436 14th Street, Suite 1216 • Oakland, CA 94612 • Tel (510) 625-8175 • Fax (510) 625-8176 • js@schutze-inc.com

May 11, 2007 Project No. SCS225

Mr. Ray Moreno 5107 Indian Island Road Weed, CA 96094 (530) 938-2777

SCHUTZE & ASSOCIATES

Reference: Vacant Restaurant Building 5239 Telegraph Avenue Oakland, California

Subject: Report for

- Government List Search
- Soil and Groundwater Investigation
- Soil Vapor Survey
- Indoor Air Survey

Dear Mr. Moreno,

SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. is pleased to submit this Report to Mr. Ray Moreno. The purpose of the completed work was to investigate potential environmental contamination at the subject site originating from nearby leaking underground storage tank (LUST) sites.

The subject site has an area of approximately 5,170 square feet and is located on the west side of Telegraph Avenue, just north of the intersection of Claremont and Telegraph Avenues with 52nd Street, within the city limits of Oakland, Alameda County, California. The subject site consists of Assessor's Parcel Number 14-1219-2. The parcel is developed with a vacant restaurant building, a concrete and asphalt paved driveway, a patio area and a fenced backyard area.

A. BACKGROUND

Based on a previously completed Phase I Environmental Site Assessment¹ for the subject site, the property has been occupied by a restaurant since the early 1950s. Prior to that the property was occupied by a residence.

Review of the Phase I ESA indicates that two gasoline service stations in the vicinity of the subject site may have caused fuel or solvent contamination to the soil and/or

1

February 2007, Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), ERAS Environmental, Inc

groundwater beneath the subject site and surrounding areas. The author of the previous Phase I ESA recommended groundwater and soil vapor testing at the subject site. The nearby facilities of concern were as follows:

| Area | Environmental Concern | Recommended Action |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| AutoPro (now ProCare) 5200 Telegraph | TPH Soil and GW Contamination by LUST | Subsurface investigation |
| Chevron Service Station 5101 Telegraph | Listed as LUST site | Subsurface investigation |

B. GEOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY

The subject site is located within the Coast Ranges geomorphic province, which is characterized by a series of parallel, northwesterly trending, folded and faulted mountain chains and valleys. The Peninsular and East Bay Ranges are separated by a depression containing the San Francisco Bay. The Peninsular Range on the southwest side of the Bay is formed mainly by Franciscan Formation rock series, consisting of Jurassic Franciscan mélanges and serpentinites. The East Bay Ranges (or Oakland Hills) form the northeastern boundary of the Bay and consist of Late Mesozoic shelf and slope sedimentary rocks.

The subject site is located in the East Bay Plain, a relatively flat area which, prior to urban development, consisted of alluvial plains and talus slope deposit derived from the Oakland Hills. The area of the subject site is underlain by deposits belonging to the Temescal Formation. This formation varies in thickness between 5 feet and 60 feet, consisting of clay gravel and sandy, silty clays (USGS,1957). Groundwater was reported at depths between 10 to 13 feet below ground surface (ft bgs). The groundwater flow direction was generally to the south-southwest. Based on the experience of SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. with properties in this vicinity, groundwater levels fluctuate significantly because of preferential flow or channeling in prehistoric, buried channels.

C. AGENCY FILE REVIEW

SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. visited the offices of Alameda County Environmental Health Department (ACEHD) on April 23, 2007. Agency files for the following properties were reviewed:

- 5101 Telegraph Avenue, Former Chevron Station, and
- 5200 Telegraph Avenue, Former AutoPro facility.

<u>5101 Telegraph Avenue</u>: This facility was a former Chevron gasoline service station. Two 10,000-, one 5,000- and one 1,000-gallon underground storage tanks (USTs) were installed in approximately 1970 and removed in 1991. Contamination was discovered at the time of the tank removal. Groundwater monitoring started in 1991 and was continued until 2005. Concentrations of total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-g) in groundwater were as high as 35,000 micrograms per liter (μ g/L). Benzene was detected at concentrations of up to 390 μ g/L. Apparently, the case is still open, and Chevron Oil applied to be accepted and reimbursed for the remediation costs by the California Tank Clean-Up Fund in May 2005.

According to the boring logs, the subsurface deposits consist of clayey sand and silt. Groundwater was measured at depths of 12 to 15 ft bgs, and the groundwater flow direction was to the southwest.

Based on the down-gradient location of this site and distance from the subject site (approximately 300 ft), there is a low potential that this site has environmentally impacted the subject site.

5200 Telegraph Avenue: At the former AutoPro facility, five USTs were removed in 1990. It was discovered that groundwater contamination had occurred and monitoring wells were installed in 1990. The depth to groundwater in these wells was measured to be at 10 to 13 ft bgs, and the groundwater flow direction was in a south-southwesterly direction. TPH-g concentrations of up to 33,000 μ g/L were reported. Benzene concentrations were reported at concentrations of up to 120 μ g/L. Monitoring well MW-5 was closest to the subject site and was installed on Telegraph Avenue between the AutoPro site and the subject site. In 2002, the TPH-g concentrations levels in MW-5 were 9,000 μ g/L. The concentrations seemed to be increasing over time, indicating that contamination from this facility was migrating toward the subject site.

In 1996, a groundwater transport-modeling project was performed by Chevron (Weiss, 1992), and two borings were installed on the west side of Telegraph Avenue in the vicinity of the subject site. Based on the laboratory results of soil and groundwater samples for these borings, the TPH-d, -g and Benzene concentrations were below the laboratory detection levels (ND). Therefore, it was concluded that the contamination was not traveling across Telegraph Avenue to the subject site.

Based on the government letters reviewed during the file review, the owner of the AutoPro facility is Tri-Star Partnership, located at 2980 Thomas Grade, Morgan Hill, CA 95037 (Phone: (408) 782-1732). In a letter by the owner to the agency, dated February 23, 2007, the environmental work at the AutoPro facility had been stopped because there was no longer a responsible Alameda County Case Worker available for the review of work at this facility. The owner stated that they would continue the environmental work as soon as they were assigned a new caseworker.

D. PHASE II SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION

D.1 **Pre-Field Activities**

SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. visited the subject site on April 6, 2007 and marked the proposed boring locations with white spray paint. Subsequently, Underground Services Alert (USA) was contacted to mark underground utilities. All borings were cleared for utilities. A health and safety meeting was held in the morning before fieldwork commenced.

SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. submitted a permit application to the ACEHD and paid the associated fees. The permit for the borings was issued on April 6, 2007 (Permit No.:W2007-0511), Appendix B. The agency was informed of the drilling dates and the site location. No agency representatives inspected the work in progress.

D.2 D1. Soil and Groundwater Sampling Methodology

Drilling was performed on April 10, 2007, with Geoprobe direct-push equipment mounted on a ³/₄-ton truck.

Groundwater samples were collected with a small-diameter plastic bailer and placed in 40-ml volatile organics analysis (VOA) vials for VOC analyses, one-liter amber bottles for TPH analyses. The sample containers were placed in coolers, kept on ice and then transported and delivered to McCampbell Analytical, a California Department of Health Services (DHS) certified laboratory located in Pittsburgh, California.

For the collection of soil samples, the driller used a Geoprobe Macro-core sampler. This soil core was recovered in five-foot acetate liner sections with a diameter of 1.5-inch. Sections of the core were sampled by cutting the acetate liner and capping the ends with Teflon septa and plastic caps.

Soil samples were collected at 5 and 10 ft bgs. Soil contamination (above groundwater level) was not observed. Samples of the soil cores were placed in plastic bags and tested for VOC vapors using a handheld Photo-Ionization Detector (PID). Based on the PID readings, no soil contamination was detected above groundwater level. The soil cores were logged by the field geologist. The field notes and boring logs are available from SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. upon request. Because there was no evidence of soil contamination, no soil samples were submitted for laboratory analyses.

The borings were back-filled in accordance with the requirements of the local agencies.

D.3 Groundwater Laboratory Methodology

Three groundwater samples were sent to McCampbell Analytical and analyzed as follows:

- Three groundwater samples were analyzed for TPH-g and MBTEX using EPA Method 8021/8015.
- One groundwater sample was analyzed for TPH-Diesel and Motor Oil by U.S. EPA Method 8015M.

Groundwater Survey Analytical Results D.4

The results of the groundwater analyses were as follows:

| Table 1 |
|---|
| Groundwater Analytical Results |
| (Reported in micrograms per liter (µg/L)) |

| Sample | TPH-g | MTBE | В | Т | E | Х |
|--------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|
| SB-1 | <50 | <5.0 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| SB-2 | 17,000 | <50 | <5.0 | 27 | 15 | <5.0 |
| SB-3 | 12,000 | <50 | <5.0 | 11 | 14 | <5.0 |
| ESLs | 100 | 500 | 1.0 | 40 | 30 | 20 |

TPH-g = Total petroleum hydrocarbon as gasoline.

MBTEX = Methyl tert butyl ether, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes.

NA = Not analyzed, <50 = Not detected at laboratory detection limit of 50 μ g/L.

ESL = Environmental Screening Level as set forth by the RWQCB, Feb. 2005, Table 1A.

The laboratory reported that the hydrocarbons detected in the samples were in the qasoline (TPH-q) range. TPH-g was detected in Borings SB-2 and SB-3 at concentrations of 17,000 and 12,000 µg/L, respectively. MTBE, benzene and xylenes were not detected. Toluene and ethylbenzene were detected in SB-2 and SB-3 at low TPH and MBTEX were not detected in SB-3. concentrations. The TPH-q concentrations in SB-2 and SB-3 exceeded the Final Groundwater Screening Level of the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) of 100 µg/L.

Based on the laboratory results, a plume of TPH-g in groundwater exists beneath the eastern portion of the subject site. The plume has not migrated to the central or western portion of the subject site as indicated by the "non-detect" concentrations in SB-1.

Based on environmental investigations performed by others on the 5200 Telegraph Avenue LUST site (AutoPro), the plume of TPH-g contamination in groundwater originating from this site had migrated in southwesterly direction and, the consultant concluded, that the subject site (5239 Telegraph Avenue) had not been affected by the plume.

Based on the results of this subsurface investigation, it appears that either the contamination from the AutoPro site has traveled to the subject site, in a migration pathway such as an utility trench not tested by the consultants of AutoPro, or there was another unreported fuel leak or spill on Telegraph Avenue. There was no indication that

historical or recent activities on the restaurant property have caused or contributed to this contamination.

Impacted ground water encountered during construction could affect development schedules and construction cost. However, it is not uncommon for petroleum impacted soil and water to be managed during construction and can be considered as a part of construction cost.

E. SOIL VAPOR SURVEY

E.1 Soil Vapor Sampling Methodology

For the soil vapor survey, SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. subcontracted a licensed drilling contractor. Using a Geoprobe drill rig, a two-inch diameter vapor probe was driven to depths of approximately 5 ft bgs. This depth was chosen because some fill material encountered at shallower depths would have prevented a complete seal of the probe from ambient air. A porous tip was attached to a rigid tube, which was then inserted into the boring. Coarse sand was poured into the boring to surround the porous tip with a porous media. Subsequently, bentonite clay was placed into the boring and water was poured over it to seal the drill hole. The tube, which was rising from the boring, was connected inline first to a perch canister and then to a sample canister.

Torrent Laboratories supplied 6-liter canisters for the collection of the soil vapor samples. The internal surfaces of these stainless steel canisters were passivated using the Summa Process and are, therefore, referred to as Summa canisters. SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. used five-micron particulate filters to prevent particulate matter from entering the canisters and to increase canister fill times. A vacuum gauge was used to measure the initial vacuum of the canister before sampling and the final vacuum upon completion. The gauges used had ranges from zero to 30 inches of mercury (in Hg).

