered the reported data value to be invalid.

#### 5.8 Discussion

Delta provides the following conclusions for the soil and groundwater investigation :

• Three interlayered main units exist beneath the site. The units consist largely of clay/silts, mixed fine and coarse grained deposits, and sands/gravels with trace fine particles.

- Beds dip slightly to the northwest. Onsite, beds appear to be relatively flat, then transition to more steeply dipping in the Alameda County open space corridor.
- Petroleum hydrocarbons are dispersed throughout the vadose zone. SPH was observed in selected samples.
- Groundwater was first encountered at approximately 70 feet bgs, and a perched groundwater bearing zone was observed at approximately 35 feet bgs in CWB-1.
- Groundwater at the site has historically been directed to the northeast to northwest.
- Main contaminants of concern (COCs) are TPH-G, MTBE, and heavier hydrocarbons including crude oil and TPH-D.
- COCs were released from the site and from the former Bunker C fuel tank, moved downward through the vadose zone. COCs probably moved northwest atop sloping lithologic units beneath the site until groundwater was reached. Once the COCs reached groundwater, TPH-G and constituents originating from the site began migrating downgradient. TPH-D and crude oil have a low solubility in water, and pooled in the vicinity of MW-5 and CWB in their free phase.
- The downgradient extent of MTBE has not been defined by well MW-13.

# 6.0 SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION FEASIBILITY STUDY

The following sections provide details regarding the SVE FS and field testing program.

Delta conducted a three day SVE pilot test at ConocoPhillips Site No 7376 on April 20<sup>th</sup> through April 21<sup>st</sup>, 2010. The purpose of this test, as outlined in the approved Work Plan dated December 16, 2009, was to determine the feasibility of using SVE to address the vadose zone impacts that exist onsite and offsite and to collect performance data in support of a potential remedial system design. The planned pilot test was broken into three separate phases:

- Phase 1, conducted on April 20, 2010, consisted of three separate 2(+)-hour SVE step tests performed in three onsite extraction wells (CWA-1,2,3). During this phase, observation wells OWA-1,2,3, extraction wells CWA-1,2,3 and monitoring well MW-2C were utilized as monitoring points for the onsite pilot test.
- Phase 2, conducted on April 21, 2010, consisted of three separate 2(+)-hour SVE step tests performed in three offsite extraction wells (CWB-1,2,3). During this phase, observation wells OWB-1,2,3, extraction wells CWB-1,2,3 and monitoring well MW-5 were utilized as monitoring points for offsite pilot test.
- Phase 3, conducted on April 22, 2010, consisted of a combined SVE extraction test using both onsite and offsite extraction wells and the aforementioned observation and monitoring wells.

Delta arrived onsite on April 20, 2010 and coordinated setup of a mobile SVE/Thermox system with Strongarm Environmental Field Services, Inc. (Strongarm), a firm based in Norwalk, California. The SVE equipment provided included a SVE blower capable of achieving a flow of 300 cubic feet per minute (cfm) and a vacuum up to 12 inches of Mercury (in. of Hg), and a propane powered Thermox unit capable of thermally destroying the SVE effluent air to limits set forth by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD). According to Mr. Darren Zuidema with Strongarm, Strongarm verified with Mr. Glenn Long at BAAQMD that a discharge permit for the SVE Pilot Test was not necessary for a pilot test that was shorter than five consecutive 8-hour days.

The following inserted Table summarizes the construction details for the extraction, observation and monitoring wells construction details utilized during the three day pilot test.

Well Name	Well Diameter	Total Depth	Well Screen
	(inches)	(ft bgs)	(feet bgs)
CWA-1	4	55	44 to 55
CWA-2	4	40	36 to 40
CWA-3	4	35	30 to 35
CWB-1	4	65	55 to 65
CWB-2	4	57	47 to 57
CWB-3	4	40	30 to 40
OWA-1	1	50	45 to 50
OWA-2	1	40	38 to 40
OWA-3	1	34	31 to 34
OWB-1	1	65	55 to 65
OWB-2	1	53	48 to 53
OWB-3	1	40	30 to 40
MW-2C	2	45	80-82
MW-5	2	72	52 to 72

Ft bgs = feet below ground surface

It should be noted that during the pilot test well installations, an effort was made to ensure that the extraction well clusters (CWA & CWB) generally had a corresponding observation well (OWA & OWB) installed in the same lithologic interval. The extraction well and the observation well installed in the same interval shared the same well number. For example extraction well CWA-1 is screened from 45 to 55 ft. bgs and its corresponding observation well OWA-1, installed approximately 19 feet away, is screened from 45 to 50 in the same lithologic interval. These corresponding constructions were performed to improve the data collected during the individual SVE step tests.

# 6.1 April 20, 2010 SVE Step Test - Onsite Wells CWA-1,2,3

Three separate step tests were conducted on April 20, 2010 utilizing well cluster A. Wells CWA-1, CWA-2 and CWA-3 were utilized as the SVE wells. During each 2+-hour step test, the system vacuum was incrementally increased at approximately 40 minute intervals. System measurements that were recorded included system vacuum (measured as in. of Hg), system flow (measured in actual cubic feet per minute (acfm), Thermox exhaust stack temperature {measured in degrees Fahrenheit (°F)}, inlet vapor concentration {measured

in parts per million (ppm)}. Field measurements collected include static depth to water measurements [measured in feet below top of casing elevation (ft. BTOC)], extraction well head pressure (measured as in. of Hg), and observation well head pressure measurements (measured as inches of water).

Generally, the SVE step test at each well was initiated a system vacuum of 7 in. of Hg, and then increased to 9 in. of Hg vacuum after 40 minutes, then increased again to 11-12 in. of Hg vacuum for the final 40 minutes of each step. The system and field data collected for each step test are summarized in **Appendix I**.

# 6.2 April 21, 2010 SVE Step Test – Offsite/Downgradient Wells CWB-1,2,3

Three separate step tests were conducted on April 21, 2010. Wells CWB-1, CWB-2 and CWB-3 were utilized as the SVE wells. The same general system and field measurements recorded on April 20, 2010 were recorded again during this test. Generally, the SVE step test at each well was initiated a system vacuum of 7 in. of Hg, and then increased to 9 in. of Hg vacuum after 40 minutes, then increased again to 11-12 in. of Hg vacuum for the final 40 minutes of each step. The system and field data collected for each step test are summarized in **Appendix I**.

#### 6.3 April 22, 2010 Combined SVE Step Test – Onsite & Offsite Extraction Wells

The combined extraction test was performed in two phase. The first phase, starting at 8:30 am ran until 1:05 pm. The extraction wells utilized were CWA-1, 2 & 3 and CWB-1 & 2. CWB-3 was not utilized during the combined test. During the previous days step testelevated concentrations of the received vapors at vacuums higher than 2 in. of Hg forced a shutdown of the Thermox treatment unit. The second phase, which started at 1:05 pm focused on utilizing only the onsite extraction wells, CWA-1, 2 & 3, for extraction.

Due to the setup of the extraction manifold to the SVE blower, individual extraction well flow rates and pressures could not be collected. **Appendix I** reports the total system flow rates and pressures, which in Phase 1 of the combined test includes extraction wells CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 and in Phase 2 of the combined tests includes only CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3.

#### 6.4 SVE Pilot Test Results

The purpose of the SVE Pilot test was to determine the feasibility of the technology for site cleanup by establishing optimum system operating conditions with individual steps tests, determining the radius of influence for extraction wells installed in specific soil lithologies and depths and to determine the effluent concentrations, mass removal rates and operational requirements for a future off-gas treatment system. General industry practices for SVE test analysis consider a measured vacuum at an observation well of 1% of the applied/system vacuum or greater to be a significant indicator of influence. Note that system and extraction wellhead vacuums, recorded as in. of Hg and were converted to inches of water using the following conversion: 1.0 in. of Hg equals 13.6 inches of water. Due to the dipping and extensive interbedded lithology encountered at this site, and the presence of non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPL) in several wells, Delta assumes 0.5% as a significant indicator of SVE influence. Other variables affecting the SVE feasibility determination include measured recovered air flow rates and the calculated hydrocarbon mass removal rates.

# 6.5 April 20, 2010 – Onsite SVE Step Test

Prior to initiating the step test, the static depth to water measurement at the site (collected from site well MW-2C) was 75.83 ft. bgs. A small amount of water was found in the bottom of the casings of the onsite extraction and observation wells, however this negligible volume is assumed to be due to condensation inside the casing and is not reflective of an actual water table.

#### 6.5.1 Extraction at CWA-1

The first SVE step test conducted on April 20, 2010 used well CWA-1 as the extraction point. CWA-1 is the deep interval extraction well (44 to 55 ft bgs). The boring log indicates that this screen interval is installed mostly in stiff lean clay, with a small interval of gravely clay with sand at the bottom. The follow results are noted

- The induced well head vacuum at CWA-1 was raised incrementally between -6.5 and -12 in. of Hg at flow rates varying between 15.4 and 21.7 standard cubic feet per minute (scfm).
- The 0.5% measured vacuum that would be considered significant over the same extraction vacuum is 4.4 to 8.1 inches of water vacuum.

- The average concentration of influent vapor stream was 199 ppm. This data was collected using a field calibrated photo ionization detector (PID).
- During this step test, an estimated 0.089 pounds (lbs) of hydrocarbons were recovered from this well. This corresponds to an initial extraction rate of 0.94 lbs/day from this well. This calculation is based on the influent PID readings collected, the calculated SCM flow rate and the length of this step test.
- The corresponding deep screened onsite observation well, OWA-1, did not show significant influence (> 0.5% induced vacuum) from the SVE system. The highest well head vacuum recorded in OWA-1 during the step test was 0.30 inches of water vacuum.
- No significant influence (> 0.5% induced vacuum) was noted on the shallow screened extraction and observation wells (CWA-3 and OWA-3) or the intermediate screened extraction and observation wells (CWA-2 & OWA-2). No influence between the interbedded layers is expected for SVE wells screened similarly to CWA-1. Generally, most recorded well head vacuums in these points were less than 0.10 inches of water to not measured.
- No significant influence (> 0.5% induced vacuum) was noted on monitoring well MW-2C. This well, located 36.5 feet away from extraction well CWA-1, is screened from 80 to 82 feet. The highest well head vacuum recorded in MW-2C during the step test was 0.35 inches of water vacuum.

# 6.5.2 Extraction at CWA-2

The second SVE step test conducted on April 20, 2010 used well CWA-2 as the extraction point. CWA-2 is the intermediate interval extraction well (35.5 to 40 ft bgs). The adjacent boring log for well CWA-1 indicates that CWA-2's screen interval is likely installed in clayey gravel and sandy gravel with clay units. The follow results are noted

- The induced well head vacuum at CWA-2 was raised incrementally between -7.15 and -11.02 in. of Hg at flow rates varying between 14.2 and 22.3 scfm.
- The 0.5% measured vacuum that would be considered significant over the same extraction vacuum is 4.8 to 7.4 inches of water vacuum.
- The average concentration of influent vapor stream was 2,688 ppm.

- During this step test, an estimated 1.518 lbs of hydrocarbons were recovered from this well. This corresponds to an initial extraction rate of 14.9 lbs/day from this well. This calculation is based on the influent PID readings collected, the calculated SCM flow rate and the length of this step test.
- The corresponding deep screened onsite observation well, OWA-2, did not show significant influence (> 0.5% induced vacuum) from the SVE system. The highest well head vacuum recorded in OWA-1 during the step test was 0.70 in. of water vacuum.
- No significant influence (> 0.5% induced vacuum) was noted on the shallow screened extraction well (CWA-3) or the deep screened extraction well (CWA-1). However, well heads pressures as high as 2.0 and 1.7 inches of water vacuum were noted in CWA-1 and CWA-3, respectively during the step test. These wells are located approximately 7 feet away from extraction well CWA-2.
- No significant influence (> 0.5% induced vacuum) was noted on the shallow screened observation well (OWA-3) or the deep screened observation well (OWA-1). These wells are located approximately 24.3 feet away from extraction well CWA-2. Well head vacuums at OWA-1 were generally not recorded, while reported well head vacuums in OWA-3 ranged from 0.01 to 0.60 inches of water.
- No significant influence (> 0.5% induced vacuum) between the interbedded layers is expected for SVE wells screened similarly to CWA-2. There may however be value in closely spaced intermediate zoned SVE wells to address vadose zone impacts in that interval.
- No measured well head vacuums were recorded in MW-2C during the step test in CWA-2. This well is located 38.4 feet away from extraction well CWA-2, is screened from 80 to 82 feet.

# 6.5.3 Extraction at CWA-3

The third SVE step test conducted on April 20, 2010 used well CWA-3 as the extraction point. CWA-3 is the shallow interval extraction well (30 to 35 ft bgs). The boring log for the adjacent extraction well CWA-1 indicates that CWA-3's screen interval is likely installed mostly in silty lithology. The follow results are noted

• The induced well head vacuum at CWA-3 was raised incrementally between -6.98 and -11.18 in. of Hg at flow rates varying between 24.7 and 33.8 scfm.

- The 0.5% measured vacuum that would be considered significant over the same extraction vacuum is 4.4 to 7.5 inches of water vacuum.
- The average concentration of influent vapor stream was 2,873 ppm.
- During this step test, an estimated 2.608 lbs of hydrocarbons were recovered from this well. This corresponds to an initial extraction rate of 26.16 lbs/day from this well. This calculation is based on the influent PID readings collected, the calculated SCM flow rate and the length of this step test.
- The corresponding shallow screened onsite observation well, OWA-3, did not show significant influence (> 0.5% induced vacuum) from the SVE system. The well head vacuum recorded in OWA-3 during the step test ranged from 1.45 to 2.6 inches of water vacuum.
- Slightly positive (0.20 to 0.30 positive inches of water) well head pressure readings were recorded from the deep screened extraction well CWA-1 during this phase of the step test. This positive reading was re-verified after meter and gauge recalibrations in the field. The positive pressure readings cannot be readily explained, but may be due to the movement and or displacement of water during the SVE step test. Further evaluation may be needed to confirm this hypothesis.
- No measured well head vacuums were recorded in deep observation well OWA-1 or MW-2C during the step test in CWA-3.
- OWA-2 and CWA-2 both showed influences (some > 0.5% induced vacuum from the SVE extraction. It is likely that the intermediate clayey/sandy gravel unit that these wells are installed in is also contiguous with a portion of the lithology that intersects screen interval in CWA-3. A graph presented the radius of influence (ROI) determination for this well (at the various well head vacuums) is presented as Appendix I. Using 0.5 % as significant, the estimated ROI for SVE wells installed in this unit is approximately 8 feet.

# 6.6 April 21, 2010 – Offsite SVE Step Test

Prior to initiating the step test, the static depth to water measurement at the site (collected from offsite well MW-5) was 67.78 ft. bgs. A small amount of water was found in the bottom of the casings of the onsite extraction and observation wells, however this negligible volume is assumed to be due to condensation inside the casing and is not reflective of an actual water table.

# 6.6.1 Extraction at CWB-1

The first SVE step test conducted on April 21, 2010 used well CWB-1 as the extraction point. CWB-1 is the deep interval extraction well (55 to 65 ft bgs). The boring log indicates that this screen interval is installed mostly in silty-gravel going towards gravelly sand. The follow results are noted

- The induced well head vacuum at CWB-1 was raised incrementally between -7.07 and -12.07 in. of Hg at flow rates varying between 69.6 and 84.5 scfm.
- The 0.5% measured vacuum that would be considered significant over the same extraction vacuum is 4.8 to 8.2 inches of water vacuum.
- The average concentration of influent vapor stream was 3,986 ppm.
- During this step test, an estimated 9.590 lbs of hydrocarbons were recovered from this well. This corresponds to an initial extraction rate of 102.29 lbs/day from this well. This calculation is based on the influent PID readings collected, the calculated SCM flow rate and the length of this step test.
- The corresponding deep screened onsite observation well, OWA-1, did show significant influence (> 0.5% induced vacuum and >1.0% induced vacuum) from the SVE system. A graph presented the radius ROI determination for this well (at the various well head vacuums) is presented as Appendix I. Using 0.5% as significant, the estimated ROI for SVE wells installed in this unit is approximately 16.9 feet. Using 1.0% as significant, the estimated ROI for SVE wells installed ROI for SVE wells installed in this unit is approximately 21.7 feet.
- No significant influence (> 0.5% induced vacuum) was noted on the shallow screened extraction and observation wells (CWB-3 and OWB-3) or the intermediate screened extraction and observation wells (CWB-2 & OWB-2). No influence between the interbedded layers is expected for SVE wells screened similarly to CWB-1. Generally, most recorded well head vacuums in these points were less than 0.10 inches of water to not measured.
- A significant influence (> 0.5% induced vacuum and >1.0 % induced vacuum) was noted in monitoring well MW-5. This well, located 12.8 feet away from extraction well CWB-1, is screened from 52 to 72 ft bgs in the same expected lithologic unit as CWB-1.

# 6.6.2 Extraction at CWB-2

The second SVE step test conducted on April 21, 2010 used well CWB-2 as the extraction point. CWA-2 is the intermediate interval extraction well (47 to 57 ft bgs). The adjacent boring log for CWB-1 indicates that CWB-2's screen interval is likely installed in lean clay. The follow results are noted

- The induced well head vacuum at CWB-2 was raised incrementally between -7.19 and -12.03 in. of Hg at flow rates varying between 48.9 and 71.2 scfm.
- The 0.5% measured vacuum that would be considered significant over the same extraction vacuum is 4.8 to 8.2 inches of water vacuum.
- The average concentration of influent vapor stream was 3,283 ppm.
- During this step test, an estimated 6.291 lbs of hydrocarbons were recovered from this well. This corresponds to an initial extraction rate of 63.14 lbs/day from this well. This calculation is based on the influent PID readings collected, the calculated SCM flow rate and the length of this step test.
- Slightly positive (0.0 to 0.20 positive inches of water) well head pressure readings were recorded from all (CWB-1, CWB-3, OWB-1, OWB-2, OWB-3 and MW-5) other observation, extraction and monitoring wells during this step test. These positive readings were re-verified after meter and gauge recalibrations in the field. The positive pressure readings cannot be readily explained, but may be due to the movement and or displacement of water during the SVE step test. Further evaluation may be needed to confirm this hypothesis. As a result, no ROI could be determined for this well or wells installed in this same unit.
- Despite the slightly positive well head pressures observed in the other wells, air was extracted at an appreciable flow rate during this step test. It is possible that lithology varies slightly between CWB-1 and this well and that a separate, more permeable interbedded layer intersects CWB-2's well screen. Another hypothesis for the measured flow is that because the CWB-2's well screen is thought to be screened across a lower permeable lean clay unit, the recovered vapors may be coming from bottom of the well screen from the more permeable silty gravel unit that starts at 57 ft. bgs.

# 6.6.3 Extraction at CWB-3

The third SVE step test conducted on April 21, 2010 used well CWB-3 as the extraction point. CWB-3 is the shallow interval extraction well (30 to 40 ft bgs). The adjacent boring log for well CWB-1 indicates that CWA-3's screen interval is likely installed mostly in silty sand to poorly graded sand to silty gravel lithology. The follow results are noted

- The induced well head vacuum at CWB-3 could not be step tested. Due to the concentrations of the recovered vapors, the Thermox unit started to reach the high operating end of its temperature curve. Several times the system was shutdown due to an over-temperature alarm condition. The test in CWB-1was operated as pressures between -1.87 and -2.00 in. of Hg at extraction well head flow rates varying between 64 and 67 scfm.
- The 0.5% measured vacuum that would be considered significant over the same extraction vacuum is approximately 1.4 inches of water vacuum.
- The average concentration of influent vapor stream was 2,873 ppm.
- During this step test, an estimated 2.332 lbs of hydrocarbons were recovered from this well. This corresponds to an initial extraction rate of 49.0704 lbs/day from this well. This calculation is based on the influent PID readings collected, the calculated SCM flow rate and the length of this step test.
- Almost every well head pressure readings recorded from all (CWB-1, CWB-3, OWB-1, OWB-2, OWB-3 and MW-5) other observation, extraction and monitoring wells were significant (> 0.5% induced vacuum and some >1.0% induced vacuum) during this step test. This influence was not expected or from the deep screened extraction and monitoring wells.
- The corresponding shallow screened onsite observation well OWB-3, and intermediate screened extraction well CWB-2 did show significant influence (> 0.5% induced vacuum and >1.0% induced vacuum) from the SVE system. A graph presented the radius ROI determination for this well (at the various well head vacuums) is presented as Appendix I. Using 0.5% as significant, the estimated ROI for SVE wells installed in this unit is approximately 53.4 feet. Using 1.0% as significant, the estimated ROI for SVE wells installed ROI for SVE wells installed in this unit is approximately 53.4 feet.

### 6.7 April 22, 2010 – Combined Onsite and Offsite SVE Test

As note above, the combined extraction test was performed in two phase. The first phase, starting at 8:30 am ran until 1:05 pm. The extraction wells utilized were CWA-1, 2 & 3 and CWB-1 & 2. CWB-3 was not utilized during the combined tests as balancing the induced vacuum against the recovered vapors concentrations during the previous days test proved to be problematic. The second phase, which started at 1:05 pm focused on utilizing only the onsite extraction wells, CWA-1, 2 & 3, for extraction.

Prior to initiating the combined extraction test using both onsite and offsite wells, static depth to water measurement were collected from offsite well MW-5 (67.87 ft. bgs) and onsite well MW-2C (75.39 ft. bgs). These measurements were repeated at the end of the test, and found that that the DTW in these wells had changed by less than 0.10 feet.

# 6.7.1 Phase 1: Extraction at CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2

The follow results are noted

- The induced system vacuum at was set at approximately -7.0 in. of Hg at flow rates averaging 51 scfm.
- The average concentration of influent vapor stream was 4,431 ppm.
- During the total combined extraction test (Phase 1 and Phase 2), an estimated 21.02
   Ibs of hydrocarbons were recovered from this well. This corresponds to an initial
   extraction rate of 65.07 lbs/day from this well. This calculation is based on the
   influent PID readings collected, the calculated SCM flow rate and the length of this
   step test.
- Two air samples were collected during this phase, at 9:30pm and 12:30 pm. These samples were submitted under Chain-of-Custody (COC) procedures to TestAmerica, a state of California certified laboratory (NELAC Certification No. E87652). The air samples were analyzed for GRO, BTEX and MTBE. Copies of the laboratory analytical reports can be found in Appendix I. The analytical results and calculated recovery mass are summarized in Appendix I.
- Based on the analytical air data, an estimated 147.504 pounds of hydrocarbons were recovered during this phase. It should be noted that this is an order of magnitude

higher estimate than the measurements based purely on PID monitoring of the influent air stream.

- Slightly positive (0.01 to 0.50 positive inches of water) well head pressure readings were recorded from well MW-2C and observation well OWA-1. These positive readings were re-verified after meter and gauge recalibrations in the field.
- Significant influence (> 0.5% induced vacuum and >1.0% induced vacuum) from the SVE system was detected at OWB-1 and MW-5. Influence was detected in other observation wells, but not at >0.5% induced vacuum. This may indicate the preferential extraction of vapors from the more permeable units some of the offsite extraction wells are screened in.

# 6.7.2 Phase 2: Extraction at CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3

The follow results are noted

- The induced system vacuum at was set at approximately -11.0 in. of Hg at flow rates averaging 54 scfm.
- The average concentration of influent vapor stream was 3,004 ppm.
- During the total combined extraction test (Phase 1 and Phase 2), an estimated 21.02
   Ibs of hydrocarbons were recovered from this well. This corresponds to an initial
   extraction rate of 65.07 lbs/day from this well. This calculation is based on the
   influent PID readings collected, the calculated SCM flow rate and the length of this
   step test.
- One air samples were collected during this phase, at 3:30 pm. This sample was submitted to TestAmerica, for analysis. The air sample was analyzed for GRO, BTEX and MTBE. Copies of the laboratory analytical reports can be found in Appendix I. The analytical results and calculated recovery mass are summarized in Appendix I.
- Based on the analytical air data, an estimated 227.062 pounds of hydrocarbons were recovered during this phase. It should be noted that this is an order of magnitude higher estimate than the measurements based purely on PID monitoring of the influent air stream.
- Despite halting SVE in the offsite extraction wells, vacuum influence from the SVE system was still measured from the onsite extraction well, although the influence was > 0.5% of the induced vacuum.

- The positive pressures measured in MW-2C changed to negative vacuum levels once the offsite extraction was halted.
- No significant influence (>0.5% induced vacuum) was measured in any onsite observation or monitoring wells during Phase 2.

# 6.8 SVE Pilot Test Conclusions

Based on the three day SVE Step Test, the following general conclusions can be inferred:

- Onsite extraction pressures ranging from -6.5 to -11.0 in. of Hg induced flow rates ranging from 14 to 34 scfm; and offsite extraction well pressures ranging from -2.0 to -12.0 in. of Hg induced flow rates ranging from 49 to 82 scfm. Generally the higher flow rates were measured when extraction occurred in the sandy/gravely units, decreasing when extracted from silty units, and showing little to no influence measured in lean clay units.
- Despite the extraction flow rates and variable ROI, SVE was effective at removing almost 372 pounds of petroleum hydrocarbons during the 6.5 hour combined SVE test on April 22, 2010.
- The calculated ROI for wells installed varied depending on the lithologic unit the extraction screen interval was exposed across. Using 0.5% induced vacuum as a significant indicator of SVE influence, the ROIs varied between 8.0 and 53.4 feet, averaging 20.9 ft. Using 1.0% induced vacuum as a significant indicator of SVE influence, the ROIs varied between 15.5 and 21.0 feet, averaging 18.3 feet.

Generally, SVE is a feasible remedial technology for the removal of vadose soil impacts at the site. SVE is not an effective strategy in the lean clay to silty-clay interbedded layers at the site, but is feasible in the more permeable sandy to silty units. Future remedial evaluations should include a cost effectiveness evaluation of this technology due to the diminished ROI and number of expected wells to cover the plume area. Careful well installations practices, including the collection of continuous cores in the expected screen interval zone, should be conducted to ensure optimal placement of and future SVE wells. Feasibility Study and Additional Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report ConocoPhillips Site# 7376 Pleasanton, CA Page 30 of 34

#### 7.0 GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION FEASIBILITY STUDY

The following sections provide details regarding the GWE FS and field testing program.

### 7.1 Step Drawdown Test

On April 26, 2010, Delta attempted to perform a step drawdown test utilizing well MW-5. Well MW-5 is located in the Alameda County corridor (**Figure 2**). The well has historically contained SPH and the highest concentration of dissolved petroleum hydrocarbons. SPH was encountered in soil in the boring for the adjacent SVE well cluster CWA.

### 7.1.2 Well MW-5

Well MW-5 is 72 feet deep constructed of 2-inch diameter PVC casing and well screen. The well screen extends from 52 feet bgs to 72 feet bgs with 0.010-inch slots.

The boring for well drilled on July 23, 1996, encountered three soil zones 1) interlayered silt (ML), silty gravel (GM), well graded gravel (GW), well graded sand (SW), and silty sand to a depth of approximately 32.5 feet bgs, 2) silt (ML) from 32.5 feet bgs to 57.5 feet bgs, 3) interlayered silty sand (SM), well graded sand (SW), clay (CL), and clayey sand (SC) was encountered from 57.5 feet bgs to the bottom of the boring at 73.5 feet bgs.

# 7.1.3 Step Drawdown Test

On April 26, 2010, the depth to groundwater in well MW-5 was 66.74 feet BTOC with a total well depth of 72.80 feet. Available drawdown was 6.06 feet. A submersible pump was lowered to the bottom of the well reducing available drawdown to approximately 5 feet. The pump was initially set at 1.25 gallons per minute (gpm), however, the well dewatered within 6 minutes. The well was allowed to recover and was pumped at a minimal rate of 0.25 gpm. The pump shut off after approximately 16 minutes. The depth to water in the well was measured at 70.0 feet BTOC.

The pump was withdrawn from the well. The pump and bottom 4 feet of hose was covered with an oily substance. The SVE test performed on adjacent well cluster B, may have temporarily increased the SPH level in well MW-5. The pump was cleaned and replaced into the well. The pump ran for several minutes and then shutdown. The pump was unable to operate in what appeared to be a SPH environment. The sustainable pumping rate for the
well is estimated to be less than 0.25 gpm. A special pump capable of operating in a SPH will be required.

Delta decided that a longer term constant rate pumping test was not feasible.

## 7.1.4 Water in Well CWB-3

During the MW-5 field test, groundwater was detected in cluster B, well 3 (shallowest) at a depth of 39 feet bgs. Delta collected a grab sample by disposal bailer and decanted it into 40 ml acid preserved VOA bottles. The sample bottles were placed on ice and shipped to PACE laboratory for analysis. The laboratory reported the water sample to contain 7,200 ug/L TPPH (GRO), 910 ug/L TPH-D, 1,700 ug/L benzene, and 1,300 ug/L MTBE. The laboratory report contained a note that the TPH-D "chromatograph not typical of diesel."

## 7.1.5 Additional Water Levels

Delta returned to the site on May 4, approximately one week after the attempted step drawdown test. Depth to groundwater was measured in wells CWB-1 through CWB\_3 and well MW-5. Wells CWB-1 and CWB-3 had less than 6-inches of water in the casing. Well CWB-2 (screened from 47 to 57 feet bgs) had approximately 1.6 feet of water in the casing and approximately 0.01 feet of SPH. The depth to water in well MW-5 was 67.25 feet BTOC. No SPH was detected at the air/water interface.

## 7.2 Conclusions

GWE does not appear to be a feasible remediation method due to the generally low permeability of much of soil beneath the site and the viscosity of the "heavy" relatively insoluble oil encountered in well MW-5 and other borings.

## **8.0 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The site is underlain by gently dipping layers of sediment ranging from clay and silt to sand and gravel. Most soils are a mixture of clay/silt and sand. Depth to groundwater in the site area is approximately 70 feet bgs. Petroleum hydrocarbons, both as gasoline and crude oil, have migrated down through the vadose zone to the north and northeast. Petroleum hydrocarbons were detected throughout the vadose zone including the presence of SPH in selected vadose zone samples. The low solubility of the crude oil resulted in its concentration in the area of well MW-5 where groundwater was encountered by the downward migrating oil. The more soluble gasoline components dissolved into the groundwater and began migrating to the northeast. The downgradient extent of MTBE, the most soluble gasoline component was not defined by the recently installed well MW-13.

SVE is a feasible remedial technology for the removal of vadose soil impacts at the site. SVE is not an effective strategy in the lean clay to silty-clay interbedded layers at the site, but is feasible in the more permeable sandy to silty units. SVE was effective at removing almost 372 pounds of petroleum hydrocarbons during the 6.5 hour combined SVE test on April 22, 2010.

Groundwater extraction does not appear to be a viable remedial solution to removal of petroleum hydrocarbons from groundwater. The low permeable of soils reduces extraction rates to less than 0.25 gpm. The area of influence is limited. The ability to withdraw "crude oil" is also limited by conventional groundwater pumping methods.

Delta recommends the preparation of Corrective Action Plan (CAP)to using the data from this feasibility study The CAP will present details of a SVE system capable of removing mass from the vadose zone and presenting alternatives for remediation of impacted groundwater.

## 9.0 REMARKS

The descriptions, conclusions, and recommendations contained in this report represent Delta's professional opinions based upon the currently available information and are arrived at in accordance with currently acceptable professional standards. For any reports cited that were not generated by Delta, the data from those reports is used "as is" and is assumed to be accurate. Delta does not guarantee the accuracy of this data for the referenced work performed nor the inferences or conclusions stated in these reports. This report is based upon a specific scope of work requested by the client. The Contract between Delta and its client outlines the scope of work, and only those tasks specifically authorized by that contract or outlined in this report were conducted. This report is intended only for the use of Delta's Client and anyone else specifically listed on this report. Delta will not and cannot be liable for unauthorized reliance by any other third party. Other than as contained in this paragraph, Delta makes no express or implied warranty as to the contents of this report.

#### **10.0 REFERENCES**

Pleasanton Fire Department, Company Inspection Record, November 8, 1982.

- Valley Times Newspaper, Gas Tank Rupture Forces Evacuation, Volume 97, No. 230, November 23, 1982.
- Heath, Ralph C., <u>Basic Ground-Water Hydrology: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply</u> <u>Paper 2220</u>, 1983.
- Pleasanton Fire Department, Fire Incident Report, February 20, 1984.
- Jack Lavey, Complaint Report, January 7, 1985.
- Pleasanton Fire Department, Spill/Leak Reporting Form, February 8, 1985.
- Environmental Laboratories Inc., Petro Tite System Test, Armour Oil Company No. 188, First and Ray Streets, Pleasanton, California, July 30, 1987.
- Applied GeoSciences, Report: Supplemental Subsurface Environmental Investigation, ARCO Service Station Armour Oil Company No. 188, First and Ray Streets, Pleasanton, California, September 9, 1987.
- Unocal Corporation, General Arrangement SS 7376 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, November 17, 1987.
- J. Yang and Associates, *Evaluation and Inspection Report*, September 16, 1994.
- Unocal Corporation, Underground Storage Tank Unauthorization Release (Leak)/ Contamination Site Report, October 17, 1994.
- Applied GeoSciences (1994), Report: Supplemental Subsurface Environmental Investigation, ARCO Service Station Armour Oil Company No. 188, First and Ray Streets, Pleasanton, California, November 3, 1994.
- Alameda Health Care Services Agency, Correspondence Letter: (Former) San Diego Armour Oil Company Station, 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, Alameda County, California, November 14, 1994.
- Kaprealian Engineering Inc. (1994), *Pumpability Project Report Unocal Service Station* #7376, 4191 1<sup>st</sup> Street, Pleasanton, California, October 21, 1994.
- Enviros, Inc. (1995), Phase I Site Assessment Report, Unocal 7376, 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, California, February 24, 1995.
- Kaprealian Engineering Inc. (1995), Continuing Ground Water Investigation at Unocal Service Station #7376, 4191 1<sup>st</sup> Street, Pleasanton, California, April 27, 1995.
- Kaprealian Engineering Inc. (1996), *Continuing Ground Water Investigation at Unocal* Service Station #7376, 4191 1<sup>st</sup> Street, Pleasanton, California, November 4, 1996.

- Entrix (1997), Forensic Geochemical Analysis of Free Product from MW-5, UNOCAL SS#7376, Pleasanton, CA, December 12, 1997.
- Gettler-Ryan Inc. (1999), Well Installation and Soil Boring Report at Tosco 76 Branded Facility No. 7376, 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, California, March 4, 1999.
- Gettler-Ryan Inc. (2000), Subsurface Investigation Report at Tosco 76 Branded Facility No. 7376, 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, California, May 9, 2000.
- Gettler-Ryan Inc. (2002), Offsite Subsurface Investigation Report at Tosco 76 Branded Facility No. 7376, 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, California, January 18, 2002.
- Gettler-Ryan Inc. (2002a), Offsite Subsurface Investigation Report at Tosco 76 Branded Facility No. 7376, 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, California, March 20, 2002.
- California Department of Water Resources (2003), <u>Bulletin 118</u> Updated 2003, California's Groundwater, October 2003.
- TRC (2005), Summary of PRP Search Findings, Former Unocal Service Station #7376, Pleasanton, California, April 6, 2005.
- Alameda County Water District (ACWD 1993-2006), Zone 7 Water Agency, <u>Well Master</u> <u>Plan</u>, 1993-2006.
- USGS (2006) R.W. Graymer, B.C. Moring, G.J. Saucedo, C.M. Wentworth, E.E. Brabb, and K.L. Knudsen (U. S. Geological Survey), *Geologic Map of the San Francisco Bay Region*, 2006
- Delta Consultants (2006), Initial Site Conceptual Model (September 2005), Shell-branded Service Station 4226 First Street, Pleasanton, California, February 6, 2006.
- Delta Consultants (2006a), Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report, Shell-branded Service Station 4226 First Street, Pleasanton, California, October 31, 2006
- Delta Consultants (2008), Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report, 76 Service Station No. 7376, 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, California, May 20, 2008.
- Delta Consultants (2009), Dual-Phase Extraction Pilot Test Report, Shell-branded Service Station, 4226 First Street, Pleasanton, California, February 12, 2009.
- TRC (2009), Quarterly Monitoring Report January through March 2009, 76 Station 7376, 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, California, April 17, 2009.
- Delta Consultants (2009a), Report *on Groundwater Monitoring Well Replacement and Additional Investigation, 76 Service Station No. 7376, 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, CA*, July 22, 2009.
- Delta Consultants (2009b), Site Conceptual Model, 76 Station NO. 7376, 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, California, September 15, 2009.

TABLES

 Table 1: Soil Analytical Data

 76 Service Station No. 7376

 4191 First Street

 Pleasanton, California

	Ethyl-																					
	Sample TPPH		TPPH TPH-d		Benzene		benzene		Toluene		Xylenes		MTBE		TBA		TPH-Crude Oil					
Sample	Sample	Depth	Sample	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg mg/kg			mg/kg		mg/kg		mg/kg		mg/kg		mg/kg	1		mg/kg	
Location	Name	(feet)	Date	EPA 826	0B	EPA 8015	Mod.	EPA 8260	в	EPA 826	в	EPA 826	0B	EPA 820	50B	EPA 8260	в	NIA		NIA		
CVVB-1	CWB-1@23.5-25	23.5-25	03/29/10	ND< 0.2		<2.0		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.01		ND< 0.005		INA		INA		
CVVB-1	CWB-1@33.5-35	33.5-35	03/29/10	0.62		<2.0		0.024		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.01		0.12		NA		NA		
CWB-1	CWB-1@38.5-40	38.5-40	03/29/10	70	A01	270	A01	ND< 0.5	A01	ND< 0.5	A01	ND< 0.5	A01	ND< 1	A01	ND< 0.5	A01	NA		NA		
CWB-1	CWB-1@47-48.5	47-48.5	03/29/10	33	A01	49	A01	ND< 0.05	A01	ND< 0.05	A01	ND< 0.05	A01	ND< 0.1	A01	0.18	A01	NA		NA		
CWB-1	CWB-1@50-52	50-52	03/29/10	43	A01	58	A01	0.5	A01	0.079		0.051		5	A01	0.28		NA		NA		
CWB-1	CWB-1@55-57	55-57	03/29/10	42	A01	30		0.25	A01	0.41	A01	ND< 0.25	A01	2.2	A01	ND< 0.25	A01	NA		NA		
MW-13	MW-13@54-54.5	54-54.5	04/01/10	ND< 0.2		<2.0		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.01		ND< 0.005		NA		NA		
MW-13	MW-13@59-59.5	59-59.5	04/01/10	ND< 0.2		<2.0		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.01		ND< 0.005		NA		NA		
MW-13	MW-13@64.5-65	64.5-65	04/01/10	ND< 0.2		<2.0		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.01		0.0063		NA		NA		
MW-13	MW-13@69-69.5	69-69.5	04/01/10	ND< 0.2		<2.0		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.01		ND< 0.005		NA		NA		
CWA-1	CWA-1@17.5-18	17.5-18	04/05/10	1.2	A01	<2.0		ND< 0.01	A01	ND< 0.01	A01	ND< 0.01	A01	ND< 0.02	A01	0.67	A01	1.5	A01	ND< 10		
CWA-1	CWA-1@26-26.5	26-26.5	04/05/10	0.5		<2.0		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.01		0.21		1		ND< 10		
CWA-1	CWA-1@31-31.5	31-31.5	04/05/10	20	A01	120	A01	ND< 0.025	A01	ND< 0.025	A01	ND< 0.025	A01	ND< 0.05	A01	1.7	A01	2	A01		370	A01
CWA-1	CWA-1@36.5-37	36.5-37	04/05/10	350	A01	1000	A01	0.062		0.015		0.009	3	0.1		0.086		ND< 0.05			3,300	A01
CWA-1	CWA-1@44-44.5	44-44.5	04/05/10	ND< 0.2		<2.0		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.01		0.09		0.2		ND< 10		
CWA-1	CWA-1@52.5-53	52.5-53	04/05/10	ND< 0.2		<2.0		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005		ND< 0.01		0.015		ND< 0.05		ND< 10		
ESL				83		83		0.044		2.9		3.3		2.3		0.023		0.075			250	
Notes: mg/kg - mil ND - Not d NA - Not au TPPH - To TPH-d - To MTBE - Me TBA - Tert- ESL - Envi	Notes: mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram ND - Not detected above laboratory detection limits NA - Not analyzed TPPH - Total Purogeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons TPPH - Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as diesel MTBE - Methyl tert-butyl ether TBA - Tert-butyl alcohol ESL - Environmental Screening Level - Established by the RWQCB for deep soil.																					

