RECEIVED

By Alameda County Environmental Health 11:35 am, Jan 09, 2017

5 January 2017 Project 731641602

Mr. Keith Nowell, PG Alameda County Health Care Services Agency Environmental Health Department 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502

Subject: Work Plan for Additional Environmental Sampling Fuel Case No. Ro0000247 and Geotracker ID T0600102220 3000 Broadway SPE LLC 260 30th Street Oakland, California Alameda County SCP Case No. RO0000247 Langan Project: 731635601

Dear Mr. Nowell:

I have read and acknowledge the content, recommendations and/or conclusions contained in the attached document submitted on my behalf to ACDEH's FTP server and the SWRCB's GeoTracker website.

Sincerely yours,

Alan Chamorro 3000 Broadway SPE LLC

WORK PLAN FOR ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING 260 30th Street

Oakland, California 94611

Prepared For: Alameda County Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, California 94502

Prepared By: Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc. 501 14th Street, 3rd Floor Oakland, California 94612

Karianne Staehlin Senior Staff Scientist

Joshua Graber, CHMM Senior Project Manager



Dorinda Shipman, PG, CHG Principal

5 January 2017 750635602





501 14th Street, 3rd Floor

T: 510.874.7000

F: 510.874.7001

www.langan.com

New Jersey • New York • Connecticut • Pennsylvania • Washington, DC • Virginia • West Virginia • Ohio • Florida • Texas • Arizona • California Abu Dhabi • Athens • Doha • Dubai • Istanbul • London • Panama

Oakland, CA 94612



Technical Excellence Practical Experience Client Responsiveness

5 January 2017

Mr. Keith Nowell, PG Alameda County Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, California 94502

Re: Work Plan for Additional Environmental Sampling Fuel Leak Case No. RO000247 and GeoTracker ID T060010220 260 30th Street Oakland, California Langan Proposal No.: 750635602

Dear Mr. Nowell,

Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc. (Langan), on behalf of 3000 Broadway SPE LLC (Client), is pleased to submit this *Work Plan for Additional Environmental Sampling* (Work Plan) to further evaluate petroleum impacted soil discovered during a recent geotechnical investigation at 260 30th Street (Site) in Oakland, California.

If you have any questions or need any information clarified, please call Joshua Graber at (510) 874-7086.

Sincerely yours, Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc.

Karianne Staehlin Senior Staff Scientist

Dorinda Shipman, PG, CHG Principal





Joshua Graber, CHMM Associate

cc: Alan Chamorro – Lowe Enterprises Real Estate Group

750635602.01 JDG_Final Work Plan Additional Subsurface Investigation_260 30th Street

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRC	DUCTION	1
	1.1	Site Description and Proposed Redevelopment	2
2.0	PREVI	OUS INVESTIGATIONS	3
	2.1	Previous Environmental Documents by Others	4
	2.2	2016 Phase I Environmental Site Assessment	8
	2.3	2016 Phase II Environmental Site Assessment	9
	2.4	Request for No Further Action	10
	2.5	November 2016 Geotechnical Investigation and Environmental Sampling	.10
	2.6	Floor Drain Exploration	.11
3.0	ADDIT	IONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING	.11
	3.1	Site Specific Health and Safety Plan	.12
	3.2	Pre-investigation Tasks	.12
	3.3	Phase One and Two Proposed Sampling Activities	.13
		3.3.1 Soil and Groundwater Sampling	13
		3.3.2 Soil Vapor Sampling	.14
	3.4	Phase Three Proposed Sampling Activities (if necessary)	17
		3.4.1 Soil and Groundwater Sampling	18
	3.5	Laboratory Analyses	18
	3.6	Sample Identification	19
	3.7	Field Documentation	19
	3.8	Chain of Custody	20
	3.9	Sample Packing and Shipping	20
	3.10	Investigation Derived Waste	20
4.0	DATA	EVALUATION AND REPORTING	20
5.0	PROJ	ECT SCHEDULE	20
REFER	RENCES	S	.22

TABLE

FIGURES

APPENDICES

ATTACHMENTS

TABLE

- Table 1 Boring B-16 Soil Analytical Results
- Table 2 Sampling and Analysis Plan

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1 Site Location Map
- Figure 2 Site Plan and Cross Section
- Figure 3 Idealized Subsurface Profile A-A'
- Figure 4 Site Plan with Boring Locations and TPH Analytical Results in Groundwater

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A Laboratory Analytical Reports
- Appendix B Geotechnical Boring Logs

WORK PLAN FOR ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING 260 30th Street Oakland, California

1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of 3000 Broadway SPE LLC (Client), Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc. (Langan) has prepared this *Work Plan for Additional Environmental Sampling* (Work Plan) for the property located at 260 30th Street, Oakland, California (Site, Figure 1). The Site and the surrounding area adjacent to the Site is proposed for redevelopment. The additional environmental sampling proposed is intended to further evaluate current conditions prior to redevelopment. The Work Plan is based on discussions with the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACEH) and is intended to further evaluate petroleum hydrocarbon impacts recently encountered at the Site in boring B-16 during a geotechnical investigation.

The Site is currently in the Alameda County Local Oversight Program (LOP) and is associated with active fuel leak case number RO0000247. However, we do not believe the recently discovered petroleum impacts in boring B-16 are related to the former underground storage tank (UST) located in the sidewalk of 30th Street due to the upgradient location of the impacts relative to the former UST. We believe the impacts are likely related to a nearby floor drain system, which is proposed for removal during Site redevelopment.

The purpose of the additional environmental sampling proposed in this Work Plan is to:

- 1) Investigate subsurface conditions near floor drains located at 250 and 260 30th Street;
- 2) Evaluate the Site for vapor intrusion by collecting soil vapor samples beneath the proposed development excavation depth;
- 3) Further delineate the extent of petroleum impacted soil, confirm the source is related to the floor drains and determine if groundwater has been impacted; and
- 4) Characterize the Site soil planned for excavation and off-Site disposal.

A summary of our proposed additional environmental sampling, including sampling and analytical testing methods, are presented in this Work Plan.

Following the completion of the additional subsurface investigation, we will prepare a technical report summarizing our field activities, sampling methods, analytical results and recommendations. We will also share and discuss the results with ACEH.

1.1 Site Description and Proposed Redevelopment

The Site is part of a larger redevelopment plan consisting of four warehouse-like structures (250, 260, and 288 30th Streets and 3020 Broadway), including one former restaurant (3000 Broadway), and two private residential properties (3007 and 3009 Brook Street) in a fully developed mixed-use area of Oakland, commonly referred to as Auto Row. Until recently, the warehouse-like structures were utilized as automobile sales, repair and service shops, a restaurant, or were vacant. Currently, only the 288 30th Street address is an active business (XYZ Motors). The restaurant (3000 Broadway) recently closed; the former showroom (3020 Broadway) is vacant; and the two private residences (3007 and 3009 Brook Street) are vacant and planned for either relocation or demolition.

As show in Figure 2, the larger development area is bound by a commercial property and asphalt parking area to the north, Brook Street to the east, 30th Street to the south, and Broadway to the west. The Site and surrounding area generally slopes to the southeast. The larger development area has an approximate high elevation of 50 feet above mean sea level (MSL) at the northwest corner along Broadway, and an approximate low elevation of 30 feet above MSL at the southeast corner near the corner of 30th and Brook Streets.

Current development plans for the Site and surrounding area include the construction of a fivestory, wood-frame apartment building, over a one- to two-story concrete podium with parking. The proposed development will have a single level basement along Broadway leveling out to the current grade at Brook Street, as the ground surface elevation drops. The entrance to the partial below grade parking will be along Brook Street. A cross section presented as Figure 3 illustrates the current approximate grade of the 30th Street sidewalk, the approximate elevations of the existing building slabs fronting 30th Street and the proposed excavation depths associated with the proposed development. A maximum excavation depth of 18 to 20 feet is expected along Broadway and a minimum excavation of seven to eight feet along Brook Street is expected. The data proposed for collection as part of this Work Plan is to evaluate soil to be excavated and also soil to be left in place as part of the redevelopment.

The Site is generally blanketed by medium dense clayey sand fill at depths up to ten feet, which is underlain by alternating layers of medium stiff to stiff clays and medium dense to very dense sands.



During our most recent geotechnical investigation, groundwater was measured at a depth of 28½ feet and 26½ feet below ground surface (bgs) in borings B-13 and B-14, respectively, along the eastern portion of the Site. Based on exterior elevations along the Broadway sidewalk, we estimate these groundwater elevations to both be at about 20½ feet. Along the western portion of the Site groundwater was measured at a depth of 32½ feet bgs in boring B-15 and a depth of 27 feet bgs in B-16, at elevations estimated to be 8½ and 10 feet. These groundwater measurements with the exception of the measurement recorded in B-15, represent stabilized groundwater within the boring for a period of at least 30 minutes. Groundwater flow at the Site is interpreted to be southeasterly.

During our previous investigations, groundwater was measured in boring B-12 at about 17 feet bgs which corresponds to Elevation 16 feet. During the environmental water sampling at borings B-11 and B-12 the groundwater was measured at depths of about 11 feet and 7 feet below street grade, respectively. These groundwater measurements represent only that of eastern portion of the Site as appreciable groundwater was not encountered during the drilling of environmental borings B-3 through B-6 on the western portion of the Site.

As a result of our field investigations we found that the highest measured groundwater varies from about Elevation 20½ to Elevation 25 feet. All previous boring/sampling locations are shown on Figure 2. A cross section depicting the general Site topography and Site subsurface conditions is presented in both Figures 2 and 3.

2.0 PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS

Langan reviewed previous environmental reports prepared for the 260 30th Street, the adjacent 3000 Broadway property, and the Hagstrom property located across 30th Street at 265 30th Street. The results of these investigations are summarized below and a summary of available groundwater analytical results for these properties are shown on Figure 4.

Langan Treadwell Rollo (currently Langan) previously prepared the following environmental reports for the Site and larger development area. The reports document previous soil and groundwater sampling at the Site and the analytical results, which are referenced as part of this Work Plan:

• Langan Treadwell Rollo, *Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, 3000 and 3020 Broadway; 3007 and 3009 Brook Street; and 250, 260, and 288 30th Street, Oakland, California* dated 25 April 2016; and



• Langan Treadwell Rollo, *Response to 4 November 2015 Letter and Request for No Further Action, Fuel Leak Case No. RO000247 and Geotracker ID T0600102220, Robert and Ruth Burrows Trust, 260 30th Street, Oakland, California dated 24 October 2016.*

2.1 **Previous Environmental Documents by Others**

Prior to Langan's involvement, various environmental activities, including the removal and/or abandonment of underground storage tanks (USTs), soil and groundwater investigations, and sensitive receptor surveys, were completed by others at both the Site and adjacent properties. These reports are summarized below.

DECON Environmental Services, Inc., Letter Re: Tank Removal Project, 3000 Broadway, Oakland, California dated 28 December 1992

In July 1992, DECON Environmental Services, Inc. (DECON) of Hayward, California was contracted to excavate and remove one 1,000-gallon diesel UST from beneath the 30th Street Site property sidewalk located in the vicinity of the 3000 Broadway and 288 30th Street properties in Oakland, California. At the time of the UST removal, the property was occupied by a Nissan dealership. Reportedly, the UST had not been in use for at least a decade, and was presumed to be empty.

Two soil samples labeled 721-823-01 and 721-823-02 were collected from the bottom of the excavation beneath the western and eastern portions of the former UST. The soil samples were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as gasoline (TPHg), TPH as diesel (TPHd), lead, and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX). No TPH or BTEX compounds were detected at or above laboratory detection limits. A summary of the samples collected from beneath the UST are presented below.

Summary of TPH and BTEX Results in Soil Former UST Closure 3000 Broadway, Oakland, CA

Sample ID	Date	TPHg	TPHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzen	Xylenes
						е	
721-823-01	7/21/1992	< 1	< 10	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003
721-823-02	7/21/1992	< 1	< 10	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003

Above results reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg).

During the UST removal activities, a leaky sewer line, located near the northwest side of the excavation sidewall, was discovered. Reportedly, DECON applied for a sewer repair permit and made the necessary repairs. With the permission of the ACEH, DECON backfilled the UST



excavation with the previously stockpiled soil material and approximately 15 cubic yards of imported Class II base rock, and repaired the sidewalk. During the sidewalk repair, a fuel fill pipe was discovered, which was connected to a 350-gallon gasoline UST located to the west of the diesel UST, towards Broadway. With permission from both the ACEH and the Oakland Fire Department (OFD), the 350-gallon gasoline UST was also removed from beneath the 30th Street sidewalk.

Two soil samples were collected from beneath the 350-gallon gasoline UST and one sample was collected of the stockpiled soil material from the excavation pit. The analytical results of the bottom samples did not detect concentrations of purgeable hydrocarbons at or above the laboratory's reporting limit (one milligram per kilogram (mg/kg)). Of the BTEX compounds analyzed, xylenes were detected at a concentration of 0.007 mg/kg in one sample. No other BTEX compounds were detected in either the bottom samples or the stockpiled soil sample. With the permission of both the ACEH and the OFD, the excavation was backfilled with the stockpiled soil and approximately 12 cubic yards of imported Class II base rock.

In a City of Oakland letter dated 7 February 2000, additional analysis of soil and/or groundwater for methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) was required before no further action (NFA) could be warranted for the removed USTs. According to DECON, a soil sample was collected from beneath the 30th Street sidewalk on 12 May 2000, and submitted for MTBE analysis. MTBE was not detected at or above the laboratory reporting limit (0.005 mg/kg). The 3000 Broadway Site property was granted UST case closure by the City of Oakland's Fire Services Agency, and NFA by OFD and the Hazardous Materials Management Program (HMMP) in a letter dated 7 June 2000. The approximate locations of the former USTs are shown on Figure 4.

<u>Faultline Associates, Inc., Underground Storage Tank Closure Report, 260 30th Street, Oakland,</u> <u>California dated 22 September 1997</u>

Based on the September 1997 *Underground Storage Tank Closure Report*, prepared by Faultline Associates, Inc. (Faultline) for the 260 30th Street Site property, one 1,000-gallon waste oil UST, was maintained for an unspecified period of time, before being abandoned-in-place in March 1997. The UST closure was recommended in-place to avoid potentially undermining the adjacent building. The UST was rinsed and inerted with dry ice, before being pressure grouted. The 30th Street sidewalk above the abandoned-in-place UST was finished with a concrete patch. Following the abandonment-in-place of the UST, a subsurface soil investigation was conducted by drilling four soil borings (SB-1 through SB-4) to a maximum depth of 20 feet bgs, directly adjacent to the abandoned in-place UST (Figure 2). In order to



characterize the soil underneath the abandoned-in-place UST, boring SB-3 was drilled at a 30° angle and soil was collected from beneath the former UST. Groundwater samples were not collected.

TPHg, TPHd, TPH as oil and grease (TPHog), and BTEX compounds were detected in the upper 15 feet of soil. However, none of these compounds were detected at a depth of 20 feet in borings SB-1, SB-3 or SB-4 or the bottom depth of 15 feet bgs in boring SB-2. Benzene and MTBE were not detected in any soil samples collected. With the exception of the soil sample collected from boring SB-1 at 15 feet bgs (labeled SB-1-15), only low levels of TPH and BTEX compounds were detected, if at all. Boring SB-1 was located adjacent to and immediately downgradient of the former UST. TPHg, TPHd, and TPHog were detected in sample SB-1-15 at maximum concentrations of 9,600 mg/kg, 4,500 mg/kg, and 18,000 mg/kg, respectively. Toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene were detected at maximum concentrations of 21 mg/kg, 54 mg/kg, and 89 mg/kg, respectively. The soil sample collected from boring SB-1, at a depth of 20 feet bgs, did not contain any of the previous contaminants at or above laboratory reporting limits, which suggests the extent of contamination was vertically limited.

Due to the detected concentrations of TPH and BTEX compounds in soil near the former UST the ACEH required an additional subsurface investigation to determine if groundwater had been impacted. This requirement was documented in a letter from ACEH, addressed to Mr. Bruce Burrows dated 26 August 1999. A follow up investigation was conducted in 2014.

<u>P&D Environmental, Inc., Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report, 260 30th Street, Oakland,</u> <u>California dated 15 October 2014</u>

In a letter from ACEH to Mr. Bruce Burrows dated 25 April 2012, the ACEH requested a soil and groundwater investigation be conducted to determine the potential extent of impacts related to the abandoned-in-place UST. P&D Environmental (P&D) was retained to perform the investigation. P&D's investigation was conducted in September 2014 and consisted of drilling four borings (B1 through B4) for the collection of soil and groundwater samples (Figure 4). The purpose of this subsurface investigation and the resulting October 2014 report was to provide additional data to support administrative case closure through the State of California Regional Water Quality Control Board's (RWQCB) low threat closure policy (LTCP).

The soil analytical results collected from downgradient boring B4 indicated that petroleum hydrocarbons detected in the shallow soil samples analyzed (less than ten feet bgs) did not exceed residential or commercial RWQCB environmental screening levels (ESLs) for direct exposure (ESL Table S-1, February 2016). Furthermore, no soil samples collected at depths



greater than ten feet bgs had detected petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in excess of the ESLs associated with leaching to groundwater (ESL Table S-2, February 2016). MTBE, BTEX, naphthalene, and semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) (including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)) were not detected in any of the soil samples analyzed, with one exception. Ethylbenzene, xylenes, and naphthalene were detected in a single soil sample (B1-15.0) at concentrations below residential and commercial direct exposure ESLs.

