

RECEIVED

By Alameda County Environmental Health at 3:57 pm, Mar 23, 2015

March 13, 2015

Ms. Karel Detterman Hazardous Materials Specialist Alameda County Environmental Health Services 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502

Re: Data Gap Investigation Report Former Penske Truck Leasing Facility 725 Julie Ann Way, Oakland, California Alameda County Site ID RO0000354 Stantec PN: 185702640.200.0003

Dear Ms. Detterman:

Enclosed with this cover letter is the Data Gap Investigation Report for the above-referenced former Penske Truck Leasing location.

As an authorized representative of Penske Truck Leasing Co, LP, I offer the following statement:

I, Chris Hawk, declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the enclosed Report are true and correct to the best of my knowledge

Should you have any questions, please contact me at 610-775-6123.

Best Regards,

Chris Hawk Environmental Engineer



Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 1340 Treat Boulevard, Suite 300, Walnut Creek CA 94597-7966

March 13, 2015 File: 185702858.200.0003

Ms. Karel Detterman Hazardous Materials Specialist Alameda County Environmental Health Services 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502

## Reference: Data Gap Investigation Report Former Penske Truck Leasing Facility 725 Julie Ann Way, Oakland, California Alameda County Site ID RO0000354

Dear Ms. Detterman:

Stantec Consulting Services Inc. (Stantec), on behalf of Penske Truck Leasing Company (Penske), has prepared this Data Gap Investigation Report (Report) for the Former Penske Truck Leasing Facility (the Site) located at 725 Julie Ann Way in Oakland, California (see Figure 1). This Report provides findings of the January 2015 investigation that was conducted in accordance with Stantec's November 20, 2014, Data Gap Investigation Work Plan (Work Plan). The Work Plan was approved by the Alameda County Environmental Health Services (ACEHS) in a letter dated December 5, 2014.

The Work Plan addressed ACEHS's concern that residual fuel hydrocarbons in shallow groundwater may be reaching a flood control channel located immediately west of the Site, via migration through the drainage channel's earthen bank. The location of the drainage channel is shown on Figure 2 and the Site Plan is included as Figure 3. The ACEHS requested the Work Plan to characterize shallow groundwater quality along the western site boundary with a minimum of four soil borings.

The January 2015 investigation consisted of the following elements which are summarized in this report:

- A site survey to determine the elevation of the bottom of the drainage channel relative to the Site;
- Selecting and marking the boring locations for Underground Service Alert and utility clearance; and
- Advancement of the soil borings for collection of grab groundwater samples.



## Site Survey and Project Scoping

Stantec contracted with Mid-Coast Engineers, a California licensed land surveyor, to survey the elevations of the bottom of the adjacent drainage channel and the western portion of the former Penske property. The survey was performed on December 1, 2014, and survey data are illustrated on Figure 4. Site elevations within 25 feet of the western property boundary ranged from 11.53 feet (North American Vertical Datum of 1988 [NAVD 88]) along Julie Ann Way to 10.34 feet at the northeastern corner of the property. Surveyed elevations of the bottom of the drainage channel ranged from 4.02 feet adjacent to a bridge across the channel formed by old telephone poles to 5.17 feet adjacent to the culvert headwall at the northwest boundary.

The Work Plan proposed advancing soil borings to a depth corresponding to the bottom of the adjacent drainage channel to ensure that groundwater being collected would be that with the potential to be in communication with water present in the drainage channel. The maximum elevation difference of 7.5 feet was calculated between the property (11.53 feet) and bottom of the drainage channel (4.02 feet). Based on the 7.5 foot difference, the maximum depth of the soil borings for this investigation was rounded to 8 feet below grade.

## **Pre-Field Activities**

Stantec met with the site tenant to mark the boring locations as proposed in the Work Plan. Half of the structure along the property boundary adjacent to the drainage channel is an open carport-type structure and the other half is divided into office space and storage rooms (see Figure 4). The configuration of the office space and the storage room spaces required that borehole locations be revised from those proposed in the Work Plan. The final boring locations are shown on Figure 4.

The number of borings was increased from four to six (SB-9 through SB-14) to delineate the sheen observed in the water from SB-12 and SB-13. The locations maintain the 30-foot minimum separation requested by ACEHS in the November 6, 2014 email, with the exception of the distance between SB-10 and SB-11 which is approximately 34 feet because of the inaccessible office space between them.

The proposed boring locations were marked with white paint and Underground Service Alert was notified at least 72 hours prior to beginning field work. Cruz Brothers Locators verified that drilling locations were free of detectable subsurface utilities or obstructions. Alameda County Public Works Agency (ACPWA) issued permit W2015-0001 for the borings.



## Borehole Advancement and Grab Groundwater Sample Collection

The upper 5 feet of each borehole were advanced using a hand auger to confirm the absence of shallow subsurface utilities or obstructions. Beyond 5 feet, the boreholes were also advanced with a hand auger due to space limitations such that use of a limited access direct-push drilling rig was not possible.

The Stantec geologist logged the soils encountered from the continuous core to total depth according to the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). The soil boring logs with these descriptions are included in Appendix A. Soils were screened for organic vapors using a photoionization detector (PID), and PID readings and observations regarding odors and staining were recorded on the soil boring logs.

After reaching terminal depth at each location, a <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch polyvinyl chloride (PVC) casing with 5 feet of slotted screen was inserted in the borehole for grab groundwater sample collection. Groundwater samples were collected within 2 hours of low tide which was at 2:16 PM on January 15, 2015, based on National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Tide Prediction Charts for the Oakland Inner Harbor<sup>1</sup>.

Groundwater samples were collected using dedicated, disposable bailers. Minimal groundwater was encountered in each boring and the rate of infiltration was observed to be extremely slow. Therefore, the sample volume from each boring was limited to three 40-milliliter vials and the analysis of total dissolve solids (TDS) and total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPHd) as proposed in the Work Plan could not be performed.