Data Qualifiers and Definitions: A01 - PQL's and MDL's are raised due to sample dilution.

									Ethyl-				
		TPPH			TPH-d		Benzen	е	benzene	Toluene	Xylenes	MTBE	
Sample	Sample	ug/L			ug/L		ug/L		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	
Location	Date	EPA 8260	EPA 8015 Mod.			EPA 8260B		EPA 8260B	EPA 8260B	EPA 8260B	EPA 8260B		
MW-13	04/26/10	67	A90	ND	<	50	ND< 0.005		ND< 0.005	ND< 0.005	ND< 0.01	68	
CWB-3	04/26/10	7200	A01		910	A52	1700	A01	25	11	30	1300	A01
Notes: TPH-fuel c ug/L = mici ND - Not d NA - Not ai TPPH - To TPH-d - To MTBE - Me	bil #6 was det rograms per li etected above nalyzed tal Purgeable tal Petroleum athyl tert-butyl	ected in the samp ter e laboratory detection Petroleum Hydroca Hydrocarbons as of ether	ole fron on limit: arbons diesel	n MW-1 s	13 at 17	0 ug/L.							
Data Quali	ifiers and De	finitions:											
AU1 - PQL	s and MDL's a	are raised due to s	ample c	illution.									
A52 = chro	matogram no	t typical of diesel											
A90 = TPP	H does not e	chibit a "gasoline" p	attern.	IPPH	is entire	ely due t	0 MIBE						

FIGURES











FIGURE 4 CROSS SECTION B-B' TOTAL PURGEABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (TPH-G) 76 SERVICE STATION #7376 4191 FIRST STREET PLEASANTON CALLEORNIA							
PROJECT NO. C107376	PREPARED BY	DRAWN BY JH					
DATE	REVIEWED BY	FILE NAME					
4/28/10	10	76-7376					





APPENDIX A ACEH Letters Dated October 16, 2009 and January 6, 2010

# ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES AGENCY



ALEX BRISCOE, Acting Director

RECEIVED

007 9 5 7453

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

October 16, 2009

Mr. Terry Grayson ConocoPhillips Company 76 Broadway Sacramento, CA 95818 De L Liu and Na Li 922 Saddlehorn Court Danville, CA 94506

Mr. Henry O. Armour P.O. Box 2527 Olympia, WA 98507 CD & PWS Enterprises, Inc. 8998 Alcosta Boulevard San Ramon, CA 94583

Subject: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000361 and Geotracker Global ID T0600100101, Unocal #7376, 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, CA 94566 – Work Plan Approval

Dear Mr. Grayson:

Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the fuel leak case file for the abovereferenced site including the most recent document entitled, "*Site Conceptual Model (SCM), 76 Service Station #7376, RO# 361, 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, CA,*" dated September 30, 2009. The SCM was prepared on behalf of ConocoPhillips by Delta Environmental. The SCM summarizes site conditions and recommends installation of a monitoring well in the vicinity of CP-7 and preparation of a work plan for a feasibility study. These recommendations are acceptable and are to be implemented as discussed in the technical comments below.

A review of issues related to the Bunker C fuel tank, off-site remediation access issues, and schedule for additional investigation and remediation may be appropriate at this time. We suggest that you contact representatives of the County of Alameda Public Works Agency to arrange a meeting between ConocoPhillips, Delta Environmental, and the County of Alameda Public Works Agency to review these issues. ACEH will attend if requested.

We request that you address the technical comments below, perform the proposed work, and send us the reports requested below.

#### **TECHNICAL COMMENTS**

- Monitoring Well in Area of CP-7. We have no objection to the installation of a monitoring well in the area of CP-7. Please presents plans for the well installation in the Feasibility Study and Work Plan requested below.
- 2. Work Plan and Feasibility Study. We request that you prepare a Feasibility Study that screens and evaluates the feasibility of remedial technologies appropriate for the site and prepare a Work Plan to test the feasibility of the most promising technology or technologies. The Work Plan must describe the field feasibility testing in sufficient detail for ACEH to approve implementation of the feasibility testing. The feasibility testing must include sufficient data collection and monitoring to evaluate the potential for the technology to remediate the targeted areas and vertical intervals and to estimate the time required to achieve cleanup. We request that the Work Plan include the installation of sufficient

Terry Grayson Henry O. Armour De L Liu and Na Li CD & PWS Enterprises RO0000361 October 16, 2009 Page 2

> monitoring points to assess whether stratigraphic or structural discontinuities are present that will limit the radius of influence of injection or extraction points. Monitoring the area and vertical interval over which injection or extraction achieves influence will also help to assess the accuracy of existing cross sections and our understanding of the site hydrogeology. Please include these plans in the Feasibility Study and Work Plan requested below.

3. **Groundwater Monitoring.** Please continue semi-annual groundwater monitoring and present the results in the groundwater monitoring reports requested below.

## TECHNICAL REPORT REQUEST

Please submit technical reports to Alameda County Environmental Health (Attention: Jerry Wickham), according to the following schedule:

- December 22, 2009 Feasibility Study and Work Plan
- January 18, 2010 Semi-annual Groundwater Monitoring Report, Fourth Quarter 2009
- July 18, 2010 Semi-annual Groundwater Monitoring Report, Second Quarter 2010

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654, and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

#### ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS

ACEH's Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of reports in electronic form. The electronic copy replaces paper copies and is expected to be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities. Instructions for submission of electronic documents to the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Program FTP site are provided on the attached "Electronic Report Upload Instructions." Submission of reports to the Alameda County FTP site is an addition to existing requirements for electronic submittal of information to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Geotracker website. In September 2004, the SWRCB adopted regulations that require electronic submittal of information for all groundwater cleanup programs. For several years, responsible parties for cleanup of leaks from underground storage tanks (USTs) have been required to submit groundwater analytical data, surveyed locations of monitoring wells, and other data to the Geotracker database over the Internet. Beginning July 1, 2005, these same reporting requirements were added to Spills, Leaks, Investigations, and Cleanup (SLIC) sites. Beginning July 1, 2005, electronic submittal of a complete copy of all reports for all sites is required in Geotracker (in PDF format). Please visit the SWRCB website for more information on these requirements (http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/ust/cleanup/electronic reporting).

Terry Grayson Henry O. Armour De L Liu and Na Li CD & PWS Enterprises RO0000361 October 16, 2009 Page 3

#### PERJURY STATEMENT

All work plans, technical reports, or technical documents submitted to ACEH must be accompanied by a cover letter from the responsible party that states, at a minimum, the following: "I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge." This letter must be signed by an officer or legally authorized representative of your company. Please include a cover letter satisfying these requirements with all future reports and technical documents submitted for this fuel leak case.

## PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION & CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The California Business and Professions Code (Sections 6735, 6835, and 7835.1) requires that work plans and technical or implementation reports containing geologic or engineering evaluations and/or judgments be performed under the direction of an appropriately registered or certified professional. For your submittal to be considered a valid technical report, you are to present site specific data, data interpretations, and recommendations prepared by an appropriately licensed professional and include the professional registration stamp, signature, and statement of professional certification. Please ensure all that all technical reports submitted for this fuel leak case meet this requirement.

#### UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLEANUP FUND

Please note that delays in investigation, later reports, or enforcement actions may result in your becoming ineligible to receive grant money from the state's Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Senate Bill 2004) to reimburse you for the cost of cleanup.

#### AGENCY OVERSIGHT

If it appears as though significant delays are occurring or reports are not submitted as requested, we will consider referring your case to the Regional Board or other appropriate agency, including the County District Attorney, for possible enforcement actions. California Health and Safety Code, Section 25299.76 authorizes enforcement including administrative action or monetary penalties of up to \$10,000 per day for each day of violation.

Terry Grayson Henry O. Armour De L Liu and Na Li CD & PWS Enterprises RO0000361 October 16, 2009 Page 4

If you have any questions, please call me at 510-567-6791 or send me an electronic mail message at jerry.wickham@acgov.org.

Sincerely,

Jerry Wickham, California PG 3766, CEG 1177, and CHG 297 Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist

Enclosure: ACEH Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions

cc: Cheryl Dizon, QIC 80201, Zone 7 Water Agency, 100 North Canyons Parkway, Livermore, CA 94551

Danielle Stefani, Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department, 3560 Nevada Street Pleasanton, CA 94566

Les Hausrath, Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean, 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor, Oakland, CA 94607

Rory MacNeil, Alameda County Public Works, 399 Elmhurst Street, Hayward, CA 94544-1307

John Reay, Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc., 11050 White Rock Road, Suite 110, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Donna Drogos, ACEH Jerry Wickham, ACEH Geotracker, File

Alamoda County Environmental Cleanun	ISSUE DATE: July 5, 2005					
Oversight Programs	REVISION DATE: March 27, 2009					
(LOP and SLIC)	PREVIOUS REVISIONS: December 16, 2005, October 31, 2005					
SECTION: Miscellaneous Administrative Topics & Procedures	SUBJECT: Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions					

The Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of all reports in electronic form to the county's ftp site. Paper copies of reports will no longer be accepted. The electronic copy replaces the paper copy and will be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities.

## REQUIREMENTS

- Entire report including cover letter must be submitted to the ftp site as a single portable document format (PDF) with no password protection. (Please do not submit reports as attachments to electronic mail.)
- It is preferable that reports be converted to PDF format from their original format, (e.g., Microsoft Word) rather than scanned.
- Signature pages and perjury statements must be included and have either original or electronic signature.
- Do not password protect the document. Once indexed and inserted into the correct electronic case file, the document will be secured in compliance with the County's current security standards and a password. Documents with password protection will not be accepted.
- Each page in the PDF document should be rotated in the direction that will make it easiest to read on a computer monitor.
- Reports must be named and saved using the following naming convention: RO# Report Name Year-Month-Date (e.g., RO#5555\_WorkPlan\_2005-06-14)

## Additional Recommendations

 A separate copy of the tables in the document should be submitted by e-mail to your Caseworker in Excel format. These are for use by assigned Caseworker only.

## **Submission Instructions**

- 1) Obtain User Name and Password:
  - a) Contact the Alameda County Environmental Health Department to obtain a User Name and Password to upload files to the ftp site.
    - i) Send an e-mail to <u>dehloptoxic@acgov.org</u> Or
    - ii) Send a fax on company letterhead to (510) 337-9335, to the attention of My Le Huynh.
  - b) In the subject line of your request, be sure to include "ftp PASSWORD REQUEST" and in the body of your request, include the Contact Information, Site Addresses, and the Case Numbers (RO# available in Geotracker) you will be posting for.
- 2) Upload Files to the ftp Site
  - a) Using Internet Explorer (IE4+), go to ftp://alcoftp1.acgov.org
    - (i) Note: Netscape and Firefox browsers will not open the FTP site.
  - b) Click on File, then on Login As.
  - c) Enter your User Name and Password. (Note: Both are Case Sensitive.)
  - d) Open "My Computer" on your computer and navigate to the file(s) you wish to upload to the ftp site.
  - e) With both "My Computer" and the ftp site open in separate windows, drag and drop the file(s) from "My Computer" to the ftp window.
- 3) Send E-mail Notifications to the Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs
  - a) Send email to <u>dehloptoxic@acgov.org</u> notify us that you have placed a report on our ftp site.
  - b) Copy your Caseworker on the e-mail. Your Caseworker's e-mail address is the entire first name then a period and entire last name @acgov.org. (e.g., firstname.lastname@acgov.org)
  - c) The subject line of the e-mail must start with the RO# followed by Report Upload. (e.g., Subject: RO1234 Report Upload) If site is a new case without an RO# use the street address instead.
  - d) If your document meets the above requirements and you follow the submission instructions, you will receive a notification by email indicating that your document was successfully uploaded to the ftp site.

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES AGENCY





ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

January 6, 2010

Mr. Terry Grayson (*Sent via E-mail to: <u>Terry.L.Grayson@contractor.conocophillips.com</u>)* ConocoPhillips Company 76 Broadway Sacramento, CA 95818

Mr. Henry O. Armour P.O. Box 2527 Olympia, WA 98507 CD & PWS Enterprises, Inc. 8998 Alcosta Boulevard San Ramon, CA 94583

De L Liu and Na Li 922 Saddlehorn Court Danville, CA 94506

Subject: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000361 and Geotracker Global ID T0600100101, Unocal #7376, 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, CA 94566 – Work Plan Approval

Dear Mr. Grayson:

Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the fuel leak case file for the abovereferenced site including the most recent document entitled, "*Feasibility Study and Work Plan, 76 Service Station #7376, RO# 361, 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, CA*," dated December 16, 2009 (Work Plan). The Work Plan, which was prepared on behalf of ConocoPhillips by Delta Environmental, proposes a soil vapor extraction (SVE) test, groundwater extraction, and installation of SVE and monitoring wells.

The proposed scope of work is conditionally approved and may be implemented provided that the technical comments below are addressed during implementation of the site investigation. Submittal of a revised Work Plan or Work Plan Addendum is not required unless an alternate scope of work outside that described in the Work Plan and technical comments below is proposed. We request that you address the following technical comments, perform the proposed work, and send us the reports described below.

## **TECHNICAL COMMENTS**

- 1. **Proposed Monitoring Well MW-13.** The proposed monitoring well location and construction methods for proposed monitoring well MW-13 are acceptable. Please present the results from well installation in the Feasibility Study and Well Installation Report requested below.
- 2. SVE Pilot Test. The proposed SVE well installations and SVE test procedures are generally acceptable. However, we request that induced vacuum measurements be made in additional wells to evaluate the radius of influence and to help assess whether stratigraphic or structural discontinuities are present that will limit the radius of influence in specific directions. During the SVE testing for Cluster A, we request that induced vacuum measurements be collected periodically in well MW-2C in addition to the proposed observation wells. During the SVE testing for Cluster B, we request that induced periodically in wells MW-7 and MW-8 in addition to the

Terry Grayson Henry O. Armour De L Liu and Na Li CD & PWS Enterprises RO0000361 January 6, 2010 Page 2

proposed observation wells. Please present the results from SVE testing in the Feasibility Study and Well Installation Report requested below.

- 3. **Groundwater Extraction Test.** The proposed groundwater extraction test is generally acceptable. In addition to the proposed observation wells, we request that you use any of the Cluster B wells that encounter groundwater. Please present the results from the groundwater extraction test in the Feasibility Study and Well Installation Report requested below.
- 4. **Groundwater Monitoring.** Please continue semi-annual groundwater monitoring and present the results in the groundwater monitoring reports requested below.

## TECHNICAL REPORT REQUEST

Please submit technical reports to Alameda County Environmental Health (Attention: Jerry Wickham), according to the following schedule:

- January 18, 2010 Semi-annual Groundwater Monitoring Report, Fourth Quarter 2009
- May 14, 2010 Feasibility Study and Well Installation Report
- July 18, 2010 Semi-annual Groundwater Monitoring Report, Second Quarter 2010

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654, and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

#### ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS

ACEH's Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of reports in electronic form. The electronic copy replaces paper copies and is expected to be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities. Instructions for submission of electronic documents to the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Program FTP site are provided on the attached "Electronic Report Upload Instructions." Submission of reports to the Alameda County FTP site is an addition to existing requirements for electronic submittal of information to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Geotracker website. In September 2004, the SWRCB adopted regulations that require electronic submittal of information for all groundwater cleanup programs. For several years, responsible parties for cleanup of leaks from underground storage tanks (USTs) have been required to submit groundwater analytical data, surveyed locations of monitoring wells, and other data to the Geotracker database over the Internet. Beginning July 1, 2005, these same reporting requirements were added to Spills, Leaks, Investigations, and Cleanup (SLIC) sites. Beginning July 1, 2005, electronic submittal of a complete copy of all reports for all sites is required in Geotracker (in PDF format). Please visit the SWRCB website for more information on these requirements (http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/ust/cleanup/electronic reporting).

Terry Grayson Henry O. Armour De L Liu and Na Li CD & PWS Enterprises RO0000361 January 6, 2010 Page 3

#### PERJURY STATEMENT

All work plans, technical reports, or technical documents submitted to ACEH must be accompanied by a cover letter from the responsible party that states, at a minimum, the following: "I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge." This letter must be signed by an officer or legally authorized representative of your company. Please include a cover letter satisfying these requirements with all future reports and technical documents submitted for this fuel leak case.

#### PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION & CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The California Business and Professions Code (Sections 6735, 6835, and 7835.1) requires that work plans and technical or implementation reports containing geologic or engineering evaluations and/or judgments be performed under the direction of an appropriately registered or certified professional. For your submittal to be considered a valid technical report, you are to present site specific data, data interpretations, and recommendations prepared by an appropriately licensed professional and include the professional registration stamp, signature, and statement of professional certification. Please ensure all that all technical reports submitted for this fuel leak case meet this requirement.

## UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLEANUP FUND

Please note that delays in investigation, later reports, or enforcement actions may result in your becoming ineligible to receive grant money from the state's Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Senate Bill 2004) to reimburse you for the cost of cleanup.

## AGENCY OVERSIGHT

If it appears as though significant delays are occurring or reports are not submitted as requested, we will consider referring your case to the Regional Board or other appropriate agency, including the County District Attorney, for possible enforcement actions. California Health and Safety Code, Section 25299.76 authorizes enforcement including administrative action or monetary penalties of up to \$10,000 per day for each day of violation.

Terry Grayson Henry O. Armour De L Liu and Na Li CD & PWS Enterprises RO0000361 January 6, 2010 Page 4

If you have any questions, please call me at 510-567-6791 or send me an electronic mail message at jerry.wickham@acgov.org.

Sincerely,

Jerry Wickham, California PG 3766, CEG 1177, and CHG 297 Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist

Enclosure: ACEH Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions

cc: Danielle Stefani, Livermore Pleasanton Fire Department, 3560 Nevada St, Pleasanton, CA 94566 (Sent via E-mail to: <u>dstefani@lpfire.org</u>)

Cheryl Dizon (QIC 8021), Zone 7 Water Agency, 100 North Canyons Pkwy, Livermore, CA 94551 (Sent via E-mail to: <u>cdizon@zone7water.com</u>)

R. Lee Dooley, Delta Consultants, 312 Piercy Road, San Jose, CA 95138

Les Hausrath, Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean, 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor, Oakland, CA 94607

Rory MacNeil, Alameda County Public Works, 399 Elmhurst Street, Hayward, CA 94544-1307

John Reay, Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc., 11050 White Rock Road, Suite 110, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Donna Drogos, ACEH (*Sent via E-mail to: <u>donna.drogos@acgov.org</u>)* Jerry Wickham, ACEH

Geotracker, File

Alameda County Environmental Cleanup	ISSUE DATE: July 5, 2005				
Oversight Programs	REVISION DATE: March 27, 2009				
(LOP and SLIC)	PREVIOUS REVISIONS: December 16, 2005, October 31, 2005				
SECTION: Miscellaneous Administrative Topics & Procedures	SUBJECT: Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions				

The Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of all reports in electronic form to the county's ftp site. Paper copies of reports will no longer be accepted. The electronic copy replaces the paper copy and will be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities.

## REQUIREMENTS

- Entire report including cover letter must be submitted to the ftp site as a single portable document format (PDF) with no password protection. (Please do not submit reports as attachments to electronic mail.)
- It is preferable that reports be converted to PDF format from their original format, (e.g., Microsoft Word) rather than scanned.
- Signature pages and perjury statements **must** be included and have either original or electronic signature.
- Do not password protect the document. Once indexed and inserted into the correct electronic case file, the document will be secured in compliance with the County's current security standards and a password. Documents with password protection will not be accepted.
- Each page in the PDF document should be rotated in the direction that will make it easiest to read on a computer monitor.
- Reports must be named and saved using the following naming convention:

RO#\_Report Name\_Year-Month-Date (e.g., RO#5555\_WorkPlan\_2005-06-14)

#### **Additional Recommendations**

• A separate copy of the tables in the document should be submitted by e-mail to your Caseworker in **Excel** format. These are for use by assigned Caseworker only.

## **Submission Instructions**

- 1) Obtain User Name and Password:
  - a) Contact the Alameda County Environmental Health Department to obtain a User Name and Password to upload files to the ftp site.
    - i) Send an e-mail to <u>dehloptoxic@acgov.org</u>

Or

- ii) Send a fax on company letterhead to (510) 337-9335, to the attention of My Le Huynh.
- b) In the subject line of your request, be sure to include "ftp PASSWORD REQUEST" and in the body of your request, include the Contact Information, Site Addresses, and the Case Numbers (RO# available in Geotracker) you will be posting for.
- 2) Upload Files to the ftp Site
  - a) Using Internet Explorer (IE4+), go to ftp://alcoftp1.acgov.org
    - (i) Note: Netscape and Firefox browsers will not open the FTP site.
  - b) Click on File, then on Login As.
  - c) Enter your User Name and Password. (Note: Both are Case Sensitive.)
  - d) Open "My Computer" on your computer and navigate to the file(s) you wish to upload to the ftp site.
  - e) With both "My Computer" and the ftp site open in separate windows, drag and drop the file(s) from "My Computer" to the ftp window.
- 3) Send E-mail Notifications to the Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs
  - a) Send email to <u>dehloptoxic@acgov.org</u> notify us that you have placed a report on our ftp site.
  - b) Copy your Caseworker on the e-mail. Your Caseworker's e-mail address is the entire first name then a period and entire last name @acgov.org. (e.g., firstname.lastname@acgov.org)
  - c) The subject line of the e-mail must start with the RO# followed by **Report Upload**. (e.g., Subject: RO1234 Report Upload) If site is a new case without an RO# use the street address instead.
  - d) If your document meets the above requirements and you follow the submission instructions, you will receive a notification by email indicating that your document was successfully uploaded to the ftp site.

APPENDIX B Historic Sanborn Maps and Geologic Cross Sections











.



.

# APPENDIX C

Summary of Site Assessment Activities

The following section summarizes findings of previous soil and groundwater investigations conducted at the site. Additional details are contained in Delta's *Site Conceptual Model* dated September 15, 2009.

June 30, 1987: Applied GeoSystems (AGS) oversaw the advancement of three soil borings (B-1, B-2 and B-3). The soil borings were advanced to 46.5 feet bgs and 55 feet bgs in the vicinity of the USTs and northern dispenser island. Total volatile hydrocarbons (TVH) were reported in all three borings, ranging from 7.72 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) in B-3 at a depth of 30 feet bgs, to 281.9 mg/kg in B-1 at a depth of 20 feet bgs. Total extractable hydrocarbons (TEH) were reported in B-1 at a depth of 35 feet bgs with a concentration of 1325 mg/kg. On August 21, 1987, an additional boring, B-4 was advanced to a depth of 66.5 feet bgs, directly north of B-1. TVH and TEH were reported at 100.5 mg/kg and 1,835 mg/kg, respectively at a depth of 35 feet bgs. Benzene was reported in all borings, with a maximum concentration of 17.1 mg/kg in B-1, located directly west of the fuel USTs, at a depth of 20 feet bgs, (AGS 1987).

<u>December 2 through 7, 1987</u>: Three soil borings (B-4, B-5 and B-6) were advanced at the site and completed in to monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3. The wells were installed to the southeast, north, and southwest of the site's fuel USTs as shown in **Figure 2**. TVH was detected in borings for wells MW-2 and MW-3 with a maximum concentration of 390 mg/kg at a depth of 55 feet bgs in MW-3. Benzene was detected in MW-2 and MW-3 with a maximum concentration of 14 mg/kg in MW-3 at a depth of 55 feet bgs. TEH was reported in MW-2 and MW-3 with a maximum concentration of 14 mg/kg in MW-3 at a depth of 55 feet bgs. TEH was reported in MW-2 and MW-3 with a maximum concentration of 390 mg/kg in MW-3.

<u>September 9 through 24, 1994</u>: Kaprellian Engineering Inc. (KEI) collected soil samples P1 through P13 from a depth of 3 feet and samples P2(9) and P5(9) from a depth of nine feet during product piping replacement activities. Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-G) and benzene were reported at a maximum concentrations of 8,900 mg/kg and 65 mg/kg, respectively, in sample P5 located at the southern end of the No. 2 fuel dispenser (KEI 1994).

<u>February 6 and 7 1995</u>: KEI oversaw the installation of monitoring well MW-2B and soil boring EB-1 in the vicinity of the fuel USTs and southern fuel dispenser, respectively (**Figure 2**). Well MW-2 was destroyed at this time due to introduction of asphalt to the well

during repaving activities. TPH-G, total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPH-D) and benzene were reported in both borings with maximum concentrations of 15,000 mg/kg, 3,600 mg/kg and 340 mg/kg, respectively, in EB-1 at a depth of five feet. Detections of TPH-G, TPH-D and benzene were reported to depths of approximately 60 feet bgs in each boring (KEI 1995).

July 23 and 24, 1996: KEI oversaw the advancement of three additional soil monitoring wells (MW-4 through MW-6), to total depths of 73.5 to 93 feet bgs. Well MW-4 was installed onsite and wells MW-5 and MW-6 were installed offsite on the former Southern Pacific Railroad right-of-way as shown in **Figure 2**. Soil samples collected from the well borings were analyzed for TPH-G, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX compounds), and fuel fingerprinting. Soil samples from boring MW-4 contained low concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons ranging up to 47 parts per million (ppm) of TPH-G, up to 0.27 ppm of benzene, and up to 15 ppm of TPH-D. Soil samples collected in the upper 50 feet of well boring MW-5 contained benzene up to 0.038 ppm. Samples collected between 55 and 65 feet bgs in MW-5 contained up to 560 ppm of TPH-G, up to 3.9 ppm of benzene, and up to 1.2 ppm of benzene and 200 ppm TPH-D detected at 55 feet bgs. Petroleum hydrocarbons were also identified in the samples collected from the well borings (KEI, 1996).

<u>June 27, 1997</u>: Free product was encountered in well MW-5 during quarterly monitoring activities. In December 1997, Entrix, Inc. (Entrix) performed a forensic geochemical analysis of the free product extracted from well MW-5. The Entrix study determined that the free product was likely composed of a mixture of over 50% refined gasoline and 50% heavier hydrocarbons. The gasoline constituents appeared to be relatively fresh according to Entrix. The heavier hydrocarbon mixture had a carbon distribution ranging from C-13 to C-33. The distribution was similar in nature to a very weathered crude oil or Bunker C fuel, and petroleum products such as diesel #2, motor oil, lube oil, etc., or mixtures of any of the above heavier hydrocarbons (Entrix, 1997).

<u>November 1997</u>: Engeo advanced six soil borings (B-1 through B-5) on the northwest extent of the vacant right-of-way to the northwest of the site. The borings were advanced to determine whether soils in the right-of-way had been impacted as a result of fuel

releases at the site. Borings B-1 through B-5 were advanced to depths of 40 to 80 feet bgs. No analytes were reported above reporting limits in any soil samples. TPH-G, benzene and MTBE were reported in groundwater samples at concentrations of 0.630 ppm, 0.023 ppm, and 0.498 ppm, respectively in groundwater sample W-4.

June and August 1998 : Five additional onsite soil borings (B-8 through B-12) were advanced and two offsite down gradient groundwater monitoring wells (MW-7, MW-8) were installed by Gettler-Ryan (GR). TPH-G, benzene, TPH-D and MTBE reached maximum concentrations in boring B-12 at depths between 28.5 bgs and 37.5 bgs of 1,700 ppm, 21 ppm , 14,000 ppm and 2.6 ppm, respectively. Total petroleum hydrocarbons as hydraulic oil (TPH-ho) was detected in B-11 at 10.5 feet bgs at a maximum concentration of 5,200 ppm. No analytes were reported in samples collected from B-8 and MW-7. Two soil samples containing visible free product were collected from boring B-11 (near the former UST excavation) at 10.5 and 61 feet bgs and submitted to Global Geochemistry Corp. for hydrocarbon fingerprinting chemical analysis. The results of these analyses determined that the free product from both samples was composed of approximately 90% highly to severely weathered crude oil and 10% of slightly weathered gasoline (GR, 1999).

<u>October and November 2000</u>: GR advanced one soil boring located northwest of the fuel USTs (B-13) and installed two offsite groundwater monitoring wells to the north of the site (MW-9 and MW-10) as shown in **Figure 2**. TPH-G and benzene were reported at maximum concentrations of 14,000 mg/kg and 100 mg/kg, respectively in B-13 at a depth of 28 feet bgs. Benzene and TPH-G were detected in B-13 to a depth of approximately 73 feet bgs. MTBE was reported at a maximum concentration of 2 mg/kg in B-13 at a depth of 46 feet bgs. No analytes were reported in MW-9. TPH-G, benzene and MTBE were reported in MW-10 at maximum concentrations of 240 mg/kg, 0.71 mg/kg, and 1.2 mg/kg, respectively, at a depth of 56 feet bgs (GR, 2000).

<u>September 17 through 19, 2001</u> :Two offsite soil borings were installed by GR and completed as groundwater monitoring wells MW-11 and MW-12 (**Figure 2**). The wells were installed to total depths of approximately 86 and 88 feet bgs, respectively. No analytes were detected above LRLs for all soil samples. No analytes were reported above the reporting limits in groundwater sample MW-12-Grab, collected from a perched groundwater zone at 40 feet bgs in well boring MW-12 (GR 2002).
<u>November 5, 2007</u>: BSK conducted an investigation in the right-of-way northwest of the site and surrounding parcels. The investigation was conducted for the City of Pleasanton to determine the extent of herbicides and heavy metals in the corridor as a result of the property's prior use as a railway. In addition, the investigation took place to determine the impact on soils from fuel releases at the site, and from the Shell service station across First Street.

Surface soil samples were collected at locations shown in Appendix B, and seven soil borings (SB-1 through SB-7) were advanced between the Kinder Morgan Pipeline location and the site. Borings were advanced to depths of 37.5 feet bgs to 61 feet bgs. Arsenic was reported at a maximum concentration of 68 mg/kg in sample RR-3, which is above the California Human Health Screening Levels (CHHSL) for residential and commercial soils of 0.07 mg/kg and 0.24 mg/kg, respectively. Lead was reported above the residential CHHSL of 150 mg/kg in two surface samples with a maximum concentration of 190 mg/kg in sample BG-1 (BSK 2008).

Samples from soil borings were analyzed for TPH-G, TPH-D, BTEX compounds, TPH-jet fuel, TPH-aviation fuel, oil and grease, MTBE and TBA. No analytes were reported above LRLs in SB-1 and SB-3. TPH-G and TPH-D, TPH-aviation fuel, TPH-jet fuel, benzene, oil and grease and MTBE were reported in maximum concentrations of 8,100 mg/kg, 860 mg/kg, 9,600 mg/kg, 37 mg/kg, 11,000 mg/kg and 260 mg/kg, respectively, in SB-5 at a depth of 30 feet bgs. TPH-G was reported at a maximum concentration of 380 mg/kg in SB-6 at a depth of 30 feet bgs. All soil sample locations and data are presented in Appendix B. The original lab report was amended as the indication of the petroleum hydrocarbons as jet fuel appeared to be questionable. A note on the soil analytical summary table stated "TPHg – total petroleum hydrocarbons – Jet Fuel\*\* (Hydrocarbons reported within diesel range)" (BSK 2008).

<u>February 18 through 26, 2008</u>: Delta oversaw the advancement of seven cone penetrometer test (CPT) borings (CP-1 through CP-7). CP-1 and CP-2 were located onsite near the fuel USTs, and at the southeast portion of the site, respectively and CP-3 through CP-7 were located in the right of way directly to the west of the site as shown in **Figure 2**. TPH-G and benzene were reported only in CP-1 with maximum concentrations of 640 mg/kg and 25 feet bgs and 14 mg/kg at 30 feet bgs, respectively. TPH-G was reported in soil in CP-1 to the maximum depth explored of 701 feet bgs. MTBE was reported in borings CP-1, CP-5, CP-6 and CP-7, with a maximum concentration of 1.3 mg/kg in CP-1 at a depth of 30

feet bgs. TPH-G was reported in groundwater from borings CP-1, CP-4, CP-6 and CP-7 ranging from 99 micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/l) in CP-4 to a maximum of 1,500  $\mu$ g/l in CP-1. Benzene was reported in CP-1, CP-2 and CP-6 ranging from 0.67 $\mu$ g/L in CP-2 to a maximum of 250  $\mu$ g/l in CP-1. MTBE was reported in CP-1, CP-2, CP-4, CP-6 and CP-7 ranging from 1.4  $\mu$ g/l in CP-2 to a maximum of 530  $\mu$ g/l in CP-1. No groundwater samples were collected from CP-5 (Delta 2008).

June 8 through 25, 2009: Delta oversaw the destruction of wells MW-1, MW-2B and MW-3, and the installation of replacement wells MW-1B, MW-2C and MW-3B. In addition, one soil boring (SB-1) was advanced in the northwestern portion of the site in the footprint of an old bunker oil tank. Soil samples were collected from SB-1 and MW-2C. Gasoline range organics (GRO) was reported in soil boring SB-1 above the Environmental Screening Level (ESL) of 81 mg/kg from depths of 20 feet to 45 feet bgs. Fuel oil #6 was reported above the ESL of 2,500 mg/kg at depths of 30 feet bgs, 40 feet bgs and 45 feet bgs with a maximum concentration of 1,400 mg/kg at a depth of 20 feet bgs. Benzene was reported below 20 feet bgs in SB-1 to the maximum depth of 45 feet bgs, with a maximum concentration of 3.6 mg/kg at a depth of 30 feet bgs. MTBE was detected only at 10 feet bgs with a concentration of 0.05 mg/kg (Delta 2009).

Soil samples collected from MW-2C were subject to analysis for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 8260, semi-volatile organic compounds by EPA Method 8270C, and purgeable aromatic and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) by EPA 8015 (leaking underground fuel tank/ fuel finger printing) (LUFT/FFP). With the exception of sample depth 25 bgs Benzene was detected at all sampled depths at concentrations ranging from 28 (ppm) at 30 bgs, to 0.05 mg/kg at 45 bgs generally decreasing in concentration with depth. With the exception of sample depth 25 bgs MTBE was detected at all sampled depths in concentrations ranging from 8.7 mg/kg at 30 bgs to 0.075 mg/kg at 45 bgs generally decreasing in concentrations in concentration with depths. TPH as Kerosene was detected at two sampled depths at concentrations ranging from 93 mg/kg at 20 bgs to 1,800 mg/kg at 25 bgs. TPH-D was detected at all sampled depths at concentrations ranging from 93 mg/kg at 20 bgs 15,000 mg/kg at 35 bgs.

APPENDIX D Zone 7 Water Agency Well Installation Permit

# **ZONE 7 WATER AGENCY**



100 NORTH CANYONS PARKWAY, LIVERMORE, CALIFORNIA 94551 VOICE (925) 454-5000 FAX (925) 245-9306 E-MAIL whong@zone7water.com

## DRILLING PERMIT APPLICATION

FOR APPLICANT TO COMPLETE	FOR OFFICE USE
LOCATION OF PROJECT 4191 First Street. Pleasanton	PERMIT NUMBER 2010016 WELL NUMBER 3S/1E-21C48 to 21C60 APN_ 94-0110-012-04
Coordinates Sourceft.        ft.         Accuracy∀ft.        ft.           LAT:         37.66        ft.        ft.        ft.           APN	PERMIT CONDITIONS (Circled Permit Requirements Apply)
CLIENT Name Terry brayson (CoP) Address 74 Broadway Phone (96) 558-7664 City Sawements Zip 95818 APPLICANT Name Defta Consultrints - Nadine Penat Email Nemat & Destactive Com Fax 108-225-3506 Address 312 Pictry Rd Phone 408-826-1879	<ul> <li>A. GENERAL         <ol> <li>A permit application should be submitted so as to arrive at the Zone 7 office five days prior to your proposed starting date.</li> <li>Submit to Zone 7 within 60 days after completion of permitted work the original <u>Department of Water Resources Water Well Drillers Report (DWR Form 188), signed by the driller.</u></li> <li>Permit is void if project not begun within 90 days of approval date.</li> <li>Notify Zone 7 at least 24 hours before the start of work.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
City_2/dit_Sable	<ul> <li>B. WATER SUPPLY WELLS <ol> <li>Minimum surface seal diameter is four inches greater than the well casing diameter.</li> <li>Minimum seal depth is 50 feet for municipal and industrial wells or 20 feet for domestic and irrigation wells unless a lesser depth is specially approved.</li> <li>Grout placed by tremie.</li> <li>An access port at least 0.5 inches in diameter is required on the wellhead for water level measurements.</li> <li>A sample port is required on the discharge pipe near the wellhead.</li> </ol> </li> <li>C. GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS INCLUDING PIEZOMETERS <ol> <li>Minimum surface seal diameter is four inches greater than the well or piezometer casing diameter.</li> <li>Minimum seal depth for monitoring wells is the maximum depth practicable or 20 feet.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
DRILLER'S LICENSE NO. <u>495/65</u> WELL SPECIFICATIONS:         Drill Hole Diameter <u>8-10</u> in. Maximum         Casing Diameter <u>1, 2, 4</u> in. Depth <u>80</u> ft.         Surface Seal Depth <u>12</u> ft. Number <u>13</u>	<ol> <li>Grout placed by tremie.</li> <li>GEOTECHNICAL. Backfill bore hole with compacted cuttings or heavy bentonite and upper two feet with compacted material. In areas of known or suspected contamination, tremied cement grout shall be used in place of compacted cuttings.</li> </ol>
SOIL BORINGS: Number of Borings Maximum Hole Diameter in. Depth ft. ESTIMATED STARTING DATE /10/10 ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 3/23/10 I hereby agree to comply with all requirements of this permit and Alameda County Ordinance No. 73-68.	<ul> <li>'E. CATHODIC. Fill hole above anode zone with concrete placed by tremie.</li> <li>F. WELL DESTRUCTION. See attached.</li> <li>G. SPECIAL CONDITIONS. Submit to Zone 7 within 60 days after completion of permitted work the well installation report including all soil and water laboratory analysis results.</li> </ul>
APPLICANT'S JUNIO Date 2/22/10	Approved IV/1407 ( MO/147 Date 2/23/10 Wyman Hong

County Orumance No. 75-00.	
APPLICANT'S MARKE MARK	_Date_2/22/10

ATTACH SITE PLAN OR SKETCH

#### Well Construction Details for 13 wells at 4191 First Street in Pleasanton

Well ID	Borehole Diameter	Casing Diameter	Surface Seal Depth	Maximum Depth	Screen Length	Use
MW-13	34/1E-21C48 8	2	68	80	10	Monitoring
CWA-1	34/1E-21C49 10	4	13	30	15	Monitoring/ Remediation
CWA-2	341E-21050 10	4	33	45	15	Monitoring/ Remediation
CWA-3	3/1E-21051 10	4	48	55	5	Monitoring/ Remediation
CWB-1	35/1E-21052 10	4	18	25	5	Monitoring/ Remediation
CWB-2	35/1E-21C53 10	4	28	35	5	Monitoring/ Remediation
CWB-3	35/1E-21054 10	4	53	65	10	Monitoring/ Remediation
OW-A1	35/1E-21C55 8	1	23	30	5	Observation
OW-A2	3-1E-21056 8	1	23	45	5	Observation
OW-A3	3/1E-21C57 8	1	23	50	5	Observation
OW-B1	35/1E-21058 8	1	18	25	5	Observation
OW-B2	35/1E-21(57) 8	1	18	35	5	Observation
OW-B3	35/1E-21C60 8	1	18	65	5	Observation

#### Notes:

OW wells will be installed in same 8" boring (3 casings in OW-A boring and 3 casings in OW-B boring). Each nested well will be installed at maximum boring depth with 5 foot screen. Bentonite will be placed between nested well screens as a seal.

