# Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health

From: Sent: To: Subject: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health Friday, March 12, 2010 4:06 PM 'Emery, Karen [FWI]' RE: 2250 Telegraph Avenue, Oakland, RO00000359

#### Karen,

Thank you for the information on the access issues. Please also discontinue sampling from MW-6 based on the information provided in section 6.4 of the November 20, 2009 SWI/GWM. Regards, Barb Jakub

From: Emery, Karen [FWI] [mailto:kemery@fugro.com]
Sent: Friday, March 12, 2010 3:10 PM
To: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health
Subject: RE: 2250 Telegraph Avenue, Oakland, RO00000359

## Hi Barbara-

We had submitted the traffic control permit request to the City of Oakland approximately four weeks prior to conducting the field activities. We made several attempts to contact the person in charge of issuing the permit numerous times prior to and up to the day before the commencement of sampling but we were unable to get a hold of the person. Numerous voicemails left by our staff were never returned. As a result we did not have the traffic control permit in hand at the time of sampling and therefore could not access that well.

You mentioned in your email below discontinuing sampling at MW-5 and <u>MW-2</u>, could you please confirm that those were the wells that you meant, as we would like to continue sampling MW-2 and discontinue sampling at MW-5 and <u>MW-6</u> at a minimum. It is Fugro's opinion that MW-5 and MW-6 are situated beyond the distal limits of the groundwater plume as sampling at those wells are not showing any impacts resulting from the onsite groundwater plume.

Also do you know how long it will be until the reviews will be completed so I can give our client an estimated time frame?

Thanks for your assistance, Karen

From: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health [mailto:barbara.jakub@acgov.org]
Sent: Friday, March 12, 2010 2:41 PM
To: Emery, Karen [FWI]
Subject: RE: 2250 Telegraph Avenue, Oakland, RO00000359

#### Karen,

Alameda County Environmental Health has been tasked by the State Water Quality Control Board to review all of our cases for impediments to closure. During this time, we are not allowed to send out letters requesting additional work. As soon as we have completed the reviews, I will begin responding to submittals.

Until that time, you may discontinue monitoring wells MW-5 and MW-2. Can you please provide me with a quick explanation of why MW-6 was not able to be accessed? I didn't see that specified. Is it an access issue with City of Oakland?

Regards,

Barb Jakub

From: Emery, Karen [FWI] [mailto:kemery@fugro.com]
Sent: Friday, March 12, 2010 1:02 PM
To: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health
Subject: 2250 Telegraph Avenue, Oakland, RO00000359

## Hi Barbara-

We are inquiring as to the status of your review of the Site Investigation Report and Summer 2009 Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report for the above referenced site. The report was submitted to ACEH on November 20, 2009. We are also inquiring about our recommendations in the report to install two new wells, and discontinue monitoring of wells MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, and MW-6 (eventually abandoning these wells). We are nearing the time to conduct the Spring 2010 groundwater monitoring at the Site and if you agree with our recommendations in the report, we request to implement those recommendations and not monitor wells MW-3 through MW-6, thereby saving costs to our client relating to analytical fees, traffic control permits through the City of Oakland, and additional field time necessary to monitor those wells. If you could please let us know your thoughts on the above items I would appreciate it.

Regards,

## Karen A. Emery

Project Geologist

Fugro West Inc. 1000 Broadway, Suite 440 Oakland, California 94607 Direct Line: 510.267.4432 Phone: 510.268.0461 Fax: 510.268.0545 E-mail: kemery@fugro.com

## Please consider your environmental responsibility: Before printing this e-mail, ask yourself whether you need a hard copy!

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the addressee. This e-mail shall not be deemed binding unless confirmed in writing. If you have received it by mistake, please let us know by e-mail reply and delete it from your system; you may not copy this message or disclose its contents to anyone. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this e-mail are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the company. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message, which arise as a result of e-mail transmission.

# Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health

From:	Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health
Sent:	Friday, March 12, 2010 2:41 PM
То:	'Emery, Karen [FWI]'
Subject:	RE: 2250 Telegraph Avenue, Oakland, RO00000359

#### Karen,

Alameda County Environmental Health has been tasked by the State Water Quality Control Board to review all of our cases for impediments to closure. During this time, we are not allowed to send out letters requesting additional work. As soon as we have completed the reviews, I will begin responding to submittals.

Until that time, you may discontinue monitoring wells MW-5 and MW-2. Can you please provide me with a quick explanation of why MW-6 was not able to be accessed? I didn't see that specified. Is it an access issue with City of Oakland?

Regards,

Barb Jakub

From: Emery, Karen [FWI] [mailto:kemery@fugro.com]
Sent: Friday, March 12, 2010 1:02 PM
To: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health
Subject: 2250 Telegraph Avenue, Oakland, RO00000359

## Hi Barbara-

We are inquiring as to the status of your review of the Site Investigation Report and Summer 2009 Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report for the above referenced site. The report was submitted to ACEH on November 20, 2009. We are also inquiring about our recommendations in the report to install two new wells, and discontinue monitoring of wells MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, and MW-6 (eventually abandoning these wells). We are nearing the time to conduct the Spring 2010 groundwater monitoring at the Site and if you agree with our recommendations in the report, we request to implement those recommendations and not monitor wells MW-3 through MW-6, thereby saving costs to our client relating to analytical fees, traffic control permits through the City of Oakland, and additional field time necessary to monitor those wells. If you could please let us know your thoughts on the above items I would appreciate it.

Regards,

#### Karen A. Emery Project Geologist

Fugro West Inc. 1000 Broadway, Suite 440 Oakland, California 94607 Direct Line: 510.267.4432 Phone: 510.268.0461 Fax: 510.268.0545 E-mail: kemery@fugro.com

## Please consider your environmental responsibility: Before printing this e-mail, ask yourself whether you need a hard copy!

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the addressee. This e-mail shall not be deemed binding unless confirmed in writing. If you have received it by mistake, please let us know by e-mail reply and delete it from your system; you may not copy this message or disclose its contents to anyone. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this e-mail are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the company. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free. The

sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message, which arise as a result of e-mail transmission.