# **Atlantic Richfield Company**

Shannon Couch Project Manager

> **RECEIVED** By Alameda County Environmental Health at 8:48 am, May 31, 2013

May 29, 2013

PO Box 1257 San Ramon, CA 94583 Phone: (925) 275-3804 Fax: (925) 275-3815 E-Mail: shannon.couch@bp.com

Re: Conceptual Site Model and Work Plan for Soil and Groundwater Investigation Atlantic Richfield Company Station #771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California ACEH Case RO0000200

"I declare, that to the best of my knowledge at the present time, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document are true and correct.

Submitted by,

Shannon Couch Project Manager

Attachment



Prepared for

Ms. Shannon Couch Operations Manager Atlantic Richfield Company P.O. Box 1257 San Ramon, California 94583

Prepared by



875 Cotting Lane, Suite G, Vacaville, California 95688 (707) 455-7290 www.broadbentinc.com

May 29, 2013

Project No. 06-82-608

# Conceptual Site Model and Work Plan for Soil and Groundwater Investigation

Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 771 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California ACEH Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000200



May 29, 2013

Project No. 06-82-608

Atlantic Richfield Company P.O. Box 1257 San Ramon, CA 94583 Submitted via ENFOS

Attn.: Ms. Shannon Couch

Re: Conceptual Site Model and Work Plan for Soil and Groundwater Investigation, Atlantic Richfield Company Station No.771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California; ACEH Case No.RO0000200

Dear Ms. Couch:

Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (Broadbent) is pleased to submit this *Conceptual Site Model and Work Plan for Soil and Groundwater Investigation* (CSM and Work Plan) for Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 771 (herein referred to as Station No. 771) located at 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California (Site). This CSM and Work Plan has been prepared in response to a request from the Alameda County Environmental Health Agency (ACEH) in a letter dated March 18, 2013.

Should you have questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us at (707) 455-7290 or (530) 566-1400.

Sincerely, BROADBENT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Kristene Tidwell, P.G., C.Hg. Senior Geologist

**Jason Duda** 

Jason Duda Project Scientist



cc: Mr. Jerry Wickham, Alameda County Environmental Health (Submitted via ACEH ftp site) Electronic copy uploaded to GeoTracker

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL AND WORK PLAN FOR SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 771 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000200

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### No. Section

Page

1.0	Intro	duction	1	
2.0	Site E	Background	1	
	2.1	Conceptual Site Model	1	
	2.2	Previous Site Investigations	2	
3.0	Sensi	itive Receptor Survey	5	
	3.1	Water Supply Well Search	5	
	3.2	Surface Water Bodies	5	
	3.3	Ecological Receptors	6	
	3.4	Schools and Hospitals	6	
	3.5	Sensitive Receptor Survey Conclusions	6	
4.0	Prop	osed Scope of Work	7	
	4.1	Preliminary Activities	8	
	4.2	CPT Borings	8	
	4.3	Grab-Groundwater Sampling	9	
	4.4	Sample Handling and Analytical	9	
	4.5	Investigation-Derived Residuals	9	
	4.6	Additional Groundwater Sampling	9	
5.0	Grou	ndwater Investigation Report and Updated CSM	9	
6.0	Prop	osed Schedule	.10	
7.0	Limitations10			
8.0	References10			

#### DRAWINGS

- Drawing 1 Site Vicinity Map
- Drawing 2 Site Map with Current Monitoring Well and Proposed CPT Boring Locations
- Drawing 3 Groundwater Elevation Contour Map and Analytcial Summary Map January 17, 2013
- Drawing 4 GRO Isoconcentration Contour Map January 17, 2013
- Drawing 5 Benzene Isoconcentration Map– January 17, 2013
- Drawing 6 MTBE Isoconcentration Map– January 17, 2013
- Drawing 7 Potential Sensitive Receptor and Site Location Map
- Drawing 8 Site Map with Cross-Section Locations
- Drawing 9 Geologic Cross-Sections A-A'
- Drawing 10 Geologic Cross-Sections B-B'

#### TABLES

- Table 1Conceptual Site Model
- Table 2Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory<br/>Analyses
- Table 3Summary of Fuel Additives Analytical Data
- Table 4Historical Groundwater Gradient Direction and Magnitude

#### APPENDICES

- Appendix A Recent Regulatory Correspondence
- Appendix B Historic Site Data
- Appendix C Soil Boring and Well Construction Logs
- Appendix D Sensitive Receptor Survey Data

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL AND WORK PLAN FOR SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 771 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000200

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of the Atlantic Richfield Company, RM – a BP affiliated company; Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (Broadbent) has prepared this *Conceptual Site Model and Work Plan for Soil and Groundwater Investigation* (CSM and Work Plan) for the Atlantic Richfield Company (ARC) Station No. 771, located at 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California (Site). This Work Plan was prepared in response to a request from the Alameda County Environmental Health Agency (ACEH) in a letter dated March 18, 2013. This letter requested that additional investigation be performed at the Site in order to close data gaps identified by the ACEH. The purpose of this Work Plan is to summarize current Site conditions and close the data gaps identified by the ACEH, as well as enhance overall Site understanding. This Work Plan includes discussions on the site background and previous investigations, and the proposed scope of work. The Conceptual Site Model (CSM) prepared is included as Table 1.

# 2.0 SITE BACKGROUND

The Site is located at 899 Rincon Avenue, on the southwest corner of Rincon Avenue and Pine Street in Livermore, California. The latitude and longitude of the center of the Site is approximately 37°41'17.33"N, 121°47'1.22"W (37.688147°, -121.783673°). The Site property is recognized by the Alameda County Assessor's Office as Assessor's Parcel Number 98-351-5. The approximate ground surface elevation at the Site is approximately 455 feet above mean sea level. A Site Location Map is provided as Drawing 1.

The land use in the immediate area is mixed residential and commercial. The adjacent property to the west is a shopping complex with various restaurants. The property to the south is May Nissen Community Park and Swim Center and Rincon Library. Across Pine Street to the north of the Site is the Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department Fire Station No.7. Residential homes reside to the northeast across the intersection of Pine Street and Rincon Avenue and east of the Site across Rincon Avenue. A Site Map is included as Drawing 2. A Site Map depicting current groundwater elevation and analytical date is presented as Drawing 3.

#### 2.1 Conceptual Site Model

A conceptual site model (CSM) has been prepared to aid in understanding of Site conditions and to identify any additional data gaps. This CSM is presented as Table 1. This CSM includes the following:

- Regional and Site Geology
- Extent of ligh, non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL), gasoline range organics (GRO), benzene, and methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) in groundwater
- Release mechanisms
- Nature and extent of constituents of concern in soil, groundwater, and soil vapor
- On- and offsite receptors
- Identified data gaps

This CSM discusses many of the items identified by the ACEH March 18, 2013 letter including variability in groundwater monitoring data (Item 4; ACEH, 2013), hydraulic gradient (Item 5; ACEH, 2013), and potential perched groundwater (Item 6; ACEH, 2013). Additionally, results from a recently-performed

Sensitive Receptor Survey (SRS) are included in Section 3.0 below, and are additionally summarized in the CSM (Table 1). Recent regulator correspondence is presented in Appendix A.

### 2.2 Previous Site Investigations

In August 1987, a waste-oil tank was removed from the Site. A soil sample (AL-1) was collected at 10 feet below ground surface (ft bgs) and analyzed for halogenated volatile compounds (HVC), polychlorinated byphenyls (PCB's), total petroleum fuel hydrocarbons (TPFH), and benzene, toluene, and xylenes (BTX). Results indicated TPFH at a concentration of 378 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg). The excavation was deepened and a second sample (AL-2) was collected from 12 feet bgs. No analytes (HVC, PCB's, TPFH, and BTX) were detected above laboratory reporting limits in the deeper sample. Summarized analytical results are provided within Appendix B. It is important to note that a waste-oil tank removal report summarizing work activities could not be located. The data discussed above and analytical results and drawing also included in Appendix B were taken from the 1990 Applied GeoSystems (AGS) report titled Limited Subsurface Environmental Assessment (AGS, 1990).

In February 1990, AGS conducted a limited onsite subsurface environmental assessment to evaluate the presence of gasoline hydrocarbons in the subsurface soil in the area adjacent to the four gasoline underground storage tanks (USTs) prior to their planned removal. Three exploratory soil borings (B-1, B-2, and B-3) were drilled and soil samples were collected from each boring. Groundwater was encountered in soil boring B-1 at approximately 33 ft bgs. Soil borings B-2 and B-3 were terminated prior to encountering groundwater. Soil samples were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX). Results indicated petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil (TPHg) in excess of 100 mg/kg in one of the soil samples from boring B-3 at a depth of 32 ft bgs. A grab groundwater sample was obtained from soil boring B-1 for visual inspection. Approximately 1/8-inch of floating product was present (AGS, 1990).

In December 1990, a supplemental subsurface investigation was initiated by AGS to evaluate the lateral and vertical extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater near the onsite gasoline USTs. This investigation included drilling three soil borings (B-4, B-5, and B-6), converting the borings to monitoring wells (MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3, respectively), and collecting and analyzing soil and groundwater samples. Groundwater was encountered in each of the soil borings at approximately 37 ft bgs during this investigation. A sheen of light, non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) was observed in well MW-1 and 0.16 feet of LNAPL was measured in well MW-2. Sixteen soil samples and one groundwater sample (MW-3) were submitted for analysis of TPHg and BTEX. Results indicated impacted soil (TPHg) in excess of 100 mg/kg in two of the soil samples collected from boring B-4. Groundwater results indicated TPHg at 230 µg/L in MW-3 (AGS, 1991).

In June and July 1991, an additional subsurface investigation was conducted by RESNA to further evaluate the lateral and vertical extent of impacted soil and groundwater and to confirm the vertical extent of hydrocarbons in the area of the former waste-oil tank. This investigation included advancing five soil borings (B-7 through B-11), converting four of the borings (B-7 through B-10) to monitoring wells (MW-4 through MW-7), and collecting and analyzing soil and groundwater samples. Soil boring B-11 was drilled in the area of the former waste-oil tank. Groundwater was encountered in borings B-7 through B-10 at depths of approximately 35.5 to 37 ft bgs. A total of 33 soil samples collected at various depths were submitted for analysis of TPHg and BTEX. Soil samples from boring B-11 were also analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPHd) and total oil and grease (TOG). Groundwater samples

were collected from wells MW-3 through MW-7 and analyzed for TPHg and BTEX. Samples were not collected for laboratory analysis from wells MW-1 and MW-2 as LNAPL was observed in the wells. Soil analytical results indicated impacted soil (TPHg) in excess of 100 mg/kg in three of the soil samples. No analytes were detected above the laboratory reporting limits in the soil samples from boring B-11. Groundwater analytical results showed impacted groundwater in each of the monitor wells sampled (RESNA, 1991). Summarized analytical results are provided within Appendix B. Soil boring and monitoring well construction logs are provided in Appendix C.

In December 1991, RESNA conducted a vapor extraction test from wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-4, MW-5, and MW-7. Test results showed that vapor extraction was an effective method to remediate subsurface soils at the Site (RESNA, 1992). Vapor extraction test monitoring data and summarized analytical results are provided in Appendix B.

Between December 30, 1991 and January 3, 1992, four USTs, with the following capacities: one 10,000 gallon, one 6,000 gallon, and two 4,000 gallon, were removed from the Site (Roux, 1992). Initially, two soil samples were collected from underneath each tank for a total of eight soil samples at depths ranging from 14 to 16 ft bgs. Soil samples were analyzed for TPHg and BTEX. Results showed petroleum impacted soil (TPHg) in excess of 100 mg/kg below three of the four tanks. Additional excavation and sampling occurred on January 21, 1992. Six soil samples were collected at a depth of 18 feet and additionally analyzed for Organic Lead. Two of the samples indicated TPHg at or above 100 mg/kg. Product line replacement was conducted in February 1992. Ten soil samples from various depths within the product line trenches were collected and analyzed for TPHg and BTEX, with select samples additionally analyzed for Organic Lead. Results showed TPHg impacted soil exceeding 100 mg/kg in two of the samples collected within the product line trenches (Roux, 1992). Approximately 1,100 cubic yards of soil were produced during removal of the USTs and product lines. The soil was disposed of at the Browning Ferris Industries' Class III landfill in Livermore, California. Historic sample locations and a table of analytical results are contained within Appendix B.

In April 1992 and January 1993, RESNA conducted an additional onsite and initial offsite subsurface investigation. This investigation included drilling four offsite soil borings (B-12 through B-15) and two onsite soil borings (B-16 and B-17), converting borings B-12 through B-15 to monitoring wells MW-8 through MW-11, converting boring B-16 to a vapor extraction well (VW-1), and boring B-17 to a recovery well (RW-1; Drawing 2). Monitoring wells MW-8 through MW- 10 were originally proposed to be located on the immediate adjacent property south and west of the Site. After repeated attempts by RESNA and ARCO, the owner of the adjacent property refused to allow installation of the wells. These locations were then changed to northeast, east, and southeast of the Site along Rincon Avenue and were installed in January 1993 (RESNA, 1993). Groundwater and soil samples were collected and submitted for analysis of TPHg and BTEX. Three of the eight soil samples from onsite borings B-16 and B-17 contained slight detections of various analytes. No analytes were detected above laboratory reporting limits in any of the offsite soil or groundwater samples. Onsite well RW-1 contained significant TPHg and BTEX concentrations (RESNA, 1993). Summarized analytical results are provided within Appendix B. Soil boring and monitor well construction logs are provided in Appendix C.

In March 1993, EMCON completed construction of a Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) system to extract vapors from wells VW-1, MW-1, MW-2, MW-4, MW-5, and MW-7. Initial startup of the remediation system was postponed due to heavy rain, which caused water levels at the Site to rise and submerge the screen intervals within the remediation wells. The SVE system was initially activated on December 20, 1994,

extracting from wells VW-1 and MW-4. The other SVE wells had submerged screen intervals. Influent samples showed detectable concentrations of TPHg and total xylenes (EMCON, 1995). The system was shut down on January 17, 1995 due to re-submergence of the well screen intervals. During the First Quarter 1995, modifications were completed to the SVE system to facilitate in-well air bubbling in conjunction with SVE. On July 12, 1995, the system was restarted in conjunction with air-bubbling in wells VW-1, MW-1, MW-2, MW-4, MW-5, MW-7, and RW-1. The SVE system was shut down on October 10, 1995 due to low hydrocarbon concentrations in extracted soil vapor. Review of historic reports did not indicate when air-bubbling was discontinued. During operation of the SVE system, a total of 56.9 pounds of hydrocarbons were removed from the subsurface (EMCON, 1996). Historic data associated with operation of the SVE system are provided in Appendix B.

In June 2001, Cambria Environmental Technology, Inc. (Cambria) supervised the removal of the dispensers and product piping by Paradiso Construction and performed compliance sampling activities (Cambria, 2001). Soil sampling was performed beneath each dispenser unit, at each piping elbow joint, and along the product piping. Four soil samples were submitted for analysis of TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE. Minor concentrations of TPHg, toluene, total xylenes, and MTBE were detected in two of the soil samples. Summarized analytical results are provided in Appendix B.

In 2006, URS installed an Air Diffusion (AD) Treatment system for remediation of dissolved phase hydrocarbons. A 1.5 horsepower single-phase air sparge compressor was installed in the existing remediation system compound at the Site. Air bubblers were affixed to onsite wells MW-2, MW-4, MW-5, MW-6, and MW-7. Air bubbling activities with the new system began in 2006 and were discontinued in March 2010.

On March 25, 2011, Broadbent field personnel observed RSI advance two off-site soil borings (SB-2 and SB-3; Drawing 2) on the adjacent property to the south and west of the Site in the cross- and upgradient directions. RSI utilized a hollow stem auger drill rig to advance the soil borings to a maximum depth of 35 ft bgs. Physical soil samples were collected at approximate five foot intervals during soil boring activities. Following completion of soil boring advancement, a grab groundwater sample was collected from each boring within the augers utilizing a stainless-steel bailer between approximately 30 and 35 ft bgs. Select samples were submitted to the laboratory for analysis. Laboratory analytical results for the soil samples submitted from this investigation were below laboratory reporting limits for each constituent analyzed. GRO and MTBE were detected above laboratory reporting limits in the groundwater sample collected from boring SB-3 at concentrations of 81 micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L) and 3.8  $\mu$ g/L, respectively (Broadbent, 2011). The remaining analytes were not detected above laboratory reporting limits in the two groundwater samples collected. Summarized analytical data is provided in Appendix B.

Groundwater monitoring and sampling was initiated during the First Quarter 1992. Drawings 4 through 6 present contaminant Isoconcentration maps for GRO, benzene, and MTBE, respectively, for the most recent monitoring and sampling results (First Quarter 2013). Sampling of the following wells were discontinued following the respective sampling event: MW-10 – Second Quarter 1999, MW-8 and MW-9 – First Quarter 2000, and MW-1 and MW-3– Second Quarter 2000. Historic groundwater elevation and laboratory analytical results are included in Table 2 and Appendix B. During the Third Quarter 2012, LNAPL was observed in well MW-7, when it had not been noted during prior sampling events. Tables 2 and 3 summarize the historical groundwater monitoring and sampling data. As noted in Table 2, groundwater elevations during the Third Quarter were at their lowest level since monitoring

was initiated in well MW-7. Based on that observation, the presence of this LNAPL may be related to groundwater elevation. During the most recent groundwater monitoring event, water levels rebounded by almost 10 ft bgs, and no LNAPL was noted in this well. Per directions by the ACEH in the March 18, 2013 letter, Broadbent will continue to monitor the presence of this LNAPL.

Recent quarterly groundwater elevation and laboratory analytical results are provided in Drawing 3 and in Tables 2 and 3. Historical groundwater flow directions and gradients are presented in Table 4. The most recent groundwater monitoring results are presented in Broadbent's *First Quarter 2013 Groundwater Monitoring Report* dated April 30, 2013 (Broadbent, 2013).

# 3.0 SENSITIVE RECEPTOR SURVEY

The March 18, 2013 ACEH letter requested that an updated SRS be performed for the Site. This survey was carried out in March and April 2013, and the results are presented as follows.

This SRS was conducted within a 2,000-foot radius of the Site. The initial stage of the survey consisted of a well search implemented through the Department of Water Resources - Northern Region (DWR) and Zone 7 Water Agency (Zone 7). Contact was also made with the local water purveyor, California Water Service Company (Cal Water), to assist with locating other potential water supply wells within the search radius.

An underground utilities survey was not conducted as part of this SRS. Due to the depth to water historically observed at the Site, which has ranged from approximately nine to 24 ft bgs, it is not anticipated that underground conduits and/or trenches may act as preferential contaminant migration pathways.

# 3.1 Water Supply Well Search

Broadbent requested a well search through DWR and Zone 7 databases and conducted a telephone interview with the local water purveyor in the area to determine the locations and quantities of wells located within a 2,000 foot radius. DWR and Zone 7 provided an extensive list of well completion reports including water supply and groundwater monitoring wells.

Well Driller's Reports obtained from the DWR and Zone 7 were reviewed and efforts were made to determine if any well was located within the 2,000 foot search radius. Numerous monitoring wells were identified during the well search; however, these wells were not considered sensitive receptors and have been disregarded in this report. One domestic well (1,700 ft south of Site) and three municipal wells (1,075 ft North-Northeast, 1,840 ft East, and 1,920 ft South of the Site) were identified within the search radius. The location of wells identified in the DWR and Zone 7 well searches are depicted on Drawing 7 and a basic summary of the Well Driller's Reports is provided in Appendix D (Table D-1). Copies of Well Driller's Reports are confidential and are not provided in this report.

# 3.2 Surface Water Bodies

Surface water bodies were located using satellite images available on Google Maps, USGS topographic maps, and field surveys. The nearest potential surface water bodies appear to be two creeks, Arroyo Mocho and Arroyo Las Positas, both are located outside of the 2,000 foot search radius. Arroyo Mocho is located approximately 3,600 feet to the southwest of the Site, in a general upgradient direction.

Arroyo Las Positas is located approximately 3,600 feet to the north of the Site, in a general downgradient direction. Although Arroyo Las Positas is located in the general downgradient direction it is located outside the 2,000 foot search radius of this survey.

# 3.3 Ecological Receptors

The Site is located within the City of Livermore commercial and residential corridor approximately ½ mile south of Interstate 580. Accordingly, areas surrounding the Site are developed, paved, and/or occupied by structures/buildings with limited areas of landscaping. There are no apparent riparian habitats within a 2,000-foot radius of the Site.

Burrowing mammals typically burrow at depths up to 6.5 feet bgs and may have the potential to encounter localized contaminated media; however, based on the current use of the property and surrounding area, the presence of burrowing animals is expected to be minimal to non-existent. No protected species of flora or fauna are known or expected to be present in the developed or disturbed areas within the City of Livermore. Areas not paved or occupied by site structures in the immediate area are typically landscaped or remain undeveloped and cleared of vegetation.

Broadbent performed a search for protected species within the Livermore quadrangle on the Department of Fish and Game, California Natural Diversity Database Website (<u>http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/mapsanddata.asp</u>). The database search results were generated using the Quad Viewer application on the Web site and are presented in Appendix D (Table D-3). The results of the database search indicate 5 different species that have special status; however, impacts associated with Station #771 are not expected to affect these protected species.

# 3.4 Schools and Hospitals

One school was identified within the 2,000 foot search radius of the Site:

• Marylin Avenue Elementary School, located approximately 1,450 feet to the South-Southwest of the Site.

There are no apparent hospitals, medical centers or health clinics immediately identified within the 2,000-foot search radius of the Site. The location of the school within the search radius is provided in Drawing 7 and a sensitive receptor summary is presented in Appendix D as Table D-2.

# 3.5 Sensitive Receptor Survey Conclusions

The following conclusions are based on the data available at the time that this survey was performed and Broadbent's general knowledge of existing conditions at the Site.

- Groundwater contamination at the Site has previously been identified at concentrations above water quality objectives.
- One domestic and three municipal wells were identified within the 2,000 foot search radius.
- One school was identified within the search area.

The potential impact to municipal and domestic wells within the search radius is possible; however, the Coon domestic well (Drawing 7 ID#3), if present, and one of the three municipal wells (Drawing 7 ID#5) are both located in a general upgradient direction from the Site, while another of the three municipal wells (Drawing 7 ID#4) is located in a general crossgradient direction. The final municipal well (Drawing 7 ID#1) is located to the Northeast of the Site in a general downgradient direction. Previous groundwater sampling results from well MW-8, downgradient of the Site, showed petroleum impacts were not migrating offsite and this downgradient municipal well would not have been a potential receptor. However, since hydrocarbon concentrations in source-area well MW-7 have recently increased and LNAPL has recently been intermittently observed, well MW-8 has not been sampled. Well MW-8 was removed from the sampling program in 2000. Due to the recent increases in onsite wells, downgradient wells should be sampled to ensure petroleum hydrocarbons are not migrating to this downgradient supply well. This additional sampling was requested by the ACEH in their March 18, 2013 letter.

The one school identified during this survey is not expected to be impacted from Site activities. Marylin Avenue Elementary School (Drawing 7 ID#2) is located in the upgradient direction from the Site.

Data collected from the SRS and Site groundwater observations indicates a minimal threat to receptors, with the exception of the downgradient municipal supply well. In order to close this data gap, we recommend sampling wells MW-3 and MW-8 during the next two routine monitoring and sampling events and obtaining additional information pertaining to the use and construction specifications of the downgradient municipal well. Details for the proposed additional sampling are presented in Section 4.6 below.

# 4.0 PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK

The purpose of the proposed soil and groundwater investigation is to define the downgradient vertical extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in groundwater, and to provide a better understanding of lithologic conditions in the subsurface. The proposed investigation is related to:

- Potential perched-groundwater zones
- A possible lithologic ridge/mound near well VW-1
- Presence of a sandy clay layer and how it is possibly related to changing groundwater levels and variability in petroleum concentrations, and the recent LNAPL presence in well MW-7

The attached CSM (Table 1) describes these conditions and data gaps in detail. Additionally, many of these were identified as a data gap by the ACEH in their March 18, 2013 letter (ACEH, 2013). In order to evaluate these identified data gaps, Broadbent is proposing to advance a total of four (4) cone penetration (CPT) borings at the locations shown in Drawings 2, 8, and 9. As indicated in these drawings, three of the proposed CPT borings (B-1 through B-3) are located onsite near and downgradient of the source area and the current UST's. The remaining CPT boring (B-4) is proposed west of borings B-1 through B-3. Boring B-4 is being proposed to determine if the presence of a potential ridge of higher sandy clay (See Table 1) in well VW-1 extends laterally onsite to the west. Because access at the Site is limited due to its size and the presence of Site features (USTs, dispenser island, station building), additional boring locations are not possible. Additionally, a limited access CPT rig will be used to advance the proposed borings. Advancing CPT borings will allow for a better lithologic understanding in this area as well as enable the collection of several discrete-depth groundwater samples. If additional locations and/or procedures are determined to be necessary to carry out this

investigation, internal ARC procedures including Management of Change (MOC) will be necessary prior to the initiation of work activities. If required, these procedures may cause some unforeseen project delays.

# 4.1 Preliminary Activities

Broadbent will obtain the necessary drilling permits from Zone 7, prepare a site health and safety plan (HASP) for the proposed work, clear the Site for subsurface utilities, and provide 72-hour advance notification to ACEH prior to start of field activities. The utility clearance will include notifying Underground Service Alert (USA) of the pending work a minimum of 48 hours prior to initiating the field investigation, and securing the services of a private utility locating company to confirm the absence of underground utilities at each boring location. The boreholes will be physically cleared to six and a half feet bgs using air knife methods.

The Site-specific HASP will be prepared for use by personnel implementing the work plan. A copy of the HASP will be available onsite during work. The subcontractor(s) performing field activities will be provided with a copy of the HASP prior to initiating work. A safety tailgate meeting will also be conducted daily to review potential hazards and scope of work.

# 4.2 CPT Borings

A log based on CPT measurements will be created for each boring. Metal rods equipped with a cone penetrometer (cone) will be advanced into the subsurface at each proposed location. This cone will measure parameters in the subsurface. These parameters include tip friction, sleeve friction, and pore pressure. The CPT will measure these parameters in real time with depth, allowing for a vertical soil profile to be created based on these measurements. Depth to groundwater measurements will also be calculated using CPT technology by performing pore dissipation tests (PDTs). A PDT is conducted when the cone is halted at specific intervals. The variation in the penetration pore pressure with time is measured behind the tip of the cone. These logs will be created by the contractor and used in determining groundwater collection intervals. Soil borings will be completed under the supervision of a Broadbent field geologist.

CPT borings will be advanced to a depth of approximately 60 feet bgs, barring limitations of the selected drill rig. This depth has been proposed to determine if the sandy clay layer (ACEH, 2013) where soil impacts were noted can be evaluated. Saturated intervals for first-encountered groundwater and for deeper groundwater potentially in the sandy clay at approximately 45 feet bgs will be targeted for grab-groundwater sampling. Groundwater samples will also be collected at any perched intervals between approximately 20 and 28 feet bgs, as groundwater data from well VW-1 indicates may be present. If lithology indicates that the subsurface is predominately highly permeable (i.e. gravels and clean sands), discrete-depth groundwater samples will be collected at approximate 5 to 10-foot intervals for vertical characterization within the continuous water-bearing zone. Additionally, one groundwater sample will be collected just above the sandy clay layer noted at approximately 36 to 42 feet bgs (ACEH, 2013) from each boring in order to determine if groundwater samples are being influenced by residual hydrocarbons trapped in this finer-grained unit and may potentially be the cause of the LNAPL recently measured in well MW-7. At the time that LNAPL was measured in this well, groundwater levels had declined to depths near this sandy clay interval.

Up to one shallow soil sample may be collected if site conditions warrant. Additionally, one soil sample will be collected from each boring at the top of the sandy clay layer.

# 4.3 Grab-Groundwater Sampling

Two to three groundwater samples will be collected from each boring at the approximate intervals as described above. These intervals have not been explicitly predetermined and will be selected based on observed lithology in CPT logs and PDTs.

Groundwater samples will be collected using a Hydropunch-type sampler equipped with a retrievable stainless steel or disposable PVC screen with an expendable tip. The groundwater sampler operates by advancing a 1  $\frac{3}{4}$  - inch hollow-push rod with the filter tip in a closed configuration to the base of the desired sampling interval. Once at the desired depth, the push rods are retracted, exposing the encased filter screen allowing groundwater to infiltrate hydrostatically from the formation to the inlet screen. A small diameter bailer is lowered through the push rod into the screen section for sample collection. Groundwater samples will be decanted into laboratory-supplied containers.

# 4.4 Sample Handling and Analytical

All collected soil and groundwater samples will be submitted under chain-of-custody protocol to Test America Laboratories, Inc. of Irvine, California, a state certified environmental laboratory. Soil and groundwater samples will be analyzed for GRO by EPA Method 8015B, and BTEX, MTBE, naphthalene, and fuel oxygenates by EPA Method 8260B.

# 4.5 Investigation-Derived Residuals

Investigation-derived residuals will be temporarily accumulated onsite in 55-gallon, DOT-approved drums, pending characterization for proper management. Broadbent will coordinate the removal and transportation of surplus soils and liquids to appropriate California-regulated facilities.

# 4.6 Additional Monitoring Well Sampling

As recommended in Section 3.4 above and requested by the ACEH, groundwater samples will be collected from wells MW-3 and MW-8 during the next two scheduled groundwater sampling events in order to determine the current downgradient petroleum impacts. These wells will be analyzed for GRO, BTEX, MTBE, and fuel oxygenates, consistent with the current sampling program. It is additionally recommended that all onsite wells be sampled to confirm the continued absence of petroleum compounds in these wells. This sampling will be conducted for the next two routine sampling events (third quarter 2013 and first quarter 2014) at which time the necessity of continued sampling of these wells will be evaluated.

# 5.0 GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION REPORT AND UPDATED CSM

Upon completion of field activities, Broadbent will prepare a Groundwater Investigation Report and Updated CSM. The report will document the results of the investigation, field activities, copies of required permit(s), copies of field notes, soil boring and well logs, discussion of findings, and conclusions. Deviations from the Work Plan or data inconsistencies will be discussed in the report. An update to the CSM (Table 1) will also be included.

### 6.0 PROPOSED SCHEDULE

The schedule for the above-noted work shall proceed as follows:

- <u>Groundwater Investigation</u> Upon approval of this CSM and Work Plan and obtaining the necessary permits; and
- <u>Groundwater Investigation Report and Updated CSM</u> Within 60 days following completion of fieldwork.

### 7.0 LIMITATIONS

The findings presented in this document are based upon: observation of field personnel from previous consultants, the points investigated, and results of laboratory tests performed by various laboratories. Our services were performed in accordance with the generally accepted standard of practice at the time this document was written. No other warranty, expressed or implied was made. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Atlantic Richfield Company. It is possible that variations in soil or ground-water conditions could exist beyond points explored in this investigation. Also changes in site conditions could occur in the future due to variations in rainfall, temperature, regional water usage, or other factors.

#### 8.0 REFERENCES

- Alameda County Environmental Health, March 18, 2013. *Case File Review for RO0000200 and GeoTracker Global ID T0600100113*, ARCO #771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California. Letter from Mr. Jerry Wickham (ACEH) to Ms. Shannon Courch (ARC) requesting Work Plan and CSM.
- Applied Geosystems, June 22, 1990. *Limited Subsurface Environmental Assessment*. ARCO Station 771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.
- Applied Geosystems, April 12, 1991. *Supplemental Subsurface Investigation*. ARCO Station 771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.
- Broadbent and Associates Inc., February 10, 2009. *Initial Site Conceptual Model and Soil and Ground-Water Investigation Work Plan*. Atlantic Richfield Company Station #771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California; ACEH Case No. RO0000200
- Broadbent and Associates Inc., April 29, 2011. *Off-Site Soil & Groundwater Investigation Report*. Atlantic Richfield Company Station #771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California; ACEH Case No. RO0000200
- Broadbent and Associates Inc., April 30, 2013. *First Quarter 2013 Monitoring Report*, Atlantic Richfield Company Station #771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California; ACEH Case No. RO0000200
- California Department of Water Resources, 2003. San Francisco Hydrologic Region Livermore Valley Groundwater Basin. Bulletin No. 118.

