

12/19/95 spoke w/Jeriann: RP has authorized them to do a wp. They are writing a proposal now. For Geoprobe, on daycare property next door. Also in street. Then determine where to put a DG well.

2/8/96 spoke w/J. Alexander: the fill pipe inside the bldg HAS been grouted. Also removed material fm storm drain in driveway. That costs \$4000. She will send wp to me. Didn't want to go DG to the adjacent day care site. Doesn't want to disrupt the day care, and can get DG info while still staying on their site. OK.

3/6/96 Reviewed 2/8/96 "Work Plan, Invest of DG Extent of GW Contam" by SCI. They propose five temporary well points first, prior to MW placement. They will analyze gw for TPHd, TPHg, BTEX, and HVOCs. **They will discuss results w/me to determine MW placement. Good.**

Wrote acceptance letter.

RP ALSO OWNS THE ADJACENT DAY CARE PROPERTY.

11/12/96 Reviewed 10/4/96 "Supplemental GW Invest" by SCI (nice new letterhead). On 5/30/96, they did 5 temp well points. Max grab gw results: 130 ppb benzene, 13,000 ppb TPHg, 37,000 ppb TPHd, and some 8010s: 20 ppb freon. Note that we have had no freon in MW4. **They propose installing 2 DG and CG wells, and sampling only them on semi-annual basis. But I think they are too far DG and CG.**

Phoned SCI and lm for Jeriann: Also, I did not receive gw results from 1st Q 96. Want to discuss their invest.

11/26/96 Samuel Wan phoned: they moved to Lafayette. New # 299-7960: discussed placement of wells. We agreed to move one to the West side of the bldg at 460 Grand, and the other one about 30-40' west on W. Grand Ave. When was the last time the Mws were sampled? Sept 95, bec their plan was to install Mws and sample new and old Mws at same time. There has been no QS in all of 1996.

WROTE WP ACCEPTANCE LTR

1/21/97 Samuel Wan phoned fm SCI: 299-7960. Re my 11/26/96 ltr. We left messages for each other. RP is apprehensive w/putting MW in **playground area**. Wants to put it in street instead (10' away). She is concerned w/liability, and does not think that location is accessible. How about fencing it off? He said that area is within a walkway. She doesnt want to think about risk assessment yet. RP realizes we may need to

Dale's notes

1040 Dave's Station, 2250 Telegraph Avenue, Oakland, CA 94612

11/6/95 File from JE. Review Subsurface Consultants, Inc., (SCI) "Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring June 1995 Event"-dated July 27, 1995. Wells sampled June 27, 1995. Groundwater flow is reported to be in an easterly direction with a shallow gradient of approximately 0.01-0.02. Benzene levels have risen two orders of magnitude in well MW-3 which is the "inferred" downgradient location from the gasoline UST excavation. Call to Jeriann Alexander concerning necessity for a monitoring well down-gradient of existing well MW-3. Review SCI "Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring September 1995 Event-dated October 16, 1995". Detected benzene levels rose in well MW-3 from 330 ppb to 400 ppb for the third quarter 1995 sampling event. Draft letter requesting well down-gradient of well MW-3. gw flowed SE at .016 ft/ft.

11/8/95

Final draft of letter sent.

requesting a SWI wp! $\frac{1.6}{100} = .016 \text{ ft/ft}$

12-15 JE reviewed DK's notes.
Im SCI. He didn't cc SCI.

1 A

Site Summary STID 1040
Dave's Station
2250 Telegraph Ave.
Oakland CA 94612

continued fm handwritten notes:

9/8/94 Reviewed 7/25/94 QR by SCI. GW sampled 6/6/94 flowed East (consistent). Highest hits in MW4, near the former w.o. UST: 4400 TPHg, 800 TPHd, 1,700 TOG, 140 benzene (ND TEX). Some 8010s in MW3, which are ND in the other 3 wells.

12/21/94 Reviewed 9/28/94 QR by SCI. GW sampled 9/7/94 flowed East (consistent). Big increase in MW4 of TPHg (10,000 ppb) and TPH-k (490 ppb); also 280 ppb TPHd and 84 ppb benzene (MW4). DCA, PCE, and chlorobenzene also found in 3 MWs. Don't know why some contaminants increased in concs, while other decreased in MW4. (GWE decreased in MW4 by 1').

5/8/95 Reviewed 1/31/95 QR by SCI. GW sampled on 12/22/94 flowed E (flat gradient). This is towards the Lake. MW2 is ND. MW1 and MW3 have low hits. MW4 the highest hits (near waste oil UST).

7/6/95 Reviewed 4/28/95 QR by SCI. GW sampled on 3/17/95 flowed E with a flat gradient. Highest hits are once again in MW4, but there's an overall decreasing trend in this well. Even though GWEs increased 1-2' bgs in all wells!

Looked at the whole file. Remember, they have fairly high soil hits under the bldg. Where to go from here? Maybe lessen frequency of sampling. What kind of contract does SCI have w/ RP? Left mess Jeriann Alexander

7/11/95 lm Jeriann:

7/19/95 spoke w/Jeriann: They're under contract to do QS. Has she got a reimbursement from the Fund? She doesn't know.

Wrote ltr to RP, allowing biannual sampling