## Groundwater Sample Collection and Analysis

Groundwater samples were labeled, immediately placed on ice, and submitted to Curtis and Tompkins, Ltd., a State of California-certified laboratory, under chain-of-custody documentation. Groundwater samples were analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX), naphthalene, and total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) by United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) Method 8260B. As noted above, TPHd and TDS analysis was not performed due to the inability to collect a sufficient volume of water required for the analytical method.

Design with community in mind

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>http://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/tide\_predictions.html</u>



## Soil Boring Abandonment and Waste Management

Upon completion of grab groundwater sampling, borings were backfilled with bentonite cement grout and finished with a 4- to 6-inch concrete cap in accordance with ACPWA requirements. Soil cuttings and purge/rinsate water generated during soil boring activities were stored in California Department of Transportation (DOT)-approved 55-gallon steel drums and stored on-Site pending characterization and disposal.

### **Investigation Results**

Locations of the soil borings SB-9 through SB-14 are illustrated on Figure 4. Soil borings were advanced to depths ranging from 5 to 8 feet below ground surface (bgs) as summarized below:

- SB-9 was advanced to 5 feet bgs and terminated because of refusal;
- SB-10 was terminated at 8 feet bgs at first-encountered groundwater;
- SB-11 was terminated at 6 feet bgs at first-encountered groundwater;
- SB-12 was terminated at 5.2 feet bgs at first-encountered groundwater;
- SB-13 was terminated at 5.2 feet bgs because of refusal; and
- SB-14 was terminated at 6 feet bgs at first-encountered groundwater.

Although refusal was encountered in SB-9 and SB-13 prior to a visibly saturated zone, groundwater subsequently infiltrated the borehole.

Soils consisted primarily of silt and clay with variable amounts of gravel. Pieces of broken brick were encountered in all borings within the silty-clay zone between approximately 3 and 5 feet bgs, indicative of fill material. PID readings of recovered soils ranged from 1 part per million (ppm) in SB-9 at 3.5 feet bgs and SB-14 at 3.5 feet bgs to 35 ppm in SB-13 at a depth of 5 feet bgs. PID readings are included on the soil boring logs in Appendix A.

During advancement of the soil borings, water-bearing sediments were observed during drilling in all the borings, except SB-10. A sheen was observed on the groundwater encountered in borings SB-12 and SB-13. Static groundwater was later measured at depths ranging from 4.5 to 5.5 feet bgs. The three borings closest to Julie Ann Way (SB-9 through SB-11) were left open for over two hours in order to obtain sufficient water for sample collection and subsequent groundwater levels ranged from 4.75 feet to 5.5 feet below grade. Static water levels in borings SB-12 through SB-14 were at 4.5 feet below grade and had a slightly higher infiltrations rate due to the presence of gravel in soils below 4.5 feet.



## **Analytical Results**

TPHg and toluene were the only petroleum hydrocarbon constituents detected in the groundwater samples. Analytical results are summarized in Table 1, illustrated on Figure 4, and summarized below:

- TPHg was detected in samples SB-12 and SB-13 at concentrations of 1,700 micrograms per liter (µg/L) and 890 µg/L, respectively (TPHg concentrations were flagged by the laboratory as being represented by chromatographic patterns not typical of the TPHg standard);
- Toluene was detected in four of the six samples at concentrations ranging from 6.3  $\mu g/L$  to 22  $\mu g/L;$  and
- Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and naphthalene were not detected in groundwater samples.

## **Data Evaluation**

Grab groundwater chemical data collected from the Site property boundary suggest that shallow groundwater containing detectable concentrations of TPHg may be in communication with the drainage channel forming the Site's western boundary. TPHg was detected in only two of six samples, suggesting that impacts are limited in extent. In order to evaluate the potential impact to aquatic biota, Stantec compared groundwater chemical data to estuarine habitat Environmental Screening Levels (ESLs) established by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB; December 2013). As detailed in the December 2013 ESL guidance document, tidally-influenced portions of creeks, rivers, and streams flowing into the San Francisco Bay between the Dumbarton Bridge and the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge should be considered to be 'estuarine' in screening level assessments (Section 4.2.1). Reported TPHg concentrations of 1,700 and 890  $\mu$ g/L reported in two of six grab groundwater samples exceed the estuarine habitat ESL of 500  $\mu$ g/L, and reported concentrations of toluene (ranging from 6.3 to 22  $\mu$ g/L) do not exceed the estuarine habitat ESL of 40  $\mu$ g/L.

## **Conclusions and Recommendations**

Gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons in groundwater beneath the northwestern site boundary are limited in magnitude and extent. The non-standard chromatographic pattern and lack of appreciable concentrations of BTEX suggest an aged, weathered fuel product that will likely continue to degrade over time. Although concentrations of TPHg at two locations exceed the aquatic habitat screening level, the screening criterion represents a direct-exposure screening level for aquatic biota and does not consider dilution effects between groundwater and surface water.



# Reference: Data Gap Investigation Report Former Penske Truck Leasing Facility 725 Julie Ann Way, Oakland, California Alameda County Site ID RO0000354

Therefore, based on these data, Stantec considers the potential threat to aquatic habitat to be low, and should not represent an impediment to regulatory case closure.

If you have any questions regarding this document, please contact the undersigned.

Regards,

## STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.

Eva Hey

Eva Hey Project Manager Tel: (925) 299-9300 Fax: (925) 299-9302 eva.hey@stantec.com

Mail Docom

Neil Doran, P.G., #8503 Senior Geologist Tel: (916) 384-0722 Fax: (916) 861-0430 neil.doran@stantec.com



cc: Mr. Christopher Hawk, Penske Truck Leasing, Reading PA

## List of Attachments

Table 1 – Grab Groundwater Sample Analytical Results

Figure 1 – Site Location Map Figure 2 – Site Vicinity Map Figure 3 – Site Plan Figure 4 – 2015 Grab Groundwater Sample Results

Appendix A – Soil Boring Logs Appendix B – Laboratory Analytical Report

## TABLE 1 GRAB GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS FORMER PENSKE TRUCK LEASING FACILITY 725 Julie Ann Way, Oakland, California