Groundwater analytical results from P&D's investigation indicated the following concentrations of TPH and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were present in groundwater:

- TPHg in samples B1-W and B4-W with concentrations of 2,400 micrograms per liter (μg/L) and 450 μg/L, respectively. TPHg was not detected above the laboratory's reporting limit (50 μg/L) in groundwater samples collected from borings B2 and B3, both of which are located downgradient of the former UST to the east-southeast.
- TPHd was detected in B1-W, B2-W, and B3-W at concentrations of 600 μg/L, 72 μg/L, and 450 μg/L, respectively. TPHd was not detected in the groundwater sample from boring B4.
- B2-W and B3-W with TPHmo concentrations of 350 μg/L and 1,400 μg/L, respectively. TPHmo was not detected in the groundwater samples collected from borings B1 and B4.
- No BTEX compounds or MTBE were detected in groundwater samples collected from borings B2, B3, or B4. The groundwater sample from boring B1 (B1-W) had concentrations of ethylbenzene, xylenes, and naphthalene detected at 60 µg/L, 210 µg/L, and 9.1 µg/L, respectively.

Following investigation, P&D requested closure for the USTs at the Site. ACEH reviewed P&D's request for closure, and found the Site property did not successfully meet the criteria for closure under the LTCP. Technical comments were provided to Mr. Bruce Burrows by ACEH in their correspondence dated 4 November 2015 in which ACEH requested the preparation of an additional work plan and sensitive receptor survey.

P&D Well Survey Report

As requested in an ACEH letter dated 4 November 2015, P&D performed a well survey for wells not associated with groundwater contamination investigations in their *Well Survey Report*, dated 22 March 2016. The survey was conducted in a 2,000 foot radius circle from the Site properties. P&D identified six additional wells within the 2,000 foot radius of the Site, all of which were north to northwest (upgradient) of the Site. Based on the east-southeast



groundwater flow direction at the Site, no wells were identified within the known extent of petroleum hydrocarbon impacts at the Site or immediately downgradient of the Site. Accordingly, Langan does not expect supply wells to be impacted in the future by the petroleum hydrocarbon impacts associated with the former USTs.

2.2 2016 Phase I Environmental Site Assessment

In April 2016, Langan Treadwell Rollo (currently Langan) conducted a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) for the Site, which also included the 3000 and 3020 Broadway and 250 and 288 30th Street properties. The following three recognized environmental conditions (RECs) were identified:

REC 1: Active Fuel Leak Site at 260 30th Street, Oakland, CA

The 260 30th Street on-Site property has a documented history of on-site contamination associated with a leaking underground storage tank (LUST), which has since been abandoned in-place and is presently located within the sidewalk in front of the 250 30th Street on-Site property. Based on the September 1997 *Underground Storage Tank Closure Report* conducted by Faultline, one 1,000-gallon waste oil UST was maintained for an unspecified period of time, before being abandoned in-place in March 1997, via pressure grouting.

Currently, the Site remains an open leak case identified as RWQCB and ACEH-LOP case numbers 01-2411 and RO0000247, respectively.

<u>REC 2: Presence of Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Volatile Organic Compounds within Soil and</u> <u>Groundwater</u>

A previous soil and groundwater investigation at the Site related to the former UST indicates that the Site's subsurface has been impacted by petroleum hydrocarbons and VOCs, likely associated with the on-site and nearby USTs. Additionally, multiple properties in the vicinity have operated as automotive facilities conducting sales, repairs, and services, all of which are commonly associated with petroleum hydrocarbon or fuel-related products.

Based on the analytical results of P&D Environmental Inc.'s October 2014 subsurface investigation, the highest concentrations of TPHg and VOCs were detected in boring B-1, which was located approximately 25 feet to the west (in the assumed upgradient flow direction) from the existing closed in-place UST location. The detected concentrations generally did not exceed RWQCB ESLs for commercial land use. However, concentrations of TPHg, TPHd, TPH as



motor oil (TPHmo), ethylbenzene, xylenes, and naphthalene were all detected in groundwater samples at concentrations exceeding their respective RWQCB ESLs.

<u>REC 3: Historical Presence of Undocumented Underground Storage Tanks Containing</u> <u>Petroleum Product(s)</u>

Two previously undocumented USTs were reportedly removed from the sidewalk of 30th Street near the 3000 Broadway and 288 30th Street Site buildings in July 1992. Regulatory documentation regarding these former USTs was limited, and only hard copies were located, with no known associated regulatory case numbers. Considering these findings, in addition to the concentrations of TPHg upgradient of the abandoned in-place waste oil UST discussed in REC 2 (above), this represents an REC for the site.

2.3 2016 Phase II Environmental Site Assessment

The purpose of Langan's Phase II ESA was to determine the downgradient extent of TPH impacts in groundwater and to assess the soil proposed for excavation during redevelopment. In April 2016, Langan conducted soil sampling at the Site from a total of 12 soil borings (B-1 through B-12). Grab groundwater samples were collected from two of the borings (B-11 and B-12), to evaluate potential petroleum impacts associated with the former closed-in-place UST located in front of 250 30th Street. All previous sampling locations are shown on Figure 2.

Based on the soil and groundwater sampling conducted in April 2016, and the corresponding analytical results, Langan's Phase II ESA report concluded that low levels of contaminants are present in the subsurface at the Site.

No TPHg, TPHd and TPHmo, VOCs, SVOCs, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), organochlorine pesticides (OCPs), or asbestos were detected above laboratory reporting limits in any of the soil samples analyzed. Of the metals analyzed only lead was detected in one composite sample from borings B-1 and B-2 (beneath the 3020 Broadway building) at elevated levels.

Groundwater samples collected in the area of the closed-in-place UST (B-11) indicate that residual concentrations of TPHg, TPHd, and TPHmo are present. TPHg, TPHd, or TPHmo were not detected in the groundwater sample (B-12) collected from the downgradient area, near 30th and Brook Streets, which suggests that the residual TPH is localized and has not migrated significantly away from the former UST location.

2.4 Request for No Further Action

Langan prepared a *Response to 4 November 2015 Letter and Request for No Further Action* letter for the Site dated 24 October 2016. This letter summarized previous environmental work and compared the existing conditions related to the former abandoned in-place UST to criteria required to achieve regulatory site closure under the RWQCB LTCP. Based on our evaluation, we recommended the 1,000-gallon, abandoned in-place UST be granted NFA.

2.5 November 2016 Geotechnical Investigation and Environmental Sampling

Langan previously prepared geotechnical reports for the Site related to the proposed redevelopment.

In order to obtain more subsurface data for design, Langan advanced four geotechnical borings (B-13 through B-16) in November 2016 to a maximum depth of 46.5 feet bgs. The borings were advanced into groundwater and a groundwater sample was collected from the upgradient portion of the Site from boring B-13. The groundwater sample from boring B-13 was collected to determine if concentration in groundwater were migrating onto the property near Broadway. The groundwater sample was analyzed for TPHg, TPHd, TPHmo and VOCs. No TPHg, TPHd or TPHmo were detected in the groundwater sample. Low levels of chloroform and trichloroethene (TCE) were detected at concentrations of 0.62 and 1.8 micrograms per liter (µg/L), respectively. No other VOCs were detected.

Additionally, a petroleum odor was noted and responses on a photoionization detector (PID) were detected in soil collected from boring B-16, starting at 6.0 feet bgs. Soil samples were collected from boring B-16 at depths of 6.0, 10, and 20.5 feet bgs and submitted for TPHg, TPHd, TPHmo, VOCs, and lead chemical analysis. All sampling locations are shown on Figure 2.

Elevated concentrations of TPHg, TPHd, and TPHmo were detected in soil samples from boring B-16 collected at depths of six and ten feet bgs. Significantly lower concentrations were detected in soil from 20.5 feet bgs, which is above the observed groundwater table. Low concentrations of tetrachloroethene (PCE), TCE and cis-1,2-dichloroethene were also detected in soil, with the highest concentrations in the six and ten foot samples. Analytical results associated with the soil samples from boring B-16 are included as Table 1.

Langan believes that the source of this contamination may be associated with a nearby floor drain and the long historical use as an automobile service facility. The floor drain located within the 260 30th Street Site property is likely connected to the same drain line as the adjacent 250



30th Street property. The approximate location of both floor drains within the 250 and 260 30th Street properties are shown on Figure 2. The laboratory reports associated with the groundwater and soil samples are included as Appendix A. Geotechnical boring logs associated with the above referenced drilling are included as Appendix B.

2.6 Floor Drain Exploration

On 14 November 2016, Langan oversaw exploration activities centered around the existing floor drain located within the northwest portion of the 260 30th Street Site property. Activities included removing portions of the concrete slab and ramp leading to 250 30th Street to reveal the cast iron piping leading away (north) from the floor drain toward the existing Site perimeter wall, and excavating the contents of the exposed drain and some of the surrounding soil material. The exposed drain piping was traced by a private utility locator and found to join the sanitary sewer and water cleanout conduits running east to west, which sloped to the east towards Brook Street. The drain piping was found to be about 1.5 feet below the slab by the private utility locator. The drain sump was found to be constructed of concrete walls and bottom and did not have any visible holes. During our exploratory activities, olfactory observations and PID readings of the material contained in the drain sump indicated low-level contamination. Approximate locations of the floor drain sumps and the drain lines are shown on Figure 2.

The proximity and orientation of the drain and associated piping support the conclusion that the impacts observed in boring B-16 are related to the drain and its associated piping.

3.0 ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING

Langan proposes to conduct additional subsurface sampling near boring B-16 and the floor drain system associated with both 250 and 260 30th Street Site properties. The additional environmental sampling is proposed to confirm that the petroleum impacts observed in boring B-16 are associated with the drain system and will help to evaluate the extent of the impacts. The sampling and analysis of soil vapor samples collected beneath the proposed development excavation depth will help to determine if vapor intrusion is a concern for the Site. Borings are proposed near the drains associated with both the 250 and 260 30th Street properties, along the drain piping, around former boring B-16, and downgradient of the buildings on Brook Street.

The additional sampling is proposed in up to three phases and will primarily focus on soil to be left in place post-construction. Phase two and three may not be completed if data indicate the soil, soil vapor and groundwater impacts are limited. The first two phases of the investigation (if



needed) will be conducted within the proposed building footprint of the Site and will include the collection of soil, soil vapor and groundwater samples from up to eight locations (B-17 through B-24). The first phase of work will include soil and groundwater sampling from borings B-17 through B-20 and the installation of three temporary soil vapor wells (B-18-SV, B-19-SV, and B-20-SV) for the collection of soil vapor samples.

If phase one analytical results indicate soil and/or groundwater concentrations above ESLs, it may be necessary to conduct additional soil and groundwater sampling within the building footprint to further delineate impacts. If required, phase two of our proposed environmental sampling will include the collection of additional soil and/or groundwater samples for chemical analyses from additional borings, B-21 through B-24 (Figure 2).

If analytical results of the first and second phase of our subsurface sampling indicate groundwater concentrations above ESLs, it may be necessary to conduct additional soil and groundwater sampling downgradient and off-Site within Brook Street. If required, this third phase of our proposed investigation will include the collection of soil and groundwater samples for chemical analyses from two additional off-Site locations, B-25 and B-26 (Figure 2).

The proposed sampling locations, shown on Figure 2, were chosen to delineate the petroleum impacts around boring B-16 and any potential contamination associated with the floor drains. Temporary soil vapor well locations are also proposed to assess the potential for vapor intrusion, if any, from the soil proposed to be left in place during development. Soil vapor samples will be collected from beneath the proposed slab elevation of the future development.

3.1 Site Specific Health and Safety Plan

A Site-specific *Health and Safety Plan* has been prepared by Langan as required by the Occupational Health and Safety Administration Standard "Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response" guidelines (29 CFR 1910.120). The Health and Safety Plan will be reviewed and signed by Langan personnel and subcontractors performing work at the Site, prior to conducting field activities.

3.2 **Pre-investigation Tasks**

We will coordinate Site access with all appropriate parties prior to sampling. At least 72 hours prior to all field activities, we will visit the Site to mark out the sample locations and to notify the Underground Service Alert One-Call Notification Center (USA). In addition, we will engage the services of a private utility locator to clear the proposed sample locations for underground



utilities. Langan will also procure the required permits from Alameda County Public Works Agency-Water Resources Department (ACPWA) and/or ACEH for the completion of temporary soil gas monitoring wells and all drilling activities.

3.3 Phase One and Two Proposed Sampling Activities

This section outlines the proposed phase one and two soil, groundwater, and soil vapor sampling activities.

3.3.1 Soil and Groundwater Sampling

Up to eight borings (B-17 through B-24) will be advanced to facilitate soil and groundwater sample collection. Phase one will consist of borings B-17 through B-20 and phase two will consist of borings B-21 through B-24 (if necessary). Approximate boring locations are shown on Figure 2. If phase one borings do not indicate concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons and/or related compounds in excess of applicable ESLs then phase two will not be performed.

Borings will be advanced using a limited access direct-push drill rig operated by Gregg Drilling & Testing, Inc. (Gregg Drilling) of Martinez, California. Borings will be advanced to five feet bgs with a hand auger to clear the location for buried utilities, if necessary, and will be drilled to a maximum depth of 32 feet bgs, depending on field conditions and the depth of groundwater.

Soil materials encountered during drilling activities will be logged in the field by a Langan geologist or engineer following the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). Soils will be examined in the field for evidence of contamination (including visible staining, odors, and/or elevated readings on a PID). Soil samples will be collected at the following approximate depths: 8.0, 10, 15, 20, and 25 feet bgs. Discreet soil samples will be retained starting at eight feet bgs since this is the estimated excavation depth in this area.

Once the boring depth has been achieved, a temporary PVC casing will be placed in the boreholes to facilitate groundwater sampling. Groundwater levels will be measured within the temporary PVC at each location. One grab groundwater sample will be collected from each boring using either a peristaltic pump or a decontaminated or new, disposable bailer.

Soil samples will be collected into acetate liners and sealed with Teflon and plastic end caps. Grab groundwater samples will be collected into laboratory provided bottles and preservative. All samples will be placed on ice in a cooler following collection and shipped under chain-ofcustody (COC) procedures to a State of California-certified analytical laboratory.

To avoid cross contamination, all sampling equipment used during the investigation activities will be thoroughly cleaned between sample locations. All borings will be backfilled with neat cement grout and the surface cover will be restored in accordance with ACPWA requirements.

3.3.2 Soil Vapor Sampling

The soil vapor sampling will be conducted in general accordance with the California Department of Toxic Substances Control's (DTSC) documents titled "Advisory – Active Soil Gas Investigation" dated July 2015 and "Final, Guidance for the Evaluation and Mitigation of Subsurface Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air" dated October 2011. Gregg Drilling will install up to three temporary soil vapor wells, adjacent to proposed borings B-18, B-19, and B-20. The stepout soil vapor borings and samples will be identified as B-18-SV, B-19-SV, and B-20-SV.

3.3.2.1 Temporary Soil Vapor Probe Installation

Temporary soil vapor wells will be installed at an approximate depth of ten feet below the existing slab surface, which corresponds to about one foot below the proposed excavation. To install the temporary soil vapor probe, 1/8-inch diameter disposable Teflon tubing will be threaded onto the top of a 1.5-inch long, 3/8-inch diameter nylon soil vapor screen implant. The assembly will then be placed into the boring. The soil vapor screen implant will be surrounded by approximately one-foot of sand filter pack. A three- to six-inch layer of dry bentonite chips will be placed above the sand filter pack. Hydrated bentonite chips will be placed above the dry bentonite to create a seal around the tubing to prevent ambient air intrusion into the soil vapor sample. The Teflon tubing attached to the soil vapor probe will extend at least two feet above the surface and will be fitted with a sealable sample valve or port at the end. The temporary soil vapor wells will be installed using direct push macrocore technology. The vapor probes will be allowed to equilibrate for a minimum of two hours before sampling. After the equilibration period, shut-in testing and leak testing (using a helium shroud) will be performed at each location prior to purging and sample collection.

Clean, laboratory-supplied one-liter summa canisters will be used for both purging and sample collection along with flow controllers set to a maximum rate of 200 milliliters per minute (mL/min). Following sample collection, summa canisters will be delivered to a State of California certified laboratory.

3.3.2.2 Sampling Train Assembly

The sampling train will be assembled using the following steps:

- 1. The initial vacuum of the summa canister (or equivalent) will be recorded prior to sampling. If the initial vacuum reading is less than 26 inches mercury (Hg), the canister will not be used. In addition, the canister will be inspected for damage and a canister that has visible damage will not be used.
- 2. Following the initial inspection, a dedicated flow controller and vacuum gauge will be attached to the summa canister and sealed with a compression fitting cap (e.g., Swagelok or equivalent).
- 3. The sample port and sampling manifold will be connected using ¼-inch outside diameter (OD) Teflon tubing and stainless steel compression fitting nut and ferrules. The sampling manifold consists of compression fittings with three valves and one pressure gauge to attach the probe tubing to the summa canister.
- 4. A syringe will also be connected to the sampling manifold using ¼-inch OD Teflon tubing and stainless steel compression fitting nut and ferrules.
- 5. The assembled summa canister, flow controller, and pressure gauge shall be connected to the sampling manifold using stainless steel compression fitting nut and ferrules.

3.3.2.3 Shut-in Test

Prior to soil vapor purging and sample collection, a shut-in test will be performed to check for leaks in the aboveground sampling train assembly:

- 1. The valve that connects the soil vapor probe to the sampling manifold will be closed and the valve that connects to the summa canister will be closed.
- 2. The syringe will then be pulled to empty air from the manifold.
- 3. A leak-free system will be evident by observing no loss of vacuum within the sampling manifold system. Noted leaks will be repaired prior to sample collection by checking and tightening the compression fittings on the manifold. The manifold will then be re-checked to make sure it passes the physical leak check before proceeding.

3.3.2.4 Leak Check

Helium will be used as a leak-check tracer gas around the Teflon tubing during sampling as a quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) measure to confirm the sample integrity. The leak check will be conducted using the following steps:

- 1. The helium shroud is placed over the soil vapor probe at ground surface, along with the entire sampling train (sampling manifold, pump, and sampling canister).
- 2. A minimum helium atmosphere of ten percent will be induced within the shroud. The atmosphere within the shroud will be monitored using the Dielectric MGD 2002 instrument (or equivalent), inserted through a small aperture in the shroud. Following the three-volume purge, a small aliquot of soil vapor will be collected into the syringe for helium screening.
- 3. If helium is detected in the aliquot of purged soil vapor at a concentration less than five percent of the atmosphere induced under the shroud during the purge (e.g., if the helium concentration under the shroud is ten percent, the purged soil vapor should contain less than 0.5 percent helium), the sample flow train integrity will be considered adequate and within an acceptable range (DTSC, 2016).
- 4. The leak check test is performed during purging and sample collection at each soil vapor sampling location.

