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### 1.0 I NTRODUCTI ON AND BACKGROUND

ERAS Environmental, Inc. (ERAS) is pleased to present this report with the findings of the subsurface investigation and groundwater well monitoring conducted in June 2008 at the PACO Pumps, Inc. (PACO) fuel leak site at 9201 San Leandro Street in Oakland, California (the "Property"). The location of the Property is shown on Figure 1, Site Location Map. The site layout is shown on Figure 2.

This investigation is based on the Work Plan for Former PACO Pumps Facility (ERAS, March 17, 2008) and on the Technical Comments in the letter from Alameda County Environmental Health dated May 9, 2008 that is included in Appendix A. The objectives of this investigation are as follows.

1. Locate the terminus of the piping that extended from the former 550 -gallon UST.
2. Delineate the horizontal and vertical extent of contamination along the western Property boundary adjacent to railroad tracks to assess if contamination was sufficiently removed by previous excavations in that area.
3. Collect soil samples in the near the western corner of the Property to delineate the PCB contamination in the area of well MW-1.
4. Collect soil samples to further delineate motor oil and other hydrocarbon contamination near the south corner of the Property and to assess if any chlorinated hydrocarbon contamination associated with the adjacent paint room facility may have impacted the shallow soil in the area.
5. Characterize the contamination of the soil and groundwater Characterize the contamination of the soil and groundwater in the vicinity of the former 550-gallon UST, including vertical variation of groundwater contamination, in the vicinity of the former 550-gallon UST, and in down-gradient locations along the Property boundaries to assess if contamination associated with the former UST has advanced offsite.
6. Collect groundwater grab-samples in the down-gradient and cross-gradient directions from well MW-4 to assess if the well is likely to be representative of the groundwater contamination in the vicinity of the suspected second UST and the down-gradient extent of contamination associated with the suspected UST.
7. Collect a soil-gas sample adjacent to well 9MW-3 and the former 550-gallong UST to characterize the location of maximum likely vapor-phase contamination.
8. Add detail to the floor usage inside the buildings particularly in the area near the 550gallon UST.

This investigation was conducted in conjunction with a groundwater monitoring event for the five onsite groundwater monitoring wells.

### 1.1 PREVI OUS INVESTI GATI ONS

The following is a summary of the previous subsurface investigation that has been performed at the Property. Work prior to 2007 was performed by the environmental consultant for PACO, Jonas \& Associates. The analytical results from the previous investigations for which information was available was compiled in Tables 1 through 4.

## 1992 UST Removal

A Soil Characterization Report and Work Plan by Jonas \& Associates dated in October 1992 identified a former 550-gallon UST located on the southeast side of the Operations Building (see Figure 2) on the Property. The UST was removed and gasoline impacted soil was discovered. This site was over excavated but impacted soil remained near the foundation of the building to the west of the former UST.

## 1992 Soil Boring Investigation

Soil samples were collected in 1992 from twenty-five locations on the Property. The sample analysis did not detect concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), or pesticides with one exception. A soil sample from boring B18, located at the southeastern side of the Property near the wood shop building, contained elevated concentrations of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as kerosene (TPH-k) and as motor oil (TPH-mo) at shallow depths in an area of surface staining.

The concentration of TPH-k of 8,000 milligrams per kilogram ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ ) is above the current (November 2007) Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Environmental Screening Level (ESL) of $83 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$. The concentration of $T P H-m o$ of $8,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ was above the ESL of $410 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$. The contaminants detected at B18 were not detected in the nearest sample location B19 northeast or in B16 to the southwest indicating the contamination appeared to be limited in extent.

This report also contained a map displaying details of the excavation and soil samples collected in the area suspected to contain a former UST. Piping found in the excavation was believed to be associated with the former UST and is shown on the map which is included as Appendix B.

## 2000 Risk Management Plan and Monitoring

The Risk Management Plan (Jonas \& Associates, 2000) addresses a ventilation system to mitigate vapor exposure risks within a room of Building 4, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB) in soil, health and safety plans and buyer notification.

The plan recommended that the ventilation system should be maintained, that a small area of PCB contaminated soil currently covered by an asphalt cap not be disturbed, that a Health and Safety Plan be prepared prior to excavation activities in specified areas, that disclosure of these conditions be made to future buyers and that a Risk Management Plan be maintained and provided to any future owner.

The report also documents the detection of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) above the RWQCB ESL of $0.089 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in the western corner of the property, at 0.4 milligrams per kilogram $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg})$ in soil from boring B 6 , and $0.67 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in soil from boring B7. These analytical results of these and other sample results are presented in Table 1.

## 2002 Addendum to Risk Management Plan

The Addendum to Risk Based Corrective Action Model (Jonas \& Associates, 2002) evaluated indoor air risk from benzene in soil vapors and evaluated the RBCA model using a residential scenario. This RBCA identified two carcinogenic risks, based on the average and on the maximum groundwater results, using the residential indoor air exposure carcinogenic risk simulations.

## Groundwater Monitoring

A total of five groundwater monitoring wells MW1 through MW5 have been installed at the Property. Monitoring of the groundwater wells was regularly conducted from 1992 to 1998, and occasionally since then. The locations of these wells are shown on Figure 2.

All of the wells except for MW3 have contained only low or less than detectable concentrations of gasoline hydrocarbons. Samples from MW3 have contained high concentrations, up to 40,000 micrograms per liter ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) of TPH-g and 9,000 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ of benzene.

## Missing Reports

Several investigations were conducted between 1987 and 1991, while the Property was owned by PACO. Due to an ongoing legal case, ERAS is not authorized to contact PACO Pumps to retrieve the documents requested in the ACEH letter (Appendix A).

### 1.2 GEOLOGY and HYDROGEOLOGY

The Property is located near the northern edge of an area known as the San Leandro Cone, which is in the Fremont of the Santa Clara Valley Groundwater Basin (California Department of Water Resources, 1967). The San Leandro Cone generally consists of thick permeable units separated by thick impermeable units. These sediments act as a groundwater recharge area of the Santa Clara Valley Groundwater Basin. Groundwater in the vicinity occurs in thin discontinuous water bearing strata. The regional groundwater flow follows the topography, moving from areas of higher elevation to areas of lower elevation. The regional groundwater flow direction in the area of the Property has been determined to be to be to the southwest toward San Francisco Bay.

The sediments in the vicinity of the Property are fine-grained alluvial sediments that represent distal deposits of alluvial fans that were deposited by rivers draining upland surfaces to the west and east of the Property. These sediments were deposited in a low energy environment on the margins of San Francisco Bay. At shallow depths beneath these sediments are a series of Recent-age ( $<10,000$ years) blue clay layers that become increasingly thicker toward San Francisco Bay (Helley, et al, 1974). These clay layers are known as the Bay Mud and were deposited in San Francisco Bay during higher stands of sea level. In the vicinity of the Property it is likely that several hundred feet of these sediments overlie sandstone and serpentine sedimentary and metamorphic rocks of the Jurassic-aged Franciscan Formation bedrock.

### 2.0 WORK PERFORMED

ERAS conducted the subsurface investigation on June 12, 13, and 16, 2008 and groundwater well sampling on June 17 and 18, 2008. Prior to soil boring activities a permit was obtained from the Alameda County Public Works Department and is included as Appendix C. The drilling area was marked for USA Digs three days in advance so that private utility companies could mark their lines. All boring locations were given final clearance by Scan Tech of San Jose, a private underground line locator. All soil and groundwater waste are temporarily stored onsite in $55-$ gallon drums. Pick-up and disposal to an appropriate waste facility has been requested.

### 2.1 PI PI NG ASSOCI ATED WITH FORMER 550-GALLON UST

ERAS attempted to locate the piping associated with the former 550 -gallon UST on June $12^{\text {th }}$ 2008. Scan Tech of San Jose was contracted by ERAS to clear the area where the piping was shown on the map included as Appendix B. ERAS planned to expose the piping using a shovel.

ERAS was not able to search this area due to a gas line and electrical line crossing the location preventing the overlaying concrete and asphalt from being cut. Scan Tech did not detect any lines heading toward the former tank pit.

### 2.2 HAND AUGER BORI NGS AND SOI L SAMPLI NG

The standard operating procedures for collection of soil samples from hand borings are included in Appendix D. Boring Logs for all hand auger borings are included in Appendix E.

### 2.2.1 SOIL ALONG RAILROAD TRACKS

Sample locations are shown on Figure 3. Elevated concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons were reported in Pit3 and Pit4, sampled in 1987 (see Table 1). A total of six soil borings (Pit3SE, Pit3E, Pit3NW, Pit4SE, Pit4NW, and Pit4E) were dug using a hand-auger on June $12^{\text {th }}$ 2008 in the vicinity of these elevated concentrations to total depths of 2 to 3.5 feet bgs. Two 3 -inch soil samples were collected from each boring, one soil sample from between 1 and 1.5 foot bgs, and a second from between 2.5 and 3.5 feet bgs.

Soil samples from the borings were stored in the field in a cooler with blue ice. The samples were refrigerated until transport under chain-of-custody procedures to the laboratory for analysis of total petroleum hydrocarbons as motor oil (TPH-mo) TPH-d, BTEX, MTBE, and polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) which including creosote.

### 2.2.2 PCB IN SOIL

ERAS advanced three borings (HA-1, HA-2, and HA-3) on June $12^{\text {th }}, 2008$ by hand in the locations shown on the Figure 4. Boring HA-1 was advanced to a depth of 3.25 feet bgs and borings HA-2 and HA-3 were advanced to a depth of 2.75 feet bgs. All three borings were logged and soil samples were collected from 1.25-1.5 feet bgs. A soil sample was also collected from 3-3.25 feet bgs from HA-1 and 2.5-2.75 feet bgs from HA-2 and HA-3.

Soil samples from the borings were stored in the field in a cooler with blue ice. The samples were refrigerated until transport under chain-of-custody procedures to the laboratory. The soil samples from the borings were submitted to a state certified laboratory and analyzed PCBs by EPA method SW8082A.

### 2.2.3 BORINGS IN VICINITY OF MW-2

Elevated concentrations of kerosene and motor oil were found in soil from this area. ERAS advanced three borings (HA-4, HA-5, and HA-6) on June $12^{\text {th }}, 2008$ by hand in the locations shown on Figure 5. The soil borings were advanced to a depth of 3 feet bgs and soil samples were collected from 1-1.25 feet bgs and 2.75-3 feet bgs for analysis.

Soil samples from the borings were stored in the field in a cooler with blue ice. The samples were refrigerated until transport under chain-of-custody procedures to the laboratory. The samples were submitted to a state certified laboratory for analysis for TPH-d, TPH-mo, and TPH-k and volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

### 2.3 DI RECT-PUSH BORI NG AND SAMPLI NG

The standard operating procedures for direct-push boring and sampling are included in
Appendix D. Boring Logs for GP-1 through GP-8, and SG-1 are included in Appendix E.

### 2.3.1 FORMER 550-GALLON UST

Concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons above the ESL for potential drinking water have been consistently detected in samples from monitoring well MW-3. Seven sample borings were advanced on June $12^{\text {th }}, 13^{\text {th }}$, and $16^{\text {th }} 2008$ to characterize fuel hydrocarbon contamination in soil, groundwater and soil-gas.

### 2.3.1.1 Soil and Groundwater Sampling

The locations of borings GP-1 through GP-8 are shown on Figure 2. Borings GP-2, GP-4, and GP-6 were located approximately 5 to 15 feet from the edge of the former 550 -gallon excavation on the northwest, northeast, and southeast sides. Boring GP-8 was advanced along the southwest Property boundary to collect soil and groundwater samples to assess if contamination is migrating off-site in that direction. Borings GP-1 and GP-5 were located along the property boundary northwest of the operations building to assess if contamination is migrating offsite in the down-gradient direction.

The borings were advanced using a direct push sample rig by Vironex of Pacheco. Boring GP-6, located inside the building was drilled using a limited access rig. Soil samples were collected above first water for chemical analysis from those borings in which evidence of contamination was observed. The soil cores were screened for total organic vapors using an organic vapor monitor (OVM) to aid in the selection of soil samples for analysis.

Borings GP-1 and GP-2 were continuously cored to 40 feet bgs. A groundwater sample was collected at the top of the water table by inserting a temporary piezometer with 5 feet of screen to the base of the boring, 16 feet bgs for GP-1 and 13.5 feet bgs for GP-2. The groundwater
samples were collected using plastic tubing with a metal ball check at the base of the tubing (WaTerra-style pump). The ball-check was decontaminated prior to sampling. Groundwater samples were decanted directly into appropriate sample containers which were promptly labeled and stored in a cooler with blue-ice. After shallow water sample was complete, the temporary casing was removed and coring continued for descriptive logging and identification of groundwater sample intervals. Groundwater sample borings were located about 1 foot north of GP-1 and GP-2 for the collection of samples using a Hydropunch ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ sampler. In both GP-1 and GP-2 unsuccessful attempts were made to collect groundwater samples from the interval to represent the basal portion of the screened interval of existing wells MW-1 through MW-5 (18 to 22 feet bgs for GP-1 and 15 to 19 feet for GP-2). However the fine-grained formation silted the sample screen and water did not enter the sample barrel.

All soil and water samples for the remaining locations, GP-4, GP-5, GP-6 and GP-8 were collected from a single boring. The borings were continuously cored until sufficient water entered the boring for the top-of-water sample which collected through a new temporary casing as described above, except in boring GP-8. The attempt to collect the first-water sample using a temporary casing was unsuccessful because the boring caved so that the casing could not be pushed into the water-bearing zone. A shallow water sample using the Hydropunch sampler across the caved interval was also unsuccessful. The shallowest water sample from boring GP-8 was collected from the interval 20 to 24 feet bgs through a Hydropunch sampler. Deeper water samples were collected from the intervals 25 to 29 feet bgs, and 31 to 35 feet bgs. The limited access sample rig encountered refusal at about 30 feet bgs, so the deepest interval water sample was not collected in that boring.

The samples were kept chilled until transport under chain-of-custody by the State certified environmental analytical laboratory. All soil and groundwater samples collected were submitted to a state certified laboratory and analyzed for TPH-g, BTEX, and 5 oxygenates, EDB and 1,2DCA.

### 2.3.1.2 Soil-Gas Sampling

On June $16^{\text {th }} 2008$ ERAS collected one soil-gas and one soil sample from direct-push boring SG-1 located adjacent to monitoring well MW-3. The location of SG-1 is shown on Figure 6. The boring was advanced using a direct push sample rig by Vironex of Pacheco. The soil-gas sample was collected by advancing the soil vapor tip to a depth of 5.5 feet bgs and bulling back $1 / 2$ foot to expose the sample tip from 5-5.5 feet bgs. The area where the rods exit the ground was sealed with hydrated bentonite. The summa canister was leak tested. The tubing and sample interval was purged of three volumes of gas to remove ambient air. A cloth moistened with isopropyl alcohol was tied to all fittings and was periodically re-moistened during sampling.

The soil vapor sample was collected into Summa canisters fitted with a 30 -minute flow meter. The sampling was stopped when the vacuum decreased to 5 inches Hg , after about 41 minutes. The vapor sample was submitted to a state certified laboratory and analyzed for TPH-g by Method TO-3, benzene by EPA Method 8250, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, MTBE, and isopropyl alcohol (leak detection compound) by EPA method TO15, oxygen, carbon dioxide and methane by EPA Method D1946.

Once the soil gas sample was collected the boring was advanced to a depth of 15 feet bgs for the collection of a soil sample beneath the soil gas sample. Soil was continuously logged and screened to collect relative level of contamination using an organic vapor meter to the base of the boring. One soil sample was collected from 9.5-10 feet bgs for chemical analysis. The soil sample collected was submitted to a state certified laboratory and analyzed for TPH-g by EPA Method 8015, and BTEX, five oxygenates, EDB and 1,2-DCA by EPA method 8260.

### 2.3.2 SUSPECTED $2^{\text {ND }}$ UST AREA

No documents verifying the location of this UST near MW-4 reported by Jonas were found in the files of the City of Oakland Fire Department or in the previous reports made available to ERAS. The Jonas report (October 16, 1992) indicated their mapped location of this UST was based on verbal communication of a previous employee of PACO Pumps.

This warehouse is built on a heavily steel reinforced concrete floor for heavy forklift traffic and contains numerous large steel racks. Due to the steel reinforced concrete and the steel racks ground penetrating radar along with other methods of locating the exact location of this UST are not likely to succeed under current conditions. Therefore, it is not feasible at this time to physically locate the UST pit and confirm if the tank was removed while current business operations are ongoing.

ERAS attempted to advance three borings, as shown on Figure 2, down-gradient and crossgradient of well MW-4 to investigate the extent of dissolved contamination that may be associated with this suspect $2^{\text {nd }}$ UST. Borings GP-3 and GP-7 were advanced on June $13^{\text {th }}$ and $16^{\text {th }} 2008$ by Vironex of Pacheco using a direct push sample rig. The planned boring located southeast of the office building was not able to be advanced due to a number of underground high pressure fire suppression lines in this desired location. The nearby alleyway between the buildings was not feasible as a replacement location due to high truck traffic. Therefore, that boring was aborted. A feasible replacement will be proposed later in this report.

Borings GP-3 and GP-7 were advanced to 35 feet bgs. Soil was continuously cored for lithologic logging and screened to 20 feet bgs for borings GP-3 and 15 feet bgs for boring GP-7 to collect relative level of contamination using an organic vapor meter. One soil sample was collected from the vadose zone from boring GP-3 for chemical analysis. Groundwater samples were collected after the first water bearing zone was encountered. Once the necessary samples were collected from the initial water bearing zone a Hydropunch ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ sampler was utilized to collect discrete samples from 25-29 feet bgs and 31-35 feet bgs from boring GP-3, along with a sample from 25-29 feet bgs from borings GP-7. A discrete sample from 31-35 feet bgs was unable to be collected from boring GP-7 due to insufficient water.

All groundwater samples were collected using plastic tubing with a metal ball check at the base of the tubing (WaTerra-style pump). The ball-check was decontaminated prior to sampling. Groundwater samples were decanted directly into appropriate sample containers which were promptly labeled and stored in a cooler with blue-ice. The samples were kept chilled until transport under chain-of-custody by the State certified environmental analytical laboratory.

All soil and groundwater samples collected were submitted to a state certified laboratory and analyzed for TPH-d, TPH-g, BTEX, and five oxygenates, EDB and 1,2-DCA.

### 2.4 WELL MONITORI NG AND SAMPLI NG

On the $17^{\text {th }}$ and $18^{\text {th }}$ of June 2008, ERAS recorded groundwater elevations and collected groundwater samples from five on-site monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, and MW-5. The locations of the monitoring wells are shown on Figure 2. The standard operating procedure for groundwater sampling is included as Appendix D.

At each monitoring well, the water-tight cap was removed and the water level in the well was allowed to equilibrate to atmospheric pressure at least one-half hour. Static water level was measured using an electronic water-level probe. The probe was decontaminated between wells using a non-phosphate detergent and rinsed with purified water. The field records of water-level measurements are included in Appendix F.

Groundwater was purged using a new disposable bailer from each well until the pH , conductivity, and temperature stabilized to within $10 \%$. Samples were then decanted from the bailers using VOC-tips into appropriate containers (except for THP-d sample). The well purging and sampling forms are included in Appendix F. The sample containers were labeled and stored in a cooler with blue-ice, to be transported under chain-of-custody documentation to the State certified analytical laboratory for analysis of THP-g, BTEX, five oxygenates, 1,2-DCA and EDB. The chain-of-custody form is included in Appendix G.

Purge water is temporarily stored onsite. A request has been submitted to Integrated Wastestream Management (IWM) for transport of the 55-gallon drum will be transported to an appropriate disposal facility.

### 3.0 RESULTS OF I NVESTI GATI ON

### 3.1 HYDROGEOLOGY

The depth-to-water data and casing elevation data was used to calculate the groundwater elevation in Table 5. The groundwater elevation data was used to infer the contours in the potentiometric map of Figure 6. The groundwater flow direction between MW-3 and MW-4 was determined to be to the southwest with a gradient of $0.006 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$. The groundwater flow direction under the operations building was found to be toward the northwest at a gradient of $0.003 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$. This groundwater flow pattern mirrors the topographic contours shown on Figure 1.

The descriptive field boring logs are included as Attachment E . Beneath the asphalt/concrete the subsurface consisted of clay, with interbedded silt and minor silty sand to about 24 feet bgs. Groundwater was found to occur in two water-bearing zones. First groundwater was encountered at depths between 12.5 and 20 feet bgs. Top of water in the wells has historically been measured as between 7 and 11 feet below top-of-casing. The deeper apparent top-of water in the borings may be due to clay smear of the direct-push boring making water more difficult to detect, rather than confined groundwater conditions. Thus the upper water-bearing zone is in the fine-grained materials from 7 to about 24 feet bgs The lower water-bearing zone encountered below about 24 feet in borings GP-1 and GP-2 was comprised of poorly graded fine to medium grained sand alternating with well sorted sand and gravel.

### 3.2 ANALYTICAL RESULTS

### 3.2.1 SOIL

### 3.2.1.1 Soil Along Railroad Tracks

The descriptive field boring logs are included as Attachment E. Sand and sandy gravel were encountered in borings Pit3SE, Pit3E, Pit3NW, Pit4SE, Pit4E, and Pit4NW to a depth of 2 to 2.5 feet and extended to the base of the borings. No hydrocarbon odors or elevate OVM detections were present.

Soil samples from borings Pit3SE, Pit3E, Pit3NW, Pit4SE, Pit4E, and Pit4NW were submitted to a state certified laboratory and analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons as motor oil (TPH-mo), TPH-d, BTEX, MTBE, and polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) which include Creosote. The laboratory analytical report is included as Appendix G. A table displaying the results is included as Table 6.

TPH-d was only detected above the ESL in the sample collected from Pit3SE at a depth of 1.251.5 feet bgs at a concentration of $140 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$. TPH-mo was only detected above the ESL in the sample collected from Pit3SE at a depth of $1.25-1.5$ feet bgs at a concentration of $550 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$. The only PAH that was detected above the ESLs was benzo(a) pyrene in the samples collected from Pit3NW at a depth of 1.25-1.5 feet bgs and Pit4SE at a depth of 1-1.25. The
concentrations of benzo(a)pyrene were $0.15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ in Pit3NW and 0.042 in boring Pit4SE. No concentrations of BTEX or MTBE were detected above the MRL.

### 3.2.1.2 PCBs in Soil

The descriptive field boring logs are included as Appendix E. Beneath the concrete/asphalt silty clay was encountered in borings HA-1 and HA-2. Sandy gravel was encountered to the base of the boring in HA-3. No PCB odors were present.

The soil samples from borings HA-1, HA-2, and HA-3 were submitted to a state certified laboratory and analyzed PCBs by EPA method SW8082A. The laboratory analytical report is included as Appendix G. A table displaying the results is included as Table 6.

PCB's were only detected above the ESLs in samples collected from boring HA-2 at a depth of 2.5-2.75 feet bgs and HA-3 at a depth of 2.5-2.75 feet bgs. The concentrations were $0.050 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ and $0.140 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ respectively.

### 3.2.1.3 Boring MW-2 Area

The descriptive field boring logs are included as Appendix E. Sand and sandy gravel were encountered to a depth of 2 feet bgs where a silty clay was encountered which extended to the base of the boring. Hydrocarbon odors were present in all three borings (HA-4, HA-5, and HA-6).

The samples from borings HA-4, HA-5, and HA-6 were submitted to a state certified laboratory for analysis for TPH-d, TPH-mo, and TPH-kerosene by EPA method 8015, BTEX, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) by EPA method 8260. The laboratory analytical report is included as Appendix G. A table displaying the results is included as Table 6.

TPH-d was detected above the ESL in the samples collected from boring HA-5 at a depth of 11.25 feet bgs and HA-6 at a depth of 1-1.25 feet bgs. The detected concentrations of TPH-d were $1,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ and $7,600 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ respectively. TPH-mo was detected above the ESL in the samples collected from boring HA-5 at a depth of 1-1.25 feet bgs and HA-6 at a depth of 1-1.25 feet bgs. The detected concentrations of TPH-d were $1,600 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ and $20,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ respectively.

TPH-k was detected above the ESL in the samples collected from boring HA-5 at a depth of 11.25 feet bgs and HA-6 at a depth of 1-1.25 feet bgs. The detected concentrations of TPH-d were $1,200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ and $2,700 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ respectively. No concentrations of VOCs were detected above the ESL in any of samples collected from HA-4, HA-5, or HA-6. No concentrations of THd, TPH-mo, or TPH-k above the ESLs were detected in the samples collected from boring HA-4.

### 3.2.1.4 Soil From Direct-Push Borings

All soil samples collected from direct push borings were submitted to a state certified laboratory and analyzed for TPH-g by EPA 8015, and for BTEX, five oxygenates, EDB and 1,2-DCA by EPA Method 8260. The laboratory analytical report is included as Appendix H. A table displaying the analytical results is included as Table 6.

Concentrations of TPH-g were detected above the ESLs in the samples collected from borings GP-2, GP-4, GP-6, and SG-1 ranging from $340 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ (GP-2) to $520 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ (GP-6). Concentrations of benzene were detected above the ESLs in the samples collected from borings GP-2, GP-4, GP-6 and SG-1 ranging from $0.72 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ (GP-4) to $4.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ (GP-6). No concentrations of MTBE were detected in the soil samples collected from borings GP-2, GP-4, GP-6, GP-8 or SG-1. No concentrations of TPH-g or BTEX were detected in the soil sample collected from GP-8.

The soil sample collected from boring GP-3 to assess contamination associated with the suspected second UST located near well MW-4 was also analyzed for TPH-d by EPA method 8015. No concentrations of TPH-d, TPH-g, BTEX, or MTBE were detected in the soil sample collected from borings GP-3 above the laboratory reporting limit.

### 3.2.2 GROUNDWATER

All groundwater samples were analyzed for TPH-g by EPA Method 8015, and for BTEX, five oxygenates, EDB and 1,2-DCA by EPA Method 8260. The groundwater samples collected from groundwater monitoring well MW-4 and borings GP-3 and GP-7 was also analyzed for TPH-d by EPA method 8015. The analytical results for groundwater grab-samples are shown on Table 2, and groundwater samples from monitoring wells area shown on Table 5. The laboratory reports are included as Appendix H.

### 3.2.2.1 Shallow Water-Bearing Zone

Groundwater samples from the shallow water-bearing zone were collected from the monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-5 and borings GP-1 through GP-8. The concentrations of TPH-g and benzene in the shallow water-bearing zone are shown on Figure 6. Concentrations of TPH-g were detected in the groundwater samples collected from the shallow water bearing zone (8.524 feet bgs) from borings GP-2, GP-4, and GP-6 and wells MW-3 and MW-4 ranged from $81 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (MW-4) to $45,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (GP-2 8.5-13.5).