- California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Region, June 1999. East Bay Plain Groundwater Basin Beneficial Use Evaluation Report, Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, CA.
- Cambria, July 9, 2001. *Dispenser and Product Piping Removal Report*. ARCO Service Station No. 0771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, CA.
- EMCON, January 3, 1995. *Soil Vapor Extraction System Performance Test Results*. ARCO service station 771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.
- EMCON, June 4, 1996. *First Quarter 1996 Groundwater Monitoring and SVE System Performance evaluation report*. ARCO service station 771, Livermore, California.
- RESNA, October 17, 1991. Additional Subsurface Investigation. ARCO Station 771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.
- RESNA, January 3, 1992. *Letter Report of Vapor Extraction Test Performed*. ARCO Station 771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.
- RESNA, February 26, 1993. Additional Onsite and Initial Offsite Subsurface Investigation. ARCO Station 771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.
- Roux Associates, July 1, 1992. *Underground Storage Tank Removal and Soil Sampling*. ARCO Facility No. 771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.
- State Water Resources Control Board, 2012. Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy, August 17.
- URS Corporation, September 17, 2003. *Water Well Survey*. ARCO Service Station #0771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.
- Zone 7 Water Agency, September 2005. *Description of Zone 7 Groundwater Basin*. Groundwater Management Plan for Livermore-Amador Valley Groundwater Basin.

DRAWINGS













Drawing removed due to confidential content







# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap Y/N	Data Gap Detail
Geology and Hydrogeology	Regional	The Site is located in the north-central portion of the Livermore Valley, an east-west trending structural trough surrounded by north-south trending faults and hills of the Diablo Range. The valley extends approximately 14 miles in an east-west direction and varies from three to six miles in width. The valley floor slopes gently west and southwest and is a part of the Livermore Valley groundwater basin. The groundwater basin is bounded by and crossed by several faults. These faults act as barriers to the lateral movement of groundwater and divide the groundwater basin into several subbasins. The water-bearing materials in the groundwater basin include Holocene age surficial valley-fill alluvial sediments overlying the Plio-Pleistocene Livermore Formation. The Livermore Formation consists of unconsolidated to semiconsolidated beds of gravel, sand, silt, and clay of varying permeabilities (California Department of Water Resources, 2003).	Ν	NA
		Natural recharge occurs primarily along the uplands and edges of the Livermore Valley groundwater basin, through the arroyos during periods of precipitation and winter flow, by underground flow, and by applied irrigation water seeping into the ground. The basin is also recharged by controlled releases from the South Bay Aqueduct along with local surface water stored at Del Valle reservoir into Arroyo Valle and Arroyo Mocho. Sections of these arroyos contain creek bottoms that are very porous, allowing the water to quickly seep into the ground. Mine quarrying pits on the west side of the Livermore Valley are currently being used for storm water collection to assist in recharge of groundwater in the basin (Zone 7 Water Agency, 2005). The basins' groundwater system is a multi-layered system with an unconfined upper aquifer overlying deeper semi-confined to confined aquifers separated by clay aquitards. These clay aquitards impede the vertical movement of groundwater		

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap Y/N	Data Gap Detail
Geology and Hydrogeology (Continued)	Regional (Continued)	between the upper and deeper aquifers. Most of the water for municipal and agricultural use is pumped from the deeper aquifers. Groundwater flow in the basin generally flows toward the west central portions of the valley and generally moves east to west within Livermore Valley. Groundwater near the center of Livermore Valley flows toward a cone of depression located west of the city of Livermore near gravel mining areas. The groundwater depression is thought to have been created by extraction of groundwater for municipal and agricultural use and dewatering for gravel quarrying (Zone 7 Water Agency, 2005). The extraction of groundwater is ongoing but has lessened over the years due to usage of water from the State Water Project. Surface drainage features include four major seasonal streams (Arroyo Valle, Arroyo Mocho, Arroyo las Positas, and Arroyo de la Laguna) and several quarry ponds (mining area). The four major streams converge on the southwest side of the basin to form the main basin outlet, Arroyo de la Laguna, which flows south and joins Alameda Creek in Sunol Valley. These natural drainages are located approximately 0.7 miles		
		(Arroyo las Positas) north, 0.75 miles south-southwest (Arroyo Mocho), and 2.75 miles southwest (Arroyo Valle) of the Site.		
Geology and Hydrogeology	Site	Depth to groundwater varies across the Site and through time from approximately 16.03 to 43.25 ft bgs. Resulting groundwater elevations have varied from approximately 408.12 ft to 433.18 ft. Since March of 1995 the groundwater flow direction was been predominately toward the north. However, on occasion a southwesterly flow direction has been observed. During this same time period the gradient magnitude has varied from 0.009 to 0.071. Groundwater flow direction and gradient data from the time period March 1995 through the present are provided in Table 4. Groundwater flow direction and gradient are generally consistent with	Y	1) Variations in Localized Groundwater Flow Patterns as Related to Nearby Municipal Pumping is not Known

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap Y/N	Data Gap Detail
Geology and Hydrogeology (Continued)	Site (Continued)	regional conditions, which do not account for localized variations due to slight local variations in lithology, differences in well screens, and local water withdrawals and surface infiltrations which include, but are not limited to, groundwater pumping. It is beyond the scope of any one Site to determine the causes of slight variations in groundwater gradient and direction, particularly when the general overall direction and gradient are consistent with regional conditions. Nearby municipal pumping rates and seasonal pumping variations may be useful in determining the apparent variations in the overall local groundwater flow direction at the Site. Soil underlying the Site has been consistently characterized as primarily clayey to sandy gravel interbedded with some silty sand and sandy silt to clay. A four and a half to five foot layer of moist sandy clay is encountered at varying depths ranging from 37 to 42.5 feet bgs. In well VW-1, a similar layer is present at approximately 30 feet bgs extending to the bottom of the well at 33.5 ft bgs. It is unclear whether this lithology connects to the deeper clay intervals noted in other wells, and this may be causing the higher groundwater elevations. A clay mound or ridge could be present. Higher groundwater elevations could occur in this area when groundwater flow through the adjacent permeable gravels encounters this less permeable mound/ridge consisting of the sandy clay. Lithologic cross-sections are presented as Drawings 8 through 10. Available lithologic soil boring logs and well construction details are provided in Appendix C.		2) Unsure if Sandy Clay in Well VW-1 is Separate Formation or Same as Deeper Sandy Clay Layer Noted in Other Site Well Logs

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap Y/N	Data Gap Detail
Surface Water Bodies		Surface drainage features include four major seasonal streams (Arroyo Valle, Arroyo Mocho, Arroyo las Positas, and Arroyo de la Laguna) and several quarry ponds (mining area). The four major streams converge on the southwest side of the basin to form the main basin outlet, Arroyo de la Laguna, which flows south and joins Alameda Creek in Sunol Valley. These natural drainages are located approximately 0.7 miles (Arroyo las Positas) north, 0.75 miles south-southwest (Arroyo Mocho), and 2.75 miles southwest (Arroyo Valle) of the Site.	Ν	NA
Nearby Wells		A water well survey was conducted by URS in September 2003. A more recent survey has recently been conducted in 2013. The 2003 survey concluded that four water wells were located within 2,640 feet (0.5 miles) of the Site. Two were water supply wells located approximately 2,500 feet and 2,300 feet crossgradient of the Site. The other two wells were of unknown use and were reported as being located approximately 240 feet cross-gradient and 2,300 feet up-gradient of the Site. Upon further review of the well logs, the well of unknown use that was believed to be located approximately 240 feet crossgradient from the Site was incorrectly located by URS. The correct location of the well is 450 feet downgradient of the Site (across Pine Street and on the north side of the fire station). During the recent well survey (Section 3.0 of the Work Plan) a total of three municipal supply wells and one domestic well were identified within 2,000 feet of the Site. Potential impact to these municipal and domestic wells within the search radius is possible; however, the Coon domestic well (Drawing 4 ID#3), if present, and one of the three municipal wells (Drawing 4 ID#4) are both located in a general upgradient direction from the Site, while another of the three municipal wells (Drawing 4 ID#4) is located in a general cross-gradient direction. The final municipal well (Drawing 4 ID#1) is located to the Northeact of the Site in a general downgradient direction of fine fine fine fine fine fine fine fin	Y	1) Current Analytical Data from Monitoring Well MW-8 Between Downgradient Municipal Well and Site is not Available 2) More Information Regarding the Downgradient Well's Use and Construction is Needed

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap Y/N	Data Gap Detail
Nearby Wells		<ul> <li>monitoring well MW-8 is located downgradient of the Site, between the Site and the downgradient municipal well. Well MW-8 only contained very sporadic and low petroleum concentrations during the Site's monitoring history and most recently did not contain hydrocarbons. However, MW-8 has not been sampled for over ten years. It is unclear if petroleum compounds are migrating from the Site to the municipal well (ID#1) based on the lack of current downgradient (well MW-8) analytical data. Furthermore, it is unclear the extent to which the municipal well (ID#1) is used and the sanitary seal depth. These data would be beneficial in determining the potential risk to this municipal well (ID#1) being impacted by petroleum compounds potentially migrating from the Site.</li> <li>Potable water is provided to residences and businesses in the general area by Cal</li> </ul>		
Constituents of Concern	Light-Non Aqueous Phase Liquids (LNAPL)	<ul> <li>Water.</li> <li>LNAPL was first detected in onsite soil boring B-1 (0.01 ft) during a limited subsurface assessment on February 1, 1990. LNAPL in monitoring wells was first observed in MW-1 (0.10 ft) on July 25, 1991, in MW-2 (0.16 ft) on January 15, 1991, and in MW-5 (0.03 ft) on August 13, 1991. Passive skimmers were installed in these three wells. Approximately 3.06 gallons of LNAPL were recovered in 1991 and 1992. LNAPL had not been observed in any of the monitoring wells since November 1992 until recently. Historic LNAPL measurements and removal volumes are summarized in Appendix B.</li> <li>During the Third Quarter 2012, LNAPL was reported in well MW-7 for the first time during its monitoring history. This measurement coincided with the lowest groundwater level ever noted in this well. No LNAPL was noted during the First Quarter 2013 event, after groundwater levels had rebounded. The origin and extent of this recent LNAPL remains unclear, but may be related to depressed groundwater elevations.</li> </ul>	Y	1) Evaluate Presence of LNAPL in MW-7 during GWM events

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap Y/N	Data Gap Detail
Constituents of Concern	Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	GRO has been detected in onsite wells MW-1 through MW-7, RW-1, and VW-1. Since 1995 concentrations of GRO have ranged from 90,000 μg/L in well MW-1 (1995) to below laboratory reporting limits in wells MW-1 through MW-6, RW-1, and VW-1. GRO concentrations in RW-1 and VW-1 have remained below laboratory detection limits since Third Quarter 2007. GRO has significantly decreased over time in all onsite wells. However, recently GRO has increased in concentration in well MW-7, but significant increases have not been noted in other Site wells. It is unclear if this apparent increase is the result of fluctuating groundwater levels or a new release mechanism. The relatively lower GRO concentration (80 μg/L) in well VW-1 indicates that the plume terminates between MW-7 and VW-1. However, as noted above, it is not clear as to whether groundwater in VW-1 represents a perched zone, or represents a groundwater mound in the same water-bearing zone that is the result of a lithologic feature. Drawing 4 presents a GRO isoconcentration contour map for the First Quarter 2013.	Y	1) Recent increase in GRO in well MW-7 is not well understood 2) No Recent Analytical Data from Many Site Wells
Constituents of Concern	Benzene	Benzene has been detected in all onsite wells MW-1 through MW-7, RW-1, and VW-1. Since 1995, concentrations of benzene have ranged from 4,000 µg/L in well MW-1 (1995) to non-detect. Maximum current benzene concentrations are present in wells MW-4 and MW-7 at concentrations slightly above 400 µg/L, a decrease of one order of magnitude from the historic maximum. Benzene is currently non-detect in wells MW-11 and VW-1. Concentrations in wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-8, and MW-9 are not currently known due to lack of sampling data from these wells for over 10 years. Available benzene analytical data from more current sampling (last two years) indicates that the majority of benzene concentrations are present near the former	Y	1) No Recent Analytical Data from Many Site Wells

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap Y/N	Data Gap Detail
Constituents of Concern (Continued)	Benzene (Continued)	USTs, with lower to non-detect concentrations in the northern (downgradient direction). The lack of benzene impacts in well VW-1 indicate that the plume terminates between MW-7 and VW-1. However, as noted above, it is not clear as to whether groundwater in VW-1 represents a perched zone, or represents a groundwater mound in the same water-bearing zone that is the result of a lithologic feature. A benzene isoconcentration contour map is presented as Drawing 5. With the exception of the occasional detection, benzene has not been observed in offsite wells MW-8 through MW-11. However, no sampling has been conducted at		
		these wells for over 10 years, with the exception of MW-11, which is currently sampled on an annual basis.		
Constituents of Concern	MTBE	MTBE has been detected at relatively low concentrations in onsite wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-4 through MW-7, and RW-1. Since 1995, maximum concentrations of MTBE have been recorded at 270 µg/L in MW-1 (1999), 130 µg/L in MW-2 (1998), 360 µg/L in MW-4 (2001), 330 µg/L in MW-5 (2001), 57.1 µg/L in MW-6 (2001), 350 µg/L in MW-7 (1995), and 530 µg/L in RW-1 (1999). MTBE concentrations have generally decreased over time and are currently near or below detection limits in wells MW-5, MW-6, RW-1, and VW-1. The lack of MTBE impacts in well VW-1 indicates that the plume terminates between MW-7 and VW-1. However, as noted above, it is not clear as to whether groundwater in VW-1 represents a perched zone, or represents a groundwater mound in the same water-bearing zone that is the result of a lithologic feature. An MTBE isoconcentration contour map is presented as Drawing 6. MTBE has not been detected in offsite wells MW-8 through MW-11, however, wells MW-8 through MW-10 have not been sampled for over 10 years.	Y	1) No Recent Analytical Data from Many Site Wells

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap Y/N	Data Gap Detail
Potential Sources	Onsite	The exact source and volume released is unknown. However, based on historic reports and observed contaminant concentrations, the source area is suspected to be the former UST complex located in the southern portion of the Site. However, concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons were also observed in shallow soils beneath the dispenser pump islands while trenching to replace the product lines. Due to the area and predominant depth of first detected impacted soil in the vicinity of the UST complex, it appears that the majority of the release occurred beneath the former USTs.	Ν	NA
Potential Sources	Offsite	No offsite sources have been identified.	N	NA
Nature and Extent of Environmental Impacts	Extent in Soil	Overexcavation in the former UST area was completed to a depth of 18 feet. Two soil samples from this depth showed detections of TPHg at or in excess of 100 mg/kg. Overexcavation was conducted to a depth of five feet in the product line area. One soil sample from this depth showed a detection of TPHg at 91 mg/kg. An unknown amount of petroleum hydrocarbon may be presently bound within the soil matrix within these areas. A fluctuating groundwater table has also likely "smeared" contaminants in soils up to the high water mark. Sorbed hydrocarbon mass may also be present in finer-grained soils noted at approximately 42 feet bgs in most borings and wells at the Site, as noted in the cross-section drawings (Drawings 9 and 10).	Ν	NA
Nature and Extent of Environmental Impacts	Extent in Shallow Groundwater	During the First Quarter 2013 monitoring event, the maximum GRO and MTBE concentrations were detected in well MW-7 at 4,100 ug/L and 120 ug/L, respectively. The maximum benzene concentration was detected in MW-4 at 460 ug/L. The highest concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in Site groundwater are consistently reported in these two wells, which is consistent with their locations adjacent to the former USTs.	Y	1) No Recent Analytical Data from Many Site Wells
## TABLE 1

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

# Atlantic Richfield Company Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap Y/N	Data Gap Detail
Nature and Extent of Environmental Impacts (Continued)	Extent in Shallow Groundwater (Continued)	Petroleum hydrocarbon impacts are defined in the downgradient and crossgradient directions by wells MW-8 through MW-11, although none of these wells with the exception of MW-11 have been sampled in over 10 years. Petroleum hydrocarbons are defined in the upgradient direction by borings SB-2 and SB-3 (Drawing 2), advanced in 2011. GRO and MTBE were detected in the groundwater samples collected from SB-2 and SB-3, however these concentrations were significantly lower than in onsite wells, and below CRWQCB ESLs (CRWQCB, 2013). No other hydrocarbons were detected. Therefore, the plume is considered defined in the upgradient direction. Isoconcentration maps for the most recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event (1Q13) for GRO, benzene, and MTBE are included as Drawings 4 through 6, respectively. Based on these drawings, the extent of petroleum compounds is well defined in all directions, and is predominately limited to onsite. Additional groundwater sampling at all Site monitoring wells would confirm the definition of hydrocarbons at the Site.		
Nature and Extent of Environmental Impacts	Extent in Deeper Groundwater	The extent of deeper groundwater has not been defined. Additional depth discrete- groundwater sampling will need to be performed to understand potential vertical extent of hydrocarbons in groundwater.	Y	1) No Vertical Groundwater Characterization
Migration Pathways	Potential Conduits	Broadbent has no record of a formal utility survey of the Site and surrounding area. Soil excavation conducted during tank removal activities was completed to a depth of 18 feet bgs and groundwater underneath the Site, at its shallowest, has been 16.03 feet bgs. Therefore, it is unlikely that utility trenches within and near the Site could be serving as preferential pathways for contaminant migration above or below the groundwater table.	N	NA

## TABLE 1

## CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

## Atlantic Richfield Company Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California

CSM Element Potential Receptors	<b>CSM Sub-</b> Element Onsite	Description No onsite water supply wells or surface water exists. The only potential onsite receptor would be onsite workers exposed to gasoline vapors. However, the exposure from current fueling operations for onsite workers represents a greater risk than any associated with potential groundwater or soil vapor exposure (SWRCB, 2012).	Data Gap Y/N N	Data Gap Detail NA
Potential Receptors	Offsite	Recent sensitive receptor survey activities identified three nearby municipal supply wells and one domestic well. However, only one of these wells is located in the downgradient direction. This downgradient well (Drawing 4, ID#1) is located approximately 1,075 feet downgradient of the Site. Currently, the presence of the well (ID#1) has been confirmed via a telephone conversation to Cal Water (the well owner and water service provider), but sanitary seal specifications or use are not known. Additionally, downgradient Site monitoring well MW-8, which appears to be located between the Site plume and the municipal well, has not been sampled for over 10 years. This municipal supply well needs further evaluation to determine if there is a risk from petroleum hydrocarbons migrating from the Site.	Ŷ	1) Current Analytical Data from Monitoring Well Between Downgradient Municipal Well and Site is not Available 2) More Information Regarding the Downgradient Well's Use and Construction is Needed

## Notes:

bgs = below ground surface TPHg = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline GRO = Gasoline Range Organics DRO = Diesel Range Organics MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl Ether All report references are included in Section 3 of the preceding report MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl Ether BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes μg/L = micrograms per liter mg/Kg = milligrams per kilogram ESLs = Environmental Screening Levels

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in µg	;/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-1															
3/20/1995		451.73	32.00	41.00	24.50	427.23	90,000	1,800	1,100	1,000	5,600				
6/2/1995			32.00	41.00	25.60	426.13	81,000	2,000	1,400	990	4,600				
8/23/1995			32.00	41.00	29.04	422.69	44,000	2,400	1,900	670	3,800	<300			
12/4/1995			32.00	41.00	31.31	420.42	22,000	870	660	390	2,200				
2/20/1996			32.00	41.00	22.26	429.47	21,000	1,500	1,200	650	3,500	<300			
5/15/1996			32.00	41.00	23.42	428.31	36,000	3,000	2,500	960	5,700	<250			
8/13/1996			32.00	41.00	26.83	424.90	19,000	730	580	450	2,500	<200			
11/13/1996			32.00	41.00	31.05	420.68	6,600	47	16	74	160	<30			
3/26/1997			32.00	41.00	26.29	425.44	1,900	100	55	37	200	<30			
5/15/1997			32.00	41.00	28.65	423.08	16,000	490	250	250	1,100	<120			
8/26/1997			32.00	41.00	31.53	420.20	190	6.7	3	6.3	25	<3			
11/5/1997			32.00	41.00	33.93	417.80	63	0.5	<0.5	0.8	2.4	29			
2/18/1998			32.00	41.00	20.46	431.27	23,000	1,500	610	550	3,000	<120			
5/20/1998			32.00	41.00	23.84	427.89	50,000	4,400	1,900	1,400	80,000	<300			
7/30/1998	Р		32.00	41.00	26.94	424.79	150	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.6	<3	8.74		
10/29/1998	NP		32.00	41.00	32.58	419.15	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.8	<3	2.0		
3/16/1999	Р		32.00	41.00	26.20	425.53	3,200	160	32	89	390	270	2.0		
5/5/1999	Р		32.00	41.00	27.57	424.16	3,600	140	46	76	290	170	11.65		
8/26/1999	Р		32.00	41.00	30.25	421.48	3,200	210	29	100	220	120	1.43		
12/3/1999	NP		32.00	41.00	32.70	419.03	53	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1	<3	2.12		
3/13/2000	Р		32.00	41.00	24.45	427.28	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<3	5.81		
6/20/2000			32.00	41.00	27.79	423.94	67.4	3.88	<0.500	1.78	1.48	<2.50			b
6/20/2000	Р		32.00	41.00	27.79	423.94	356	40.1	7.17	11.9	22.7	<2.50	5.1		
8/31/2000			32.00	41.00	30.35	421.38									
2/9/2001			32.00	41.00	30.95	420.78									
9/17/2001			32.00	41.00	30.85	420.88									
1/21/2002			32.00	41.00	30.61	421.12									
7/19/2002			32.00	41.00	31.55	420.18									
1/15/2003			32.00	41.00	22.99	428.74									
7/9/2003			32.00	41.00	30.35	421.38									

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in µg	/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-1 Cont.															
02/19/2004		451.73	32.00	41.00	26.24	425.49									
08/04/2004		454.23	32.00	41.00	26.36	427.87									
01/18/2005			32.00	41.00	24.47	429.76									
07/15/2005			32.00	41.00	29.44	424.79									
01/10/2006			32.00	41.00	22.58	431.65									
7/21/2006			32.00	41.00	20.73	433.50									
1/17/2007			32.00	41.00	31.88	422.35									
7/18/2007			32.00	41.00	32.85	421.38									
1/15/2008			32.00	41.00	28.76	425.47									
7/7/2008			32.00	41.00	35.56	418.67									
1/7/2009			32.00	41.00	34.07	420.16									
7/22/2009			32.00	41.00											Dry
3/12/2010			32.00	41.00	27.61	426.62									
9/9/2010			32.00	41.00	31.72	422.51									
2/17/2011			32.00	41.00	32.11	422.12									
7/7/2011			32.00	41.00	31.12	423.11									
1/23/2012			32.00	41.00	34.34	419.89									
7/25/2012			32.00	41.00											Dry
1/17/2013			32.00	41.00	30.14	424.09									
MW-2															
3/20/1995		449.49	30.00	38.00	20.27	429.22	54,000	2,600	1,600	1,200	7,600				
6/2/1995			30.00	38.00	22.32	427.17	37,000	2,200	800	980	4,800				
8/23/1995			30.00	38.00	25.69	423.80	65,000	1,100	310	840	3,000	<500			
12/4/1995			30.00	38.00	28.52	420.97	19,000	680	150	410	1,600				
2/20/1996			30.00	38.00	19.00	430.49	22,000	1,200	240	590	2,200	<300			
5/15/1996			30.00	38.00	20.03	429.46	25,000	1,200	240	610	2,100	<300			
8/13/1996			30.00	38.00	24.44	425.05	19,000	640	110	420	1,200	<300			
11/13/1996			30.00	38.00	28.42	421.07	15,000	260	52	220	640	<200			
3/26/1997			30.00	38.00	22.98	426.51	17,000	580	120	360	980	<120			
5/15/1997			30.00	38.00	25.40	424.09	18,000	420	63	340	730	<120			

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in µg	/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-2 Cont.															
8/26/1997		449.49	30.00	38.00	28.38	421.11	5,300	210	26	140	270	<120			
11/5/1997			30.00	38.00	31.93	417.56	560	42	2.6	7	9	<40			
2/18/1998			30.00	38.00	16.87	432.62	18,000	710	120	480	1,100	130			
5/20/1998			30.00	38.00	20.29	429.20	16,000	480	72	440	1,100	<120			
7/30/1998	Р		30.00	38.00	23.51	425.98	9,700	240	33	210	490	<120	9.21		
10/29/1998	NP		30.00	38.00	30.08	419.41	58	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.2	<3	1.0		
3/16/1999	Р		30.00	38.00	23.22	426.27	4,700	120	13	90	220	60	2.0		
5/5/1999	Р		30.00	38.00	24.05	425.44	5,500	58	7.1	58	98	17	9.09		
8/26/1999	Р		30.00	38.00	26.44	423.05	3,700	55	11	60	64	26	1.9		
12/3/1999	NP		30.00	38.00	30.15	419.34	130	<0.5	<0.5	0.7	1.8	<3	1.96		
3/13/2000	Р		30.00	38.00	20.68	428.81	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<3			
6/20/2000	Р		30.00	38.00	23.08	426.41	226	2.2	<0.500	4.83	7.88	<2.50	4.9		
8/31/2000	Р		30.00	38.00	26.71	422.78	87.1	1.78	<0.500	1.33	1.15	<2.50	1.59		
2/9/2001			30.00	38.00	29.65	419.84									
9/17/2001	Р		30.00	38.00	27.62	421.87	3,100	300	12	8.8	18	120	1.7		
1/21/2002			30.00	38.00	27.09	422.40									
7/19/2002	Р		30.00	38.00	27.82	421.67	4,700	280	13	120	19	16	0.8	7.4	а
1/15/2003			30.00	38.00	22.18	427.31									
7/9/2003			30.00	38.00	26.40	423.09	3,900	170	<5.0	100	19	39	2.5	7.0	
02/19/2004			30.00	38.00	23.85	425.64									
08/04/2004	Р	452.05	30.00	38.00	24.71	427.34	5,400	650	21	160	56	78	0.8	7.2	
01/18/2005			30.00	38.00	20.86	431.19									
07/15/2005	Р		30.00	38.00	25.92	426.13	5,200	160	5.3	56	10	46	3.1	6.9	
01/10/2006			30.00	38.00	19.25	432.80									
7/21/2006	Р		30.00	38.00	25.73	426.32	120	0.90	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	6.08	8.3	
1/17/2007			30.00	38.00	28.70	423.35									
7/18/2007	Р		30.00	38.00	29.07	422.98	2,300	58	2.4	9.5	3.5	45	1.19	7.51	
1/15/2008			30.00	38.00	24.65	427.40									
7/7/2008	NP		30.00	38.00	32.41	419.64	3,600	28	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	19	2.81	7.24	
1/7/2009			30.00	38.00	31.67	420.38									

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in µg	/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-2 Cont.															
7/22/2009		452.05	30.00	38.00	33.48	418.57									
3/12/2010			30.00	38.00	23.84	428.21									
9/9/2010	Р		30.00	38.00	27.84	424.21	6,200	53	3.8	18	9.5	13		6.8	
2/17/2011			30.00	38.00	27.52	424.53									
7/7/2011	Р		30.00	38.00	26.62	425.43	1,600	17	0.76	1.2	1.5	6.2	1.02	7.1	g (GRO)
1/23/2012			30.00	38.00	32.32	419.73									
7/25/2012			30.00	38.00	34.10	417.95									h
8/31/2012			30.00	38.00											Dry
1/17/2013			30.00	38.00	26.14	425.91									
MW-3															
3/20/1995		450.28	32.00	40.00	22.19	428.09	94	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5				
6/2/1995			32.00	40.00	23.28	427.00	72	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5				
8/23/1995			32.00	40.00	26.55	423.73	98	<0.5	<0.5	<0.6	0.5	<3			
12/4/1995			32.00	40.00	29.52	420.76	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5				
2/20/1996			32.00	40.00	19.83	430.45	130	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/15/1996			32.00	40.00	21.03	429.25	120	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			
8/13/1996			32.00	40.00	25.67	424.61	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
11/13/1996			32.00	40.00	21.57	428.71	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
3/26/1997			32.00	40.00	24.15	426.13	<50	1.1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/15/1997			32.00	40.00	26.85	423.43	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
8/26/1997			32.00	40.00	30.07	420.21	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
11/5/1997			32.00	40.00	32.46	417.82	<50	<0.5	0.7	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
2/18/1998			32.00	40.00	17.82	432.46	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/20/1998			32.00	40.00	21.41	428.87	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
7/30/1998	Р		32.00	40.00	26.41	423.87	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	9.56		
10/29/1998	Р		32.00	40.00	31.33	418.95	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	1.0		
3/16/1999	Р		32.00	40.00	24.61	425.67	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	1.0		
5/5/1999	Р		32.00	40.00	25.75	424.53	140	<0.5	<0.5	0.6	<0.5	<3	4.43		
8/26/1999	Р		32.00	40.00	28.49	421.79	80	0.6	0.6	0.6	1	<3	1.69		
12/3/1999	Р		32.00	40.00	31.45	418.83	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<3	2.26		

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in µg	/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-3 Cont.															
3/13/2000	Р	450.28	32.00	40.00	22.18	428.10	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<3	4.41		
6/20/2000	Р		32.00	40.00	26.03	424.25	<50.0	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<2.50	2.3		
8/31/2000			32.00	40.00	28.75	421.53									
2/9/2001			32.00	40.00	31.04	419.24									
9/17/2001			32.00	40.00	29.04	421.24									
1/21/2002			32.00	40.00	28.81	421.47									
7/19/2002			32.00	40.00	28.92	421.36									
1/15/2003			32.00	40.00	22.88	427.40									
7/9/2003			32.00	40.00	28.00	422.28									
02/19/2004			32.00	40.00	25.29	424.99									
08/04/2004		452.75	32.00	40.00	27.40	425.35									
01/18/2005			32.00	40.00	22.76	429.99									
07/15/2005			32.00	40.00	25.95	426.80									
01/10/2006			32.00	40.00	21.18	431.57									
7/21/2006			32.00	40.00	25.73	427.02									
1/17/2007			32.00	40.00	30.51	422.24									
7/18/2007			32.00	40.00	29.53	423.22									
1/15/2008			32.00	40.00	27.65	425.10									
7/7/2008			32.00	40.00	33.38	419.37									
1/7/2009			32.00	40.00	34.09	418.66									
7/22/2009			32.00	40.00	34.98	417.77									
3/12/2010			32.00	40.00	25.89	426.86									
9/9/2010			32.00	40.00	31.13	421.62									
2/17/2011			32.00	40.00	30.28	422.47									
7/7/2011			32.00	40.00	30.48	422.27									
1/23/2012			32.00	40.00	34.29	418.46									
7/25/2012			32.00	40.00	37.39	415.36									
1/17/2013			32.00	40.00	29.24	423.51									
MW-4															
3/20/1995		451.09	26.00	42.00	22.68	428.41	12,000	1,000	100	450	700				

