

9/13/96  
con't

installation, then they can destroy it without a permit.  
Phoned Steve Kurcheck: left message. Mess fm Steve K:  
he spoke w/Wyman Hong for permit. Thanks.

2/7/97 AREN'T WE DUE SOME SORT OF SAMPLG RPT FM SEPT 96 PIPING  
MODIFICATION (and overspill protection)?

Reviewed "2nd Q 96 Mon" by BTS, dated 8/5/96. GW  
sampled 6/25/96 flowed W-SW. MW2 had 0.47 ft FP on  
6/25/96; it is being pumped out quarterly, when present.  
Cover ltr says they enclosed a ltr fm their consultant,  
addressing my concerns as per my 6/28/96 ltr. No such  
ltr is enclosed. But anyway, Phil Briggs says they  
repaired well boxes for MW2 and MW4. Also, MW4 has high  
MTBE: 31,000 ppb! This well is next to the UST pit.  
Surprise, surprise! Why are they only removing a small  
fraction of the FP found? See columns for FP thickness  
(.47') and FP removed (.03').

So, 3 questions for Chevron left mess for PB: 1) Cover  
ltr says they enclosed a ltr fm their consultant,  
addressing my concerns as per my 6/28/96 ltr. No such  
ltr is enclosed. 2) Why are they only removing a small  
fraction of the FP found in MW2? See columns for FP  
thickness (.47') and FP removed (.03'). 3) Where is the  
rpt fm 9/96 piping mod (and overspill protection)?

2/20/97 Reviewed 2/3/97 cover ltr fm PB: a strange thing  
happened on the way to the excavation: the contractor  
pumped approx 550 gal of recovered gw thru the sediment  
filter and carbon vessels back into the excavation.  
Chevron did not approve this procedure. But the  
contractor did sample the gw effluent (post treatment)  
and found ND TPHg and BTEX.

Reviewed 11/1/96 "Product Piping Removal Soil Sampling  
Report" by Touchstone. Max concs found: 4,100 ppm TPHg  
and 40 ppm benzene and 52 ppm lead. They offhauled 100  
yd3 of soil to BFI in Livermore. They also vacd out  
550 gal of gw, ran it thru sediment filter and carbon,  
then returned it to the ex; strange. WHY DIDNT THEY DO  
ANY OVEREX? These are significant hits.

Reviewed 11/15/96 rpt fm Touchstone re water discharge  
permit efforts. It documents that the contractor pumped  
approx 550 gal of recovered gw thru the sediment filter  
and carbon vessels back into the excavation. Bec their  
EBMUD permit was pending. (Why didnt they just wait  
for the permit?) But the contractor did sample the gw  
effluent (post treatment) and found ND TPHg and BTEX;  
true.

- 2/20/97 Reviewed 11/4/96 rpt re well abandonment, by BTS.
- 2/21/97 lm PB (did not get specific). I am wondering WHY DIDN'T THEY DO ANY OVEREX? These are significant hits.
- 2/25/97 Reviewed 8/8/96 ltr fm BTS to PB, re telecon w/Francis Thie and myself on 7/16/96.

Reviewed 1/31/97 cover letter fm PB. They request that MW7 and MW8 be sampled annually, and MW5 and MW6 sampled "semi-annually." (Clarify, but I think he means twice per yr). This would be fine.

Reviewed "4th Q 96" rpt by BTS, dated 1/20/97. GW flowed SW on 12/17/96. FP in MW2 decreased to the point there was not enough to remove.

Phoned Phil Briggs: WHY DIDNT THEY DO ANY OVEREX? he was on vacation during that time. A decision was made not to overex. He doesnt know why. Probably bec proximity of canopies. And the fact that there are Mws already. He would have excavated as much as possible. The hits were only at 2.5 and 3'bgs.

**WROTE LETTER,** approving sampling frequency reduction.