

RECEIVED

9:08 am, Mar 24, 2010

Alameda County Environmental Health 900 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2600 Portland, Oregon 97204 main 503:224:3380 fax 503:220:2480 www.stoel.com

SCOTT J. KAPLAN Direct (503) 294-9186 sjkaplan@stoel.com

March 11, 2010

VIA FIRST-CLASS MAIL AND EMAIL

Mr. Mark Detterman Hazardous Materials Specialist, PG, CEG Alameda County Environmental Health Services 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, CA 94502

Re: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000320; Former Paco Pumps, Inc.; 9201 San Leandro Street, Oakland, California

Dear Mr. Detterman:

This concerns the remediation workplan for the former underground storage tank ("UST") area at the above-referenced property submitted to Alameda County Environmental Health Services ("County") by The Source Group, on behalf of my client PCC Flow Technologies, Inc. ("PCC Flow"), on November 3, 2009.

The Source Group recently contacted you to inquire regarding the status of the County's review of the remediation workplan. Your email response, dated February 19, 2010, explained that, due to a State-mandated priority to complete a review of all UST cases by June 30, as well as other constraints, the County would likely be unable to issue a letter concerning the remediation workplan until sometime between August 31 and December 31, 2010.

However, the property owner, 9201 San Leandro, LLC, has requested that PCC Flow proceed with the work under the workplan before receiving the County's concurrence, as authorized by the State Water Resources Control Board's UST regulations governing corrective action requirements. According to the property owner, the residual contamination associated with the former UST area is impairing its ability to obtain financing and to sell the property; therefore, the property owner has requested that the remediation proceed now. PCC Flow, as an accommodation and reserving all of its rights, is willing to move forward as 9201 San Leandro, LLC requests. Therefore, this is to provide notice to the County that PCC Flow and its consultant, The Source Group, intend to implement the proposed actions in the remediation workplan pursuant to 23 C.C.R. § 2722(e), which provides that



Mr. Mark Detterman March 11, 2010 Page 2

> [i]n the interest of minimizing environmental contamination and promoting cleanup, the responsible party may begin implementation of the proposed actions after the workplan has been submitted and before it has received agency concurrence. Implementation of the workplan may begin sixty (60) calendar days after submittal, unless the responsible party is otherwise directed in writing by the regulatory agency.

We look forward to submitting to you The Source Group's remediation report documenting the procedures and findings of the workplan activities when it is completed.

Thank you for your consideration. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Scott J. Kaplan

SJK:dmv

cc: Mr. David Murray Mr. Marc Zeppetello Mr. Scott Seipel