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µg	/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-4 Cont.															
6/2/1995		451.09	26.00	42.00	24.41	426.68	9,000	850	56	380	430				
8/23/1995			26.00	42.00	27.72	423.37	5,300	400	25	240	170	<100			
12/4/1995			26.00	42.00	29.85	421.24	6,700	100	<10	90	38				
2/20/1996			26.00	42.00	21.16	429.93	7,000	360	22	180	160	<70			
5/15/1996			26.00	42.00	22.18	428.91									
8/13/1996			26.00	42.00	26.20	424.89									
11/13/1996			26.00	42.00	29.72	421.37									
3/26/1997			26.00	42.00	21.86	429.23	8,900	390	33	200	250	<70			
5/15/1997			26.00	42.00	26.92	424.17									
8/26/1997			26.00	42.00	29.30	421.79									
11/5/1997			26.00	42.00	32.14	418.95									
2/18/1998			26.00	42.00	19.30	431.79	5,300	220	19	160	130	120			
5/20/1998			26.00	42.00	22.40	428.69									
7/30/1998			26.00	42.00	25.74	425.35									
10/29/1998			26.00	42.00	31.26	419.83									
3/16/1999	Р		26.00	42.00	25.05	426.04	1,900	49	<5	43	<5	82	1.5		
5/5/1999			26.00	42.00	26.15	424.94									
8/26/1999			26.00	42.00	28.60	422.49							1.43		
12/3/1999			26.00	42.00	31.53	419.56									
3/13/2000	Р		26.00	42.00	23.61	427.48	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<3	3.82		
6/20/2000			26.00	42.00	26.38	424.71							0.4		
8/31/2000	NP		26.00	42.00	29.55	421.54	<50.0	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<2.50	1.04		
2/9/2001	NP		26.00	42.00	30.30	420.79	<50.0	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<2.50	1.39		
9/17/2001	NP		26.00	42.00	29.90	421.19	3,400	51	<5.0	16	23	360	0.92		
1/21/2002	NP		26.00	42.00	29.51	421.58	1,900	140	12	27	48	300	1.03		
7/19/2002	NP		26.00	42.00	30.77	420.32	2,700	150	9.9	<5.0	<5.0	130	1.0	7.3	а
1/15/2003			26.00	42.00	23.56	427.53	4,800	150	5.3	28	46	150	1.3	7.0	а
7/9/2003			26.00	42.00	29.50	421.59	3,000	210	9.4	6	20	150	2.0	6.9	
02/19/2004	Р		26.00	42.00	26.35	424.74	4,800	270	11	25	19	180	1.8	6.2	с
08/04/2004	NP	453.80	26.00	42.00	26.48	427.32	4,200	410	13	49	59	300	0.7	6.7	

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in µg	/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-4 Cont.															
01/18/2005	Р	453.80	26.00	42.00	23.15	430.65	4,500	250	9.5	62	22	160	1.2	6.9	
07/15/2005	NP		26.00	42.00	28.13	425.67	3,500	230	6.1	19	15	230	0.5	7.0	
01/10/2006	Р		26.00	42.00	21.49	432.31	5,500	250	7.6	37	25	190	1.3	7.1	
7/21/2006	NP		26.00	42.00	28.88	424.92	66	0.60	<0.50	0.52	0.82	3.1	4.75	8.3	
1/17/2007	NP		26.00	42.00	30.80	423.00	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	11	6.19	8.03	
7/18/2007	NP		26.00	42.00	32.00	421.80	2,400	140	6.8	1.3	4.1	74	5.03	7.12	
1/15/2008	NP		26.00	42.00	27.30	426.50	220	1.2	<0.50	<0.50	0.50	61	3.29	6.94	f (MTBE)
7/7/2008	NP		26.00	42.00	34.78	419.02	<50	3.1	<0.50	<0.50	0.66	17	4.03	7.26	
1/7/2009	NP		26.00	42.00	32.59	421.21	110	1.1	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	37	2.79	7.26	
7/22/2009	NP		26.00	42.00	36.77	417.03	3,000	320	7.8	5.3	16	63	10.82	7.45	
3/12/2010	NP		26.00	42.00	26.38	427.42	1,700	150	4.6	8.3	2.3	43	1.14	7.08	
9/9/2010	NP		26.00	42.00	28.20	425.60	3,300	70	<2.5	3.6	3.6	51		6.8	
2/17/2011	NP		26.00	42.00	30.62	423.18	2,300	59	2.2	2.2	5.0	33	1.03	7.8	g (GRO)
7/7/2011	NP		26.00	42.00	27.98	425.82	2,000	79	2.7	<2.5	3.3	57	0.70	6.9	g (GRO)
1/23/2012	Р		26.00	42.00	33.57	420.23	980	51	2.4	<2.0	<2.0	44	1.14	6.89	g (GRO)
7/25/2012	Р		26.00	42.00	35.81	417.99	1,700	86	4.1	1.1	4.6	49	3.45	7.23	
8/31/2012			26.00	42.00	36.53	417.27									
1/17/2013	Р		26.00	42.00	28.31	425.49	1,500	460	12	8.0	<5.0	110	1.16	7.62	
MW-5															
3/20/1995		451.40	31.50	41.00	23.20	428.20	26,000	1,300	180	890	2,900				
6/2/1995			31.50	41.00	24.80	426.60	39,000	940	160	740	1,900				
8/23/1995			31.50	41.00	28.10	423.30	14,000	490	74	250	890	<300			
12/4/1995			31.50	41.00	29.83	421.57	7,600	230	13	61	80				
2/20/1996			31.50	41.00	21.63	429.77	4,300	220	12	45	130	<50			
5/15/1996			31.50	41.00	22.87	428.53	2,200	380	17	58	84	<40			
8/13/1996			31.50	41.00	26.48	424.92	1,700	150	16	24	35	47			
11/13/1996			31.50	41.00	29.68	421.72	850	150	11	19	37	66			
3/26/1997			31.50	41.00	25.14	426.26	2,400	440	21	79	210	68			
5/15/1997			31.50	41.00	27.38	424.02	3,900	510	19	140	240	48			
8/26/1997			31.50	41.00	29.89	421.51	76	4.9	<0.5	1.5	2	9			

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in µg	/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-5 Cont.															
11/5/1997		451.40	31.50	41.00	32.57	418.83	63	0.8	<0.5	<0.5	1.2	34			
2/18/1998			31.50	41.00	19.99	431.41	6,200	630	70	320	640	320			
5/20/1998			31.50	41.00	23.21	428.19	2,300	340	21	110	140	62			
7/30/1998	Р		31.50	41.00	26.19	425.21	<50	0.8	<0.5	0.6	0.9	<3	8.83		
10/29/1998	NP		31.50	41.00	31.92	419.48	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	2.0		
3/16/1999	Р		31.50	41.00	25.80	425.60	1,300	170	8	59	65	120	2.0		
5/5/1999	Р		31.50	41.00	27.09	424.31	320	31	1.1	13	13	19	12.09		
8/26/1999	Р		31.50	41.00	29.67	421.73	260	13	1.7	4.2	6.3	150	1.31		
12/3/1999			31.50	41.00											d
3/13/2000	Р		31.50	41.00	24.51	426.89	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<3	4.41		
6/20/2000	Р		31.50	41.00	27.37	424.03	60.8	4.84	<0.500	1.9	1.59	<2.50	5.3		
8/31/2000	Р		31.50	41.00	30.21	421.19	<50.0	1.18	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	3.83	0.97		
2/9/2001			31.50	41.00	30.19	421.21									
9/17/2001	Р		31.50	41.00	30.71	420.69	2,700	120	10	90	77	330	0.81		
1/21/2002			31.50	41.00	30.40	421.00									
7/19/2002	Р		31.50	41.00	31.93	419.47	1,600	170	7	120	<5.0	180	1.7	7.2	а
1/15/2003			31.50	41.00	23.12	428.28									
7/9/2003			31.50	41.00	30.95	420.45	2,000	160	5.7	67	27	260	1.5	6.9	
02/19/2004			31.50	41.00	26.73	424.67									
08/04/2004	Р	453.52	31.50	41.00	26.61	426.91	2,100	250	5.3	73	22	250	2.7	7.0	
01/18/2005			31.50	41.00	24.10	429.42									
07/15/2005	Р		31.50	41.00	29.27	424.25	1,600	61	<5.0	8.7	<5.0	270	2.1	6.9	
01/10/2006			31.50	41.00	22.19	431.33									
7/21/2006	Р		31.50	41.00	30.36	423.16	2,100	29	<5.0	7.5	11	14	2.98	7.1	
1/17/2007			31.50	41.00	31.77	421.75									
7/18/2007	NP		31.50	41.00	33.42	420.10	470	36	0.84	0.97	2.2	110	1.73	7.50	
1/15/2008			31.50	41.00	28.60	424.92									
7/7/2008	NP		31.50	41.00	35.80	417.72	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	7.55	7.79	
1/7/2009			31.50	41.00	33.14	420.38									
7/22/2009	NP		31.50	41.00	37.84	415.68	100	3.0	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	12	12.34	7.24	

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µg	/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-5 Cont.															
3/12/2010		453.52	31.50	41.00	27.29	426.23									
9/9/2010	Р		31.50	41.00	28.96	424.56	1,000	18	1.4	0.55	3.2	10		6.9	
2/17/2011			31.50	41.00	31.49	422.03									
7/7/2011	Р		31.50	41.00	28.72	424.80	620	9.0	0.60	<0.50	0.61	4.6	1.60	7.0	g (GRO)
1/23/2012			31.50	41.00	33.27	420.25									
7/25/2012	Р		31.50	41.00	36.29	417.23	500	11	1.1	<0.50	2.6	11	3.07	7.23	
1/17/2013			31.50	41.00	29.11	424.41									
MW-6															
3/20/1995		451.37	32.00	42.00	25.19	426.18	2,600	210	87	82	140				
6/2/1995			32.00	42.00	25.75	425.62	1,600	55	7.9	40	26				
8/23/1995			32.00	42.00	29.53	421.84	1,400	42	2.5	36	13	<20			
12/4/1995			32.00	42.00	32.28	419.09	2,500	52	5.8	59	13				
2/20/1996			32.00	42.00	22.27	429.10	2,500	120	16	73	12	<30			
5/15/1996			32.00	42.00	23.86	427.51	2,000	71	6.4	47	25	<15			
8/13/1996			32.00	42.00	28.55	422.82	3,800	91	8.2	69	25	<20			
11/13/1996			32.00	42.00	32.04	419.33	1,900	55	3.3	55	8.5	16			
3/26/1997			32.00	42.00	26.84	424.53	1,800	51	5	32	15	<30			
5/15/1997			32.00	42.00	29.58	421.79	2,400	46	3	29	9	<12			
8/26/1997			32.00	42.00	32.67	418.70	1,400	61	6	33	10	<12			
11/5/1997			32.00	42.00	34.62	416.75	690	29	2.7	18	3.4	9			
2/18/1998			32.00	42.00	20.09	431.28	1,800	74	5	24	12	19			
5/20/1998			32.00	42.00	24.05	427.32	1,900	280	4	31	16	9			
7/30/1998	Р		32.00	42.00	28.72	422.65	2,300	110	7	36	20	<15			
10/29/1998	Р		32.00	42.00	32.77	418.60	2,500	14	13	17	12	<12	1.0		
3/16/1999	Р		32.00	42.00	26.45	424.92	1,200	65	4	27	13	18	0.5		
5/5/1999	Р		32.00	42.00	27.86	423.51	2,200	53	4	26	6	25	5.59		
8/26/1999	Р		32.00	42.00	30.49	420.88	1,100	11	6	10	4	13	2.35		
12/3/1999	Р		32.00	42.00	32.35	419.02	370	<0.5	<0.5	0.8	<1	4	2.36		
3/13/2000	Р		32.00	42.00	28.36	423.01	54	2.1	0.5	0.9	1.4	<3	4.22		
6/20/2000	Р		32.00	42.00	28.35	423.02	195	1.83	<0.500	0.528	<0.500	<2.50	3.5		

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in µg	/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-6 Cont.															
8/31/2000	Р	451.37	32.00	42.00	30.20	421.17	276	3.52	0.788	1.15	0.621	8.73	7.0		
2/9/2001			32.00	42.00	30.70	420.67	222	4.49	2.73	0.579	0.523	57.1			b
2/9/2001	Р		32.00	42.00	30.70	420.67	253	5.44	2.93	0.924	0.977	48.9	0.59		
9/17/2001	Р		32.00	42.00	30.94	420.43	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<2.5	2.79		
9/17/2001			32.00	42.00	30.94	420.43	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<2.5			b
1/21/2002	Р		32.00	42.00	30.55	420.82	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<5.0	1.9		
7/19/2002	Р		32.00	42.00	30.27	421.10	60	2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.5	7.9	а
1/15/2003			32.00	42.00	22.86	428.51	83	9.1	<0.50	3.4	4.6	1	2.5	7.2	а
7/9/2003	Р		32.00	42.00	29.41	421.96	110	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.98	2.6	7.1	
02/19/2004			32.00	42.00	43.25	408.12									
08/04/2004	Р	453.83	32.00	42.00	27.71	426.12	540	36	3.8	17	24	5.2	3.5	7.1	
01/18/2005			32.00	42.00	24.56	429.27									
07/15/2005	Р		32.00	42.00	27.61	426.22	4,600	210	44	150	670	32	3.5	7.1	
01/10/2006			32.00	42.00	23.75	430.08									
7/21/2006	Р		32.00	42.00	27.96	425.87	260	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.86	5.1	2.60	7.2	
1/17/2007			32.00	42.00	30.57	423.26									
7/18/2007	Р		32.00	42.00	30.96	422.87	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.95	7.57	
1/15/2008			32.00	42.00	28.89	424.94									
7/7/2008	NP		32.00	42.00	34.57	419.26	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	6.00	7.19	
1/7/2009			32.00	42.00	34.75	419.08									
7/22/2009	NP		32.00	42.00	35.84	417.99	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	16.67	7.68	
3/12/2010			32.00	42.00	27.89	425.94									
9/9/2010	NP		32.00	42.00	33.06	420.77	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50		7.2	
2/17/2011			32.00	42.00	32.60	421.23									
7/7/2011	NP		32.00	42.00	32.72	421.11	430	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	8.0	2.04	7.1	g (GRO)
1/23/2012			32.00	42.00	35.61	418.22									
7/25/2012	Р		32.00	42.00	38.78	415.05	500	3.3	<0.50	<0.50	1.7	10	3.07	7.45	
1/17/2013			32.00	42.00	31.11	422.72									
MW-7															
3/20/1995		450.33	30.00	40.00	22.07	428.26	31,000	2,300	400	620	2,900				

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	Nater Level Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-7 Cont.															
6/2/1995		450.33	30.00	40.00	23.42	426.91	40,000	1,400	280	610	2,400				
8/23/1995			30.00	40.00	27.13	423.20	25,000	1,400	200	600	1,600	350			
12/4/1995			30.00	40.00	29.45	420.88	23,000	1,100	74	490	720				
2/20/1996			30.00	40.00	20.25	430.08	39,000	1,200	140	640	1,800	<400			
5/15/1996			30.00	40.00	21.38	428.95									
8/13/1996			30.00	40.00	25.52	424.81									
11/13/1996			30.00	40.00	29.38	420.95									
3/26/1997			30.00	40.00	24.36	425.97	35,000	1,100	180	460	1,700	<300			
5/15/1997			30.00	40.00	26.90	423.43									
8/26/1997			30.00	40.00	30.21	420.12									
11/5/1997			30.00	40.00	32.49	417.84									
2/18/1998			30.00	40.00	18.10	432.23	19,000	1,100	120	460	1,700	240			
5/20/1998			30.00	40.00	21.68	428.65									
7/30/1998			30.00	40.00	26.07	424.26									
10/29/1998			30.00	40.00	31.13	419.20									
3/16/1999	Р		30.00	40.00	24.45	425.88	8,600	430	51	200	680	<120	1.5		
5/5/1999			30.00	40.00	25.84	424.49									
8/26/1999			30.00	40.00	28.28	422.05							1.51		
12/3/1999			30.00	40.00	31.57	418.76									
3/13/2000			30.00	40.00											d
6/20/2000			30.00	40.00	25.91	424.42							5.4		
8/31/2000			30.00	40.00	28.40	421.93	8,410	344	58.9	276	581	202	0.09		
2/9/2001			30.00	40.00	30.04	420.29	2,030	203	12	17.9	49.4	128	1.55		
9/17/2001	Р		30.00	40.00	29.03	421.30	4,800	200	14	9.9	27	160	0.29		
1/21/2002			30.00	40.00	28.98	421.35	2,600	280	17	41	50	97			b
1/21/2002	Р		30.00	40.00	28.98	421.35	4,200	350	20	52	63	99	0.81		
7/19/2002	Р		30.00	40.00	28.70	421.63	5,700	630	31	330	160	64	0.7	7.3	а
1/15/2003			30.00	40.00	21.91	428.42	12,000	470	19	340	310	91	1.5	7.0	а
7/9/2003	Р		30.00	40.00	27.88	422.45	6,700	590	23	280	92	110	1.0	6.9	
02/19/2004	Р		30.00	40.00	25.12	425.21	8,900	670	24	470	120	100	0.8	6.6	с

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level Concentrations in µg/L									
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-7 Cont.															
08/04/2004	Р	452.70	30.00	40.00	25.92	426.78	9,100	930	29	460	130	140	0.6	7.2	
01/18/2005	Р		30.00	40.00	22.31	430.39	16,000	770	33	590	220	87	1.0	6.9	
07/15/2005	Р		30.00	40.00	27.20	425.50	12,000	1,000	38	490	220	150	1.5	6.9	
01/10/2006	Р		30.00	40.00	20.61	432.09	13,000	1,200	50	760	330	120	0.8	7.1	
7/21/2006	Р		30.00	40.00	28.10	424.60	8,000	110	<50	380	180	54	3.20	7.8	
1/17/2007	Р		30.00	40.00	29.70	423.00	5,600	16	<2.5	26	12	3.1	1.08	7.83	
7/18/2007	Р		30.00	40.00	29.73	422.97	2,400	140	2.8	9.1	7.3	67	4.86	7.67	
1/15/2008	Р		30.00	40.00	26.18	426.52	3,500	120	3.6	9.0	29	26	3.16	7.07	
7/7/2008	NP		30.00	40.00	33.10	419.60	70	0.76	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.69	7.81	8.24	
1/7/2009	NP		30.00	40.00	33.21	419.49	<50	1.5	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.00	7.73	
7/22/2009	NP		30.00	40.00	34.54	418.16	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.53	11.95	7.65	
3/12/2010	Р		30.00	40.00	25.46	427.24	2,600	36	1.0	14	9.1	11	0.42	8.07	
9/9/2010	NP		30.00	40.00	30.10	422.60	2,800	430	11	32	46	110			
2/17/2011			30.00	40.00	29.71	422.99									
7/7/2011	NP		30.00	40.00	29.68	423.02	2,600	310	8.3	7.5	46	150	0.77	6.9	g (GRO)
1/23/2012	Р		30.00	40.00	34.59	418.11	2,100	330	9.4	10	24	150	0.86	6.76	
7/25/2012			30.00	40.00	36.16	416.54							3.67	7.09	i
8/31/2012	Р		30.00	40.00	37.08	415.62	15,000	650	16	31	51	120	2.52	7.42	k
1/17/2013	Р		30.00	40.00	27.53	425.17	3,100	430	10	10	42	120	1.21	7.58	
MW-8															
3/20/1995		449.43	27.50	42.50	24.75	424.68	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5				
6/2/1995			27.50	42.50	24.95	424.48									
8/23/1995			27.50	42.50	30.94	418.49	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
12/4/1995			27.50	42.50	31.99	417.44									
2/20/1996			27.50	42.50	21.13	428.30	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/15/1996			27.50	42.50	21.96	427.47									
8/13/1996			27.50	42.50	30.20	419.23	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
11/13/1996			27.50	42.50	33.24	416.19									
3/26/1997			27.50	42.50	26.85	422.58	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/15/1997			27.50	42.50	29.69	419.74									

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	evel Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-8 Cont.															
8/26/1997		449.43	27.50	42.50	34.00	415.43	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
11/5/1997			27.50	42.50	35.94	413.49									
2/18/1998			27.50	42.50	18.18	431.25	<50	0.6	0.6	<0.5	1.1	<3			
5/20/1998			27.50	42.50	22.85	426.58									
7/30/1998	NP		27.50	42.50	30.31	419.12	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	8.21		
10/29/1998			27.50	42.50	35.88	413.55									
3/16/1999	NP		27.50	42.50	28.50	420.93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	1.0		
5/5/1999			27.50	42.50	29.76	419.67									
8/26/1999	Р		27.50	42.50	33.51	415.92	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	4.93		
12/3/1999			27.50	42.50	35.83	413.60									
3/13/2000	Р		27.50	42.50	26.12	423.31	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<3	2.81		
6/20/2000			27.50	42.50	30.91	418.52							5.8		
8/31/2000			27.50	42.50	33.70	415.73									
2/9/2001			27.50	42.50	30.90	418.53									
9/17/2001			27.50	42.50	33.95	415.48									
1/21/2002			27.50	42.50	33.71	415.72									
7/19/2002			27.50	42.50	35.30	414.13									
1/15/2003			27.50	42.50	27.10	422.33									
7/9/2003			27.50	42.50	33.10	416.33									
02/19/2004			27.50	42.50	28.92	420.51									
08/04/2004		451.80	27.50	42.50	34.28	417.52									
01/18/2005			27.50	42.50	26.76	425.04									
07/15/2005			27.50	42.50	31.14	420.66									
01/10/2006			27.50	42.50	22.88	428.92									
7/21/2006			27.50	42.50	30.84	420.96									
1/17/2007			27.50	42.50	33.20	418.60									
7/18/2007			27.50	42.50	31.92	419.88									
1/15/2008			27.50	42.50	31.52	420.28									
7/7/2008			27.50	42.50	36.32	415.48									
1/7/2009			27.50	42.50	40.52	411.28									

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	evel Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-8 Cont.															
7/22/2009		451.80	27.50	42.50	40.38	411.42									
3/12/2010			27.50	42.50	31.48	420.32									
9/9/2010			27.50	42.50	35.28	416.52									
2/17/2011			27.50	42.50	33.49	418.31									
7/7/2011			27.50	42.50	32.74	419.06									
1/23/2012			27.50	42.50	32.11	419.69									
7/25/2012			27.50	42.50	40.00	411.80									
1/17/2013			27.50	42.50	32.23	419.57									
MW-9															
3/20/1995		449.21	29.50	39.50	19.11	430.10	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5				
6/2/1995			29.50	39.50	21.23	427.98									
8/23/1995			29.50	39.50	24.33	424.88	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
12/4/1995			29.50	39.50	27.90	421.31									
2/20/1996			29.50	39.50	17.86	431.35	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/15/1996			29.50	39.50	18.69	430.52									
8/13/1996			29.50	39.50	24.17	425.04									
11/13/1996			29.50	39.50	28.01	421.20									
3/26/1997			29.50	39.50	22.58	426.63	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/15/1997			29.50	39.50	25.12	424.09									
8/26/1997			29.50	39.50	28.28	420.93									
11/5/1997			29.50	39.50	31.18	418.03									
2/18/1998			29.50	39.50	16.03	433.18	<50	0.6	0.5	<0.5	1	<3			
5/20/1998			29.50	39.50	19.31	429.90									
7/30/1998			29.50	39.50	24.90	424.31									
10/29/1998			29.50	39.50	30.08	419.13									
3/16/1999	Р		29.50	39.50	22.68	426.53	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	1.0		
5/5/1999			29.50	39.50	23.82	425.39									
8/26/1999			29.50	39.50	26.57	422.64							5.08		
12/3/1999			29.50	39.50											d
3/13/2000	Р		29.50	39.50	25.62	423.59	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<3	5.43		

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	evel Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-9 Cont.															
6/20/2000		449.21	29.50	39.50	23.55	425.66							6.2		
8/31/2000			29.50	39.50	27.39	421.82									
2/9/2001			29.50	39.50	28.65	420.56									
9/17/2001			29.50	39.50	27.51	421.70									
1/21/2002			29.50	39.50	27.09	422.12									
7/19/2002			29.50	39.50	27.06	422.15									
1/15/2003			29.50	39.50	21.78	427.43									
7/9/2003			29.50	39.50	26.18	423.03									
02/19/2004			29.50	39.50	23.45	425.76									
08/04/2004		451.63	29.50	39.50	29.24	422.39									
01/18/2005			29.50	39.50	20.64	430.99									
07/15/2005			29.50	39.50	25.72	425.91									
01/10/2006			29.50	39.50	18.86	432.77									
7/21/2006			29.50	39.50	25.58	426.05									
1/17/2007			29.50	39.50	29.11	422.52									
7/18/2007			29.50	39.50											d
1/15/2008			29.50	39.50	24.89	426.74									
7/7/2008			29.50	39.50	32.06	419.57									
1/7/2009			29.50	39.50	32.65	418.98									
7/22/2009			29.50	39.50	33.74	417.89									
3/12/2010			29.50	39.50	23.44	428.19									
9/9/2010			29.50	39.50	29.56	422.07									
2/17/2011			29.50	39.50	27.18	424.45									
7/7/2011			29.50	39.50	27.71	423.92									
1/23/2012			29.50	39.50	32.04	419.59									
7/25/2012			29.50	39.50	35.37	416.26									
1/17/2013			29.50	39.50	26.89	424.74									
MW-10															
3/20/1995		449.22	29.00	37.00	20.96	428.26									
6/2/1995			29.00	37.00	22.15	427.07									

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	evel Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-10 Cont.															
8/23/1995		449.22	29.00	37.00	24.47	424.75	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
12/4/1995			29.00	37.00	26.97	422.25									
2/20/1996			29.00	37.00	18.40	430.82	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/15/1996			29.00	37.00											d
8/13/1996			29.00	37.00	23.70	425.52									
11/13/1996			29.00	37.00	27.15	422.07									
3/26/1997			29.00	37.00	22.23	426.99	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/15/1997			29.00	37.00	24.57	424.65									
8/26/1997			29.00	37.00	27.62	421.60									
11/5/1997			29.00	37.00	30.79	418.43									
2/18/1998			29.00	37.00											d
5/20/1998			29.00	37.00											
7/30/1998			29.00	37.00	23.90	425.32									
10/29/1998			29.00	37.00	30.55	418.67									
3/16/1999	Р		29.00	37.00	23.05	426.17	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	1.0		
5/5/1999			29.00	37.00	24.00	425.22									
8/26/1999			29.00	37.00	26.50	422.72							5.15		
12/3/1999			29.00	37.00	30.80	418.42									
3/13/2000			29.00	37.00	26.21	423.01									d
6/20/2000			29.00	37.00	23.52	425.70							5.5		
8/31/2000			29.00	37.00	27.52	421.70									
2/9/2001			29.00	37.00	28.71	420.51									
9/17/2001			29.00	37.00	27.94	421.28									
1/21/2002			29.00	37.00	27.44	421.78									
7/19/2002			29.00	37.00	27.80	421.42									
1/15/2003			29.00	37.00	23.09	426.13									
7/9/2003			29.00	37.00	26.87	422.35									
02/19/2004			29.00	37.00	23.39	425.83									
01/18/2005		451.65	29.00	37.00	21.40	430.25									
07/15/2005			29.00	37.00	25.37	426.28									

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	evel Concentrations in μg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-10 Cont.															
01/10/2006		451.65	29.00	37.00	19.81	431.84									
7/21/2006			29.00	37.00	25.16	426.49									
1/17/2007			29.00	37.00	28.95	422.70									
7/18/2007			29.00	37.00											d
1/15/2008			29.00	37.00	24.62	427.03									
7/7/2008			29.00	37.00											d
1/7/2009			29.00	37.00											d
7/22/2009			29.00	37.00											Dry
3/12/2010			29.00	37.00	24.13	427.52									
9/9/2010			29.00	37.00	27.91	423.74									
2/17/2011			29.00	37.00	27.16	424.49									
7/7/2011			29.00	37.00	26.38	425.27									
1/23/2012			29.00	37.00	31.25	420.40									
7/25/2012			29.00	37.00											Dry
1/17/2013			29.00	37.00	26.00	425.65									
MW-11															
3/20/1995		448.02	29.00	39.00	25.02	423.00	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5				
6/2/1995			29.00	39.00	23.82	424.20									
8/23/1995			29.00	39.00	30.15	417.87	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
12/4/1995			29.00	39.00	31.63	416.39									
2/20/1996			29.00	39.00	20.94	427.08	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/15/1996			29.00	39.00	23.03	424.99									
8/13/1996			29.00	39.00	29.19	418.83	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
11/13/1996			29.00	39.00	31.96	416.06									
3/26/1997			29.00	39.00	26.61	421.41	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/15/1997			29.00	39.00	29.39	418.63									
8/26/1997			29.00	39.00	33.47	414.55	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3			
11/5/1997			29.00	39.00	35.12	412.90									
2/18/1998			29.00	39.00	18.03	429.99	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1	<3			
5/20/1998			29.00	39.00	23.00	425.02									

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level Concentrations in µg/L									
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-11 Cont.															
7/30/1998	Р	448.02	29.00	39.00	29.30	418.72	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	5.59		
10/29/1998			29.00	39.00	34.47	413.55									
3/16/1999	Р		29.00	39.00	27.88	420.14	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	1.0		
5/5/1999			29.00	39.00	26.85	421.17									
8/26/1999	Р		29.00	39.00	32.74	415.28	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	4.59		
12/3/1999			29.00	39.00	34.70	413.32									
3/13/2000	Р		29.00	39.00	25.94	422.08	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<3	3.21		
6/20/2000			29.00	39.00	30.40	417.62							3.3		
8/31/2000			29.00	39.00	32.68	415.34	<50.0	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<2.50			b
8/31/2000	NP		29.00	39.00	32.68	415.34	<50.0	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<2.50	0.4		
2/9/2001			29.00	39.00	31.17	416.85									
9/17/2001	NP		29.00	39.00	32.98	415.04	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<2.5	0.62		
1/21/2002			29.00	39.00	31.05	416.97									
7/19/2002	Р		29.00	39.00	31.67	416.35	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.7	7.7	
1/15/2003			29.00	39.00	23.75	424.27									
7/9/2003	Р		29.00	39.00	31.06	416.96	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.4	6.6	
02/19/2004			29.00	39.00	27.21	420.81									
08/04/2004	Р	450.41	29.00	39.00	31.71	418.70	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.3	7.1	
01/18/2005			29.00	39.00	24.80	425.61									
07/15/2005	Р		29.00	39.00	29.15	421.26	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.7	7.1	
01/10/2006			29.00	39.00	20.87	429.54									
7/21/2006	Р		29.00	39.00	29.30	421.11	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.7	7.2	
1/17/2007			29.00	39.00	31.59	418.82									
7/18/2007	NP		29.00	39.00	29.22	421.19	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	5.35	7.12	
1/15/2008			29.00	39.00	29.12	421.29									
7/7/2008	NP		29.00	39.00	34.21	416.20	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.08	7.94	
1/7/2009			29.00	39.00	37.45	412.96									
7/22/2009	NP		29.00	39.00	37.33	413.08	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	15.97	7.81	
3/12/2010			29.00	39.00	28.47	421.94									
9/9/2010	NP		29.00	39.00	33.03	417.38	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50		7.2	

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	vel Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-11 Cont.															
2/17/2011		450.41	29.00	39.00	31.70	418.71									
7/7/2011	NP		29.00	39.00	31.44	418.97	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.65	7.1	
1/23/2012			29.00	39.00	34.55	415.86									
7/25/2012			29.00	39.00	38.00	412.41									h
1/17/2013			29.00	39.00	31.32	419.09									
RW-1															
3/20/1995		451.67	25.50	40.50	23.76	427.91	15,000	1,000	140	310	950				
6/2/1995			25.50	40.50	25.12	426.55	12,000	1,300	280	420	1,100				
8/23/1995			25.50	40.50	28.80	422.87	8,200	520	190	240	610	<50			
12/4/1995			25.50	40.50	31.15	420.52	2,600	140	59	83	210				
2/20/1996			25.50	40.50	21.45	430.22	6,300	410	160	180	650	<40			
5/15/1996			25.50	40.50	22.97	428.70									
8/13/1996			25.50	40.50	24.74	426.93									
11/13/1996			25.50	40.50	30.69	420.98									
3/26/1997			25.50	40.50	25.69	425.98	500	57	3	6.4	18	54			
5/15/1997			25.50	40.50	28.19	423.48									
8/26/1997			25.50	40.50	31.21	420.46									
11/5/1997			25.50	40.50	33.67	418.00									
2/18/1998			25.50	40.50	20.14	431.53	9,400	200	70	190	710	<60			
5/20/1998			25.50	40.50	23.43	428.24									
7/30/1998			25.50	40.50	27.42	424.25									
10/29/1998			25.50	40.50	32.47	419.20									
3/16/1999	NP		25.50	40.50	25.45	426.22	1,100	140	19	45	83	530	1.0		
5/5/1999			25.50	40.50	27.23	424.44									
8/26/1999			25.50	40.50	29.98	421.69							1.39		
12/3/1999			25.50	40.50	32.38	419.29									
3/13/2000	NP		25.50	40.50	25.53	426.14	1,100	130	3.5	0.7	95	230	4.43		
6/20/2000			25.50	40.50	28.31	423.36							1.9		
8/31/2000	NP		25.50	40.50	30.61	421.06	<50.0	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	82.5	3.21		
2/9/2001	NP		25.50	40.50	31.14	420.53	<50.0	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<2.50	0.84		