Well No.	Depth (ft bgs)	<b>TPHg</b> (μg/L)	Benzene (µg/L)	<b>Toluene</b> (μg/L)	Ethyl Benzene (µg/L)	<b>Xylenes</b> (µg/L)	Naphthalene (µg/L)
SB-9	4.7	ND <200	ND <2.0	8.3	ND <2.0	ND <2.0	ND <8.0
SB-10	5.5	ND < 710	ND <7.1	ND <7.1	ND <7.1	ND <7.1	ND <29
SB-11	4.8	ND <170	ND <1.7	8.2	ND <1.7	ND <1.7	ND <6.7
SB-12	4.6	1, <b>700<sup>(a)</sup></b>	ND <0.5	22	ND <0.5	ND <0.5	ND <2.0
SB-13	4.5	890 <sup>(a)</sup>	ND <0.5	6.3	ND <0.5	ND <0.5	ND <2.0
SB-14	4.4	ND <200	ND <2.0	ND <2.0	ND <2.0	ND <2.0	ND <8.0
	ESLs	500	46.0	40	30	100	21

Notes:

Samples collected on January 15, 2015.

**Bold** text indicates that a value was reported greater than the laboratory reporting limit.

µg/L - micrograms per liter

ft bgs - feet below ground surface


TPHg - Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline

ND - Not detected at or above the laboratory detection limit

< - Indicates constituent not detected at or above specified reporting limit

(a) - Sample exhibits chromatographic pattern that does not resemble standard.

ESLs: Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, Environmental Screening Levels, for estuarine surface water bodies (Table F), presented in the December 2013 "User's Guide: Derivation and Application of Environmental Screening Levels".



FILEPATH:M:\PENSKE\PENSKE OAKLAND\2015\SLM.dwg|saguinaldo|Mar 12, 2015 at 19:32|Layout: SITE LOCATION MAP




## REFERENCE:

IMAGE ACQUIRED FROM GOOGLE EARTH PROFESSIONAL; 2014


SITE COORDINATE SYSTEM: CA STATE PLANE; ZONE III; NAD 83 VERTICLE DATUM; NAVD 88




		0 SCALE IN FEET	100	
NY NIA	SITE VICI	NITY MAP		FIGURE:
Y: RRR	CHECKED BY: EH	APPROVED BY:	EH	DATE: 03/03/15



---- PROPERTY BOUNDARY



ALL SITE FEATURES AND WELL LOCATIONS, EXCEPT THE FORMER USTS, SURVEYED BY MID COAST ENGINEERS FEBRUARY AND APRIL 2011 JOB#10018X DATED APRIL 27, 2011; TITLED "MONITORING WELL LOCATION MAP FOR PENSKE" SITE COORDINATE SYSTEM: CA STATE PLANE; ZONE III; NAD 83 VERTICLE DATUM; NAVD 88



DRAWN BY:

RRR/STA

CHECKED BY:

EH


END:		
U	NDIFFERENTIATED NONMETALLIC UTILITY L	INE
U—— U	NDIFFERENTIATED METALLIC UTILITY LINE	
FI	ENCE	
	PPROXIMATE EXTENT OF FORMER TANK XCAVATION	
E E	XISTING MONITORING WELL LOCATION	
5 AE	BANDONED MONITORING WELL LOCATION	
S	DIL BORING LOCATION (2009)	
o S(	DIL SAMPLE LOCATION (1989)	
S S	DIL BORING LOCATION (1990 & 1994)	
JULIE ANN WAY		FIGURE:
, IIA	SITE PLAN	3

APPROVED BY:

DATE:

03/03/15

EH





# APPENDIX A SOIL BORING LOGS

PROJECT DRILLING: INSTALLA DRILLING DRILLING DRILLING	N: <b>72</b> <u>F NUM</u> TION: COMF EQUIF METH	5 Juli BER: STAF STAF PANY: PMEN OD: H	Gregg Drilling ⊤: Hand Auger	NOI LAT GRI INIT STA WE	RTHING (ft): TUDE: OUND ELEV ( TAL DTW (ft): ATIC DTW (ft): LL CASING D GGED BY: <b>C.</b>	(ft): Not Er : 4.7 1	PAGE ncount /15/15 ER (in): icon	<u>1 OF</u>	1 EASTI LONG TOC E BORE WELL BORE CHEC	Stantec NG (ft): ITUDE: ILEV (ft): HOLE DEPTH (ft): <b>5.0</b> DEPTH (ft): HOLE DIAMETER (in): <b>4</b> KED BY: <b>Eva Hey</b>
Time & Depth (feet)	Graphic Log	nscs	Description	Sample	Time Sample ID	Measured Recov. (feet)	Blow Count	Headspace PID (units)	Depth (feet)	Borehole Backfill
		SM	Asphalt SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL AND CLAY; SM; 2.5Y 5/3 light olive brown; fine to coarse-grained; dense; dry; 20% silt; 15% gravel; 5% clay; gravel is fine to coarse angular broken rock indicative of Fill SILTY CLAY WITH SAND AND GRAVEL; CL; 5Y 3/1 very dark gray; medium plasticity; stiff; dry; 20% silt; 10% sand; 10% gravel; sand and gravel is fine to coarse; pieces of broken brick indicate Fill CLAYEY SILT WITH GRAVEL; ML; 5Y 5/2 olive gray; stiff; moist to wet; refusal at 5' on large rock Refusal at 5 feet. Borehole terminated at 5 feet.					1	5	- neat Portland Cement