3.3.2.5 Sample Collection Methodology

Langan will collect one soil vapor sample from each temporary well. According to DTSC guidelines, if soil vapor wells are installed via hand augering then soil vapor samples will be collected after withdrawing three purge volumes and at least 48 hours after installation of the temporary soil vapor monitoring wells. If soil vapor wells are installed via direct push then soil vapor samples will be collected after withdrawing three purge volumes and at least two hours after installation of the temporary soil vapor monitoring wells. The samples will be collected in a one-liter Summa canister, following protocols:

- 1. Before collecting the sample, confirm that the sampling system values are set as follows: 1) the syringe value is confirmed to be closed, 2) the soil vapor probe value is open, and 3) the summa canister value is open.
- 2. Helium will be reintroduced into the shroud and be allowed to stabilize until at least a ten percent helium concentration has been reached.



- 3. Upon reaching a stable helium concentration, the summa canister inlet valve will be slowly opened (counter-clockwise) one full turn to begin sample collection at approximately 200 mL/min. During the sample collection, the helium concentration will be monitored using a Dielectric MGD 2002 helium detector and the approximate average concentration will be recorded on the sample field data sheet.
- 4. The start time and initial vacuum reading from the vacuum gauge will be recorded on the sample label, chain of custody records, and on the field log, along with the summa canister and flow controller identifications.
- 5. The valve will remain open until the final vacuum reading on the vacuum gauge on the summa canister is between two to four inches Hg. It is important to leave two to four inches of vacuum remaining in the summa canister so the receiving analytical laboratory can verify that the sample was not compromised during shipment.
- 6. The valve on the summa canister will be closed clockwise until it is finger-tight.
- 7. Turn off the helium and close the valve at the soil vapor probe tubing.
- 8. The stop time and final vacuum reading will be recorded on the sample label, chain of custody record, and on the field log. The sampling information on the chain of custody records will be completed and checked against the sample labels and field log.
- 9. The summa canister will be removed from the sampling manifold and placed in the laboratory-supplied cardboard boxes.

The soil vapor samples will be submitted under chain of custody protocol to a State of California-certified analytical laboratory.

3.3.2.6 Temporary Soil Gas Well Decommissioning

After soil vapor sampling is completed, the temporary soil vapor wells will be abandoned by removing the tubing assembly and sand pack from the temporary soil vapor well location and the borehole will be grouted.

3.4 Phase Three Proposed Sampling Activities (if necessary)

This section briefly outlines the proposed phase two soil and groundwater sampling activities, if necessary. The phase two investigation will be conducted if groundwater concentrations collected during phase one exceed applicable RWQCB ESLs.

3.4.1 Soil and Groundwater Sampling

Two additional off-Site borings (B-25 and B-26) will be advanced to facilitate soil and groundwater sample collection. The borings will be located within the Brook Street right-of-way, which is located off-Site and downgradient of the previous borings associated with phase one (Figure 2). The borings will be advanced using a truck-mounted direct-push drill rig operated by Gregg Drilling. Borings will be advanced to five feet bgs with a hand auger to clear the location for buried utilities, if necessary, and will be drilled to a maximum depth of 30 feet bgs, depending on the depth of groundwater.

Soil materials encountered during drilling activities will be logged in the field by a Langan geologist or engineer following the USCS. Soils will be examined in the field for evidence of contamination (including visible staining, odors, and/or elevated readings on a PID). Soil samples will be collected at the following approximate depths: 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 feet bgs. Once the boring depth has been achieved, a temporary PVC casing will be placed in the boreholes to facilitate grab groundwater sampling. Groundwater levels will be measured within the temporary PVC at each location. One grab groundwater sample will be collected from each boring using either a peristaltic pump or a decontaminated stainless steel bailer.

Soil samples will be collected into acetate liners and sealed with Teflon and plastic end caps. Grab groundwater samples will be collected into laboratory provided bottles and preservative. All samples will be placed on ice in a cooler following collection and shipped under COC procedures to a State of California-certified analytical laboratory in Pittsburgh, California.

To avoid cross contamination, all sampling equipment used during the investigation activities will be thoroughly cleaned between sample locations. All borings will be backfilled with neat cement grout and the surface cover will be restored in accordance with ACPWA requirements.

3.5 Laboratory Analyses

We anticipate analyzing up to three soil samples per boring, based on field observations. The soil samples will be submitted for the following analyses on a standard turnaround time.

- TPHg, TPHd, and TPHmo by EPA Method 8015;
- VOCs by EPA Method 8260; and
- PAHs by EPA Method 8270.

Additionally, no more than one soil sample from each of the proposed borings will be submitted for the following analysis, for waste characterization purposes:

• California Assessment Manual (CAM) 17 Metals by EPA Method 6020.

The groundwater samples will be submitted for the following analyses on a standard turnaround time.

- TPHg, TPHd, and TPHmo by EPA Method 8015;
- VOCs by EPA Method 8260; and
- PAHs by EPA Method 8270.

The soil vapor samples will be submitted for the following analyses on a standard turnaround time.

- VOCs by EPA Method TO-15;
- Methane by ASTM D-1946; and
- Helium by ASTM D-1946.

3.6 Sample Identification

Sample nomenclature shall be assigned, as follows:

- Soil samples shall be identified by boring location and bottom depth of sample (i.e. a sample collected at boring location B-17 at a depth of 7.0 to 7.5 feet bgs will be labeled as B-17-7.5).
- Groundwater samples shall be identified by boring location (i.e. B-17-GW).
- Soil vapor samples shall be sequentially identified by step out boring/temporary soil vapor well location (i.e. B-19-SV).

3.7 Field Documentation

Field activity logs will be completed for each Site visit. Field activity logs shall identify the following: Site name and address, date and time on-Site, on-Site field personnel, general

weather conditions, purpose of Site visit, a summary of field activities, and any other important details.

3.8 Chain of Custody

Samples will be collected and transported to the analytical laboratory following chain of custody (COC) procedures. The COC documents the identity and integrity of the sample from the time of collection through receipt at the laboratory. The COC will be completed as samples are collected, and will include the following information: sample ID, date of sample collection, time of sample collection, sample type, and sampler name(s). Additionally, the starting and ending pressures for the summa canisters will be noted on the COC form for the soil vapor samples.

3.9 Sample Packing and Shipping

Samples will be packed in boxes and transported, by shipment or courier, to the respective certified analytical laboratories. Each sample will be individually labeled and will be accompanied by the COC. All samples will be transported to the respective analytical laboratories after sample collection. The COC will be signed by the sampler and relinquished to the sample custodian.

3.10 Investigation Derived Waste

Investigation derived waste including soil cuttings, used sampling equipment and decontamination rinsate will be placed in 55-gallon drums, sealed and labeled. The drums will be stored on-Site, pending analytical profiling and proper disposal.

4.0 DATA EVALUATION AND REPORTING

Upon the completion of the field activities and analytical testing, Langan will prepare a technical report summarizing our field activities, sampling methods, analytical results and recommendations. The report will compare the analytical results to ESLs and describe the nature and extent of petroleum compounds. Based on the results of our environmental sampling and the proposed redevelopment plan, we will determine if any additional environmental mitigation measures are needed.

5.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE

We are requesting your review and approval of this Work Plan for completion of field activities that are anticipated to require up to four days. The phase one is expected to take two days and is scheduled to begin on 25 January 2016. If required, the phase two is expected to take an



additional day to complete, but would be conducted subsequent to the completed phase one, including the assessment of the analytical data, and only if deemed necessary. Phase three would be conducted following a review of phase two data and is expected to take one day of field work. Laboratory analyses are expected to be completed within one week after sample collection. The complete technical report is anticipated to be complete within four weeks of receipt of all laboratory analytical data.

REFERENCES

Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), *Final Guidance for the Evaluation and Mitigation of Subsurface Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air (Vapor Intrusion Guidance)* dated October 2011.

DTSC, Advisory – Active Soil Gas Investigations dated July 2015.

Faultline Associates, Inc., Underground Storage Tank Closure Report, 260 30th Street, Oakland, California dated 22 September 1997.

P & D Environmental, Inc., *Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report, 260 30th Street, Oakland, California* dated 15 October 2014.

Langan Treadwell Rollo, *Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, 3000 and 3020 Broadway; 3007 and 3009 Brook Street; and 250, 260, and 288 30th Street, Oakland, California* dated 25 April 2016.

Langan Treadwell Rollo, *Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, 3000 and 3020 Broadway, and 250, 260 and 288 30th Street, Oakland, California* dated 27 April 2016.

TABLE

Table 1 Boring B-16 Soil Analytical Results 260 30th Street Oakland, California

		Data	TDHa	трца	TDUmo	VOCs ¹			Lood
Boring	Depth	Sampled	тгпу	IFNU	тепшо	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	Leau
		Gampiou		(mg/kg)					
B-16	6	11/7/16	810	2900	6100	2	< 0.2	< 0.2	6.9
B-16	10	11/7/16	460	1600	3600	0.059	0.29	0.29	5.4
B-16	20.5	11/7/16	15	46	100	0.013	0.017	< 0.005	7.3
Tier 1 ESL			100	230	5,100	0.42	0.46	0.19	80
Residential ESL (Direct Shallow Soil Exposure)			740	230	11,000	0.6	1.2	19	80
Commercial ESL (Direct Shallow Soil Exposure)			3,900	1100	140,000	2.7	8	90	320
Commercial E	SL (Construction \	2,800	880	32,000	33	23	82	160	
Leaching to 0	Groundwater ESL	770	570		0.42	0.46	0.19		

Notes:

1 - Low concentrations (less than 1.5 mg/kg) of n-butyl benzene, sec-butyl benzene, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, naphthalene, n-propyl benzene, 1,1,1,2tetrachloroethane, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, and xylenes were also detected for complete listing of detected VOCs refer to lab report.

mg/kg - Milligrams per kilogram

TPHg - Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline, EPA Method 8015B

TPHd - Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel Range, EPA Method 8015B

TPHmo - Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Motor Oil, EPA Method 8015B

VOCs - Volatile Organic Compounds, EPA Method 8260

< 1.0 - Analyte was not detected above the laboratory reporting limit (1.0 mg/kg)

ND - Not detected at or above the laboratory reporting limit

--- Not Applicable or criteria not established

ESL - San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, Environmental Screening Level

Table 2 Sampling Plan 260 30th Street

Boring ID	Rationale	Approximate Sample Depth ¹ (ft below top of slab)	Sample ID	Media	Analytical Suite	
Phase One		• •		I		
		8	B-17-8			
	The state in sector if	10	B-17-10		TPH a d and ma VOCa and	
D 17	To evaluate impacts, if	15	B-17-15	Soil		
D-17	any, from floor drain at	20	B-17-20		T ALIS	
	250 Solit Sheet	25	B-17-25			
		26-36	B-17-GW	Water	TPH-g, -d, -mo, VOCs, PAHs	
		8	B-18-8			
		10	B-18-10		TPH-g -d and -mo VOCs and	
	To evaluate impacts, if	15	B-18-15	Soil	PAHs	
B-18	any, from floor drain at	20	B-18-20			
	260 30th street	25	B-18-25			
		26-36	B-18-GVV	VVater	IPH-g, -d, -mo, VOCs, PAHs	
		10	B-18-SV	Soil Vapor	VOCs, Methane, and Helium	
		8	B-19-8			
	To evaluate impacts if	10	B-19-10		TPH-g, -d, and -mo, VOCs, and	
	any from floor drain	15	B-19-15	Soil	PAHs	
B-19	piping along property	20	B-19-20			
	boundary	25	B-19-25			
		26-36	B-19-GW	Water	TPH-g, -d, -mo, VOCs, PAHs	
		10	B-19-SV	Soil Vapor	VOCs, Methane, and Helium	
		8	B-20-8			
		10	B-20-10		TPH a d and ma VOCs and	
	To evaluate the extent of	15	B-20-15	Soil		
B-20	impacts documented	20	B-20-20		T ANS	
	previously at B-16	25	B-20-25			
		26-36	B-20-GW	Water	TPH-g, -d, -mo, VOCs, PAHs	
		10	B-20-SV	Soil Vapor	VOCs, Methane, and Helium	
Phase Two (if necessary	()				·	
		8	B-21-8	Soil		
	To evaluate impacts, if	10	B-21-10		TPH-g -d and -mo VOCs and	
B-21	any, from floor drain	15	B-21-15		PAHs	
	piping along property	20	B-21-20			
	boundary	25	B-21-25	\\/otor		
		8	B-22-8	vvaler	TFH-g, -u, -mo, vocs, fans	
	To evolute the evitent of	10	B-22-10	Soil		
P 22	impacts desumented	15	B-22-15		IPH-g, -d, and -mo, VOCs, and	
D-22	nreviously at B-16	20	B-22-20		FARS	
	previously at D-10	25	B-22-25			
		26-36	B-22-GW	Water	IPH-g, -d, -mo, VOCs, PAHs	
	To evaluate the extent of impacts documented previously at B-16	8 10	B-23-8 B-23-10			
5.00		15	B-23-15	Soil	TPH-g, -d, and -mo, VOCs, and	
B-23		20	B-23-20		PAHs	
		25	B-23-25			
		26-36	B-23-GW	Water	TPH-g, -d, -mo, VOCs, PAHs	
		8	B-24-8			
	To evaluate the extent of	10	B-24-10 B-2/L-15	Soil	TPH-g, -d, and -mo, VOCs, and	
B-24	impacts documented	20	B-24-20	0011	PAHs	
	previously at B-16	25	B-24-25			
		26-36	B-24-GW	Water	TPH-g, -d, -mo, VOCs, PAHs	
Phase Three (if necessa	ry)	0			1	
		<u>ک</u> ۱۵	B-25-8	Soil		
	To evaluate off-site	15	B-25-10 B-25-15		TPH-g, -d, and -mo, VOCs, and	
В-25	impacts to groundwater, if any	20	B-25-20		PAHs	
		25	B-25-25	<u> </u>		
		26-36	B-25-GW	Water	TPH-g, -d, -mo, VOCs, PAHs	
		8	B-26-8			
	To evaluate off-site impacts to groundwater, if any	10	B 26 15	Soil	TPH-g, -d, and -mo, VOCs, and PAHs	
B-26		20	B-26-10	5011		
		25	B-26-25			
		26-36	B-26-GW	Water	TPH-g, -d, -mo, VOCs, PAHs	

Notes:

1 - Sample depths and number are approximate and will be determined in the field based on observations. Up to three soil samples will be analyzed at each boring location.

Laboratory methods for each analysis are listed in the Section 3.5 of the report.

An additional composite sample will be collected for waste disposal purposes, which is not listed on this table.

<u>Acronyms</u>

TPHg - Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline, EPA Method 8015B TPHd - Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel Range, EPA Method 8015B TPHmo - Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Motor Oil, EPA Method 8015B VOCs - Volatile Organic Compounds, EPA Method 8260 for soil and groundwater and EPA Method TO-15 for soil vapor PAHs - Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons, EPA Method 8270 CAM 17 - California Assessment Manual 17 Metals, EPA Method 6020



FIGURES













EXPLANATION

B-11 🖲	Approximate location of boring by Langan Treadwell Rollo, April 2016
в1 -ф-	Approximate location of boring by P&D Environmental, Inc., September 2014
B-1 🌑	Approximate location of boring by Trinity, 1999 and 2006
	Approximate location of abandoned in-place 1,000-gallon waste oil UST, March 1997
	Approximate location of former USTs (350-gallon gasoline and 1,000-gallon diesel), removed in July 1992
	Approximate location of former 1,500-gallon heating oil UST, removed in August 1997
	Approximate location of former 8,000-gallon gasoline USTs, removed in December 1995
	Site Boundary
Notes: 1. Conc 2. Total and mo	entrations in micrograms per liter (µg/L) Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline, diesel tor oil (TPH-gdmo).

3. San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board Environmental Screening Levels, (February 2016)

Compound	Human Health Risk Based Only	Fresh Water Ecotox	Gross Contamination Levels (GW-4)	Odor Nuisance Levels (Table GW-5)		
				Drinking Water	Non-Drinking Water	
TPH gasoline	221	443	50,000	100	5,000	
TPH diesel	147	640	2,500	100	5,000	
TPH motor oil	147 1	1	50,000	100 1	5000 1	

1 - TPH motor oil is not soluble. TPH motor oil detections in water most likely are petroleum degradates or less likely NAPL. If the detections are degradates, add TPH motor oil and TPH diesel results and compare to TPH diesel criterion. See User's Guide Chapter 9 for further information.

3000 AND 3020 BROADWAY; AND 250, 260, AND 288 30TH STREET Oakland, California

SITE PLAN WITH BORING LOCATIONS AND
TPH ANALYTICAL RESULTS IN GROUNDWATERDate 12/28/16Project No. 750635602Figure 4

APPENDIX A LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS


McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Quality Counts"

Analytical Report

WorkOrder: 1611295

Report Created for: Langan

501 14th Street, 3rd Floor Oakland, CA 94612

Josh Graber
750635601; 3000 Broadway

Project Received: 11/07/2016

Analytical Report reviewed & approved for release on 11/10/2016 by:

Angela Rydelius, Laboratory Manager

The report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The analytical results relate only to the items tested. Results reported conform to the most current NELAP standards, where applicable, unless otherwise stated in the case narrative.