The samples collected were vapor grab samples, which are samples collected over a short type period (20 to 60 minutes). The canisters vacuums and flow-control valves were used to draw the sample, which is referred to as passive sampling (instead of using pumps). After confirming the initial pressure of –30 in Hg, the canister was left open until the pressure had increased to approximately –5 in Hg. The filled canisters were sealed with a brass caps, placed into the original shipping containers and shipped to Torrent Laboratories, using chain-of-custody procedures.

E.2 Soil Vapor Laboratory Methodology

SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. requested TO-14 and TO-15 analyses from the laboratory. TO-14 and TO-15 are the US EPA methods used to analyze air or vapor matrix samples for TPH-g and VOCs, respectively. The methods use a gas chromatograph with a mass spectrometer detector, analogous to the US EPA Method 8260B used in this project for soil and groundwater.

E.3 Soil Vapor Analytical Results

| | Soil Vapor Analytical Results (Reported in micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m³)) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-------|-----|--------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sample | Sample TPH-g MTBE B T E X PCE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SB-1 | <35.2 | <0.36 | 20 | 170 | 22 | 84 | 8.2 | | | | | | | | |
| SB-2 | <35.2 | <0.36 | 2.7 | 14 | 1.5 | 6.0 | ND<0.68 | | | | | | | | |
| SB-3 | 2,780 | <0.36 | 31 | 320 | 42 | 170 | 4.9 | | | | | | | | |
| ESLs | 26,000 | 9,400 | 85 | 63,000 | 420,000 | 150,000 | 410 | | | | | | | | |

TPH-g = Total petroleum hydrocarbon as gasoline.

MBTEX = Methyl tert butyl ether, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and m,p-xylenes.

PCE = Tetrachloroethene, aka: PERC or dry-cleaning solvent.

<50 = Not detected at laboratory detection limit of 50 µg/m³.

TPH-g was detected in soil vapor from SB-3 at concentrations of 2,780 μ g/m³, which was below the ESL of 26,000 μ g/m³. MTBE was not detected in any of the three borings. BTEX was detected in all three borings at low concentrations, below the ESLs.

Other VOCs detected in the soil vapor included trimethylbenzene, ethyl-toluene, methylpentanone, acetone, carbon disulfide, dichlorodifluoromethane, hexane, isopropanol, styrene, tetrachloroethene (PCE), and trifluoromethane. These VOCs were detected at very low concentrations and are not an environmental concern.

Based on the laboratory results for soil vapor samples collected at three locations, elevated concentrations of gasoline and other volatile chemicals were detected in SB-3, which was the boring directly adjacent to Telegraph Avenue. The concentrations were below the "Shallow Soil Gas Screening Levels for Evaluation of Potential Vapor Intrusion Concerns" as defined by the RWQCB. Based on the location of the elevated concentrations adjacent to the street, and the low concentrations, there is a low potential that indoor air spaces of future onsite structures would be affected. However, as a safety precaution, SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. recommends the installation of vapor barriers or sub-slab venting equipment in future structures.

F. INDOOR AIR SURVEY

TPH-g and BTEX were detected in groundwater and soil vapor beneath the eastern portion of the subject site, adjacent to Telegraph Avenue. Because of the presence of these compounds SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. recommended an indoor air survey to further evaluate a potential health risk to humans.

As part of pre-sampling activities, SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. reviewed specific features of the restaurant building. Based on the building layout, SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. selected one indoor-air sampling location, which was in the central

portion of the building.

Indoor Air Survey Sampling and Laboratory Methodology **F.1**

SCHUTZE & Associates performed a limited indoor air survey consisting of the collection of one indoor air sample and one ambient air sample. SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. performed the following activities:

- Conducted a pre-sampling site visit to identify sampling locations.
- Prepared a health and safety plan prior to conducting field activities.
- Collected one 8-hour indoor air sample using an evacuated 6-liter stainless steel Summa canister and flow controller provided by a California DHS certified analytical laboratory.
- Collected one 8-hour outdoor, ambient air sample from an onsite location to provide background data at the time of the indoor air sampling. This sample was collected outside of and upwind of the building as determined by the prevailing wind direction on the sampling day.
- Analyzed the samples using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Methods TO-14 and TO-15 Selective Ion to quantify concentrations of halogenated VOCs and TPH-g.
- Recorded pertinent meteorological conditions, including but not limited to temperature, barometric pressure and wind speed during the sampling event.

F.2 Indoor Air Survey Analytical Results

The laboratory report for the indoor air samples is summarized in Table 3 below:

| | (Repo | Indoo rted in mi | or Air Ana crograms | lytical R per cub | esults ic meter (µ | g/m³)) | |
|--------|-------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------|-------|
| Sample | TPH-g | MTBE | В | Т | E | Х | PCE |
| IA-01 | 847 | <0.36 | 1.1 | 1.9 | <0.334 | 1.6 | <0.68 |
| OA-01 | 1,100 | <0.36 | 0.89 | 1.5 | <0.334 | 1.4 | <0.68 |
| IASLs | 26 | 9.4 | 0.14 | 63 | 420 | 150 | 0.41 |

Table 3

IASLs = Indoor Air Screening Levels as set forth by the RWQCB, February 2005, Table E-3.

TPH-g = Total petroleum hydrocarbon as gasoline.

MBTEX = Methyl tert butyl ether, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and m,p-xylenes.

PCE = Tetrachloroethene, aka: PERC or dry-cleaning solvent.

<50 = Not detected at laboratory detection limit of 50 μ g/m³.

The TPH-g concentrations in the indoor air and ambient air samples were 847 μ g/m³ and 1,100 µg/m³, respectively, which exceeds the IASL of 26 for TPH-g. The benzene concentrations in the indoor air and ambient air samples were 0.89 µg/m³ and 1.1 $\mu g/m^3$, respectively, which exceeds the IASL of 0.14 for benzene.

TPH-g and benzene were detected at equivalent levels in the indoor and ambient air

samples, which suggests that the emissions from by vehicles and industrial faculties in the area of the site were the cause of the indoor air contamination.

Even though the indoor air contamination was, apparently, caused by off-site, SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. recommends installing sub-slab vapor barriers and venting beneath future structures.

G. DATA VALIDATION AND QUALITY CONTROL

All laboratory results underwent data validation by a senior chemist. The purpose of data validation is to determine the degree of usability of the data. Laboratory results may be qualified as estimated (J or UJ flags) or may be rejected (R-flagged). Rejected data are not usable for most purposes. The validation resulted in the following observations, qualifications and changes: no results were rejected.

H. CONCLUSION

SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. has completed a Phase II Subsurface Investigation at the former restaurant property located at 5239 Telegraph Avenue, Oakland, California. The subject site has an area of approximately 5,170 square feet and is located on the west side of Telegraph Avenue, just north of the intersection of Claremont and Telegraph Avenues with 52nd Street. The subject site consists of Assessor's Parcel Number 14-1219-2.

Review of reports by other consultants indicated that two gasoline service stations in the vicinity of the subject site have potentially caused fuel or solvent contamination to the soil and/or groundwater beneath the subject site and surrounding areas. These sites were the former Chevron Station at 5101 Telegraph Avenue, and the former AutoPro facility at 5200 Telegraph Avenue.

At the former Chevron gasoline service station, USTs were removed in 1991. Contamination was discovered at the time of the tank removal and groundwater monitoring started in 1991. TPH-g levels in groundwater were as high as $35,000 \mu g/L$. Benzene was detected at concentrations of up to $390 \mu g/L$. Apparently, the case is still open. It is the opinion of SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. that, based on the down-gradient location of this site and distance from the subject site (approximately 300 ft), there is a low potential that this site has environmentally impacted the subject site.

At the former AutoPro facility, five USTs were removed in 1990. It was discovered that groundwater contamination had occurred and monitoring wells were installed in 1990. The depth to groundwater in these wells was measured to be at 10 to 13 ft bgs, and the groundwater flow direction was in a south-southwesterly direction. TPH-g concentrations of up to 33,000 μ g/L were reported. Benzene concentrations were reported at concentrations of up to 120 μ g/L. Monitoring well MW-5 was closest to the

subject site and was installed on Telegraph Avenue between the AutoPro site and the subject site. In 2002, the TPH-g concentrations levels in MW-5 were 9,000 μ g/L. The concentrations seemed to be increasing over time, indicating that contamination from this facility was migrating toward the subject site. However, based on the test results for two additional borings on Telegraph Avenue, it was concluded that the contamination was not traveling across Telegraph Avenue to the subject site.

SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. drilled at the subject site on April 10, 2007 using a Geoprobe rig. Three groundwater samples were collected and analyzed for TPH-g and MBTEX. TPH-g was detected in Borings SB-2 and SB-3 at concentrations of 17,000 and 12,000 μ g/L, respectively. MTBE, benzene and xylenes were not detected. The TPH-g concentrations in SB-2 and SB-3 exceeded the Screening Level of the RWQCB of 100 μ g/L. Based on the laboratory results for groundwater samples, a plume of TPH-g in groundwater exists beneath the eastern portion of the subject site. The plume has not migrated to the central or back portion of the subject site. It is the opinion of SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc., that the plume from the AutoPro site has traveled to the subject site, in a migration pathway such as an utility trench not tested by the consultants of AutoPro, or there was another unreported fuel leak or spill on Telegraph Avenue. There was no indication that historical or recent activities on the restaurant property have caused or contributed to this contamination.

For the soil vapor survey, SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. subcontracted a licensed drilling contractor and collected soil vapor samples at depths of 5 ft bgs using Summa canisters. The vapor samples were analyzed for TPH-g and VOCs using EPA Methods TO-14 and TO-15. TPH-g was detected in soil vapor from SB-3 at concentrations of 2,780 μ g/m³, which was below the ESL of 26,000 μ g/m³. Based on the laboratory results, elevated concentrations of gasoline and other volatile chemicals were detected directly adjacent to Telegraph Avenue. The concentrations were below the "Shallow Soil Gas Screening Levels for Evaluation of Potential Vapor Intrusion Concerns" as defined by the RWQCB. Based on the location of the elevated concentrations adjacent to the street, and the low concentrations, there is a low potential that indoor air spaces of future onsite structures would be affected.

The TPH-g and benzene concentrations in the indoor air sample exceeded the Screening Levels of the RWQCB. However, TPH-g and benzene were detected at equivalent levels in the indoor and ambient air samples, which indicates that the contamination was caused by emissions from vehicles and industrial facilities in the area of the site.

I. RECOMMENDATIONS

The groundwater beneath the subject site is contaminated by TPH and BTEX at levels exceeding the Screening Levels of the RWQCB. Impacted groundwater encountered during construction could affect development schedules and construction costs. SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. recommends forwarding a copy of this report to the

ACEHD to make the agency aware of the extent of the contamination originating from off-site sources.

Based on the results of the soil vapor survey, vapors of TPH-g and benzene exist beneath the eastern portion of the subject site, along Telegraph Avenue. The concentrations were below the Screening Levels of the RWQCB. Therefore, no further subsurface investigations are recommended. However, as a safety precaution, SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. recommends the installation of vapor barriers or sub-slab venting equipment in future structures.

Based on the analytical results for the indoor and ambient air samples, the indoor air contamination by TPH-g and benzene was caused by vehicle and industrial emissions in the area of the subject site. SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. recommends no further indoor air testing.