PROJECT NUM DRILLING: INSTALLATION: DRILLING COMF DRILLING EQUIF DRILLING METH	5 Juli BER: STAF STAF PANY: PMEN IOD: <b>F</b>	RTED1/15/15COMPLETED:1/15/15RTEDCOMPLETED:Gregg DrillingT: Hand Auger	N L G IN S <sup>-</sup> W	DR ATI RC ITI FA EL	L CASING D	-10 ft): 5.5 1 Not E IAMET Melar	PAGE /15/15 ncoun ER (in)	<u>1 OF</u>	1 EASTI LONG TOC E BORE WELL BORE CHEC	NG (ft): ITUDE: ELEV (ft): HOLE DEPTH (ft): DEPTH (ft): HOLE DIAMETER KED BY: <b>Eva Hey</b>	(in): <b>4</b>
Time & Depth (feet) Graphic Log	NSCS	Description	Sample		Time Sample ID	Measured Recov. (feet)	Blow Count	Headspace PID (units)	Depth (feet)	Bore Bac	ehole ckfill
	SM CL ML OH	Asphalt SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL AND CLAY ; SM; 2.5Y 5/3 light olive brown; fine to coarse-grained; dense; dry SILTY CLAY WITH SAND AND GRAVEL ; CL; 5Y 3/1 very dark gray; medium plasticity; stiff, dry; 20% silt; 10% sand; 10% gravel; sand and gravel is fine to coarse; pieces of broken brick indicate Fill CLAYEY SILT TRACE GRAVEL ; ML; 5Y 5/2 olive gray; medium stiff; moist; 30% clay ORGANIC CLAY ; OH; 5Y 3/1 very dark gray; high plasticity; medium stiff; moist; Low density due to organics; thin layers of peat Borehole terminated at 8 feet.						<u>т</u>	5-		Portland ent

PROJEC DRILLING INSTALLA DRILLING	DN: <b>72</b> <u>T NUM</u> : TION: COMF	5 Juli BER: STAF STAF PANY:	ie Ann Way, Oakland CA 185702858 RTED 1/15/15 COMPLETED: 1/15/15 RTED COMPLETED: Gregg Drilling T: Hand Auger	NC LA GF INI	DR TI RO	LL / PROBEF <b>SB-</b> THING (ft): TUDE: UND ELEV ( AL DTW (ft): TIC DTW (ft):	• <b>11</b> <sup>ft):</sup> Not Er	PAGE	1 OF	1 EASTI LONG TOC E BORE	NG (ft): ITUDE: ELEV (ft): HOLE DEP <sup>-</sup> DEPTH (ft):	
DRILLING SAMPLING			land NT: Hand Auger		G	L CASING D GED BY: <b>C.</b>	Melan	con		CHEC	HOLE DIAN KED BY: <b>E</b> V	ETER (in): <b>4</b> <b>/a Hey</b>
Time & Depth (feet)	Graphic Log	nscs	Description	Sample		Time Sample ID	Measured Recov. (feet)	Blow Count	Headspace PID (units)	Depth (feet)		Borehole Backfill
5- 5-		SD SM CL ML OH	Asphalt SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL AND CLAY ; SM; 2.5Y 5/3 light olive brown; fine to coarse-grained; dense; dry; 20% silt; 15% gravel; 5% clay; gravel is fine to coarse angular broken rock indicative of Fill SILTY CLAY WITH SAND AND GRAVEL ; CL; 5Y 3/1 very dark gray; medium plasticity; stiff; dry; slight HC odor; 20% silt; 10% sand; 10% gravel; sand and gravel is fine to coarse; pieces of broken brick indicate Fill CLAYEY SILT TRACE GRAVEL ; ML; 5Y 5/2 olive gray; medium stiff; moist to wet; hydrocarbon staining; 30% clay ORGANIC CLAY ; OH; 5Y 3/1 very dark gray; high plasticity; medium stiff; moist; Low density due to organics; thin layers of peat Borehole terminated at 6 feet.	Sar		Sample ID	Mea Re- (fé		4 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	<u>→</u> <u>→</u> 5-		Backfill ← neat Portland Cement
GEO FORM 304 PENSKE LOGS.GPJ STANTEC ENVIRO TEMPLATE 010509.GDT 34/15	-									10		

PROJECT: <b>Penske</b> LOCATION: <b>725 Ju</b> PROJECT NUMBER	lie Ann Way, Oakland CA	WE						Stantec
	ARTED 1/15/15 COMPLETED: 1/15/15 ARTED COMPLETED: 4: Gregg Drilling NT: Hand Auger Hand	LAT GRC INIT STA WEL	RTHING (ft): TUDE: DUND ELEV (1	ft): <b>Not Er 4.6 1</b> IAMETI	ncoun /15/15 ER (in): ICON	tered	EASTI LONG TOC E BORE WELL BORE CHEC	NG (ft): ITUDE: :LEV (ft): HOLE DEPTH (ft): <b>5.2</b> DEPTH (ft): HOLE DIAMETER (in): <b>4</b> KED BY: <b>Eva Hey</b>
Time & Depth (feet) (feet) Log USCS	Description	Sample	Time Sample ID	Measured Recov. (feet)	Blow Count	Headspace PID (units)	Depth (feet)	Borehole Backfill
	SM; 2.5Y 5/3 light olive brown; fine to coarse-grained; dense; dry; 20% silt; 15% gravel; 5% clay; gravel is fine to coarse angular broken rock indicative of Fill SILTY CLAY WITH SAND AND GRAVEL ; CL; 5Y 3/1 very dark gray; medium plasticity; stiff; dry; slight HC odor; 20% silt; 10% sand; 10% gravel; sand and gravel is fine to coarse; pieces of broken brick indicate Fill					6	5-	- neat Portland Cement