1534 Willow Pass Rd. Pittsburg, CA 94565 TEL: (877) 252-9262 FAX: (925) 252-9269 www.mccampbell.com

CA ELAP 1644 ♦ NELAP 4033ORELAP



Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions

Client:	Langan
Project:	750635601; 3000 Broadway
WorkOrder:	1611295

Glossary Abbreviation

Serial Dilution Percent Difference
95% Confident Interval
Dilution Factor
(DISTLC) Waste Extraction Test using DI water
Dissolved (direct analysis of 0.45 µm filtered and acidified water sample)
Dilution Test (Serial Dilution)
Duplicate
Estimated Detection Limit
International Toxicity Equivalence Factor
Laboratory Control Sample
Method Blank
% Recovery of Surrogate in Method Blank, if applicable
Method Detection Limit
Minimum Level of Quantitation
Matrix Spike
Matrix Spike Duplicate
Not Applicable
Not detected at or above the indicated MDL or RL
Data Not Reported due to matrix interference or insufficient sample amount.
Post Digestion Spike
Post Digestion Spike Duplicate
Prep Factor
Relative Difference
Reporting Limit (The RL is the lowest calibration standard in a multipoint calibration.)
Relative Percent Deviation
Relative Retention Time
Spike Value
Spike Reference Value
Synthetic Precipitation Leachate Procedure
Sorbent Tube
Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure
Toxicity Equivalents
Waste Extraction Test (Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration)



Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions

Client:LanganProject:750635601; 3000 BroadwayWorkOrder:1611295

Analytical Qualifiers

S	surrogate spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits
c2	surrogate recovery outside of the control limits due to matrix interference.
d7	strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant in the TPH(g) chromatogram
e2	diesel range compounds are significant; no recognizable pattern
e4	gasoline range compounds are significant.
e7	oil range compounds are significant
e11	stoddard solvent/mineral spirit (?)

Quality Control Qualifiers

F10

MS/MSD outside control limits. Physical or chemical interferences exist due to sample matrix.



 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/7/16 15:00

 Date Prepared:
 11/7/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg

Volatile Organics					
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date C	Collected Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-6	1611295-001A	Soil	11/03/2	016 GC18	129370
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Acetone	ND		4.0	40	11/08/2016 17:37
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Benzene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Bromobenzene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Bromochloromethane	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Bromodichloromethane	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Bromoform	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Bromomethane	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND		0.80	40	11/08/2016 17:37
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND		2.0	40	11/08/2016 17:37
n-Butyl benzene	0.35		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
sec-Butyl benzene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
tert-Butyl benzene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Carbon Disulfide	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Chlorobenzene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Chloroethane	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Chloroform	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Chloromethane	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
2-Chlorotoluene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
4-Chlorotoluene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Dibromochloromethane	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND		0.16	40	11/08/2016 17:37
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		0.16	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Dibromomethane	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.53		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37

0.20

0.20

0.16

0.20

0.20

0.20

0.20

0.20

0.20

40

40

40

40

40

40

40

40

40

(Cont.) NELAP 4033ORELAP

Dichlorodifluoromethane

1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)

1,1-Dichloroethane

1,1-Dichloroethene

cis-1,2-Dichloroethene trans-1,2-Dichloroethene

1,2-Dichloropropane

1,3-Dichloropropane 2,2-Dichloropropane ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND



11/08/2016 17:37

11/08/2016 17:37

11/08/2016 17:37

11/08/2016 17:37

11/08/2016 17:37

11/08/2016 17:37

11/08/2016 17:37

11/08/2016 17:37

11/08/2016 17:37



Analytical Report

Client: Langan Date Received: 11/7/16 15:00 **Date Prepared:** 11/7/16 **Project:** 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg

Volatile Organics					
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date C	ollected Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-6	1611295-001A	Soil	11/03/20	016 GC18	129370
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Freon 113	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Hexachloroethane	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
2-Hexanone	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Isopropylbenzene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
4-Isopropyl toluene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Methylene chloride	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Naphthalene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
n-Propyl benzene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Styrene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.35		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Tetrachloroethene	2.0		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Toluene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37
Trichloroethene	ND		0.20	40	11/08/2016 17:37

0.20

0.20

0.20

0.20

0.20

0.20

40

40

40

40

40

40

Trichlorofluoromethane

1,2,3-Trichloropropane

1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene

1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene

Vinyl Chloride

Xylenes, Total

ND

ND

ND

ND

1.4

0.44



11/08/2016 17:37

11/08/2016 17:37

11/08/2016 17:37

11/08/2016 17:37

11/08/2016 17:37

11/08/2016 17:37



 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/7/16 15:00

 Date Prepared:
 11/7/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg

Volatile Organics					
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collecte	d Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-6	1611295-001A	Soil	11/03/2016	GC18	129370
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u> DF		Date Analyzed
<u>Surrogates</u>	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
Dibromofluoromethane	115		70-130		11/08/2016 17:37
Toluene-d8	85		70-130		11/08/2016 17:37
4-BFB	113		70-130		11/08/2016 17:37
Benzene-d6	74		60-140		11/08/2016 17:37
Ethylbenzene-d10	76		60-140		11/08/2016 17:37
1,2-DCB-d4	136		60-140		11/08/2016 17:37
Analyst(s): MW					





 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/7/16 15:00

 Date Prepared:
 11/7/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg

Volatile Organics					
Client ID	Lab ID Mat	rix Date Collected Instrument	Batch ID		
B-16-10	1611295-002A Soil	11/03/2016 GC18	129370		
Analytes	<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed		
Acetone	ND	0.67 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
Benzene	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
Bromobenzene	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
Bromoform	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
Bromomethane	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND	0.13 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	0.33 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
n-Butyl benzene	0.17	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
sec-Butyl benzene	0.072	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
tert-Butyl benzene	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
Carbon Disulfide	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
Chloroethane	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
Chloroform	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
Chloromethane	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	0.027 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	0.027 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
Dibromomethane	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.37	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	0.027 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.29	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.033 6.7	11/08/2016 21:33		

0.033

0.033

0.033

6.7

6.7

6.7

1,2-Dichloropropane

1,3-Dichloropropane

2,2-Dichloropropane

ND

ND

ND

11/08/2016 21:33

11/08/2016 21:33

11/08/2016 21:33



 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/7/16 15:00

 Date Prepared:
 11/7/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg

Volatile Organics					
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Co	ollected Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-10	1611295-002A	Soil	11/03/20	16 GC18	129370
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Freon 113	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Hexachloroethane	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
2-Hexanone	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Isopropylbenzene	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
4-Isopropyl toluene	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Methylene chloride	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Naphthalene	0.15		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
n-Propyl benzene	0.068		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Styrene	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Tetrachloroethene	0.059		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Toluene	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Trichloroethene	0.29		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.77		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.26		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Vinyl Chloride	ND		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33
Xylenes, Total	0.15		0.033	6.7	11/08/2016 21:33





 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/7/16 15:00

 Date Prepared:
 11/7/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg

Volatile Organics					
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	l Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-10	1611295-002A	Soil	11/03/2016	GC18	129370
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>		Date Analyzed
<u>Surrogates</u>	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
Dibromofluoromethane	112		70-130		11/08/2016 21:33
Toluene-d8	91		70-130		11/08/2016 21:33
4-BFB	101		70-130		11/08/2016 21:33
Benzene-d6	75		60-140		11/08/2016 21:33
Ethylbenzene-d10	79		60-140		11/08/2016 21:33
1,2-DCB-d4	123		60-140		11/08/2016 21:33
Analyst(s): MW					





Client: Langan Date Received: 11/7/16 15:00 **Date Prepared:** 11/7/16 **Project:** 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg

Volatile Organics

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Co	ollected Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-20.5	1611295-003A	Soil	11/03/201	16 GC18	129370
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Acetone	ND		0.10	1	11/08/2016 20:54
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
Benzene	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
Bromobenzene	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
Bromochloromethane	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
Bromodichloromethane	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
Bromoform	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
Bromomethane	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND		0.020	1	11/08/2016 20:54
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND		0.050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
n-Butyl benzene	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
sec-Butyl benzene	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
tert-Butyl benzene	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
Carbon Disulfide	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
Chlorobenzene	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
Chloroethane	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
Chloroform	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
Chloromethane	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
2-Chlorotoluene	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
4-Chlorotoluene	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
Dibromochloromethane	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND		0.0040	1	11/08/2016 20:54
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		0.0040	1	11/08/2016 20:54
Dibromomethane	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND		0.0040	1	11/08/2016 20:54
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54
2.2-Dichloropropane	ND		0.0050	1	11/08/2016 20:54

(Cont.) NELAP 4033ORELAP



Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager



 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/7/16 15:00

 Date Prepared:
 11/7/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg

Volatile Organics

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Co	llected	Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-20.5	1611295-003A	Soil	11/03/201	6	GC18	129370
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u>	DF		Date Analyzed
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Freon 113	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Hexachloroethane	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
2-Hexanone	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Isopropylbenzene	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
4-Isopropyl toluene	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Methylene chloride	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Naphthalene	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
n-Propyl benzene	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Styrene	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Tetrachloroethene	0.013		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Toluene	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Trichloroethene	0.017		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.012		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Vinyl Chloride	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54
Xylenes, Total	ND		0.0050	1		11/08/2016 20:54



Client:	Langan
Date Received:	11/7/16 15:00
Date Prepared:	11/7/16
Project:	750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Colle	ected Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-20.5	1611295-003A	Soil	11/03/2016	GC18	129370
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
Dibromofluoromethane	110		70-130		11/08/2016 20:54
Toluene-d8	94		70-130		11/08/2016 20:54
4-BFB	118		70-130		11/08/2016 20:54
Benzene-d6	76		60-140		11/08/2016 20:54
Ethylbenzene-d10	91		60-140		11/08/2016 20:54
1,2-DCB-d4	76		60-140		11/08/2016 20:54



 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/7/16 15:00

 Date Prepared:
 11/8/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW3550B
Analytical Method:	SW8270C-SIM
Unit:	mg/kg

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs / PNAs) using SIM Mode

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Colle	ected Instrumer	nt Batch ID
B-16-6	1611295-001A	Soil	11/03/2016	GC35	129457
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		RL	DF	Date Analyzed
Acenaphthene	ND		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
Anthracene	ND		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
Benzo (a) anthracene	0.13		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
Benzo (a) pyrene	ND		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	ND		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	ND		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	ND		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
Chrysene	ND		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	ND		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
Fluoranthene	ND		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
Fluorene	0.13		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	ND		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.21		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.26		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
Naphthalene	0.22		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
Phenanthrene	0.38		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
Pyrene	0.14		0.10	10	11/10/2016 12:27
<u>Surrogates</u>	<u>REC (%)</u>		Limits		
1-Fluoronaphthalene	110		30-130		11/10/2016 12:27
2-Fluorobiphenyl	86		30-130		11/10/2016 12:27
Analyst(s): REB					



 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/7/16 15:00

 Date Prepared:
 11/8/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW3550B
Analytical Method:	SW8270C-SIM
Unit:	mg/kg

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs / PNAs) using SIM Mode

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Colle	ected Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-10	1611295-002A	Soil	11/03/2016	GC35	129457
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Acenaphthene	ND		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Anthracene	ND		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Benzo (a) anthracene	0.10		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Benzo (a) pyrene	ND		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	ND		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	ND		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	ND		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Chrysene	ND		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	ND		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Fluoranthene	ND		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Fluorene	ND		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	ND		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.13		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.19		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Naphthalene	ND		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Phenanthrene	0.16		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Pyrene	ND		0.10	10	11/09/2016 17:37
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1-Fluoronaphthalene	93		30-130		11/09/2016 17:37
2-Fluorobiphenyl	90		30-130		11/09/2016 17:37
Analyst(s): REB					



 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/7/16 15:00

 Date Prepared:
 11/8/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW3550B
Analytical Method:	SW8270C-SIM
Unit:	mg/kg

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs / PNAs) using SIM Mode

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Co	llected	Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-20.5	1611295-003A	Soil	11/03/201	6	GC35	129457
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF		Date Analyzed
Acenaphthene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Anthracene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Benzo (a) anthracene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Benzo (a) pyrene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Chrysene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Fluoranthene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Fluorene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Naphthalene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Phenanthrene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Pyrene	ND		0.010	1		11/09/2016 18:02
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>			
1-Fluoronaphthalene	89		30-130			11/09/2016 18:02
2-Fluorobiphenyl	88		30-130			11/09/2016 18:02
Analyst(s): REB						



82

Analytical Report

 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/7/16 15:00

 Date Prepared:
 11/7/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8021B/8015Bm
Unit:	mg/Kg

Gasoline Range (C6-C12) Volatile Hydrocarbons as Gasoline with BTEX and MTBE

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-6	1611295-001A	Soil	11/03/2016 GC7	129401
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
TPH(g) (C6-C12)	810		50 50	11/08/2016 01:05
MTBE			2.5 50	11/08/2016 01:05
Benzene			0.25 50	11/08/2016 01:05
Toluene			0.25 50	11/08/2016 01:05
Ethylbenzene			0.25 50	11/08/2016 01:05
Xylenes			0.75 50	11/08/2016 01:05
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		Limits	
2-Fluorotoluene	87		69-117	11/08/2016 01:05
<u>Analyst(s):</u> IA			Analytical Comments: d7	
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-10	1611295-002A	Soil	11/03/2016 GC7	129401
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u> DF	Date Analyzed
TPH(g) (C6-C12)	460		50 50	11/08/2016 01:35
MTBE			2.5 50	11/08/2016 01:35
Benzene			0.25 50	11/08/2016 01:35
Toluene			0.25 50	11/08/2016 01:35
Ethylbenzene			0.25 50	11/08/2016 01:35
Xylenes			0.75 50	11/08/2016 01:35
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		Limits	

69-117

Analytical Comments: d7

2-Fluorotoluene

Analyst(s): IA

11/08/2016 01:35



 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/7/16 15:00

 Date Prepared:
 11/7/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8021B/8015Bm
Unit:	mg/Kg

Gasoline Range (C6-C12) Volatile Hydrocarbons as Gasoline with BTEX and MTBE

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Co	llected Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-20.5	1611295-003A	Soil	11/03/201	6 GC7	129401
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
TPH(g) (C6-C12)	15		1.0	1	11/08/2016 03:34
MTBE			0.050	1	11/08/2016 03:34
Benzene			0.0050	1	11/08/2016 03:34
Toluene			0.0050	1	11/08/2016 03:34
Ethylbenzene			0.0050	1	11/08/2016 03:34
Xylenes			0.015	1	11/08/2016 03:34
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
2-Fluorotoluene	95		69-117		11/08/2016 03:34
<u>Analyst(s):</u> IA			Analytical Comm	nents: d7	



 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/7/16 15:00

 Date Prepared:
 11/7/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW3050B
Analytical Method:	SW6020
Unit:	mg/Kg

LUFT 5 Metals						
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Co	ollected	Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-6	1611295-001A	Soil	11/03/20	16	ICP-MS3	129385
<u>Analytes</u>	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF		Date Analyzed
Cadmium	ND		0.25	1		11/08/2016 17:41
Chromium	42		0.50	1		11/08/2016 17:41
Lead	6.9		0.50	1		11/08/2016 17:41
Nickel	50		0.50	1		11/08/2016 17:41
Zinc	39		5.0	1		11/08/2016 17:41
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>			
Terbium	107		70-130			11/08/2016 17:41
<u>Analyst(s):</u> MIG						
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Co	ollected	Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-10	1611295-002A	Soil	11/03/20	16	ICP-MS3	129406
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>		Date Analyzed
Cadmium	ND		0.25	1		11/08/2016 17:47
Chromium	31		0.50	1		11/08/2016 17:47
Lead	5.4		0.50	1		11/08/2016 17:47
Nickel	37		0.50	1		11/08/2016 17:47
Zinc	37		5.0	1		11/08/2016 17:47
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>			
Terbium	103		70-130			11/08/2016 17:47
<u>Analyst(s):</u> MIG						
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Co	ollected	Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-20.5	1611295-003A	Soil	11/03/20	16	ICP-MS3	129406
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u>	DF		Date Analyzed
Cadmium	ND		0.25	1		11/08/2016 17:53
Chromium	46		0.50	1		11/08/2016 17:53
Lead	7.3		0.50	1		11/08/2016 17:53
Nickel	46		0.50	1		11/08/2016 17:53
Zinc	48		5.0	1		11/08/2016 17:53
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>			
Terbium	102		70-130			11/08/2016 17:53
Analyst(s): MIG						



 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/7/16 15:00

 Date Prepared:
 11/7/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
Extraction Method:	SW3550B
Analytical Method:	SW8015B
Unit:	mg/Kg

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collect	ed Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-6	1611295-001A	Soil	11/03/2016	GC11A	129400
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>		Date Analyzed
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	2900		200 200	l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	11/08/2016 14:02
TPH-Motor Oil (C18-C36)	6100		1000 200		11/08/2016 14:02
<u>Surrogates</u>	<u>REC (%)</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	Limits		
C9	173	S	72-114		11/08/2016 14:02
Analyst(s): TK			Analytical Comments:	e7,e2,e11.c2	
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collect	ed Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-10	1611295-002A	Soil	11/03/2016	GC11A	129400
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>		Date Analyzed
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	1600		200 200	I	11/08/2016 15:21
TPH-Motor Oil (C18-C36)	3600		1000 200		11/08/2016 15:21
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	Limits		
C9	136	S	72-114		11/08/2016 15:21
Analyst(s): TK			Analytical Comments:	e7,e2,e11,c2	
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collect	ed Instrument	Batch ID
B-16-20.5	1611295-003A	Soil	11/03/2016	GC11A	129400
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u> DF		Date Analyzed
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	46		10 10		11/08/2016 16:39
TPH-Motor Oil (C18-C36)	100		50 10		11/08/2016 16:39
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
C9	101		72-114		11/08/2016 16:39
<u>Analyst(s):</u> TK			Analytical Comments:	e7,e2,e4	



Client:	Langan
Date Prepared:	11/7/16
Date Analyzed:	11/7/16
Instrument:	GC10
Matrix:	Soil
Project:	750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
BatchID:	129370
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-129370
-	1611280-003AMS/MSD

