

November 28, 2011

Mr. Jerry Wickham
Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist
Local Oversight Program
Alameda County Department of Environmental Health
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway
Alameda, California 94502-6577

RECEIVED

8:39 am, Dec 06, 2011

Alameda County
Environmental Health

Subject: Remedial Action Workplan for Installation of a Permeable Reactive Barrier for Hydrocarbon Contamination Treatment, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard 7867 Redwood Road, Oakland, California
Alameda County Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000246.

Dear Mr. Wickham

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

On behalf of the responsible party, East Bay Regional Park District (EBRPD), Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental) is submitting this Workplan for the above referenced site. Since groundwater monitoring has been reduced to semiannual monitoring in Spring 2011 the EBRPD has requested we investigate additional remediation measures to address reduction of the elevated concentrations in the hydrocarbon groundwater plume, particularly in front of the downgradient sensitive receptor, Redwood Creek. See attach site location and plan figures.

The site has been the subject of various environmental investigations, soil and groundwater remediation, and groundwater monitoring since the 1993 removal of two underground fuel storage tanks (UFSTs). Site monitoring has shown impacts to soil and groundwater from total extractable hydrocarbons-diesel range (TEHd); total volatile hydrocarbons-gasoline range (TVHg); methyl *tertiary*-butyl ether (MTBE); and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX). Petroleum constituents have also been documented in Redwood Creek, directly downgradient from the former UFSTs, as the plume has been noted to “daylight” in the stream channel, particularly during Redwood Creek’s low-flow season. The problem has been persistent, despite multiple injection treatments with ORC[®], multiple an oxygen releasing

compound, and bioventing, where air (for its oxygen content) is pumped by a blower into the subsurface via piping leading to wells. The remediation has been successful in significantly reducing the higher concentrations down to lower ones, but limited near the downgradient receptor, Redwood Creek. As a result of Redwood Creek being the primary receptor, what is being proposed here is the installation of a permeable reactive barrier (PRB) to clean the leading edge of the plume before it moves into Redwood Creek.

Environmental remediation and investigations associated with former fuel underground storage tanks (UFSTs) have been conducted at the site since 1993 when the UFSTs were removed. The site currently contains 11 site groundwater monitoring wells, 7 of which are currently sampled on a semiannual basis. The site also contains an operating bioventing system that consists of four vent wells (VWs) and four vapor monitoring points (VMPs) that was shut down in June 2011 after having fulfilled its' design purpose.

Following the 2001 and 2002 ORC[®] injections, there was a contaminant concentration rebound within approximately one year. This led to the realization that there was significant unrecorded contaminant mass upgradient of the injected area, despite base-of-excavation and other data points suggesting otherwise. Subsequent additional borings (BH-16 through BH-19) in 2003 confirmed the residual total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) mass upgradient. Due to the difficulty of excavating this area, which is a steep embankment slope, a soil bioventing system was installed to oxygenate the former UFST removal area. The bioventing remediation has been only partially successful as it does not effectively address the residual contamination held in the stratigraphic traps in the saturated zone and capillary fringe zone.

Significant increases in the hydrocarbon concentrations in upgradient well MW-2, which was installed in the former UFST excavation in 1994, were observed in December 2007. These increases suggested that the previous two years of drought resulted in a release of hydrocarbons from the original UFST excavation area and/or capillary fringe, and saturated areas were exposed.

In March 2009, a small pilot test injection of ORC[®] in the upgradient source area around well MW-2 indicated ORC[®] contact can result in reduction of the higher hydrocarbon concentrations. This suggested that ORC[®] would be efficient in achieving dissolved hydrocarbon concentration reductions in the former source area. Four additional monitoring events have been conducted at MW-2 since the March 2009 injection. The analytical data has shown a consistent reduction in both diesel and gasoline grade hydrocarbons (see Attachment B).

Based on further examination of the 2001 and 2002 injection areas and considering the high variability of sediments, an in-situ injection design of "treatment zones" transverse to the plume

versus the “area” design was decided upon and implemented in February 2010. Special consideration was given to the upgradient source area as described below in detail. Injection into the source area in a barrier zone configuration is justified by the calculation of the groundwater velocity. Based on the distance between the wells and the groundwater elevations measured over time, it takes less than one year for groundwater to migrate down to the Redwood creek interface. The decision to implement the Advanced ORC[®] injection was arrived at after careful consideration of the original ORC[®] injection that occurred in 2001 and 2002, and the reasons for its failure to remediate the hydrocarbon plume.

Moderate initial lowering of the hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations was observed in the key site wells in both the 30-day post-injection and quarterly monitoring (equivalent to 60-day post-injection) events following the February 2010 injections. This may be due to the recharge influencing distribution of the injected Advanced ORC[™] product, or it could reflect that microbial biodegradation activity is occurring preferentially in natural site constituents in competition with the target residual hydrocarbons.

Historical groundwater elevation and analytical data is summarized in the attached tables.

RATIONALE FOR 2011 PERMEABLE REACTIVE BARRIER

Previous applications of ORC[®] focused on the upper and mid areas of the contaminant plume to treat two principal areas; the upper yard area (source area), and mid-plume area, immediately downgradient in the roadway area where high residual contamination in the capillary fringe and saturated zone are indicated to be present based on the hydrochemical history of the plume. The proposed workplan presented is to install an *in-situ* reactive zone transverse across the entire width of the contaminant plume in the lowest, most downgradient and accessible area at the crest of the slope bank leading down to the sensitive receptor of Redwood Creek for plume control and passive treatment of the plume over time. The groundwater will be treated as it flows into and through the relatively more permeable reactive PRB zone and prevent further migration of the plume. This remedy will create highly oxygenated barrier zones at critical locations transverse to the plume, focusing depth and loading based on lithology and known or suspected TPH mass. Adventus brand EHC-O was selected as a more cost-effective and equal alternative to the Regenesis Advanced ORC[®] product, to be used in the PRB design to inoculate the groundwater.

Attached Figure 2 is site plan showing the location of the PRB in relation to the site wells and Redwood Creek. Figure 3 is a site plan showing the location of cross-section A-A which is presented in Figure 4. Figure 4 illustrates the variable depth of the PRB in relation to the contaminated zone and Redwood Creek.

The PRB should be effective in reducing the toxicity of the plume by accelerating the biodegradation significantly within the first approximately 6-12 months. The mobility of the plume will likewise be reduced, although historical data from the last 6 years suggest that the remnant source between the corporation yard access road and the former UFST excavation area exhibits contaminant persistence due to probable hydrocarbons sorption and possibly lithologic (trap) reasons. The idea of the PRB remedy is to place the oxygenating material within a relatively more permeable matrix installed in a location downgradient of the source where it will intercept contaminants as they migrate in the groundwater flow.

The volume of dissolved hydrocarbons within the generalized area will likely be reduced within the first 12 months by 50 percent or more—according to the manufacturer's data. This approach assures continued long-term treatment of remaining contaminants through low-cost bioremediation after the chemical oxidation treatment is complete.

PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK FOR TREATMENT BARRIER WALL

Project work elements proposed in this workplan include: 1) Pre-Field Work Planning; 2) Trenching and Installation of Adventus Barrier Treatment Wall; 3) Disposal of Project-Generated Soil and Wastewater; 4) Post-Treatment Wall Installation Monitoring and Laboratory Analyses; and 5) Technical Report Preparation.

