ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING . HYDROGEOLOGY . SITE ASSESSMENTS REMEDIATION OF CONTAMINATED SOIL AND GROUNDWATER

MILLER ENVIRONMENTAL COMPANY

631 MARINA WAY SOUTH RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA 94804 (415) 233-9068 FAX (415) 233-0140

November 9, 1989

Lester Feldman Regional Water Quality Control Board 1800 Harrison, Suite 700 Oakland, CA 94612

Subsurface Investigation Re: 800 Franklin, Oakland, CA CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER

Shaw & Associal

QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

Dear Mr. Feldman,

As representative of Dynagroup Development Inc., Miller Environmental Company is submitting the enclosed Report on Subsurfac: Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Soil for 800 Iranklin Street in Oakland.

Miller Environmental Company has completed an initial investigation of the site and has directed the proper disposal of excavated soil contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons.

Our client has plans to construct a multi-story commercial building at the site in the near future. The results of this investigation indicate that contamination exists in the soil and ground water beneath Eighth and Franklin Streets, downgradient of the site. However, contaminated soil from within the borders of the property has been effectively removed and the ground water does not appear to be impacted.

Please review this report and respond to our conclusions and - High levels of our polling this consulting recommendations as soon as possible so that our client can proceed with the steps neccesary for construction at the site.

SIncerely,

cc:

Jeffrey R. Caton

Environmental Engineer

Dennis Byrne, Alameda County Health Services Agency
Tai-Ling Tsou, Dynagroup Development Inc.
James Stanford, Delta Group Bealth
File 891002 work of Routy Health work of county faith. Conclusions

- alfunction

- plume definition.

- why No cleaning.

File 891003

MILLER ENVIRONMENTAL COMPANY

631 MARINA WAY SOUTH RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA 94804 (415) 233-9068 FAX (415) 233-0140

November 9, 1989

Lester Feldman Regional Water Quality Control Board 1800 Harrison, Suite 700 Oakland, CA 94612

Re: Subsurface Investigation 800 Franklin, Oakland, CA

Dear Mr. Feldman,

As representative of Dynagroup Development Inc., Miller Environmental Company is submitting the enclosed Report on Subsurface Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Soil for 800 Franklin Street in Oakland.

Miller Environmental Company has completed an initial investigation of the site and has directed the proper disposal of excavated soil contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons.

Our client has plans to construct a multi-story commercial building at the site in the near future. The results of this investigation indicate that contamination exists in the soil and ground water beneath Eighth and Franklin Streets, downgradient of the site. However, contaminated soil from within the borders of the property has been effectively removed and the ground water does not appear to be impacted.

Please review this report and respond to our conclusions and recommendations as soon as possible so that our client can proceed with the steps neccesary for construction at the site.

SIncerely,

Jeffrey R. Caton

Environmental Engineer

CC: Dennis Byrne, Alameda County Health Services Agency Tai-Ling Tsou, Dynagroup Development Inc. James Stanford, Delta Group Realty Inc. File 891003

REPORT ON SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION AND REMEDIATION OF CONTAMINATED SOIL

SITE LOCATION: 800 FRANKLIN ST., OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Prepared for:

Dynagroup Development, Inc. representing Mr. and Mrs. Tommy Chiu 812 5th Avenue Oakland, CA 94606

By:

Jefffey R./Caton Droject Engineer

Cinda Crabbe MacKinnen Registered Geologist

MILLER ENVIRONMENTAL COMPANY 631 Marina Way South Richmond, California 94804 415/233-9068

November 3, 1989

INTRODUCTION

This report describes the work performed by Miller Environmental Company for Alex Shaw and Associates at 800 Franklin Street in Oakland, California. The main purpose of the work was to perform a preliminary investigation of the subsurface to determine whether fuel contamination has impacted the ground water. The investigation also gives an initial assessment of the extent and levels of contamination in ground water and soil below the site. This report includes a description of the work performed, field observations, results of analyses, and recommendations for further action based on the findings of this project.

