# **Atlantic Richfield Company**

Shannon Couch Project Manager

# RECEIVED

5:48 pm, Jan 09, 2012

Alameda County Environmental Health

January 5, 2012

Re: Case Evaluation and Justification for No Further Action Atlantic Richfield Company Station #771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California ACEH Case RO0000200

"I declare, that to the best of my knowledge at the present time, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document are true and correct.

Submitted by,

Shannon Couch Project Manager

Attachment



PO Box 1257 San Ramon, CA 94583 Phone: (925) 275-3804 Fax: (925) 275-3815 E-Mail: shannon.couch@bp.com

Prepared for

Ms. Shannon Couch Project Manager Atlantic Richfield Company P.O. Box 1257 San Ramon, California 94583

## Prepared by

BROADBENT & ASSOCIATES, INC. ENGINEERING, WATER RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENTAL

> 1324 Mangrove Avenue, Suite 212 Chico, California 95926 (530) 566-1400 www.broadbentinc.com

> > January 5, 2012

Project No. 06-82-608

### CASE EVALUATION AND JUSTIFICATION FOR NO FURTHER ACTION

Atlantic Richfield Company Station #771 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California ACEH Case No. RO0000200

Creating Valuable Solutions, Building Trust

BROADBENT & ASSOCIATES, INC.: ENGINEERING, WATER RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENTAL

January 5, 2012

Project No. 06-82-608

Atlantic Richfield Company P.O. Box 1257 San Ramon, CA 94583 Submitted via ENFOS

Attn.: Ms. Shannon Couch

Re: Case Evaluation and Justification for No Further Action, Atlantic Richfield Company Station #771, 899 Rincon Ave, Livermore, California; ACEH Case #RO0000200

Dear Ms. Couch:

Attached is the *Case Evaluation and Justification for No Further Action* for the Atlantic Richfield Company Station #771 located at 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California (Site). A summary of existing Site conditions and the technical justification for a finding of No Further Action Status is presented in this document.

The subject Site environmental case has been open for over 23 years. A waste oil tank was removed in August 1987 and soil samples detected total petroleum fuel hydrocarbons at 378 mg/kg. Over-excavation activities performed to date have reportedly removed approximately 1,100 cubic yards of impacted soil. Operation of the Soil Vapor Extraction System removed over 56.9 pounds of hydrocarbons from the subsurface.

Contaminant concentrations of gasoline, BTEX, and MTBE are presently minimal at the Site. The closest identified well to the Site was located 450 feet to the North on the property of the Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department Station No. 7. Recent discussion with personnel at Zone 7 Water Agency, the local water purveyor, indicates that this well was abandoned by Dejesus Pump and Well Drilling on February 15, 2002. Therefore, this well is no longer a potential receptor. The closest wells recently identified within a half-mile radius of the Site are located approximately 2,300 feet to the east-northeast and southeast. No wells or surface water bodies are likely to be affected by the Site. These observations, plus additional lines of evidence are the basis for this closure request.

Should you have questions regarding this submittal, please do not hesitate to contact us at 530-566-1400.

Sincerely, BROADBENT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

am Vilde

Jason Duda Project Scientist Mathew G. Herrick, P.G., C.HG.

Senior Hydrogeologist



NEVADA

UTAH

TEXAS

#### Enclosures

cc: Mr. Paresh Khatri, Alameda County Environmental Health (submitted via ACEH ftp site) Electronic copy uploaded to GeoTracker

## CASE EVALUATION AND JUSTIFICATION FOR NO FURTHER ACTION ARCO STATION #771 899 RINCON AVENUE LIVERMORE, CALIFORNIA

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### <u>No.</u> <u>Section</u>

**Page** 

1.0	0 SITE SUMMARY	1	1
	1.1 Location and Setting	1	1
	1.2 Current Use	1	l
	1.3 Regional Geology and Hydrogeology	1	l
	1.4 Local Hydrogeology	2	2
	1.5 Lithology	2	2
	1.6 Sensitive Receptors	2	2
	1.7 Summary of Previous Investigations		2
	1.8 Groundwater Constituents of Concern		5
	1.9 Current Regulatory Status		5
2.0	0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS		5
	2.1 Extent of Groundwater Impact		5
	2.2 Extent of Soil Impact		7
3.0	0 TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION FOR NO FURTHER ACTION		7
4.0	0 QUALIFICATION AS LOW RISK CASE		)
	4.1 Qualification as a Low-Risk Environmental Case		)
	4.2 Qualification as a Low-Risk Case Based on Groundwater C	oncentration10	)
	4.3 Achievement of Water Quality Objectives Being Met Befor	e Resource Is Used11	1
5.0	0 BENEFIT OF ADDITIONAL WORK		3
6.0	0 CLOSURE RECOMMENDATION		1
7.0	0 LIMITATIONS	14	1
8.0	0 REFERENCES		1

## **ATTACHMENTS**

Drawing 1	Site Location Map
Drawing 2	Site Map
Drawing 3	Groundwater Elevation Contours and Analytical Summary Map, July 7, 2011
Appendix A	Historic Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data
Appendix B	Soil Boring Logs with Geologic Cross-Sections
Appendix C	URS Water Well Survey
Appendix D	Historic Soil Analytical Data
Appendix E	Soil Vapor Extraction System Performance Data
Appendix F	Mann-Kendall Analysis

Appendix G Regression Curve Analysis

#### CASE EVALUATION AND JUSTIFICATION FOR NO FURTHER ACTION ARCO STATION #771 LIVERMORE, CALIFORNIA

#### 1.0 SITE SUMMARY

#### 1.1 Location and Setting

The Site is located at 899 Rincon Avenue, on the southwest corner of Rincon Avenue and Pine Street in Livermore, California. The latitude and longitude of the center of the Site is approximately 37°41'17.33"N, 121°47'1.22"W (37.688147°, -121.783673°). The Site property is recognized by the Alameda County Assessor's Office as Assessor's Parcel Number 98-351-5. The approximate ground surface elevation at the Site is approximately 455 feet above mean sea level. A Site Location Map is provided as Drawing 1.

The land use in the immediate area is mixed residential and commercial. The adjacent property to the west is a shopping complex along with various restaurants. The property to the south is May Nissen Community Park and Swim Center and Rincon Library. Across Pine Street to the north of the Site is the Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department Fire Station No.7. Residential homes reside to the northeast across the intersection of Pine Street and Rincon Avenue and east of the Site across Rincon Avenue.

#### 1.2 Current Use

The Site is currently in use as an active ARCO brand retail gasoline station with AM/PM convenience store. There are four gasoline underground storage tanks (USTs) with associated piping to two dispensers on one pump-island under one overhead canopy. The Site is covered with asphalt or concrete surfacing except for planters along the north, northeast, and south property boundaries containing bushes and mature trees. There is a former remediation compound on the northern side of the AM/PM building. A Site Map is provided as Drawing 2.

#### 1.3 Regional Geology and Hydrogeology

The Site is located in the north-central portion of the Livermore Valley, an east-west trending structural trough surrounded by north-south trending faults and hills of the Diablo Range. The valley extends approximately 14 miles in an east-west direction and varies from three to six miles in width. The valley floor slopes gently west and southwest and is a part of the Livermore Valley groundwater basin. The groundwater basin is bounded and crossed by several faults. These faults act as barriers to the lateral movement of groundwater and divide the groundwater basin into several sub-basins. The water-bearing materials in the groundwater basin include Holocene age surficial valley-fill alluvial sediments overlying the Plio-Pleistocene Livermore Formation. The Livermore Formation consists of unconsolidated to semi-consolidated beds of gravel, sand, silt, and clay of varying permeability (California Department of Water Resources, 2003). Natural recharge occurs primarily along the uplands and edges of the Livermore Valley groundwater basin, through the arroyos during periods of precipitation and winter flow, by underground flow, and by applied irrigation water seeping into the ground. The basin is also recharged by controlled releases from the South Bay Aqueduct along with local surface water stored at Del Valle reservoir into Arroyo Valle and Arroyo Mocho. Sections of these arroyos contain creek bottoms that are very porous, allowing the water to quickly seep into the ground. Mine quarrying pits on the west side of the Livermore Valley are currently being used for storm water collection to assist in recharge of groundwater in the basin (Zone 7 Water Agency, 2005).

The basins' groundwater system is a multi-layered system with an unconfined upper aquifer overlying deeper semi-confined to confined aquifers separated by clay aquitards. These clay aquitards impede the vertical movement of groundwater between the upper and deeper aquifers. Most of the water for municipal and agricultural use is pumped from the deeper aquifers. Groundwater flow in the basin generally flows toward the west central portions of the valley and generally moves east to west within Livermore Valley. Groundwater near the center of Livermore Valley flows toward a cone of depression located west of the city

of Livermore near gravel mining areas. The groundwater depression is thought to have been created by extraction of groundwater for municipal and agricultural use and dewatering for gravel quarrying (Zone 7 Water Agency, 2005). The extraction of groundwater is ongoing but has lessened over the years due to usage of water from the State Water Project. Surface drainage features include four major seasonal streams (Arroyo Valle, Arroyo Mocho, Arroyo las Positas, and Arroyo de la Laguna) and several quarry ponds (mining area). The four major streams converge on the southwest side of the basin to form the main basin outlet, Arroyo de la Laguna, which flows south and joins Alameda Creek in Sunol Valley. These natural drainages are located approximately 0.7 miles north (Arroyo las Positas), 0.75 miles south-southwest (Arroyo Mocho), and 2.75 miles southwest (Arroyo Valle) of the Site.

#### 1.4 Local Hydrogeology

Depth to groundwater at the Site fluctuates at least seasonally and is typically encountered between 25 and 35 feet below ground surface (bgs), although it has ranged from 16.03 ft bgs (well MW-9 on 2/18/1998) to 43.25 ft bgs (well MW-6 on 2/19/2004). The groundwater gradient has historically been predominantly toward the north to northwest. During the Third Quarter 2011 groundwater monitoring event the gradient was towards the North at 0.04 ft/ft. A groundwater elevation contour map from the Third Quarter 2011 groundwater monitoring event is presented as Drawing 3. Groundwater elevation data since 1995 are presented within Appendix A.

### 1.5 Lithology

The soil underlying the Site has been consistently characterized as primarily clayey to sandy gravel interbedded with some silty sand and sandy silt to clay. A four and half to five foot layer of moist sandy clay has been encountered at varying depths ranging from 37 to 42.5 feet bgs. Available soil boring logs, well construction details and geologic cross-sections are provided in Appendix B.

#### 1.6 Sensitive Receptors

A water well survey was conducted by URS in September 2003. This survey concluded that five water wells were located within 2,640 feet (0.5 miles) of the Site. Two were identified as water supply wells located approximately 2,500 feet southwest and 2,300 feet east-northeast of the Site. Two other wells were of unknown use and reported as being located approximately 240 feet northeast and 2,300 southeast of the Site. Upon further review of the well logs, the well of unknown use that was believed to be located approximately 240 feet northeast from the Site was incorrectly located by URS. The correct location of the well was 450 feet north, down-gradient, of the Site (across Pine Street and on the north side of the fire station). Recent discussion with personnel at Zone 7 Water Agency, the local water purveyor, indicates that this well (Well ID# 3S/2E8E1) located at the Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Station #7, 951 Rincon Avenue, was abandoned by Dejesus Pump and Well Drilling on February 15, 2002. Therefore, this well is no longer a potential receptor. A fifth well from a previous well survey conducted at the Site was noted in the URS report. The well was reported to be a municipal water supply well located approximately 360 feet to the southwest of the Site. The URS report stated that the Department of Water Resources well survey did not include a log for this well. Additional information regarding this well is unavailable; therefore, the well will not be considered as a potential receptor for this report. A copy of the URS Water Well Survey (9/17/2003) is provided in Appendix C.

The closest surface water body to the Site in the down-gradient direction appears to be Arroyo las Pasitas located approximately 0.7 miles to the north.

#### 1.7 Summary of Previous Investigations

In August 1987, a waste-oil tank was removed from the Site. A soil sample (AL-1) was collected at 10 feet bgs and analyzed for halogenated volatile compounds (HVC), polychlorinated byphenyls (PCB's), total

petroleum fuel hydrocarbons (TPFH), and benzene, toluene, and xylenes (BTX). Results showed TPFH at 378 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg). The excavation was deepened and a second sample (AL-2) was collected from 12 feet bgs. No analytes (HVC, PCB's, TPFH, and BTX) were detected above laboratory reporting limits in the deeper sample. Summarized analytical results are provided within Appendix D. It is important to note that a waste-oil tank removal report summarizing work activities could not be located. The data discussed above and analytical results and drawing included in Appendix D were taken from the 1990 Applied GeoSystems (AGS) report titled *Limited Subsurface Environmental Assessment*.

In February 1990, AGS conducted a limited onsite subsurface environmental assessment to evaluate the presence of gasoline hydrocarbons in the subsurface soil in the area adjacent to the four gasoline underground storage tanks (USTs) prior to their planned removal. Three exploratory soil borings (B-1, B-2, and B-3) were drilled and soil samples were collected from each boring. Groundwater was encountered in soil boring B-1 at approximately 33 feet bgs. Soil borings B-2 and B-3 were terminated prior to encountering groundwater. Soil samples were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-g) and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX). Results indicated petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil (TPH-g) in excess of 100 mg/kg in one of the soil samples from boring B-3 at a depth of 32 ft bgs. A grab groundwater sample was obtained from soil boring B-1 for visual inspection. Approximately 1/8-inch of floating product was present (Applied GeoSystems, 1990).

In December 1990, a supplemental subsurface investigation was initiated by AGS to evaluate the lateral and vertical extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater near the onsite gasoline USTs. This investigation included drilling three soil borings (B-4, B-5, and B-6), converting the borings to monitoring wells (MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3, respectively), and collecting and analyzing soil and groundwater samples. Groundwater was encountered in each of the soil borings at approximately 37 feet bgs at the time of drilling. A sheen of light, non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) was observed in well MW-1 and 0.16 feet of LNAPL was noted in MW-2. Sixteen soil samples and one groundwater sample (MW-3) were submitted for analysis of TPH-g and BTEX. Results indicated impacted soil (TPH-g) in excess of 100 mg/kg in two of the soil samples collected from boring B-4. Groundwater results showed TPH-g at 230 µg/L in MW-3 (Applied Geosystems, 1991).

In June and July 1991, an additional subsurface investigation was conducted by RESNA to further evaluate the lateral and vertical extent of impacted soil and groundwater and to confirm the vertical extent of waste-oil hydrocarbons in the area of the former waste-oil tank. This investigation included drilling five soil borings (B-7 through B-11), converting four of the borings (B-7 through B-10) to monitor wells (MW-4 through MW-7), and collecting and analyzing soil and groundwater samples. Soil boring B-11 was drilled in the area of the former waste-oil tank. Groundwater was encountered in borings B-7 through B-10 at depths of approximately 35.5 to 37 feet bgs. A total of 33 soil samples collected at various depths were submitted for analysis of TPH-g and BTEX. Soil samples from boring B-11 were also analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPH-d) and total oil and grease (TOG). Groundwater samples were collected from wells MW-3 through MW-7 and analyzed for TPH-g and BTEX. Samples were not collected for laboratory analysis from wells MW-1 and MW-2 as LNAPL was observed in the wells. Soil analytical results indicated impacted soil (TPH-g) in excess of 100 mg/kg in three of the soil samples. No analytes were detected above the laboratory reporting limits in the soil samples from boring B-11. Groundwater analytical results showed impacted groundwater in each of the monitor wells sampled (RESNA, 1991). Summarized analytical results are provided within Appendix A and D. Soil boring and monitor well construction logs are provided in Appendix B.

In December 1991, RESNA conducted a vapor extraction test from wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-4, MW-5, and MW-7. Test results showed that vapor extraction was an effective method to remediate subsurface soils at the site (RESNA, 1992). Vapor extraction test monitoring data and summarized analytical results are provided in Appendix E.

Between December 30, 1991 and January 3, 1992, four USTs, with the following capacities: one 10,000 gallon, one 6,000 gallon, and two 4,000 gallon, were removed from the Site (Roux, 1992). Initially, two soil samples were collected from underneath each tank for a total of eight soil samples at depths ranging from 14 to 16 feet bgs. Soil samples were analyzed for TPH-g and BTEX. Results showed petroleum impacted soil (TPH-g) in excess of 100 mg/kg below three of the four tanks. Additional excavation and sampling occurred on January 21, 1992. Six soil samples were collected at a depth of 18 feet and additionally analyzed for Organic Lead. Two of the samples showed TPH-g at or above 100 mg/kg. Product line replacement was conducted in February 1992. Ten soil samples from various depths within the product line trenches were collected and analyzed for TPH-g and BTEX, with select samples additionally analyzed for Organic Lead. Results showed TPH-g impacted soil exceeding 100 mg/kg in two of the samples collected within the product line trenches were collected soil exceeding 100 mg/kg in two of the samples collected within the product line trenches (Roux, 1992). Approximately 1,100 cubic yards of soil was generated during removal of the USTs and product lines. The soil was disposed of at the Browning Ferris Industries' Class III landfill in Livermore, California. Historic sample locations and a table of analytical results are contained within Appendix D.

In April 1992 and January 1993, RESNA conducted an additional onsite and initial offsite subsurface investigation. This investigation included drilling four offsite soil borings (B-12 through B-15) and two onsite soil borings (B-16 and B-17), converting borings B-12 through B-15 to monitoring wells MW-8 through MW-11, converting boring B-16 to a vapor extraction well (VW-1), and boring B-17 to a recovery well (RW-1). Monitor wells MW-8 through MW- 10 were originally proposed to be located on the immediate adjacent property south and west of the Site. After repeated attempts by RESNA and ARCO, the owner of the adjacent property refused to allow installation of the wells. These locations were then changed to northeast, east, and southeast of the site along Rincon Avenue and were installed in January 1993 (RESNA, 1993). Groundwater and soil samples were collected and submitted for analysis of TPH-g and BTEX. Three of the eight soil samples from onsite borings B-16 and B-17 contained slight detections of various analytes. No analytes were detected above laboratory reporting limits in any of the offsite soil or groundwater samples. Onsite well RW-1 contained significant TPH-g and BTEX concentrations (RESNA, 1993). Summarized analytical results are provided within Appendix A and D. Soil boring and monitor well construction logs are provided in Appendix B.

In March 1993, EMCON completed construction of a Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) system to extract vapors from wells VW-1, MW-1, MW-2, MW-4, MW-5, and MW-7. Initial startup of the remediation system was postponed due to heavy rain, which caused water levels at the Site to rise and submerge the screen intervals within the remediation wells. The SVE system was initially activated on December 20, 1994, extracting from wells VW-1 and MW-4. The other SVE wells had submerged screen intervals. Influent samples showed detectable concentrations of TPH-g and total xylenes (EMCON, 1995). The system was shut down on January 17, 1995 due to re-submergence of the well screen intervals. During the First Quarter 1995, modifications were completed to the SVE system to facilitate in-well air bubbling in conjunction with SVE. On July 12, 1995, the system was restarted in conjunction with air-bubbling in wells VW-1, MW-1, MW-2, MW-4, MW-5, MW-7, and RW-1. The SVE system was shut down on October 10, 1995 due to low hydrocarbon concentrations in extracted soil vapor. Review of historic reports did not indicate when airbubbling was discontinued. During operation of the SVE system, a total of 56.9 pounds of hydrocarbons were removed from the subsurface (EMCON, 1996). SVE system operation and performance data are provided within Appendix E.

In June 2001, Cambria Environmental Technology, Inc. (Cambria) supervised the removal of the dispenser and product piping by Paradiso Construction and performed compliance sampling activities (Cambria, 2001). Soil sampling was performed beneath each dispenser unit, at each piping elbow joint, and along the product piping. Four soil samples were submitted for analysis of TPH-g, BTEX, and Methyl tertbutyl ether (MTBE). Minor concentrations of TPHg, toluene, total xylenes, and MTBE were detected in two of the soil samples. Summarized analytical results are provided in Appendix D. In 2006, URS installed an Air Diffusion (AD) Treatment system for remediation of dissolved phase hydrocarbons. A 1.5 horsepower single-phase air sparge compressor was installed in the existing remediation system compound at the Site. Air bubblers were affixed to onsite wells MW-2, MW-4, MW-5, MW-6, and MW-7. Air bubbling activities with the new system began in 2006 and were discontinued in March 2010.

On March 25, 2011, BAI field personnel observed RSI advance two off-site soil borings (SB-2 and SB-3) on adjacent property to the south and west of the Site in the cross- and up-gradient directions. RSI utilized a hollow stem auger drill rig to advance the soil borings to a maximum depth of 35 feet bgs. Physical soil samples were collected at approximate five foot intervals during soil boring activities. Following completion of soil boring advancement, a grab groundwater sample was collected from each boring within the augers utilizing a stainless-steel bailer between approximately 30 and 35 feet bgs. Select samples were submitted to the laboratory for analysis. Laboratory analytical results for the soil samples submitted from this investigation were below laboratory reporting limits for each constituent analyzed. GRO and MTBE were detected above laboratory reporting limits in the groundwater sample collected from boring SB-3 at concentrations of 81 micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L) and 3.8  $\mu$ g/L, respectively. The remaining analytes were not detected above laboratory reporting limits in the two groundwater samples collected. Summarized analytical data is provided in Appendix A and D.

Groundwater monitoring and sampling was initiated during the First Quarter 1992. Sampling of the following wells were discontinued following the respective sampling event: MW-10 – Second Quarter 1999, MW-8 and MW-9 – First Quarter 2000, and MW-1 and MW-3– Second Quarter 2000. Historic groundwater elevation and laboratory analytical results are included in Appendix A. Recent quarterly groundwater elevation and laboratory analytical results are provided in Drawing 3 and Appendix A.

#### 1.8 Groundwater Constituents of Concern

Recent concentrations of GRO were found to be the highest in well MW-2 at 6,200 micrograms per liter ( $\mu g/L$ , parts per billion, ppb) during the Third Quarter 2010 sampling event. BTEX concentrations were found to be highest in well MW-7 during the Third Quarter 2010 sampling event at 430  $\mu g/L$ , 11  $\mu g/L$ , 32  $\mu g/L$ , and 46  $\mu g/L$ , respectively. Recent concentrations of MTBE were found to be the highest in well MW-7 at 150  $\mu g/L$  during the Third Quarter 2011 sampling event. Ethanol, TBA, DIPE, ETBE, TAME, 1,2 DCA, and EDB were analyzed in select wells (MW-2, MW-4 through MW-7, MW-11, RW-1, and VW-1) beginning in July 2003. Recent TBA concentrations were found to be the highest in MW-4 at 880  $\mu g/L$  during the Third Quarter 2010 sampling event. Although a Notification Level for TBA has been established at 12  $\mu g/L$  by the California Department of Public Health (CDPH), it is BAI's understanding that the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) does not consider CDPH Notification Levels to be actionable criteria that can be used to establish Water Quality Objectives (WQOs) in accordance with Resolution 68-16. An odor threshold has been established at 290,000  $\mu g/L$  (Amoore, J.E., and E. Hautala, 1983). Concentrations reported at this site are well below this threshold; therefore, TBA is not considered a constituent of concern (CoC). Ethanol, DIPE, ETBE, TAME, 1,2 DCA, and EDB have not been detected in wells sampled. Therefore the current CoCs are GRO, benzene, and MTBE.

The following table presents the maximum concentrations for constituents of concern detected within the past year, as well as the WQOs for each constituent. BAI considers the WQOs for CoCs to be the secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL), or the Primary MCL if the secondary MCL has not been established. If neither has been established, the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Boards (SFRWQCBs) Environmental Screening Level (ESL) is used.

Contaminant	Current Maximum Concentration	Sample Date	Water Quality Objective	Water Quality Objective Basis
TPH-G/GRO	6,200 μg/L (MW-2)	9/9/2010	100 µg/L	SFRWQCB ESL
Benzene	430 μg/L (MW-7)	9/9/2010	1 µg/L	California Primary MCL
Toluene	11 μg/L (MW-7)	9/9/2010	150 µg/L	California Primary MCL
Ethylbenzene	32 μg/L (MW-7)	9/9/2010	300 µg/L	California Primary MCL
Total Xylenes	46 μg/L (MW-7)	9/9/2010	1,750 µg/L	California Primary MCL
MTBE	150 μg/L (MW-7)	7/7/2011	5 μg/L	California Secondary MCL

#### **1.9 Current Regulatory Status**

The most recent correspondence with Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) dated September 10, 2010 granted approval to conduct off-site soil borings SB-2 and SB-3 along with angled soil boring (ASB-1), as detailed in BAI's *Second Addendum Soil and Ground-Water Investigation Work Plan* dated August 13, 2010. Angled soil boring ASB-1 was removed from the scope of work due to safety concerns involved with drilling beneath the existing UST system. This modification was relayed to ACEH via email correspondence dated December 12, 2010. A response from ACEH regarding this change was not received. Results of the off-site investigation are summarized in BAI's *Off-Site Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report* dated April 29, 2011. There are currently no other regulatory directives for further investigation or remediation.

According to information provided on the State's GeoTracker website, impediments to closure include the following:

- Site Assessment Incomplete Pollutant sources have not been adequately identified or evaluated. Borings installed in 1990 detected elevated concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and LNAPL on groundwater. Soil Vapor Extraction and product skimmers were installed at the site. However, effectiveness of remedial measures is unknown and verification sampling has not been conducted.
- *Plume Instability* Borings installed in 1990 detected elevated concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and LNAPL on groundwater. Soil Vapor Extraction and product skimmers were installed at the site. However, effectiveness of remedial measures is unknown and verification sampling has not been conducted.

#### 2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

#### 2.1 Extent of Groundwater Impact

As noted in section 1.8, groundwater CoCs are GRO, benzene, and MTBE. The GRO plume is concentrated around the former UST's with the highest recent concentration detected in MW-2 at 6,200  $\mu$ g/L during the Third Quarter 2010 sampling event. The benzene plume has been restricted to the area surrounding the former USTs with the highest recent concentration of 430  $\mu$ g/L detected in MW-7 during the Third Quarter 2010 sampling event. MTBE concentrations have also been restricted to the area surrounding the former USTs with the highest recent concentration of 150  $\mu$ g/L detected in MW-7 during the Third Quarter 2010 sampling event.

Quarter 2011 sampling event. Wells down-gradient to the north and north-west of the former USTs have been non-detect for CoCs. The contaminant plumes for GRO, benzene, and MTBE are fully delineated, and restricted to the area surrounding the former USTs. A groundwater analytical summary map from the Third Quarter 2011 monitoring/sampling event is provided as Drawing 3. A summary of historic groundwater analytical results are provided in Appendix A.

#### 2.2 Extent of Soil Impact

Soil investigations and excavations have been performed around the former waste oil tank and former UST complex on the south side of the Station Building, down-gradient of the former USTs on the northeast and northwest sides of the station building, and beneath current dispensers and former product piping on the east side of the station building. In 1987, the former waste oil UST was removed on the northeast side of the station building. After detecting total petroleum fuel hydrocarbon contamination in the shallow soil sample, a deeper sample was collected from approximately 12 ft. bgs, and no analytes were detected above laboratory reporting limits in this deeper soil sample. It is important to note that a waste-oil tank removal report summarizing activates could not be located.

In late 1991 to early 1992, the former USTs on the southeast side of the station building, and the product piping along the east side of the station building were removed when the current UST complex was installed in the same location and to the west of the former UST complex. Soil samples were collected below the former tanks at depths ranging from 14 to 16 ft bgs. Confirmation sample T4-A, below the former northernmost UST, had the highest reported concentrations of TPH-G and BTEX at 4,600 mg/kg, 28 mg/kg, 470 mg/kg, 170 mg/kg, and 1,100 mg/kg, respectively. Soil samples were also collected along the product lines at depths ranging from 0.5 to 1.5 ft bgs. Confirmation sample L2, collected from the southern most end of the pump dispensers at a depth of 1.5 ft bgs contained the highest concentrations of TPH-G and BTEX at 750 mg/kg, 0.35 mg/kg, 30 mg/kg, 26 mg/kg, and 200 mg/kg, respectively. A total of approximately 1,100 cubic yards of soil was excavated from the Site during the 1991/92 UST and pipeline removal/replacement project.

In 2001, additional soil sampling and excavation was performed during product line and dispenser removal and upgrade activities. Soil samples were collected beneath the dispensers during the upgrades, at each piping elbow joint, and along the product piping at depths ranging from 3.5 to 6.0 ft bgs. Samples collected below dispensers 1 and 2 located on the east side of the station building contained minor concentrations of TPHg, Toulene, total Xylenes, and MTBE.

During the period of March 1993 to October 1995, the SVE system operating on-site removed an estimated total of 56.9 pounds (9.2 gallons) of hydrocarbons from the soil. System operation was discontinued due to low hydrocarbon influent concentrations. In 2011, BAI advanced two off-site soil borings in order to further evaluate the lateral extent of petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil and groundwater to the west and south of the Site. Laboratory analytical results for soil samples collected at 10 and 30 ft bgs were below laboratory reporting limits for each constituent analyzed. Based on visual and olfactory observations during boring advancement at each location, petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil did not appear to be present from ground surface to total depth explored, approximately 35 feet bgs.

#### 3.0 TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION FOR NO FURTHER ACTION

Over-excavation activities performed to date have reportedly removed approximately 1,100 cubic yards of impacted soil from the Site. Operation of the SVE system between 1994 and 1995 removed an estimated 56.9 pounds of total hydrocarbons from the subsurface. The SVE system was shutdown in October 1995 reportedly due to low influent concentrations. Additionally, an Air Diffusion treatment system was operational from 2006 to 2010.

Contaminant concentrations in groundwater exhibit decreasing trends for all identified CoCs. Natural attenuation of petroleum hydrocarbons is ongoing and will continue to reduce concentrations and the extent of the residual plume. The reader is referred to Section 4.3 for additional discussion of decreasing concentrations trends observed in groundwater. Because groundwater is relatively shallow and residual soil impacts limited in extent and magnitude, we can infer that the contaminant mass in soil above the groundwater table is not appreciable, and the potential for further leaching is limited. The lack of meaningful rebound in post-remediation groundwater contaminant concentrations attests to the success of past remedial efforts at the Site.

Vapor intrusion into the station building is not thought to be a viable exposure pathway of concern based on the conditions present at the Site. As evidenced by borings B-4 and B-8 located between the USTs and station building, there is approximately 20 feet of essentially clean/non-impacted soil in the vadose zone under the station building. Numerous studies have indicated that significant bio-attenuation of vapors occurs and the vapor intrusion to the indoor air pathway is not likely to be complete for petroleum vapors if there are at least five feet of clean coarse-grained soil or two feet of fine-grained soil overlying the contaminant source (R. Davis 2005 & 2006, G.B. Davis et al 2009, McHugh et al 2010). Current draft guidance indicates there is no need to assess the vapor intrusion pathway with low concentrations of dissolved petroleum hydrocarbons in groundwater and greater than five feet separation between a contaminant source and building. According to SWRCB draft guidance, there have been no published examples of petroleum vapor intrusion for this condition and that modeling studies indicate bio-attenuation will limit the potential for vapor intrusion (SWRCB, 2010).

Constituents of Concern have been adequately delineated to concentrations below laboratory reporting limits in wells down-gradient of the Site. BAI believes that the adverse effect of Site contaminants on shallow groundwater will be minimal and localized, and there will be no adverse effect on the groundwater contained in deeper aquifers, given the physical and chemical characteristics of petroleum constituents, the hydrogeological characteristics of the groundwater and direction of the groundwater gradient.

Numerous studies of the fate and transport of petroleum hydrocarbons and fuel oxygenates have been performed, including the Lawrence Livermore Reports (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories, 1995 & 1998) and the 2004 Los Angeles Area Petroleum Hydrocarbon and Fuel Oxygenate Study (Shih et al, 2004). These studies indicate that unabated, petroleum hydrocarbon and MTBE groundwater plumes reach a maximum length before the processes of natural attenuation, diffusion, advection, and dispersion reduce the concentration to WQOs or levels adequately protective of human health. The 1995 and 1998 Lawrence Livermore Reports indicate that the lateral dimensions of most (non-MTBE) LUFT sites do not exceed more than a few hundred feet, and that in 90% of cases, the Benzene concentration had decreased to below 1 mg/L within 400 feet of the source area. The 2004 Los Angeles Study indicated that the longest MTBE plume length observed (5  $\mu$ g/L) was approximately 1,040 feet, and that 90% of MTBE cases resulted in a plume length of 540 feet or less.