Concentrations of benzene detected in the groundwater samples collected from the shallow water bearing zone (8.5-24 feet bgs) from borings GP-2, GP-4, GP-6, well MW-4 and MW-3 ranged from $11 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (MW-4) to $4,400 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (MW-3). MTBE was detected above the ESL ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) in the shallow water sample from GP-8 (20-24 feet bgs) at $6.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$. MTBE was not detected in the water samples from the vicinity of the 550-gallon UST (well MW-3, and borings GP-2, GP-4, and GP-6), but the reporting limits were elevated due to high concentrations of other compounds.

Only the groundwater samples from well MW-4, borings GP-3 and GP-7 were analyzed for TPH-d. TPH-d was detected above the ESL ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) in the shallow samples from GP-3 at 180 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ TPH-d and GP-7 at $280 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ TPH-d. TPH-d was not detected above the reporting limit (50 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) in the sample from well MW-4.

### 3.2.2.2 Lower Water-Bearing Zone

Groundwater grab-samples from the interval between 24 and 29 feet bgs were collected from borings GP-1 through GP-8. Groundwater from this interval from the borings adjacent to the 550-gallon UST, GP-2, GP-4, and GP-6 were found to contain concentrations of TPH-g and benzene ranging from $210 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ TPH-g and $7.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ benzene (GP-2) to $12,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ TPH-g and $240 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ benzene (GP-4). Concentrations of MTBE were detected in the samples from borings GP-2 at $12 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ MTBE and GP-8 at $6.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ MTBE. The MTBE reporting limit for the samples GP4 and GP-6 were elevated to the ESL of $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$. No concentrations of TPH-g, BTEX or MTBE above the ESLs were detected in the groundwater samples collected from this interval in borings GP-1, GP-3, or GP-5. TPH-d was not detected in the samples from GP-3 and GP-7.

Groundwater grab-samples from the interval between 31 and 36 feet bgs were collected from borings GP-1 through GP-5, and GP-8. Concentrations of TPH-g and benzene were detected in the samples collected from borings GP-2 and GP-4, adjacent to the UST, at $70 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ THP-g and $5.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ benzene in GP-2, and $330 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ TPH-g and $15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ benzene in GP-4. Benzene was detected in the sample from boring GP-1 at $0.71 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, which is below the ESL. No concentrations of TPH-g or BTEX were detected in the groundwater samples collected from this interval in borings GP-3, GP-5, or GP-8.

### 3.3.3 SOIL-GAS

The soil vapor sample SG-1 was submitted to a state certified laboratory and analyzed for TPH-g by TO-3, BTEX, MTBE, and isopropyl alcohol (leak detection compound) by TO15, and oxygen, carbon dioxide and methane by EPA Method D1946. The laboratory analytical report is included as Appendix I. The results are presented in Table 4.

Sample SG-1, 5-5.5 was found to contain TPH-g at $120,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and benzene at 11,000 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, which are above the ESLs.

### 4.0 SI TE CONCEPTUAL MODEL

### 4.1 HYDROGEOLOGY

Shallow groundwater flows toward west under most of the site, but toward the northwest in the vicinity of the southeast Property boundary. This conforms to the topography as shown in Figure 1. The top of groundwater is roughly from 8 to 10 feet bgs.

The upper 5 feet of the subsurface is comprised of silty sand underlain by alternating silt and clay to about 25 feet bgs. Groundwater is most likely under water-table (unconfined) conditions. Below 25 feet to at least 37.5 feet is comprised primarily of sand with interbedded gravel and silty/clayey sand.

### 4.2 EXTENT OF CONTAMI NATI ON

### 4.2.1 SOIL

The onsite extent of fuel hydrocarbon and semi-volatile compounds in soil along the railroad tracks, the onsite extent of PCBs in shallow soil in the western corner of the Property near well MW-1, and onsite extent of total petroleum hydrocarbons in shallow soil near MW-2 have been delineated and found to be limited in horizontal and vertical extent. The estimated extent of TPH and benzo(a)pyrene above the ESLs in the area adjacent to the railroad tracts is shown in Figure 3. The estimated extent of PCB in shallow soil in the western corner of the Property is shown on Figure 4. The estimated extent of TPH in shallow soil in the area near MW-2 is shown on Figure 5.

It is unknown if soil contamination is associated with the suspected UST near MW-4. A soil samples was not collected from well MW-4. Also the area of the suspected UST has not been able to be investigated due to the presence of large steel racks in the area identified as the most likely location of the UST.

Shallow soil samples collected from borings adjacent to pit of the former 550-gallon UST were found to contain TPH-g and benzene concentrations in excess of the current ESLs (see Figure 5). Soil collected from boring GP-3 was not found to contain TPH-g or BTEX above the laboratory reporting limits, thus delineating the extent of soil contamination northeast of the former UST. The horizontal extent of soil contamination associated with the UST has not been delineated in other directions.

### 4.2.2 GROUNDWATER

Groundwater collected at various depths from direct-push borings adjacent to the former UST pit, GP-2, GP-4 and GP-6 indicate that the highest concentrations were found in the shallow zone in the fine grained material. The zone from 25 feet bgs to at least 35 feet (see logs GP-1 and GP-2) is comprised mainly of relatively high permeability sands. The top of the sandy zone from 25 to 29 feet was also found to contain concentrations of TPH-g and benzene (as well as some other compounds in G_3 and GP-6) above the ESLs. Groundwater samples from the zone of 31 to 35 feet bgs (collected from GP-2 and GP4 only), were found to have much lower concentrations with only TPH-g and benzene above the ESLs in boring GP-4 and only benzene
about the ESL in boring GP-2. Thus the contamination has advanced from the upper low permeability silt/clay zone above 25 feet bgs to impact the lower sand zone below 25 feet. The contaminant concentrations attenuate relatively rapidly below 30 feet to two to three orders of magnitude lower concentrations for TPH-g and one to three orders of magnitude lower for benzene.

The down-gradient extent of the dissolved plume is delineated by the results for groundwater from direct-push borings GP1, GP-5, and GP-8. MTBE was detected at $6.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ in GP-8 at 20 to 24 feet bgs, just above the current ESL of $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$. The groundwater samples from the downgradient borings were not found to contain detectable concentrations in the shallow zone, but contained toluene in concentrations below the ESL in the upper part of the sandy zone ( 24 to 29 feet bgs). GP-1 was also found to contain benzene and toluene below the ESLs in the 32 to 36 foot interval. These results indicate the dissolved hydrocarbon plume is not advancing offsite.

The results for groundwater from locations to assess contamination associated with the suspected UST under the northeastern warehouse, MW-4, GP-3, and GP-7, are inconclusive. The sample from well MW-4, believed to be located adjacent to the UST was not found to contain diesel, but contained benzene at $11 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, above the ESL of $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, along with other aromatic compound in concentrations below the ESLs. This compares to the shallow groundwater samples from borings GP-3 and GP-7 which were found to contain TPH-d above the ESL of $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, but no concentrations of TPH-g or BTEX above the laboratory reporting limit. Unfortunately, the planned location in the most down-gradient direction from MW-4 was aborted due to the proximity of a high pressure fire line and other utilities made the location unsafe to drill. The alley between the northeast warehouse and the adjacent building has very high truck traffic making drilling during normal working hours infeasible.

### 5.0 RECOMMENDATI ONS

### 5.1 SOI L CONTAMI NATI ON ALONG RAI LROAD TRACKS

Soil samples indicate that a small amount of soil with TPH and benzo(a)pyrene above the current ESLs exist. ERAS recommends additional excavation to remove this soil. The area of recommended soil removal to 3.5 feet bgs is shown in Figure 3. Five confirmation soil samples will be collected at about 1.25 feet bgs on the sidewalls and one bottom wall sample. Confirmation samples will be analyzed for TPH-d and TPH-mo by EPA Method 8015 with silica gel clean-up and SVOCs by 8270.

### 5.2 PCB CONTAMI NATI ON I N VI CI NITY OF MW-1

The soil samples collected in the vicinity of 9MW-2 indicate that PCBs exist above the ESLs in soil down to 5 feet bgs. The most likely source of the PCB in soil appears to be the transformer located on the adjacent site just on the other side of the Property line. ERAS recommends removal of soil to 5 feet bgs in the area shown on Figure 4. Five confirmation soil samples will be collected from the excavation. Four sidewall samples will be collected at about 1.5 feet bgs and one bottom wall sample. The confirmation samples will be analyzed for PCBs by EPA Method 8082.

### 5.3 HYDROCARBON CONTAMI NATI ON IN VI CI NITY OF MW-2

No VOCs were detected above current ESLs. Therefore, ERAS concludes that the usage of the adjacent building as a paint room has not significantly impacted the soil in this area.

Two soil samples collected at 1 to 1.25 feet bgs were found to contain TPH-d, TPH-mo, and TPH-k in excess of the current ESLs. The sample collected at location HA-4 between previous locations B-16 and B-17 was not found to contain contaminants above the current ESLS. None of the three soil samples collected from 2.75 to 3 feet bgs contained contaminants above the ESLs. The source of this contamination is unknown. Based on the highest concentrations being found along the Property line, and the known source and occurrence of hydrocarbon contamination of the adjacent portion of the neighboring property ( 9235 San Leandro Street), the source of the contamination is likely to have been located on the adjacent property.

ERAS recommends excavation of soil to a depth of 2.5 feet bgs in the area shown on Figure 5. Five confirmation soil samples will be collected from the excavation, one bottom wall sample and four sidewall samples will be collected at about 1 foot bgs. Soil samples will be analyzed for TPH-k, TPH-d and TPH-mo by EPA Method 8015 with silica gel clean-up.

### 5.5 CONTAMI NATI ON ASSOCI ATED WI TH 550-GALLON UST

The soil samples collected from borings GP-2, GP-3, GP-6 and SG-1 were all found to contain contamination above the current ESLs. However the horizontal extent of soil contamination associated with the former UST has not been delineated.

The groundwater grab-samples collected adjacent to the UST from borings GP-2, GP4, and GP-6 were found to contain high concentrations of TPH-g and BTEX in the shallow groundwater
bearing zone with concentrations above the ESL for benzene extending to 35 feet. Therefore ERAS recommends the installation of a monitoring well adjacent to well MW-3 screened from 25 to 35 feet bgs. The down-gradient and cross-gradient borings G-1, GP-5 and GP-8 were not found to contain TPH-g or BTEX above the ESLs. However, the shallow sample from GP-8 was found to contain MTBE just above the current ESL for the protection of drinking water.

Therefore ERAS proposes the installation of wells adjacent to the locations of borings GP-1 and GP-8 to monitor the quality of groundwater advancing offsite. Each of these locations should include two wells, one screened from about 8 to 23 feet bgs to monitor the upper fine-grained water-bearing zone, and the second screened from 25 to 35 feet bgs to monitor the lower sandy water-bearing zone. Also, ERAS proposes the installation of a well next to well MW-3 that will be screened from 25 to 35 feet bgs to monitor the lower water-bearing zone in the area of the UST. The locations of the proposed well locations are shown on Figure 7.

ERAS recommends collection of soil-gas, soil and groundwater grab-samples from inside the southeastern warehouse building to delineate the down-gradient extent of contamination in the vapor phase, soil and groundwater. Figure 7 shows one proposed sub-slab samples location and six boring locations for the collected of soil gas, soil and groundwater grab-samples. Figure 8 shows a detailed view of the sub-slab sample location in a storage room, as well as the location of the deep-zone monitoring well adjacent to the UST and three of the multi-phase sample borings.

### 5.5.1 PROCEDURES FOR PROPOSED SUB-SLAB SAMPLE

The sub-slab sample is proposed for the room in which soil-gas samples B-5 and B-6 were collected in 1998. The data from this sample will give an indication of the degree of the concentration of contaminant vapors that collect under the slab in an area of known high contaminant vapors at 3 feet bgs in soil.

An outer boring will be cut utilizing a drill and a rotary bit about 2 -inch diameter with no or minimal hammer to partially penetrate the concrete slab about 3 inches in depth. Cuttings and dust will be continuously vacuumed during advancement. A small inner hole of $5 / 16^{\text {th }}$ diameter will be advanced and periodically vacuumed penetrating the slab and advancing about 3-inches into the sub-slab material. Stainless steel chromatography grade 3 -inch long tubing will be set with the lower end suspended in the inner hole and the upper end connected via Swagelok compression filling to a Swagelok quick-connect fitting. The basal half of the upper larger diameter hole will be sealed using a quick-drying Portland cement pumped into place using a small squeeze-bulb dropper. Prior to sampling the probe will be allowed to equilibrate in the closed position for a minimum of one week. A schematic diagram of the proposed sub-slab sample port is included in Appendix C.

The soil-gas sample will be withdrawn through new polyethylene tubing into 6 -liter Suma canister with a 30 -minute flow regulator and particulate filter. Each canister will go through a leak test to be sure the fittings are air-tight. To pass the test, the canister must hold a vacuum for at least three minutes. Prior to sampling the ambient air will be purged by withdrawing three volumes of the tubing and vapor point holder, PRT tubing adapter and sampling interval (6-inch
(6-inch length of boring) into a separate purge canister. All fittings and potential entry points of ambient air including the top of the direct-push boring will be covered with a cloth or paper towel dampened with isopropyl alcohol as a leak detection compound. During the time of sample collection the cloth will be periodically re-dampened with alcohol.

The sample tubing will enter a flow regulator attached to a t-valve with one tubing path routed to the purge canister and the other tubing routed to the 6 -liter sample Suma canister. To purge ambient air from the system, the time required for purging three volumes of the sample space and tubing will be calculated using the draw-rate of the flow regulator. When purging is complete, the T-valve will be switched to draw soil-gas into the sample canister. Sampling will be complete when the pressure gauge on the flow regulator is about five-inches Hg .

A schematic diagram of the sample train is included in Appendix C. Standard Operating Procedures for Soil-gas Sampling are included as Appendix C. The sample canisters will be stored out of direct sunlight and shipped under chain of custody for analysis of TPH-g by TO-3, BTEX/MTBE and 2-propanol (leak detection) by TO-15 and oxygen, carbon dioxide, methane by ASTM 1946.

### 5.5.2 PROCEDURES FOR PROPOSED DIRECT-PUSH SAMPLES

The proposed borings will be advanced using a limited access direct-push sample rig to about 30 feet bgs. Based on the drilling of GP-6 using a limited access rig 30 feet bgs is expected to be about the limit of the rig's penetration ability.

At the six soil boring locations, the direct-push sample rig will utilize the Geoprobe Post Run Tubing (PRT) system with $1 / 4^{\text {th }}$-inch polyethylene tubing and expendable or retractable drive points. The drive point will be driven to 5.5 feet below ground surface (bgs) and retracted to 5 feet bgs. The surface where the rods exit the ground will be sealed with bentonite chips and allowed to hydrate for 30 minutes. The soil-gas samples will be collected as described above for the sub-slab sample.

After soil gas sampling is complete, the borings will be advanced to about 4 feet below the first encountered groundwater. The soil will be cored continuously and screened for contamination using an OVM. A soil sample will be selected from the vadose zone of each boring for analysis of TPH-d by EPA Method 8015, and for TPH-g BTEX, five oxygenates, EDB, and 1,2-DCA by EPA Method 8260.

A temporary piezometer with 5 feet of screen will be inserted to the base of the boring and a groundwater sample will withdrawn and transferred to appropriate containers. The piezometer will then be removed and a Hydropunch ${ }^{T M}$ style sampler will be advanced to 29 feet and a groundwater sample will be withdrawn from the 25 to 29 foot depth interval. Prior to sample withdrawal, one volume of the sample barrel and rod (about 1 gallon) will be purged to avoid cross contamination of the sample.

The standard operating procedures for direct-push drilling and sampling is included in Attachment C.

### 5.5.3 PROCEDURES FOR PROPOSED WELL INSTALLATION

Borings for the proposed wells will be initially continuously cored by direct-push rig for descriptive logging and refinement of final screened interval. For the shallow wells, a soil sample will be selected for chemical analysis of TPH-d by EPA Method 8015, and TPH-G, BTEX, 5 oxygenates, EDB and 1,2-DCA by EPA Method 8260. The borings for shallow wells will be advanced to about 23 feet bgs. The borings for the deeper wells will be advanced to about 35 feet bgs. The borings will be reamed using 8 -inch diameter hollow-stem augers to total depth. The wells will be constructed of 2 -inch diameter schedule 40 PVC with 0.002 -inch screen. The screened interval will be about 8 to 23 feet for the shallow wells and about 25 to 35 feet for the deeper wells. The filter pack of $\# 2 / 12$ sand will be added to the annulus to 1 -foot above the screen, and topped with 2 feet of hydrated bentonite. The remaining annulus will be sealed with neat cement grout to about 6 inches bgs. The deeper wells will be sealed by pumping the grout through a pipe to the base of the seal interval to provide a proper seal. The well-head will be protected with a traffic-rated flush mounted vault.

The wells will be developed at least 48 hours after using a surge block and pump until the silt clears substantially from the purge water. The new wells will be added to the quarterly groundwater monitoring program.

The standard operating procedures for well installation and development are included in Appendix C.

All soil and purge water will be stored onsite in 55-gallon drums until transport to an appropriate disposal facility.

### 5.6 CONTAMI NATI ON ASSOCI ATED WI TH UST NEAR MW-4

The planned boring most down gradient of well MW-4 was aborted due to the presence of underground utilities. Therefore, ERAS proposes a boring located along the southeast wall of the northeast building as shown on Figure 7. Sampling from this direct-push boring would include a vadose zone soil sample if evidence of soil contamination is encountered, and collection of at least two groundwater samples, at least one each from the upper fine-grained waterbearing zone and the lower sandy zone. The boring procedures would be the same as described above, except that a soil-gas sample would not be collected.

### 5.7 GROUNDWATER MONI TORI NG AND ANALYSI S

The proposed shallow groundwater monitoring wells near borings GP-1 and GP-8 will serve to confirm the interpretation of the potentiometric surface shown in Figure 3.

After installation of the proposed new wells, ERAS recommends a round of groundwater monitoring and sampling for all wells that includes the addition of analysis of TPH-d for groundwater from all wells. This is recommended to ascertain if the distribution of TPH-d above the ESL is more widespread than currently thought. Subsequent to that ERAS recommends that quarterly sampling be conducted for wells MW-3 and the new deeper well adjacent to MW-3, MW-4, the proposed shallow and deeper wells near boring GP-1, and the proposed shallow well near boring GP-8.
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TABLES

| Sample Id | Date | Sample Type | Depth (feet) | TPH-g | TPH-d | TPH-mo | TPH-k | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylenes | Oxygenates | VOCs | PCBs | Arsenic* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (milligrams per kilogram) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 Dames \& More |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pit 1 | 7/27/1987 | Pit | 1.5 | NA | NA | 250 | NA | NA | 0.600 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pit 1 | 7/27/1987 | Pit | 3 | NA | NA | 130 | NA | NA | 0.470 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pit 2 | 7/27/1987 | Pit | 1.5 | $<10$ | NA | $<10$ | NA | NA | 0.420 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pit 2 | 7/27/1987 | Pit | 3 | NA | NA | $<10$ | NA | NA | 0.600 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pit 3 | 7/27/1987 | Pit | 1.5 | NA | NA | 780 (800**) ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ | NA | NA | 0.230 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pit 3 | 7/27/1987 | Pit | 3 | $<10$ | NA | 600 | NA | NA | 0.380 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| Pit 4 | 7/27/1987 | Pit | 1.5 | NA | NA | 780 | NA | NA | 0.110 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pit 4 | 7/27/1987 | Pit | 3 | NA | NA | 1100 | NA | NA | 0.045 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1991 J onas \& Assoc Rpt Location of Pits 1-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-1 (Pit1) | 10/1/1991 | Boring | 3.5 | ND | ND | NA | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | ND | NA |
| B-2 (Pit 2) | 10/1/1991 | Boring | 3.5 | ND | ND | NA | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | ND | NA |
| B-3 (Pit 3) | 10/1/1991 | Boring | 3.5 | ND | ND | NA | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | ND | NA |
| B-4 (Pit 4) | 10/1/1991 | Boring | 3.5 | ND | ND | NA | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | ND | NA |
| B-5 (dup of B-4) | 10/1/1991 | Boring | 3.5 | ND | ND | NA | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | ND | NA |
| Adjacent to MW-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-6 | 10/1/1991 | Boring | 0-0.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.400 | NA |
| B-7 | 10/1/1991 | Boring | 0-0.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.670 | NA |
| 1992 J onas \& Assoc Rpt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-8 | \|4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | 0.5, $1.5^{3}$ | NA | 22 | 110 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | ND |
| B-9 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | $0.5,1.5^{3}$ | NA | ND | 660 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | ND |
| B-10 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | $0.5,1.5^{3}$ | NA | 27 | 63 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | ND |
| B-11 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | 0.5, 1.53 | NA | 120 | 410 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | ND |
| B-12 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | 0.5, 1.53 | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | ND |
| B-13 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | $0.5,1.5^{3}$ | NA | 55 | 98 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | ND |
| B-14 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | $0.5,1.5^{3}$ | NA | ND | 21 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | ND |
| B-16 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | $0.5,1.5^{3}$ | NA | 45 | 190 | ND | ND | 0.008 | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | ND |
| B-17 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | $0.5,1.5^{3}$ | NA | ND | 520 | 290 | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | ND |
| B-18 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | $0.5,1.5^{3}$ | NA | ND | 7800 | 8000 | 0.005 | 0.049 | 0.088 | 1.2 | NA | ND | NA | ND |
| B-19 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | 0.5, 1.53 | NA | ND | 170 | 27 | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | ND |
| B-20 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | 0.5, 1.53 | NA | 15 | 120 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | 3.5 |
| B-21 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | 0.5, 1.53 | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | ND |
| B-22 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | $0.5,1.5^{3}$ | NA | ND | 29 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | 3.0 |
| B-23 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | $0.5,1.5^{3}$ | NA | ND | 430 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | ND |
| B-24 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | $0.5,1.5^{3}$ | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | ND |
| B-25 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | $0.5,1.5^{3}$ | NA | 49 | 210 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | ND |
| B-26 | 4/9,13,14/1992 | Boring | $0.5,1.5^{3}$ | NA | 12 | 57 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | ND | NA | 5.4 |


| Sample Id | Date | Sample | Depth | TPH-g | TPH-d | TPH-mo | TPH-k | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylenes | Oxygenates | VOCs | PCBs | Arsenic* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Type | (feet) | (milligrams per kilogram) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation Samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-1 | 6/30/1992 | Sidewall | 6 | 9.2 | ND | NA | NA | 0.043 | ND | 0.086 | 0.067 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| B-2 | 7/27/1992 | Sidewall | 6 | 6.2 | NA | NA | NA | 1.800 | ND | 0.180 | ND | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| B-3 | 7/27/1992 | Sidewall | 6 | 7.3 | NA | NA | NA | 0.053 | ND | 0.200 | ND | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| B-4 | 7/27/1992 | Sidewall | 6 | 5.3 | NA | NA | NA | 0.650 | ND | 0.160 | 0.014 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| B-5 | 7/27/1992 | Sidewall | 6 | 1.9 | NA | NA | NA | 0.034 | ND | 0.012 | ND | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| B-6 | 8/3/1992 | Sidewall | 6 | 13 | NA | NA | NA | 2.100 | 0.018 | 0.340 | 0.190 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| B-7 | 8/3/1992 | Sidewall | 6 | 11 | NA | NA | NA | 2.100 | 0.011 | 0.230 | 0.067 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| B-8 | 8/3/1992 | Sidewall | 6 | 7.4 | NA | NA | NA | 0.750 | 0.0092 | 0.180 | 0.026 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| B-9 | 8/3/1992 | Sidewall | 6 | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | 0.039 | 0.0058 | 0.008 | 0.009 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| B-10 | 8/11,12/1992 | Sidewall | 6 | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | 0.371 | 0.0047 | 0.080 | 0.028 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| B-11 | 8/11,12/1992 | Sidewall | 6 | 13 | NA | NA | NA | 0.670 | 0.0076 | 0.160 | 0.100 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| B-12 | 8/11,12/1992 | Sidewall | 6 | ND | NA | NA | NA | 0.010 | ND | ND | ND | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| B-13 | 8/11,12/1992 | Sidewall | 6 | 1.1 | NA | NA | NA | 0.013 | ND | ND | 0.007 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1993 J onas \& Assoc Rpt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-1 | 11/4/1992 | Boring | 5 | NA | $<1$ | 530 | $<1.0$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.29 | NA |
| MW-1 | 11/4/1992 | Boring | 10 | NA | $<1$ | $<10$ | $<1.0$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | <0.1 | NA |
| MW-1 | 11/4/1992 | Boring | 15 | NA | $<1$ | $<10$ | $<1.0$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $<0.1$ | NA |
| MW-2 | 11/3/1992 | Boring | $0.5,1.5^{3}$ | $<1$ | NA | NA | NA | $<0.20$ | $<0.20$ | 1.90 | 9.60 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 11/3/1992 | Boring | 5 | <1 | $<1$ | 310 | 14 | <0.005 | <0.005 | 0.025 | 0.041 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 11/3/1992 | Boring | 10 | <1 | $<1$ | 230 | 8 | <0.005 | <0.005 | 0.011 | 0.020 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 11/3/1992 | Boring | 15 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<10$ | $<1.0$ | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | <0.005 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 11/4/1992 | Boring | 5 | 9.5 | NA | NA | NA | 1.90 | 0.0095 | 0.240 | 0.110 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 11/4/1992 | Boring | 10 | 250 | NA | NA | NA | 3.70 | 11.00 | 2.20 | 6.40 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 11/4/1992 | Boring | 15 | $<1$ | NA | NA | NA | <0.005 | 0.0054 | $<0.005$ | 0.028 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 11/4/1992 | Boring | 20 | <1 | NA | NA | NA | <0.005 | 0.010 | <0.005 | 0.012 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 11/4/1992 | Boring | 25 | 1.2 | NA | NA | NA | 0.031 | 0.065 | 0.0078 | 0.023 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 11/4/1992 | Boring | 30 | 10 | NA | NA | NA | 0.200 | 0.300 | 0.039 | 0.110 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 11/9/1992 | Boring | 0.5 | 5.9 | $<1$ | $<10$ | $<1.0$ | 0.078 | <0.005 | 0.0099 | 0.058 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 11/9/1992 | Boring | 5 | 6.3 | $<1$ | $<10$ | $<1.0$ | 0.700 | 0.014 | 0.130 | 0.590 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 11/9/1992 | Boring | 10 | 32 | $<1$ | $<10$ | $<1.0$ | 0.340 | 0.760 | 0.910 | 4.200 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 11/9/1992 | Boring | 15 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<10$ | $<1.0$ | <0.005 | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 11/9/1992 | Boring | 20 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<10$ | $<1.0$ | 0.0098 | 0.0093 | 0.013 | 0.053 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1997 J onas \& Assoc Rpt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside building |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-1 | 1/31/1997 | Boring | 8.5 | ND (1.0) | NA | NA | NA | 0.012 | ND (0.0050) | ND (0.0050) | ND (0.0050) | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| B-2 | 1/31/1997 | Boring | 8.5 | 9.5 | NA | NA | NA | 0.042 | 0.014 | 0.035 | 0.058 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| ESL |  |  |  | 83 | 83 | 410 | 83 | 0.044 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 2.3 | - | - | 0.089 | 0.38 |