PROJECT NUMBER:							1	Stantec
DRILLING: STA INSTALLATION: STA DRILLING COMPANY: DRILLING EQUIPMEN DRILLING METHOD: I SAMPLING EQUIPME	Gregg Drilling ⊓: Hand Auger Hand	LATI GRC INITI STA WEL	TIC DTW (ft): L CASING D	Not Er 4.47 IAMETE	<b>1/15/1</b> ER (in)	tered 5 :	LONG TOC E BORE WELL BORE CHEC	NG (ft): ITUDE: :LEV (ft): HOLE DEPTH (ft): <b>5.2</b> DEPTH (ft): HOLE DIAMETER (in): <b>4</b> KED BY: <b>Eva Hey</b>
Time & Depth (feet) (reet) Log USCS	Description	Sample	Time Sample ID	Measured Recov. (feet)	Blow Count	Headspace PID (units)	Depth (feet)	Borehole Backfill
	Asphalt SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL AND CLAY ; SM; 2.5Y 5/3 light olive brown; fine to coarse-grained; dense; dry; 20% silt; 15% gravel; 5% clay; gravel is fine to coarse angular broken rock indicative of Fill SILTY CLAY WITH SAND AND GRAVEL ; CL; 5Y 3/1 very dark gray; medium plasticity; stiff; dry; slight HC odor; 20% silt; 10% sand; 10% gravel; sand and gravel is fine to coarse; pieces of broken brick indicate Fill CLAYEY SILT WITH GRAVEL ; ML; 5Y 5/2 olive gray; medium stiff; moist to wet; strong HC odor; 20% clay; 10% gravel; SPH sheen SILTY GRAVEL ; GM; 5Y 3/1 very dark gray; dense; wet; strong HC odor; SPH sheen; gravel in angular broken rock and brick indicating Fill Refusal in gravel Refusal at 5.2 feet. Borehole terminated at 5.2 feet.					4 35	5	- neat Portland Cement

GED BY: <b>C.</b> Time Sample ID	asured ecov. feet)	con ≥ ⊑			KED BY: Eva Hey
	0 ~ ~	Blov	adspa PID (units)	Depth (feet)	Borehole Backfill
	Me	Blow	Headspace PID 4 (units)	10	
				- 4	- 4 - 7

# APPENDIX B LABORATORY ANALTYICAL REPORT



## Laboratory Job Number 263982 ANALYTICAL REPORT

Stantec 1340 Treat Blvd. Walnut Creek, CA 94597 Project : 185702850 Location : Penske Oakland Level : II

<u>Sample ID</u>	<u>Lab ID</u>
SB-9	263982-001
SB-10	263982-002
SB-11	263982-003
SB-12	263982-004
SB-13	263982-005
SB-14	263982-006

This data package has been reviewed for technical correctness and completeness. Release of this data has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or the Manager's designee, as verified by the following signature. The results contained in this report meet all requirements of NELAC and pertain only to those samples which were submitted for analysis. This report may be reproduced only in its entirety.

Signature:

Mike J. Dahlquist Project Manager mike.dahlquist@ctberk.com

Date: <u>01/23/2015</u>

CA ELAP# 2896, NELAP# 4044-001



### CASE NARRATIVE

Laboratory number: Client: Project: Location: Request Date: Samples Received: 263982 Stantec 185702850 Penske Oakland 01/16/15 01/16/15

This data package contains sample and QC results for six water samples, requested for the above referenced project on 01/16/15. The samples were received cold and intact.

### Volatile Organics by GC/MS (EPA 8260B):

A number of samples were diluted due to foaming. SB-12 (lab # 263982-004) was analyzed with more than 1 mL of headspace in the VOA vial. A number of samples had pH greater than 2. No other analytical problems were encountered.

263682



# CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

		Charles 1	0	A	44													
	Stantec Walnut Creek Office 1340 Treat Blvd., Suite 300	,	Company				ce:			L	Stantec	Project	#	DATE		15-	15	
		Project	Manager:	EV	a H	٩٧						_		PAGE	:			
Project Na	Walnut Creek, CA 94597 TEL:(916) 861-0400 FAX:(916) 861-0430 me: <u>Peuske Oak</u>	email:	eva.h	<u> </u>	<u>)</u> St	2110	<u>ec.Co</u>	m		183	=70	28	50			/	Ó OF	1
	Peuske Oak	lan	d	-			Sampler(s) P	rinted Name:				Laborato	rv:					
Address:	725 Julie Anni Dukland, CA	W H.	/				Charles I	Melancon					C	- R	T			
	Rukland CA						Sampler(s) Si	gnaturer	$\geq$	/	>	Lab Use	Only:				- 1	
																THE DESIGNMENT		
	nd Time (Business Days):						1									eres Des « Bill III de		and an and a second and a second and a second and a second second second second second second second second sec
10 DAYS	5 DAYS 72 HR 48 HR		24 HF	<2	4 H F						I	REQUE	STED	ANALY	SIS			
	IER						2							1				
		, n.													1			
Special In	structions or Notes: To	emperatur	e Upon Rece	eipt (C)	:		+ 8260B											
		_					X											
Nor	te: 5B-12 8 54	2-/3	5 40	d e			N N											
	product 54e	29					6 2											
	•						54											
LAB USE	Field Sample Identification	S/	MPLING	MAT	No. of	Pre-	Ha											
ONLY		DATE	TIME	RIX	Cont.		アメ											Laboratory Notes
_1_	5B-9	1-15-1	5 1420	W	З	HEL												
2	5B-10		1410				Ľ×Ť										1	H-1-5
3	5B-11		1400		T					1	•						†	
	5B-12		1350														+	
	58-13		1340		† <b>†</b>	1			w								+	
	5B-14		1320			1								<u> </u>			+	
		<b>├_₩</b>	1300	<b>₩</b>	+	<b>⊢ ♥</b>	$\uparrow \frown \downarrow \neg$		<u> </u>					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
						1.	╉──┼─										<u> </u>	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						<b> </b>								ļ			
		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	┨───┤──										ļ	
																	<u> </u>	
		<u> </u>			<u> </u>													
		L		<u> </u>													1	
													-	<u> </u>				
																<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Polinquished	burnetter (re)	Date:	Time:	Recei	red by (Si	gnature)	•							<u> </u>	Time:			
Relinquished	A gignature	Date:	1600	Receiv	ted by: (Si	gnature)							_1-/	6.15				
4	non	116/				1	m						1/1	U	Time 6	5D		
Relinquished	by: (Signature)	Date:	Time:	Receiv	red by: (Si	gnature)							- <i>'l</i> '	ř(	Time:	1		
L															1		1	