QC Summary Report for SW8260B

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Acetone	ND	-	0.10	-	-	-	-
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	0.0422	0.0050	0.050	-	84	53-116
Benzene	ND	0.0496	0.0050	0.050	-	99	63-137
Bromobenzene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Bromochloromethane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Bromodichloromethane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Bromoform	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Bromomethane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND	-	0.020	-	-	-	-
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	0.180	0.050	0.20	-	90	41-135
n-Butyl benzene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
sec-Butyl benzene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
tert-Butyl benzene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Carbon Disulfide	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.0473	0.0050	0.050	-	95	77-121
Chloroethane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Chloroform	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Chloromethane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Dibromochloromethane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	-	0.0040	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	0.0438	0.0040	0.050	-	88	67-119
Dibromomethane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	0.0454	0.0040	0.050	-	91	58-135
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.0480	0.0050	0.050	-	96	42-145
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-

_____QA/QC Officer



Langan
11/7/16
11/7/16
GC10
Soil
750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
BatchID:	129370
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-129370
-	1611280-003AMS/MSD

QC Summary Report for SW8260B

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	0.0455	0.0050	0.050	-	91	52-129
Ethylbenzene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	0.0461	0.0050	0.050	-	92	53-125
Freon 113	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Hexachloroethane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
2-Hexanone	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Isopropylbenzene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
4-Isopropyl toluene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.0450	0.0050	0.050	-	90	58-122
Methylene chloride	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Naphthalene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
n-Propyl benzene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Styrene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Tetrachloroethene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Toluene	ND	0.0515	0.0050	0.050	-	103	76-130
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Trichloroethene	ND	0.0483	0.0050	0.050	-	97	72-132
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Vinyl Chloride	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Xylenes, Total	ND	-	0.0050	-	-	-	-

_____QA/QC Officer Page 21 of 32



Client:	Langan	WorkOrder:	1611295
Date Prepared:	11/7/16	BatchID:	129370
Date Analyzed:	11/7/16	Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Instrument:	GC10	Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Matrix:	Soil	Unit:	mg/kg
Project:	750635601; 3000 Broadway	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-129370 1611280-003AMS/MSD

QC Summary Report for SW8260B

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Surrogate Recovery							
Dibromofluoromethane	0.135	0.133		0.12	108	107	70-130
Toluene-d8	0.145	0.149		0.12	116	119	70-130
4-BFB	0.0127	0.0136		0.012	102	109	70-130
Benzene-d6	0.0821	0.103		0.10	82	103	60-140
Ethylbenzene-d10	0.0930	0.121		0.10	93	121	60-140
1,2-DCB-d4	0.0806	0.0932		0.10	81	93	60-140

Analyte	MS Result	MSD Result	SPK Val	SPKRef Val	MS %REC	MSD %REC	MS/MSD Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.0419	0.0412	0.050	ND	84	82	53-116	1.58	20
Benzene	0.0476	0.0475	0.050	ND	95	95	63-137	0	20
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	0.169	0.164	0.20	ND	84	82	41-135	2.72	20
Chlorobenzene	0.0451	0.0451	0.050	ND	90	90	77-121	0	20
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.0412	0.0407	0.050	ND	82	81	67-119	1.27	20
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	0.0440	0.0430	0.050	ND	88	86	58-135	2.14	20
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.0449	0.0453	0.050	ND	90	91	42-145	0.902	20
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.0439	0.0435	0.050	ND	88	87	52-129	0.900	20
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.0449	0.0445	0.050	ND	90	89	53-125	0.963	20
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.0440	0.0432	0.050	ND	88	86	58-122	1.74	20
Toluene	0.0486	0.0486	0.050	ND	97	97	76-130	0	20
Trichloroethene	0.0460	0.0458	0.050	ND	92	92	72-132	0	20
Surrogate Recovery									
Dibromofluoromethane	0.135	0.135	0.12		108	108	70-130	0	20
Toluene-d8	0.147	0.147	0.12		117	118	70-130	0.101	20
4-BFB	0.0135	0.0139	0.012		108	111	70-130	3.21	20
Benzene-d6	0.0994	0.0992	0.10		99	99	60-140	0	20
Ethylbenzene-d10	0.111	0.111	0.10		111	111	60-140	0	20
1,2-DCB-d4	0.0898	0.0887	0.10		90	89	60-140	1.19	20

_____QA/QC Officer Page 22 of 32



Client:	Langan
Date Prepared:	11/8/16
Date Analyzed:	11/8/16 - 11/9/16
Instrument:	GC35
Matrix:	Soil
Project:	750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
BatchID:	129457
Extraction Method:	SW3550B
Analytical Method:	SW8270C-SIM
Unit:	mg/kg
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-129457
	1611287-029AMS/MSD

QC Summary Report for SW8270C

Analyte	МВ	LCS	RL	SPK	MB SS	LCS	LCS
	Result	Result		Val	%REC	%REC	Limits
Acenaphthene	ND	-	0.010	-	-	-	-
Acenaphthylene	ND	-	0.010	-	-	-	-
Anthracene	ND	-	0.010	-	-	-	-
Benzo (a) anthracene	ND	-	0.010	-	-	-	-
Benzo (a) pyrene	ND	0.129	0.010	0.20	-	65	23-129
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	ND	-	0.010	-	-	-	-
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	ND	-	0.010	-	-	-	-
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	ND	-	0.010	-	-	-	-
Chrysene	ND	0.148	0.010	0.20	-	74	38-104
Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	ND	-	0.010	-	-	-	-
Fluoranthene	ND	-	0.010	-	-	-	-
Fluorene	ND	-	0.010	-	-	-	-
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	ND	-	0.010	-	-	-	-
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.183	0.010	0.20	-	91	59-106
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.164	0.010	0.20	-	82	54-108
Naphthalene	ND	-	0.010	-	-	-	-
Phenanthrene	ND	0.156	0.010	0.20	-	78	48-107
Pyrene	ND	0.145	0.010	0.20	-	73	40-104
Surrogate Recovery							
1-Fluoronaphthalene	0.428	0.422		0.50	86	84	63-123
2-Fluorobiphenyl	0.425	0.414		0.50	85	83	55-127

Analyte	MS Result	MSD Result	SPK Val	SPKRef Val	MS %REC	MSD %REC	MS/MSD Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Benzo (a) pyrene	NR	NR		ND<0.05	NR	NR	-	NR	
Chrysene	NR	NR		ND<0.05	NR	NR	-	NR	
1-Methylnaphthalene	NR	NR		ND<0.05	NR	NR	-	NR	
2-Methylnaphthalene	NR	NR		ND<0.05	NR	NR	-	NR	
Phenanthrene	NR	NR		ND<0.05	NR	NR	-	NR	
Pyrene	NR	NR		ND<0.05	NR	NR	-	NR	
Surrogate Recovery									
1-Fluoronaphthalene	NR	NR			NR	NR	-	NR	
2-Fluorobiphenyl	NR	NR			NR	NR	-	NR	

QA/QC Officer



Client:	Langan
Date Prepared:	11/7/16
Date Analyzed:	11/8/16
Instrument:	GC19
Matrix:	Soil
Project:	750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
BatchID:	129401
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8021B/8015Bm
Unit:	mg/Kg
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-129401
	1611295-001AMS/MSD

QC Summary Report for SW8021B/8015Bm

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result		RL	SPK Val	M %	B SS REC	LCS %RE	C	LCS Limits
TPH(btex)	ND	0.576		0.40	0.60	-		96		89-118
MTBE	ND	0.0930		0.050	0.10	-		93		68-116
Benzene	ND	0.112		0.0050	0.10	-		112		85-118
Toluene	ND	0.116		0.0050	0.10	-		115		87-121
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.116		0.0050	0.10	-		116		91-124
Xylenes	ND	0.348		0.015	0.30	-		116		92-126
Surrogate Recovery										
2-Fluorotoluene	0.104	0.119			0.10	10)4	119		88-119
Analyte	MS Result	MSD Result	SPK Val	SPKRef Val	MS %REC	MSD %REC	MS/N Limit	/ISD ts	RPD	RPD Limit
TPH(btex)	NR	NR		21	NR	NR	-		NR	
MTBE	NR	NR		ND<2.5	NR	NR	-		NR	
Benzene	NR	NR		ND<0.25	NR	NR	-		NR	
Toluene	NR	NR		ND<0.25	NR	NR	-		NR	

Ethylbenzene	NR	NR	ND<0.25	NR	NR	-	NR
Xylenes	NR	NR	1.2	NR	NR	-	NR
Surrogate Recovery							
2-Fluorotoluene	NR	NR		NR	NR	-	NR

_____QA/QC Officer Page 24 of 32



Client:	Langan
Date Prepared:	11/7/16
Date Analyzed:	11/7/16 - 11/8/16
Instrument:	ICP-MS2
Matrix:	Soil
Project:	750635601; 3000 Broadway
Project:	750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
BatchID:	129385
Extraction Method:	SW3050B
Analytical Method:	SW6020
Unit:	mg/Kg
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-129385
	1611272-055AMS/MSD

QC Summary Report for Metals

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result		RL	SPK Val	ME %F	BISS LO REC %I	S REC	LCS Limits
Cadmium	ND	48.4		0.25	50	-	97		75-125
Chromium	ND	48.8		0.50	50	-	98		75-125
Lead	ND	48.0		0.50	50	-	96		75-125
Nickel	ND	47.9		0.50	50	-	96		75-125
Zinc	ND	483		5.0	500	-	97		75-125
Surrogate Recovery									
Terbium	525	517			500	10	5 10	3	70-130
Analyte	MS Result	MSD Result	SPK Val	SPKRef Val	MS %REC	MSD %REC	MS/MSD Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Cadmium	53.8	51.8	50	1.8	104	100	75-125	3.96	20
Chromium	91.6	92.5	50	37	109	111	75-125	1.03	20
Lead	211	276	50	200	20,F10	150,F10	75-125	26.7,	F10 20
Nickel	81.8	79.3	50	25	114	109	75-125	2.99	20
Zinc	1360	1390	500	820	108	114	75-125	2.18	20
Surrogate Recovery									
Terbium	528	515	500		106	103	70-130	2.44	20

_____QA/QC Officer



Langan
11/7/16
11/8/16
ICP-MS2
Soil
750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611295
BatchID:	129406
Extraction Method:	SW3050B
Analytical Method:	SW6020
Unit:	mg/Kg
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-129406
	1611288-002AMS/MSD

	QC Sur	nmary R	eport f	or Metals												
Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result		RL	SPK Val	M %	B SS REC	LCS %RE	C	LCS Limits						
Cadmium	ND	53.1		0.25	50	-		106		75-125						
Chromium	ND	54.0		0.50	50	-		108		75-125						
Lead	ND	52.0		0.50	50	-		104		75-125						
Nickel	ND	51.8		0.50	50	-		104		75-125						
Zinc	ND	524		5.0	500	-		105		75-125						
Surrogate Recovery																
Terbium	524	504			500	10)5	101		70-130						
Analyte	MS Result	MSD Result	SPK Val	SPKRef Val	MS %REC	MSD %REC	MSD MS/MS %REC Limits		RPD	RPD Limit						
Cadmium	52.4	52.9	50	ND	104	106	75-1	25	1.03	20						
Chromium	79.8	78.5	50	25.34	109	106	75-1	25	1.59	20						
Lead	68.3	68.4	50	15.85	105	105	75-1	25	0	20						
Nickel	93.7	90.4	50	40.54	106	100	75-1	25	3.52	20						
Zinc	597	610	500	88.45	102	104	75-1	25	2.12	20						
Surrogate Recovery																
Terbium	532	558	500		106	112	70-1	30	4.77	20						
Analyte	DLT Result			DLTRef Val					%D	%D Limit						
Cadmium	ND<1.2			ND					-	-						
Chromium	26.6			25.34					4.97	20						
Lead	16.6			15.85					4.73	20						
Nickel	41.2			40.54					1.63	20						
Zinc	92.4			88.45					4.47							

%D Control Limit applied to analytes with concentrations greater than 25 times the reporting limits.

A QA/QC Officer Page 26 of 32



Client:	Langan	WorkOr
Date Prepared:	11/7/16	BatchID
Date Analyzed:	11/8/16	Extractio
Instrument:	GC11B	Analytic
Matrix:	Soil	Unit:
Project:	750635601; 3000 Broadway	Sample I

WorkOrder:	1611295
BatchID:	129400
Extraction Method:	SW3550B
Analytical Method:	SW8015B
Unit:	mg/Kg
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-129400
	1611287-026AMS/MSD

QC Report for SW8015B w/out SG Clean-Up

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result		RL	SPK Val	M %	B SS REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	ND	37.6		1.0	40	-		94	91-127
TPH-Motor Oil (C18-C36)	ND	-		5.0	-	-		-	-
Surrogate Recovery									
C9	21.7	22.1			25	87	,	88	74-110
Analyte	MS Result	MSD Result	SPK Val	SPKRef Val	MS %REC	MSD %REC	MS/M Limits	SD RPD	RPD Limit
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	NR	NR		2.1	NR	NR	-	NR	
Surrogate Recovery									
C9	NR	NR			NR	NR	-	NR	

QA/QC Officer Page 27 of 32

McCampbell Analytic	cal, Inc.		RECORD	Pag				
Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 (925) 252-9262				WorkOrder	r: 1611295	ClientC	ode: TWRK	
	WaterTrax	WriteOn		Excel	EQuIS	Email	HardCopy	ThirdParty
Report to:				Bil	ll to:		Req	uested TAT:
Josh Graber	Email: jo	lgraber@treadw	/ellrollo.com		Accounts Paya	ble		

ProjectNo: 750635601; 3000 Broadway

Matrix

cc/3rd Party:

PO:

FAX: (415) 955-9041

Client ID

1611295-001	B-16-6	Soil	11/3/2016 00:00	А	А	Α	Α	Α			
1611295-002	B-16-10	Soil	11/3/2016 00:00	А	Α	Α	Α	Α			
1611295-003	B-16-20.5	Soil	11/3/2016 00:00	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α			

Collection Date Hold

Test Legend:

Langan

Lab ID

501 14th Street, 3rd Floor

Oakland, CA 94612

(415) 955-9040

1	8260B_S
5	TPH(DMO)_S
9	

2	8270_PNA_S
6	
10	

3	G-MBTEX_S
7	
11	

Langan

2

1

555 Montgomery St., Suite 1300

Langan_InvoiceCapture@concursolutio

4

5

San Francisco, CA 94111

3

4	LUFTMS_6020_TTLC_S
8	
12	

Page 1 of 1

□J-flag

11/07/2016

11/07/2016

11

12

2 days;

10

Date Received:

9

Date Logged:

Requested Tests (See legend below)

7

8

6

Prepared by: Agustina Venegas

The following SampIDs: 001A, 002A, 003A contain testgroup Multi Range_S.

Comments:

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days). Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.



WORK ORDER SUMMARY

Client Name	e: LANGAN		F	Project:		Work Order: 1611295 OC Level: LEVEL 2						
Contact's Er	mail: jdgraber@tre	eadwellrollo.com	(Comments	:					Date Logged: 11/7/2016		
		WaterTrax	WriteOnEDF	Exce	I	Fax	Email	HardC	opyThirdPart	ty 🗌	J-flag	
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Test Name	C(/C	ontainers omposites	Bottle &	Preservative	De- chlorinated	Collection Date & Time	TAT	Sediment Hold SubOut Content	
1611295-001A	B-16-6	Soil	SW6020 (LUFT)		1	Stainless Ste	eel tube 2 1/2"x6"		11/3/2016	2 days		
			Multi-Range TPH(g,d,mo) by El 8015Bm	PA						2 days		
			SW8270C (PAHs/PNAs)							2 days		
			SW8260B (VOCs)							2 days		
1611295-002A	B-16-10	Soil	SW6020 (LUFT)		1	Stainless Ste	eel tube 2 1/2"x6"		11/3/2016	2 days		
			Multi-Range TPH(g,d,mo) by El 8015Bm	PA						2 days		
			SW8270C (PAHs/PNAs)							2 days		
			SW8260B (VOCs)							2 days		
1611295-003A	B-16-20.5	Soil	SW6020 (LUFT)		1	Stainless Ste	eel tube 2 1/2"x6"		11/3/2016	2 days		
			Multi-Range TPH(g,d,mo) by El 8015Bm	PA						2 days		
			SW8270C (PAHs/PNAs)							2 days		
			SW8260B (VOCs)							2 days		
1611295-004A	B-13-5	Soil			1	Stainless S	Steel tube 2"x6"		11/2/2016		✓	
1611295-005A	B-13-10	Soil			1	Stainless S	Steel tube 2"x6"		11/2/2016		✓	
1611295-006A	B-13-15	Soil			1	Stainless S	Steel tube 2"x6"		11/2/2016		✓	

NOTES: - STLC and TCLP extractions require 2 days to complete; therefore, all TATs begin after the extraction is completed (i.e., One-day TAT yields results in 3 days from sample submission).

- MAI assumes that all material present in the provided sampling container is considered part of the sample - MAI does not exclude any material from the sample prior to sample preparation unless requested in writing by the client.

McCampbell Analytical, Inc. "When Quality Counts"						1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Toll Free Telephone: (877) 252-9262 / Fax: (925) 252-9269 http://www.mccampbell.com / E-mail: main@mccampbell.com									
					WO	ORK ORI	DER SU	MMA	ARY						
Client Name:LANGANProjectClient Contact:Josh Graber						Project:	75063560	1; 3000	Broadway			We	Work Order: 1611295 QC Level: LEVEL 2		
Contact's En	nail: j	dgraber@tre	adwellrollo.com			Comments: Date Logged:						11/7/2016			
			WaterTrax	WriteOn	EDF	Exce	el 🗌 l	Fax	√ Email		opyThirdPa	arty]J-flag		
Lab ID	Clien	t ID	Matrix	Test Name		C /C	ontainers Composites	Bottle &	Preservative	De- chlorinated	Collection Date & Time	ТАТ	Sedimen Content	t Hold SubOut	
1611295-007A	B-13-2	20	Soil				1	Stainless	Steel tube 2"x6"		11/2/2016			✓	

NOTES: - STLC and TCLP extractions require 2 days to complete; therefore, all TATs begin after the extraction is completed (i.e., One-day TAT yields results in 3 days from sample submission).