Additionally, according to a study by the California Leaking Underground Fuel Tank Task Force conducted in 2009 (Chinn, 2009), it is recognized that domestic drinking water wells are not commonly being installed in urban areas already served by municipal drinking water sources. Typically municipal wells are installed at a greater depth and with a more robust sanitary seal. This implies that in areas already serviced by municipal sources, groundwater in shallow water bearing zones is not likely to be used for drinking water purposes except in the immediate vicinity of any already existing wells. Releases from petroleum USTs typically only impact the shallowest water bearing zones and therefore should not be prevented from case closure unless it can be reasonably expected that WQOs will not be met prior to impacting existing or potential future wells.

Because the Site is located in an area already serviced by a public water supply system, it is not reasonably expected that new drinking water wells will be installed in the vicinity of the Site. If a municipal well were to be installed, it is unlikely to draw from shallow groundwater, and the well's sanitary seal would

protect against the incursion of contaminants into the well. As discussed in Section 1.6, sensitive receptors that could potentially be impacted by the residual contaminant plume do not appear to be present within the general vicinity of the Site. If further investigation and remediation are not warranted at the Site, then long-term groundwater monitoring serves no useful purpose.

#### 4.0 QUALIFICATION AS LOW RISK CASE

The SWRCB Resolution 68-16 (*Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California*), Resolution 88-63 (*Sources of Drinking Water*), and Resolution 92-49 (*Policies and Procedures for Investigation and Cleanup and Abatement of Discharges under Water Code Section 13304*) require the cleanup of unauthorized releases to background concentrations or the highest quality of water that is protective of the designated beneficial uses. It appears that the environmental case at the subject Site should be granted No Further Action status at this time for numerous technical and regulatory reasons, as discussed in the following sections.

#### 4.1 Qualification as a Low-Risk Environmental Case

On December 8, 1995, Mr. Walt Pettit, SWRCB Executive Director, issued an advisory to the Regional Water Quality Control Boards indicating that oversight agencies should proceed aggressively to close low risk cases. *Supplemental Instructions to State Water Board December 8, 1995, Interim Guidance on Required Cleanup at Low Risk Fuel Sites*, prepared by SFRWQCB on January 5, 1996 defined and explained low-risk criteria for environmental UST cases. These low-risk criteria are presented below, with justification why each criteria element is satisfied:

# 1) The leak has been stopped and ongoing sources, including LNAPL, removed or remediated to the extent practicable

The cause of the original release has been repaired, and the USTs, fuel dispensers, and piping have been subsequently replaced and/or upgraded. LNAPL was first detected in on-site soil boring B-1 (0.01 ft floating product) during a limited subsurface assessment on February 1, 1990. LNAPL in monitoring wells was first observed in MW-1 (0.10 ft) on July 25, 1991, in MW-2 (0.16 ft) on January 15, 1991, and in MW-5 (0.03 ft) on August 13, 1991. Passive skimmers were installed in wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-5. Approximately 3.06 gallons of LNAPL were recovered in 1991 and 1992. LNAPL has not been observed in the monitor wells associated with the Site since November 1992. There is no evidence of an ongoing release. As such, this criterion is satisfied.

#### 2) The Site has been adequately characterized

For this environmental case, the lateral extent of CoCs in groundwater is delineated cross-gradient and down-gradient by the existing monitoring well network. Constituents of concern have been delineated to concentrations at or below WQOs in downgradient wells MW-3, MW-6, MW-8, and MW-11. Based on Site reports, it appears that the bulk of petroleum hydrocarbon impacts to soil reported in the vicinity of the USTs, dispenser islands, and product piping were removed by over-excavation and SVE. Boring B-10 exhibited the presence of approximately 20 feet of essentially clean/non-impacted vadose zone soil above the groundwater table in the area of the former UST excavation on the backside of the station building. Samples collected from the recent offsite soil and groundwater investigation indicate that the contaminant plume is delineated to the west and south of the Site (cross- and up-gradient). It is not necessary to perform a Vapor Intrusion Assessment as there is no basis from historic studies and guidance.

#### 3) The dissolved hydrocarbon plume is not migrating

Wells in the vicinity of impacted groundwater (MW-1, MW-2, MW-4, MW-5, MW-7, and RW-1) show a decreasing trend in concentrations of CoCs. TPHg/GRO and benzene have been intermittently detected in

wells MW-3 and MW-6 on the north portion of the Site and down gradient of the believed source. No CoCs have historically been detected in down-gradient wells MW-8, MW-11, and VW-1. The lead scavenger 1,2-DCA has been reliably detected once on-site in MW-4 at a concentration of  $0.76 \,\mu$ g/L (7/18/2007). The fact that 1,2-DCA (originally added to leaded gasoline) has not been detected in the downgradient wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-4, MW-5, MW-7, and RW-1 attests to the fact that the 'hydrocarbon plume' is not migrating.

# 4) No water wells, deeper drinking water aquifers, surface water, or other sensitive receptors are likely to be impacted

A water well survey was conducted by URS in September 2003. This survey concluded that four water wells were located within 2,640 feet (0.5 miles) of the Site. Based on the results of the well survey, it is unlikely that the groundwater contamination associated with the Site poses a potential threat to wells. The well survey completed only identified one well in close proximity and approximately 450 feet down-gradient and north of the Site. The well was completed in 1963 and although the well drillers report did not indicate the screen interval or surface seal, it does state the total depth of the well as 300 feet bgs. It is our understanding that the intended purpose of the well was for use as an emergency water supply for the fire station in the event of a natural disaster that cuts off the main water supply. As previously discussed, recent correspondence with Zone 7 Water Agency personnel have verified that this well was properly abandoned on February 15, 2002. Therefore, this well is no longer a potential receptor. A copy of URS' Water Well Survey is provided in Appendix C. Additionally, as discussed above in criteria 3, petroleum hydrocarbon impacted groundwater does not appear to have migrated off-site.

#### 5) The Site presents no significant risk to human health

The absence of GRO and BTEX in shallow vadose zone soils (less than 20 feet below land surface) collected from borings B-9 (MW-6) and B-10 (MW-7) near the station building indicates the potential for vapor intrusion into the station building is extremely unlikely. No water supply wells are likely to be impacted now or in the foreseeable future. Therefore, BAI believes that the Site presents no significant risk to human health.

#### 6) The Site presents no significant risk to the environment

The closest down-gradient surface water body is Arroyo las Pasitas located approximately 0.7 miles north. Due to the distance of this water body from the Site, it is not reasonably anticipated that groundwater from beneath the Site would affect this receptor.

#### 4.2 Qualification as Low-Risk Case Based on Groundwater Concentration

The SWRCB formed the UST Cleanup Program Task Force under Resolution 2009-0042 on May 19, 2009. This task force was developed to make recommendations to improve the UST cleanup regulatory program, including additional approaches to risk-based cleanup. The Task Force Final Report (January 13, 2010) made a recommendation that cases be considered for low-risk closure if the concentration of petroleum hydrocarbons and fuel oxygenates in groundwater are below the levels listed below:

- 10 mg/L for TPH-G and TPH-Diesel;
- 1 mg/L for each of the individual petroleum constituents; and
- 0.5 mg/L for each of the individual oxygenates.

While it is understood that these criteria cannot be uniformly applied to all sites, in "the vast majority of cases," unless an existing water well or surface water body is located in the down-gradient direction within 1,000 feet of the source area, cases exhibiting concentrations similar to those levels established above should be considered for low-risk closure. It is also noted that "[i]n cases where the TPH concentration is high, but

MTBE and Benzene concentrations are low or not present above laboratory detection limits, the case should be considered to be low-risk irrespective of the TPH concentration."

In the subject case, GRO, BTEX, and MTBE are detected at relatively low concentrations and display a decreasing trend over time. The other oxygenates have not been detected above the laboratory reporting limits with the exception of 1,2-DCA in MW-4 during the Third Quarter 2007 sampling event. The highest recent concentrations of GRO (6,200  $\mu$ g/L in MW-2), benzene (430  $\mu$ g/L in MW-7), and MTBE (150  $\mu$ g/L in MW-7) are below the criteria threshold listed above of 10 mg/L (10,000  $\mu$ g/L) for GRO, 1.0 mg/L (1,000  $\mu$ g/L) for the individual petroleum constituents, and 0.5 mg/L (500  $\mu$ g/L) for individual oxygenates. Therefore, the Site case is considered to be a strong candidate for low-risk closure.

#### 4.3 Achievement of Water Quality Objectives Being Met Before Resource Is Used

The SWRCB Resolution 68-16 resolves that any activity that produces a waste discharge will be required to meet waste discharge requirements which will result in the best practicable treatment or control of the discharge necessary to assure that the highest water quality consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the State will be maintained. SWRCB Resolution 88-63 resolves that virtually all water in California is designated as a drinking water source. Water Code Section 13304 authorizes Regional Boards to require the complete cleanup of all waste discharged and the restoration of affected water to background conditions or the best water quality reasonable if background levels of water quality cannot be restored. SWRCB Resolution 92-49 sets forth the policies and procedures for the investigation and cleanup of discharges from leaking UST cases. Resolution 92-49 does not require, however, that the WQOs be met at the time of site closure. Even if the requisite level of water quality has not yet been attained, a site may be closed if the level will be attained within a reasonable time frame. SWRCB Water Quality Order 98-04 (Matthew Walker) explicitly interprets a "reasonable time frame" as "anywhere from a couple of decades to hundreds of years." The Matthew Walker petition further states "...[I]f complete removal of detectable traces of petroleum hydrocarbon constituents become the standard for UST corrective actions, the statewide technical and economic implications will be enormous."

The SWRCB Resolution 2009-042 states that "[i]t is the responsibility of Regional Water Boards, LOP agencies, and other local agencies to close UST cases that are ready for closure." This resolution further states "[i]n previous decisions, the State Water Board, when determining a reasonable period, has considered all relevant factors including, but not limited to, existing and anticipated beneficial uses of water." Resolution 2009-081 further clarifies this issue by stating that "[i]n the orders issued by the State Water Board regarding UST case closure, several factors relevant to the particular UST case were considered, such as: (1) whether remaining petroleum constituents would migrate beyond the limited spatial extent, (2) the presence and location of drinking water wells in the area, (3) the likelihood that the impacted groundwater will be used as a source of drinking water in the reasonably foreseeable future, and (4) the protective nature of standard well-construction practices."

The SWRCB Resolution 2009-042 makes it clear that the decisional framework used in previous UST closure orders interpreted a "reasonable time frame" to be the amount of time before the resource is actually used, based on *existing* or *anticipated* beneficial use. SWRCB Resolution 2009-081 clarifies that the decisional framework in UST closure orders contemplate whether the impacted groundwater will be used as a source of *drinking water* in the *foreseeable future*. These Resolutions indicate that closure policy based on "potential beneficial use" or "possible future beneficial use" is inappropriate. These Resolutions indicate that the decisional framework previously used by SWRCB when considering UST closure is based on "existing" beneficial use, or "anticipated beneficial use within the foreseeable future." SWRCB Resolution 2009-081 resolves that "[w]hen considering whether a UST cleanup case should be closed, Agencies shall apply the decisional framework established in previous State Water Board UST closure orders."

As discussed above, one or more petroleum constituents (TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE) have been detected in groundwater in on-site wells MW-2, MW-4, MW-5, MW-6, MW-7 and RW-1 at concentrations

slightly above the WQOs (SFRWQCB ESL, Primary MCL, or Secondary MCL). However, detections have been at relatively low concentrations, displayed a decreasing trend over time, and have been highly localized within the vicinity of the former UST complex. Contaminants have not been detected in the downgradient wells MW-8, MW-11, or VW-1 and concentrations have been non-detect since 1999 in down-gradient well MW-3.

The first step when evaluating whether WQOs will be met (due to natural attenuation processes) within a reasonable time frame is to perform statistical analysis to demonstrate whether contaminant concentrations are declining with respect to time. For the purposes of this evaluation, a Mann-Kendall trend test using wells MW-4, MW-5, MW-6, MW-7, and RW-1 was utilized to evaluate analytical data of the CoCs (TPHg/GRO, benzene, and/or MTBE) to determine if concentrations are stable, increasing, or decreasing. The Mann-Kendall model is a statistical tool (or model) used to aid in the evaluation of plume stability. The Mann-Kendall model utilizes "messenger wells" (wells located in the internal area of the plume), and "perimeter of compliance" (POC) wells. POC wells are located down-gradient of the messenger wells and contain COC concentrations that generally approximate Site closure levels. The tool will indicate an expanding plume if the COC concentrations are increasing in any two messenger wells or any POC well.

One requirement of the Mann-Kendall test is that the COC concentrations must not be susceptible to seasonal groundwater fluctuations. A regression analysis was conducted on the slope of the trend line for plots of the CoCs versus groundwater elevations for wells MW-4, MW-5, MW-6, MW-7, and RW-1. Generally, an  $R^2$  value between 0.85 and 1.0 indicates that there is a direct correlation between the two data sets. The following table summarizes the  $R^2$  values calculated for laboratory analytical data and groundwater elevations collected from First Quarter 2005 through present-day. As indicated within the table, a direct correlation between the CoCs and groundwater elevations does not appear to exist. It should be noted that an  $R^2$  value could not be calculated for Benzene at well MW-6 due to the single detection above laboratory reporting limits within the data set.

<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> Values -	<b>R<sup>2</sup> Values – Groundwater Elevation Vs. Concentrations</b>								
	GRO	Benzene	MTBE						
MW-4	0.0617	0.0648	0.1686						
MW-5	0.7332	0.3418	0.0004						
MW-6	0.1665		0.116						
MW-7	0.5188	0.5165	0.3892						
RW-1	0.1754	0.1936	0.0172						

Wells MW-4 and MW-5 were utilized as messenger wells and wells MW-6, MW-7, and RW-1 as POC wells for the Mann-Kendall analysis. The individual datasets consist of analytical data for GRO, Benzene, and MTBE dating from Third Quarter 2003 to the present. The Mann-Kendall test is a non-parametric test for identifying trends in time series data. The test compares the relative magnitudes of sample data rather than the data values themselves. Based on the 16 quarter analysis, GRO, Benzene, and MTBE are either stable or decreasing in each well analyzed with the exception of MTBE within well RW-1, which was calculated to be increasing. However, the MTBE concentrations detected in RW-1 since Third Quarter 2008 have been below the WQO of  $5.0 \mu g/L$ . Results from the Mann-Kendall analysis can be found in Attachment F.

The Mann-Kendall trend analysis does not account for temporal variation in the data and therefore cannot be used to estimate a time to reach WQOs. In order to estimate the amount of time necessary for existing Site contaminants to degrade to WQOs, a logarithmic regression analysis was performed for wells MW-2, MW-4, MW-5, and MW-7. These wells were chosen due to recent concentrations of CoCs exceeding WQOs and the generation of regression analyses yielding a date to achieve WQOs beyond present day. The regression analysis was performed using historical data from 1995 to present. Three data points determined to be outliers (3/13/2000, 8/31/2000, and 2/9/2001) were removed from the MTBE data set for well MW-4. The results of the regression analysis are summarized below and also provided in Appendix G.

Well ID	Constituent	WQO	Date to Achieve WQO
MW-2	TPHg/GRO	100 µg/L	11/25/2022
MW-2	Benzene	1 µg/L	9/27/2020
MW-4	TPHg/GRO	100 µg/L	12/2/2025
MW-4	Benzene	1 µg/L	5/5/2033
MW-4	MTBE	5 μg/L	12/28/2052
MW-5	TPHg/GRO	100 µg/L	4/10/2016
MW-5	Benzene	1 µg/L	8/9/2018
MW-5	MTBE	5 µg/L	8/1/2023
MW-7	TPHg/GRO	100 µg/L	1/20/2019
MW-7	Benzene	1 µg/L	1/11/2023
MW-7	MTBE	5 μg/L	8/28/2013

Based on the regression analysis, contaminant concentrations at the subject Site are calculated to reach WQOs by time periods which are reasonably considered to be protective of the existing and anticipated beneficial uses of water at the subject Site. As such, it is believed that WQOs will be reached within a 'reasonable time frame' without the need for active remediation.

#### 5.0 BENEFIT OF ADDITIONAL WORK

While the concentrations of the current CoCs (TPHg, benzene, and MTBE) are currently above the WQOs, the concentrations are relatively low and the impact is limited in extent. The lateral extent of the CoCs in groundwater has been adequately delineated for the purposes of low-risk closure. The plume appears to be stable and is not expected to migrate. The nearest identified potable well, approximately 0.4 miles east-northeast of the Site, is unlikely to be at risk from the low concentrations remaining on-site. Based on the available Site data, the contaminant plume does not appear to represent a significant threat to existing or reasonably anticipated beneficial uses in the foreseeable future. The potential for vapor intrusion and exposure to station building occupants is considered highly unlikely and current guidance recommends against the necessity of vapor intrusion assessment for the situational conditions present at the Site. The Site appears to be adequately characterized and no further investigation appears to be warranted to evaluate potential impacts to human health or environmental receptors.

Since the SVE and Air Diffusion Treatment remediation systems reached the point of diminishing returns, if Atlantic Richfield Company were to pursue active remediation of the TPHg, benzene, and MTBE contaminant plume at the Site, a possible remedial approach would be the implementation of enhanced aerobic or anerobic biodegradation remediation technology. This type of system would require the installation of remediation system infrastructure, equipment, and ongoing operations and maintenance for perhaps an extended period of time before concentrations would be below WQO's. While pursuing the installation and operation of such a system would be a significant cost, it is not expected that installation and operation of such a system would confer appreciable benefit to human health or the environmental receptors. As noted in Water Quality Order 98-04, "[i]f the complete removal of detectable traces of petroleum hydrocarbon constituents becomes the standard for UST corrective actions, the statewide technical and

economic implications will be enormous." As such, it appears that the Site-specific benefit of additional work, if any, is dwarfed by the cost and statewide implications for corrective action.

#### 6.0 CLOSURE RECOMMENDATION

This Request for No Further Action presents a summary of the current environmental status of the Site, as well as rationale justifying case closure both from technical and regulatory perspectives. In addition to the technical and regulatory justification, there are strong economic reasons for closing the case. Maintaining a backlog of open low-risk environmental cases diverts available funding from cases with significantly greater threat to human health and the environment. By closing low-risk environmental cases, the available funding for the investigation and remediation of environmental cases with significantly greater threat to human health and the environmental cases, which will, in turn accelerate the cleanup of UST cases within Alameda County and statewide.

Further investigation of the Site is not necessary to ensure that human health and the environment are protected since the plume already appears to be stable and that WQOs will be met within a reasonable time frame. Active remediation of the existing contaminants cannot be justified from a technical or economic perspective since the CoCs, GRO, MTBE, and benzene, have been documented to degrade naturally to the WQOs within a reasonable time frame. If further investigation and remediation are not warranted at the Site, then long term groundwater monitoring serves no beneficial purpose. It is recommended that Atlantic Richfield Company formally request that No Further Action status be granted at this time for ACEH Environmental Case # RO0000200 at 899 Rincon Ave, Livermore.

#### 7.0 LIMITATIONS

The findings presented in this report are based upon observations of field personnel, points investigated, results of laboratory tests performed by various laboratories, and our understanding of SWRCB, RWQCB and ACEH requirements. Our services were performed in accordance with the generally accepted standard of practice at the time this report was written. No other warranty, expressed or implied was made. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Atlantic Richfield Company. It is possible that variations in soil or groundwater conditions could exist beyond points explored in this investigation. Also, changes in site conditions could occur in the future due to variations in rainfall, temperature, regional water usage, or other factors.

#### 8.0 **REFERENCES**

- Alameda County Environmental Health, September 10, 2010. *Subsurface Investigation of RO0000200 and GeoTracker Global ID T0600100113*, *ARCO #771*, 899 *Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California*. Letter from Mr. Paresh Khatri (ACEH) to Mr. Charles Carmel (ARCO) approving Second Addendum Work Plan.
- Amoore, J.E., and E. Hautala. Odor as an Aid to Chemical Safety: Odor Thresholds Compared with Threshold Limit Values and Volatiles for 214 Industrial Chemical in Air and Water Dilution. Journal of Applied Toxicology, Vol. 3, No. 6, 1983
- Applied Geosystems, June 22, 1990. *Limited Subsurface Environmental Assessment*. ARCO Station 771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.
- Applied Geosystems, April 12, 1991. *Supplemental Subsurface Investigation*. ARCO Station 771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.

- Broadbent and Associates Inc., February 10, 2009. *Initial Site Conceptual Model and Soil and Ground-Water Investigation Work Plan.* Atlantic Richfield Company Station #771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California; ACEH Case No. RO0000200
- Broadbent and Associates Inc., October 17, 2011. *Third Quarter 2011 Monitoring Report*, Atlantic Richfield Company Station #771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California; ACEH Case No. RO0000200
- Broadbent and Associates Inc., April 29, 2011. *Off-Site Soil & Groundwater Investigation Report*. Atlantic Richfield Company Station #771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California; ACEH Case No. RO0000200
- California Department of Water Resources, 2003. San Francisco Hydrologic Region Livermore Valley Groundwater Basin. Bulletin No. 118.
- California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Region, January 5, 1996. Supplemental Instructions to State Water Board December 8, 1995, Interim Guidance on Required Cleanup at Low Risk Fuel Sites.
- California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Region, June 1999. East Bay Plain Groundwater Basin Beneficial Use Evaluation Report, Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, CA.
- California State Water Resources Control Board, October 28, 1968. Resolution 68-16: Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California.
- California State Water Resources Control Board, May 16, 1988. Resolution 88-63: Sources of Drinking Water.
- California State Water Resources Control Board, June 18, 1992. Resolution 92-49: Policies and Procedures for Investigation and Cleanup and Abatement of Discharges under Water Code Section 13304.
- California State Water Resources Control Board, August 26, 1998. Water Quality Order 98-04: In the Matter of the Petition of Matthew Walker for Review of Denial of Petroleum Underground Storage Tank Site Closure at 818 Jackson Street, Napa, California.
- California State Water Resources Control Board, May 19, 2009. Resolution 2009-042: Actions to Improve Administration of the Underground Storage Tank (UST) Cleanup Fund and UST Cleanup Program.
- California State Water Resources Control Board, November 17, 2009. Resolution 2009-081: Directing Additional Actions to Improve Administration of the Underground Storage Tank (UST) Cleanup Fund and UST Cleanup Programs.
- California State Water Resources Control Board, UST Cleanup Program Task Force, January 13, 2010. *Resolution 2009-0042 – UST Cleanup Program Task Force Report*. Letter to Mr. Charles Hoppin, Chair of SWRCB.
- California State Water Resources Control Board, October 4, 2010. Draft for Public Comment Leaking Underground Fuel Tank Guidance Manual, Version 2.0.
- Cambria, July 9, 2001. *Dispenser and Product Piping Removal Report*. ARCO Service Station No. 0771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, CA.
- Chinn, Ronald D., December 9, 2009. California Independent UST Case Closure Study: A Quantification of Cases Potentially Closable Under Low-Risk Criteria. Prepared by Closure Solutions, Inc.
- Davis, Robin, March 2005. <u>Making Sense of Subsurface Vapor Attenuation in Petroleum Hydrocarbon</u> <u>Sources</u>. *LUSTLine*, New England Interstate Water Pollution Control Commission, Bulletin 49: 10-14.

- Davis, Robin, May 2006. <u>Vapor Attenuation in the Subsurface from Petroleum Hydrocarbon Sources: An</u> <u>Update and Discussion on the Ramifications of the Vapor-Intrusion Risk Pathway</u>. *LUSTLine*, New England Interstate Water Pollution Control Commission, Bulletin 52: 22-25.
- Davis, G.B., B.M. Patterson, and M.G. Trefry, 2009. <u>Evidence for Instantaneous Oxygen-Limited</u> <u>Biodegradation of Petroleum Hydrocarbon Vapors in the Subsurface</u>. *Groundwater Monitoring & Remediation*, Vol.29, Ed.1: pp.126-137.
- EMCON, January 3, 1995. *Soil vapor extraction system performance test results*. ARCO service station 771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.
- EMCON, June 4, 1996. First Quarter 1996 groundwater monitoring and SVE system performance evaluation report. ARCO service station 771, Livermore, California.
- Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories, 1995. *Recommendations to Improve the Cleanup Process for California's Leaking Underground Fuel Tanks*.
- Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories, 1998. An Evaluation of MTBE Impacts to California Groundwater Resources.
- McHugh, T., R. Davis, G.DeVaull, H.Hopkins, J.Menatti, and T.Peargin, 2010. <u>Evaluation of Vapor</u> <u>Attenuation at Petroleum Hydrocarbon Sites: Considerations for Site Screening and Investigation</u>. *Soil and Sediment Contamination, An International Journal*, Vol. 19, Ed. 6, pp. 725-745.
- RESNA, October 17, 1991. Additional Subsurface Investigation. ARCO Station 771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.
- RESNA, January 3, 1992. Letter Report of Vapor Extraction Test Performed. ARCO Station 771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.
- RESNA, February 26, 1993. Additional Onsite and Initial Offsite Subsurface Investigation. ARCO Station 771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.
- Roux Associates, July 1, 1992. *Underground Storage Tank Removal and Soil Sampling*. ARCO Facility No. 771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.
- Shih, T., Y. Rong, T. Harmon, and M. Suffet, 2004. <u>Evaluation of the Impact of Fuel Hydrocarbons and</u> <u>Oxygenates on Groundwater Resources</u>. Environmental Science & Technology, Vol. 38, Ed. 1, pp.42-48.
- URS Corporation, September 17, 2003. *Water Well Survey*. ARCO Service Station #0771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California.
- Zone 7 Water Agency, September 2005. *Description of Zone 7 Groundwater Basin*. Groundwater Management Plan for Livermore-Amador Valley Groundwater Basin.







# APPENDIX A

### HISTORIC GROUNDWATER ELEVATION AND ANALYTICAL DATA

899 Kincon	Avenue, Liverm				Proj	ect Number: 0	805-122.01
	Water					Ground-	
Well	Level		Depth	Ground-	Floating	Water	
Desig-	Field	TOC	to	Water	Product	Flow	Hydraulic
nation	Date	Elevation	Water	Elevation	Thickness	Direction	Gradient
		ft-MSL	feet	ft-MSL	feet	MWN	foot/foot
MW-1	01-15-91	451.80	32.77	419.03	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-1	02-27-91	451.80	32.23	419.57	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	03-20-91	451.80	27.38	424.42	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-1	04-10-91	451.80	26.49	425.31	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	05-20-91	451.80 No	t surveyed: i	nterface probe	failure		
MW-1	06-20-91	451.80	33.95	417.85	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-1	07-25-91	451.80	^36.59	^415.21	0.10	NR	NR
MW-1	08-13-91	451.80	^37.72	^414.08	0.20	NR	NR
MW-1	09-12-91	451.80	^39.25	^412.55	0.23	NR	NR
MW-1	10-30-91	451.80	^39.14	^412.66	0.20	NR	NR
MW-1	11-13-91	451.80	DRY	DRY	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	12-26-91	451.80	^39.30	^412.50	0.01	NR	NR
MW-1	01-18-92	NR	37.81	NR	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-1	02-21-92	NR No	t surveyed: v	vell inaccessibl	e due to constr	uction	
MW-1	03-31-92	NR	31.90	NR	Skimmer	NR	NR
<b>MW-</b> 1	04-24-92	451.42 No	t surveyed: v	vell inaccessibl	e due to constr	uction	
MW-1	05-20-92	451.42	33.00	418.42	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-1	06-12-92	451.42	33.25	418.17	0.02	NR	NR
<b>MW-1</b>	07-28-92	451.42	32.31	419.11	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	08-24-92	451.42	30.87	420.55	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	09-15-92	451.42	^32.24	^419.18	0.01	NR	NR
MW-1	10-29-92	451.42	32.29	419.13	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	11-25-92	451.73	32.15	419.58	ND*	NR	NR
MW-1	12-14-92	451.73	30.54	421.19	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	01-29-93	451.73	23.49	428.24	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	02-26-93	451.73	25.23	426.50	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	03-29-93	451.73	25.66	426.07	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	04-27-93	451.73	28.02	423.71	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	05-10-93	451.73	30.38	421.35	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	06-17-93	451.73	30.81	420.92	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	07-27-93	451.73 No	t surveyed: v	ehicle parked o	on well		
MW-1	08-26-93	451.73	31.23	420.50	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	09-14-93	451.73	32.59	419.14	ND	NR	NR
<b>MW-1</b>	11-05-93	451.73	32.13	419.60	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	03-26-94	451.73	28.22	423.51	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	06-13-94	451.73	29.86	421.87	ND	NR	NR
MW-1	09-22-94	451.73	31.61	420.12	ND	NNE	0.056
MW-1	11-25-94	451.73	29.76	421.97	ND	N	0.06

Date: 03-07-95

ARCO Service Station 771

ARCO Servi 899 Rincon	ce Station 771 Avenue, Liverm	Proj	Date: ect Number:	03-07-95 0805-122.01			
Well Desig- nation	Water Level Field Date	TOC Elevation	Depth to Water	Ground- Water Elevation	Floating Product Thickness	Ground- Water Flow Direction	Hydraulic Gradient
		ft-MSL	feet	ft-MSL	feet	MWN	foot/foot
MW-2	01-15-91	449.52	^30.89	^418.63	0.16	NR	· NR
MW-2	02-27-91	449.52	^29.11	^420.41	0.02	NR	NR
MW-2	03-20-91	449.52	^24.57	^424.95	0.02	NR	NR
MW-2	04-10-91	449,52	^22.85	^426.67	0.05	NR	NR
MW-2	05-20-91	449.51 No	t surveyed:				
MW-2	06-20-91	449.51	^31.42	^418.09	0.15	NR	NR
MW-2	07-25-91	449.51	^33.69	^415.82	0.49	NR	NR
MW-2	08-13-91	449.51	^34.80	^414.71	0.47	NR	NR
MW-2	09-12-91	449.51	^36.39	^413.12	0.45	NR	NR
MW-2	10-30-91	449.51	DRY	DRY	ND	NR	NR
MW-2	11-13-91	449.51	DRY	DRY	ND	NR	NR
MW-2	12-26-91	449.51	36.45	413.06	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-2	01-18-92	449.51 Not	t surveyed: w	ell inaccessibl	le due to constr	nction	•
MW-2	02-21-92	449.51	26.27	NR	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-2	03-31-92	449.51	28.85	NR	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-2	04-24-92	449.51	30.95	418 56	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-2	05-20-92	449.51	30.69	418 82	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-2	06-12-92	449.51	31.25	418.26	ND	NP	NP
MW-2	07-28-92	449.51	30.31	419.20	ND	NR	NP
MW-2	08-24-92	449 51	29.83	419.68	ND	ND	ND
MW-2	09-15-92	449 51	30.06	410.00	Sheen	NP	ND
MW-2	10-29-92	440 51	30.00	418.61	ND	ND	ND
MW-2	11-25-92	449.51	31.12	410.01		INK. ND	
MW.2	17-14-02	440.40	20.24	410.30		INK.	
MW-2	01_29_03	449.49	29.24	420.23	ND	INK	
MW-2	01-25-55	449.49	20.12	429.57	ND	INK	INK
MW_2	02-20-93	449.49	22.39	420.90	ND	NK	INR
MW. 2	04 37 02	447.47	22.03	420.00		NR	INR
MW-2	05 10 02	449.49	25.10	424.39	ND	NK	NR
MW 2	05-10-93	449.49	27.23	422.20	ND	NK	NR
IVI W - 2	00-17-95	449.49	28.26	421.23	ND	NK	NR
MW-Z	07-27-93	449.49	29.50	419.99	ND	NR	NR
MW-Z	08-20-93	449.49	29.85	419.64	ND	NR	NR
MW-2	09-14-93	449.49	30.43	419.06	ND	NR	NR
MW-2	11-05-93	449.49	30.20	419.29	ND	NR	NR
MW-2	03-26-94	449.49	25.30	424.19	ND	NR	NR
MW-2	06-13-94	449.49	27.28	422.21	ND	NR	NR
MW-2	09-22-94	449.49	29.54	419.95	ND	NNE	0.056
MW-2	11-25-94	449.49	27.85	421.64	ND	N	0.06

