

Chan, Barney, Env. Health

From: Brunswick, Betsy M [BMB7@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2007 12:51 PM
To: Chan, Barney, Env. Health; Mark Piros
Cc: Drogos, Donna, Env. Health; Jovanne Villamater
Subject: RE: Oakland Power Plant, 50 MLK Jr Way, Oakland 94607

I am currently open Monday the 25th or Tuesday the 26th any time. Betsy

-----Original Message-----

From: Chan, Barney, Env. Health [mailto:barney.chan@acgov.org]
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2007 12:12 PM
To: Mark Piros
Cc: Drogos, Donna, Env. Health; Jovanne Villamater; Brunswick, Betsy M
Subject: RE: Oakland Power Plant, 50 MLK Jr Way, Oakland 94607

Mark: Thank you for your review of the site and your response. Unfortunately, (fortunate for me) I am on vacation next week. If it is OK with you, I'd like to schedule a conference call the week of my return. Could you tell me what yours and Betsy Brunswick's schedule are for the week (June 25-29) is or suggest a time and date in the future.

Thank you,

Barney M. Chan
 Hazardous Materials Specialist
 Alameda County Environmental Health
 510-567-6765

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Piros [mailto:MPiros@dtsc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 14, 2007 5:38 PM
To: Chan, Barney, Env. Health
Cc: Drogos, Donna, Env. Health; Jovanne Villamater; Betsy M Brunswick
Subject: Re: Oakland Power Plant, 50 MLK Jr Way, Oakland 94607

Barney,

I have taken a look at the Investigation Summary and 2004 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report for the Diesel Dump Tanks that PG&E submitted to the Alameda County Environmental Health Department when they initially requested closure of the diesel dump tank case. I understand that Betsy Brunswick also resubmitted this report to you in March 2007. This report discusses the replacement of the original diesel dump tanks with new ones, the removal of surrounding diesel-impacted soil when the three diesel dump tanks were removed in 1991, and sampling and monitoring that was done. The report indicates that most of the diesel-impacted soil around the tanks was removed, although the extent of excavation was limited by electrical equipment and structures on the Site.

It appears there are no outstanding issues with the diesel dump tanks themselves and the only outstanding issue is to identify the cleanup actions that are required to address the residual diesel in groundwater and soil in the area around Tank 2 and Tank 3. In the 2004 report, PG&E proposed that the residual diesel in soil and groundwater should be addressed by passive bioremediation. However, it makes sense that the cleanup action for the residual diesel in the area around Tanks 2 and 3 should be considered and identified in the overall Site cleanup plan that is to be prepared under DTSC's oversight.

PG&E has performed an additional phase of investigation with DTSC oversight to supplement investigations performed in 1997 prior to PG&E selling the Site to Duke Energy. Separate phase petroleum product was found during this additional investigation at the south end of Jefferson Street and appears to extend onto the Howard Terminal. The characterization of the Site will be complete and preparation of a cleanup plan will occur once further investigation is conducted to delineate the extent of the separate phase petroleum product

in a southerly direction.

I would like to schedule a conference call with you and Betsy Brunswick to discuss any comments you have on the Investigation Summary and 2004 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report and whether you have any specific issues or concerns that you want to see addressed as part of the activities DTSC is overseeing. Betsy and I are both available any time on Tuesday, June 19, and are also both available on Wednesday, June 20, after 3:00. Please let me know if you are available for a conference call on either of these days. If you are not available could you let me know when you are available? Thanks.

Mark

>>> "Chan, Barney, Env. Health" <barney.chan@acgov.org> 5/3/2007 9:41 AM

>>> >>>

Ms. Vilamater and Mr. Piros:

Alameda County Environmental Health has been overseeing the diesel fuel release from the three former diesel dump tanks at this site. It appears that relative to the rest of the environmental issues at this site, the UST issues are minor. We do not typically oversee portions of sites where another agency has oversight as is the case here. Would it be best if the County transfer the oversight of the former UST releases to DTSC? If you agree, we will formalize this in a letter and make arrangements for the transfer of our files to you. Please let us know your decision.

Thank you

Barney M. Chan
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Environmental Health
510-567-6765

From: Brunswick, Betsy M [BMB7@pge.com]
Sent: Monday, March 19, 2007 10:15 AM
To: Chan, Barney, Env. Health
Subject: FW: Oakland Power Plant 2004 Diesel Dump Tank Closure Request

Attachments: 402.331.04.35.pdf



402.331.04.35.pdf
(6 MB)

Corres_L-2007-03
-19

-----Original Message-----

From: Brunswick, Betsy M
Sent: Monday, March 19, 2007
To: 'bchan@co.alameda.ca.us'
Cc: 'barney.chan@acgov.com'
Subject: FW: Oakland Power Plant 2004 Diesel Dump Tank Closure Request

Barney, My original email was sent back to me. Please let me know if you receive this email. Betsy

-----Original Message-----

From: Brunswick, Betsy M
Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2007 2:55 PM
To: barney.chan@acgov.com
Subject: Oakland Power Plant 2004 Diesel Dump Tank Closure Request

<<402.331.04.35.pdf>>

Barney,

Per our conversation this morning, PG&E is re-submitting to you the attached September 10, 2004 Investigation Summary and 2004 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report for the Oakland Power Plant located at 50 Martin Luther King Junior Way in Oakland. As we discussed, when you email me Alameda County's internet submittal instructions, I will also re-submit this report to your web site. In this attached report, PG&E summarized all available historical information regarding the diesel dump tanks and the associated three groundwater wells, made recommendations based on data from 1990 to 2004, and requested case closure. PG&E has not received any correspondence from Alameda County regarding this report since it was originally submitted in 2004.

Concurrently, since 2002, PG&E has been working with the DTSC under a signed clean-up agreement to address the environmental conditions of the Oakland Power Plant which include the overall hydrocarbon issues found at the site. PG&E performed a remediation investigation of the Oakland Power Plant in 2005 under the oversight of the DTSC and is currently incorporating DTSC comments into the resulting report. This 2005 investigation incorporated the three groundwater wells installed as part of the diesel dump tank investigation.

PG&E is requesting that Alameda County grant closure of the diesel dump tank issue. However, PG&E would like to retain the wells on site for future groundwater monitoring under the DTSC oversight, if warranted.

Please give me a call if you should have any further questions.

Thank you,

Betsy Brunswick, PE
Senior Project Manager