Task 1 – Pre-Field Work Planning

This task includes the cost to conduct all the pre-field work planning and permitting elements for the proposed borehole program, including permitting:

- Obtain a ACEH input and workplan concurrence;
- Complete a site Health and Safety Plan to reflect the trenching/excavation and EHC-O handling;
- Complete a site visit to mark trenching locations and notify Underground Service Alert for utility location;
- Notify EBRPD of dig schedule to insure park facilities personnel availability during trench excavation operation;
- Purchase Adventus EHC-O product from the distributor and arrange with EBRPD personnel for delivery to the site. The EHC-O will be delivered as a dry powder in 55-gallon drums (each drum containing 250 lbs EHC-O – 10 x 25-lb bags).

Task 2 – Trenching and Adventus EHC-O Barrier Treatment Wall Design and Installation

The PRB trench will be constructed by excavating a trench to approximately 22 ft below ground surface (bgs) and 3 feet wide utilizing an excavator equipped with a 3 foot wide bucket. Excavated soils will be direct loaded onto trucks for immediate transport to the receiving disposal facility or temporarily stockpiled onsite, on and covered with visqueen plastic. Air monitoring utilizing a photo-ionization detector will be conducted during soil excavation activity. Clean backfill will consist of coarse-grained gravelly-sand mixtures (Class II-III backfill) having generally greater permeability and porosity than surrounding area soils.

The PRB installation will entail the following design parameter:

- Trench will be 40 ft long and 3 foot wide
- The saturated thickness of the EHC-O inoculation zone ranges from 10-22 ft bgs
- Total treatment volume of PRB - 1,440 ft³
- EHC-O will be mixed into the backfill as it is placed into the excavation in 1 foot lifts.
- A total of approximately 1,200 pounds of EHC-O will be mixed with water/groundwater during installation of the PRB in the trench as it is backfilled to achieve a 20% solid slurry mix (approximately 12 gallons of water is needed for every 25 lbs of powder EHC-O to make a 20% slurry).
- 100 lbs of EHC-O will be used every 1-foot lift
- The oxidant loading will be approximately 100 lbs per treatment zone foot which is based on a conservative average of 8,000 micrograms per liter (µg/L) TVHg and TEHd. The dosage is determined based on COD reported in monitoring wells, existing geochemical conditions and incorporating safety factors to account for unknown oxygen sinks.
- Backfill Porosity - 25% (will be achieved with an Class II-III engineered import backfill)
- Approximately 90% compaction will be achieved during backfilling utilizing the excavator equipped with a sheepsfoot roller.

Table 1: Summary of EHC-O PRB Design Parameters

Treatment Area Description	
Length of Treatment Area	40.0 ft
Width of Treatment Area	3.0 ft
Depth of Treatment Area	12.0 ft
Treatment Volume	1,440 ft ³
Assumptions	
Soil bulk density	124.0 lbs/ft ³
Porosity	25%
Fraction organic carbon	0.005
COD in groundwater	35 mg/l
COD in soil	35 mg/kg
EHC-O requirements based application rate	
Mass of Pore Water and Solids	301,217 lbs
EHC-O estimated oxygen release	14.5%
EHC-O application rate	0.2% by wt. to soil mass
Total mass EHC-O required	1,200 lbs

Task 3 – Disposal of Project-Generated Soil and Wastewater

Approximately 100 cubic yards of hydrocarbon contaminated soil is expected to be excavated that will require disposal to a Class II landfill.

Previous liquid waste (from well purging and decontamination of sampling equipment) has historically been containerized in an onsite EBRPD-owned 650-gallon plastic tank. We

anticipate that this tank can be used if dewatering is required during trenching for the PRB installation.

- Collect required samples for laboratory analysis;
- Complete groundwater treatment and landfill facility waste profile documentation;
- Coordinate and pay for soil and/or wastewater transport and treatment/disposal; and
- Document the soil and wastewater profiling and disposal in the appropriate semiannual groundwater monitoring report covering the time in which the disposal occurs.

Task 4 – Pre and Post PRB Installation Monitoring and Laboratory Analyses

Sample sets from the downgradient wells MW-7, MW-9, MW-12 and upgradient wells MW-10 and MW-11, relative to the PRB, one month before and after installation of the PRB, followed by the regular semiannual sampling, will be conducted to monitor the remedy. In addition, dissolved oxygen will be measured during sampling to monitor the effect of the PRB. Groundwater samples will be analyzed in accordance with ACEHs' current site groundwater monitoring requirements for the following:

- Total extractable and volatile hydrocarbons –TPH-d and TPH-g by EPA Method 8015M or 8260; and
- Aromatic hydrocarbons benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) by EPA Method 8260.

Task 5 – Technical Reporting

Stellar Environmental will complete a report on the pre- and post-PRB installation monitoring that will be integrated with the semiannual groundwater monitoring report in which the activity occurs.

The report will include:

- Summary of Adventus EHC-O rationale and efficacy;
- Detailed description of the PRB installation and associated field data;
- 30- day monitoring;
- Map showing key site features and PRB location;
- Key indicators to monitor effectiveness; and
- Technical appendices.

As required, site data will be electronically uploaded to both the State of California GeoTracker system and the ACEH "ftp" system.

TEAM QUALIFICATIONS

Stellar Environmental has completed dozens of similar projects, including several under the jurisdiction of ACEH. Our team will consist of:

- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (owners' consultant responsible for overall project coordination, geologic evaluation, and report certification by a California Professional Geologist)
- An excavation contractor with hazardous material rating
- The ELAP certified laboratory Curtis and Tompkins will perform the required laboratory analyses.

We trust that this workplan submittal meets your agency's needs. I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact either Mr. Matt Graul of the EBRPD or me (510-644-3123).

Sincerely,




Richard S. Makdisi, P.G., R.E.A.
President/Technical Director
Principal and Project Manager



Matt Graul, Stewardship Manager
East Bay Regional Park District

Attachments:

- Attachment A – Figures: Site Location, Site Plan Showing Cross-Section Location A-A', Cross-Section A-A' Showing Proposed Barrier Treatment Wall Location and Site Plan Showing Treatment Wall
- Attachment B – Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical data
- Attachment C – Adventus EHC-O MSDS



ATTACHMENT A

Site Figures



3-D TopoQuads Copyright © 1999 DeLorme Yarmouth, ME 04096 Source Data: USGS 750 ft Scale: 1 : 25,000 Detail: 13-0 Datum: WGS84



SITE LOCATION ON U.S.G.S. TOPOGRAPHIC MAP

Redwood Reg. Park Service Yard
Oakland, CA

By: MJC











MARCH 2006


Figure 1



2006-17-01

LEGEND

-  Remedial trench
-  MW-1 Groundwater monitoring well
-  VW-1 Vent well
-  VMP-1 Vapor monitoring point
-  TVHg and TEHd isoconcentration in µg/L
-  Blower location
-  Air distribution piping (below ground); above ground in green
-  Gate
-  Tree
-  ND = Not detected
- MTBE = Methyl tertiary butyl ether
- TVHg = Total volatile hydrocarbons – gasoline range
- TEHd = Total extractable hydrocarbons – diesel range
- BTEX = Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes
- Plume based on Sept. 2011 monitoring event
- All concentrations in µg/L (micrograms per liter)
- 0 18
Approx. scale in feet