•

Depth in feet below ground surface

Diameter in inches

APPENDIX E Soil Boring Logs and Well Construction Diagrams

Project	No: c107376	n, an yangan ang parting ana katana da pika dian	Client:	ConocoPhillips	Well/ Boring ID: CWA-1
Longed	By: Lee Dooley		Location:	4191 First Street, Pleasanton,	CA Page 1 of 3
	Gread Drilling and T	estina	Date Drilled	4/5/10-4/6/10	ation Map
1) alta Drilling	Method: Hollow	Stem Aurer	Hole Diame	er: 10" Ple	ease See Site Map
	a Mothods Colle C	noon	Hole Denth	55	
Concultante Cosing	Tuno: Sch A		Mell Diamet	er: A	
		inch	Well Denth	55	
Gravel	Pack: 2/12 S	and	Casing Stick	100°	
Glaveri	Elevation	No	thing	Easting	
	Lioradion				
Well	0 6				
Completion Static 올 통	ation (c	teel 2	ampie		
₩ater ts to	ppn ppn ietra	ver (	val ii T	LITHO	DLOGY / DESCRIPTION
		Dep	So Iter		
		<u> </u>		· · · · · ·	
		1 1			A CONTRACTOR OF
	Sốc				NAL GIR
	et h	2			
	l j				174 101
	5 C	3	++	/0/ R.LE	E 000LEY \@\
	e E				0.0183
	ji ji	4	<b>┼┼</b> ┥		
	ir F			- lestinono	
		5	<b></b>		
				- COP	A 1 16 John
		6		Contraction of the second s	C.A.L.
				<u>k</u>	
		7			
		8			
				Clause Crayal br	2000 2000 alove
		9		Clayey Graver, Dr	own, 20% cidy
moist	41.0 4			congular atiff	navel, blown, 25% glavel,
	5	10			and a standard at a transmission
		·			
		11		2(6, 1 (0.0))	11 SubMare, 1
					and the second sec
		12			
					and the second se
		13			······································
			+1	amman a fe fa thailean an a	
		14			
			+		
	3	15-		As above, verv stil	ff
moist	30.2 5				
	9	16			
					n (1175)natanalogun (1171)natana
	8		CL	Gravelly Lean Cla	ay, yellowish tan with red. quartz
moist	22.5 8			and chert clasts. v	/ery stiff
	12	18			•
	6			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
moist	7.4 8	19	GW	Sandy Gravel, gro	eenish gray, trace fines
	14		<b>V</b>	medium dense	
	7	<b> </b> ∠∪ <b> </b> ≣	A CL	Gravelly Lean Cla	ay, yellowish tan, rounded 1/2-
moist	41.2 9			inch quartz gravel,	, very stiff
	12				
	12		V		
EXXXXXXX IXXXXXX IXXXXXXXX		- 44 - 188		Acobouo	

alatan di sinan karanan kara	anna 1997, maile fa tá Annaic Straitheacht fa G		Proiect N	lo: c107376			*****	Clier	t:	ConocoPhillips	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	Well/ Boring ID: CWA-1
			Loaaed E	3v: Lee Doo	olev			Loca	tion:	4191 First Street, Pleasanto	on, CA	Page 2 of 3
	<b>N E</b> 1	ſ	Driller: G	reaa Drillin	and Te	stina		Date	Drilled:	4/5/10-4/6/10	Location Map	<u> </u>
			Drilling N	lethod:	U Hollow S	Stem Aug	ər	Hole	Diamet	er: 10"	Please Se	e Site Map
Accession of the			Sampling	Method:	Split Spo	oon		Hole	Depth:	55	·	
С	onsulta	nts	Casing T	ype:	Sch 40 F	PVC		Well Diameter: 4				
			Slot Size	:	0.02 - in	ch		Well Depth: 55		55		
			Gravel P	ack:	2/12 Sar	nd		Casi	ng Stick	up:		
	Elevation						North	ing		Easting		
Well C	Vell Completion			ling	ion "	et)	Sar	nple	e		······································	
E	Static Water		nter	pm)	etrat ws/(	h (fe	ery	ធ្វ	Ā	LIT	HOLOGY	/ DESCRIPTION
ack	asir	Level	δ Ω Ω	ц ц с	blor	lept	l S	ter	Soil			
<u></u>	0			<u> </u>	ш -		R R	드 				
				21.2	6	23			_	Gravelly Lean	Clay Con	tinued
					7			<u> </u>	CL	yellowish tan, i	rounded 1/	2-inch quartz gravel, stiff
			damp	10 -	2	24		1_		A	f (1) .	1 1
				49.5	6	-				As above, gray	-tan mottle	ed, less gravel, silty
					1	25 —	14,772,531	V A		Stiff Claugu Crayel	anoniolo	ten electerun te 1/2 inch
*****			moist	20.2	8	-	in an	Ŧ	00	. Clayey Graver	, greenisn wor to oub	rounded 20% cloy
*****				29.0	12	26			90	qualiz, subally	ulai lo sub	founded, 50% clay
****					15			$\pm$		medium dense		
			moist		4	27		¥		As above		
*****			molot	17.9	12	-			SP-	Well Graded G	aravelly Sa	and, 35% gravel
*****					13	28		-	SW	medium dense		
					5	-		Å	ML	Silt with Grave	el, orange-	tan, 20% gravel,
				393	5	29	1100			stiff		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					9		CALCULAR ST	¥	, ML	Silt, light gray-t	an mottled	l, <5% gravel, stiff
*****			moist		4	30		A				
*****				369	6	31				As above, gray	-green, no	gravel, very stiff
		:			8							
					10	32		¥				
					2			<b>_</b>		sheen on surfa	ce - sph, n	nedium stiff
				649	3	33						
*****					5	-		<u> </u>		o of uroto d with f	CDLL Jorge	aroual alasta > 1/4 inch
				700	10	34	Sacasta Sacasta	- <b>T</b>		Saturated with a	an- iaige	graver clasts > 1/4-inch
*****			very	100	10						u	
			niçist		14	35 —	Saddagera.	<b>_</b>		As above		
*****				508	16	-			GC	Clavey Gravel	greenish	dark-gray SPH on gravel
			moist	000	26	36 —				surfaces verv	dense	autor gray, or is on gravor
			molet		30	-		w				
					10	37—		Â	GW	Well Graded S	andy Gra	vel with Clay, dark gray,
				688	13					25% sand, 10%	6 clay, SPI	I - vertical fractures
					16	38 —		¥		medium dense		
*****					9	20						
				328	11							
					12	40		V		Lean Clay, ora	nge-tan, n	o gravel, no SPH
					4			<b>_</b>	CL	very stiff		
				49.4	10	41						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			damp			_	2000			(as above) (inc	reasing sil	<u> </u>
					14	42 —		¥.	NAME AND	0.114	000/ 6-	
			dame	95	10	-		Ŧ	IVIL	Silt, orange-tar	i, ∠U% TIN€	sallu
iiiiii			uamp	30	7	43 —				very suit		ann mar an 1 - Mar Mar Ann 1 - 1
					/ /	-				As above		
			damn	15.5	10	44				A0 above		
			- aarrik			[	्रास्त्रस्टल्य		l	J		

land the strength of the strength of the to do that the provi		Project N	lo:c107376	<u> </u>		,	Clien	ıt:	ConocoPhillips	Well/ Boring ID: CWA-1			
		Logged I	By: Lee Doo	oley			Loca	tion:	4191 First Street, Pleasant	ton, CA Page 3 of 3			
		Driller: G	iregg Drillin	g and Te	sting		Date	Drilled:	4/5/10-4/6/10	Location Map			
Del	$\mathbf{B}$	Drilling N	lethod:	Hollow S	Stem Auge	r	Hole	Diamete	er: 10"	Please See Site Map			
		Sampling	g Method:	Split Sp	oon		Hole	Depth:	55				
Consulta	nts	Casing T	ype:	Sch 40 I	PVC		Well	Diamete	er: 4				
		Slot Size	:	0.02 - in	ch		Well	Depth:	55				
		Gravel P	ack:	2/12 Sai	nd 1	Morth	Casi	ng Stick	Jp: Easting	-			
			Elevation			NOR	my		Lasung				
Well	Well P E R				,			······					
Completion	Static	int ure	olin (C	(°°)	feet	Sar	npie	ype					
kfill	Water	oisti onte	Ppn ppn	netra	gr (	Ser .	Nal	1 1	LI1	LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION			
C as	Levei	ΣO	05	Per le	Den	eo eo	Inte	Ň					
				11			L.		Silt orange-ta	n 20% fine sand very stiff continued			
				6	45 —		Å		Uni, orango ta				
		damp	5.5	9	-			CL	Lean Clay, ora	ange-tan, 15% fine sand, trace fine			
				13	40				gravel- chert, c	uartz, very stiff			
				15	— ۲۸		V						
				5	4/		A		(as above, bla	ck spots of mineralization)			
		damp	7.8	6	48 —				stiff				
				7	l <sup>10</sup> –		¥						
			0 5	4	49 —		<b>^</b>						
		damp	3.5	6	_				as above, very	stiff			
				10	50		×						
				6	-		Ŧ						
		damn	29	g	51 ——				as above				
		damp	2.0	14									
				5	52 —	an and a second	Å						
		damp	3.5	8					as above				
				10	00		¥						
				6	54			GC	Clayey Grave	with Sand, 20% clay, 20% medium			
		damp	1.5	10	° '				sand, medium	dense			
				10	55		×						
									Bottom of Born	ng at 55 Feet bgs			
					56					•			
					· –								
					57								
					-								
					58				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
6 *** setun					50								
					60 —								
					_								
					61 —								
					—								
					62								
			:										
					63					······································			
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
10000 100 <sub>0</sub> 761					04								
					65								
					66 —								

Delta Consultants	Project No:c10737 Logged By: Lee Do Driller: Gregg Drilli Drilling Method: Sampling Method: Casing Type: Slot Size: Gravel Pack: Elevation	6 poley ng and Test Hollow Str Split Spoc Sch 40 P\ 0.02 - inch 2/12 Sanc	Clien Loca ting Date tem Auger Hole on Hole VC Well h Well d Casi Northing	nt: ation: Drilled: Damete Depth: I Diamete I Depth: ing Stick	ConocoPhillips 4191 First Street, Pleasant 4/5/10-4/6/10 er: 10" 40 er: 4 40 up: Easting	on, CA Location Map Please See	Well/ Boring ID: CWA-2 Page 1 of 1 Site Map
Static Water Size Size C C	Moistur Conten PID Read (ppm)	Penetrati (blows/6	Depth (fe Recovery Interval	Soil Typ	LIT	HOLOGY / I	DESCRIPTION
					See CWA-1 fo	r lithology	
			42				- • • -

[	an ann an Anna an Anna an Ann		Project N	No:c107376		in an an Arthur a tha an an Arthur	a a constant de la co	Clien	t:	ConocoPhillips		Well/ Boring ID: CWA-3	
			Logged	By: Nadine	Periat			Local	lion:	4191 First Street, Pleasant	on, CA	Page 1 of 1	
gendenska s		1	Driller: G	Bregg Drillin	g and Te	sting		Date	Drilled:	4/5/10-4/6/10	Location Map		
	Jeľ	18	Drilling N	Nethod:	Hollow S	Stem Auge	r	Hole	Diamete	er: 10"	Please Se	e Site Map	
		6 671	Samplin	g Method:	Split Sp	oon		Hole	Depth:	: 35			
	Consulta	nts	Casing 7	Гуре:	Sch 40 I	PVC		Well	Diamete	er: 4			
			Stot Size	); 	0.02 - in	ch		Well Depth: 35					
			Gravel P	ack:	2/12 5a		North	Casing Stickup:					
Elevation										Hacong			
Weil	Completion			Ð	50	÷	San	nnia	41				
_	-	Static	ture tent	m)	ratio 's/6"	(fee	2		Γypε				
сқfi	Isinç	Level	Vois Con	<u>ж</u> а	enet	epth	Sove	erve	Soil	LII	HOLOGI		
å	ථ		4.ma	đ	a e	ă	Ř	Ľ,					
						- L							
	1 🗱					2				See CWA-1 to	r lithology		
						-						- 144// Million - 144// Million - 144/	
	3 🗱					4							
	] 🗱												
						°							
						8							
	3 🗱												
						10 —							
										·	ALL A		
						12					Sec States	- FO	
	3 🗱									L.	1234	- 161	
											<u>/                                     </u>	OOLEY Q	
						16				[1]	110. 0 05070	183	
											VERT DOM		
						18							
											A Contraction		
						20				11/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/	1 - C.	11 June	
	1 🗱					22						· · ·	
						24							
	] 🗱							<u> </u>					
V////						26				and and a first standard a standard second			
<i>[]]]]</i>						28							
						<sup>20</sup> _						and a second	
						30							
						-	$\left  \right $						
						32							
						24							
						J 34							
						36				Bottom of Bori	ng at 35 fe	et bgs	
				1									
						38						11 III	
							$\left  - \right $			1 mm - 1 - 1 - Amazara - 1 - 1			
						40				n - Although a Parm			
						42							
						44					· ·		
1		1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1			

			Project N	lo: C10737	6		. <b>4</b>	Clien		ConocoPhillips		Well/ Boring ID: CWB-1
l			Logged E	By: Lee Doo	oley			Local	ion:	4191 First Street, Pleasanton	, CA	Page 1 of 3
g wheating		to	Driller: G	iregg Drillin Aethod:	g and les	sung Stom Augor		Date	Drilled:	3/29/10	Location Map	e Site Man
	161	la	Sampling N	Method:	Solit Sor	neni Auger		Hole	Denth <sup>.</sup>	a. 10 65		e olte map
	Consulta	nts	Casing T	vne:	Sch 40 F	PVC		Well	Diamete	er: 4		= First Encountered
ľ	onound		Slot Size	;	0.02 - in	ch		Well	Depth:	65	····	Groundwater Depth
			Gravel P	ack:	2/12 Sar	nd		Casir	ig Sticki	up: NA	]	
				Elevation		l	North	ning		Easting		
,	Well			50	~				· · ·			
Cor	npletion	Static	ente	adin n)	ation (6")	feet	Sar	nple	ype			
EX.	sing	Water	Sont	, Rei (ppr	lows	pth	over	nval	oil T	LIT	HOLOGY	DESCRIPTION
а Ва	Ö	10001	20	미	ရီဆိ	D D	Rec	Inte	S	2		
								<b>A</b>				hadad /# Africa 1 const
						1—			CL	Lean Clay, brown, silty	, <10% fine	sand, very stiff,
					sốq					lithitied with fracture pla	anes	
					šet	2			GM	Silty Gravel brown 20	)-25% silt_c	uravel is well graded
					5 16				O.M	medium sized to 6" dia	meter cobb	es, chunks of asphalt.
					2	3				brick and concrete mixe	ed with silty	gravel
					life					Artificial fill		
					ri Ki							
					₹	5		.♥	0.84	Cilfy Cond with Crove	1.05% grou	ial E0% fine cand
									2IM	30% silt black organic	n, 20% grav material	ei, 50% inte sand
						6—				ou zo sitt, black organio	material	
												1
						8						an an tai
						_		A		As shave modium dan	1	
			Damn	03		9—				As above, medium den	Se SI	MAL G
			Damp	0.0	10			•			Rif	RUSTA
						10					197 R.I	EE DOOLEY O
						11					A.	NO. 0183
						12					-1021	SP
	8 1888					13						CALIFOR
						14						
						1-T						
						15	1000 P. 44	A		An about around up to	110 inch di-	motor autominded
			Mat	ሰፍ	4 5					Well Graded Gravel up to	tith Silt are	ameter, subrounded
	8 ₩₩		vvet	0.5	5	16 —			GW-	dense	in on, gic	
					7		······	V				
					3	1/		4	SM	Silty Sand with Grave	l, orange-ta	an mottled, 15% gravel,
			Damp	0.5	7	18				15-25% silt, medium de	ense	A/ /
					10			*	-CF-	Lean Clay with Sand,	mottled, 20	% sand
			Damo	00	12	19				wood/organic i moderate place	ragments (t	fiff
			Damp	0.3	16						uolty, very o	\III
					8	20		À				
					10	21			CL	Sandy Lean Clay, orai	nge tan, 10 <sup>o</sup>	% fine gravel, 20-30%
				0.8	13					medium sand, very stiff	F	
					16	22	<u></u>	▼				2000 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10
	8				1 10	L		LĒ	و معامد معامر	ļ		

,

.

Project No: C107376					ande kohini Dest Ak			Clien	t۰	ConocoPhillins		Well/ Boring ID: CWB-1	
				Longed Bi		<u>A</u> 17			Local	lion.	4191 First Street Pleasanto	n CA	Page 2 of 3
- georgeologi	•	∎ ⊿		Driller: Cr	ana Drillina	ond Teet	ina		Date	Drillod	3/20/10	Location Man	
		It.	$\circ$	Drilling Me	egg Dinnig sthad		tom Auro		Hola	Diamote	0/20/10 ar: 10"	Please Se	ee Site Man
	1C	IL	a	Committee			лот Айде			Dovi	л. IV СЕ		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Sampling	metuoa:	Split Spc	on NG		Hole	Depin:	60		- First Ensountered
	onsul	tant	is	Casing Ty	pe:	Sch 40 F	-vc		Well Diameter: 4 $\checkmark$ = First Encounter			- Filst Encountered	
				Stot Size:		0.02 - inch			vveil	Depth:	65		Groundwater Deptin
				Gravel Pa	ck:	2/12 Sar	nd		Casir	ng Sticki	ip: NA	-	
					Elevation			Nort	hing		Easting		
		-		1		1		1		r			
Well C	Completi	on a	Statio	θ⊭	ling	noi (	et)	Sa	mple	ø			
<b>=</b>	D	ν	Nater	nter	pm)	itrat vs/(	L.	e S	a a	2	LIT	HOLOGY	/ DESCRIPTION
정	'ssir	E	Level	ΩQ	цē	blov	ept	Š	C S	Soil			
ŭ	ö				4	a ~	ă.	Å	Ē				
		8				10	22			CL	Sandy Lean Clay con	ntinued, gr	ey
		8			0.4	17	23-		V				
	🕅	8				7		100 N 100 N	A				
	🕅	8				13	24				As above, brown-grey,	, less sand	, 5-10% sand, hard.
	🕅	8			1.1	18		1.1.1.1	V			-	
		8				6	25		A	GM	Silty Gravel, 1/8"-1/4"	' quartz gra	avel, sub angular, dense
		8				13		6		CL	Gravelly Clay with Sa	and, 20% f	ine gravel, 20% guartz
		8			11	18	26 —				sand, 60% clay, grey r	oot holes.	white veining, hard
		8				19		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				,	3,
		8				4	27 —		Å				
		8		Damn	13	13	_				0.000/010/000/07.10/00.0000		
	🕅	8		Damp	1.0	17	28	- datatasi					
		×				5		-					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		8			63	6	29 —		╊┼─┤		As above 1/2 frequen	ov of quar	z and red chert
		8			0.0	11		104566			vory etiff	cy or quan	
		8				5	30			<b>C</b> #4	Silty Sand trace grav	ol 25% cil	t danca
		8			7 6	C A	-		+-	Sivi	Silly Sallu, liace glav	¢i, 2070 31	
		8		Majot	7.5	15	31	20100000					
		8		WOISL		10		<u> </u>	┢┥┥				
		8				10	32			N.C.I	Sandy Cill grov 25%	Von fino d	and low plantiaity
		8			04.0	4	-	ESSERT.		IVIL	Sanuy Sitt, grey, 50%	verymet	sand, low plasticity
		8		10/-1	34.3	4	33 —	1.00			sun		
		8		vvet		0	-						
		8		_			34		H		···,······		
		8		Damp	27.7								
		8		Moist			35		×	SP	Poorly Graded Sand,	grey, med	lium dense
		8				4		1200000					
		8			28.5	4	36 —				1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.		
		*		Damp		7			- -			1011	
		8				11	37			GC	Clayey Gravel, 1/4"-1/	12" quartz,	supangular gravel, 30%
		8				6	<u> </u>		LÎ.	SP	clay, medium d	lense	
		8		Moist		19	38 —				Poorly Graded Sand,	grey, san	d is fine to medium,
		8				22	_		V	GM	10% gravel, de	ense	
		8				21	39				Silty Gravel, grey, 209	% silt, grav	vel is coarse, angular
		8		Damp	425	35	<sup>~</sup> _				quartzite, very dense		
		8				16	40		•		Calcite (effervesces in	HCL)	
		8				4	<sup>10</sup> _			CL	Sandy Lean Clay, ora	inge-tan, n	nedium plasticity,
		8		Damp	55	16	41				very stiff		
		8				8	<u>-</u>						
		8				10			V				
		8				4	42			CL	Lean Clay, grey-orang	ge tan moti	lled,
		8			110	10	10				little or no sand, stiff		
		8				12	43		V				
	👹	8				6	]		4				
		8			102	7	44	100000					····· ·
AAAXXX	LXXX	لمم				1	L	1	Land and	<u>.</u>			

nint official spinses	andreas y a serie	ana ana A		Project N	lo: C10737	6			Clien	t:	ConocoPhillips	Well/ Boring ID: C\	NB-1
	1		-	Logged I	By: Lee Do	oley			Loca	tion:	4191 First Street, Pleasanto	n, CA Page 3 of 3	
			1~	Driller: G	regg Drillin	g and Te	sting		Date	Drilled:	3/29/10	Location Map	
	16		ld	Drilling N	lethod:	Hollow S	Stem Auge	er	Hole	Diamete	er: 10"	Please See Site Map	
0000000				Sampling	g Method:	Split Spo	oon	on Hole Depth:			65		
C	cons	sulta	nts	Casing T	уре:	Sch 40 F	PVC		Well	Diamete	er: 4	$\mathbf{V}$ = First Encount	tered
				Slot Size	:	0.02 - in	ch l		Well	Depth:	65	Groundwater L	eptn
				Gravel P	ack:	2/12 Sa	1a I	Mort	Casi	ng Sticki	Jp: NA Easting		
Lievation							NOR	ang		Lasting			
Cor	Well volati	ion		6	Ð	50	<del>ƙ</del>	Sa	nple	0			
=	m	011	Static	tent	m) m	ratic 's/6'	(fe	2	-	Ţ			
ckfi	isin		Level	Vois Con	an d	shet	pth	0 N	erse	. lios			
ца Ва	õ			2 -	加석	a e	ð	Rec	lnt	0			
						9	45		¥.		Lean Clay continued		
		****			400	4	_		LÎ.	ML	Silt, orange-tan, 5-109	6 sand, very stiff	
		****			102		46	25444235	┢┥┝				
		****				12							
		****				3	47 —	Training of the second se	Å	CI	Lean Clay, orange-far	with black spots silty mor	derate
				Damp	711	5	-			Ϋ́́	plasticity, stiff	man black opelo, only, mo	
		****		Damp		7	48—		V				
		****				7	40 -		4				
		****		Damp	101	10	49				As above, trace	e fine angular gravel,	
		****				13	50		¥_		very stiff		
		****				4	<sup>00</sup> _						
				Damp	381	7	51						
						8	-		<b> </b>		As above		
						13	52		X				
					157	14	-						
777777		71111			107	10	53 —	Scatter of Scatter					
						5	-		A				
				Damp	354	8	04				sub rounded re	d chert clasts	
						12	55						
						4					scattered medi	um gravel	
					1274	15	56 —						
						14	— —	1012-040 7020-021			As above, hard		
							57			C.M.	Silly Graval gray and	ngo ton mottlad madium d	oneo
	E			Domn	416	0			∓-		oncy Graver, grey-ora	nge-tan mottieu, meulum ut	CIISC
	$\square$			Loamp	0		58 —		╞╁╴	MI	Silt with Gravel grev	brown, verv stiff	
	E					4			Å				-station -
				Damp	1406		59 —		$\square$		THE R. P. LINSSON IN MICH. N. LANSING MICH.		
	E					9	60-	Contraction of the second seco		SM	Silty Sand with Grave	el, grey, 25% gravel, 25% s	ilt
			$\nabla$			6							
					1620	12	61		$\square$	SW	Gravelly Sand, grey,	30% gravel, medium round	ed,
				Wet		19					dense	·	
	E					22	62				ODI L bleate an abar :		
					4040	6					SPH-DIACK, AS ADOVE		
					1312	10	63 —						
	$\blacksquare$					17	-		X				
	Ш				1268	19	64 —		$\square$		verv dense		
						40	-		4	ł			
						1	00		Ĺ		Bottom of boring = 65	feet below grade	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
							66						

	Project No: C1	07376		Client:	ConocoPhillips	Well/ Boring ID: CWB-2
	Logged By: Le	e Dooley		Location:	4191 First Street, Pleasan	ton, CA Page 1 of 1
Dalla	Driller: Gregg E	Drilling and Tes	sting	Date Drilled	3/29-3/30/10	Location Map
<b>HJPITA</b>	Drilling Method	d: Hollow S	tem Auger	Hole Diame	ler: 10"	Please See Site Map
	Sampling Meth	hod: Split Spo	on	Hole Depth:	57	
Consultants	Casing Type:	Sch 40 F	VC	Well Diamel	er: 4	$\Box$ = First Encountered
	Slot Size:	0.02 - inc	ch	Well Depth:	57	Groundwater Depth
	Gravel Pack:	2/12 San	d	Casing Stick	kup: NA	
	Eleva	ation	Nor	thing	Easting	
Well Completion	ing ite	50	ti Sa	ample g		
= on Water	stur	om) vs/6	sty (fe		LI LI	HOLOGY / DESCRIPTION
Level	D Cot	blov (pl	cov, ept	Soit		
ഷ് ധ്	Ā	<u>م</u> )	A A	Ē "		
			4		See CWB-1 fo	r lithology
			8			
			· _			
			12	+		
					1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
			16——			
			20			
			·	<u></u>		ONALON
			24 ———			510-30
				+		M NG
			28	+		8 LEE DOOLEY 10
					a l	NO 0183 (65)
			32	+	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	CERTIFIEN
						YDROGEOLOCIOT /
			36		121	1
			40		and the second s	OF CALLES
		•				Control Contro
			44		k.	·
			18			
			52			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			56			ала поддеродниции
·····						
			60	4	Bottom of Bori	ng at 57 teet bgs
				+		
Quint_61( + 10 + 10			64			
			68	+		
			72——	+		
				+		
			76			
						**************************************
			80		1997 - 19	
						and the second
			04			
			00_			

Delta <sup>Consultants</sup>	Project No: C107376 Logged By: Lee Dooley Driller: Gregg Drilling ar Drilling Method: Ho Sampling Method: Sp Casing Type: Sc Slot Size: 0.0	nd Testing Ilow Stem Auger Ilit Spoon h 40 PVC D2 - inch	Client: Location: Date Drilled: Hole Diamete Hole Depth: Well Diamete Well Depth:	ConocoPhillips 4191 First Street, Pleasant 3/29-3/30/10 er: 10" 40 er: 4 40	Well/ Boring ID: CWB-3 on, CA Page 1 of 1 Location Map Please See Site Map ∑ = First Encountered Groundwater Depth
	Gravel Pack: 2/1 Elevation	2 Sand Nor	Casing Stick thing	up: NA Easting	
Well Completion Static 한 Water 장 양 Level	Moisture Content PID Reading (ppm) Penetration	(blows/6") (blows/6") Depth (feet) Recovery 00	Interval aldure Soil Type	LIT	HOLOGY / DESCRIPTION
				See CWB-1 fo	r lithology
		42			

Delta Consultants	Project No: c10 Logged By: Na Driller: Gregg I Drilling Method Sampling Meth Casing Type: Slot Size: Gravel Pack: Eleva	07376 Idine Periat Drilling and Ter I: Hollow S Indd: Split Spo Sch 40 F 0.02 - in 2/12 Sar ation	sting Stem Auger oon PVC ch nd No	Clien Loca Date Hole Hole Well Casir rthing	t: tion: Drilled: Diamete Depth: Depth: ng Stickt	ConocoPhillips 4191 First Street, Pleasantor 4/1-4/2/10 er: 8" 80 er: 2 77 up: Easting	Well/ Boring ID: MW-13         n, CA       Page 1 of 4         ocation Map         Please See Site Map         ✓       First Encountered Water         ▼       Static Water Level
Completion Static	Moisture Content PID Readir	(ppm) Penetratio (biows/6")	Depth (fee Recovery of	Interval ad	Soil Type	LITH	IOLOGY / DESCRIPTION
	Damp 0. Damp 1.	3 4 4 5 5 4 5 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1			ML	Silt, brown, < 10 plasticity, clayey,	0% fine sand, trace cobbles, slight         , artificial fill         0HAL         0HAL

	l í	Project N Logged I Driller: G	Project No: c107376 Logged By: Nadine Periat Driller: Gregg Drilling and Testing						ConocoPhillips 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, CA 4/1-4/2/10	Well/ Boring ID: MW-13 Page 2 of 4
De <sup>Consu</sup>	<b>Ita</b> tants	Drilling M Samplin Casing T Slot Size Gravel F	/lethod: g Method: ype: :: ack:	Hollow S Split Split Split Sch 40 I 0.02 - in 2/12 Sal	Stem Auge oon PVC ch nd	r	Hole Hole Well Well Casir	Diamete Depth: Diamete Depth: ng Sticki	er: 8" Please 80 er: 2 ∑ 77 <b>∑</b> up:	See Site Map First Encountered Water Static Water Level
			Elevation			North	hìng		Easting	
lleW Completion Cassing C B C B	Static Wate Leve	Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Penetration (blows/6")	Depth (feet)	Sam Kecovery	Interval eld	Soil Type	LITHOLOG	GY / DESCRIPTION
		Damp	0.5	8 11 12	23—  24— 25—		<b>A</b>	CL	Lean Clay with Sand, sand, trace fine gravel	brown-tan, 15-20% coarse low plasticity, silty, very stiff.
					20 26  27 					
		Moist	0.7	4 7 9	 28 29				As above	
			N. N.		30 — 31 — 32 —					
		Very Moist	0.6	5 8 9	33			CL	Lean Clay, tan/gray m medium plasticity, root	ottled, 5-15% fine sand, holes, very stiff
					36 — 37 —					
		Moist	0.5	3 50/3	38 39 40			GW	Sandy Gravel, gray, g in diameter, fractured, 10% clay, poor recover very dense	ravel is <1-inch to >2-inches 25-30% well graded sand, ry, cobble stuck in sampler
					41 —					
		Moist	0.5	24 20	43 44			CL	As above Lean Clay, tan-orange 15% fine to medium sa	mottled, trace gravel, 10- and, medium plasticity, hard

Del Consulta Well Completion	ta ants Static Water	Project N Logged I Driller: G Drilling N Sampling Casing T Slot Size Gravel P	No: c10737 By: Nadine Gregg Drillin Aethod: g Method: fype: ex Pack: Elevation	6 Periat g and Ter Hollow S Split Spo Sch 40 I 0.02 - in 2/12 Sau United State (), (), (), (), (), (), (), (), (), (),	sting Stem Auger con ch ta VC ch ta Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa	Clien Loca Date Hole Hole Well Casir thing	t: tion: Drilled: Diamete Depth: Diamete Depth: ng Sticks	ConocoPhillips 4191 First Street, Pleasant 4/1-4/2/10 er: 8'' 80 er: 2 77 up: Easting	Well/ Boring ID: MW-13         santon, CA       Page 3 of 4         Location Map         Please See Site Map         ✓       First Encountered Wate         ▼       Static Water Level         LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION			
		Moist	0.1	4 7 7	≅     ≅       45		o CL	Lean Clay Col	ntinued			
		Moist	0.5	5 7 9	53 54 55 55 56 57 57			As above, low	plasticity, s	ilty, very stiff		
		Damp	1.4	4 9 12	58 59 60 61 62			As above, chur	nks of black	c organic matter, very stiff		
		Moist	0.9	3 6 15	63 64 65 66		sc	Clayey Sand, sand is fine an	brown, 15-2 d poorly gra	20% clay, slight plasticity aded, medium dense		

ź

Delta       Driller: Gregg Drilling and Testing       Date Drilled: 4/1-4/2/10       Location Map         Drilling Method:       Hollow Stem Auger       Hole Diameter:       8"       Please See Site         Sampling Method:       Split Spoon       Hole Depth:       80       Image: Consultants       Image: Consultants       Image: Consultants       Please See Site         Consultants       Casing Type:       Sch 40 PVC       Well Depth:       77       Image: Consultants       Image: Consultants	ite Map rst Encountered Water atic Water Level ESCRIPTION
a       3       Construction       2       a <t< td=""><td>n, 20-25% fine gravel, , gravel is angular.</td></t<>	n, 20-25% fine gravel, , gravel is angular.



		Project N Logged B	o: c107376 y: Nadine I	Periat	ting	Clien Local	t: lion: Drilled:	ConocoPhillips Well/ Boring ID: OWA-1,2,3 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, CA Page 2 of 3 4/7/2010 Location Map		
Delt Consultan	ants	Drilling M Sampling Casing Ty Stot Size:	ethod: Method: /pe:	Hollow S Split Spo Sch 40 F 0.02 - in	Stem Auger Don PVC ch	Hole Hole Well Well	Diamete Depth: Diamete Depth:	er: 8" 50' er: 1" (3 casings) 50', 40', 34'	Please See Site Map	
		Gravel Pa	Elevation	2/12 Sat	na N	Casir Iorthing	ig Stickt	.p: Easting		
Well Completion session Cass C B C	Static Water Level	Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Penetration (blows/6")	Depth (feet)	Recovery S Interval	Soil Type	ĹIT	HOLOGY / DESCRIPTION	
Crout:		Damp	47.5	5 8 7	23 24 25 26		sc	Clayey Sand with Grav 20% clay, sand is fine to medium dense	rel, brown-green, 15-20% gravel, o medium, gravel is subrounded	
		Moist	1275	12 14 17	27 28 29 30 31		SM	Silty Sand with Gravel medium to coarse, grav 15-20% silt, dense Shoe is: Lean Clay with 15-20% fine sa	, brown, sand is 70% fine and 30% el is fine to medium and subrounded, n Sand, brown-green, 5% gravel, and, medium plasticity	
San		Moist	1052	7 8 22	32 33 34 35 36 		GW- GM	Well Graded Gravel w 10% fines, 35-40% well gravel is fine to >2" (ang dense, brown separate Light blue-white soft cry Sample effervesces who	th Silt and Sand, brown-green, graded sand, 10% fines, gular fragments). phase hydrocarbon (SPH) staline precipitate observed. en mixed with HCL	
Sand		Damp	741	5 8 10	37 38 39 40 41 		CL	Lean Clay with Sand, g sample contains large a very stiff	grey-tan mottled, 15-20% fine sand, mount of SPH in root holes	
Sand			844	7	42 — 43 — 44 —		CL	Sandy Lean Clay, brow large amount of oil (SPI	n-green mottled, 35-40% fine sand l), red color at top of sample	

a la a faran da a sainn a chuir a tha an		Project N	lo: c107376	}	a ng kaliga na ng kang kaling pining ng kani n		Clien	l: -	ConocoPhillips	y day shay a shariya ya shi saya a ya a shi sa sa shi saya sa shi	Well/ Boring ID: OWA-1,2,3
POINT P		Logged (	By: Nadine I	Periat	stina		Local	ion: Drillad:	4191 First Street, Pleasanton,	, CA	Page 3 of 3
Πρ	ta	Drilling M	lethod:	Hollow S	stern Auger	·	Hole	Diamete	4///2010 er: 8"	Please See	e Site Map
	<sup>I</sup> O	Sampling	g Method:	Split Spo	oon		Hole	Depth:	50'		· · · · ·
Consulta	ints	Casing T	ype:	Sch 40 F	PVC		Well	Diamete	r: 1" (3 casings)		
		Slot Size	:	0.02 - in	ch		Well	Depth:	50', 40', 34'		
		Gravel P	ack:	2/12 Sar	nd I	North	Casir	ig Sticki	ip: Easting		
			Licvation								
Completion	Static	e E	ding (	6")	eet)	Sar	nple	e d			
kfill ing	Water	oistu onte	Rea	ietra	ţt (f	very	Val	il Ty	LIT	HOLOGY /	DESCRIPTION
Bacl Cas	Level	ŠÕ		(bld	Dep	Reco	Inter	S			
				17	45		¥	CL	Sandy Lean Clay cont	inued	
					46						a na sa
					40		•				
pçi					47 —						
S:S					48						·
				10	-		<b>▲</b>		As above, orange, area	is of well ce	mented green material
		Damp	252	10	49				some SPH	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				17	50 —		*				
					51 —				Bottom of boring = 50'		
									- 		
					52				, 1999 - 1990 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 199 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997		
					53—						
					54						
					55						
					56 —						
					57 —						
					58						
_											
					59						
A14677577 2					60						
					61						
					62						
									···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
					03						
<b>********</b> *******					64 —						
					65						
<b>.</b>											
a ya gangani 1964 ku										antata ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an	

Delta Consultants	Project No: c1073 Logged By: Nadii Driller: Gregg Dri Drilling Method: Sampling Method Casing Type: Slot Size: Gravel Pack: Elevatio	376 he Periat ling and Tes Hollow S : Split Spo Sch 40 F 0.02 - Inc 2/12 San on	ting tem Auger on VC ch d North	Client: Location: Date Drilled: Hole Diamete Hole Depth: Well Diamete Well Depth: Casing Stick	ConocoPhillips 4191 First Street, Pleasanton 03/31/10 er: 8" 65 er: 1" (3 casings) 65', 53', 40' up: NA Easting	Well/ Boring ID: OWB-1,2,3 , CA Page 1 of 3 Location Map Please See Site Map
Completion Stati	PID Reading (ppm)	Penetration (blows/6")	Depth (feet) Recovery so	Interval du Soil Type	LIT	HOLOGY / DESCRIPTION
	Damp	. A Air Knife to▶		▲ GC ML	Clayey Gravel, brown, gravel (artificial fill) Sandy Silt, brown, 35% trace 3"-6" cobbles, arti	20% clay, gravel is angular to pea 6 fine sand, slight plasticity ificial fill from old rail road P4P LEE DOOLEY MO. 0183 CERTIFIED ROGEOLOGIST
	Damp 1.4	5 4 4	8 9 10 11 12 13	SM ▼ ML	Silty Sand with Grave 30% silt, gravel is subro Sandy Silt, dark brown no plasticity, medium st	el, brown, 20% fine-medium gravel, ounded, sand is fine, medium stiff n, 35-40% fine sand, <10% fine gravel tiff
	Damp 2.3	524	14 —	ML	Sandy Silt with Grave gravel is well rounded,	I, brown, 15-20% gravel, medium stiff
	Damp 1.5	7 23 16	19 20 21 22 22 22	▲ SM ↓ CL	Silty Sand with Grave 50-60% fine sand, har Lean Clay with Sand, plasticity, hard	I, tan, 15% fine to medium gravel, d tan-orange, 15-25% fine sand, low