BACKGROUND

The site is located on the east corner of Franklin Street and Eighth Street in Oakland, California (see attached site location map, Figure 1). The 50' x 75' lot is bordered on two sides by commercial properties.

Due to proposed commercial development plans for the site a soil and foundation study was conducted by Frank Lee & Associates in June 1988. Limited analysis of samples collected from soil borings did not indicate the presence fuel hydrocarbon contamination.

An additional soil investigation was conducted by LW Environmental Services, Inc. in August 1988. High concentrations of gasoline hydrocarbons (1580 and 8340 mg/kg) were detected in the vicinity of existing underground tanks at the site. Removal of the underground tanks and contaminated soil was recommended at this time by LW Environmental Services.

Prior to 1989 the site operated as a service station. Five underground tanks are known to have existed at the site. At some time prior to August, 1988 one of the tanks was removed. Available records do not indicate who pulled the tank, the contents of the tank, or the exact date of removal. It is believed that this tank was located close to the current location of monitoring well MW1 (see Figure 2).

In June, 1989, the Robert J. Miller Company removed and disposed of the four remaining tanks: two 6000 gallon gasoline tanks, one 550 gallon waste oil tank and one 1000 gallon solvent tank. The former tank locations are shown on the site plan in Figure 2. The Traverse Group Inc. (TGI) collected soil samples from beneath each tank and visually inspected each tank for pitting and corrosion upon removal. No obvious corrosion or pitting was reported. One large pit encompassing the locations of the two gasoline tanks and one smaller pit encompassing the locations of the solvent and





FIGURE 1 SITE LOCATION MAP SHOWING SUBSURFACE CONTAMINATION SITES WITHIN 1/2-MILE RADIUS OF SITE

circle outlines radius of 1/2-mile

N

map adapted from Thomas Bros. Maps, 1985



waste oil tanks resulted from the excavation.

As soil was excavated qualitative observations were made by representatives of TGI as to the contamination status of the soil. Soil which had strong fuel hydrocarbon odors or had obvious signs of contamination was stockpiled separately from soil appearing clean or slightly contaminated. Approximately ten (10) cubic yards of soil initially removed from the excavated areas was deemed "contaminated".

All soil samples collected from the pits and spoils pile were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as gasoline, TPH as diesel, TPH as waste oil, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene. In addition to these analytes selected samples were tested for purgeable organics (EPA 8240) and semi-volatile organics (EPA 8270). The semi-volatile chemical scan was requested by the Alameda County Health Services Agency due to the unknown nature of products stored in the solvent and waste oil tanks.

The analytical results indicated high levels of fuel hydrocarbon contamination in the northeast corner of the large gasoline tank pit (3100 ppm TPH as gasoline and 1350 ppm TPH as waste oil) and in the waste oil-solvent tank pit (up to 2300 ppm TPH as gasoline, and 4000 ppm as TPH as waste oil).

Of the purgeable and semi-volatile organics (other than BTEX) trace amounts of bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, napthalene, and 2-methyl-napthalene were detected. These forms of napthalene are commonly found in the presence of gasoline and/or diesel contamination as napthalene is used as a fuel additive. Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate is a compound which is very prevalent in the environment. It is used almost exclusively as a plasticizer and can be picked up erroneously by analytical instruments if plastic material comes into contact with sample or the instruments. In any case, the concentrations measured were all less than 1.0 ppm and should not pose a threat to environmental quality if present in these quantities at the site.

Initial laboratory results from the tank pull were submitted to the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency by TGI in July 1989. The laboratory results from the tank pull were also included in the investigation workplan, prepared by Miller Environmental and submitted to the regulatory agencies on 8/24/89. The verification of contamination led to this investigation.

SCOPE OF WORK

A preliminary subsurface investigation and limited remediation work has been conducted by Miller Environmental.

The primary objectives of the investigation were: 1) to determine ground water depth and direction of flow, 2) to investigate the extent of soil contamination in the immediate area, and 3) to determine whether ground water contamination has occurred. In addition, underground fuel leak cases on record at the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) office in Oakland were reviewed as a means of identifying known contamination problems in the general vicinity of the 800 Franklin site.