MW-12	
TVHg	530
TEHd	340
BT	ND
E	2.2
X	ND
MTBE	ND

MW-8	
TVHg	1,700
TEHd	1,200
B	6.6
T	0.89
E	120
X	12.2
MTBE	ND

MW-7	
TVHg	5,800
TEHd	3,300
B	ND
T	ND
E	97
X	3.1
MTBE	ND

MW-9	
TVHg	2,600
TEHd	1,900
B	13
T	ND
E	160
X	10.2
MTBE	ND

MW-10	
TVHg	110
TEHd	340
B	1.4
T	ND
E	2.6
X	0.74
MTBE	2.4

MW-11	
TVHg	2,000
TEHd	3,500
B	14
T	ND
E	42
X	0.92
MTBE	7.9


MW-2	
TVHg	780
TEHd	810
BTEX	ND
MTBE	ND

PLAN VIEW OF PROPOSED REMEDIAL EHC-O BARRIER TREATMENT WALL & TPH PLUME
7867 Redwood Rd, Oakland, CA

Figure 2

by: MJC

NOVEMBER 2011



LEGEND

	Vw-1 Vapor Monitoring Point or Vent Well
	BH-20 Exploratory borehole BH-20
	MW-1 Groundwater monitoring well MW-1
	SW-2 Area of discolored soil and creek soil and surface water sample location SW-2
A-A'	Geologic cross section
	Tree

NOTES

Locations and dimensions of roads, trails, creek, and parking lot are approximate

UFST = Underground fuel storage tank

0 20
Scale in feet


2008-02-05



GEOLOGIC CROSS-SECTION LOCATIONS
Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, CA

Figure 3

by: MJC	MARCH 2008
---------	------------




LEGEND

- | | |
|---|---|
| B1 Exploratory Boring B1 | MW-1 Monitoring Well MW-1 |
| Location of soil sample collected for laboratory analysis | Location of soil sample collected for laboratory analysis |
| Well screen interval | Well screen interval |
| Silt/clay | Backfill |
| Sand/gravel | Area of unsaturated zone soil contamination |

NOTES

- Locations and dimensions of roads, trails and parking lot are approximate
- UFST = Underground fuel storage tank
- UFSTs not drawn to scale
- All elevations are expressed as feet above mean sea level (MSL)
- Well casing and boring widths not to scale
- Some borings projected into cross section (see Figure 2)



CROSS SECTION A-A' SHOWING LOCATION OF TREATMENT WALL
Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, CA

Figure 4

by: MJC NOVEMBER 2011

2011-02-03



ATTACHMENT B

Historical Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data

HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS ANALYTICAL RESULTS
REDWOOD REGIONAL PARK SERVICE YARD, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA
(all concentrations in ug/L, equivalent to parts per billion [ppb])

Well MW-2									
Event	Date	TVHg	TEHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	Total BTEX	MTBE
1	Nov-94	66	< 50	3.4	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.9	4.3	NA
2	Feb-95	89	< 50	18	2.4	1.7	7.5	30	NA
3	May-95	< 50	< 50	3.9	< 0.5	1.6	2.5	8.0	NA
4	Aug-95	< 50	< 50	5.7	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	5.7	NA
5	May-96	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
6	Aug-96	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
7	Dec-96	< 50	< 50	6.3	< 0.5	1.6	< 0.5	7.9	NA
8	Feb-97	< 50	< 50	0.69	< 0.5	0.55	< 0.5	1.2	NA
9	May-97	67	< 50	8.9	< 0.5	5.1	< 1.0	14	NA
10	Aug-97	< 50	< 50	4.5	< 0.5	1.1	< 0.5	5.6	NA
11	Dec-97	61	< 50	21	< 0.5	6.5	3.9	31	NA
12	Feb-98	2,000	200	270	92	150	600	1,112	NA
13	Sep-98	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	7.0
14	Apr-99	82	710	4.2	< 0.5	3.4	4.0	12	7.5
15	Dec-99	57	< 50	20	0.6	5.9	<0.5	27	4.5
16	Sep-00	< 50	< 50	0.72	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.7	7.9
17	Jan-01	51	< 50	8.3	< 0.5	1.5	< 0.5	9.8	8.0
18	Apr-01	110	< 50	10	< 0.5	11	6.4	27	10
19	Aug-01	260	120	30	6.7	1.6	6.4	45	27
20	Dec-01	74	69	14	0.8	3.7	3.5	22	6.6
21	Mar-02	< 50	< 50	2.3	0.51	1.9	1.3	8.3	8.2
22	Jun-02	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	7.7
23	Sep-02	98	< 50	5.0	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	13
24	Dec-02	< 50	< 50	4.3	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	< 2.0
25	Mar-03	130	82	39	< 0.5	20	4.1	63	16
26	Jun-03	< 50	< 50	1.9	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.9	8.7
27	Sep-03	120	< 50	8.6	0.51	0.53	< 0.5	9.6	23
28	Dec-03	282	<100	4.3	1.6	1.3	1.2	8.4	9.4
29	Mar-04	374	<100	81	1.2	36	7.3	126	18
30	Jun-04	< 50	< 50	0.75	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	15
31	Sep-04	200	< 50	23	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.70	24	16
32	Dec-04	80	< 50	14	< 0.5	2.9	0.72	18	20
33	Mar-05	190	68	27	<0.5	14	11	52	26
34	Jun-05	68	< 50	7.1	< 0.5	6.9	1.8	16	24
35	Sep-05	< 50	< 50	2.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 1.0	2.5	23
36	Dec-05	< 50	< 50	3.9	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 1.0	3.9	23
37	Mar-06	1300	300	77	4.4	91	250	422	18
38	Jun-06	< 50	60	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 1.0	—	17
39	Sep-06	270	52	31	< 0.5	15	6.69	53	17
40	Dec-06	< 50	< 50	2.1	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	2	16
41	Mar-07	59	< 50	4	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	14
42	Jun-07	<50	<50	3.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3.5	8
43	Sep-07	2,600	260	160	44	86	431	721	15
44	Dec-07	16,000	5,800	23	91	230	2,420	2764	16
44a	Jan-08	480	200	1.1	3.2	5.5	68	77.8	11
45	Mar-08	20,000	24,000	21	39	300	2,620	2980	13
45a	Apr-08	800	640	2.6	2.1	13	155	172.7	13
46a	May-08	7,100	3,900	14	8.8	140	710	872.8	11
46	Jun-08	5,700	1,000	9.4	5.2	80	550	644.6	11
46a	Jul-08	6,400	2,200	13	5.1	140	570	728.1	2.9
46b	Jul-08	390	55	1.3	0.77	4.6	44.4	51.07	9
46c	Aug-08	28,000	7,100	12	19	260	2,740	3031	<20
46d	Aug-08	8,700	2,700	5.7	7.4	130	900.0	1043.1	3.5
47	Sep-08	40,000	9,100	1.6	<0.5	110	910.0	1021.6	9.5
48	Dec-08	9,200	2,200	0.52	<0.5	<0.5	201.0	201.52	12
49	Mar-09	3,100	37,000	1.1	1.4	7.9	35.0	45.4	14
50	May-09	5,000	15,000	1.5	<0.5	9.8	39.0	50	13
51	Jun-09	2,400	8,000	5.4	<0.5	11	20.2	36.6	13
52	Aug-09	1,900	3,100	1.6	1.8	11	23.8	38.2	7.1
53	Sep-09	1,400	1,800	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	4.2	4.24	12
54	Dec-09	590	1,800	<0.5	<0.5	1.2	1.2	2.4	3.6
55	Mar-10	1,900	3,200	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	2.2	2.2	2.2
56	Mar-10	2,000	4,300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3.5	3.45	<2.0
57	Jun-10	1,300	2,400	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.7	1.74	<2.0
58	Sep-10	910	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.5	1.45	<2.0
59	Dec-10	910	1,600	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	2.6