			Project N	o: c107376			C	Client	•	ConocoPhillips	Well/ Boring ID: OWB-1,2,3
			Logged E	By: Nadine I	Periat		L	.ocat	ion:	4191 First Street, Pleasanton,	CA Page 2 of 3
presented by		4~	Driller: G	regg Drilling	, and Tes	ting	E	Date	Drilled:	03/31/10	Location Map
	<i>)</i> ei	Ta	Drilling M	ethod:	Hollow S	Stem Auger	F	lole I	Diamete	er: 8"	Please See Site Map
50-000 A			Sampling	Method:	Split Spo	oon	F	lole I	Depth:	65	
C	onsulta	ints	Casing T	ype:	Sch 40 F	PVC	v	Vell (	Diamete	er: 1" (3 casings)	
			Slot Size:	:	0.02 - in	ch	v	Vell (	Depth:	65', 53', 40'	
			Gravel Pa	ack:	2/12 Sai	nd .	<u> </u>	Casing Stick		ıp: NA	
				Elevation		. N	Northir	ng		Easting	
,	Well				r						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Cor	npletion	Static	e t	dinç (	(fion	(eet)	Sam	ple	be be		
臣	bu	Water	oistt. onte	Rea	etra	4 4	Ver	<u>a</u>	μ	LIT	HOLOGY / DESCRIPTION
Baci	Cas	Level	žŎ	ē, )	Per (bk	Dep	eco	nter	လိ		
				u.	<u> </u>		<u>~</u>	_			
						23 —		—		Ann	
					5		融優	<b>A</b>	CI	Sandy Lean Clay tan-	prange 5% fine gravel 25-35% well
B			Damp	3.9	14	24 —		+	<b>V</b> L	graded sand, low plastic	city, very stiff, silty
Ō.			- en 1 p	••••	15			<b>1</b>			
						25		- <b>-</b>			
V////						20					
						27					
						<i></i>					
[]#[]]		1				28					
								*	<b></b>	Silty Gravel with Sand	, brown-gray, 15-20% well graded
		1	5		5	29 —		<b>T</b> -		sand, 20-30% silt, grave	el is fine and sub angular
			Damp	4.1	12				GL	Lean Clay with Sand, (	green grey, 15-20% sand, low
		1			12	30 —	1923	•		plasticity, trace gravel,	Silty, very sun
						31				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
						-					,
						32					
						33			GP-	Poorly Graded Gravel	with Silt, grey, 10-15%
					15	34			GM	fine sand, 10% silt, grav	vel is fine
			Damp	7.2	. 12				SP	Poorly Graded Sand, g	green, trace fine gravel, <5% silt,
<u>о</u>					6	35 —		┻		sand is very fine toward	35', medium dense
						_	-+				
						36 —					
		1				-+		—			
						37	+				
		1					— <u> </u> -			Partuadades, 1000 1990 100	
		ĺ				38	$\neg \uparrow$				a manuna a sun y s d s s s d s and a data data (1913) (a d s 1918)
					17			4	GM	Silty Gravel with Sand	and Silt, grey, 30% well
			Damp	6.0	35	39		$\top$		graded sand, 15-20% s	ilt, gravel is fine to medium, angular
					23	40		¥		to well rounded, the ang	gular gravel is likely fractured cobbles
								]		very dense	
				······		41					n en e - Yn anna an ar yn arwenn a ar yn arwenn ar yn arwen
<i>\////</i>								]			
						42					
						-+					
						43 —	-+				
		1			7			A	CI	Lean Clay, tap-orange	trace gravel 5-10% fine sand low
<i>\/////</i>				1230		44		+	ΨL	plasticity, orange mottlin	na. verv stiff
ШШ	<u></u>	4	J		L	1	の方式に			In the second of an add the contraction	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

•

		٠ ۲							
Delta Consultants	Project N Logged B Driller: Gr Drilling M Sampling Casing Ty Slot Size: Gravel Pa	o: c107376 y: Nadine regg Drillin ethod: Method: /pe: ack: Elevation	3 Periat g and Tes Hollow S Split Spo Sch 40 F 0.02 - in 2/12 Sar	sting Stem Auger bon PVC ch td N	Clien Loca Date Hole Hole Well Well Casi	t: Drilled: Diamete Depth: Diamete Depth: ng Sticku	ConocoPhillips 4191 First Street, Pleasanton 03/31/10 er: 8" 65 	, CA Location Map Please Sa	Well/ Boring ID: OWB-1,2,3 Page 3 of 3 ee Site Map
Well Completion Static E 5 Wate So ss Level	Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Penetration (blows/6")	Depth (feet)	decovery Interval	Soil Type	LIT	HOLOGY	/ DESCRIPTION
Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand Sand	Damp	- 75 5 2.2 2.2	11 5 6 9 7 8 14 8 8 12 3 8 14	45         46         47         48         49         50         51         52         53         54         55         56         57         58         59         60         61         62         63         64		SM	Lean Clay continued As above, black organic As above, very stiff As above, very stiff Silty Sand with Grave fine gravel, 30-35% silt, becomes grey with 35%	c chunks, s	silty, stiff
distribution in a				66			Bottom of boring = 65'		

### APPENDIX F Field Data Sheets from Well Development

GREGG				MONITO	DRING WE	LL DEVELC	PMENT LC	DG		Page of				
,	All measureme	ents taken from	: Top of	f Casing 🗌 F	Protective Cas	sing 🗌 Grou	und Level		Sample ID					
Well Numb	er			Borehole Dia	ameter	5	ι.		Qty. of Drilling Fluid Lost					
Date	4/14/10	0		Screen Leng	th			Development Meth	rod Bail Sc	Iq P				
Time Start:	9.40	_ End:		Measured De	epth (pre-deve	elopment)		Bail		- 3				
Client	1266	/A		Measured Do	epth (post-dev	velopment) 🔟	<u>6.28</u>		Purging Equipment <u>55 Railer</u>					
Project	er			Static Water	Level (tt.)	5.72		Water Level Equipment Dalin ST						
Installation	Date			One Well Vol	ume (gal.)	0.97	2		Turbidity Meter	a ( )				
Well Diame	eter			One Annulus	Vol. (gal.)	9.7			Other					
	Amount			Field P	arameters M	easured	<b>,</b>			-				
Time	Purged (gal.)	рН	EC	Turbidity	D.O.	D.O. Temp.	SAL.	GPM W.L.		Comments	Field Tech			
10:02	ð	6.36	1.91	744	an and and a second	22.3	608							
10 0 1	1	6.38	1.92	799	<ol> <li>Transfer &amp; Ballacian Ballacia</li> </ol>	21.9	108							
10:07	6	6-3-7	1.91	799	Saure P	21.4	801							
10728	8								Decenteres	1				
10:38	8	6.36	190	799	4750494 <sup>-1</sup> 1494-1	7.2.7	0.08							
10:49	10	6.37	1.91	799	**************************************	226	1 08		Dewater	d end	18°			
11.46	Wate	C Linger	vel c	3m/V	answer / manage	9								
				/										
										·				
<i>.</i>	F		1	FINAL	FIELD PARA	METER MEAS	SUREMENTS	T						
1. 2015														

GREGG				MONITO	BING WF		PMENTIC	)G					
				monne					Page of				
		nts taken from:	∏	Casing 🗌 P	rotective Cas	sing 🗌 Grou	ind Level	:	Sample ID				
Well Numb	er_ <u>MW</u> _	- 7 3	арана 1997 г.	Borehole Dia	meter	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Qty. of Drilling Fluid Lost				
Date	14/10	0.0	· · · · ·	Screen Lengt	h5_	Development Method <u>Bail, Su</u>	<u>rg</u> P						
Time Start:	<u>8,26</u>	End: <u>7 · 3</u> ·	line -	Measured De	pth (pre-dev	Durging Equipment S. Ballac							
Project	7376	~		Static Water I	evel (ft.)	(.7)		. 1	Water Level Equipment Solars				
Job Numbe	er	19. may		Standing Wat	er Column (ft	.)_7.6		i	pH/EC Meter HORT BA				
Installation	Date	110	×	One Well Volu	ume (gal.)	1.2		-	Turbidity Meter				
Well Diame	eter2			One Annulus	Vol. (gal.)				Other				
	F	1		Field Pa	aramatars M	leasured		· .					
Time	Amount Purged (gal.)	рН	EC	EC	EC	EC	Turbidity	D.O.	D.O. Temp.	SAL.	GPM W.L.	Comments	Field Tech.
839	Beain	Sura							Bails varibail				
8.56	2	7,03	1.72	799	aller state and a	17.6	0.07		very sitty				
00.00	И	7.14	1.86	799	Sections * *	20.2	0.07		11				
9,06	6	6.92	1.87	799		20.3	0.07	71.2					
9:16	X	6.92	1.87	799	Millionstandors <sup>Serve</sup>	20.2	6.07						
A:72	9	6.92	1.87	799	Sector Contraction Cont	20.2	0.07		le materia e a gallons				
Nil	a,	7.00	1.82	799	anara	19.2	P. 0. 07						
11.18	17	7.01.	1.84	799	Same	21	0.07		Dewatered				
			i										
				FINAL			UREMENTS		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				

APPENDIX G Mid Coast Engineers Survey Report



# **Mid Coast Engineers**

**Civil Engineers and Land Surveyors** 

70 Penny Lane, Suite A - Watsonville, CA 95076 phone: (831) 724-2580 fax: (831) 724-8025 e-mail: lee@midcoastengineers.com Richard A. Wadsworth Civil Engineer

Stanley O. Nielsen Land Surveyor

> Lee D. Vaage Land Surveyor

Jeff S. Nielsen Land Surveyor

April 16, 2010

Nadine Periat Delta Consultants 312 Piercy Road San Jose, CA 95138

Re: 76 Service Station #7376, 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, California; DELTA Project Number C107376, MCE Job No. 10033

Dear Ms. Periat,

As you requested, on April 14 we surveyed thirteen new monitoring wells located at the referenced site. Our findings are listed on the attached sheets, expressed in State Plane Coordinates and Latitude/Longitude, and are consistent with the previous survey of 9/9/2009 by Morrow Surveying.

A notch was cut in the north rim of the PVC casing (TOC) and a cross chiseled in the north rim of the box (TOB).

Measurements were obtained from conventional survey techniques in combination with GPS techniques (Code CGPS) using the top of casing (TOC) of wells MW-6 and MW-8, as reported by Morrow Surveying. Latitude and Longitude as shown were determined from the California Coordinate System, Zone 3, NAD 83 Datum. The accuracy range of the reported information is +/- 30cm. GPS equipment is the Trimble 5700/5800 system (Code T57).

The benchmark used for this survey is MW-6 TOC, as reported by Morrow Surveying. Elevation = 366.22 feet, stated by Morrow as "Vertical Datum is NAVD 88 from GPS Observations".

Please let me know if you have questions or need additional information.

Yours truly,



## 76 SERVICE STATION #7376 4191 First Street Pleasanton, California

### DELTA Project No. C107376

Project : 10033

Date & Time 10:02:04 AM 4/16/2010 User name MCE Coordinate System US State Plane 1983 Zone California Zone 3 0403 Project Datum NAD 1983 (Conus) Vertical Datum NAVD 88 Coordinate Units US survey feet Distance Units US survey feet Elevation Units US survey feet

Point Number	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation	Description	
107	37.663739968°N	121.869622263°W	367.81	CWA-1toc	
108	37.663741420°N	121.869622072°W	368.22	CWA-1tob	
109	37.663721681°N	121.869615190°W	368.07	CWA-2toc	
110	37.663722954°N	121.869614995°W	368.42	CWA-2tob	
111	37.663725279°N	121.869640233°W	368.22	CWA-3toc	
112	37.663726480°N	121.869640377°W	368.60	CWA-3tob	
138	37.663915110°N	121.869814197°W	365.68	CWB-1toc	
139	37.663915938°N	121.869813812°W	366.08	CWB-1tob	
140	37.663924908°N	121.869829336°W	366.07	CWB-2toc	
141	37.663925924°N	121.869829800°W	366.41	CWB-2tob	
143	37.663927651°N	121.869799634°W	365.53	CWB-3toc	
144	37.663928517°N	121.869799755°W	366.01	CWB-3tob	
151	37.664362025°N	121.869365825°W	365.66	MW-13toc	
152	37.664363102°N	121.869366331°W	366.19	MW-13tob	
113	37.663763568°N	121.869679236°W	368.08	OWA-1toc	
114	37.663763986°N	121.869679530°W	368.06	OWA-2toc	
115	37.663764183°N	121.869679033°W	368.07	OWA-3toc	
116	37.663765187°N	121.869679315°W	368.24	OWA-tob	
145	37.663982409°N	121.869779799°W	365.96	OWB-1toc	
146	37.663982243°N	121.869778991°W	365.93	OWB-2toc	
147	37.663982678°N	121.869779397°W	365.95	OWB-3toc	
148	37.663983820°N	121.869779782°W	366.23	OWBtob	
6	37.663731220°N	121.870066972°W	366.22	MW-6toc*	
8	37.664107285°N	121.869614707°W	365.07	MW-8toc*	

### DELTA Project No. C107376

Project : 10033

User name MCE Date & Time 10:02:04 AM 4/16/2010 Coordinate System US State Plane 1983 Zone California Zone 3 0403 Project Datum NAD 1983 (Conus) Vertical Datum NAVD 88 Coordinate Units US survey feet Distance Units US survey feet Elevation Units US survey feet

Point Number	Northing	Easting	Elevation	Description
107	2067046.1	6165237.8	367.81	CWA-1toc
108	2067046.6	6165237.8	368.22	CWA-1tob
109	2067039.4	6165239.7	368.07	CWA-2toc
110	2067039.9	6165239.8	368.42	CWA-2tob
111	2067040.8	6165232.5	368.22	CWA-3toc
112	2067041.3	6165232.4	368.60	CWA-3tob
138	2067110.7	6165183.1	365.68	CWB-1toc
139	2067111.0	6165183.3	366.08	CWB-1tob
140	2067114.3	6165178.8	366.07	CWB-2toc
141	2067114.7	6165178.7	366.41	CWB-2tob
143	2067115.2	6165187.4	365.53	CWB-3toc
144	2067115.5	6165187.4	366.01	CWB-3tob
151	2067271.5	6165315.3	365.66	MW-13toc
152	2067271.9	6165315.1	366.19	MW-13tob
113	2067054.9	6165221.4	368.08	OWA-1toc
114	2067055.1	6165221.3	368.06	OWA-2toc
115	2067055.2	6165221.5	368.07	OWA-3toc
116	2067055.5	6165221.4	368.24	OWA-tob
145	2067135.0	6165193.5	365.96	OWB-1toc
146	2067135.0	6165193.7	365.93	OWB-2toc
147	2067135.1	6165193.6	365.95	OWB-3toc
148	2067135.5	6165193.5	366.23	OWBtob
6	2067044.8	6165109.0	366.22	MW-6toc*
8	2067179.8	6165241.9	365.07	MW-8toc*

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
1	76 SERVICE	STATION #	¥7376									i
2	4191 First S	treet									1	
3	Pleasanton.	California						••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					······································		[ ]	- ••• ···		<u> </u>	
5	DELTA Proi	ect No. C10	7376					+				······································
6											+	
7	Project : 100	33						+		······		
8	User nar	ne MCE	Date	e&Time 10	:02:04 AM 4/16/20	010	· ·· · ·	· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
9	Coordina	ite System	US Sta	te Plane 1983	Zone Cal	ifornia Zone 3 0403						
10	Project D	atum NAD	) 1983 (	Conus)			   					
11	Vertical I	Datum NA	VD 88									
12	Coordina	te Units U	S surve	y feet								
13	Distance	Units US	survey f	eet								
14	Elevatior	Units US	survey	feet								
15												
16		CWA-1	MW	04/14/2010	37.6637400	-121.8696223	CGPS	NAD83	30	Mid Coast Engineers	T57	top of casing
17		CWA-2	MW	04/14/2010	37.6637217	-121.8696152	CGPS	NAD83	30	Mid Coast Engineers	T57	top of casing
18		CWA-3	MW	04/14/2010	37.6637253	-121.8696402	CGPS	NAD83	30	Mid Coast Engineers	T57	top of casing
19											<u> </u>	
20		CWB-1	MW	04/14/2010	37.6639151	-121.8698142	CGPS	NAD83	30	Mid Coast Engineers	T57	top of casing
21		CWB-2	MW	04/14/2010	37.6639249	-121.8698293	CGPS	NAD83	30	Mid Coast Engineers	T57	top of casing
22		CWB-3	MW	04/14/2010	37.6639277	-121.8697996	CGPS	NAD83	30	Mid Coast Engineers	T57	top of casing
23												
24		MW-13	MW	04/14/2010	37.6643620	-121.8693658	CGPS	NAD83	30	Mid Coast Engineers	157	top of casing
25												
26		OWA-1	MW	04/14/2010	37.6637636	-121.8696792	CGPS	NAD83	30	Mid Coast Engineers	157	top of casing
27	<b></b>	OWA-2	MW	04/14/2010	37.6637640	-121.8696795	CGPS	NAD83		Mid Coast Engineers	15/	top of casing
28	<b></b>	OWA-3	MW	04/14/2010	37.6637642	-121.8696790	CGPS	NAD83	30	Mid Coast Engineers	157	top of casing
29	<b>.</b>						0000			N/1 October 5		
30	<b>_</b>	OWB-1	MW	04/14/2010	37.6639824	-121.8697798	CGPS	NAD83	30	IVIId Coast Engineers	15/	top of casing
31	<b></b>	OWB-2	MW	04/14/2010	37.6639822	-121.8697790	CGPS	NAD83	30	Mid Coast Engineers	15/	top of casing
32		OWB-3	IMW	04/14/2010	37.6639827	-121.8697794	CGPS	NAD83	30	Mid Coast Engineers	157	top of casing

	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	l .	J
1	76 SERVICE ST	<b>FATION #737</b>	6							
2	4191 First Stre	et			<u> </u>		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3	Pleasanton, Ca	lifornia						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4	·····					1		1		
5	DELTA Project	No. C107376	5		•	1				
6	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T			1					
7	Project : 10033	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
8	User name	MCE [	Date & Time	10:02:04	AM 4/1	6/20	)10			
9	Coordinate	System US	State Plane	1983	Zone	Cal	iforni	a Zone 3 0403		
10	Project Datu	im NAD 198	33 (Conus)							
11	Vertical Date	um NAVD 8	8							
12	Coordinate	Units US su	rvey feet			:				
13	Distance Un	its US surve	ey feet							
14	Elevation Ur	nits US surv	ey feet							
15										
16	<b>.</b>	CWA-1	4/14/2010	367.81	CGPS	88	0.5	Mid Coast Engineers	-0.41	GPS observation from CSDS Virtual Reference Network
17		CWA-2	4/14/2010	368.07	CGPS	88	0.5	Mid Coast Engineers	-0.35	GPS observation from CSDS Virtual Reference Network
18		CWA-3	4/14/2010	368.22	CGPS	88	0.5	Mid Coast Engineers	-0.38	GPS observation from CSDS Virtual Reference Network
19	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								<u></u>	
20	•	CWB-1	4/14/2010	365.68	CGPS	88	0.5	Mid Coast Engineers	~0.40	GPS observation from CSDS Virtual Reference Network
21		CWB-2	4/14/2010	366.07	CGPS	88	0.5	Mid Coast Engineers	-0.34	GPS observation from CSDS Virtual Reference Network
22	<b>.</b>	CVVB-3	4/14/2010	365.53	CGPS	88	0.5	Mid Coast Engineers	-0.48	GPS observation from CSDS Virtual Reference Network
23	• ··· ·· ·· -·	M04/40	A/1 A/2010	265.66	0000	00	ΛĒ	Mid Coost Engineers	0.52	CBS abaaptation from CSDS Virtual Reference Network
24		11/10/0-13	4/14/2010	303,00	CGPS	00	0.5		-0.55	GPS Observation from CSDS virtual Reference Network
20		O\A/A_1	4/14/2010	368.08	CGPS	88	0.5	Mid Coast Engineers	-0.16	GPS observation from CSDS Virtual Reference Network
20	┫——————————————————————————————————	01//4-2	4/14/2010	368.06	CGPS	88	0.5	Mid Coast Engineers	<u>-0.10</u> -0.18	GPS observation from CSDS Virtual Reference Network
28	<b>-</b>	OWA-3	4/14/2010	368.07	CGPS	88	0.5	Mid Coast Engineers	-0.10	GPS observation from CSDS Virtual Reference Network
29	-			000.01	5010			inia Souse Engineers		
30	<b>-</b>	OWB-1	4/14/2010	365,96	CGPS	88	0.5	Mid Coast Engineers	-0.27	GPS observation from CSDS Virtual Reference Network
31	<b>-</b>	OWB-2	4/14/2010	365,93	CGPS	88	0.5	Mid Coast Engineers	-0.30	GPS observation from CSDS Virtual Reference Network
32	•	OWB-3	4/14/2010	365.95	CGPS	88	0.5	Mid Coast Engineers	-0.28	GPS observation from CSDS Virtual Reference Network
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	l ywy ar wywy ar an ar an ar

•



 COORDINATES ARE BASED ON THE CALIFORNIA COORDINATE SYSTEM, ZONE III, NAD 83.
 BENCHMARK IS TOC OF MW-6, AS REPORTED BY MORROW SURVEYING 9/9/2009.
 ELEVATION = 366.22 FEET, REPORTED AS NAVD 88

3. SURVEYED AT THE REQUEST OF DELTA CONSUL-TANTS IN APRIL 2010, PROJECT NO. C107376.

	<u> </u>	SCALE: 1"=60'
IN FEET	<b>,</b>	JOB NO. 10033
50	120	DATE: APRIL 16, 2010
		SHEET; 1 OF 1
#### APPENDIX H

Laboratory Analytical Reports



Date of Report: 04/23/2010

Lee Dooley

Delta Environmental 312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138

 RE:
 7376

 BC Work Order:
 1004760

 Invoice ID:
 B078477

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 4/8/2010. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

olly mayers

Contact Person: Molly Meyers Client Service Rep

Authorized Signature

	Environmental Testing Labo	pratory Since 1949				
Delta Environme 312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95	ental 5138		Project: Project Number: Project Manager:	7376 000010119613-00023 Lee Dooley		Reported: 04/23/2010 15:17
		Labora	tory / Client San	ple Cross Refe	erence	
Laboratory	Client Sample Information	Dn				
1004760-01	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWA-1@26-26.5 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/08/2010 08:00 04/05/2010 09:38  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWA-1 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:
1004760-02	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWA-1@36.5-37 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/08/2010 08:00 04/05/2010 10:04  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWA-1 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:
1004760-03	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWA-1@44-44.5 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/08/2010 08:00 04/05/2010 10:20  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWA-1 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:
1004760-04	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWA-1@31-31.5 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/08/2010 08:00 04/05/2010 09:54  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWA-1 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:

Laboratories, Inc.

BC

Page 2 of 11

BC	Laboratorie Environmental Testing Lab	es, Inc.		
Delta Environme 312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95	5138		Project: 7376 Project Number: 000010119613-00023 Project Manager: Lee Dooley	Reported: 04/23/2010 15:17
		Labora	tory / Client Sample Cross Reference	
Laboratory	Client Sample Information	on		
1004760-05	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWA-1@52.5-53 DECJ	Receive Date:04/08/201008:00Delivery WoSampling Date:04/05/201010:35Global ID: TSample Depth:Location IDSample Matrix:SolidsMatrix: SOSample QCCooler ID:	rk Order: <sup>-</sup> 0600100101 (FieldPoint): CWA-1 Type (SACode): CS
1004760-06	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWA-1@17.5-18 DECJ	Receive Date:04/08/201008:00Delivery WoSampling Date:04/05/201009:23Global ID: TSample Depth:Location IDSample Matrix:SolidsMatrix: SOSample QCCooler ID:	rk Order: <sup>-</sup> 0600100101 (FieldPoint): CWA-1 Type (SACode): CS

	Environmental Testing Laboratory Since 1949			
Delta Environmer	ntal	Project: 7376	Reported:	04/23/2

312 Piercy Rd

**BC** Laboratories, Inc.

San Jose, CA 95138

2010 15:17

Project Number: 000010119613-00023 Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## Purgeable Aromatics and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)

BCL Sample ID: 10047	760-01	Client Sample	Name:	7376, CWA-1@26-2	26.5, 4/5/2010	9:38:00AN	Λ						
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
TPH - Light Naptha		ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Aviation Gas		ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Stoddard Solvent		ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Heavy Naptha		ND	mg/kg	5.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Gasoline		ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP4)		ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP5)		ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP6)		ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP8)		ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Kerosene		ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Diesel (FFP)		ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Fuel Oil		ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Crude Oil		ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Hydraulic Oil / Motor Oil		ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Waste Oil		ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - WD-40		ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Mineral Oil		ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Mineral Spirit		ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Motor Oil		ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
TPH - Lube Oil		ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		
Tetracosane (Surrogate)		86.9	%	20 - 145 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:06	MWB	GC-2	0.990	BTD0545		

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

All results listed in this report are for the exclusive use of the submitting party. BC Laboratories, Inc. assumes no responsibility for report alteration, separation, detachment or third party interpretation.

4100 Atlas Court Bakersfield, CA 93308 (661) 327-4911 FAX (661) 327-1918 www.bclabs.com Certifications: California - ELAP Certification Number 1186; Nevada Administrative Code - NAC-445A

Environmental Testing Laboratory Since 1949				
Delta Environmental	Project:	7376	Reported:	04/23/2010
312 Piercy Rd	Project Number:	000010119613-00023		

312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138

**BC** Laboratories, Inc.

0 15:17

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## Purgeable Aromatics and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)

BCL Sample ID:	1004760-02	Client Sample	Name:	7376, CWA-1@36.5	5-37, 4/5/2010	10:04:00A	М						
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
TPH - Light Naptha		ND	mg/kg	1000	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Aviation Gas		ND	mg/kg	1000	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Stoddard Solvent		ND	mg/kg	500	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Heavy Naptha		ND	mg/kg	250	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Gasoline		ND	mg/kg	500	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP4)		ND	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP5)		ND	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP6)		ND	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP8)		ND	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Kerosene		ND	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Diesel (FFP)		ND	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Fuel Oil		ND	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Crude Oil		3300	mg/kg	500	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Hydraulic Oil / Moto	r Oil	ND	mg/kg	500	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Waste Oil		ND	mg/kg	500	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - WD-40		ND	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Mineral Oil		ND	mg/kg	1000	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Mineral Spirit		ND	mg/kg	500	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Motor Oil		ND	mg/kg	500	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Lube Oil		ND	mg/kg	500	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01
Tetracosane (Surrogate)		0	%	20 - 145 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:10	MWB	GC-13	49.342	BTD0545		A01,A17

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

All results listed in this report are for the exclusive use of the submitting party. BC Laboratories, Inc. assumes no responsibility for report alteration, separation, detachment or third party interpretation.

4100 Atlas Court Bakersfield, CA 93308 (661) 327-4911 FAX (661) 327-1918 www.bclabs.com

Certifications: California - ELAP Certification Number 1186; Nevada Administrative Code - NAC-445A

Environmental Testing Laboratory Since 1949			
Delta Environmental	Project: 7376	Reported:	04/23/201

312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138

**BC** Laboratories, Inc.

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

10 15:17

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## Purgeable Aromatics and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)

BCL Sample ID: 1004760-03	Client Sample	Name:	7376, CWA-1@44-4	44.5, 4/5/2010	10:20:00A	М						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
TPH - Light Naptha	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Aviation Gas	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Stoddard Solvent	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Heavy Naptha	ND	mg/kg	5.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Gasoline	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP4)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP5)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP6)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP8)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Kerosene	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Diesel (FFP)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Fuel Oil	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Crude Oil	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Hydraulic Oil / Motor Oil	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Waste Oil	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - WD-40	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Mineral Oil	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Mineral Spirit	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Motor Oil	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
TPH - Lube Oil	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	81.9	%	20 - 145 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:29	MWB	GC-2	0.944	BTD0545		

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

All results listed in this report are for the exclusive use of the submitting party. BC Laboratories, Inc. assumes no responsibility for report alteration, separation, detachment or third party interpretation.

4100 Atlas Court Bakersfield, CA 93308 (661) 327-4911 FAX (661) 327-1918 www.bclabs.com Certifications: California - ELAP Certification Number 1186; Nevada Administrative Code - NAC-445A

Environmental Testing Laboratory Since 1949			
Delta Environmental	Project: 7376	Reported:	04/23/20

312 Piercy Rd

BC Laboratories, Inc.

San Jose, CA 95138

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

010 15:17

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## Purgeable Aromatics and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)

BCL Sample ID: 1004760-04	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWA-1@31-3	31.5, 4/5/2010	9:54:00AN	Л						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	МВ	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
TPH - Light Naptha	ND	mg/kg	200	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Aviation Gas	ND	mg/kg	200	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Stoddard Solvent	ND	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Heavy Naptha	ND	mg/kg	50	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Gasoline	ND	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP4)	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP5)	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP6)	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP8)	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Kerosene	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Diesel (FFP)	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Fuel Oil	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Crude Oil	370	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Hydraulic Oil / Motor Oil	ND	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Waste Oil	ND	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - WD-40	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Mineral Oil	ND	mg/kg	200	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Mineral Spirit	ND	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Motor Oil	ND	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
TPH - Lube Oil	ND	mg/kg	100	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	0	%	20 - 145 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/20/10 18:33	MWB	GC-13	9.901	BTD0545		A01,A17

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

All results listed in this report are for the exclusive use of the submitting party. BC Laboratories, Inc. assumes no responsibility for report alteration, separation, detachment or third party interpretation.

4100 Atlas Court Bakersfield, CA 93308 (661) 327-4911 FAX (661) 327-1918 www.bclabs.com

Environmental Testing Laboratory Since 1949			
Delta Environmental	Project: 7376	Reported:	04/23/20

312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138

**BC** Laboratories, Inc.

010 15:17

Project Number: 000010119613-00023 Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## Purgeable Aromatics and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)

BCL Sample ID: 1004760-05	Client Sample	Name:	7376, CWA-1@52.	5-53, 4/5/2010	0 10:35:00A	Μ						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
TPH - Light Naptha	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Aviation Gas	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Stoddard Solvent	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Heavy Naptha	ND	mg/kg	5.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Gasoline	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP4)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP5)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP6)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP8)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Kerosene	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Diesel (FFP)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Fuel Oil	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Crude Oil	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Hydraulic Oil / Motor Oil	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Waste Oil	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - WD-40	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Mineral Oil	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Mineral Spirit	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Motor Oil	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
TPH - Lube Oil	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	79.9	%	20 - 145 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 16:52	MWB	GC-2	0.997	BTD0545		

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

All results listed in this report are for the exclusive use of the submitting party. BC Laboratories, Inc. assumes no responsibility for report alteration, separation, detachment or third party interpretation.

4100 Atlas Court Bakersfield, CA 93308 (661) 327-4911 FAX (661) 327-1918 www.bclabs.com Certifications: California - ELAP Certification Number 1186; Nevada Administrative Code - NAC-445A

Environmental Tes	ting Laboratory Since 1949			
Delta Environmental	Proj	ject: 7376 Re	ported: (	)4/23/20

312 Piercy Rd

10 15:17

San Jose, CA 95138

**BC** Laboratories, Inc.

Project Number: 000010119613-00023 Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## Purgeable Aromatics and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)

BCL Sample ID: 1004760-06	Client Sampl	e Name:	7376, CWA-1@17.	5-18, 4/5/2010	9:23:00A	N						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
TPH - Light Naptha	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Aviation Gas	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Stoddard Solvent	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Heavy Naptha	ND	mg/kg	5.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Gasoline	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP4)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP5)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP6)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP8)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Kerosene	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Diesel (FFP)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Fuel Oil	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Crude Oil	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Hydraulic Oil / Motor Oil	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Waste Oil	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - WD-40	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Mineral Oil	ND	mg/kg	20	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Mineral Spirit	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Motor Oil	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
TPH - Lube Oil	ND	mg/kg	10	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	80.7	%	20 - 145 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/FFP	04/09/10	04/21/10 17:14	MWB	GC-2	0.980	BTD0545		

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

All results listed in this report are for the exclusive use of the submitting party. BC Laboratories, Inc. assumes no responsibility for report alteration, separation, detachment or third party interpretation.

4100 Atlas Court Bakersfield, CA 93308 (661) 327-4911 FAX (661) 327-1918 www.bclabs.com Certifications: California - ELAP Certification Number 1186; Nevada Administrative Code - NAC-445A

EC Laboratories, Inc.	<b>C.</b>	
Delta Environmental	Project: 7376	<b>Reported:</b> 04/23/2010 15:17
312 Piercy Rd	Project Number: 000010119613-00023	
San Jose, CA 95138	Project Manager: Lee Dooley	
Purgeable Aron	natics and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (	(Silica Gel Treated)

.

**Quality Control Report - Method Blank Analysis** 

Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	MB Result	Units	PQL	MDL	Lab Quals
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD0545	BTD0545-BLK1	97.9	%	20 - 145 (LCI	L - UCL)	

Delta Environmental Testing Laboratory Since 1949         San Jose, CA 95138         Project Number: 000010119613-00023 Project Manager: Lee Dooley <b>Notes And Definitions</b> MDL       Method Detection Limit         ND       Analyte Not Detected at or above the reporting limit         PQL       Practical Quantitation Limit							
Delta E	nvironmental	Project: 7376	<b>Reported:</b> 04/23/2010 15:17				
312 Pie	rcy Rd	Project Number: 000010119613-00023					
San Jos	se, CA 95138	Project Manager: Lee Dooley					
Notes /	And Definitions						
MDL	Method Detection Limit						
ND	Analyte Not Detected at or above the reporting limit						
PQL	Environmental       Project:       7376         Piercy Rd       Project Number:       000010119613-00023         Jose, CA 95138       Project Manager:       Lee Dooley         Image: Sand Definitions       Method Detection Limit       Analyte Not Detected at or above the reporting limit         Practical Quantitation Limit       Practical Quantitation Limit       Project sample dilution.						
A01	Environmental Testing Laboratory Since 1949         Environmental         Project:         <						

thest

A17 Surrogate not reportable due to sample dilution.



Date of Report: 04/07/2010

Lee Dooley

Delta Environmental 312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138

 RE:
 7376

 BC Work Order:
 1004244

 Invoice ID:
 B078230

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 3/30/2010. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

olly mayers

Contact Person: Molly Meyers Client Service Rep

Authorized Signature

	Environmental Testing Lab	pratory Since 1949				
Delta Environme 312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95	ental 5138		Project: 7376 Project Number: 000010 Project Manager: Lee Do	0119613-00023 boley		Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27
		Labor	atory / Client Sample	Cross Refe	erence	
Laboratory	Client Sample Information	)n				
1004244-01	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWB-1@23.5-25 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	03/30/2010 08:00 03/29/2010 09:52  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWB-1 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:
1004244-02	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWB-1@33.5-35 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	03/30/2010 08:00 03/29/2010 10:19  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWB-1 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:
1004244-03	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWB-1@38.5-40 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	03/30/2010 08:00 03/29/2010 10:32  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWB-1 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:
1004244-04	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWB-1@47-48.5 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	03/30/2010 08:00 03/29/2010 10:56  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWB-1 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:

BC Laboratories, Inc.

BC	Laboratorie	es, Inc.		
Delta Environme 312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95	ental 5138		Project: 7376 Reported: 04/07/2010 1 Project Number: 000010119613-00023 Project Manager: Lee Dooley	10:27
		Labor	tory / Client Sample Cross Reference	
Laboratory	Client Sample Information	on		
1004244-05	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWB-1@50-52 DECJ	Receive Date:03/30/201008:00Delivery Work Order:Sampling Date:03/29/201011:03Global ID:T0600100101Sample Depth:Location ID (FieldPoint):CWB-1Sample Matrix:SolidsMatrix:SOSample QC Type (SACode):CSCooler ID:	
1004244-06	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWB-1@55-57 DECJ	Receive Date:03/30/201008:00Delivery Work Order:Sampling Date:03/29/201011:12Global ID:T0600100101Sample Depth:Location ID (FieldPoint):CWB-1Sample Matrix:SolidsMatrix:SOSample QC Type (SACode):CSCooler ID:Cooler ID:	



Delta Environmental	
312 Piercy Rd	

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

# Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

BCL Sample ID: 1004244-01	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWB-1@23.5	5-25, 3/29/201	0 9:52:00A	ЪМ						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	03/29/10	03/30/10 14:05	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913	ND	
Ethylbenzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	03/29/10	03/30/10 14:05	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913	ND	
Methyl t-butyl ether	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	03/29/10	03/30/10 14:05	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913	ND	
Toluene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	03/29/10	03/30/10 14:05	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913	ND	
Total Xylenes	ND	mg/kg	0.010	EPA-8260	03/29/10	03/30/10 14:05	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913	ND	
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	ND	mg/kg	0.20	Luft-GC/MS	03/29/10	03/30/10 14:05	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913	ND	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	92.8	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/29/10	03/30/10 14:05	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	93.8	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/29/10	03/30/10 14:05	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	98.5	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/29/10	03/30/10 14:05	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

BCL Sample ID:	1004244-01	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWB-1@23.5	5-25, 3/29/201	0 9:52:004	M						
		-				Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Diesel Range Organics	(C12 - C24)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/TPHd	04/01/10	04/02/10 21:09	MLR	GC-13	1.003	BTD0146	ND	
Tetracosane (Surrogate	)	79.4	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/01/10	04/02/10 21:09	MLR	GC-13	1.003	BTD0146		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

# Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

BCL Sample ID: 1004244-02	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWB-1@33.5	5-35, 3/29/201	0 10:19:00	AM						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	0.024	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/30/10 23:51	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913	ND	
Ethylbenzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/30/10 23:51	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913	ND	
Methyl t-butyl ether	0.12	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/30/10 23:51	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913	ND	
Toluene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/30/10 23:51	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913	ND	
Total Xylenes	ND	mg/kg	0.010	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/30/10 23:51	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913	ND	
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	0.62	mg/kg	0.20	Luft-GC/MS	03/30/10	03/30/10 23:51	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913	ND	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	84.6	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/30/10 23:51	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	96.1	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/30/10 23:51	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	96.0	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/30/10 23:51	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

BCL Sample ID:	1004244-02	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWB-1@33.5	-35, 3/29/201	0 10:19:00	AM						
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Diesel Range Organics (C	C12 - C24)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/TPHd	04/01/10	04/02/10 21:32	MLR	GC-13	0.980	BTD0146	ND	
Tetracosane (Surrogate)		85.8	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/01/10	04/02/10 21:32	MLR	GC-13	0.980	BTD0146		



Delta	Environmental	

312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138 Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

# Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

BCL Sample ID: 1004244-03	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWB-1@38.5	5-40, 3/29/201	0 10:32:00	AM						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	ND	mg/kg	0.50	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:17	JSK	MS-V3	100	BTC1913	ND	A01
Ethylbenzene	ND	mg/kg	0.50	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:17	JSK	MS-V3	100	BTC1913	ND	A01
Methyl t-butyl ether	ND	mg/kg	0.50	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:17	JSK	MS-V3	100	BTC1913	ND	A01
Toluene	ND	mg/kg	0.50	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:17	JSK	MS-V3	100	BTC1913	ND	A01
Total Xylenes	ND	mg/kg	1.0	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:17	JSK	MS-V3	100	BTC1913	ND	A01
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	70	mg/kg	20	Luft-GC/MS	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:17	JSK	MS-V3	100	BTC1913	ND	A01
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	82.4	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:17	JSK	MS-V3	100	BTC1913		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	95.3	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:17	JSK	MS-V3	100	BTC1913		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	89.5	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:17	JSK	MS-V3	100	BTC1913		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

BCL Sample ID:	1004244-03	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWB-1@38.5	7376, CWB-1@38.5-40, 3/29/2010 10:32:00AM								
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Diesel Range Organics	(C12 - C24)	270	mg/kg	20	Luft/TPHd	04/01/10	04/05/10 22:46	MWB	GC-13	10.169	BTD0146	ND	A01
Tetracosane (Surrogate	)	0	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/01/10	04/05/10 22:46	MWB	GC-13	10.169	BTD0146		A01,A17



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

# Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

<b>BCL Sample ID:</b> 1004244-04	Client Sample	Name:	7376, CWB-1@47-4	8.5, 3/29/201	0 10:56:00	AM						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	ND	mg/kg	0.050	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:42	JSK	MS-V3	10	BTC1913	ND	A01
Ethylbenzene	ND	mg/kg	0.050	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:42	JSK	MS-V3	10	BTC1913	ND	A01
Methyl t-butyl ether	0.18	mg/kg	0.050	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:42	JSK	MS-V3	10	BTC1913	ND	A01
Toluene	ND	mg/kg	0.050	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:42	JSK	MS-V3	10	BTC1913	ND	A01
Total Xylenes	ND	mg/kg	0.10	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:42	JSK	MS-V3	10	BTC1913	ND	A01
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	33	mg/kg	20	Luft-GC/MS	03/30/10	04/01/10 00:34	JSK	MS-V3	100	BTC1913	ND	A01
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	94.6	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	04/01/10 00:34	JSK	MS-V3	100	BTC1913		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	88.9	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:42	JSK	MS-V3	10	BTC1913		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	98.3	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:42	JSK	MS-V3	10	BTC1913		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	94.4	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	04/01/10 00:34	JSK	MS-V3	100	BTC1913		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	97.0	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	04/01/10 00:34	JSK	MS-V3	100	BTC1913		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	96.8	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 00:42	JSK	MS-V3	10	BTC1913		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

BCL Sample ID:	1004244-04	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWB-1@47-4	7376, CWB-1@47-48.5, 3/29/2010 10:56:00AM								
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Diesel Range Organics	s (C12 - C24)	49	mg/kg	4.0	Luft/TPHd	04/01/10	04/05/10 23:37	MWB	GC-13	2	BTD0146	ND	A01
Tetracosane (Surrogate	e)	77.2	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/01/10	04/05/10 23:37	MWB	GC-13	2	BTD0146		A01