Three monitoring wells were installed as part of the subsurface investigation. Soil samples were collected from the borings and the monitoring wells were purged and sampled for ground water analysis. The wells were surveyed by a licensed surveyor and water levels were subsequently measured in all three monitoring wells. This data was used to estimate ground water gradient and flow direction.


Prior to the subsurface investigation the pits were reexcavated and additional contaminated soil was removed in an effort to eliminate potential sources of contamination. Soil samples were collected from the bottoms and sidewalls of the pit following re-excavation.

EXCAVATION AND DISPOSAL OF CONTAMINATED SOIL

On 9/7/89 the project engineer from Miller Environmental directed the re-excavation of the tank pits and removal of additional contaminated material from the sides and bottoms of the original pits. The maximum depth obtainable with the Hopto excavator was approximately 15.5 feet. The contaminated soil was stockpiled along with the soil previously set aside as "contaminated". The volume of additional contaminated soil removed from the two pits was approximately twenty-five (25) cubic yards.

Discrete soil samples were collected from the sidewalls and bottom of the pits following re-excavation. The sampling locations are indicated on Figure 3. All samples were collected at an approximate depth of fifteen (15) feet. The results of laboratory analysis are summarized in Table 1.

Analytical results indicate that soil contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons has been effectively removed from the interior of the property where the gasoline tanks were formerly located. The highest levels detected in this reexcavated pit (EX1 samples) were 2.3 ppm TPH as gasoline, none detected for TPH as diesel, 80 ppm TPH as waste oil, none detected for benzene and ethylbenzene, 0.05 ppm toluene, and 0.14 ppm xylene.



EIGHTH STREET

approximate scale:

10,

* - Monitoring well location@ - Soil sampling location

Results of Soil Sampling Following Excavation

<u>Sample</u>	TPH <u>Gasoline</u>	TPH <u>Diesel</u>	TPH Waste <u>Oil</u>	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	<u>Xylene</u>	<u>Benzene</u>
EX1-A	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
EX1-B	ND	ND	40	ND	ND	ND	ND
EX1-C	2.3	ND	80	ND	0.05	0.14	ND
EX2-A	10,000 -	250/	400 🗸	50 🗸	210	270	54
EX2-B	4.1	/ ND:/	ND /	50 V ND V	ND	0.15	ND

Analytical results for the samples collected from the pit in the sidewalk (EX2 samples) indicate that the re-excavation was not successful in removing all highly contaminated soil. Exp A as Detected levels in EX2-A, collected from the sidewall toward Exp A as being towards 8th Street, were 10,000 ppm TPH as gasoline, 250 ppm TPH as \diesel, and 400 ppm TPH as waste oil. The extent of excavation was limited in this case to machinery capabilities and the proximity of 8th Street along the southern edge of the excavation.

may shows

site, not

All soil removed during the additional excavation phase, along with the "contaminated" soil stockpiled at the time of tank removal, was hauled by a licensed hazardous waste hauler to the CLASS I disposal facility for hazardous wastes located in Kettleman City, California. The total volume hauled was estimated at 32 cubic yards. Copies of the hazardous waste manifest forms for this waste are attached to this report in Appendix A.

The pit in the sidewalk was backfilled and compacted with clean fill. The larger pit in the interior of the property was backfilled and compacted with a combination of clean fill and uncontaminated soil removed during initial excavation of the gasoline tanks, per the approved 9/1/89 amendment to the workplan. This backfill is considered temporary and should be hauled to a Class III landfill when re-excavated for construction.

DRILLING AND WELL CONSTRUCTION

Three borings were drilled to describe the geology, locate the water table, and install the monitoring wells. Figure 2 shows the location of the wells in relation to the site. Each of the monitoring wells were drilled to the water table with hollow stem augers, logged and sampled.

Two-inch diameter, threaded PVC casing was used in well construction. The casing was capped at both ends and a Christy box installed at the surface. Locks were attached to preclude tampering. Individual construction for each well is described below and shown on the boring logs (Appendix B).