Notes
ND $=$ Not detected above the reported detection limit
$N A=$ Not Analyzed
PH = Total petroleum hydrocarbons quantitated as gasoline (-g), diesel ( (d), motor oil (-mo), or kerosene (-k),
OCs $=$ Volatile Organic Compound
CBS = Polychlorinated bipheny
= Analyzed for Antimony, Barium, Beryllium, Cadmium, Chromium (total), Cobalt, copper, lead, mercury, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, silver, thallium, vanadium, zinc as well - all concentrations below residential and industrial ESL
= Duplicate Sample
= Quantitated as
= composited
ESL = Environmental Screening Level RWQCB, November 2007, residential land use, groundwater is potential drinking water

TABLE 2. ANALYTI CAL RESULTS - GROUNDWATER GRAB-SAMPLES 9201 San Leandro Street, Oakland, CA

| Sample Id | Date | Depth <br> (feet) | TPH-d | TPH-g | Benzene | Toluene | thylbenzer | Xylenes | MTBE | Other Oxygenates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  |  |
| West of former 550-gallon UST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B1 | 3-Feb-97 | 15-20 | NA | 31,000 | 7,100 | 4,100 | 520 | 1,400 | NA | NA |
| B2 | 3-Feb-97 | 15-20 | NA | 41,000 | 14,000 | 2,600 | 740 | 1,700 | NA | NA |
| B3 | 2-Feb-98 | 15-20 | NA | 1,400 | 310 | 9.9 | 27 | 56 | NA | NA |
| B4 | 2-Feb-98 | 15-20 | NA | <50 | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | <0.5 | NA | NA |
| ERAS Environmental Investigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GP-1 | 12-J un-08 | 13.5-16 | NA | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | ND |
| GP-1 | 12-J un-08 | 24-28 | NA | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | 0.62 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | ND |
| GP-1 | 12-J un-08 | 32-36 | NA | $<50$ | 0.71 | 0.75 | <0.5 | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | ND |
| GP-2 | 12-J un-08 | 8.5-13.5 | NA | 45,000 | 2900 | 2600 | 450 | 1100 | $<10$ | 14 (1,2-DCA) |
| GP-2 | 12-J un-08 | 25-29 | NA | 210 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 1.2 | ND |
| GP-2 | 12-J un-08 | 31-35 | NA | 70 | 5.2 | 3.0 | <0.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | ND |
| GP-3 | 13-J un-08 | 19.5-22 | 180 | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 2.1 (TBA) |
| GP-3 | 13-J un-08 | 25-29 | <50 | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | ND |
| GP-3 | 13-J un-08 | 31-35 | NA | $<50$ | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | ND |
| GP-4 | 13-J un-08 | 13-15 | NA | 19000 | 860 | 670 | 260 | 420 | $<17$ | ND |
| GP-4 | 13-J un-08 | 25-29 | NA | 12000 | 240 | 230 | 130 | 240 | <5.0 | ND |
| GP-4 | 13-J un-08 | 31-35 | NA | 330 | 15 | 12 | 5.7 | 10 | 0.65 | ND |
| GP-5 | 13-J un-08 | 16-20 | NA | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| GP-5 | 13-J un-08 | 25-29 | NA | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | 0.69 | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | ND |
| GP-5 | 13-J un-08 | 31-35 | NA | <50 | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | ND |
| GP-6 | 16-J un-08 | 13.5-18 | NA | 3100 | 170 | 30 | 22 | 35 | <5.0 | ND |
| GP-6 | 16-J un-08 | 25-29 | NA | 3000 | 160 | 39 | 40 | 75 | <5.0 | ND |
| GP-7 | 16-J un-08 | 13-15 | 280 | <50 | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 0.93 | ND |
| GP-7 | 16-J un-08 | 25-29 | <50 | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| GP-8 | 16-J un-08 | 20-24 | NA | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | 6.1 | 1.9 (1,2-DCA) |
| GP-8 | 16-J un-08 | 25-29 | NA | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | 0.78 | ND |
| GP-8 | 16-J un-08 | 31-35 | NA | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | ND |
| ESL |  |  | 100 | 100 | 1 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 5 | 0.5 (1,2(DCA) |

## TABLE 2. ANALYTI CAL RESULTS - GROUNDWATER GRAB-SAMPLES <br> 9201 San Leandro Street, Oakland, CA

Notes
$\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}=$ Micrograms per liter
TPH-g $=$ Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline
MTBE $=$ Methel Tertiary Butyl Ether
Oxygenates $=\mathrm{t}$-Amyl methyl ether, t-Butyl alcohol (TBA), 1,2-Dibromoethane, 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA), Diisopropyl ether, ethyl t-butyl ether
ESL = Environmental screening levels, RWQCB, November 2007, potential drinking water
NA = Not Analyzed
ND $=$ Not Detected

9201 San Leandro Street
Oakland, California

| Sample Id | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | TOC <br> Elevation <br> (feet amsl) | Depth to Water (feet) | GWElevation(feet amsl) | TPH-d | TPH-g | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylenes | MTBE | Other Oxygenates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (micrograms per liter) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-1 | 15-Nov-92 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | 9.34 | 8.71 | <50 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 9-Mar-93 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | 8.50 | 9.55 | 140 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 21-Jul-93 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | 9.00 | 9.05 | $<50$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 29-Jan-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | - | - | <50 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 26-May-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | 9.06 | 8.99 | NA | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 24-Aug-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | 8.40 | 9.65 | NA | <50 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 22-Nov-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | 8.20 | 9.85 | NA | <50 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 8-Feb-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | 8.30 | 9.75 | NA | <50 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 31-May-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | 9.35 | 8.70 | NA | <50 | <0.5 | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 8-Aug-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | 9.16 | 8.89 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 29-Nov-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | 9.28 | 8.77 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 29-Feb-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | 7.62 | 10.43 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 23-May-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | 8.28 | 9.77 | NA | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 4-Nov-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | 9.20 | 8.85 | MA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 13-May-97 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | 9.04 | 9.01 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 27-Oct-00 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.05 | - | - | NA | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | NA | NA |
| MW-1 | 14-Nov-07 | 5.25-20.00 | 18.05 | 8.50 | 9.55 | NA | $<50$ | $<0.50$ | $<0.50$ | $<0.50$ | $<0.50$ | <2.0 | NA |
| MW-1 | 17-J un-08 | 5.25-20.00 | 18.05 | 9.04 | 9.01 | NA | $<50$ | <0.5 | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | 0.67 | ND |
| MW-2 | 16-Nov-92 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.40 | 10.05 | 9.35 | <50 | <50 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<1.5$ | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 9-Mar-93 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.40 | 9.21 | 10.19 | 430 | <50 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 21-Jul-93 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.40 | 9.72 | 9.68 | <50 | $<50$ | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 29-Jan-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.40 | - | - | <50 | <50 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 26-May-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.40 | 9.58 | 9.82 | $<50$ | $<50$ | 2.3 | 0.8 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 24-Aug-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.40 | 9.98 | 9.42 | $<50$ | $<50$ | 6.1 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 22-Nov-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.40 | 8.7 | 10.70 | $<50$ | $<50$ | 3.4 | 1.8 | $<0.5$ | 0.5 | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 8-Feb-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.40 | 8.68 | 10.72 | <50 | <50 | 4.5 | 1.3 | $<0.5$ | 0.5 | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 31-May-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.40 | 9.48 | 9.92 | $<50$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 8-Aug-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.40 | 9.64 | 9.76 | <50 | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 29-Nov-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.40 | 9.86 | 9.54 | <50 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 29-Feb-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.40 | 8.12 | 11.28 | $<50$ | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 23-May-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.40 | 8.70 | 10.70 | <50 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 4-Nov-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.40 | 9.50 | 9.90 | $<50$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 13-May-97 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.40 | 9.44 | 9.96 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-2 | 13-Nov-03 | 5.25-20.00 | 19.40 | 8.94 | 10.46 | NA | $<50$ | $<0.50$ | $<0.50$ | <0.50 | $<0.50$ | $<2.0$ | NA |
| MW-2 | 17-Jun-08 | 5.25-20.00 | 19.40 | 9.57 | 9.83 | NA | $<50$ | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | 1.1 | ND |
| MW-3 | 16-Nov-92 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 10.35 | 9.35 | <50 | 40,000 | 2,900 | 6,700 | 550 | 1700 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 9-Mar-93 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 9.19 | 10.51 | 290 | 12,000 | 1,000 | 300 | 110 | 170 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 21-Jul-93 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 11.07 | 8.63 | $<50$ | 3,400 | 420 | 63 | 36 | 37 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 29-Jan-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | - | - | $<50$ | 5,600 | 910 | 220 | 47 | 36 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 26-May-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 10.04 | 9.66 | $<50$ | 5,200 | 890 | 180 | 45 | 43 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 24-Aug-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 11.08 | 8.62 | <50 | 5,200 | 580 | 76 | 29 | 22 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 22-Nov-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 8.92 | 10.78 | <50 | 2,200 | 670 | 130 | 31 | 28 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 8-Feb-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 8.9 | 10.80 | $<50$ | 2,900 | 780 | 120 | 31 | 33 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 31-May-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 10.16 | 9.54 | NA | 9,100 | 2,800 | 160 | 91 | 72 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 31-May-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 10.16 | 9.54 | NA | 5,300 | 1,300 | 170 | 37 | 44 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 28-Aug-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 9.92 | 9.78 | NA | 1,400 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.7 | 7.9 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 28-Aug-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 9.92 | 9.78 | NA | 4,800 | 2,500 | 150 | 53 | 44 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 29-Nov-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 10.70 | 9.00 | NA | 3,000 | 780 | 43 | 32 | 32 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 29-Nov-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 10.7 | 9.00 | NA | 2,400 | 830 | 38 | 21 | 16 | NA | NA |

9201 San Leandro Street
Oakland, California

| Sample Id | Date | Depth <br> (feet) | TOC Elevation (feet amsl) | Depth to Water (feet) | GW Elevation (feet amsl) | TPH-d | TPH-g | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylenes | MTBE | Other Oxygenates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (micrograms per liter) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-3 | 29-Feb-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 8.52 | 11.18 | NA | 3,800 | 1,200 | 130 | 36 | 35 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 29-Feb-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 8.52 | 11.18 | NA | 8,000 | 3,400 | 430 | 100 | 99 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 23-May-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 8.15 | 11.55 | NA | 6,900 | 3,300 | 340 | 71 | 74 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 23-May-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 8.15 | 11.55 | NA | 4,300 | 3,200 | 350 | 72 | 74 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 4-Nov-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 7.21 | 12.49 | NA | 4,900 | 2,100 | 110 | 70 | 44 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 4-Nov-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 7.21 | 12.49 | NA | 4,500 | 2,100 | 130 | 61 | 39 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 13-May-97 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | 9.82 | 9.88 | NA | 10,000 | 4,800 | 530 | 100 | 92 | <100 | NA |
| MW-3 | 26-Jan-98 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | - | - | NA | 12,000 | 5,000 | 250 | 91 | 100 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 27-Oct-00 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.70 | - | - | NA | 19,000 | 9,000 | 1,000 | 250 | 130 | NA | NA |
| MW-3 | 13-Nov-03 | 5.25-19.90 | 19.70 | 9.21 | 10.49 | NA | 13,000 | 3,900 | 370 | 300 | 130 | $<40$ | NA |
| MW-3 | 17-Jun-08 | 5.25-19.90 | 19.70 | 9.81 | 9.89 | NA | 13,000 | 4,400 | 600 | 300 | 150 | <100 | ND |
| MW-4 | 16-Nov-92 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | 8.87 | 10.78 | <50 | 560 | 66 | 73 | 16 | 130 | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 16-Nov-92 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | 8.87 | 10.78 | <50 | 520 | 63 | 67 | 15 | 140 | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 9-Mar-93 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | 7.96 | 11.69 | <50 | 750 | 67 | 12 | 29 | 62 | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 21-Jul-93 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | 8.06 | 11.59 | $<50$ | 250 | 21 | 4.2 | 8.4 | 11 | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 29-Jan-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | - | - | <50 | 180 | 28 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 10 | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 26-May-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | 8.57 | 11.08 | NA | 130 | 14 | 3.2 | 6.1 | 4.7 | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 24-Aug-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | 8.75 | 10.9 | NA | 70 | 6.7 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 22-Nov-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | 7.41 | 12.24 | NA | 90 | 16 | 1.7 | 5.6 | 3.4 | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 8-Feb-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | 7.2 | 12.45 | NA | 90 | 17 | 1.3 | 5.5 | 3.0 | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 31-May-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | 8.32 | 11.33 | NA | 80 | 13 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 1.2 | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 8-Aug-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | 8.66 | 10.99 | NA | $<50$ | 3.6 | <0.5 | 1.4 | 0.6 | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 29-Nov-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | 8.93 | 10.72 | NA | <50 | 4.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 29-Feb-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | 6.54 | 13.11 | NA | 80 | 7.4 | 1 | 3.2 | 2.4 | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 23-May-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | 7.24 | 12.41 | NA | $<50$ | 11 | 2 | 2.3 | 1.9 | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 4-Nov-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | 8.58 | 11.07 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 13-May-97 | 5.25-20.25 | 19.65 | 8.42 | 11.23 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-4 | 13-Nov-03 | 5.25-19.90 | 19.65 | 7.61 | 12.04 | <50 | $<50$ | 6.3 | 0.56 | 3.4 | 1.0 | <2.0 | NA |
| MW-4 | 17-Jun-08 | 5.25-19.9 | 19.65 | 8.31 | 11.34 | $<50$ | 81 | 11 | 0.51 | 4.7 | 1.6 | $<0.5$ | ND |
| MW-5 | 24-Aug-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.49 | 8.22 | 10.27 | 130 | <50 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | NA | NA |
| MW-5 | 22-Nov-94 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.49 | 7.90 | 10.59 | $<50$ | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | NA | NA |
| MW-5 | 8-Feb-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.49 | 7.92 | 10.57 | <50 | <50 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | NA | NA |
| MW-5 | 31-May-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.49 | 8.74 | 9.75 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-5 | 8-Aug-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.49 | 8.93 | 9.56 | NA | <50 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | NA | NA |
| MW-5 | 29-Nov-95 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.49 | 9.11 | 9.38 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-5 | 29-Feb-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.49 | 7.36 | 11.13 | NA | <50 | 0.6 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | NA | NA |
| MW-5 | 23-May-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.49 | 7.92 | 10.57 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-5 | 4-Nov-96 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.49 | 8.78 | 9.71 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW-5 | 13-May-97 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.49 | 8.82 | 9.67 | NA | $<50$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | NA | NA |
| MW-5 | 27-Oct-00 | 5.25-20.25 | 18.49 | - | - | NA | <50 | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | NA | NA |
| MW-5 | 13-Nov-03 | 5.25-19.90 | 18.49 | 8.16 | 10.33 | NA | <50 | $<0.50$ | $<0.50$ | $<0.50$ | $<0.50$ | <2.0 | NA |
| MW-5 | 17-Jun-08 | 5.25-19.90 | 18.49 | 8.75 | 9.74 | NA | $<50$ | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | ND |
| ESL |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 1 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 5 |  |
| Notes <br> TPH-g = To <br> MTBE $=$ M <br> Oxygenate <br> ESL = Envi <br> ND = Not | al petroleum hel Tertiary = t-Amyl me nmental scr tected. | hydrocarbon Butyl Ether thyl ether, teening levels, $N A=\operatorname{Not} A r$ | ss as gasolin <br> Butyl alcoho RWQCB, nalyzed. | (TBA), 1,2vember 2007 | Dibromoetha <br> 7, potential | 1,2-Di inking w | oroethane r | (1,2-DCA) | Diisopr | yl ether, ethyl | -butyl et |  |  |

## TABLE 4 - ANALYTI CAL RESULTS - SOI L GAS SAMPLES

## 9201 San Leandro Street

Oakland, California

| Sample Id | Date | Depth (feet) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TPH-g (C5+) } \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TPH-g (C2-C4) } \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TPH-g (C6-C12) } \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Benzene ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | Toluene $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Ethylbenzene ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | Xylenes $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MTBE } \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{CO} 2 \\ \% \text { by vol } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Methane \% by vol | Oxygen \% by vol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southwest of former 550-gallon UST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-5 | 16-Oct-98 | 3.0 | 61,350,000 | 262,000 |  | 162,900 | 25,600 | $<10,900$ | 19,100 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| B-6 | 16-Oct-98 | 3.0 | 40,082,000 | 3,272,000 |  | 92,700 | 20,000 | <9,100 | 21,300 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 2008 ERAS Environmental |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SG-1 |  | 5-5.5 | - | - | 120,000 | 11,000 | 190 | 780 | 530 | $<7.3$ | 0.1100 | 0.0230 | 20.0000 |
| ESLres |  |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 84 | 63,000 | 210,000 | 21,000 | 9,400 | - | - | - |
| ESLind |  |  | 29,000 | 29,000 | 29,000 | 280 | 180,000 | 580,000 | 58,000 | 3,100 | - | - | - |

Notes
$\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}=$ milligrams per cubic meter
TPH-g = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline
ESLres = Environmental screening levels set forth by the Reginol Water Quality Control Board, November 2007, residential area, shallow soil gas
ESLind = Environmental screening levels set forth by the Reginol Water Quality Control Board, November 2007, industrial area, shallow soil gas

## TABLE 5 - QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER DATA AND ANALYTI CAL RESULTS MONI TORI NG WELLS, QUARTER 2, 2008

## 9201 San Leandro Street <br> Oakland, California

| Sample ID | Date Monitored | Total <br> Depth <br> (feet bgs) | TOC Elevation (feet amsl) | Depth to Water (feet) | GW Elevation (feet amsl) | TPH-d | TPH-g | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylenes | MTBE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (microgram per liter) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW-1 | 17-Jun-08 | 20 | 18.05 | 9.04 | 9.01 | NA | <50 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 0.67 |
| MW-2 | 17-Jun-08 | 20 | 19.40 | 9.57 | 9.83 | NA | <50 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1 |
| MW-3 | 17-Jun-08 | 19.9 | 19.70 | 9.81 | 9.89 | NA | 13,000 | 4,400 | 600 | 300 | 150 | $<100$ |
| MW-4 | 17-J un-08 | 19.9 | 19.65 | 8.31 | 11.34 | $<50 *$ | 81 | 11 | 0.51 | 4.7 | 1.6 | <0.5 |
| MW-5 | 17-J un-08 | 19.9 | 18.49 | 8.75 | 9.74 | NA | <50 | <0.5 | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | $<0.5$ |
| ESL |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 1 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 5 |

Notes
TOC ELEV = Top of well casing elevation in feet above mean sea level
GW ELEV = Top of groundwater elevation.
$\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}=$ Micrograms per liter
TPH-G = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline.
MTBE = Methyl-tert-butyl ether, No other Oxygenates were detected.
NA = Not Analyzed

* = Groundwater sample for TPH-d from MW-4 collected on J une 18, 2008

SOIL ALONG RAILROAD TRACKS

| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sample } \\ \text { ID } \end{array}$ | Depth <br> (feet) | Date | TPH-d | TPH-mo | Anthracene | Benzo(a) anthracene | Benzo(a) pyrene | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Benzo(b) } \\ \text { flouranthene } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Benzo(g,h,i) perylene | Benzo(k) flouranthene | Chrysene | Dibenzo(a,h) anthracene | Flouranthene | Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene | $\begin{gathered} \text { Phen- } \\ \text { anthrene } \end{gathered}$ | Pyrene | Other SVOCs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (milligrams per kilogram) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pit3SE | 1.25-1.5 | 12-Jun-08 | 140 | 550 | $<0.25$ | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | ND |
| Pit3SE | 2.75-3 | 12-Jun-08 | 11 | 31 | $<0.005$ | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.014 | $<0.005$ | 0.014 | 0.0073 | 0.011 | 0.014 | ND |
| Pit3E | 1.25-1.5 | 12-Jun-08 | 2.3 | 6.5 | $<0.025$ | $<0.025$ | $<0.025$ | $<0.025$ | $<0.025$ | $<0.025$ | <0.025 | $<0.025$ | $<0.025$ | <0.025 | <0.025 | $<0.025$ | ND |
| Pit3E | 2.75-3 | 12-Jun-08 | 4.7 | 22 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | ND |
| Pit3NW | 1.25-1.5 | 12-Jun-08 | 55 | 170 | 0.036 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.042 | 0.19 | 0.078 | 0.15 | 0.23 | ND |
| Pit3NW | 2.25-2.5 | 12-Jun-08 | 2.3 | 6.0 | <0.005 | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | ND |
| Pit4SE | 1-1.25 | 12-Jun-08 | 6.5 | 25 | 0.0057 | 0.032 | 0.042 | 0.031 | 0.035 | 0.032 | 0.042 | 0.014 | 0.030 | 0.025 | 0.017 | 0.042 | ND |
| Pit4SE | 3.25-3.5 | 12-Jun-08 | $<1.0$ | <5.0 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | <0.005 | ND |
| Pit4E | 1.25-1.5 | 12-Jun-08 | 71 | 170 | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | 0.0082 | $<0.005$ | 0.0058 | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | 0.011 | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | 0.0081 | ND |
| Pit4E | 3-3.25 | 12-Jun-08 | 2.8 | 12 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | ND |
| Pit4NW | 1.25-1.5 | 12-Jun-08 | 8.2 | 26 | <0.005 | 0.018 | 0.020 | 0.033 | 0.016 | 0.021 | 0.021 | 0.0065 | 0.021 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.025 | ND |
| Pit4NW | 2.75-3 | 12-Jun-08 | <1.0 | <5.0 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | ND |
| ESL |  |  | 83 | 410 | - | 0.38 | 0.038 | 0.38 | 35 | 0.38 | 40 | 0.062 | 40 | 0.62 | 40 | 500 | - |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sample } \\ \text { ID } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PCB's } \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjacent to MW-1 |  |  |  |
| HA-1 | 1.25-1.5 | 12-Jun-08 | ND |
| HA-1 | 3-3.25 | 12-Jun-08 | ND |
| HA-2 | 1.25-1.5 | 12-Jun-08 | ND |
| HA-2 | 2.5-2.75 | 12-Jun-08 | 0.050 |
| HA-3 | 1.25-1.5 | 12-Jun-08 | ND |
| HA-3 | 2.5-2.75 | 12-Jun-08 | 0.140 |
| ESL res |  |  | 0.089 |

SOIL IN VICINITY OF MW-2

| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sample } \\ \text { ID } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | Date | TPH-d | TPH-mo | TPH-k | Acetone | 2-Butanone (MEK) | n-Butyl Benzene | tert Butyl Benzene | cis 1,2- <br> Dichloroethene | Toluene | Napthalene | 1,2,4 Trimethyl Benzene | sec Butyl Benzene | Isopropyl Benzene | n-Propyl Benzene | 1,3,5-Trimethyl <br> Benzene | Xylenes | Other VOCs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (milligrams per kilogram) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HA-4 | 1-1.25 | 12-Jun-08 | 2.8 | 21 | 2.1 | 0.12 | $<0.02$ | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | ND |
| HA-4 | 2.75-3 | 12-Jun-08 | 16 | 69 | 2.5 | 0.20 | 0.026 | <0.005 | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | ND |
| HA-5 | 1-1.25 | 12-Jun-08 | 1,000 | 1,600 | 1,200 | $<0.20$ | $<0.080$ | 0.20 | $<0.020$ | <0.020 | <0.020 | 0.067 | 0.73 | 0.16 | 0.056 | 0.13 | 0.36 | 0.11 | ND |
| HA-5 | 2.75-3 | 12-Jun-08 | 78 | 180 | 61 | $<0.05$ | <0.02 | 0.077 | 0.010 | 0.0079 | 0.035 | 0.011 | 0.032 | 0.084 | 0.030 | 0.057 | 0.046 | 0.015 | ND |
| HA-6 | 1-1.25 | 12-Jun-08 | 7,600 | 20,000 | 2,700 | $<0.05$ | <0.02 | 0.019 | <0.005 | <0.005 | 0.021 | $<0.005$ | 0.042 | 0.045 | 0.0073 | 0.012 | 0.015 | 0.0086 | ND |
| HA-6 | 2.75-3 | 12-Jun-08 | 2.3 | 9.6 | $<1$ | $<0.05$ | $<0.02$ | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | ND |
| ESL |  |  | 83 | 2500 | 83 | 2.1 | 3.9 | - | - | 0.19 | - | 2.8 | - | - | - | - | - | 2.3 | - |

SOIL FROM DIRECT-PUSH BORINGS

| Sample <br> Id | Depth <br> (feet) | Date |  | TPH-g |  | TPH-d |  | Benzene |  | Toluene <br> (milligrams per kilogram) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GP-2 | $9.5-10$ | $6 / 12 / 2008$ | $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ | NA | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | 0.19 | 2.2 | 2.0 | ND |  |  |
| SG-1 | $9.5-10$ | $6 / 112 / 2008$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0}$ | NA | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | 2.8 | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ | ND |  |  |
| GP-3 | $9.5-10$ | $6 / 13 / 2008$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<0.005$ | $<0.00$ | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | ND |  |  |
| GP-4 | $9.5-10$ | $6 / 13 / 2008$ | $\mathbf{4 5 0}$ | NA | $\mathbf{0 . 7 2}$ | $<0.10$ | 2.1 | 1.4 | ND |  |  |
| GP-6 | $11.5-12$ | $6 / 16 / 2008$ | $\mathbf{5 2 0}$ | NA | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ | 2.6 | 2.6 | $\mathbf{7 . 4}$ | ND |  |  |
| GP-8 | $9.5-10$ | $6 / 16 / 2008$ | $<1.0$ | NA | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | ND |  |  |
| ESL |  |  | 83 | 83 | 0.044 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 2.3 | - |  |  |

Notes
Notes $=$ Not detected above the reported detection limit
TPH-g $=$ Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline
TPH-d = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel
SOCs $=$ Total petroleum hydrocarbons as motor a
PCBS = Polychlorinated biphenyls
oxygenates = methyl t-butyl e ther, t-amyl methyl ether, t-butyl alcohol, 1,2-dirbromoethane, 1,2-dichloroethane, diisopropyl ether, ethyl $t$-butyl ether
ESL = Environmental Screening Level, RWQCB November 2007, shallow soil, residential land use, groundwater is potential drinking water

APPENDIX A ACEH LETTER

May 9, 2008

Mr. John Lilla
PACO Pumps, Inc.
800 Koomey Road
Brookshire, TX 77423
Mr. Dallas Nelson
GP Holdings LLC
5977 Keith Avenue
Oakland, CA 94618-1545

Subject: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000320 and Geotracker Global ID T0600101592, PACO Pumps Inc, 9201 San Leandro Street, Oakland, CA 94603

Dear Mr. Lilla, Mr. Vignoles, and Mr. Nelson:
Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the fuel leak case file for the above-referenced site including the recently submitted document entitled, "Workplan for Former Paco Pumps Facility, 9201 San Leandro Street, Oakland, California," dated March 17, 2008. The March 17, 2008 Work Plan, which was prepared on your behalf by ERAS Environmental, Inc., was revised in response to technical comments in ACEH correspondence dated January 31, 2008. The Work Plan provides more detailed maps of proposed sampling locations. However, the Work Plan does not adequately address several of the technical comments. Therefore, we request that you prepare a Revised Work Plan by July 18, 2008 that addresses the technical comments below.

## REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

We previously requested that you submit copies of the following reports, which are referenced in other technical reports for the site but are not in the ACEH case file. The Work Plan indicates that ERAS Environmental, Inc. is not authorized to contact PACO Pumps to retrieve the documents requested in our January 31, 2008 correspondence. This correspondence is directed to all responsible parties. All responsible parties are required to cooperate and respond to these requests. Therefore, we request that the responsible party with access to the documents listed below submit the requested documents by July 18, 2008. In addition, please submit any other technical reports presenting the results of environmental investigations or cleanup that were not previously submitted to ACEH.

- Cutliffe, S., 1987. Findings and Results of the Cleanup Project Performed on 14 and 15 December 1987 at PACO Oakland Site.
- Dames \& Moore, 1987. Site Contamination Study - PACO Pumps Facility, Oakłand, for Amsted Industries.
- Ecology and Environment Inc., 1985. CERCLA Site Inspection, PACO Pumps $84592^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue, Oakland, CA. Site ERRIS \#CAD 088772629, Inspection ID\# C(85)C371, Date of Inspection 9/17/85, Report Due November 8, 1985.
- Jonas \& Associates, Inc., 1991. Soil Characterization Report Stained Asphalt/Concrete Area - PACO Pumps, 9201 San Leandro Street, Oakland, CA, October 30, 1991.
- Van Aken, B., 1987. Internal PACO Correspondence to Mr. John G. Terranova regarding excavation, November 4, 1987.


## TECHNICAL COMMENTS

1. Piping Associated with Former 550-Gallon UST. Our August 21, 2007 technical comments requested that you determine whether UST system piping encountered during the 1992 UST excavation remains in place beneath the adjacent building or extends to a dispenser in another location. Utility location using magnetic and ground penetrating radar methods was previously proposed within the former UST area. The March 17, 2008 Work Plan does not propose utility locations and instead proposes hand digging at the building foundation to locate the pipe prior to additional investigation. We have no objection to locating the pipe prior to conducting additional investigation to assess whether piping remains in place beneath the adjacent building or extended to a dispenser in another location.
2. Maps Showing Proposed Sampling Locations. The March 17, 2008 Work Plan includes several detailed maps, which are improvements from the previous Work Plan. We appreciate the generally improved and more accurate presentation of proposed sampling locations.
3. Groundwater Characterization for Former 550-Gallon UST Area. The March 17, 2008 Work Plan proposes a total of six soil borings for characterization of the extent of groundwater contamination from the former 550 -gallon UST. Three soil borings are proposed within approximately 20 feet of the former UST, one soil boring approximately 125 feet southwest of the former UST, and two soil borings more than 200 feet northwest of the former 550 -gallon UST. One additional soil boring is proposed approximately 125 feet northwest of the former 550-gallon UST, apparently to investigate the second UST. In the Revised Work Plan requested below, please review the potential to move the two proposed borings along the northwest property boundary closer to the former 550-gallon UST. Moving the borings approximately 120 feet to the southeast inside the Warehouse Storage Area would provide a transect of three borings including the proposed boring southeast of the Office shown on Figure 3.
4. Vertical Delineation. The March 17, 2008 Work Plan proposes the collection of a grab groundwater sample from first encountered groundwater and a second groundwater sample from each boring at a depth of 15 to 19 feet bgs. In order to characterize the subsurface stratigraphy and select intervals for depth-discrete groundwater sampling, we request that you extend one of the three proposed soil borings in the area of the former 550 -gallon UST and each of the three borings downgradient of crossgradient from the 550 -gallon UST to a depth of 40 feet bgs. Coarse-grained zones that may act as migration pathways are to be
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targeted for grab groundwater sampling. Please include plans in the Revised Work Plan requested below to extend the soil borings to 40 feet bgs and to select subsurface zones for depth-discrete groundwater sampling based on encountered conditions.
5. Proposed Groundwater Analyses. Analysis for MTBE using EPA Method 8015/8021 is not acceptable. In the Revised Work Plan requested below, please include analyses for MTBE, TAME, DIPE, ETBE, TBA, 1,2-dichloroethane, and ethylene dibromide using EPA Method 8260B. We also request that soil samples be analyzed for lead.
6. Soil Vapor Sampling. Due to the elevated concentrations of benzene detected in previous soil gas samples, our January 31, 2008 correspondence indicated that the proposed scope of soil vapor sampling must be expanded. No changes were made to the proposed scope of soil vapor sampling in the March 17, 2008 Work Plan. The March 17, 2008 Work Plan proposes collection of one sub-slab vapor sample within the building and one soil vapor sample outside the building. Table 4 - Historical Analytical Results - Soil Gas Samples contains an error, which makes it appear that the detected concentrations of benzene in soil gas do not exceed screening levels. The correct Environmental Screening Level (ESL) for benzene in soil gas for residential land use is 84 micrograms per cubic meter $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ and for commercial land use is $280 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Table 4 shows units in milligrams per cubic meter $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$. Therefore, the correct ESLs are three orders of magnitude lower than those shown on Table 4. The concentration of benzene detected in soil vapor samples B-5 and B-6 inside the building are more than 300 times higher than the commercial ESL for benzene in soil gas. The scope of the proposed soil vapor sampling investigation is inadequate to characterize the extent of the elevated concentrations of benzene in soil vapor and must be expanded in the Revised Work Plan requested below.
7. Proposed Soil Vapor Analyses. Please review the proposed soil vapor analyses on page 6 , specifically whether TPHg will be analyzed by Method TO-15.
8. Detailed Map of Former UST Excavation \& Proposed Sampling (Figure 4). Figure 4 shows several rooms west of the Former UST Excavation labeled, "Storage." Thank you for inclúding a more detailed map. In the Revised Work Plan requested below, please expand the detailed depiction of building walls and uses to include the area north of the Former UST Excavation. In addition, please include a more detailed description of the occupancy of the adjacent areas to the Former UST Excavation.
9. Proposed Utility Survey for UST in Area of Well 9MW4. A geophysical survey was previously proposed in the area of well 9MW4 to locate a suspected UST. The March 17, 2008 Work Plan indicates that ground penetrating radar and other geophysical methods are not feasible due to steel reinforcing in the floor and steel racks. Soil and groundwater sampling from three borings located around the perimeters of the building are proposed in lieu of the geophysical survey. In the Revised Work Plan requested below, please describe the rationale for locating three borings around the perimeter of the warehouse building. In addition, please confirm that the use of ground penetrating radar is not feasible.
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10. Soil Removal Along Railroad Tracks. We request that soil samples be collected from all intervals where staining, odor, or elevated PID readings are observed. If no evidence of contamination is observed, we request that soil samples be collected from 1.5 and 3.0 feet bgs. We concur with the proposal to extend the borings to a depth of 5 feet bgs and collect a soil sample from 5 feet bgs if contamination is observed at 3 feet bgs. Please include these modifications in the Revised Work Plan requested below.
11. PCBs in Soil. PCBs were detected in surface soil samples from two of the three sampling locations in the area of well MW-1. In the Revised Work Plan requested below, please include soil samples at depths shallower than 3 to 4 feet.
12. Elevated Concentrations of TPH as Kerosene and TPH as Motor Oil Detected in Boring B18. We request that you include one additional sampling location between B-18 and B-17 in order to evaluate whether contamination detected in the two borings is contiguous. Please include this modification in the Revised Work Plan requested below.

## TECHNICAL REPORT REQUEST

Please submit technical reports to Alameda County Environmental Health (Attention: Jerry Wickham), according to the following schedule:

- July 18, 2008 - Revised Work Plan

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654 , and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

## ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS

ACEH's Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of reports in electronic form. The electronic copy replaces paper copies and is expected to be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities. Instructions for submission of electronic documents to the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Program FTP site are provided on the attached "Electronic Report Upload Instructions." Submission of reports to the Alameda County FTP site is an addition to existing requirements for electronic submittal of information to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Geotracker website. In September 2004, the SWRCB adopted regulations that require electronic submittal of information for all groundwater cleanup programs. For several years, responsible parties for cleanup of leaks from underground storage tanks (USTs) have been required to submit groundwater analytical data, surveyed locations of monitoring wells, and other data to the Geotracker database over the Internet. Beginning July 1, 2005, these same reporting requirements were added to Spills, Leaks, Investigations, and Cleanup (SLIC) sites. Beginning July 1, 2005, electronic submittal of a complete copy of all reports for all sites is required in Geotracker (in PDF format). Please visit the SWRCB website for more information on these requirements (http///www.swrcb.ca.gov/ust/cleanup/electronic reporting).
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## PERJURY STATEMENT

All work plans, technical reports, or technical documents submitted to ACEH must be accompanied by a cover letter from the responsible party that states, at a minimum, the following: II declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge." This letter must be signed by an officer or legally authorized representative of your company. Please include a cover letter satisfying these requirements with all future reports and technical documents submitted for this fuel leak case.

## PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION \& CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The California Business and Professions Code (Sections 6735, 6835, and 7835.1) requires that work .plans and technical or implementation reports containing geologic or engineering evaluations and/or judgments be performed under the direction of an appropriately registered or certified professional. For your submittal to be considered a valid technical report, you are to present site specific data, data interpretations, and recommendations prepared by an appropriately licensed professional and include the professional registration stamp, signature, and statement of professional certification. Please ensure all that all technical reports submitted for this fuel leak case meet this requirement.

## UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLEANUP FUND

Please note that delays in investigation, later reports, or enforcement actions may result in your becoming ineligible to receive grant money from the state's Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Senate Bill 2004) to reimburse you for the cost of cleanup.

## AGENCY OVERSIGHT

If it appears as though significant delays are occurring or reports are not submitted as requested, we will consider referring your case to the Regional Board or other appropriate agency, including the County:District Attorney, for possible enforcement actions: California Health and Safety Code, Section 25299.76 authorizes enforcement including administrative action or monetary penalties of up to $\$ 10,000$ per day for each day of violation.

If you have any questions, please call me at (510) $567-6791$ or send me an electronic mail message at jerry.wickham@acgov.org.

Sincerely,
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cc: Leroy Griffin, Oakland Fire Department, 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Ste. 3341, Oakland, CA 94612-2032

Gail Jones, ERAS Environmental, 1533 B Street, Hayward, CA 94541
Stacie Boothe, Gibson, Dunn, \& Crutcher, LLP, 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036-5306

Donna Drogos, ACEH
Jerry Wickham, ACEH
File

# Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) 

Effective January 31, 2006, the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of all reports in electronic form to the county's ftp site. Paper copies of reports will no longer be accepted. The electronic copy replaces the paper copy and will be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities.

## REQUIREMENTS

- Entire report including cover letter must be submitted to the ftp site as a single portable document format (PDF) with no password protection. (Please do not submit reports as attachments to electronic mail.)
- It is preferable that reports be converted to PDF format from their original format, (e.g., Microsoft Word) rather than scanned.
- Signature pages and perjury statements must be included and have either original or electronic signature.
- Do not password protect the document. Once indexed and inserted into the correct electronic case file, the document will be secured in compliance with the County's current security standards and a password. Documents with password protection will not be accepted.
-. Each page in, the PDF document should be rotated in thie direction that will make it easiest to read on a computer monitor.
- Reports must be named and saved using the following naming convention:

> RO\#_Report Name_Year-Month-Date (e.g., RO\#5555_WorkPlan_2005-06-14)

## Additional Recommendations

- A separate copy of the tables in the document should be submitted by e-mail to your Caseworker in Excel format: These are for use by assigned Caseworker only.


## Submission Instructions

1) Obtain User Name and Password:
a) Contact the Alameda County Environmental Health Department to obtain a User Name and Password to upload files to the ftp site.
i) Send an e-mail to dehloptoxic@acgov.org. or
ii) Send a fax on company letterhead to (510) 337-9335, to the attention of Alicia Lam-Finneke.
b) In the subject line of your request, be sure to include "ftp PASSWORD REQUEST" and in the body of your request, include the Contact Information, Site Addresses, and the Case Numbers (RO\# available in Geotracker) you will be posting for.
2) Upload Files to the ftp Site
a) Using Internet Explorer (IE4+), go to ftp://alcoftp1.acgov.org
(i) Note: Netscape and Firefox browsers will not open the FTP site.
b) Click on File, then on Login As.
c) Enter your User Name and Password: (Note: Both are Case Sensitive.)
d). Open "My Computer" on your computer and navigate to the file(s) you wish to upload to the fip site.
e) With both "My Computer" and the fip site open in separate windows, drag and drop the file(s) from "My Computer" to the ftp window.
3) Send E-mail Notifications to the Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs
a) Send email to dehloptoxic@acgov.org_notify us that you have placed a report on our ftp site.
b) Copy your Caseworker on the e-mail. Your Caseworker's e-mail address is the entire first name then a period and entire last name at acgov.org. (e.g., firstname.lastname@acgov.org)
c) The subject line of the e-mail must start with the RO\# followed by Report. Upload. (e.g., Subject: RO1234 Report Upload)

APPENDIX B
MAP OF UST EXCAVATI ON
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PERMIT

# Alameda County Public Works Agency - Water Resources Well Permit 



399 Elmhurst Street
Hayward, CA 94544-1395
Telephone: (510)670-6633 Fax:(510)782-1939

Permit Numbers: W2008-0308

| Application Id: Site Location: | $\begin{aligned} & 1212169577084 \\ & 9201 \text { San Leandro Street } \end{aligned}$ | City of Project Site:Oakland |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12 borings to 40 feet and 1 boring to 10 feet | Completion Date:06/17/2008 |  |
| Requested Inspection:06/17/2008 Completion Date:06/17/2008 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled Inspection: 06/17/2008 at 2:30 PM (Contact your inspector, Vicky Hamlin at (510) 670-5443, to confirm.) |  |  |  |
| Applicant: | ERAS Environmental, Inc. - Andrew Savage 1533 B Street, Hayward, CA 94541 | Phone: 510-247-9885 |  |
| Property Owner: | Mark Vignoles | Phone: -- |  |
|  | 9201 San Leandro Street, Oakland, CA 94603 |  |  |
| Client: Contact: | ** same as Property Owner ** | Phone: $510-247-9885$Cell: $925-330-8926$ |  |
|  | Andrew Savage |  |  |
|  |  | Total Due: | \$200.00 |
|  | Receipt Number: WR2008-0186 | Total Amount Paid: | \$200.00 |
|  | Payer Name : Andrew Savage | Paid By: MC | IN FULL |

## Works Requesting Permits:

Borehole(s) for Investigation-Environmental/Monitorinig Study - 13 Boreholes
Driller: Vironex Inc. - Lic \#: 705927 - Method: DP
Work Total: \$200.00

## Specifications

| Permit | Issued Dt | Expire Dt | $\#$ <br> Bumber |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nureholes | Hole Diam | Max Depth |  |  |  |
| W2008- | $06 / 03 / 2008$ | $09 / 10 / 2008$ | 13 | 2.50 in. | 40.00 ft |
| 0308 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Specific Work Permit Conditions

1. Backfill bore hole by tremie with cement grout or cement grout/sand mixture. Upper two-three feet replaced in kind or with compacted cuttings. All cuttings remaining or unused shall be containerized and hauled off site. The containers shall be clearly labeled to the ownership of the container and labeled hazardous or non-hazardous.
2. Boreholes shall not be left open for a period of more than 24 hours. All boreholes left open more than 24 hours will need approval from Alameda County Public Works Agency, Water Resources Section. All boreholes shall be backfilled according to permit destruction requirements and all concrete material and asphalt material shall be to Caltrans Spec or County/City Codes. No borehole(s) shall be left in a manner to act as a conduit at any time.
3. Permittee shall assume entire responsibility for all activities and uses under this permit and shall indemnify, defend and save the Alameda County Public Works Agency, its officers, agents, and employees free and harmless from any and all expense, cost, liability in connection with or resulting from the exercise of this Permit including, but not limited to, properly damage, personal injury and wrongful death.
4. Applicant shall contact Vicky Hamlin for an inspection time at 510-670-5443 or email to vickyh@acpwa.org at least five (5) working days prior to starting, once the permit has been approved. Confirm the scheduled date(s) at least 24 hours prior to drilling.
5. Copy of approved drilling permit must be on site at all times. Failure to present or show proof of the approved permit

## Alameda County Public Works Agency - Water Resources Well Permit

application on site shall result in a fine of $\$ 500.00$.
6. Prior to any drilling activities onto any public right-of-ways, it shall be the applicants responsibilities to contact and coordinate a Underground Service Alert (USA), obtain encroachment permit(s), excavation permit(s) or any other permits required for that City or to the County and follow all City or County Ordinances. It shall also be the applicants responsibilities to provide to the Cities or to Alameda County a Traffic Safety Plan for any lane closures or detours planned. No work shall begin until all the permits and requirements have been approved or obtained.
7. Permit is valid only for the purpose specified herein. No changes in construction procedures, as described on this permit application. Boreholes shall not be converted to monitoring wells, without a permit application process.

## APPENDIXD

STANDARD OPERATI NG PROCEDURES

## STANDARD OPERATI NG PROCEDURE - HAND BORI NGS

## SOI L CORING AND SAMPLI NG PROCEDURES

Prior to drilling, the surface is either cored if concrete or hammered through using a pick, if asphalt.

A hand operated coring device equipped with a 3 -inch diameter auger bit is advanced into the soil until full. The auger is removed and emptied and this process is repeated until the desired depth is reached. The hand auger is removed and a slide hammer core sampling device, equipped with two 3 -inch long, 2 -inch diameter brass liners is advanced six inches into the undisturbed soil at the bottom of the borehole.

One of the 3 -inch liners is selected and the ends of the tube are covered with Teflon liner and sealed with plastic caps. The soil-filled liner is labeled with the borehole number, sample depth, site location, date, and time. The samples are placed in bags and stored in a cooler containing ice. Soil from the core adjacent to the interval selected for analyses is placed in a plastic zip-top bag. The soil is allowed to volatilize for a period of time, depending on the ambient temperature. The soil is scanned with a flame-ionization detector (FID) or photo-ionization detector (PID).

All sample barrels, rods, and tools are cleaned with Alconox or equivalent detergent and deionized water. All rinsate from the cleaning is contained in covered 5-gallon plastic buckets or $55-$ gallon drums at the project site.

## BOREHOLE GROUTI NG FOR HAND BORI NGS

Upon completion of soil and water sampling, boreholes will be abandoned with neat cement grout. If the borehole was advanced into groundwater, the grout is pumped through a grouting tube positioned at the bottom of the borehole.

## STANDARD OPERATI NG PROCEDURES GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

Prior to groundwater sampling, a measurement is made of the static water level using a water level probe. At sites where the presence of separate-phase hydrocarbons is suspected, a product bailer or an interface probe is used to measure product thickness. The water level probe is cleaned with non-phosphate detergent and rinsed with de-ionized (DI) water between wells.

## STANDARD PURGE PROCEDURES

The static water level and well depth are used to calculate the well casing volume. A minimum of 4 well casing volumes of water are purged from the well prior to sampling in order to obtain a representative sample of the groundwater from the formation surrounding the well. Wells should be purged and sampled in order of least to highest suspected concentrations.

Standard purging equipment is a new disposable bailer for each well. Alternatively, purging and sampling systems may be a stainless steel bailers; HDPE tubing with a foot-valve, or low-flow purging using a peristaltic pumps. Appropriate personal protective equipment is worn during purging. The well is purged until the clarity, pH , and conductivity of the discharged water have stabilized. "Stabilized" is defined as three consecutive readings within $10 \%$ of one another.

These parameters are measured and recorded initially, after every well casing volume is removed, and after the sample is collected. In some localities, turbidity, Eh, and dissolved oxygen measurements may also be required. If the well is purged dry prior to the removal of three or four casing volumes of water, the water level is allowed to recover to $80 \%$ of the static level before sampling. Whenever possible, samples will be collected within 24 hours after purging. Ideally, samples will be collected immediately after purging to minimize volatilization of aromatic hydrocarbons.

The standard sampling equipment will be inert polyethylene disposable bailers. New sampling gloves are worn during each sample collection. Sample containers typically consist, depending on the analysis, 40 milliliter volatile organic analysis (VOA) vials with Teflon septa, 1 liter amber glass bottles, or plastic bottles. HCl or other preservative are added to the sample containers as appropriate by the laboratory prior to sampling. The groundwater sample is decanted into each VOA vial to form a meniscus at the top to eliminate air bubbles when capped. The sample is labeled with date, time, sample number, project number and analysis. The samples are stored in a cooler with blue ice or ice, and delivered under chain-of-custody to the state-certified analytical laboratory. For quality control purposes, duplicate samples, trip blanks, and equipment blanks may also be collected. The duplicate sample is given a different number than the original sample from the same well. Trip blanks are prepared by the laboratory using DI water and remain in the cooler. Equipment blanks are collected from sampling equipment using DI water after the equipment has been decontaminated and rinsed.

All non-dedicated purging and sampling equipment is washed in non-phosphate detergent solution and double rinsed with DI water after use in every well to avoid cross-contamination.

Purge water will be properly disposed or temporarily contained in labeled steel barrels pending chemical analysis to determine proper disposal procedure.

## STANDARD OPERATI NG PROCEDURE - DI RECT PUSH BORI NGS

## SOI L CORI NG AND SAMPLI NG PROCEDURES

Prior to drilling, all boreholes will be hand dug to a depth of 4-5 feet below ground surface (bgs) to check for underground utility lines.

Soil and groundwater samples are collected for lithologic and chemical analyses using a direct driven soil coring system. A hydraulic hammer drives sampling rods into the ground to collect continuous soil cores. As the rods are advanced, soil is driven into an approximately 2.5 -inchdiamter sample barrel that is attached to the end of the rods. Soil samples are collected in sleeves inside the sample barrel as the rods are advanced. After being driven 4 to 5 feet into the ground, the rods are removed from the borehole. The sleeve containing the soil core is removed from the sample barrel, and can then be preserved for chemical analyses, or used for lithologic description. This process is repeated until the desired depth is reached.

A soil core interval selected for analyses is cut from the sleeve using a hacksaw. The ends of the tube are covered with aluminum foil or Teflon liner and sealed with plastic caps. The soil-filled liner is labeled with the bore number, sample depth, site location, date, and time. The samples are placed in bags and stored in a cooler containing ice. Soil from the core adjacent to the interval selected for analyses is placed in a plastic zip-top bag. The soil is allowed to volatilize for a period of time, depending on the ambient temperature. The soil is scanned with a flameionization detector (FID) or photo-ionization detector (PID).

All sample barrels, rods, and tools are cleaned with Alconox or equivalent detergent and deionized water. All rinsate from the cleaning is contained in 55 -gallon drums at the project site.

## GROUNDWATER SAMPLI NG FROM DI RECT PUSH BORI NGS

After the targeted water-bearing zone has been penetrated, the soil-sample barrel is removed from the borehole. Small-diameter well casing with 0.010 -inch slotted well screen may be installed in the borehole to facilitate the collection of groundwater samples. Threaded sections of PVC are lowered into the borehole. Groundwater samples may then be collected with a bailer, peristaltic pump, or WaTerra pump until adequate sample volume is obtained.

Groundwater samples are preserved, stored in an ice-filled cooler, and are delivered, under chain-of-custody, to a laboratory certified by the California Department of Health Services (DHS) for hazardous materials analysis.

## BOREHOLE GROUTI NG FOR DI RECT PUSH BORI NGS

Upon completion of soil and water sampling, boreholes will be abandoned with neat cement grout to the surface. If the borehole was advanced into groundwater, the grout is pumped through a grouting tube positioned at the bottom of the borehole.

## STANDARD OPERATI NG PROCEDURE GEOPROBE SOIL-GAS SAMPLING

A soil-gas sample will not be collected within seven days following a measurable precipitation event.

Sample rods are driven to the desired depth. A soil-gas sampling tubing system is inserted into the rods and connected to an expendable point holder. The rods are retracted a desired 6 -inch interval and the expendable drive point on the bottom of the rods is released. Hydrated bentonite is placed around where the drill rod exits the ground to prevent surface air migrating down the outer portion of the rods. The bentonite will be allowed to hydrate and expand for at least 30 minutes prior to purging the sample line.

The soil sample is then collected into a Summa canister. A summa canister is a stainless steel vessel which has had the internal surfaces specially passivated using a "Summa" process. The Summa canister arrives pre-cleaned from the laboratory and with an internal vacuum between $25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Hg}$ and 20 " Hg . Prior to use, the pressure in the summa canister is checked with a pressure gauge to ensure a vacuum of at least $25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Hg}$ for quality control purposes.

As a check for air leaks a paper towel or rag wetted with isopropyl alcohol will be placed on all sample line fittings and the top of the inside of the drill rod. Analysis of the sample for isopropyl alcohol will indicate if ambient air entered the sample.

A vacuum is applied to the tubing to purge at least three volumes of air from the sample tubing using a flow regulator at a purge rate from 100 to $200 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$.

Once the tubing has been purged of ambient air, it is connected to a summa canister. A particulate filter is used in-line to filter out particles and liquids. A flow controller is placed in line between the filter and the canister to maintain a low purge rate.

The valve on the summa canister is opened, and the soil-gas sample is drawn into the canister. The sample tubing will be checked for condensation. If observed, the sample will be discarded. The flow controller will stop drawing in air after a pre-set time interval. The remaining canister vacuum should be about 5 -inches Hg . The vacuum left inside the canister is recorded on the chain-of-custody. The soil-gas samples will be transferred under chain-of-custody procedures to a state certified laboratory for analyses. Upon receipt, the laboratory will check the pressure in the canister and compare it to the pressure recorded on the chain-of-custody for quality control purposes.


## STANDARD OPERATI NG PROCEDURE - SUBSLAB SOI LGAS SAMPLING

A sub slab soil-gas sample will not be collected within seven days following a measurable precipitation event.

A core will be removed from the building slab. Dirt and base rock will be removed to approximately 1 foot bellow the base of the slab. A particulate filter will be installed on the bottom of sample tubing and place in the hole. A $2 / 12$ Sand pack is placed around the vapor tip to approximately 6 inches below the surface of the slab. Hydrated bentonite is placed around the sample tub to the surface or the slab to prevent surface air migrating under the slab. The bentonite will be allowed to hydrate and expand prior to purging the sample line.