Well MW-4									
Event	Date	TVHg	TEHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	Total BTEX	MTBE
1	Nov-94	2,600	230	120	4.8	150	88	363	NA
2	Feb-95	11,000	330	420	17	440	460	1,337	NA
3	May-95	7,200	440	300	13	390	330	1,033	NA
4	Aug-95	1,800	240	65	6.8	89	67	227	NA
5	May-96	1,100	140	51	< 0.5	< 0.5	47	98	NA
6	Aug-96	3,700	120	63	2.0	200	144	409	NA
7	Dec-96	2,700	240	19	< 0.5	130	93	242	NA
8	Feb-97	3,300	< 50	120	1.0	150	103	374	NA
9	May-97	490	< 50	2.6	6.7	6.4	6.7	22	NA
10	Aug-97	1,900	150	8.6	3.5	78	53	143	NA
11	Dec-97	1,000	84	4.6	2.7	61	54	123	NA
12	Feb-98	5,300	340	110	24	320	402	856	NA
13	Sep-98	1,800	< 50	8.9	< 0.5	68	27	104	23
14	Apr-99	2,900	710	61	1.2	120	80	263	32
15	Dec-99	1,000	430	4.0	2.0	26	14	46	< 2.0
16	Sep-00	570	380	< 0.5	< 0.5	16	4.1	20	2.4
17	Jan-01	1,600	650	4.2	0.89	46	13.8	65	8.4
18	Apr-01	1,700	1,100	4.5	2.8	48	10.7	66	5.0
19	Aug-01	1,300	810	3.2	4.0	29	9.7	46	< 2.0
20	Dec-01	< 50	110	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.2	1.2	< 2.0
21	Mar-02	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	< 2.0
22	Jun-02	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	< 2.0
23	Sep-02	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	< 2.0
24	Dec-02	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	< 2.0
25	Mar-03	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	< 2.0
26	Jun-03	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	< 2.0
27	Sep-03	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	< 2.0
28	Dec-03	< 50	< 100	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.6	—	< 5.0
29	Mar-04	< 50	< 100	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.6	—	< 5.0
30	Jun-04	< 50	2,500	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.6	—	< 5.0
31	Sep-04	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 1.0	—	< 2.0
32	Dec-04	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 1.0	—	< 2.0
33	Mar-05	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 1.0	—	< 2.0
34	Jun-05	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 1.0	—	< 2.0
35	Sep-05	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 1.0	—	< 2.0

Groundwater monitoring in this well discontinued with Alameda County Health Care Services Agency approval.

Well MW-5									
Event	Date	TVHg	TEHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	Total BTEX	MTBE
1	Nov-94	50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
2	Feb-95	70	< 50	0.6	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.6	NA
3	May-95	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
4	Aug-95	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
5	May-96	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
6	Aug-96	80	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
7	Dec-96	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
8	Feb-97	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
9	May-97	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
10	Aug-97	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
11	Dec-97	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
12	Feb-98	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
13	Sep-98	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	< 2
Groundwater monitoring in this well discontinued in 1998 with Alameda County Health Care Services Agency approval.									
Subsequent groundwater monitoring conducted to confirm plume's southern limit									
14	Jun-04	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	5.9
15	Sep-04	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 1.0	—	< 2.0

Well MW-7									
Event	Date	TVHg	TEHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	Total BTEX	MTBE
1	Jan-01	13,000	3,100	95	4	500	289	888	95
2	Apr-01	13,000	3,900	140	< 0.5	530	278	948	52
3	Aug-01	12,000	5,000	55	25	440	198	718	19
4	Dec-01	9,100	4,600	89	< 2.5	460	228	777	< 10
5	Mar-02	8,700	3,900	220	6.2	450	191	867	200
6	Jun-02	9,300	3,500	210	6.3	380	155	751	18
7	Sep-02	9,600	3,900	180	< 0.5	380	160	720	< 2.0
8	Dec-02	9,600	3,700	110	< 0.5	400	189	699	< 2.0
9	Mar-03	10,000	3,600	210	12	360	143	725	45
10	Jun-03	9,300	4,200	190	< 10	250	130	570	200
11	Sep-03	10,000	3,300	150	11	300	136	597	< 2.0
12	Dec-03	9,140	1,100	62	45	295	184	586	89
13	Mar-04	8,170	600	104	41	306	129	580	84
14	Jun-04	9,200	2,700	150	< 0.5	290	91	531	< 2.0
15	Sep-04	9,700	3,400	98	< 0.5	300	125	523	< 2.0
16	Dec-04	8200	4,000	95	< 0.5	290	124	509	< 2.0
17	Mar-05	10,000	4,300	150	< 0.5	370	71	591	< 2.0
18	Jun-05	10,000	3,300	210	< 1.0	410	56	676	< 4.0
19	Sep-05	7,600	2,700	110	< 1.0	310	54	474	< 4.0
20	Dec-05	2,900	3,300	31	< 1.0	140	41	212	< 4.0
21	Mar-06	6,800	3,000	110	< 1.0	280	42	432	110
22	Jun-06	6,900	3,600	63	< 2.5	290	43	396	< 10
23	Sep-06	7,900	3,600	64	< 0.5	260	58	382	49
24	Dec-06	7,300	2,400	50	< 0.5	220	42	312	< 2.0
25	Mar-07	6,200	2,900	34	< 0.5	190	15	239	< 2.0
26	Jun-07	6,800	3,000	30	< 1.0	160	27	217	< 4.0
27	Sep-07	6,400	3,000	< 0.5	< 0.5	170	43	213	< 2.0
28	Dec-07	4,800	2,800	< 0.5	< 0.5	100	26.5	126.5	2.7
30	Mar-08	5,400	5,900	21	< 0.5	150	15	186	51
31	Jun-08	4,800	3,500	55	< 0.5	140	7.03	202	< 2.0
32	Sep-08	6,400	2,800	22	< 0.5	100	9.30	131	< 2.0
33	Dec-08	3,500	3,600	5	< 0.5	100	9.10	114	< 2.0
34	Mar-09	5,100	6,700	19	< 0.5	140	12.30	171	51
35	Jun-09	4,600	5,400	40	< 0.5	140	5.12	185	260
36	Sep-09	4,400	4,700	< 0.5	< 0.5	96	5.60	102	3.5
37	Dec-09	4,900	4,500	< 0.5	< 0.5	90	2.90	93	57.0
38	Mar-10	5,300	4,300	17	< 0.5	110	2.60	130	16.0
39	Mar-10	2,600	6,100	11	< 0.5	76	4.50	92	< 2.0
40	Jun-10	5,800	5,000	20	< 0.5	140	9.90	170	< 2.0
41	Sep-10	6,300	4,100	< 0.5	< 0.5	93	6.00	99	69.0
42	Dec-10	5,400	3,500	< 0.5	< 0.5	99	9.20	108	87.0