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	Water Level Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
RW-1 Cont.															
9/17/2001	NP	451.67	25.50	40.50	31.70	419.97	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<2.5	1.51		
1/21/2002	NP		25.50	40.50	30.15	421.52	<50	7.7	<0.50	<0.50	1.5	18	0.63		
7/19/2002	NP		25.50	40.50	31.15	420.52	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	13	1.4	6.6	
1/15/2003			25.50	40.50	22.20	429.47	860	9	1.6	17	42	1.5	2.8	7.2	а
7/9/2003			25.50	40.50	29.56	422.11	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.8	7.1	
02/19/2004	NP		25.50	40.50	23.53	428.14	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.4	6.7	С
08/04/2004	Р	454.11	25.50	40.50	22.45	431.66	600	<0.50	<0.50	3.3	3.4	<0.50	4.4	7.2	
01/18/2005	Р		25.50	40.50	23.57	430.54	1,400	8.0	1.9	22	68	<0.50	3.6	6.9	
07/15/2005	NP		25.50	40.50	29.02	425.09	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.0	1.1	7.8	
01/10/2006	Р		25.50	40.50	21.88	432.23	480	4.3	0.67	8.3	18	0.54	4.4	7.1	
7/21/2006			25.50	40.50											d
1/17/2007	Р		25.50	40.50	31.48	422.63	6,900	17	2.8	22	31	2.6	4.08	7.74	
7/18/2007	NP		25.50	40.50	32.45	421.66	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.33	7.48	
1/15/2008	NP		25.50	40.50	28.39	425.72	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	8.3	2.73	6.87	
7/7/2008	NP		25.50	40.50	35.19	418.92	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.53	2.51	7.05	
1/7/2009	NP		25.50	40.50	33.31	420.80	120	0.96	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.6	2.13	6.84	
7/22/2009	NP		25.50	40.50	36.15	417.96	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.84	10.39	7.40	
3/12/2010	Р		25.50	40.50	25.01	429.10	240	15	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.7	0.78	7.06	
9/9/2010	NP		25.50	40.50	31.01	423.10	440	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.53	1.9		7.3	
2/17/2011	NP		25.50	40.50	26.45	427.66	500	1.5	<0.50	<0.50	0.55	<0.50	0.98	8.0	g (GRO)
7/7/2011	NP		25.50	40.50	30.42	423.69	750	2.4	<0.50	0.64	2.2	2.2	0.82	6.7	g (GRO)
1/23/2012	Р		25.50	40.50	29.13	424.98	430	13	<0.50	<0.50	2.4	1.8	0.43	6.61	g (GRO)
7/25/2012	Р		25.50	40.50	36.50	417.61	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	2.21	6.93	
1/17/2013	Р		25.50	40.50	28.80	425.31	<50	1.4	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	0.85	1.49	7.65	
VW-1															
8/31/2000	Р	NS	18.50	28.50	20.61		<50.0	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<2.50	10.08		
2/9/2001	Р		18.50	28.50	22.10		<50.0	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<2.50	0.53		
9/17/2001	Р		18.50	28.50	21.99		<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<2.5	6.59		
1/21/2002	Р		18.50	28.50	21.50		<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<5.0	0.7		
7/19/2002	Р		18.50	28.50	22.42		<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.9	7.1	

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level Concentrations in µg/L									
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
VW-1 Cont.															
1/15/2003		NS	18.50	28.50	22.59		<50	<0.50	<0.50	0.63	1.7	<0.50	5.4	7.2	
7/9/2003			18.50	28.50	22.50		<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.61	<0.50	2.0	7.0	
02/19/2004			18.50	28.50	21.04										
08/04/2004	Р	453.29	18.50	28.50	20.48	432.81	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	5.7	7.0	
01/18/2005			18.50	28.50	21.72	431.57									
07/15/2005	Р		18.50	28.50	22.50	430.79	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	5.1	7.4	
01/10/2006			18.50	28.50	20.17	433.12									
7/21/2006	Р		18.50	28.50	22.50	430.79	220	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	5.91	7.3	е
1/17/2007			18.50	28.50	21.67	431.62									
7/18/2007	NP		18.50	28.50	23.58	429.71	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	6.45	8.52	
1/15/2008			18.50	28.50	21.87	431.42									
7/7/2008	NP		18.50	28.50	23.70	429.59	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	7.54	8.46	
1/7/2009			18.50	28.50	22.00	431.29									
7/22/2009	NP		18.50	28.50	23.95	429.34	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	10.12	7.66	
3/12/2010			18.50	28.50	21.85	431.44									
9/9/2010	NP		18.50	28.50	23.65	429.64	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50		6.93	
2/17/2011	NP		18.50	28.50	23.83	429.46	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.57	7.9	
7/7/2011	NP		18.50	28.50	25.17	428.12	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.85	7.2	
1/23/2012			18.50	28.50	27.40	425.89									
7/25/2012	NP		18.50	28.50	27.40	425.89	80	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	5.12	7.39	j
8/31/2012			18.50	28.50	28.03	425.26									
1/17/2013			18.50	28.50	24.60	428.69									

Symbols & Abbreviations: --/- - = Not analyzed/applicable/sampled/measured < = Not detected at or above specified laboratory reporting limit DO = Dissolved oxygen DTW = Depth to water in ft bgs ft bgs = Feet below ground surface ft MSL = Feet above mean sea level GRO = Gasoline range organics, range C4-C12 GWE = Groundwater elevation in ft MSL g/L = Micrograms per liter mg/L = Milligrams per liter MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether NP = Not purged before sampling P = Purged before sampling TPH-g = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline TOC = Top of casing elevation in ft MSL

Footnotes:

- a = Chromatogram Pattern: Gasoline C6-C10
- b = Duplicate sample
- c = GRO analyzed by EPA Method 8015B modified

d = Well inaccessible

- e = Hydrocarbon result partly due to individ. peak(s) in quant. range
- f = Sample > 4x spike concentration
- g = Quantitated against gasoline
- h = Insufficient water within well to collect sample
- i = Well not sampled due to the presence of Light Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid (LNAPL)
- j = Insufficient water within well to purge prior to sample collection
- k = Sample collected following removal of approximately 1.5 gallson of LNAPL/water mixture from well

#### Notes:

For previous historical GWE and analytical data please refer to Fourth Quarter 1995 Groundwater Monitoring Program Results and Remediation System Performance Evaluation Report, ARCO Service Station 771, Livermore, California, (EMCON, March 1, 1996)

Please note that beginning in the fourth quarter 2003, the laboratory modified the reported analyte list. TPH-g was changed to GRO. The resulting data may be impacted by the potential inclusion of non-TPH-g analytes within the requested fuel range resulting in a higher concentration being reported

All analytes unless otherwise notes utilized EPA Method 8260B, EPA method 8015B modified prior to 1/15/03, and EPA method 8020 prior to 12/03/99

Site wells were resurveyed to NAVD '88 datum on March 8, 2004

Top of screen and bottom of screen depths for MW-3 and MW-6 are estimated from cross-sections

GRO analysis was completed by EPA method 8260B (C4-C12) for samples collected from the time period April 2006 through February 4, 2008. The analysis for GRO was changed to EPA method 8015B (C6-C12) for samples collected from the time period February 5, 2008 through the present

The data within this table collected prior to April 2006 was provided to Broadbent & Associates, Inc. by Atlantic Richfield Company and their previous consultants. Broadbent & Associates, Inc. has not verified the accuracy of this information

Well ID and	Concentrations in µg/L								
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-1									
8/23/1995			<300						
2/20/1996			<300						
5/15/1996			<250						
8/13/1996			<200						
11/13/1996			<30						
3/26/1997			<30						
5/15/1997			<120						
8/26/1997			<3						
11/5/1997			29						
2/18/1998			<120						
5/20/1998			<300						
7/30/1998			<3						
10/29/1998			<3						
3/16/1999			270						
5/5/1999			170						
8/26/1999			120						
12/3/1999			<3						
3/13/2000			<3						
6/20/2000			<2.50						
6/20/2000			<2.50						
MW-2									
8/23/1995			<500						
2/20/1996			<300						
5/15/1996			<300						
8/13/1996			<300						
11/13/1996			<200						
3/26/1997			<120						
5/15/1997			<120						
8/26/1997			<120						
11/5/1997			<40						
2/18/1998			130						

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-2 Cont.									
5/20/1998			<120						
7/30/1998			<120						
10/29/1998			<3						
3/16/1999			60						
5/5/1999			17						
8/26/1999			26						
12/3/1999			<3						
3/13/2000			<3						
6/20/2000			<2.50						
8/31/2000			<2.50						
9/17/2001			120						
7/19/2002			16						
7/9/2003	<1,000	<200	39	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
08/04/2004	<2,000	<400	78	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
07/15/2005	<500	120	46	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/21/2006	<300	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/18/2007	<600	89	45	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
7/7/2008		<100	19	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0		
9/9/2010	<600	41	13	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
7/7/2011	<300	<10	6.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-3									
8/23/1995			<3						
2/20/1996			<3						
5/15/1996			<0.5						
8/13/1996			<3						
11/13/1996			<3						
3/26/1997			<3						
5/15/1997			<3						
8/26/1997			<3						
11/5/1997			<3						
2/18/1998			<3						

Well ID and				Concentrati	ions in μg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-3 Cont.									
5/20/1998			<3						
7/30/1998			<3						
10/29/1998			<3						
3/16/1999			<3						
5/5/1999			<3						
8/26/1999			<3						
12/3/1999			<3						
3/13/2000			<3						
6/20/2000			<2.50						
MW-4									
8/23/1995			<100						
2/20/1996			<70						
3/26/1997			<70						
2/18/1998			120						
3/16/1999			82						
3/13/2000			<3						
8/31/2000			<2.50						
2/9/2001			<2.50						
9/17/2001			360						
1/21/2002			300						
7/19/2002			130						
1/15/2003			150						
7/9/2003	<1,000	750	150	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
02/19/2004	<1,000	630	180	<10	<10	<10	<5.0	<5.0	
08/04/2004	<2,000	1,300	300	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
01/18/2005	<1,000	630	160	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	а
07/15/2005	<1,000	850	230	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
01/10/2006	<1,500	810	190	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/21/2006	<300	35	3.1	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
1/17/2007	<300	<20	11	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/18/2007	<300	830	74	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.76	<0.50	

Well ID and				Concentrati					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-4 Cont.									
1/15/2008	<300	280	61	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	b (MTBE)
7/7/2008		19	17	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50		
1/7/2009		74	37	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/22/2009	<300	580	63	0.85	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
3/12/2010	<300	460	43	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
9/9/2010	<1,500	880	51	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
2/17/2011	<1200	430	33	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	
7/7/2011	<1,500	580	57	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
1/23/2012	<1,200	620	44	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	
7/25/2012	<150	990	49	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
1/17/2013	<750	590	110	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
MW-5									
8/23/1995			<300						
2/20/1996			<50						
5/15/1996			<40						
8/13/1996			47						
11/13/1996			66						
3/26/1997			68						
5/15/1997			48						
8/26/1997			9						
11/5/1997			34						
2/18/1998			320						
5/20/1998			62						
7/30/1998			<3						
10/29/1998			<3						
3/16/1999			120						
5/5/1999			19						
8/26/1999			150						
3/13/2000			<3						
6/20/2000			<2.50						
8/31/2000			3.83						

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-5 Cont.									
9/17/2001			330						
7/19/2002			180						
7/9/2003	<1,000	1,100	260	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
08/04/2004	<1,000	850	250	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
07/15/2005	<1,000	720	270	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
7/21/2006	<3,000	<200	14	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
7/18/2007	<300	260	110	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/7/2008		<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50		
7/22/2009	<300	11	12	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
9/9/2010	<300	420	10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/7/2011	<300	350	4.6	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/25/2012	<150	480	11	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-6									
8/23/1995			<20						
2/20/1996			<30						
5/15/1996			<15						
8/13/1996			<20						
11/13/1996			16						
3/26/1997			<30						
5/15/1997			<12						
8/26/1997			<12						
11/5/1997			9						
2/18/1998			19						
5/20/1998			9						
7/30/1998			<15						
10/29/1998			<12						
3/16/1999			18						
5/5/1999			25						
8/26/1999			13						
12/3/1999			4						
3/13/2000			<3						

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-6 Cont.									
6/20/2000			<2.50						
8/31/2000			8.73						
2/9/2001			57.1						
2/9/2001			48.9						
9/17/2001			<2.5						
9/17/2001			<2.5						
1/21/2002			<5.0						
7/19/2002			<0.50						
1/15/2003			1						
7/9/2003	<100	<20	0.98	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
08/04/2004	<100	<20	5.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
07/15/2005	<500	110	32	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/21/2006	<300	<20	5.1	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/18/2007	<300	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/7/2008		<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50		
7/22/2009	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
9/9/2010	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/7/2011	<300	19	8.0	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/25/2012	<150	22	10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-7									
8/23/1995			350						
2/20/1996			<400						
3/26/1997			<300						
2/18/1998			240						
3/16/1999			<120						
8/31/2000			202						
2/9/2001			128						
9/17/2001			160						
1/21/2002			97						
1/21/2002			99						
7/19/2002			64						

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-7 Cont.									
1/15/2003			91						
7/9/2003	<1,000	350	110	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
02/19/2004	<1,000	420	100	<10	<10	<10	<5.0	<5.0	
08/04/2004	<5,000	<1,000	140	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
01/18/2005	<1,000	260	87	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	а
07/15/2005	<5,000	<1,000	150	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
01/10/2006	<30,000	<2,000	120	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
7/21/2006	<30,000	<2,000	54	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
1/17/2007	<1,500	<100	3.1	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/18/2007	<600	220	67	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
1/15/2008	<1,500	<100	26	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/7/2008		<10	0.69	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50		
1/7/2009		<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/22/2009	<300	<10	0.53	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
3/12/2010	<300	51	11	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
9/9/2010	<300	180	110	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/7/2011	<3,000	390	150	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
1/23/2012	<3,000	510	150	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
8/31/2012	<3,000	510	120	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
1/17/2013	<750	340	120	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
MW-8									
8/23/1995			<3						
2/20/1996			<3						
8/13/1996			<3						
3/26/1997			<3						
8/26/1997			<3						
2/18/1998			<3						
7/30/1998			<3						
3/16/1999			<3						
8/26/1999			<3						
3/13/2000			<3						

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in μg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-9									
8/23/1995			<3						
2/20/1996			<3						
3/26/1997			<3						
2/18/1998			<3						
3/16/1999			<3						
3/13/2000			<3						
MW-10									
8/23/1995			<3						
2/20/1996			<3						
3/26/1997			<3						
3/16/1999			<3						
MW-11									
8/23/1995			<3						
2/20/1996			<3						
8/13/1996			<3						
3/26/1997			<3						
8/26/1997			<3						
2/18/1998			<3						
7/30/1998			<3						
3/16/1999			<3						
8/26/1999			<3						
3/13/2000			<3						
8/31/2000			<2.50						
8/31/2000			<2.50						
9/17/2001			<2.5						
7/19/2002			<0.50						
7/9/2003	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
08/04/2004	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
07/15/2005	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/21/2006	<300	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-11 Cont.									
7/18/2007	<300	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/7/2008		<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50		
7/22/2009	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
9/9/2010	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/7/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
RW-1									
8/23/1995			<50						
2/20/1996			<40						
3/26/1997			54						
2/18/1998			<60						
3/16/1999			530						
3/13/2000			230						
8/31/2000			82.5						
2/9/2001			<2.50						
9/17/2001			<2.5						
1/21/2002			18						
7/19/2002			13						
1/15/2003			1.5						
7/9/2003	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
02/19/2004	<100	<20	<0.50	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<0.50	
08/04/2004	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
01/18/2005	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	а
07/15/2005	<100	<20	2.0	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
01/10/2006	<300	<20	0.54	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
1/17/2007	<1,500	<100	2.6	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/18/2007	<300	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
1/15/2008	<300	<20	8.3	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/7/2008		<10	0.53	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50		
1/7/2009		<10	1.6	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/22/2009	<300	12	0.84	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
3/12/2010	<300	13	2.7	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	

ARCO Service Station #0771	, 899 Rincon Ave.,	Livermore, CA
----------------------------	--------------------	---------------

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
RW-1 Cont.									
9/9/2010	<300	<10	1.9	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/17/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/7/2011	<300	<10	2.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
1/23/2012	<300	<10	1.8	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/25/2012	<150	19	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
1/17/2013	<150	<10	0.85	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
VW-1									
8/31/2000			<2.50						
2/9/2001			<2.50						
9/17/2001			<2.5						
1/21/2002			<5.0						
7/19/2002			<0.50						
1/15/2003			<0.50						
7/9/2003	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
08/04/2004	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
07/15/2005	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/21/2006	<300	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/18/2007	<300	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/7/2008		<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50		
7/22/2009	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
9/9/2010	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
2/17/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/7/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/25/2012	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	

Symbols & Abbreviations: -- = Not analyzed/sampled < = Not detected at or above specified laboratory reporting limit 1,2-DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane DIPE = Diisopropyl ether EDB = 1,2-Dibromoethane ETBE = Ethyl tert-butyl ether MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether TAME = tert-Amyl methyl ether TBA = tert-Butyl alcohol

#### Footnotes:

a = Calibration verification was within the method limits but outside the contract limits for ethanol

b = Sample >4x spike concentration

#### Notes:

The data within this table collected prior to April 2006 was provided to Broadbent & Associates, Inc. by Atlantic Richfield Company and their previous consultants. Broadbent & Associates, Inc. has not verified the accuracy of this information

Date Measured	Approximate Gradient Direction	Approximate Gradient Magnitude (ft/ft)
3/20/1995	Northwest	0.030
6/2/1995	North-Northwest	0.014
8/23/1995	North-Northwest	0.030
12/4/1995	North-Northwest	0.030
2/20/1996	Northwest	0.016
5/15/1996	Northwest	0.024
8/13/1996	North-Northwest	0.030
11/13/1996	North-Northwest	0.031
3/26/1997	North-Northwest	0.044
5/15/1997	North-Northwest	0.031
8/26/1997	North-Northwest	0.042
11/5/1997	North-Northwest	0.030
2/18/1998	Northwest	0.010
5/20/1998	Northwest	0.030
7/30/1998	North	0.040
10/29/1998	North	0.005
3/16/1999	North-Northwest	0.030
5/5/1999	North	0.040
8/26/1999	North-Northwest	0.050
12/3/1999	North-Northeast	0.060
3/13/2000	North-Northwest	0.066
6/20/2000	North-Northwest	0.050
8/31/2000	North-Northwest	0.062
2/9/2001	North-Northeast	0.014
9/17/2001	North-Northwest	0.061
1/21/2002	North-Northwest	0.050
7/19/2002	North-Northwest	0.044
1/15/2003	Northeast to Southeast	0.038 - 0.016
7/9/2003	Northwest to North-Northwest	0.009 - 0.063
2/19/2004	North	0.044
8/4/2004	Northeast	0.071
1/18/2005	North-Northeast	0.04
7/15/2005	Northeast and Southwest	0.05 and 0.02
1/10/2006	North	0.02
7/21/2006	North and Southwest	0.05 and 0.02
1/17/2007	North-Northeast and Southwest	0.03 and 0.02
7/18/2007	North-Northeast to Southwest	0.03 and 0.04
1/15/2008	North	0.04
7/7/2008	North	0.03
1/7/2009	North	0.06
7/22/2009	North	0.04
3/12/2010	North	0.05
9/9/2010	North	0.04
2/17/2011	North	0.03
7/7/2011	North	0.04

# Table 4. Historical Groundwater Gradient - Direction and Magnitude ARCO Service Station #0771, 899 Rincon Ave., Livermore, CA
## Table 4. Historical Groundwater Gradient - Direction and Magnitude ARCO Service Station #0771, 899 Rincon Ave., Livermore, CA

Date Measured	Approximate Gradient Direction	Approximate Gradient Magnitude (ft/ft)			
1/23/2012	Northwest	0.02			
7/25/2012	North	0.03			
1/17/2013	North	0.03			

Notes:

The data within this table collected prior to April 2006 was provided to Broadbent & Associates, Inc. by Atlantic Richfield Company and their previous consultants. Broadbent & Associates, Inc. has not verified the accuracy of this information

APPENDICES

## APPENDIX A

RECENT REGULATORY CORRESPONDENCE

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES AGENCY

ALEX BRISCOE, Director



ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-93

March 18, 2012

Shannon Couch Atlantic Richfield Company PO Box 1257 San Ramon, CA 94583 (Sent via E-mail to: <u>shannon.couch@bp.com</u>)

Subject: Case File Review for Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000200 and GeoTracker Global ID T0600100113, ARCO #00771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, CA 94550

Dear Ms. Couch:

Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the fuel leak case file for the abovereferenced site. The most recent document in the case file is a report entitled, "*Fourth Quarter 2012 Status Report*," dated January 11, 2013 (Status Report). The Status Report, which was prepared on behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company by Broadbent & Associates, Inc., anticipates that the site will be reevaluated in the near future. A technical report entitled, "*Case Evaluation and Justification for No Further Action*," dated January 5, 2012 (NFA Request) previously requested that the site be considered for case closure. However, the NFA Request was retracted in correspondence from Atlantic Richfield Company dated September 12, 2012.

Based on our review of the case file, we concur that the site should be re-evaluated. Therefore, we request that you prepare a Conceptual Site Model (CSM) and Work Plan that addresses the technical comments below. Please submit the CSM and Work Plan **no later than May 29, 2013**.

## **TECHNICAL COMMENTS**

- 1. Free Product in Well MW-7. Light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) was observed within well MW-7 on July 25, 2012. LNAPL was also measured at a thickness of 0.01 feet during a site visit on August 31, 2012. The Status Report recommends continued monitoring for the presence on LNAPL within MW-7 on a quarterly basis. We have no objection to this proposal.
- 2. Municipal Water Supply Well. A "Water Well Survey," dated September 17, 2003, was completed for the site by URS. The 2003 water well survey was referenced in the "Case Evaluation and Justification for No Further Action," dated January 5, 2012 and the "Initial Site Conceptual Model and Soil and Groundwater Investigation Work Plan," dated February 10, 2009. These documents do not appear to include references to a municipal supply well CWS-10, which is located approximately 850 feet northeast of the site. We concur with the recommendation in the "Fourth Quarter 2012 Status Report," dated January 11, 2013 to complete a new Sensitive Receptor Survey for the site. Please include the results of the Sensitive Receptor Survey in the CSM and Work Plan requested below.
- 3. Site Geology and Vertical Extent of Contamination. Site geology consists of coarse-grained soils typically described as sandy to clayey gravels to a depth of approximately 36 to 42 feet bgs. A sandy clay layer was encountered in each soil boring extended to these depths. Borings for the monitoring wells were generally extended into the sandy clay layer and then backfilled to the top of the sandy

Responsible Parties RO0000200 March 18, 2013 Page 2

> clay for well construction. The bottoms of the well screens for monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-11 appear to be immediately above the top of the sandy clay layer. Analytical data from the monitoring well soil borings indicates that the highest concentrations of TPHg were detected in soil samples collected from the sandy clay layer. Only one soil sample (S-45.5-B4) appears to have been collected below the sandy clay layer. Soil sample S-45.4-B4, apparently collected from a clayey sand layer below the sandy clay, contained 5.5 mg/kg of TPHg and 0.16 mg/kg of benzene. Within boring B4, the sandy clay layer appeared to be approximately 5 feet thick. These limited results suggest that the sandy clay may act to limit downward migration of contamination. However, no groundwater samples have been collected below the sandy clay layer to confirm that groundwater contamination does not extend below the sandy clay layer. It is also unknown whether there is a downward vertical hydraulic gradient that could cause contamination to migrate downward through the sandy clay layer. Please review the vertical extent of contamination in the CSM and Work Plan requested below and propose a scope of work as appropriate to assess whether the sandy clay layer is a barrier to vertical migration or whether groundwater contamination has migrated downward through the sandy clay layer. A transect of soil borings with multi-level groundwater sampling is likely to provide sufficient information to make this evaluation.

- 4. Variability in Groundwater Monitoring Data. Groundwater monitoring data for several wells exhibit significant variability between sampling events. Examples include TPHg groundwater concentrations in well MW-4, which were below reporting limits for three consecutive events in 2000 and 2001 but increased to 3,400 µg/L on 9/17/2011. Please consider this variability in the CSM and Work Plan requested below.
- 5. Hydraulic Gradient. The "Initial Site Conceptual Model and Soil and Groundwater Investigation Work Plan," dated February 10, 2009 includes a table of "Historical Groundwater flow Direction and Gradient." Thank you for including this compilation and please update this summary table for the CSM and Work Plan requested below. Based on review of data from other fuel leak cases in the area and regional groundwater elevation contour maps by the Zone 7 Water Agency, the regional hydraulic gradient in the area is to the west northwest. Groundwater elevation contour maps for the site typically show a hydraulic gradient to the north or north northwest. During several groundwater monitoring events, the apparent hydraulic gradient was to the north northeast, which is in the direction of the municipal water supply well discussed in technical comment 2. As shown on Table 3 of the 2009 SCM and Work Plan, the hydraulic gradient in the area of the site is on the order of 0.01. The cause for the apparent differences between the flow direction and hydraulic gradient for the site and the regional flow direction and hydraulic gradient is not obvious. The possibility that flow direction and hydraulic gradient for the site could be affected by local such as groundwater water withdrawal by the municipal well should be considered din the CSM and Work Plan requested below.
- 6. Shallow Groundwater and Well Screens. Well VW-1 is screened within a shallower stratigraphic interval between 18.5 and 28.5 feet bgs than the other 11 monitoring wells at the site. Water levels measured in well VW-1 are typically 5 to 10 feet higher than water levels in the deeper monitoring wells, which suggests that well VW-1 intersects a shallower water-bearing zone. A sandy silt with fine gravel layer appears to have been encountered at a depth of 28 feet bgs in the VW-1 boring but is not shown on cross sections for the site. It is not clear whether the sandy silt layer encountered in the

Responsible Parties RO0000200 March 18, 2013 Page 3

VW-1 boring is the base of a water-bearing layer. We request that you prepare more detailed cross sections through VW-1 in the CSM and Work Plan requested below.

- 7. **Reports Not in Case File**. The following reports have been referenced in various technical reports but are not in the ACEH case file. Please submit these documents to the ACEH ftp site and GeoTracker:
  - Broadbent and Associates, Inc.," *Off-site Soil & Groundwater Investigation Report*," April 29, 2011.
  - RESNA, "Letter Report of Vapor Extraction Test Performed," January 3, 1992.
- 8. Groundwater Monitoring. We note that groundwater monitoring well was suspended in 2000 for the two downgradient monitoring wells MW-3 and MW-8, presumably due to minimal or no detections in groundwater samples from the wells. Given that these two wells are the two wells that would provide evidence of plume migration towards the municipal supply well to the northeast, we request that wells MW-3 and AMW-8 be sampled during the second quarter 2013 groundwater monitoring event. Please present the results in the groundwater monitoring report requested below.

## TECHNICAL REPORT REQUEST

Please upload technical reports to the ACEH ftp site (Attention: Jerry Wickham), and to the State Water Resources Control Board's GeoTracker website according to the following schedule and file-naming convention:

• May 29, 2013 – Conceptual Site Model and Work Plan File to be named: SCM\_WP\_R\_yyyy-mm-dd RO200

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654, and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

If you have any questions, please call me at (510) 567-6791 or send me an electronic mail message at <u>jerry.wickham@acgov.org</u>. Case files can be reviewed online at the following website: <u>http://www.acgov.org/aceh/index.htm</u>.

Sincerely,

Jerry Wickham, California PG 3766, CEG 1177, and CHG 297 Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist Responsible Parties RO0000200 March 18, 2013 Page 4

Attachments: Responsible Party(ies) Legal Requirements/Obligations

Enclosure: ACEH Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions

cc: Danielle Stefani, Livermore Pleasanton Fire Department, 3560 Nevada St, Pleasanton, CA 94566 (Sent via E-mail to: <u>dstefani@lpfire.org</u>)

Colleen Winey (QIC 8021), Zone 7 Water Agency, 100 North Canyons Pkwy, Livermore, CA 94551 (Sent via E-mail to: <u>cwiney@zone7water.com</u>)

Matt Herrick, Broadbent & Associates, Inc., 1324 Mangrove Avenue, Suite 212, Chico, CA 95926 (Sent via E-mail to: <u>mherrick@broadbentinc.com</u>)

Donna Drogos, ACEH (Sent via E-mail to: <u>donna.drogos@acgov.org</u>) Jerry Wickham, ACEH (Sent via E-mail to: <u>jerry.wickham@acgov.org</u>)

GeoTracker, eFile

## Attachment 1

## Responsible Party(ies) Legal Requirements/Obligations

## **REPORT/DATA REQUESTS**

These reports/data are being requested pursuant to Division 7 of the California Water Code (Water Quality), Chapter 6.7 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code (Underground Storage of Hazardous Substances), and Chapter 16 of Division 3 of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations (Underground Storage Tank Regulations).

## ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS

ACEH's Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (Local Oversight Program [LOP] for unauthorized releases from petroleum Underground Storage Tanks [USTs], and Site Cleanup Program [SCP] for unauthorized releases of non-petroleum hazardous substances) require submission of reports in electronic format pursuant to Chapter 3 of Division 7, Sections 13195 and 13197.5 of the California Water Code, and Chapter 30, Articles 1 and 2, Sections 3890 to 3895 of Division 3 of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations (23 CCR). Instructions for submission of electronic documents to the ACEH FTP site are provided on the attached "Electronic Report Upload Instructions."

Submission of reports to the ACEH FTP site is in addition to requirements for electronic submittal of information (ESI) to the State Water Resources Control Board's (SWRCB) Geotracker website. In April 2001, the SWRCB adopted 23 CCR, Division 3, Chapter 16, Article 12, Sections 2729 and 2729.1 (Electronic Submission of Laboratory Data for UST Reports). Article 12 required electronic submittal of analytical laboratory data submitted in a report to a regulatory agency (effective September 1, 2001), and surveyed locations (latitude, longitude and elevation) of groundwater monitoring wells (effective January 1, 2002) in Electronic Deliverable Format (EDF) to Geotracker. Article 12 was subsequently repealed in 2004 and replaced with Article 30 (Electronic Submittal of Information) which expanded the ESI requirements to include electronic submittal of any report or data required by a regulatory agency from a cleanup site. The expanded ESI submittal requirements for petroleum UST sites subject to the requirements of 23 CCR, Division, 3, Chapter 16, Article 11, became effective December 16, 2004. All other electronic submittals required pursuant to Chapter 30 became effective January 1, 2005. Please visit the SWRCB website for more information on these requirements. (http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\_issues/programs/ust/electronic\_submittal/)

## PERJURY STATEMENT

All work plans, technical reports, or technical documents submitted to ACEH must be accompanied by a cover letter from the responsible party that states, at a minimum, the following: "I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge." This letter must be signed by an officer or legally authorized representative of your company. Please include a cover letter satisfying these requirements with all future reports and technical documents submitted for this fuel leak case.

### PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION & CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The California Business and Professions Code (Sections 6735, 7835, and 7835.1) requires that work plans and technical or implementation reports containing geologic or engineering evaluations and/or judgments be performed under the direction of an appropriately registered or certified professional. For your submittal to be considered a valid technical report, you are to present site specific data, data interpretations, and recommendations prepared by an appropriately licensed professional and include the professional registration stamp, signature, and statement of professional certification. Please ensure all that all technical reports submitted for this fuel leak case meet this requirement.

### UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLEANUP FUND

Please note that delays in investigation, late reports, or enforcement actions may result in your becoming ineligible to receive grant money from the state's Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Senate Bill 2004) to reimburse you for the cost of cleanup.

## AGENCY OVERSIGHT

If it appears as though significant delays are occurring or reports are not submitted as requested, we will consider referring your case to the Regional Board or other appropriate agency, including the County District Attorney, for possible enforcement actions. California Health and Safety Code, Section 25299.76 authorizes enforcement including administrative action or monetary penalties of up to \$10,000 per day for each day of violation.

Alamoda County Environmental Cleanup	REVISION DATE: July 25, 2012	
Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs	ISSUE DATE: July 5, 2005	
(LOP and SCP)	PREVIOUS REVISIONS: October 31, 2005; December 16, 2005; March 27, 2009; July 8, 2010	
SECTION: Miscellaneous Administrative Topics & Procedures	SUBJECT: Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions	

The Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (petroleum UST and SCP) require submission of all reports in electronic form to the county's FTP site. Paper copies of reports will no longer be accepted. The electronic copy replaces the paper copy and will be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities.

## REQUIREMENTS

- Please <u>do not</u> submit reports as attachments to electronic mail.
- Entire report including cover letter must be submitted to the ftp site as a single Portable Document Format (PDF) with no password protection.
- It is preferable that reports be converted to PDF format from their original format, (e.g., Microsoft Word) rather than scanned.
- Signature pages and perjury statements must be included and have either original or electronic signature.
- <u>Do not</u> password protect the document. Once indexed and inserted into the correct electronic case file, the document will be secured in compliance with the County's current security standards and a password.
   Documents with password protection <u>will not</u> be accepted.
- Each page in the PDF document should be rotated in the direction that will make it easiest to read on a computer monitor.
- Reports must be named and saved using the following naming convention:

RO#\_Report Name\_Year-Month-Date (e.g., RO#5555\_WorkPlan\_2005-06-14)

## **Submission Instructions**

- 1) Obtain User Name and Password
  - a) Contact the Alameda County Environmental Health Department to obtain a User Name and Password to upload files to the ftp site.

i) Send an e-mail to <u>loptoxic@acgov.org</u>

b) In the subject line of your request, be sure to include "ftp PASSWORD REQUEST" and in the body of your request, include the Contact Information, Site Addresses, and the Case Numbers (RO# available in Geotracker) you will be posting for.

## 2) Upload Files to the ftp Site

- a) Using Internet Explorer (IE4+), go to ://alcoftp1.acgov.org
  - (i) Note: Netscape, Safari, and Firefox browsers will not open the FTP site as they are NOT being supported at this time.
- b) Click on Page located on the Command bar on upper right side of window, and then scroll down to Open FTP Site in Windows Explorer.
- c) Enter your User Name and Password. (Note: Both are Case Sensitive.)
- d) Open "My Computer" on your computer and navigate to the file(s) you wish to upload to the ftp site.
- e) With both "My Computer" and the ftp site open in separate windows, drag and drop the file(s) from "My Computer" to the ftp window.
- 3) Send E-mail Notifications to the Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs
  - a) Send email to <u>.loptoxic@acgov.org</u> notify us that you have placed a report on our ftp site.
  - b) Copy your Caseworker on the e-mail. Your Caseworker's e-mail address is the entire first name then a period and entire last name @acgov.org. (e.g., firstname.lastname@acgov.org)
  - c) The subject line of the e-mail must start with the RO# followed by **Report Upload**. (e.g., Subject: RO1234 Report Upload) If site is a new case without an RO#, use the street address instead.
  - d) If your document meets the above requirements and you follow the submission instructions, you will receive a notification by email indicating that your document was successfully uploaded to the ftp site.

## **APPENDIX B**

HISTORIC SITE DATA

June 22, 1990 AGS 60000-1

TABLE 1         ANALYTICAL RESULTS OF SOIL AND SLUDGE SAMPLES         BY BROWN AND CALDWELL         ARCO Station 771         899 Rincon Avenue         Livermore, California         August 25, 1987									
Sample Identification HVC TPFH B T X PCB									
AL-1 AL-2 LS-1 LS-2 WO-1	ND ND ND ND ND	378 ND 3,779 808 256,508	ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND 0.009 0.011 2.920	ND ND 0.05 0.06 0.128	ND ND ND ND ND			

Results in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) or parts per million (ppm).

HVC: Halogenated volatile compounds by EPA Method 8010.

TPFH: Total petroleum fuel hydrocarbons by modified EPA Method 8015.

B: Benzene by EPA Method 8020.

T: Toluene by EPA Method 8020.

X: Total xylene isomers by EPA Method 8020.

PCBs: Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) by EPA Method 8080.

ND: Below laboratory reported detection concentration.

LS-2

Sample designation:

----- Sample number AL = Soil sample LS = Stockpile sample WO = Waste oil sample

4

Applied GeoSystems

## Limited Environmental Site Assessment ARCO Station 771, Livermore, California

June 22, 1990 AGS 60000-1

## TABLE 2 RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California

Sample Identification	Date	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes
S-10-B1	2/1/90	< 1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
S-19.5-B1	2/1/90	< 1.0	0.022	0.024	< 0.005	0.022
S-24.5-B1	2/1/90	< 1.0	0.022	0.015	0.010	0.048
S-29.5-B1	2/1/90	< 1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
S-10-B2	2/1/90	< 1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
S-20-B2	2/1/90	< 1.0	0.016	0.020	< 0.005	0.025
S-25-B2	2/1/90	1.4	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.018
S-31-B2	2/1/90	< 1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
S-10-B3	2/2/90	< 1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
S-19.5-B3	2/2/90	< 1.0	0.028	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.017
S-25-B3	2/2/90	4.5	0.047	< 0.01	0.011	0.038
S-32-B3	2/2/90	190	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.7

Results in parts per million (ppm)

TPHg = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline < = Indicates less than the detection limit for the

specified method of analysis.

S-25-B2

Boring number
Approximate sample depth
Soil sample

9

Applied GeoSystems -





## Additional Onsite and Initial Offsite Subsurface Investigation ARCO Station 771, Livermore, California

February 26, 1993 60000.09

TABLE 2         CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES         ARCO Station 771         Livermore, California         (Page 1 of 4)										
Sample Identification	TPHg	TPHd	В	Т	E	x	TOG			
February 1990			<u>.</u>							
S-10-B1	< 1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-19.5-B1	<1.0	NA	0.022	0.024	<0.005	0.022	NA			
S-24.5-B1	<1.0	NA	0.022	0.015	0.010	0.048	NA			
S-29.5-B1	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
\$ 10 102	×10	<b>N7.4</b>	+0.00E	-0.005	-0.005	.0.005				
S-10-112 S 20 122	<1.0	NA NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
5-20-02	< 1.0	NA NA	0.016	0.020	<0.005	0.025	NA			
5~2J~D2 6 21 D1	1.4	NA.	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	0.018	NA			
3-31-62	< 1.0	NA	< 0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-10-B3	< 1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-19.5-B3	<1.0	NA	0.028	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.017	NA			
S-25-B3	4.5	NA	0.047	< 0.01	0.011	0.038	NA			
S-32.5-B3	190	NA	< 1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.7	NA			
D 1 1000										
December 1990										
S-20-B4	<1.0	NA	0.006	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-30-B4	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-32.5-B4	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-36.5-84	140	NA	< 0.15	0.80	1.7	4.2	NA			
S-43-B4	3,800	NA	<15	130	50	280	NA			
S-43.5-B4	5.5	NA	0.16	0.51	0.11	0.82	NA			
S-20-B5	<1.0	NA	0.068	0.013	0.009	0.026	NA			
S-30-B5	< 1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-34.5-B5	97	NA	< 0.005	0.13	0.087	0.22	NA			
S-39.5-B5	13	NA	0.15	0.66	0.16	1.5	NA			
S-45-B5	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	0.006	< 0.005	0.009	NA			
S 30 D4	<10	<b>N</b> 7.4	-0.005	.0.005		0.005				
S-20-50	< 1.0	INA NA	< 0.005	<0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	NA			
5-36 5-B6	<1.0	INA. NIA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S_41_RK	~1.0	1324 N/A	< 0.005	< 0.000	< 0.005	0.000	NA.			
S.44 S. BK	~1.0	1323. NA	< 0.000	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	NA			
3-44-2-20	×1.0	NA	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-011591-1ABCD*	31	NA	0.25	0.67	0.34	2.8	NA			
June, July 1991										
S-10-B7	< 1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-20-B7	2.2	NA	0.074	0.12	0.061	0.43	NA			
S-25-B7	< 1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-30-B7	48	NA	0.064	0.15	0.41	1.9	NA			

See notes on page 4 of 4.



# Additional Onsite and Initial Offsite Subsurface Investigation ARCO Station 771, Livermore, California

February 26, 1993 60000.09

TABLE 2 CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES ARCO Station 771 Livermore, California (Page 2 of 4)										
Sample Identification	TPHg	TPHd	В	T	Е	х	TOG			
une, July 1991 cont.	<b></b>			<u> </u>						
S-33-B7	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	0.006	< 0.005	0.010	NA			
S-40-B7	19	NA	0.019	0.059	0.14	0.74	NA			
S-44-B7	<1.0	NA	0.049	0.020	0.021	0.024	NA			
S-10.5-B8	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-20.5-B8	<1.0	NA	0.013	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-25.5-B8	3.5	NA	< 0.005	0.007	0.015	0.028	NA			
S-34.5-B8	210	NA	0.27	1.0	2.0	12	NA			
S-41-B8	3,200	NA	10	70	37	170	NA			
S-43-B8	4.9	NA	0.26	1.2	0.13	0.67	NA			
S-10_S-B9	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-15.5-B9	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-25.S-B9	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-34.5-B9	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-36-B9	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-42-B9	1.8	NA	0.049	0.006	0.020	0.030	NA			
S-45-B9	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-10.5-B10	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
S-20.5-B10	<1.0	NA	0.042	< 0.005	0.007	< 0.005	NA			
S-25.5-B10	27	NA	0.44	0.74	0.36	2.0	NA			
S-34.5-10	88	NA	0.20	0.50	0.84	0.96	NA			
S-36-B10	110	NA	0.28	0.51	0.86	2.7	NA			
S-42-B10	<1.0	NA	0.008	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.021	NA			
S-7-B11	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<30			
S-8.5-B11	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 30			
S-15.5-B11	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<30			
S-20.5-B11	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<30			
S-25.5-B11	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<30			
S-35.5-B11	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<30			
S-40-B11	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<30			
August 12, 1991										
SP1-ABCD*	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA			
April 1992										
S-10.5-B15	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-20.5-B15	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-28.5-B15	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-41-B15	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			

See notes on page 4 of 4.



# Additional Onsite and Initial Offsite Subsurface Investigation ARCO Station 771, Livermore, California

February 26, 1993 60000.09

TABLE 2 CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES ARCO Station 771 Livermore, California (Page 3 of 4)										
Sample Identification	TPHg	TPHd	В	Т	Е	x	TOG			
April 1992 cont.										
S-11-B16	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-21-B16	<1.0	NA	0.0080	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-31-B16	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-11-B17	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-21-B17	<1.0	NA	0.021	< 0.0050	0.017	0.0080	NA			
S-30.5-B17	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-33-B17	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-43-B17	7.0	NA	0.30	0.77	0.15	1.1	NA			
S-0409-SP1-A-D*	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-0409-SP2-A-D*	6.4	NA	0.0070	0.015	0.020	0.12				
January 1993										
S-9-B12	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-17-B12	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-26-B12	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-43.5-B12	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-9.5-B13	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-14.5-B13	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-26-B13	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-40-B13	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-9.5-B14	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.0050	NA			
S-17-B14	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-27.5-B14	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-38-B14	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
S-0115-SP-A-D**	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA			
	[<0.050]	[NA]	[0.00050]	[0.00050]	[0.00050]	[0.00050]	[NA]			

See notes on page 4 of 4.



## Additional Onsite and Initial Offsite Subsurface Investigation ARCO Station 771, Livermore, California

February 26, 1993 60000.09

ARCO Station 771 Livermore, California (Page 4 of 4)										
Sam Identif	ple ication	TPHg	TPHd	в	т	Е	x	TOG		
Results me TPHg: TPHd: B: benzene BTEX: TOG: *: <: NA: :	easured in j Total j Total j Total j Total c Analyz Total c Compo Less th Sample Sample STLC corrosi ignitab and rea cyandd	part per millio petroleum hyd petroleum hyd petroleum hyd ie; E: ethylbe: ed by EPA M bil and grease psite sample o nan the labora e not analyzed e was also ana lead by EPA iiity by EPA I activity by EP e <0.50 ppm.)	on (ppm). Irocarbons as g Irocarbons as a izene; X: xyler (ethod 5030/80 (analyzed by S f four soil sam tory detection i. lyzed for: Method 7421 - Method 9045 - Method 9045 - Method 9045 - Methods 90 caction with w	gasoline (anal Jiesel (analyz tes. 015/8020. Standard Met aples obtained limit. < 0.10 ppm; pH = 7.1; flashpoint > 30, 9010 and vater - negati	yzed by EPA l ed by EPA Ma hod 5520 E&H i from stockpil 100°C; 9045 - sulfide re.	Method 5030/8 thod 5030/801: (Gravimetric) led soil. <10 ppm,	015/8020). 5).			
[]: Sample Ide	TPHg :	and BIEX ar	S-43-B17	• Method 50:	Boring Depth Soil sar	number of boring in fee nple	t soil. et			



Sample		Depth			BTEX Dis	tinction (1)		Organic
Designation	Date	(feet bgs)	TPH-G (1)	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	Lead (2)
Former Tank	Cavity							
T1A	12/30/91	15	1,500	1.3	28	24	210	NA
T1B	12/30/91	15	1.4	0.019	0.015	0.0089	0.2	NA
T2A	12/30/91	16	1,900	1.3	9.4	8.6	94	NA
T2B	12/30/91	16	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
ТЗА	12/30/91	14	45	0.089	1.2	0.52	4.7	NA
T3B	12/30/91	14	1.3	0.0097	0.045	0.023	0.24	NA
T4A	12/30/91	14	4,600	28	470	170	1,100	NA
T4B	12/30/91	14	2.4	0.0095	0.050	0.041	0.33	NA
New Tank Ca	<u>ivity</u>							
TP-1	1/21/92	18	100	ND	0.059	ND	1.4	ND
TP-2	1/21/92	18	2.6	0.0057	0.012	0.012	0.12	ND
TP-3	1/21/92	18	1.8	0.0058	0.011	0.0071	0.053	ND
TP-4	1/21/92	18	1.4	0.0052	0.02	0.0094	0.092	ND
TP <b>-5</b>	1/21/92	18	1.5	0.0062	0.036	0.016	0.14	ND
TP-6	1/21/92	18	830	ND	2.5	1.5	47	ND
Product Line	Trenches		• • <sup>***</sup>					
LI	2/7/92	1.5	ND	ND	0.035	ND	ND	ND
L2	2/7/92	1.5	750	0.35	30	26	200	ND
L3	2/7/92	0.5	41	0.091	0.28	0.1	0.93	ND
L4	2/7/92	1.5	2.2	0.0093	0.52	0.011	0.061	ND
L5	2/7/92	1.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
L6	2/7/92	1.5	ND -	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
L7	2/7/92	0.5	600	ND	0.21	ND	26	ND
L8	2/7/92	1.5	1.2	ND	0.027	ND	0.0068	ND
L2B	2/18/92	5	91	ND	ND	ND	2.4	NA
L7B	2/18/92	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA

## Table 1.Soil Sample Analytical Results<br/>ARCO Facility No. 771, Livermore, California

## **FOOTNOTES**

(1) = Concentrations reported in mg/kg (= parts per million).

(2) = Concentrations reported in mg/L (= parts per million).

TPH-G = Total Petroleum Fuel Hydrocarbons as Low/Medium Boiling Point Hydrocarbons (USEPA Method 8015). BTEX Distinction (USEPA Method 8020).

Organic Lead by method described in California LUFT Manual 12/87.

ND = Not detected.

NA = Not analyzed.

bgs = below ground surface.

ROUX ASSOCIATES 🏵









## Table 1 Product Piping Removal Compliance Sampling Results

## June 15, 2001

## ARCO Service Station 0771 899 Rincon Ave, Livermore, California

		Depth			Ethyl-							
5	Sample ID	Sampled (fbg)	TPHg (mg/kg)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toulene (mg/kg)	benzene (mg/kg)	Xylene (mg/kg)	MTBE (mg/kg)				
[	Disp-1-4.5	4.5	<1.0	<0.0050	0.017	<0.0050	0.019	0.78				
	Disp-2-6	6.0	1,0	<0.0050	0.017	<0.0050	0.049	2.1				
F	Pipe-1-3.5	3.5	<1.0	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.050				
	Pipe-2-4	4.0	<1.0	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.050				

Notes

fbg = feet below grade mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

TPHg = total petroluem hydrocarbons as gasoline MTBE = methyl tert butyl ether

H:\ARCO\0771\Data\Line Pull ANALYTICAL



## **ARCO Service Station 0771**

899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California



CAMBRIA

Site Plan and Soil Sampling Locations

Soil Boring Identification*	Sample ID	Date Collected	GRO mg/kg	Benzene mg/kg	Toluene mg/kg	Ethylbenzene mg/kg	Xylenes mg/kg	MTBE mg/kg	Comments
SB-2									
	SB-2-10'	3/25/2011	< 0.50	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	
	SB-2-30'	3/25/2011	< 0.50	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	
SB-3									
	SB-3-10'	3/25/2011	< 0.50	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	
	SB-3-30'	3/25/2011	< 0.50	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	
ESLs			83	0.044	2.9	3.3	2.3	0.023	

 Table 1. Summary of Soil Sample Analytical Data

 Station #771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California

#### Abbreviations & Symbols:

\* = See Drawing 2 for soil boring locations.

GRO: Gasoline range organics.

Calscience Environmental Laboratories, Inc.: GRO (C6-C12)

GRO analyzed using EPA method 8015B

Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Total Xylenes, and MTBE analyzed using EPA method 8260B.

mg/kg = Milligrams per kilogram.

ESLs = Environmental Screening Levels for deep soil (>3 meters bgs) where groundwater is a current or potential source of

drinking water (San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2008).

bgs = Below ground surface

#### Notes:

1,2-dibromoethane (EDB), 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2 DCA), tert-butyl alcohol (TBA), Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE), ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE), ter-amyl methyl ether (TAME), and ethanol were not detected at or above their respective laboratory reporting limit.

The last number in each Sample ID denotes the depth at which the sample was collected in feet bgs (i.e., SB-2 10' was collected at a depth of 10 feet bgs)

899 Kincon A	Avenue, Liverm				Proj	ect Number: 0	805-122.01
	Water					Ground-	
Well	Level		Depth	Ground-	Floating	Water	
Desig-	Field	TOC	to	Water	Product	Flow	Hydraulic
nation	Date	Elevation	Water	Elevation	Thickness	Direction	Gradient
		ft-MSL	feet	ft-MSL	feet	MWN	foot/foot
MW-1	01-15-91	451.80	32.77	419.03	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-1	02-27-91	451.80	32.23	419.57	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	03-20-91	451.80	27.38	424.42	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-1	04-10-91	451.80	26.49	425.31	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	05-20-91	451.80 No	t surveyed: i	nterface probe	failure		
MW-1	06-20-91	451.80	33.95	417.85	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-1	07-25-91	451.80	^36.59	^415.21	0.10	NR	NR
MW-1	08-13-91	451.80	^37.72	^414.08	0.20	NR	NR
MW-1	09-12-91	451.80	^39.25	^412.55	0.23	NR	NR
MW-1	10-30-91	451.80	^39.14	^412.66	0.20	NR	NR
MW-1	11-13-91	451.80	DRY	DRY	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	12-26-91	451.80	^39.30	^412.50	0.01	NR	NR
MW-1	01-18-92	NR	37.81	NR	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-1	02-21-92	NR No	t surveyed: v	vell inaccessibl	e due to constr	uction	
MW-1	03-31-92	NR	31.90	NR	Skimmer	NR	NR
<b>MW-</b> 1	04-24-92	451.42 No	t surveyed: v	vell inaccessibl	e due to constr	uction	
MW-1	05-20-92	451.42	33.00	418.42	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-1	06-12-92	451.42	33.25	418.17	0.02	NR	NR
MW-1	07-28-92	451.42	32.31	419.11	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	08-24-92	451.42	30.87	420.55	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	09-15-92	451.42	^32.24	^419.18	0.01	NR	NR
MW-1	10-29-92	451.42	32.29	419.13	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	11-25-92	451.73	32.15	419.58	ND*	NR	NR
MW-1	12-14-92	451.73	30.54	421.19	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	01-29-93	451.73	23.49	428.24	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	02-26-93	451.73	25.23	426.50	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	03-29-93	451.73	25.66	426.07	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	04-27-93	451.73	28.02	423.71	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	05-10-93	451.73	30.38	421.35	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	06-17-93	451.73	30.81	420.92	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	07-27-93	451.73 No	t surveyed: v	ehicle parked o	on well		
MW-1	08-26-93	451.73	31.23	420.50	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	09-14-93	451.73	32.59	419.14	ND	NR	NR
<b>MW-1</b>	11-05-93	451.73	32.13	419.60	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	03-26-94	451.73	28.22	423.51	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	06-13-94	451.73	29.86	421.87	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	09-22-94	451.73	31.61	420.12	ND	NNE	0.056
MW-1	11-25-94	451.73	29.76	421.97	ND	N	0.06

Date: 03-07-95

ARCO Service Station 771

ARCO Servi 899 Rincon	ce Station 771 Avenue, Liverm	ore, California			Proj	Date: ect Number:	03-07-95 0805-122.01
Well Desig- nation	Water Level Field Date	TOC Elevation	Depth to Water	Ground- Water Elevation	Floating Product Thickness	Ground- Water Flow Direction	Hydraulic Gradient
		ft-MSL	feet	ft-MSL	feet	MWN	foot/foot
MW-2	01-15-91	449.52	^30.89	^418.63	0.16	NR	· NR
MW-2	02-27-91	449.52	^29.11	^420.41	0.02	NR	NR
MW-2	03-20-91	449.52	^24.57	^424.95	0.02	NR	NR
MW-2	04-10-91	449,52	^22.85	^426.67	0.05	NR	NR
MW-2	05-20-91	449.51 No	t surveyed:				
MW-2	06-20-91	449.51	^31.42	^418.09	0.15	NR	NR
MW-2	07-25-91	449.51	^33.69	^415.82	0.49	NR	NR
MW-2	08-13-91	449.51	^34.80	^414.71	0.47	NR	NR
MW-2	09-12-91	449.51	^36.39	^413.12	0.45	NR	NR
MW-2	10-30-91	449.51	DRY	DRY	ND	NR	NR
MW-2	11-13-91	449.51	DRY	DRY	ND	NR	NR
MW-2	12-26-91	449.51	36.45	413.06	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-2	01-18-92	449.51 Not	t surveyed: w	ell inaccessibl	le due to constr	nction	•
MW-2	02-21-92	449.51	26.27	NR	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-2	03-31-92	449.51	28.85	NR	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-2	04-24-92	449.51	30.95	418 56	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-2	05-20-92	449.51	30.69	418 82	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-2	06-12-92	449.51	31.25	418.26	ND	NP	NP
MW-2	07-28-92	449.51	30.31	419.20	ND	NR	NP
MW-2	08-24-92	449 51	29.83	419.68	ND	ND	ND
MW-2	09-15-92	449 51	30.06	419.65	Sheen	NP	ND
MW-2	10-29-92	440 51	30.00	418.61	ND	ND	ND
MW-2	11-25-92	449.51	31.12	410.01		INK. ND	
MW.2	17-14-02	440.40	20.24	410.30		INK.	
MW-2	01_29_03	449.49	29.24	420.23	ND	INK	
MW-2	02-26-93	440.40	20.12	429.57	ND	INK	
MW_2	02-20-93	449.49	22.39	420.90	ND	NK	INR
MW. 2	04 37 02	447.47	22.03	420.00		NR	INR
MW-2	05 10 02	449.49	25.10	424.39	ND	NK	NR
MW 2	05-10-93	449.49	27.23	422.20	ND	NK	NR
IVI W - 2	00-17-95	449.49	28.26	421.23	ND	NK	NR
MW-Z	07-27-93	449.49	29.50	419.99	ND	NR	NR
MW-Z	08-20-93	449.49	29.85	419.64	ND	NR	NR
MW-2	09-14-93	449.49	30.43	419.06	ND	NR	NR
MW-2	11-05-93	449.49	30.20	419.29	ND	NR	NR
MW-2	03-26-94	449.49	25.30	424.19	ND	NR	NR
MW-2	06-13-94	449.49	27.28	422.21	ND	NR	NR
MW-2	09-22-94	449.49	29.54	419.95	ND	NNE	0.056
MW-2	11-25-94	449.49	27.85	421.64	ND	N	0.06

99 Rincon	Avenue, Liverm	ore, California			Proj	ect Number: 0	0805-122.01
Well Desig- nation	Water Level Field Date	TOC Elevation ft-MSI	Depth to Water	Ground- Water Elevation	Floating Product Thickness	Ground- Water Flow Direction	Hydraulio Gradien
			1000	IL-MIDL	Itel	IVI VV IN	1001/100
MW-3	01-15-91	450.29	32.34	417.95	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	02-27-91	450.29	31.78	418.51	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	03-20-91	450.29	27.74	422.55	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	04-10-91	450.29	25.05	425.24	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	05-20-91	450.28	27.06	423.22	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	06-20-91	450.28	32.35	417.93	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	07-25-91	450.28	35.02	415.26	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	08-13-91	450.28	36.50	413.78	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	09-12-91	450.28	38.47	411.81	ND	NR	NP
MW-3	10-30-91	450.28	DRY	DRY	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	11-13-91	450.28	DRY	DRY	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	12-26-91	450.28	38 53	411 75	ND		IND
MW-3	01-18-92	450.28 No	surveyed: w	all inspace in	UNI. In due te consta	INK	INE
MW-3	02-21-92	450.28 No	Surveyed. w	all inaccession	le due to constr	uction	
MW-3	03-31-02	450.20 110	20 61	VD	le que lo constr	uction	
MW-3	03-31-92	450.28	30.01	INR.	ND	NK	NR
MW-3	05-20.02	450.20	32.03	417.45	ND	NK	NK
NANA 1	05-20-92	450.28	33.83	416.43	ND	· NR	NR
MW 2	00-12-92	450.28	34.51	415.77	ND	NR	NR
IVI VV - 5	07-28-92	450.28	34.42	415.86	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	08-24-92	450.28	32.46	417.82	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	09-15-92	450.28	34.29	415.99	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	10-29-92	450.28	33.40	416.88	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	11-25-92	450.28	33.67	416.61	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	12-14-92	450.28	34.26	416.02	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	01-29-93	450.28	21.88	428.40	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	02-26-93	450.28	24.71	425.57	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	03-29-93	450.28	24.74	425.54	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	04-27-93	450.28	25.96	424.32	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	05-10-93	450.28	27.61	422.67	ND	NR	ND
MW-3	06-17-93	450.28	28 73	421 55	ND	NP	ND
MW-3	07-27-93	450.28	30 37	419.91	ND	NP	
MW-3	08-26-93	450.28	30.94	410 34	ND	ND	INK
MW-3	09-14-93	450 28	31 84	A18 AA		INK	INK
MW-3	11-05-93	450.20	22.07	417 ML		INK	INK
MW-3	03-26-04	450.20	25.44	417.00	IND ND	NK	NR
MW.3	06_12_04	450.20	20.97	423.31	ND	NK	NR
MW/. 2	00-13-94	450.28	28./1	421.57	ND	NR	NR
C- W IVI	11 25 24	450.28	52.34	417.94	ND	NNE	0.056
IVI W - 3	11-25-94	450.28	30.76	419.52	ND	N	0.06

esj/h:\0771\0771mdb.xls\Table 2:dcl 0805-122.01

ARCO Serv. 899 Rincon	ice Station 771 Avenue, Liverm	Date: 03-07-95 Project Number: 0805-122.0					
Well Desig- nation	Water Level Field Date	TOC Elevation ft-MSL	Depth to Water feet	Ground- Water Elevation ft-MSL	Floating Product Thickness feet	Ground- Water Flow Direction MWN	Hydraulic Gradient foot/foot
MW-4	07-25-91	451.56	36.07	415.49	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	08-13-91	451.56	37.54	414.02	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	09-12-91	451.56	38.73	412.83	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	10-30-91	451.56	39.90	411.66	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	11-13-91	451.56	40.56	411.00	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	12-26-91	450.99	38.78	412.21	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	01-18-92	450.99	38.71	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	02-21-92	450.99	31.91	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	03-31-92	450.99	30.36	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	04-24-92	450.99	32.65	418.34	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	05-20-92	450.99	32.62	418.37	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	06-12-92	450.99	32.73	418.26	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	07-28-92	450.99	31.48	419.51	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	08-24-92	450.99	32.84	418.15	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	09-15-92	450.99	31.37	419.62	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	10-29-92	450.99	32.58	418.41	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	11-25-92	451.09	32.37	418.72	ND	NR	NP
MW-4	12-14-92	451,09	30.99	420.10	ND	NR	NP
MW-4	01-29-93	451.09	22.30	428 79	ND	NR	ND
MW-4	02-26-93	451.09	24.47	426.62	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	03-29-93	451.09	24.67	426.42	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	04-27-93	451.09	26.68	424 41	ND	NR	
MW-4	05-10-93	451.09	28.64	422.45	ND	NR	NID
MW-4	06-17-93	451.09	29.28	421.81	ND	NP	
MW-4	07-27-93	451.09	31.14	419.95	ND	ND	ND
MW-4	08-26-93	451.09	31.38	419 71	ND	ND	
MW-4	09-14-93	451.09	32.00	419.09	ND	NR	
MW-4	11-05-93	451.09	31.16	419.93	ND	NR	ND
MW-4	03-26-94	451.09	26.94	424 15	ND	NP	ITK.
MW-4	06-13-94	451.09	28.88	422.21	ND	NIR	ND
MW-4	09-22-94	451.09	30.98	420 11	ND	NNE	0.054
MW-4	11-25-94	451.09	29.08	422.01	ND	N	0.050

٠

ARCO Servi 899 Rincon	ce Station 771 Avenue, Liverme	ore, California			Proj	Date: 0 ect Number: 0	3-07-95 805-122.01
Well Desig- nation	Water Level Field Date	TOC Elevation	Depth to Water	Ground- Water Elevation	Floating Product Thickness	Ground- Water Flow Direction	Hydraulic Gradient
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		ft-MSL	feet	ft-MSL	feet	MWN	foot/foot
MW-5	07-25-91	451.41	36.67	414.74	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-5	08-13-91	451.41	^37.98	^413.43	0.01	NR	NR
MW-5	09-12-91	451.41	^39.01	^412.40	0.05	NR	NR
MW-5	10-30-91	451.41	38.28	413.13	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-5	11-13-91	451.41	39.24	412.17	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-5	12-26-91	451.41	39.11	412.30	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-5	01-18-92	451.41	38.15	NR	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-5	02-21-92	451.41	30.59	NR	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-5	03-18-92	451.41	30.84	NR	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-5	04-24-92	451.40	33.00	418.40	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-5	05-20-92	451.40	32.86	418.54	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-5	06-12-92	451.40	33.03	418.37	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	07-28-92	451.40	31.92	419.48	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	08-24-92	451.40	32.17	419.23	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	09-15-92	451.40	31.90	419.50	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	10-29-92	451.40	32.94	418.46	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	11-25-92	451.40 No	t surveyed: n	ew wellhead p	revented measu	irement	
MW-5	12-14-92	451.40	30.90	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	01-29-93	451.40	23.25	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	02-26-93	451.40	25.02	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	03-29-93	451.40	24.72	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	04-27-93	451.40	27.11	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	05-10-93	451.40	29.04	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	06-17-93	451.40	29.33	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	07-27-93	451.40	31.12	420.28	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	08-26-93	451.40	31.37	420.03	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	09-14-93	451.40	31.96	419.44	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	11-05-93	451.40	31.03	420 37	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	03-26-94	451.40	27.41	423.99	ND	NP	NP
MW-5	06-13-94	451.40	29.29	422 11	ND	NP	ND
MW-5	09-22-94	451 40 No	surveyed: w	hicle was nar	ked on well	111	INK
MW-5	11-25-94	451.40	29.76	421.64	ND	N	0.06