The monitoring wells were bored to a depth of 35 feet below ground level. Each well was constructed with fifteen feet of .01-inch slotted casing between 20 and 35 foot depths and with blank casing from 20 feet to the surface. The annular space along the screened interval and two feet above this interval (from 18 feet to 35 feet below ground level) was packed with #2 Monterey sand. A bentonite plug was set above the sand pack at 16 to 18 feet and the remaining annular space was sealed to the surface with neat cement.

SAMPLING

Soil samples were collected at five foot intervals beginning at six feet below grade and terminating at the water table. The samples were taken with a modified split-tube sampler fitted with three clean brass liners. The lowermost brass liner containing the soil sample was covered with teflon wrap, capped and placed on ice for delivery to the laboratory for analysis.

Soil samples collected from the borings are identified according to well location and depth with the letter A corresponding to 6 feet, B to 11 feet, C to 16 feet, etc. For example, sample MW1-E was collected from the MW1 boring at 26 feet below grade.

The wells were developed on September 19, 1989 by bailing and pumping approximately six well volumes (approximately 10 gallons) per well. Ground water samples were collected on September 21, 1989 following additional bailing of approximately four well volumes. Samples were collected in clean glass VOA bottles, placed on ice and transported to the laboratory for analysis.

Soil and ground water samples were delivered under chain-ofcustody procedures to a state certified laboratory for hazardous waste testing.

HYDROGEOLOGY

Geologic setting
San Francisco Bay lies in a low area in the Coast Range province, a region of northwest trending faults, hills and valleys. The site itself is situated on the flatlands, approximately 3500 feet from the eastern edge of the present Bay (Alameda Harbor). The Bay is a drowned valley which is

thought to have originally formed by erosion of the ancestral Sacramento River (Jenkins, 1951) and subsequently widened by subsidence and a rise in sea level. Sediments deposited in Pleistocene and recent time, in what is now the Bay, include both shallow marine and continental deposits.

The youngest, surficial deposit is known as "Bay Mud" which occurs in areas adjacent to the Bay. Bay Mud is generally composed of unconsolidated, olive gray, blue gray or black silty clay. Bay Mud has been deposited in the Bay for almost 10,000 years (Helley et al., 1979) and continues to be deposited today.

In the Oakland area, several other sedimentary units are noted by Radbruch and Case (1967). The upper two units, the Merritt Sand and the San Antonio Formation, lie within 100 feet below ground surface; this was documented at Clay and 12th Streets approximately 1/4 mile north of the site, by Woodward-Clyde (1987). A deeper sedimentary formation (the Alameda Formation) is also present and is assumed to overlie bedrock known as the Franciscan Formation. The Franciscan Formation is a complex assemblage of deformed and altered sediments and volcanic rocks which commonly form bedrock in the San Francisco Bay region.

Site Hydrogeology

The geologic materials encountered during drilling consisted of relatively clean to clay-rich sands and a silty clay. The clay is found on all three boring logs between approximately 10 and 16 feet and varies in color from brown to grey. The sandy unit consisted of fine-grained brown sand with varying proportions of clay.

The sandy unit may be equivalent to the Merritt Sands which were deposited as dune and beach sediment. The clay in this locality probably represents Bay Mud interfingering with the sand. Porosity and permeability is reduced by the presence of the clay fraction.

Ground water levels were estimated to be between 24 and 25 feet below ground surface during drilling. Water levels were measured with an electric sounder after the wells had stabilized and on two occasions thereafter.

The three wells were surveyed on October 11, 1989 by a California licensed surveyor. A plat of survey for the site is included in Appendix C. The water levels of 10/12/89 and conversions to elevations are given in Table 2 below.

Table 2

WATER LEVEL DEPTHS AND ELEVATIONS OF OCTOBER 12, 1989

WELL	TOC Elev.	Depth	Elevation
MW1	33.42	22.87	10.55
MW2	33.65	23.25	10.40
MW3	34.23	24.02	10.21

TOC = top of casing

The ground water gradient and flow direction have been estimated using this data with a computer model. Ground water elevation contours generated by the model are presented in Figure 4, which shows ground water is flowing in a west-northwest direction at the Shaw site. The calculated gradient based on this data is approximately 0.006 ft/ft.