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

BCL Sample ID: 1004244-05	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWB-1@50-5	52, 3/29/2010	11:03:00AM	N						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	0.50	mg/kg	0.050	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 15:27	JSK	MS-V3	10	BTC1913	ND	A01
Ethylbenzene	0.079	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 01:34	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913	ND	
Methyl t-butyl ether	0.28	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 01:34	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913	ND	
Toluene	0.051	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 01:34	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913	ND	
Total Xylenes	5.0	mg/kg	0.10	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 15:27	JSK	MS-V3	10	BTC1913	ND	A01
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	43	mg/kg	10	Luft-GC/MS	03/30/10	03/31/10 17:36	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTC1913	ND	A01
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	85.8	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 17:36	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTC1913		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	88.4	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 15:27	JSK	MS-V3	10	BTC1913		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	94.0	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 01:34	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	110	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 01:34	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	95.0	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 15:27	JSK	MS-V3	10	BTC1913		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	95.7	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 17:36	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTC1913		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	95.3	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 15:27	JSK	MS-V3	10	BTC1913		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	97.2	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 17:36	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTC1913		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	100	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 01:34	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTC1913		

Certifications: California - ELAP Certification Number 1186; Nevada Administrative Code - NAC-445A



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

BCL Sample ID:	1004244-05	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWB-1@50-	52, 3/29/2010	11:03:00AI	N						
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Diesel Range Organic	s (C12 - C24)	58	mg/kg	4.0	Luft/TPHd	04/01/10	04/06/10 00:00	MWB	GC-13	2.034	BTD0146	ND	A01
Tetracosane (Surrogate	e)	67.6	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/01/10	04/06/10 00:00	MWB	GC-13	2.034	BTD0146		A01



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

# Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

BCL Sample ID: 1004244-06	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWB-1@55-5	57, 3/29/2010	11:12:00A	Ν						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	0.25	mg/kg	0.25	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 01:08	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTC1913	ND	A01
Ethylbenzene	0.41	mg/kg	0.25	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 01:08	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTC1913	ND	A01
Methyl t-butyl ether	ND	mg/kg	0.25	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 01:08	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTC1913	ND	A01
Toluene	ND	mg/kg	0.25	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 01:08	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTC1913	ND	A01
Total Xylenes	2.2	mg/kg	0.50	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 01:08	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTC1913	ND	A01
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	42	mg/kg	10	Luft-GC/MS	03/30/10	03/31/10 01:08	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTC1913	ND	A01
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	88.3	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 01:08	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTC1913		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	97.5	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 01:08	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTC1913		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	94.6	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	03/30/10	03/31/10 01:08	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTC1913		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

BCL Sample ID:	1004244-06	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWB-1@55-5	57, 3/29/2010	11:12:00A	Л						
		-				Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Diesel Range Organics	s (C12 - C24)	30	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/TPHd	04/01/10	04/02/10 23:02	MLR	GC-13	1	BTD0146	ND	
Tetracosane (Surrogate	e)	85.3	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/01/10	04/02/10 23:02	MLR	GC-13	1	BTD0146		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

#### Project Manager: Lee Dooley

#### Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

#### **Quality Control Report - Precision & Accuracy**

										<u>Contr</u>	<u>ol Limits</u>
			Source	Source		Spike			Percent		Percent
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample Type	Sample ID	Result	Result	Added	Units	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Recovery Lab Quals
Benzene	BTC1913	Matrix Spike	1003610-17	ND	0.11982	0.12500	mg/kg		95.9		70 - 130
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-17	ND	0.11479	0.12500	mg/kg	4.3	91.8	20	70 - 130
Toluene	BTC1913	Matrix Spike	1003610-17	ND	0.11631	0.12500	mg/kg		93.1		70 - 130
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-17	ND	0.11295	0.12500	mg/kg	2.9	90.4	20	70 - 130
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	BTC1913	Matrix Spike	1003610-17	ND	0.048611	0.050000	mg/kg		97.2		70 - 121
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-17	ND	0.048983	0.050000	mg/kg		98.0		70 - 121
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	BTC1913	Matrix Spike	1003610-17	ND	0.048649	0.050000	mg/kg		97.3		81 - 117
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-17	ND	0.048803	0.050000	mg/kg		97.6		81 - 117
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	BTC1913	Matrix Spike	1003610-17	ND	0.050579	0.050000	mg/kg		101		74 - 121
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-17	ND	0.050753	0.050000	mg/kg		102		74 - 121



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376 Project Number: 000010119613-00023 Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

#### **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

#### **Quality Control Report - Precision & Accuracy**

									Control Limits		
			Source	Source		Spike			Percent		Percent
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample Type	Sample ID	Result	Result	Added	Units	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Recovery Lab Quals
Diesel Range Organics (C12 - C24)	BTD0146	Matrix Spike	1004244-01	ND	11.659	16.779	mg/kg		69.5		40 - 137
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1004244-01	ND	14.601	16.779	mg/kg	22.4	87.0	30	40 - 137
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD0146	Matrix Spike	1004244-01	ND	0.59092	0.67114	mg/kg		88.0		34 - 136
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1004244-01	ND	0.58898	0.67114	mg/kg		87.8		34 - 136



Delta Environmental 312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023 Project Manager: Lee Dooley

# Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

#### **Quality Control Report - Laboratory Control Sample**

								Control Limits				
					Spike			Percent		Percent		
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	QC Type	Result	Level	PQL	Units	Recovery	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Lab Quals
Benzene	BTC1913	BTC1913-BS1	LCS	0.10922	0.12500	0.0050	mg/kg	87.4		70 - 130		
Toluene	BTC1913	BTC1913-BS1	LCS	0.10537	0.12500	0.0050	mg/kg	84.3		70 - 130		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	BTC1913	BTC1913-BS1	LCS	0.048918	0.050000		mg/kg	97.8		70 - 121		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	BTC1913	BTC1913-BS1	LCS	0.049357	0.050000		mg/kg	98.7		81 - 117		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	BTC1913	BTC1913-BS1	LCS	0.048764	0.050000		mg/kg	97.5		74 - 121		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

#### **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

#### **Quality Control Report - Laboratory Control Sample**

										Control	<u>Limits</u>		
					Spike			Percent		Percent			
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	QC Type	Result	Level	PQL	Units	Recovery	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Lab Quals	
Diesel Range Organics (C12 - C24)	BTD0146	BTD0146-BS1	LCS	10.532	16.779	2.0	mg/kg	62.8		50 - 136			
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD0146	BTD0146-BS1	LCS	0.55212	0.67114		mg/kg	82.3		34 - 136			



Delta Environmental	Project	7376	Reported:	04/07/2010 10:27
312 Piercy Rd	Project Number	000010119613-00023		
San Jose, CA 95138	Project Manager	Lee Doolev		

# Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

#### **Quality Control Report - Method Blank Analysis**

Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	MB Result	Units	PQL	MDL	Lab Quals
Benzene	BTC1913	BTC1913-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.0050		
Ethylbenzene	BTC1913	BTC1913-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.0050		
Methyl t-butyl ether	BTC1913	BTC1913-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.0050		
Toluene	BTC1913	BTC1913-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.0050		
Total Xylenes	BTC1913	BTC1913-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.010		
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	BTC1913	BTC1913-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.20		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	BTC1913	BTC1913-BLK1	93.7	%	70 - 121 (LCL	- UCL)	
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	BTC1913	BTC1913-BLK1	100	%	81 - 117 (LCL	- UCL)	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	BTC1913	BTC1913-BLK1	96.6	%	74 - 121 (LCL	- UCL)	



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/07/2010 10:27

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

#### **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

**Quality Control Report - Method Blank Analysis** 

Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	MB Result	Units	PQL MDL	Lab Quals
Diesel Range Organics (C12 - C24)	BTD0146	BTD0146-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	2.0	
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD0146	BTD0146-BLK1	88.3	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	

В	C Laboratories, Inc.		
Delta En	vironmental	Project: 7376	<b>Reported:</b> 04/07/2010 10:27
312 Pier	cy Rd	Project Number: 000010119613-00023	
San Jos	e, CA 95138	Project Manager: Lee Dooley	
Notes A	nd Definitions		
MDL	Method Detection Limit		
ND	Analyte Not Detected at or above the reporting limit		
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit		
RPD	Relative Percent Difference		
A01	PQL's and MDL's are raised due to sample dilution.		

A17 Surrogate not reportable due to sample dilution.

**T** 1

111

	Chain Of	f Cus	stody	y Re	cor	d													
			-										AC	C#					
BC Laboratories	INVOICE REMI	TTANCI	e addr	ESS:	CONOCOPHILLIPS							1652				DATE.		9/10	
4100 Atlas Court, Bakersfield, CA						Attn: Dee Hutchinson 3611 South Harbor, Suite 200							Requesition Number					4	
(661) 327-4911 (661) 327-1918 fax						Santa Ana, CA. 92704							000010119613-00023				PAGE:_	1 (	of1
SAMPLING COMPANY:	Valid Value ID:				CONO	COPHILLIPS SIT	ENUMBER						GLOB	AL ID NO	.:				
Delta Consultants					7376		t and Cityl:						T060	087684	52 Manager				
312 Piercy Road, San Jose, California					4104		i anu city):						Torn	. Crous	niterio)er				
PROJECT CONTACT (Hardcopy or PDF Report to):					419	Soquel Dri	ve, Santa C	iuz, CA					Teny	Glays	5011				
	E.MALL .					ELIVERABLE I	D (RP or Designe	e):		PHONE NO .:			E-WAI	-:		LAE	BUSE ONLY	- 1	- 1
408-826-1874 408-225-8506	Idooley@deltaenv.com				Lee	Dooley				(408)826-	-1871		Tenvi	Genveratio	Realizatore	ano	10 '	04	244
SAMPLER NAME(S) (Print):	CONSULTANT PROJECT	NUMBER									COLLEGE								
Nadine Periat	C107376									KI			LISES						
TURNAROUND TIME (CALENDAR DAYS):		<b>—</b>	CC TUAN																
14 DAYS L 7 DAYS L 72 HOURS L 48 HOURS	24 HOURS		SS THAN 24	HOURS	-	Gel													
				201200		lica											FIE	LD NOTE	S:
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR NOTES:	CHECK B	OX IF EDD	IS NEEDED	E		hSi	0 B.										Cont	iner/Preserv	ative
PLEASE CC RESUL	тѕ то					Mit	826										or L	aboratory No	tes
Nperiat@delta	aenv.com					015	EPA												
						po 8	by E												
						leth	BE												
						AW	LM.												
						Ë	TEX												
* Field Point name only required if different from	n Sample ID					d n	а - с	-											
LAB Field Point	SAMPLING	G	MATRIX	NO. OF	1	PH- lean	H H									TEMP	ERATURE ON F	ECEIPT Cº	
ONLY Name/ ID	DATE	TIME		CONT.		0-1	F				+		_						
CWB-1 @ 23.5-25	3/29/2010	9:52	s	1		X	X		_										
- 2 CWB-1 @ 33.5-35	3/29/2010	10:19	s	1		x	X												
-3 CWB-1 @ 38.5-40	3/29/2010	10:32	s	1		x	x												
-14 CWB-1 @ 47-48.5'	3/29/2010	10:56	s	1		x	x								141 ( 11 <b>14</b> 34 36 38 <b>14</b> 14 14 14 14 14				
CWB-1 @ 50-52	3/29/2010	11:03	s	1		x	x			Гс	HKE	(	10	Paul	UTIO	N			
TO OWR 1 @ 55 57	3/29/2010	11:12	s	1		x	x					41	AC	R	id?	1	1		
1 CAAR-1 (0 00-01											$\mathcal{M}$	4 M	77	110		1			
				<u> </u>	1				_	LIXC	pu l			PUD			1		
					1					4	1								
					1														
			<u> </u>	+															
Relinguished by: (Signature)			Received	v: (Signature								Dal	0:,	<u> </u>			Time:		
Jour Veiner 2/	29/2010		T	×≁	JA	BIUS	r R	<sup>z</sup> L					3/29	10	>		1 7	05	
Relindusred By-(signature)	glin 10	215	Received b	y: (Signature	⊾ Qe	551.	A 000					Dat	MΔF	2 2	n 2N1	n	Time:	2.00	ł
Relinquished by: (Signature)			Received by	y: (Signature)	<u> </u>	J -14	MM	MUK_				Dat	6: 0:	. 0	VLUI	<u>ч</u>	Time.	$\frac{1}{2}$	

9/19/03 Revision

/

							·····		01.1	ال			
BC LABORATORIES INC.		SAMPLE	RECEIP	FORM	Rev	. No. 12	06/24/08	Page _	<u>_0t</u>				
Submission #: 10 -04244						•.							
SHIPPING INFOR Federal Express  UPS  UPS  UPS  UPS  UPS  UPS  UPS  UPS		SHIPPING CONTAINER Ice Chest D None D Box D Other D (Specify)											
Refrigerant: Ice & Blue Ice D	] None	] Ot	her 🗆 🛛 🤇	Comment	s:								
Custody Seals Ice Chest  Intact? Yes  No	Containe Intact? Yes	rs 🗆	None 🗲	Comme	nts:								
All samples received? Yes 🗗 No 🗆	All samples	container	s intact? Ye		]	Descript	on(s) mate	ch COC? Y	ester No (	2			
COC Received E ⊠ YES □ NO	missivity: _	<u>96</u> 	Container: <u> </u>	foz cle	CV Thermomet 4,7	er ID:#[[	<u>13</u>	Date/Time 330/10 0800 Analyst Init 81					
			T		SAMPLE	NUMBERS		1					
SAMPLE CONTAINERS	1_1	2	3	4	5	5	7	<u> </u>	<u>ч</u>				
QT GENERAL MINERAL/ GENERAL PHYSICA	L							+	1				
PT PE UNPRESERVED													
QT INORGANIC CHEMICAL METALS													
PT INORGANIC CHEMICAL METALS					<u> </u>			- <del> </del>					
PT CYANIDE													
PT NITROGEN FORMS							·						
PT TOTAL SULFIDE													
202. NITRATE / NITRITE													
PT TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON													
РТ ТОХ		<u> </u>		·									
PT CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND						+							
PLA PHENOLICS				1									
40ml VOA VLAL TRAVEL BLANK				ļ						1			
40ml VOA VLAL		l .	) (	1 (	(	1 (	1 (	} 1		1''			
QT EPA 413.1, 413.2, 418.1													
PT ODOR		<u> </u>			· · · ·								
RADIOLOGICAL													
BACTERIOLOGICAL													
40 ml VOA VIAL- 504		-											
QT EPA 508/608/8080													
QT EPA 515.1/8150		<u> </u>											
QT EPA 525							_						
QT EPA 525 TRAVEL BLANK									_				
100ml EPA 547					_								
100ml EPA 531.1													
QT EPA 548		_											
QT EPA 549													
QT EPA 632													
QT EPA 8015M													
QT AMBER		· ·											
8 OZ. JAR													
32 OZ. JAR													
SOIL SLEEVE QL-455	A	A	A	A	A	1							
PCB VIAL							_						
PLASTIC BAG													
FERROUS IRON													
ENCORE			1							<u></u>			

Comments: Sample Numbering Completed By: A = Actual / C = Corrected


Date of Report: 04/09/2010

Lee Dooley

Delta Environmental 312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138

 RE:
 7376

 BC Work Order:
 1004543

 Invoice ID:
 B078379

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 4/6/2010. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

olly mayers

Contact Person: Molly Meyers Client Service Rep

Authorized Signature

	Environmental Testing Lab	oratory Since 1949				
Delta Environme 312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95	ental 5138		Project: Project Number: Project Manager:	7376 000010119613-00023 Lee Dooley		Reported: 04/09/2010 11:28
		Labora	atory / Client San	nple Cross Refe	erence	
Laboratory	Client Sample Information	on				
1004543-01	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  MW-13@54-54.5 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/06/2010 08:10 04/01/2010 08:55  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): MW-13 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:
1004543-02	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  MW-13@59-59.5 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/06/2010 08:10 04/01/2010 09:03  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): MW-13 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:
1004543-03	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  MW-13@64.5-65 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/06/2010 08:10 04/01/2010 09:12  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): MW-13 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:
1004543-04	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  MW-13@69-69.5 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/06/2010 08:10 04/01/2010 09:22  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): MW-13 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:

Laboratories, Inc.

BC



Delta Environmental	

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/09/2010 11:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID: 1004543-01	Client Sample	Name:	7376, MW-13@54-5	64.5, 4/1/2010	8:55:00AN	Ν						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:32	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Ethylbenzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:32	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Methyl t-butyl ether	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:32	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Toluene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:32	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Total Xylenes	ND	mg/kg	0.010	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:32	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	ND	mg/kg	0.20	Luft-GC/MS	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:32	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	104	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:32	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	103	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:32	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	102	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:32	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083		



San Jose, CA 95138

Reported: 04/09/2010 11:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID:	1004543-01	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, MW-13@54-5	54.5, 4/1/2010	8:55:00Al	Ν						
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Diesel Range Organics (	C12 - C24)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/TPHd	04/07/10	04/08/10 17:49	MLR	GC-5	0.993	BTD0460	ND	
Tetracosane (Surrogate)		80.5	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/07/10	04/08/10 17:49	MLR	GC-5	0.993	BTD0460		



Delta En	vironmental
0 4 0 D	<b>D</b> 1

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/09/2010 11:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID: 1004543-02	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, MW-13@59-5	59.5, 4/1/2010	9:03:00AN	Ν						
	-				Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:58	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Ethylbenzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:58	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Methyl t-butyl ether	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:58	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Toluene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:58	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Total Xylenes	ND	mg/kg	0.010	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:58	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	ND	mg/kg	0.20	Luft-GC/MS	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:58	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	97.7	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:58	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	102	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:58	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	99.9	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 14:58	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/09/2010 11:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID:	1004543-02	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, MW-13@59-5	59.5, 4/1/2010	9:03:00A	Л						
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Diesel Range Organics	(C12 - C24)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/TPHd	04/07/10	04/08/10 18:03	MLR	GC-5	1.017	BTD0460	ND	
Tetracosane (Surrogate	e)	77.5	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/07/10	04/08/10 18:03	MLR	GC-5	1.017	BTD0460		



Delta	Environmental

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/09/2010 11:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID: 1004543-03	Client Sample	Name:	7376, MW-13@64.5	65, 4/1/2010	9:12:00AN	N						
	-				Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:25	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Ethylbenzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:25	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Methyl t-butyl ether	0.0063	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:25	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Toluene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:25	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Total Xylenes	ND	mg/kg	0.010	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:25	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	ND	mg/kg	0.20	Luft-GC/MS	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:25	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	97.3	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:25	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	101	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:25	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	98.8	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:25	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/09/2010 11:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID:	1004543-03	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, MW-13@64.5	5-65, 4/1/2010	9:12:00A	Л						
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Diesel Range Organics	(C12 - C24)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/TPHd	04/07/10	04/08/10 18:17	MLR	GC-5	0.987	BTD0460	ND	
Tetracosane (Surrogate	)	76.2	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/07/10	04/08/10 18:17	MLR	GC-5	0.987	BTD0460		



Delta	Environmental	

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/09/2010 11:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID: 1004543-04	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, MW-13@69-6	69.5, 4/1/2010	9:22:00AM	Л						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:50	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Ethylbenzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:50	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Methyl t-butyl ether	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:50	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Toluene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:50	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Total Xylenes	ND	mg/kg	0.010	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:50	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	ND	mg/kg	0.20	Luft-GC/MS	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:50	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083	ND	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	111	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:50	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	102	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:50	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	108	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/06/10	04/06/10 15:50	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0083		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/09/2010 11:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID: 1	004543-04	Client Sample	Name:	7376, MW-13@69-6	9.5, 4/1/2010	9:22:00A	Л						
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Diesel Range Organics (C12	2 - C24)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/TPHd	04/07/10	04/08/10 18:32	MLR	GC-5	0.963	BTD0460	ND	
Tetracosane (Surrogate)		79.6	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/07/10	04/08/10 18:32	MLR	GC-5	0.963	BTD0460		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/09/2010 11:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

#### Project Manager: Lee Dooley

#### Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

#### **Quality Control Report - Precision & Accuracy**

										<u>Contr</u>	<u>ol Limits</u>
			Source	Source		Spike			Percent		Percent
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample Type	Sample ID	Result	Result	Added	Units	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Recovery Lab Quals
Benzene	BTD0083	Matrix Spike	1003610-33	ND	0.12565	0.12500	mg/kg		101		70 - 130
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-33	ND	0.13008	0.12500	mg/kg	3.5	104	20	70 - 130
Toluene	BTD0083	Matrix Spike	1003610-33	ND	0.13310	0.12500	mg/kg		106		70 - 130
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-33	ND	0.13318	0.12500	mg/kg	0.1	107	20	70 - 130
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	BTD0083	Matrix Spike	1003610-33	ND	0.051999	0.050000	mg/kg		104		70 - 121
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-33	ND	0.049518	0.050000	mg/kg		99.0		70 - 121
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	BTD0083	Matrix Spike	1003610-33	ND	0.050658	0.050000	mg/kg		101		81 - 117
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-33	ND	0.050895	0.050000	mg/kg		102		81 - 117
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	BTD0083	Matrix Spike	1003610-33	ND	0.057820	0.050000	mg/kg		116		74 - 121
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-33	ND	0.053670	0.050000	mg/kg		107		74 - 121



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/09/2010 11:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

#### Project Manager: Lee Dooley

#### **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

#### **Quality Control Report - Precision & Accuracy**

										<u>Contr</u>	<u>ol Limits</u>
			Source	Source		Spike			Percent		Percent
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample Type	Sample ID	Result	Result	Added	Units	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Recovery Lab Quals
Diesel Range Organics (C12 - C24)	BTD0460	Matrix Spike	1004543-01	ND	8.9679	16.779	mg/kg		53.4		40 - 137
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1004543-01	ND	10.577	16.722	mg/kg	16.8	63.3	30	40 - 137
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD0460	Matrix Spike	1004543-01	ND	0.48705	0.67114	mg/kg		72.6		34 - 136
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1004543-01	ND	0.55880	0.66890	mg/kg		83.5		34 - 136



Delta Environmental 312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/09/2010 11:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

#### Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

#### **Quality Control Report - Laboratory Control Sample**

										<u>Control</u>	Limits		
					Spike			Percent		Percent			
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	QC Type	Result	Level	PQL	Units	Recovery	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Lab Quals	
Benzene	BTD0083	BTD0083-BS1	LCS	0.13425	0.12500	0.0050	mg/kg	107		70 - 130			
Toluene	BTD0083	BTD0083-BS1	LCS	0.12699	0.12500	0.0050	mg/kg	102		70 - 130			
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	BTD0083	BTD0083-BS1	LCS	0.050013	0.050000		mg/kg	100		70 - 121			_
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	BTD0083	BTD0083-BS1	LCS	0.049433	0.050000		mg/kg	98.9		81 - 117			
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	BTD0083	BTD0083-BS1	LCS	0.052101	0.050000		mg/kg	104		74 - 121			



312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138 Project: 7376

Reported: 04/09/2010 11:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

#### **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

#### **Quality Control Report - Laboratory Control Sample**

										Control	<u>Limits</u>		
					Spike			Percent		Percent			
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	QC Type	Result	Level	PQL	Units	Recovery	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Lab Quals	
Diesel Range Organics (C12 - C24)	BTD0460	BTD0460-BS1	LCS	13.134	16.949	2.0	mg/kg	77.5		50 - 136			
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD0460	BTD0460-BS1	LCS	0.56254	0.67797		mg/kg	83.0		34 - 136			



Delta Environmental	Project: 7376	Reported:	04/09/2010 11:28
312 Piercy Rd	Project Number: 000010119613-00023		
San Jose, CA 95138	Project Manager: Lee Dooley		

# Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

#### **Quality Control Report - Method Blank Analysis**

Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	MB Result	Units	PQL	MDL	Lab Quals
Benzene	BTD0083	BTD0083-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.0050		
Ethylbenzene	BTD0083	BTD0083-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.0050		
Methyl t-butyl ether	BTD0083	BTD0083-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.0050		
Toluene	BTD0083	BTD0083-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.0050		
Total Xylenes	BTD0083	BTD0083-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.010		
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	BTD0083	BTD0083-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.20		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	BTD0083	BTD0083-BLK1	110	%	70 - 121 (LC	L - UCL)	
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	BTD0083	BTD0083-BLK1	102	%	81 - 117 (LC	L - UCL)	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	BTD0083	BTD0083-BLK1	101	%	74 - 121 (LC	L - UCL)	



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/09/2010 11:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

#### **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

**Quality Control Report - Method Blank Analysis** 

Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	MB Result	Units	PQL	MDL	Lab Quals
Diesel Range Organics (C12 - C24)	BTD0460	BTD0460-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	2.0		
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD0460	BTD0460-BLK1	90.2	%	34 - 136 (LCI	L - UCL)	

В	Environmental Testing Laboratory Since 1949				
Delta Envir	ronmental	Project:	7376	Reported:	04/09/2010 11:28
312 Piercy	Rd	Project Number:	000010119613-00023		
San Jose,	CA 95138	Project Manager:	Lee Dooley		
Notes An	d Definitions				
MDL	Method Detection Limit				
ND	Analyte Not Detected at or above the reporting limit				
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit				

RPD Relative Percent Difference

	Chain O	of Cus	stody	y Re	cord	b														
														A	OC#					
BC Laboratories	INVOICE REM	IITTANC	E ADDI	RESS:			CONOCOF	HILLIPS						1	652			DATE:	4/2/10	
4100 Atlas Court, Bakersfield, CA							Attn: Dee 3611 Sout	Hutchinson Harbor, Suit	e 200				Rec	uesiti	on Nu	mber				
(661) 327-4911 (661) 327-1918 fax							Santa Ana	, CA. 92704					0000	1011	9613-	00023		- PAGE:	1 of _	1
SAMPLING COMPANY:	Valid Value ID:				CONOC	OPHILLIPS S	ITE NUMBER							GLO	BAL ID NO	).:				
ADDRESS:					7376 SITE A	DRESS (Stre	et and City):							T06	001001	101 Manager				
312 Piercy Road, San Jose, California					4191	Soquel D	rive Santa	Cruz CA						Torr	v Grav	son				
PROJECT CONTACT (Hardcopy or PDF Report to):					EDF DE		TO (RP or Desig	inee):		PH				E-MAI		5011				
TELEPHONE: FAX:	E-MAIL:				-	<b>Na</b> 41-11									<b>L.</b>				-117	
408-826-1874 408-225-8506	ldooley@deltaenv.com				Leel	Jooley				(4	08)826-	1871		Terry.L	Grayson@	contractor.co	no	10-045	575	
Nadine Periat	C107376	CT NUMBER									RE	QUEST	ED ANA	LYSES						
TURNAROUND TIME (CALENDAR DAYS):							1	T		:		ТГ		1	<u> </u>					·
☑ 14 DAYS □ 7 DAYS □ 72 HOURS □ 48 HOURS	24 HOURS		SS THAN 24	HOURS		Gel				1										
						lica												FIELD	NOTES:	
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR NOTES:	CHECK E	BOX IF EDD	IS NEEDED	) 🗸 :	1	th Si	0 0 0											Containe	r/Preservative	
PLEASE CC RESUL	LTS TO					i Wil	826											or PID or Labo	Readings ratorv Notes	
Nperiat@delta	aenv.com					801	EPA												-	
						pou	E by													
						Metl	IBL													
						PA	X, v													
	- · · -					, d q	BTE													
LAB Field Point name only required if different from	m Sample ID SAMPLIN	G		NO. OF	-	H-D anu	, ng										TEME	PERATURE ON RECH	FIPT C*	
ONLY Name/ ID	DATE	TIME	MATRIX	CONT.		° ₽ ₽	4													
MW-13 @ 54-54.5	4/1/2010	8:55	s	1		х	х													
Z	4/1/2010	9:03	s	1		х	х					2								
- 3 MW-13 @ 64.5-65	4/1/2010	9:12	s	1		х	x													
ТУ мW-13 @ 69-69.5	4/1/2010	9:22	s	1		х	x													
										İ		5	01117			ABIE	101		1	
		-											CHK	<u> <u>B</u>Y</u>	-1		<u></u>		-	
	-													Đ	K	42	-		-	
	_												2		J	<u> </u>	<u>ив-с</u>			
												1			-			ande als en al manifestation de la construction de la construction de la construction de la construction de la	teatheand	
			1																	
ReingyShed by (Signature)			Received b	(Signature	$\supset$	P.P.	K F	201					Date:	1 / 10,0	-1,			Time:	20	
Relinguisher By TSignature)	ille	din	Received b	(Signature			A		7				Date:	10	> /17			/ > ``		
P.BINS BCLI	11AGSO TA	305			_[_	<u>D.                                    </u>	ran	me	Į.					APF	( U (	j 2010	J -	08	O	
Kemquished by (Signature)			Received by	/: (Signature	)				\				Date;					Time:	; <u> </u>	

9/19/03 Revision

/

BC LABORATORIES INC.		SAMPLE	RECEIP	TFORM	Rev.	No. 12	06/24/08	Page 🛓	_Of/	
Submission #:10-0454	3					,				
							C CONT	AINED		
	Hand Deli	verv 🗆		Ic	e Chest 🕅	Shiffin C	None			
BC Lab Field Service  Othe	r 🖉 (Specify	(450)			Box [	]	Other	🗆 (Spec	ify)	
									n	
Refrigerant: Ice A Blue Ice	□ None	□ Oth	ner 🗆 🛛 🤇	Comments	s:					
	Cantain		Nonà	Common	ater					
	Intact? Yes			Commer						
All samples received? Yes 🖉 No 🗆	All samples	s containers	intact? Y	es 🗲 No 🗆	]	Descripti	on(s) matc	h COC? Y	25 K No [	]
COC Received	Emissivity	.95	ontainer:	PH AMT	hermomete		03	Date/Tim/	4/10/10	-010
VIVES DNO	Limssivity.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u>-1</u>	<u></u>	0 -1	<u></u>	<u>v</u>	Dutorrin		0810
	Temperature	:A	• T	с / с	<u>).</u> F	°C		Analyst Ir	nit <u>1511</u>	
					SAMPLEN	UMBERS				]
SAMPLE CONTAINERS	1	2	3	4	5	5	7	8	9	10
QT GENERAL MINERAL/ GENERAL PHYSIC	AL		·							
PT PE UNPRESERVED										
QT INORGANIC CHEMICAL METALS										
PT INORGANIC CHEMICAL METALS										
PT CYANIDE										
PT NITROGEN FORMS			ļ							
PT TOTAL SULFIDE										
202. NITRATE / NITRITE										
PT TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON										
ΡΤ ΤΟΧ				<u> </u>						
PT CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND										i
PtA PHENOLICS										
40mi VOA VLAL TRAVEL BLANK		+ ,				· · ·	1			( )
40ml VOA VLAL			1	1	<u> </u>	· · · · ·		1		
OT EPA 413.1, 413.2, 418.1			-						1	
PT ODOR										
					1.					
OT FPA 508/608/8080										
OT EPA 515 1/8150										
OT EPA 575						_				
OT EPA 525 TRAVEL BLANK										· · ·
100ml EPA 547										
100ml EPA 531.1										
QT EPA 548								<u> </u>		ļ
QT EPA 549							ļ		ļ	<u> </u>
QT EPA 632										ļ
QT EPA 8015M										ļ
QT AMBER										
8 OZ. JAR									+	
32 OZ. JAR								1		<u> </u>
SOIL SLEEVE BRASS		A	IA_	$ \uparrow\rangle$						
PCB VIAL					1				<u> </u>	
PLASTIC BAG										
FERROUS IRON								1		
ENCORE				1		<u> </u>				
Comments:				1 1.	_					

Sample Numbering Completed By: A = Actual / C = Corrected

Date/Time: 4/4/0/10 09 50 [H:\DOCSIWP80\LAB\_DOCSIFORMSISAMREC2.WPD]



Date of Report: 04/12/2010

Lee Dooley

Delta Environmental 312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138

 RE:
 7376

 BC Work Order:
 1004760

 Invoice ID:
 B078477

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 4/8/2010. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

olly mayers

Contact Person: Molly Meyers Client Service Rep

Authorized Signature

	Environmental Testing Labo	oratory Since 1949				
Delta Environme 312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95	ntal 5138		Project: Project Number: Project Manager:	7376 000010119613-00023 Lee Dooley		Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28
		Labora	atory / Client Sar	nple Cross Refe	erence	
Laboratory	Client Sample Informatio	on				
1004760-01	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWA-1@26-26.5 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/08/2010 08:00 04/05/2010 09:38  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWA-1 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:
1004760-02	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWA-1@36.5-37 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/08/2010 08:00 04/05/2010 10:04  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWA-1 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:
1004760-03	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWA-1@44-44.5 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/08/2010 08:00 04/05/2010 10:20  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWA-1 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:
1004760-04	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWA-1@31-31.5 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/08/2010 08:00 04/05/2010 09:54  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWA-1 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:

Laboratories, Inc.

BC

Delta Environme 312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 98	Laboratorie Environmental Testing Lab	oratory Since 1949	Project: 7376 Project Number: 000010119613-00023 Project Manager: Lee Dooley		<b>Reported:</b> 04/12/2010 16:28
		Labor	tory / Client Sample Cross Re	ference	
Laboratory	Client Sample Information	Dn			
1004760-05	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWA-1@52.5-53 DECJ	Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/08/2010 08:00 04/05/2010 10:35  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWA-1 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:
1004760-06	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWA-1@17.5-18 DECJ	Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/08/2010 08:00 04/05/2010 09:23  Solids	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWA-1 Matrix: SO Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:



Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28

Delta Environmental

312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID: 1004760-01	Client Sample	Name:	7376, CWA-1@26-2	26.5, 4/5/2010	9:38:00AN	Λ						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 12:37	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Ethylbenzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 12:37	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Methyl t-butyl ether	0.21	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 12:37	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Toluene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 12:37	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Total Xylenes	ND	mg/kg	0.010	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 12:37	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
t-Butyl alcohol	1.0	mg/kg	0.050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 12:37	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	0.50	mg/kg	0.20	Luft-GC/MS	04/09/10	04/09/10 12:37	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	103	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 12:37	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	98.9	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 12:37	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	103	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 12:37	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID:	1004760-01	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWA-1@26-2	26.5, 4/5/2010	9:38:00A	Ν						
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Diesel Range Organics	(C12 - C24)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/TPHd	04/09/10	04/09/10 21:15	MLR	GC-5	0.990	BTD0545		
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	)	87.0	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/09/10	04/09/10 21:15	MLR	GC-5	0.990	BTD0545		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID: 1004760-02	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWA-1@36.5	5-37, 4/5/2010	10:04:00A	М						
	-				Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	0.062	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 16:30	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Ethylbenzene	0.015	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 16:30	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Methyl t-butyl ether	0.086	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 16:30	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Toluene	0.0098	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 16:30	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Total Xylenes	0.10	mg/kg	0.010	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 16:30	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
t-Butyl alcohol	ND	mg/kg	0.050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 16:30	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	350	mg/kg	100	Luft-GC/MS	04/09/10	04/09/10 16:56	JSK	MS-V3	500	BTD0319	ND	A01
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	100	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 16:30	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	92.4	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 16:56	JSK	MS-V3	500	BTD0319		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	100	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 16:30	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	95.5	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 16:56	JSK	MS-V3	500	BTD0319		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	94.6	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 16:56	JSK	MS-V3	500	BTD0319		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	110	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 16:30	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

**Reported:** 04/12/2010 16:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID:	1004760-02	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWA-1@36.5	6, CWA-1@36.5-37, 4/5/2010 10:04:00AM									
		-				Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab	
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals	
Diesel Range Organics	s (C12 - C24)	1000	mg/kg	200	Luft/TPHd	04/09/10	04/09/10 22:13	MLR	GC-5	98.684	BTD0545		A01	
Tetracosane (Surrogate	e)	0	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/09/10	04/09/10 22:13	MLR	GC-5	98.684	BTD0545		A17	



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID: 1004760-03	Client Sample	Name:	7376, CWA-1@44-4	4.5, 4/5/2010	10:20:00A	М						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:03	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Ethylbenzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:03	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Methyl t-butyl ether	0.090	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:03	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Toluene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:03	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Total Xylenes	ND	mg/kg	0.010	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:03	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
t-Butyl alcohol	0.20	mg/kg	0.050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:03	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	ND	mg/kg	0.20	Luft-GC/MS	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:03	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	94.1	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:03	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	102	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:03	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	100	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:03	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319		



San Jose, CA 95138

Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID: 1	004760-03	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWA-1@44-4	4.5, 4/5/2010	10:20:00A	Μ						
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Diesel Range Organics (C12	2 - C24)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/TPHd	04/09/10	04/09/10 21:30	MLR	GC-5	0.944	BTD0545		
Tetracosane (Surrogate)		83.4	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/09/10	04/09/10 21:30	MLR	GC-5	0.944	BTD0545		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

<b>BCL Sample ID:</b> 1004760-04	Client Sample	Name:	7376, CWA-1@31-3	31.5, 4/5/2010	9:54:00AM	Л						
	•				Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	ND	mg/kg	0.025	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:22	JSK	MS-V3	5	BTD0319	ND	A01
Ethylbenzene	ND	mg/kg	0.025	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:22	JSK	MS-V3	5	BTD0319	ND	A01
Methyl t-butyl ether	1.7	mg/kg	0.025	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:22	JSK	MS-V3	5	BTD0319	ND	A01
Toluene	ND	mg/kg	0.025	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:22	JSK	MS-V3	5	BTD0319	ND	A01
Total Xylenes	ND	mg/kg	0.050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:22	JSK	MS-V3	5	BTD0319	ND	A01
t-Butyl alcohol	2.0	mg/kg	0.25	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:22	JSK	MS-V3	5	BTD0319	ND	A01
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	20	mg/kg	10	Luft-GC/MS	04/09/10	04/09/10 14:21	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTD0319	ND	A01
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	95.4	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 14:21	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTD0319		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	88.4	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:22	JSK	MS-V3	5	BTD0319		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	98.1	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:22	JSK	MS-V3	5	BTD0319		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	103	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 14:21	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTD0319		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	101	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 14:21	JSK	MS-V3	50	BTD0319		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	97.3	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:22	JSK	MS-V3	5	BTD0319		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

•

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID:	1004760-04	Client Sample	Name:	7376, CWA-1@31-3	31.5, 4/5/2010	9:54:00AN	Л						
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Diesel Range Organics (	C12 - C24)	120	mg/kg	20	Luft/TPHd	04/09/10	04/09/10 22:27	MLR	GC-5	9.901	BTD0545		A01
Tetracosane (Surrogate)		0	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/09/10	04/09/10 22:27	MLR	GC-5	9.901	BTD0545		A17



D	e	elt	а	Envir	on	mental

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

#### Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID: 1004760-05	Client Sample	Name:	7376, CWA-1@52.5	-53, 4/5/2010	10:35:00A	М						
	-				Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:29	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Ethylbenzene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:29	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Methyl t-butyl ether	0.015	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:29	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Toluene	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:29	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Total Xylenes	ND	mg/kg	0.010	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:29	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
t-Butyl alcohol	ND	mg/kg	0.050	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:29	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	ND	mg/kg	0.20	Luft-GC/MS	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:29	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319	ND	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	97.9	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:29	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	100	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:29	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	100	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 13:29	JSK	MS-V3	1	BTD0319		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID: 1004760-05	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWA-1@52.5	, CWA-1@52.5-53, 4/5/2010 10:35:00AM									
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab	
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals	
Diesel Range Organics (C12 - C24)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/TPHd	04/09/10	04/09/10 21:44	MLR	GC-5	0.997	BTD0545			
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	79.3	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/09/10	04/09/10 21:44	MLR	GC-5	0.997	BTD0545			



Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28

312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID: 1004760-06	Client Sample	Name:	7376, CWA-1@17.5	5-18, 4/5/2010	9:23:00AN	Л						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	ND	mg/kg	0.010	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:48	JSK	MS-V3	2	BTD0319	ND	A01
Ethylbenzene	ND	mg/kg	0.010	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:48	JSK	MS-V3	2	BTD0319	ND	A01
Methyl t-butyl ether	0.67	mg/kg	0.010	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:48	JSK	MS-V3	2	BTD0319	ND	A01
Toluene	ND	mg/kg	0.010	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:48	JSK	MS-V3	2	BTD0319	ND	A01
Total Xylenes	ND	mg/kg	0.020	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:48	JSK	MS-V3	2	BTD0319	ND	A01
t-Butyl alcohol	1.5	mg/kg	0.10	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:48	JSK	MS-V3	2	BTD0319	ND	A01
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	1.2	mg/kg	0.40	Luft-GC/MS	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:48	JSK	MS-V3	2	BTD0319	ND	A01
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	93.5	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:48	JSK	MS-V3	2	BTD0319		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	98.1	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:48	JSK	MS-V3	2	BTD0319		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	98.6	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/09/10	04/09/10 17:48	JSK	MS-V3	2	BTD0319		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

BCL Sample ID:	1004760-06	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWA-1@17.5	5-18, 4/5/2010	9:23:00A	Ν						
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Diesel Range Organics (	C12 - C24)	ND	mg/kg	2.0	Luft/TPHd	04/09/10	04/09/10 21:58	MLR	GC-5	0.980	BTD0545		
Tetracosane (Surrogate)		80.6	%	34 - 136 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/09/10	04/09/10 21:58	MLR	GC-5	0.980	BTD0545		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

#### Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

#### **Quality Control Report - Precision & Accuracy**

									<u>Control Lim</u>		<u>ol Limits</u>
			Source	Source		Spike			Percent		Percent
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample Type	Sample ID	Result	Result	Added	Units	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Recovery Lab Quals
Benzene	BTD0319	Matrix Spike	1003610-34	ND	0.11122	0.12500	mg/kg		89.0		70 - 130
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-34	ND	0.11625	0.12500	mg/kg	4.4	93.0	20	70 - 130
Toluene	BTD0319	Matrix Spike	1003610-34	ND	0.11488	0.12500	mg/kg		91.9		70 - 130
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-34	ND	0.11252	0.12500	mg/kg	2.1	90.0	20	70 - 130
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	BTD0319	Matrix Spike	1003610-34	ND	0.050951	0.050000	mg/kg		102		70 - 121
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-34	ND	0.052005	0.050000	mg/kg		104		70 - 121
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	BTD0319	Matrix Spike	1003610-34	ND	0.050558	0.050000	mg/kg		101		81 - 117
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-34	ND	0.049363	0.050000	mg/kg		98.7		81 - 117
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	BTD0319	Matrix Spike	1003610-34	ND	0.051711	0.050000	mg/kg		103		74 - 121
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-34	ND	0.052365	0.050000	mg/kg		105		74 - 121



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376 Project Number: 000010119613-00023 Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

# **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

#### **Quality Control Report - Precision & Accuracy**

									<u>ol Limits</u>		
			Source	Source		Spike			Percent		Percent
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample Type	Sample ID	Result	Result	Added	Units	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Recovery Lab Quals
Diesel Range Organics (C12 - C24)	BTD0545	Matrix Spike	0915623-37	ND	14.154	16.779	mg/kg		84.4		40 - 137
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	0915623-37	ND	14.412	16.667	mg/kg	2.5	86.5	30	40 - 137
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD0545	Matrix Spike	0915623-37	ND	0.62970	0.67114	mg/kg		93.8		34 - 136
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	0915623-37	ND	0.64193	0.66667	mg/kg		96.3		34 - 136


Delta Environmental 312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28

Project Number: 000010119613-00023

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

### Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

										<u>Control</u>	Limits		
					Spike			Percent		Percent			
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	QC Type	Result	Level	PQL	Units	Recovery	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Lab Quals	
Benzene	BTD0319	BTD0319-BS1	LCS	0.11976	0.12500	0.0050	mg/kg	95.8		70 - 130			
Toluene	BTD0319	BTD0319-BS1	LCS	0.11631	0.12500	0.0050	mg/kg	93.1		70 - 130			_
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	BTD0319	BTD0319-BS1	LCS	0.050200	0.050000		mg/kg	100		70 - 121			_
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	BTD0319	BTD0319-BS1	LCS	0.050545	0.050000		mg/kg	101		81 - 117			
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	BTD0319	BTD0319-BS1	LCS	0.053143	0.050000		mg/kg	106		74 - 121			



312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138 Project: 7376 Project Number: 000010119613-00023 Reported: 04/12/2010 16:28

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

### **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

										<u>Control</u>	<u>Limits</u>		
					Spike			Percent		Percent			
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	QC Type	Result	Level	PQL	Units	Recovery	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Lab Quals	
Diesel Range Organics (C12 - C24)	BTD0545	BTD0545-BS1	LCS	13.950	16.502	2.0	mg/kg	84.5		50 - 136			
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD0545	BTD0545-BS1	LCS	0.63577	0.66007		mg/kg	96.3		34 - 136			



Delta Environmental	Project: 7376	Reported:	04/12/2010 16:28
312 Piercy Rd	Project Number: 000010119613-00023		
San Jose, CA 95138	Project Manager: Lee Dooley		

# Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	MB Result	Units	PQL MDL	Lab Quals
Benzene	BTD0319	BTD0319-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	
Ethylbenzene	BTD0319	BTD0319-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	
Methyl t-butyl ether	BTD0319	BTD0319-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	
Toluene	BTD0319	BTD0319-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.0050	
Total Xylenes	BTD0319	BTD0319-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.010	
t-Butyl alcohol	BTD0319	BTD0319-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.050	
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	BTD0319	BTD0319-BLK1	ND	mg/kg	0.20	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	BTD0319	BTD0319-BLK1	99.1	%	70 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	BTD0319	BTD0319-BLK1	103	%	81 - 117 (LCL - UCL)	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	BTD0319	BTD0319-BLK1	101	%	74 - 121 (LCL - UCL)	

B	Environmental Testing Laboratory Since 1949		
Delta Env	rironmental	Project: 7376	<b>Reported:</b> 04/12/2010 16:28
312 Pierc	y Rd	Project Number: 000010119613-00023	
San Jose	, CA 95138	Project Manager: Lee Dooley	
Notes Ar	nd Definitions		
MDL	Method Detection Limit		
ND	Analyte Not Detected at or above the reporting limit		
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit		
RPD	Relative Percent Difference		
A01	PQL's and MDL's are raised due to sample dilution.		

A17 Surrogate not reportable due to sample dilution.

**T** 1

. .

T

111

& RUSH	Chain Of Custody Re	ecord		
BC Laboratories 4100 Atlas Court, Bakersfield, CA (661) 327-4911 (661) 327-1918 fax	INVOICE REMITTANCE ADDRESS	CONOCOPHILLIPS Attn: Dee Hutchinson 3611 South Harbor, Suite 200 Santa Ana, CA. 92704	AOC# 1652 Requesition Number 000010119613-00023	DATE:
SAMPLING COMPANY:	Valid Value ID:	CONOCOPHILLIPS SITE NUMBER	GLOBAL ID NO.:	
Delta Consultants		7376	T0600100101	
312 Piercy Road, San Jose, California		4191-Security Santa Curres A 191 Ex	rst, Street, Terry Grayson	
PROJECT CONTACT (Hardcopy or PDF Report to):		EDF DELIVERABLE TO (RP or Designee):	HONE NO.: E-MAIL: LAF	B USE ONLY
TELEPHONE: FAX: 408-826-1874 408-225 <u>-85</u> 06	E-MAIL:	Lee Dooley (4	408)826-1871	0-04760
SAMPLER NAME(S) (Print): EVan Chantek	C107376		REQUESTED ANALYSES	
TURNAROUND TIME (CALENDAR DAYS): 14 DAYS 7 DAYS 72 HOURS 48 HOURS SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR NOTES: PLEASE CC RESUL Nperiat@delts L do bleg d Field Point name only required if different fro USE NAME/ ID 1 $CWA - 1 (@ 26 - 26.9)$ 2 $CWA - 1 (@ 36.5 - 37)$ 2 $CWA - 1 (@ 36.5 - 37)$	$ \begin{array}{c c} & & \\ \hline	Y     Y     TPH-D by EPA Method 8015 With Silica Gel       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X       Y     X     X	CHK BY DI ABIBUTION CHK BY DI ABIBUTION TEMP P P P	FIELD NOTES: Container/Preservative or PID Readings or Laboratory Notes PERATURE ON RECEIPT C° RD 79.3 ID 598 (possible SPH) ID 5.5 ID 369 (possible SPH) ID 3.5
Reinquished by (Signature) Reinquished by (Signature) Reinquished by (Signature) Reinquished by (Signature) Rinquished by (Signature)	HAT Received by (Signal Received by (Signa	P.BINS BCC	Date: 4/077/10 Date: 4/8/10 Date: 4/8/10	Time: 1/25 Time: 0800 Time: 04002 Backing

BC LABORATORIES INC.	S	AMPLE I	RECEIPT	FORM	Rev.	No. 12 C	6/24/08	Page [	01/	
Submission # D D H Loi	5					·				
	RATION					SHIPPIN	G CONT	AINER		
	ALION and Dolive	n		Ic	e Chest 🕏	$\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}$	None	e 🗆		
-ederal Express L UPS L R	(Specify) (	n Lial	·	10	Box C	]	Othe	r 🗀 (Speci	fy)	
BC Lab Field Service $\Box$ Other $\mu$	(opcon)/1	Mue	-							
Refrigerant: Icè di Blue Ice 🗆	None 🗆	] Othe	er 🗆 Co	omments	); ;	HEREICUSCHERE EINER HEREICUSCHERE STATE				
	2 - stain or			`ommer	nts:					
Custody Seals Ice Chest L	tact? Yes									
All samples received? Yes No D A	II samples c	containers	intact? Yes			Descriptio	on(s) mate	ch COC? Ye	No D	
COC Received Em	issivity:	<u>95</u> co	ntainer: 📐	HAMT	hermomete	er ID: <u>#-  </u>	<u>e3</u>	Date/Time	418/10	0800
DYES NO		J	2		42	10		Analystin	I BLT	
Ter	nperature: /	A7	<u>.                                    </u>	/ C	1.9	°C		Analystin		
					SAMPLEN					
				4		6	7	8	9	10
QT GENERAL MINERAL/ GENERAL PHYSICAL										
PT PE UNPRESERVED										· · · ·
QT INORGANIC CHEMICAL METALS								-		
PT INORGANIC CHEMICAL METALS										
PT CYANIDE										
PT NITROGEN FORMS										
PT TOTAL SULFIDE										
PT TOTAL OPCANIC CAPBON										
PT TOTAL ORGANIC CALGON										
PT CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND										
PTA PHENOLICS										
40ml VOA VLAL TRAVEL BLANK		( )	( )	(	(	1 (		) ()	1	
40ml VOA VLAL	· · · · ·	······			1					
QT EPA 413.1, 413.2, 418.1										
PT ODOR	_					1		· ·		
RADIOLOGICAL						1				
BACTERIOLOGICAL	· [									1
40 ml VOA VIAL- 504					1		+			1
QT EPA 508/608/8080				<u> </u>			-			1
QT EPA 515.1/8150										+
QT EPA 525							<u> </u>			
OT EPA 525 TRAVEL BLANK	1						_			
100ml FPA 547									+	
				1						
										1
Q I EPA 549		1	1							1
QT EPA 632				1						
QT EPA 8015M		1				_	-			
QT AMBER	_			1		-				
8 OZ. JAR				+			1		-	1
32 OZ. JAR				Δ.	<b>D</b>	1.				
SOIL SLEEVE BLASS	1 J_	Kt	H	HK_	<u> </u>	-+- <b>K</b>				
			-							
PCB VIAL				1					-	
PCB VIAL PLASTIC BAG										
PCB VIAL PLASTIC BAG FERROUS IRON										

I CITCOILE				
Coarmusta	• •	. 1	b/a	
Sample Numbering Completed By	MAN	Date/Time: Y	KITO	CM.
A = Ashel / C = Corrected by	Comment	-77	-01-0	

H: DOCSIWPBOILAB\_DOCSIFORMSISAMREC2.WPD]

A = Actual / C = Corrected

	- >Laboratories, Inc.
OFF – SIT	'E SERVICES BILLING WORKSHE
Commence Manager.	Direct ID/#:
company: <u>Hitzeett</u>	<u>GST</u> Project name: <u>WHSTER-QZ</u>
Address: <u>Stall</u>	<u> </u>
Sampling Date: $4 7 _{10}$	Sampler's Signature: Sampling Time:
1) Labor Costs	ITEMIZED CHARGES: HTC & 601 HTC
2) Travel Time	
3) ISCO Sample Set-Up	
4) Extra Equipment	·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
) Miscellaneous	
TOTAL CHADCES.	1092



Date of Report: 05/06/2010

Lee Dooley

Delta Environmental 312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138

 RE:
 7376

 BC Work Order:
 1005775

 Invoice ID:
 B079757

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 4/28/2010. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

olly mayers

Contact Person: Molly Meyers Client Service Rep

Authorized Signature

вс	Laboratorie	es, Inc.			
	Environmental Testing Labo	pratory Since 1949			
Delta Environme	ental		Project: 7376		Reported: 05/06/2010 14:03
312 Piercy Rd			Project Number: 4513373219		
San Jose, CA 95	5138		Project Manager: Lee Dooley		
		Labo	ratory / Client Sample Cross Refe	rence	
Laboratory	Client Sample Informatio	)n			
1005775-01	COC Number:		Receive Date:	04/28/2010 10:50	Delivery Work Order:
	Project Number:	7376	Sampling Date:	04/26/2010 12:50	Global ID: T0600100101
	Sampling Location:		Sample Depth:		Location ID (FieldPoint): MW-13
	Sampling Point:	MW-13	Sample Matrix:	Water	Matrix: W
	Sampled By:	DECJ			Sample QC Type (SACode): CS
					Cooler ID:



Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

BCL Sample ID: 1005775-01	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, MW-13, 4/26/	2010 12:50:0	0PM							
	·				Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	ND	ug/L	0.50	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:30	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546	ND	
Ethylbenzene	ND	ug/L	0.50	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:30	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546	ND	
Methyl t-butyl ether	68	ug/L	0.50	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:30	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546	ND	
Toluene	ND	ug/L	0.50	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:30	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546	ND	
Total Xylenes	ND	ug/L	1.0	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:30	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546	ND	
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	67	ug/L	50	Luft-GC/MS	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:30	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546	ND	A90
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	102	%	76 - 114 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:30	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	97.1	%	88 - 110 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:30	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	98.7	%	86 - 115 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:30	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546		



Reported: 05/06/2010 14:03

312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376 Project Number: 4513373219

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## Purgeable Aromatics and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

BCL Sample ID: 1005775-01	Client Sample	Name:	7376, MW-13, 4/26/	2010 12:50:0	00PM							
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
TPH - Light Naptha	ND	ug/L	200	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Aviation Gas	ND	ug/L	200	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Stoddard Solvent	ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Heavy Naptha	ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Gasoline	ND	ug/L	200	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP4)	ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP5)	ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP8)	ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Kerosene	ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Diesel (FFP)	ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Fuel Oil #6	170	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Crude Oil	ND	ug/L	200	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Hydraulic Oil / Motor Oil	ND	ug/L	200	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - WD-40	ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1786	ND	
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	70.5	%	37 - 134 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1786		

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety. All results listed in this report are for the exclusive use of the submitting party. BC Laboratories, Inc. assumes no responsibility for report alteration, separation, detachment or third party interpretation. 4100 Atlas Court Bakersfield, CA 93308 (661) 327-4911 FAX (661) 327-1918 www.bclabs.com Certifications: California - ELAP Certification Number 1186; Nevada Administrative Code - NAC-445A



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

# **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

BCL Sample ID:	1005775-01	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, MW-13, 4/26/	MW-13, 4/26/2010 12:50:00PM								
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Diesel Range Organics	(C12 - C24)	ND	ug/L	50	Luft/TPHd	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1785	72	
Tetracosane (Surrogate	)	70.5	%	28 - 139 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/TPHd	04/28/10	05/04/10 13:42	MWB	GC-13	0.990	BTD1785		



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 05/06/2010 14:03

Project Number: 4513373219 Project Manager: Lee Dooley

# Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

							Control Limits				
			Source	Source		Spike			Percent		Percent
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample Type	Sample ID	Result	Result	Added	Units	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Recovery Lab Quals
Benzene	BTD1546	Matrix Spike	1003610-95	ND	20.140	25.000	ug/L		80.6		70 - 130
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-95	ND	23.310	25.000	ug/L	14.6	93.2	20	70 - 130
Toluene	BTD1546	Matrix Spike	1003610-95	ND	22.170	25.000	ug/L		88.7		70 - 130
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-95	ND	24.610	25.000	ug/L	10.4	98.4	20	70 - 130
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	BTD1546	Matrix Spike	1003610-95	ND	9.7200	10.000	ug/L		97.2		76 - 114
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-95	ND	9.5600	10.000	ug/L		95.6		76 - 114
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	BTD1546	Matrix Spike	1003610-95	ND	10.040	10.000	ug/L		100		88 - 110
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-95	ND	9.9800	10.000	ug/L		99.8		88 - 110
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	BTD1546	Matrix Spike	1003610-95	ND	10.040	10.000	ug/L		100		86 - 115
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-95	ND	10.000	10.000	ug/L		100		86 - 115



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376 Project Number: 4513373219 Reported: 05/06/2010 14:03

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

### **Purgeable Aromatics and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons**

									Control Limits			
			Source	Source		Spike			Percent		Percent	
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample Type	Sample ID	Result	Result	Added	Units	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Recovery Lab Quals	
TPH - Diesel (FFP)	BTD1786	Matrix Spike	1003610-93	ND	542.29	500.00	ug/L		108		50 - 127	
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-93	ND	507.90	500.00	ug/L	6.5	102	24	50 - 127	
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD1786	Matrix Spike	1003610-93	ND	22.912	20.000	ug/L		115		37 - 134	
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-93	ND	20.871	20.000	ug/L		104		37 - 134	



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376 Project Number: 4513373219 Reported: 05/06/2010 14:03

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

### **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

									Control Limits			
			Source	Source		Spike			Percent		Percent	
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample Type	Sample ID	Result	Result	Added	Units	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Recovery Lab Quals	
Diesel Range Organics (C12 - C24)	BTD1785	Matrix Spike	0917254-46	71.645	487.62	500.00	ug/L		83.2		36 - 130	
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	0917254-46	71.645	384.37	500.00	ug/L	28.3	62.5	30	36 - 130	
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD1785	Matrix Spike	0917254-46	ND	21.118	20.000	ug/L		106		28 - 139	
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	0917254-46	ND	16.357	20.000	ug/L		81.8		28 - 139	



312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138 Project: 7376

Reported: 05/06/2010 14:03

Project Number: 4513373219 Project Manager: Lee Dooley

# Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

								Control Limits				
					Spike			Percent		Percent		
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	QC Type	Result	Level	PQL	Units	Recovery	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Lab Quals
Benzene	BTD1546	BTD1546-BS1	LCS	24.560	25.000	0.50	ug/L	98.2		70 - 130		
Toluene	BTD1546	BTD1546-BS1	LCS	25.710	25.000	0.50	ug/L	103		70 - 130		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	BTD1546	BTD1546-BS1	LCS	10.080	10.000		ug/L	101		76 - 114		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	BTD1546	BTD1546-BS1	LCS	10.000	10.000		ug/L	100		88 - 110		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	BTD1546	BTD1546-BS1	LCS	10.030	10.000		ug/L	100		86 - 115		



**Reported:** 05/06/2010 14:03

Delta Environmental

312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376 Project Number: 4513373219

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## **Purgeable Aromatics and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons**

							Control Limits						
					Spike			Percent		Percent			
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	QC Type	Result	Level	PQL	Units	Recovery	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Lab Quals	
TPH - Diesel (FFP)	BTD1786	BTD1786-BS1	LCS	492.31	500.00	50	ug/L	98.5		52 - 128			
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD1786	BTD1786-BS1	LCS	21.294	20.000		ug/L	106		37 - 134			



312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138 Project: 7376 Project Number: 4513373219 Reported: 05/06/2010 14:03

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

### **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

								Control Limits					
					Spike			Percent		Percent			
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	QC Type	Result	Level	PQL	Units	Recovery	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Lab Quals	
Diesel Range Organics (C12 - C24)	BTD1785	BTD1785-BS1	LCS	409.20	500.00	50	ug/L	81.8		48 - 125			
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD1785	BTD1785-BS1	LCS	16.495	20.000		ug/L	82.5		28 - 139			



Delta Environmental	Project: 7376	<b>Reported:</b> 05/06/2010 14:03
312 Piercy Rd	Project Number: 4513373219	
San Jose, CA 95138	Project Manager: Lee Dooley	

## Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	MB Result	Units	PQL	MDL	Lab Quals
Benzene	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	0.50		
Ethylbenzene	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	0.50		
Methyl t-butyl ether	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	0.50		
Toluene	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	0.50		
Total Xylenes	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	1.0		
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	101	%	76 - 114	(LCL - UCL)	
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	99.2	%	88 - 110	(LCL - UCL)	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	98.3	%	86 - 115	(LCL - UCL)	



Delta Environmental	Project	7376	Reported:	05/06/2010 14:03
312 Piercy Rd	Project Number	4513373219		
San Jose, CA 95138	Project Manager	Lee Dooley		

## **Purgeable Aromatics and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons**

Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	MB Result	Units	PQL	MDL	Lab Quals
TPH - Light Naptha	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	200		
TPH - Aviation Gas	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	200		
TPH - Stoddard Solvent	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Heavy Naptha	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Gasoline	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	200		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP4)	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP5)	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP8)	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Kerosene	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Diesel (FFP)	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Fuel Oil #6	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Crude Oil	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	200		
TPH - Hydraulic Oil / Motor Oil	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	200		
TPH - WD-40	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	107	%	37 - 134 (L	CL - UCL)	



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 05/06/2010 14:03

Project Number: 4513373219 Project Manager: Lee Dooley

### **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Silica Gel Treated)**

Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	MB Result	Units	PQL	MDL	Lab Quals
Diesel Range Organics (C12 - C24)	BTD1785	BTD1785-BLK1	71.645	ug/L	50		M01
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD1785	BTD1785-BLK1	117	%	28 - 139 (LCL	- UCL)	

ВС	Environmental Testing Laboratory Since 1949				
Delta Enviro	onmental	Project:	. 7376 <b>R</b>	eported:	05/06/2010 14:03
312 Piercy F	Rd	Project Number:	4513373219		
San Jose, C	CA 95138	Project Manager:	Lee Dooley		
Notes And	Definitions				
MDL	Method Detection Limit				
ND	Analyte Not Detected at or above the reporting limit				
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit				
RPD	Relative Percent Difference				
A90	TPPH does not exhibit a "gasoline" pattern. TPPH is entirely due to MTBE.				

M01 Analyte detected in the Method Blank at or above the PQL.

	A RUSH	Chain Of	<sup>:</sup> Cus	tody	Red	cord	b										
4 <sup>-</sup> (66	BC Laboratories 100 Atlas Court, Bakersfield, CA 31) 327-4911 (661) 327-1918 fax		TTANC		ESS:			CONOCOPI Attn: Dee F 3611 South Santa Ana,	HILLIPS Hutchinson Harbor, Suite 20 CA. 92704	00		Re	AOC# 1652 quesition	t Number	DATE: PAGE:	04.26.10 1 of	
SAMPLI	NG COMPANY:	Valid Value ID:	05	110		CONOC	COPHILLIPS SIT	E NUMBER				0000	GLOBAL I	D NO.:	L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4
Delta	Consultants					7376 SITE A	DDRESS (Street	and City):					T06001 ConocoPhi	00101 Illips Manager		1	
312 P	iercy Road, San Jose, California					4191	First Street	t, Pleasoni	ton, CA				Terry G	rayson			
Lee D	ooley	-				EDF DE	ELIVERABLE TO	(RP or Design	1ee):		PHONE NO.:		E-MAIL:		LAB USE ONLY		
TELEPH	one: FAX: 26-1874 408-225-8506	E-MAIL:				Lee [	Dooley				(408)826-1	871	Terry L. Gray	son@contractor.con	10		
sampler Evan	R NAME(S) (Print): Chantikian/Lee Dooley/Jerry Falcon	CONSULTANT PROJEC	TNUMBER								REC	QUESTED ANA	LYSES				
上 14 t 承 SPECI/ Fit	DAYS   7 DAYS   72 HOURS   48 HOURS   5 DAY TA A AL INSTRUCTIONS OR NOTES: PLEASE CC RESUL Nperiat@delta echantikian@d jfalcon@de eld Point name only required if different from Field Point	□ 24 HOURS CHECK B CHECK B		IS NEEDED			H-D by EPA Method 8015 With Silica Ge anup	4.G, BTEX, MTBE by EPA 8260 B.	Finger Print						FIEL Contain or Pi or Lab	D NOTES: er/Preservative ID Readings oratory Notes	
USE ONLY	Name/ ID	DATE	TIME	MATRIX	CONT.	ļ	Ë Ë	ЧТ									_
	MW-13	4/26/10			\ <u>\</u>			×			CHK BY DISTO						
			_							_						0	-
Relinquis Relinquis Relinquis	thed by: (Signature)	127/10	1705	Received by Received by	y. (Signature y. (Signature y. (Signature		P:BINS BO	R.	L amr	ne	l	Dat Dat	<u>4/2-7</u> * APF	/10	1 Time: 10 Time: 10 Time:	1050	_
Ļ															9/19/03	Revision	_

			DECEID				00/24/08	Page	Of L	
BC LABORATORIES INC.	·	SAMPLE	RECEIP	TFORM	Kev.	NO. 12	06/24/08	i age <u>r</u>		
Submission #: 1005775						<u>·  </u>				
SHIPPING INFOR	MATION					SHIPPIN	IG CONT			
Federal Express D UPS H	land Deliv	ery □ GSD		1	Box [	<b>в</b> ~ Г	Othe	r 🗆 (Specii	fv)	
BC Lab Field Service Li Other G	(Specity)	400			DOR	-				
Refrigerant: Ice 17 Blue Ice 1	None	□ Oth	ner 🗆 👘	Comment	s:	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	PZ SCHRI STATUTION			
	0		None El	1 Commo	nte					
Custody Seals Ice Chest			None ly	Jonne	ms.			1	$\bigcirc$	
				1	)			1 0000		
All samples received? Yes No D A	II samples	containers	s intact? Y		2	Descript	ion(s) mate	r cocrie	ST NOL	
COC Received	nissivity:	.98 c	ontainer:	PHPe -	Thermomete	er ID: <u>#1</u>	<u>v3</u>	Date/Time	<u>APR 2</u>	3 2010
727YES TNO		Ц	7		4.7			Applystin	, PLT	105
Те	mperature:	Α	1	°C / C		°C		Analysein		
					SAMPLEN	UMBERS				
SAMPLE CONTAINERS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
QT GENERAL MINERAL/ GENERAL PHYSICAL										
PT PE UNPRESERVED										<u>├</u>
QT INORGANIC CHEMICAL METALS			<u> </u>							·
PT INORGANIC CHEMICAL METALS	<u> </u>									
PT CYANIDE				·	<u> </u>	ļ				
PT NITROGEN FORMS	<u> </u>									
PT TOTAL SULFIDE							+	-		
202. NITRATE / NITRITE	<u> </u>							+		
PT TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON				-						
PT TOX					-		}			
PT CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND		<u> </u>				+	-			
			1	-	1					
10ml VOA VIAL	1	(	) (	) (	(	) (	1	) ()	1	
OT EP4 413 1 413 7 418 1										
PT ODOR										<u> </u>
RADIOLOGICAL										
BACTERIOLOGICAL					_					
40 ml VOA VIAL- 504			_						<u> </u>	
QT EPA 508/608/8080	· ·		_		_		_	_		
QT EPA 515.1/8150					_					
QT EPA 525										
QT EPA 525 TRAVEL BLANK	_									
100ml EPA 547			-   					_		
100ml EPA 531.1										+
QT EPA 548									1	
QT EPA 549			-1						1	1
QT EPA 632									1	1
QT EPA 8015M	0.4		-						1	1
QT AMBER	110		-							1
8 UZ. JAR				-				-		
SOU SEEDET							_			
PCR VIN										
FERROUS IRON										
ENCORE								<u></u>		
Division and a second sec	Contraction and the second	Land Strain States and S								-

Date/Time: 4-28-1014W

Comments: Sample Numbering Completed By: A = Actual / C = Corrected

ALL POPATODIES INC	5	SAMPLE	RECEIP	T FORM	Rev.	No. 12	06/24/08	Page _	SOIL	
LABORATORIES INC.										
ubmission #: $W$ $OTTS$	<u>&gt;  </u>					SHIPPIN	IG CON	TAINER		
SHIPPING INFOR	MATION	<sup>[7]</sup>		le	e Chestĭ	- 31118 I 11 ₽	Non	e 🗆		
ederal Express D UPS D F	ØSnecify)	G50			Box [	2	Othe	er 🗆 (Spec	ify)	
	(									
Pofrigerant: Ice 2 Blue Ice	None	] Oth	ner 🗆 🛛 🤇	Comment	s:					
	Container	rs 🗆	None D	Comme	nts:					
	ntact? Yes (		Holle #	_						
						Descript	ion(s) mat	ch COCZ Y	es No I	
Il samples received? Yest No A	All samples	container:	s intact?		J 	Descript		T	TAPP 9	Q 201
	nissivity:	<u>,98</u> c	Container:	14/1e	hermomet	er ID: <u></u>	103	Date/Tim		0 2010
FRYES DNO			47		4.2	°C		Analyst I	nit <u>BL</u>	- 100
Te	mperature:	A								
					SAMPLE	NUMBERS	T			1 10
SAMPLE CONTAINERS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
T GENERAL MINERAL/ GENERAL PHYSICAL										
T PE UNPRESERVED										
T INORGANIC CHEMICAL METALS										
T INORGANIC CHEMICAL METALS			-			1				
PT CYANIDE										
PT NITROGEN FORMS										
PT TOTAL SULFIDE			-							
202. NITRATE / NITRITE					-					
PT TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON							-			
PT CHEMICAL UNIGEN DEMAND										
40ml VOA VIAL TRAVEL BLANK	3									_ <u>_</u>
40mi VOA VIAL PINY-0	ACIE	) (	) (	) (		) (	) 1	1 1	1	
QT EPA 413.1, 413.2, 418.1										
PT ODOR										
RADIOLOGICAL						_				
BACTERIOLOGICAL										
40 ml VOA VIAL- 504										
QT EPA 508/608/8080										
QT EPA 515.1/8150						-				
QT EPA 525						-				
QT EPA 525 TRAVEL BLANK							_			
100ml EPA 547										
100ml EPA 531.1		_								
QT EPA 548										
QT EPA (22				-						
OT EPA MISM					·					
OT AMBER										
32 O7. JAR										
SOIL SLEEVE										
PCB VIAL										
PLASTIC BAG						_		<u> </u>		
FERROUS IRON										
ENCORE										<u>مع المع</u>



Date of Report: 05/06/2010

Lee Dooley

Delta Environmental 312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138

 RE:
 7376

 BC Work Order:
 1005776

 Invoice ID:
 B079760

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 4/28/2010. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

olly mayers

Contact Person: Molly Meyers Client Service Rep

Authorized Signature

Delta Environme	Laboratorie Environmental Testing Laboratal	oratory Since 1949	Project: 737	76		<b>Reported:</b> 05/06/2010 14:28
312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95	5138		Project Number: 45 <sup>-</sup> Project Manager: Lee	13373219 e Dooley		
		Labo	ratory / Client Samp	le Cross Refe	erence	
Laboratory	Client Sample Information	on				
1005776-01	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWB-3_042610 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/28/2010 10:50 04/26/2010 12:25  Water	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWB-3 Matrix: W Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:
1005776-02	COC Number: Project Number: Sampling Location: Sampling Point: Sampled By:	 7376  CWB-3_042210 DECJ		Receive Date: Sampling Date: Sample Depth: Sample Matrix:	04/28/2010 10:50 04/22/2010 07:30  Water	Delivery Work Order: Global ID: T0600100101 Location ID (FieldPoint): CWB-3 Matrix: W Sample QC Type (SACode): CS Cooler ID:



Reported: 05/06/2010 14:28

312 Piercy Rd

Laboratories, Inc.

San Jose, CA 95138

BC

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## **Purgeable Aromatics and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons**

BCL Sample ID: 10	005776-01	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWB-3_0426	610, 4/26/2010	) 12:25:00P	Μ						
						Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent		Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
TPH - Light Naptha		ND	ug/L	200	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/05/10 16:03	MWB	GC-13	1	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Aviation Gas		ND	ug/L	200	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/05/10 16:03	MWB	GC-13	1	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Stoddard Solvent		ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/05/10 16:03	MWB	GC-13	1	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Heavy Naptha		ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/05/10 16:03	MWB	GC-13	1	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Gasoline		ND	ug/L	200	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/05/10 16:03	MWB	GC-13	1	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP4)		ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/05/10 16:03	MWB	GC-13	1	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP5)		ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/05/10 16:03	MWB	GC-13	1	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP8)		ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/05/10 16:03	MWB	GC-13	1	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Kerosene		ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/05/10 16:03	MWB	GC-13	1	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Diesel (FFP)		910	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/05/10 16:03	MWB	GC-13	1	BTD1786	ND	A52
TPH - Fuel Oil #6		ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/05/10 16:03	MWB	GC-13	1	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Crude Oil		ND	ug/L	200	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/05/10 16:03	MWB	GC-13	1	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - Hydraulic Oil / Motor O	Dil	ND	ug/L	200	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/05/10 16:03	MWB	GC-13	1	BTD1786	ND	
TPH - WD-40		ND	ug/L	50	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/05/10 16:03	MWB	GC-13	1	BTD1786	ND	
Tetracosane (Surrogate)		84.3	%	37 - 134 (LCL - UCL)	Luft/FFP	04/28/10	05/05/10 16:03	MWB	GC-13	1	BTD1786		



Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

BCL Sample ID: 1005776-02	Client Sample	e Name:	7376, CWB-3_0422	210, 4/22/2010	7:30:00AI	N						
					Prep	Run		Instru-		QC	MB	Lab
Constituent	Result	Units	PQL	Method	Date	Date/Time	Analyst	ment ID	Dilution	Batch ID	Bias	Quals
Benzene	1700	ug/L	25	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/30/10 15:50	KEA	MS-V12	50	BTD1546	ND	A01
Ethylbenzene	25	ug/L	0.50	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:48	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546	ND	
Methyl t-butyl ether	1300	ug/L	25	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/30/10 15:50	KEA	MS-V12	50	BTD1546	ND	A01
Toluene	11	ug/L	0.50	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:48	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546	ND	
Total Xylenes	30	ug/L	1.0	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:48	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546	ND	
t-Amyl Methyl ether	ND	ug/L	0.50	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:48	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546	ND	
t-Butyl alcohol	ND	ug/L	10	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:48	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546	ND	
Diisopropyl ether	ND	ug/L	0.50	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:48	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546	ND	
Ethanol	ND	ug/L	250	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:48	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546		
Ethyl t-butyl ether	ND	ug/L	0.50	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:48	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546	ND	
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	7200	ug/L	2500	Luft-GC/MS	04/29/10	04/30/10 15:50	KEA	MS-V12	50	BTD1546	ND	A01
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	104	%	76 - 114 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/30/10 15:50	KEA	MS-V12	50	BTD1546		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	110	%	76 - 114 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:48	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	103	%	88 - 110 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:48	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	101	%	88 - 110 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/30/10 15:50	KEA	MS-V12	50	BTD1546		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	97.0	%	86 - 115 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/29/10 14:48	KEA	MS-V12	1	BTD1546		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	96.4	%	86 - 115 (LCL - UCL)	EPA-8260	04/29/10	04/30/10 15:50	KEA	MS-V12	50	BTD1546		

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

All results listed in this report are for the exclusive use of the submitting party. BC Laboratories, Inc. assumes no responsibility for report alteration, separation, detachment or third party interpretation.

4100 Atlas Court Bakersfield, CA 93308 (661) 327-4911 FAX (661) 327-1918 www.bclabs.com

Certifications: California - ELAP Certification Number 1186; Nevada Administrative Code - NAC-445A



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376

Reported: 05/06/2010 14:28

Project Number: 4513373219 Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

										<u>Contr</u>	<u>ol Limits</u>
			Source	Source		Spike			Percent		Percent
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample Type	Sample ID	Result	Result	Added	Units	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Recovery Lab Quals
Benzene	BTD1546	Matrix Spike	1003610-95	ND	20.140	25.000	ug/L		80.6		70 - 130
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-95	ND	23.310	25.000	ug/L	14.6	93.2	20	70 - 130
Toluene	BTD1546	Matrix Spike	1003610-95	ND	22.170	25.000	ug/L		88.7		70 - 130
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-95	ND	24.610	25.000	ug/L	10.4	98.4	20	70 - 130
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	BTD1546	Matrix Spike	1003610-95	ND	9.7200	10.000	ug/L		97.2		76 - 114
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-95	ND	9.5600	10.000	ug/L		95.6		76 - 114
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	BTD1546	Matrix Spike	1003610-95	ND	10.040	10.000	ug/L		100		88 - 110
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-95	ND	9.9800	10.000	ug/L		99.8		88 - 110
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	BTD1546	Matrix Spike	1003610-95	ND	10.040	10.000	ug/L		100		86 - 115
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-95	ND	10.000	10.000	ug/L		100		86 - 115



312 Piercy Rd

San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376 Project Number: 4513373219 Reported: 05/06/2010 14:28

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

### **Purgeable Aromatics and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons**

										<u>Contr</u>	<u>ol Limits</u>
			Source	Source		Spike			Percent		Percent
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample Type	Sample ID	Result	Result	Added	Units	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Recovery Lab Quals
TPH - Diesel (FFP)	BTD1786	Matrix Spike	1003610-93	ND	542.29	500.00	ug/L		108		50 - 127
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-93	ND	507.90	500.00	ug/L	6.5	102	24	50 - 127
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD1786	Matrix Spike	1003610-93	ND	22.912	20.000	ug/L		115		37 - 134
		Matrix Spike Duplicate	1003610-93	ND	20.871	20.000	ug/L		104		37 - 134



312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138 Project: 7376

Reported: 05/06/2010 14:28

Project Number: 4513373219 Project Manager: Lee Dooley

### Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

										<u>Control</u>	<u>Limits</u>	
					Spike			Percent		Percent		
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	QC Type	Result	Level	PQL	Units	Recovery	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Lab Quals
Benzene	BTD1546	BTD1546-BS1	LCS	24.560	25.000	0.50	ug/L	98.2		70 - 130		
Toluene	BTD1546	BTD1546-BS1	LCS	25.710	25.000	0.50	ug/L	103		70 - 130		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	BTD1546	BTD1546-BS1	LCS	10.080	10.000		ug/L	101		76 - 114		
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	BTD1546	BTD1546-BS1	LCS	10.000	10.000		ug/L	100		88 - 110		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	BTD1546	BTD1546-BS1	LCS	10.030	10.000		ug/L	100		86 - 115		



Reported: 05/06/2010 14:28

Delta Environmental

312 Piercy Rd San Jose, CA 95138

Project: 7376 Project Number: 4513373219

Project Manager: Lee Dooley

## **Purgeable Aromatics and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons**

										<u>Control I</u>	<u>Limits</u>		
					Spike			Percent		Percent			
Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	QC Type	Result	Level	PQL	Units	Recovery	RPD	Recovery	RPD	Lab Quals	
TPH - Diesel (FFP)	BTD1786	BTD1786-BS1	LCS	492.31	500.00	50	ug/L	98.5		52 - 128			
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD1786	BTD1786-BS1	LCS	21.294	20.000		ug/L	106		37 - 134			



Delta Environmental	Project: 7376	Reported: 05/06/2010 14:28
312 Piercy Rd	Project Number: 4513373219	
San Jose, CA 95138	Project Manager: Lee Dooley	

## Volatile Organic Analysis (EPA Method 8260)

Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	MB Result	Units	PQL	MDL	Lab Quals
Benzene	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	0.50		
Ethylbenzene	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	0.50		
Methyl t-butyl ether	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	0.50		
Toluene	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	0.50		
Total Xylenes	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	1.0		
t-Amyl Methyl ether	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	0.50		
t-Butyl alcohol	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	10		
Diisopropyl ether	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	0.50		
Ethyl t-butyl ether	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	0.50		
Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surrogate)	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	101	%	76 - 114 (LCL -	UCL)	
Toluene-d8 (Surrogate)	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	99.2	%	88 - 110 (LCL -	UCL)	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	BTD1546	BTD1546-BLK1	98.3	%	86 - 115 (LCL -	UCL)	



Delta Environmental	Project:	7376	Reported:	05/06/2010 14:28
312 Piercy Rd	Project Number:	4513373219		
San Jose, CA 95138	Project Manager:	Lee Dooley		

## **Purgeable Aromatics and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons**

Constituent	Batch ID	QC Sample ID	MB Result	Units	PQL	MDL	Lab Quals
TPH - Light Naptha	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	200		
TPH - Aviation Gas	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	200		
TPH - Stoddard Solvent	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Heavy Naptha	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Gasoline	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	200		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP4)	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP5)	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Jet Fuel (JP8)	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Kerosene	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Diesel (FFP)	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Fuel Oil #6	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
TPH - Crude Oil	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	200		
TPH - Hydraulic Oil / Motor Oil	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	200		
TPH - WD-40	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	ND	ug/L	50		
Tetracosane (Surrogate)	BTD1786	BTD1786-BLK1	107	%	37 - 134 (L	CL - UCL)	

В	Laboratories, Inc. Environmental Testing Laboratory Since 1949	Mu					
Delta Enviro	onmental	Project:	7376	Reported:	05/06/2010 14:28		
312 Piercy Rd		Project Number:	4513373219				
San Jose, C	CA 95138	Project Manager:	Lee Dooley				
Notes And Definitions							
MDL	Method Detection Limit						
ND	Analyte Not Detected at or above the reporting limit						
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit						
RPD	Relative Percent Difference						
A01	PQL's and MDL's are raised due to sample dilution.						