Well MW-8									
Event	Date	TVHg	TEHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	Total BTEX	MTBE
1	Jan-01	14,000	1,800	430	17	360	1230	2,037	96
2	Apr-01	11,000	3,200	320	13	560	1,163	2,056	42
3	Aug-01	9,600	3,200	130	14	470	463	1,077	14
4	Dec-01	3,500	950	69	2.4	310	431	812	< 4.0
5	Mar-02	14,000	3,800	650	17	1,200	1,510	3,377	240
6	Jun-02	2,900	1,100	70	2.0	170	148	390	19
7	Sep-02	1,000	420	22	< 0.5	64	50	136	< 2.0
8	Dec-02	3,300	290	67	< 0.5	190	203	460	< 2.0
9	Mar-03	13,000	3,500	610	12	1,100	958	2,680	< 10
10	Jun-03	7,900	2,200	370	7.4	620	562	1,559	< 4.0
11	Sep-03	3,600	400	120	3.3	300	221	644	< 2.0
12	Dec-03	485	100	19	1.5	26	36	83	< 5.0
13	Mar-04	16,000	900	592	24	1,060	1,870	3,546	90
14	Jun-04	5,900	990	260	9.9	460	390	1,120	< 10
15	Sep-04	2,000	360	100	< 2.5	180	102	382	< 10
16	Dec-04	15,000	4,000	840	21	1,200	1,520	3,581	< 10
17	Mar-05	24,000	7,100	840	51	1,800	2,410	5,101	< 10
18	Jun-05	33,000	5,700	930	39	2,500	3,860	7,329	< 20
19	Sep-05	5,600	1,200	270	6.6	400	390	1,067	< 20
20	Dec-05	3,700	1,300	110	< 5.0	320	356	786	< 20
21	Mar-06	22,000	4,300	550	30	1,800	2,380	4,760	< 20
22	Jun-06	19,000	5,000	500	28	1,800	1,897	4,225	< 20
23	Sep-06	9,000	820	170	7.7	730	539	1,447	< 10
24	Dec-06	4,400	800	75	4.2	320	246	645	< 2.0
25	Mar-07	15,000	4,500	340	19	1,300	1,275	2,934	< 20
26	Jun-07	10,000	3,500	220	11	670	675	1,576	< 4.0
27	Sep-07	9,400	3,400	200	6.9	1,000	773	1,980	< 8.0
28	Dec-07	1,200	500	15	0.88	95	57.7	168.58	< 2.0
30	Mar-08	11,000	13,000	150	13	1,100	950.0	2,213	76
31	Jun-08	2,000	1,700	27	2.5	190	113.2	333	< 2.0
32	Sep-08	5,500	4,400	89	3.9	630	194.4	917	< 2.0
33	Dec-08	520	400	1.5	< 0.5	20	4.4	26	4.5
34	Mar-09	4,600	7,300	55	< 5.0	410	639.0	1,104	< 20
35	Jun-09	2,100	3,400	32	< 0.5	260	80.8	373	55
36	Sep-09	440	1,700	2.8	< 0.5	33	2.7	39	3.7
37	Dec-09	560	540	1.5	< 0.5	39	7.1	48	4.2
38	Mar-10	220	270	0.8	< 0.5	14	3.1	18	3.9
39	Mar-10	3,400	5,700	28.0	< 0.5	340	255.7	624	< 2.0
40	Jun-10	4,700	4,200	27.0	2.9	400	103.2	533	27
41	Sep-10	900	1,300	2.9	< 0.5	22	< 2.5	25	< 10
42	Dec-10	180	260	< 0.5	< 0.5	5	0.99	6.4	7.2

Well MW-9									
Event	Date	TVHg	TEHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	Total BTEX	MTBE
1	Aug-01	11,000	170	340	13	720	616	1,689	48
2	Dec-01	9,400	2,700	250	5.1	520	317	1,092	< 10
3	Mar-02	1,700	300	53	4.2	120	67	244	20
4	Jun-02	11,000	2,500	200	16	600	509	1,325	85
5	Sep-02	3,600	2,800	440	11	260	39	750	< 4.0
6	Dec-02	7,000	3,500	380	9.5	730	147	1,266	< 10
7	Mar-03	4,400	1,400	320	6.9	400	93	820	< 2.0
8	Jun-03	7,600	1,600	490	10	620	167	1,287	< 4.0
9	Sep-03	8,300	2,900	420	14	870	200	1,504	< 10
10	Dec-03	7,080	700	287	31	901	255	1,474	< 10
11	Mar-04	3,550	600	122	15	313	84	534	35
12	Jun-04	6,800	1,700	350	< 2.5	620	99	1,069	< 10
13	Sep-04	7,100	1,900	160	8.1	600	406	1,174	< 10
14	Dec-04	4,700	2,800	160	< 2.5	470	< 0.5	630	< 10
15	Mar-05	4,200	1,600	97	< 2.5	310	42	449	< 10
16	Jun-05	9,900	2,000	170	< 2.5	590	359	1,119	< 10
17	Sep-05	3,600	1,200	250	< 0.5	330	36	616	< 2.0
18	Dec-05	8,700	1,500	150	4	650	551	1,355	< 4.0
19	Mar-06	3,600	880	37	< 1.0	210	165	412	< 4.0
20	Jun-06	3,200	1,300	39	< 1.0	220	144	403	4.2
21	Sep-06	12,000	3,300	130	8	850	604	1,592	< 1.0
22	Dec-06	12,000	2,800	140	9.4	880	634	1,663	< 10
23	Mar-07	9,600	2,900	120	8.7	780	453	1,362	< 10
24	Jun-07	7,100	2,200	75	5.2	480	298	858	< 4.0
25	Sep-07	4,500	2,100	60	3.8	420	227	710	< 4.0
26	Dec-07	6,200	2,000	51	< 0.5	340	128.8	519.8	< 2.0
27	Mar-08	6,400	3,500	67	5.2	480	177.6	724.6	38
28	Jun-08	10,000	3,400	89	< 2.5	510	231.0	830.0	< 10
29	Sep-08	4,800	2,700	53	< 0.5	250	66.4	369.4	< 2.0
30	Dec-08	4,300	2,300	45	< 0.5	330	39.1	414.1	< 2.0
31	Mar-09	4,000	2,200	< 2.0	< 0.5	160	34.9	194.9	< 2.0
32	Jun-09	4,100	3,600	62	< 0.5	280	41.7	383.7	160
33	Sep-09	2,200	2,900	15	< 0.5	110	11.8	136.8	< 2.0
34	Dec-09	2,500	4,000	27	< 0.5	170	8.7	205.7	< 2.0
35	Mar-10	3,300	2,600	15	< 0.5	140	12.0	167.0	8.6
36	Mar-10	2,500	3,400	16	< 0.5	70	15.4	101.4	2.1
37	Jun-10	1,700	1,300	13	< 0.5	48	4.9	65.9	11
38	Sep-10	13,000	2,900	43	< 0.5	300	47.9	390.9	43
39	Dec-10	3,900	2,400	32	< 0.5	240	20.5	292.5	82