Other ground water studies in the area report that ground water gradients are not consistent and flow directions are sometimes altered by subsurface construction or dewatering. Harding-Lawson Associates (personal comm., David Leland, Aug 1989) stated that they determined a northeasterly flow direction one block away at 9th and Webster, but anticipate a return to the natural westerly flow pattern when their dewatering pumps are shut off in approximately 4 months.

RESULTS OF ANALYSES

Soil and water samples were sent to Acculab Environmental Services in Petaluma, California, a laboratory certified by the State of California Department of Health Services for testing and analysis of water and hazardous waste. Samples were analyzed using the following procedures developed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA):

EPA 5020/8015/602 - total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as gasoline

EPA 3550/3510/8015 - TPH as diesel


EPA 3550/SM503E/418.1 - TPH as waste oil

EPA 5030/8020 - benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX)

In addition, ground water samples were analyzed for purgeable organics using EPA methods 601/5030.

The complete laboratory results for all soil and ground water samples are presented in Appendix D.

FIGURE 4-GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS-800 FRANKLIN



<u>Soils</u>

Fifteen soil samples from the three monitoring well borings were analyzed. These samples were collected at five foot intervals terminating at the water table. Analytical results are summarized in Table 3 below. Complete laboratory results are attached in Appendix D.

High levels of gasoline contamination were found in MW2 and MW3; BTEX results are correspondingly above action levels for these samples. Lower levels of diesel fuel were found in the same soil samples. Highest levels of contamination were found at a depth of 21 feet in MW3 and at 21 and 26 feet in MW2.

Soil contamination in MW1 was not detected except for low levels at the 6 and 21 foot depth. This area can be regarded as below action levels for the purposes of remediation.

Table 3

ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR SOIL SAMPLES

	ft			Waste				
<u>Sample</u>	Depth	Gasoline	Diesel	<u> Oil</u>	В	T	X	E
	_							
MW1-A	6	ND	23	30	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW1-B	11	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW1-C	16	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW1-D	21	52	ND	ND	0.12	0.7	4.5	0.53
MW1-E	26	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2-A	6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2-B	11	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2-C	16	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2-D	21	1,900	110	50	7.4	51	180	24
MW2-E	26	7,800	170	30	52	220	400	77
MW3-A	6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3-B	11	ИD	25	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3-C	16	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.07	ND
MW3-D	21	2,200	160	40	7	42	180	16
MW3-E	26	24.0	ND	ND	0.6	1.1	1.4	0.17

a) All results are expressed in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg). Mg/kg is equivalent to parts per million (ppm).

b) ND = not detected

Ground Water

Fuel hydrocarbon contamination was not detected in MW1, however low levels of 1,2-Dichloroethane (DCA) and chloroform were present. Fuel hydrocarbons were detected in moderate concentrations in MW2 and MW3. DCA was not detected in MW2 but was detected in MW3 at 70 parts per billion (ppb), almost ten times the concentration found in MW1. DCA may be related to gasoline contamination as it has been used as an anti-knock additive. The remaining compounds included in the 601/5030 test for purgeable organics were not detected in any of the ground water samples. The complete analytical results are presented in Appendix D.

Results for all detected compounds (excepting TPH as diesel which was detected at less than 0.5 ppm in MW2 and MW3) are shown in Table 4 below. Benzene has a very low action level (1-ppb); no action level has been established for gasoline. The California Department of Health Services (DOHS) guideline action levels for DCA and chloroform are 0.5 ppb and 6.0 ppb, respectively for drinking water.