A52 Chromatogram not typical of diesel.
# Chain Of Custody Record

2 BIEL																umber			Т		
x Kush	Site Manager:															unnei					04.26.10
BC Laboratories	INVOICE REMITTANCE ADDRESS:	:												C1	073	763	00			DA	ATE: 12:01:09
4100 Atlas Court Bakersfield CA	Lee Dooley												Ree	guisi	tion /	Line	Num	ber			1
4100 Allas Court, Bakersheld, OK	Delta Consultants													<u> </u>						PA	AGE: of
(661) 327-4911 (661) 327-1918 fax	312 Piercy Rd	171	$\cap$																		
	San Jose, CA 95138	1-10	<u></u>												GLOB	AL ID N	0.:		l		
SAMPLING COMPANY:	Valid Value ID:	SITE NUM	BER	~~~													Tr		~7	10	0101
Delta Consultants		C107	376	300											SITE N	ANAG	1 () R:	-0	~		
ADDRESS:		SITE ADD	RESS (S	Street and	City):																
312 Piercy Rd, San Jose, CA 95138		4101	Fire	t Stro		وموما	ontor	n C.	Δ						l ee l	onlev					
PROJECT CONTACT (Hardcopy or PDF Report to):		FOF DELL	VERABI	LE TO (RP	or Desid	inee):	antoi	ii, 0/		РНС	NE NO.:				E-MAIL	:			LAB	USE	ONLY
Lee Dooley		_								IA	08) 82	6-18	71		Ldoc	olev@	delta	env.			
TELEPHONE: FAX:		Lee D	oley							(-	00) 02	.0 10			com	-					
408-826-1871 408-225-8506																					
SAMPLER NAME(S) (Print):	CONSULTANT PROJECT NUMBER										R	EQUE	ESTE	ED A	NAL	YSE	5				
Jerry Falcon	C107376300											-			<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
TURNAROUND TIME (CALENDAR DAYS):					_	ot		8				1									
14 DAYS 7 DAYS 72 HOURS 48 HOUF	RS 24 HOURS LESS THAN 24 HOURS				5M)	us		ME	5												
Standard TAT \$5 Day TAT	A			.L	016	doe		EX	5			1									FIELD NOTES:
Standard INT DUG INT A		-		3	8 (8	s (c		BT							i i					1 1 1	Container/Preservative
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR NOTES:			-	3.7	X/	8 ~	les	Ē	~						les	s				141	or PID Readings
			N N N	۳Ľ	ЦĘ	n V ites	lati	E	.s					1.1	anic	lfide				12	or Laboratory Notes
		_	<u>୍</u>	N L	Ë,	sca	ş		-			Ê		m	Š	Sul		80		سديم	
		문	ਸੂ ਸ	Х'з	₽ ₽ s	yge	Ë	21	ota		601	Ŧ		260	live	tive	tals	y 8	say	2	1
Please C.C jmanoney@deltaeriv.c	COTT	I F	Ë.	BT	ate	чŏ	s	/ 80	6		E D	15		n 8	act	eact	Me	es	oas	5	
* Field Point name only required if different	from Sample ID	E	E	ger B	Jen .	n p	g	Σ	-		Ĕ   Ĕ	E I		Sc	R.	al/R	117	ticd	ä	1.5	TEMPERATURE ON RECEIPT C°
LAB Sample Identification/Field	SAMPLING MATRIX CONT	F 12	015	260 0xy:	26C	260 nclt	27(	01	ear		fota	1 2 2	ΩΩ		Tota	Tota	CAN	Pes	蓝	-	
ONLY Point Name*	DATE TIME		· ∞	<u>∞</u> 0	<u>∞ 0</u>	=, ∞	æ	8				1 de		+		+	<u> </u>	+		w.	
								X				76								-12	
77								V												X	
CAB-3	04.26.10 12.25 HzO 2	<u> </u>																			
(100 Z )	4/2/10 7:20 H-0 6			$\times$																	
CWB-Jid	1/2910 1-20 1120 0			-											1						
																			- 2000	Carl I Commentant	
								Ī								1414	Qγ		$\cap$	221	
															inerican Services	5 5 7 ×	page 1 page 1			1~5	
														5	1	10	IN		12		<u> </u>
			+											2		N W	4	403 ILUU		3	JUB-OUT
														¥	1-1		- (************************************	8	4–		
															`	Ψ					
												_				+		+		+	
			1																		
	_			1		1				$\neg$	_		1								0
	N			<u> </u>										Det						Tim	
Relinquished by: (Signature)	Received by Gignat	ture) -				•								Dat	e. 1 1 1	1	1.	_			100
	The parties	Pt	GR	$\leq 1$	BCI					Δ						147	///	,	~	Tim	
Relinquished By: (Signature)	Received by Gignat	iture)	>.	t		_				)					AP	< 2	8	201	U		1250
BCLVIAGSO	4(2+10 (705)	<i>[</i>		M	M	m	$\mathcal{N}$	N	et	, 										Tim	1030
Relinquished by: (Signature)	Received by: (Signat	iture)												Dat	e:					100	Tex.
																					0// 0/02 Baulaion

0					1				
					·   ·				
RMATION		(			SHIPPIN	IG CONT	AINER		
Hand Delive	erv 🛛		lc	e Chest	$\mathcal{P}$	None	e 🗇		
(Specify)	GSO_			Box (		Other	· 🗆 (Speci	fy)	
- ( 1 )/-					and the second strengthere with the	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	na a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		
	ר Oth	ner∏ (	Comment	s:					
Container	SD  NoD	None ly		าเร:					
	container	s intact & Y		]	Descript	ion(s) mate	h COC?	S D No C	$\overline{\mathcal{A}}$
All Samples			2100				[	KDD Q	2010
Emissivity:	<u>. 78</u> c	Container:	FTPE T	hermome	ter ID: <u>#1</u>	<u>45</u>	Date/Time		
	. Ц.	7.		4.7	°C		Analyst Ir	nit BLT	102
femperature:	A								
				SAMPLE	NUMBERS				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
AL									
							ļ		
									ļ
		-							
	1								
									ļ
									1
_		) 1	) (	(	) (	i (	) (	1 (	1
	+								
	-								<u> </u>
	1								
									_ <b>_</b>
						-			
- 46									
			~					<u>l</u>	ويتعريب المراجع
	Hand Delive Container Intact? Yes [ All samples Emissivity: femperature: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Hand Delivery All samples containers missivity:	Hand Delivery F(Specify) Containers Intact? Yes No All samples containers intact? Yes intact? Yes No All samples containers intact? Yes intact? Yes All samples containers intact? Yes Intact? Yes	Hand Delivery Ic (Specify) (150 Ic None Other Comments Containers None [20 Comments Intact? Yes No All samples containers intact? Yes No missivity: 78 Container: PHPL 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1	Hand Delivery Second Second	Hand Delivery       Ice Chest Ide         Specify       None       Other       Comments:         Intact? Yes       No       Descript         All samples containers intact. Yes       Mo       Descript         imissivity:	Hand Delivery   (specify)     Ice Chest &     None     Other     Containers     All samples containers intact:     Yes     Yes     All samples containers intact:     Yes     Yes <td>Hand Delivery   Ispecify     I None     Other     Containers     Interf Yes     None     Ispecify     I None     I None     Containers     Interf Yes     None     Interf Yes     None     Interf Yes     None     I analysis     I analysis</td> <td>Hand Delivery       Ite Chest W       None       None         Specify       Other       Comments:         Containers       None © Comments:       Description(s) match COC? None         Iteac? Yes       No       Description(s) match COC? None       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)         All samples containers intext:       Yes       None       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)         Image: Structure A       H.T.       'c / C.       H.T.       'c / analyst init       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)         Image: Structure A       H.T.       'c / analyst init       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)         Image: Structure A       H.T.       'c / analyst init       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)         Image: Structure A       's / analyst init       's / analyst init       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)         Image: Structure A       's / analyst init       's / analyst init       's / analyst init       's / analyst init         Image: Structure A       's / analyst init       's / analyst init       's / analyst init       's / analyst init         Image: Structure A       's / analyst init       's / analyst init       's / analyst init       's / analyst init         Image: Structure A</td>	Hand Delivery   Ispecify     I None     Other     Containers     Interf Yes     None     Ispecify     I None     I None     Containers     Interf Yes     None     Interf Yes     None     Interf Yes     None     I analysis     I analysis	Hand Delivery       Ite Chest W       None       None         Specify       Other       Comments:         Containers       None © Comments:       Description(s) match COC? None         Iteac? Yes       No       Description(s) match COC? None       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)         All samples containers intext:       Yes       None       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)         Image: Structure A       H.T.       'c / C.       H.T.       'c / analyst init       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)         Image: Structure A       H.T.       'c / analyst init       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)         Image: Structure A       H.T.       'c / analyst init       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)         Image: Structure A       's / analyst init       's / analyst init       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)       Date/Time/PR 2 (structure)         Image: Structure A       's / analyst init       's / analyst init       's / analyst init       's / analyst init         Image: Structure A       's / analyst init       's / analyst init       's / analyst init       's / analyst init         Image: Structure A       's / analyst init       's / analyst init       's / analyst init       's / analyst init         Image: Structure A

Sample Numbering Completed A = Actual / C = Corrected

	c		RECEIP	TEORM	Re	v. No. 12	06/24/08	Page	Of	Ì
BC LABORATORIES INC.	5									
Submission #: 1005770		-			-			TAINED		
SHIPPING INFORM	NATION			le.	e Chest	SHIPPIN ND	IG CON Non			
ederal Express D UPS D H	and Delive	650		,	Box		Othe	r 🗆 (Speci	fy)	
BC Lab Field Service D Other 4	{opcon}/_						yer of a statility of the state	THAT A THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF		(*)*********
Refrigerant: Ice%2 Blue Ice 🗆	None 🗆	] Ot	her 🗆 🛛 🤇	Comment	s:					
	Container	 s ()	None D	' Commei	nts:					
Custody Seals Ice Criest	ntact? Yes		,	$\Lambda$					$- \Delta$	
			- intrat2 V		 -	Descript	ion(s) mat	ch COC?	No (	
All samples received? Yes / No A	II samples o	containe	s intact?					1	APR 2	Q 2016
COC Received	issivity: _t	98	Container: _	14per	hermom	eter ID: <u></u>	103	Date/Time		0 2010
BPYES □NO		٨	47	C / C	4.7	Z °c		Analyst Ir	111 <u>BU</u>	,,,,
ler	mperature.	A							والمحافظة و	
			<u> </u>	1	SAMPLI	E NUMBERS	1 -			10
SAMPLE CONTAINERS	1	2	3	4	5	6	17	8		
QT GENERAL MINERAL/ GENERAL PHYSICAL							1			
PT PE UNPRESERVED										
QT INORGANIC CHEMICAL METALS	<b> </b>		_			-	1			
PT INORGANIC CHEMICAL METALS				-	1					
PT CYANIDE			-							
PT NITROGEN FORMS			-							
PT TOTAL SULFIDE										
202. NITRATE / NITRITE										
PT TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON										
PT TOX										
PIA PHENOLICS										
40ml VOA VIAL TRAVEL BLANK		$ _{\alpha}$							· · · ·	
40ml VOA VLAL	· · ·	H 1	<u> </u>	) (		()) (	<u> </u>		- <u> </u>	
OT EPA 413.1, 413.2, 418.1	_									
PT ODOR										
RADIOLOGICAL										
BACTERIOLOGICAL			-							
40 ml VOA VIAL- 504					_					
QT EPA 508/608/8080					_					
QT EPA 515.1/8150		1								
OT EPA 525										
Q1 EPA 525 1 RAVEL BLANK	-									
Q1 EFA 347		28								
OT FPA 8015M	JM 4									
OT AMBER	AB									
8 OZ. JAR	1									
32 OZ. JAR										
SOIL SLEEVE										
PCB VIAL										
PLASTIC BAG										
FERROUS IRON										
ENCORE								<u>l</u>		
	· .			<u>.</u>						

## 

SVE Tables and Graphs

												Distance	
						Extraction	Observation					from	
			System	System	Well Flow	Well	Wellhead	Inlet Vapor	Exhaust	Extraction	Observation	extraction	
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp	Well	Well	well	
			(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(ppm)	(F)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	(feet)	Comments
CWA-1	4/20/2010	9:45 AM	-7.0	-95.2	23	-6.5	-88.4	160	1484	Y	N	0	Step Test #1. Extraction from CWA-1. Well Screened from 44-55 ft bgs (Deep)
	4/20/2010	9:55 AM	-7.0	-95.2	23	-6.5	-88.4	165	1434	Y	N	0	
	4/20/2010	10:10 AM	-8.0	-108.8	21	-7.5	-102	174	1481	Y	N	0	System vacuum self adjust from -6.5 in. Hg to -7.5 in Hg.
	4/20/2010	10:25 AM	-8.0	-108.8	25	-7.5	-102	117	1485	Y	N	0	Step #1 adjusted to -9.0 in. Hg.
	4/20/2010	10:30 AM	-9.0	-122.4		-9.0	-122.4	124	1492	Y	N	0	
	4/20/2010	10:40 AM	-9.0	-122.4	27	-8.5	-115.6	40	1446	Y	N	0	
	4/20/2010	10:55 AM	-9.0	-122.4	24	-8.5	-115.6	147	1445	Y	N	0	
	4/20/2010	11:10 AM	-9.0	-122.4	28	-8.5	-115.6	82.5	1444	Y	N	0	
	4/20/2010	11:15 AM	-12.0	-163.2		-12	-163.2	80.4	1479	Y	N	0	Step #2 adjusted to -12.0 in. Hg.
	4/20/2010	11:25 AM	-12.0	-163.2	36	-12	-163.2	61.2	1458	Y	N	0	
	4/20/2010	11:40 AM	-12.0	-163.2	36	-11.5	-156.4	43.3	1452	Y	N	0	
	4/20/2010	11:55 AM	-12.0	-163.2	32	-11.5	-156.4	40.2	1451	Y	N	0	
	4/20/2010	12:00 PM	-11.0	-149.6	31	-10.5	-142.8		1432	Y	N	0	Step #3. Decrease to -11 in.
	4/20/2010	12:10 PM	-11.0	-149.6	31	-10.5	-142.8	1164		Y	N	0	
	4/20/2010	12:15 PM											Stop Step Test and Move to CWA-2 for extraction
CWA-2	4/20/2010	9:45 AM					-0.02			N	Y	7.0	CWA-2 screened from 35.5 to 40 feet bgs (Intermediate)
	4/20/2010	9:55 AM					-0.02			N	Y	7.0	
	4/20/2010	10:10 AM					-0.08			N	Y	7.0	
	4/20/2010	10:25 AM					-0.02			N	Y	7.0	
	4/20/2010	10:40 AM					-0.06			N	Y	7.0	
	4/20/2010	10:55 AM					-0.08			N	Y	7.0	
	4/20/2010	11:10 AM					0			N	Y	7.0	
	4/20/2010	11:25 AM					-0.02			Ν	Y	7.0	
	4/20/2010	11:40 AM					0			N	Y	7.0	
	4/20/2010	11:55 AM					0			N	Y	7.0	
	4/20/2010	12:10 PM					-0.06			Ν	Y	7.0	
CWA-3	4/20/2010	9:45 AM					-0.02			N	Y	7.5	CWA-3 screened from 30.0 to 35.0 feet bgs (Shallow)
	4/20/2010	9:55 AM					-0.02			N	Y	7.5	
	4/20/2010	10:10 AM					-0.10			N	Y	7.5	
	4/20/2010	10:25 AM					-0.06			N	Y	7.5	
	4/20/2010	10:40 AM					-0.08			N	Y	7.5	
	4/20/2010	10:55 AM					-0.06			N	Y	7.5	
	4/20/2010	11:10 AM					-0.02			N	Y	7.5	
	4/20/2010	11:25 AM					0			N	Y	7.5	
	4/20/2010	11:40 AM					-0.02			N	Y	7.5	
	4/20/2010	11:55 AM					-0.04			N	Y	7.5	
	4/20/2010	12:10 PM					-0.06			N	Y	7.5	
					•					•	-		

												Distance	
						Extraction	Observation					from	
			System	System	Well Flow	Well	Wellhead	Inlet Vapor	Exhaust	Extraction	Observation	extraction	
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp	Well	Well	well	
			(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(ppm)	(F)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	(feet)	Comments
MW-2C	4/20/2010	9·45 AM	( 0,		, ,	( 0/	-0.04		. ,	N	Ý	36.5	MW-2C screened from 43 to 45 ft bas
	4/20/2010	9:55 AM		-		-	-0.04			N	Ŷ	36.5	
	4/20/2010	10.10 AM					-0.25			N	Ŷ	36.5	
	4/20/2010	10:25 AM		-		-	-0.25			N	Ŷ	36.5	
	4/20/2010	10:40 AM					-0.30			N	Ŷ	36.5	
	4/20/2010	10:55 AM					-0.35			N	Ý	36.5	
	4/20/2010	11:10 AM					-0.25			N	Ý	36.5	
	4/20/2010	11:25 AM					-0.20			N	Ŷ	36.5	
	4/20/2010	11:40 AM					-0.10			N	Ý	36.5	
	4/20/2010	11:55 AM					-0.10			N	· ·	36.5	
	4/20/2010	12:10 PM					0.10			N	V V	36.5	
	4/20/2010	12.1011					0.00					50.5	
OWA-1	4/20/2010	9:45 AM					-0.02			N	Y	19.2	OWA-1 screened from 45 to 50 feet bas
_	4/20/2010	9:55 AM					-0.02			N	Y	19.2	
	4/20/2010	10:10 AM					-0.18			N	Ý	19.2	
	4/20/2010	10:25 AM					-0.22			N	Ý	19.2	
	4/20/2010	10:40 AM					-0.26			N	Ý	19.2	
	4/20/2010	10:55 AM					-0.30			N	Ý	19.2	
	4/20/2010	11.10 AM		-		-	-0.22			N	Y	19.2	
	4/20/2010	11.25 AM		-		-	-0.18			N	Ŷ	19.2	
	4/20/2010	11:40 AM					-0.06			N	Ŷ	19.2	
	4/20/2010	11:55 AM					-0.02			N	Ý	19.2	
	4/20/2010	12:10 PM					0.02			N	Ý	19.2	
	4/20/2010	12.1011										10.2	
OWA-2	4/20/2010	9.45 AM					0.00			N	Y	19.2	OWA-2 screened from 38-40 feet bos
011112	4/20/2010	9:55 AM					0.00			N	Ŷ	19.2	
	4/20/2010	10.10 AM		-		-	-0.15			N	Ŷ	19.2	
	4/20/2010	10:25 AM					-0.05			N	Ŷ	19.2	
	4/20/2010	10:40 AM					-0.05			N	Ý	19.2	
	4/20/2010	10:55 AM					0.00			N	Ŷ	19.2	
	4/20/2010	11:10 AM					0.00			N	Ý	19.2	
	4/20/2010	11:25 AM					0.00			N	Ŷ	19.2	
	4/20/2010	11:40 AM					0.00			N	Ý	19.2	
	4/20/2010	11:55 AM					0.00			N	Ý	19.2	
	4/20/2010	12:10 PM					0.00			N	Ý	19.2	
	1/20/2010	.2.1011					0.00				· ·	10.2	
OWA-3	4/20/2010	9·45 AM					-0.02			N	Y	19.2	OWA-3 screened from 31-34 feet bas
011/10	4/20/2010	9:55 AM					-0.02			N	Ý	19.2	
	4/20/2010	10:10 AM					-0.06			N	Ý	19.2	
	4/20/2010	10:25 AM					-0.04			N	Ý	19.2	
	4/20/2010	10:40 AM					-0.02			N	Ý	19.2	
	4/20/2010	10:55 AM					-0.02			N	v	19.2	
	4/20/2010	11.10 AM					0.02			N	Ý	19.2	
	4/20/2010	11.25 AM					0.00			N	Y	19.2	
	4/20/2010	11:40 AM					0.00			N	Ý	19.2	
-	4/20/2010	11:55 AM					0.00			N	Ý	19.2	
	7/20/2010	11.00 / 101					0.00	1		1 1 1		10.2	



												Distance	
						Extraction	Observation					from	
			System	System	Well Flow	Well	Wellhead	Inlet Vapor	Exhaust	Extraction	Observation	extraction	
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp	Well	Well	well	
			(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(ppm)	(F)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	(feet)	Comments
	4/20/2010	12:10 PM					0.00			N	Y	19.2	

												Distance	
						Extraction	Observation					from	
			System	System	Well Flow	Well	Wellhead	Inlet Vapor	Exhaust	Extraction	Observation	extraction	
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp	Well	Well	well	
			(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(ppm)	(F)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	(feet)	Comments

Notes:

in. Hg = inches of Mercury, vacuum "+" = Positive

in. H20 = inches of water, vacuum "-" = Negative

acfm = actual cubic feet per minute

scfm = standard cubic feet per minute

ppm = parts per million F = degress Fahrenheit

Y/N: Yes/No

												Distance	
						Extraction	Observation					from	
			System	System	Well Flow	Well	Wellhead	Inlet Vapor	Exhaust	Extraction	Observation	extraction	
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp	Well	Well	well	Comments
		_	(in, Ha.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in, Ha.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(mgg)	(F)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)		
CW/A-2	4/20/2010	12:25 DM	-6.5	-88.4	23	-7.15	( 2)	2875	1/52	(1,11) V	N	0	Stan Test #2. Extraction from CWA 2. Wall Screened from 25.5 to 40.66 bas (latermost
CWA-2	4/20/2010	12:20 PM	-0.5	-00.4	23	-7.15		2584	1432	V V	N	0	Step Test #2. Extraction from CWA-2. Weir Screened from 35.5 to 40 ft bgs (intermed
	4/20/2010	12:55 DM	-7.0	-05.2	10	-7.10		2610	1454	V V	N	0	
	4/20/2010	1:10 PM	-7.0	-95.2	10	-7.10		2010	1456	V V	N	0	After 1:10pm reading increased year up to 0 in of Hg
-	4/20/2010	1:15 DM	-7.0	115.6	15	-7.00		2030	1400	V V	N	0	Alter 1. Tophi reading, increased vacuum to -9 m. or Hg.
-	4/20/2010	1.13 FW	-0.5	-115.0	25	-9.04		2012	1490	I V	N	0	
	4/20/2010	1:45 PM	-0.5	-115.6	20	-9.02		2000	1471	V V	N	0	
-	4/20/2010	2:00 PM	-0.5	-115.0	23	-9.00		2730	1473	v v	N	0	
-	4/20/2010	2:00 FIVI	-0.5	-115.0	23	-9.00		2700	1472	I V	N	0	Alter 2:00 pm reading, increased vacuum to -9 in. of Hg.
	4/20/2010	2.13 FW	-10.5	-142.0	32	-10.89		2001	1400	I V	IN NI	0	
	4/20/2010	2.30 PIVI	-10.5	-142.0	31	-10.93		2020	1402	f	IN NI	0	
	4/20/2010	2.45 PIVI	-10.5	-142.0	30	-11.02		2479	1457	Ť	IN	0	Stopped Step test in CVVA-2. Moved to CVVA-3
	4/20/2010	2:46 PIVI											
C)A/A 1	4/20/2010	10:05 DM					2.00			N	V	7	
CVVA-1	4/20/2010	12.25 PIVI					-2.00			IN N	ř V	7	CWA-1 screened from44 to 55 feet bgs (Deep)
-	4/20/2010	12:40 FIVI					-1.40			IN N	I V	7	
	4/20/2010	12.55 FIV					-1.40			IN N	I V	7.0	
	4/20/2010	1.10 PIVI					-1.00			IN N	ř V	7.0	
	4/20/2010	1.30 FIV					-0.70			IN N	I V	7.0	
	4/20/2010	1.45 PIVI					-0.60			IN N	ř V	7.0	
	4/20/2010	2.00 PIVI					-0.50			IN N	ř V	7.0	
	4/20/2010	2:15 PIVI					-0.40			IN N	ř V	7.0	
	4/20/2010	2.30 PIVI					-0.40			IN N	ř V	7.0	
	4/20/2010	2.45 PIVI					-0.40			IN	Ť	7.0	
C\M/A 2	4/20/2010	12-25 DM					0.65			N	v	7.4	
CVVA-3	4/20/2010	12.23 FIV					-0.03			IN N	I V	7.4	CWA-3 screened from 30.0 to 35.0 feet bgs (Shallow)
	4/20/2010	12.40 PIVI					-0.81			IN N	ř V	7.4	
	4/20/2010	12.55 FIV					-0.80			IN N	I V	7.4	
	4/20/2010	1.10 PW					-0.90			IN N	ř V	7.4	
	4/20/2010	1.30 FIVI					-1.15			IN N	I V	7.4	
	4/20/2010	1.45 PIVI 2:00 PM					-1.15			IN N	ř V	7.4	
-	4/20/2010	2:00 FIVI					-1.20			IN N	I V	7.4	
	4/20/2010	2.10 FIVI 2.30 DM					-1.65			N	I V	7.4	
-	4/20/2010	2:30 FIVI					-1.05			IN N	I V	7.4	
	4/20/2010	2.40 F IVI					-1.70			IN		1.4	
MW-2C	4/20/2010	12:25 PM					0			N	v	38.4	MW-2C screened from 43 to 45 ft bas
10100-20	4/20/2010	12:20 PM					0			N	I V	20.4	MW-2C screened from 43 to 45 ft. bgs
	4/20/2010	12:40 PIVI					0			N	I V	30.4	
	4/20/2010	12.55 FIV					0			IN N	I V	30.4	
	4/20/2010	1.10 FIVI					0			N	I V	30.4	
	4/20/2010	1:45 DM					0			N	I V	30.4	
	4/20/2010	2:00 DM					0			IN NI	I V	20.4	
	4/20/2010	2:00 PIVI					0			IN N	T V	30.4	
	4/20/2010	2.13 PM					0			IN NI	T V	30.4	
	4/20/2010	2.30 PIVI					0			IN N	T V	30.4	
	4/20/2010	2.43 MN					U			IN	T	30.4	
		1	1		1		1	1		1	1	1	

												Distance	
						Extraction	Observation					from	
			System	System	Well Flow	Well	Wellhead	Inlet Vapor	Exhaust	Extraction	Observation	extraction	
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp	Well	Well	well	Comments
			(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(ppm)	(F)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)		
OWA-1	4/20/2010	12:25 PM					-0.20			N	Y	24.3	OWA-1 screened from 45 to 50 feet bgs
	4/20/2010	12:40 PM					0.00			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	12:55 PM					0.00			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	1:10 PM					0.00			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	1:30 PM					0.00			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	1:45 PM					0.00			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	2:00 PM					0.00			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	2:15 PM					0.00			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	2:30 PM					0.00			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	2:45 PM					0.00			N	Y	24.3	
OWA-2	4/20/2010	12:25 PM					0.00			N	Y	24.3	OWA-2 screened from 38-40 feet bgs
	4/20/2010	12:40 PM					-0.18			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	12:55 PM					-0.28			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	1:10 PM					-0.30			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	1:30 PM					-0.40			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	1:45 PM					-0.40			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	2:00 PM					-0.40			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	2:15 PM					-0.42			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	2:30 PM					-0.65			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	2:45 PM					-0.70			N	Y	24.3	
OWA-3	4/20/2010	12:25 PM					-0.01			N	Y	24.3	OWA-3 screened from 31-34 feet bgs
	4/20/2010	12:40 PM					-0.20			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	12:55 PM					-0.25			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	1:10 PM					-0.25			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	1:30 PM					-0.30			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	1:45 PM					-0.35			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	2:00 PM					-0.35			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	2:15 PM					-0.55			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	2:30 PM					-0.50			N	Y	24.3	
	4/20/2010	2:45 PM					-0.60			N	Y	24.3	

Notes:

in. Hg = inches of Mercury, vacuum "+" = Positive

in. H20 = inches of water, vacuum "-" = Negative

acfm = actual cubic feet per minute

scfm = standard cubic feet per minute

ppm = parts per million

F = degress Fahrenheit

Y/N: Yes/No

												Distance	
						Extraction	Observation					from	
			System	System	Well Flow	Well	Wellhead	Inlet Vapor	Exhaust	Extraction	Observation	extraction	
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp	Well	Well	well	Comments
			(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(ppm)	(F)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)		
CWA-3	4/20/2010	2:50 PM	-7.0	-95.2		-7.08			1452	Y	N	0	Step Test #3. Extraction from CWA-3. Well Screened from 30-35 ft bgs (Shallow)
	4/20/2010	3:05 PM	-7.0	-95.2	33	-7.02		2861	1468	Y	N	0	
	4/20/2010	3:20 PM	-7.0	-95.2	33	-6.98		2855	1468	Y	N	0	
	4/20/2010	3:35 PM	-9.0	-122.4	35	-6.99		2720	1470	Y	N	0	After 3:35 pm reading, increased vacuum to -9 in. of Hg
	4/20/2010	3:50 PM	-9.0	-122.4	40	-9.01		2802	1473	Y	N	0	
	4/20/2010	4:05 PM	-9.0	-122.4	47	-9.13		3003	1487	Y	N	0	
	4/20/2010	4:20 PM	-9.0	-122.4	44	-9.04		2997	1489	Y	N	0	After 4:20 pm reading, increased vacuum to -11 in, of Hg
	4/20/2010	4:35 PM	-11.0	-149.6	55	-11.18		2984	1481	Y	N	0	
	4/20/2010	4:50 PM	-11.0	-149.6	53	-11.01		2863	1485	Y	N	0	
	4/20/2010	5:05 PM	-11.0	-149.6	54	-11.07		2850	1503	Y	N	0	
												-	
CWA-1	4/20/2010	3:05 PM					+0.30			N	Y	7.5	CWA-1 screened from 44 to 55 feet bgs (Deep)
	4/20/2010	3:20 PM					+0.30			N	Y	7.5	
	4/20/2010	3:35 PM					+0.30			N	Y	7.5	
	4/20/2010	3:50 PM					+0.30			N	Y	7.5	
	4/20/2010	4:05 PM					+0.30			N	Y	7.5	
	4/20/2010	4:20 PM					+0.20			N	Ý	7.5	
	4/20/2010	4:35 PM					+0.20			N	Y	7.5	
	4/20/2010	4:50 PM					+0.20			N	Ý	7.5	
	4/20/2010	5:05 PM					+0.20			N	Ý	7.5	
											-		
CWA-2	4/20/2010	3:05 PM					-5.14			N	Y	7.4	CWA-2 screened from 35.5 to 40.0 feet bgs (Intermediate)
	4/20/2010	3:20 PM					-5.20			N	Y	7.4	
	4/20/2010	3:35 PM					-5.40			N	Y	7.4	
	4/20/2010	3:50 PM					-5.40			N	Y	7.4	
	4/20/2010	4:05 PM					-7.00			N	Y	7.4	
	4/20/2010	4:20 PM					-7.20			N	Y	7.4	
	4/20/2010	4:35 PM					-8.40			N	Y	7.4	
	4/20/2010	4:50 PM					-8.40			N	Y	7.4	
	4/20/2010	5:05 PM					-8.40			N	Y	7.4	
MW-2C	4/20/2010	3:05 PM					0			N	Y	31.1	MW-2C screened from 43 to 45 ft. bas
	4/20/2010	3:20 PM					0			N	Y	31.1	
	4/20/2010	3:35 PM					0			N	Y	31.1	
	4/20/2010	3:50 PM					0			N	Y	31.1	
	4/20/2010	4:05 PM					0	1		N	Y	31.1	
	4/20/2010	4:20 PM					0	1		N	Ý	31.1	
	4/20/2010	4:35 PM					0 0			N	Ŷ	31.1	
	4/20/2010	4:50 PM					Ő	1		N	Ý	31.1	
	4/20/2010	5:05 PM					Ő	1		N	Ý	31.1	
		2.00					Ť			†	· ·		
4				1			1	1					

Name	Date	Time	System Pressure (in. Hg.)	System Pressure (in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	Well Flow Rate (acfm)	Extraction Well Pressure (in. Hg.)	Observation Wellhead Pressure (in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	Inlet Vapor Concentration (ppm)	Exhaust Temp (F)	Extraction Well (Y/N)	Observation Well (Y/N)	Distance from extraction well	Comments
OWA-1	4/20/2010	3:05 PM	、 57		· · /	、 57	0	,	. /	N	Ý	18.3	OWA-1 screened from 45 to 50 feet bas
011/11	4/20/2010	3:20 PM					0			N	Ý	18.3	
	4/20/2010	3:35 PM					0			N	Ý	18.3	
	4/20/2010	3:50 PM					0			N	Ý	18.3	
	4/20/2010	4:05 PM					0			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	4:20 PM					0			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	4:35 PM					0			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	4:50 PM					0			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	5:05 PM					0			N	Y	18.3	
OWA-2	4/20/2010	3:05 PM					-0.90			N	Y	18.3	OWA-2 screened from 38-40 feet bgs
	4/20/2010	3:20 PM					-1.15			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	3:35 PM					-1.30			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	3:50 PM					-1.55			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	4:05 PM					-1.90			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	4:20 PM					-1.90			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	4:35 PM					-2.40			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	4:50 PM					-2.50			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	5:05 PM					-2.50			N	Y	18.3	
OWA-3	4/20/2010	3:05 PM					-1.45			N	Y	18.3	OWA-3 screened from 31-34 feet bgs
	4/20/2010	3:20 PM					-1.55			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	3:35 PM					-1.60			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	3:50 PM					-1.80			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	4:05 PM					-2.00			Ν	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	4:20 PM					-2.00			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	4:35 PM					-2.60			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	4:50 PM					-2.60			N	Y	18.3	
	4/20/2010	5:05 PM					-2.50			N	Y	18.3	

Notes:

in. Hg = inches of Mercury, vacuum "+" = Positive

in. H20 = inches of water, vacuum "-" = Negative

acfm = actual cubic feet per minute

scfm = standard cubic feet per minute

ppm = parts per million

F = degress Fahrenheit

Y/N: Yes/No

												Distance	
						Extraction	Observation					from	
			System	System	Well Flow	Well	Wellhead	Inlet Vapor	Exhaust	Extraction	Observation	extraction	
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp	Well	Well	well	
			(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(ppm)	(F)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	(feet)	Comments
CWB-1	4/21/2010	8:20 AM	-7.0	-95.20		-7.20	-97.92		1451	Y	N	0	Step Test #1. Extraction from CWB-1. Well Screened from 55 to 65 ft bgs (Deep)
	4/21/2010	8:35 AM	-7.0	-95.20	120	-7.12	-96.83	3494	1457	Y	N	0	
	4/21/2010	8:50 AM	-7.0	-95.20	103	-7.07	-96.15	3458	1462	Y	N	0	
	4/21/2010	9:05 AM	-7.0	-95.20	93	-7.10	-96.56	3868	1453	Y	N	0	Step #1 After 9:05 am measurement, adjusted to -9.0 in. Hg.
	4/21/2010	9:20 AM	-9.0	-122.40	115	-9.35	-127.16	4620	1441	Y	N	0	
	4/21/2010	9:35 AM	-9.0	-122.40	110	-9.34	-127.02	4528	1430	Y	N	0	
	4/21/2010	9:50 AM	-9.0	-122.40	122	-9.25	-125.80	4812	1415	Y	N	0	Step #1 After 9:50 am measurement, adjusted to -11.5 in. Hg.
	4/21/2010	10:05 AM	-11.5	-156.40	141	-12.07	-164.15	7705	1459	Y	N	0	
	4/21/2010	10:20 AM	-11.5	-156.40	144	-12.04	-163.74	2551	1450	Y	N	0	
	4/21/2010	10:35 AM	-11.5	-156.40	133	-11.97	-162.79	844	1468	Y	N	0	
													Stop Step Test and Move to CWB-2 for extraction
CWB-2	4/21/2010	8:35 AM					0			N	Y	5.7	CWB-2 screened from 47 to 57 feet bgs (Intermediate)
	4/21/2010	8:50 AM					0			N	Y	5.7	
	4/21/2010	9:05 AM					0			N	Y	5.7	
	4/21/2010	9:20 AM					0			N	Y	5.7	
	4/21/2010	9:35 AM					0			N	Y	5.7	
	4/21/2010	9:50 AM					0			N	Y	5.7	
	4/21/2010	10:05 AM					0			N	Y	5.7	
	4/21/2010	10:20 AM					0			N	Y	5.7	
	4/21/2010	10:35 AM					0			N	Y	5.7	
CWB-3	4/21/2010	8:35 AM					-0.03			N	Y	6.2	CWB-3 screened from 30.0 to 40.0 feet bgs (Shallow)
	4/21/2010	8:50 AM					-0.05			N	Y	6.2	
	4/21/2010	9:05 AM					-0.05			N	Y	6.2	
	4/21/2010	9:20 AM					-0.10			N	Y	6.2	
	4/21/2010	9:35 AM					-0.10			N	Y	6.2	
	4/21/2010	9:50 AM					-0.10			N	Y	6.2	
	4/21/2010	10:05 AM					-0.10			N	Y	6.2	
	4/21/2010	10:20 AM					-0.15			N	Y	6.2	
	4/21/2010	10:35 AM					-0.15			N	Y	6.2	
MW-5	4/21/2010	8:35 AM					-9.8			N	Y	12.3	MW-5 screened from 52 to 72 ft. bgs
	4/21/2010	8:50 AM					-11.5			N	Y	12.3	
	4/21/2010	9:05 AM					-12.5			N	Y	12.3	
	4/21/2010	9:20 AM					-14.0			N	Y	12.3	
	4/21/2010	9:35 AM					-15.0			N	Y	12.3	
	4/21/2010	9:50 AM					-16.0			N	Y	12.3	
	4/21/2010	10:05 AM					-21.0			N	Y	12.3	
	4/21/2010	10:20 AM					-22.0			N	Y	12.3	
	4/21/2010	10:35 AM					-23.0			N	Y	12.3	