99 Rincon	Avenue, Liverm	ore, California			Proj	ect Number: 0	0805-122.01
Well Desig- nation	Water Level Field Date	TOC Elevation ft-MSI	Depth to Water	Ground- Water Elevation	Floating Product Thickness	Ground- Water Flow Direction	Hydraulio Gradien
			1000		Itel	IVI VV IN	1001/100
MW-3	01-15-91	450.29	32.34	417.95	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	02-27-91	450.29	31.78	418.51	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	03-20-91	450.29	27.74	422.55	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	04-10-91	450.29	25.05	425.24	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	05-20-91	450.28	27.06	423.22	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	06-20-91	450.28	32.35	417.93	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	07-25-91	450.28	35.02	415.26	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	08-13-91	450.28	36.50	413.78	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	09-12-91	450.28	38.47	411.81	ND	NR	NP
MW-3	10-30-91	450.28	DRY	DRY	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	11-13-91	450.28	DRY	DRY	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	12-26-91	450.28	38 53	411 75	ND		IND
MW-3	01-18-92	450.28 No	surveyed: w	all inspace in	UNI. In due te consta	INK	INE
MW-3	02-21-92	450.28 Not	Surveyed. w	all inaccession	le due to constr	uction	
MW-3	03-31-02	450.20 100	20 61	VD	le que lo constr	uction	
MW-3	03-31-92	450.28	30.01	INR.	ND	NK	NR
MW-3	05-20.02	450.20	32.03	417.45	ND	NK	NK
MANA 2	05-20-92	450.28	33.83	416.43	ND	· NR	NR
MW 2	00-12-92	450.28	34.51	415.77	ND	NR	NR
IVI VV - 5	07-28-92	450.28	34.42	415.86	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	08-24-92	450.28	32.46	417.82	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	09-15-92	450.28	34.29	415.99	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	10-29-92	450.28	33.40	416.88	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	11-25-92	450.28	33.67	416.61	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	12-14-92	450.28	34.26	416.02	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	01-29-93	450.28	21.88	428.40	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	02-26-93	450.28	24.71	425.57	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	03-29-93	450.28	24.74	425.54	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	04-27-93	450.28	25.96	424.32	ND	NR	NR
MW-3	05-10-93	450.28	27.61	422.67	ND	NR	ND
MW-3	06-17-93	450.28	28 73	421 55	ND	NP	ND
MW-3	07-27-93	450.28	30 37	419.91	ND	NP	
MW-3	08-26-93	450.28	30.94	410 34	ND	ND	INK
MW-3	09-14-93	450 28	31 84	A18 AA		INK	INK
MW-3	11-05-93	450.28	32.04	417 DC		INK	INR
MW-3	03-26-04	450.20	25.44	417.00	IND ND	NK	NR
MW.3	06_12_04	450.20	20.97	423.31	ND	NK	NR
MW/. 2	00-13-54	450.28	28./1	421.57	ND	NR	NR
MANY O	11 25 24	450.28	52.34	417.94	ND	NNE	0.056
IVI W - 3	11-20-94	450.28	30.76	419.52	ND	N	0.06

esj/h:\0771\0771mdb.xls\Table 2:dcl 0805-122.01

ARCO Serv. 899 Rincon	ice Station 771 Avenue, Liverm	ore, California			Proj	Date: ( ect Number: (	)3-07-95 )805-122.01
Well Desig- nation	Water Level Field Date	TOC Elevation ft-MSL	Depth to Water feet	Ground- Water Elevation ft-MSL	Floating Product Thickness feet	Ground- Water Flow Direction MWN	Hydraulic Gradient foot/foot
MW-4	07-25-91	451.56	36.07	415.49	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	08-13-91	451.56	37.54	414.02	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	09-12-91	451.56	38.73	412.83	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	10-30-91	451.56	39.90	411.66	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	11-13-91	451.56	40.56	411.00	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	12-26-91	450.99	38.78	412.21	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	01-18-92	450.99	38.71	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	02-21-92	450.99	31.91	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	03-31-92	450.99	30.36	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	04-24-92	450.99	32.65	418.34	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	05-20-92	450.99	32.62	418.37	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	06-12-92	450.99	32.73	418.26	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	07-28-92	450.99	31.48	419.51	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	08-24-92	450.99	32.84	418.15	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	09-15-92	450.99	31.37	419.62	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	10-29-92	450.99	32.58	418.41	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	11-25-92	451.09	32.37	418.72	ND	NR	NP
MW-4	12-14-92	451,09	30.99	420.10	ND	NR	NP
MW-4	01-29-93	451.09	22.30	428 79	ND	NR	ND
MW-4	02-26-93	451.09	24.47	426.62	ND	NR	NR
MW-4	03-29-93	451.09	24.67	426.42	ND	NP	ND
MW-4	04-27-93	451.09	26.68	424 41	ND	NR	
MW-4	05-10-93	451.09	28.64	422.45	ND	NR	NID
MW-4	06-17-93	451.09	29.28	421.81	ND	NP	
MW-4	07-27-93	451.09	31.14	419.95	ND	NP	ND
MW-4	08-26-93	451.09	31.38	419.71	ND	ND	
MW-4	09-14-93	451.09	32.00	419.09	ND	NP	
MW-4	11-05-93	451.09	31.16	419.93	ND	NR	ND
MW-4	03-26-94	451.09	26.94	424 15	ND	ND	ITK.
MW-4	06-13-94	451.09	28.88	422.21	ND	NIP	ND
MW-4	09-22-94	451.09	30.98	420 11	ND	NNE	0.054
MW-4	11-25-94	451.09	29.08	422.01	ND	N	0.050

٠

ARCO Servi 899 Rincon	ce Station 771 Avenue, Liverm	ore, California			Proj	Date: 0 ect Number: 0	3-07-95 805-122.01
Well Desig- nation	Water Level Field Date	TOC Elevation	Depth to Water	Ground- Water Elevation	Floating Product Thickness	Ground- Water Flow Direction	Hydraulic Gradient
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		ft-MSL	feet	ft-MSL	feet	MWN	foot/foot
MW-5	07-25-91	451.41	36.67	414.74	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-5	08-13-91	451.41	^37.98	^413.43	0.01	NR	NR
MW-5	09-12-91	451.41	^39.01	^412.40	0.05	NR	NR
MW-5	10-30-91	451.41	38.28	413.13	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-5	11-13-91	451.41	39.24	412.17	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-5	12-26-91	451.41	39.11	412.30	Sheen	NR	NR
MW-5	01-18-92	451.41	38.15	NR	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-5	02-21-92	451.41	30.59	NR	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-5	03-18-92	451.41	30.84	NR	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-5	04-24-92	451.40	33.00	418.40	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-5	05-20-92	451.40	32.86	418.54	Skimmer	NR	NR
MW-5	06-12-92	451.40	33.03	418.37	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	07-28-92	451.40	31.92	419.48	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	08-24-92	451.40	32.17	419.23	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	09-15-92	451.40	31.90	419.50	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	10-29-92	451.40	32.94	418.46	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	11-25-92	451.40 No	t surveyed: n	ew wellhead p	revented measu	irement	
MW-5	12-14-92	451.40	30.90	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	01-29-93	451.40	23.25	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	02-26-93	451.40	25.02	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	03-29-93	451.40	24.72	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	04-27-93	451.40	27.11	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	05-10-93	451.40	29.04	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	06-17-93	451.40	29.33	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	07-27-93	451.40	31.12	420.28	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	08-26-93	451.40	31.37	420.03	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	09-14-93	451.40	31.96	419.44	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	11-05-93	451.40	31.03	420 37	ND	NR	NR
MW-5	03-26-94	451.40	27.41	423.99	ND	NP	NP
MW-5	06-13-94	451.40	29.29	422 11	ND	NP	ND
MW-5	09-22-94	451 40 No	surveyed: w	hicle was nar	ked on well	111	INK
MW-5	11-25-94	451.40	29.76	421.64	ND	N	0.06

# Table 2 Historical Groundwater Elevation Data Summary Report

ARCO Servi 899 Rincon	ce Station 771 Avenue, Liverm	ore, California			Proj	Date: 0 ect Number: 0	)3-07-95 )805-122.01
Well Desig- nation	Water Level Field Date	TOC Elevation ft-MSL	Depth to Water feet	Ground- Water Elevation ft-MSL	Floating Product Thickness feet	Ground- Water Flow Direction MWN	Hydraulic Gradient
MW-6	07-25-91	451.38	37.68	413.70	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	08-13-91	451.38	39.17	412.21	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	09-12-91	451.38	41.14	410.24	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	<b>10-30-9</b> 1	451.38	42.10	409.28	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	11-13-91	451.38	41.45	409.93	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	12-26-91	451.38	41.23	410.15	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	01-18-92	451.38	38.23	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	02-21-92	451.37	35.21	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	03-31-92	<b>45</b> 1. <b>3</b> 7	32.26	NR	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	04-24-92	451.37	33.24	418.13	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	05-20-92	451.37	33.14	418.23	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	06-12-92	451.37	33.43	417.94	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	07-28-92	451.37	32.52	418.85	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	08-24-92	451.37	32.57	418.80	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	09-15-92	451.37	32.58	418.79	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	10-29-92	451.37	32.33	419.04	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	11-25-92	451.37	32.43	418.94	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	12-14-92	451.37	31.52	419.85	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	01-29-93	451.37	23.70	427.67	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	02-26-93	451.37	26.22	425.15	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	03-29-93	451.37	26.13	425.24	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	04-27-93	451.37	27.27	424.10	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	05-10-93	451.37	29,74	421.63	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	06-17-93	451.37	30.92	420.45	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	07-27-93	451.37	30.90	420.47	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	08-26-93	451.37	31.18	420.19	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	09-14-93	451.37	31.70	419.67	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	11-05-93	451.37	31.83	419.54	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	03-26-94	451.37	28.24	423.13	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	06-13-94	451.37	29.20	422.17	ND	NR	NR
MW-6	09-22-94	451.37	30.37	421.00	ND	NNE	0.056
MW-6	11-25-94	451.37	29.88	421.49	ND	N	0.06

ARCO Service Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California						Date: 03-07-95 Project Number: 0805-122.01		
	Water					Ground-		
Well	Level		Depth	Ground-	Floating	Water		
Desig-	Field	TOC	to	Water	Product	Flow	Hydraulic	
nation	Date	Elevation	Water	Elevation	Thickness	Direction	Gradient	
		ft-MSL	feet	ft <b>-MSL</b>	feet	MWN	foot/foot	
MW-7	07-25-91	450.65	34.88	415.77	Sheen	NR	NR	
MW-7	08-13-91	450.65	36.17	414.48	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	09-12-91	450.65	37.81	412.84	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	10-30-91	450.65	38.50	412.15	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	11-13-91	450.65	38.31	412.34	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	12-26-91	450.65	37.90	412.75	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	01-18-92	450.65 No	t surveyed: w	ell inaccessibl	le due to constr	uction		
MW-7	02-21-92	450.65	31.50	NR	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	03-31-92	450.65	29.40	NR	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	04-24-92	450.63	32.14	418.49	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	05-20-92	450.63	32.51	418.12	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	06-12-92	450.63	32.45	418.18	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	07-28-92	450.63	32.08	418.55	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	08-24-92	450.63	32.29	418.34	ND	NR	NR	
<b>MW-</b> 7	09-15-92	450.63	31.93	418.70	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	10-29-92	450.63	32.37	418.26	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	11-25-92	450.33	31.80	418.53	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	12-14-92	450.33	30.44	419.89	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	01-29-93	450.33	21.76	428.57	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	02-26-93	450.33	24.16	426.17	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	03-29-93	450.33	24.32	426.01	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	04-27-93	450.33	25.44	424.89	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	05-10-93	450.33	27.40	422.93	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	06-17-93	450.33	28.80	421.53	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	07-27-93	450.33	29.89	420.44	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	08-26-93	450.33	30.52	419.81	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	09-14-93	450.33	31.09	419.24	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	11-05-93	450.33	31.42	418.91	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	03-26-94	450.33	26.03	424.30	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	06-13-94	450.33	27.94	422.39	ND	NR	NR	
MW-7	09-22-94	450.33	30.46	419.87	ND	NNF	0.056	
MW-7	11-25-94	450.33	28.30	422.03	ND	N	0.06	

# Table 2 Historical Groundwater Elevation Data Summary Report

ARCO Servi 899 Rincon	ice Station 771 Avenue, Liverme	ore, California		Proj	Date: 03-07-95 Project Number: 0805-122.01			
Well Desig- nation	Water Level Field Date	TOC Elevation ft-MSL	Depth to Water feet	Ground- Water Elevation ft-MSL	Floating Product Thickness feet	Ground- Water Flow Direction MWN	Hydraulic Gradient foot/foot	
MIN 0		440.42						
NIW-8	01-29-93	449.43	23.23	426.20	ND	NR ·	NR	
IVI W-8	02-26-93	449.43	29.20	420.23	ND	NR	NR	
1V1 VY - 0 NAND 0	04 27 02	449.43	29.77	419.66	ND	NR	NR	
IVI VY -0	04-27-93	449.43	31.52	417.91	ND	NR	NR	
1V1 VY -0	05-10-95	449.43	33.88	415.55	ND	NR	NR	
MW 99-0	07 27 03	449.43	35.25	414.18	ND	NR	NR	
NI W-0	08 74 02	449.43	30.01	412.82	ND	NR	NR	
141 W-0	00 14 02	449,43	37.71	411.72	ND	NR	NR	
NI W -0	11 05 02	449.43	38.78	410.65	ND	NR	NR	
141 44 -0 MAXX 0	02.06.04	449.43	39.01	410.42	ND	NR	NR	
1V1 VV -0	05-20-94	449.43	31.40	418.03	ND	NR	NR	
IVI W-8	00-13-94	449.43	35.10	414.33	ND	NR	NR	
MW-8	09-22-94	449.43	38.77	410.66	ND	NNE	0.056	
M W -8	11-25-94	449.43	36.46	412.97	ND	N	0.06	
MW-9 MW-9 MW-9	01-29-93 02-26-93 03-29-93	449.21 449.21 449.21	18.91 21.35 21.78	430.30 427.86 427.43	ND ND ND	NR NR NR	NR NR NR	
MW-9 MW-9	03-29-93 04-27-93	<b>449.2</b> 1 <b>449.2</b> 1	21.78 24.70	427.43 424 51	ND ND	NR NP	ľ	

24.70

26.19

27.50

29.11

29.55

30.65

32.24

25.68

27.69

31.36

29.84

424.51

423.02

421.71

420.10

419.66

418.56

416.97

423.53

421.52

417.85

419.37

ND

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

Ν

NNE

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

NR

0.056

0.06

#### Table 2 Historical Groundwater Elevation Data Summary Report

MW-9

**MW-9** 

MW-9

MW-9

MW-9

MW-9

MW-9

MW-9

MW-9

MW-9

04-27-93

05-10-93

06-17-93

07-27-93

08-26-93

09-14-93

11-05-93

03-26-94

06-13-94

09-22-94

11-25-94

**449.2**1

449.21

449.21

449.21

449.21

449.21

449.21

449.21

449.21

449.21

449.21

99 Rincon A							
*** 11	Water			~ .	<b></b> .	Ground-	
Desig	Eisld	moo	Depth	Ground-	Floating	Water	
Desig-	Field	TOC	to	Water	Product	Flow	Hydraulic
паноп	Date	Elevation	Water	Elevation	Thickness	Direction	Gradient
		ft-MSL	feet	ft-MSL	feet	MWN	foot/foot
MW-10	01-29-93	449.22	19.27	429.95	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	02-26-93	449.22	21.34	427.88	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	03-29-93	449.22	20.89	428.33	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	04-27-93	449.22	25.40	423.82	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	05-10-93	449.22	26.77	422.45	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	06-17-93	449.22	26.80	422.42	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	07-27-93	449.22	29.87	419.35	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	08-26-93	449.22	29.67	419 55	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	09-14-93	449.22	31.07	418 15	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	11-05-93	449.22	30.42	418.80	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	03-26-94	449.22	26 20	423.02	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	06-13-94	449.22	28 23	420.99	ND	NR	NR
MW-10	09-22-94	449 22	31 79	417 43	ND	NNE	0.056
MW-10	11-25-94	449.22	30.30	418.92	ND	N	0.000
MW-11	04-24-92	448.02	35.06	412.96	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	05-20-92	448.02	34.10	413.92	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	06-12-92	448.02	34.48	413.54	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	07-28-92	448.02	35.13	412.89	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	08-24-92	448.02	33.32	414.70	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	09-15-92	448.02	35.72	412.30	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	10-29-92	448.02	35.26	412.76	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	11-25-92	448.02	36.44	411.58	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	12-14-92	448.02	33,18	414.84	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	01-29-93	448.02	23.89	424.13	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	02-26-93	448.02	27.31	420.71	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	03-29-93	448.02	27.27	420.75	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	04-27-93	448.02	30.61	417.41	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	05-10-93	448.02	32.78	415.24	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	06-17-93	448.02	33.25	414.77	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	07-27-93	448.02	34.49	413.53	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	08-26-93	448.02	35.44	412.58	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	09-14-93	448.02	36.62	411.40	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	11-05-93	448.02	36.68	411.34	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	03-26-94	448.02	30.20	417.82	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	06-13-94	448.02	33.39	414.63	ND	NR	NR
MW-11	09-22-94	448.02	34.75	413.27	ND	NNE	0.056
MW-11	11-25-94	448.02	33 84	414 18	ND	N	0.06

esj/h:\0771\0771mdb.xls\Table 2:dcl 0805-122.01

RCO Servi 9 Rincon 4	ce Station 771 Avenue, Livermo	ore, California	Date: 03-07-95 Project Number: 0805-122.01				
Well Desig- nation	Water Level Field Date	TOC Elevation ft-MSL	Depth to Water feet	Ground- Water Elevation ft-MSL	Floating Product Thickness feet	Ground- Water Flow Direction MWN	Hydraulic Gradient foot/foot
RW-1	04-24-92	451.44	32.85	418.59	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	05-20-92	451.44	32.60	418.84	ND	NR	NR
<b>RW-1</b>	06-12-92	451.44	32.72	418.72	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	07-28-92	451.44	31.94	419.50	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	08-24-92	451.44	31.73	419.71	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	09-15-92	451.44	31.94	419.50	ND	NR	NR
RW-I	10-29-92	451.44	32.15	419.29	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	11-25-92	451.67	32.21	419.46	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	12-14-92	451.67	30.58	421.09	ND	NR	NR
<b>RW-1</b>	01-29-93	451.67	22.89	428.78	ND	NR	NR
<b>RW-1</b>	02-26-93	451.67	23.97	427.70	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	03-29-93	451.67	23.98	427.69	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	04-27-93	451.67	27.26	424.41	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	05-10-93	451.67	29.64	422.03	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	06-17-93	451.67	30.18	421.49	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	07-27-93	451.67	31.55	420.12	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	08-26-93	451.67	31.82	419.85	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	09-14-93	451.67	32.32	419.35	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	11-05-93	451.67	31.91	419.76	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	03-26-94	451.67	27.78	423.89	ND	NR	NR
RW-1	06-13-94	451.67	29.48	422.19	ND	NR	NR
<b>RW-</b> 1	09-22-94	451.67	30.52	421.15	ND	NNE	0.056
RW-1	11-25-94	451.67	30.89	420.78	ND	N	0.06

TOC = Top of casing

ft-MSL = Elevation in feet, relative to mean sea level

MWN = Ground-water flow direction and gradient apply to the entire monitoring well network

NR = Not reported; data not available

ND = None detected

^ = Groundwater elevation (GWE) and depth to water (DTW) adjusted to include 80 percent of the floating product thickness (FPT):

 $[GWE = (TOC - DTW) + (FPT \times 0.8)]$ 

\* = Ploating product was not initially detected, but entered the well during purging

NNE = North-northeast

N = North

esj/h:\0771\0771mdb.x1s\Table 2:dcl 0805-122.01

Page 10

RCO Service Station 771 99 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California					Date: 03-07-95 Project Number: 0805-122.01			
Well Desig- nation	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	TPHD	тос
		ррь	ppb	ppb	ррь	ррь	ppb	ppn
MW-1	01-15-91	Not sampled: v	well contained	floating produc	t		· .	
MW-1	04-10-91	98000	11000	18000	2800	20000	NA	NA
MW-1	07-25-91	Not sampled: v	vell contained	floating produc	t			1.0
MW-1	10-30-91	Not sampled: v	well contained	floating produc	t			
MW-1	03-31-92	Not sampled: v	vell contained	floating produc	t			
MW-1	06-12-92	Not sampled: v	vell contained	floating produc	È			
MW-1	09-16-92	Not sampled: v	vell contained	floating produc	Ľ			
MW-1	11-25-92	Not sampled: v	vell contained	floating product	t			
MW-1	01 <b>-29-9</b> 3	360000	2500	9300	5100	41000	NA	NA
MW-1	05-10-93	1900000	4100	15000	21000	140000	NA	NA
MW-1	09-16-93	1800000	6400	21000	19000	140000	NA	NA
MW-1	11-05-93	700000	3000	7600	8600	65000	NA	NA
MW-1	03-26-94	29000	1000	290	610	3300	NA	NA
MW-1	06-13-94	25000	600	160	500	2500	NA	NA
MW-1	09-22-94	51000	1400	280	570	2800	NA	NA
<b>MW-</b> 1	11-25-94	170000	990	1000	1700	9400	NA	NA
MW-2	01-15-91	Not sampled: w	vell contained	floating product				
MW-2	04-10-91	Not sampled: w	ell contained	floating product				
MW-2	07-25-91	Not sampled: w	ell contained	floating product				

# Table 3 Historical Groundwater Analytical Data Summary Report

MW-2	01-15-91	Not sampled: we	ell contained f	loating produc	t						
MW-2	04-10-91	Not sampled: we	ell contained f	loating produc	:t						
MW-2	07-25-91	Not sampled: well contained floating product									
MW-2	10-30-91	Not sampled: we	Not sampled: well contained floating product								
MW-2	03-31-92	270000	7000	12000	4400	40000	NA	NA			
MW-2	06-12-92	110000	8900	13000	2800	16000	NA	NA			
MW-2	09-16-92	Not sampled: we	ll contained f	loating produc	t						
MW-2	11-25-92	Not sampled: we	Il contained f	loating produc	:t						
MW-2	01-29-93	89000	4600	5700	1800	15000	NA	NA			
MW-2	05-10-93	440000	3900	4300	4400	36000	NA	NA			
MW-2	09-16-93	200000	5500	4300	2300	19000	NA	NA			
MW-2	11-05-93	250000	7800	8400	3100	24000	NA	NA			
MW-2	03-26-94	22000	1100	1400	190	3700	NA	NA			
MW-2	06-13-94	71000	4100	4600	1700	9900	NA	NA			
MW-2	09-22-94	42000	1200	620	710	2000	NA	NA			
MW-2	11 <b>-25-9</b> 4	60000	3900	4100	1400	7400	NA	NA			
	Avenue, Live	crimore, Californi	a 			Projec	t Number: 080	5-122.01			
--------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------	-----------------	------------	-------------------	------------------	---------------	----------			
Well Desig- nation	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	TPHD	то			
		ppb	ррь	ррь	ppb	ppb	ppb	ppr			
MW-3	01-15-91	230	~0.5	~0.5		<b>?</b> 1	Ň A	N			
MW-3	04-10-91	530	12	8.4	2.2 A	4.1 7	NA	IN.			
MW-3	07-25-91	110	0.32	0.4	1 2	, 1	INA NA	IN. N			
MW-3	10-30-91	Not sampled: d	0.32 ru wali	0.75	1.2	1	INA.	11			
MW.3	03-31-02	670	19 WOII	1 1	74	07	<b>N7.4</b>	N			
MW.3	06-12-02	180	-0.5	1.1	7.4	21	INA NA	IN N			
VIV-3	00-12-92	280 	<0.5	<0.5	2.1	2	NA	N			
AM 2	11 25 02	20	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	N			
C- W 1V	01 20 02	220	1	<0.5	4.9	1.2	NA	N			
V1 VV - 5	01-29-93	38U*	0.8	0.6	2.1	2	NA	N			
VIW-3	05-10-93	170	<0.5	<0.5	2	0.6	NA	N			
MW-3	09-15-93	120	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	N			
MW-3	11-05-93	110	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	N			
AW-3	03-26-94	54	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	N			
AW-3	06-13-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	N			
AW-3	09-22-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	N			
AW-3	11-25-94	54	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	N.			
1W-4 1W-4	07-25-91 10-30-91	23000 19000	590 320	730 340	360	3500 180	NA	N/ N			
1W-4	03-31-92	30000	1300	740	770	4800	NA	N			
1W-4	06-12-92	28000	990	440	550	3200	NA	N			
1W-4	09-16-92	21000	740	240	350	1200	N/A	IN N			
1W-4	11-25-92	26000	1200	300	350	720	NA NA	IN N			
1W-4	01-29-93	20000	2000	500	330	750	IN/A NIA	in hi			
1 11 AW_A	05.10.03	23000	2000	200	1400	2500	NA	N			
A TT T A WA	00-16-02	14000 A2000	2200 240	090	1400	4000	INA N 4	N			
A 77 - 4	11 05 03	42000	040	90	360	690	NA	N			
1 VV -4 4557 4	11-03-93	30000	1000	240	390	1300	NA	N			
1 W -4	03-20-94	27000	1800	830	1300	2900	NA	N			
1W-4	06-13-94	17000	1300	620	<b>67</b> 0	1600	NA	N			
	09-22-94	10000	700	61	420	570	NA	N			
4 W -4				01		0.0		• •			

esj/h:\0771\0771mdb.xls\Table 3:dcl 0805-122.01

.

.....

RCO Servi 99 Rincon	ice Station 77 Avenue, Live	71 ermore, Californi	a			Projec	Date: 03-0 t Number: 080:	)7-95 5-122.01
Well Desig- nation	Water Sample Field Date	ТРНС	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	TPHD	TOG
		ppb	ррb	ppb	ррь	ppb	ppb	ppm
MW-5	07-25-91	57000	2300	4200		14000	ŇA	NA
MW-5	10-30-91	Not sampled: w	ell contained	floating produ	uct			
MW-5	03-31-92	80000	7100	9100	2000	16000	NA	NA
MW-5	06-12-92	69000	4000	5300	2200	12000	NA	NA
MW-5	09-16-92	65000	2300	2600	1700	9900	NA	NA
MW-5	11 <b>-25-92</b>	Not sampled: n	ew wellhead r	made casing in	naccessible fo	r sampling		
MW-5	01-29-93	Not sampled: n	ew wellhead r	made casing in	accessible fo	r sampling		
MW-5	05-10-93	220000	3900	3700	3400	15000	NA	NA
MW-5	09-16-93	180000	3500	3300	2700	10000	NA	NA
MW-5	11-05-93	66000	3000	2300	1700	6200	NA	NA
MW-5	03-26-94	39000	4000	2300	1600	6200	NA	NA
MW-5	06-13 <b>-94</b>	28000	2500	1700	1100	3900	NA	NA
MW-5	09-22-94	Not sampled: ve	ehicle was par	rked on well				
MW-5	11-25-94	31000	2400	1100	1100	4400	NA	NA

MW-6	07-25-91	10000	3000	200	340	1000	NA	NA
MW-6	10-30-91	970	150	4.4	4.9	6.6	NA	NA
MW-6	03-31-92	16000	3600	1500	660	1700	2400*	2.5(a), 4.0(b)
MW-6	06-12-92	2900	480	17	190	170	1100*	1.2(c)
MW-6	09-16-92	2300	220	4	92	43	810*	1.5(d)
MW-6	11-25-92	2700	240	11	103	32	720*	1.6(a), 1.8(b)
MW-6	01-29-93	20000	1800	1700	490	2600	2300*	3.6(a), 4.0(b)
MW-6	05-10-93	43000	3000	1700	1100	4800	3900*	16(a), 110(b)
MW-6	09-15-93	3500	300	10	100	180	1100*	1.0(a), 1.0(b)
MW-6	11-05-93	1100	140	ব	35	23	290	1.0(a), 1.0(b)
MW-6	03-26-94	3100	350	99	130	340	880	1.5(d)
MW-6	06-13-94	2300	250	12	130	31	350*	0.80(d)
MW-6	09-22-94	73	2.6	<0.5	1.7	0.7	<50	<0.5(a)
MW-6	11-25-94	1100	78	<2.5	46	17	<50	<0.5(d)

esj/h:\0771\0771mdb.xls\Table 3:dcl 0805-122.01

.