Table 4

<u>SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FOR GROUND WATER SAMPLES</u>
all concentrations in ppm except where noted

		Waste					a	a
<u>Well</u>	Gasoline	Oil	B	T	X	E	DCA	Chlrfrm
MW1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	8.6	0.8
MW2	38.0	3.9	1.3	1.2	4.7	ND	ND	ND
MW3	87.0	4.5	3.2	8.8	6.5	ND	70.0	ND

a. DCA(1,2-Dichloroethane) and Chloroform are reported as parts per billion (ppb).

REVIEW OF UNDERGROUND FUEL LEAK CASES IN AREA

Miller Environmental has reviewed the records of underground fuel leak cases on file at the RWQCB. A number of ground water contamination problems were found to exist in the nearby area. Within a 1/2 mile radius of the 800 Franklin Street site there are sixteen (16) reported cases of petroleum hydrocarbon releases to the subsurface, with half of these being classified as ground water problems. The locations of these releases are shown by number on Figure 1. The sites corresponding to these numbers are listed in Table 5.

Site specific ground water flow gradients are reported for

four of these sites. These include flow to the north at Shell (no. 14), to the northwest at Bramalea Pacific/ Chinatown Redevelopment Agency (nos. 3, 13), and to the north-northeast at Unknown (no. 16).

Table 5 Underground Fuel Leak Cases

<u> </u>	SITE NAME	LOCATION	<u>CLASSIFICATION</u>
1 2	Alcopark Garage	165 13th St	В3
	Bramalea Pacific	Clay and 12th St	B3
	Bramalea Pacific	Jefferson and 13th St	
			B3
	Chevron	609 Oak	B3
	City of Oakland		C3
6.	City's Auto Repair	330 Webster	С
		e Webster and 9th St	A3
	Plaza		
8. I	Fire Station #12	822 Alice	В3
9. I	Five City Center	1300 Clay	A3
10.	GTE	670 9th St	В3
11.	Laney College	600 Fallon	B3
	Mobil	160 14th St	B3
	Oakland Redevel.	1330 Martin Luther Kin	
	Agency	1000 Marcin Eddici Min	9
1.4	Shell	461 8th St	A2
	Texaco		
		424 Martin Luther King	
Tp.	Unknown	1111 Broadway	A2

The classification codes used by the RWQCB are based on the following criteria:

- A1 = ground water contamination, water supply aquifer A2 = ground water contamination, limited use aquifer A3 = ground water contamination, aquifer not used for
- water supply
- B1 = soil contamination, overlying water supply aquifer B2 = soil contamination, overlying limited use aquifer B3 = soil contamination, overlying aquifer not used for water supply
- C = no further action required

The Pacific Renaissance Plaza site is located one city block from 800 Franklin St. in the approximate upgradient direction. A bioremediation effort to treat this contamination is currently in operation at the site. The ground water flow direction at the site has varied from a westerly direction at the onset of the project to a northeasterly direction at present due to pumped drawdown of the water table during remediation. None of the remaining ground water contamination cases appear to present a potential impact to the 800 Franklin St. site. Shell (no. 14) is in closest proximity but has a reported ground water flow direction to the west, away from the site. The remaining sites are either reportedly limited to soil contamination or are too far away to have an impact via ground water transport.

DISCUSSION

The proposed development of this site includes construction of a multi-story commercial building. This shall require excavation and removal of soil to an approximate average depth of ten (10) feet for foundation and basement construction.

As indicated by the analytical results for soil samples from EX1 and soil and water samples from MW1, petroleum hydrocarbon contamination has been effectively removed from the interior of the property where the two 6000 gallon gasoline tanks were located.

As per the workplan of 8/24/89, MW1 was installed with the intention of it being removed prior to construction of the commercial building. It was necessary to install MW1 at this location in order to gather data for describing the local ground water flow gradient and to provide data on upgradient ground water quality.

CONCLUSIONS

The former underground tanks at the site appear to have been the major source of contamination at this site. Excavation of contaminated soil following removal of the tanks was effective in removing the source of contamination at the interior of the property (former location of gasoline tanks). However, contamination in the waste oil/solvent tank pit extended beyond the limits of excavation capabilities and was not entirely removed. Approximately thirty-two cubic yards of contaminated soil excavated from both pits was hauled and disposed of at a CLASS I facility for hazardous waste.