We have enjoyed working with you on this project. Please call Jan Schutze at (510) 625-8175, if you need further information.

Cordially,

SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc.



Jan Schütze, R.G., M.Sc. President

Figures 1 and 2 Location Map and Site Plan





Site Photographs



Photograph 1: View of the subject site from the east.



Photograph 4: Indoor air quality survey in progress.



Photograph 2: South side of building during Geoprobe boring.



Photograph 3: Soil vapor extraction in progress.

Appendix A

Laboratory Reports



McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Ouality Counts"

| Schutze & Associates | Client Project ID: #SCS225; 5239 Telegraph | Date Sampled: 04/10/07 | |
|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|
| 436 14th Street, Ste.1216 | | Date Received: 04/11/07 | |
| Oakland, CA 94612 | Client Contact: Jan Schutze | Date Reported: 04/16/07 | |
| , / ··· - | Client P.O.: | Date Completed: 04/16/07 | |

WorkOrder: 0704223

April 16, 2007

Dear Jan:

Enclosed are:

- 1). the results of 3 analyzed samples from your **#SCS225**; **5239 Telegraph project**,
- 2). a QC report for the above samples
- 3). a copy of the chain of custody, and
- 4). a bill for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.

If you have any questions please contact me. McCampbell Analytical Laboratories strives for excellence

in quality, service and cost. Thank you for your business and I look forward to working with you again.

Best regards,

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

| | McCAM 15. Website: <u>www.m</u> | PBELL 34 Willow ccampbell | ANA Pass Rd., Leom Em | LY Pittsb ail: m | TIC ourg, C nain@ | AI | L, 1 9456 9mp | 5 () | C. 77 | 54 | 422 | 2 | 3 | | TU | RN | AR | (01 | | AI T | N IM | OF E | FC | | ST | 01 24 | | R | 48 I | | RD | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------|----------|-------|------------|-------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|----|-----------|
| Tel | ephone: (925) 25 | 2-9262 | | | 1 | ax: | (92 | 25) 1 | 252- | 926 | 9 | | 1 | + | | Rec | une | u. | Coc | <i>a t</i> | 101 | D | 1 | 10 | | | e o | | , | | | |
| Report To: | Jan Schu | tze | - 1 | Bill T | 0: SC | SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc. | | | | | | + | T | - | Tan | - | - 7 | na | lysis | Re | que | st | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | (| Other | Commen | | |
| Company: | SCHUIZE & A | ssociate | s, Inc. | 0.11 | | C 4 | 0.4 | 111 | | | _ | _ | | - 2 | | | am | | | | ters | | | | | | | | | 1 | | Filter |
| | 436-14th Str | eet, Suite | e 1216, | Oaki | and, | CA | 94 | 012 | 2 | | - | _ | _ | - is | | | ogr | | | | age | | | | | | | | | | | Samples |
| | | | | E-Ma | il: JS | a. | chi | | e-In | C.C | om | | _ | + | | | nat | | | | 2 | | | | | | 020) | 20) | | | | for Meta |
| Tele: (510 |) 625-8175 | | | Fax: | (51 | 0) | 02 | 5-8 | 0173 | 0 | 21075 | | _ | - 80 | 021 | | LOL | 8.1) | 3 | | clars | | les) | | | 18 | 0/6 | 1/60 | | | | analysis: |
| Project #: 5 | SCS225 | | | Proje | et Na | me: | | 523 | 916 | ele | gra | ph | - | 602 | 2/8 | 6 | 5 C | 17 | 120 | des) | Aros | | bicit | | - | PN. | 109 | 0109 | 10 | | | Yes / No |
| Project Loca | tion: 52397 | Felegrap | h Aven | ue, C |)akla | nd, | CA | 94 | 609 |) | | | _ | - see | A 60 | 801 | acl. | pons | 10 | stici | 1 | des | Her | 3 | 00 | Hs / | 0.87 | 1.8 | 6021 | | | |
| Sampler Sig | nature: 45 | X | | - | - | _ | | _ | | _ | | | _ | | (E.P | 0 IIO | D | pear | /80 | 1 Pe | 0 N | stic | 5.3 | 0.0 | NS) | (PA) | /20 | 200 | 10./ | | | |
| | | SAM | PLING | 1 | 1 | | MA | TR | uх | | ME | TH | OD | Ha | 12 | Hor | nt/l | ydra | 010 | 1.00 | S. H. | P Pe | cidi | 260 | 270 | 310 | 00.7 | 90,7 | 1.60 | | | |
| SAMPLE I (Field Point N | D LOCATION | Date | Time | # Containers | Type Containe | Water | Soil | Air | Sludge | Other | ICE HCI | - CAN | Other Other | MTRE/BTEN & | MTBE / BTEN OV | TPH as Diesel / M | Fuel Fingerpri | Total Petroleum H | EPA 502.2760178 | EPA 505/ 608 / 803 | EPA 608 / 8082 PC | EPA 507/ 8141 (N | EPA 5157 8151 (A | EPA 524.2762473 | EPA 525.2762578 | EPA 8270 SIM / 8 | CAM 17 Metals (2 | LUFT 5 Metals (2) | Lead (200.77200. | | | |
| SB1-22 | | 4-10-7 | 11:30 A | 4 | V/A | X | 1 | | | Ť | X) | < | | X | T | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | 11.000 | - | 1 | 1 | | | + | + | + | t | - | t | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | | - | - | - | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| SB2-16 | | 4-10-7 | 10:30 A | 4 | V/A | X | | | 1 | 1 | XX | (| | X | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SB3-16 | | 4-10-7 | 0.20 4 | 4 | V/A | X | - | | + | - | x | | - | X | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| 505 10 | | 4 10 7 | 9.50 A | Ĺ | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | - | \vdash | ┝ | \vdash | | + | + | + | + | + | \vdash | - | - | | | | | | _ | | _ | _ | | - | - | - | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | T | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | - | | | - | + | + | + | - | - | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | + | | | | 1 | [] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Relin quishe d By | Peteran | Date: | Time: | Reco | ei ven B | | X | 2 | 8 | 1 | 5 |) | 2 | IC G | E/t" OOD | 12 CO | NDIT | 10 N | ~ | _ | | | | | | | | CO | MME | NTS: | ŝ. | |
| Relin quishe d By | : | Dhid 41/07 | Time: //30 - | Ruce | ivel H | 5 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1/ | 1 | 6 | D V | ECH | LOR | INA | IED CO | IN L NTAI | AB_ NEF | 15 0 | F | 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Relin quishe d By | : | Date: | Time: | Reco | eived B | 1: | VL | 20 | | - | | | ALC: N | PI | REST | R/1 | 015 | LA V(| B DAS | 0.8 | | ME | TAL | s | отн | ER | | | | | | |

McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

1534 Willow Pass Rd

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

Page 1 of 1

| Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 (925) 252-9262 | | | | WorkO | order: 070422 | 3 Clien | tID: SCO | | |
|--|-----------|-----------------|-------------|---------|---------------|---------------|----------|---------------|------------|
| | | | EDF | Excel | Fax | 🖌 Email | HardCopy | ThirdParty | |
| Report to: | | | | В | ill t | | Re | equested TAT: | 5 days |
| Jan Schutze | Email: | js@schutze-inc | .com | | Accounts Pa | ayable | | | |
| Schutze & Associates | TEL: | (510) 625-817 | FAX: (510) | 625-817 | Schutze Co | nsulting | | | |
| 436 14th Street, Ste.1216 | ProjectNo | : #SCS225; 5239 |) Telegraph | | 436 14th Str | eet, Ste.1216 | D | ate Received | 04/11/2007 |
| Oakland, CA 94612 | PO: | | | | Oakland, CA | A 94612 | D | ate Printed: | 04/11/2007 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | Requested Tests (See legend below) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------|--------|-------------------|------|------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| Sample ID | ClientSampID | Matrix | Collection Date | Hold | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0704223-001 | SB1-22 | Water | 04/10/07 11:30:00 | | А | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0704223-002 | SB2-16 | Water | 04/10/07 10:30:00 | | А | В | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0704223-003 | SB3-16 | Water | 04/10/07 9:30:00 | | А | | | | | | | | | | | |

Test Legend:

| 1 G-MBTEX_W | 2 TPH(DMO)_W | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------------|--------------|---|---|----|
| 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 | | | |

Prepared by: Maria Venegas

Comments:

NOTE: Samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made. Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.



McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Ouality Counts"

Sample Receipt Checklist

| Client Name: | Schutze & Associates | | | Date a | and Time Received: | 04/11/07 1 | :33:23 PM |
|-------------------|--|---------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Project Name: | #SCS225; 5239 Telegraph | | | Check | klist completed and r | eviewed by: | Maria Venegas |
| WorkOrder N°: | 0704223 Matrix <u>Water</u> | | | Carrie | r: <u>Rob Pringle (M</u> | Al Courier) | |
| | <u>Chai</u> | n of Cu | stody (C | OC) Informa | ation | | |
| Chain of custody | / present? | Yes | \checkmark | No 🗆 | | | |
| Chain of custody | v signed when relinquished and received? | Yes | \checkmark | No 🗆 | | | |
| Chain of custody | agrees with sample labels? | Yes | \checkmark | No 🗌 | | | |
| Sample IDs noted | d by Client on COC? | Yes | ✓ | No 🗆 | | | |
| Date and Time or | f collection noted by Client on COC? | Yes | ✓ | No 🗆 | | | |
| Sampler's name | noted on COC? | Yes | ∨ | No 🗆 | | | |
| | <u>s</u> | Sample | Receipt | Information | <u>1</u> | | |
| Custody seals in | tact on shippping container/cooler? | Yes | | No 🗆 | | NA 🗹 | |
| Shipping contain | er/cooler in good condition? | Yes | \checkmark | No 🗆 | | | |
| Samples in prop | er containers/bottles? | Yes | ✓ | No 🗆 | | | |
| Sample containe | ers intact? | Yes | \checkmark | No 🗆 | | | |
| Sufficient sample | e volume for indicated test? | Yes | ✓ | No 🗌 | | | |
| | Sample Prese | ervatio | n and Ho | <u>ld Time (HT</u> | <u>) Information</u> | | |
| All samples rece | ived within holding time? | Yes | ✓ | No 🗌 | | | |
| Container/Temp | Blank temperature | Coole | er Temp: | 13.4°C | | NA 🗆 | |
| Water - VOA via | Is have zero headspace / no bubbles? | Yes | ✓ | No 🗆 | No VOA vials subm | itted | |
| Sample labels cl | necked for correct preservation? | Yes | ✓ | No 🗌 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Client contacted:

Date contacted:

Contacted by:

Comments: Sample SB1-22 was labled SB1-16, called client (Jan) to ask which was correct, client said COC was correct.

| | McCampbell | Analy Duality Counts | tical, Inc. | : | | 1534 Wi Web: www.m Telepl | illow Pass Road, F accampbell.com aone: 877-252-926 | Pittsburg, CA 94565 E-mail: main@mcca 52 Fax: 925-252-9 | 5-1701 mpbell.com 1269 | | |
|----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------|---------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|------|-------|
| Schut | ze & Associates | | Client Proj | ect ID: # | #SCS2 | 225; 5239 Tel | egraph | Date Sample | d: 04/10/07 | | |
| 436 14 | 4th Street, Ste.1216 | | | | | | | Date Receive | ed: 04/11/07 | | |
| Oakla | nd CA 94612 | | Client Con | tact: Jar | n Schu | utze | | Date Extracte | ed: 04/12/07 | | |
| Oakia | ild, 01194012 | Date Analyz | ed: 04/12/07 | | | | | | | | |
| Extracti | Gasolin | EX and MTBE | * Work Orde | r: 070 | 4223 | | | | | | |
| Lab ID | Client ID | Matrix | TPH(g) | MTBI | E | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylenes | DF | % SS |
| 001A | SB1-22 | W | ND,i | ND | | ND | ND | ND | ND | 1 | 92 |
| 002A | SB2-16 | W | 17,000,m,h,i | ND<5 | 50 | ND<5.0 | 27 | 15 | ND<5.0 | 10 | 107 |
| 003A | SB3-16 | W | 12,000,m,h,i | ND<5 | 50 | ND<5.0 | 11 | 14 | ND<5.0 | 10 | 104 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rep | porting Limit for DF =1; | 50 | 5.0 | | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | μg/L | |
| ND ał | means not detected at or | S | NA | NA | | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1 | mg/Kg |

* water and vapor samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in ug/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, wipe samples in µg/wipe, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in mg/L.

cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.