The sub slab sample is then collected into a Summa canister. A summa canister is a stainless steel vessel which has had the internal surfaces specially passivated using a "Summa" process. The Summa canister arrives pre-cleaned from the laboratory and with an internal vacuum between $25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Hg}$ and $20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Hg}$. Prior to use, the pressure in the summa canister is checked with a pressure gauge to ensure a vacuum of at least $25{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Hg}$ for quality control purposes.

As a check for air leaks a paper towel or rag wetted with isopropyl alcohol will be placed on all sample line fittings and the top of the inside of the bentonite sealed slab. Analysis of the sample for isopropyl alcohol will indicate if ambient air entered the sample.

A vacuum is applied to the tubing to purge the ambient air from the sample tubing. Once the tubing has been purged of ambient air, it is connected to a summa canister. A particulate filter is used in-line to filter out particles and liquids.

In areas of fine-grained soils, a flow controller is placed in line between the filter and the canister to maintain a low purge rate.

The valve on the summa canister is opened, and the sub slab soil-gas sample is drawn into the canister. The sample tubing will be checked for condensation. If observed, the sample will be discarded. The flow controller will stop drawing in air after a pre-set time interval. The remaining canister vacuum should be about 5 -inches Hg . The vacuum left inside the canister is recorded on the chain-of-custody. The sub slab soil-gas samples will be transferred under chain-of-custody procedures to a state certified laboratory for analyses. Upon receipt, the laboratory will check the pressure in the canister and compare it to the pressure recorded on the chain-of-custody for quality control purposes.


## STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE ---

## GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION

The boreholes for monitor wells are usually drilled using a truck-mounted hollow-stem auger drill rig. The hollow-stem auger drilling method allows the well screen, casing and filter pack to be installed through the auger, thereby limiting boring cave-in during well installation. The borehole is logged by a geologist during drilling. Soil samples are collected for logging in a split spoon sampler lined with brass tubes at a maximum interval of five feet. Soil samples selected for chemical analyses are sealed at each end with Teflon sheets and plastic end caps, labeled and stored in a cooler with ice.

Well casing typically consists of flush-threaded schedule 40 PVC; however, schedule 80 PVC, Teflon, or stainless steel may be used depending on site conditions. The screened interval usually consists of machined slots for PVC and Teflon casing and continuous wire-wrap for stainless steel screen. The slot or screen size is selected by the geologist according to filter pack grain size and hydrogeologic formation characteristics. The most commonly used slot sizes are 0.010 inch and 0.020 inch. Either a threaded end cap or a PVC slip cap fastened with stainless steel screws is placed at the bottom of the casing. No solvents or cements are used to join casing sections.

The casing is set inside the hollow-stem auger and sand or gravel filter pack material is slowly poured into the annular space from the bottom of the boring to about 2 ft above the top of the well screen while withdrawing the auger. The filter pack grain size is selected by the geologist to conform to the formation grain size and estimated hydraulic conductivity. A $1-\mathrm{ft}$ to $2-\mathrm{ft}$ thick seal composed of hydrated bentonite pellets is placed above the filter pack to prevent grout from infiltrating into the filter pack. Portland cement grout used to seal the annular space form the top of the bentonite seal to about 6 inches below the surface. The grout is pumped under pressure through a pipe if the bentonite seal is below water. A lockable plastic expansion cap is placed at the top of the casing. Traffic-rated vault boxes are set in concrete around well heads in paved areas. Locking steel monument covers are usually installed over wellheads in unpaved areas.

## STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE ---

## GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL DEVELOPMENT

Groundwater monitoring wells are developed after installation to improve well yield by removing fine material, including formation material or drilling mud, from the well casing, filter pack and boring annulus/formation interface. Fine material is also removed and soil grains aligned in the formation surrounding the well screen, thereby increasing porosity and hydraulic conductivity.

Prior to well development, the initial static water level is measured using a water level or interface probe. Standard procedure is to develop wells using a WaTerra surge block and an electric submersible pump. Well development may also be performed by hand using surge blocks and bailers, or by a truck-mounted development rig. The well is the surged along the entire screened interval using a surge block. This creates a backwashing effect that draws fine material from the formation and filter pack into the well casing and aligns the formation grains. Following surging, the well is then purged by using and electric submersible pump to remove fine suspended solids. The purging is continued until the purged water is relatively free of suspended solids and measurements of the groundwater pH , and conductivity have stabilized. "Stabilized" is defined as three consecutive readings within $10 \%$ of one another. Typically the amount of water purged is a minimum of 10 casing volumes. Data including well yield purge time and rate, clarity, pH , and conductivity are recorded.

After purging is completed, water levels are measured and recorded while recovering to static level. All development equipment is either steam-cleaned or washed in nonphosphate detergent solution and double-rinsed with de-ionized (DI) water between wells.

The purged water is contained on-site in drums or tanks until properly disposed.
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APPENDIX F

## FI ELD FORMS

## Groundwater Level Summary



GROUNDWATER SAMPLE DATA


| Depth to <br> Bottom | - Depth to <br> Water | = Casing <br> volume | $*$ Volume Factor <br> $0.75 "=.0232 "=0.17$ <br> $4 "=0.66$ | $=$Gallons per <br> CV <br> 20 9.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.96 | 0.66 | 7.23 |  |  |



| Well <br> Dewatered <br> $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ | Total Volume <br> Removed <br> (gal) | Casing Vol removed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 24 | 3 |


| Depth to <br> Water at <br> Sampling | Date <br> Sampled | Time <br> Sampled | Sample <br> Method | \#/type <br> containers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6.17 .08 | 11.04 | Bailer | 4/VOA |

Well \#

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE DATA


| Depth to Bottom | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - Depth to } \\ & \text { Water } \\ & 5 \cdot .57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { = Casing } \\ & \text { volume } \\ & \text { (o. } 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { *Volume Factor } \\ 0.75^{\prime \prime}=.0232^{\prime \prime}=0.17 \\ 4^{\prime \prime}=0.66 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { = Gallons per } \\ & 6.85 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19.9 | $9$ | teray | 0.66 | todes |



| Well <br> Dewatered <br> $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ | Total Volume <br> Removed <br> (gal) | Casing Vol removed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | 22 | 3 |


| Depth to <br> Water at <br> Sampling | Date <br> Sampled | Time <br> Sampled | Sample <br> Method | \#/type <br> containers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6.17 .08 | 12,40 | Bailer | $4 / \mathrm{VOA}$ |

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE DATA


| Depth to Bottom | - Depth to Water 589 | = Casing volume 10.09 | * Volume Factor $\begin{gathered} 0.75 "=.0232^{2 "}=0.17 \\ 4^{\prime \prime}=0.66 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\text { Gallons per } \\ & \text { CV } \\ & 6.65 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | $\frac{6}{6}$ | (1204 | 0.66 | (a) |



| Well <br> Dewatered <br> $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ | Total Volume <br> Removed <br> (gal) | Casing Vol removed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X$ | 21 | 3 |


| Depth to <br> Water at <br> Sampling | Date <br> Sampled | Time <br> Sampled | Sample <br> Method | \#/type <br> containers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 11.14 .07 | $13: 18$ | Well \# Bailer | $4 / \mathrm{NOA}$ |

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE DATA


| Depth to <br> Bottom | - Depth to <br> Water | = Casing <br> volume | $*$ Volume Factor <br> $0.75 "=.0232 "=0.17$ <br> $4 "=0.66$ | = Gallons per <br> CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19.9 | 8.31 | 11.59 | 0.66 | 7.64 |



| Well <br> Dewatered <br> $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ | Total Volume <br> Removed <br> (gal) | Casing Vol removed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | 24 | 3 |


| Depth to <br> Water at <br> Sampling | Date <br> Sampled | Time <br> Sampled | Sample <br> Method | \#/type <br> containers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 6.17 .08 | $11=44$ | Bailer | $4 / \mathrm{NOA}$ |

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE DATA

| Well \# | 9MW4 | Project Location | 9201 San Leandro St. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project \# | 07-001-04 |  |  |
| Purge Date | 6.18 .08 | Personnel | KC |
| Purge Method | Bailer | Purge Rate (pump only) |  |
| Parameter Meter | Oakton |  |  |


| Depth to <br> Bottom | - Depth to <br> Water | = Casing <br> volume | * Volume Factor <br> $0.75 "=.0232 "=0.17$ <br> $4^{\prime \prime}=0.66$ | = Gallons per <br> CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19.9 | 8.31 | 11.59 | 0.66 | 7.64 |



| Well <br> Dewatered <br> $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ | Total Volume <br> Removed <br> (gal) | Casing Vol removed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\nu$ | 12 | $11 / 2$ |


| Depth to <br> Water at <br> Sampling | Date <br> Sampled | Time <br> Sampled | Sample <br> Method | \#/type <br> containers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6.18 .08 | $10 \div 35$ | Bailer | $2 /$ liter |

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE DATA



| Well <br> Dewatered <br> $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ | Total Volume <br> Removed <br> (gal) | Casing Vol removed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J | 3 | 24 |


| Depth to <br> Water at <br> Sampling | Date <br> Sampled | Time <br> Sampled | Sample <br> Method | \#/type <br> containers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6.17 .08 | $10: 58$ | Bailer | $4 /$ NOA |

APPENDIX G
LABORATORY REPORT AND CHAI N OF CUSTODY FORM FOR HAND-AUGER SOI L SAMPLES

| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@ mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. | Client Project ID: \#07-001-03; 9201 San Leandro St | Date Sampled: | 06/12/08 |
| 1533 B Street |  | Date Received: | 06/13/08 |
| Hayward, CA 94541 | Client Contact: Andrew Savage | Date Reported: | 06/20/08 |
|  | Client P.O.: | Date Completed | 06/19/08 |

## WorkOrder: 0806416

June 20, 2008

Dear Andrew:

Enclosed within are:

1) The results of the 24 analyzed samples from your project: \#07-001-03; $\mathbf{9 2 0 1}$ San Leandro St,
2) A QC report for the above samples,
3) A copy of the chain of custody, and
4) An invoice for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.
If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to give me a call. Thank you for choosing
McCampbell Analytical Laboratories for your analytical needs.

Best regards,


Angela Rydelius
Laboratory Manager
McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

## CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM




* SHould BeTob00101592



## CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM



* Should Be Toboolol592


1534 Willow Pass Rd
Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701
(925) 252-9262

WorkOrder: 0806416

## ClientCode: ERAS

Excel$\square$ Fax
V Email
$\square$ HardCopy$\square$ ThirdParty$J-f l a g$

Bill to:
Gail Jones
ERAS Environmental, Inc.
1533 B Street
Hayward, CA 94541

Requested TAT: 5 days

Date Received: 06/13/2008 Date Printed: 06/19/2008

Report to:
Andrew Savage
ERAS Environmental, Inc.
1533 B Street
Hayward, CA 94541
(510) 247-9885 FAX (510) 886-5399

Email: info@eras.biz
cc: gale@eras.biz
PO:
ProjectNo: \#07-001-03; 9201 San Leandro St

| Lab ID | Client ID | Matrix | Collection Date | Hold | Requested Tests (See legend below) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 0806416-001 | Pit3SE,1.25-1.5 | Soil | 6/12/2008 10:42 | $\square$ |  |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-002 | Pit3SE,2.75-3 | Soil | 6/12/2008 10:58 | $\square$ |  |  | A | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-003 | Pit3E, 1.25-1.5 | Soil | 6/12/2008 11:06 | $\square$ |  |  | A | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-004 | Pit3E,2.75-3 | Soil | 6/12/2008 11:13 | $\square$ |  |  | A | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-005 | Pit3NW,1.25-1.5 | Soil | 6/12/2008 11:21 |  |  |  | A | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-006 | Pit3NW,2.25-2.5 | Soil | 6/12/2008 11:32 | $\square$ |  |  | A | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-007 | Pit4SE,1-1.25 | Soil | 6/12/2008 9:15 | $\square$ |  |  | A | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-008 | Pit4SE,3.25-3.5 | Soil | 6/12/2008 9:48 | $\square$ |  |  | A | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-009 | Pit4E1.25-1.5 | Soil | 6/12/2008 10:02 | $\square$ |  |  | A | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-010 | Pit4E,3-3.25 | Soil | 6/12/2008 10:22 | $\square$ |  |  | A | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-011 | Pit4NW, 1.25-1.5 | Soil | 6/12/2008 8:35 | $\square$ |  |  | A | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-012 | Pit4NW,2.75-3 | Soil | 6/12/2008 8:59 | $\square$ |  |  | A | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-013 | HA-1,1.25-1.5 | Soil | 6/12/2008 13:03 | $\square$ | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-014 | HA-1,3-3.25 | Soil | 6/12/2008 13:16 | $\square$ | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Test Legend:



| 2 | 8260B_S |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 12 |  |





Prepared by: Ana Venegas

## Comments:

## McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

1534 Willow Pass Rd
Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701
(925) 252-9262

## WorkOrder: 0806416

## ClientCode: ERAS

Report to:

## Andrew Savage <br> ERAS Environmental, Inc.

1533 B Street
Hayward, CA 94541
(510) 247-9885 FAX (510) 886-5399Excel
$\square$ Fax
(V) Email
$\square$ HardCopyThirdPartyJ-flag

Bill to:
Gail Jones
ERAS Environmental, Inc.
1533 B Street
Hayward, CA 94541

Requested TAT: 5 days

Date Received: 06/13/2008 Date Printed: 06/19/2008

| Lab ID | Client ID | Matrix | Collection Date | Hold | Requested Tests (See legend below) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 0806416-015 | HA-2,1.25-1.5 | Soil | 6/12/2008 13:30 | $\square$ | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-016 | HA-2,2.5-2.75 | Soil | 6/12/2008 13:45 | $\square$ | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-017 | HA-3,1.25-1.5 | Soil | 6/12/2008 14:02 | $\square$ | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-018 | HA-3,2.5-2.75 | Soil | 6/12/2008 14:11 | $\square$ | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-019 | HA-4,1-1.25 | Soil | 6/12/2008 14:40 | $\square$ |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-020 | HA-4,2.75-3 | Soil | 6/12/2008 14:50 | $\square$ |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-021 | HA-5,1-1.25 | Soil | 6/12/2008 15:26 | $\square$ |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-022 | HA-5,2.75-3 | Soil | 6/12/2008 15:32 | $\square$ |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-023 | HA-6,1-1.25 | Soil | 6/12/2008 15:00 | $\square$ |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806416-024 | HA-6,2.75-3 | Soil | 6/12/2008 15:10 | $\square$ |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Test Legend:


| 2 | 8260B_S |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7 |  |
| 12 |  |



Prepared by: Ana Venegas

## Comments:

## Sample Receipt Checklist

| Client Name: | ERAS Environmen | tal, Inc. | Date and Time Received: 06/13/08 | 11:02 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Name: | \#07-001-03; 9201 | San Leandro St | Checklist completed and reviewed by: | Ana Venegas |
| WorkOrder $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ : | 0806416 | Matrix Soil | Carrier: Derik Cartan (MAI Courier) |  |


| Chain of custody present? | Yes $\boldsymbol{\square}$ | No $\square$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Chain of custody agrees with sample labels? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Sample IDs noted by Client on COC? | Yes $\boldsymbol{\square}$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Date and Time of collection noted by Client on COC? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Sampler's name noted on COC? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Sample Receipt Information |  |  |  |
| Custody seals intact on shipping container/cooler? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ | NA $\square$ |
| Shipping container/cooler in good condition? | Yes $\boldsymbol{\square}$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Samples in proper containers/bottles? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Sample containers intact? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Sufficient sample volume for indicated test? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |

## Sample Preservation and Hold Time (HT) Information

| All samples received within holding time? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ | NA $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Container/Temp Blank temperature | Cooler Temp: | 5.6º |  |
| Water - VOA vials have zero headspace / no bubbles? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ | No VOA vials submitted $\square$ |
| Sample labels checked for correct preservation? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| TTLC Metal - pH acceptable upon receipt (pH<2)? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ | NA $\square$ |

* NOTE: If the "No" box is checked, see comments below.


Client contacted:
Date contacted:
Contacted by:

Comments:


Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager


| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" |  |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. |  | Client Project ID: \#07-001-03; 9201 San Leandro St |  |  | Date Sampled: 06/12/08 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Date Received: 06/13/08 |  |  |  |
| 1533 B Street |  | Client Contact: Andrew Savage |  |  | Date Extracted: 06/13/08 |  |  |  |
| Hayward, CA 94541 |  | Client P.O.: |  |  | Date Analyzed 06/16/08 |  |  |  |
| Volatile Organics by P\&T and GC/MS (Basic Target List)* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extraction Method: SW5030B | Analytical Method: SW8260B |  |  |  | Work Order: 0806416 |  |  |  |
| Lab ID | 0806416-019A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Client ID | HA-4,1-1.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matrix | Soil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compound | Concentration * | DF | $\overline{\begin{array}{c} \text { Reporting } \\ \text { Limit } \end{array}}$ | Compound |  | Concentration * | DF | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Reporting } \\ \text { Limit } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Acetone | 0.12 | 1.0 | 0.05 | tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Bromobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Bromochloromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Bromodichloromethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Bromoform | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Bromomethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | ND | 1.0 | 0.02 | t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.05 |
| n-Butyl benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | sec-Butyl benzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| tert-Butyl benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Carbon Disulfide |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Chlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Chloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Chloroform |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Chloromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 2-Chlorotoluene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Dibromochloromethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 0.004 | 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.004 |
| Dibromomethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1-Dichloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND | 1.0 | 0.004 | 1,1-Dichloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,3-Dichloropropane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1-Dichloropropene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Ethylbenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Freon 113 |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Hexachloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 2-Hexanone | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Isopropylbenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 4-Isopropyl toluene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Methylene chloride | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Naphthalene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | n-Propyl benzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Styrene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Tetrachloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Toluene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Trichloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,2,3-Trichloropropane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Vinvl_Chloride | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Xvlenes |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Surrogate Recoveries (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%SS1: | 100 |  |  | \%SS2: |  | 115 |  |  |
| $\% \mathrm{SS3}$ : | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * water and vapor samples are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, soil/sludge/solid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP \& SPLP extract are reported in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} /$ wipe. <br> ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis. <br> \# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; \&) low surrogate due to matrix interference. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" |  |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. |  | Client Project ID: \#07-001-03; 9201 San Leandro St |  |  | Date Sampled: 06/12/08 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Date Received: 06/13/08 |  |  |  |
| 1533 B Street |  | Client Contact: Andrew Savage |  |  | Date Extracted: 06/13/08 |  |  |  |
| Hayward, CA 94541 |  | Client P.O.: |  |  | Date Analyzed 06/16/08 |  |  |  |
| Volatile Organics by P\&T and GC/MS (Basic Target List)* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extraction Method: SW5030B | Analytical Method: SW8260B |  |  |  | Work Order: 0806416 |  |  |  |
| Lab ID | 0806416-020A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Client ID | HA-4,2.75-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matrix | Soil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compound | Concentration * | DF | $\overline{\begin{array}{c} \text { Reporting } \\ \text { Limit } \end{array}}$ | Compound |  | Concentration * | DF | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Reporting } \\ \text { Limit } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Acetone | 0.20 | 1.0 | 0.05 | tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Bromobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Bromochloromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Bromodichloromethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Bromoform | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Bromomethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | 0.026 | 1.0 | 0.02 | t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.05 |
| n-Butyl benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | sec-Butyl benzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| tert-Butyl benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Carbon Disulfide |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Chlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Chloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Chloroform |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Chloromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 2-Chlorotoluene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Dibromochloromethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 0.004 | 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.004 |
| Dibromomethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1-Dichloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND | 1.0 | 0.004 | 1,1-Dichloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,3-Dichloropropane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1-Dichloropropene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Ethylbenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Freon 113 |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Hexachloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 2-Hexanone | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Isopropylbenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 4-Isopropyl toluene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Methylene chloride | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Naphthalene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | n-Propyl benzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Styrene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Tetrachloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Toluene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Trichloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,2,3-Trichloropropane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Vinv_Chloride | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Xvlenes |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Surrogate Recoveries (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%SS1: | 100 |  |  | \%SS2: |  | 101 |  |  |
| $\% \mathrm{SS3}$ : | 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * water and vapor samples are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, soil/sludge/solid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP \& SPLP extract are reported in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} /$ wipe. <br> ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis. <br> \# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; \&) low surrogate due to matrix interference. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| ERAS Environmental, Inc. | Client Project ID: \#07-001-03; 9201 San | Date Sampled: 06/12/08 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Deandro St | Deceived: 06/13/08 |
|  | Client Contact: Andrew Savage | Date Extracted: 06/13/08 |
|  | Client P.O.: | Date Analyzed 06/17/08 |

Volatile Organics by P\&T and GC/MS (Basic Target List)*

| Extraction Method: SW5030B |  | Analytical Method: |  | SW8260B | Work Order: 0806416 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | 0806416-021A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Client ID | HA-5,1-1.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matrix | Soil |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compound | Concentration * | DF | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reporting } \\ \text { Limit } \end{gathered}$ | Compound | Concentration * | DF | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Reporting } \\ \text { Limit } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Acetone | $\mathrm{ND}<0.20$ | 4.0 | 0.05 | tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Benzene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | Bromobenzene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Bromochloromethane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | Bromodichloromethane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Bromoform | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | Bromomethane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | $\mathrm{ND}<0.080$ | 4.0 | 0.02 | t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) | $\mathrm{ND}<0.20$ | 4.0 | 0.05 |
| n-Butyl benzene | 0.20 | 4.0 | 0.005 | sec-Butyl benzene | 0.16 | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| tert-Butyl benzene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | Carbon Disulfide | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | Chlorobenzene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Chloroethane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | Chloroform | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Chloromethane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | 2-Chlorotoluene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | Dibromochloromethane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.016$ | 4.0 | 0.004 | 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | $\mathrm{ND}<0.016$ | 4.0 | 0.004 |
| Dibromomethane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | 1,1-Dichloroethane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | $\mathrm{ND}<0.016$ | 4.0 | 0.004 | 1,1-Dichloroethene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | 1,3-Dichloropropane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | 1,1-Dichloropropene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | Ethylbenzene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | Freon 113 | ND<0.40 | 4.0 | 0.1 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | Hexachloroethane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| 2-Hexanone | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | Isopropylbenzene | 0.056 | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| 4-Isopropyl toluene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Methylene chloride | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Naphthalene | 0.067 | 4.0 | 0.005 | n-Propyl benzene | 0.13 | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Styrene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | Tetrachloroethene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Toluene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | Trichloroethene | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 | 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | $\mathrm{ND}<0.020$ | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 0.73 | 4.0 | 0.005 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 0.36 | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Vinvl Chloride | ND<0.020 | 4.0 | 0.005 | Xvlenes | 0.11 | 4.0 | 0.005 |
| Surrogate Recoveries (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%SS1: | 99 |  |  | \%SS2: | 95 |  |  |
| \%SS3: | 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Comments:

* water and vapor samples are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, soil/sludge/solid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP \& SPLP extracts are reported in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} /$ wipe.

ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.
\# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; \&) low surrogate due to matrix interference.

| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" |  |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. |  | Client Project ID: \#07-001-03; 9201 San Leandro St |  |  | Date Sampled: 06/12/08 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Date Received: 06/13/08 |  |  |  |
| 1533 B Street |  | Client Contact: Andrew Savage |  |  | Date Extracted: 06/13/08 |  |  |  |
| Hayward, CA 94541 |  | Client P.O.: |  |  | Date Analyzed 06/16/08 |  |  |  |
| Volatile Organics by P\&T and GC/MS (Basic Target List)* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extraction Method: SW5030B | Analytical Method: SW8260B |  |  |  | Work Order: 0806416 |  |  |  |
| Lab ID | 0806416-022A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Client ID | HA-5,2.75-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matrix | Soil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compound | Concentration * | DF | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Reporting } \\ \text { Limit } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Compound |  | Concentration * | DF | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Reporting } \\ \text { Limit } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Acetone | ND | 1.0 | 0.05 | tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Bromobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Bromochloromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Bromodichloromethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Bromoform | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Bromomethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | ND | 1.0 | 0.02 | t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.05 |
| n-Butyl benzene | 0.077 | 1.0 | 0.005 | sec-Butyl benzene |  | 0.084 | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| tert-Butyl benzene | 0.010 | 1.0 | 0.005 | Carbon Disulfide |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Chlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Chloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Chloroform |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Chloromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 2-Chlorotoluene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Dibromochloromethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 0.004 | 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.004 |
| Dibromomethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1-Dichloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND | 1.0 | 0.004 | 1,1-Dichloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.0079 | 1.0 | 0.005 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,3-Dichloropropane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1-Dichloropropene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Ethylbenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Freon 113 |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Hexachloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 2-Hexanone | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Isopropylbenzene |  | 0.030 | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 4-Isopropyl toluene | 0.035 | 1.0 | 0.005 | Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Methylene chloride | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Naphthalene | 0.011 | 1.0 | 0.005 | n-Propyl benzene |  | 0.057 | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Styrene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Tetrachloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Toluene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Trichloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,2,3-Trichloropropane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 0.032 | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene |  | 0.046 | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Vinyl_Chloride | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Xvlenes |  | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Surrogate Recoveries (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%SS1: | 101 |  |  | \%SS2: |  | 95 |  |  |
| \%SS3: | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * water and vapor samples are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, soil/sludge/solid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP \& SPLP extract are reported in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} /$ wipe. <br> ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis. <br> \# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; \&) low surrogate due to matrix interference. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" |  |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. |  | Client Project ID: \#07-001-03; 9201 San Leandro St |  |  | Date Sampled: 06/12/08 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Date Received: 06/13/08 |  |  |  |
| 1533 B Street |  | Client Contact: Andrew Savage |  |  | Date Extracted: 06/13/08 |  |  |  |
| Hayward, CA 94541 |  | Client P.O.: |  |  | Date Analyzed 06/16/08 |  |  |  |
| Volatile Organics by P\&T and GC/MS (Basic Target List)* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extraction Method: SW5030B | Analytical Method: SW8260B |  |  |  | Work Order: 0806416 |  |  |  |
| Lab ID | 0806416-023A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Client ID | HA-6, 1-1.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matrix | Soil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compound | Concentration * | DF | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Reporting } \\ \text { Limit } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Compound |  | Concentration * | DF | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Reporting } \\ \text { Limit } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Acetone | ND | 1.0 | 0.05 | tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Bromobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Bromochloromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Bromodichloromethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Bromoform | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Bromomethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | ND | 1.0 | 0.02 | t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.05 |
| n-Butyl benzene | 0.019 | 1.0 | 0.005 | sec-Butyl benzene |  | 0.045 | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| tert-Butyl benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Carbon Disulfide |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Chlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Chloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Chloroform |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Chloromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 2-Chlorotoluene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Dibromochloromethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 0.004 | 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.004 |
| Dibromomethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1-Dichloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND | 1.0 | 0.004 | 1,1-Dichloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,3-Dichloropropane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1-Dichloropropene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Ethylbenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Freon 113 |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Hexachloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 2-Hexanone | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Isopropylbenzene |  | 0.0073 | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 4-Isopropyl toluene | 0.021 | 1.0 | 0.005 | Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Methylene chloride | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Naphthalene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | n-Propyl benzene |  | 0.012 | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Styrene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Tetrachloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Toluene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Trichloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,2,3-Trichloropropane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 0.042 | 1.0 | 0.005 |  |  | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Vinyl Chloride | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | $\frac{\text { 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene }}{\text { Xvlenes }}$ |  | 0.0086 | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Surrogate Recoveries (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%SS1: | 100 |  |  | \%SS2: |  | 118 |  |  |
| \%SS3: | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * water and vapor samples are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, soil/sludge/solid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP \& SPLP extracts are reported in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} /$ wipe. <br> ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis. <br> \# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; \&) low surrogate due to matrix interference. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" |  |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. |  | Client Project ID: \#07-001-03; 9201 San Leandro St |  |  | Date Sampled: 06/12/08 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Date Received: 06/13/08 |  |  |  |
| 1533 B Street |  | Client Contact: Andrew Savage |  |  | Date Extracted: 06/13/08 |  |  |  |
| Hayward, CA 94541 |  | Client P.O.: |  |  | Date Analyzed 06/16/08 |  |  |  |
| Volatile Organics by P\&T and GC/MS (Basic Target List)* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extraction Method: SW5030B | Analytical Method: SW8260B |  |  |  | Work Order: 0806416 |  |  |  |
| Lab ID | 0806416-024A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Client ID | HA-6,2.75-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matrix | Soil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compound | Concentration * | DF | $\overline{\begin{array}{c} \text { Reporting } \\ \text { Limit } \end{array}}$ | Compound |  | Concentration * | DF | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Reporting } \\ \text { Limit } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Acetone | ND | 1.0 | 0.05 | tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Bromobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Bromochloromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Bromodichloromethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Bromoform | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Bromomethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | ND | 1.0 | 0.02 | t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.05 |
| n-Butyl benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | sec-Butyl benzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| tert-Butyl benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Carbon Disulfide |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Chlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Chloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Chloroform |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Chloromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 2-Chlorotoluene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Dibromochloromethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 0.004 | 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.004 |
| Dibromomethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1-Dichloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND | 1.0 | 0.004 | 1,1-Dichloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,3-Dichloropropane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1-Dichloropropene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Ethylbenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Freon 113 |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Hexachloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 2-Hexanone | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Isopropylbenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 4-Isopropyl toluene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Methylene chloride | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Naphthalene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | n-Propyl benzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Styrene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Tetrachloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Toluene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Trichloroethene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,2,3-Trichloropropane |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Vinvl_Chloride | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 | Xvlenes |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.005 |
| Surrogate Recoveries (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%SS1: | 101 |  |  | \%SS2: |  | 106 |  |  |
| $\% \mathrm{SS3}$ : | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * water and vapor samples are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, soil/sludge/solid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP \& SPLP extract are reported in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} /$ wipe. <br> ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis. <br> \# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; \&) low surrogate due to matrix interference. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" |  |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 <br> Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc.1533 B Street | Client Project ID: \#07-001-03; 9201 San Leandro St |  |  | Date Sampled: 06/12/08 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Date Received: 06/13/08 |  |  |
| Hayward, CA 94541 | Client Contact: Andrew Savage |  |  | Date Extracted: 06/13/08 |  |  |
|  | Client P.O.: |  |  | Date Analyzed 06/16/08-06/18/08 |  |  |
| Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs / PNAs) using SIM Mode by GC/MS* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extraction Method: SW3550C | Analytical Method: SW8270C |  |  |  | Work Order: | 0806416 |
| Lab ID | 0806416-005A | 0806416-006A | 0806416-007A | 0806416-008A | Reporting Limit for$\mathrm{DF}=1$ |  |
| Client ID | Pit3NW,1.25-1.5 | Pit3NW, 2.25-2.5 | Pit4SE, 1-1.25 | Pit4SE,3.25-3.5 |  |  |
| Matrix | S | S | S | S |  |  |
| DF | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | S | W |
| Compound | Concentration |  |  |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | ug/L |
| Acenaphthene | ND<0.025 | ND | ND | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Acenaphthylene | ND<0.025 | ND | ND | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Anthracene | 0.036 | ND | 0.0057 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 0.15 | ND | 0.032 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 0.15 | ND | 0.042 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 0.13 | ND | 0.031 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | 0.12 | ND | 0.035 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 0.14 | ND | 0.032 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Chrysene | 0.19 | ND | 0.042 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | 0.042 | ND | 0.014 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Fluoranthene | 0.19 | ND | 0.030 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Fluorene | ND<0.025 | ND | ND | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene | 0.078 | ND | 0.025 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | ND<0.025 | ND | ND | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND<0.025 | ND | ND | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Naphthalene | ND<0.025 | ND | ND | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Phenanthrene | 0.15 | ND | 0.017 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Pyrene | 0.23 | ND | 0.042 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Surrogate Recoveries (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%SS1 | 97 | 79 | 80 | 78 |  |  |
| \%SS2 | 99 | 75 | 75 | 72 |  |  |
| Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * water samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, soil/sludge/solid SPLP extracts are reported in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. <br> ND means not detected above the report <br> \#) surrogate diluted out of range; \&) low <br> a1) sample diluted due to matrix interfer | samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, w <br> g limit; N/A mean <br> or no surrogate due <br> nce | ipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{w}$ <br> analyte not applic <br> to matrix interfere | e, product/oil/non <br> ble to this analysis <br> e. | aqueous liquid samp | les and all |  |

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" |  |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. | Client Project ID: \#07-001-03; 9201 San Leandro St |  |  | Date Sampled: 06/12/08 |  |  |
| 1533 B Street |  |  |  | Date Received: 06/13/08 |  |  |
|  | Client Contact: Andrew Savage |  |  | Date Extracted: 06/13/08 |  |  |
| Hayward, CA 94541 | Client P.O.: |  |  | Date Analyzed 06/16/08-06/18/08 |  |  |
| Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs / PNAs) using SIM Mode by GC/MS* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extraction Method: SW3550C | Analytical Method: SW8270C |  |  |  | Work Order: 0806416 <br> Reporting Limit for DF =1 |  |
| Lab ID | 0806416-009A | 0806416-010A | 0806416-011A | 0806416-012A | Reporting Limit for DF =1 |  |
| Client ID | Pit4E1.25-1.5 | Pit4E,3-3.25 | Pit4NW,1.25-1.5 | Pit4NW,2.75-3 |  |  |
| Matrix | S | S | S | S | S |  |
| DF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | W |
| Compound | Concentration |  |  |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | ug/L |
| Acenaphthene | ND | ND | ND | ND | $0.005$ | NA |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Anthracene | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ND | ND | 0.018 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ND | ND | 0.020 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 0.0082 | ND | 0.033 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | ND | ND | 0.016 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 0.0058 | ND | 0.021 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Chrysene | ND | ND | 0.021 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | ND | ND | 0.0065 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Fluoranthene | 0.011 | ND | 0.021 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Fluorene | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene | ND | ND | 0.011 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Naphthalene | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Phenanthrene | ND | ND | 0.013 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Pyrene | 0.0081 | ND | 0.025 | ND | 0.005 | NA |
| Surrogate Recoveries (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%SS1 | 78 | 78 | 79 | 79 |  |  |
| \%SS2 | 76 | 72 | 75 | 72 |  |  |
| Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * water samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, soil/sludge/solid SPLP extracts are reported in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. <br> ND means not detected above the report <br> \#) surrogate diluted out of range; \&) low <br> a1) sample diluted due to matrix interfer | amples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, <br> g limit; N/A mean <br> or no surrogate due <br> ce | pe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{w}$ <br> analyte not applic <br> o matrix interfere | ipe, product/oil/non <br> able to this analysis <br> ce. | aqueous liquid sam | les and all |  |

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. | Client Project ID: \#07-001-03; 9201 San Leandro St |  | Date Sampled: | 06/12/08 |
| 1533 B Street |  |  | Date Received: | 06/13/08 |
| Hayward, CA 94541 | Client Contact: Andrew Savage |  | Date Extracted: | 06/13/08 |
|  | Client P.O.: |  | Date Analyzed | 06/14/08-06/17/08 |

Gasoline Range (C6-C12) Volatile Hydrocarbons as Gasoline with BTEX and MTBE*


* water and vapor samples and all TCLP \& SPLP extracts are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, soil/sludge/solid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{wipe}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.
\# cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes w/surrogate peak; low surrogate recovery due to matrix interference.
+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation:
d7) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant in the $\mathrm{TPH}(\mathrm{g})$ chromatogram




Reporting Limit for $\mathrm{DF}=1$; ND means not detected at or above the renorting limit

| W | NA | NA | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 1.0 | 5.0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ |

* water samples are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} /$ wipe, soil/solid/sludge samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, and all DISTLC / STLC / SPLP / TCLP extracts are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$.
\# cluttered chromatogram resulting in coeluted surrogate and sample peaks, or; surrogate peak is on elevated baseline, or; surrogate has been diminished by dilution of original extract.
+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation:
e2) diesel range compounds are significant; no recognizable pattern
e7) oil range compounds are significant
e10) fuel oil


## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8021B/8015Cm

W.O. Sample Matrix: Soil

QC Matrix: Soil
WorkOrder 0806416

| EPA Method SW8021B/8015Cm | Extraction SW5030B |  |  |  | BatchID: 36273 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0806354-009A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| TPH(btex ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | ND | 0.60 | 99.9 | 95.2 | 4.75 | 106 | 118 | 11.2 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| MTBE | ND | 0.10 | 108 | 114 | 6.14 | 108 | 96.8 | 10.6 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.10 | 89.8 | 87.5 | 2.54 | 92.6 | 96.6 | 4.16 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Toluene | ND | 0.10 | 96 | 93 | 3.07 | 107 | 114 | 6.47 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.10 | 99.4 | 94.9 | 4.65 | 101 | 108 | 6.22 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Xylenes | ND | 0.30 | 109 | 105 | 3.88 | 112 | 120 | 6.75 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| \%SS: | 75 | 0.10 | 95 | 89 | 6.07 | 92 | 97 | 5.62 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

| BATCH 36273 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806416-001A | 06/12/08 10:42 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 5:31 AM | 0806416-002A | 06/12/08 10:58 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/15/08 8:16 AM |
| 0806416-003A | 06/12/08 11:06 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/16/08 5:09 PM | 0806416-004A | 06/12/08 11:13 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/16/08 6:42 PM |
| 0806416-005A | 06/12/08 11:21 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 6:04 AM | 0806416-006A | 06/12/08 11:32 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/14/08 6:55 PM |
| 0806416-007A | 06/12/08 9:15 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/15/08 12:59 AM | 0806416-008A | 06/12/08 9:48 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/15/08 12:29 AM |
| 0806416-009A | 06/12/08 10:02 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/15/08 2:29 AM |  |  |  |  |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) /((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$£ \operatorname{TPH}$ (btex) = sum of BTEX areas from the FID.
\# cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R=$ matrix interference and/or analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.


# QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B 

W.O. Sample Matrix: Soil

QC Matrix: Soil
WorkOrder 0806416

| EPA Method SW8260B <br> Analyte | Extraction SW5030B |  |  |  | BatchID: 36274 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0806354-009A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) | ND | 0.050 | 99.5 | 100 | 0.713 | 91.5 | 92.4 | 0.950 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.050 | 95.8 | 94 | 1.86 | 92.5 | 92.8 | 0.381 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) | ND | 0.25 | 96.9 | 95.1 | 1.92 | 81.2 | 88.1 | 8.08 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.050 | 96.9 | 93.7 | 3.37 | 86 | 86.5 | 0.545 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND | 0.050 | 108 | 104 | 3.17 | 92.7 | 92.1 | 0.645 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND | 0.050 | 104 | 104 | 0 | 95.5 | 96.2 | 0.723 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND | 0.050 | 97.5 | 98 | 0.473 | 95.1 | 97.1 | 2.08 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND | 0.050 | 101 | 101 | 0 | 94.3 | 95.3 | 1.04 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | ND | 0.050 | 106 | 106 | 0 | 95 | 96.4 | 1.53 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Toluene | ND | 0.050 | 94.8 | 90.8 | 4.26 | 85.9 | 85.5 | 0.470 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Trichloroethene | ND | 0.050 | 106 | 103 | 2.85 | 94.8 | 92.5 | 2.51 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| \%SS1: | 101 | 0.12 | 101 | 102 | 0.983 | 101 | 99 | 1.54 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS2: | 100 | 0.12 | 99 | 97 | 1.38 | 96 | 95 | 0.881 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS3: | 120 | 0.12 | 105 | 105 | 0 | 98 | 96 | 2.45 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

| BATCH 36274 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806416-019A | 06/12/08 2:40 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/16/08 1:42 PM | 0806416-020A | 06/12/08 2:50 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/16/08 2:25 PM |
| 0806416-021A | 06/12/08 3:26 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 6:31 PM | 0806416-022A | 06/12/08 3:32 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/16/08 3:50 PM |
| 0806416-023A | 06/12/08 3:00 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/16/08 4:32 PM | 0806416-024A | 06/12/08 3:10 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/16/08 5:15 PM |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) $/($ Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) $/(($ MS + MSD $) / 2)$.
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R$ = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.
Laboratory extraction solvents such as methylene chloride and acetone may occasionally appear in the method blank at low levels.


QA/QC Officer

## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8082A

| W.O. Sample Matrix: Soil | QC Matrix: Soil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WorkOrder 0806416 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA Method SW8082A | Extraction SW3550C |  |  |  | BatchID: 36286 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0805307-003A |  |  |  |  |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | mg/kg | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| Aroclor 1260 | ND | 0.075 | 103 | 103 | 0 | 98 | 100 | 2.04 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| \%SS: | 125 | 0.050 | 119 | 119 | 0 | 114 | 116 | 1.92 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

| BATCH 36286 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806416-013A | 06/12/08 1:03 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 11:37 PM | 0806416-014A | 06/12/08 1:16 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/16/08 10:29 PM |
| 0806416-015A | 06/12/08 1:30 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 8:03 PM | 0806416-016A | 06/12/08 1:45 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 1:13 AM |
| 0806416-017A | 06/12/08 2:02 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/16/08 11:24 PM | 0806416-018A | 06/12/08 2:11 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 2:08 AM |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$N / A=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R=$ analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8021B/8015Cm

W.O. Sample Matrix: Soil

QC Matrix: Soil
WorkOrder 0806416

| EPA Method SW8021B/8015Cm | Extraction SW5030B |  |  |  | BatchID: 36305 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0806416-012A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| TPH(btex ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | ND | 0.60 | 94.7 | 96.2 | 1.61 | 94.7 | 94.6 | 0.0883 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| MTBE | ND | 0.10 | 117 | 116 | 1.10 | 109 | 116 | 6.27 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.10 | 90.4 | 92.3 | 2.13 | 91.4 | 88.1 | 3.68 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Toluene | ND | 0.10 | 96.8 | 98.9 | 2.14 | 101 | 97.4 | 4.05 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.10 | 98 | 101 | 2.77 | 99.9 | 96.2 | 3.85 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Xylenes | ND | 0.30 | 109 | 112 | 2.53 | 111 | 107 | 3.80 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| \%SS: | 85 | 0.10 | 100 | 102 | 2.02 | 94 | 95 | 1.40 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

| BATCH 36305 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806416-010A | 06/12/08 10:22 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/14/08 11:57 PM | 0806416-011A | 06/12/08 8:35 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/15/08 3:49 AM |
| 0806416-012A | 06/12/08 8:59 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/15/08 1:01 AM |  |  |  |  |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) /((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$£ \operatorname{TPH}$ (btex) = sum of BTEX areas from the FID.
\# cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R=$ matrix interference and/or analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.


# QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8270C 

W.O. Sample Matrix: Soil

QC Matrix: Soil
WorkOrder 0806416

| EPA Method SW8270C | Extraction SW3550C |  |  |  | BatchID: 36285 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0806416-012A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | mg/kg | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ND | 0.10 | 81.8 | 82.5 | 0.876 | 83.8 | 83.5 | 0.451 | 30-130 | 30 | 30-130 | 30 |
| Chrysene | ND | 0.10 | 71.2 | 71.5 | 0.450 | 76.2 | 75.1 | 1.41 | 30-130 | 30 | 30-130 | 30 |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.10 | 77.3 | 77 | 0.444 | 85.7 | 84.1 | 1.82 | 30-130 | 30 | 30-130 | 30 |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.10 | 71.9 | 72 | 0.161 | 81.5 | 79.5 | 2.46 | 30-130 | 30 | 30-130 | 30 |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.10 | 76.3 | 76.7 | 0.511 | 84.2 | 82.3 | 2.23 | 30-130 | 30 | 30-130 | 30 |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.10 | 82.2 | 82.6 | 0.479 | 85.3 | 87.5 | 2.59 | 30-130 | 30 | 30-130 | 30 |
| \%SS1: | 79 | 0.050 | 79 | 79 | 0 | 81 | 80 | 1.17 | 30-130 | 30 | 30-130 | 30 |
| \%SS2: | 72 | 0.050 | 71 | 71 | 0 | 73 | 72 | 1.33 | 30-130 | 30 | 30-130 | 30 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

| BATCH 36285 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806416-001A | 06/12/08 10:42 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/18/08 12:11 AM | 0806416-002A | 06/12/08 10:58 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 1:39 AM |
| 0806416-003A | 06/12/08 11:06 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 6:10 PM | 0806416-004A | 06/12/08 11:13 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 3:11 AM |
| 0806416-005A | 06/12/08 11:21 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 9:09 PM | 0806416-006A | 06/12/08 11:32 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 4:43 AM |
| 0806416-007A | 06/12/08 9:15 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 6:14 AM | 0806416-008A | 06/12/08 9:48 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 7:46 AM |
| 0806416-009A | 06/12/08 10:02 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 9:16 AM | 0806416-010A | 06/12/08 10:22 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 10:48 AM |
| 0806416-011A | 06/12/08 8:35 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 12:18 PM | 0806416-012A | 06/12/08 8:59 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/16/08 9:05 PM |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R=$ analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

Laboratory extraction solvents such as methylene chloride and acetone may occasionally appear in the method blank at low levels.

## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8015C

W.O. Sample Matrix: Soil

QC Matrix: Soil
WorkOrder 0806416

| EPA Method SW8015C | Extraction SW3550C/3630C |  |  |  | BatchID: 36306 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0806416-024A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | mg/Kg | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| TPH-Diesel (C10-C23) | 2.3 | 20 | 69.4, F1 | 69.4, F1 | 0 | 100 | 110 | 9.14 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS: | 99 | 50 | 109 | 109 | 0 | 108 | 119 | 9.15 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE
F1 = MS / MSD outside of acceptance criteria. LCS - LCSD validate prep batch.

| BATCH 36306 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806416-001A | 06/12/08 10:42 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 11:22 AM | 0806416-002A | 06/12/08 10:58 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/16/08 1:16 PM |
| 0806416-003A | 06/12/08 11:06 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/16/08 2:27 PM | 0806416-004A | 06/12/08 11:13 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/15/08 6:59 PM |
| 0806416-005A | 06/12/08 11:21 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/15/08 5:49 PM | 0806416-005A | 06/12/08 11:21 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 3:15 AM |
| 0806416-006A | 06/12/08 11:32 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/15/08 8:09 PM | 0806416-007A | 06/12/08 9:15 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/15/08 5:49 PM |
| 0806416-008A | 06/12/08 9:48 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/15/08 3:26 PM | 0806416-009A | 06/12/08 10:02 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/16/08 9:36 PM |
| 0806416-010A | 06/12/08 10:22 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/16/08 12:41 PM | 0806416-011A | 06/12/08 8:35 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/18/08 11:45 PM |
| 0806416-012A | 06/12/08 8:59 AM | 06/13/08 | 06/15/08 4:38 PM | 0806416-019A | 06/12/08 2:40 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/15/08 2:15 PM |
| 0806416-020A | 06/12/08 2:50 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/19/08 3:15 AM | 0806416-021A | 06/12/08 3:26 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/19/08 12:55 AM |
| 0806416-022A | 06/12/08 3:32 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 7:44 AM | 0806416-023A | 06/12/08 3:00 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/17/08 5:21 PM |
| 0806416-024A | 06/12/08 3:10 PM | 06/13/08 | 06/15/08 2:15 PM |  |  |  |  |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD $=100$ * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R=$ analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.


APPENDIX H
LABORATORY REPORTS AND CHAI N OF CUSTODY FORMS FOR DIRECT-PUSH AND MONI TOR WELL SAMPLES

| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. | Client Project ID: \#07-001-04; 9201 San Leandro Street | Date Sampled: | 06/13/08-06/16/08 |
| 1533 B Street |  | Date Received: | 06/17/08 |
| Hayward, CA 94541 | Client Contact: Andrew Savage | Date Reported: | 06/24/08 |
|  | Client P.O.: | Date Completed: | 06/24/08 |

## WorkOrder: 0806485

June 24, 2008

Dear Andrew:

Enclosed within are:

1) The results of the 27 analyzed samples from your project: \#07-001-04; $\mathbf{9 2 0 1}$ San Leandro Stre
2) A QC report for the above samples,
3) A copy of the chain of custody, and
4) An invoice for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.
If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to give me a call. Thank you for choosing
McCampbell Analytical Laboratories for your analytical needs.

Best regards,


Angela Rydelius
Laboratory Manager
McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

## CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM



## McCampbell Analytical, Inc

 1534 Willow Pass Rd. Pittsburg, CA 94565877.252.9262
925.252.9269 - fax
Report To: ERAS
Bill To:
ERAS
Company: $\qquad$ ERAS Environmental, Inc. $\qquad$
Telephone: 510-247-9885
Email: $\qquad$ info@eras
Fax: 510-886-5399
Project \# 07-001-04
Project location 9201 San Leandro Street
Sampler: Andrew Savage








1534 Willow Pass Rd
Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701
(925) 252-9262

Page 1 of 1

## WorkOrder: 0806485

## ClientCode: ERAS

$\square$ Excel
$\square \mathrm{Fax}$
$\square$ Email
$\square$ HardCopy
$\square T$ ThirdPartyJ-flag

Report to:

Andrew Savage
ERAS Environmental, Inc.
1533 B Street
Hayward, CA 94541
(510) 247-9885 FAX (510) 886-5399

Email: info@eras.biz
cc: gale@eras.biz
PO:
ProjectNo: \#07-001-04; 9201 San Leandro Street

Bill to:
Gail Jones
ERAS Environmental, Inc.
1533 B Street
Hayward, CA 94541

Requested TAT: 5 days

Date Received: 06/17/2008 Date Printed: 06/19/2008

| Lab ID | Client ID | Matrix | Collection Date | Hold | Requested Tests (See legend below) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 0806485-001 | GP-3, 19.5-22 | Water | 6/13/2008 9:10 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-002 | GP-3,25-29 | Water | 6/13/2008 10:51 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-003 | GP-3, 31-35 | Water | 6/13/2008 11:45 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-004 | GP-4, 13-15 | Water | 6/13/2008 12:35 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-005 | GP-4, 25-29 | Water | 6/13/2008 12:50 |  |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-006 | GP-4, 31-35 | Water | 6/13/2008 13:10 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-007 | GP-5, 16-20 | Water | 6/13/2008 15:00 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-008 | GP-5, 25-29 | Water | 6/13/2008 15:10 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-009 | GP-5, 31-35 | Water | 6/13/2008 15:36 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-010 | GP-6, 13.5-18 | Water | 6/16/2008 10:03 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-011 | GP-6, 25-29 | Water | 6/16/2008 10:30 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-012 | GP-7, 13-15 | Water | 6/16/2008 12:42 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-013 | GP-7, 25-29 | Water | 6/16/2008 12:50 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-014 | GP-8, 20-24 | Water | 6/16/2008 14:34 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Test Legend:



The following SampIDs: 001A, 002A, 012A, 013A, 019A contain testgroup.




Prepared by: Ana Venegas

## Comments:

1534 Willow Pass Rd
Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701
(925) 252-9262

## WorkOrder: 0806485

## ClientCode: ERAS

Report to:

## Andrew Savage <br> ERAS Environmental, Inc.

1533 B Street
Hayward, CA 94541
(510) 247-9885 FAX (510) 886-5399
$\square$ Excel
$\square \mathrm{Fax}$
$\square$ Email
Bill to:
$\square$ HardCopy
$\square$ ThirdPartyJ-flag

Gail Jones
ERAS Environmental, Inc.
1533 B Street
Hayward, CA 94541

## Requested TAT: 5 days

Date Received: 06/17/2008 Date Printed: 06/19/2008

| Lab ID | Client ID | Matrix | Collection Date | Hold | Requested Tests (See legend below) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 0806485-015 | GP-8, 25-29 | Water | 6/16/2008 16:05 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-016 | GP-8, 31-35 | Water | 6/16/2008 16:31 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-017 | GP-2, 9.5-10 | Soil | 6/12/2008 13:40 | $\square$ | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-018 | SG-1, 9.5-10 | Soil | 6/16/2008 10:32 | $\square$ | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-019 | GP-3, 9.5-10 | Soil | 6/13/2008 8:03 | $\square$ | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-020 | GP-4, 9.5-10 | Soil | 6/13/2008 12:17 | $\square$ | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-021 | GP-6, 11.5-12 | Soil | 6/16/2008 9:45 | $\square$ | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-022 | GP-8, 9.5-10 | Soil | 6/16/2008 14:19 | $\square$ | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-023 | 9MW1 | Water | 6/17/2008 11:04 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-024 | 9MW2 | Water | 6/17/2008 12:40 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-025 | 9MW3 | Water | 6/17/2008 13:18 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-026 | 9MW4 | Water | 6/17/2008 11:44 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0806485-027 | 9MW5 | Water | 6/17/2008 10:38 | $\square$ |  | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Test Legend:



The following SampIDs: 001A, 002A, 012A, 013A, 019A contain testgroup



Prepared by: Ana Venegas

## Comments:

## Sample Receipt Checklist

| Client Name: | ERAS Environmental, Inc. | Date and Time Received: 6/17/08 7:35:52 PM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Project Name: | \#07-001-04; 9201 San Leandro Street | Checklist completed and reviewed by: Ana Venegas |
| WorkOrder N ${ }^{\circ}:$ | $\mathbf{0 8 0 6 4 8 5}$ | Matrix Soil/Water |

## Chain of Custody (COC) Information

| Chain of custody present? | Yes $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ | No $\square$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received? | Yes $\boldsymbol{\square}$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Chain of custody agrees with sample labels? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Sample IDs noted by Client on COC? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Date and Time of collection noted by Client on COC? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Sampler's name noted on COC? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Sample Receipt Information |  |  |  |
| Custody seals intact on shipping container/cooler? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ | NA |
| Shipping container/cooler in good condition? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Samples in proper containers/bottles? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Sample containers intact? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Sufficient sample volume for indicated test? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |

## Sample Preservation and Hold Time (HT) Information

| All samples received within holding time? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ | NA $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Container/Temp Blank temperature | Cooler Temp: | $2.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| Water - VOA vials have zero headspace / no bubbles? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ | No VOA vials submitted $\square$ |
| Sample labels checked for correct preservation? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| TTLC Metal - pH acceptable upon receipt (pH<2)? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ | NA $\square$ |

* NOTE: If the "No" box is checked, see comments below.