Contamination at the site is apparently focused in the immediate downgradient vicinity of the former underground tanks. Removal of accessible contaminated soil has resulted in most, if not all, remaining contamination being off the property, in the 20 to 25 foot depth range.

Proposed development plans for the site require excavation to approximately ten feet below grade. Therefore the situation should not affect building plans, especially with regard to worker safety (i.e. contact with contaminants).

Ground water was found to be contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons in MW2 and MW3 at levels which exceed known action levels. However, no free product was observed. At present contamination is highest in MW3. These levels are moderate with 87 ppm TPH as gasoline and 3.2 ppm benzene.

Low levels of DCA (dichloroethane) were also detected in MW1 and MW3. The levels detected (70 ppb in MW3) are not particularly high but the Department of Health Services guideline action level for drinking water is 0.5 ppb. The presence of DCA in MW1 and MW3 is somewhat puzzling although it has been used as a gasoline additive (anti-knock agent). Alternatively it may have been used as a solvent although this possibility is diminished by the fact that DCA was not detected in MW2 adjacent to the solvent tank, nor was it detected in the contaminated spoils pile. Another possibility is that the DCA is migrating from an off-site source via ground water transport.

Ground water flow gradient data currently indicate flow to the west-northwest. Ground water flow directions may have varied over the past few years due to nearby remedial actions and/or construction of BART tunnels.

The water table lies within Bay Mud - a low permeability geologic unit which cannot properly, by definition of yield, be regarded an aquifer. Furthermore ground water can be considered essentially non-potable in this area. These factors are important in evaluating this site because maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) set by the Department of Health Services usually apply to drinking water aquifers.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Copies of this report should be submitted to the Regional Water Quality Control Board in Oakland and the Alameda County Health Services Agency upon receipt.

Removal or treatment of the remaining contaminated soil in the intersection of Franklin and Eighth Streets is probably not feasible. In order to leave the contamination in place it is likely that local and state law will require continued monitoring of the situation with the understanding that additional subsurface investigation may be required if levels of contaminants in the ground water do not decrease significantly in the near future.

Unfortunately ground water contamination is located adjacent to Franklin and Eighth Streets and possibly extends beneath the busy intersection. It would be extremely difficult to try to remediate or contain the plume without obtaining encroachment permits and closing off at least part of the

street(s) indefinitely. For these reasons no remediation is recommended at this time for ground water.

Quarterly sampling of wells MW2 and MW3 is recommended to monitor the levels of contamination. MW1 should be included in the sampling program until the well is abandoned and removed as construction at the property begins. In addition, due to the number of ground water problems in the area, it may be prudent to confirm the ground water flow direction periodically before MW1 is abandoned.

If possible, ground water levels should be re-measured and flow calculated for changes in weather seasons and after any known change in local dewatering projects. In conjunction with continued sampling this information would help evaluate:

1) whether soil remediation measures are effective in alleviating further contamination to ground water, and 2) whether contamination from other projects might be migrating on site.

WARRANTY

Miller Environmental Company warrants all services to be of high professional quality. No other warranty, either expressed or implied, as to the quality or result to be achieved as a consequence of this work, is made.

This report provides an assessment of the potential problems noted and represents a professional opinion. All reports and recommendations are based upon conditions and information made available to Miller Environmental to date. Liability is not assumed in cases where the client or other parties involved have failed to disclose known environmental information. Reports do not purport to identify all problems or to indicate that other hazards do not exist. No responsibility is assumed for the control or correction of conditions or practices existing at the premises of the client. Data available from future subsurface exploration may modify the conclusions and recommendations of this report.

REFERENCES

Helley, E.J., et al., 1979: Flatland Deposits of the San Francisco Bay Region, California, U.S. Geological Survey P. Paper 943.

Jenkins, O. (editor), 1951: Geologic Guidebook of San Francisco Bay Counties, Bull 154 CDMG.