Well MW-10									
Event	Date	TVHg	TEHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	Total BTEX	MTBE
1	Aug-01	550	2,100	17	< 0.5	31	44	92	40
2	Dec-01	< 50	81	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	25
3	Mar-02	< 50	< 50	0.61	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.61	6.0
4	Jun-02	< 50	< 50	0.59	< 0.5	0.58	< 0.5	1.2	9.0
5	Sep-02	160	120	10	< 0.5	6.7	3.6	20	26
6	Dec-02	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	16
7	Mar-03	110	< 50	11	< 0.5	12	1.3	24	15
8	Jun-03	110	< 50	9.6	< 0.5	6.8	< 0.5	16	9.0
9	Sep-03	< 50	< 50	1.1	< 0.5	1.5	< 0.5	2.6	7.0
10	Dec-03	162	<100	6.9	<0.3	8.0	<0.6	15	9.9
11	Mar-04	94	<100	2.8	<0.3	5.7	7.0	16	<5.0
12	Jun-04	150	56	11	< 0.5	12	< 0.5	23	15
13	Sep-04	< 50	< 50	1.6	< 0.5	1.9	< 1.0	3.5	5.8
14	Dec-04	64	< 50	3.7	< 0.5	3.7	0.7	8.1	10
15	Mar-05	95	98	8.3	<0.5	7.7	0.77	17	13
16	Jun-05	150	57	14	<0.5	10	1.0	25	<2.0
17	Sep-05	87	< 50	5.0	<0.5	3.6	<1.0	8.6	<2.0
18	Dec-05	< 50	< 50	1.2	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	1.2	7.8
19	Mar-06	58	71	3.2	<0.5	2.2	<1.0	5.4	8.8
20	Jun-06	73	140	4.9	<0.5	2.5	<1.0	7.4	5.3
21	Sep-06	88	51	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	9.6
22	Dec-06	<50	<50	0.61	<0.5	0.55	<0.5	1.2	3.7
23	Mar-07	57	<50	3.6	<0.5	2.2	<0.5	5.8	3.1
24	Jun-07	60	65	2.4	<0.5	1.6	<0.5	4.0	4.0
25	Sep-07	84	<50	3.6	<0.5	2.3	0.52	6.4	3.6
26	Dec-07	130	67	0.77	<0.5	340	0.83	341.6	<2.0
27	Mar-08	78	170	1.7	<0.5	3.1	0.97	5.8	2.4
28	Jun-08	230	320	12	<0.5	9.9	3.50	25.4	<2.0
29	Sep-08	80	<50	1.6	<0.5	0.52	<0.5	2.1	3.0
30	Dec-08	<50	66	0.89	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.9	2.1
31	Mar-09	76	230	<2.0	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	1.4	<2.0
32	Jun-09	72	120	2.0	< 0.5	4.4	1.3	7.7	<2.0
33	Sep-09	74	220	1.6	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.6	<2.0
34	Dec-09	72	150	0.6	<0.5	1.6	1.2	3.4	<2.0
36	Mar-10	63	280	1.3	<0.5	48	<0.5	49.3	<2.0
37	Jun-10	110	340	1.4	<0.5	2.6	0.74	4.7	2.4
38	Sep-10	140	360	2.1	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	3.5	4.3
39	Dec-10	80	440	<0.5	<0.5	0.69	<0.5	0.7	4.1

Well MW-11									
Event	Date	TVHg	TEHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	Total BTEX	MTBE
1	Aug-01	17,000	7,800	390	17	820	344	1,571	< 10
2	Dec-01	5,800	2,800	280	7.8	500	213	1,001	< 10
3	Mar-02	100	94	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.64	< 0.5	0.64	2.4
4	Jun-02	8,200	2,600	570	13	560	170	1,313	< 4
5	Sep-02	12,000	4,400	330	13	880	654	1,877	< 10
6	Dec-02	18,000	4,500	420	< 2.5	1,100	912	2,432	< 10
7	Mar-03	7,800	2,600	170	4.7	530	337	1,042	53
8	Jun-03	14,000	3,800	250	< 2.5	870	693	1,813	< 10
9	Sep-03	10,000	3,000	250	9.9	700	527	1,487	< 4
10	Dec-03	15,000	1,100	314	60	1,070	802	2,246	173
11	Mar-04	4,900	400	72	17	342	233	664	61
12	Jun-04	10,000	2,300	210	2.8	690	514	1,417	< 10
13	Sep-04	7,200	2,300	340	< 2.5	840	75	1,255	< 10
14	Dec-04	11,000	3,900	180	5.1	780	695	1,660	< 10
15	Mar-05	4,600	1,900	69	< 2.5	300	206	575	< 10
16	Jun-05	1,400	590	85	< 0.5	110	8.2	203	< 2.0
17	Sep-05	12,000	3,100	220	< 1.0	840	762	1,822	< 4.0
18	Dec-05	2,500	2,100	120	< 2.5	260	16	396	< 10
19	Mar-06	2,200	1,300	27	< 2.5	130	5.2	162	< 10
20	Jun-06	3,700	1,900	170	< 1.0	230	14	414	< 4.0
21	Sep-06	3,600	2,100	80	< 0.5	230	8.8	319	< 2.0
22	Dec-06	6,000	3,500	83	< 1.0	260	16.4	359	< 4.0
23	Mar-07	4,500	1,900	110	< 0.5	170	7.9	288	< 2.0
24	Jun-07	4	2,200	120	< 0.5	140	6.6	267	< 4.0
25	Sep-07	5,500	2,700	86	< 0.5	180	16.1	282	< 2.0
26	Dec-07	7,100	4,000	68	< 0.5	140	14	222	35
27	Mar-08	5,300	4,000	130	< 0.5	120	13	263	8.8
28	Jun-08	3,600	4,200	190	< 0.5	140	11	341	< 2.0
29	Sep-08	7,300	4,600	130	< 0.5	110	4.5	245	< 2.0
30	Dec-08	2,800	1,600	93	< 0.5	82	0.69	176	< 2.0
31	Mar-09	4,100	4,600	18	< 0.5	82	8	108	8.0
32	Jun-09	2,100	2,700	38	< 0.5	80	3.3	121	3.3
33	Sep-09	830	2,400	11	< 0.5	19	< 0.5	30	< 2.0
34	Dec-09	2,200	3,100	19	< 0.5	46	0.78	66	14.0
35	Mar-10	2,300	2,500	13	< 0.5	59	0.79	73	3.4
36	Mar-10	1,500	3,400	12	< 0.5	48	< 0.5	60	< 2.0
37	Jun-10	2,000	3,500	14	< 0.5	42	0.92	57	7.9
38	Sep-10	3,000	2,200	18	< 0.5	41	0.55	60	8.0
39	Dec-10	1,800	2,900	13	< 0.5	49	1.9	64	15.0

Well MW-12									
Event	Date	TVHg	TEHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	Total BTEX	MTBE
1	Dec-05	1,300	700	< 0.5	< 0.5	33	5.6	39	< 2.0
2	Mar-06	1,100	540	< 0.5	< 0.5	8.5	1.5	10	49
3	Jun-06	680	400	< 0.5	< 0.5	5.8	1.4	7.2	< 2.0
4	Sep-06	910	480	< 0.5	< 0.5	9.9	1.5	11.4	21
5	Dec-06	770	230	< 0.5	< 0.5	7.4	2.0	9.4	< 2.0
6	Mar-07	390	110	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.7	1.7	3.4	< 2.0
7	Jun-07	590	280	< 0.5	< 0.5	4.5	0.9	5.4	< 2.0
8	Sep-07	390	180	< 0.5	< 0.5	2.4	2.4	4.8	< 2.0
9	Dec-07	210	140	< 0.5	< 0.5	2.1	1.3	3.4	< 2.0
10	Mar-08	720	500	< 0.5	4.4	9.0	2.8	16.2	< 2.0
11	Jun-08	220	50	< 0.5	< 0.5	2.0	< 0.5	2.0	< 2.0
12	Sep-08	370	95	< 0.5	< 0.5	2.8	0.98	3.8	< 2.0
13	Dec-08	93	170	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.76	< 0.5	0.8	< 2.0
14	Mar-09	180	130	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.70	< 0.5	1.7	< 2.0
15	Jun-09	300	280	< 0.5	< 0.5	4.60	< 0.5	4.6	< 2.0
16	Sep-09	330	270	< 0.5	< 0.5	2.30	< 0.5	2.3	< 2.0
17	Dec-09	76	170	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.0	< 2.0
18	Mar-10	240	380	< 0.5	< 0.5	2.7	< 0.5	2.7	< 2.0
19	Jun-10	540	370	< 0.5	< 0.5	3.5	0.92	4.4	7.9
20	Sep-10	380	220	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.7	< 0.5	1.7	8
21	Dec-10	320	350	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.5	< 0.5	1.5	3.9