+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline?); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds having broad chromatographic peaks are significant; biologically altered gasoline?; e) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (stoddard solvent / mineral spirit?); f) one to a few isolated non-target peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen/product is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment; j) reporting limit raised due to high MTBE content; k) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (aviation gas). m) no recognizable pattern; n) TPH(g) range non-target isolated peaks subtracted out of the TPH(g) concentration at the client's request; p) see attached narrative.



| | Campbell Analyti "When Ouality Counts" | ical, Inc. | 1534 Willow Web: www.mccam Telephone: | Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 945 pbell.com E-mail: main@mc 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252 | 65-1701 campbell.com -9269 | 1 | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|---|--|----------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Schutze & Ass | sociates | Client Project ID: | #SCS225; 5239 | Date Sampled: 04/ | 10/07 | | | | | |
| 436 14th Street | , Ste.1216 | relegraph | | Date Received: 04/ | 11/07 | | | | | |
| Oakland, CA 9 | 4612 | Client Contact: Ja | in Schutze | Date Extracted: 04/ | 11/07 | | | | | |
| | - | Client P.O.: | Client P.O.: Date Analyzed: 04/12/07 | | | | | | | |
| Extraction method: 5 | Diesel (C10-23) and Oil (SW3510C | C18+) Range Extrac Analytical metho | etable Hydrocarbons as | Diesel and Motor Oil* | rk Order: 07 | 704223 | | | | |
| Lab ID | Client ID | Matrix | TPH(d) | TPH(mo) | DF | % SS | | | | |
| 0704223-002B | SB2-16 | W | 25,000,d,h,i | ND<25,000 | # | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | porting Limit for DF =1; means not detected at or | W | 50 | 250 | μg | g/L | | | | |
| ab | ove the reporting limit | S | NA | NA | mg/Kg | | | | | |

* water samples are reported in $\mu g/L$, wipe samples in $\mu g/wipe$, soil/solid/sludge samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in mg/L, and all DISTLC / SPLP / TCLP extracts are reported in $\mu g/L$.

cluttered chromatogram resulting in coeluted surrogate and sample peaks, or; surrogate peak is on elevated baseline, or; surrogate has been diminished by dilution of original extract.

+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified diesel is significant; b) diesel range compounds are significant; no recognizable pattern; c) aged diesel? is significant); d) gasoline range compounds are significant; e) unknown medium boiling point pattern that does not appear to be derived from diesel; f) one to a few isolated peaks present; g) oil range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen/product is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment; k) kerosene/kerosene range; l) bunker oil range (?); no recognizable pattern; m) fuel oil; n) stoddard solvent/mineral spirits; p) see attached narrative.



"When Ouality Counts"

QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8021B/8015Cm

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water

WorkOrder 0704223

| EPA Method SW8021B/8015Cm | Extra | ction SW | 5030B | | Bat | tchID: 27 | 379 | Sp | iked Sam | ole ID: | 0704206-00 | 1A |
|--|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|------------------------------|---------|------------|-----|
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | LCSD Acceptance Criteria (%) | | |) |
| , analyte | µg/L | µg/L | % Rec. | % Rec. | % RPD | % Rec. | % Rec. | % RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| TPH(btex ^f | ND | 60 | 94.2 | 95.1 | 0.875 | 105 | 111 | 6.10 | 70 - 130 | 30 | 70 - 130 | 30 |
| MTBE | ND | 10 | 90.6 | 121 | 28.5 | 109 | 106 | 2.92 | 70 - 130 | 30 | 70 - 130 | 30 |
| Benzene | ND | 10 | 109 | 118 | 7.72 | 93.2 | 94.8 | 1.68 | 70 - 130 | 30 | 70 - 130 | 30 |
| Toluene | ND | 10 | 100 | 105 | 4.39 | 103 | 104 | 1.55 | 70 - 130 | 30 | 70 - 130 | 30 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 10 | 108 | 110 | 2.06 | 99.5 | 102 | 2.10 | 70 - 130 | 30 | 70 - 130 | 30 |
| Xylenes | ND | 30 | 107 | 107 | 0 | 110 | 113 | 2.99 | 70 - 130 | 30 | 70 - 130 | 30 |
| %SS: | 102 | 10 | 99 | 105 | 5.58 | 94 | 95 | 0.440 | 70 - 130 | 30 | 70 - 130 | 30 |
| All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: | | | | | | | | | | | | |

BATCH 27379 SUMMARY

| Sample ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Sample ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 0704223-001A | 04/10/07 11:30 AM | 04/12/07 | 04/12/07 1:07 AM | 0704223-002A | 04/10/07 10:30 AM | 04/12/07 | 04/12/07 12:34 AM |
| 0704223-003A | 04/10/07 9:30 AM | 04/12/07 | 04/12/07 12:01 AM | | | | |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

£ TPH(btex) = sum of BTEX areas from the FID.

cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.



"When Oualitv Counts"

QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8015C

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water

WorkOrder 0704223

| EPA Method SW8015C Extraction SW3510C | | | | BatchID: 27359 Spiked Sample ID: N/A | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|------------------------|-----|----------|-----|
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (% | | |) |
| , mary to | µg/L | µg/L | % Rec. | % Rec. | % RPD | % Rec. | % Rec. | % RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| TPH(d) | N/A | 1000 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 98.8 | 98.4 | 0.338 | N/A | N/A | 70 - 130 | 30 |
| %SS: | N/A | 2500 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 99 | 99 | 0 | N/A | N/A | 70 - 130 | 30 |
| All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: | | | | | | | | | | | | |

BATCH 27359 SUMMARY

| Sample ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Sample ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|---------------|
| 0704223-002B | 04/10/07 10:30 AM | 04/11/07 | 04/12/07 7:14 PM | | | | |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.





April 18, 2007

Jan Schutze Schutze & Associates Inc 436 14th Street,Suite 1216 Oakland, CA 94612

TEL: (510) 625-8175 FAX (510) 625-8176

RE: 5239

Dear Jan Schutze:

Order No.: 0704041

Torrent Laboratory, Inc. received 5 samples on 4/10/2007 for the analyses presented in the following report.

All data for associated QC met EPA or laboratory specification(s) except where noted in the case narrative.

Torrent Laboratory, Inc, is certified by the State of California, ELAP #1991. If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to contact the Project Management Team at (408)263-5258;ext: 204.

Sincerely,

Laboratory Director

4/18/07



TORRENT LABORATORY, INC.

483 Sinclair Frontage Road • Milpitas, CA • Phone: (408) 263-5258 • Fax: (408) 263-8293

Visit us at www.torrentlab.com email: analysis@torrentlab.com

Report prepared for: Jan Schutze

Schutze & Associates Inc

Date Received: 4/10/2007 **Date Reported:** 4/18/2007

| Client Sample ID: | SB-1 |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| Sample Location: | 5239 Telegraph |
| Sample Matrix: | AIR |
| Date/Time Sampled | 4/10/2007 9:52:00 AM |
| | |

Lab Sample ID: 0704041-001 Date Prepared:

| Parameters | Analysis Method | Date Analyzed | RL | Dilution Factor | MRL | Result | Units | Analytical Batch |
|------------|--------------------|------------------|------|--------------------|-----|--------|-------|---------------------|
| Gasoline | TO-14(MOD) | 4/17/2007 | 35.2 | 1.61 | 57 | ND | µg/m³ | R12440 |

Note: Reporting limit increased due to low pressure in Summa canister.

Schutze & Associates Inc

Lab Sample ID: 0704041-001 Date Prepared:

Client Sample ID:SB-1Sample Location:5239 Telegraph

Sample Matrix:AIRDate/Time Sampled4/10/2007 9:52:00 AM

| Parameters | Analysis Method | Date Analyzed | RL | Dilution Factor | MRL | Result | Units | Analytical Batch |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|--------------------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| 1,1 - Dichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.20 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.69 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.546 | 1 | 0.27 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.69 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.546 | 1 | 0.27 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.712 | 1 | 0.36 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.492 | 1 | 0.25 | 11 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.30 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.693 | 1 | 0.35 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.492 | 1 | 0.25 | 3.2 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,3-Butadiene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.22 | 1 | 0.11 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.30 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.30 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.36 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.15 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 2-Hexanone | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 4-Ethyl Toluene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.492 | 1 | 0.25 | 16 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK) | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Acetone | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.238 | 10 | 2.4 | 19 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Benzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.32 | 10 | 3.2 | 20 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Benzyl Chloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.58 | 1 | 0.29 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Bromodichloromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.67 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Bromoform | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 1.034 | 1 | 0.52 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Bromomethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.388 | 1 | 0.19 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Carbon Disulfide | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.31 | 1 | 0.16 | 2.0 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.63 | 1 | 0.32 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.46 | 1 | 0.23 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.528 | 1 | 0.26 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chloroform | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.488 | 1 | 0.24 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chloromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.31 | 1 | 0.16 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| cis-1,2-dichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.396 | 1 | 0.20 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.454 | 1 | 0.23 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Dibromochloromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.852 | 1 | 0.43 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.25 | 2.4 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Ethyl Acetate | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.36 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Ethyl Benzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.334 | 10 | 3.3 | 22 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Freon 113 | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.766 | 1 | 0.38 | 3.1 J | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 2.13 | 1 | 1.1 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Hexane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.352 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Isopropanol | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | 2.0 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| m,p-Xylene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.82 | 10 | 8.2 | 84 | µg/m³ | R12438 |

These analyses were performed according to State of California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation program, Certificate # 1991

Page 2 of 16

Schutze & Associates Inc

Date Received: 4/10/2007 **Date Reported:** 4/18/2007

Lab Sample ID: 0704041-001 **Date Prepared:**

SB-1 **Client Sample ID:** 5239 Telegraph Sample Location: Sample Matrix: AIR **Date/Time Sampled** 4/10/2007 9:52:00 AM

| Parameters | Analysis Method | Date Analyzed | RL | Dilution Factor | MRL | Result | Units | Analytical Batch |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|--------------------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| Methylene Chloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.361 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| МТВЕ | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.36 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Naphthalene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.524 | 1 | 0.26 | 2.7 J | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| o-xylene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.434 | 10 | 4.3 | 25 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Styrene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.426 | 1 | 0.21 | 1.9 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Tetrachloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.678 | 1 | 0.34 | 8.2 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Tetrahydrofuran | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.15 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Toluene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.38 | 10 | 3.8 | 170 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.396 | 1 | 0.20 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Trichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.54 | 1 | 0.27 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.25 | 2.2 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Vinyl Acetate | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.352 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Vinyl Chloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.256 | 1 | 0.13 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |

These analyses were performed according to State of California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation program, Certificate # 1991

| Report prepared for: | Jan Schutze | | | Date Received: 4/10/2007 | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------|---------------------|--|
| | Schutze & As | sociates Inc | | Date Reported: 4/18/2007 | | | | | | |
| Client Sample ID: | SB-2 | | | | Lab | Sample II | D: 0704041- | 002 | | |
| Sample Location: | 5239 Telegrap | bh | | | Date | e Prepare | d: | | | |
| Sample Matrix: | AIR | | | | | | | | | |
| Date/Time Sampled | 4/10/2007 9:1 | 5:00 AM | | | | | | | | |
| Parameters | | Analysis Method | Date Analyzed | RL | Dilution Factor | MRL | Result | Units | Analytical Batch | |
| Gasoline | | TO-14(MOD) | 4/17/2007 | 35.2 | 1 | 35 | ND | µg/m³ | R12440 | |

Schutze & Associates Inc

Date Received: 4/10/2007 **Date Reported:** 4/18/2007

Lab Sample ID: 0704041-002 Date Prepared:

Client Sample ID:SB-2Sample Location:5239 Telegraph

Sample Matrix:AIRDate/Time Sampled4/10/2007 9:15:00 AM

| Parameters | Analysis Method | Date Analyzed | RL | Dilution Factor | MRL | Result | Units | Analytical Batch |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|--------------------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| 1,1 - Dichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.20 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.69 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.546 | 1 | 0.27 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.69 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.546 | 1 | 0.27 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.712 | 1 | 0.36 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.492 | 1 | 0.25 | 1.3 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.30 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.693 | 1 | 0.35 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.492 | 1 | 0.25 | 0.59 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,3-Butadiene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.22 | 1 | 0.11 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.30 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.30 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.36 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.15 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 2-Hexanone | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 4-Ethyl Toluene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.492 | 1 | 0.25 | 1.5 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK) | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | 16 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Acetone | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.238 | 1 | 0.12 | 15 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Benzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.32 | 1 | 0.16 | 2.7 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Benzyl Chloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.58 | 1 | 0.29 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Bromodichloromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.67 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Bromoform | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 1.034 | 1 | 0.52 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Bromomethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.388 | 1 | 0.19 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Carbon Disulfide | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.31 | 1 | 0.16 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.63 | 1 | 0.32 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.46 | 1 | 0.23 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.528 | 1 | 0.26 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chloroform | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.488 | 1 | 0.24 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chloromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.31 | 1 | 0.16 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| cis-1,2-dichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.396 | 1 | 0.20 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.454 | 1 | 0.23 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Dibromochloromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.852 | 1 | 0.43 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.25 | 2.2 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Ethyl Acetate | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.36 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Ethyl Benzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.334 | 1 | 0.17 | 1.5 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Freon 113 | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.766 | 1 | 0.38 | 1.1 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 2.13 | 1 | 1.1 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Hexane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.352 | 1 | 0.18 | 5.1 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Isopropanol | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | 22 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| m,p-Xylene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.82 | 1 | 0.41 | 6.0 | µg/m³ | R12438 |

These analyses were performed according to State of California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation program, Certificate # 1991

Page 5 of 16

Schutze & Associates Inc

Date Received: 4/10/2007 **Date Reported:** 4/18/2007

Lab Sample ID: 0704041-002 **Date Prepared:**

Client Sample ID: SB-2 5239 Telegraph Sample Location: Sample Matrix: AIR **Date/Time Sampled** 4/10/2007 9:15:00 AM

| Parameters | Analysis Method | Date Analyzed | RL | Dilution Factor | MRL | Result | Units | Analytical Batch |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|--------------------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| Methylene Chloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.361 | 1 | 0.18 | 6.0 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| МТВЕ | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.36 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Naphthalene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.524 | 1 | 0.26 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| o-xylene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.434 | 1 | 0.22 | 1.8 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Styrene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.426 | 1 | 0.21 | 0.51 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Tetrachloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.678 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Tetrahydrofuran | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.15 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Toluene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.38 | 1 | 0.19 | 14 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.396 | 1 | 0.20 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Trichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.54 | 1 | 0.27 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.25 | 1.5 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Vinyl Acetate | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.352 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Vinyl Chloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.256 | 1 | 0.13 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |

These analyses were performed according to State of California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation program, Certificate # 1991

| Report prepared for: | Jan Schutze | | | Date Received: 4/10/2007 | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|--|--|
| | Schutze & Ass | sociates Inc | | | Dat | e Reported | 1: 4/18/2007 | , | | | |
| Client Sample ID: | SB-3 | | | | Lab | Sample II |): 0704041-0 | 003 | | | |
| Sample Location: | 5239 Telegrap | legraph Date Prepared: | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample Matrix: | AIR | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date/Time Sampled | 4/10/2007 10: | 30:00 AM | | | | | | | | | |
| Parameters | | Analysis Method | Date Analyzed | RL | Dilution Factor | MRL | Result | Units | Analytical Batch | | |

35.2

1.61

57

2780 x

µg/m³

R12440

Note: x-Although Gasoline is present, the results are elevated due to the presence of non-target hydrocarbons within the TPH as Gasoline quantitation range. Reporting limit increased due to low pressure in Summa canister.

4/17/2007

TO-14(MOD)

Gasoline

Lab Sample ID: 0704041-003 **Date Prepared:**

SB-3 **Client Sample ID:** 5239 Telegraph Sample Location:

Sample Matrix: AIR **Date/Time Sampled** 4/10/2007 10:30:00 AM

| Parameters | Analysis Method | Date Analyzed | RL | Dilution Factor | MRL | Result | Units | Analytical Batch |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|--------------------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| 1,1 - Dichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.20 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.69 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.546 | 1 | 0.27 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.69 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.546 | 1 | 0.27 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.712 | 1 | 0.36 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.492 | 1 | 0.25 | 22 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.30 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.693 | 1 | 0.35 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.492 | 1 | 0.25 | 7.6 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,3-Butadiene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.22 | 1 | 0.11 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.30 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.30 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.36 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.15 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 2-Hexanone | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 4-Ethyl Toluene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.492 | 1 | 0.25 | 34 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK) | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Acetone | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.238 | 5 | 1.2 | 22 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Benzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.32 | 5 | 1.6 | 31 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Benzyl Chloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.58 | 1 | 0.29 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Bromodichloromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.67 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Bromoform | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 1.034 | 1 | 0.52 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Bromomethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.388 | 1 | 0.19 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Carbon Disulfide | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.31 | 1 | 0.16 | 4.9 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.63 | 1 | 0.32 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.46 | 1 | 0.23 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.528 | 1 | 0.26 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chloroform | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.488 | 1 | 0.24 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chloromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.31 | 1 | 0.16 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| cis-1,2-dichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.396 | 1 | 0.20 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.454 | 1 | 0.23 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Dibromochloromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.852 | 1 | 0.43 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.25 | 2.6 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Ethyl Acetate | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.36 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Ethyl Benzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.334 | 5 | 1.7 | 42 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Freon 113 | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.766 | 1 | 0.38 | 1.8 | μg/m³ | R12438 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 2.13 | 1 | 1.1 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Hexane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.352 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | μg/m³ | R12438 |
| Isopropanol | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | 1.7 | μg/m³ | R12438 |
| m,p-Xylene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.82 | 5 | 4.1 | 170 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| | | | | | | | | |

These analyses were performed according to State of California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation program, Certificate # 1991

Page 8 of 16

Report prepared for: Jan Schutze Schutze & Associates Inc

Date Received: 4/10/2007 **Date Reported:** 4/18/2007

Lab Sample ID: 0704041-003 **Date Prepared:**

SB-3 **Client Sample ID:** 5239 Telegraph Sample Location: Sample Matrix: AIR

Date/Time Sampled 4/10/2007 10:30:00 AM

| Parameters | Analysis Method | Date Analyzed | RL | Dilution Factor | MRL | Result | Units | Analytical Batch |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|--------------------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| Methylene Chloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.361 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| MTBE | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.36 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Naphthalene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.524 | 1 | 0.26 | 1.5 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| o-xylene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.434 | 5 | 2.2 | 50 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Styrene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.426 | 1 | 0.21 | 2.5 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Tetrachloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.678 | 1 | 0.34 | 4.9 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Tetrahydrofuran | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.15 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Toluene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.38 | 20 | 7.6 | 320 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.396 | 1 | 0.20 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Trichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.54 | 1 | 0.27 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.25 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Vinyl Acetate | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.352 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Vinyl Chloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.256 | 1 | 0.13 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |

These analyses were performed according to State of California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation program, Certificate # 1991

| Report prepared for: | Jan Schutze | | | Date Received: 4/10/2007 | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----|--------|-------|---------------------|--|
| | Schutze & As | sociates Inc | | Date Reported: 4/18/2007 | | | | | | |
| Client Sample ID: | 1A-01 | | 004 | | | | | | | |
| Sample Location: | 5239 Telegrap | oh | | Date Prepared: | | | | | | |
| Sample Matrix: | AIR | | | | | | | | | |
| Date/Time Sampled | 4/10/2007 7:2 | 7:00 PM | | | | | | | | |
| Parameters | | Analysis Method | Date Analyzed | RL | Dilution Factor | MRL | Result | Units | Analytical Batch | |

200

1

200

847 x

µg/m³

R12440

Note: x-Although Gasoline is present, the results are elevated due to the presence of non-target hydrocarbons within the TPH as Gasoline quantitation range.

4/17/2007

TO-14(MOD)

Gasoline

Lab Sample ID: 0704041-004 **Date Prepared:**

1A-01 **Client Sample ID:** 5239 Telegraph Sample Location:

Sample Matrix: AIR **Date/Time Sampled** 4/10/2007 7:27:00 PM

| Parameters | Analysis Method | Date Analyzed | RL | Dilution Factor | MRL | Result | Units | Analytical Batch |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|--------------------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| 1,1 - Dichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.20 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.69 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.546 | 1 | 0.27 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.69 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.546 | 1 | 0.27 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.712 | 1 | 0.36 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.492 | 1 | 0.25 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.30 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.693 | 1 | 0.35 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.492 | 1 | 0.25 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,3-Butadiene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.22 | 1 | 0.11 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.30 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.30 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.36 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.15 | 2.3 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 2-Hexanone | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 4-Ethyl Toluene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.492 | 1 | 0.25 | 0.76 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK) | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Acetone | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.238 | 1 | 0.12 | 8.9 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Benzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.32 | 1 | 0.16 | 1.1 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Benzyl Chloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.58 | 1 | 0.29 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Bromodichloromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.67 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Bromoform | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 1.034 | 1 | 0.52 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Bromomethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.388 | 1 | 0.19 | 1.0 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Carbon Disulfide | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.31 | 1 | 0.16 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.63 | 1 | 0.32 | 1.0 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.46 | 1 | 0.23 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.528 | 1 | 0.26 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chloroform | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.488 | 1 | 0.24 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chloromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.31 | 1 | 0.16 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| cis-1,2-dichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.396 | 1 | 0.20 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.454 | 1 | 0.23 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Dibromochloromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.852 | 1 | 0.43 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.25 | 4.4 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Ethyl Acetate | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.36 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Ethyl Benzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.334 | 1 | 0.17 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Freon 113 | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.766 | 1 | 0.38 | 1.1 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 2.13 | 1 | 1.1 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Hexane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.352 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Isopropanol | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | 1.8 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| m,p-Xylene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.82 | 1 | 0.41 | 1.6 | µg/m³ | R12438 |