Water         Water           Well         Sample           Desig- nation         Date         TPHG         Benzene         Toluene         Ethyl- benzene         Total         TPHD           ppb         ppb	TOG ppm NA NA NA NA NA
Ppb $ppb$ $ppb$ $ppb$ $ppb$ $ppb$ MW-707-25-91450001500270012009200NAMW-710-30-919300018007707806700NAMW-703-31-92350009603503005900NAMW-706-12-92270009002703404800NAMW-709-16-923900019004104705000NAMW-701-29-9338000320011007404300NAMW-705-10-935400016001605603100NAMW-709-16-933700014001705602700NAMW-709-16-933700014001705602700NAMW-703-26-942200027002805002600NAMW-709-22-942200018003601900NAMW-711-25-942900026003806403300NAMW-801-29-93<50<0.5<0.5<0.5<0.5NAMW-705-10-93<50<0.5<0.5<0.5NAMW-705-12-93<50<0.5<0.5<0.5NAMW-711-25-942000026003806403300NAMW-805-10-93<50<0.5<0.5<0.5<0.5<0.5NAMW-803-26-94<	ppm NA NA NA NA
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NA NA NA NA
MW-710-30-919300018007707806700NAMW-703-31-92350009603503005900NAMW-706-12-92270009002703404800NAMW-709-16-923900019004104705000NAMW-701-29-9338000320011007404300NAMW-701-29-935400016001605603100NAMW-705-10-935400016001705602700NAMW-709-16-933700014001705602700NAMW-703-26-942200027002805002600NAMW-706-13-942100015001803601900NAMW-709-22-942200026003806403300NAMW-801-29-93<50	NA NA NA NA
MW-7 $03-31-92$ $35000$ $960$ $350$ $300$ $5900$ NAMW-7 $06-12-92$ $27000$ $900$ $270$ $340$ $4800$ NAMW-7 $09-16-92$ $39000$ $1900$ $410$ $470$ $5000$ NAMW-7 $11-25-92$ $49000$ $2900$ $810$ $750$ $5300$ NAMW-7 $01-29-93$ $38000$ $3200$ $1100$ $740$ $4300$ NAMW-7 $05-10-93$ $54000$ $1600$ $160$ $560$ $3100$ NAMW-7 $09-16-93$ $37000$ $1400$ $170$ $560$ $2700$ NAMW-7 $09-16-93$ $37000$ $1400$ $170$ $560$ $2700$ NAMW-7 $09-294$ $22000$ $2700$ $280$ $500$ $2600$ NAMW-7 $06-13-94$ $21000$ $1500$ $180$ $360$ $1900$ NAMW-7 $09-22-94$ $22000$ $1800$ $240$ $430$ $1900$ NAMW-7 $11-25-94$ $29000$ $2600$ $380$ $640$ $3300$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NA <td>NA NA NA</td>	NA NA NA
MW-7 $06-12-92$ $27000$ $900$ $270$ $340$ $4800$ NAMW-7 $09-16-92$ $39000$ $1900$ $410$ $470$ $5000$ NAMW-7 $11-25-92$ $49000$ $2900$ $810$ $750$ $5300$ NAMW-7 $01-29-93$ $38000$ $3200$ $1100$ $740$ $4300$ NAMW-7 $05-10-93$ $54000$ $1600$ $160$ $560$ $3100$ NAMW-7 $09-16-93$ $37000$ $1400$ $170$ $560$ $2700$ NAMW-7 $10-593$ $40000$ $1900$ $210$ $570$ $2900$ NAMW-7 $03-26-94$ $22000$ $2700$ $280$ $500$ $2600$ NAMW-7 $06-13-94$ $21000$ $1500$ $180$ $360$ $1900$ NAMW-7 $09-22-94$ $22000$ $1800$ $240$ $430$ $1900$ NAMW-7 $11-25-94$ $29000$ $2600$ $380$ $640$ $3300$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $NA$ MW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $NAMW-801-29-93<50<0.5<0.5<0.5<0.5NAMW-8$	NA NA
MW-7 $09-16-92$ $39000$ $1900$ $410$ $470$ $5000$ NAMW-7 $11-25-92$ $49000$ $2900$ $810$ $750$ $5300$ NAMW-7 $01-29-93$ $38000$ $3200$ $1100$ $740$ $4300$ NAMW-7 $05-10-93$ $54000$ $1600$ $160$ $560$ $3100$ NAMW-7 $05-16-93$ $37000$ $1400$ $170$ $560$ $2700$ NAMW-7 $09-16-93$ $37000$ $1400$ $170$ $560$ $2700$ NAMW-7 $03-26-94$ $22000$ $2700$ $280$ $500$ $2600$ NAMW-7 $06-13-94$ $21000$ $1500$ $180$ $360$ $1900$ NAMW-7 $09-22-94$ $22000$ $1800$ $240$ $430$ $1900$ NAMW-7 $11-25-94$ $29000$ $2600$ $380$ $640$ $3300$ NAMW-8 $05-10-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NAMW-8 $05-10-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ NAMW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $NA$ MW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $NA$ MW-8 $01-29-93$ $<50$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $NAMW-809-22-94<50<0.5<0.5<0.5<0.5<0.5NA$	NA
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BT A
MW-7       01-29-93       38000       3200       1100       740       4300       NA         MW-7       05-10-93       54000       1600       160       560       3100       NA         MW-7       09-16-93       37000       1400       170       560       2700       NA         MW-7       01-29-93       40000       1900       210       570       2900       NA         MW-7       03-26-94       22000       2700       280       500       2600       NA         MW-7       06-13-94       21000       1500       180       360       1900       NA         MW-7       06-13-94       21000       1500       180       360       1900       NA         MW-7       06-13-94       22000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       05-10-93       <50	NA.
MW-7       05-10-93       54000       1600       160       560       3100       NA         MW-7       09-16-93       37000       1400       170       560       2700       NA         MW-7       11-05-93       40000       1900       210       570       2900       NA         MW-7       03-26-94       22000       2700       280       500       2600       NA         MW-7       06-13-94       21000       1500       180       360       1900       NA         MW-7       09-22-94       22000       1800       240       430       1900       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       05-10-93       <50	NA
MW-7       09-16-93       37000       1400       170       560       2700       NA         MW-7       11-05-93       40000       1900       210       570       2900       NA         MW-7       03-26-94       22000       2700       280       500       2600       NA         MW-7       06-13-94       21000       1500       180       360       1900       NA         MW-7       09-22-94       22000       1800       240       430       1900       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       05-10-93       <50	NA
MW-7       11-05-93       40000       1900       210       570       2900       NA         MW-7       03-26-94       22000       2700       280       500       2600       NA         MW-7       06-13-94       21000       1500       180       360       1900       NA         MW-7       09-22-94       22000       1800       240       430       1900       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       01-29-93       <50	NA
MW-7       03-26-94       22000       2700       280       500       2600       NA         MW-7       06-13-94       21000       1500       180       360       1900       NA         MW-7       09-22-94       22000       1800       240       430       1900       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       05-10-93       <50	NA
MW-7       06-13-94       21000       1500       180       360       1900       NA         MW-7       09-22-94       22000       1800       240       430       1900       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       01-29-93       <50	NA
MW-7       09-22-94       22000       1800       240       430       1900       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       01-29-93       <50	NA
MW-7       11-25-94       29000       2600       380       640       3300       NA         MW-8       01-29-93       <50	NA
MW-8       01-29-93       <50       <0.5       <0.5       <0.5       <0.5       NA         MW-8       05-10-93       <50	NA
MW-8         05-10-93         <50         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         NA           MW-8         09-15-93         <50	NA
MW-8         09-15-93         <50         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         NA           MW-8         11-05-93         <50	NA
MW-8       11-05-93       <50       <0.5       <0.5       <0.5       <0.5       NA         MW-8       03-26-94       <50	NA
MW-8         03-26-94         <50         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         NA           MW-8         06-13-94         <50	NA
MW-8         06-13-94         <50         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         NA           MW-8         09-22-94         <50	NA
MW-8         09-22-94         <50         <0.5         <0.5         <0.5         NA           MW-8         11-25-94         <50	NA
MW-8 11-25-94 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 ×0.5 NA	NA
	NA
MW-9 01-29-93 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 NA	NA
MW-9 05-10-93 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 NA	NA
MW-9 09-15-93 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 NA	NA
MW-9 11-05-93 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 NA	NA NA
MW-9 03-26-94 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 ×0.5 NA	NA
MW-9 06-13-94 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 NA	NA
MW-9 09-22-94 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 NA	NA
MW-9 11-25-94 <50 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 NA	

esj/h:\0771\0771mdb.xls\Table 3:dcl 0805-122.01

899 Rincon	Avenue, Livern	iore, Californi	a			Projec	et Number: 080	5-122.01
Well Desig- nation	Water Sample Field Date	TPHG ppb	Benzene ppb	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	TPHD	TOG
					FF-	PP~	PP0	
MW-10	01-29-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	ŇA	NA
MW-10	05-10-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
MW-10	09-15-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
MW-10	11-05-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
MW-10	03-26-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
MW-10	00-13-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
MW-10	09-22-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
M W-10	11-25-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
MW-11	06-12-92	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
MW-11	09-15-92	<50	<0.5	<0,5	<0.5	< 0.5	NA	NA
MW-11	11-25-92	<50	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
MW-11	01-29-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
MW-11	05-10-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
MW-11	09-15-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
MW-11	11-05-93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
MW-11	03-26-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
MW-11	06-13-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
MW-11	09-22-94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
MW-11	11-25-94	<50	<0,5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA
<b>RW-</b> 1	06-12-92	54000	2300	4400	1200	12000	NA	NA
<b>RW-1</b>	09-15-92	49000	1500	2200	870	6900	NA	NA NA
RW-1	11-25-92	32000	1500	2500	1000	5500	NA	NA NA
<b>RW-1</b>	01-29-93	43000	3100	2500	990	7400	NA	NA NA
<b>RW-1</b>	05-10-93	30000	2900	1100	690	4300	NΔ	NA
RW-1	09-16-93	20000	1800	580	620	2300	NA	NA
RW-1	11-05-93	25000	1800	250	740	1300	NA	NA NA
RW-1	03-26-94	8100	780	100	360	340	NA	NΔ
RW-1	06-13-94	4900	510	32	150	170	NA	N۵
RW-1	09-22-94	4900	390	30	190	210	NA	NA
RW-1	11-25-94	4900	550	68	200	230	NA	NA NA

Date: 03-07-95

TPHG = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline

TPHD = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel

ARCO Service Station 771

TOG = Total oil and grease/petroleum hydrocarbons using method: (a) 5520F-IR, (b) 5520C, (c) 413.2, or (d) 418.1

ppb = Parts per billion or micrograms per liter (µg/l)

ppm = Parts per million or milligrams per liter (mg/l); TOG only

NA = Not analyzed

\* = Chromatogram does not match the typical fingerprint for gasoline or diesel

ARCO Service Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, Califo	rnia	Date: Project Number:	02-09-95 0805-122.01
Well Desig- nation	Date	Floating Product Recovered gallons	
MW-1, MW-2, and MW-5	1991	2.77	<u>.</u>
MW-1, MW-2, and MW-5	1992	0.29	
MW-1, MW-2, and MW-5	1993	0.00	
	1994 to Date:		
<b>MW-</b> 1	11-25-94	0.00	
MW-2	11-25-94	0.00	
MW-5	11-25-94	0.00	
	1994 Tota	d: 0.00	
	1991 to 1994 Tota	d: 3.06	

# Table 4 Approximate Cumulative Floating Product Recovered Summary Report

esj/h:\0771\0771mdb.xls\Table 4:dcl 0805-122.01

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µ	g/L				
Well ID and		TOC	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-1															
3/20/1995		451.73	32.00	41.00	24.50	427.23	90,000	1,800	1,100	1,000	5,600				
6/2/1995			32.00	41.00	25.60	426.13	81,000	2,000	1,400	990	4,600				
8/23/1995			32.00	41.00	29.04	422.69	44,000	2,400	1,900	670	3,800	<300			
12/4/1995			32.00	41.00	31.31	420.42	22,000	870	660	390	2,200				
2/20/1996			32.00	41.00	22.26	429.47	21,000	1,500	1,200	650	3,500	<300			
5/15/1996			32.00	41.00	23.42	428.31	36,000	3,000	2,500	960	5,700	<250			
8/13/1996			32.00	41.00	26.83	424.90	19,000	730	580	450	2,500	<200			
11/13/1996			32.00	41.00	31.05	420.68	6,600	47	16	74	160	<30			
3/26/1997			32.00	41.00	26.29	425.44	1,900	100	55	37	200	<30			
5/15/1997			32.00	41.00	28.65	423.08	16,000	490	250	250	1,100	<120			
8/26/1997			32.00	41.00	31.53	420.20	190	6.7	3	6.3	25	<3			
11/5/1997			32.00	41.00	33.93	417.80	63	0.5	< 0.5	0.8	2.4	29			
2/18/1998			32.00	41.00	20.46	431.27	23,000	1,500	610	550	3,000	<120			
5/20/1998			32.00	41.00	23.84	427.89	50,000	4,400	1,900	1,400	80,000	<300			
7/30/1998	Р		32.00	41.00	26.94	424.79	150	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.6	<3	8.74		
10/29/1998	NP		32.00	41.00	32.58	419.15	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.8	<3	2.0		
3/16/1999	Р		32.00	41.00	26.20	425.53	3,200	160	32	89	390	270	2.0		
5/5/1999	Р		32.00	41.00	27.57	424.16	3,600	140	46	76	290	170	11.65		
8/26/1999	Р		32.00	41.00	30.25	421.48	3,200	210	29	100	220	120	1.43		
12/3/1999	NP		32.00	41.00	32.70	419.03	53	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	1	<3	2.12		
3/13/2000	Р		32.00	41.00	24.45	427.28	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	<3	5.81		
6/20/2000			32.00	41.00	27.79	423.94	67.4	3.88	< 0.500	1.78	1.48	<2.50			b
6/20/2000	Р		32.00	41.00	27.79	423.94	356	40.1	7.17	11.9	22.7	<2.50	5.1		
8/31/2000			32.00	41.00	30.35	421.38									
2/9/2001			32.00	41.00	30.95	420.78									
9/17/2001			32.00	41.00	30.85	420.88									
1/21/2002			32.00	41.00	30.61	421.12									
7/19/2002			32.00	41.00	31.55	420.18									
1/15/2003			32.00	41.00	22.99	428.74									
7/9/2003			32.00	41.00	30.35	421.38									

 Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µį	g/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-1 Cont.															
02/19/2004		451.73	32.00	41.00	26.24	425.49									
08/04/2004		454.23	32.00	41.00	26.36	427.87									
01/18/2005			32.00	41.00	24.47	429.76									
07/15/2005			32.00	41.00	29.44	424.79									
01/10/2006			32.00	41.00	22.58	431.65									
7/21/2006			32.00	41.00	20.73	433.50									
1/17/2007			32.00	41.00	31.88	422.35									
7/18/2007			32.00	41.00	32.85	421.38									
1/15/2008			32.00	41.00	28.76	425.47									
7/7/2008			32.00	41.00	35.56	418.67									
1/7/2009			32.00	41.00	34.07	420.16									
7/22/2009			32.00	41.00											Dry
3/12/2010			32.00	41.00	27.61	426.62									
9/9/2010			32.00	41.00	31.72	422.51									
2/17/2011			32.00	41.00	32.11	422.12									
7/7/2011			32.00	41.00	31.12	423.11									
MW-2															
3/20/1995		449.49	30.00	38.00	20.27	429.22	54,000	2,600	1,600	1,200	7,600				
6/2/1995			30.00	38.00	22.32	427.17	37,000	2,200	800	980	4,800				
8/23/1995			30.00	38.00	25.69	423.80	65,000	1,100	310	840	3,000	<500			
12/4/1995			30.00	38.00	28.52	420.97	19,000	680	150	410	1,600				
2/20/1996			30.00	38.00	19.00	430.49	22,000	1,200	240	590	2,200	<300			
5/15/1996			30.00	38.00	20.03	429.46	25,000	1,200	240	610	2,100	<300			
8/13/1996			30.00	38.00	24.44	425.05	19,000	640	110	420	1,200	<300			
11/13/1996			30.00	38.00	28.42	421.07	15,000	260	52	220	640	<200			
3/26/1997			30.00	38.00	22.98	426.51	17,000	580	120	360	980	<120			
5/15/1997			30.00	38.00	25.40	424.09	18,000	420	63	340	730	<120			
8/26/1997			30.00	38.00	28.38	421.11	5,300	210	26	140	270	<120			
11/5/1997			30.00	38.00	31.93	417.56	560	42	2.6	7	9	<40			
2/18/1998			30.00	38.00	16.87	432.62	18,000	710	120	480	1,100	130			

# Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µį	g/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-2 Cont.															
5/20/1998		449.49	30.00	38.00	20.29	429.20	16,000	480	72	440	1,100	<120			
7/30/1998	Р		30.00	38.00	23.51	425.98	9,700	240	33	210	490	<120	9.21		
10/29/1998	NP		30.00	38.00	30.08	419.41	58	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.2	<3	1.0		
3/16/1999	Р		30.00	38.00	23.22	426.27	4,700	120	13	90	220	60	2.0		
5/5/1999	Р		30.00	38.00	24.05	425.44	5,500	58	7.1	58	98	17	9.09		
8/26/1999	Р		30.00	38.00	26.44	423.05	3,700	55	11	60	64	26	1.9		
12/3/1999	NP		30.00	38.00	30.15	419.34	130	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.7	1.8	<3	1.96		
3/13/2000	Р		30.00	38.00	20.68	428.81	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	<3			
6/20/2000	Р		30.00	38.00	23.08	426.41	226	2.2	< 0.500	4.83	7.88	<2.50	4.9		
8/31/2000	Р		30.00	38.00	26.71	422.78	87.1	1.78	< 0.500	1.33	1.15	<2.50	1.59		
2/9/2001			30.00	38.00	29.65	419.84									
9/17/2001	Р		30.00	38.00	27.62	421.87	3,100	300	12	8.8	18	120	1.7		
1/21/2002			30.00	38.00	27.09	422.40									
7/19/2002	Р		30.00	38.00	27.82	421.67	4,700	280	13	120	19	16	0.8	7.4	а
1/15/2003			30.00	38.00	22.18	427.31									
7/9/2003			30.00	38.00	26.40	423.09	3,900	170	<5.0	100	19	39	2.5	7.0	
02/19/2004			30.00	38.00	23.85	425.64									
08/04/2004	Р	452.05	30.00	38.00	24.71	427.34	5,400	650	21	160	56	78	0.8	7.2	
01/18/2005			30.00	38.00	20.86	431.19									
07/15/2005	Р		30.00	38.00	25.92	426.13	5,200	160	5.3	56	10	46	3.1	6.9	
01/10/2006			30.00	38.00	19.25	432.80									
7/21/2006	Р		30.00	38.00	25.73	426.32	120	0.90	< 0.50	< 0.50	<0.50	< 0.50	6.08	8.3	
1/17/2007			30.00	38.00	28.70	423.35									
7/18/2007	Р		30.00	38.00	29.07	422.98	2,300	58	2.4	9.5	3.5	45	1.19	7.51	
1/15/2008			30.00	38.00	24.65	427.40									
7/7/2008	NP		30.00	38.00	32.41	419.64	3,600	28	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	19	2.81	7.24	
1/7/2009			30.00	38.00	31.67	420.38									
7/22/2009			30.00	38.00	33.48	418.57									
3/12/2010			30.00	38.00	23.84	428.21									
9/9/2010	Р		30.00	38.00	27.84	424.21	6,200	53	3.8	18	9.5	13		6.8	

 Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µ	g/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-2 Cont.															
2/17/2011		452.05	30.00	38.00	27.52	424.53									
7/7/2011	Р		30.00	38.00	26.62	425.43	1,600	17	0.76	1.2	1.5	6.2	1.02	7.1	g (GRO)
MW-3															
3/20/1995		450.28	32.00	40.00	22.19	428.09	94	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5				
6/2/1995			32.00	40.00	23.28	427.00	72	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5				
8/23/1995			32.00	40.00	26.55	423.73	98	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.6	0.5	<3			
12/4/1995			32.00	40.00	29.52	420.76	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5				
2/20/1996			32.00	40.00	19.83	430.45	130	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/15/1996			32.00	40.00	21.03	429.25	120	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5			
8/13/1996			32.00	40.00	25.67	424.61	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3			
11/13/1996			32.00	40.00	21.57	428.71	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
3/26/1997			32.00	40.00	24.15	426.13	<50	1.1	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/15/1997			32.00	40.00	26.85	423.43	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
8/26/1997			32.00	40.00	30.07	420.21	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
11/5/1997			32.00	40.00	32.46	417.82	<50	< 0.5	0.7	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
2/18/1998			32.00	40.00	17.82	432.46	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/20/1998			32.00	40.00	21.41	428.87	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
7/30/1998	Р		32.00	40.00	26.41	423.87	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3	9.56		
10/29/1998	Р		32.00	40.00	31.33	418.95	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3	1.0		
3/16/1999	Р		32.00	40.00	24.61	425.67	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3	1.0		
5/5/1999	Р		32.00	40.00	25.75	424.53	140	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.6	<0.5	<3	4.43		
8/26/1999	Р		32.00	40.00	28.49	421.79	80	0.6	0.6	0.6	1	<3	1.69		
12/3/1999	Р		32.00	40.00	31.45	418.83	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	<3	2.26		
3/13/2000	Р		32.00	40.00	22.18	428.10	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	<3	4.41		
6/20/2000	Р		32.00	40.00	26.03	424.25	< 50.0	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	<2.50	2.3		
8/31/2000			32.00	40.00	28.75	421.53									
2/9/2001			32.00	40.00	31.04	419.24									
9/17/2001			32.00	40.00	29.04	421.24									
1/21/2002			32.00	40.00	28.81	421.47									
7/19/2002			32.00	40.00	28.92	421.36									

# Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µg	g/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-3 Cont.															
1/15/2003		450.28	32.00	40.00	22.88	427.40									
7/9/2003			32.00	40.00	28.00	422.28									
02/19/2004			32.00	40.00	25.29	424.99									
08/04/2004		452.75	32.00	40.00	27.40	425.35									
01/18/2005			32.00	40.00	22.76	429.99									
07/15/2005			32.00	40.00	25.95	426.80									
01/10/2006			32.00	40.00	21.18	431.57									
7/21/2006			32.00	40.00	25.73	427.02									
1/17/2007			32.00	40.00	30.51	422.24									
7/18/2007			32.00	40.00	29.53	423.22									
1/15/2008			32.00	40.00	27.65	425.10									
7/7/2008			32.00	40.00	33.38	419.37									
1/7/2009			32.00	40.00	34.09	418.66									
7/22/2009			32.00	40.00	34.98	417.77									
3/12/2010			32.00	40.00	25.89	426.86									
9/9/2010			32.00	40.00	31.13	421.62									
2/17/2011			32.00	40.00	30.28	422.47									
7/7/2011			32.00	40.00	30.48	422.27									
MW-4															
3/20/1995		451.09	26.00	42.00	22.68	428.41	12,000	1,000	100	450	700				
6/2/1995			26.00	42.00	24.41	426.68	9,000	850	56	380	430				
8/23/1995			26.00	42.00	27.72	423.37	5,300	400	25	240	170	<100			
12/4/1995			26.00	42.00	29.85	421.24	6,700	100	<10	90	38				
2/20/1996			26.00	42.00	21.16	429.93	7,000	360	22	180	160	<70			
5/15/1996			26.00	42.00	22.18	428.91									
8/13/1996			26.00	42.00	26.20	424.89									
11/13/1996			26.00	42.00	29.72	421.37									
3/26/1997			26.00	42.00	21.86	429.23	8,900	390	33	200	250	<70			
5/15/1997			26.00	42.00	26.92	424.17									
8/26/1997			26.00	42.00	29.30	421.79									

# Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µį	g/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-4 Cont.															
11/5/1997		451.09	26.00	42.00	32.14	418.95									
2/18/1998			26.00	42.00	19.30	431.79	5,300	220	19	160	130	120			
5/20/1998			26.00	42.00	22.40	428.69									
7/30/1998			26.00	42.00	25.74	425.35									
10/29/1998			26.00	42.00	31.26	419.83									
3/16/1999	Р		26.00	42.00	25.05	426.04	1,900	49	<5	43	<5	82	1.5		
5/5/1999			26.00	42.00	26.15	424.94									
8/26/1999			26.00	42.00	28.60	422.49							1.43		
12/3/1999			26.00	42.00	31.53	419.56									
3/13/2000	Р		26.00	42.00	23.61	427.48	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	<3	3.82		
6/20/2000			26.00	42.00	26.38	424.71							0.4		
8/31/2000	NP		26.00	42.00	29.55	421.54	<50.0	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	<2.50	1.04		
2/9/2001	NP		26.00	42.00	30.30	420.79	<50.0	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	<2.50	1.39		
9/17/2001	NP		26.00	42.00	29.90	421.19	3,400	51	<5.0	16	23	360	0.92		
1/21/2002	NP		26.00	42.00	29.51	421.58	1,900	140	12	27	48	300	1.03		
7/19/2002	NP		26.00	42.00	30.77	420.32	2,700	150	9.9	<5.0	<5.0	130	1.0	7.3	а
1/15/2003			26.00	42.00	23.56	427.53	4,800	150	5.3	28	46	150	1.3	7.0	а
7/9/2003			26.00	42.00	29.50	421.59	3,000	210	9.4	6	20	150	2.0	6.9	
02/19/2004	Р		26.00	42.00	26.35	424.74	4,800	270	11	25	19	180	1.8	6.2	с
08/04/2004	NP	453.80	26.00	42.00	26.48	427.32	4,200	410	13	49	59	300	0.7	6.7	
01/18/2005	Р		26.00	42.00	23.15	430.65	4,500	250	9.5	62	22	160	1.2	6.9	
07/15/2005	NP		26.00	42.00	28.13	425.67	3,500	230	6.1	19	15	230	0.5	7.0	
01/10/2006	Р		26.00	42.00	21.49	432.31	5,500	250	7.6	37	25	190	1.3	7.1	
7/21/2006	NP		26.00	42.00	28.88	424.92	66	0.60	< 0.50	0.52	0.82	3.1	4.75	8.3	
1/17/2007	NP		26.00	42.00	30.80	423.00	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	11	6.19	8.03	
7/18/2007	NP		26.00	42.00	32.00	421.80	2,400	140	6.8	1.3	4.1	74	5.03	7.12	
1/15/2008	NP		26.00	42.00	27.30	426.50	220	1.2	< 0.50	< 0.50	0.50	61	3.29	6.94	f (MTBE)
7/7/2008	NP		26.00	42.00	34.78	419.02	<50	3.1	< 0.50	< 0.50	0.66	17	4.03	7.26	
1/7/2009	NP		26.00	42.00	32.59	421.21	110	1.1	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	37	2.79	7.26	
7/22/2009	NP		26.00	42.00	36.77	417.03	3,000	320	7.8	5.3	16	63	10.82	7.45	

 Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µg	g/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-4 Cont.															
3/12/2010	NP	453.80	26.00	42.00	26.38	427.42	1,700	150	4.6	8.3	2.3	43	1.14	7.08	
9/9/2010	NP		26.00	42.00	28.20	425.60	3,300	70	<2.5	3.6	3.6	51		6.8	
2/17/2011	NP		26.00	42.00	30.62	423.18	2,300	59	2.2	2.2	5.0	33	1.03	7.8	g (GRO)
7/7/2011	NP		26.00	42.00	27.98	425.82	2,000	79	2.7	<2.5	3.3	57	0.70	6.9	g (GRO)
MW-5															
3/20/1995		451.40	31.50	41.00	23.20	428.20	26,000	1,300	180	890	2,900				
6/2/1995			31.50	41.00	24.80	426.60	39,000	940	160	740	1,900				
8/23/1995			31.50	41.00	28.10	423.30	14,000	490	74	250	890	<300			
12/4/1995			31.50	41.00	29.83	421.57	7,600	230	13	61	80				
2/20/1996			31.50	41.00	21.63	429.77	4,300	220	12	45	130	<50			
5/15/1996			31.50	41.00	22.87	428.53	2,200	380	17	58	84	<40			
8/13/1996			31.50	41.00	26.48	424.92	1,700	150	16	24	35	47			
11/13/1996			31.50	41.00	29.68	421.72	850	150	11	19	37	66			
3/26/1997			31.50	41.00	25.14	426.26	2,400	440	21	79	210	68			
5/15/1997			31.50	41.00	27.38	424.02	3,900	510	19	140	240	48			
8/26/1997			31.50	41.00	29.89	421.51	76	4.9	< 0.5	1.5	2	9			
11/5/1997			31.50	41.00	32.57	418.83	63	0.8	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.2	34			
2/18/1998			31.50	41.00	19.99	431.41	6,200	630	70	320	640	320			
5/20/1998			31.50	41.00	23.21	428.19	2,300	340	21	110	140	62			
7/30/1998	Р		31.50	41.00	26.19	425.21	<50	0.8	< 0.5	0.6	0.9	<3	8.83		
10/29/1998	NP		31.50	41.00	31.92	419.48	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3	2.0		
3/16/1999	Р		31.50	41.00	25.80	425.60	1,300	170	8	59	65	120	2.0		
5/5/1999	Р		31.50	41.00	27.09	424.31	320	31	1.1	13	13	19	12.09		
8/26/1999	Р		31.50	41.00	29.67	421.73	260	13	1.7	4.2	6.3	150	1.31		
12/3/1999			31.50	41.00											d
3/13/2000	Р		31.50	41.00	24.51	426.89	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	<3	4.41		
6/20/2000	Р		31.50	41.00	27.37	424.03	60.8	4.84	< 0.500	1.9	1.59	<2.50	5.3		
8/31/2000	Р		31.50	41.00	30.21	421.19	<50.0	1.18	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	3.83	0.97		
2/9/2001			31.50	41.00	30.19	421.21									
9/17/2001	Р		31.50	41.00	30.71	420.69	2,700	120	10	90	77	330	0.81		

 Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µg	g/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/	_		Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-5 Cont.															
1/21/2002		451.40	31.50	41.00	30.40	421.00									
7/19/2002	Р		31.50	41.00	31.93	419.47	1,600	170	7	120	<5.0	180	1.7	7.2	а
1/15/2003			31.50	41.00	23.12	428.28									
7/9/2003			31.50	41.00	30.95	420.45	2,000	160	5.7	67	27	260	1.5	6.9	
02/19/2004			31.50	41.00	26.73	424.67									
08/04/2004	Р	453.52	31.50	41.00	26.61	426.91	2,100	250	5.3	73	22	250	2.7	7.0	
01/18/2005			31.50	41.00	24.10	429.42									
07/15/2005	Р		31.50	41.00	29.27	424.25	1,600	61	<5.0	8.7	<5.0	270	2.1	6.9	
01/10/2006			31.50	41.00	22.19	431.33									
7/21/2006	Р		31.50	41.00	30.36	423.16	2,100	29	<5.0	7.5	11	14	2.98	7.1	
1/17/2007			31.50	41.00	31.77	421.75									
7/18/2007	NP		31.50	41.00	33.42	420.10	470	36	0.84	0.97	2.2	110	1.73	7.50	
1/15/2008			31.50	41.00	28.60	424.92									
7/7/2008	NP		31.50	41.00	35.80	417.72	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	7.55	7.79	
1/7/2009			31.50	41.00	33.14	420.38									
7/22/2009	NP		31.50	41.00	37.84	415.68	100	3.0	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	12	12.34	7.24	
3/12/2010			31.50	41.00	27.29	426.23									
9/9/2010	Р		31.50	41.00	28.96	424.56	1,000	18	1.4	0.55	3.2	10		6.9	
2/17/2011			31.50	41.00	31.49	422.03									
7/7/2011	Р		31.50	41.00	28.72	424.80	620	9.0	0.60	<0.50	0.61	4.6	1.60	7.0	g (GRO)
MW-6															
3/20/1995		451.37	32.00	42.00	25.19	426.18	2,600	210	87	82	140				
6/2/1995			32.00	42.00	25.75	425.62	1,600	55	7.9	40	26				
8/23/1995			32.00	42.00	29.53	421.84	1,400	42	2.5	36	13	<20			
12/4/1995			32.00	42.00	32.28	419.09	2,500	52	5.8	59	13				
2/20/1996			32.00	42.00	22.27	429.10	2,500	120	16	73	12	<30			
5/15/1996			32.00	42.00	23.86	427.51	2,000	71	6.4	47	25	<15			
8/13/1996			32.00	42.00	28.55	422.82	3,800	91	8.2	69	25	<20			
11/13/1996			32.00	42.00	32.04	419.33	1,900	55	3.3	55	8.5	16			
3/26/1997			32.00	42.00	26.84	424.53	1,800	51	5	32	15	<30			

 Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µg	g/L				
Well ID and		TOC	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-6 Cont.															
5/15/1997		451.37	32.00	42.00	29.58	421.79	2,400	46	3	29	9	<12			
8/26/1997			32.00	42.00	32.67	418.70	1,400	61	6	33	10	<12			
11/5/1997			32.00	42.00	34.62	416.75	690	29	2.7	18	3.4	9			
2/18/1998			32.00	42.00	20.09	431.28	1,800	74	5	24	12	19			
5/20/1998			32.00	42.00	24.05	427.32	1,900	280	4	31	16	9			
7/30/1998	Р		32.00	42.00	28.72	422.65	2,300	110	7	36	20	<15			
10/29/1998	Р		32.00	42.00	32.77	418.60	2,500	14	13	17	12	<12	1.0		
3/16/1999	Р		32.00	42.00	26.45	424.92	1,200	65	4	27	13	18	0.5		
5/5/1999	Р		32.00	42.00	27.86	423.51	2,200	53	4	26	6	25	5.59		
8/26/1999	Р		32.00	42.00	30.49	420.88	1,100	11	6	10	4	13	2.35		
12/3/1999	Р		32.00	42.00	32.35	419.02	370	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.8	<1	4	2.36		
3/13/2000	Р		32.00	42.00	28.36	423.01	54	2.1	0.5	0.9	1.4	<3	4.22		
6/20/2000	Р		32.00	42.00	28.35	423.02	195	1.83	< 0.500	0.528	< 0.500	<2.50	3.5		
8/31/2000	Р		32.00	42.00	30.20	421.17	276	3.52	0.788	1.15	0.621	8.73	7.0		
2/9/2001	Р		32.00	42.00	30.70	420.67	253	5.44	2.93	0.924	0.977	48.9	0.59		
2/9/2001			32.00	42.00	30.70	420.67	222	4.49	2.73	0.579	0.523	57.1			b
9/17/2001			32.00	42.00	30.94	420.43	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	<2.5			b
9/17/2001	Р		32.00	42.00	30.94	420.43	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	<2.5	2.79		
1/21/2002	Р		32.00	42.00	30.55	420.82	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	<5.0	1.9		
7/19/2002	Р		32.00	42.00	30.27	421.10	60	2	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	3.5	7.9	а
1/15/2003			32.00	42.00	22.86	428.51	83	9.1	< 0.50	3.4	4.6	1	2.5	7.2	а
7/9/2003	Р		32.00	42.00	29.41	421.96	110	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	0.98	2.6	7.1	
02/19/2004			32.00	42.00	43.25	408.12									
08/04/2004	Р	453.83	32.00	42.00	27.71	426.12	540	36	3.8	17	24	5.2	3.5	7.1	
01/18/2005			32.00	42.00	24.56	429.27									
07/15/2005	Р		32.00	42.00	27.61	426.22	4,600	210	44	150	670	32	3.5	7.1	
01/10/2006			32.00	42.00	23.75	430.08									
7/21/2006	Р		32.00	42.00	27.96	425.87	260	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	0.86	5.1	2.60	7.2	
1/17/2007			32.00	42.00	30.57	423.26									
7/18/2007	Р		32.00	42.00	30.96	422.87	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	<0.50	4.95	7.57	

 Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µg	g/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-6 Cont.															
1/15/2008		453.83	32.00	42.00	28.89	424.94									
7/7/2008	NP		32.00	42.00	34.57	419.26	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	6.00	7.19	
1/7/2009			32.00	42.00	34.75	419.08									
7/22/2009	NP		32.00	42.00	35.84	417.99	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	16.67	7.68	
3/12/2010			32.00	42.00	27.89	425.94									
9/9/2010	NP		32.00	42.00	33.06	420.77	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		7.2	
2/17/2011			32.00	42.00	32.60	421.23									
7/7/2011	NP		32.00	42.00	32.72	421.11	430	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	8.0	2.04	7.1	g (GRO)
MW-7															
3/20/1995		450.33	30.00	40.00	22.07	428.26	31,000	2,300	400	620	2,900				
6/2/1995			30.00	40.00	23.42	426.91	40,000	1,400	280	610	2,400				
8/23/1995			30.00	40.00	27.13	423.20	25,000	1,400	200	600	1,600	350			
12/4/1995			30.00	40.00	29.45	420.88	23,000	1,100	74	490	720				
2/20/1996			30.00	40.00	20.25	430.08	39,000	1,200	140	640	1,800	<400			
5/15/1996			30.00	40.00	21.38	428.95									
8/13/1996			30.00	40.00	25.52	424.81									
11/13/1996			30.00	40.00	29.38	420.95									
3/26/1997			30.00	40.00	24.36	425.97	35,000	1,100	180	460	1,700	<300			
5/15/1997		ĺ	30.00	40.00	26.90	423.43									
8/26/1997			30.00	40.00	30.21	420.12									
11/5/1997			30.00	40.00	32.49	417.84									
2/18/1998			30.00	40.00	18.10	432.23	19,000	1,100	120	460	1,700	240			
5/20/1998			30.00	40.00	21.68	428.65									
7/30/1998			30.00	40.00	26.07	424.26									
10/29/1998			30.00	40.00	31.13	419.20									
3/16/1999	Р		30.00	40.00	24.45	425.88	8,600	430	51	200	680	<120	1.5		
5/5/1999			30.00	40.00	25.84	424.49									
8/26/1999			30.00	40.00	28.28	422.05							1.51		
12/3/1999			30.00	40.00	31.57	418.76									
3/13/2000			30.00	40.00											d

 Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µg	g/L				
Well ID and		TOC	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-7 Cont.															
6/20/2000		450.33	30.00	40.00	25.91	424.42							5.4		
8/31/2000			30.00	40.00	28.40	421.93	8,410	344	58.9	276	581	202	0.09		
2/9/2001			30.00	40.00	30.04	420.29	2,030	203	12	17.9	49.4	128	1.55		
9/17/2001	Р		30.00	40.00	29.03	421.30	4,800	200	14	9.9	27	160	0.29		
1/21/2002			30.00	40.00	28.98	421.35	2,600	280	17	41	50	97			b
1/21/2002	Р		30.00	40.00	28.98	421.35	4,200	350	20	52	63	99	0.81		
7/19/2002	Р		30.00	40.00	28.70	421.63	5,700	630	31	330	160	64	0.7	7.3	а
1/15/2003			30.00	40.00	21.91	428.42	12,000	470	19	340	310	91	1.5	7.0	а
7/9/2003	Р		30.00	40.00	27.88	422.45	6,700	590	23	280	92	110	1.0	6.9	
02/19/2004	Р		30.00	40.00	25.12	425.21	8,900	670	24	470	120	100	0.8	6.6	с
08/04/2004	Р	452.70	30.00	40.00	25.92	426.78	9,100	930	29	460	130	140	0.6	7.2	
01/18/2005	Р		30.00	40.00	22.31	430.39	16,000	770	33	590	220	87	1.0	6.9	
07/15/2005	Р		30.00	40.00	27.20	425.50	12,000	1,000	38	490	220	150	1.5	6.9	
01/10/2006	Р		30.00	40.00	20.61	432.09	13,000	1,200	50	760	330	120	0.8	7.1	
7/21/2006	Р		30.00	40.00	28.10	424.60	8,000	110	<50	380	180	54	3.20	7.8	
1/17/2007	Р		30.00	40.00	29.70	423.00	5,600	16	<2.5	26	12	3.1	1.08	7.83	
7/18/2007	Р		30.00	40.00	29.73	422.97	2,400	140	2.8	9.1	7.3	67	4.86	7.67	
1/15/2008	Р		30.00	40.00	26.18	426.52	3,500	120	3.6	9.0	29	26	3.16	7.07	
7/7/2008	NP		30.00	40.00	33.10	419.60	70	0.76	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	0.69	7.81	8.24	
1/7/2009	NP		30.00	40.00	33.21	419.49	<50	1.5	< 0.50	<0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	3.00	7.73	
7/22/2009	NP		30.00	40.00	34.54	418.16	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	0.53	11.95	7.65	
3/12/2010	Р		30.00	40.00	25.46	427.24	2,600	36	1.0	14	9.1	11	0.42	8.07	
9/9/2010	NP		30.00	40.00	30.10	422.60	2,800	430	11	32	46	110			
2/17/2011			30.00	40.00	29.71	422.99									
7/7/2011	NP		30.00	40.00	29.68	423.02	2,600	310	8.3	7.5	46	150	0.77	6.9	g (GRO)
MW-8															
3/20/1995		449.43	27.50	42.50	24.75	424.68	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5				
6/2/1995			27.50	42.50	24.95	424.48									
8/23/1995			27.50	42.50	30.94	418.49	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3			
12/4/1995			27.50	42.50	31.99	417.44									

 Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µ	g/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-8 Cont.															
2/20/1996		449.43	27.50	42.50	21.13	428.30	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
5/15/1996			27.50	42.50	21.96	427.47									
8/13/1996			27.50	42.50	30.20	419.23	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3			
11/13/1996			27.50	42.50	33.24	416.19									
3/26/1997			27.50	42.50	26.85	422.58	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/15/1997			27.50	42.50	29.69	419.74									
8/26/1997			27.50	42.50	34.00	415.43	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3			
11/5/1997			27.50	42.50	35.94	413.49									
2/18/1998			27.50	42.50	18.18	431.25	<50	0.6	0.6	< 0.5	1.1	<3			
5/20/1998			27.50	42.50	22.85	426.58									
7/30/1998	NP		27.50	42.50	30.31	419.12	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3	8.21		
10/29/1998			27.50	42.50	35.88	413.55									
3/16/1999	NP		27.50	42.50	28.50	420.93	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3	1.0		
5/5/1999			27.50	42.50	29.76	419.67									
8/26/1999	Р		27.50	42.50	33.51	415.92	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3	4.93		
12/3/1999			27.50	42.50	35.83	413.60									
3/13/2000	Р		27.50	42.50	26.12	423.31	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	<3	2.81		
6/20/2000			27.50	42.50	30.91	418.52							5.8		
8/31/2000			27.50	42.50	33.70	415.73									
2/9/2001			27.50	42.50	30.90	418.53									
9/17/2001			27.50	42.50	33.95	415.48									
1/21/2002			27.50	42.50	33.71	415.72									
7/19/2002			27.50	42.50	35.30	414.13									
1/15/2003			27.50	42.50	27.10	422.33									
7/9/2003			27.50	42.50	33.10	416.33									
02/19/2004			27.50	42.50	28.92	420.51									
08/04/2004		451.80	27.50	42.50	34.28	417.52									
01/18/2005			27.50	42.50	26.76	425.04									
07/15/2005			27.50	42.50	31.14	420.66									
01/10/2006			27.50	42.50	22.88	428.92									

 Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µg	g/L				
Well ID and		TOC	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-8 Cont.															
7/21/2006		451.80	27.50	42.50	30.84	420.96									
1/17/2007			27.50	42.50	33.20	418.60									
7/18/2007			27.50	42.50	31.92	419.88									
1/15/2008			27.50	42.50	31.52	420.28									
7/7/2008			27.50	42.50	36.32	415.48									
1/7/2009			27.50	42.50	40.52	411.28									
7/22/2009			27.50	42.50	40.38	411.42									
3/12/2010			27.50	42.50	31.48	420.32									
9/9/2010			27.50	42.50	35.28	416.52									
2/17/2011			27.50	42.50	33.49	418.31									
7/7/2011			27.50	42.50	32.74	419.06									
MW-9															
3/20/1995		449.21	29.50	39.50	19.11	430.10	<50	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5				
6/2/1995			29.50	39.50	21.23	427.98									
8/23/1995			29.50	39.50	24.33	424.88	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
12/4/1995			29.50	39.50	27.90	421.31									
2/20/1996			29.50	39.50	17.86	431.35	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
5/15/1996			29.50	39.50	18.69	430.52									
8/13/1996			29.50	39.50	24.17	425.04									
11/13/1996			29.50	39.50	28.01	421.20									
3/26/1997			29.50	39.50	22.58	426.63	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3			
5/15/1997			29.50	39.50	25.12	424.09									
8/26/1997			29.50	39.50	28.28	420.93									
11/5/1997			29.50	39.50	31.18	418.03									
2/18/1998			29.50	39.50	16.03	433.18	<50	0.6	0.5	< 0.5	1	<3			
5/20/1998			29.50	39.50	19.31	429.90									
7/30/1998			29.50	39.50	24.90	424.31									
10/29/1998			29.50	39.50	30.08	419.13									
3/16/1999	Р		29.50	39.50	22.68	426.53	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3	1.0		
5/5/1999			29.50	39.50	23.82	425.39									

# Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µ	g/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-9 Cont.															
8/26/1999		449.21	29.50	39.50	26.57	422.64							5.08		
12/3/1999			29.50	39.50											d
3/13/2000	Р		29.50	39.50	25.62	423.59	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	<3	5.43		
6/20/2000			29.50	39.50	23.55	425.66							6.2		
8/31/2000			29.50	39.50	27.39	421.82									
2/9/2001			29.50	39.50	28.65	420.56									
9/17/2001			29.50	39.50	27.51	421.70									
1/21/2002			29.50	39.50	27.09	422.12									
7/19/2002			29.50	39.50	27.06	422.15									
1/15/2003			29.50	39.50	21.78	427.43									
7/9/2003			29.50	39.50	26.18	423.03									
02/19/2004			29.50	39.50	23.45	425.76									
08/04/2004		451.63	29.50	39.50	29.24	422.39									
01/18/2005			29.50	39.50	20.64	430.99									
07/15/2005			29.50	39.50	25.72	425.91									
01/10/2006			29.50	39.50	18.86	432.77									
7/21/2006			29.50	39.50	25.58	426.05									
1/17/2007			29.50	39.50	29.11	422.52									
7/18/2007			29.50	39.50											d
1/15/2008			29.50	39.50	24.89	426.74									
7/7/2008			29.50	39.50	32.06	419.57									
1/7/2009			29.50	39.50	32.65	418.98									
7/22/2009			29.50	39.50	33.74	417.89									
3/12/2010			29.50	39.50	23.44	428.19									
9/9/2010			29.50	39.50	29.56	422.07									
2/17/2011			29.50	39.50	27.18	424.45									
7/7/2011			29.50	39.50	27.71	423.92									
MW-10															
3/20/1995		449.22	29.00	37.00	20.96	428.26									
6/2/1995			29.00	37.00	22.15	427.07									

# Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µ	g/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-10 Cont.															
8/23/1995		449.22	29.00	37.00	24.47	424.75	<50	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
12/4/1995			29.00	37.00	26.97	422.25									
2/20/1996			29.00	37.00	18.40	430.82	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
5/15/1996			29.00	37.00											d
8/13/1996			29.00	37.00	23.70	425.52									
11/13/1996			29.00	37.00	27.15	422.07									
3/26/1997			29.00	37.00	22.23	426.99	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
5/15/1997			29.00	37.00	24.57	424.65									
8/26/1997			29.00	37.00	27.62	421.60									
11/5/1997			29.00	37.00	30.79	418.43									
2/18/1998			29.00	37.00											d
5/20/1998			29.00	37.00											
7/30/1998			29.00	37.00	23.90	425.32									
10/29/1998			29.00	37.00	30.55	418.67									
3/16/1999	Р		29.00	37.00	23.05	426.17	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<3	1.0		
5/5/1999			29.00	37.00	24.00	425.22									
8/26/1999			29.00	37.00	26.50	422.72							5.15		
12/3/1999			29.00	37.00	30.80	418.42									
3/13/2000			29.00	37.00	26.21	423.01									d
6/20/2000			29.00	37.00	23.52	425.70							5.5		
8/31/2000			29.00	37.00	27.52	421.70									
2/9/2001			29.00	37.00	28.71	420.51									
9/17/2001			29.00	37.00	27.94	421.28									
1/21/2002			29.00	37.00	27.44	421.78									
7/19/2002			29.00	37.00	27.80	421.42									
1/15/2003			29.00	37.00	23.09	426.13									
7/9/2003			29.00	37.00	26.87	422.35									
02/19/2004			29.00	37.00	23.39	425.83									
01/18/2005		451.65	29.00	37.00	21.40	430.25									
07/15/2005			29.00	37.00	25.37	426.28									

 Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µ	g/L				
Well ID and		TOC	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-10 Cont.															
01/10/2006		451.65	29.00	37.00	19.81	431.84									
7/21/2006			29.00	37.00	25.16	426.49									
1/17/2007			29.00	37.00	28.95	422.70									
7/18/2007			29.00	37.00											d
1/15/2008			29.00	37.00	24.62	427.03									
7/7/2008			29.00	37.00											d
1/7/2009			29.00	37.00											d
7/22/2009			29.00	37.00											Dry
3/12/2010			29.00	37.00	24.13	427.52									
9/9/2010			29.00	37.00	27.91	423.74									
2/17/2011			29.00	37.00	27.16	424.49									
7/7/2011			29.00	37.00	26.38	425.27									
MW-11															
3/20/1995		448.02	29.00	39.00	25.02	423.00	<50	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5				
6/2/1995			29.00	39.00	23.82	424.20									
8/23/1995			29.00	39.00	30.15	417.87	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
12/4/1995			29.00	39.00	31.63	416.39									
2/20/1996			29.00	39.00	20.94	427.08	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
5/15/1996			29.00	39.00	23.03	424.99									
8/13/1996			29.00	39.00	29.19	418.83	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
11/13/1996			29.00	39.00	31.96	416.06									
3/26/1997			29.00	39.00	26.61	421.41	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
5/15/1997			29.00	39.00	29.39	418.63									
8/26/1997			29.00	39.00	33.47	414.55	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3			
11/5/1997			29.00	39.00	35.12	412.90									
2/18/1998			29.00	39.00	18.03	429.99	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	1	<3			
5/20/1998			29.00	39.00	23.00	425.02									
7/30/1998	Р		29.00	39.00	29.30	418.72	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3	5.59		
10/29/1998			29.00	39.00	34.47	413.55									
3/16/1999	Р		29.00	39.00	27.88	420.14	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	1.0		

# Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µį	g/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
MW-11 Cont.															
5/5/1999		448.02	29.00	39.00	26.85	421.17									
8/26/1999	Р		29.00	39.00	32.74	415.28	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<3	4.59		
12/3/1999			29.00	39.00	34.70	413.32									
3/13/2000	Р		29.00	39.00	25.94	422.08	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	<3	3.21		
6/20/2000			29.00	39.00	30.40	417.62							3.3		
8/31/2000			29.00	39.00	32.68	415.34	<50.0	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	<2.50			b
8/31/2000	NP		29.00	39.00	32.68	415.34	<50.0	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	<2.50	0.4		
2/9/2001			29.00	39.00	31.17	416.85									
9/17/2001	NP		29.00	39.00	32.98	415.04	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	<2.5	0.62		
1/21/2002			29.00	39.00	31.05	416.97									
7/19/2002	Р		29.00	39.00	31.67	416.35	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	3.7	7.7	
1/15/2003			29.00	39.00	23.75	424.27									
7/9/2003	Р		29.00	39.00	31.06	416.96	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	2.4	6.6	
02/19/2004			29.00	39.00	27.21	420.81									
08/04/2004	Р	450.41	29.00	39.00	31.71	418.70	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	3.3	7.1	
01/18/2005			29.00	39.00	24.80	425.61									
07/15/2005	Р		29.00	39.00	29.15	421.26	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	2.7	7.1	
01/10/2006			29.00	39.00	20.87	429.54									
7/21/2006	Р		29.00	39.00	29.30	421.11	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	2.7	7.2	
1/17/2007			29.00	39.00	31.59	418.82									
7/18/2007	NP		29.00	39.00	29.22	421.19	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	5.35	7.12	
1/15/2008			29.00	39.00	29.12	421.29									
7/7/2008	NP		29.00	39.00	34.21	416.20	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	4.08	7.94	
1/7/2009			29.00	39.00	37.45	412.96									
7/22/2009	NP		29.00	39.00	37.33	413.08	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	15.97	7.81	
3/12/2010			29.00	39.00	28.47	421.94									
9/9/2010	NP		29.00	39.00	33.03	417.38	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		7.2	
2/17/2011			29.00	39.00	31.70	418.71									
7/7/2011	NP		29.00	39.00	31.44	418.97	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.65	7.1	
RW-1															

 Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µį	g/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
RW-1 Cont.															
3/20/1995		451.67	25.50	40.50	23.76	427.91	15,000	1,000	140	310	950				
6/2/1995			25.50	40.50	25.12	426.55	12,000	1,300	280	420	1,100				
8/23/1995			25.50	40.50	28.80	422.87	8,200	520	190	240	610	<50			
12/4/1995			25.50	40.50	31.15	420.52	2,600	140	59	83	210				
2/20/1996			25.50	40.50	21.45	430.22	6,300	410	160	180	650	<40			
5/15/1996			25.50	40.50	22.97	428.70									
8/13/1996			25.50	40.50	24.74	426.93									
11/13/1996			25.50	40.50	30.69	420.98									
3/26/1997			25.50	40.50	25.69	425.98	500	57	3	6.4	18	54			
5/15/1997			25.50	40.50	28.19	423.48									
8/26/1997			25.50	40.50	31.21	420.46									
11/5/1997			25.50	40.50	33.67	418.00									
2/18/1998			25.50	40.50	20.14	431.53	9,400	200	70	190	710	<60			
5/20/1998			25.50	40.50	23.43	428.24									
7/30/1998			25.50	40.50	27.42	424.25									
10/29/1998			25.50	40.50	32.47	419.20									
3/16/1999	NP		25.50	40.50	25.45	426.22	1,100	140	19	45	83	530	1.0		
5/5/1999			25.50	40.50	27.23	424.44									
8/26/1999			25.50	40.50	29.98	421.69							1.39		
12/3/1999			25.50	40.50	32.38	419.29									
3/13/2000	NP		25.50	40.50	25.53	426.14	1,100	130	3.5	0.7	95	230	4.43		
6/20/2000			25.50	40.50	28.31	423.36							1.9		
8/31/2000	NP		25.50	40.50	30.61	421.06	<50.0	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	82.5	3.21		
2/9/2001	NP		25.50	40.50	31.14	420.53	<50.0	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	<2.50	0.84		
9/17/2001	NP		25.50	40.50	31.70	419.97	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	<2.5	1.51		
1/21/2002	NP		25.50	40.50	30.15	421.52	<50	7.7	< 0.50	< 0.50	1.5	18	0.63		
7/19/2002	NP		25.50	40.50	31.15	420.52	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	13	1.4	6.6	
1/15/2003			25.50	40.50	22.20	429.47	860	9	1.6	17	42	1.5	2.8	7.2	a
7/9/2003			25.50	40.50	29.56	422.11	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	1.8	7.1	
02/19/2004	NP		25.50	40.50	23.53	428.14	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	2.4	6.7	с

 Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/	_		Ethyl-	Total		DO		_
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
RW-1 Cont.															
08/04/2004	Р	454.11	25.50	40.50	22.45	431.66	600	< 0.50	< 0.50	3.3	3.4	< 0.50	4.4	7.2	
01/18/2005	Р		25.50	40.50	23.57	430.54	1,400	8.0	1.9	22	68	< 0.50	3.6	6.9	
07/15/2005	NP		25.50	40.50	29.02	425.09	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	2.0	1.1	7.8	
01/10/2006	Р		25.50	40.50	21.88	432.23	480	4.3	0.67	8.3	18	0.54	4.4	7.1	
7/21/2006			25.50	40.50											d
1/17/2007	Р		25.50	40.50	31.48	422.63	6,900	17	2.8	22	31	2.6	4.08	7.74	
7/18/2007	NP		25.50	40.50	32.45	421.66	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	1.33	7.48	
1/15/2008	NP		25.50	40.50	28.39	425.72	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	8.3	2.73	6.87	
7/7/2008	NP		25.50	40.50	35.19	418.92	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	<0.50	0.53	2.51	7.05	
1/7/2009	NP		25.50	40.50	33.31	420.80	120	0.96	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	1.6	2.13	6.84	
7/22/2009	NP		25.50	40.50	36.15	417.96	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	<0.50	0.84	10.39	7.40	
3/12/2010	Р		25.50	40.50	25.01	429.10	240	15	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	2.7	0.78	7.06	
9/9/2010	NP		25.50	40.50	31.01	423.10	440	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	0.53	1.9		7.3	
2/17/2011	NP		25.50	40.50	26.45	427.66	500	1.5	< 0.50	< 0.50	0.55	< 0.50	0.98	8.0	g (GRO)
7/7/2011	NP		25.50	40.50	30.42	423.69	750	2.4	<0.50	0.64	2.2	2.2	0.82	6.7	g (GRO)
VW-1															
8/31/2000	Р	NS	18.50	28.50	20.61		<50.0	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	<2.50	10.08		
2/9/2001	Р		18.50	28.50	22.10		<50.0	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	< 0.500	<2.50	0.53		
9/17/2001	Р		18.50	28.50	21.99		<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	<2.5	6.59		
1/21/2002	Р		18.50	28.50	21.50		<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	<5.0	0.7		
7/19/2002	Р		18.50	28.50	22.42		<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	4.9	7.1	
1/15/2003			18.50	28.50	22.59		<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	0.63	1.7	< 0.50	5.4	7.2	
7/9/2003			18.50	28.50	22.50		<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	0.61	< 0.50	2.0	7.0	
02/19/2004			18.50	28.50	21.04										
08/04/2004	Р	453.29	18.50	28.50	20.48	432.81	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	5.7	7.0	
01/18/2005			18.50	28.50	21.72	431.57									
07/15/2005	Р		18.50	28.50	22.50	430.79	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	5.1	7.4	
01/10/2006			18.50	28.50	20.17	433.12									
7/21/2006	Р		18.50	28.50	22.50	430.79	220	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	5.91	7.3	e
1/17/2007			18.50	28.50	21.67	431.62									

 Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level		Concentrations in µg/L							
Well ID and		TOC	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	pН	Footnote
VW-1 Cont.															
7/18/2007	NP	453.29	18.50	28.50	23.58	429.71	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	6.45	8.52	
1/15/2008			18.50	28.50	21.87	431.42									
7/7/2008	NP		18.50	28.50	23.70	429.59	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	7.54	8.46	
1/7/2009			18.50	28.50	22.00	431.29									
7/22/2009	NP		18.50	28.50	23.95	429.34	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	10.12	7.66	
3/12/2010			18.50	28.50	21.85	431.44									
9/9/2010	NP		18.50	28.50	23.65	429.64	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		6.93	
2/17/2011	NP		18.50	28.50	23.83	429.46	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	4.57	7.9	
7/7/2011	NP		18.50	28.50	25.17	428.12	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.85	7.2	

 Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

Symbols & Abbreviations: --/- - = Not analyzed/applicable/sampled/measured < = Not detected at or above specified laboratory reporting limit DO = Dissolved oxygen DTW = Depth to water in ft bgs ft bgs = Feet below ground surface ft MSL = Feet above mean sea level GRO = Gasoline range organics, range C4-C12GWE = Groundwater elevation in ft MSL g/L = Micrograms per liter mg/L = Milligrams per liter MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether NP = Not purged before sampling P = Purged before sampling TPH-g = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline TOC = Top of casing elevation in ft MSL

Footnotes:

- a = Chromatogram Pattern: Gasoline C6-C10
- b = Duplicate sample
- c = GRO analyzed by EPA Method 8015B modified
- d = Well inaccessible
- e = Hydrocarbon result partly due to individ. peak(s) in quant. range
- f = Sample > 4x spike concentration
- g = Quantitated against gasoline

#### Notes:

For previous historical GWE and analytical data please refer to Fourth Quarter 1995 Groundwater Monitoring Program Results and Remediation System Performance Evaluation Report, ARCO Service Station 771, Livermore, California, (EMCON, March 1, 1996)

Please note that beginning in the fourth quarter 2003, the laboratory modified the reported analyte list. TPH-g was changed to GRO. The resulting data may be impacted by the potential inclusion of non-TPH-g analytes within the requested fuel range resulting in a higher concentration being reported

All analytes unless otherwise notes utilized EPA Method 8260B, EPA method 8015B modified prior to 1/15/03, and EPA method 8020 prior to 12/03/99

Site wells were resurveyed to NAVD '88 datum on March 8, 2004

Top of screen and bottom of screen depths for MW-3 and MW-6 are estimated from cross-sections

GRO analysis was completed by EPA method 8260B (C4-C12) for samples collected from the time period April 2006 through February 4, 2008. The analysis for GRO was changed to EPA method 8015B (C6-C12) for samples collected from the time period February 5, 2008 through the present

The data within this table collected prior to April 2006 was provided to Broadbent & Associates, Inc. by Atlantic Richfield Company and their previous consultants. Broadbent & Associates, Inc. has not verified the accuracy of this information

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in µg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-1									
8/23/1995			<300						
2/20/1996			<300						
5/15/1996			<250						
8/13/1996			<200						
11/13/1996			<30						
3/26/1997			<30						
5/15/1997			<120						
8/26/1997			<3						
11/5/1997			29						
2/18/1998			<120						
5/20/1998			<300						
7/30/1998			<3						
10/29/1998			<3						
3/16/1999			270						
5/5/1999			170						
8/26/1999			120						
12/3/1999			<3						
3/13/2000			<3						
6/20/2000			<2.50						
6/20/2000			<2.50						
MW-2									
8/23/1995			<500						
2/20/1996			<300						
5/15/1996			<300						
8/13/1996			<300						
11/13/1996			<200						
3/26/1997			<120						
5/15/1997			<120						
8/26/1997			<120						
11/5/1997			<40						
2/18/1998			130						

	ARCO Service Station	n #0771, 899 F	Rincon Ave., I	Livermore, CA
--	----------------------	----------------	----------------	---------------

Well ID and	Concentrations in µg/L								
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-2 Cont.									
5/20/1998			<120						
7/30/1998			<120						
10/29/1998			<3						
3/16/1999			60						
5/5/1999			17						
8/26/1999			26						
12/3/1999			<3						
3/13/2000			<3						
6/20/2000			<2.50						
8/31/2000			<2.50						
9/17/2001			120						
7/19/2002			16						
7/9/2003	<1,000	<200	39	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
08/04/2004	<2,000	<400	78	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
07/15/2005	<500	120	46	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/21/2006	<300	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/18/2007	<600	89	45	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
7/7/2008		<100	19	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0		
9/9/2010	<600	41	13	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
7/7/2011	<300	<10	6.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-3									
8/23/1995			<3						
2/20/1996			<3						
5/15/1996			<0.5						
8/13/1996			<3						
11/13/1996			<3						
3/26/1997			<3						
5/15/1997			<3						
8/26/1997			<3						
11/5/1997			<3						
2/18/1998			<3						

ARCO Service Station #0771, 8	399 Rincon Ave., Livermore, CA	
-------------------------------	--------------------------------	--

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in µg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-3 Cont.									
5/20/1998			<3						
7/30/1998			<3						
10/29/1998			<3						
3/16/1999			<3						
5/5/1999			<3						
8/26/1999			<3						
12/3/1999			<3						
3/13/2000			<3						
6/20/2000			<2.50						
MW-4									
8/23/1995			<100						
2/20/1996			<70						
3/26/1997			<70						
2/18/1998			120						
3/16/1999			82						
3/13/2000			<3						
8/31/2000			<2.50						
2/9/2001			<2.50						
9/17/2001			360						
1/21/2002			300						
7/19/2002			130						
1/15/2003			150						
7/9/2003	<1,000	750	150	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
02/19/2004	<1,000	630	180	<10	<10	<10	<5.0	<5.0	
08/04/2004	<2,000	1,300	300	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
01/18/2005	<1,000	630	160	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	a
07/15/2005	<1,000	850	230	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
01/10/2006	<1,500	810	190	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/21/2006	<300	35	3.1	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
1/17/2007	<300	<20	11	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/18/2007	<300	830	74	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	0.76	< 0.50	

ARCO Service Station #0771, 89	9 Rincon Ave., Livermore, CA
--------------------------------	------------------------------

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-4 Cont.									
1/15/2008	<300	280	61	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	b (MTBE)
7/7/2008		19	17	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		
1/7/2009		74	37	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/22/2009	<300	580	63	0.85	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
3/12/2010	<300	460	43	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
9/9/2010	<1,500	880	51	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
2/17/2011	<1200	430	33	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	
7/7/2011	<1,500	580	57	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
MW-5									
8/23/1995			<300						
2/20/1996			<50						
5/15/1996			<40						
8/13/1996			47						
11/13/1996			66						
3/26/1997			68						
5/15/1997			48						
8/26/1997			9						
11/5/1997			34						
2/18/1998			320						
5/20/1998			62						
7/30/1998			<3						
10/29/1998			<3						
3/16/1999			120						
5/5/1999			19						
8/26/1999			150						
3/13/2000			<3						
6/20/2000			<2.50						
8/31/2000			3.83						
9/17/2001			330						
7/19/2002			180						
7/9/2003	<1,000	1,100	260	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	

	ARCO Service	Station #0771	, 899 Rincon Ave.	, Livermore, CA
--	--------------	---------------	-------------------	-----------------

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-5 Cont.									
08/04/2004	<1,000	850	250	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
07/15/2005	<1,000	720	270	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
7/21/2006	<3,000	<200	14	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
7/18/2007	<300	260	110	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/7/2008		<10	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		
7/22/2009	<300	11	12	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
9/9/2010	<300	420	10	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/7/2011	<300	350	4.6	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-6									
8/23/1995			<20						
2/20/1996			<30						
5/15/1996			<15						
8/13/1996			<20						
11/13/1996			16						
3/26/1997			<30						
5/15/1997			<12						
8/26/1997			<12						
11/5/1997			9						
2/18/1998			19						
5/20/1998			9						
7/30/1998			<15						
10/29/1998			<12						
3/16/1999			18						
5/5/1999			25						
8/26/1999			13						
12/3/1999			4						
3/13/2000			<3						
6/20/2000			<2.50						
8/31/2000			8.73						
2/9/2001			48.9						
2/9/2001			57.1						

ARCO Service Station #0//1. 099 Kincon Ave., Liver more, CA	ARCO	Service	Station	#0771.	899	<b>Rincon</b> A	ve ]	Livermore.	CA
---	------	---------	---------	--------	-----	-----------------	------	------------	----

Well ID and	Concentrations in µg/L								
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-6 Cont.									
9/17/2001			<2.5						
9/17/2001			<2.5						
1/21/2002			<5.0						
7/19/2002			< 0.50						
1/15/2003			1						
7/9/2003	<100	<20	0.98	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
08/04/2004	<100	<20	5.2	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
07/15/2005	<500	110	32	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/21/2006	<300	<20	5.1	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/18/2007	<300	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/7/2008		<10	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		
7/22/2009	<300	<10	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
9/9/2010	<300	<10	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/7/2011	<300	19	8.0	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-7									
8/23/1995			350						
2/20/1996			<400						
3/26/1997			<300						
2/18/1998			240						
3/16/1999			<120						
8/31/2000			202						
2/9/2001			128						
9/17/2001			160						
1/21/2002			97						
1/21/2002			99						
7/19/2002			64						
1/15/2003			91						
7/9/2003	<1,000	350	110	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
02/19/2004	<1,000	420	100	<10	<10	<10	<5.0	<5.0	
08/04/2004	<5,000	<1,000	140	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
01/18/2005	<1,000	260	87	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	a

|--|

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-7 Cont.									
07/15/2005	<5,000	<1,000	150	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	
01/10/2006	<30,000	<2,000	120	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
7/21/2006	<30,000	<2,000	54	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
1/17/2007	<1,500	<100	3.1	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/18/2007	<600	220	67	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
1/15/2008	<1,500	<100	26	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/7/2008		<10	0.69	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		
1/7/2009		<10	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/22/2009	<300	<10	0.53	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
3/12/2010	<300	51	11	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
9/9/2010	<300	180	110	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/7/2011	<3,000	390	150	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
MW-8									
8/23/1995			<3						
2/20/1996			<3						
8/13/1996			<3						
3/26/1997			<3						
8/26/1997			<3						
2/18/1998			<3						
7/30/1998			<3						
3/16/1999			<3						
8/26/1999			<3						
3/13/2000			<3						
MW-9									
8/23/1995			<3						
2/20/1996			<3						
3/26/1997			<3						
2/18/1998			<3						
3/16/1999			<3						
3/13/2000			<3						