- MAI assumes that all material present in the provided sampling container is considered part of the sample - MAI does not exclude any material from the sample prior to sample preparation unless requested in writing by the client.

Treadwa Environmental and Ge	otechnical Con	ung B Dillo sultant	Env _{RU} = c		555 I	Monto I4th S)F gome Street	C ry St	US reet, rd Fl	ST , Suit loor,	O le 13 Oaki	D 00, S and	Y San CA	RE Fran 9461	EC cisco 12 Ph	OI , CA : 510	RD 94111 .874.4	l Ph: 4	415.9 ax: 5	55.90	040/Fax 74.4507	: 415.95	5.9041	ZI4	of _
Site Name: Job Number: Project Manager\Co Samplers: Recorder (Signatur	$\frac{3600}{75063}$	Brook SKUI Justy Anstan	a Gabet		50 Ai	-	No.	Con Prese	sui Sui Itain	ners	5, Sa quarters		ose,	Ana	lysis	Re	408.4 ques	sted	1: 910 08/Fa	a. clean-up	8.437.7	ax: 916 709		Irnarou Time	nd V
Field Sample Identification No.	Date	Time	Lab Sample No	Soil	Mater Air	Other	HCL 1,SO	HNO3	lce		P	J.	H.	K						lilica g			Dama	vles	
B-16-6 B-16-10 B-16-205 B-13-5	113/16 11/3/16 11/3/16 11/2/16			277					*		X × ×	× × ×	XX							X					
3-13-10	11/2/16			V				\square				1	1	1		1				X					
3-13-20	11/2116			V																××				1	Į.
		-0				X																			
elinquished by: (Signa	ture)		Date //-) - //				ime		15	_	Rec	eive	d by	: (Sig	Inatur	e)	/		D	ate /	1-)	-16	Time	1115	_
elinquished by: (Signa	ture)	1	Date	2		1	ime	7	$\frac{1}{2}$)	Rec	eive	d by	: (Sig	natur	e)	6		D	ate		/ -	Time	11)
elinquished by: (Signa	ture)		Date			T	ime	>			Rec	eive	d by	Lab:	(Sigr	ature	2	\sim	D	ate //	1/7	file	Time	150	50
ent to Laboratory aboratory Comme	(Name): nts/Notes:						ż				Met	hoc +	land	Shi Carr	omer ied	nt Pri	L vate C	ab cou Courier	urier (Co	. Nam	Fed Ex		Airbo	rne	UPS
		White Copy	- Original	Y	'ellov	v Coj	py - L	abo	rato	ry	1			Pir	nk Co	ру -	Field	11	f .*	4	C	OC Nu	mber:		л



Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name:	Langan			Date and Time Received:	11/7/2016 15:00
Project Name:	750635601; 3000 Broadway			Date Logged:	11/7/2016
	Addapos			Received by:	Agustina Venegas
WorkOrder №:	1611295 Matrix: <u>Soll</u> Bernie Cummins (MAL Courier)			Logged by:	Agustina Venegas
Carrier.					
	Chain of C	ustody	y (COC) Infor	mation	
Chain of custody	present?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Chain of custody	signed when relinquished and received?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Chain of custody	agrees with sample labels?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Sample IDs note	d by Client on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Date and Time of	f collection noted by Client on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Sampler's name	noted on COC?	Yes		No 🗌	
	Sampl	e Rece	eipt Informati	on	
Custody seals int	act on shipping container/cooler?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹
Shipping contain	er/cooler in good condition?	Yes		No 🗌	
Samples in prope	er containers/bottles?	Yes		No 🗌	
Sample containe	rs intact?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Sufficient sample	volume for indicated test?	Yes		No 🗌	
	Sample Preservation	on and	Hold Time (ł	HT) Information	
All samples recei	ved within holding time?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Sample/Temp Bl	ank temperature		Temp: 7.4	°C	
Water - VOA vial	s have zero headspace / no bubbles?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹
Sample labels ch	ecked for correct preservation?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
pH acceptable up	oon receipt (Metal: <2; 522: <4; 218.7: >8)?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹
Samples Receive	ed on Ice?	Yes		No 🗌	
	(Ісе Туре	: WE	TICE)		
UCMR3 Samples	<u>.</u>		_	_	_
Total Chlorine	tested and acceptable upon receipt for EPA 522?	Yes		No	NA 🗹
Free Chlorine t 300.1, 537, 539	ested and acceptable upon receipt for EPA 218.7, 9?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹

Comments:



McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Quality Counts"

Analytical Report

WorkOrder: 1611262

Report Created for: Langan

501 14th Street, 3rd Floor Oakland, CA 94612

Project Contact:	Josh Graber
Project P.O.:	
Project Name:	750635601; 3000 Broadway

Project Received: 11/04/2016

Analytical Report reviewed & approved for release on 11/10/2016 by:

Angela Rydelius, Laboratory Manager

The report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The analytical results relate only to the items tested. Results reported conform to the most current NELAP standards, where applicable, unless otherwise stated in the case narrative.



1534 Willow Pass Rd. Pittsburg, CA 94565 TEL: (877) 252-9262 FAX: (925) 252-9269 www.mccampbell.com

CA ELAP 1644 ♦ NELAP 4033ORELAP



Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions

Client:	Langan
Project:	750635601; 3000 Broadway
WorkOrder:	1611262

Glossary Abbreviation

%D	Serial Dilution Percent Difference
95% Interval	95% Confident Interval
DF	Dilution Factor
DI WET	(DISTLC) Waste Extraction Test using DI water
DISS	Dissolved (direct analysis of 0.45 μ m filtered and acidified water sample)
DLT	Dilution Test (Serial Dilution)
DUP	Duplicate
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit
ITEF	International Toxicity Equivalence Factor
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MB	Method Blank
MB % Rec	% Recovery of Surrogate in Method Blank, if applicable
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level of Quantitation
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
N/A	Not Applicable
ND	Not detected at or above the indicated MDL or RL
NR	Data Not Reported due to matrix interference or insufficient sample amount.
PDS	Post Digestion Spike
PDSD	Post Digestion Spike Duplicate
PF	Prep Factor
RD	Relative Difference
RL	Reporting Limit (The RL is the lowest calibration standard in a multipoint calibration.)
RPD	Relative Percent Deviation
RRT	Relative Retention Time
SPK Val	Spike Value
SPKRef Val	Spike Reference Value
SPLP	Synthetic Precipitation Leachate Procedure
ST	Sorbent Tube
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalents
WET (STLC)	Waste Extraction Test (Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration)



 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/4/16 16:45

 Date Prepared:
 11/7/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611262
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	µg/L

Volatile Organics

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Co	llected I	nstrument	Batch ID
B-13-GW	1611262-001A	Water	11/03/201	6 09:55 G	GC18	129443
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>		Date Analyzed
Acetone	ND		10	1		11/07/2016 17:04
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
Benzene	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
Bromobenzene	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
Bromochloromethane	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
Bromodichloromethane	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
Bromoform	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
Bromomethane	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND		2.0	1		11/07/2016 17:04
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND		2.0	1		11/07/2016 17:04
n-Butyl benzene	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
sec-Butyl benzene	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
tert-Butyl benzene	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
Carbon Disulfide	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
Chlorobenzene	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
Chloroethane	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
Chloroform	0.62		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
Chloromethane	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
2-Chlorotoluene	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
4-Chlorotoluene	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
Dibromochloromethane	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND		0.20	1		11/07/2016 17:04
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
Dibromomethane	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04
2.2-Dichloropropane	ND		0.50	1		11/07/2016 17:04





 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/4/16 16:45

 Date Prepared:
 11/7/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611262
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	µg/L

Volatile Organics

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date C	ollected Instrument	Batch ID
B-13-GW	1611262-001A	Water	11/03/20	16 09:55 GC18	129443
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Freon 113	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Hexachloroethane	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
2-Hexanone	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Isopropylbenzene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
4-Isopropyl toluene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Methylene chloride	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Naphthalene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
n-Propyl benzene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Styrene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Tetrachloroethene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Toluene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Trichloroethene	1.8		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Vinyl Chloride	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04
Xylenes, Total	ND		0.50	1	11/07/2016 17:04


Analytical Report

 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/4/16 16:45

 Date Prepared:
 11/7/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611262
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	µg/L

Volatile Organics

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected Instrument	Batch ID
B-13-GW	1611262-001A	Water	11/03/2016 09:55 GC18	129443
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>	
Dibromofluoromethane	118		70-130	11/07/2016 17:04
Toluene-d8	84		70-130	11/07/2016 17:04
4-BFB	123		70-130	11/07/2016 17:04
<u>Analyst(s):</u> MW				



Analytical Report

 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/4/16 16:45

 Date Prepared:
 11/6/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611262
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8021B/8015Bm
Unit:	μg/L

Gasoline Range (C6-C12) Volatile Hydrocarbons as Gasoline with BTEX and MTBE

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date C	Collected Instrument	Batch ID
B-13-GW	1611262-001B	Water	11/03/2	016 09:55 GC7	129395
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
TPH(g) (C6-C12)	ND		50	1	11/06/2016 18:09
MTBE			5.0	1	11/06/2016 18:09
Benzene			0.50	1	11/06/2016 18:09
Toluene			0.50	1	11/06/2016 18:09
Ethylbenzene			0.50	1	11/06/2016 18:09
Xylenes			1.5	1	11/06/2016 18:09
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
aaa-TFT	103		70-130		11/06/2016 18:09
<u>Analyst(s):</u> IA					



Analytical Report

 Client:
 Langan

 Date Received:
 11/4/16 16:45

 Date Prepared:
 11/4/16

 Project:
 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611262
Extraction Method:	SW3510C
Analytical Method:	SW8015B
Unit:	µg/L

Total Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons w/out SG Clean-Up

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date C	ollected Instrument	Batch ID
B-13-GW	1611262-001B	Water	11/03/20	16 09:55 GC11B	129329
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	ND		50	1	11/07/2016 13:30
TPH-Motor Oil (C18-C36)	ND		250	1	11/07/2016 13:30
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
C9	89		72-117		11/07/2016 13:30
<u>Analyst(s):</u> TK					



gan
//16
//16
18
er
635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611262
BatchID:	129443
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	µg/L
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-129443
	1611208-001CMS/MSD

QC Summary Report for SW8260B

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Acetone	ND	-	10	-	-	-	-
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	10.7	0.50	10	-	107	54-140
Benzene	ND	9.48	0.50	10	-	95	47-158
Bromobenzene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Bromochloromethane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Bromodichloromethane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Bromoform	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Bromomethane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND	-	2.0	-	-	-	-
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	42.8	2.0	40	-	107	42-140
n-Butyl benzene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
sec-Butyl benzene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
tert-Butyl benzene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Carbon Disulfide	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Chlorobenzene	ND	10.4	0.50	10	-	104	43-157
Chloroethane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Chloroform	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Chloromethane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Dibromochloromethane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	-	0.20	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	10.1	0.50	10	-	101	44-155
Dibromomethane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	12.4	0.50	10	-	123	66-125
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	9.56	0.50	10	-	96	47-149
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND		0.50	-	-	-	-
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-

_____QA/QC Officer



Client:	Langan
Date Prepared:	11/7/16
Date Analyzed:	11/7/16
Instrument:	GC18
Matrix:	Water
Project:	750635601; 3000 Broadway
Matrix: Project:	Water 750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611262
BatchID:	129443
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	μg/L
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-129443
	1611208-001CMS/MSD

QC Summary Report for SW8260B

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	7.63	0.50	10	-	76	57-136
Ethylbenzene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	10.1	0.50	10	-	101	55-137
Freon 113	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Hexachloroethane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
2-Hexanone	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Isopropylbenzene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
4-Isopropyl toluene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	10.6	0.50	10	-	105	53-139
Methylene chloride	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Naphthalene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
n-Propyl benzene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Styrene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Tetrachloroethene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Toluene	ND	8.58	0.50	10	-	86	52-137
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Trichloroethene	ND	11.3	0.50	10	-	113	43-157
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Vinyl Chloride	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
Xylenes, Total	ND	-	0.50	-	-	-	-

_____QA/QC Officer Page 9 of 16



Client:	Langan	WorkOrder:	1611262
Date Prepared:	11/7/16	BatchID:	129443
Date Analyzed:	11/7/16	Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Instrument:	GC18	Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Matrix:	Water	Unit:	μg/L
Project:	750635601; 3000 Broadway	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-129443
			1611208-001CMS/MSD

	QC Sum	mary Rep	oort for	SW8260	B				
Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result		RL	SPK Val	M %	B SS I REC S	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Surrogate Recovery									
Dibromofluoromethane	29.4	28.7			25	11	8 .	115	70-130
Toluene-d8	21.6	22.1			25	86	; {	38	70-130
4-BFB	2.92	2.78			2.5	11	7 [,]	111	70-130
Analyte	MS Result	MSD Result	SPK Val	SPKRef Val	MS %REC	MSD %REC	MS/MS Limits	D RPD	RPD Limit
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	10.7	10.6	10	ND	107	106	69-139	0.493	20
Benzene	9.08	9.15	10	ND	91	91	69-141	0	20
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	43.4	42.3	40	ND	109	106	41-152	2.64	20
Chlorobenzene	9.63	9.83	10	ND	96	98	77-120	2.10	20
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	10.2	10.0	10	ND	102	101	76-135	1.29	20
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	12.1	11.9	10	ND	121	119	73-139	1.66	20
1,1-Dichloroethene	9.08	9.26	10	ND	91	93	59-140	1.95	20
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	7.65	7.66	10	ND	77	77	72-140	0	20
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	10.0	10.0	10	ND	101	100	71-140	0.288	20
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	11.1	11.0	10	ND	111	110	73-139	0.915	20
Toluene	7.90	7.90	10	ND	79	79	71-128	0	20
Trichloroethene	10.6	10.8	10	ND	106	108	64-132	1.21	20
Surrogate Recovery									
Dibromofluoromethane	29.2	29.0	25		117	116	73-131	0.76	8 20
Toluene-d8	21.7	21.4	25		87	85	72-117	1.57	20
4-BFB	2.86	3.12	2.5		115	125	74-116	8.46	20



Client:	Langan
Date Prepared:	11/6/16
Date Analyzed:	11/6/16
Instrument:	GC7
Matrix:	Water
Project:	750635601; 3000 Broadway

WorkOrder:	1611262
BatchID:	129395
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8021B/8015Bm
Unit:	µg/L
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-129395
	1611123-001AMS/MSD

QC Summary Report for SW8021B/8015Bm

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result		RL	SPK Val	ME %F	SS LCS REC %R	S EC	LCS Limits
TPH(btex)	ND	55.9		40	60	-	93		85-112
MTBE	ND	9.35		5.0	10	-	93		74-127
Benzene	ND	10.8		0.50	10	-	108		81-124
Toluene	ND	11.6		0.50	10	-	117		79-131
Ethylbenzene	ND	11.1		0.50	10	-	111		86-127
Xylenes	ND	32.6		1.5	30	-	109		87-133
Surrogate Recovery									
aaa-TFT	10.7	10.6			10	107	7 106		87-117
Analyte	MS Result	MSD Result	SPK Val	SPKRef Val	MS %REC	MSD %REC	MS/MSD Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
	ND	ND		ND-800	ND	ND		ND	

TPH(btex)	NR	NR	ND<800	NR	NR	-	NR
MTBE	NR	NR	ND<100	NR	NR	-	NR
Benzene	NR	NR	14	NR	NR	-	NR
Toluene	NR	NR	55	NR	NR	-	NR
Ethylbenzene	NR	NR	ND<10	NR	NR	-	NR
Xylenes	NR	NR	190	NR	NR	-	NR
Surrogate Recovery							
aaa-TFT	NR	NR		NR	NR	-	NR

QA/QC Officer Page 11 of 16



Client:	Langan	WorkOrder:	1611262
Date Prepared:	11/4/16	BatchID:	129329
Date Analyzed:	11/7/16	Extraction Method:	SW3510C
Instrument:	GC9a	Analytical Method:	SW8015B
Matrix:	Water	Unit:	µg/L
Project:	750635601; 3000 Broadway	Sample ID:	MB/LCS/LCSD-129329

QC Report for SW8015B w/out SG Clean-Up

Analyte	MB Result			RL	SPK Val	M %	B SS REC		MB SS Limits
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	ND			50	-	-			-
TPH-Motor Oil (C18-C36)	ND			250	-	-			-
Surrogate Recovery									
C9	553				625	88	6		74-107
Analyte	LCS Result	LCSD Result	SPK Val		LCS %REC	LCSD %REC	LCS/LCSD Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	1080	1080	1000		108	108	95-136	0	30
Surrogate Recovery									
C9	587	582	625		94	93	74-107	0.881	30

QA/QC Officer

McCampbell Analytical, 1534 Willow Pass Rd Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 (925) 252-9262	Inc.			CHAI WorkOrd	N-0 er: 16	F-CU 11262	STO	DY ClientCo	REC ode: T	CORI TWRK		I	Page	1 of	1
	WaterTrax	WriteOn	EDF	Excel	[EQuIS	∠ E	Email		HardCopy	/ [ThirdPa	rty	_J-fla	g
Report to: Josh Graber Langan 501 14th Street, 3rd Floor Oakland, CA 94612 (415) 955-9040 FAX: (415) 955-9041	Email: jdg cc/3rd Party: PO: ProjectNo: 756	graber@treadw 0635601; 3000	vellrollo.com) Broadway		Bill to: Acco Lang 555 I San Lang	ounts Paya an Montgome Francisco an_Invoic	able ery St., S o, CA 94 ceCaptu	Suite 13 111 ire@con	00 Icursolu	Re Da Da utio	equest ate Ra ate La	ted TAT: eceived: ogged:	1 1	5 days; 11/04/2 11/04/2	016 016
							Req	uested T	ſests (S	ee legen	d belo	ow)	4.0		40
Lab ID Client ID		Matrix	Collection Date	Hold 1	2	3	4	5	6	1	8	9	10	11	12

А

В

В

11/3/2016 09:55

Test Legend:

1611262-001

1	8260B_W
5	
9	

2	G-MBTEX_W	3
6		7
10		11

Water

3	TPH(DMO)_W
7	
11	

4	
8	
12	

Prepared by: Briana Cutino

The following SampID: 001B contains testgroup Multi Range_W.