**HISTORICAL SURFACE WATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS
REDWOOD REGIONAL PARK SERVICE YARD, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA**

(all concentrations in ug/L, equivalent to parts per billion [ppb])

Sampling Location SW-1 (Upstream of Contaminated Groundwater Discharge Location SW-2)									
Event	Date	TVHg	TEHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	Total BTEX	MTBE
1	Feb-94	50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
2	May-95	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
3	May-96	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
4	Aug-96	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
5	Dec-96	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
6	Feb-97	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
7	Aug-97	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
8	Dec-97	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
9	Feb-98	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	NA
10	Sep-98	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	< 2.0
11	Apr-99	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	—	< 2.0
Sampling at this location discontinued after April 1999 with Alameda County Health Services Agency approval.									

Sampling Location SW-2 (Area of Historical Contaminated Groundwater Discharge)									
Event	Date	TVHg	TEHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	Total BTEX	MTBE
1	Feb-94	130	< 50	1.9	< 0.5	4.4	3.2	9.5	NA
2	May-95	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	NA
3	Aug-95	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	NA
4	May-96	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	NA
5	Aug-96	200	< 50	7.5	< 0.5	5.4	< 0.5	13	NA
6	Dec-96	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	NA
7	Feb-97	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	NA
8	Aug-97	350	130	13	0.89	19	11	44	NA
9	Dec-97	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	NA
10	Feb-98	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	NA
11	Sep-98	< 50	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 2.0
12	Apr-99	81	<50	2.0	< 0.5	2.5	1.3	5.8	2.3
13	Dec-99	1,300	250	10	1.0	47	27	85	2.2
14	Sep-00	160	100	2.1	< 0.5	5.2	1.9	9.2	3.4
15	Jan-01	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.53	< 0.5	0.5	< 2.0
16	Apr-01	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 2.0
17	Sep-01	440	200	2.1	< 0.5	17	1.3	20	10
18	Dec-01	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 2.0
19	Mar-02	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 2.0
20	Jun-02	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 2.0
21	Sep-02	220	590	10	< 0.5	13	< 0.5	23	< 2.0
22	Dec-02	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 2.0
23	Mar-03	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.56	< 0.5	0.56	2.8
24	Jun-03	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 2.0
25	Sep-03	190	92	2.1	< 0.5	4.2	< 0.5	6.3	< 2.0
26	Dec-03	86	< 100	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.6	<0.6	< 5.0
27	Mar-04	<50	<100	<0.3	<0.3	1.1	<0.6	1.1	< 5.0
28	Jun-04	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	0.83	<0.5	0.83	< 2.0
29	Sep-04	260	370	4.4	<0.5	6.3	< 1.0	11	< 2.0
30	Dec-04	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	1.0	< 2.0
31	Mar-05	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
32	Jun-05	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
33	Sep-05	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
34	Dec-05	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
35	Mar-06	<50	62	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
36	Jun-06	<50	110	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
37	Sep-06	62	94	<0.5	<0.5	0.81	<0.5	0.8	< 2.0
38	Dec-06	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
39	Mar-07	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
40	Jun-07	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	< 2.0
41	Sep-07	<50	77	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	< 2.0
42	Dec-07	130	430	<0.5	<0.5	1.5	<0.5	1.5	< 2.0
43	Mar-08	<50	130	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.61	0.61	< 2.0
44	Jun-08	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 2.0
45	Sep-08	530	690	<0.5	<0.5	4.3	<0.5	4.3	< 2.0
46	Dec-08	<50	83	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<0.5	< 2.0
47	Mar-09	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	< 2.0
48	Jun-09	<50	<50	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<0.5	< 2.0
49	Sep-09	110	220	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 2.0
50	Dec-09	<50	<50	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<0.5	< 2.0
51	Mar-10	<50	<50	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<0.5	< 2.0
52	Jun-10	<50	240	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<0.5	< 2.0
53	Sep-10	<50	66	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<0.5	< 2.0
54	Dec-10	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA

Sampling Location SW-3 (Downstream of Contaminated Groundwater Discharge Location SW-2)									
Event	Date	TVHg	TEHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	Total BTEX	MTBE
1	May-95	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	NA
2	Aug-95	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	NA
3	May-96	< 50	74	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	NA
4	Aug-96	69	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	NA
5	Dec-96	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	NA
6	Feb-97	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	NA
7	Aug-97	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	NA
8	Dec-97	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	NA
9	Feb-98	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	NA
10	Sep-98	< 50	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 2.0
11	Apr-99	< 50	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 2.0
12	Dec-99	< 50	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 2.0
13	Sep-00	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
14	Jan-01	< 50	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 2.0
15	Apr-01	< 50	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 2.0
16	Sep-01	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	< 0.5	NS
17	Dec-01	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 2.0
18	Mar-02	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 2.0
19	Jun-02	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	2.4
20	Sep-02	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
21	Dec-02	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 2.0
22	Mar-03	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 2.0
23	Jun-03	< 50	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 2.0
24	Sep-03	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
25	Dec-03	60	< 100	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.6	< 0.6	< 5.0
26	Mar-04	<50	<100	<0.3	<0.3	<0.6	<0.6	<0.6	< 5.0
27	Jun-04	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
28	Sep-04	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
29	Dec-04	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
30	Mar-05	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
31	Jun-05	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
32	Sep-05	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
33	Dec-05	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
34	Mar-06	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
35	Jun-06	<50	120	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
36	Sep-06	<50	120	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.5	7.8
37	Dec-06	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	< 2.0
38	Mar-07	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 1.0	<1.0	3.3
39	Jun-07	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.5	<2.0
40	Sep-07	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
41	Dec-07	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
42	Mar-08	<50	200	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
43	Jun-08	<50	55	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
44	Sep-08	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
45	Dec-08	<50	360	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<2.0
46	Mar-09	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.5	<2.0
47	Jun-09	<50	<50	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<2.0
48	Sep-09	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
49	Dec-09	<50	<50	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<0.5	<2.0
50	Mar-10	<50	<50	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<0.5	<2.0
51	Jun-10	<50	<50	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<0.5	<2.0
52	Sep-10	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
53	Dec-10	<50	<50	<0.5	0.57	<0.5	0.81	1.4	NS

NS = Not Sampled (no surface water present during sampling event)

ATTACHMENT C

Adventus EHC-O MSDS

**1. PRODUCT IDENTIFICATION:
PRODUCT USE:**

EHC-O™
Soil and water treatment.