# Table 2 Historical Groundwater Elevation Data Summary Report

ARCO Servi 899 Rincon	ce Station 771 Avenue, Liverm	ore, California			Proj	Date: 0 ect Number: 0	)3-07-95 )805-122.01
Well Desig- nation	Water Level Field Date	TOC Elevation ft-MSL	Depth to Water feet	Ground- Water Elevation ft-MSL	Floating Product Thickness feet	Ground- Water Flow Direction MWN	Hydraulic Gradient
MW-6	07-25-91	451.38	37.68	413.70	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	08-13-91	451.38	39.17	412.21	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	09-12-91	451.38	41.14	410.24	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	<b>10-30-9</b> 1	451.38	42.10	409.28	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	11-13-91	451.38	41.45	409.93	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	12-26-91	451.38	41.23	410.15	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	01-18-92	451.38	38.23	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	02-21-92	451.37	35.21	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	03-31-92	<b>45</b> 1. <b>3</b> 7	32.26	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	04-24-92	451.37	33.24	418.13	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	05-20-92	451.37	33.14	418.23	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	06-12-92	451.37	33.43	417.94	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	07-28-92	451.37	32.52	418.85	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	08-24-92	451.37	32.57	418.80	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	09-15-92	451.37	32.58	418.79	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	10-29-92	451.37	32.33	419.04	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	11-25-92	451.37	32.43	418.94	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	12-14-92	451.37	31.52	419.85	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	01-29-93	451.37	23.70	427.67	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	02-26-93	451.37	26.22	425.15	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	03-29-93	451.37	26.13	425.24	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	04-27-93	451.37	27.27	424.10	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	05-10-93	451.37	29,74	421.63	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	06-17-93	451.37	30.92	420.45	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	07-27-93	451.37	30.90	420.47	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	08-26-93	451.37	31.18	420.19	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	09-14-93	451.37	31.70	419.67	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	11-05-93	451.37	31.83	419.54	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	03-26-94	451.37	28.24	423.13	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	06-13-94	451.37	29.20	422.17	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	09-22-94	451.37	30.37	421.00	ND	NNE	0.056
MW-6	11-25-94	451.37	29.88	421.49	ND	N	0.06

ARCO Service Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California						Date: 03-07-95 Project Number: 0805-122.01		
	Water					Ground-		
Well	Level		Depth	Ground-	Floating	Water		
Desig-	Field	TOC	to	Water	Product	Flow	Hydraulic	
nation	Date	Elevation	Water	Elevation	Thickness	Direction	Gradient	
		ft-MSL	feet	ft <b>-MSL</b>	feet	MWN	foot/foot	
MW-7	07-25-91	450.65	34.88	415.77	Sheen	NR	NR	
MW-7	08-13-91	450.65	36.17	414.48	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	09-12-91	450.65	37.81	412.84	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	10-30-91	450.65	38.50	412.15	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	11-13-91	450.65	38.31	412.34	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	12-26-91	450.65	37.90	412.75	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	01-18-92	450.65 No	t surveyed: w	ell inaccessibl	le due to constr	uction		
MW-7	02-21-92	450.65	31.50	NR	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	03-31-92	450.65	29.40	NR	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	04-24-92	450.63	32.14	418.49	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	05-20-92	450.63	32.51	418.12	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	06-12-92	450.63	32.45	418.18	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	07-28-92	450.63	32.08	418.55	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	08-24-92	450.63	32.29	418.34	ND	NR	NR	
<b>MW-</b> 7	09-15-92	450.63	31.93	418.70	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	10-29-92	450.63	32.37	418.26	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	11-25-92	450.33	31.80	418.53	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	12-14-92	450.33	30.44	419.89	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	01-29-93	450.33	21.76	428.57	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	02-26-93	450.33	24.16	426.17	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	03-29-93	450.33	24.32	426.01	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	04-27-93	450.33	25.44	424.89	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	05-10-93	450.33	27.40	422.93	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	06-17-93	450.33	28.80	421.53	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	07-27-93	450.33	29.89	420.44	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	08-26-93	450.33	30.52	419.81	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	09-14-93	450.33	31.09	419.24	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	11-05-93	450.33	31.42	418.91	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	03-26-94	450.33	26.03	424.30	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	06-13-94	450.33	27.94	422.39	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	09-22-94	450.33	30.46	419.87	ND	NNF	0.056	
MW-7	11-25-94	450.33	28.30	422.03	ND	N	0.06	

# Table 2 Historical Groundwater Elevation Data Summary Report

ARCO Servi 899 Rincon	Date: 0 ect Number: 0	Date: 03-07-95 ct Number: 0805-122.01					
Well Desig- nation	Water Level Field Date	TOC Elevation ft-MSL	Depth to Water feet	Ground- Water Elevation ft-MSL	Floating Product Thickness feet	Ground- Water Flow Direction MWN	Hydraulic Gradient foot/foot
MIN 0	01.00.00	440.42					
NIW-8	01-29-93	449.43	23.23	426.20	ND	NR ·	NR
IVI W-8	02-26-93	449.43	29.20	420.23	ND	NR	NR
1V1 VY - O NANN O	04 27 02	449.43	29.77	419.66	ND	NR	NR
IVI VY -0	04-27-93	449.43	31.52	417.91	ND	NR	NR
1V1 VY -0	05-10-95	449.43	33.88	415.55	ND	NR	NR
MW 9	07 07 02	449.43	33.25	414.18	ND	NR	NR
NI W-0	07-27-95	449.43	30.01	412.82	ND	NR	NR
MULZ O	00 14 02	449,43	37.71	411.72	ND	NR	NR
NI W ~0	11 05 02	449.43	38.78	410.65	ND	NR	NR
141 44 -0 M 1337 O	02.06.04	449.43	39.01	410.42	ND	NR	NR
1V1 VV -0	05-20-94	449.43	31.40	418.03	ND	NR	NR
IVI VY - 8	00-13-94	449.43	35.10	414.33	ND	NR	NR
MW-8	09-22-94	449.43	38.77	410.66	ND	NNE	0.056
M W -8	11-25-94	449.43	36.46	412.97	ND	N	0.06
MW-9 MW-9 MW-9	01-29-93 02-26-93 03-29-93	449.21 449.21 449.21	18.91 21.35 21.78	430.30 427.86 427.43	ND ND ND	NR NR NR	NR NR NR
MW-9 MW-9	03-29-93 04-27-93	<b>449.2</b> 1 <b>449.2</b> 1	21.78 24.70	427.43 424 51	ND ND	NR NP	1

24.70

26.19

27.50

29.11

29.55

30.65

32.24

25.68

27.69

31.36

29.84

424.51

423.02

421.71

420.10

419.66

418.56

416.97

423.53

421.52

417.85

419.37

ND

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

Ν

NNE

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

0.056

0.06

#### Table 2 Historical Groundwater Elevation Data Summary Report

MW-9

**MW-9** 

MW-9

MW-9

MW-9

MW-9

MW-9

MW-9

MW-9

MW-9

04-27-93

05-10-93

06-17-93

07-27-93

08-26-93

09-14-93

11-05-93

03-26-94

06-13-94

09-22-94

11-25-94

**449.2**1

449.21

449.21

449.21

449.21

449.21

449.21

449.21

449.21

449.21

449.21

*** 11	Water			~ .	<b></b> .	Ground-	
Decia	Eicld	moo	Depth	Ground-	Floating	Water	
Desig-	Field	TOC	to	Water	Product	Flow	Hydraulic
nation	Date	Elevation	water	Elevation	Thickness	Direction	Gradient
		ft-MSL	feet	ft-MSL	feet	MWN	foot/foot
MW-10	01-29-93	449.22	19.27	429.95	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	02-26-93	449.22	21.34	427.88	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	03-29-93	449.22	20.89	428.33	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	04-27-93	449.22	25.40	423.82	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	05-10-93	449.22	26.77	422.45	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	06-17-93	449.22	26.80	422.42	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	07-27-93	449.22	29.87	419.35	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	08-26-93	449.22	29.67	419 55	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	09-14-93	449.22	31.07	418 15	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	11-05-93	449.22	30.42	418.80	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	03-26-94	449.22	26 20	423.02	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	06-13-94	449.22	28 23	420.99	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	09-22-94	449 22	31 79	417 43	ND	NNE	0.056
MW-10	11-25-94	449.22	30.30	418.92	ND	N	0.000
<b>MW-11</b>	04-24-92	448.02	35.06	412.96	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	05-20-92	448.02	34.10	413.92	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	06-12-92	448.02	34,48	413.54	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	07-28-92	448.02	35.13	412.89	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	08-24-92	448.02	33.32	414.70	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	09-15-92	448.02	35.72	412.30	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	10-29-92	448.02	35.26	412.76	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	11-25-92	448.02	36.44	411.58	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	12-14-92	448.02	33,18	414.84	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	01-29-93	448.02	23.89	424.13	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	02-26-93	448.02	27.31	420.71	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	03-29-93	448.02	27.27	420.75	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	04-27-93	448.02	30.61	417.41	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	05-10-93	448.02	32.78	415.24	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	06-17 <b>-9</b> 3	448.02	33.25	414.77	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	07-27-93	448.02	34.49	413.53	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	08-26-93	448.02	35.44	412.58	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	09-14-93	448.02	36.62	411.40	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	11-05-93	448.02	36.68	411.34	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	03-26-94	448.02	30.20	417.82	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	06-13-94	448.02	33.39	414.63	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	09-22-94	448.02	34.75	413.27	ND	NNE	0.056
MW-11	11-25-94	448.02	33.84	414 18	ND	N	0.06

esj/h:\0771\0771mdb.xls\Table 2:dcl 0805-122.01

RCO Servi 9 Rincon 4	ce Station 771 Avenue, Livermo	Ргој	Date: 0 ect Number: 0	3-07-95 805-122.01			
Well Desig- nation	Water Level Field Date	TOC Elevation ft-MSL	Depth to Water feet	Ground- Water Elevation ft-MSL	Floating Product Thickness feet	Ground- Water Flow Direction MWN	Hydraulic Gradient foot/foot
RW-1	04-24-92	451.44	32.85	418.59	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	05-20-92	451.44	32.60	418.84	ND	NR	NR
<b>RW-1</b>	06-12-92	451.44	32.72	418.72	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	07-28-92	451.44	31.94	419.50	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	08-24-92	451.44	31.73	419.71	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	09-15-92	451.44	31.94	419.50	ND	NR	NR
RW-I	10-29-92	451.44	32.15	419.29	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	11-25-92	451.67	32.21	419.46	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	12-14-92	451.67	30.58	421.09	ND	NR	NR
<b>RW-1</b>	01-29-93	451.67	22.89	428.78	ND	NR	NR
<b>RW-1</b>	02-26-93	451.67	23.97	427.70	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	03-29-93	451.67	23.98	427.69	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	04-27-93	451.67	27.26	424.41	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	05-10-93	451.67	29.64	422.03	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	06-17-93	451.67	30.18	421.49	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	07-27-93	451.67	31.55	420.12	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	08-26-93	451.67	31.82	419.85	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	09-14-93	451.67	32.32	419.35	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	11-05-93	451.67	31.91	419.76	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	03-26-94	451.67	27.78	423.89	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	06-13-94	451.67	29.48	422.19	ND	NR	NR
<b>RW-</b> 1	09-22-94	451.67	30.52	421.15	ND	NNE	0.056
RW-1	11-25-94	451.67	30.89	420.78	ND	N	0.06

TOC = Top of casing

ft-MSL = Elevation in feet, relative to mean sea level

MWN = Ground-water flow direction and gradient apply to the entire monitoring well network

NR = Not reported; data not available

ND = None detected

^ = Groundwater elevation (GWE) and depth to water (DTW) adjusted to include 80 percent of the floating product thickness (FPT):

 $[GWE = (TOC - DTW) + (FPT \times 0.8)]$ 

\* = Floating product was not initially detected, but entered the well during purging

NNE = North-northeast

N = North

esj/h:\0771\0771mdb.x1s\Table 2:dcl 0805-122.01

Page 10
RCO Servi 99 Rincon	ice Station 77 Avenue, Live	71 ermore, Californi	a			Projec	Date: 03-0 t Number: 080.	)7-95 5-122.01
Well Desig- nation	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	TPHD	тос
	•	ррь	ppb	ppb	ррь	ррь	ppb	ppn
MW-1	01-15-91	Not sampled: v	vell contained	floating produc	t		· .	
MW-1	04-10-91	98000	11000	18000	2800	20000	NA	NA
MW-1	07-25-91	Not sampled: v	vell contained	floating produc	t			1.0
MW-1	10-30-91	Not sampled: v	vell contained	floating produc	t			
MW-1	03-31-92	Not sampled: v	vell contained	floating produc	t			
MW-1	06-12-92	Not sampled: v	vell contained	floating produc	t			
MW-1	09-16-92	Not sampled: v	vell contained	floating produc	t			
MW-1	11-25-92	Not sampled: v	vell contained	floating product	t			
MW-1	01-29-93	360000	2500	9300	5100	41000	NA	NA
MW-1	05-10-93	1900000	4100	15000	21000	140000	NA	NA
MW-1	09-16-93	1800000	6400	21000	19000	140000	NA	NA
MW-1	11-05-93	700000	3000	7600	8600	65000	NA	NA
MW-1	03-26-94	29000	1000	290	610	3300	NA	NA
MW-1	06-13-94	25000	600	160	500	2500	NA	NA
MW-1	09-22-94	51000	1400	280	570	2800	NA	NA
MW-1	11-25-94	170000	<b>99</b> 0	1000	1700	9400	NA	NA
MW-2	01-15-91	Not sampled: w	vell contained	floating product				
MW-2	04-10-91	Not sampled: w	ell contained	floating product				
MW-2	07-25-91	Not sampled: w	ell contained	floating product				

# Table 3 Historical Groundwater Analytical Data Summary Report

MW-2	01-15-91	Not sampled: we	ell contained f	loating produc	t			
MW-2	04-10-91	Not sampled: we	ell contained f	loating produc	:t			
MW-2	07-25-91	Not sampled: we	ell contained f	loating produc	:t			
MW-2	10-30-91	Not sampled: we	ell contained f	loating produc	t			
MW-2	03-31-92	270000	7000	12000	4400	40000	NA	NA
MW-2	06-12-92	110000	8900	13000	2800	16000	NA	NA
MW-2	09-16-92	Not sampled: we	ll contained f	loating produc	t			
MW-2	11-25-92	Not sampled: we	Il contained f	loating produc	:t			
MW-2	01-29-93	89000	4600	5700	1800	15000	NA	NA
MW-2	05-10-93	440000	3900	4300	4400	36000	NA	NA
MW-2	09-16-93	200000	5500	4300	2300	19000	NA	NA
MW-2	11-05-93	250000	7800	8400	3100	24000	NA	NA
MW-2	03-26-94	22000	1100	1400	190	3700	NA	NA
MW-2	06-13-94	71000	4100	4600	1700	9900	NA	NA
MW-2	09-22-94	42000	1200	620	710	2000	NA	NA
MW-2	11 <b>-25-9</b> 4	60000	3900	4100	1400	7400	NA	NA

	Avenue, Live	crimore, Californi	a 			Projec	t Number: 080	5-122.01
Well Desig- nation	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	TPHD	то
		ppb	ррь	ррь	ppb	ppb	ppb	ppr
MW-3	01-15-91	230	~0.5	~0.5		<b>?</b> 1	Ň A	N
MW-3	04-10-91	530	12	8.4	2.2 A	4.1 7	NA	IN. N
MW-3	07-25-91	110	0.32	0.4	1 2	, 1	INA NA	IN. N
MW-3	10-30-91	Not sampled: d	0.32 ru wali	0.75	1.2	1	INA.	11
MW.3	03-31-02	670	19 WOII	1 1	74	07	<b>N7.4</b>	N
MW.3	06-12-02	180	-0.5	1.1	7.4	21	INA NA	IN N
VIV-3	00-12-92	280 	<0.5	<0.5	2.1	2	NA	N
AM 2	11 25 02	20	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	N
C- W 1V	01 20 02	220	1	<0.5	4.9	1.2	NA	N
V1 VV - 5	01-29-93	38U*	0.8	0.6	2.1	2	NA	N
VIW-3	05-10-93	170	<0.5	<0.5	2	0.6	NA	N
MW-3	09-15-93	120	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	N
MW-3	11-05-93	110	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	N
AW-3	03-26-94	54	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	N
AW-3	06-13-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	N
AW-3	09-22-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	N
AW-3	11-25-94	54	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	N.
1W-4 1W-4	07-25-91 10-30-91	23000 19000	590 320	730 340	360	3500 180	NA	N/ N
1W-4	03-31-92	30000	1300	740	770	4800	NA	N
1W-4	06-12-92	28000	990	440	550	3200	NA	N
1W-4	09-16-92	21000	740	240	350	1200	N/A	IN N
1W-4	11-25-92	26000	1200	300	350	720	NA NA	IN N
1W-4	01-29-93	20000	2000	500	330	750	IN/A NIA	in hi
1 11 AW_A	05.10.03	23000	2000	200	1400	2500	NA	N
A TT T A WA	00-16-02	14000 A2000	2200 240	090	1400	4000	INA N 4	N
A 77 - 4	11 05 03	42000	040	90	360	690	NA	N
1 VV -4 4557 4	11-03-93	30000	1000	240	390	1300	NA	N
1 W -4	03-20-94	27000	1800	830	1300	2900	NA	N
1W-4	06-13-94	17000	1300	620	<b>67</b> 0	1600	NA	N
	09-22-94	10000	700	61	420	570	NA	N
4 W -4				01		0.0		• •

esj/h:\0771\0771mdb.xls\Table 3:dcl 0805-122.01

.

.....

RCO Servi 99 Rincon	ice Station 77 Avenue, Live	71 ermore, Californi	a			Projec	Date: 03-0 t Number: 080:	)7-95 5-122.01
Well Desig- nation	Water Sample Field Date	ТРНС	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	TPHD	TOG
		ppb	ррb	ppb	ррь	ppb	ppb	ppm
MW-5	07-25-91	57000	2300	4200		14000	ŇA	NA
MW-5	10-30-91	Not sampled: w	ell contained	floating produ	uct			
MW-5	03-31-92	80000	7100	9100	2000	16000	NA	NA
MW-5	06-12-92	69000	4000	5300	2200	12000	NA	NA
MW-5	09-16-92	65000	2300	2600	1700	9900	NA	NA
MW-5	11 <b>-25-92</b>	Not sampled: n	ew wellhead r	made casing in	naccessible fo	r sampling		
MW-5	01-29-93	Not sampled: n	ew wellhead r	made casing in	accessible fo	r sampling		
MW-5	05-10-93	220000	3900	3700	3400	15000	NA	NA
MW-5	09-16-93	180000	3500	3300	2700	10000	NA	NA
MW-5	11-05-93	66000	3000	2300	1700	6200	NA	NA
MW-5	03-26-94	39000	4000	2300	1600	6200	NA	NA
MW-5	06-13 <b>-94</b>	28000	2500	1700	1100	3900	NA	NA
MW-5	09-22-94	Not sampled: ve	ehicle was par	rked on well				
MW-5	11-25-94	31000	2400	1100	1100	4400	NA	NA

MW-6	07-25-91	10000	3000	200	340	1000	NA	NA
MW-6	10-30-91	970	150	4.4	4.9	6.6	NA	NA
MW-6	03-31-92	16000	3600	1500	660	1700	2400*	2.5(a), 4.0(b)
MW-6	06-12-92	2900	480	17	190	170	1100*	1.2(c)
MW-6	09-16-92	2300	220	4	92	43	810*	1.5(d)
MW-6	11-25-92	2700	240	11	103	32	720*	1.6(a), 1.8(b)
MW-6	01-29-93	20000	1800	1700	490	2600	2300*	3.6(a), 4.0(b)
MW-6	05-10-93	43000	3000	1700	1100	4800	3900*	16(a), 110(b)
MW-6	09-15-93	3500	300	10	100	180	1100*	1.0(a), 1.0(b)
MW-6	11-05-93	1100	140	ব	35	23	290	1.0(a), 1.0(b)
MW-6	03-26-94	3100	350	99	130	340	880	1.5(d)
MW-6	06-13-94	2300	250	12	130	31	350*	0.80(d)
MW-6	09-22-94	73	2.6	<0.5	1.7	0.7	<50	<0.5(a)
MW-6	11-25-94	1100	78	<2.5	46	17	<50	<0.5(d)

esj/h:\0771\0771mdb.xls\Table 3:dcl 0805-122.01

.

Water         Water           Well         Sample           Desig- nation         Date         TPHG         Benzene         Toluene         Ethyl- benzene         Total         TPHD           ppb         ppb	TOG ppm NA NA NA NA NA
Ppb $ppb$ $ppb$ $ppb$ $ppb$ $ppb$ MW-707-25-91450001500270012009200NAMW-710-30-919300018007707806700NAMW-703-31-92350009603503005900NAMW-706-12-92270009002703404800NAMW-709-16-923900019004104705000NAMW-701-29-9338000320011007404300NAMW-705-10-935400016001605603100NAMW-709-16-933700014001705602700NAMW-709-16-933700014001705602700NAMW-703-26-942200027002805002600NAMW-709-22-942200018003601900NAMW-711-25-942900026003806403300NAMW-801-29-93<50<0.5<0.5<0.5<0.5NAMW-705-10-93<50<0.5<0.5<0.5NAMW-705-12-93<50<0.5<0.5<0.5NAMW-711-25-942000026003806403300NAMW-805-10-93<50<0.5<0.5<0.5<0.5<0.5NAMW-803-26-94<	ppm NA NA NA NA
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NA NA NA NA
MW-710-30-919300018007707806700NAMW-703-31-92350009603503005900NAMW-706-12-92270009002703404800NAMW-709-16-923900019004104705000NAMW-701-29-9338000320011007404300NAMW-701-29-935400016001605603100NAMW-705-10-935400016001705602700NAMW-709-16-933700014001705602700NAMW-703-26-942200027002805002600NAMW-706-13-942100015001803601900NAMW-709-22-942200026003806403300NAMW-801-29-93<50	NA NA NA NA
MW-7 $03-31-92$ $35000$ $960$ $350$ $300$ $5900$ NAMW-7 $06-12-92$ $27000$ $900$ $270$ $340$ $4800$ NAMW-7 $09-16-92$ $39000$ $1900$ $410$ $470$ $5000$ NAMW-7 $11-25-92$ $49000$ $2900$ $810$ $750$ $5300$ NAMW-7 $01-29-93$ $38000$ $3200$ $1100$ $740$ $4300$ NAMW-7 $05-10-93$ $54000$ $1600$ $160$ $560$ $3100$ NAMW-7 $09-16-93$ $37000$ $1400$ $170$ $560$ $2700$ NAMW-7 $09-16-93$ $37000$ $1400$ $170$ $560$ $2700$ NAMW-7 $03-26-94$ $22000$ $2700$ $280$ $500$ $2600$ NAMW-7 $06-13-94$ $21000$ $1500$ $180$ $360$ $1900$ NAMW-7 $09-22-94$ $22000$ $1800$ $240$ $430$ $1900$ NAMW-7 $11-25-94$ $29000$ $2600$ $380$ $640$ $3300$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NA </td <td>NA NA NA</td>	NA NA NA
MW-7 $06-12-92$ $27000$ $900$ $270$ $340$ $4800$ NAMW-7 $09-16-92$ $39000$ $1900$ $410$ $470$ $5000$ NAMW-7 $11-25-92$ $49000$ $2900$ $810$ $750$ $5300$ NAMW-7 $01-29-93$ $38000$ $3200$ $1100$ $740$ $4300$ NAMW-7 $05-10-93$ $54000$ $1600$ $160$ $560$ $3100$ NAMW-7 $09-16-93$ $37000$ $1400$ $170$ $560$ $2700$ NAMW-7 $10-593$ $40000$ $1900$ $210$ $570$ $2900$ NAMW-7 $03-26-94$ $22000$ $2700$ $280$ $500$ $2600$ NAMW-7 $06-13-94$ $21000$ $1500$ $180$ $360$ $1900$ NAMW-7 $09-22-94$ $22000$ $1800$ $240$ $430$ $1900$ NAMW-7 $11-25-94$ $29000$ $2600$ $380$ $640$ $3300$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $NA$ MW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $NAMW-801-29-93<50<0.5<0.5<0.5<0.5NAMW-8$	NA NA
MW-7 $09-16-92$ $39000$ $1900$ $410$ $470$ $5000$ NAMW-7 $11-25-92$ $49000$ $2900$ $810$ $750$ $5300$ NAMW-7 $01-29-93$ $38000$ $3200$ $1100$ $740$ $4300$ NAMW-7 $05-10-93$ $54000$ $1600$ $160$ $560$ $3100$ NAMW-7 $05-16-93$ $37000$ $1400$ $170$ $560$ $2700$ NAMW-7 $09-16-93$ $37000$ $1400$ $170$ $560$ $2700$ NAMW-7 $03-26-94$ $22000$ $2700$ $280$ $500$ $2600$ NAMW-7 $06-13-94$ $21000$ $1500$ $180$ $360$ $1900$ NAMW-7 $09-22-94$ $22000$ $1800$ $240$ $430$ $1900$ NAMW-7 $11-25-94$ $29000$ $2600$ $380$ $640$ $3300$ NAMW-8 $05-10-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NAMW-8 $05-10-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $NA$ MW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $NA$ MW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $NAMW-809-22-94<50<0.5<0.5<0.5<0.5<0.5NA$	NA
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BT A
MW-7       01-29-93       38000       3200       1100       740       4300       NA         MW-7       05-10-93       54000       1600       160       560       3100       NA         MW-7       09-16-93       37000       1400       170       560       2700       NA         MW-7       01-29-93       40000       1900       210       570       2900       NA         MW-7       03-26-94       22000       2700       280       500       2600       NA         MW-7       06-13-94       21000       1500       180       360       1900       NA         MW-7       06-13-94       21000       1500       180       360       1900       NA         MW-7       06-13-94       22000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       05-10-93       <50	NA.
MW-7       05-10-93       54000       1600       160       560       3100       NA         MW-7       09-16-93       37000       1400       170       560       2700       NA         MW-7       11-05-93       40000       1900       210       570       2900       NA         MW-7       03-26-94       22000       2700       280       500       2600       NA         MW-7       06-13-94       21000       1500       180       360       1900       NA         MW-7       09-22-94       22000       1800       240       430       1900       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       05-10-93       <50	NA
MW-7       09-16-93       37000       1400       170       560       2700       NA         MW-7       11-05-93       40000       1900       210       570       2900       NA         MW-7       03-26-94       22000       2700       280       500       2600       NA         MW-7       06-13-94       21000       1500       180       360       1900       NA         MW-7       09-22-94       22000       1800       240       430       1900       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       05-10-93       <50	NA
MW-7       11-05-93       40000       1900       210       570       2900       NA         MW-7       03-26-94       22000       2700       280       500       2600       NA         MW-7       06-13-94       21000       1500       180       360       1900       NA         MW-7       09-22-94       22000       1800       240       430       1900       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       01-29-93       <50	NA
MW-7       03-26-94       22000       2700       280       500       2600       NA         MW-7       06-13-94       21000       1500       180       360       1900       NA         MW-7       09-22-94       22000       1800       240       430       1900       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       05-10-93       <50	NA
MW-7       06-13-94       21000       1500       180       360       1900       NA         MW-7       09-22-94       22000       1800       240       430       1900       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       01-29-93       <50	NA
MW-7       09-22-94       22000       1800       240       430       1900       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       01-29-93       <50	NA
MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       01-29-93       <50	NA
MW-8       01-29-93       <50       <0.5       <0.5       <0.5       <0.5       NA         MW-8       05-10-93       <50	NA
MW-8         05-10-93         <50         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         NA           MW-8         09-15-93         <50	NA
MW-8         09-15-93         <50         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         NA           MW-8         11-05-93         <50	NA
MW-8       11-05-93       <50       <0.5       <0.5       <0.5       <0.5       NA         MW-8       03-26-94       <50	NA
MW-8         03-26-94         <50         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         NA           MW-8         06-13-94         <50	NA
MW-8         06-13-94         <50         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         NA           MW-8         09-22-94         <50	NA
MW-8         09-22-94         <50         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         NA           MW-8         11-25-94         <50	NA
MW-8 11-25-94 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 ×0.5 NA	NA
	NA
MW-9 01-29-93 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 NA	NA
MW-9 05-10-93 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 NA	NA
MW-9 09-15-93 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 NA	NA
MW-9 11-05-93 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 NA	NA NA
MW-9 03-26-94 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 ×0.5 NA	NA
MW-9 06-13-94 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 NA	NA
MW-9 09-22-94 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 NA	NA
MW-9 11-25-94 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 NA	

esj/h:\0771\0771mdb.xls\Table 3:dcl 0805-122.01

899 Rincon	Avenue, Livern	iore, Californi	a			Project Number: 0805-122.01			
Well Desig- nation	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG ppb	Benzene ppb	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	TPHD	TOG	
					FF-	PP~	PP0		
MW-10	01-29-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	ŇA	NA	
MW-10	05-10-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
MW-10	09-15-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
MW-10	11-05-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
MW-10	03-26-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
MW-10	00-13-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
MW-10	09-22-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
M W-10	11-25-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
MW-11	06-12-92	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
MW-11	09-15-92	<50	<0.5	<0,5	<0.5	< 0.5	NA	NA	
MW-11	11-25-92	<50	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
MW-11	01-29-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
MW-11	05-10-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
MW-11	09-15-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
MW-11	11-05-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
MW-11	03-26-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
MW-11	06-13-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
MW-11	09-22-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
MW-11	11-25-94	<50	<0,5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	
<b>RW-</b> 1	06-12-92	54000	2300	4400	1200	12000	NA	NA	
<b>RW-1</b>	09-15-92	49000	1500	2200	870	6900	NA	NA NA	
RW-1	11-25-92	32000	1500	2500	1000	5500	NA	NA NA	
<b>RW-1</b>	01-29-93	43000	3100	2500	990	7400	NA	NA NA	
<b>RW-1</b>	05-10-93	30000	2900	1100	690	4300	NA	NA	
RW-1	09-16-93	20000	1800	580	620	2300	NA	NA	
RW-1	11-05-93	25000	1800	250	740	1300	NA	NA NA	
RW-1	03-26-94	8100	780	100	360	340	NA	NΔ	
RW-1	06-13-94	4900	510	32	150	170	NA	N۵	
RW-1	09-22-94	4900	390	30	190	210	NA	NA	
RW-1	11-25-94	4900	550	68	200	230	NA	NA NA	

Date: 03-07-95

TPHG = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline

TPHD = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel

ARCO Service Station 771

TOG = Total oil and grease/petroleum hydrocarbons using method: (a) 5520F-IR, (b) 5520C, (c) 413.2, or (d) 418.1

ppb = Parts per billion or micrograms per liter (µg/l)

ppm = Parts per million or milligrams per liter (mg/l); TOG only

NA = Not analyzed

\* = Chromatogram does not match the typical fingerprint for gasoline or diesel

ARCO Service Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, Califo	rnia	Date: Project Number:	02-09-95 0805-122.01
Well Desig- nation	Date	Floating Product Recovered gallons	
MW-1, MW-2, and MW-5	1991	2.77	<u>.</u>
MW-1, MW-2, and MW-5	1992	0.29	
MW-1, MW-2, and MW-5	1993	0.00	
	1994 to Date:		
<b>MW-</b> 1	11-25-94	0.00	
MW-2	11-25-94	0.00	
MW-5	11-25-94	0.00	
	1994 Tota	d: 0.00	
	1991 to 1994 Tota	d: 3.06	

### Table 4 Approximate Cumulative Floating Product Recovered Summary Report

esj/h:\0771\0771mdb.xls\Table 4:dcl 0805-122.01

# Vapor-Extraction Test Report ARCO Station 771, Livermore, California

TABLE 1 VAPOR-EXTRACTION TEST MONITORING DATA ARCO Station 771 Livermore, California **Observation Wells** <u>MW-1</u> <u>MW-2</u> <u>MW-5</u> <u>MW-7</u> Influent Air Stream Induced Flow Concen-Applied Temp. Elapsed Induced Induced Induced Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum tration Time (min) 0.8 0.7 NM 1.0 53.4 NM 39 50 0 5.8 3.7 NM 87.2 > 10,000 >100 55 30 4.3 5.0 NM 6.9 89.4 >10,000 98 57 60 4.8 4.9 5.7 NM 91.6 >10,000 105 57 -90 7.2 NM 91.6 >10,000 105 120 4.9 7.3 6.0 60 6.0 NM 4.9 7.3 91.6 >10,000 105 60 150 5.0 NM 4.8 -5.1 63.2 >10,000 49 64 30 >3 4.8 5.0 5.1 63.2 >10,000 49 63 60 35.0 60.0 Distance from extraction well MW-4 (feet): 40.0 40.0 Observation Wells MW-1 **MW-2 MW-7** <u>MW-4</u> Influent Air Stream Induced Induced Temp. Elapsed Induced Induced Flow Concen-Applied Vacuum Vacuum tration Vacuum Time (min) Vacuum Vacuum 0.9 0.04 0.0 81.6 > 10,000 96 56 0 2.0 **(5.0**) 0.5 1.1 55 30 3.3 81.6 > 10,000 81.8 60.0 Distance from extraction well MW-5 (feet): 30.0 40.0 80.0 Observation Wells <u>MW-2</u> MW-4 <u>MW-5</u> Influent Air Stream Elapsed Induced Induced Induced Flow Temp. Applied Concen-Vacuum tration Vacuum Time (min) Vacuum Vacuum 2.0 1.2 2.0 82.8 >10,000 95 57 0 30 2.0 2.3 1.3 82.8 > 10,000 100 54

Distance from extraction well MW-7 (feet): 44.0

Flow measured in cubic feet per minute (CFM).