These analyses were performed according to State of California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation program, Certificate # 1991

Page 11 of 16

Report prepared for: Jan Schutze Schutze & Associates Inc

Date Received: 4/10/2007 **Date Reported:** 4/18/2007

Lab Sample ID: 0704041-004 Date Prepared:

Client Sample ID:1A-01Sample Location:5239 TelegraphSample Matrix:AIRDate/Time Sampled4/10/2007 7:27:00 PM

| Parameters | Analysis Method | Date Analyzed | RL | Dilution Factor | MRL | Result | Units | Analytical Batch |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|--------------------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| Methylene Chloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.361 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| MTBE | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.36 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Naphthalene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.524 | 1 | 0.26 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| o-xylene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.434 | 1 | 0.22 | 0.69 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Styrene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.426 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Tetrachloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.678 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Tetrahydrofuran | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.15 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Toluene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.38 | 1 | 0.19 | 1.9 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.396 | 1 | 0.20 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Trichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.54 | 1 | 0.27 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.25 | 18 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Vinyl Acetate | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.352 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Vinyl Chloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.256 | 1 | 0.13 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |

| Report prepared for: | Jan Schutze | | | Date Received: 4/10/2007 | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---|-----------|---------------------------------|---|-----|--------|-------|--------|--|--|
| | Schutze & As | sociates Inc | | Date Reported: 4/18/2007 | | | | | | | |
| Client Sample ID: | 0A-01 | | | Lab Sample ID: 0704041-005 | | | | | | | |
| Sample Location: | 5239 Telegrap | oh | | Date Prepared: | | | | | | | |
| Sample Matrix: | AIR | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date/Time Sampled | 4/10/2007 7:2 | 9:00 PM | | | | | | | | | |
| Parameters | | Analysis MethodDate AnalyzedRL FactorDilution MRLMRL ResultResultUnits | | | | | | | | | |
| Gasoline | | TO-14(MOD) | 4/17/2007 | 200 | 1 | 200 | 1010 x | µg/m³ | R12440 | | |

Note: x-Although Gasoline is present, the results are elevated due to the presence of non-target hydrocarbons within the TPH as Gasoline quantitation range.

Lab Sample ID: 0704041-005 **Date Prepared:**

0A-01 **Client Sample ID:** 5239 Telegraph Sample Location:

Sample Matrix: AIR **Date/Time Sampled** 4/10/2007 7:29:00 PM

| Parameters | Analysis Method | Date Analyzed | RL | Dilution Factor | MRL | Result | Units | Analytical Batch |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|--------------------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| 1,1 - Dichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.20 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.69 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.546 | 1 | 0.27 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.69 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.546 | 1 | 0.27 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.712 | 1 | 0.36 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.492 | 1 | 0.25 | 0.76 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.30 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.693 | 1 | 0.35 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.492 | 1 | 0.25 | 0.44 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,3-Butadiene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.22 | 1 | 0.11 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.30 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.30 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.36 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.15 | 2.9 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 2-Hexanone | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 4-Ethyl Toluene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.492 | 1 | 0.25 | 0.44 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK) | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Acetone | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.238 | 1 | 0.12 | 14 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Benzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.32 | 1 | 0.16 | 0.89 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Benzyl Chloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.58 | 1 | 0.29 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Bromodichloromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.67 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Bromoform | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 1.034 | 1 | 0.52 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Bromomethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.388 | 1 | 0.19 | 1.0 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Carbon Disulfide | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.31 | 1 | 0.16 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.63 | 1 | 0.32 | 1.0 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chlorobenzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.46 | 1 | 0.23 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chloroethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.528 | 1 | 0.26 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chloroform | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.488 | 1 | 0.24 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Chloromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.31 | 1 | 0.16 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| cis-1,2-dichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.396 | 1 | 0.20 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.454 | 1 | 0.23 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Dibromochloromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.852 | 1 | 0.43 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.25 | 1.9 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Ethyl Acetate | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.36 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Ethyl Benzene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.334 | 1 | 0.17 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Freon 113 | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.766 | 1 | 0.38 | 1.0 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 2.13 | 1 | 1.1 | ND | μg/m³ | R12438 |
| Hexane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.352 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | μg/m³ | R12438 |
| Isopropanol | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.41 | 1 | 0.21 | 1.8 | μg/m³ | R12438 |
| m,p-Xylene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.82 | 1 | 0.41 | 1.4 | μg/m³ | R12438 |
| | | | | | | | | |

These analyses were performed according to State of California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation program, Certificate # 1991

Page 14 of 16

Schutze & Associates Inc

Date Received: 4/10/2007 **Date Reported:** 4/18/2007

Lab Sample ID: 0704041-005 **Date Prepared:**

0A-01 **Client Sample ID:** 5239 Telegraph Sample Location: Sample Matrix: AIR **Date/Time Sampled** 4/10/2007 7:29:00 PM

| Parameters | Analysis Method | Date Analyzed | RL | Dilution Factor | MRL | Result | Units | Analytical Batch |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|--------------------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| Methylene Chloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.361 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| МТВЕ | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.36 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Naphthalene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.524 | 1 | 0.26 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| o-xylene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.434 | 1 | 0.22 | 0.59 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Styrene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.426 | 1 | 0.21 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Tetrachloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.678 | 1 | 0.34 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Tetrahydrofuran | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.15 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Toluene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.38 | 1 | 0.19 | 1.5 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.396 | 1 | 0.20 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Trichloroethene | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.54 | 1 | 0.27 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.25 | 1.3 | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Vinyl Acetate | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.352 | 1 | 0.18 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |
| Vinyl Chloride | TO-15 | 4/12/2007 | 0.256 | 1 | 0.13 | ND | µg/m³ | R12438 |

These analyses were performed according to State of California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation program, Certificate # 1991

Definitions, legends and Notes

| Note | Description |
|----------|---|
| ug/kg | Microgram per kilogram (ppb, part per billion). |
| ug/L | Microgram per liter (ppb, part per billion). |
| mg/kg | Milligram per kilogram (ppm, part per million). |
| mg/L | Milligram per liter (ppm, part per million). |
| LCS/LCSD | Laboratory control sample/laboratory control sample duplicate. |
| MDL | Method detection limit. |
| MRL | Modified reporting limit. When sample is subject to dilution, reporting limit times dilution factor yields MRL. |
| MS/MSD | Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate. |
| N/A | Not applicable. |
| ND | Not detected at or above detection limit. |
| NR | Not reported. |
| QC | Quality Control. |
| RL | Reporting limit. |
| % RPD | Percent relative difference. |
| а | pH was measured immediately upon the receipt of the sample, but it was still done outside the holding time. |
| sub | Analyzed by subcontracting laboratory, Lab Certificate # |

Torrent Laboratory, Inc.

Date: 18-Apr-07

CLIENT: Schutze & Associates Inc Work Order: 0704041 **Project:** 5239

ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT

BatchID: R12438

| Sample ID: MB | SampType: MBLK | TestCode: To-15 LL ug/ Units: µg/m ³ | Prep Date: 4/12/2007 | RunNo: 12438 |
|-----------------------------|------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Client ID: ZZZZZ | Batch ID: R12438 | TestNo: TO-15 | Analysis Date: 4/12/2007 | SeqNo: 183185 |
| Analyte | Result | PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val | %REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val | %RPD RPDLimit Qual |
| 1,1 - Dichloroethene | ND | 0.40 | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.69 | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.55 | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.69 | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.55 | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.41 | | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 0.71 | | |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 0.49 | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.60 | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.41 | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.69 | | |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 0.49 | | |
| 1,3-Butadiene | ND | 0.22 | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.60 | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.60 | | |
| 1,4-Dioxane | ND | 0.36 | | |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | ND | 0.30 | | |
| 2-Hexanone | ND | 0.41 | | |
| 4-Ethyl Toluene | ND | 0.49 | | |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK) | ND | 0.41 | | |
| Acetone | ND | 0.24 | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.32 | | |
| Benzyl Chloride | ND | 0.58 | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.67 | | |
| Bromoform | ND | 1.0 | | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.39 | | |
| Carbon Disulfide | ND | 0.31 | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.63 | | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.46 | | |
| Chloroethane | ND | 0.53 | | |

Qualifiers:

3

R

Recovery of the MS and/or MSD was out of control due t 4

The MS/MSD RPD was out of control due to matrix inter Q Spike recovery and RPD control limits do not apply result

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

S

CLIENT: Schutze & Associates Inc Work Order: 0704041 5239 **Project:**

ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT

BatchID: R12438

| Sample ID: MB | SampType: MBLK | TestCo | TestCode: To-15 LL ug/ Units: µg/m³ | | | Prep Dat | te: 4/12/20 | RunNo: 12438 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|-------------|------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|------|
| Client ID: ZZZZZ | Batch ID: R12438 | Test | No: TO-15 | | | Analysis Dat | te: 4/12/20 | 007 | SeqNo: 18 | 3185 | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | RPD Ref Val | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.49 | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloromethane | ND | 0.31 | | | | | | | | | |
| cis-1,2-dichloroethene | ND | 0.40 | | | | | | | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 0.45 | | | | | | | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.85 | | | | | | | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 0.50 | | | | | | | | | |
| Ethyl Acetate | ND | 0.36 | | | | | | | | | |
| Ethyl Benzene | ND | 0.33 | | | | | | | | | |
| Freon 113 | ND | 0.77 | | | | | | | | | |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ND | 2.1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Hexane | ND | 0.35 | | | | | | | | | |
| Isopropanol | ND | 0.41 | | | | | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylene | ND | 0.82 | | | | | | | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 0.36 | | | | | | | | | |
| MTBE | ND | 0.36 | | | | | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.52 | | | | | | | | | |
| o-xylene | ND | 0.43 | | | | | | | | | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.43 | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND | 0.68 | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetrahydrofuran | ND | 0.30 | | | | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.38 | | | | | | | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 0.40 | | | | | | | | | |
| Trichloroethene | ND | 0.54 | | | | | | | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 0.50 | | | | | | | | | |
| Vinyl Acetate | ND | 0.35 | | | | | | | | | |
| Vinyl Chloride | ND | 0.26 | | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 3.460 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 69.2 | 50 | 150 | | | | |

Qualifiers:

Recovery of the MS and/or MSD was out of control due t 4 3

S

The MS/MSD RPD was out of control due to matrix inter Q Spike recovery and RPD control limits do not apply result

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Project:

5239

ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT

BatchID: R12438

| Sample ID: LCS | SampType: LCS | TestCo | de: To-15 LL | ug/ Units: µg/m³ | Prep Date: 4/11/2007 | | | RunNo: 12438 | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|---------------|------|----------|------|
| Client ID: ZZZZZ | Batch ID: R12438 | Test | No: TO-15 | | Analysis Date: 4/11/2007 | | | SeqNo: 183188 | | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | RPD Ref Val | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| 1,1 - Dichloroethene | 19.65 | 0.40 | 19.85 | 0 | 99.0 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 32.98 | 0.69 | 34.35 | 0 | 96.0 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 25.33 | 0.55 | 27.3 | 0 | 92.8 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 32.36 | 0.69 | 34.35 | 0 | 94.2 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 26.59 | 0.55 | 27.3 | 0 | 97.4 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 19.68 | 0.41 | 20.25 | 0 | 97.2 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 35.03 | 0.71 | 35.6 | 0 | 98.4 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 23.57 | 0.49 | 24.6 | 0 | 95.8 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 29.63 | 0.60 | 30.05 | 0 | 98.6 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 20.57 | 0.41 | 20.25 | 0 | 102 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 22.68 | 0.69 | 23.1 | 0 | 98.2 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 22.78 | 0.49 | 24.6 | 0 | 92.6 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 1,3-Butadiene | 11.29 | 0.22 | 11.05 | 0 | 102 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 29.93 | 0.60 | 30.05 | 0 | 99.6 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 29.93 | 0.60 | 30.05 | 0 | 99.6 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 17.35 | 0.36 | 18 | 0 | 96.4 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | 14.60 | 0.30 | 14.75 | 0 | 99.0 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 2-Hexanone | 20.30 | 0.41 | 20.5 | 0 | 99.0 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 4-Ethyl Toluene | 22.98 | 0.49 | 24.6 | 0 | 93.4 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK) | 20.17 | 0.41 | 20.5 | 0 | 98.4 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Acetone | 12.28 | 0.24 | 11.9 | 0 | 103 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Benzene | 14.93 | 0.32 | 15.95 | 0 | 93.6 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Benzyl Chloride | 27.77 | 0.58 | 28.75 | 0 | 96.6 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | 32.36 | 0.67 | 33.5 | 0 | 96.6 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Bromoform | 48.29 | 1.0 | 51.7 | 0 | 93.4 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Bromomethane | 18.66 | 0.39 | 19.4 | 0 | 96.2 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Carbon Disulfide | 15.02 | 0.31 | 15.55 | 0 | 96.6 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 28.81 | 0.63 | 31.45 | 0 | 91.6 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | 22.68 | 0.46 | 23 | 0 | 98.6 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Chloroethane | 12.78 | 0.53 | 13.2 | 0 | 96.8 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Chloroform | 23.91 | 0.49 | 24.4 | 0 | 98.0 | 65 | 135 | | | | |

Qualifiers:

3

R

Recovery of the MS and/or MSD was out of control due t 4 RPD outside accepted recovery limits

The MS/MSD RPD was out of control due to matrix inter Q Spike recovery and RPD control limits do not apply result

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S

Project:

ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT

BatchID: R12438

| Sample ID: LCS | SampType: LCS | TestCoo | de: To-15 LL ug | / Units: µg/m³ | Prep Date: 4/11/2007 | | | RunNo: 12438 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|------------|----------|------|
| Client ID: ZZZZZ | Batch ID: R12438 | TestN | No: TO-15 | | Analysis Date: 4/11/2007 | | | SeqNo: 183188 | | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value S | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | RPD Ref Val | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Chloromethane | 10.60 | 0.31 | 10.35 | 0 | 102 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| cis-1,2-dichloroethene | 19.17 | 0.40 | 19.8 | 0 | 96.8 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 21.29 | 0.45 | 22.7 | 0 | 93.8 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | 42.60 | 0.85 | 42.6 | 0 | 100 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 22.57 | 0.50 | 24.75 | 0 | 91.2 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Ethyl Acetate | 18.11 | 0.36 | 18 | 0 | 101 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Ethyl Benzene | 15.97 | 0.33 | 16.7 | 0 | 95.6 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Freon 113 | 36.77 | 0.77 | 38.3 | 0 | 96.0 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 53.88 | 2.1 | 53.35 | 0 | 101 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Hexane | 16.51 | 0.35 | 17.6 | 0 | 93.8 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Isopropanol | 21.16 | 0.41 | 20.5 | 0 | 103 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| m,p-Xylene | 39.93 | 0.82 | 41 | 0 | 97.4 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | 17.54 | 0.36 | 18.05 | 0 | 97.2 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| MTBE | 17.26 | 0.36 | 18.05 | 0 | 95.6 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Naphthalene | 25.94 | 0.52 | 26.2 | 0 | 99.0 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| o-xylene | 20.92 | 0.43 | 21.7 | 0 | 96.4 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Styrene | 20.83 | 0.43 | 21.3 | 0 | 97.8 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Tetrachloroethene | 32.82 | 0.68 | 33.9 | 0 | 96.8 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Tetrahydrofuran | 13.69 | 0.30 | 14.75 | 0 | 92.8 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Toluene | 17.83 | 0.38 | 18.85 | 0 | 94.6 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 19.05 | 0.40 | 19.8 | 0 | 96.2 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Trichloroethene | 25.61 | 0.54 | 26.85 | 0 | 95.4 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 24.16 | 0.50 | 24.75 | 0 | 97.6 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Vinyl Acetate | 17.60 | 0.35 | 17.6 | 0 | 100 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Vinyl Chloride | 11.57 | 0.26 | 12.8 | 0 | 90.4 | 65 | 135 | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 4.960 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 99.2 | 50 | 150 | | | | |
| Sample ID: LCSD | SampType: LCSD | TestCoo | de: To-15 LL ug | / Units: µg/m³ | | Prep Da | te: 4/12/20 | 07 | RunNo: 124 | 138 | |
| Client ID: ZZZZZ | Batch ID: R12438 | TestN | lo: TO-15 | | | Analysis Da | te: 4/12/20 | 07 | SeqNo: 183 | 3189 | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value S | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | RPD Ref Val | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |

Qualifiers:

3

Recovery of the MS and/or MSD was out of control due t 4

The MS/MSD RPD was out of control due to matrix inter Q Spike recovery and RPD control limits do not apply result

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

S

Page 4 of 7

5239

Project:

ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT

BatchID: R12438

| Sample ID: LCSD | SampType: LCSD | TestCo | de: To-15 LL u | ıg/ Units: µg/m³ | | Prep Da | te: 4/12/20 | 07 | RunNo: 124 | 138 | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--------|------------------|--------------------------------|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------|------|
| Client ID: ZZZZZ | Batch ID: R12438 | TestN | No: TO-15 | | | Analysis Da | te: 4/12/20 | 07 | SeqNo: 183 | 3189 | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | RPD Ref Val | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| 1,1 - Dichloroethene | 15.84 | 0.40 | 19.85 | 0 | 79.8 | 65 | 135 | 19.65 | 21.5 | 30 | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 29.27 | 0.69 | 34.35 | 0 | 85.2 | 65 | 135 | 32.98 | 11.9 | 30 | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 21.84 | 0.55 | 27.3 | 0 | 80.0 | 65 | 135 | 25.33 | 14.8 | 30 | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 27.89 | 0.69 | 34.35 | 0 | 81.2 | 65 | 135 | 32.36 | 14.8 | 30 | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 22.33 | 0.55 | 27.3 | 0 | 81.8 | 65 | 135 | 26.59 | 17.4 | 30 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 16.89 | 0.41 | 20.25 | 0 | 83.4 | 65 | 135 | 19.68 | 15.3 | 30 | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 29.12 | 0.71 | 35.6 | 0 | 81.8 | 65 | 135 | 35.03 | 18.4 | 30 | |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 21.01 | 0.49 | 24.6 | 0 | 85.4 | 65 | 135 | 23.57 | 11.5 | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 24.64 | 0.60 | 30.05 | 0 | 82.0 | 65 | 135 | 29.63 | 18.4 | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 17.62 | 0.41 | 20.25 | 0 | 87.0 | 65 | 135 | 20.57 | 15.5 | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 19.40 | 0.69 | 23.1 | 0 | 84.0 | 65 | 135 | 22.68 | 15.6 | 30 | |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 20.52 | 0.49 | 24.6 | 0 | 83.4 | 65 | 135 | 22.78 | 10.5 | 30 | |
| 1,3-Butadiene | 9.481 | 0.22 | 11.05 | 0 | 85.8 | 65 | 135 | 11.29 | 17.4 | 30 | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 24.88 | 0.60 | 30.05 | 0 | 82.8 | 65 | 135 | 29.93 | 18.4 | 30 | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 24.88 | 0.60 | 30.05 | 0 | 82.8 | 65 | 135 | 29.93 | 18.4 | 30 | |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 15.84 | 0.36 | 18 | 0 | 88.0 | 65 | 135 | 17.35 | 9.11 | 30 | |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | 12.18 | 0.30 | 14.75 | 0 | 82.6 | 65 | 135 | 14.6 | 18.1 | 30 | |
| 2-Hexanone | 17.79 | 0.41 | 20.5 | 0 | 86.8 | 65 | 135 | 20.3 | 13.1 | 30 | |
| 4-Ethyl Toluene | 20.52 | 0.49 | 24.6 | 0 | 83.4 | 65 | 135 | 22.98 | 11.3 | 30 | |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK) | 17.42 | 0.41 | 20.5 | 0 | 85.0 | 65 | 135 | 20.17 | 14.6 | 30 | |
| Acetone | 11.66 | 0.24 | 11.9 | 0 | 98.0 | 65 | 135 | 12.28 | 5.17 | 30 | |
| Benzene | 12.57 | 0.32 | 15.95 | 0 | 78.8 | 65 | 135 | 14.93 | 17.2 | 30 | |
| Benzyl Chloride | 25.70 | 0.58 | 28.75 | 0 | 89.4 | 65 | 135 | 27.77 | 7.74 | 30 | |
| Bromodichloromethane | 27.80 | 0.67 | 33.5 | 0 | 83.0 | 65 | 135 | 32.36 | 15.1 | 30 | |
| Bromoform | 44.46 | 1.0 | 51.7 | 0 | 86.0 | 65 | 135 | 48.29 | 8.25 | 30 | |
| Bromomethane | 15.21 | 0.39 | 19.4 | 0 | 78.4 | 65 | 135 | 18.66 | 20.4 | 30 | |
| Carbon Disulfide | 12.94 | 0.31 | 15.55 | 0 | 83.2 | 65 | 135 | 15.02 | 14.9 | 30 | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 24.22 | 0.63 | 31.45 | 0 | 77.0 | 65 | 135 | 28.81 | 17.3 | 30 | |
| Chlorobenzene | 20.29 | 0.46 | 23 | 0 | 88.2 | 65 | 135 | 22.68 | 11.1 | 30 | |
| Chloroethane | 10.38 | 0.53 | 13.2 | 0 | 78.6 | 65 | 135 | 12.78 | 20.8 | 30 | |
| Chloroform | 19.76 | 0.49 | 24.4 | 0 | 81.0 | 65 | 135 | 23.91 | 19.0 | 30 | |

Qualifiers:

3

R

Recovery of the MS and/or MSD was out of control due t 4 RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

S

The MS/MSD RPD was out of control due to matrix inter Q Spike recovery and RPD control limits do not apply result

Project:

ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT

BatchID: R12438

| Sample ID: LCSD | SampType: LCSD | TestCo | de: To-15 LL (| ug/ Units: µg/m³ | | Prep Dat | te: 4/12/20 | 07 | RunNo: 124 | 138 | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--------|----------------|------------------|------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------|------|
| Client ID: ZZZZZ | Batch ID: R12438 | TestN | No: TO-15 | | | Analysis Dat | te: 4/12/20 | 07 | SeqNo: 183 | 3189 | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | RPD Ref Val | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Chloromethane | 7.638 | 0.31 | 10.35 | 0 | 73.8 | 65 | 135 | 10.6 | 32.5 | 30 | |
| cis-1,2-dichloroethene | 16.00 | 0.40 | 19.8 | 0 | 80.8 | 65 | 135 | 19.17 | 18.0 | 30 | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 18.93 | 0.45 | 22.7 | 0 | 83.4 | 65 | 135 | 21.29 | 11.7 | 30 | |
| Dibromochloromethane | 38.25 | 0.85 | 42.6 | 0 | 89.8 | 65 | 135 | 42.6 | 10.7 | 30 | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 18.07 | 0.50 | 24.75 | 0 | 73.0 | 65 | 135 | 22.57 | 22.2 | 30 | |
| Ethyl Acetate | 14.33 | 0.36 | 18 | 0 | 79.6 | 65 | 135 | 18.11 | 23.3 | 30 | |
| Ethyl Benzene | 14.09 | 0.33 | 16.7 | 0 | 84.4 | 65 | 135 | 15.97 | 12.4 | 30 | |
| Freon 113 | 29.41 | 0.77 | 38.3 | 0 | 76.8 | 65 | 135 | 36.77 | 22.2 | 30 | |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 42.04 | 2.1 | 53.35 | 0 | 78.8 | 65 | 135 | 53.88 | 24.7 | 30 | |
| Hexane | 14.22 | 0.35 | 17.6 | 0 | 80.8 | 65 | 135 | 16.51 | 14.9 | 30 | |
| Isopropanol | 17.79 | 0.41 | 20.5 | 0 | 86.8 | 65 | 135 | 21.16 | 17.3 | 30 | |
| m,p-Xylene | 35.71 | 0.82 | 41 | 0 | 87.1 | 65 | 135 | 39.93 | 11.2 | 30 | |
| Methylene Chloride | 14.76 | 0.36 | 18.05 | 0 | 81.8 | 65 | 135 | 17.54 | 17.2 | 30 | |
| MTBE | 14.69 | 0.36 | 18.05 | 0 | 81.4 | 65 | 135 | 17.26 | 16.0 | 30 | |
| Naphthalene | 21.59 | 0.52 | 26.2 | 0 | 82.4 | 65 | 135 | 25.94 | 18.3 | 30 | |
| o-xylene | 18.75 | 0.43 | 21.7 | 0 | 86.4 | 65 | 135 | 20.92 | 10.9 | 30 | |
| Styrene | 18.66 | 0.43 | 21.3 | 0 | 87.6 | 65 | 135 | 20.83 | 11.0 | 30 | |
| Tetrachloroethene | 29.43 | 0.68 | 33.9 | 0 | 86.8 | 65 | 135 | 32.82 | 10.9 | 30 | |
| Tetrahydrofuran | 11.62 | 0.30 | 14.75 | 0 | 78.8 | 65 | 135 | 13.69 | 16.3 | 30 | |
| Toluene | 15.53 | 0.38 | 18.85 | 0 | 82.4 | 65 | 135 | 17.83 | 13.8 | 30 | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 16.12 | 0.40 | 19.8 | 0 | 81.4 | 65 | 135 | 19.05 | 16.7 | 30 | |
| Trichloroethene | 22.34 | 0.54 | 26.85 | 0 | 83.2 | 65 | 135 | 25.61 | 13.7 | 30 | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 19.45 | 0.50 | 24.75 | 0 | 78.6 | 65 | 135 | 24.16 | 21.6 | 30 | |
| Vinyl Acetate | 15.14 | 0.35 | 17.6 | 0 | 86.0 | 65 | 135 | 17.6 | 15.1 | 30 | |
| Vinyl Chloride | 9.677 | 0.26 | 12.8 | 0 | 75.6 | 65 | 135 | 11.57 | 17.8 | 30 | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 4.300 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 86.0 | 50 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Qualifiers:

Recovery of the MS and/or MSD was out of control due t 4 3

S

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

CLIENT: Schutze & Associates Inc Work Order: 0704041 5239 **Project:**

ANALYTICAL QC SUMMARY REPORT

BatchID: R12440

| Sample ID: BLK-G | SampType: MBLK | TestCode: TO-14(Mod) Units: µg/m ³ | Prep Date: | RunNo: 12440 |
|-------------------|------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Client ID: ZZZZZ | Batch ID: R12440 | TestNo: TO-14(MOD) | Analysis Date: 4/17/2007 | SeqNo: 183409 |
| Analyte | Result | PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val | %REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val | %RPD RPDLimit Qual |
| Gasoline | ND | 35 | | |
| Sample ID: LCS-G | SampType: LCS | TestCode: TO-14(Mod) Units: µg/m ³ | Prep Date: | RunNo: 12440 |
| Client ID: ZZZZZ | Batch ID: R12440 | TestNo: TO-14(MOD) | Analysis Date: 4/17/2007 | SeqNo: 183410 |
| Analyte | Result | PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val | %REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val | %RPD RPDLimit Qual |
| Gasoline | 345.0 | 35 352 0 | 98.0 65 135 | |
| Sample ID: LCSD-G | SampType: LCSD | TestCode: TO-14(Mod) Units: µg/m ³ | Prep Date: | RunNo: 12440 |
| Client ID: ZZZZZ | Batch ID: R12440 | TestNo: TO-14(MOD) | Analysis Date: 4/17/2007 | SeqNo: 183411 |
| Analyte | Result | PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val | %REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val | %RPD RPDLimit Qual |
| Gasoline | 338.6 | 35 352 0 | 96.2 65 135 345 | 1.87 50 |

S

| | 483 Sinclair Fronta Milpitas, CA 9503 Phone: 408.263.52 FAX: 408.263.8293 www.torrentlab.cor | ge Road 5 58 3 n | • NG | OTE: SHA | | | OF ARE F | CL OR TO | | | USE C | NLY • | LAT 07 | WORK ORDER NO |
|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Company Name: Schutze | ASSOCIAT | les, l | uc. | Locat | ion of S | ampling | I: _ | 52: | 39 | Tel | 2300 | 104 | | |
| Address: 436-14 the street | Suite 1216 | 1 De | | Purpo | ose: | | | | | | 0 1 | | | |
| City: Oalland S | tate: CA | Zip Code | 94612 | 2 Speci | al Instru | ictions / | Comm | ents: | | | | | | |
| Telephone: 510 625 8175 FA | x: 510 62 | 5 81 | 76 | 1 | Pleas | ser | epor | + te | pah | tach. | ed o | leter | tion, | Limits |
| REPORT TO: Silver Re | SAMPLER: | lunt | e | P.O. | #: | | | | 1 | EMAIL: | VS | asd | utze. | -inc.com |
| TURNAROUND TIME: 10 Work Days 3 Work Days Noon - N 7 Work Days 2 Work Days 2 - 8 Hoi 5 Work Days 1 Work Day Other | Axt Day urs Uxt Day Urs Storm Water Waste Water Ground Water Soil | Air Air | CC Le CC Le EDF Excel | FORMAT: | PA 8260B - Full List PA 8260B - 8010 List | HP gas KBTEX kygenates KMTBE | IP Diesel Si-Gel otor Oil | esticide - 8081 | CB - 8082 | JFT 5 7 Metals | 270 Full List AHs Only | | | ANALYSIS REQUESTED |
| LAB ID CLIENT'S SAMPLE I.D. | DATE / TIME SAMPLED | MATRIX | # OF CONT | CONT TYPE | | NO AN | μ | | | Metals | | | | REMARKS |
| SB-1 | 4/10/07 952 | SG | 1 | Sing | | X | | | | | | | - (| DOIA |
| SB-7 | 830 715 | SG | 1 | Sure | | X | | | - 44 1 | | | | - (| 002A |
| SB-3 | 958_1030 | SG | i | 4 | | X | | | | | | | - (| 003A |
| (A-01 | 1057 727 | 1A | 1 | | 15 | X | | | | | | | - (| 004A |
| 04-01 | 1103-729 | 0.A | i | h | | × | | | | | | | - (| 005A |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Relinquished Ba: Print: | Date: | 0/07 | Time: | 20:30 | Recei | flor | , | - | Print: Herv | nont | Ami | Date: 4/1 | 0/07 | Time: 20:40 |
| 2 Print: | Date: | | Time: | | Recei | ved By: | | | Print: | | | Date: | | Time: |
| Were Samples Received in Good Condition? NOTE: Samples are discarded by the labor Log In By: | Yes NO S pratory 30 days from dat Date: H 2 | amples on lo | ce? DYe unless othe .og In Revi | es D NO er arrange | Metho men | d of Ship ts are m | oment ade. | | D | ate: | S | ample se | als intact? | Yes NO N/ |

.

Appendix B

Drilling Permit

Alameda County Public Works Agency - Water Resources Well Permit

| Same? | 199 (Cale - Cale | |
|-------|------------------|-------|
| 18 | 11 | |
| | Share and | 00000 |
| 1 | PUBLIC | 1 |
| 19 | WORKS | L |

399 Elmhurst Street Hayward, CA 94544-1395 Telephone: (510)670-6633 Fax:(510)782-1939

| Application Approve | d on: 04/06/2007 By jamesy | Permit Numbers: W2007-0511 Permits Valid from 04/10/2007 to 04/10/2007 | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Application Id: Site Location: | 1175815773544 Vacant Restaurant | City of Project Site:Oakland | | | | |
| | 5239 Telegraph Avenue | | | | | |
| Project Start Date: | Oakland, CA 94612 04/10/2007 | Completion Date:04/10/2007 | | | | |
| Applicant: | SCHUTZE & Associates, Inc Jan Schutze 436 - 14th Street, Suite 1216, Oakland, CA 94609 | Phone: 510-625-8175 | | | | |
| Property Owner: | Ray Marino 5107 Indian Island Road, Weed, CA 96094 | Phone: 530-938-2777 | | | | |
| Client: Contact: | ** same as Property Owner ** Jan Schutze | Phone: 510-625-8176 Cell: 415-517-8100 | | | | |

| Receipt Number: WR2007-0156 | Total Amount Paid: | \$200.00 |
|---|--------------------|--------------|
| Payer Name : Jan Schutze/Schutze & Asso | cPaid By: VISA | PAID IN FULL |

Works Requesting Permits:

Borehole(s) for Geo Probes-Sampling 24 to 72 hours only - 3 Boreholes Driller: Environmental Control Associates, Inc. - Lic #: 695970 - Method: DP

Work Total: \$200.00

Specifications

| Permit | Issued Dt | Expire Dt | # | Hole Diam | Max Depth |
|--------|------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Number | | | Boreholes | | |
| W2007- | 04/06/2007 | 07/09/2007 | 3 | 2.00 in. | 15.00 ft |
| 0511 | | | | | |

Specific Work Permit Conditions

1. Backfill bore hole by tremie with cement grout or cement grout/sand mixture. Upper two-three feet replaced in kind or with compacted cuttings. All cuttings remaining or unused shall be containerized and hauled off site.

2. Boreholes shall not be left open for a period of more than 24 hours. All boreholes left open more than 24 hours will need approval from Alameda County Public Works Agency, Water Resources Section. All boreholes shall be backfilled according to permit destruction requirements and all concrete material and asphalt material shall be to Caltrans Spec or County/City Codes. No borehole(s) shall be left in a manner to act as a conduit at any time.

3. Permittee shall assume entire responsibility for all activities and uses under this permit and shall indemnify, defend and save the Alameda County Public Works Agency, its officers, agents, and employees free and harmless from any and all expense, cost, liability in connection with or resulting from the exercise of this Permit including, but not limited to, properly damage, personal injury and wrongful death.

4. Copy of approved drilling permit must be on site at all times. Failure to present or show proof of the approved permit application on site shall result in a fine of \$500.00.

5. Permit is valid only for the purpose specified herein. No changes in construction procedures, as described on this permit application. Boreholes shall not be converted to monitoring wells, without a permit application process.

6. No Inspector Assigned to this site.