3 of 16

## **COOLER RECEIPT CHECKLIST**

cb	Curtis	&	<b>,</b>
----	--------	---	----------

Login # 263982 Date Received 1/16/15 Number of coolers Client Stantec Project Penske Oakland	1
	Ø
Date Opened $(// \mathcal{C} By (print) $ $\mathcal{U} (sign) $ $\mathcal{U} (sign) $	toto
Date Logged in $// Q$ By (print) $/ (sign)$	
1. Did cooler come with a shipping slip (airbill, etc)YES Shipping infoYES	<b>NO</b> <sup>®</sup>
2A. Were custody seals present? □ YES (circle) on cooler on samples How many Name Date	Ŋ.NO
<ul> <li>3. Were custody papers dry and intact when received?</li> <li>4. Were custody papers filled out properly (ink, signed, etc)?</li> <li>5. Is the project identifiable from custody papers? (If so fill out top of form)</li> <li>YES</li> <li>6. Indicate the packing in cooler: (if other, describe)</li> </ul>	NO (STA NO NO NO
Bubble Wrap       Foam blocks       Bags       None         Cloth material       Cardboard       Styrofoam       Paper tow         7. Temperature documentation:       * Notify PM if temperature exceeds 6°C	els
Type of ice used: $\Join$ Wet $\Box$ Blue/Gel $\Box$ None Temp(°C) <u><math>U</math></u> .	2°
Samples Received on ice & cold without a temperature blank; temp. taken w	
<ul> <li>Samples received on ice directly from the field. Cooling process had begun</li> <li>8. Were Method 5035 sampling containers present?</li> </ul>	
If YES, what time were they transferred to freezer?9. Did all bottles arrive unbroken/unopened?10. Are there any missing / extra samples?Y11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests?Y11. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete?Y12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete?Y13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers?Y14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested?Y15. Are the samples appropriately preserved?YES N 16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample?YES N 17. Did you document your preservative check?YES N 18. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for unpreserved VOAs?YES N 19. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for preserved terracores?YES N	ES NO ES NO ES NO ES NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N
If YES, what time were they transferred to freezer? 9. Did all bottles arrive unbroken/unopened? 10. Are there any missing / extra samples? 11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? 12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? 13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? 14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? 15. Are the samples appropriately preserved? 16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample? 17. Did you document your preservative check? 18. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for unpreserved VOAs? 19. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for preserved terracores? 20. Are bubbles > 6mm absent in VOA samples? 21. Was the client contacted concerning this sample delivery? 21. Was the client contacted concerning this sample delivery? 22. Mathematical delivery 23. COMMENTS	NO ES NO ES NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N
If YES, what time were they transferred to freezer?	NO ES NO ES NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N
If YES, what time were they transferred to freezer? 9. Did all bottles arrive unbroken/unopened? 10. Are there any missing / extra samples? 11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? 12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? 13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? 14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? 15. Are the samples appropriately preserved? 16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample? 17. Did you document your preservative check? 18. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for unpreserved VOAs? 19. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for preserved terracores? 20. Are bubbles > 6mm absent in VOA samples? 21. Was the client contacted concerning this sample delivery? 21. Was the client contacted concerning this sample delivery? 22. Mathematical delivery 23. COMMENTS	NO ES NO ES NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N
If YES, what time were they transferred to freezer? 9. Did all bottles arrive unbroken/unopened? 10. Are there any missing / extra samples? 11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? 12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? 13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? 14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? 15. Are the samples appropriately preserved? 16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample? 17. Did you document your preservative check? 18. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for unpreserved VOAs? 19. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for preserved terracores? 20. Are bubbles > 6mm absent in VOA samples? 21. Was the client contacted concerning this sample delivery? 21. Was the client contacted concerning this sample delivery? 22. Mathematical delivery 23. COMMENTS	NO ES NO ES NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N

Rev 10, 9/12



## Detections Summary for 263982

Results for any subcontracted analyses are not included in this summary.

Client : Stantec Project : 185702850 Location : Penske Oakland

263982-001 Client Sample ID : SB-9 Laboratory Sample ID : Analyte Result Flags RL Units Basis IDF Method Prep Method Toluene 8.3 2.0 uq/L As Recd 4.000 EPA 8260B EPA 5030B Client Sample ID : SB-10 263982-002 Laboratory Sample ID : No Detections Client Sample ID : SB-11 Laboratory Sample ID : 263982-003 Prep Method Analyte Result Flags RL Units IDF Method Basis As Recd Toluene 8.2 1.7 uq/L 3.333 EPA 8260B EPA 5030B Client Sample ID : SB-12 Laboratory Sample ID : 263982-004 Analyte Result Flags RL Units Basis IDF Method Prep Method Gasoline C7-C12 1,700 130 uq/L As Recd 2.500 EPA 8260B EPA 5030B Υ Toluene 22 0.50 uq/L As Recd 1.000 EPA 8260B EPA 5030B Client Sample ID : SB-13 Laboratory Sample ID : 263982-005 Analyte Result Flags RL Units IDF Prep Method Basis Method Gasoline C7-C12 890 170 Υ uq/L As Recd 3.333 EPA 8260B EPA 5030B EPA 5030B Toluene 6.3 0.50 uq/L As Recd 1.000 EPA 8260B

Client Sample ID : SB-14 Laboratory Sample ID : 263982-006

No Detections

Y = Sample exhibits chromatographic pattern which does not resemble standard  $$_{\tt Page \ 1 \ of \ 1}$$ 



	Pur	geable Organics by GC/M	IS	
Lab #:	263982	Location:	Penske Oakland	
Client:	Stantec	Prep:	EPA 5030B	
Project#:	185702850	Analysis:	EPA 8260B	
Matrix:	Water	Sampled:	01/15/15	
Units:	ug/L	Received:	01/16/15	

Field ID:	SB-9	Diln Fac:	4.000
Type:	SAMPLE	Batch#:	219531
Lab ID:	263982-001	Analyzed:	01/19/15

Analyte	Result	RL	
Gasoline C7-C12	ND	200	
Benzene	ND	2.0	
Toluene	8.3	2.0	
Ethylbenzene	ND	2.0	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	2.0	
o-Xylene	ND	2.0	
Napĥthalene	ND	8.0	

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	98	80-128
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	94	75-139
Toluene-d8	96	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	101	80-120