			System	System	Well Flow	Extraction	Observation Wellbead	Inlet Vapor	Fxhaust	Extraction	Observation	Distance from extraction	
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp	Well	Well	well	
. tainto	Bato		(in. Ha.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in. Ha.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(mag)	(F)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	(feet)	Comments
OWB-1	4/21/2010	8:35 AM	( 0,		, ,	( 0)	-0.25		( )	N	Ŷ	27.1	OWB-1 screened from 55 to 65 feet bas
	4/21/2010	8:50 AM					-1.55			Ν	Y	27.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	4/21/2010	9:05 AM					-1.85			Ν	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	9:20 AM		1 1			-3.30			Ν	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	9:35 AM		1 1			-4.60			Ν	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	9:50 AM					-5.20			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	10:05 AM					-6.80			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	10:20 AM					-8.00			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	10:35 AM					-9.00			N	Y	27.1	
OWB-2	4/21/2010	8:35 AM		1 1			0			N	Y	27.1	OWB-2 screened from 48 to 53 feet bgs
	4/21/2010	8:50 AM					-0.05			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	9:05 AM		1 1			-0.05			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	9:20 AM					-0.05			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	9:35 AM		1 1			-0.05			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	9:50 AM		1			-0.05			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	10:05 AM		1 1			-0.05			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	10:20 AM		1 1			-0.10			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	10:35 AM		1 1			-0.05			N	Y	27.1	
				1									
OWB-3	4/21/2010	8:35 AM		1 1			-0.03			N	Y	27.1	OWB-3 screened from 30 to 40 feet bgs
	4/21/2010	8:50 AM		1			-0.06			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	9:05 AM		1 1			-0.08			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	9:20 AM		(			-0.10			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	9:35 AM		1			-0.08			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	9:50 AM		1 1			-0.10			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	10:05 AM		1			-0.08			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	10:20 AM		1 1			-0.10			N	Y	27.1	
	4/21/2010	10:35 AM					-0.10			N	Y	27.1	
				1 7									

Notes:

in. Hg = inches of Mercury, vacuum

"+" = Positive "-" = Negative

in. H20 = inches of water, vacuum

acfm = actual cubic feet per minute

scfm = standard cubic feet per minute

ppm = parts per million

F = degress Fahrenheit

Y/N: Yes/No

		í ,										Distance	
		1 1			1 1	Extraction	Observation					from	
		1 '	System	System	Well Flow	Well	Wellhead	Inlet Vapor	Exhaust	Extraction	Observation	extraction	
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp	Well	Well	well	
		1 1	(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(ppm)	(F)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	(feet)	Comments
CWB-2	4/21/2010	11:45 AM	-7.0	-95.20	66	-7.25	-98.60	3432	1432	Y	Ν	0	Step Test #2. Extraction from CWB-2. Well Screened from 47 to 57 ft bgs (Intermedia
	4/21/2010	12:00 PM	-7.0	-95.20	68	-7.22	-98.19	3546	1437	Y	N	0	
	4/21/2010	12:15 PM	-7.0	-95.20	85	-7.22	-98.19	3444	1466	Y	N	0	
	4/21/2010	12:30 PM	-7.0	-95.20	82	-7.19	-97.78	3341	1469	Y	N	0	Step #1 After 12:30 pm measurement, adjusted to -9.0 in. Ha.
	4/21/2010	12:45 PM	-9.0	-122.40	72	-9.23	-125.53	3028	1476	Y	N	0	
	4/21/2010	1:00 PM	-9.0	-122.40	85	-9.19	-124.98	3014	1454	Y	N	0	
	4/21/2010	1:15 PM	-9.0	-122.40	103	-9.09	-123.62	3007	1458	Y	N	0	Step #1 After 1:15 pm measurement, adjusted to -12.0 in. Ha.
	4/21/2010	1:30 PM	-12.0	-163.20	120	-12.03	-163.61	3112	1466	Y	N	0	
	4/21/2010	1:45 PM	-11.5	-156.40	121	-11.98	-162.93	3750	1450	Y	N	0	
	4/21/2010	2:00 PM	-11.5	-156.40	119	-11.99	-163.06	3156	1446	Y	N	0	
												-	Stop Step Test and Move to CWB-3 for extraction
CWB-1	4/21/2010	8:35 AM					+0.20			N	Y	5.7	CW1-2 screened from 55 to 65 feet bas (Deep)
	4/21/2010	8:50 AM					+0.12			N	Ý	5.7	
	4/21/2010	9:05 AM					+0.12			N	Ý	5.7	
	4/21/2010	9:20 AM					+0.10			N	Ý	5.7	
	4/21/2010	9:35 AM					+0.10			N	Ý	5.7	
	4/21/2010	9:50 AM		-			+0.16			N	Y	57	
	4/21/2010	10:05 AM					+0.18			N	Ŷ	5.7	
	4/21/2010	10.20 AM		-			+0.16			N	Y	57	
	4/21/2010	10:35 AM					+0.18			N	Ŷ	5.7	
		10.007.00	-	1							•	0.1	
CWB-3	4/21/2010	8:35 AM					+0.05			N	Y	8.6	CWB-3 screened from 30.0 to 40.0 feet bos (Shallow)
	4/21/2010	8:50 AM					+0.05			N	Ý	8.6	
	4/21/2010	9:05 AM					+0.05			N	Ý	8.6	
	4/21/2010	9:20 AM					+0.05			N	Ŷ	8.6	
	4/21/2010	9:35 AM		-			+0.05			N	Y	8.6	
	4/21/2010	9:50 AM					+0.05			N	Ý	8.6	
	4/21/2010	10:05 AM		-			+0.05			N	Y	8.6	
	4/21/2010	10:20 AM					0			N	Ŷ	8.6	
	4/21/2010	10:35 AM					0			N	Ý	8.6	
							-						
MW-5	4/21/2010	8:35 AM					-0.0			N	Y	6.95	MW-5 screened from 52 to 72 ft. bas
	4/21/2010	8:50 AM		1			-0.0			N	Y	6.95	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	4/21/2010	9:05 AM	1			ſ	+0.10			N	Y	6.95	
	4/21/2010	9:20 AM	1			ſ	+0.10			N	Y	6.95	
	4/21/2010	9:35 AM					+0.10			N	Y	6.95	
	4/21/2010	9:50 AM	1			ſ	+0.15			N	Y	6.95	
	4/21/2010	10:05 AM	1			ſ	+0.15			N	Y	6.95	
	4/21/2010	10:20 AM				1	+0.10			N	Y	6.95	
	4/21/2010	10:35 AM	1			ſ	+0.10			N	Y	6.95	
			1			1							

				1	(							Distance	
						Extraction	Observation					from	
			System	System	Well Flow	Well	Wellhead	Inlet Vapor	Exhaust	Extraction	Observation	extraction	
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp	Well	Well	well	
			(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(ppm)	(F)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	(feet)	Comments
OWB-1	4/21/2010	8:35 AM		r i			-0.20			Ν	Y	26.26	OWB-1 screened from 55 to 65 feet bgs
	4/21/2010	8:50 AM			[ ]		-0.14			N	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	9:05 AM			1		-0.02			Ν	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	9:20 AM					-0.02			Ν	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	9:35 AM			1		0			Ν	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	9:50 AM			[ ]		+0.16			N	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	10:05 AM					+0.22			N	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	10:20 AM					+0.20			Ν	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	10:35 AM					+0.20			N	Y	26.26	
					[ ]								
OWB-2	4/21/2010	8:35 AM					+0.10			Ν	Y	26.26	OWB-2 screened from 48 to 53 feet bgs
	4/21/2010	8:50 AM			[ ]		+0.10			N	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	9:05 AM					+0.05			Ν	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	9:20 AM			[ ]		+0.05			N	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	9:35 AM					+0.05			N	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	9:50 AM					+0.05			N	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	10:05 AM			[ ]		+0.05			N	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	10:20 AM			[ ]		+0.05			N	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	10:35 AM			[ ]		+0.05			N	Y	26.26	
OWB-3	4/21/2010	8:35 AM			1		+0.04			Ν	Y	26.26	OWB-3 screened from 30 to 40 feet bgs
	4/21/2010	8:50 AM			[ ]		+0.02			N	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	9:05 AM		1	1		+0.04			N	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	9:20 AM		1	1		+0.04			N	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	9:35 AM			1		+0.04			Ν	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	9:50 AM		1	1		+0.04			N	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	10:05 AM		1	1		+0.02			N	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	10:20 AM		-			0			Ν	Y	26.26	
	4/21/2010	10:35 AM		1			0			Ν	Y	26.26	
				1	[]								

Notes:

in. Hg = inches of Mercury, vacuum

in. H20 = inches of water, vacuum

"+" = Positive "-" = Negative

acfm = actual cubic feet per minute

scfm = standard cubic feet per minute

ppm = parts per million

F = degress Fahrenheit

Y/N: Yes/No

												Distance	
						Extraction	Observation					from	
			System	System	Well Flow	Well	Wellhead	Inlet Vapor	Exhaust	Extraction	Observation	extraction	
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp	Well	Well	well	
			(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(ppm)	(F)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	(feet)	Comments
CWB-3	4/21/2010	3:15 PM	-3.0	-40.80	90	-2.00	-27.20	2441	1586	Y	N	0	Step Test #3. Extraction from CWB-3. Well Screened from 30 to 40 ft bgs (Shallow)
	4/21/2010	3:30 PM	-1.5	-20.40	66	-1.92	-26.11	2638	1559	Y	N	0	
	4/21/2010	3:40 PM	-1.5	-20.40	67	-1.89	-25.70	2559	1560	Y	N	0	Unable to perform Step Test on CWA-3 as the high influent concentrations
	4/21/2010	3:50 PM	-1.5	-20.40	64	-1.88	-25.57	2506	1560	Y	N	0	keeps shutting down the Thermox system
	4/21/2010	4:00 PM	-1.5	-20.40	64	-1.91	-25.98	2713	1561	Y	N	0	
	4/21/2010	4:10 PM	-1.5	-20.40	66	-1.87	-25.43	2802	1561	Y	N	0	
	4/21/2010	4:20 PM	-1.5	-20.40	65	-1.87	-25.43	2781	1556	Y	N	0	
													Stop Step Test.
CWB-1	4/21/2010	3:15 PM					-2.00			N	Y	6.2	CWB-1 screened from 55 to 65 feet bgs (Deep)
	4/21/2010	3:30 PM					-1.00			N	Y	6.2	
	4/21/2010	3:40 PM					-0.50			N	Y	6.2	
	4/21/2010	3:50 PM					-0.50			N	Y	6.2	
	4/21/2010	4:00 PM					-0.50			N	Y	6.2	
	4/21/2010	4:10 PM					-0.50			N	Y	6.2	
	4/21/2010	4:20 PM					-0.50			Ν	Y	6.2	
CWB-2	4/21/2010	3:15 PM					-4.20			Ν	Y	8.6	CWB-2 screened from 47 to 57 feet bgs (Intermediate)
	4/21/2010	3:30 PM					-3.20			N	Y	8.6	
	4/21/2010	3:40 PM					-3.20			Ν	Y	8.6	
	4/21/2010	3:50 PM					-3.20			N	Y	8.6	
	4/21/2010	4:00 PM					-3.20			N	Y	8.6	
	4/21/2010	4:10 PM					-3.20			Ν	Y	8.6	
	4/21/2010	4:20 PM					-3.20			N	Y	8.6	
MW-5	4/21/2010	3:15 PM					-1.4			N	Y	12.8	MW-5 screened from 52 to 72 ft. bgs
	4/21/2010	3:30 PM					-1.0			N	Y	12.8	
	4/21/2010	3:40 PM					-0.8			N	Y	12.8	
	4/21/2010	3:50 PM					-0.8			N	Y	12.8	
	4/21/2010	4:00 PM					-0.8			N	Y	12.8	
	4/21/2010	4:10 PM					-0.8			Ν	Y	12.8	
	4/21/2010	4:20 PM					-0.8			N	Y	12.8	

												Distance	
						Extraction	Observation					from	
			System	System	Well Flow	Well	Wellhead	Inlet Vapor	Exhaust	Extraction	Observation	extraction	
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp	Well	Well	well	
			(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(ppm)	(F)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	(feet)	Comments
OWB-1	4/21/2010	3:15 PM					-2.9			N	Y	21.8	OWB-1 screened from 55 to 65 feet bgs
	4/21/2010	3:30 PM					-1.6			N	Y	21.8	
	4/21/2010	3:40 PM					-1.6			N	Y	21.8	
	4/21/2010	3:50 PM					-1.0			N	Y	21.8	
	4/21/2010	4:00 PM					-0.8			N	Y	21.8	
	4/21/2010	4:10 PM					-0.8			N	Y	21.8	
	4/21/2010	4:20 PM					-0.8			N	Y	21.8	
OWB-2	4/21/2010	3:15 PM					-3.4			N	Y	21.8	OWB-2 screened from 48 to 53 feet bgs
	4/21/2010	3:30 PM					-2.5			N	Y	21.8	
	4/21/2010	3:40 PM					-2.5			N	Y	21.8	
	4/21/2010	3:50 PM					-2.5			N	Y	21.8	
	4/21/2010	4:00 PM					-2.5			N	Y	21.8	
	4/21/2010	4:10 PM					-2.5			N	Y	21.8	
	4/21/2010	4:20 PM					-2.5			N	Y	21.8	
OWB-3	4/21/2010	3:15 PM					-3.7			N	Y	21.8	OWB-3 screened from 30 to 40 feet bgs
	4/21/2010	3:30 PM					-2.7			N	Y	21.8	
	4/21/2010	3:40 PM					-2.6			N	Y	21.8	
	4/21/2010	3:50 PM					-2.7			N	Y	21.8	
	4/21/2010	4:00 PM					-2.4			N	Y	21.8	
	4/21/2010	4:10 PM					-2.6			N	Y	21.8	
	4/21/2010	4:20 PM					-2.7			N	Y	21.8	

Notes:

in. Hg = inches of Mercury, vacuum

in. H20 = inches of water, vacuum

"+" = Positive

"-" = Negative

acfm = actual cubic feet per minute

scfm = standard cubic feet per minute

ppm = parts per million

F = degress Fahrenheit

Y/N: Yes/No

### Combined SVE Test Summary 76 Service Station No. 7376 4194 First Street Pleasanton, Calfornia

						Manifold	Observation		
			System	System	System	Well	Wellhead	Inlet Vapor	Exhaust
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Flow Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp
			(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(ppm)	(F)
System Influent	4/22/2010	8:30 AM							
	4/22/2010	8:35 AM	-7.0	-95.20	73	-7.72	-104.99	4672	1503
	4/22/2010	9:05 AM	-7.0	-95.20	89	-7.08	-96.29	4555	1579
	4/22/2010	9:35 AM	-7.0	-95.20	87	-7.12	-96.83	4598	1553
	4/22/2010	10:05 AM	-7.0	-95.20	80	-7.07	-96.15	4537	1540
	4/22/2010	10:35 AM	-7.0	-95.20	59	-7.07	-96.15	4490	1573
	4/22/2010	11:05 AM	-7.0	-95.20	98	-7.03	-95.61	4528	1558
	4/22/2010	11:35 AM	-7.0	-95.20	63	-7.08	-96.29	4496	1557
	4/22/2010	12:05 PM	-7.0	-95.20	49	-7.12	-96.83	4591	1552
	4/22/2010	12:35 PM	-7.0	-95.20	49	-7.12	-96.83	3982	1568
	4/22/2010	1:05 PM	-7.0	-95.20	56	-7.06	-96.02	3861	1564
	4/22/2010	1:35 AM	-10.5	-142.80	81	-11.09	-150.82	2563	1560
	4/22/2010	2:05 PM	-11.0	-149.60	107	-11.12	-151.23	3107	1502
	4/22/2010	2:35 PM	-11.0	-149.60	86	-11.10	-150.96	3007	1499
	4/22/2010	3:05 PM	-11.0	-149.60	103	-11.01	-149.74	2720	1553
	4/22/2010	3:35 PM	-11.0	-149.60	94	-11.10	-150.96	2792	1513
	4/22/2010	4:05 PM	-11.0	-149.60	89	-11.10	-150.96	2978	1520
	4/22/2010	4:35 PM							
OWA-1	4/22/2010	9:35 AM					+0.12		
	4/22/2010	10:05 AM					+0.11		
	4/22/2010	10:35 AM					+0.12		
	4/22/2010	11:05 AM					+0.14		
	4/22/2010	11:35 AM					+0.06		
	4/22/2010	12:05 PM					+0.06		
	4/22/2010	12:35 PM					+0.06		
	4/22/2010	1:05 PM					+0.02		
	4/22/2010	1:35 PM					+0.01		
	4/22/2010	2:05 PM					+0.08		
	4/22/2010	2:35 PM					+0.02		
	4/22/2010	3:05 PM					+0.02		
	4/22/2010	3:35 PM					0		
	4/22/2010	4:05 PM					0		
OWA-2	4/22/2010	9:35 AM					-1.4		
	4/22/2010	10:05 AM					-1.6		
	4/22/2010	10:35 AM					-1.4		
	4/22/2010	11:05 AM					-1.2		
	4/22/2010	11:35 AM					-1.2		
	4/22/2010	12:05 PM					-1.2		



						Manifold	Observation		
			System	System	System	Well	Wellhead	Inlet Vapor	Exhaust
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Flow Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp
			(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(ppm)	(F)
	4/22/2010	12:35 PM					-1.2		

						Manifold	Observation		
			System	System	System	Well	Wellhead	Inlet Vapor	Exhaust
Name	Date	Time	Pressure	Pressure	Flow Rate	Pressure	Pressure	Concentration	Temp
Hamo	Duio	11110	(in Ha)	$(in, H_0)$	(acfm)	(in Ha)	$(in, H_0)$	(nnm)	(F)
014/4 0	1/20/2010	1.05 514	(11. 119.)	(	(aciiii)	(11. 119.)	(	(ppin)	(1)
OWA-2	4/22/2010	1:05 PM					-1.2		
	4/22/2010	1:35 PM					-1.6		
	4/22/2010	2:05 PM					-1.6		
	4/22/2010	2:35 PM					-1.6		
	4/22/2010	3:05 PM					-1.6		
	4/22/2010	3:35 PM					-1.6		
	4/22/2010	4:05 PM					-1.6		
014/4 0	4/00/0040	0.05 414							
OWA-3	4/22/2010	9:35 AM					-2.2		
	4/22/2010	10:05 AM					-2.0		
	4/22/2010	10:35 AM					-2.2		
	4/22/2010	11:05 AM					-2.2		
	4/22/2010	11:35 AM					-2.2		
	4/22/2010	12:05 PM					-2.2		
	4/22/2010	12:35 PM					-2.2		
	4/22/2010	1:05 PM					-2.2		
	4/22/2010	1:35 PM					-2.8		
	4/22/2010	2:05 PM					-3.0		
	4/22/2010	2:35 PM					-3.0		
	4/22/2010	3:05 PM					-3.0		
	4/22/2010	3:35 PM					-3.0		
	4/22/2010	4:05 PM					-3.0		
OW/B-1	1/22/2010	Q:35 ΔM					-17.0		
OWD-1	4/22/2010	10:05 AM					-17.0		
	4/22/2010	10:35 AM					-17.0		
	4/22/2010	11:05 AM					-17.0		
	4/22/2010	11:35 AM					-13.0		
	4/22/2010	12:05 PM					-17.0		
	4/22/2010	12:05 PM					-16.0		
	4/22/2010	12.33 F M					-10.0		
	4/22/2010	1.35 PM					-7.0		
	4/22/2010	2:05 PM					-7.0		
	4/22/2010	2:35 DM					-2.0		
	4/22/2010	2:05 PM					-1.0		
	4/22/2010	3.35 PM					-1.0		
	4/22/2010	4:05 PM					-1.0		
	4/22/2010	4.05 FIVI					-1.0		
OWB-2	4/22/2010	9:35 AM					-0.45		
0.1.5 2	4/22/2010	10:05 AM					-0.40		
	4/22/2010	10:35 AM					-0.45		
	4/22/2010	11:05 AM	ł				-0.40		
	4/22/2010	11:35 AM	ł				-0.50		
	4/22/2010	12:05 PM					-0.40		
	4/22/2010	12:35 PM					-0.40		
	4/22/2010	1:05 PM	ł				-0.45	1	
1			1		1			1	

Name	Date	Time	System Pressure	System Pressure	System Flow Rate	Manifold Well Pressure	Observation Wellhead Pressure	Inlet Vapor Concentration	Exhaust Temp
			(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(acfm)	(in. Hg.)	(in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	(ppm)	(F)
	4/22/2010	1:35 PM					-0.30		
OWB-2	4/22/2010	2:05 PM					-0.45		
	4/22/2010	2:35 PM					-0.45		
	4/22/2010	3:05 PM					-0.45		
	4/22/2010	3:35 PM					-0.45		
	4/22/2010	4:05 PM					-0.45		
OWB-3	4/22/2010	9:35 AM					-0.42		
	4/22/2010	10:05 AM					-0.42		
	4/22/2010	10:35 AM					-0.42		
	4/22/2010	11:05 AM					-0.44		
	4/22/2010	11:35 AM					-0.50		
	4/22/2010	12:05 PM					-0.44		
	4/22/2010	12:35 PM					-0.44		
	4/22/2010	1:05 PM					-0.48		
	4/22/2010	1:35 PM					-0.36		
	4/22/2010	2:05 PM					-0.50		
	4/22/2010	2:35 PM					-0.50		
	4/22/2010	3:05 PM					-0.50		
	4/22/2010	3:35 PM					-0.52		
	4/22/2010	4:05 PM					-0.52		
MW-2C	4/22/2010	9:35 AM					+0.15		
	4/22/2010	10:05 AM					+0.15		
	4/22/2010	10:35 AM					+0.15		
	4/22/2010	11:05 AM					+0.05		
	4/22/2010	11:35 AM					+0.05		
	4/22/2010	12:05 PM					0		
	4/22/2010	12:35 PM					0		
	4/22/2010	1:05 PM					+0.05		
	4/22/2010	1:35 PM					+0.05		
	4/22/2010	2:05 PM					0		
	4/22/2010	2:35 PM					-0.05		
	4/22/2010	3:05 PM					-0.05		
	4/22/2010	3:35 PM					-0.10		
	4/22/2010	4:05 PM					-0.10		



Name	Date	Time	System Pressure (in. Hg.)	System Pressure (in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	System Flow Rate (acfm)	Manifold Well Pressure (in. Hg.)	Observation Wellhead Pressure (in. H <sub>2</sub> 0)	Inlet Vapor Concentration (ppm)	Exhaust Temp (F)
MW-5	4/22/2010	9:35 AM					-16.00		
	4/22/2010	10:05 AM					-16.00		
	4/22/2010	10:35 AM					-16.00		
	4/22/2010	11:05 AM					-16.00		
	4/22/2010	11:35 AM					-17.00		
	4/22/2010	12:05 PM					-17.00		
	4/22/2010	12:35 PM					-17.00		
	4/22/2010	1:05 PM					-16.00		
	4/22/2010	1:35 PM					-1.50		
	4/22/2010	2:05 PM					-1.00		
	4/22/2010	2:35 PM					-1.00		
	4/22/2010	3:05 PM					-1.00		
	4/22/2010	3:35 PM					-1.00		
	4/22/2010	4:05 PM					-1.00		

Notes:

in. Hg = inches of Mercury, vacuum

in. H20 = inches of water, vacuum

"+" = Positive

"-" = Negative

acfm = actual cubic feet per minute

scfm = standard cubic feet per minute

ppm = parts per million

F = degress Fahrenheit

Y/N: Yes/No



Commonts
Combined Phase 1 Test Start:
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2
Rv 0.35 am all Phase 1 extraction wells are online/actively recovering
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2.
Combined Phase 2 Test Start:
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.
System Shutdown
Combined Phase 1 Test Start:
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2.
Combined Phase 2 Test Start:
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.
Desching d Direct d Test Origin
Combined Phase 1 Lest Start:
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2



Comments



Comments
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2.
Combined Phase 2 Test Start:
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.
Combined Disco 4 Test Clerk
Combined Phase 1 Test Start:
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2.
Combined Phase 2 Test Start:
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.
Combined Phase 1 Test Start:
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2
After 4.05 per measurement aten Phase 4 outresting from OWP 4 and OWP 2
Combined Phase 2 Test Start:
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3, No offsite extraction.
Combined Phase 1 Test Start:
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2.



Comments Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	Comments
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	Combined Phase 2 Test Start:
Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.
Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	Combined Phase 1 Test Start:
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2	Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1_CWA-2_CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction. Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2.
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	Combined Phase 2 Test Start:
Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.
Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
Combined Phase 1 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2 After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	Combined Phase 1 Test Start:
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2. Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	
Combined Phase 2 Test Start: Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2.
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.	Combined Phase 2 Test Start:
	Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.



Comments
Combined Phase 1 Test Start:
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3 and CWB-1 and CWB-2
After 1:05 pm measurement, stop Phase 1 extraction from CWB-1 and CWB-2.
Combined Phase 2 Test Start:
Simultaneous extraction from CWA-1, CWA-2, CWA-3. No offsite extraction.

#### **Onsite SVE Step Test Recovered Vapor Calculations** 76 Service Station No. 7376 4194 First Street Pleasanton, Calfornia

											Period	Cumulative
						System	System				Hydrocarbon	Hydrocarbon
Well	Date	Time	Influent Vapor	Flow Rate	Flow Rate	Vacuum	Vacuum	Temp	Recovery Rate	Elapsed Time	Extracted	Extracted
			(ppm)	(acfm)	(scfm)	In. of Hg	In. of H20	(F)	(lb/min)	(min)	(lbs)	(lbs)
CWA-1	4/20/2010	9:45 AM	160	23.0	17.7	-6.5	-88.40	70	-	0	0.000	0.000
	4/20/2010	9:55 AM	165	23.0	17.7	-6.5	-88.40	70	0.00065	5	0.003	0.003
	4/20/2010	10:10 AM	174	21.0	15.4	-7.5	-102.00	70	0.00059	15	0.009	0.012
	4/20/2010	10:25 AM	117	25.0	18.4	-7.5	-102.00	70	0.00061	10	0.006	0.018
	4/20/2010	10:40 AM	40	27.0	19.0	-8.5	-115.60	70	0.00034	15	0.005	0.023
	4/20/2010	10:55 AM	147	24.0	16.9	-8.5	-115.60	70	0.00036	15	0.005	0.029
	4/20/2010	11:10 AM	82.5	28.0	19.7	-8.5	-115.60	70	0.00051	15	0.008	0.036
	4/20/2010	11:25 AM	61.2	36.0	21.2	-12	-163.20	70	0.00034	15	0.005	0.042
	4/20/2010	11:40 AM	43.3	36.0	21.7	-11.5	-156.40	70	0.00026	15	0.004	0.045
	4/20/2010	11:55 AM	40.2	32.0	19.3	-11.5	-156.40	70	0.00018	15	0.003	0.048
	4/20/2010	12:10 PM	1164	31.0	19.7	-10.5	-142.80	70	0.00270	15	0.040	0.089
CWA-2	4/20/2010	12:25 PM	2875	23.0	17.2	-7.15	-97.24	70	0.00560	0	0.000	0.000
	4/20/2010	12:40 PM	2584	23.0	17.2	-7.15	-97.24	70	0.01064	15	0.160	0.160
	4/20/2010	12:55 PM	2610	19.0	14.2	-7.10	-96.56	70	0.00838	15	0.126	0.285
	4/20/2010	1:10 PM	2636	19.0	14.2	-7.06	-96.02	70	0.00848	15	0.127	0.412
	4/20/2010	1:30 PM	2806	25.0	17.1	-9.02	-122.67	70	0.01058	20	0.212	0.624
	4/20/2010	1:45 PM	2796	29.0	19.9	-9.00	-122.40	70	0.01265	15	0.190	0.814
	4/20/2010	2:00 PM	2785	23.0	15.8	-9.00	-122.40	70	0.00999	15	0.150	0.964
	4/20/2010	2:15 PM	2681	32.0	20.0	-10.89	-148.10	70	0.01239	15	0.186	1.149
	4/20/2010	2:30 PM	2628	31.0	19.3	-10.93	-148.65	70	0.01163	15	0.174	1.324
	4/20/2010	2:45 PM	2479	36.0	22.3	-11.02	-149.87	70	0.01293	15	0.194	1.518
CWA-3	4/20/2010	2:50 PM	2800	33	24.7	-7.08	-96.29	70	0.00785	0	0.000	0.000
	4/20/2010	3:05 PM	2861	33	24.8	-7.02	-95.47	70	0.01592	15	0.239	0.239
	4/20/2010	3:20 PM	2855	33	24.8	-6.98	-94.93	70	0.01610	15	0.241	0.480
	4/20/2010	3:35 PM	2720	35	26.3	-6.99	-95.06	70	0.01665	15	0.250	0.730
	4/20/2010	3:50 PM	2802	40	27.4	-9.01	-122.54	70	0.01718	15	0.258	0.988
	4/20/2010	4:05 PM	3003	47	32.0	-9.13	-124.17	70	0.02111	15	0.317	1.304
	4/20/2010	4:20 PM	2997	44	30.1	-9.04	-122.94	70	0.02051	15	0.308	1.612
	4/20/2010	4:35 PM	2984	55	33.8	-11.18	-152.05	70	0.02294	15	0.344	1.956
	4/20/2010	4:50 PM	2863	53	32.9	-11.01	-149.74	70	0.02180	15	0.327	2.283
	4/20/2010	5:05 PM	2850	54	33.4	-11.07	-150.55	70	0.02164	15	0.325	2.608

Notes:

ppm = parts per million

acfm = actual cubic feet per miunte

scfm = standard cubic feet per minute

In. of Hg: inches of Mercury, vacuum In. oh H20 = inches of water, vacuum

F= degrees of Fahrenheit

lb/min: pounds per minute lbs= pounds

min = minutes

#### Offsite SVE Step Test Recovered Vapor Calculations 76 Service Station No. 7376 4194 First Street Pleasanton, Calfornia

											Period	Cumulative
						System	System				Hydrocarbon	Hydrocarbon
Well	Date	Time	Influent Vapor	Flow Rate	Flow Rate	Vacuum	Vacuum	Temp	Recovery Rate	Elapsed Time	Extracted	Extracted
			(ppm)	(acfm)	(scfm)	In. of Hg	In. of H20	(F)	(lb/min)	(min)	(lbs)	(lbs)
CWB-1	4/20/2010	8:20 AM			0.0	7.2	-97.92	70	-	0	0.000	0.000
	4/20/2010	8:35 AM	3494	120.0	89.7	7.12	-96.83	70	0.03557	15	0.534	0.534
	4/20/2010	8:50 AM	3458	103.0	77.2	7.07	-96.15	70	0.06088	15	0.913	1.447
	4/20/2010	9:05 AM	3868	93.0	69.6	7.1	-96.56	70	0.05785	15	0.868	2.314
	4/20/2010	9:20 AM	4620	115.0	77.6	9.35	-127.16	70	0.07471	15	1.121	3.435
	4/20/2010	9:35 AM	4528	110.0	74.2	9.34	-127.02	70	0.07706	15	1.156	4.591
	4/20/2010	9:50 AM	4812	122.0	82.7	9.25	-125.80	70	0.08764	15	1.315	5.905
	4/20/2010	10:05 AM	7705	141.0	82.5	12.07	-164.15	70	0.11722	15	1.758	7.664
	4/20/2010	10:20 AM	2551	144.0	84.4	12.04	-163.74	70	0.09826	15	1.474	9.138
	4/20/2010	10:35 AM	844	133.0	78.3	11.97	-162.79	70	0.03016	15	0.452	9.590
CWB-2	40288	0.489583333	3432	66	49.06721061	7.25	-98.60	70	0.01911	0	0.000	0.000
	4/20/2010	12:00 PM	3546	68.0	50.6	-7.22	-98.19	70	0.04008	15	0.601	0.601
	4/20/2010	12:15 PM	3444	85.0	63.3	-7.22	-98.19	70	0.05018	15	0.753	1.354
	4/20/2010	12:30 PM	3341	82.0	61.1	-7.19	-97.78	70	0.04705	15	0.706	2.060
	4/20/2010	12:45 PM	3028	72.0	48.9	-9.23	-125.53	70	0.03530	15	0.530	2.589
	4/20/2010	1:00 PM	3014	85.0	57.8	-9.19	-124.98	70	0.03961	15	0.594	3.183
	4/20/2010	1:15 PM	3007	103.0	70.4	-9.09	-123.62	70	0.04807	15	0.721	3.904
	4/20/2010	1:30 PM	3112	120.0	70.4	-12.03	-163.61	70	0.04888	15	0.733	4.638
	4/20/2010	1:45 PM	3750	121.0	71.2	-11.98	-162.93	70	0.05543	15	0.831	5.469
	4/20/2010	2:00 PM	3156	119.0	70.0	-11.99	-163.06	70	0.05483	15	0.822	6.291
CWB-3	40288	3:15 PM	2441	90	82.4	2	-27.20	70	0.02282	0	0.000	0.000
	4/20/2010	3:30 PM	2638	66	60.6	-1.92	-26.11	70	0.03492	15	0.524	0.524
	4/20/2010	3:40 PM	2559	67	61.6	-1.89	-25.70	70	0.03631	10	0.363	0.887
	4/20/2010	3:50 PM	2506	64	58.8	-1.88	-25.57	70	0.03382	10	0.338	1.225
	4/20/2010	4:00 PM	2713	64	58.8	-1.91	-25.98	70	0.03481	10	0.348	1.573
	4/20/2010	4:10 PM	2802	66	60.7	-1.87	-25.43	70	0.03799	10	0.380	1.953
	4/20/2010	4:20 PM	2781	65	59.8	-1.87	-25.43	70	0.03787	10	0.379	2.332

Notes:

ppm = parts per million

acfm = actual cubic feet per miunte scfm = standard cubic feet per minute

In. of Hg: inches of Mercury, vacuum

In. oh H20 = inches of water, vacuum F= degrees of Fahrenheit

lb/min: pounds per minute

lbs= pounds

min = minutes



## Combined SVE Test: Recovered Vapor Calculations 76 Service Station No. 7376 4194 First Street Pleasanton, Calfornia

											Period
			Influent			System	System		Recovery	Elapsed	Hydrocarbon
Well ID	Date	Time	Vapor	Flow Rate	Flow Rate	Vacuum	Vacuum	Temp	Rate	Time	Extracted
			(ppm)	(acfm)	(scfm)	In. of Hg	In. of H20	(F)	(lb/min)	(min)	(lbs)
System Influent	4/22/2010	8:30 AM			0.0		-0.00	80	-	0	0.000
	4/22/2010	8:35 AM	4672	73.0	52.2	7.72	-104.99	80	0.02765	5	0.138
	4/22/2010	9:05 AM	4555	89.0	65.4	7.08	-96.29	80	0.06849	30	2.055
	4/22/2010	9:35 AM	4598	87.0	63.8	7.12	-96.83	80	0.06630	30	1.989
	4/22/2010	10:05 AM	4537	80.0	58.8	7.07	-96.15	80	0.06098	30	1.829
	4/22/2010	10:35 AM	4490	59.0	43.4	7.07	-96.15	80	0.04444	30	1.333
	4/22/2010	11:05 AM	4528	98.0	72.2	7.03	-95.61	80	0.07387	30	2.216
	4/22/2010	11:35 AM	4496	63.0	46.3	7.08	-96.29	80	0.04742	30	1.423
	4/22/2010	12:05 PM	4591	49.0	36.0	7.12	-96.83	80	0.03707	30	1.112
	4/22/2010	12:35 PM	3982	49.0	36.0	7.12	-96.83	80	0.03498	30	1.049
	4/22/2010	1:05 PM	3861	56.0	41.2	7.06	-96.02	80	0.03667	30	1.100
	40290	0.0659722	2563	81	49.09515	11.09	-150.82	80	0.03578	30	1.073
	4/22/2010	2:05 PM	3107	107.0	64.8	-11.12	-151.23	80	0.04165	30	1.250
	4/22/2010	2:35 PM	3007	86.0	52.1	-11.10	-150.96	80	0.03614	30	1.084
	4/22/2010	3:05 PM	2720	103.0	62.7	-11.01	-149.74	80	0.04074	30	1.222
	4/22/2010	3:35 PM	2792	94.0	56.9	-11.10	-150.96	80	0.03561	30	1.068
	4/22/2010	4:05 PM	2978	89.0	53.9	-11.10	-150.96	80	0.03530	30	1.059

Notes:

ppm = parts per million

acfm = actual cubic feet per miunte

scfm = standard cubic feet per minute

In. of Hg: inches of Mercury, vacuum

In. oh H20 = inches of water, vacuum

F= degrees of Fahrenheit

lb/min: pounds per minute

lbs= pounds

min = minutes



Cumulative
Hydrocarbon
Extracted
(lbs)
0.000
0.138
2.193
4.182
6.012
7.345
9.561
10.984
12.096
13.145
14.245
15.318
16.568
17.652
18.874
19.943
21.002

#### SVE System Influent Analytical Data 76 Station No. 7376 4196 First Street Pleasanton, California

Elapsed Name Time Time		Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Average Influent Time Flow			System Concent	nfluent rations			R	GRO ecovery			B Recovery			T Recovery		R	E ecovery		F	X Recovery		F	MTBE Recovery	
	(days)		Rate	GRO	В	Т	Е	Х	М	Rate	Period	Total	Rate	Period	Total	Rate	Period	Total	Rate	Period	Total	Rate	Period	Total	Rate	Period	Total				
			(scfm)			(ppr	nv)			(lbs/day)	(lbs)	(lbs)	(lbs/day)	(lbs)	(lbs)	(lbs/day)	(lbs)	(lbs)	(lbs/day)	(lbs)	(lbs)	(lbs/day)	(lbs)	(lbs)	(lbs/day)	(lbs)	(lbs)				
Influent Air	0.042	9:30 AM	63.8	60,000	350	81	82	190	400	1337.48	55.73	55.73	6.52	0.27	0.27	1.78	0.07	0.07	2.08	0.09	0.09	4.81	0.20	0.20	8.41	0.35	0.35				
Influent Air	0.125	12:30 PM	36.0	57,000	340	79	84	200	440	716.96	89.62	145.35	3.57	0.45	0.72	0.98	0.12	0.197	1.20	0.15	0.24	2.86	0.36	0.56	5.22	0.65	1.00				
Influent Air	0.292	3:30 PM	56.9	38,000	250	6.7	55	39.0	420	755.46	220.34	365.69	4.15	1.21	1.93	0.13	0.038	0.235	1.24	0.36	0.60	0.88	0.26	0.81	7.87	2.30	3.30				
Minimum:			36.0	38000	250	6.7	55	39.0	400																						
Average:			52.2	51667	313	55.6	74	143	420																						
Maximum:			63.8	60000	350	81	84	200	440																						
Total Recove	red Mass th	is Period (Ib	s):								365.69			1.93			0.23			0.60			0.81			3.30					
372.57																															

Definitions:

< = Below laboratory method reporting limit

GRO = Gasoline range organics

B = Benzene

T = Toluene

E = Ethylbenzene

X = Xylenes

MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether

scfm = Standard cubic feet per minute

ppmv = Parts per million by volume

lbs = Pounds

Molecular Weights (based on Calscience Environmental Laboratories, Inc):

GRO:	93.50
Benzene:	78.11
Toluene:	92.14
Ethylbenzene:	106.17
Xylenes(total):	106.17
MTBE:	88.15

Equation:

Recovery Rate = (Influent Concentration in ppmv) / 10<sup>6</sup> x (Average Flow Rate in scfm) x (Molecular Weight in g/mol) x (1.177mol/ft<sup>3</sup>) x (1 lb/453.56 g) x (1440 min/day) Notes



76 Station No. 7376 4194 First Street Pleasanton, California

## Distance vs Vacuum Induced (Extraction Well CWA-3 operating at 9 in. of Hg)





76 Station No. 7376 4194 First Street Pleasanton, California

## Distance vs Vacuum Induced (Extraction Well CWA-3 operating at 7 in. of Hg)





76 Station No. 7376 4194 First Street Pleasanton, California

## Distance vs Vacuum Induced (Extraction Well CWA-3 operating at 11 in. of Hg)




## Distance vs Vacuum Induced (Extraction Well CWB-1 operating at 7.1 in. of Hg)





## Distance vs Vacuum Induced (Extraction Well CWB-1 operating at 9.3 in. of Hg)





## Distance vs Vacuum Induced (Extraction Well CWB-1 operating at 9.3 in. of Hg)





## Distance vs Vacuum Induced (Extraction Well CWB-2 operating at 2.0 in. of Hg)