Well ID and				Concentrat					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-10									
8/23/1995			<3						
2/20/1996			<3						
3/26/1997			<3						
3/16/1999			<3						
MW-11									
8/23/1995			<3						
2/20/1996			<3						
8/13/1996			<3						
3/26/1997			<3						
8/26/1997			<3						
2/18/1998			<3						
7/30/1998			<3						
3/16/1999			<3						
8/26/1999			<3						
3/13/2000			<3						
8/31/2000			<2.50						
8/31/2000			<2.50						
9/17/2001			<2.5						
7/19/2002			< 0.50						
7/9/2003	<100	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
08/04/2004	<100	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
07/15/2005	<100	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/21/2006	<300	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/18/2007	<300	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/7/2008		<10	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		
7/22/2009	<300	<10	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
9/9/2010	<300	<10	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/7/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
RW-1									
8/23/1995			<50						

ARCO Service Station #0771, 899 Rincon Ave., Livermo
--

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in µg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
RW-1 Cont.									
2/20/1996			<40						
3/26/1997			54						
2/18/1998			<60						
3/16/1999			530						
3/13/2000			230						
8/31/2000			82.5						
2/9/2001			<2.50						
9/17/2001			<2.5						
1/21/2002			18						
7/19/2002			13						
1/15/2003			1.5						
7/9/2003	<100	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
02/19/2004	<100	<20	< 0.50	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.50	< 0.50	
08/04/2004	<100	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
01/18/2005	<100	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	a
07/15/2005	<100	<20	2.0	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
01/10/2006	<300	<20	0.54	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
1/17/2007	<1,500	<100	2.6	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
7/18/2007	<300	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
1/15/2008	<300	<20	8.3	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/7/2008		<10	0.53	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		
1/7/2009		<10	1.6	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/22/2009	<300	12	0.84	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
3/12/2010	<300	13	2.7	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
9/9/2010	<300	<10	1.9	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
2/17/2011	<300	<10	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/7/2011	<300	<10	2.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
VW-1									
8/31/2000			<2.50						
2/9/2001			<2.50						
9/17/2001			<2.5						

Well ID and				Concentrati					
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
VW-1 Cont.									
1/21/2002			<5.0						
7/19/2002			< 0.50						
1/15/2003			< 0.50						
7/9/2003	<100	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
08/04/2004	<100	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
07/15/2005	<100	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/21/2006	<300	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/18/2007	<300	<20	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/7/2008		<10	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50		
7/22/2009	<300	<10	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
9/9/2010	<300	<10	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
2/17/2011	<300	<10	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
7/7/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	< 0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
Symbols & Abbreviations: -- = Not analyzed/sampled < = Not detected at or above specified laboratory reporting limit 1,2-DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane DIPE = Di-isopropyl ether EDB = 1,2-Dibromoethane ETBE = Ethyl tert-butyl ether MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether  $\mu g/L =$  Micrograms per liter TAME = tert-Amyl methyl ether TBA = tert-Butyl alcohol

#### Footnotes:

a = Calibration verification was within the method limits but outside the contract limits for ethanol b = Sample > 4x spike concentration

#### Notes:

The data within this table collected prior to April 2006 was provided to Broadbent & Associates, Inc. by Atlantic Richfield Company and their previous consultants. Broadbent & Associates, Inc. has not verified the accuracy of this information

Date Measured	Approximate Gradient Direction	Approximate Gradient Magnitude (ft/ft)		
3/20/1995	Northwest	0.030		
6/2/1995	North-Northwest	0.014		
8/23/1995	North-Northwest	0.030		
12/4/1995	North-Northwest	0.030		
2/20/1996	Northwest	0.016		
5/15/1996	Northwest	0.024		
8/13/1996	North-Northwest	0.030		
11/13/1996	North-Northwest	0.031		
3/26/1997	North-Northwest	0.044		
5/15/1997	North-Northwest	0.031		
8/26/1997	North-Northwest	0.042		
11/5/1997	North-Northwest	0.030		
2/18/1998	Northwest	0.010		
5/20/1998	Northwest	0.030		
7/30/1998	North	0.040		
10/29/1998	North	0.005		
3/16/1999	North-Northwest	0.030		
5/5/1999	North	0.040		
8/26/1999	North-Northwest	0.050		
12/3/1999	North-Northeast	0.060		
3/13/2000	North-Northwest	0.066		
6/20/2000	North-Northwest	0.050		
8/31/2000	North-Northwest	0.062		
2/9/2001	North-Northeast	0.014		
9/17/2001	North-Northwest	0.061		
1/21/2002	North-Northwest	0.050		
7/19/2002	North-Northwest	0.044		
1/15/2003	Northeast to Southeast	0.038 - 0.016		
7/9/2003	Northwest to North-Northwest	0.009 - 0.063		
2/19/2004	North	0.044		
8/4/2004	Northeast	0.071		
1/18/2005	North-Northeast	0.04		
7/15/2005	Northeast and Southwest	0.05 and 0.02		
1/10/2006	North	0.02		
7/21/2006	North and Southwest	0.05 and 0.02		
1/17/2007	North-Northeast and Southwest	0.03 and 0.02		
7/18/2007	North-Northeast to Southwest	0.03 and 0.04		
1/15/2008	North	0.04		
7/7/2008	North	0.03		
1/7/2009	North	0.06		
7/22/2009	North	0.04		
3/12/2010	North	0.05		

# Table 3. Historical Groundwater Gradient - Direction and Magnitude ARCO Service Station #0771, 899 Rincon Ave., Livermore, CA

Date Measured	Approximate Gradient Direction	Approximate Gradient Magnitude (ft/ft)		
9/9/2010	North	0.04		
2/17/2011	North	0.03		
7/7/2011	North	0.04		

# Table 3. Historical Groundwater Gradient - Direction and MagnitudeARCO Service Station #0771, 899 Rincon Ave., Livermore, CA

Notes:

The data within this table collected prior to April 2006 was provided to Broadbent & Associates, Inc. by Atlantic Richfield Company and their previous consultants. Broadbent & Associates, Inc. has not verified the accuracy of this information

## Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Sample Analytical Data Station #771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California

Sample ID*	Sample Depth (ft. bgs)	Date Collected	GRO µg/L	Benzene µg/L	Toluene µg/L	Ethylbenzene µg/L	Xylenes µg/L	MTBE µg/L	Comments
SB-2									
	30 - 35	3/25/2011	<50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
SB-3									
	30 - 35	3/25/2011	81	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	3.8	LW
ESLs			100	1.0	40	30	20	5	

#### Abbreviations & Symbols:

\* = See Drawing 2 for soil boring locations.

GRO: Gasoline range organics.

Calscience Environmental Laboratories, Inc.: GRO (C6-C12)

GRO analyzed using EPA method 8015B

Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Total Xylenes, and MTBE analyzed using EPA method 8260B.

 $\mu g/L = Micrograms$  per liter.

ESLs = Environmental Screening Levels where groundwater is a current or potential source of drinking water (San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2008).

bgs = Below ground surface

#### Footnotes:

LW = Quantitation of unknown hydrocarbon(s) in sample based on gasoline.

#### Notes:

1,2-dibromoethane (EDB), 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2 DCA), tert-butyl alcohol (TBA), Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE), ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE), ter-amyl methyl ether (TAME), and ethanol were not detected at or above their respective laboratory reporting limit.

### **APPENDIX B**

### SOIL BORING LOGS WITH GEOLOGIC CROSS-SECTIONS

N/A Slot size: N/A N/A Materisi type: N/A
N/A Materiai type: N/A
Iller: Sid & Tom
Field Geologist, Steve Bittmar
n

Registration No.1\_\_\_\_\_ State1\_\_\_\_CA

Semple No.	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
				Apple 214 (O. Smale 2.)	
				Asphalt (6 inches).	
ĺ			GW	Sanay gravel with clay, brown, ddmp, dense with subrounded gravel.	7 7
	<b>H</b> <sup>7</sup>				$ \begin{array}{c} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\ \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\ \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\ \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla $
S–5	19	0			$\begin{array}{c} \nabla \ \nabla $
					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
ĺ	116				$\begin{array}{c} \nabla \ \nabla $
-10	T 27	2.4		Moist, very dense, noticeable odor.	$\nabla \nabla $
					$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} v$
					7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
-14.5	T 27 45	20			$\nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla$
					7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
					7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
ļ	T 31			Obvious odor.	
-19.5	50-	200			$\nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla$
				(Section continues downward)	
				LOG OF BORING B - 1	PLATE
				ARCO Station 771	A
CT		800	00-1	899 Rincon Avenue	
	S=5 S=5 -19.5	Sample No.	Sample No.       No.       P.I.D.         No.       7       0         S-5       7       0         10       19       0         -10       16       27         -14.5       27       20         -19.5       31       200	Sample No.       B       P.I.D.       USCS Code         No.       7       0       GW         S-5       7       0       GW         S-5       16       27       2.4         -10       27       20       31         -14.5       31       200       200	Sample No.       B       P.I.D.       USCS Code       Description         Asphalt (6 inches).       GW       Sandy gravel with clay, brown, damp, dense with subrounded gravel.         S-5       19       0       Moist, very dense, noticeable odor.         -10       16 27 39       2.4       Moist, very dense, noticeable odor.         -14.5       27 45       20       Obvious odor.         -19.5       50       200       Obvious odor.         (Section continues downward)       Cector Avenue       LOG OF BORING B - 1 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue



Casing diameterı_	N/A	Length		N/A	١	Slot sizer	N/A
Screen diameteri_	N/A	Length	1	N/A		Materiai type:	N/A
Drilling Companyı	Bakersfield Well	& Pump	Drilleri	Sid	&	Tom	
Method Used, Hol	low-Stem Auger					Field Geologist	Steve Bittma

.

Registration No.,\_\_\_\_\_ State;\_\_\_\_CA\_\_\_

Depth Sample No.	Blow	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const
				Asphalt (6 inches).	
- 2 -			GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, damp, dense with subrounded gravel.	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
- 4 - 4	10				$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} v$
- 6 - <sup>S-5</sup>	20	5		Noticeable odor.	<b>\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \</b> <b>\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ </b>
- 8 -					$ \begin{array}{c}         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \\         \overline{v} \nabla \nabla$
· 10- s-10	11 17 29	0			<b>\vee v</b> \vee v <b>v</b> \vee v \vee v <b>v</b> \vee v \vee v <b>v</b> \vee v \vee v <b>v</b> \vee v \vee v
12-			- - -		V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V
14-	17	10		Gray.	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
16 - S-15	15				$\begin{array}{c} \bigtriangledown & \bigtriangledown & \bigtriangledown & \bigtriangledown & \lor & \lor & \lor & \lor & \lor & \lor &$
18-			CL	Sandy clay, gray, moist, low to medium plasticity, stiff,	
20- s-20	20 41 50+	210	GC	Clayey gravel with sand, gray—brown, moist, very dense with subangular gravel, obvious odor.	$\begin{array}{c} \nabla \nabla$
				(Section continues downward)	
	$\geq$			LOG OF BORING B - 2	PLATE
Applied	Ģe	oSya	tems	ARCO Station 771	6
ROJECT		600	00-1	Livermore. California	-

.

e

Depth	Sample No.	BLOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Wel Cons
				GC	Clayey gravel with sand, gray—brown, moist, very dense with subangular gravel, obvious odor.	
-55-						
-24-		T21				
-26-	S–25	50+	35			
-58 -						
-30 -		7		L		
- <b>3</b> 0 -	S31	15	2	CL	Gravelly clay, brown, moist, subangular gravel, medium plasticity, hard.	
-32 -					Total Depth = $31-1/2$ feet.	
-34 -						
-36-						
- 20-						
-38-				-		
- 40						
-42-						
-44 -						
- 46						
10						
- 40						
-50 -						
				<u></u>	LOG OF BORING B - 2	PLA'
	Applie	d G	eoSya	items	ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	7
ROJE	СТ	60	000-	•1	Livermore, California	

Total depth of boring	<u>32.5 feet</u> Diamet	er of boring <u>6 in</u>	ches Date drilled.	2/1/90
Casing diameteri	N/A Len	gth. N/A	Siot size:	N/A
Screen diameter	N/A Len	gth <sub>e N/A</sub>	Material type:	N/A
Drilling Company, Bak	ersfield Well & Pump	Driller, Sid &	: Tom	
Method Used: Hollow-	-Stem Auger		Field Geologist	Steve Bittman
Signatu	re of Registered Pro	feesionali		
	Registration No.	State:	CA	

Depth	Sample No.	Blow	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
- 0 -					Asphalt (6 inches).	<u> </u>
- 2 -				GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, damp, medium dense with subrounded gravel.	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V
- 4 -	F	6 8				
- 6 -	S-5	9	0			
- 8 -						<b>7 7 7 7</b> 7
- 10 -	s–10	24 37 25	Ō		Very dense.	
. 12 -						7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
• 14 <b>-</b>	5	41 50+	2		Moist.	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V
• 16 -						V V V V V V V V
18-		27		GC	Clayey gravel with sand, gray-brown, moist, very dense	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
20-5	6—19.5	50+	110		with subangular gravel, noticeable odor. (Section continues downward)	
L	·····			I		
	pplied		oSys	tems	LOG OF BORING B - 3 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	8
ROJ	ECT		600	00-1	Livermore, California	

Depth	No.	LOW	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Weil Consi
				GC	Clayey gravel with sand, gray—brown, moist, very dense with subangular gravel, noticeable odor.	
-22-						
-24-		- 25				
-26-	S–25	50+	240		Obvious odor.	
-28-						
-30	S-30	24 45 45 -30	700			
-32 -	S32	- 41 50	720		Obvious odor.	
-34					$\int \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} dt = \frac{\partial 2}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \text{ Teet.}$	
-36						
-38-						
- 40						
-42 -						
-44-						
•46-						
.48-					·	
.50 -						
					LOG OF BORING B - 3	PLA
Applied GeoSystems		tema	ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	Ş		



Depth of boring <u>: 461/2</u> Well depth: <u>41 feet</u>	<u>feet</u> Diameter of Material type:	boring: <u>10 inc</u> Sch 4 <u>0 PVC</u>	<u>hes</u> Date drilled: <u>12-10-90</u> _ Casing diameter: <u>4 inches</u>						
Screen interval: <u>32 to</u>	41 feet	Slot size:	0.020-inch						
Drilling Company <u>: Kvil</u>	haug D <b>ril</b> ling Co.	Driller:	Rod and Brian						
Method Used: He	ollow-Stem Auger		Field Geologist: <u>Mike Barminski</u>						
Signature of Registered Professional:									
Registration No.: CF 044600 State: CA									

Depti	Sample No.	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
- 0 -				CL	Asphalt (4 inches). Gravelly clay with sand, dark brown, moist, low to medium plasticity, hard.	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
- 4 -	S-5	12 18 27	6.5			
- <sup>•</sup> 8 - - 10 -	S-10	7 22 40	0	GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense.	A         A
- 14	S-15	25 50	D			4     4     4     4     4     4       1     4     4     4     4     4       1     4     4     4     4     4
- 18 - 20	- S-20	30 50	4.2		Noticeable product odor. (Section continues downward)	0     0
			60	stems	LOG OF BORING B-4/MW-1 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livemore, California	plate 5

)epth	Sample No.	BLOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const
-55				GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense; noticeable product odor.	
-24	S-25 X	•				
-26-	S-26.5	30 50 50	4.6			
-30 –	S−30	30 50 50	0	GC	Clayey gravel with sond, brown, moist, very dense.	
-32 –	S−32.5 S−33	30 50 50	2.8	<b>▼</b> -	12/12/90	
-34 - -36 -	S-35	50 50 40 50	0		Very moist.	
- 38	S-36.5 S-37.5 S-38	50 40 50 50	0 2669	 G₩	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense; obvious product odor.	
- 40	S-40					
-42	S-43	15 20 30	187.8	CL	Sandy clay, brown, moist, medium to low plasticity, hard; obvious product odor.	
-46-	S-45.5 S-46	15 25 35	27.1	SC -	Damp, noticeable product odor. Clayey sand with pebbles to 1/8°, brown, moist, very dense	
- 48					Total Depth = 46-1/2 feet.	
-50						



PLATE B-4/MW-1LOG OF BORING ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California 6

Depth of boring <u>: 45-1/2</u> Well depth: 38 feet	<u>feet</u> Diameter of Material type:	boring: <u>10 inc</u> Sch 40 PVC	hes Date drilled: <u>12-10-90</u> Casina diameter: 4 inches						
Screen interval:	38 feet		0.020-inch						
Drilling Company: Kvilhe	ug Drilling Co.	Driller:	Rod and Brian						
Method Used: Hol	ow-Stem Auger		Field Geologist: <u>Mike Barminski</u>						
Signature of Registered Professional:									
Registration No.:CE 044600 State: CA									

Depth	Sample No.	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
- 0 -				GW	Asphalt (4 inches). Sandy gravel with clay, brown, damp, dense.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
- 6 -	S-5	10 38 50	0		Very dense.	
- 10-	S-10 5-11.5	50 50 50 50	0.9		Moist.	
- 14 - - 16 - - 18 -	S-15	35 50 50	D	CL GW	Smoother drilling at 14 feet. Sandy clay, gray, very moist, low to medium plasticity, hard. Rougher drilling at 16 feet. Sandy gravel with clay, brown, very moist, very dense; пoticeable product odor?	
- 20 -	- S-20	130 50	4.6		(Section continues downward)	
PRO	Appiie JECT:	a	60	stems 000-4	LOG OF BORING B-5/MW-2 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livemore, California	PLATE

)ėpth	Sample No.		BLOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Wel Cons
					GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, very moist, very dense; 	7 Ø 7
-55-					GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist, very dense.	7 7 7 7 7 7
24	C 05	Ŧ	25 50	_			7 7 7 7 7 7
26-	3-25		50	U			
-28							
.30 —	S–30		25 50 50	0	<b>_</b>	12/12/90	
-35 -	5-33	T	30 50 50	0	GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, very moist, very dense.	
-34	S-34.5		45 50 50	0			
- 36	S36		30 50	3700	 Gw	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, wet, very dense; obvious product odor.	 
-38-				a a	CL	Sandy clay, brown, moist, medium plasticity, hard;	
40 —	S-40		12 17 45	500		obvious product odor.	
-42 —	-						
-44 -	S_45		12 20	16			
-46			50	+.ψ		Total Depth = $45-1/2$ feet.	
-48-							
-50 -	-						



LOG OF BORING B-5/MW-2	PLATE
ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California	8

•

Depth of boring <u>:</u> Well depth:	45 feet	Diameter of boring: <u>10 inches</u> Date drilled: <u>12-1</u> Material type: Sch 40 PVC Casing diameter: 4 i	1-90 nches
Screen interval:	32 to 40	feet Slot size: 0.020-inch	
Drilling Company	: Kvilhaug	Drilling Co. Driller: Rod and Brian	<u> </u>
Method Used:	Hollow	-Stem Auger Field Geologist: Mike Ba	rminski
Sigr	nature of Re	egistered Professional:	
	Registro	ation No.: <u>CE 044600</u> State: <u>CA</u>	
Deptil Sample S	.I.D. USCS	Description	Well
	Lode		Const.
		Asphalt (4 inches).	7 7 7
	GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, damp, very dense.	
S-5			סק סק סן סק סק סק
- 6 -	0		
- 8 -			
Π50		Noiet	
- 10 - S - 10 50	0	Moist,	
12-	CW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense.	
	011		סק סק סק סק
S-15 45	0		
- 16 -	U I		
- 18 -			
	0		24 74 2 7 2 7 7
		(Section continues downward)	
			- <u></u>

PROJECT: 60000-4

0

LOG OF BORING B-6/MW-3 PLATE ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livemore, California

Depth	Sample No.	BLOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const
-55-				GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense.	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
-24 - -26 -	S-25	35 50	6.8		Clayier.	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
-28 -				GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist, very dense.	
-30 -	S-29.5 S-30	35 35 35	4.2			
-35 -				<b>▼</b> <u>−</u>	12/12/90	
-34 -	S-34.5	50 50	2.8	GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense.	
-36-	S-36.5 S-38	14 35 50 20 50	3.1 ?	⊲	Wet.	
40	S-40.5	12	28		Sandy clay, brown, moist, low to medium plasticity,	
-42-		20	2.0		nara.	
-44-	S-44.5	10 18 20	3.2			
- 46 -					Total Depth = 45 feet.	
- 48-						
-50-						
-50 -						







Depth of boring: <u>46–1/2 feet</u> Diameter o	f boring: 10 inc	hesDate_drilled:6-28-91							
Well depth: <u>42 feet</u> Material type	Sch 40 PVC	_ Casing diameter: <u>4 inches</u>							
Screen interval:26 to 42 feet	Slot_size:	0.020-inch							
Drilling Company: Exceltech	_ Driller:	Don & Kenny							
Method Used: Hollow-Stem Auger		_ Field Geologist: Barbara Sieminski							
Signature of Registered Professional									
Registration No.: CE044600 State: CA									

1.5

2.44.5

ł

30 G.

111

Depth	Sampl No.	e	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description		
- 0 - - 2 - - 4 -	S-5.5		3 4 10	0	SW GW GW	Sand, with small gravel, yellow, damp, loose: fill. Sandy gravel with cobbles, brown, damp, medium dense: fill. Sandy gravel with clay, brown, damp, medium dense.	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	
- 8 - - 10 - - 12 -	S-10		18 16 21	0		Moist, dense.	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	
- 14 - - 16 - - 18 -	S-15		18 21 28	0		Gray, very moist. Noticeable product odor.	A     A     A       A     A     A       A     A <t< td=""></t<>	
- 20-	S-20		18 26 35	82		Very dense. (Section continues downward)	7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0	
PRO		?/		<b>55</b>	<b>N</b> /	LOG OF BORING B-7/MW-4 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California	PLATE 4	

Depth	Sample No.	BLOWS	P.1.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Cons
-22-				G₩	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense; noticeable product odor.	
-24	S–25	T 19 21 L 27	131	GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist, dense; obvious product odor.	
-28 -		- 20		GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, medium dense; obvious product odor.	
-30 -	S30	15	748		Sandy play brown moust medium plasticity hard:	
-32-	6-31.5	20 26 40	1206	CL	Sandy aravel with clay, brown, moist, medium plastery, hard, obvious product odor.	
-34 <b>-</b> -36 -	S-33 S-33.5 S-34.5	50 50 36 39 45	/ <sup>5</sup> 741 /6 103 20	<u> </u>	obvious product odor. Wet.	
-38-						
- 40 -	S-40	<b>T</b> 37 50/	5 <sup>15</sup>			
-42-	5-42.5	8 13 15	17	CĽ	Sandy clay, brown, damp, medium plasticity, very stiff.	
-44-	S-44	9 12 7	10			
-46-	,	8 113			Total Depth = $46 - 1/2$ feet.	
- 48 - - 50 -	-					

RESNA

## LOG OF BORING B-7/MW-4 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California

PLATE 5

PROJECT

No.

S. Server

60000.06

Depth of boring: <u>45-1/2 feet</u> Diameter of Well depth: <u>41 feet</u> Material type:	bo <b>ring:</b> <u>10 inc</u> Sch 40 PVC	<u>thes</u> Date drilled: <u>7-2-91</u> Casing diameter: <u>4 inches</u>						
Screen interval: <u>31-1/2 to 41 feet</u>		0.020-inch						
Drilling Company: Exceltech	Driller:	Dan, Kenny, and Adam						
Method Used: Hollow-Stem Auger		Field Geologist: Barbara Sieminski						
Signature of Registered Professional: Registration No.:CE 044600 State: CA								

Depth	Sampi No.	e	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
- 0 -					GW	Asphalt (4 inches). Sandy gravel, dark brown, damp, medium dense: fill.	
- 4 -	S-5.5		7 8 13	3.4	GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, damp, medium dense; gravel up to 3—inches diameter.	2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0
- 8 - - 10 - - 12 -	S-10.5		12 30 37	9.6		More sand, moist, very dense.	
- 14 - - 16 - - 18 -	S-15.5		12 13 20	0		Dense.	
- 20-	S-20.5		18 19 22	34		More clay. (Section continues downward)	0 0 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7
PRO	F			60	<b>N</b> /	LOG OF BORING B-8/MW-5 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California	PLATE 6

əpth	Sample No.	ILOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Cons
		. 🕮		GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, dense.	
22 –						
24 -				GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist, very dense.	
26-	6-25.5	20 30 30	37			
28 -						
30 -		15				2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 7 4 4 4 4
	5–30.5 []	6 11	0	CL	Sandy clay with small gravel, brown, moist, medium <u>Clayer</u> sand with small gravel brown moist medium	
32 -	- -			SC GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense;	
34	5-34.5	35 30	364		Noticeable product odor	
36-	S-36	40 25 39	35	_ ₹	Wet.	
38-		26 17 29 33	27			
40						
42-	S41	11	305	CL	Sandy clay, brown, moist, medium plasticity, very stif	f;
	S-43	8	49			
44 -		13 5 8				
46-		<u>]13</u>			Total Depth = $45-1/2$ feet.	
48						
50 –						
					LOG OF BORING B-8/MW-5	PLA
	RI		sr	VA	ARCO Station 771	7
	FCT	6	0000	.06	Livermore, California	

1.2.3

1 A 5 A

1999 (M.

34222

122

Wel	l depth: een inte	4 4	<u>9. 47−</u> 2−1/2 β2−1/	feet 2 to 42-	<u>Material</u> -1/2 feet	type: <u>Sch 40 PVC</u> Slot size:	<u>Casing</u> diameter: Casing diameter: 0.020-inch	4 inches
Dril	ling Cor	npa	ny:	Exceltec	h	Driller:	Dan & Kenny	•
Met	hod Use	id:	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Hollow	-Stem Aug	er	Field Geologist: Barba	ora Siemir
		Sig	gnatur I	ə of Re Registra <sup>.</sup>	gîstered tion No. <u>:(</u>	Professionate E 044600 State:	CA	
)epth	Sample No.	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code		Descr	iption	Wel Cons
· 0 -					Asphalt	(4 inches).		
				GW	Sandy ç	ravel, brown, dry, lo	ose: fill.	7 V 7 V 7 V
2 -				GC	Clayey g	ravel with sand, darl	k brown, damp, dense.	
- 4 - 6	S-5.5	10 17	0	GW	Sandy o to	ravel with clay, brow 2—inches diameter.	vn, damp, dense; gravel u	p 70 70
. 8 -		15			•			
· 10-	S-10.5	20 36 45	0		Very de	ise,		7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0
- 14 -	S-15.5	15 16 16	0		Moist, d	ense.		2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0
- 18 - - 20 -	S-20	17 50,	0 /1		Gravel u	p to 3—inches diami	eter.	
	R		sr	IA		LOG OF BOI ARCO	RING B-9/MW-6 Station 771	PLA S
	IFOT				, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	899 R	incon Avenue	

I

Î

and a second

ļ

əpth <mark>Sample</mark> No.	BLOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const
22 -		<u> </u>	GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, dense; gravel up to 3—inches diameter. More clay.	
24-					4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
26-8-25.5	18 27 50/	0 1			
28 -			GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist, dense.	
30 -	15				
32 -	34 28		GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense.	
34 - 6-34.5	32 44	0			
36-S-36	36 49 40	0		Wet	
38-	19 18 30	0		<b>WGC</b>	
40	30 33 28	٥			
42-S-42	16 8	19			
5-43.5 44-	4696	0	CL	Sandy clay, brown, moist, medium plasticity, stiff.	
S-45	11	0			
	6 11 13	0			
48-				Total Depth = $47 - 1/2$ feet.	
50 -					
	<b>I</b>	1	<u> </u>	LOG OF BORING B-9/MW-6	PLA
RE	E	SN	IA	ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	l c

Depth of boring: 44-1/2 feet Diameter of	boring: 10 inc	thes Date drilled: 7-2-91
Well depth: <u>40 feet</u> Material type:	Sch 40 PVC	Casing diameter:4 inches
Screen interval: <u>30 to 40 feet</u>	Slot size:	0.020-inch
Drilling Company: Exceltech	Driller:	Don, Kenny, and Adam
Method Used: Hollow-Stem Auger		_ Field Geologist: Barbara Sieminski
Signature of Registered Profe Registration No.:CE 04	ssional: /E 4600 State:	CA

.

Depth	Sample No.	9	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	W Coi	ell nst.
- 0 -					GW	Asphalt (4 inches). Sandy gravel, dark brown, damp, medium dense: fill.		7 V 7 V 7 V 7 V
- 2 -					GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, damp, medium dense; gravel up to 3—inches diameter.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	אי ק ק ק ק
- 6 -	S-5.5		6 7 8	0				ע ע ע ע ע ע
- 8 -								▼ 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
- 10 - - 12 -	S-10.5		9 29 29	0		Moist, dense.		4
- 14 -		X	35 50	/1				
- 18 -							0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
- 20 -	S-20.5		17 35 43	152		Very dense; obvious product odor. (Section continues downward)	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
		•				LOG OF BORING B-10/MW-7	PL	_AT
PRO.	JECT:			<b>3</b> 60	000.06	ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California		1(

1915

pth Sample No.	SMOT	D. USCS Code	Description	Well Const
22 -		GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense; obvious product odor.	
24 26 <sup>6</sup> 25.5 <b>1</b> 28	25 33 35 35	GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, wet, very dense; obvious product odor.	
<sup>30 -</sup> s-30.5 <b>1</b> 32 -	30 17 50/5	GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense; obvious product odor.	
34 - 534.5 36 - S-36 S-37 38 -	35 43 50/5 37 29 50/4 37 115 44 17	58 92 <u>₹</u> 7	Wet.	
40- S-40.5 42-S-42	9 10 10.4 15 9 6.1 13 19 0	4 CL	Sandy clay, brown, moist, medium plasticity, very stiff.	
46-			Total Depth = $44-1/2$ feet.	
50				
RE	ES	NA	LOG OF BORING B-10/MW-7 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	PLA 1

E . 84 . 11

3000

1. N 2023

Well depth:	NA Material type:	NA	Casing diameter:NA
Screen interval:	NA	Slot size: _	NA
Drilling Company:	Exceltech	Driller:	Don, Kenny
Method Used:	Hollow-Stem Auger		Field Geologist: Barbara Siemins
Signat	ure of Registered Profe	ssional:	
	Registration No.:	State:	

Depth	Sample No.	Blows	P.1.D.	USCS Code		Description	Well Const.
- 0 - - 2 - - 4 -				GW	<u>Asphalt</u> Sandy gr	(4 inches). ravel, dark brown, damp, medium dense: fill.	0 0
- 6 - - 8 - - 10-	S-7 S-8.5	12 17 16 12 12 12 15 15	0 0 0		With clay Very den	se.	A     A     A       A     A       A
- 12 - - 14 - - 16 -	s–15.5	x 32 32 3€ 1 3€	0	GW	Sandy gr Moist.	ravel with clay, brown, damp, dense.	$ \begin{array}{c} \nabla & \nabla & \nabla \\ \nabla & \nabla & \nabla \\ \nabla & \nabla & \nabla \\ \nabla & \nabla &$
- 18 - 20	s–20.5		5 )5 0			(Section continues downward)	$ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraints} \\ eq:con$
PRO	FI	?E	E <b>S</b> 60	<b>N</b> A 000.0	<b>4</b> 6	LOG OF BORING B—11 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California	plate 12

Depth <mark>Sample</mark> No.	BLOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
			GW	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-22-					\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
-24- S-25 TT	25	3.4		More clay	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-26-	50	/5		Mbre Cidy.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-28 -			GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist, dense.	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
-30 - 6-30.5 T	14 10	0		Conductory to the above because mainty modium danse	  
-32 -	10		GW	sanay gruver with clay, brown, molat, mealann dense.	$\nabla \Delta \Delta \Delta$
-34 -					0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
5−35.5 TT -36−	40 50	0 /5		Very dense.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-38-			2	Wet.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-40-5.40	50	0			~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
12	30	/5		Total Depth = $40 - 1/2$ feet.	
-42					
-44-					
- 46					
- 48					
_ 50 _					
PE	7 4	CA	IA	LOG OF BORING B-11	PLAT
	-			899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California	13
-KUJECI	0				<u> </u>

55 a...