Field ID: Type: Lab ID:	SB-10 SAMPLE 263982-002			Diln Fac: Batch#: Analyzed:		14.29 219531 01/19/15	
Ana	lyte		Result		RL		
Gasoline C7-C1	2	NE	)		710		
Benzene		NE	1		7.		
Toluene		NE	)		7.		
Ethylbenzene		NE	)		7.		
m,p-Xylenes		NE	)		7.		
o-Xylene		NE			7.	1	
Naphthalene		NE			29		
		0.5.5.0	_				
	ogate	%REC	Limits				
Dibromofluorom		99	80-128				
1,2-Dichloroet	nane-d4	93	75-139				
Toluene-d8		100	80-120				
Bromofluoroben	zene	100	80-120				



	Pur	geable Organics by GC/M	ſS	
Lab #:	263982	Location:	Penske Oakland	
Client:	Stantec	Prep:	EPA 5030B	
Project#:	185702850	Analysis:	EPA 8260B	
Matrix:	Water	Sampled:	01/15/15	
Units:	ug/L	Received:	01/16/15	

Field ID:	SB-11	Diln Fac:	3.333
Type:	SAMPLE	Batch#:	219618
Lab ID:	263982-003	Analyzed:	01/21/15

Analyte	Result	RL	
Gasoline C7-C12	ND	170	
Benzene	ND	1.7	
Toluene	8.2	1.7	
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.7	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	1.7	
o-Xylene	ND	1.7	
Napĥthalene	ND	6.7	

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
Dibromofluoromethane	103	80-128	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	96	75-139	
Toluene-d8	96	80-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	104	80-120	

Field ID: Type: SB-12 SAMPLE Lab ID:

263982-004

Analyte	Result	RL	Diln Fac	Batch# Analyzed
Gasoline C7-C12	1,700 Y	130	2.500	219618 01/21/15
Benzene	ND	0.50	1.000	219531 01/19/15
Toluene	22	0.50	1.000	219531 01/19/15
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	1.000	219531 01/19/15
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.50	1.000	219531 01/19/15
o-Xylene	ND	0.50	1.000	219531 01/19/15
Napĥthalene	ND	2.0	1.000	219531 01/19/15

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Diln Fac	Batch# Analyzed
Dibromofluoromethane	98	80-128	1.000	219531 01/19/15
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	98	75-139	1.000	219531 01/19/15
Toluene-d8	102	80-120	1.000	219531 01/19/15
Bromofluorobenzene	102	80-120	1.000	219531 01/19/15



	:	Purgeable Organics by GC/MS	
Lab #:	263982	Location:	Penske Oakland
Client:	Stantec	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	185702850	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Matrix:	Water	Sampled:	01/15/15
Units:	ug/L	Received:	01/16/15

Field ID: Type:	SB-13 SAMPLE		Lab ID:	263982-005	
Ana	alyte	Result	RL	Diln Fac	Batch# Analyzed
Gasoline C7-0	212	890 Y	170	3.333	219618 01/21/15
Benzene		ND	0.50	1.000	219531 01/19/15
Toluene		6.3	0.50	1.000	219531 01/19/15
Ethylbenzene		ND	0.50	1.000	219531 01/19/15
m,p-Xylenes		ND	0.50	1.000	219531 01/19/15
o-Xylene		ND	0.50	1.000	219531 01/19/15
Naphthalene		ND	2.0	1.000	219531 01/19/15

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Diln Fac	Batch# Analyzed
Dibromofluoromethane	99	80-128	1.000	219531 01/19/15
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	97	75-139	1.000	219531 01/19/15
Toluene-d8	99	80-120	1.000	219531 01/19/15
Bromofluorobenzene	103	80-120	1.000	219531 01/19/15

Field ID:	SB-14	Diln Fac:	4.000	
Type:	SAMPLE	Batch#:	219618	
Lab ID:	263982-006	Analyzed:	01/21/15	

Analyte	Result	RL	
Gasoline C7-C12	ND	200	
Benzene	ND	2.0	
Toluene	ND	2.0	
Ethylbenzene	ND	2.0	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	2.0	
o-Xylene	ND	2.0	
Napĥthalene	ND	8.0	

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	103	80-128
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	100	75-139
Toluene-d8	96	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	99	80-120



		Purgea	able Org	anics by G	GC/MS
Lab #: Client: Project#: Matrix:	263982 Stantec 185702850 Water			Location: Prep: Analysis: Sampled:	Penske Oakland EPA 5030B EPA 8260B 01/15/15
Units:	ug/L			Received:	01/16/15
Type: Lab ID: Diln Fac:	BLANK QC773773 1.000			Batch#: Analyzed:	219531 01/19/15
	lyte		Result		RL
Gasoline C7-C1 Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene Naphthalene	2	ND ND ND ND ND	) ) )		50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 2.0
Surr	ogate	%REC	Limits		
Dibromofluoromo 1,2-Dichloroet Toluene-d8 Bromofluoroben	hane-d4	98 97 100 100	80-128 75-139 80-120 80-120		
Type: Lab ID: Diln Fac:	BLANK QC774097 1.000			Batch#: Analyzed:	219618 01/21/15
	lyte		Result		RL
Gasoline C7-C1 Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene Naphthalene	2	NE NE NE NE NE	) ) )		50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 2.0
Surr	ogate	%REC	Limits		
Dibromofluorom 1,2-Dichloroet Toluene-d8 Bromofluoroben	ethane hane-d4	103 101 101 100	80-128 75-139 80-120 80-120		



	Purgeable Org	anics by GC/MS	
Lab #:	263982	Location:	Penske Oakland
Client:	Stantec	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	185702850	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Matrix:	Water	Batch#:	219531
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	01/19/15
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Type:

BS

Lab ID:

QC773771

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Benzene	12.50	12.10	97	80-123
Toluene	12.50	11.98	96	80-121
Ethylbenzene	12.50	12.23	98	80-123
m,p-Xylenes	25.00	25.03	100	80-126
o-Xylene	12.50	12.51	100	80-126
Naphthalene	12.50	11.86	95	53-139