Concentration measured in parts per million by volume (ppmv) on Lower Explosion Level (LEL) Meter.

Vacuum measured in inches of water column vacuum.

Temperature measured in degrees Fahrenheit.

NM = Not Measured.



57.0

35.0

# Vapor-Extraction Test Report ARCO Station 771, Livermore, California

January 3, 1992 60000.07

TABLE 2         LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF AIR SAMPLES         ARCO Station 771         Livermore, California										
Sample ID	Sample Location	Elapsed Time of Sample	ТРНg	В	Т	E	x			
60000.07 <b>-AS</b> 1	MW-4	30	62,000 🗸	1200	150	28	48			
60000.07-AS2	MW-4	150	58,000	1100	180	43	86			
effluent	Outlet	30	(1,000)	19	14	6.4	18			
50000.07-AS3	MW-4	30	14,000	180	23	<12	<12			
50000.07 <b>-AS</b> 4	MW-7	30	30,000	740	150	15	87			
50000.07-ASS	MW-5	30	8.600	220	<12	<12	<12			

Concentrations reported in milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m<sup>3</sup>)

< : Below the minimum laboratory detection limit for air.

NA: Not analyzed.

TPHg: Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (analyzed by EPA Methods 8015 and 8020).

B: benzene, T: toluene, E: ethylbenzene, X: total xylene isomers

BTEX: Analyzed by EPA Methods 8015 and 8020 \*: Outlet effluent vapors sampled after abatem

Outlet effluent vapors sampled after abatement by the internal combustion engine.



#### TABLE 1 LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS OF AIR SAMPLES SVE STARTUP AND PERFORMANCE TEST

Sample	Date	Sample ID	D Concentration in air (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )					
Location			Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	TPHG	
Detection Limit			0.5	0.5	0.5	1.0	60	
Well Field Influent (before dilution)	12/20/94	I-1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	7.1	300	
Influent to System (after dilution)	12/20/94	I-2	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.9	<60	
Effluent (stack exhaust)	12/20/94	E-1	<0.5	0.7	<0.5	2.5	<60	

## ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California

Notes:

1

:

с. 1

> mg/m<sup>3</sup>: Milligrams per cubic meter TPHG: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline Analysis Method: Modified EPA 8015/8020

#### TABLE 2 HYDROCARBON REMOVAL AND EMISSION RATES SVE STARTUP AND PERFORMANCE TEST

ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California

Date	Compound	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )		Flow Rate	Mass Removal	Mass Emisson	Destruction
		Influent(I-2)	Effluent (E-1)	(scfm or ft <sup>3</sup> /min)	Rate (lbs/day)	Rate (lbs/day)	Efficiency (%)
12/20/94	Benzene	<0.5	<0.5	130	<0.0058	<0.0058	NC
12/20/94	TPHG	<60	<60	130	<0.7	<0.7	NC

Notes:

5

mg/m³:milligrams per cubic meterscfm:standard cubic feet per minuteft³/min:cubic feet per minuteTPHG:Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasolinelbs/day:pouinds per dayNC:Not calculated

Sample Calculation:

TPHG removal rate:

inf.conc. (mg TPHG/m<sup>3</sup> air) x flow rate(ft<sup>3</sup> air/min) x 1 lb/454,000 mg x 0.0283 m<sup>3</sup>/ft<sup>3</sup> x 1440 min/day = lbs TPHG /day

Facility Number Location	r: 771 : 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California		Vapor Treatment Unit: King Buck / 200 cfm Model MMC-6A/E catalytic oxidizer						
Consultani	:: EMCON 1921 Ringwood Avenue San Jose, California		Sta Reporting Per Sy	rt-Up Date: 1 riod From: 1 To: 0 ystem was shu	2-20-94 2-01-94 4-01-96 t down on 10-1	0-95.			
Date Begin:		12-01-94	01-01-95	02-01-95	07-01-95	08-01-95			
Date End:		01-01-95	02-01-95	07-01-95	08-01-95	09-01-95			
Mode of Oxidation:		Catalytic	Catalytic	Catalytic	Catalytic	Catalytic			
Days of Operation:		11	11	0	8	14			
Days of Downtime:		20	20	150	23	17			
Average Vapor Concer	itrations (1)								
Well Field Influent	: ppmv (2) as gasoline	100	<15	NA	54	33			
	mg/m3 (3) as gasoline	300	<60	NA	218	120			
	ppmv as benzene	<0.1	<0.1	NA	1.2	0.4			
	mg/m3 as benzene	<0.5	<0.5	NA	3.6	1.2			
System Influent	ppmv as gasoline	<15	NA	NA	48	24			
	mg/m3 as gasoline	<60	NA	NA	200	87			
	ppmv as benzene	<0.1	NA	NA	1.2	0.3			
	mg/m3 as benzene	<0.5	NA	NA	3.8	0.8			
System Effluent:	ppmv as gasoline	<15	NA	NA	~15	~15			
	mg/m3 as gasoline	<60	NA	NA	<60	<60			
	ppmv as benzene	<0.1	NA	NA	<01	<01			
	mg/m3 as benzene	<0.5	NA	NA	<0.5	<0.5			
Average Well Field Flow	(Rate (4), scfm (5);	27.3	13.0	0.0	63.3	104.2			
Average System Influent	Flow Rate (4), scfm:	201.7	180 7	0.0	163 4	104.5			
Average Destruction Eff	ficiency (6), percent (7):	NA (13)	NA	NA	70.0 (14)	31.0 (14)			
Average Emission Rate	s (8), nounds per day (9)								
Gasoline:	a sal position por dur (2)	1.09	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.07			
Benzene:		10.0	0.01	0.00	0.88	0.92			
Operating Hours This Pe	riod:	275 50	260.02	0.00	105.10				
Operating Hours To Date	:	275.50	<u>209.23</u> 544.7	<u>0.00</u> 544.7	<u>195.40</u> 740 1	<u>342.12</u> 1092.3			
Downdo ( Hour Domour 1 F		215.5		244.7	740.1	1002.3			
Founds Hour Removal F	(and, as gasoline (10):	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.05			
Pounds Removed This Pe	criod, as gasoline (11):	8.4	<u>0.8</u>	0.0	13.3	<u>16</u> .0			
Pounds Removed To Dat	e, as gasoline:	8.4	9.2	9.2	22.5	38.5			
Gallons Removed This P	eriod, as gasoline (12):	1.4	0.1	0.0	2.1	2.6			
Gallons Removed To Dat	te, as gasoline:	1.4	1.5	1.5	3.6	6.2			

#### Table 5 Soil-Vapor Extraction System Operation and Performance Data

-----

.

Facility Num Locat	ber: 771 ion: 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California		Vapor Trea	atment Unit:	King Buck / 200 cfm Model MMC-6A/E catalytic oxidizer
Consult	ant: EMCON 1921 Ringwood Avenue San Jose, California		Sta Reporting Pe Sj	art-Up Date: riod From: To: ystem was sh	12-20-94 12-01-94 04-01-96 nut down on 10-10-95.
Date Begin:		09-01-95	10-01-95	01-01-96	·······
Date End:		10-01-95	01-01-96	04-01-96	
Mode of Oxidation:		Catalytic	Catalytic	Catalytic	
Days of Operation:		27	0	0	
Days of Downsmie:		3	92	91	
Average Vapor Con	acentrations (1)				
Well Field Influ	ient: ppmv (2) as gasoline	20	NA	NA	
	mg/m3 (3) as gasoline	89	NA	NA	
	ppmv as benzene	<0.1	NA	NA	
	mg/m3 as benzene	<0.5	NA	NA	
System Influ	ent: ppmv as gasoline	18	NA	NA	
	mg/m3 as gasoline	79	NA	NA	
	ppmv as benzene	<0.1	NA	NA	
	mg/m3 as benzene	<0.5	NA	NA	
System Efflu	ent: npmy as gasoline	~15	NLA	NIA	
	mg/m3 as gasoline	<60	NA	NA	
	DDmy as benzene	<01	NA	NA	
	mg/m3 as benzene	<0.5	NA	NA	
			• • • •		
Average well Field F	Now Rate (4), scfm (5):	84.0	0.0	0.0	
Average System Infit	Dent Plow Rate (4), serm:	84.0	0.0	0.0	
Average Destruction	Efficiency (6), percent (7);	24.1 (14)	NA	NA	
Average Emission R	ates (8), pounds per day (9)				
Gasoline:		0.45	0.00	0.00	
Benzene:		0.00	0.00	0.00	
Operating Hours This	Period	651 88	0.00	0.40	
Operating Hours To I	Date:	1737 1	1737 1	1737 5	
		1/3/,1	1757.1	1157.5	
Pounds/ Hour Remov	al Rate, as gasoline (10):	0.03	0.00	0.00	
Pounds Removed Thi	is Period, as gasoline (11):	18.3	0.0	0.0	
Pounds Removed To	Date, as gasoline:	56,9	56.9	56.9	
Gallone Damourd Th	in Period on mercline (12).	2.2	0.0		
Callons Removed In	Data as pasalines	3.0	0.0	0.0	
Ganons Removed 10	Date, as gasonne:	9.2	9.2	9.2	

## Table 5 Soil-Vapor Extraction System Operation and Performance Data

Facility Number: 771 Location: 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California		Vapor Treatment Unit: King Buck / 200 cfm Model MMC-6A/E catalytic oxidizer
Consultant: EMCON 1921 Ringwood Avenue San Jose, California		Start-Up Date: 12-20-94 Reporting Period From: 12-01-94 To: 04-01-96 System was shut down on 10-10-95.
CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD:	01-01-96	to 04-01-96
DAYS / HOURS IN PERIOD:	91	2184.0
DAYS / HOURS OF OPERATION:	0	0.0
DAYS / HOURS OF DOWN TIME:	91	2184.0
PERCENT OPERATIONAL:		0.0 %
PERIOD POUNDS REMOVED:	9.2	
PERIOD GALLONS REMOVED:	0.0	
AVERAGE SYSTEM INFLUENT FLOW RATE (scfm):		0.0

#### Table 5 Soil-Vapor Extraction System Operation and Performance Data

7. destruction efficiency, percent = ([system influent concentration (as gasoline in mg/m3) - system effluent concentration (as gasoline in mg/m3)] / system influent concentration (as gasoline in mg/m3)) x 100 percent

<sup>1.</sup> Average concentrations are based on discrete sample results reported during the month; refer to Appendix C for discrete sample results.

<sup>2.</sup> ppmv: parts per million by volume

<sup>3.</sup> mg/m3: milligrams per cubic meter

<sup>4.</sup> Average flow rates (time weighted average) are based on instantaneous flow rates recorded during the month; refer to Appendix C for instantaneous flow data.

<sup>5.</sup> scfm: flow in standard cubic feet per minute at one atmosphere and 70 degrees Fahrenheit

<sup>6.</sup> Average destruction efficiencies are calculated using monthly average concentrations; refer to Appendix C for instantaneous destruction efficiency data.

<sup>8.</sup> Average emission rates are calculated using monthly average concentrations and flow rates; refer to Appendix C for instantaneous emission rate data.

 <sup>9.</sup> emission rates (pounds per day) = system effluent concentration (as gasoline or benzene in mg/m3) x system influent flow rate (scfm) x 0.02832 m3/ft3 x 1440 minutes/day x 1 pound/454,000 mg

pounds/ hour removal rate (as gasoline) = well field influent concentration (as gasoline in mg/m3) x well field influent flow rate (scfm) x 0.02832 m3/fi3 x 60 minutes/hour x 1 pound/454,000 mg

<sup>11.</sup> pounds removed this period (as gasoline) = pounds/ hour removal rate x hours of operation

<sup>12.</sup> gallons removed this period (as gasoline) = pounds removed this period (as gasoline) x 0.1613 gallons/pound of gasoline

<sup>13.</sup> NA: not analyzed, not available, or not applicable

<sup>14.</sup> Although the destruction efficiency appeared to be less than 90 percent, luboratory analytical results collected during this period indicate the effluent TVHG and benzene concentrations in off-gas discharged to the atmosphere were below laboratory detection limits, indicating compliance with BAAQMD discharge requirements.

#### Table 6 Soil-Vapor Extraction Well Data

#### ARCO Service Station 771

(m

899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California

						Well Ide	ntification					
		VW-1			MW-1			MW-2			MW-4	
	Valve		Vacuum	Valve		Vacuum	Valve		Vacuum	Valve		Vacuum
Date	Position	TVHG	Response	Position	TVHG	Response	Position	TVHG	Response	Position	TVHG	Response
		ppmv	in-H2O		ppmv	in-H2O		ррти	in-H2O		ppmv	in-H2O
12-20-94	open	177 LAB	32.5	passive	NA	NA	passive	NA	NA	open	53 LAB	25.0
01-17-95	System shut dow	/n								-		
07-12-95	System was resta	rted										
07-12-95	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA
08-01-95	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA
08-29-95	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA
09-18-95	open	44.8 PID	53.7	open	10.7 PID	56.9	open	12.0 PID	52.8	open	13.3 PID	54.7
09-18-95	open (b)	66.8 PID	56.0	open (b)	113 PID	58.2	open (b)	25.9 PID	55.1	open (b)	21.8 PID	56.9
10-10-95	open	NA	NA	орел	NA	NA	open	NA	NA	ореп	NA	NA
10-10-95	System shut dow	'n								•		
12-19-95	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA
02-08-96	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA
02-14-96	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA
03-22-96	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA
TVHG: concentr	ation of total volati	ile hydrocarbons a	s gasoline									1
ppmv: parts per i	million by volume		J									
in-H2O: inches o	of water											
open: open to the	system											
open (b): open to	the system and bu	bbling air at 1 scf	m per well									
passive: open to	the atmosphere											
closed: closed to	the system and atm	nosphere										
closed (b): closed	1 to the system and	atmosphere, but t	oubbling air at 1 sc	tm per well								
FID: TVHG con	rentration was men	sured with a norta	ble flame ionizatio	on detector								
LAB: TVHG con	icentration was ana	lyzed in the labor	atory	on detector								

esj/h:\0771\0771tss.xls\Table 6:imi 20805-122.003 Date: 04-11-96

#### Table 6 Soil-Vapor Extraction Well Data

#### ARCO Service Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California

Date: 04-11-96

						Well Ider	tification	
		MW-5			MW-7		Bubbler-Only Well	
Date	Valve Position	TVHG	Vacuum Response	Valve Position	TVHG	Vacuum Response	RW-1	
		ppmv	in-H2O		ppmv	in-H2O		
12-20-94	passive	NA	NA	passive	NA	NA		
01-17-95	System shut dow	n .						
07-12-95	System was resta	ned						]
07-12-95	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA		
08-01-95	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA		
08-29-95	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA		1
09-18-95	open	11.2 PID	55.9	open	19.0 PID	53.9		
09-18-95	open (b)	117 PID	58.0	open (b)	20.0 PID	56.2		
10-10-95	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA		
10-10-95	System shut dow	n						
12-19-96	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA		
02-08-96	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	bubbling	
02-14-96	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	bubbling	
03-22-96	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	bubbling	
TVHG: concentr ppmv: parts per r	ation of total volati nillion by volume	le hydrocarbons a	s gasoline				<u>_</u>	
in-H2O: inches o	fwater		4					
open: open to the	system							
open (o): open to	the structure	poing air at i sci.	m per well					
closed: closed to	the system and atm	osphere						
closed (b): closed	to the system and	atmosphere, but h	ubbling air at 1 sc	fm per well				
NA: not analyzed	or not measured							
FID: TVHG conc	contration was measured	sured with a porta	ble flame ionizatio	on detector				
LAB: TVHG con	centration was ana	lyzed in the labor	atory					

esj/h:\0771\0771tss.xls\Table 6:imi 20805-122.003

# **APPENDIX C**

SOIL BORING AND WELL CONSTRUCTION LOGS

N/A Slot size: N/A N/A Materisi type: N/A
N/A Materiai type:N/A
Iller: Sid & Tom
Field Geologist, Steve Bittmar
n

Registration No.1\_\_\_\_\_ State1\_\_\_\_CA

Semple No.	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
				Apple 214 (O. Smale 2.)	
				Asphalt (6 inches).	
ĺ			GW	Sanay gravel with clay, brown, ddmp, dense with subrounded gravel.	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
	<b>H</b> <sup>7</sup>				$ \begin{array}{c} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\ \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\ \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\ \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla $
S–5	19	0			$\begin{array}{c} \nabla \ \nabla $
					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
ĺ	116				$\begin{array}{c} \nabla \ \nabla $
-10	T 27	2.4		Moist, very dense, noticeable odor.	$\nabla \nabla $
					$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} v$
					7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
-14.5	T 27 45	20			$\nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla$
					7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
					7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
ļ	T 31			Obvious odor.	
-19.5	50-	200			$\nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla$
				(Section continues downward)	
				LOG OF BORING B - 1	PLATE
				ARCO Station 771	A
CT		800	00-1	899 Rincon Avenue	
	S=5 S=5 -10 -14.5	Sample No.	Sample No.       No.       P.I.D.         No.       7       0         S-5       7       0         10       19       0         -10       16       27         -14.5       27       20         -19.5       31       200	Sample No.       B       P.I.D.       USCS Code         No.       7       0       GW         S-5       7       0       GW         S-5       16       27       2.4         -10       27       20       31         -14.5       31       200       200	Sample No.       B       P.I.D.       USCS Code       Description         Asphalt (6 inches).       GW       Sandy gravel with clay, brown, damp, dense with subrounded gravel.         S-5       19       0       Moist, very dense, noticeable odor.         -10       16 27 39       2.4       Moist, very dense, noticeable odor.         -14.5       27 45       20       Obvious odor.         -19.5       50       200       Obvious odor.         (Section continues downward)       Cector Avenue       LOG OF BORING B - 1 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue



Casing diameterı_	N/A	Length		N/A	١	Slot sizer	N/A
Screen diameteri_	N/A	Length	1	N/A		Materiai type:	N/A
Drilling Companyı	Bakersfield Well	& Pump	Drilleri	Sid	&	Tom	
Method Used, Hol	low-Stem Auger					Field Geologist	Steve Bittma

.

Registration No.,\_\_\_\_\_ State;\_\_\_\_CA\_\_\_

Depth Sample No.	Blow	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const
				Asphalt (6 inches).	
- 2 -			GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, damp, dense with subrounded gravel.	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
- 4 - 4	10				$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} v$
- 6 - <sup>S-5</sup>	20	5		Noticeable odor.	<b>\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \</b> <b>\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ </b>
- 8 -					$ \begin{array}{c}         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla$
· 10- s-10	11 17 29	0			<b>\vee v</b> <b>v</b> v v v <b>v</b> v v v
12-			- - -		V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V
14-	17	10		Gray.	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
16 - S-15	15				$\begin{array}{c} \bigtriangledown & \bigtriangledown & \bigtriangledown & \bigtriangledown & \lor & \lor & \lor & \lor & \lor & \lor &$
18-			CL	Sandy clay, gray, moist, low to medium plasticity, stiff,	
20- s-20	20 41 50+	210	GC	Clayey gravel with sand, gray—brown, moist, very dense with subangular gravel, obvious odor.	$\begin{array}{c} \nabla \nabla$
				(Section continues downward)	
	$\geq$			LOG OF BORING B - 2	PLATE
Applied	Ģe	oSya	tems	ARCO Station 771	6
ROJECT		600	00-1	Livermore. California	-

.

e

Depth	Sample No.	BLOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Wel Cons
				GC	Clayey gravel with sand, gray—brown, moist, very dense with subangular gravel, obvious odor.	
-55-						
-24-		T21				
-26-	S–25	50+	35			
-58 -						
-30 -		7		L		
- <b>3</b> 0 -	S31	15	2	CL	Gravelly clay, brown, moist, subangular gravel, medium plasticity, hard.	
-32 -					Total Depth = $31-1/2$ feet.	
-34 -						
-36-						
- 20-						
-38-				-		
- 40						
-42-						
-44 -						
- 46						
10						
- 40						
-50 -						
				<u></u>	LOG OF BORING B - 2	PLA'
	Applie	d G	eoSya	items	ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	7
ROJE	СТ	60	000-	•1	Livermore, California	

Total depth of boring	<u>32.5 feet</u> Diamet	er of boring <u>6 in</u>	ches Date drilled.	2/1/90
Casing diameteri	N/A Len	gth. N/A	Siot size:	N/A
Screen diameter	N/A Len	gth <sub>e N/A</sub>	Material type:	N/A
Drilling Company, Bak	ersfield Well & Pump	Driller, Sid &	: Tom	
Method Used: Hollow-	-Stem Auger		Field Geologist	Steve Bittman
Signatu	re of Registered Pro	feesionali		
	Registration No.	State:	CA	

Depth	Sample No.	Blow	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
- 0 -					Asphalt (6 inches).	<u> </u>
- 2 -				GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, damp, medium dense with subrounded gravel.	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V
- 4 -	F	6 8				
- 6 -	S-5	9	0			
- 8 -						<b>7 7 7 7</b> 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
- 10 -	s–10	24 37 25	Ō		Very dense.	
. 12 -						7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
• 14 <b>-</b>	5	41 50+	2		Moist.	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V
• 16 -						V V V V V V V V
18-		27		GC	Clayey gravel with sand, gray-brown, moist, very dense	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
20-5	6—19.5	50+	110		with subangular gravel, noticeable odor. (Section continues downward)	
L	·····			I		
	pplied		oSys	tems	LOG OF BORING B - 3 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	8
ROJ	ECT		600	00-1	Livermore, California	

Depth	No.	LOW	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Weil Consi
				GC	Clayey gravel with sand, gray—brown, moist, very dense with subangular gravel, noticeable odor.	
-22-						
-24-		- 25				
-26-	S–25	50+	240		Obvious odor.	
-28-						
-30	S-30	24 45 45 -30	700			
-32 -	S32	- 41 50	720		Obvious odor.	
-34					$\log_{10} \text{ Uepth} = 32 - 1/2 \text{ Teet.}$	
-36						
-38-						
- 40						
-42 -						
-44-						
•46-						
.48-					·	
.50 -						
					LOG OF BORING B - 3	PLA
	Appiles	a Ga	.oSy	tema	ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	Ş

Depth of boring <u>: 461/2</u> Well depth: <u>41 feet</u>	<u>feet</u> Diameter of Material type:	boring: <u>10 inc</u> Sch 4 <u>0 PVC</u>	<u>hes</u> Date drilled: <u>12-10-90</u> _ Casing diameter: <u>4 inches</u>
Screen interval: <u>32 to</u>	41 feet	Slot size:	0.020-inch
Drilling Company <u>: Kvil</u>	haug D <b>ril</b> ling Co.	Driller:	Rod and Brian
Method Used: He	ollow-Stem Auger		Field Geologist: <u>Mike Barminski</u>
Signature o	f Registered Profes	ssional:	
Reai	stration No.:CF 044	4600 State:	CA

Depti	Sample No.	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
- 0 -				CL	Asphalt (4 inches). Gravelly clay with sand, dark brown, moist, low to medium plasticity, hard.	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
- 4 -	S-5	12 18 27	6.5			
- <sup>•</sup> 8 - - 10 -	S-10	7 22 40	0	GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense.	A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A         A
- 14	S-15	25 50	D			4     4     4     4     4     4       1     4     4     4     4     4       1     4     4     4     4
- 18 - 20	- S-20	30 50	4.2		Noticeable product odor. (Section continues downward)	0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0
			60	stems	LOG OF BORING B-4/MW-1 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livemore, California	plate 5

)epth	Sample No.	BLOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const
-55				GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense; noticeable product odor.	
-24	S-25 X	•				
-26-	S-26.5	30 50 50	4.6			
-30 –	S−30	30 50 50	0	GC	Clayey gravel with sond, brown, moist, very dense.	
-32 –	S−32.5 T S−33	30 50 50	2.8	<b>▼</b> -	12/12/90	
-34 - -36 -	S-35	50 50 40 50	0		Very moist.	
- 38	S-36.5 S-37.5 S-38	50 40 50 50	0 2669	 G₩	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense; obvious product odor.	
- 40	S-40					
-42	S-43	15 20 30	187.8	CL	Sandy clay, brown, moist, medium to low plasticity, hard; obvious product odor.	
-46-	S-45.5 S-46	15 25 35	27.1	SC -	Damp, noticeable product odor. Clayey sand with pebbles to 1/8°, brown, moist, very dense	
- 48					Total Depth = 46-1/2 feet.	
-50						



PLATE B-4/MW-1LOG OF BORING ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California 6

Depth of boring <u>: 45-1/2</u> Well depth: 38 feet	<u>feet</u> Diameter of Material type:	boring: <u>10 inc</u> Sch 40 PVC	hes Date drilled: <u>12-10-90</u> Casina diameter: 4 inches
Screen interval:	38 feet		0.020-inch
Drilling Company: Kvilhe	ug Drilling Co.	Driller:	Rod and Brian
Method Used: Hol	ow-Stem Auger		Field Geologist: <u>Mike Barminski</u>
Signature of	Registered Profe	ssional:	
Regis	tration No.:CE 04	4600 State:	CA

Depth	Sample No.	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
- 0 -				GW	Asphalt (4 inches). Sandy gravel with clay, brown, damp, dense.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
- 6 -	S-5	10 38 50	0		Very dense.	
- 10-	S-10 5-11.5	50 50 50 50	0.9		Moist.	
- 14 - - 16 - - 18 -	S-15	35 50 50	D	CL GW	Smoother drilling at 14 feet. Sandy clay, gray, very moist, low to medium plasticity, hard. Rougher drilling at 16 feet. Sandy gravel with clay, brown, very moist, very dense; пoticeable product odor?	
- 20 -	- S-20	130 50	4.6		(Section continues downward)	
PRO	Appiie JECT:	a	60	stems 000-4	LOG OF BORING B-5/MW-2 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livemore, California	PLATE

)ėpth	Sample No.		BLOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Wel Cons
					GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, very moist, very dense; 	7 Ø 7
-55-					GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist, very dense.	7 7 7 7 7 7
24	C 05	Ŧ	25 50	_			7 7 7 7 7 7
26-	3-25		50	U			
-28							
.30 —	S–30		25 50 50	0	<b>_</b>	12/12/90	
-35 -	5-33	T	30 50 50	0	GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, very moist, very dense.	
-34	S-34.5		45 50 50	0			
- 36	S36		30 50	3700	 Gw	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, wet, very dense; obvious product odor.	
-38-				a a	CL	Sandy clay, brown, moist, medium plasticity, hard;	
40 —	S-40		12 17 45	500		obvious product odor.	
-42 —	-						
-44 -	S_45		12 20	16			
-46			50	+.ψ		Total Depth = $45-1/2$ feet.	
-48-							
-50 -	-						



LOG OF BORING B-5/MW-2	PLATE
ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California	8

•

Depth of boring <u>:</u> Well depth:	45 feet	Diameter of boring: <u>10 inches</u> Date drilled: <u>12-1</u> Material type: Sch 40 PVC Casing diameter: 4 i	1-90 nches
Screen interval:	32 to 40	feet Slot size: 0.020-inch	
Drilling Company	: Kvilhaug	Drilling Co. Driller: Rod and Brian	<u> </u>
Method Used:	Hollow	-Stem Auger Field Geologist: Mike Ba	rminski
Sigr	nature of Re	egistered Professional:	
	Registro	ation No.: <u>CE 044600</u> State: <u>CA</u>	
Deptil Sample S	.I.D. USCS	Description	Well
	Lode		Const.
		Asphalt (4 inches).	7 7 7
	GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, damp, very dense.	
S-5			סק סק סן סק סק סק
- 6 -	0		
- 8 -			
Π50		Noiet	
- 10 - S - 10 50	0	Moist,	
12-	CW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense.	
	011		סק סק סק סק
S-15 45	0		
- 16 -	U I		
- 18 -			
$ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $			
	0		24 74 2 7 2 7 7
		(Section continues downward)	
			- <u></u>

PROJECT: 60000-4

0

LOG OF BORING B-6/MW-3 PLATE ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livemore, California

Depth	Sample No.	BLOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const
-55-				GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense.	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
-24 - -26 -	S-25	35 50	6.8		Clayier.	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
-28 -				GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist, very dense.	
-30 -	S-29.5 S-30	35 35 35	4.2			
-35 -				<b>▼</b> <u>−</u>	12/12/90	
-34 -	S-34.5	50 50	2.8	GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense.	
-36-	S-36.5 S-38	14 35 50 20 50	3.1 ?	⊲	Wet.	
40	S-40.5	12	28		Sandy clay, brown, moist, low to medium plasticity,	
-42-		20	2.0		nara.	
-44-	S-44.5	10 18 20	3.2			
- 46 -					Total Depth = 45 feet.	
- 48-						
-50-						
-50 -						



Depth of boring: <u>46–1/2</u> feet Diameter o	f boring: 10 inc	hesDate_drilled:6-28-91
Well depth: <u>42 feet</u> Material type	Sch 40 PVC	_ Casing diameter: <u>4 inches</u>
Screen interval:26 to 42 feet	Slot_size:	0.020-inch
Drilling Company: Exceltech	_ Driller:	Don & Kenny
Method Used: Hollow-Stem Auger		_ Field Geologist: Barbara Sieminski
Signature of Registered Prof	essiona <del>n /E</del>	Tarian
Registration No. <u>: CEO</u>	4600 State:	CA

1.5

2.44.5

ł

30 G.

111

Depth	Sampl No.	e	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
- 0 - - 2 - - 4 -	S-5.5		3 4 10	0	SW GW GW	Sand, with small gravel, yellow, damp, loose: fill. Sandy gravel with cobbles, brown, damp, medium dense: fill. Sandy gravel with clay, brown, damp, medium dense.	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2
- 8 - - 10 - - 12 -	S-10		18 16 21	0		Moist, dense.	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2
- 14 - - 16 - - 18 -	S-15		18 21 28	0		Gray, very moist. Noticeable product odor.	A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A <t< td=""></t<>
- 20-	S-20		18 26 35	82		Very dense. (Section continues downward)	7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0
PRO		?/		<b>55</b>	<b>N</b> /	LOG OF BORING B-7/MW-4 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California	PLATE 4

Depth	Sample No.	BLOWS	P.1.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Cons
-22-				G₩	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense; noticeable product odor.	
-24	S–25	T 19 21 L 27	131	GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist, dense; obvious product odor.	
-28 -		- 20		GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, medium dense; obvious product odor.	
-30 -	S30	15	748		Sandy play brown moust medium plasticity hard:	
-32-	6-31.5	20 26 40	1206	CL	Sandy aravel with clay, brown, moist, medium plastery, hard, obvious product odor.	
-34 <b>-</b> -36 -	S-33 S-33.5 S-34.5	50 50 36 39 45	/ <sup>5</sup> 741 /6 103 20	<u> </u>	obvious product odor. Wet.	
-38-						
- 40 -	S-40	<b>T</b> 37 50/	5 <sup>15</sup>			
-42-	5-42.5	8 13 15	17	CĽ	Sandy clay, brown, damp, medium plasticity, very stiff.	
-44-	S-44	9 12 12 7	10			
-46-	,	8 113			Total Depth = $46 - 1/2$ feet.	
- 48 - - 50 -	-					

RESNA

# LOG OF BORING B-7/MW-4 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California

PLATE 5

PROJECT

No.