27.24











$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Well Cons
DepthSample No. $\frac{8}{50}$ P.I.D.USCS CodeDescription- 0 - - 2 2 - 	Vell Cons
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
S = 9 = 50/5" 0 $12 = -14.5 = 27/50/6" 0$ Becoming very moist. $16 = -5 = -17 = 50/6" 0$ $Clayey gravel with sand, brown, damp, very dense$ $20 = -5 = -19.5 = 48/39 = 0$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0
$\begin{array}{c c} 14 \\ S-14.5 \\ \hline \\ 50/6" \\ 0 \\ \hline \\ S-17 \\ \hline \\ 50/6" \\ 0 \\ \hline \\ \\ 5-19.5 \\ \hline \\ \\ 48 \\ 39 \\ 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Becoming very moist.} \\ \hline \\ \\ \text{GC} \\ \hline \\ \\ \text{Clayey gravel with sand, brown, damp, very dense} \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}$	
S-17 $S-17$ $S-17$ $S-17$ $S-17$ $S-19.5$ $48 \\ 39 \\ 0$ $S-19.5$ $48 \\ 39 \\ 0$ $S-19.5$ $39 \\ 39 \\ 0$ $S-19.5$ $39 \\ 39 \\ 0$ $S-19.5$ $39 \\ 39 \\ 39 \\ 39 \\ 39 \\ 39 \\ 39 \\ 39 $	
S-19.5 48 39 0	
Becoming moist	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
(Section continues downwar	d)
LOG OF BORING B-12/MW-8	


Working to Restore Nature PROJECT 60000.09

#### OG OF BORING B-12/MW-8 PLAT ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue 5 Livermore, California

Sci	n aepin: reen inte	erva	1: 29	1/2 to	. Material type: <u>Sci 40 PVC</u> Casing diameter: <u>2</u> 39 1/2 feet Slot size: 0.020-inch	menes
Dri	Iling Co	mpc	iny:	Explorat	on GeoServices Driller: John and Mike	
Me	thod Use	ed:		Hollow	-Stem Auger Field Geologist: Barbara	Siemi
		Si	gnatur	e of Re Registra	gistered Professional:	
Depth	Sample No.	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Wel Cons
- 0 -					Asphalt (4 inches).	
				GP	Sandy gravel, gray, damp, dense; baserock.	
- 2 -		10	0	GW	Sandy gravel, brown, moist, dense; fine— to coarse—grained sand.	7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0
- 6 -	5-4.5	13 34	U		·	
- 8 -	S-9.5 T	34 50/	3" <sup>0</sup>		Very dense, gravel up to 3" diameter with cobbles	
- 12 -	S-14.5	35 50/	5" <sup>0</sup>		with clay becoming very moist.	
- 16 -						
- 20 -	S-19	50/	6" <sup>()</sup>		Trace water at 18.5'	
					dense.	
				<b></b>		
<b>P</b>	Vorking (	o R	<b>PAN</b> estore	Nature	LOG OF BORING B-13/MW-9 ARCO Station 771	PLA 6

 $g = g + \frac{1}{2} g$ 

Depth	Sample No.	SHOWS	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	We Con:
				_GW	Sandy gravel, brown, moist, dense; fine- to coarse-grained sand.	
-22 -		50	/6"0	GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist to wet, very dense	
-24 -		50	/6" 0			
- 26 -	S-26	13 50	⁄6" <sup>0</sup>		becoming moist.	
-28 -	S-28	21	/ /" ∩	Gw	Sandy gravel, brown, wet, very dense.	
-30 -			4 0			
-35 -						
-34 -	S34	50	⁄6" ()			
.36 -				an a		
- 38						
40	S-40	13 18 29 11	0	CL	Sandy clay, brown, damp, medium plasticity, hard.	
42 +		20 24			Total depth = $42$ feet	
44 -						
46-						
48 -						
50						
!						
					ARCO Station 771	

. .

	reen in	terva	ıl:2	29 to 37	feet Slot size: 0.020-inch	
Dri	lling C	ompo	any:	Explorat	ion GeoServices Driller: John and Mike	
Met	thod U	sed:_ Si	gnatur	Hollow e of Re Reaîstra	-Stem Auger Field Geologist: Barbara gistered Professional:	Siemi
	Samp	S N	1			14(-
)epth	No.	Blo	P.I.D.	Code	Description	Con
- 0 -					Asphalt (4 inches)	
				GP	Sandy gravel, gray, damp, dense; baserock.	
- 2 -				GW	Sandy gravel, brown, damp, very dense; fine— to coarse grained sand; gravel up to 3" diameter; roots.	
- 4 -	S-4.5	T 26 28 1 50	0 (5"			7 0 0 7 0 7 0 7 0
6 -						
8 -						▼ 7 ▼
10 -	S-9.5	1 28 50/	(2" <sup>0</sup>			∨ 7 ♥ 7 ♥ 7 ♥ 7 ♥
12-						
14 -	5-14.5	27 50/	′5" <sup>D</sup>		With clay, becoming moist.	
16 -	S-17	50/	′5 <b>"</b> 0		Trace water at 17.5'	
18 -	S-19	50/	′5 <b>``</b> 0	GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist to wet, very dense.	
20 -				-		⊽ ⊽ ⊽ ⊽ ⊽
		{		L.	(Section continues downward)	<u>r_y</u> [
			<b>T</b> A		LOG OF BORING B-14/MW-10	PLA
	V <b>L</b> A	in R	<b>SAN</b>	Navimura	ARCO Station 771	,

Depth	Sample No.	BLOWS	P.I.D	USCS Code	Description	Wel Cons
-22 -				GC	Clayey gravel with sand, brown, moist to wet, very dense.	
-24 -		50	/5"			⊽   7 ⊽   7 ⊽   7 ⊽   7 ▼
-26-		T 15 20 36		CL	Sandy clay with fine gravel, brown, damp, medium plasticity, hard.	
-58 -	S–27.5	1 20 32 1 50	/3 0	$\nabla$		
-30 -	S-29.5	1 20 30 1 35	0	SC	Clayey sand, fine— to medium— grained, brown, we dense.	et,
-32 -				GW-GC	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, wet, very dense.	
-34 -		<b>x</b> 50,	/5"			
- 36 -						
- 38	S-38	15 16 26 13 18	0	CL	Sandy clay, brown, damp, medium plasticity, hard.	
- 40					Total depth = 40 feet.	
-42						
-44 -						
- 46 -						
- 48						
- 50						
W	<b>RA</b> orking t	ø Re	store i	Nature	ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	9
ROJE	СТ	60	0000.	09	Livermore, California	

Wel	l depth	:	39 f	eet	Material	type: <u>Sch 40 PVC</u>	Casing diameter:2	inches
Scr	een int	erva	l:2	9 to 39	feet	Slot size:	0.020-inch	
Dril	ling Co	mpc	iny:	HEW Dri	lling	Driller:	Phil and Perfecto	
Met	hod Us	ed:_ Sig	gnatur	Hollow e of Re Registra	<u>-Stem Au</u> gistered ion No. <u>:</u>	rofessional: CEG 1463 State:	Field Geologist: <u>Barbar</u>	a Siemii
Depth	Sample No.	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code		Descrip	otion	Wel
- 0 -					Asphalt Asphalt	-covered surface.		
2 2 -				GW	Sandy	gravel, dark brown, da	mp, medium dense: fill.	
				GW-GC	Sandy 3"	gravel with clay, brown diameter.	, damp, dense; gravel up	to v v v v
-+	S-5.5	17	0					
- 6 -		39	-					
- 8 -								
- 10 -	5–10.5 <b>T</b>	24 34	0		Becomi	ng moist, very dense.		
- 12 -		50						
- 14 -			-					0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
- 16 -	5-15	50	′6" <sup>()</sup>		Increasi	ing clay.		
- 18 -								
- 20 -	5-20.5 <b>T</b>	30 38	0					
		40				(5	Section continues downward	1)
	R			NA		LOG OF BOR	ING B-15/MW-11	PLA
	Workin	g lo	Resto	re Natur	2 2		Station 771	1

Depth	No.	BLOW	P.I.D.	Code		Description	Wel Cons
				GW-GC	Sand	y gravel with clay, brown, moist, very dense; gravel up to 3 inch diameter.	
-55 -				GC T	- <u>Clay</u> e	ey gravel with sand, brown, moist, very dense.	
-24 -							
-26-	S–25.5	38 38 50	0				
-28 -	S−28.5	8	0		Sand	y silt with gravel, brown, damp, low plasticity, very stiff.	₹
20	5-30	22 23	0	-SM-	Silty	sand, fine-grained, brown, wet, dense.	
- 30 -	3-30	50/	(6" <sup>(</sup>	GW-GC	Sand	y gravel with clay, brown, wet, very dense.	
-32 -							
-34 -							
	S-35.5	37	0	GC	Claye	y gravel, brown, wet, very dense.	
- 36 -	Π	50/	5"				
- 38 -							
- 40		7		CL	Sandy	v clay, brown, damp, low plasticity, very stiff.	
	S-41	8 20	0				
-42 -		4 8 17	0				
-44 -					Total	depth = 43 feet.	
- 46							
- 48 _							
- 50 -							
			y <u>a</u> i			LOG OF BORING B-15/MW-11	PLA
<b>NG EST PROPA</b> Working to Restore Nature				Nature		ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	1

·

Sor Dri	reen i Iling	inte Co <b>r</b>	erva mpo	l:18 iny:	HEW Dril	28-1/2 lling	feet     Slot size:     0.100-inch       Driller:     Phil and Perfecto	
Mei	lhod	Use	∍d:_ Si	gnatur	Hollow- e of Reg Registrat	<u>-Stem Au</u> gistered ion No. <u>:</u>	ger Field Geologist: Barbara Professional: <u>Field Geologist: Barbara</u> CEG 1463 State: CA	Siemin
Depth	Sam No	ple	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code		Description	Well Const
- 0 -						Asphalt Asphalt Sump.	-covered surface. (4 inches).	
- 2 -								v v v v v v v v v v v v
- 4 -					GW-GC	Sandy	gravei with clay, brown, moist, medium dense.	
- 6 -	S-6		9 10 17	0				0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
8 - 10 - 12 -	S-11		24 30 26	0		Becomi	ng damp to moist, very dense.	
14 - 16 -	S-16		12 10 21	0		Increasi	ng clay, becoming moist to wet.	
18 -			<u>د</u> 1		GW	Sandy g	gravel with sona, brown, moist, aense. 	
20 -	S-21		13 30 28	120		Product Color cl	odor at 21 feet. hange to gray at 21-1/2 feet.	
							(Section continues downward)	
1	<b>Vorki</b> i	) <b>A</b> Mg	10	SA Bestore	Nature		LOG OF BORING B-16/VW-1 ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore California	PLAT   12

)epth	Sample No.	SMOT	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const
				GW	Sandy gravel, gray, moist, very dense; gravel up to 3"	
					diameter. Product odor at 21 feet.	
22 -						
24					Clavey gravel with eard brown moiot your dense	
				00	cidyey graver with saild, brown, moist, very dense.	
26-	S-26	25 27	320		Product odor at 26 feet.	
28				ML	Sandy silt with fine gravel brown damp low plasticity	
	S-29.5	7	58		very stiff. Product odor at 30 feet	
30 -	S-31	16 11 13	33			
32 -	S-37 5	15	34		Increasing sand, becoming moist.	
	JJ2.J	30	+C	GW-GC	Sandy gravel with clay, brown, wet, very dense.	
34					Total depth = $33-1/2$ feet.	
36						
20						
38-						
40 —						
12 -						
14 –						
46-						
18 -						
50 -						
						·····
			<b>av</b> a		LOG OF BORING B-16/VW-1	PLAT
	<b>NS</b> Working	to I	<b>D</b> Restore	<b>VAR</b> Nature	ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue	13
	CT.	60	000.	09	Livermore, California	

Scr	reen i	nter	rval	:25	1/2 to 4	40 1/2	feet Slot size:	0.020-inch	
Dri	lling (	Corr	ipa	ny:	HEW Drilli	ing	Driller:	Phil and Perfecto	
Mei	thod (	Jsed	d: Sig	Inatur	Hollow- e of Reg Registrati	Stem Au istered on No. <u>:</u>	rofessional: CEG 1463 Stote:	Field Geologist: <u>Barbara</u>	Siemi
Depth	Samp No.	le	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code		Descri	ption	We Con:
- 0 -					SP GC	Asphalt Asphalt Gravelly Clayey de	-covered surface. (4 inches). r sand, gray, damp, n gravel with sand, dark ense.	n <u>edium dense: fill.</u> < brown, damp; medium	
- 4 -	<b>C C</b>		6	0					
- 8 -	5-0		8 8	U	GW-GC	Sandy gr	gravel with clay, brown avel up to 3" diamete	n, damp, medium dense; er.	
- 10- - 12-	S-11		11 6 7	0		Becomi	ng dense, damp to m	oist, with increasing clay.	
- 14 -									7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0
- 16 -			50/	'6 <b>''</b>		Large d	cobble		
- 20 -	S-21		8	105		Color c	hange to gray, moist;	product odor at 21 feet.	
					·····		(	Section continues downward	)
	Work	<b>7</b> Ing	to	Restor			LOG OF BO ARCO 899 Ri Livermo	RING B-17/RW-1 Station 771 ncon Avenue re California	PLA











PRO.	BROADE ENGINEERING, JECT NAME: E	SENT & AS WATER RESO	SSOCIA URCES & EI	NTES, I	NC. NTAL	SITE AD	LITHOLOGIC AND SOIL BOR DRESS: 899 Rincon Ave., Livermore, CA	NG LO	G			
PRO	 JECT NUMBER	: 06-82-60	8			LEGAL	DESC: API	۷:				
LOG	GED BY:S	am Barkley				FACILIT	Y ID OR WAIVER: NOI	NUMBER:				
DATE	: <u> </u>	S1	ART:	1245		DRILLIN	DRILLING COMPANY: RSI DRILLER: Jorge Mor					
WELI	_ ID: <u></u> SB-2	S1	OP:	1335		DRILLIN	G METHOD: HSA SAMPLE N	/IETHOD: <u>Co</u>	ore Barrel			
DEPTH (FEET)	Soil Boring	SAMPLE ID	PID	MOIST	JRE COLOR	CONSIE	ren <sup>cy</sup> C	LASSIFICATION	ODORS			
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ - \\ 4 \\ - \\ 6 \\ - \\ 8 \\ - \\ 10 \\ - \\ 12 \\ - \\ 14 \\ - \\ 16 \\ - \\ 18 \\ - \\ 20 \\ - \\ 22 \\ - \\ 24 \\ - \\ 26 \\ - \\ 28 \\ - \\ 30 \\ - \\ 32 \\ - \\ 34 \\ - \\ 36 \\ - \\ 38 \\ - \\ 40 \\ - \end{array}$	GROUT	SB-2-10' SB-2-15' SB-2-20' SB-2-20' SB-2-30' SB-2-30' SB-2-30'	0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm	Dry Slightly moist Moist Wet	Lt. brown Lt. brown Lt. brown	Loose Soft Loose	Gravelly sand with silt - 35% gravel, 45% sand 20% fines; sub-rounded gravel up to 3 inches. Silty clay about 3 inches thick Gravelly sand with silt - 35% gravel, 45% sand 20% fines; sub-rounded gravel up to 3 inches. Gravelly sand with silt - 10% gravel, 60% sand 30% fines; gravel up to $\frac{1}{2}$ inch.	and GM	None None None			
TOTA THIS SUM MAY CHAI	AL BORING DE MARY APPLIES ONLY AT TH NGE AT THIS LOCATION WI	PTH: 35		PA S. SUBSURFACE C INTED IS A SIMPLI		1 OF _		VATER DEF	PTH: 33'			

PRO.	BROADE ENGINEERING	BENT & A	SSOCIA URCES & EI	TES, I	NC. NTAL	SITE AD	LITHOLOG	IC AND SC	DIL BORING	LOC	3
PRO		R: 06-82-60	)8			LEGAL		,	APN:		
LOGO	GED BY: <u>s</u>	Sam Barkley				FACILIT	Y ID OR WAIVER: _		NOI NUMB	ER:	
DATE	: <u> </u>	S1	TART:	0930		DRILLING COMPANY:DRILLER:				_	Jorge Morales
WELI	_ ID: <u></u> SB-3	S1	ГОР:	1035		DRILLIN	IG METHOD: <u>HSA</u>		SAMPLE METHOD: Core Barrel		
DEPTH (FEET)	Soil Boring	SAMPLE ID	PID	MOIST	JRE COLOR	CONSIE	TENCY	GRAIN SIZE	CLASSIFICA	TION	ODORS
2 — 4 — 6 — 8 — 10 — 12 — 14 — 16 — 18 — 20 — 22 — 24 — 26 — 28 — 30 — 32 — 34 — 36 — 38 — 40 —		SB-3-10' SB-3-15' SB-3-20' SB-3-25' SB-3-30'	0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm 0.0 ppm	Dry Slightly moist Wet	Lt. brown	Loose	Gravelly sand with s 25% fines; sub-rour	silt - 35% gravel aded gravel up t silt - 35% gravel p to 3 inches.	I, 40% sand and o 3 inches.	GM	None None None None None
THIS SUM MAY CHAP	MARY APPLIES ONLY AT TH	HIS LOCATION AND AT THI TH THE PASSAGE OF TIME	E TIME OF LOGGING	PA S. SUBSURFACE C INTED IS A SIMPLI	CONDITIONS MAY DIA FICATION OF ACTUA	FFER AT OTHER L	OCATIONS AND NCOUNTERED.			no\public\C	AD\templates\LITHLOG.DWG

#### APPENDIX C

URS WATER WELL SURVEY

September 17, 2003

Ms. eva chu Alameda County Health Care Services Agency Environmental Health Services Division 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, California 94502



#### SUBJECT: WATER WELL SURVEY, ARCO SERVICE STATION #0771, 899 RINCON AVENUE, LIVERMORE, CALIFORNIA

Dear Ms. Chu:

On behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO - an affiliated company of the Group Environmental Management Company), URS Corporation (URS) submits the results of a water well survey conducted within a ½-mile radius of ARCO Service Station #0771, located at 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California (the Site). URS requested well logs from the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) to determine potential impact on water producing wells within a ½ -mile radius of the Site. Based on the DWR well logs, the locations of all water wells within a ½-mile radius of the Site are shown on Figure 1 and their respective well details are presented in Table 1. The historic groundwater flow direction at the Site has ranged between north through northwest. Since the DWR well logs are classified as confidential, they have not been attached. URS will retain the DWR well logs on file. The details of the water well survey results are as follows:

- One municipal water supply well (742270) is located approximately 2,500 feet cross-gradient of the site (Figure 1: Well 1).
- One well (01-2000) of unknown use is located approximately 240 feet cross-gradient of the site (Figure 1: Well 2). A previous well survey conducted for the Site indicated the respective well to be a public well, however, the well log provided by DWR does not indicate the designated use of the well. Also, the DWR well log did not provide an accurate address for the respective well, therefore, the well location on Figure 1 has been approximated.
- One municipal water supply well (01-2001) is located approximately 2,300, feet crossgradient of the site (Figure 1: Well 3). Since the DWR well log did not provide an accurate address for the respective well, the well location on Figure 1 is approximate.

URS Corporation 500 12th Street, Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94607-4014 Tel: 510.893.3600 Fax: 510.874.3268



- One well (01-2002) of unknown use is located approximately 2,300 feet up-gradient of the Site (Figure 1: Well 4). Please note that the address provided on the DWR well log for the respective well does not exist and is likely to have changed since the well installation in 1943. Accordingly, the well location on Figure 1 has been approximated.
- A previous well survey conducted for the Site indicated the presence of one municipal water supply well approximately 360 feet cross-gradient of the Site (Figure 1: Well 5). However, the well logs provided by DWR for all wells located within a <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mile radius of the Site did not include the well log for the respective well. Additional information on the well is unavailable.

Should you have any concerns or questions, please contact me at (510) 874-3280.

Sincerely,

**URS CORPORATION** 

Adu

Scott Robinson Project Manager

William Frohlich, C. Hg., C.E.G. Project Geologist

Attachment: Table 1 – Well Survey Details Figure 1 - Well Survey Map

cc: Mr. Paul Supple, ARCO (electronic copy uploaded to ENFOS)



URS Corporation 500 12th Street, Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94607-4014 Tel: 510.893.3600 Fax: 510.874.3268

### Table 1Well Survey Details

#### Arco Service Station #0771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California

I.D. (Figure 2)	State Well Number	Address	Installation Date	Status	Designated Use	Total Depth (ft)	Screened Interval (ft)	Orientation with Site *
1	742270	732 Olivina Avenue	July 8, 2002	In use	Municipal	550	410-450, 505-528	Cross gradient
2	01-2000	North Side of Fire Station, Pine and Rincon <sup>a</sup>	July 5, 1963	Unknown	Unknown <sup>b</sup>	300	Unknown	Cross gradient
3	01-2001	Pine Street and Arroya Road <sup>a</sup>	November 7, 1953	Unknown	Municipal	576	143-433	Cross gradient
4	01-2002	1936 Olivina Avenue °	August 3, 1943	Unknown	Unknown <sup>d</sup>	130	118-127	Upgradient

Notes:

Well No. 5 on the well survey map (Figure 1) was noted to be a municipal water supply well in a previous well survey conducted for the Site. However, the well logs

provided by the Department of Water Resources did not include a well log for the respective well. The location of the respective well was indicated to be cross-gradient of the Site.

a Well log did not provide an accurate address. The location on the well survey map is approximate.

b Well log did not indicate well use designation. However, a previous well survey conducted for the this Site indicated the well to be a public well.

c This address does not exist and is likely to have changed since the well installation in 1943. The location on the well survey map is approximate.

d Well log indicates the well was installed for a private owner. The well is likely to be a domestic or irrigation well.

e During the third quarter 2003 groundwater monitoring session, the groundwater flow direction at the Site was northwesterly.

0771 Well Survey Details.xls

 $I_{\phi}^{2}$ 



anvi\_waste\BP\_GEM\Sites\Scott Robinson\Paul Supple\0771\sensitive receptor survey\WSM.dwg, 09/1772003 10:06:18 AM, JKMT, URS

#### APPENDIX D

HISTORIC SOIL ANALYTICAL DATA

June 22, 1990 AGS 60000-1

TABLE 1 ANALYTICAL RESULTS OF SOIL AND SLUDGE SAMPLES BY BROWN AND CALDWELL ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California August 25, 1987								
Sample Identification	HVC	TPFH	В	Т	X	PCBs		
AL-1 AL-2 LS-1 LS-2 WO-1	ND ND ND ND ND	378 ND 3,779 808 256,508	ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND 0.009 0.011 2.920	ND ND 0.05 0.06 0.128	ND ND ND ND ND		

Results in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) or parts per million (ppm).

HVC: Halogenated volatile compounds by EPA Method 8010.

TPFH: Total petroleum fuel hydrocarbons by modified EPA Method 8015.

B: Benzene by EPA Method 8020.

T: Toluene by EPA Method 8020.

X: Total xylene isomers by EPA Method 8020.

PCBs: Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) by EPA Method 8080.

ND: Below laboratory reported detection concentration.

LS-2

Sample designation:

----- Sample number AL = Soil sample LS = Stockpile sample WO = Waste oil sample

4

Applied GeoSystems

#### Limited Environmental Site Assessment ARCO Station 771, Livermore, California

June 22, 1990 AGS 60000-1

#### TABLE 2 RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California

Sample Identification	Date	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes
S-10-B1	2/1/90	< 1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
S-19.5-B1	2/1/90	< 1.0	0.022	0.024	< 0.005	0.022
S-24.5-B1	2/1/90	< 1.0	0.022	0.015	0.010	0.048
S-29.5-B1	2/1/90	< 1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
S-10-B2	2/1/90	< 1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
S-20-B2	2/1/90	< 1.0	0.016	0.020	< 0.005	0.025
S-25-B2	2/1/90	1.4	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.018
S-31-B2	2/1/90	< 1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
S-10-B3	2/2/90	< 1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
S-19.5-B3	2/2/90	< 1.0	0.028	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.017
S-25-B3	2/2/90	4.5	0.047	< 0.01	0.011	0.038
S-32-B3	2/2/90	190	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.7

Results in parts per million (ppm)

TPHg = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline < = Indicates less than the detection limit for the

specified method of analysis.

S-25-B2

Boring number
Approximate sample depth
Soil sample

9

Applied GeoSystems -





## Additional Onsite and Initial Offsite Subsurface Investigation ARCO Station 771, Livermore, California

February 26, 1993 60000.09

TABLE 2 CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES ARCO Station 771 Livermore, California (Page 1 of 4)								
Sample Identification	TPHg	TPHd	В	Т	E	x	TOG	
February 1990								
S-10-B1	< 1.0	NA	< 0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	NA	
S-19.5-B1	< 1.0	NA	0.022	0.024	<0.005	0.022	NA	
S-24.5-B1	< 1.0	NA	0.022	0.015	0.010	0.048	NA	
S-29.5-B1	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.015	< 0.005	<0.005	NA	
0	• 1.0		< 0.00D	~0.00D	× 0.000	~0.005	inca	
S-10-B2	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA	
S-20-B2	< 1.0	NA	0.016	0.020	< 0.005	0.025	NA	
S-25-B2	1.4	NA	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	0.018	NA	
S-31-B2	< 1.0	NA	< 0.005	<0.005	<0.01	< 0.005	NA	
				10.000	~ 0.000	~~.~~	141	
S-10-B3	< 1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	NA	
S-19.5-B3	<1.0	NA	0.028	<0.005	<0.005	0.017	NA	
S-25-B3	4.5	NA	0.047	< 0.01	0.011	0.038	NA	
S-32.5-B3	190	NA	<10	<10	<10	17	NA	
			1.0	~ 1.0	1.0	1.7	1425	
December 1990								
S-20-B4	<10	NA	0.006	<0.005	< 0.005	~0.005	NA	
S-30-B4	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	NA	
S-32.5-B4	<10	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	~0.005	NA	
S-36.5-B4	140	NA	<0.000	0.000	17	4.3	NA	
S.42.R4	3.800	NA	~15 -	120	50	200	NA	
S-45 5-B4	5,000	NA	0.16	130	011	200	LNCS.	
0-00-04	5.0	1121	0.10	0.51	0.11	0.04	n ch	
S-20-B5	<10	NA	0.068	0.013	0.009	0.026	NIA	
S-30-B5	<10	NA	~0.005	~0.005	~0.005	<0.020	NIA	
S-34.5-B5	97	NA	<0.005	0.13	0.000	0.000	NA	
S-39 S-B5	13	NA	0.15	0.66	0.067	15	NA	
S-45-B5	<10	NA	~0.005	0.00	<0.10	1	NA NA	
0.000	11.0	I WA	< 0.00D	0.000	<b>NU.005</b>	0.009	i va	
S-20-B6	<10	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	NA	
S-30-B6	<1.0	NA	<0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA	
S-36_5-B6	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	0.005	NA	
S-41-B6	<1.0	NA	<0.005	< 0.005	~0.005	<0.005	NA	
S-44_5-B6	<1.0	NA	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.000	NA	
0.1.0.00		141	<0.00D	<0.0LD	<0.000	~0.000	1404	
S-011591-1ABCD*	31	NA	0.25	0.67	0.34	2.8	NA	
Junc, July 1991								
S-10-B7	< 1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA	
S-20-B7	2.2	NA	0.074	0.12	0.061	0.43	NA	
S-25-B7	<1.0	NA	<0.074	~0.00	<0.001	د+ ∠0.00\$	NA	
S.30.B7	48	NA	0.000	0.15	0.000	1.0	11/2	

See notes on page 4 of 4.



## Additional Onsite and Initial Offsite Subsurface Investigation ARCO Station 771, Livermore, California

February 26, 1993 60000.09

TABLE 2 CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES ARCO Station 771 Livermore, California (Page 2 of 4)									
Sample Identification	TPHg	TPHd	В	T	Е	х	TOG		
une, July 1991 cont.	<b></b>			<u></u>	<u> </u>				
S-33-B7	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	0.006	< 0.005	0.010	NA		
S-40-B7	19	NA	0.019	0.059	0.14	0.74	NA		
S-44-B7	<1.0	NA	0.049	0.020	0.021	0.024	NA		
S-10.5-B8	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA		
S-20.5-B8	<1.0	NA	0.013	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA		
S-25.5-B8	3.5	NA	< 0.005	0.007	0.015	0.028	NA		
S-34.5-B8	210	NA	0.27	1.0	2.0	12	NA		
S-41-B8	3,200	NA	10	70	37	170	NA		
S-43-B8	4.9	NA	0.26	1.2	0.13	0.67	NA		
S-10_S-B9	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA		
S-15.5-B9	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA		
S-25.S-B9	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA		
S-34.5-B9	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA		
S-36-B9	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA		
S-42-B9	1.8	NA	0.049	0.006	0.020	0.030	NA		
S-45-B9	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA		
S-10.5-B10	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA		
S-20.5-B10	<1.0	NA	0.042	< 0.005	0.007	< 0.005	NA		
S-25.5-B10	27	NA	0.44	0.74	0.36	2.0	NA.		
S-34.5-10	88	NA	0.20	0.50	0.84	0.96	NA		
S-36-B10	110	NA	0.28	0.51	0.86	2.7	NA		
S-42-B10	<1.0	NA	0.008	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.021	NA		
S-7-B11	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<30		
S-8.5-B11	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 30		
S-15.5-B11	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<30		
S-20.5-B11	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<30		
S-25.5-B11	< 1.0	<1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<30		
S-35.5-B11	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<30		
S-40-B11	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<30		
August 12, 1991									
SP1-ABCD*	<1.0	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA		
April 1992									
S-10.5-B15	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-20.5-B15	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-28.5-B15	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-41-B15	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		

See notes on page 4 of 4.



# Additional Onsite and Initial Offsite Subsurface Investigation ARCO Station 771, Livermore, California

February 26, 1993 60000.09

TABLE 2 CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES ARCO Station 771 Livermore, California (Page 3 of 4)									
Sample Identification	TPHg	TPHd	В	Т	Е	x	TOG		
April 1992 cont.					*******				
S-11-B16	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-21-B16	<1.0	NA	0.0080	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-31-B16	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-11-B17	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-21-B17	<1.0	NA	0.021	< 0.0050	0.017	0.0080	NA		
S-30.5-B17	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-33-B17	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-43-B17	7.0	NA	0.30	0.77	0.15	1.1	NA		
S-0409-SP1-A-D*	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-0409-SP2-A-D*	6.4	NA	0.0070	0.015	0.020	0.12			
January 1993									
S-9-B12	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-17-B12	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-26-B12	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-43.5-B12	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-9.5-B13	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-14.5-B13	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-26-B13	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-40-B13	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-9.5-B14	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.0050	NA		
S-17-B14	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-27.5-B14	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-38-B14	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
S-0115-SP-A-D**	<1.0	NA	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	NA		
	[<0.050]	[NA]	[0.00050]	[0.00050]	[0.00050]	[0.00050]	[NA]		

See notes on page 4 of 4.