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
Dibromofluoromethane	95	80-128	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	90	75-139	
Toluene-d8	99	80-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	101	80-120	

Type: BSD	Lab ID:	QC77	3772			
Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
Benzene	12.50	12.23	98	80-123	1	20
Toluene	12.50	11.67	93	80-121	3	20
Ethylbenzene	12.50	12.14	97	80-123	1	21
m,p-Xylenes	25.00	24.67	99	80-126	1	21
o-Xylene	12.50	12.43	99	80-126	1	20
Naphthalene	12.50	12.63	101	53-139	б	25

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
Dibromofluoromethane	98	80-128	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	94	75-139	
Toluene-d8	96	80-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	100	80-120	



		Purgeable Organics by GC/MS	5
Lab #:	263982	Location:	Penske Oakland
Client:	Stantec	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	185702850	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Matrix:	Water	Batch#:	219531
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	01/19/15
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Type:

Bromofluorobenzene

BS

99

Lab ID:

QC773774

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Gasoline C7-C12	1,000	963.9	96	76-120

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	96	80-128
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	93	75-139
Toluene-d8	99	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	100	80-120

Type: BSD		Lab I	D: QC77	3775			
Analyte		Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
Gasoline C7-C12		1,000	918.3	92	76-120	5	20
dumme met e	0.DEC	T 1 m 1 L a					
Surrogate	%REC	Limits					
Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane		Limits 80-128					
	e 95						

80-120



	Purgeable Org	anics by GC/MS	
Lab #:	263982	Location:	Penske Oakland
Client:	Stantec	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	185702850	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Matrix:	Water	Batch#:	219618
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	01/21/15
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Type:

BS

Lab ID:

QC774095

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Benzene	12.50	13.25	106	80-123
Toluene	12.50	12.60	101	80-121
Ethylbenzene	12.50	12.84	103	80-123
m,p-Xylenes	25.00	26.60	106	80-126
o-Xylene	12.50	13.31	106	80-126
Naphthalene	12.50	12.03	96	53-139

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
Dibromofluoromethane	100	80-128	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	97	75-139	
Toluene-d8	99	80-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	104	80-120	

Type: BSD	Lab ID:	QC77	4096			
Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
Benzene	12.50	12.84	103	80-123	3	20
Toluene	12.50	12.35	99	80-121	2	20
Ethylbenzene	12.50	12.78	102	80-123	0	21
m,p-Xylenes	25.00	25.42	102	80-126	5	21
o-Xylene	12.50	13.04	104	80-126	2	20
Naphthalene	12.50	12.46	100	53-139	4	25
Surrogate	%PFC Limite					

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
Dibromofluoromethane	102	30-128	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	98	75-139	
Toluene-d8	100	30-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	103	30-120	



		Purgeable Organics by GC/MS	5
Lab #:	263982	Location:	Penske Oakland
Client:	Stantec	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	185702850	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Matrix:	Water	Batch#:	219618
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	01/21/15
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Type:

BS


Lab ID:

QC774098

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Gasoline C7-C12	1,000	937.3	94	76-120

	<b>^</b>	
Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	102	80-128
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	96	75-139
Toluene-d8	98	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	104	80-120

Type:	BSD			Lab ID:		QC774099			
Analyte			Spiked		Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
Gasoline C7-C12		1,000			923.7	92	76-120	1	20
St	urrogate	%REC	Limits						
Dibromofluoromethane		101	80-128						
1,2-Dichloro	oethane-d4	95	75-139						
Toluene-d8		100	80-120						
Bromofluorob	benzene	103	80-120						



Data File: \\gcmsserver\DD\chem\MSVOA06.i\012115.b\FAL22TVH.D

14 of 16

Date : 21-JAN-2015 20:55 Client ID: DYNA P&T Instrument: MSV0A06.i Sample Info: S,263982-005 Operator: VOC Column phase: Column diameter: 2.00 \\gcmsserver\DD\chem\MSVOA06.i\012115.b\FAL23TVH.D ΠC Å 1.7-\_1,4-Dichlorobenzene 쭿 Chlorobenzene-d5 Bromofluorobenzene foluene 1.6-1,5-1.4-1,3-4-Difluorobenzene 1,2-1.1--\_Pentafluorobenzene 1.0-0,9-Y (x10^6) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 0,8-0.7-0.6-0.5-0.4de Hand Maker 400 4 Maria 0.3-C5-C12 C6-C10 Gasoline C7-C12 0,2-Gasoline Gasoline I 0.1-(WHATFOLIKY) مالما 12 22 έ ÷. ģ 11 17 20 6 ś 10 13 14 Min 16 18 19 21 23 24 15 4

Data File: \\gcmsserver\DD\chem\MSVOA06.i\012115.b\FAL23TVH.D

15 of 16

Page 2

Client ID: DYNA P&T Instrument: MSVOA06.i Sample Info: CCV/BS,QC773774,219531,S26208,.01/100 Operator: VOC Column phase: Column diameter: 2.00 \\gcmsserver\DD\chem\MSVOA06.i\011915.b\FAJ10TVH.D 3.74 3.6 3,5 3.4-3,3-3,2-3.1-3.04 2,94 2,8-2.7 2.6-,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 2,5 2.4 2,3 -Chlorobenzene-d5 TIC 2,2 2,1 Bromofluorobenzene 2.0-Y (x10^6) 1.9 1,8-÷ 4-Difluorobenzene 1.7 .2-Dichloroethane-d4 1.6-6 1.5 Pentafluorobenzene 1.4-1.3-1,2-1,1 ٦ 1.0-0.9ų 0.8-0.7 asoline C5-C12 Casoline C6-C10 Sasoline C7-C12 0.6-0.5 0.4-0.3-0.2 0,1 <u> (CIUCHANANA) (CIUCAN</u> шЦЛШ WL. 11 14 Min 17 19 20 25 ś ģ 16 21 22 23 ÷ έ 10 12 13 18 24 6 15 4

16 of 16

Data File: \\gcmsserver\DD\chem\MSVOA06.i\011915.b\FAJ10TVH.D Date : 19-JAN-2015 14:34