B-13-GW

Comments:

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days). Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.



WORK ORDER SUMMARY

Client Name	e: LANGAN			Pr	oject: 750	635601; 3	3000 Broadway			Wor	k Order:	1611262
Client Conta	act: Josh Grab	er								Q	C Level:	LEVEL 2
Contact's Er	mail: jdgraber@	treadwellrollo.com		Co	omments:					Date	Logged:	11/4/2016
_		□WaterTrax	WriteOn	EDF	Excel	□Fax	∢ Email	HardCo	opy	/	I-flag	
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Test Name		Contai /Comp	ners Bo osites	ttle & Preservative	De- chlorinated	Collection Date & Time	ТАТ	Sediment Content	Hold SubOut
1611262-001A	B-13-GW	Water	SW8260B (VC	Cs)	2		VOA w/ HCl		11/3/2016 9:55	5 days	Trace	
1611262-001B	B-13-GW	Water	Multi-Range T	PH by EPA 8015Bi	m 4		VOA w/ HCl		11/3/2016 9:55	5 days	Trace	

NOTES: - STLC and TCLP extractions require 2 days to complete; therefore, all TATs begin after the extraction is completed (i.e., One-day TAT yields results in 3 days from sample submission).

- MAI assumes that all material present in the provided sampling container is considered part of the sample - MAI does not exclude any material from the sample prior to sample preparation unless requested in writing by the client.

LANGAN TREADWELL ROLLO

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Page

555 Montgomery Street, Suite 1300, San Francisco, CA 94111 Ph: 415.955.9040/Fax: 415.955.9041 501 14th Street, Third Floor, Oakland CA 94612 Ph: 510.874.4500/Fax: 510.874.4507 777 Campus Commons Road, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95825 Ph: 916.565.7412/Fax: 916.565.7413

Site Name:	300	OB	raad way	and it								
Job Number:	750	6356	01	-							Analysis Requested Turnaround	I
Project Manager\Co Samplers:	ontact: <u>5.</u> S	Josh	GRIBET			lo. C	onta	iners		MO	dn-u	l
Recorder (Signatur	e Requireu)	0		Matri	ix	& Pre	eserv	ative		30		
Field Sample Identification No.	Date	Time	Lab Sample No.	Soil Water	Other	H ₂ SO ₄	HNU ₃	Other	Bdl	101	O B V <td></td>	
B-13-6W	11 316	9:55		X			8		K	88		
100						+			+-+	+		4
		distant in the				+	+	$\left \right $	++	-		
	156		10				-					
		12	1		-	+						
	3.4						-					
	1.000			+		++		++	+	_		
Relinquisher by: (Sigr	pature)		Date 4/16		Т	ime 12	: 3	S	Red	eived	rectory: (Signature) Date H-4-16 Time 132	5
Relinquished by: (Sigr	nature)	1	Date 11-4-1	6	Т	ine 16	45	/	Rec	eived	led by: (Signature) Date 1/4/1/2 Time 1/245	-
Relinquished by: (Sign	nature)		Date	5	Т	ime			Red	elled	Jed by Lab: (Signature) Date Time	
Sent to Laboratory Laboratory Comm	y (Name): ients/Notes:	Mel	Can'ot all				1		Me [thod Ha	od of Shipment Lab courier Fed Ex Airborne U Hand Carried Private Courier (Co. Name)	JPS
		White Co	ov - Original	Ye	ellow	Сору	- Lat	oorato	bry		Pink Copy - Field COC Number: 154	27



Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name:	Langan			Date and Time Received	11/4/2016 16:45
Project Name:	750635601; 3000 Broadway			Date Logged:	11/4/2016
				Received by:	Briana Cutino
WorkOrder №:	1611262 Matrix: <u>Water</u> Bernie Cummins (MAL Courier)			Logged by:	Briana Cutino
Camer.					
	Chain of C	ustody	/ (COC) Infor	mation	
Chain of custody	present?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Chain of custody	signed when relinquished and received?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Chain of custody	agrees with sample labels?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Sample IDs note	d by Client on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Date and Time of	collection noted by Client on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Sampler's name	noted on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
	Sampl	e Rece	eipt Informat	ion	
Custody seals int	act on shipping container/cooler?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🔽
Shipping contain	er/cooler in good condition?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Samples in prope	er containers/bottles?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Sample containe	rs intact?	Yes	\checkmark	No 🗌	
Sufficient sample	volume for indicated test?	Yes		No 🗌	
	Sample Preservation	on and	<u>Hold Time (</u>	HT) Information	
All samples recei	ved within holding time?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Sample/Temp Bl	ank temperature		Temp: 3.6	S°C	
Water - VOA vial	s have zero headspace / no bubbles?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Sample labels ch	ecked for correct preservation?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
pH acceptable up	oon receipt (Metal: <2; 522: <4; 218.7: >8)?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹
Samples Receive	ed on Ice?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
	(Ісе Туре	e: WE	TICE)		
UCMR3 Samples	<u>:</u>				
Total Chlorine	ested and acceptable upon receipt for EPA 522?	Yes		No 📖	NA 🗹
Free Chlorine t 300.1, 537, 539	ested and acceptable upon receipt for EPA 218.7, ??	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹

Comments:

APPENDIX B

GEOTECHNICAL BORING LOGS

LANGAN

PR	OJEC	T:				3000 BROADWAY Oakland, California	Log of E	Borir	ng B	- 12	AGE 1	OF 2	
Bori	ng loca	ation:	S	ee Fi	gure	2		Logge	ed by:	Abraha	am Eng		
Date	e starte	ed:	4	/16/1	6	Date finished: 4/16/16		Drilleo	a by:	Explora	ation Ge	oservice	s, Inc.
Drill	ing me	thod:	Н	lollow	Sten	n Auger							
Han	nmer w	/eight/	drop:	: 14	0 lbs.	/30 inches Hammer type: Downhole			LABO	RATOR	Y TEST	DATA	
San	nplers:	Stan	Idard	Pene	etratio	on Test (SPT), Shelby Tube (ST)			D. T	gth t			5.1
т	- - -	SAM	-LES 50	-́Ф	<u>-0GY</u>	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		rpe of ength Fest	nfininç sssure :/Sq Ft	Stren //Sq Ft	ines %	atural visture tent, %	Densit //Cu Ft
EPTI (feet)	Sample Type	Sampl	lows/	SPT J-Valu	ITHOL	Ground Surface Elevation: 22 feet ²		, ŕ ₽́ 5	Col Lbs	Shear Lbs	<u>ш</u>	Congram	Dry - Lbs
	0	0,		Z		2.5 inches asphalt aoncrete (AC)							
1 -	-					4 inches aggregate base (AB)	/-						
2 -	-					light brown, medium dense, moist, fine-gra	ained, —						
3 -	-					pockets of SANDY CLAY (CL), olive-brow stiff, moist, fine-grained sand	/n, very						
4 -	-						_						
5 -	_						_						
6 -							_						
7 -													
					SC		_						
8 -													
9 -							_						
10 -	ерт		7									22.0	
11 -	- 521		10 14	24		LL = 34, PI = 16, see Figure C-1						23.6	
12 -	-												
13 -	-				K								
14 -	-					CLAY with SAND (CL)							
15 -	-		7			dark brown, very stiff to hard, moist, fine-g	grained						
16 -	SPT		10 20	30		LL = 40, PI = 23, see Figure C-1	_					23.1	
17 -	_	V				☑ (4/16/16, 11:20 A.M.)	_						
18 -					L		_						
10 -						SANDY CLAY (CL)							
						green-gray, hard, wet, fine-grained sand	_						
20 -	SPT		9 13	33	CI	= 37 P = 18 see Figure C 1						22 1	
21 -	1.		20										
5 22 -	1				K		_						
23 -	-					CLAY with SAND (CL)							
24 -	-					green-gray, hard, wet, fine-grained sand	—						
25 -	-		9				—						
26 -	SPT		13 21	34		LL = 38, PI = 17, see Figure C-1	_					26.4	
27 -	_		1				_						
28 -							_						
29 -							_						
30 -													
	_		_	_	_				LA	N	5A)	N	_
								Project	^{No.:} 75063	5601	Figure:		B-1a

PRC	JEC	T:				3000 BROADWAY Oakland, California	Log of E	Borir	ng B	- 12	AGE 2	OF 2	
		SAMF	PLES						LABO	RATOR	Y TEST	DATA	
DEPTH (feet)	Sampler Type	Sample	Blows/ 6"	SPT N-Value ¹	ГІТНОГОGY	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		Type of Strength Test	Confining Pressure Lbs/Sq Ft	Shear Strength Lbs/Sq Ft	Fines %	Natural Moisture Content, %	Dry Density Lbs/Cu Ft
31 — 32 —	SPT		7 11 15	26	CL	CLAY with SAND (CL) (continued) very stiff		-					
33 — 34 —					CL	CLAY (CL) green-gray, hard, wet	-	-					
35 — 36 — 37 —	SPT		11 17 24	41		LL = 46, PI = 25, see Figure C-1	-	-				29.5	
38 — 39 — 40 —								-					
41 — 42 —							_	-					
43 — 44 — 45 —							-	-					
46 — 47 —							-						
49 – 50 –							_	-					
51 — 52 — 53 —							-						
54 — 55 —							-	-					
56 — 57 — 58 —							-	•					
60 –	g termina	ted at a	depth c	of 36.5 fe	eet belo	v ground surface. ¹ SPT blow counts for the last two increments v	were converted to						
Boring Grour	g backfille ndwater s	ed with c tabilized	ement at 17 f	grout. feet at tii	me of dr	hammer energy. ² Elevations based on Oakland City Datum. Elevations based on Oakland City Datum. Elevations during the ALTA Survey perform Associates, dated 20 April 2016.	nt for sampler type and evations referenced ned by Luk and	Project	LA No.: 75063	5601	F igure:	V	B-1b

PRC	JEC.	T:				3000 BROADWAY Oakland, California	Log o	f E	Borir	ng B	- 13	AGE 1	OF 2	
Borin	g loca	tion:	S	ee Fi	gure	2			Logge	d by:	J. San	glerat	<u> </u>	
Date	starte	d:	1	1/2/10	6	Date finished: 11/2/16			Drilleo	d by:	Gregg	Drilling		
Drillin	ig met	hod:	Н	ollow	Sten	n Auger								
Hamr	mer w	eight/	drop:	140	0 lbs.	/30 inches Hammer type: Safety Auto				LABO	RATOR	Y TEST	DATA	
Samp	olers:	Sprag	ue & l	Henwo	od (S&	H), Standard Penetration Test (SPT), Shelby Tube (ST)					gth			~
DEPTH (feet)	Sampler Type	Sample	LES	SPT N-Value ¹	ITHOLOGY	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION			Type of Strength Test	Confining Pressure Lbs/Sq Ft	Shear Strenç Lbs/Sq Ft	Fines %	Natural Moisture Content, %	Dry Densit Lbs/Cu Ft
						1 inch tile								
1 —						4 inches concrete slab		¥–						
2 —					SC	dark brown, moist, fine- to medium-graine	ed sand,							
3 —						CLAYEY SAND with GRAVEL (SC)	so moist							
4 —						fine- to medium-grained, fine subangular	gravel							
5 —	SDT	\square	8	24			FLL							
6 —			9	24	en									
7 —														
8 —								-						
9 —								-						
10 —			13				<u>,</u>	↓						
11 —	S&H		12 12	17		CLAY (CL) light brown to yellow-brown mottling, verv	v stiff,	_						
12 —						moist, fine-grained, with trace sand		_						
13 —								_						
14 —								_						
15 —						trace silt		_						
16 —	ST		800 psi			Consolidation Test, see Figure C-4		_					22.9	100
17 —								_	PP		3,500			
18 —								_						
<u>9</u> 19 —								_						
20 —						olive-gray with gray mottling, medium stif	f, trace	_	PP		3 500			
21 -	S&H		3 4	6		sand Triaxial Test, see Figure C-6		_	TxUU	2,100	2,850		25.4	100
- <u>-</u>			5					_	1,000	2,100	,000		20.4	
VAV 22 -								_						
19-00 0-														
ק 25 — י	S&H		12 18	30		very stiff, with orange-brown mottling, and	d trace		_				22.8	103
26 -			25			CLAYEY SAND (SC)			PP		3,500			
5 27 —						yellow-brown, medium dense to dense, m fine-grained	noist,	_						
28 —					SC	∑ (11/2/16, 9:45 a.m.)		_						
ັ 29 — ງ								_						
30 —			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		l				LA	N	5A)	N	
C C C									Project	No.:		Figure:	_	
EX										75063	5601	-		B-2a

PRC	PROJECT:					3000 BROADWAY Oakland, California	Log of I	Borir	ng B	-13 P/	AGE 2	OF 2	
		SAMF	PLES						LABO	RATOR	Y TEST	DATA	
DEPTH (feet)	Sampler Type	Sample	Blows/ 6"	SPT N-Value ¹	ГІТНОГОGY	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	N	Type of Strength Test	Confining Pressure Lbs/Sq Ft	Shear Strength Lbs/Sq Ft	Fines %	Natural Moisture Content, %	Dry Density Lbs/Cu Ft
31 — 32 —	S&H		5 12 17	20	CL	CLAY (CL) olive-gray with dark gray mottling, very CLAY with SAND (CL) gray-brown, very stiff, wet, fine-grained	r stiff, wet	PP		4,000		22.1	105
33 — 34 — 35 —					CL	LL = 41, PI = 23, see Figure C-2	-	-					
36 — 37 —	S&H		10 20 26	32	CL	CLAY (CL) olive-gray with trace gray mottling, har	d, wet _ _	-					
38 — 39 — 40 —	S&H		20 31	45		CLAYEY SAND with trace GRAVEL (gray-brown, dense, wet, fine- to mediu fine subangular gravel	SC) m-grained,	- - - PP		3 500		15.8	117
42 — 43 — 44 —			33		sc	SILT (ML)	-	-		-,			
45 — 46 — 47 —	S&H		9 18 35	37	ML	olive-gray to brown, hard, wet	-	-			43.1	21.5	106
48							-	-					
51 — 52 —							-	_					
53 — 54 — 55 —							-						
56 — 57 — 58 —							-	-					
59 — 60 — Boring Boring	g terminal	ted at a	depth c	of 46.5 fe	eet belov	v ground surface. ¹ S&H and SPT blow counts for the last two converted to SPT N-Values using factors	increments were of 0.7 and 1.2, and harmer energy				54	N /	
Grour PP = p	ndwater s pocket pe	tabilized enetrom	l at 28.8 eter.	5 feet at	time of	drilling. ² Elevations based on Oakland City Datum were obtained from the ALTA Survey perl Associates, dated 20 April 2016.	Elevations referenced ormed by Luk and	Project	No.: 75063	5601	Figure:		B-2b

PROJEC	T:				3000 BROADWAY Oakland, California	Lo	g o	f E	Borir	ng B	-14 P/	AGE 1	OF 2	
Boring loca	ation:	S	ee Fi	gure	2	I			Logge	ed by:	J. San	glerat		
Date starte	ed:	1	1/3/1	6	Date finished: 11/4/16					u by.	Gregg	- ming		
Drilling me	thod:	H	lollow	Sten	n Auger									
Samplers:	/eignt/	arop:	. 140 Henwo	od (SA	/30 Inches Hammer type: Safety Auto)				LABO	RATOR	Y TEST	DATA	
Gampiers.	SAMF)			<u>س</u> ج	Бе <u>т</u>	ength Ft		- e %	sity Ft
DEPTH (feet) Sampler Type	Sample	Blows/ 6"	SPT N-Value ¹	LITHOLOG'	MATERIAL DESCRIPTIO	N			Type o Strengt Test	Confinir Pressu Lbs/Sq	Shear Stre Lbs/Sq	Fines %	Natura Moistur Content,	Dry Dens Lbs/Cu
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ 1 & - & \\ 2 & - & \\ 3 & - & \\ 4 & - & \\ 5 & - & \\ 6 & - & \\ 5 & - & \\ 6 & - & \\ 7 & - & \\ 8 & - & \\ 9 & - & \\ 10 & - & \\ 11 & - & \\ 8 & - & \\ 9 & - & \\ 10 & - & \\ 11 & - & \\ 12 & - & \\ 13 & - & \\ 11 & - & \\ 13 & - & \\ 11 & - & \\ 13 & - & \\ 11 & - & \\ 13 & - & \\ 11 & - & \\ 13 & - & \\ 11 & - & \\ 13 & - & \\ 14 & - & \\ 15 & - & \\ 13 & - & \\ 14 & - & \\ 15 & - & \\ 16 & - & \\ 5 & & \\ 17 & - & \\ 18 & - & \\ 19 & - & \\ 20 & - & \\ 21 & - & \\ 5 & & \\ 22 & - & \\ 23 & - & \\ 24 & - & \\ \end{array}$		 [∂]/_E ⁷ ⁵ ⁶ ¹⁰ ¹¹ ¹² ²⁰ ²⁵⁰ ^{psi} ²⁵⁰ 	13 24 26	SC CL CL	4 inches concrete slab CLAYEY SAND with GRAVEL (SC) dark brown, moist, fine- to medium-grasubangular gravel SANDY CLAY (CL) yellow-brown with black mottling, stiff, fine-grained sand CLAY (CL) yellow-brown, very stiff, mist, trace fin sand olive-brown with trace black mottling Triaxial Test, see Figure C-6	ained, fine moist,			TxUU	2,000	5 3,600 4,500		25.9	100
24 — 25 — 26 — S&H 27 — 27 — 28 — 28 — 28 — 29 — 29 —		6 12 21	23	CL	SANDY CLAY (CL) yellow-brown, very stiff, wet, fine-grain LL = 33, PI = 17, see Figure C-2 (11/3/16, 3:15 p.m.)	ed sand		-	PP		3,000	57.9		
	<u> </u>	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1					LA	N	5A)	N	I
TESI GEC									Project	^{No.:} 75063	5601	Figure:		B-3a