Client contacted:
Date contacted:
Contacted by:

Comments:


* water and vapor samples and all TCLP \& SPLP extracts are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, soil/sludge/solid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{wipe}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.
\# cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes w/surrogate peak; low surrogate recovery due to matrix interference.
+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation:
d1) weakly modified or unmodified gasoline is significant

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager


* water and vapor samples and all TCLP \& SPLP extracts are reported in ug/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{wipe}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.
\# cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.
+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation:
b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than $\sim 1$ vol. $\%$ sediment
d1) weakly modified or unmodified gasoline is significant

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager


* water and vapor samples and all TCLP \& SPLP extracts are reported in ug/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{wipe}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.
\# cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.
+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation:
b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than $\sim 1$ vol. \% sediment
d1) weakly modified or unmodified gasoline is significant

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager


| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. |  |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 <br> Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com <br> Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. | Client Project ID: \#07-001-04; 9201 San Leandro Street |  |  | Date Sampled: 06/12/08-06/16/08 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Date Received: 06/17/08 |  |  |
| Hayward, CA 94541 |  | Client Contact: Andrew Savage |  | Date Extracted: 06/17/08 |  |  |
|  | Client P.O.: |  |  | Date Analyzed: 06/19/08 |  |  |
| Oxygenates and BTEX by GC/MS* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lab ID | 0806485-021A | 0806485-022A |  |  | Reporting Limit for$\mathrm{DF}=1$ |  |
| Client ID | GP-6, 11.5-12 | GP-8, 9.5-10 |  |  |  |  |
| Matrix | S | S |  |  |  |  |
| DF | 40 | 1 |  |  | S | W |
| Compound | Concentration |  |  |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | ug/L |
| tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) | ND<0.20 | ND |  |  | 0.005 | NA |
| Benzene | 4.6 | ND |  |  | 0.005 | NA |
| t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) | ND<2.0 | ND |  |  | 0.05 | NA |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND<0.16 | ND |  |  | 0.004 | NA |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND<0.16 | ND |  |  | 0.004 | NA |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND<0.20 | ND |  |  | 0.005 | NA |
| Ethylbenzene | 2.6 | ND |  |  | 0.005 | NA |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND<0.20 | ND |  |  | 0.005 | NA |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | ND<0.20 | ND |  |  | 0.005 | NA |
| Toluene | 2.6 | ND |  |  | 0.005 | NA |
| Xylenes | 7.4 | ND |  |  | 0.005 | NA |
| Surrogate Recoveries (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%SS1: | 100 | 101 |  |  |  |  |
| \%SS2: | 107 | 99 |  |  |  |  |
| \%SS3: | 107 | 107 |  |  |  |  |
| Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * water and vapor samples are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, soil/sludge/solid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP \& SPLP extracts are reported in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} /$ wipe. <br> ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis. <br> \# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; \&) low surrogate due to matrix interference. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" |  |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. | Client Project ID: \#07-001-04; 9201 San Leandro Street |  |  | Date Sampled: 06/13/08-06/17/08 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Date Received: 06/17/08 |  |  |
| Hayward, CA 94541 |  | Client Contact: Andrew Savage |  | Date Extracted: 06/18/08-06/20/08 |  |  |
|  | Client P.O.: |  |  | Date Analyzed: 06/18/08-06/20/08 |  |  |
| Oxygenates and BTEX by GC/MS* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lab ID | 0806485-001B | 0806485-002B | 0806485-003B | 0806485-004B | Reporting Limit for$\mathrm{DF}=1$ |  |
| Client ID | GP-3, 19.5-22 | GP-3,25-29 | GP-3, 31-35 | GP-4, 13-15 |  |  |
| Matrix | W | W | W | W |  |  |
| DF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 33 | S | W |
| Compound | Concentration |  |  |  | ug/kg | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) | ND | ND | ND | $\mathrm{ND}<17$ | NA | 0.5 |
| Benzene | ND | ND | ND | 860 | NA | 0.5 |
| t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) | 2.1 | ND | ND | ND<67 | NA | 2.0 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND | ND | ND | ND<17 | NA | 0.5 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND | ND | ND | $\mathrm{ND}<17$ | NA | 0.5 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND | ND | ND | ND<17 | NA | 0.5 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | ND | ND | 260 | NA | 0.5 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND | ND | ND | $\mathrm{ND}<17$ | NA | 0.5 |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | ND | ND | ND | $\mathrm{ND}<17$ | NA | 0.5 |
| Toluene | ND | ND | ND | 670 | NA | 0.5 |
| Xylenes | ND | ND | ND | 420 | NA | 0.5 |
| Surrogate Recoveries (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%SS1: | 100 | 103 | 102 | 104 |  |  |
| \%SS2: | 108 | 107 | 107 | 105 |  |  |
| \%SS3: | 127 | 130 | 130 | 108 |  |  |
| Comments |  | b1 | b1 | b1 |  |  |
| * water and vapor samples are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, soil/sludge/solid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP \& SPLP extracts are reported in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} /$ wipe. <br> ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis. <br> \# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; \&) low surrogate due to matrix interference. <br> b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than $\sim 1$ vol. $\%$ sediment |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" |  |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. | Client Project ID: \#07-001-04; 9201 San Leandro Street |  |  | Date Sampled: 06/13/08-06/17/08 |  |  |
| 1533 B Street |  |  |  | Date Received: 06/17/08 |  |  |
|  | Client Contact: Andrew Savage |  |  | Date Extracted: 06/18/08-06/20/08 |  |  |
| Hayward, CA 94541 | Client P.O.: |  |  | Date Analyzed: 06/18/08-06/20/08 |  |  |
| Oxygenates and BTEX by GC/MS* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lab ID | 0806485-005B | 0806485-006B | 0806485-007B | 0806485-008B | Reporting Limit for$\mathrm{DF}=1$ |  |
| Client ID | GP-4, 25-29 | GP-4, 31-35 | GP-5, 16-20 | GP-5, 25-29 |  |  |
| Matrix | W | W | W | W |  |  |
| DF | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | S | W |
| Compound | Concentration |  |  |  | ug/kg | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) | ND<5.0 | ND | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Benzene | 240 | 15 | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) | ND<20 | ND | ND | ND | NA | 2.0 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND<5.0 | ND | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND<5.0 | ND | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND<5.0 | ND | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Ethylbenzene | 130 | 5.7 | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND<5.0 | ND | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | ND<5.0 | 0.65 | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Toluene | 230 | 12 | ND | 0.69 | NA | 0.5 |
| Xylenes | 240 | 10 | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Surrogate Recoveries (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%SS1: | 99 | 97 | 99 | 99 |  |  |
| \%SS2: | 104 | 102 | 104 | 104 |  |  |
| \%SS3: | 91 | 94 | 104 | 108 |  |  |
| Comments | b1 | b1 | b1 | b1 |  |  |
| * water and vapor samples are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, soil/sludge/solid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP \& SPLP extracts are reported in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} /$ wipe. <br> ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis. <br> \# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; \&) low surrogate due to matrix interference. <br> b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than $\sim 1$ vol. $\%$ sediment |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager


| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" |  |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. | Client Project ID: \#07-001-04; 9201 San Leandro Street |  |  | Date Sampled: 06/13/08-06/17/08 |  |  |
| 1533 B Street |  |  |  | Date Received: 06/17/08 |  |  |
|  | Client Contact: Andrew Savage |  |  | Date Extracted: 06/18/08-06/20/08 |  |  |
| Hayward, CA 94541 | Client P.O.: |  |  | Date Analyzed: 06/18/08-06/20/08 |  |  |
| Oxygenates and BTEX by GC/MS* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lab ID | 0806485-013B | 0806485-014B | 0806485-015B | 0806485-016B | Reporting Limit for$\mathrm{DF}=1$ |  |
| Client ID | GP-7, 25-29 | GP-8, 20-24 | GP-8, 25-29 | GP-8, 31-35 |  |  |
| Matrix | W | W | W | W |  |  |
| DF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | S | W |
| Compound | Concentration |  |  |  | ug/kg | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Benzene | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | 2.0 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND | 1.9 | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | ND | 6.1 | 0.78 | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Toluene | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Xylenes | ND | ND | ND | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Surrogate Recoveries (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%SS1: | 98 | 99 | 97 | 98 |  |  |
| \%SS2: | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |  |
| \%SS3: | 89 | 89 | 89 | 90 |  |  |
| Comments | b1 | b1 | b1 | b1 |  |  |
| * water and vapor samples are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, soil/sludge/solid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP \& SPLP extracts are reported in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} /$ wipe. <br> ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis. <br> \# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; \&) low surrogate due to matrix interference. <br> b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than $\sim 1$ vol. $\%$ sediment |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" |  |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. | Client Project ID: \#07-001-04; 9201 San Leandro Street |  |  | Date Sampled: 06/13/08-06/17/08 |  |  |
| 1533 B Street |  |  |  | Date Received: 06/17/08 |  |  |
|  | Client Contact: Andrew Savage |  |  | Date Extracted: 06/18/08-06/20/08 |  |  |
| Hayward, CA 94541 | Client P.O.: |  |  | Date Analyzed: 06/18/08-06/20/08 |  |  |
| Oxygenates and BTEX by GC/MS* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lab ID | 0806485-023B | 0806485-024B | 0806485-025B | 0806485-026B | Reporting Limit for$\mathrm{DF}=1$ |  |
| Client ID | 9MW 1 | 9MW2 | 9MW3 | 9MW4 |  |  |
| Matrix | W | W | W | W |  |  |
| DF | 1 | 1 | 200 | 1 | S | W |
| Compound | Concentration |  |  |  | ug/kg | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) | ND | ND | ND<100 | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Benzene | ND | ND | 4400 | 11 | NA | 0.5 |
| t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) | ND | ND | ND<400 | ND | NA | 2.0 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND | ND | ND<100 | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND | ND | ND<100 | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND | ND | ND<100 | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | ND | 300 | 4.7 | NA | 0.5 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND | ND | ND<100 | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | 0.67 | 1.1 | ND<100 | ND | NA | 0.5 |
| Toluene | ND | ND | 600 | 0.51 | NA | 0.5 |
| Xylenes | ND | ND | 150 | 1.6 | NA | 0.5 |
| Surrogate Recoveries (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%SS1: | 97 | 97 | 102 | 103 |  |  |
| \%SS2: | 95 | 94 | 104 | 105 |  |  |
| \%SS3: | 88 | 89 | 106 | 107 |  |  |
| Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * water and vapor samples are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, soil/sludge/solid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP \& SPLP extracts are reported in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} /$ wipe. <br> ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis. <br> \# surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; \&) low surrogate due to matrix interference. <br> b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than $\sim 1$ vol. \% sediment |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager


* water samples are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, wipe samples in $\mu \mathrm{g} /$ wipe, soil/solid/sludge samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, and all DISTLC / STLC / SPLP / TCLP extracts are reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$.
\# cluttered chromatogram resulting in coeluted surrogate and sample peaks, or; surrogate peak is on elevated baseline, or; surrogate has been diminished by dilution of original extract.
+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation:

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager


## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8015C

| W.O. Sample Matrix: Soil | QC Matrix: Soil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WorkOrder 0806485 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA Method SW8015C | Extraction SW3550C |  |  |  | BatchID: 36314 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0806435-001A |  |  |  |  |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| TPH-Diesel (C10-C23) | 8300 | 20 | NR | NR | NR | 123 | 121 | 1.52 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS: | 118 | 50 | 109 | 116 | 5.97 | 120 | 119 | 1.35 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

| BATCH 36314 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806485-019A | 06/13/08 8:03 | 06/17/08 | 06/20/08 12:10 AM |  |  |  |  |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$N / A=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R=$ analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2 x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8021B/8015Cm

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water
WorkOrder 0806485

| EPA Method SW8015Cm | Extraction SW5030B |  |  |  | BatchID: 36330 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0806475-005A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| TPH(btex ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | ND | 60 | 98.2 | 95.3 | 3.02 | 96 | 99.8 | 3.89 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| MTBE | ND | 10 | 111 | 116 | 4.82 | 115 | 111 | 3.15 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Benzene | ND | 10 | 100 | 101 | 1.31 | 105 | 104 | 1.17 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Toluene | ND | 10 | 91.2 | 93.3 | 2.38 | 94.5 | 94.1 | 0.392 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 10 | 101 | 103 | 1.88 | 104 | 104 | 0 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Xylenes | ND | 30 | 101 | 101 | 0 | 101 | 100 | 1.04 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| \%SS: | 97 | 10 | 96 | 96 | 0 | 100 | 97 | 3.18 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

| BATCH 36330 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806485-001A | 06/13/08 9:10 AM | 06/18/08 | 06/18/08 7:55 PM | 0806485-002A | 06/13/08 10:51 AM | 06/18/08 | 06/18/08 8:26 PM |
| 0806485-003A | 06/13/08 11:45 AM | 06/18/08 | 06/18/08 8:56 PM | 0806485-004A | 06/13/08 12:35 PM | 06/18/08 | 06/18/08 9:27 PM |
| 0806485-005A | 06/13/08 12:50 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 9:28 PM | 0806485-006A | 06/13/08 1:10 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 9:59 PM |
| 0806485-007A | 06/13/08 3:00 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 10:29 PM | 0806485-008A | 06/13/08 3:10 PM | 06/21/08 | 06/21/08 2:11 AM |
| 0806485-009A | 06/13/08 3:36 PM | 06/18/08 | 06/18/08 6:53 PM | 0806485-010A | 06/16/08 10:03 AM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 3:03 AM |
| 0806485-011A | 06/16/08 10:30 AM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 3:33 AM | 0806485-012A | 06/16/08 12:42 PM | 06/18/08 | 06/18/08 7:26 PM |
| 0806485-013A | 06/16/08 12:50 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 4:03 AM | 0806485-014A | 06/16/08 2:34 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 4:34 AM |
| 0806485-015A | 06/16/08 4:05 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 5:04 AM | 0806485-016A | 06/16/08 4:31 PM | 06/21/08 | 06/21/08 3:11 AM |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$£$ TPH(btex) = sum of BTEX areas from the FID.
\# cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
NR = matrix interference and/or analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content, or inconsistency in sample containers.

## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8015C

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water
WorkOrder 0806485

| EPA Method SW8015C | Extraction SW3510C |  |  |  | BatchID: 36331 Sp |  |  |  | iked Sample ID: N/A |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| TPH-Diesel (C10-C23) | N/A | 1000 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 120 | 120 | 0 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS: | N/A | 2500 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 118 | 119 | 0.364 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 30 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

| BATCH 36331 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806485-002A | 06/13/08 10:51 AM | 06/17/08 | 06/20/08 4:14 PM | 0806485-013A | 06/16/08 12:50 PM | 06/17/08 | 06/22/08 1:17 AM |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$N / A=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R=$ analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

# QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B 

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water
WorkOrder 0806485

| EPA Method SW8260B <br> Analyte | Extraction SW5030B |  |  |  | BatchID: 36332 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0806454-002C |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) | ND | 10 | 95.8 | 93.7 | 2.29 | 96.8 | 101 | 4.26 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Benzene | ND | 10 | 93.7 | 93.3 | 0.443 | 94 | 99 | 5.18 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) | ND | 50 | 85.4 | 83.6 | 2.22 | 81.9 | 87.2 | 6.24 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND | 10 | 90.7 | 88.6 | 2.25 | 93.7 | 95.7 | 2.18 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND | 10 | 98.7 | 97 | 1.74 | 99.4 | 106 | 6.44 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND | 10 | 105 | 102 | 2.19 | 104 | 110 | 5.13 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND | 10 | 100 | 97.6 | 2.47 | 100 | 105 | 4.74 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | ND | 10 | 98.2 | 96.7 | 1.53 | 98.2 | 103 | 5.26 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Toluene | ND | 10 | 82.8 | 84.6 | 2.10 | 83.4 | 87.2 | 4.45 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS1: | 98 | 25 | 94 | 93 | 1.03 | 97 | 98 | 0.935 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS2: | 101 | 25 | 95 | 97 | 1.62 | 95 | 94 | 0.777 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS3: | 115 | 25 | 89 | 92 | 2.80 | 94 | 93 | 0.978 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

| BATCH 36332 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806485-001B | 06/13/08 9:10 AM | 06/18/08 | 06/18/08 5:04 PM | 0806485-002B | 06/13/08 10:51 AM | 06/18/08 | 06/18/08 9:36 PM |
| 0806485-003B | 06/13/08 11:45 AM | 06/18/08 | 06/18/08 10:27 PM | 0806485-004B | 06/13/08 12:35 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 4:41 PM |
| 0806485-005B | 06/13/08 12:50 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 10:33 PM | 0806485-006B | 06/13/08 1:10 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 12:37 AM |
| 0806485-007B | 06/13/08 3:00 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 11:59 PM | 0806485-008B | 06/13/08 3:10 PM | 06/20/08 | 06/20/08 12:43 AM |
| 0806485-009B | 06/13/08 3:36 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 2:47 AM | 0806485-010B | 06/16/08 10:03 AM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 3:30 AM |
| 0806485-011B | 06/16/08 10:30 AM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 4:14 AM |  |  |  |  |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$N / A=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R=$ analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content. Laboratory extraction solvents such as methylene chloride and acetone may occasionally appear in the method blank at low levels.

# QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B 

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water
WorkOrder 0806485

| EPA Method SW8260B | Extraction SW5030B |  |  |  | BatchID: 36347 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0806476-009A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) | ND<2.5 | 10 | 108 | 111 | 2.97 | 95.7 | 96.1 | 0.367 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Benzene | ND<2.5 | 10 | 120 | 123 | 2.55 | 104 | 105 | 0.196 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) | ND<10 | 50 | 97.6 | 103 | 4.96 | 90.2 | 93.2 | 3.34 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND<2.5 | 10 | 115 | 117 | 2.45 | 100 | 97.3 | 3.03 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND<2.5 | 10 | 119 | 122 | 2.78 | 103 | 105 | 1.99 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND<2.5 | 10 | 117 | 120 | 2.57 | 105 | 108 | 3.62 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND<2.5 | 10 | 126 | 129 | 2.30 | 113 | 116 | 3.05 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | $\mathrm{ND}<2.5$ | 10 | 118 | 121 | 2.36 | 105 | 106 | 1.07 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Toluene | ND<2.5 | 10 | 108 | 109 | 0.777 | 95.9 | 94.7 | 1.26 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS1: | 101 | 25 | 101 | 102 | 0.810 | 97 | 97 | 0 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS2: | 106 | 25 | 106 | 106 | 0 | 94 | 95 | 0.337 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS3: | 109 | 25 | 107 | 108 | 0.400 | 111 | 113 | 1.37 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

| BATCH 36347 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806485-012B | 06/16/08 12:42 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 4:57 AM | 0806485-013B | 06/16/08 12:50 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 12:56 AM |
| 0806485-014B | 06/16/08 2:34 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 1:39 AM | 0806485-015B | 06/16/08 4:05 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 2:21 AM |
| 0806485-016B | 06/16/08 4:31 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 3:03 AM | 0806485-023B | 06/17/08 11:04 AM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 3:45 AM |
| 0806485-024B | 06/17/08 12:40 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 4:27 AM | 0806485-025B | 06/17/08 1:18 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 3:18 PM |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$N / A=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R=$ analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content. Laboratory extraction solvents such as methylene chloride and acetone may occasionally appear in the method blank at low levels.

## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8021B/8015Cm

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water
WorkOrder 0806485

| EPA Method SW8015Cm | Extraction SW5030B |  |  |  | BatchID: 36353 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0806485-027A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| TPH(btex ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | ND | 60 | 101 | 100 | 1.19 | 98.3 | 96.4 | 1.95 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| MTBE | ND | 10 | 113 | 105 | 7.84 | 110 | 111 | 0.781 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Benzene | ND | 10 | 107 | 105 | 1.76 | 103 | 99.4 | 3.20 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Toluene | ND | 10 | 96.6 | 96.6 | 0 | 92.2 | 89.6 | 2.95 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 10 | 107 | 107 | 0 | 102 | 98.9 | 3.09 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Xylenes | ND | 30 | 105 | 106 | 0.801 | 98.9 | 96.1 | 2.85 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| \%SS: | 102 | 10 | 97 | 98 | 0.656 | 98 | 98 | 0 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

| BATCH 36353 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806485-023A | 06/17/08 11:04 AM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 6:04 AM | 0806485-024A | 06/17/08 12:40 PM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 6:34 AM |
| 0806485-025A | 06/17/08 1:18 PM | 06/20/08 | 06/20/08 1:30 AM | 0806485-026A | 06/17/08 11:44 AM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 8:35 AM |
| 0806485-027A | 06/17/08 10:38 AM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 9:05 AM |  |  |  |  |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$£$ TPH(btex) = sum of BTEX areas from the FID.
\# cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.
$N / A=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
NR = matrix interference and/or analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content, or inconsistency in sample containers.

# QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B 

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water
WorkOrder 0806485

| EPA Method SW8260B | Extraction SW5030B |  |  |  | BatchID: 36354 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0806485-026B |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) | ND | 10 | 98 | 105 | 7.08 | 94.4 | 97.9 | 3.65 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Benzene | 11 | 10 | 113 | 125 | 5.35 | 94.3 | 95.9 | 1.67 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) | ND | 50 | 92.7 | 102 | 9.13 | 78.4 | 84.5 | 7.50 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND | 10 | 91.6 | 98.5 | 7.20 | 89.8 | 93.5 | 4.03 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND | 10 | 110 | 118 | 6.89 | 99.4 | 101 | 1.83 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND | 10 | 115 | 124 | 7.47 | 103 | 106 | 2.73 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND | 10 | 121 | 129 | 6.73 | 98.5 | 102 | 3.44 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | ND | 10 | 107 | 116 | 7.71 | 96.6 | 100 | 3.77 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Toluene | 0.55 | 10 | 91.7 | 97.3 | 5.61 | 83.7 | 85.1 | 1.54 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS1: | 103 | 25 | 99 | 101 | 2.01 | 96 | 97 | 0.445 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS2: | 105 | 25 | 96 | 96 | 0 | 95 | 95 | 0 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS3: | 107 | 25 | 113 | 111 | 1.89 | 91 | 93 | 1.75 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

BATCH 36354 SUMMARY

| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0806485-026B | 06/17/08 11:44 AM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 3:57 PM | 0806485-027B | 06/17/08 10:38 AM | 06/19/08 | 06/19/08 2:34 AM |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content. Laboratory extraction solvents such as methylene chloride and acetone may occasionally appear in the method blank at low levels.

## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8021B/8015Cm

W.O. Sample Matrix: Soil

QC Matrix: Soil
WorkOrder 0806485

| EPA Method SW8015Cm | Extraction SW5030B |  |  |  | Batchid: 36356 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0806485-019A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| TPH(btex ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | ND | 0.60 | 109 | 120 | 9.57 | 103 | 99.8 | 2.66 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| MTBE | ND | 0.10 | 109 | 105 | 3.13 | 108 | 106 | 2.22 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.10 | 94.8 | 91.1 | 3.90 | 93.5 | 94.2 | 0.758 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Toluene | ND | 0.10 | 108 | 101 | 5.64 | 108 | 110 | 1.05 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.10 | 106 | 103 | 2.57 | 103 | 104 | 1.01 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| Xylenes | ND | 0.30 | 116 | 116 | 0 | 115 | 116 | 0.965 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |
| \%SS: | 88 | 0.10 | 97 | 93 | 4.48 | 95 | 96 | 1.08 | 70-130 | 20 | 70-130 | 20 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

| BATCH 36356 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806485-017A | 06/12/08 1:40 PM | 06/17/08 | 06/19/08 11:45 PM | 0806485-018A | 06/16/08 10:32 AM | 06/17/08 | 06/20/08 12:15 AM |
| 0806485-019A | 06/13/08 8:03 AM | 06/17/08 | 06/18/08 6:11 PM | 0806485-020A | 06/13/08 12:17 PM | 06/17/08 | 06/20/08 12:46 AM |
| 0806485-021A | 06/16/08 9:45 AM | 06/17/08 | 06/20/08 1:16 AM | 0806485-022A | 06/16/08 2:19 PM | 06/17/08 | 06/21/08 8:10 AM |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
\% Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / (MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$£ \operatorname{TPH}$ (btex) = sum of BTEX areas from the FID.
\# cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R=$ matrix interference and/or analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2 x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

# QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B 

W.O. Sample Matrix: Soil

QC Matrix: Soil
WorkOrder 0806485

| EPA Method SW8260B | Extraction SW5030B |  |  |  | BatchID: 36322 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0806483-001A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | mg/Kg | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) | ND | 0.050 | 106 | 108 | 2.06 | 104 | 104 | 0 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.050 | 102 | 102 | 0 | 108 | 109 | 1.61 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) | ND | 0.25 | 95.1 | 102 | 7.01 | 94.4 | 105 | 10.5 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND | 0.050 | 110 | 113 | 1.95 | 110 | 113 | 2.09 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND | 0.050 | 107 | 108 | 0.975 | 120 | 118 | 1.70 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND | 0.050 | 105 | 106 | 1.34 | 110 | 110 | 0 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND | 0.050 | 107 | 109 | 1.98 | 118 | 120 | 1.82 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | ND | 0.050 | 111 | 113 | 1.73 | 111 | 112 | 1.31 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Toluene | ND | 0.050 | 96.4 | 95.8 | 0.638 | 108 | 107 | 0.768 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| \%SS1: | 98 | 0.12 | 102 | 101 | 0.687 | 99 | 98 | 0.869 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS2: | 115 | 0.12 | 96 | 95 | 0.533 | 107 | 106 | 1.03 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS3: | 103 | 0.12 | 102 | 101 | 1.83 | 125 | 124 | 0.457 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

BATCH 36322 SUMMARY

| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0806485-017A | 06/12/08 1:40 PM | 06/17/08 | 06/19/08 4:49 PM | 0806485-018A | 06/16/08 10:32 AM | 06/17/08 | 06/19/08 5:37 PM |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$N / A=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R=$ analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content. Laboratory extraction solvents such as methylene chloride and acetone may occasionally appear in the method blank at low levels.

# QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B 

W.O. Sample Matrix: Soil

QC Matrix: Soil
WorkOrder 0806485

| EPA Method SW8260B | Extraction SW5030B |  |  |  | BatchID: 36357 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0806485-022A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) | ND | 0.050 | 83.8 | 82.4 | 1.70 | 95 | 97.8 | 2.91 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.050 | 80.7 | 79.2 | 1.83 | 91.1 | 92.4 | 1.48 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) | ND | 0.25 | 74.3 | 73.8 | 0.679 | 84.5 | 91.2 | 7.59 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND | 0.050 | 90.6 | 88.1 | 2.79 | 99.1 | 102 | 2.71 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND | 0.050 | 83 | 81.1 | 2.37 | 93.6 | 96.8 | 3.35 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND | 0.050 | 86.4 | 85.1 | 1.55 | 92.8 | 95.3 | 2.64 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND | 0.050 | 85.3 | 84.5 | 1.04 | 95.8 | 97.6 | 1.90 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | ND | 0.050 | 86.5 | 85 | 1.75 | 98.7 | 102 | 3.32 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| Toluene | ND | 0.050 | 79.7 | 77.5 | 2.74 | 86.1 | 87.4 | 1.47 | 60-130 | 30 | 60-130 | 30 |
| \%SS1: | 101 | 0.12 | 98 | 97 | 0.580 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS2: | 99 | 0.12 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 96 | 96 | 0 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS3: | 107 | 0.12 | 97 | 95 | 1.77 | 101 | 101 | 0 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

| BATCH 36357 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806485-019A | 06/13/08 8:03 AM | 06/17/08 | 06/19/08 9:13 PM | 0806485-020A | 06/13/08 12:17 PM | 06/17/08 | 06/19/08 6:19 PM |
| 0806485-021A | 06/16/08 9:45 AM | 06/17/08 | 06/19/08 7:02 PM | 0806485-022A | 06/16/08 2:19 PM | 06/17/08 | 06/19/08 9:59 PM |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$N / A=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R$ = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content. Laboratory extraction solvents such as methylene chloride and acetone may occasionally appear in the method blank at low levels.

## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8015C

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water
WorkOrder 0806485

| EPA Method SW8015C | Extraction SW3510C |  |  |  | Batchid: 36331 Sp |  |  |  | iked Sample ID: N/A |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| TPH-Diesel (C10-C23) | N/A | 1000 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 120 | 120 | 0 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS: | N/A | 2500 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 118 | 119 | 0.364 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 30 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

| BATCH 36331 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806485-001A | 06/13/08 9:10 AM | 06/17/08 | 06/24/08 3:20 PM | 0806485-002A | 06/13/08 10:51 AM | 06/17/08 | 06/20/08 4:14 PM |
| 0806485-012A | 06/16/08 12:42 PM | 06/17/08 | 06/24/08 11:37 AM | 0806485-013A | 06/16/08 12:50 PM | 06/17/08 | 06/22/08 1:17 AM |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
\% Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD $=100$ * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R=$ analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" |  | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. | Client Project ID: \#07-001-04; 9201 San Leandro Street | Date Sampled: | 06/18/08 |
| 1533 B Street |  | Date Received: | 06/19/08 |
| Hayward, CA 94541 | Client Contact: Kasey Cordoza | Date Reported: | 06/24/08 |
|  | Client P.O.: | Date Completed: | 06/23/08 |

WorkOrder: 0806550
June 24, 2008

Dear Kasey:

Enclosed within are:

1) The results of the $\mathbf{1}$ analyzed sample from your project: \#07-001-04; $\mathbf{9 2 0 1}$ San Leandro Stre
2) A QC report for the above sample,
3) A copy of the chain of custody, and
4) An invoice for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.
If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to give me a call. Thank you for choosing
McCampbell Analytical Laboratories for your analytical needs.

Best regards,


Angela Rydelius
Laboratory Manager
McCampbell Analytical, Inc.


CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM


1534 Willow Pass Rd
Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701
(925) 252-9262

## WorkOrder: 0806550

## ClientCode: ERAS

Report to:
Kasey Cordoza
ERAS Environmental, Inc.
1533 B Street
Hayward, CA 94541
(510) 247-9885 FAX (510) 886-5399ExcelFaxEmailHardCopyThirdPartyJ-flag

Bill to:
Gail Jones
ERAS Environmental, Inc.
1533 B Street
Hayward, CA 94541

Requested TAT: 5 days

Date Received: 06/19/2008
Date Printed: 06/19/2008

| Lab ID | Client ID | Matrix | Collection Date | Hold | Requested Tests (See legend below) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 0806550-001 | 9MW4 | Water | 6/18/2008 10:35 | $\square$ | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Test Legend:

| 1 | PREDF REPORT |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6 |  |
| 11 |  |


| 2 | TPH(D)_W |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7 |  |
| 12 |  |



Prepared by: Ana Venegas

## Comments:

## Sample Receipt Checklist

| Client Name: | ERAS Environmen | ntal, Inc. | Date and Time Received: 6/19/08 6:45:11 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Name: | \#07-001-04; 9201 | San Leandro Street | Checklist completed and reviewed by: Ana Venegas |
| WorkOrder $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ : | 0806550 | Matrix Water | Carrier: Michael Hernandez (MAI Courier) |


| Chain of custody present? | Yes $\boldsymbol{\square}$ | No $\square$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Chain of custody agrees with sample labels? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Sample IDs noted by Client on COC? | Yes $\boldsymbol{\square}$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Date and Time of collection noted by Client on COC? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Sampler's name noted on COC? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Sample Receipt Information |  |  |  |
| Custody seals intact on shipping container/cooler? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ | NA |
| Shipping container/cooler in good condition? | Yes $\boldsymbol{\square}$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Samples in proper containers/bottles? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Sample containers intact? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| Sufficient sample volume for indicated test? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |

## Sample Preservation and Hold Time (HT) Information

| All samples received within holding time? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ | NA $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Container/Temp Blank temperature | Cooler Temp: | 3.6º |  |
| Water - VOA vials have zero headspace / no bubbles? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ | No VOA vials submitted $\square$ |
| Sample labels checked for correct preservation? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| TTLC Metal - pH acceptable upon receipt (pH<2)? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ | NA $\square$ |

* NOTE: If the "No" box is checked, see comments below.


Client contacted:
Date contacted:
Contacted by:

Comments:


## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8015C

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water
WorkOrder 0806550

| EPA Method SW8015C | Extraction SW3510C |  |  |  | BatchiD: 36371 |  |  |  | piked Sample ID: N/A |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| TPH-Diesel (C10-C23) | N/A | 1000 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 118 | 118 | 0 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS: | N/A | 2500 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 110 | 110 | 0 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 30 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

| BATCH 36371 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806550-001A | 06/18/08 10:35 | 06/19/08 | 06/20/08 11:04 PM |  |  |  |  |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R=$ analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

## APPENDIXI

LABORATORY REPORT AND CHAI N OF CUSTODY FORM FOR SOI L GAS SAMPLE

| McCampb | alytical, Inc. <br> Counts" | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ \text { Web: } \end{array}$ | ow Pass Road, Pittsburg ampbell.com E-mail ne: 877-252-9262 Fax | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A 94565-1701 } \\ & \text { in @ mccampbell } \\ & \text { 225-252-9269 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAS Environmental, Inc. | Client Project ID: \#07-001-04; 9201 San Leandro |  | Date Sampled: | 06/16/08 |
| 1533 B Street |  |  | Date Received: | 06/17/08 |
|  | Client Contact: An |  | Date Reported: | 06/27/08 |
|  | Client P.O.: |  | Date Completed: | 06/27/08 |

## WorkOrder: 0806486

June 27, 2008

Dear Andrew:

Enclosed within are:

1) The results of the $\mathbf{1}$ analyzed sample from your project: \#07-001-04; 9201 San Leandro,
2) A QC report for the above sample,
3) A copy of the chain of custody, and
4) An invoice for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.
If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to give me a call. Thank you for choosing
McCampbell Analytical Laboratories for your analytical needs.

Best regards,


Angela Rydelius
Laboratory Manager
McCampbell Analytical, Inc.
$0806486$


1534 Willow Pass Rd
Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701
(925) 252-9262

## WorkOrder: 0806486

## ClientCode: ERAS

## Report to:

Andrew Savage
ERAS Environmental, Inc.
1533 B Street
Hayward, CA 94541
(510) 247-9885 FAX (510) 886-5399ExcelFax

- EmailHardCopyThirdPartyJ-flag

Gail Jones
ERAS Environmental, Inc.
1533 B Street
Hayward, CA 94541

Date Received: 06/17/2008
Date Printed: 06/24/2008

| Lab ID | Client ID | Matrix | Collection Date Hold |  | Requested Tests (See legend below) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 0806486-001 | SG-1,5-5.5 | Soil Vapor | 6/16/2008 9:51 | $\square$ | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Test Legend:


| 2 | PREDF REPORT |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7 |  |
| 12 |  |



The following SampID: 001A contains testgroup.

Comments:

## Sample Receipt Checklist

| Client Name: | ERAS Environmental, Inc. | Date and Time Received: 06/17/08 8:11:19 PM |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Project Name: | $\# 07-001-04 ; 9201$ San Leandro | Checklist completed and reviewed by: Melissa Valles |  |
| WorkOrder N$: ~$ | $\mathbf{0 8 0 6 4 8 6}$ | Matrix Soil Vapor | Carrier: |

## Chain of Custody (COC) Information



## Sample Preservation and Hold Time (HT) Information

| All samples received within holding time? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ | NA $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Container/Temp Blank temperature | Cooler Temp: |  | No $\square$ |
| Water - VOA vials have zero headspace / no bubbles? | Yes $\square$ | NoA vials submitted $\square$ |  |
| Sample labels checked for correct preservation? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ |  |
| TTLC Metal - pH acceptable upon receipt (pH<2)? | Yes $\square$ | No $\square$ | NA $\square$ |

* NOTE: If the "No" box is checked, see comments below.


Client contacted:
Date contacted:
Contacted by:

Comments:

$\qquad$




* leak check compound is reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$.

ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.
The IPA reference is:

DTSC, Advisory-Active Soil Gas Investigations, January 28, 2003, page 10, section 2.4.2
"Tracer compounds, such as ...isopropanol..., may be used as leak check compounds, if a detection limit of $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ or less can be achieved."
This implies that $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ is the cut off definition for a leak, which equals $10,000 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m} 3$.
The other low IPA hits may be due to extremely small leaks or may be naturally occuring in soil gas, particularly at biologically active sites.

$\qquad$

$\qquad$



## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR ASTM D 1946-90

W.O. Sample Matrix: Soil Vapor

QC Matrix: Soil Vapor
WorkOrder: 0806486

| EPA Method ASTM D 1946-90 | Extraction ASTM D 1946-90 |  |  |  | BatchID: 36361 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: N/A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| Carbon Dioxide | N/A | 1000 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 100 | 101 | 0.565 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 20 |
| Methane | N/A | 10 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 114 | 115 | 0.869 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 20 |
| Oxygen | N/A | 1000 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 96.3 | 97 | 0.808 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 20 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

| BATCH 36361 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806486-001A | 06/16/08 9:51 AM | 06/18/08 | 06/25/08 11:24 AM | 0806486-001A | 06/16/08 9:51 AM | 06/18/08 | 06/25/08 11:24 AM |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) $/($ Amount Spiked); RPD $=100$ * (MS - MSD) / (MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
$N R=$ analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds $2 x$ spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR TO15

W.O. Sample Matrix: Soil Vapor

QC Matrix: Soil Vapor
WorkOrder 0806486

| EPA Method 1015 | Extraction $\mathrm{TO15}$ |  |  |  | BatchlD: 36345 |  |  |  | piked Sample ID: N/A |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | nL/L | nL/L | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| Benzene | N/A | 25 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 101 | 105 | 3.74 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 30 |
| Ethylbenzene | N/A | 25 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 101 | 106 | 5.25 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 30 |
| Isopropyl Alcohol | N/A | 25 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 99.3 | 102 | 2.60 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 30 |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | N/A | 25 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 106 | 110 | 4.14 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 30 |
| Toluene | N/A | 25 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 103 | 108 | 4.62 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 30 |
| Xylenes | N/A | 75 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 106 | 111 | 4.30 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS1: | N/A | 500 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 104 | 109 | 3.99 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS2: | N/A | 500 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 102 | 106 | 4.67 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS3: | N/A | 500 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 105 | 110 | 4.11 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 30 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

| BATCH 36345 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806486-001A | 06/16/08 9:51 A | 06/18/08 | 06/19/08 6:31 PM |  |  |  |  |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD $=100$ * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
*MS and / or MSD spike recoveries may not be near $100 \%$ or the RPDs near $0 \%$ if: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) if that specific sample matrix interferes with spike recovery.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2 x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.
Laboratory extraction solvents such as methylene chloride and acetone may occasionally appear in the method blank at low levels.
"When Ouality Counts"

# QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B 

W.O. Sample Matrix: Soil Vapor

QC Matrix: Water
WorkOrder 0806486

| EPA Method SW8260B | Extraction SW5030B |  |  |  | BatchID: 36354 |  |  | Spiked Sample ID: 0806485-026B |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) | ND | 10 | 98 | 105 | 7.08 | 94.4 | 97.9 | 3.65 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Benzene | 11 | 10 | 113 | 125 | 5.35 | 94.3 | 95.9 | 1.67 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) | ND | 50 | 92.7 | 102 | 9.13 | 78.4 | 84.5 | 7.50 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 10 | 88.9 | 94.7 | 6.40 | 87.1 | 88.2 | 1.25 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND | 10 | 91.6 | 98.5 | 7.20 | 89.8 | 93.5 | 4.03 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) | ND | 10 | 110 | 118 | 6.89 | 99.4 | 101 | 1.83 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND | 10 | 83.7 | 87.4 | 4.32 | 77.2 | 80 | 3.62 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) | ND | 10 | 115 | 124 | 7.47 | 103 | 106 | 2.73 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) | ND | 10 | 121 | 129 | 6.73 | 98.5 | 102 | 3.44 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | ND | 10 | 107 | 116 | 7.71 | 96.6 | 100 | 3.77 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Toluene | 0.55 | 10 | 91.7 | 97.3 | 5.61 | 83.7 | 85.1 | 1.54 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| Trichloroethene | ND | 10 | 99.5 | 106 | 5.98 | 92.3 | 94.2 | 2.07 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS1: | 103 | 25 | 99 | 101 | 2.01 | 96 | 97 | 0.445 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS2: | 105 | 25 | 96 | 96 | 0 | 95 | 95 | 0 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |
| \%SS3: | 107 | 25 | 113 | 111 | 1.89 | 91 | 93 | 1.75 | 70-130 | 30 | 70-130 | 30 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

BATCH 36354 SUMMARY

| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0806486-001A | 06/16/08 9:51 A | 06/24/08 | 06/24/08 1:43 PM |  |  |  |  |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).
MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or \%RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2 x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.
Laboratory extraction solvents such as methylene chloride and acetone may occasionally appear in the method blank at low levels.

| McCampbell Analytical, Inc. <br> "When Ouality Counts" | 1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 <br> Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269 |
| :---: | :---: |

## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR TO3

| W.O. Sample Matrix: Soil Vapor | QC Matrix: Soil Vapor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WorkOrder 0806486 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA Method ${ }^{\text {TO3 }}$ | Extraction TO3 |  |  |  | BatchiD: 36346 |  |  |  | piked Sample ID: N/A |  |  |  |
| Analyte | Sample | Spiked | MS | MSD | MS-MSD | LCS | LCSD | LCS-LCSD | Acceptance Criteria (\%) |  |  |  |
|  | nL/L | nL/L | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | \% Rec. | \% Rec. | \% RPD | MS / MSD | RPD | LCS/LCSD | RPD |
| TPH (g) | N/A | 1250 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 99 | 99.4 | 0.443 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | 20 |

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

| BATCH 36346 SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Lab ID | Date Sampled | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |
| 0806486-001A | 06/16/08 9:51 A | 06/18/08 | 06/23/08 1:59 PM |  |  |  |  |

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.
$\%$ Recovery $=100$ * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

* MS and / or MSD spike recoveries may not be near $100 \%$ or the RPDs near $0 \%$ if: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) if that specific sample matrix interferes with spike recovery.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2 x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

Laboratory extraction solvents such as methylene chloride and acetone may occasionally appear in the method blank at low levels.

## APPENDIXJ

GEOTRACKER UPLOAD CONFI RMATI ON

## STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD GEOTRACKER ESI

## UPLOADING A EDF FILE

## SUCCESS

Processing is complete. No errors were found! Your file has been successfully submitted!

| Submittal Type: | SWI_R |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submittal Title: | 9201 - Subsurface Investigation |
| Facility Global ID: | T0600101592 |
| Facility Name: | PACO PUMPS INC |
| File Name: | $0806415 . z i p$ |
| Organization Name: | ERAS Environmental, Inc. |
| Username: | eras |
| IP Address: | 63.203 .234 .76 |
| Submittal Date/Time: | $7 / 18 / 200810: 56: 01$ AM |
| Confirmation Number: | 4191069662 |

## Electronic Submittal Information

Main Menu | View/Add Facilities | Upload EDD | Check EDD
Your EDF file has been successfully uploaded!

Confirmation Number: 4333375334
Date/ Time of Submittal: 7/2/2008 9:40:37 AM
Facility Global ID: T0600101592
Facility Name: PACO PUMPS INC
Submittal Title: 9201-Subsurface Investigation \& GWM EDF
Submittal Type: Soil \& Water Investigation Report

Click here to view the detections report for this upload.

| PACO PUMPS INC Regional Board - Case \#: 01-1721 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2) |  |
| OAKLAND, CA $94603 \quad$ Local Agency (lead agency) - Case | Local Agency (lead agency) - Case \#: R00000320 |
| CONF \# TITLE | QUARTER |
| 4333375334 9201-Subsurface Investigation \& GWM EDF | GWM EDF Q2 2008 |
| SUBMITTED BY SUBMIT DATE STATUS | STATUS |
| Kasey Cordoza 7/2/2008 PENDING REVIE | PENDING REVIEW |
| SAMPLE DETECTIONS REPORT |  |
| \# FIELD POINTS SAMPLED | 13 |
| \# FIELD POINTS WITH DETECTIONS | 12 |
| \# FIELD POINTS WITH WATER SAMPLE DETECTIONS ABOVE MCL | MCL 7 |
| SAMPLE MATRIX TYPES | SOIL,WATER |
| METHOD QA/ QC REPORT |  |
| METHODS USED SW8015B,SW | SW8015B,SW8021F,SW8260B |
| TESTED FOR REQUIRED ANALYTES? | Y |
| LAB NOTE DATA QUALIFIERS | N |
| QA/ QC FOR 8021/ 8260 SERIES SAMPLES |  |
| TECHNICAL HOLDING TIME VIOLATIONS | 0 |
| METHOD HOLDING TIME VIOLATIONS | 0 |
| LAB BLANK DETECTIONS ABOVE REPORTING DETECTION LIMIT | MIT 0 |
| LAB BLANK DETECTIONS | 0 |
| DO ALL BATCHES WITH THE 8021/8260 SERIES INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING? | HE FOLLOWING? |
| - LAB METHOD BLANK | Y |
| - MATRIX SPIKE | N |
| - MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE | N |
| - BLANK SPIKE | Y |
| - SURROGATE SPIKE - NON-STANDARD SURROGATE USED | D Y |
| WATER SAMPLES FOR 8021/8260 SERIES |  |
| MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE(S) \% RECOVERY BETWEEN 65-135\% | BETWEEN 65-135\% Y |
| MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE(S) RPD LESS THAN 30\% | AN 30\% Y |
| SURROGATE SPIKES \% RECOVERY BETWEEN 85-115\% | N |
| BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATES \% RECOVERY BETWEEN 70-130\% | WEEN 70-130\% Y |
| SOIL SAMPLES FOR 8021/8260 SERIES |  |
| MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE(S) \% RECOVERY BETWEEN 65-135\% | BETWEEN 65-135\% Y |


| MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE(S) RPD LESS THAN 30\% | Y |
| :--- | :---: |
| SURROGATE SPIKES \% RECOVERY BETWEEN 70-125\% | Y |
| BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATES \% RECOVERY BETWEEN 70-130\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| FIELD QC SAMPLES |  |
| SAMPLE | $\frac{\text { COLLECTED }}{}$ |
| QCTB SAMPLES | N |
| QCEB SAMPLES | N |

Logged in as eras (AUTH_RP) CONTACT SITE ADMINISTRATOR.

## Electronic Submittal Information <br> Main Menu | View/Add Facilities | Upload EDD | Check EDD

Your EDF file has been successfully uploaded!
Confirmation Number: 2946302056
Date/ Time of Submittal: 7/2/2008 9:51:01 AM
Facility Global ID: T0600101592
Facility Name: PACO PUMPS INC
Submittal Title: 9201 - soil-gas EDF
Submittal Type: Soil \& Water Investigation Report

Click here to view the detections report for this upload.


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE(S) RPD LESS THAN 30\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| SURROGATE SPIKES \% RECOVERY BETWEEN 70-125\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATES \% RECOVERY BETWEEN $70-130 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| FIELD QC SAMPLES | COLLECTED |
| SAMPLE | N |
| QCTB SAMPLES | N |
| QCEB SAMPLES | N |
| QCAB SAMPLES | DETECTIONS > REPDL |

Logged in as eras (AUTH_RP)
CONTACT SITE ADMINISTRATOR.

## Electronic Submittal Information <br> Main Menu | View/Add Facilities | Upload EDD | Check EDD

Your EDF file has been successfully uploaded!
Confirmation Number: 4482598423
Date/ Time of Submittal: 7/2/2008 9:02:48 AM
Facility Global ID: T0600101592
Facility Name: PACO PUMPS INC
Submittal Title: 9201 - Q2. 08 TPH-d
Submittal Type: Soil \& Water Investigation Report

Click here to view the detections report for this upload.

| PACO PUMPS I NC | Regional Board - Case \#: 01-1721 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9201 SAN LEANDRO | SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2) |  |
| OAKLAND, CA 94603 | Local Agency (lead agency) - Case \#: R00000320 |  |
|  | ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP - (JTW) |  |
| CONF \# | TITLE |  |
| 4482598423 | 9201- Q2.08 TPH-d |  |
| SUBMITTED BY | SUBMIT DATE STATUS |  |
| Kasey Cordoza | 7/2/2008 PEND |  |
| SAMPLE DETECTIONS REPORT |  |  |
| \# FIELD POINTS SAMPLED |  | 1 |
| \# FIELD POINTS WITH DE | TIONS | 0 |
| \# FIELD POINTS WITH WA | S SAMPLE DETECTIONS ABOVE MCL | 0 |
| SAMPLE MATRIX TYPES |  | WATER |
| METHOD QA/ QC REPORT |  |  |
| METHODS USED |  | SW8015B |
| TESTED FOR REQUIRED A | YTES? | N |
| MISSING PARAMETERS | T TESTED: |  |
| - SW8015B REQUIRES | BE TO BE TESTED |  |
| - SW8015B REQUIRES | E TO BE TESTED |  |
| - SW8015B REQUIRES | ME TO BE TESTED |  |
| - SW8015B REQUIRES | E TO BE TESTED |  |
| - SW8015B REQUIRES | A TO BE TESTED |  |
| - SW8015B REQUIRES | A12 TO BE TESTED |  |
| - SW8015B REQUIRES | TO BE TESTED |  |
| - SW8015B REQUIRES | TO BE TESTED |  |
| - SW8015B REQUIRES | ME TO BE TESTED |  |
| - SW8015B REQUIRES | TO BE TESTED |  |
| - SW8015B REQUIRES | ENES TO BE TESTED |  |
| LAB NOTE DATA QUALIFIE |  | N |
| QA/ QC FOR 8021/ 8260 SERIES SAMPLES |  |  |
| TECHNICAL HOLDING TIM | IOLATIONS | 0 |
| METHOD HOLDING TIME VI | ATIONS | 0 |
| LAB BLANK DETECTIONS | VE REPORTING DETECTION LIMIT | 0 |
| LAB BLANK DETECTIONS |  | 0 |
| DO ALL BATCHES WITH THE 8021/8260 SERIES INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING? |  |  |
| - LAB METHOD BLANK |  | Y |
| - MATRIX SPIKE |  | N |
| - MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICA |  | N |


| - BLANK SPIKE | Y |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| - SURROGATE SPIKE - NON-STANDARD SURROGATE USED | Y |  |
| WATER SAMPLES FOR 8021/8260 SERIES |  |  |
| MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE(S) \% RECOVERY BETWEEN 65-135\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE(S) RPD LESS THAN 30\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| SURROGATE SPIKES \% RECOVERY BETWEEN 85-115\% | N |  |
| BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATES \% RECOVERY BETWEEN 70-130\% | Y |  |
| SOIL SAMPLES FOR 8021/8260 SERIES |  |  |
| MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE(S) \% RECOVERY BETWEEN 65-135\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE(S) RPD LESS THAN 30\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| SURROGATE SPIKES \% RECOVERY BETWEEN 70-125\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATES \% RECOVERY BETWEEN 70-130\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| FIELD QC SAMPLES |  |  |
| SAMPLE | COLLECTED | N |
| QCTB SAMPLES | N |  |
| QCEB SAMPLES | N | 0 |
| QCAB SAMPLES |  | 0 |

## Electronic Submittal Information

Main Menu | View/Add Facilities | Upload EDD | Check EDD
UPLOADING A GEO_WELL FILE
Processing is complete. No errors were found! Your file has been successfully submitted!

Submittal Title:
Facility Global ID:
Facility Name:
Submittal Date/Time:
Confirmation Number:

9201-Q2.08 Geo_well
T0600101592
PACO PUMPS INC
7/2/2008 8:50:49 AM
6530760049

Back to Main Menu

Logged in as eras (AUTH_RP)
CONTACT SITE ADMINISTRATOR.

## Electronic Submittal Information

Main Menu | View/Add Facilities | Upload EDD | Check EDD
Your EDF file has been successfully uploaded!
Confirmation Number: 2086611688
Date/ Time of Submittal: 7/2/2008 9:22:16 AM
Facility Global ID: T0600101592
Facility Name: PACO PUMPS INC
Submittal Title: 9201 - hand auger EDF Submittal Type: Soil \& Water Investigation Report

Click here to view the detections report for this upload.


|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE(S) RPD LESS THAN 30\% | Y |
| SURROGATE SPIKES \% RECOVERY BETWEEN 70-125\% | Y |
| BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATES \% RECOVERY BETWEEN $70-130 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| FIELD QC SAMPLES | COLLECTED |
| SAMPLE | N |
| QCTB SAMPLES | N |
| QCEB SAMPLES | N |
| QCAB SAMPLES | DETECTIONS > REPDL |

Logged in as eras (AUTH_RP)
CONTACT SITE ADMINISTRATOR.