S. Server

60000.06

Depth of boring: <u>45-1/2 feet</u> Diameter of Well depth: <u>41 feet</u> Material type:	bo <b>ring:</b> <u>10 inc</u> Sch 40 PVC	<u>thes</u> Date drilled: <u>7-2-91</u> Casing diameter: <u>4 inches</u>
Screen interval: <u>31-1/2 to 41 feet</u>		0.020-inch
Drilling Company: Exceltech	Driller:	Dan, Kenny, and Adam
Method Used: Hollow-Stem Auger		Field Geologist: Barbara Sieminski
Signature of Registered Profe Registration No. <u>:CE 04</u>	ssional: 4600 State:	CA

Depth	Sampi No.	e	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
- 0 -					GW	Asphalt (4 inches). Sandy gravel, dark brown, damp, medium dense: fill.	
- 4 -	S-5.5		7 8 13	3.4	GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, damp, medium dense; gravel up to 3—inches diameter.	2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
- 8 - - 10 - - 12 -	S-10.5		12 30 37	9.6		More sand, moist, very dense.	
- 14 - - 16 - - 18 -	S-15.5		12 13 20	0		Dense.	
- 20-	S-20.5		18 19 22	34		More clay. (Section continues downward)	0 0 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7
PRO	F			60	<b>N</b> /	LOG OF BORING B-8/MW-5 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California	PLATE 6

əpth	Sample No.	ILOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Cons
		. 🕮		GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, dense.	
22 –						
24 -				GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist, very dense.	
26-	6-25.5	20 30 30	37			
28 -						
30 -		15				2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 7 4 4 4 4
	5–30.5 []	6 11	0	CL	Sandy clay with small gravel, brown, moist, medium <u>Clayer</u> sand with small gravel brown moist medium	
32 -	- -			SC GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense;	
34	5-34.5	35 30	364		Noticeable product odor	
36-	S-36	40 25 39	35	_ ₹	Wet.	
38-		26 17 29 33	27			
40						
42-	S41	11	305	CL	Sandy clay, brown, moist, medium plasticity, very stif	f;
	S-43	8	49			
44 -		13 5 8				
46-		<u>]13</u>			Total Depth = $45-1/2$ feet.	
48						
50 –						
					LOG OF BORING B-8/MW-5	PLA
	RI		sr	VA	ARCO Station 771	7
	FCT	6	0000	.06	Livermore, California	

1.2.3

1 A 5 A

1999 (M.

34222

1478

Wel	l depth: een inte	4 4	<u>9. 47−</u> 2−1/2 β2−1/	feet 2 to 42-	<u>Material</u> -1/2 feet	type: <u>Sch 40 PVC</u> Slot size:	<u>Casing</u> diameter: Casing diameter: 0.020-inch	4 inches
Dril	ling Cor	npa	ny:	Exceltec	h	Driller:	Dan & Kenny	•
Met	hod Use	id:	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Hollow	-Stem Aug	er	Field Geologist: Barba	ora Siemir
		Sig	gnatur I	ə of Re Registra <sup>.</sup>	gîstered tion No. <u>:(</u>	Professionate E 044600 State:	CA	
)epth	Sample No.	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code		Descr	iption	Wel Cons
· 0 -					Asphalt	(4 inches).		
				GW	Sandy ç	ravel, brown, dry, lo	ose: fill.	7 V 7 V 7 V
2 -				GC	Clayey g	ravel with sand, darl	k brown, damp, dense.	
- 4 - 6	S-5.5	10 17	0	GW	Sandy o to	ravel with clay, brow 2—inches diameter.	vn, damp, dense; gravel u	p 70 70
. 8 -		15			•			
· 10-	S-10.5	20 36 45	0		Very de	ise,		7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0
- 14 -	S-15.5	15 16 16	0		Moist, d	ense.		2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0
- 18 - - 20 -	S-20	17 50,	0 /1		Gravel u	p to 3—inches diami	eter.	
	R		sr	IA		LOG OF BOI ARCO	RING B-9/MW-6 Station 771	PLA S
	IFOT				, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	899 R	incon Avenue	

I

Î

and a second

ļ

əpth <mark>Sample</mark> No.	BLOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const
22 -		<u> </u>	GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, dense; gravel up to 3—inches diameter. More clay.	
24-					4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
26-8-25.5	18 27 50/	0 1			
28 -			GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist, dense.	
30 -	15				
32 -	34 28		GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense.	
34 - 6-34.5	32 44	0			
36-S-36	36 49 40	0		Wet	
38-	19 18 30	0		<b>WGC</b>	
40	30 33 28	٥			
42-S-42	16 8	19			
5-43.5 44-	4696	0	CL	Sandy clay, brown, moist, medium plasticity, stiff.	
S-45	11	0			
	6 11 13	0			
48-				Total Depth = $47 - 1/2$ feet.	
50 -					
		1	<u> </u>	LOG OF BORING B-9/MW-6	PLA
RE	E	SN	IA	ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	l c

Depth of boring: 44-1/2 feet Diameter of	boring: 10 inc	thes Date drilled: 7-2-91
Well depth: <u>40 feet</u> Material type:	Sch 40 PVC	Casing diameter:4 inches
Screen interval: <u>30 to 40 feet</u>	Slot size:	0.020-inch
Drilling Company: Exceltech	Driller:	Don, Kenny, and Adam
Method Used: Hollow-Stem Auger		_ Field Geologist: Barbara Sieminski
Signature of Registered Profe Registration No.:CE 04	ssional: /E 4600 State:	CA

.

Depth	Sample No.	9	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	W Coi	ell nst.
- 0 -					GW	Asphalt (4 inches). Sandy gravel, dark brown, damp, medium dense: fill.		7 V 7 V 7 V 7 V
- 2 -					GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, damp, medium dense; gravel up to 3—inches diameter.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	אי ק ק ק ק
- 6 -	S-5.5		6 7 8	0				ע ע ע ע ע ע
- 8 -								▼ 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
- 10 - - 12 -	S-10.5		9 29 29	0		Moist, dense.		4
- 14 -		X	35 50	/1				
- 18 -							0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
- 20 -	S-20.5		17 35 43	152		Very dense; obvious product odor. (Section continues downward)	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
		•				LOG OF BORING B-10/MW-7	PL	_AT
PRO.	JECT:			<b>3</b> 60	000.06	ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California		1(

1915

pth Sample No.	SMOT	D. USCS Code	Description	Well Const
22 -		GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense; obvious product odor.	
24 26 <sup>6</sup> 25.5 <b>1</b> 28	25 33 35 35	GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, wet, very dense; obvious product odor.	
<sup>30 -</sup> s-30.5 <b>1</b> 32 -	30 17 50/5	GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense; obvious product odor.	
34 - 534.5 36 - S-36 S-37 38 -	35 43 50/5 37 29 50/4 37 115 44 17	58 92 <u>₹</u> 7	Wet.	
40- S-40.5 42-S-42	9 10 10.4 15 9 6.1 13 19 0	4 CL	Sandy clay, brown, moist, medium plasticity, very stiff.	
46-			Total Depth = 44-1/2 feet.	
50				
RE	ES	NA	LOG OF BORING B-10/MW-7 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	PLA 1

E . 84 . 11

3000

1. N 2023
| Well depth:       | NA Material type:       | NA           | Casing diameter:NA               |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| Screen interval:  | NA                      | Slot size: _ | NA                               |
| Drilling Company: | Exceltech               | Driller:     | Don, Kenny                       |
| Method Used:      | Hollow-Stem Auger       |              | Field Geologist: Barbara Siemins |
| Signat            | ure of Registered Profe | ssional:     |                                  |
|                   | Registration No.:       | State:       |                                  |

Depth	Sample No.	Blows	P.1.D.	USCS Code		Description	Well Const.
- 0 - - 2 - - 4 -				GW	<u>Asphalt</u> Sandy gr	(4 inches). ravel, dark brown, damp, medium dense: fill.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
- 6 - - 8 - - 10-	S-7 S-8.5	12 17 17 16 12 12 15 15	0 0 0		With clay Very den	se.	A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A       A
- 12 - - 14 - - 16 -	s–15.5	T 32 36 1 36	0	GW	Sandy gr Moist.	ravel with clay, brown, damp, dense.	$ \begin{array}{c} \nabla & \nabla & \nabla \\ \nabla & \nabla & \nabla \\ \nabla & \nabla & \nabla \\ \nabla & \nabla &$
- 18 - 20	s–20.5	1 23 30 1 33	5 )5 0			(Section continues downward)	$ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \begin{tabular}{c} \b$
PRO	FI	<b>?</b> E	E <b>S</b> 60	<b>N</b> A 000.0	<b>4</b> 6	LOG OF BORING B—11 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California	plate 12

Depth Sample No.	BLOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
			GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-22-					\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
-24 - S-25 TT	25	3.4		More clay	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-26-	50	/5		Mble Cldy.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-28 -			GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist, dense.	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
-30 - 5-30.5 🞞	14 10	0		Conductor it along brown maint modium danse	  
-32 -	10		GW	Sanay graver with clay, brown, moist, mealant dense.	
-34 -					
5−35.5 TT -36−	40 50	/5		Very dense.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-38-			₽	Wet.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	50	0			~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
12		/ 5		Total Depth = $40 - 1/2$ feet.	
-42					
-44					
- 46					
- 48					
- 50					
DE	<b>-</b> «	CA	IA	LOG OF BORING B-11	PLATE
	-			899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California	13
*RUJEC			.00		I

55 a...

27.24

Depth - 0 2 -	Sampl No.		gnatur P.I.D.	e of Re Registrat USCS Code	gistered	Professional: CA	Well
Depth - - 0 - - 2 -	Sampl No.	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code		Departmention	Well
- 0 -				1		Description	Const
- 2 -	1			GP	Asphalt Sandy	(4 inches). gravel, grav. damp. dense: baserock	
				GW	Sandy fin	gravel, brown, damp, very dense; ie— to coarse—grained sand.	
- 4 -  S	6-4.5	⊤ 26 38 ⊥ 50/	0 6"				
- 8 -							
- 10 -	S-9	50/	5" 0				
- 12 -							
- 14 - S-	-14.5	T 27 50/	6" <sup>0</sup>		Becomir	ng very moist.	
18 -	5-17	50/	6" O	GC	Clayey	gravel with sand, brown, damp, very dense	
20 - 5-	-19.5	48 39 37	0		Becomir	ng moist	
						(Section continues downward)	
	RA		<b>F</b> A			LOG OF BORING B-12/MW-8	PLAT



Working to Restore Nature PROJECT 60000.09

## OG OF BORING B-12/MW-8 PLAT ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue 5 Livermore, California

Sci	n aepin: reen inte	erva	1: 29	1/2 to	. Material type: <u>Sci 40 PVC</u> Casing diameter: <u>2</u> 39 1/2 feet Slot size: 0.020-inch	menes
Dri	Iling Co	mpc	iny:	Explorat	on GeoServices Driller: John and Mike	
Me	thod Use	ed:		Hollow	-Stem Auger Field Geologist: Barbara	Siemi
		Si	gnatur	e of Re Registra	gistered Professional:	
Depth	Sample No.	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Wel Cons
- 0 -					Asphalt (4 inches).	
				GP	Sandy gravel, gray, damp, dense; baserock.	
- 2 -		10	0	GW	Sandy gravel, brown, moist, dense; fine— to coarse—grained sand.	7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0
- 6 -	5-4.5	13 34	U		·	
- 8 -	S-9.5 T	34 50/	3" <sup>0</sup>		Very dense, gravel up to 3" diameter with cobbles	
- 12 -	S-14.5	35 50/	5" <sup>0</sup>		with clay becoming very moist.	
- 16 -						
- 20 -	S-19	50/	6" <sup>()</sup>		Trace water at 18.5'	
					dense.	
				<b></b>		
<b>P</b>	Vorking (	o R	<b>PAN</b> estore	Nature	LOG OF BORING B-13/MW-9 ARCO Station 771	PLA 6

 $g = g + \frac{1}{2} g$ 

Depth	Sample No.	SHOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	We Con:
				_GW	Sandy gravel, brown, moist, dense; fine- to coarse-grained sand.	
-22 -		50	/6"0	GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist to wet, very dense	
-24 -		50	/6" 0			
- 26 -	S-26	13 50	⁄6" <sup>0</sup>		becoming moist.	
-28 -	S-28	21	//" ∩	Gw	Sandy gravel, brown, wet, very dense.	
-30 -			4 0			
-35 -						
-34 -	S34	50	⁄6" ()			
.36 -				an a		
- 38						
40	S-40	13 18 29 11	0	CL	Sandy clay, brown, damp, medium plasticity, hard.	
42 +		20 24			Total depth = $42$ feet	
44 -						
46-						
48 -						
50						
!						
					ARCO Station 771	

. .

	reen in	terva	ıl:2	29 to 37	feet Slot size: 0.020-inch	
Dri	lling C	ompo	any:	Explorat	ion GeoServices Driller: John and Mike	
Met	thod U	sed:_ Si	gnatur	Hollow e of Re Reaîstra	-Stem Auger Field Geologist: Barbara gistered Professional:	Siemi
	Samp	S N	1			14(-
)epth	No.	Blo	P.I.D.	Code	Description	Con
- 0 -					Asphalt (4 inches)	
				GP	Sandy gravel, gray, damp, dense; baserock.	
- 2 -				GW	Sandy gravel, brown, damp, very dense; fine— to coarse grained sand; gravel up to 3" diameter; roots.	
- 4 -	S-4.5	T 26 28 1 50	0 (5"			7 0 0 7 0 7 0 7 0
6 -						
8 -						▼ 7 ▼
10 -	S-9.5	1 28 50/	(2" <sup>0</sup>			∨ 7 ♥ 7 ♥ 7 ♥ 7 ♥
12-						
14 -	5-14.5	27 50/	′5" <sup>D</sup>		With clay, becoming moist.	
16 -	S-17	50/	′5 <b>"</b> 0		Trace water at 17.5'	
18 -	S-19	50/	′5 <b>`</b> `0	GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist to wet, very dense.	
20 -				-		⊽ ⊽ ⊽ ⊽ ⊽
		{		L.	(Section continues downward)	<u>r_y</u> [
			<b>T</b> A		LOG OF BORING B-14/MW-10	PLA
	V <b>L</b> A	in R	<b>SAN</b>	Navimura	ARCO Station 771	,

Depth	Sample No.	BLOWS	P.I.D	USCS Code	Description	Wel Cons
-22 -				GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist to wet, very dense.	
-24 -		50	/5"			⊽   7 ⊽   7 ⊽   7 ⊽   7 ▼
-26-		T 15 20 36		CL	Sandy clay with fine gravel, brown, damp, medium plasticity, hard.	
-58 -	S–27.5	1 20 32 1 50	/3 0	$\nabla$		
-30 -	S-29.5	1 20 30 1 35	0	SC	Clayey sand, fine— to medium— grained, brown, we dense.	et,
-32 -				GW-GC	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, wet, very dense.	
-34 -		<b>x</b> 50,	/5"			
- 36 -						
- 38	S-38	15 16 26 13 18	0	CL	Sandy clay, brown, damp, medium plasticity, hard.	
- 40					Total depth = 40 feet.	
-42						
-44 -						
- 46 -						
- 48						
- 50						
W	<b>RA</b> orking t	ø Re	store i	Nature	ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	9
ROJE	СТ	60	0000.	09	Livermore, California	

Wel	l depth	:	39 f	eet	Material	type: <u>Sch 40 PVC</u>	Casing diameter:2	inches	
Scr	een int	erva	l:2	9 to 39	feet	Slot size:	0.020-inch		
Dril	ling Co	mpc	iny:	HEW Dri	lling	Driller:	Phil and Perfecto		
Met	hod Us	ed:_ Sig	gnatur	Hollow e of Re Registra	<u>-Stem Au</u> gistered ion No. <u>:</u>	rofessional: CEG 1463 State:	Field Geologist: <u>Barbar</u>	a Siemii	
Depth	Sample No.	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code		Descrip	otion	Wel	
- 0 -					Asphalt Asphalt	-covered surface.			
2 2 -				GW	Sandy	gravel, dark brown, da	mp, medium dense: fill.		
				GW-GC	Sandy 3"	gravel with clay, brown diameter.	, damp, dense; gravel up	to v v v v	
-+	S-5.5	17	0						
- 6 -		39	-						
- 8 -									
- 10 -	5–10.5 <b>T</b>	24 34	0		Becoming moist, very dense.				
- 12 -		50							
- 14 -			-					0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
- 16 -	5-15	50	′6" <sup>()</sup>		Increasi	reasing clay.			
- 18 -									
- 20 -	5-20.5 <b>T</b>	30 38	0						
		40				(5	Section continues downward	1)	
	R			NA		LOG OF BOR	ING B-15/MW-11	PLA	
	Workin	g lo	Resto	re Natur	2 2		Station 771	1	

Depth	No.	BLOW	P.I.D.	Code		Description	Wel Cons
				GW-GC	Sand	y gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense; gravel up to 3 inch diameter.	
-55 -				GC	- <u>Clay</u> e	ey gravel with sand, brown, moist, very dense.	
-24 -							
-26-	S–25.5	38 38 50	0				
-28 -	S−28.5	8	0		Sand	y silt with gravel, brown, damp, low plasticity, very stiff.	₹
20	5-30	22 23	0	-SM-	Silty	sand, fine-grained, brown, wet, dense.	
- 30 -	3-30	50/	(6" <sup>(</sup>	GW-GC	Sand	y gravel with clay, brown, wet, very dense.	
-32 -							
-34 -							
	S-35.5	37	0	GC	Claye	y gravel, brown, wet, very dense.	
- 36 -	Π	50/	5"				
- 38 -							
- 40		7		CL	Sandy	v clay, brown, damp, low plasticity, very stiff.	
	S-41	8 20	0				
-42 -		4 8 17	0				
-44 -					Total	depth = 43 feet.	
- 46							
- 48 _							
- 50 -							
			y <u>a</u> i			LOG OF BORING B-15/MW-11	PLA
	<b>V K A</b> Iorking to	o Re	<b>s</b> tore	Nature		ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	1

·

Sor Dri	reen i Iling	inte Co <b>r</b>	erva mpo	l:18 iny:	HEW Dril	28-1/2 lling	feet     Slot size:     0.100-inch       Driller:     Phil and Perfecto	
Mei	lhod	Use	∍d:_ Si	gnatur	Hollow- e of Reg Registrat	<u>-Stem Au</u> gistered ion No. <u>:</u>	ger Field Geologist: Barbara Professional: <u>Field Geologist: Barbara</u> CEG 1463 State: CA	Siemin
Depth	Sam No	ple	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code		Description	Well Const
- 0 -						Asphalt Asphalt Sump.	-covered surface. (4 inches).	
- 2 -								v v v v v v v v v v v v
- 4 -					GW-GC	Sandy	gravei with clay, brown, moist, medium dense.	
- 6 -	S-6		9 10 17	0				0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
8 - 10 - 12 -	S-11		24 30 26	0		Becomi	ng damp to moist, very dense.	
14 - 16 -	S-16		12 10 21	0		Increasi	ng clay, becoming moist to wet.	
18 -			<u>د</u> 1		GW	Sandy g	gravel with sona, brown, moist, aense. 	
20 -	S-21		13 30 28	120		Product Color cl	odor at 21 feet. hange to gray at 21-1/2 feet.	
							(Section continues downward)	
1	<b>Vorki</b> i	) <b>A</b> Mg	10	SA Bestore	Nature		LOG OF BORING B-16/VW-1 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore California	PLAT   12

)epth	Sample No.	SMOT	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const
				GW	Sandy gravel, gray, moist, very dense; gravel up to 3"	
					diameter. Product odor at 21 feet.	
22 -						
24					Clavey gravel with eard brown moiot your dense	
				00	cidyey graver with saild, brown, moist, very dense.	
26-	S-26	25 27	320		Product odor at 26 feet.	
28				ML	Sandy silt with fine gravel brown damp low plasticity	
	S-29.5	7	58		very stiff. Product odor at 30 feet	
30 -	S-31	16 11 13	33			
32 -	S-37 5	15	34		Increasing sand, becoming moist.	
	JJ2.J	30	+C	GW-GC	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, wet, very dense.	
34					Total depth = $33-1/2$ feet.	
36						
20						
38-						
40 —						
12 -						
14 –						
46-						
18 -						
50 -						
						·····
			<b>av</b> a		LOG OF BORING B-16/VW-1	PLAT
	<b>NE</b> Working	to I	<b>D</b> Restore	<b>VAR</b> Nature	ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	13
	CT.	60	000.	09	Livermore, California	

Scr	reen ii	nter	rval	l:25	1/2 to -	40 1/2	feet Slot size:	0.020-inch			
Dri	lling (	Corr	npa	ny:	HEW Drill	ing	Driller:	Phil and Perfecto			
Me	thod (	Jsed	d: Sig	gnatur	Hollow- e of Reg Registrati	<u>Stem Au</u> listered on No. <u>:</u>	nger Professional: CEG 1463 Stote:	Field Geologist: <u>Barbara</u>	Siemi		
Depth	Samp No.	le	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code		Descri	ption	We Con:		
- 0 -					SP GC	Asphalt Asphalt Gravelly Clayey de	—covered surface. (4 inches). / sand, gray, damp, n gravel with sand, dark ense.	n <u>edium dense: fill.</u> < brown, damp, medium			
- 4 -	6 6		6	0							
- 8 -	3-0		8 8	U	GW-GC	Sandy gr	gravel with clay, brown avel up to 3" diamete	n, damp, medium dense; er.			
- 10 - - 12 -	S-11		11 6 7	0		Becoming dense, damp to moist, with increasing clay.					
- 14 -									7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0		
- 16 - - 18 -			50/	'6"		Large a	cobble				
- 20 -	S-21		58 51 50	105		Color c	hange to gray, moist;	product odor at 21 feet.			
						ü.ı	(	Section continues downward	)		
	Work	<b>7</b> Ing	to	Restor	e Nature		LOG OF BO ARCO 899 Ri Livermo	RING B-17/RW-1 Station 771 ncon Avenue re California	PLA		



BROADBENT & ASSOCIATES, INC. ENGINEERING, WATER RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT NAME: <u>BP/ARCO 771</u>						LITHOLOGIC AND SOIL BORING LOG SITE ADDRESS: 899 Rincon Ave., Livermore, CA					
PROJECT NUMBER:						LEGAL DESC: APN:					
LOGGED BY: Sam Barkley						FACILIT	FACILITY ID OR WAIVER: NOI NUMBER:				
DATE:3/25/11 START:1245						DRILLIN	DRILLING COMPANY: RSI DRILLER: Jorge Mora				
WELL ID: <u>SB-2</u> STOP: <u>1335</u>							DRILLING METHOD: HSA SAMPLE METHOD: Core Barrel				
DEPTH (FEET)	Soil Boring	SAMPLE ID	PID	MOISTURE COLOR		CONSIE	TEN <sup>CY</sup> GRAIN SIZE	CLASSIFICATION			
2 — 4 — 6 — 8 — 10 — 12 — 14 — 16 — 18 — 20 — 22 — 24 — 26 — 28 — 30 — 32 — 34 — 36 — 38 — 40 —		SB-2-10' SB-2-15' SB-2-20' SB-2-20' SB-2-30' SB-2-30' SB-2-30'	0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm	Dry Slightly moist Moist Wet	Lt. brown Lt. brown Lt. brown	Loose Soft Loose	Gravelly sand with silt - 35% gravelly sand with silt - 35% gravel gravel Silty clay about 3 inches thick Gravelly sand with silt - 35% gravel 20% fines; sub-rounded gravel Gravelly sand with silt - 10% gravel 30% fines; gravel up to $\frac{1}{2}$ inch.	avel, 45% sand and up to 3 inches.	None None None None None None None		
TOTAL BORING DEPTH:       35.0'       PAGE NO:       1       OF       1       ESTIMATED GROUNDWATER DEPTH:       33'         THIS SUMMARY APPLIES ONLY AT THIS LOCATION AND AT THE TIME OF LOGGING, SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS MAY DIFFER AT OTHER LOCATIONS AND MAY CHANGE AT THIS LOCATION WITH THE PASSAGE OF TIME, THE DATA PRESENTED IS A SIMPLIFICATION OF ACTUAL CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED.       Image: Control of the control of											

BROADBENT & ASSOCIATES, INC. ENGINEERING, WATER RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT NAME: <u>BP/ARCO 771</u>						LITHOLOGIC AND SOIL BORING LOG SITE ADDRESS: <u>899 Rincon Ave., Livermore, CA</u>					
PROJECT NUMBER: 06-82-608						LEGAL DESC: APN:					
LOGGED BY: Sam Barkley						FACILITY ID OR WAIVER: NOI NUMBER:					
DATE: <u>3/25/11</u> START: <u>0930</u>						DRILLIN	DRILLING COMPANY: RSIDRILLER:Jorge				Jorge Morales
WELL ID: <u>SB-3</u> STOP: <u>1035</u>						DRILLIN	ORILLING METHOD: HSA SAMPLE METHOD: Core Barrel				
DEPTH (FEET)	Soil Boring	SAMPLE ID	PID	MOIST	JRE COLOR	CONSIE	TENCY	GRAIN SIZE	CLASSIFICA	TION	ODORS
2 — 4 — 6 — 8 — 10 — 12 — 14 — 16 — 18 — 20 — 22 — 24 — 26 — 28 — 30 — 32 — 34 — 36 — 38 — 40 —		SB-3-10' SB-3-15' SB-3-20' SB-3-25' SB-3-30'	0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm	Dry Slightly moist Wet	Lt. brown	Loose	Gravelly sand with s 25% fines; sub-rour	silt - 35% gravel aded gravel up t silt - 35% gravel p to 3 inches.	, 40% sand and o 3 inches. , 35% sand and	GM	None None None None None
I O FAL DORLING DEPTIT:       33.0       PAGE NO:       1       OF       1       Image: Constraint of the summary applies only at this location and at the time of logging, subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and may change at this location with the passage of time, the data presented is a simplification of actual conditions encountered.       Image: Constraint of the summary applies only at this location with the passage of time, the data presented is a simplification of actual conditions encountered.       Image: Constraint of the summary applies only at this location with the passage of time, the data presented is a simplification of actual conditions encountered.       Image: Constraint of the summary applies only at this location with the passage of time, the data presented is a simplification of actual conditions encountered.       Image: Constraint of the summary applies only at this location with the passage of time, the data presented is a simplification of actual conditions encountered.       Image: Constraint of the summary applies only at this location with the passage of time, the data presented is a simplification of actual conditions encountered.       Image: Constraint of the summary applies only at the summary applies on the sum											

## APPENDIX D

SENSITIVE RECEPTOR SURVEY DATA

Tables removed due to confidential content

## Table D-3. California Natural Diversity Database Results - Livermore Quadrangle BP Station #771 899 Rincon Ave., Livermore, Alameda County, California

Record	QUADNAME	ELMCODE	SCINAME	COMNAME	FEDSTATUS	CALSTATUS	DFGSTATUS	CNPSLIST
1	Livermore	AAAAA01180	Ambystoma californiense	California tiger salamander	Threatened	Threatened	SSC	
2	Livermore	AAABH01022	Rana draytonii	California red-legged frog	Threatened	None	SSC	
3	Livermore	AAABH01050	Rana boylii	foothill yellow-legged frog	None	None	SSC	
4	Livermore	ABNKC06010	Elanus leucurus	white-tailed kite	None	None	FP	
5	Livermore	ABNKC19120	Buteo regalis	ferruginous hawk	None	None	WL	
6	Livermore	ABNSB10010	Athene cunicularia	burrowing owl	None	None	SSC	
7	Livermore	ABPAT02011	Eremophila alpestris actia	California horned lark	None	None	WL	
8	Livermore	ABPBXB0020	Agelaius tricolor	tricolored blackbird	None	None	SSC	
9	Livermore	AMACC05030	Lasiurus cinereus	hoary bat	None	None		
10	Livermore	AMACC08010	Corynorhinus townsendii	Townsend's big-eared bat	None	None	SSC	
11	Livermore	AMAJA03041	Vulpes macrotis mutica	San Joaquin kit fox	Endangered	Threatened		
12	Livermore	AMAJF04010	Taxidea taxus	American badger	None	None	SSC	
13	Livermore	ARAAD02030	Emys marmorata	western pond turtle	None	None	SSC	
14	Livermore	CTT36210CA	Valley Sink Scrub	Valley Sink Scrub	None	None		
15	Livermore	CTT62100CA	Sycamore Alluvial Woodland	Sycamore Alluvial Woodland	None	None		
16	Livermore	ICBRA03030	Branchinecta lynchi	vernal pool fairy shrimp	Threatened	None		
17	Livermore	ICBRA06010	Linderiella occidentalis	California linderiella	None	None		
18	Livermore	PDAST4R0P1	Centromadia parryi ssp. congdonii	Congdon's tarplant	None	None		1B.2
19	Livermore	PDBOR0V0B0	Plagiobothrys glaber	hairless popcornflower	None	None		1A
20	Livermore	PDBRA2R010	Tropidocarpum capparideum	caper-fruited tropidocarpum	None	None		1B.1
21	Livermore	PDCHE040B0	Atriplex cordulata var. cordulata	heartscale	None	None		1B.2
22	Livermore	PDCHE041F3	Atriplex joaquinana	San Joaquin spearscale	None	None		1B.2
23	Livermore	PDCHE042L0	Atriplex depressa	brittlescale	None	None		1B.2
24	Livermore	PDCHE042M0	Atriplex minuscula	lesser saltscale	None	None		1B.1
25	Livermore	PDFAB400R5	Trifolium hydrophilum	saline clover	None	None		1B.2
26	Livermore	PDPLM0C0Q0	Navarretia prostrata	prostrate vernal pool navarretia	None	None		1B.1
27	Livermore	PDSCR0J0J0	Chloropyron palmatum	palmate-bracted bird's-beak	Endangered	Endangered		1B.1