MANUFACTURER:

Adventus Americas Inc.
2871 W. Forest Rd., Suite 2
Freeport, IL
61032

EMERGENCY PHONE:

USA: 1-800-424-9300 (CHEMTREC®)
Canada: 1-613-996-6666 (CANUTEC)

TRANSPORTATION OF DANGEROUS GOOD CLASSIFICATION:
Oxidizing Solid, n.o.s. (Calcium Peroxide), Class 5.1, PG II, UN1479

WHMIS CLASSIFICATION:
Oxidizer

2. COMPOSITION/INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS

Ingredients	Chemical Formula	CAS No.	Percentage
Calcium Peroxide	CaO ₂	1305-79-9	45%-70%
Calcium Hydroxide	Ca(OH) ₂	1305-62-0	10%-20%
Zeolite (Sodium, Calcium Aluminosilicate Hydrated)	Ca ₂ (Na,K) ₂ Al ₆ Si ₂₈ O ₇₂ 24H ₂ O	12173-10-3	20%-30%

3. PHYSICAL DATA

Appearance.....	White
Physical state.....	Solid
Odor threshold.....	None
Bulk Density.....	500~650g/L
Solubility in Water.....	Insoluble
pH.....	~11
Appearance.....	White
Decomposition Temperature.....	Self-accelerating decomposition with oxygen release starting from 275 degrees Celsius

4. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION

Emergency overview

Oxidizing agent, contact with other material may cause fire. Under fire conditions this material may decompose and release oxygen that intensifies fire. This product contains <1% **non-respirable** crystalline silica. The NTP and OSHA have not classified **non-respirable** crystalline silica as carcinogenic. Long term exposure to hazardous levels of *respirable* silica dusts can cause lung disease (silicosis). EHC-O does not contain respirable crystalline silica.

Potential Health Effects:

- General.....Irritating to mucous membrane and eyes.
- Inhalation.....Irritating to respiratory tract. Long term inhalation of elevated levels may cause lung disease (silicosis).
- Eye contact.....May cause irritation to the eyes; Risks of serious or permanent eye lesions.
- Skin contact.....May cause skin irritation.
- Ingestion.....Irritation of the mouth and throat with nausea and vomiting.

5. FIRST AID MEASURES

- Inhalation.....Remove affected person to fresh air. Seek medical attention if effects persist.
- Eye contact.....Flush eyes with running water for at least 15 minutes with eyelids held open. Seek specialist advice.
- Skin contact.....Wash affected skin with soap and mild detergent and large amounts of water.
- Ingestion.....If the person is conscious and not convulsing, give 2-4 cupfuls of water to dilute the chemical and seek medical attention immediately. Do not induce vomiting.

6. FIRE FIGHTING MEASURE

Flash Point

- Not applicable

Flammability

- Not applicable

Ignition Temperature

- Not applicable

Danger of Explosion

- Non-explosive

Extinguishing Media

- Water

Fire Hazards

- Oxidizer. Storage vessels involved in a fire may vent gas or rupture due to internal pressure. Damp material may decompose exothermically and ignite combustibles. Oxygen release due to exothermic decomposition may support combustion. May ignite other combustible materials. Avoid contact with incompatible materials such as heavy metals, reducing agents, acids, bases, combustible (wood, papers, cloths etc.) Thermal decomposition releases oxygen and heat. Pressure bursts may occur due to gas evolution. Pressurization if confined when heated or decomposing. Containers may burst violently.

Fire Fighting Measures

- Evacuate all non-essential personnel
- Wear protective clothing and self-contained breathing apparatus.
- Remain upwind of fire to avoid hazardous vapors and decomposition products.
- Use water spray to cool fire- exposed containers.

7. ACCIDENTAL RELEASE MEASURES

Spill Clean-up Procedure

- Oxidizer. Eliminate all sources of ignition. Evacuate unprotected personnel from equipment recommendations found in Section 9. Never exceed any occupational exposure limit.
- Shovel or sweep material into plastic bags or vented containers for disposal. Do not return spilled or contaminated material to inventory. Avoid making dust.
- Flush remaining area with water to remove trace residue and dispose of properly. Avoid direct discharge to sewers and surface waters. Notify authorities if entry occurs.
- Do not touch or walk through spilled material. Keep away from combustibles (wood, paper, oils, etc.). Do not return product to container because of risk of contamination.

8. HANDLING AND STORAGE

Storage

- Oxidizer. Store in a cool, well-ventilated area away from all source of ignition and out of direct sunlight. Store in a dry location away from heat.
- Keep away from incompatible materials. Keep containers tightly closed. Do not store in unlabeled or mislabeled containers.
- Protect from moisture. Do not store near combustible materials. Keep containers well sealed. Ensure pressure relief and adequate ventilation.
- Store separately from organics and reducing materials. Avoid contamination that may lead to decomposition.

Handling

- Avoid contact with eyes, skin, and clothing. Use with adequate ventilation.
- Do not swallow. Avoid breathing vapors, mists, or dust. Do not eat, drink, or smoke in work area.
- Prevent contact with combustible or organic materials.
- Label containers and keep them tightly closed when not in use.
- Wash thoroughly after handling.

9. EXPOSURE CONTROLS/PERSONAL PROTECTION

Engineering Controls

- General room ventilation is required. Local exhaust ventilation, process enclosures or other engineering controls may be needed to maintain airborne levels below recommended exposure limits. Avoid creating dust or mist. Maintain adequate ventilation. Do not use in closed or confined spaces. Keep levels below exposure limits. To determine exposure limits, monitoring should be performed regularly.

Respiratory Protection

- In dusty or unknown atmospheres or when exposures exceed limit values, wear a NIOSH approved respirator.

Eye/Face Protection

- Wear chemical safety goggles and a full face shield while handling this product.

Skin Protection

- Prevent contact with this product. Wear gloves and protective clothing depending on condition of use. Protective gloves: Chemical-resistant (Recommended materials: PVC, neoprene or rubber)

Other Protective Equipment

- Eye-wash station
- Safety shower
- Impervious clothing
- Rubber boots

General Hygiene Considerations

- Wash with soap and water before meal times and at the end of each work shift. Good manufacturing practices require gross amounts of any chemical removed from skin as soon as practical, especially before eating or smoking.

10. STABILITY AND REACTIVITY

Stability

- Stable under normal conditions

Condition to Avoid

- Acids
- Bases
- Salts of heavy metals
- Reducing agents
- Organic materials
- Flammable substances

Hazardous Decomposition Products

- Oxygen which supports combustion

11. TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION

- LD50 Oral: Min.2000 mg/kg, rat
- LD50 Dermal: Min. 2000mg/kg, rat
- LD50 Inhalation: Min. 4580 mg/kg, rat

12. ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Ecotoxicological Information

- Hazards for the environment are limited due to the product properties of no bioaccumulation, weak solubility and precipitation in aquatic environment.

Chemical Fate Information

- As indicated by chemical properties oxygen is released into the environment.

13. DISPOSAL CONSIDERATIONS

Waste Treatment

- Dispose of in an approved waste facility operated by an authorized contractor in compliance with local regulations.

Package Treatment

- The empty and clean containers are to be recycled or disposed of in conformity with local regulations.

14. TRANSPORT INFORMATION

- Proper Shipping Name: EHC-O
- Hazard Class: 5.1
- Labels: 5.1 (Oxidizer)
- Packing Group: II

15. REGULATORY INFORMATION

- SARA Section..... Yes
- SARA (313) Chemicals..... No
- EPA TSCA Inventory..... Appears
- Canadian WHMIS Classification C, D2B
- Canadian DSL..... Appears
- EINECS Inventory..... Appears

16. PREPARATION INFORMATION

Prepared By:

Adventus Remediation Technologies
1345 Fewster Drive
Mississauga, Ontario
L4W 2A5

Date Prep./Rev: 4/17/07
Print Date: 4/17/07
Phone: 905-273-5374
Fax: 905-273-4367