PRC	JEC.	T:				3000 BROADWAY Oakland, California	Log of	Borir	ng B	-14 P/	AGE 2	OF 2	
		SAMF	PLES						LABO	RATOR	Y TEST	DATA	
DEPTH (feet)	Sampler Type	Sample	Blows/ 6"	SPT N-Value ¹	ГІТНОГОБҮ	MATERIAL DESCRIPTIC	DN	Type of Strength Test	Confining Pressure Lbs/Sq Ft	Shear Strength Lbs/Sq Ft	Fines %	Natural Moisture Content, %	Dry Density Lbs/Cu Ft
31 —	S&H		5 13	23		CLAY with SAND (CL)	l oond -	PP		3,500			
32 —			20			olive-gray, very stiff, wet, fine-grained	i sand						
33 —					CI		-	-					
34 —							-	-					
35 —	S&H		6	31		olive-gray to gray, hard	-			>4 500			
36 —	Juli		25				-			-4,500			
37 — 38 —							-						
39 —							-	-					
40 —							-	-					
41 —							-	-					
42 —							-						
43 —							-						
45 —							-	-					
46 —							-	-					
47 —							-	-					
48 —							-	-					
49 — 50 —							-						
51 —							-	-					
52 —							-	-					
53 —							-	-					
54 —							-	1					
5 55 — 5 56 —							-						
57 —							-	-					
58 —							-	-					
59 —							-	-					
60 Boring Boring Groun PP = 1	terminat backfille dwater si	ed at a d with c tabilized	depth o ement o at 26.5	l of 36.5 fe grout. 5 feet at	l eet belo time of	w ground surface. transformed to SPT blow counts for the last to converted to SPT N-Values using facto respectively to account for sampler type felevations based on Oakland City Datu	wo increments were rs of 0.7 and 1.2, e and hammer energy. m. Elevations referenced		LA	N	5A)	N	<u> </u>
						were obtained from the ALTA Survey p Associates, dated 20 April 2016.	erformed by Luk and	Project	^{No.:} 75063	5601	Figure:		B-3b

PRC	JEC.	T:				3000 BROADWAY Oakland, California	Log of E	Borir	ng B	- 15	AGE 1	OF 2	
Boring	g loca	tion:	S	ee Fi	gure	2	·	Logge	ed by:	J. San	glerat		
Date	starte	d:	1	1/4/10	6	Date finished: 11/4/16			т ру:	Gregg	Drilling		
Drillin	g met	hod:	Н	ollow	Sten	n Auger							
Hamr	ner we	eight/	drop:	: 140) lbs.	/30 inches Hammer type: Safety Auto		$\frac{1}{2}$	LABO	RATOR	Y TEST	DATA	
Samp	olers:	Sprag		Henwo	od (S8	H), Standard Penetration Test (SPT), Shelby Tube (ST)				gth t		, s	2.4
т	Ъ.		LES ق	-a	.0GY	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		pe of ength rest	nfining ssure ssure	Stren //Sq F	ines %	atural isture tent, %	Densit //Cu F
EPTI (feet)	Type	ample	lows/	SPT I-Valu	THOL			₹₹_	Cor Lbs	Shear Lbs	ш	So Mo	Dry I Lbs
	S	0	В	z		4 inches of concrete slab							
1 — 2 —						CLAYEY SAND with GRAVEL (SC) yellow-brown, moist, fine-grained, fine su gravel	bangular –	-					
3 —					SC		<u> </u>	-					
4 —							_	-					
5 —							.	4					
6 —	S&H		9 11	16		SANDY CLAY (CL) yellow-brown with trace black inclusions,	very stiff,	PP		1,250			
7			12			moist, medium-grained	_					20.9	80
							_					50.0	09
8 —							_	1					
9 —							_	1					
10 —			3		CL	olive-gray with trace black mottling, stiff,	moist,	-		2,500			
11 —	S&H		5 9	10		fine- to medium-grained sand	-	PP		to 3,000			
12 —							_	-					
13 —							_	-					
14 —							_						
15													
10	0T		200			SANDY CLAY (CL)	fine						
16 —	SI		psi			subangular gravel		- PP		1,500			
17 —						Particle Size Analysis, see Figure C-3	_	1			59.9	22.9	104
18 —					CL		-	-					
19 —							_	-					
20 —							_	-					
21 —	S&H		8 33 31	45	SC	lense of CLAYEY SAND (SC)	. /-	PP		4,000			
22 -			51			Olive-gray, medium-grained, dense, moist CLAY with trace SAND (CL)	t/						
						olive-gray, hard, mist, fine- to medium-gr	ained						
23 -					GL	sand	_	1					
24 —							_	1					
25 —	0.001		4			CLAY with trace SAND and SILT (CL)		1					
26 —	S&H		8 15	16		olive-gray to dark gray, very stiff, moist, fine-grained sand	_	+					
g 27 —					CL		_	-					
28 —							_	-					
29 —					SP-	SAND with CLAY (SP-SC)	–						
30 —					SC	olive-gray, medium dense, moist, fine-gra	ained						
									LA	N	5A)	N	
								Project	^{No.:} 75063	5601	Figure:		B-4a

PRC	JEC.	T:				3000 BROAL Oakland, Cal	DWAY ifornia		Log of E	Borir	ng B	- 15 P/	AGE 2	OF 2	
		SAMF	PLES								LABO	RATOR	Y TEST	DATA	
DEPTH (feet)	Sampler Type	Sample	Blows/ 6"	SPT N-Value ¹	ГІТНОГОСУ	MA	TERIAL DES	CRIPTION		Type of Strength Test	Confining Pressure Lbs/Sq Ft	Shear Strength Lbs/Sq Ft	Fines %	Natural Moisture Content, %	Dry Density Lbs/Cu Ft
	S&H		8	26		SAND with	CLAY (SP-SC)	(continued)							
31 — 32 — 33 — 34 — 35 — 36 —	S&H		6 15 23	20	SC CL	CLAYEY S olive-gray w moist, fine LL = 36, P CLAY (CL olive-gray w trace fine-g	SAND (SC) with yellow-brown grained I = 17, see Figurn I = 17, see Figurn with brown mottli grained sand	n mottling, ver e C-2 ng, wet, very s	y stiff, stiff,	-			45.6	20.4	108
37 — 38 — 39 —									-	-					
40 —									_	-					
41 —									_						
43 —									_						
44 —									_	-					
45 — 46 —									_	-					
47 —									_						
48 —									_	-					
49 — 50 —									_						
51 —									_						
52 —									_	-					
53 —									_						
54 -									_	1					
56 —									_	-					
57 —									_	-					
58 —									_	-					
59 — 60 —									_	1					
Borine Borine Grour PP =	g terminat g backfille ndwater e pocket pe	ted at a ed with c ncounte	depth o ement o red at 3 eter	f 36.5 fe grout. 32.5 fee	eet belo t (not st	w ground surface.	S&H and SPT blow coun converted to SPT N-Valu respectively to account f Elevations based on Oal	ts for the last two incr les using factors of 0 or sampler type and h dand City Datum. Ele	rements were 0.7 and 1.2, hammer energy. vations referenced		LA	N	5A)	N	
							were obtained from the A Associates, dated 20 Ap	AL FA Survey perform ril 2016.	led by Luk and	Project	^{No.:} 75063	5601	Figure:		B-4b

PROJECT:						3000 BROADWAY Oakland, California	Log of	LOG OF Boring B-16 PAGE 1 OF 2						
Boring	g loca	tion:	S	ee Fi	gure	2	Logged by: J. Sanglerat							
Date	starte	d:	1	1/4/10	6	Date finished: 11/4/16		Gregg Drilling						
Drillin	g met	hod:	Н	ollow	Sten	n Auger	uger							
Hamr	ner we	eight/	drop:	: 140) lbs.	/30 inches Hammer type: Safety Auto		4	_ LABORATORY TEST DATA					
Samp	olers:	Sprag		Henwo	od (S&	KH), Standard Penetration Test (SPT), Shelby Tube (ST)		-	- <u></u>				₹	
	5	5AIVIF	rles ق	-m	OGY	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION					atural isture tent, %	Jensit /Cu Ft	
EPTŀ (feet)	ampl∈ Type	Sample	/swo	SPT -Value	THOL		Str 1	Cor Pre Lbs	Shear Lbs	ш	Gont Mo Cont	Dry I Lbs		
	S	00	В	z		6 inches of concrete slab								
1 —						CLAYEY SAND with GRAVEL (SC)	-	-						
2 —					sc	yellow-brown, moist, line- to medium-gra	שוווג - ב	-		>4,500)	23.9		
3 —							E .	_					102	
4 —							¥_							
5 —						CLAY with SAND (CL) yellow-brown, very stiff, moist, fine-arair	ied sand							
6	S&H		8 9	18	CL	LL = 45, PI = 25, see Figure C-2 strong hydrocarbon odor at 6.5 feet		PP	5					
6 —			16				-							
7 —						SAND with CLAY (SP-SC)	- arained							
8 —					0.5	yonow brown, mediam dense, molst, mil	- grainea	-						
9 —					SP- SC			-						
10 —								-						
11 -	S&H		о 15 25	28				_				24.5	99	
12 -			25			ULAYEY SAND (SC) yellow-brown, medium dense, mist, fine-	-grained							
40					sc	reduced hydrocarbon odor at 12 feet H = 30, $PI = 11$, see Figure C-2								
13 -						L = 00, 11 = 11, See Figure 0-2	-	1						
14 —														
15 —			4			SANDY CLAY (CL)	brown and	7						
16 —	S&H		13 26	27		black inclusions, very stiff, fine- to coars	e-grained	-						
17 —					CL	sand color change to grav at 18 feet, strong		-						
18 —						hydrocarbon odor		_			58.2			
<u> </u>						CLAY (CL)								
20 -						gray, very stiff, wet, fine-grained sand								
	S&H		4 9	21		strong hydrocarbon odor Triavial Test, see Figure C-6		PP		>4,500				
21 -			21			maniai rest, see rigure 0-0			2,100	5,700		22.3	105	
5 22 -								1						
23 —								-						
24 —						no hydrocarbon odor at 24 feet, color ch	age to	-						
25 —					$\left \right>$	yellow-brown		_						
26 —	S&H		ь 15 20	26		SANDY CLAY (CL) vellow-brown very stiff moist to wet fin	e- to	PP		2,500				
27			20			medium-grained sand								
					CL	(11/4/10.12:33 p.m.)								
28 -								1						
29 — 2								1						
				I	I	1			LA		5A)	N	1	
								Project	No.:	5601	Figure:		D E C	
Ŭ									10003	1000			D-09	

PROJECT:						3000 BROAI Oakland, Cal	DWAY ifornia		Log of E	Boring B-16 PAGE 2 OF 2					
	SAMPLES									LABO	RATOR	Y TEST	DATA		
DEPTH (feet)	Sampler Type	Sample	Blows/ 6"	SPT N-Value ¹	ГІТНОГОGY	MA	TERIAL DES	SCRIPTION		Type of Strength Test	Confining Pressure Lbs/Sq Ft	Shear Strength Lbs/Sq Ft	Fines %	Natural Moisture Content, %	Dry Density Lbs/Cu Ft
	S&H		8 14	28		CLAY (CL)	with bord ailty	radulaa	PP		4 000			
31 —	ourr		17	20	CL	olive-brow	n, very still, wet	, with hard slity	noquies			4,000			
33 —									_						
34 —						CLAY (CH brown with) I trace black spe	ecks, very stiff,	wet						
35 —	S&H		7 14	21	СН	LL = 63, P	I = 41, see Figu	re C-2	_					30.2	94
36 — 37 —	Curr		16							PP		3,000			
38 —									_						
39 —									_	-					
40 —									_	-					
41 —									_	-					
43 —									_						
44 —									_	-					
45 —									_	-					
46 — 47 —									_						
48 —									_						
49 —									_						
50 —									_	_					
51 -															
53 -									_	-					
54 —									_						
55 —									_	-					
56 -									_						
57 -									_	-					
59 —									_	-					
Boring terminated at a depth of 36.5 feet below ground surface.						w ground surface.	S&H and SPT blow cou converted to SPT N-Va respectively to account	unts for the last two incr alues using factors of 0 t for sampler type and b	rements were).7 and 1.2,			\ //	74	N /	
Groundwater stabilized at 27 feet at time of drilling. PP = pocket penetrometer.						illing. :	Elevations based on O were obtained from the Associates, dated 20 A	akland City Datum. Ele ALTA Survey perform April 2016.	vations referenced and by Luk and	by Luk and Project No.: Figure:			_		
<u>п</u>											75063	5601			B-5b

			UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM				
м	ajor Divisions	Symbols	Typical Names				
- Grained Soils half of soil > no. 200 ieve size		GW	Well-graded gravels or gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines				
	Gravels (More than half of	GP	Poorly-graded gravels or gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines				
	coarse fraction >	GM	Silty gravels, gravel-sand-silt mixtures				
	no. 4 sieve size)	GC	Clayey gravels, gravel-sand-clay mixtures				
	Sande	SW	Well-graded sands or gravelly sands, little or no fines				
arse han	(More than half of	SP	Poorly-graded sands or gravelly sands, little or no fines				
Co ore ti	coarse fraction <	SM	Silty sands, sand-silt mixtures				
(ma	10. 4 010 00 0120)	SC	Clayey sands, sand-clay mixtures				
e) eil		ML	Inorganic silts and clayey silts of low plasticity, sandy silts, gravelly silts				
Soi of s siz	Silts and Clays LL = < 50	CL	Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, lean clays				
ned half sieve		OL	Organic silts and organic silt-clays of low plasticity				
Grai than 200 s		МН	Inorganic silts of high plasticity				
no. 2	Silts and Clays	СН	Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays				
l ∎ Ĕ v		ОН	Organic silts and clays of high plasticity				
Highly Organic Soils PT			Peat and other highly organic soils				

				1		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
	(GRAIN SIZE CHA	RT		Sample t	aken with Sprague & Henwood sr			
Classification		Range of Gra	ain Sizes		a 3.0-inc	h outside diameter and a 2.43-inc			
		U.S. Standard Sieve Size	Grain Size in Millimeters		Darkene	d area indicates soil recovered			
Boulde	ers	Above 12"	Above 305		sampler	ation sample taken with Standard			
Cobble	es	12" to 3"	305 to 76.2						
Gravel coarse fine		3" to No. 4 3" to 3/4" 3/4" to No. 4	76.2 to 4.76 76.2 to 19.1 19.1 to 4.76						
Sand coarse medium fine		No. 4 to No. 200 No. 4 to No. 10 No. 10 to No. 40 No. 40 to No. 200	4.76 to 0.075 4.76 to 2.00 2.00 to 0.420 0.420 to 0.075		Disturbed	a sample			
Silt an	nd Clay	Below No. 200	Below 0.075		_				
					Core sample				
<u> </u>	Unstabili	zed groundwater lev	el	•	Analytical laboratory sample				
	Stabilize	d groundwater level			Sample t	aken with Direct Push or Drive sa			
				SAMPL	ER TYPE				
С	Core bar	rel			PT	Pitcher tube sampler using 3.0-i thin-walled Shelby tube			
CA	California diameter	a split-barrel sample and a 1.93-inch insi	r with 2.5-inch outs de diameter	side S&H Sprague & Henwood spl outside diameter and a 2					
D&M	Dames 8 diameter	& Moore piston samp , thin-walled tube	ler using 2.5-inch	outside	SPT	Standard Penetration Test (SPT 2.0-inch outside diameter and a			
0	Osterber diameter	g piston sampler usi , thin-walled Shelby	ng 3.0-inch outside tube	9	ST	Shelby Tube (3.0-inch outside di			

SAMPLE DESIGNATIONS/SYMBOLS

				Sample	taken with S	Sprague & Henv	vood split-barre	I sampler with				
	Range of Gra	ain Sizes		a 3.0-inc	ch outside di	ameter and a 2	43-inch inside	diameter.				
on	U.S. Standard	Grain Size		Darkene	ed area indic	ates soil recove	red					
	Sieve Size	in Millimeters		Classification sample taken with Standard Penetration Test								
	Above 12"	Above 305		sampler								
	12" to 3"	305 to 76.2		I have the developed on a second to the term of which the term of the developed								
	3" to No. 4 3" to 3/4" 3/4" to No. 4	76.2 to 4.76 76.2 to 19.1 19.1 to 4.76										
	No. 4 to No. 200 No. 4 to No. 10 No. 10 to No. 40 No. 40 to No. 200	4.76 to 0.075 4.76 to 2.00 2.00 to 0.420 0.420 to 0.075		Sampling attempted with no recovery								
y	Below No. 200	Below 0.075		Core sample								
bili	zed groundwater lev	el	•	Analytical laboratory sample								
ize	d groundwater level			Sample taken with Direct Push or Drive sampler								
			SAMPLI	ER TYP	E							
bar	rel			PT	Pitcher tul	Pitcher tube sampler using 3.0-inch outside diameter, thin-walled Shelby tube						
rnia eter	a split-barrel sample and a 1.93-inch insi	r with 2.5-inch outs de diameter	side	S&H	Sprague & Henwood split-barrel sampler with a 3.0-inch							
es 8 eter	Moore piston samp , thin-walled tube	ler using 2.5-inch	outside	SPT	Standard	Standard Penetration Test (SPT) split-barrel sampler with a						
ber eter	g piston sampler usi , thin-walled Shelby	ng 3.0-inch outside tube	e	ST	Shelby Tube (3.0-inch outside diameter, thin-walled tube) advanced with hydraulic pressure							
	3000 BROA Oakland, Ca	DWAY alifornia			CLA	ASSIFICA	TION CHA	RT				
]								
				Date	12/7/16	Project No.	750635601	Figure B-6	-			