#### Additional Onsite and Initial Offsite Subsurface Investigation ARCO Station 771, Livermore, California

February 26, 1993 60000.09

ARCO Station 771 Livermore, California (Page 4 of 4)										
Sam Identif	ple ication	TPHg	TPHd	в	T	Е	x	TOG		
Results me TPHg: TPHd: B: benzene BTEX: TOG: *:	asured in p Total p Total p ; T: toluen Analyz Total c Compo	part per millio petroleum hyd petroleum hyd ie; E: ethylber ed by EPA M bil and grease ssite sample o	on (ppm). Irocarbons as a Irocarbons as a nzene; X: xyler (ethod 5030/80 (analyzed by S f four soil sam	gasoline (anal Jiesel (analyz les. 015/8020. Standard Met oples obtained	iyzed by EPA 1 ed by EPA Ma thod 5520 E&H 1 from stockpil	Method 5030/8 thod 5030/801 (Gravimetric) led soil.	015/8020). 5).			
<: VA: :	Less th Sample Sample STLC corrosi ignitab and rea cyanide	an the labora not analyzed was also ana lead by EPA vity by EPA I activity by EPA I activity by EP = < 0.50 ppm,	tory detection i. lyzed for: Method 7421 - Method 9045 - Method 1010 - A Methods 90 reaction with w	limit. < 0.10 ppm; pH = 7.1; flashpoint > 30, 9010 and vater - negativ	100°C; 9045 - sulfide ve.	<10 ppm,				
[]: Sample Ide	TPHg : entification:	and BTEX ar	S-43-B17	• Method 50:	Boring Depth Soil sar	CLP extract of number of boring in fee nple	f soil. st			



Sample		Depth			BTEX Dis	tinction (1)		Organic
Designation	Date	(feet bgs)	TPH-G (1)	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	Lead (2)
Former Tank	Cavity							
T1A	12/30/91	15	1,500	1.3	28	24	210	NA
T1B	12/30/91	15	1.4	0.019	0.015	0.0089	0.2	NA
T2A	12/30/91	16	1,900	1.3	9.4	8.6	94	NA
T2B	12/30/91	16	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
ТЗА	12/30/91	14	45	0.089	1.2	0.52	4.7	NA
ТЗВ	12/30/91	14	1.3	0.0097	0.045	0.023	0.24	NA
T4A	12/30/91	14	4,600	28	470	170	1.100	NA
T4B	12/30/91	14	2.4	0.0095	0.050	0.041	0.33	NA
New Tank Ca	<u>ivity</u>							
TP-1	1/21/92	18	100	ND	0.059	ND	1.4	ND
TP-2	1/21/92	18	2.6	0.0057	0.012	0.012	0.12	ND
TP-3	1/21/92	18	1.8	0.0058	0.011	0.0071	0.053	ND
TP-4	1/21/92	18	1.4	0.0052	0.02	0.0094	0.092	ND
TP-5	1/21/92	18	1.5	0.0062	0.036	0.016	0.14	ND
TP-6	1/21/92	18	830	ND	2.5	1.5	47	ND
Product Line	Trenches		· • ′					
Ll	2/7/92	1.5	ND	ND	0.035	ND	ND	ND
L2	2/7/92	1.5	750	0.35	30	26	200	ND
L3	2/7/92	0.5	41	0.091	0.28	0.1	0.93	ND
L4	2/7/92	1.5	2.2	0.0093	0.52	0.011	0.061	ND
L5	2/7/92	1.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
L6	2/7/92	1.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
L7	2/7/92	0.5	600	ND	0.21	ND	26	ND
L8	2/7/92	1.5	1.2	ND	0.027	ND	0.0068	ND
L2B	2/18/92	5	91	ND	ND	ND	2.4	NA
L7B	2/18/92	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA

## Table 1.Soil Sample Analytical Results<br/>ARCO Facility No. 771, Livermore, California

#### **FOOTNOTES**

(1) = Concentrations reported in mg/kg (= parts per million).

(2) = Concentrations reported in mg/L (= parts per million).

TPH-G = Total Petroleum Fuel Hydrocarbons as Low/Medium Boiling Point Hydrocarbons (USEPA Method 8015). BTEX Distinction (USEPA Method 8020).

Organic Lead by method described in California LUFT Manual 12/87.

ND = Not detected.

NA = Not analyzed.

bgs = below ground surface.

ROUX ASSOCIATES 🏵








# Table 1 Product Piping Removal Compliance Sampling Results

### June 15, 2001

### ARCO Service Station 0771 899 Rincon Ave, Livermore, California

		Depth				Ethyl-		
5	Sample ID	Sampled (fbg)	TPHg (mg/kg)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toulene (mg/kg)	benzene (mg/kg)	Xylene (mg/kg)	MTBE (mg/kg)
[	Disp-1-4.5	4.5	<1.0	<0.0050	0.017	<0.0050	0.019	0.78
	Disp-2-6	6.0	1,0	<0.0050	0.017	<0.0050	0.049	2.1
F	Pipe-1-3.5	3.5	<1.0	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.050
	Pipe-2-4	4.0	<1.0	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.050

Notes

fbg = feet below grade mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

TPHg = total petroluem hydrocarbons as gasoline MTBE = methyl tert butyl ether

H:\ARCO\0771\Data\Line Pull ANALYTICAL



### **ARCO Service Station 0771**

899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California



CAMBRIA

Site Plan and Soil Sampling Locations

Soil Boring Identification*	Sample ID	Date Collected	GRO mg/kg	Benzene mg/kg	Toluene mg/kg	Ethylbenzene mg/kg	Xylenes mg/kg	MTBE mg/kg	Comments
SB-2									
	SB-2-10'	3/25/2011	< 0.50	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	
	SB-2-30'	3/25/2011	< 0.50	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	
SB-3									
	SB-3-10'	3/25/2011	< 0.50	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	
	SB-3-30'	3/25/2011	< 0.50	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	
ESLs			83	0.044	2.9	3.3	2.3	0.023	

 Table 1. Summary of Soil Sample Analytical Data

 Station #771, 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California

#### Abbreviations & Symbols:

\* = See Drawing 2 for soil boring locations.

GRO: Gasoline range organics.

Calscience Environmental Laboratories, Inc.: GRO (C6-C12)

GRO analyzed using EPA method 8015B

Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Total Xylenes, and MTBE analyzed using EPA method 8260B.

mg/kg = Milligrams per kilogram.

ESLs = Environmental Screening Levels for deep soil (>3 meters bgs) where groundwater is a current or potential source of

drinking water (San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2008).

bgs = Below ground surface

#### Notes:

1,2-dibromoethane (EDB), 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2 DCA), tert-butyl alcohol (TBA), Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE), ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE), ter-amyl methyl ether (TAME), and ethanol were not detected at or above their respective laboratory reporting limit.

The last number in each Sample ID denotes the depth at which the sample was collected in feet bgs (i.e., SB-2 10' was collected at a depth of 10 feet bgs)

### **APPENDIX E**

### SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION SYSTEM PERFORMANCE DATA

### Vapor-Extraction Test Report ARCO Station 771, Livermore, California

TABLE 1 VAPOR-EXTRACTION TEST MONITORING DATA ARCO Station 771 Livermore, California **Observation Wells** <u>MW-1</u> <u>MW-2</u> <u>MW-5</u> <u>MW-7</u> Influent Air Stream Induced Flow Concen-Applied Temp. Elapsed Induced Induced Induced Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum tration Time (min) 0.8 0.7 NM 1.0 53.4 NM 39 50 0 5.8 3.7 NM 87.2 > 10,000 >100 55 30 4.3 5.0 NM 6.9 89.4 >10,000 98 57 60 4.8 4.9 5.7 NM 91.6 >10,000 105 57 -90 7.2 NM 91.6 >10,000 105 120 4.9 7.3 6.0 60 6.0 NM 4.9 7.3 91.6 >10,000 105 60 150 5.0 NM 4.8 -5.1 63.2 >10,000 49 64 30 >3 4.8 5.0 5.1 63.2 >10,000 49 63 60 35.0 60.0 Distance from extraction well MW-4 (feet): 40.0 40.0 Observation Wells MW-1 **MW-2 MW-7** <u>MW-4</u> Influent Air Stream Induced Induced Temp. Elapsed Induced Induced Flow Concen-Applied Vacuum Vacuum tration Vacuum Time (min) Vacuum Vacuum 0.9 0.04 0.0 81.6 > 10,000 96 56 0 2.0 **5.0** 0.5 1.1 55 30 3.3 81.6 > 10,000 81.8 60.0 Distance from extraction well MW-5 (feet): 30.0 40.0 80.0 Observation Wells <u>MW-2</u> MW-4 <u>MW-5</u> Influent Air Stream Elapsed Induced Induced Induced Flow Temp. Applied Concen-Vacuum tration Vacuum Time (min) Vacuum Vacuum 2.0 1.2 2.0 82.8 >10,000 95 57 0 30 2.0 2.3 1.3 82.8 > 10,000 100 54

Distance from extraction well MW-7 (feet): 44.0

Flow measured in cubic feet per minute (CFM).

Concentration measured in parts per million by volume (ppmv) on Lower Explosion Level (LEL) Meter.

Vacuum measured in inches of water column vacuum.

Temperature measured in degrees Fahrenheit.

NM = Not Measured.



57.0

35.0

### Vapor-Extraction Test Report ARCO Station 771, Livermore, California

January 3, 1992 60000.07

TABLE 2         LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF AIR SAMPLES         ARCO Station 771         Livermore, California							
Sample ID	Sample Location	Elapsed Time of Sample	ТРНg	В	Т	E	x
60000.07 <b>-AS</b> 1	MW-4	30	62,000 🗸	1200	150	28	48
60000.07-AS2	MW-4	150	58,000	1100	180	43	86
effluent	Outlet	30	(1,000)	19	14	6.4	18
50000.07-AS3	MW-4	30	14,000	180	23	<12	<12
50000.07 <b>-AS</b> 4	MW-7	30	30,000	740	150	15	87
50000.07-ASS	MW-5	30	8.600	220	<12	<12	<12

Concentrations reported in milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m<sup>3</sup>)

< : Below the minimum laboratory detection limit for air.

NA: Not analyzed.

TPHg: Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (analyzed by EPA Methods 8015 and 8020).

B: benzene, T: toluene, E: ethylbenzene, X: total xylene isomers

BTEX: Analyzed by EPA Methods 8015 and 8020 \*: Outlet effluent vapors sampled after abatem

Outlet effluent vapors sampled after abatement by the internal combustion engine.



#### TABLE 1 LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS OF AIR SAMPLES SVE STARTUP AND PERFORMANCE TEST

Sample	Date	Sample ID		С	oncentration in air	(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	
Location			Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	TPHG
Detection Limit			0.5	0.5	0.5	1.0	60
Well Field Influent (before dilution)	12/20/94	I-1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	7.1	300
Influent to System (after dilution)	12/20/94	I-2	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.9	<60
Effluent (stack exhaust)	12/20/94	E-1	<0.5	0.7	<0.5	2.5	<60

### ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California

Notes:

1

:

с. 1

> mg/m<sup>3</sup>: Milligrams per cubic meter TPHG: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline Analysis Method: Modified EPA 8015/8020

#### TABLE 2 HYDROCARBON REMOVAL AND EMISSION RATES SVE STARTUP AND PERFORMANCE TEST

ARCO Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California

Date	Compound	Concentrat	ion (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Flow Rate	Mass Removal	Mass Emisson	Destruction
		Influent(I-2)	Effluent (E-1)	(scfm or ft <sup>3</sup> /min)	Rate (lbs/day)	Rate (lbs/day)	Efficiency (%)
12/20/94	Benzene	<0.5	<0.5	130	<0.0058	<0.0058	NC
12/20/94	TPHG	<60	<60	130	<0.7	<0.7	NC

Notes:

5

mg/m³:milligrams per cubic meterscfm:standard cubic feet per minuteft³/min:cubic feet per minuteTPHG:Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasolinelbs/day:pouinds per dayNC:Not calculated

Sample Calculation:

TPHG removal rate:

inf.conc. (mg TPHG/m<sup>3</sup> air) x flow rate(ft<sup>3</sup> air/min) x 1 lb/454,000 mg x 0.0283 m<sup>3</sup>/ft<sup>3</sup> x 1440 min/day = lbs TPHG /day

Facility Number Location	r: 771 : 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California		Vapor Trea	tment Unit: King Buck / 200 cfm Model MMC-6A/E catalytic oxidizer		
Consultani	:: EMCON 1921 Ringwood Avenue San Jose, California		Sta Reporting Per Sy	rt-Up Date: 1 riod From: 1 To: 0 ystem was shu	2-20-94 2-01-94 4-01-96 t down on 10-1	0-95.
Date Begin:		12-01-94	01-01-95	02-01-95	07-01-95	08-01-95
Date End:		01-01-95	02-01-95	07-01-95	08-01-95	09-01-95
Mode of Oxidation:		Catalytic	Catalytic	Catalytic	Catalytic	Catalytic
Days of Operation:		11	11	0	8	14
Days of Downtime:		20	20	150	23	17
Average Vapor Concer	itrations (1)					
Well Field Influent	: ppmv (2) as gasoline	100	<15	NA	54	33
	mg/m3 (3) as gasoline	300	<60	NA	218	120
	ppmv as benzene	<0.1	<0.1	NA	1.2	0.4
	mg/m3 as benzene	<0.5	<0.5	NA	3.6	1.2
System Influent	ppmv as gasoline	<15	NA	NA	48	24
	mg/m3 as gasoline	<60	NA	NA	200	87
	ppmv as benzene	<0.1	NA	NA	1.2	0.3
	mg/m3 as benzene	<0.5	NA	NA	3.8	0.8
System Effluent:	ppmv as gasoline	<15	NA	NA	~15	~15
	mg/m3 as gasoline	<60	NA	NA	<60	<60
	ppmv as benzene	<0.1	NA	NA	<01	<01
	mg/m3 as benzene	<0.5	NA	NA	<0.5	<0.5
Average Well Field Flow	(Rate (4), scfm (5);	27.3	13.0	0.0	63.3	104.2
Average System Influent	Flow Rate (4), scfm:	201.7	180 7	0.0	163 4	104.5
Average Destruction Eff	ficiency (6), percent (7):	NA (13)	NA	NA	70.0 (14)	31.0 (14)
Average Emission Rate	s (8), nounds per day (9)					
Gasoline:	a sal position por dur (2)	1.09	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.07
Benzene:		10.0	0.01	0.00	0.88	0.92
Operating Hours This Pe	riod:	275 50	260.02	0.00	105.10	
Operating Hours To Date	:	275.50	<u>209.23</u> 544.7	<u>0.00</u> 544.7	<u>195.40</u> 740 1	<u>342.12</u> 1092.3
Downdo ( Hour Bornou-1 F		215.5		244.7	740.1	1002.3
Founds Hour Removal F	(and, as gasoline (10):	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.05
Pounds Removed This Pe	criod, as gasoline (11):	8.4	<u>0.8</u>	0.0	13.3	<u>16</u> .0
Pounds Removed To Dat	e, as gasoline:	8.4	9.2	9.2	22.5	38.5
Gallons Removed This P	eriod, as gasoline (12):	1.4	0.1	0.0	2.1	2.6
Gallons Removed To Dat	te, as gasoline:	1.4	1.5	1.5	3.6	6.2

#### Table 5 Soil-Vapor Extraction System Operation and Performance Data

-----

.

Facility Num Locat	ber: 771 ion: 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California		Vapor Trea	atment Unit:	King Buck / 200 cfm Model MMC-6A/E catalytic oxidizer
Consult	ant: EMCON 1921 Ringwood Avenue San Jose, California		Sta Reporting Pe Sj	art-Up Date: riod From: To: ystem was sh	12-20-94 12-01-94 04-01-96 put down on 10-10-95.
Date Begin:		09-01-95	10-01-95	01-01-96	·······
Date End:		10-01-95	01-01-96	04-01-96	
Mode of Oxidation:		Catalytic	Catalytic	Catalytic	
Days of Operation:		27	0	0	
Days of Downsmie:		3	92	91	
Average Vapor Con	acentrations (1)				
Well Field Influ	ient: ppmv (2) as gasoline	20	NA	NA	
	mg/m3 (3) as gasoline	89	NA	NA	
	ppmv as benzene	<0.1	NA	NA	
	mg/m3 as benzene	<0.5	NA	NA	
System Influ	ent: ppmv as gasoline	18	NA	NA	
	mg/m3 as gasoline	79	NA	NA	
	ppmv as benzene	<0.1	NA	NA	
	mg/m3 as benzene	<0.5	NA	NA	
System Efflu	ent: npmy as gasoline	~15	NLA	NIA	
	mg/m3 as gasoline	<60	NA	NA	
	DDMV as benzene	<01	NA	NA	
	mg/m3 as benzene	<0.5	NA	NA	
			• • • •		
Average well Field F	Now Rate (4), scfm (5):	84.0	0.0	0.0	
Average System Infit	Dent Plow Rate (4), serm:	84.0	0.0	0.0	
Average Destruction	Efficiency (6), percent (7);	24.1 (14)	NA	NA	
Average Emission R	ates (8), pounds per day (9)				
Gasoline:		0.45	0.00	0.00	
Benzene:		0.00	0.00	0.00	
Operating Hours This	Period	651 88	0.00	0.40	
Operating Hours To I	Date:	1737 1	1737 1	1737 5	
		1/3/,1	1757.1	1157.5	
Pounds/ Hour Remov	al Rate, as gasoline (10):	0.03	0.00	0.00	
Pounds Removed Thi	is Period, as gasoline (11):	18.3	0.0	0.0	
Pounds Removed To	Date, as gasoline:	56,9	56.9	56.9	
Gallone Damourd Th	in Period on mercline (12).	2.2	0.0		
Callons Removed In	Data as pasalines	3.0	0.0	0.0	
Ganons Removed 10	Date, as gasonne:	9.2	9.2	9.2	

### Table 5 Soil-Vapor Extraction System Operation and Performance Data

Facility Number: 771 Location: 899 Rincon Avenue Livermore, California		Vapor Treatment Unit: King Buck / 200 cfm Model MMC-6A/E catalytic oxidizer
Consultant: EMCON 1921 Ringwood Avenue San Jose, California		Start-Up Date: 12-20-94 Reporting Period From: 12-01-94 To: 04-01-96 System was shut down on 10-10-95.
CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD:	01-01-96	to 04-01-96
DAYS / HOURS IN PERIOD:	91	2184.0
DAYS / HOURS OF OPERATION:	0	0.0
DAYS / HOURS OF DOWN TIME:	91	2184.0
PERCENT OPERATIONAL:		0.0 %
PERIOD POUNDS REMOVED:	9.2	
PERIOD GALLONS REMOVED:	0.0	
AVERAGE SYSTEM INFLUENT FLOW RATE (scfm):		0.0

#### Table 5 Soil-Vapor Extraction System Operation and Performance Data

7. destruction efficiency, percent = ([system influent concentration (as gasoline in mg/m3) - system effluent concentration (as gasoline in mg/m3)] / system influent concentration (as gasoline in mg/m3)) x 100 percent

<sup>1.</sup> Average concentrations are based on discrete sample results reported during the month; refer to Appendix C for discrete sample results.

<sup>2.</sup> ppmv: parts per million by volume

<sup>3.</sup> mg/m3: milligrams per cubic meter

<sup>4.</sup> Average flow rates (time weighted average) are based on instantaneous flow rates recorded during the month; refer to Appendix C for instantaneous flow data.

<sup>5.</sup> scfm: flow in standard cubic feet per minute at one atmosphere and 70 degrees Fahrenheit

<sup>6.</sup> Average destruction efficiencies are calculated using monthly average concentrations; refer to Appendix C for instantaneous destruction efficiency data.

<sup>8.</sup> Average emission rates are calculated using monthly average concentrations and flow rates; refer to Appendix C for instantaneous emission rate data.

 <sup>9.</sup> emission rates (pounds per day) = system effluent concentration (as gasoline or benzene in mg/m3) x system influent flow rate (scfm) x 0.02832 m3/ft3 x 1440 minutes/day x 1 pound/454,000 mg

pounds/ hour removal rate (as gasoline) = well field influent concentration (as gasoline in mg/m3) x well field influent flow rate (scfm) x 0.02832 m3/fi3 x 60 minutes/hour x 1 pound/454,000 mg

<sup>11.</sup> pounds removed this period (as gasoline) = pounds/ hour removal rate x hours of operation

<sup>12.</sup> gallons removed this period (as gasoline) = pounds removed this period (as gasoline) x 0.1613 gallons/pound of gasoline

<sup>13.</sup> NA: not analyzed, not available, or not applicable

<sup>14.</sup> Although the destruction efficiency appeared to be less than 90 percent, luboratory analytical results collected during this period indicate the effluent TVHG and benzene concentrations in off-gas discharged to the atmosphere were below laboratory detection limits, indicating compliance with BAAQMD discharge requirements.

#### Table 6 Soil-Vapor Extraction Well Data

#### ARCO Service Station 771

(m

899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California

						Well Ide	ntification					
		VW-1			MW-1			MW-2			MW-4	
	Valve		Vacuum	Valve		Vacuum	Valve		Vacuum	Valve		Vacuum
Date	Position	TVHG	Response	Position	TVHG	Response	Position	TVHG	Response	Position	TVHG	Response
		ppmv	in-H2O		ppmv	in-H2O		ррти	in-H2O		ppmv	in-H2O
12-20-94	open	177 LAB	32.5	passive	NA	NA	passive	NA	NA	open	53 LAB	25.0
01-17-95	System shut dow	/n								-		
07-12-95	System was resta	rted										
07-12-95	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA
08-01-95	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA
08-29-95	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA
09-18-95	open	44.8 PID	53.7	open	10.7 PID	56.9	open	12.0 PID	52.8	open	13.3 PID	54.7
09-18-95	open (b)	66.8 PID	56.0	open (b)	113 PID	58.2	open (b)	25.9 PID	55.1	open (b)	21.8 PID	56.9
10-10-95	open	NA	NA	орел	NA	NA	open	NA	NA	ореп	NA	NA
10-10-95	System shut dow	'n								•		
12-19-95	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA
02-08-96	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA
02-14-96	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA
03-22-96	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA
TVHG: concentr	ation of total volati	ile hydrocarbons a	s gasoline									1
ppmv: parts per i	million by volume		J									
in-H2O: inches o	of water											
open: open to the	system											
open (b): open to	the system and bu	bbling air at 1 scf	m per well									
passive: open to	the atmosphere											
closed: closed to	the system and atm	nosphere										
closed (b): closed	1 to the system and	atmosphere, but t	oubbling air at 1 sc	tm per well								
FID: TVHG con	rentration was men	sured with a norta	ble flame ionizatio	on detector								
LAB: TVHG con	icentration was ana	lyzed in the labor	atory	on detector								

esj/h:\0771\0771tss.xls\Table 6:imi 20805-122.003 Date: 04-11-96

#### Table 6 Soil-Vapor Extraction Well Data

#### ARCO Service Station 771 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California

Date: 04-11-96

						Well Ider	tification	
		MW-5			MW-7		Bubbler-Only Well	
Date	Valve Position	TVHG	Vacuum Response	Valve Position	TVHG	Vacuum Response	RW-1	
		ppmv	in-H2O		ppmv	in-H2O		
12-20-94	passive	NA	NA	passive	NA	NA		
01-17-95	System shut dow	n .						
07-12-95	System was resta	ned						]
07-12-95	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA		
08-01-95	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA		
08-29-95	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA		1
09-18-95	open	11.2 PID	55.9	open	19.0 PID	53.9		
09-18-95	open (b)	117 PID	58.0	open (b)	20.0 PID	56.2		
10-10-95	open	NA	NA	open	NA	NA		
10-10-95	System shut dow	n						
12-19-96	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA		
02-08-96	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	bubbling	
02-14-96	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	bubbling	
03-22-96	closed (b)	NA	NA	closed (b)	NA	NA	bubbling	
TVHG: concentr ppmv: parts per r	ation of total volati nillion by volume	le hydrocarbons a	s gasoline				<u>_</u>	
in-H2O: inches o	fwater		4					
open: open to the	system							
open (o): open to	the system and but	poing air at i sci.	m per well					
closed: closed to	the system and atm	osphere						
closed (b): closed	to the system and	atmosphere, but h	ubbling air at 1 sc	fm per well				
NA: not analyzed	or not measured							
FID: TVHG conc	contration was measured	ured with a porta	ble flame ionizatio	on detector				
LAB: TVHG con	centration was ana	lyzed in the labor	atory					

esj/h:\0771\0771tss.xls\Table 6:imi 20805-122.003

### **APPENDIX F**

MANN-KENDALL ANALYSIS

# Mann Kendall Trend Evaluation Atlantic Richfield Company Station #771, Livermore, California

Contaminant:

TPHg/GRO

#### **Monitoring Inputs**

Quarter	MW-4	MW-5	MW-7	RW-1	MW-6	
	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
1	4800		8900	0		
2	4200	2100	9100	600	540	
3	4500		16000	1400		
4	3500	1600	12000	0	4600	
5	5500		13000	480		
6	66	2100	8000		260	
7	0		5600	6900		
8	2400	470	2400	0	0	
9	220		3500	0		
10	0	0	70	0	0	
11	110		0	120		
12	3000	100	0	0	0	
13	1700		2600	240		
14	3300	1000	2800	440	0	
15	2300			500		
16	2000	620	2600	750	430	

Mann-Kendall Results

0-8 Qua	rter Evaluation						
MW-4	Decreasing						
MW-5	Stable/No Trend						
MW-7	Decreasing						
RW-1	Stable/No Trend						
MW-6	Stable/No Trend						
5-12 Qu	5-12 Quarter Evaluation						
MW-4	Stable/No Trend						
MW-5	Stable/No Trend						
MW-7	Decreasing						
RW-1	Stable/No Trend						
MW-6	Stable/No Trend						
9-16 Qu	9-16 Quarter Evaluation						
MW-4	Increasing						

Stable/No Trend

Stable/No Trend

Stable/No Trend

Increasing

MW-5

MW-7

RW-1

MW-6



12 Quarter Evaluation

Decreasing

Stable/No Trend

MW-4

MW-5





Data Entry Cell



# Mann Kendall Trend Evaluation Atlantic Richfield Company Station #771, Livermore, California

Contaminant:

Benzene

#### **Monitoring Inputs**

Quarter	MW-4	MW-5	MW-7	RW-1	MW-6
	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l
1	270		670	0	
2	410	250	930	0	36
3	250		770	8	
4	230	61	1000	0	210
5	250		1200	4.3	
6	0.6	29	110		0
7	0		16	17	
8	140	36	140	0	0
9	1.2		120	0	
10	3.1	0	0.76	0	0
11	1.1		1.5	0.96	
12	320	3	0	0	0
13	150		36	15	
14	70	18	430	0	0
15	59			1.5	
16	79	9	310	2.4	0

Data Entry Cell

#### Mann-Kendall Results



Increasing

Stable/No Trend

RW-1

MW-6







MW-4 - Benzene



# Mann Kendall Trend Evaluation Atlantic Richfield Company Station #771, Livermore, California

Contaminant:

MTBE

#### **Monitoring Inputs**

Quarter	MW-4	MW-5	MW-7	RW-1	MW-6
	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l
1	180		100	0	
2	300	250	140	0	5.2
3	160		87	0	
4	230	270	150	2	32
5	190		120	0.54	
6	3.1	14	54		5.1
7	11		3.1	2.6	
8	74	110	67	0	0
9	61		26	8.3	
10	17	0	0.69	0.53	0
11	37		0	1.6	
12	63	12	0.53	0.84	0
13	43		11	2.7	
14	51	10	110	1.9	0
15	33			0	
16	57	4.6	150	2.2	8

#### Mann-Kendall Results









MW-4 - MTBE





### **APPENDIX G**

REGRESSION CURVE ANALYSIS

Well ID: MW-2 Constituent: TPHg/GRO (data since 3/20/1995)



$y = ae^{bx}$	converts to	x = ln(y/a)/b	
Given			
Water Quality Objectiv	re: y	100	) µg/L
Constant from cha	rt: a	3.46E+10	)
Constant from cha	rt: b	-4.38E-04	ļ
Date of Peak Concentratio	n:	8/23/1995	<b>;</b>
Estimated Date to Reach WQ0	D: x = l	n(y/a)/b 11/25/2022	2

Well ID: MW-2

Constituent: Benzene (data since 3/20/1995)



$y = ae^{bx}$	converts to	$x = \ln(y/a)/b$	
Given			
Water Quality Objective	: у		1 µg/L
Constant from chart	: а	2	2.97E+11
Constant from chart	: b	-{	5.99E-04
Date of Peak Concentration	:	3	/20/1995
Estimated Date to Reach WQO	: x =	n(y/a)/b 9	/27/2020

Well ID: MW-4

Constituent: TPHg/GRO (data since 3/20/1995)



$y = ae^{bx}$	converts to	x = ln(y/a)/b	
Given			
Water Quality Objective	y y		100 µg/L
Constant from chart	: a		1.63E+08
Constant from chart	: b		-3.11E-04
Date of Peak Concentration	:		3/20/1995
Estimated Date to Reach WQO	: x = ln(y	/a)/b	12/2/2025

Well ID: MW-4 Constituent: Benzene (data since 3/20/1995)



$y = ae^{bx}$	converts to	x = ln(y/a)/b	
Given			
Water Quality Object	ive: y		1 µg/L
Constant from ch	art: a		2.41E+07
Constant from ch	art: b		-3.49E-04
Date of Peak Concentrat	ion:		3/20/1995
Estimated Date to Reach W	/QO: x =	= ln(y/a)/b	5/5/2033

Well ID: MW-4 Constituent: MTBE (data since 3/20/1995)



$y = ae^{bx}$	converts to	x = ln(y/a)/b	
Given			
Water Quality Objectiv	ve: y		5 µg/l
Constant from cha	nt: a		2.31E+04
Constant from cha	art: b		-1.51E-04
Date of Peak Concentration	on:		9/17/2001
Estimated Date to Reach WO	20: x	= In(y/a)/b	12/28/2052

Well ID: MW-5 Constituent: TPHg/GRO (data since 3/20/1995)



$y = ae^{bx}$	converts to	x = ln(y/a)/b	
Given			
Water Quality Objec	tive: y		100 µg/L
Constant from cl	nart: a	4	.01E+08
Constant from cl	nart: b	-:	3.58E-04
Date of Peak Concentra	tion:		6/2/1995
Estimated Date to Reach V	VQO: x :	= ln(y/a)/b 4	/10/2016

Well ID: MW-5 Constituent: Benzene (data since 3/20/1995)



$y = ae^{bx}$	converts to	x = ln(y/a)/b	
Given			
Water Quality Object	ctive: y		1 µg/L
Constant from c	hart: a		2.43E+10
Constant from c	hart: b		-5.52E-04
Date of Peak Concentra	ation:		3/20/1995
Estimated Date to Reach	WQO: x	= In(y/a)/b	8/9/2018

Well ID: MW-5 Constituent: MTBE (data since 3/20/1995)



y = ae <sup>bx</sup>	converts to	x = ln(y/a)/b	
Given			
Water Quality Object	tive: y		5 µg/L
Constant from c	hart: a		4.77E+04
Constant from c	hart: b		-2.03E-04
Date of Peak Concentra	tion:		9/17/2001
Estimated Date to Reach V	VQO: x =	ln(y/a)/b	8/1/2023

Well ID: MW-7 Constituent: TPHg/GRO (data since 3/20/1995)



$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{b}\mathbf{x}}$	converts to	x = ln(y/a)/b	1
Given			
Water Quality Object	ive: y		100 µg/L
Constant from ch	art: a		7.92E+14
Constant from ch	art: b		-6.83E-04
Date of Peak Concentrat	ion:		6/2/1995
Estimated Date to Reach W	/QO: x	= ln(y/a)/b	1/20/2019

Well ID: MW-7 Constituent: Benzene (data since 3/20/1995)



$y = ae^{bx}$	converts to	x = ln(y/a)/b	
Given			
Water Quality Object	tive: y		1 µg/L
Constant from ch	nart: a		5.19E+14
Constant from ch	nart: b		-7.54E-04
Date of Peak Concentrat	ion:		3/20/1995
Estimated Date to Reach W	/QO: x :	= ln(y/a)/b	1/11/2023

Well ID: MW-7 Constituent: MTBE (data since 3/20/1995)



y = ae <sup>bx</sup>	converts to	x = ln(y/a)/b	
Given			
Water Quality Objectiv	ve: y		5 µg/L
Constant from cha	irt: a		4.31E+12
Constant from cha	ırt: b		-6.62E-04
Date of Peak Concentration	on:		8/23/1995
Estimated Date to Reach WO	QO: x =	= ln(y/a)/b	8/28/2013