Radbruch, D.H., and Case, J.E., 1967: Preliminary Geologic Map & Engineering Geology Information, Oakland and Vicinity, California, USGS, Open File Report.

Woodward-Clyde Consultants and Kaplan, McLaughlin, Diaz, 1987: Subsurface Investigation and Technical Reports, Oakland Federal Building

APPENDIX A HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFESTS

UNIFORM HAZARDOUS 1. Generator's US EPA ID No.	Manifest Document No.	$ abla^{\prime}$		ation in the shad
WASTE MANIFEST CALAGAIT 7252 3. Generator's Name and Mailing Address		A. Ste	of s not t te Manifeat Docum	required by Fed nent Number
ALEX SHAW & ASSUCIATES/DINAGROUP 950 CLEMENT ST. SAN FRANCISCO CA	eu/1/0	The same	8	85852
· ·	94118	B. Sta	te Generator's ID	
4. Generator's Phone (). 5. Transporter 1 Company Name / ANN STATE (1977, (N. W. B. US EPA I		4 1 K 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	/ [計画學]	
	7986718	AND A DELM RID TO	te Transporter's li naporter's Phone	
7. Transporter 2 Company Name 8. US EPA I			te Transporter's II	
			naporter'a Phone	47 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
9. Designated Facility Name and Site Address CHENNAL WASTE MAURGEMENT 10. US EPA I	D Number	Q.(8)4	ie Facility's ID 🖖	
35251 OUD SKYLING BLUD . KETTLEMAN &	ITY, CA			
ICIAITIGO		3 - 100 May 22	ility's Phone :	A Emil
	12. Con		13. Total	14.
11. US DOT Description (including Proper Shipping Name, Hazard Class, and ID Numb	er) No.	Туре	Quantity	14. Unit Wt/Vol
"CALIFORNIA RECULATED WASTE GNE				State
CONTAMINATED TOLL	001			1017
h	10101	DIL	010101/16	Siate
				Maria
	1 1	1		EPA/
0,		1	<u> </u>	State
			,	EPA/
d.		\perp		1
u t. Romania				State
				野級
J. Additional Descriptions for Materials Listed Above		⁵ K., Ha	ndling Codes for V	Vastes Listed A
CONTAMINATED SOIL WITH	i i i ka i i i	1.134	Sec. 4	
DESEL AND GASOLINE		O.A.		.d. of and the
				-0
15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information	A STATE OF THE STA	4.2W	e Marie Trans.	Long art Version of Co.
PROFILE No. SFO J8475	TO			
	:			
			·	
18. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: 1 horoby declars that the second of the				
GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby declare that the contents of this cona and are classified, packed, marked, and labeled, and are in all respects in proper national government regulations.	condition for transport	ccurately by highw	described above ay according to a	by proper shipp oplicable interns
If I am a large quantity generator. I certify that I have a program in place to reduce	the volume and toxicit	v of wasi	e generated to th	e degree i have
present and future threat to human health and the environment. OR if I am a email	treatment, storage, or	diamanı	accessable accelled	
Assistation and select the best waste manadament method that is available to we	and that I can afford.		,	
Printed/Typed Name + ALEX SHAW Significant R. (ATON)		/	\ \Y£X	MONI
17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials	1 tete	<u>~ </u>	AYSOCIATO	<u> </u>
Printed Typed Name 1 / Signature	<i>?</i>	1		Monti
	Tun K	1	0	1 / 1/
211		,	٠ ا حر	
18. Transporter Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials	1/			
CARN, ROLLES				Monti
18. Transporter Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Signature				Monti
18. Transporter Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials				Monti
18. Transporter Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Signature				Monti
18. Transporter Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Signature 19. Discrepancy Indication Space		2000		Monti
18. Transporter Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Signature 19. Discrepancy Indication Space 20. Facility Owner or Operator Certification of receipt of hazardous materials covered	by this manifest excep	as note	d in Item 19.	Monti
18. Transporter Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name Signature 19. Discrepancy Indication Space	by this manifest excep	t as note	d in Item 19	Monti

To: P.O. Box 400, Sacramento, CA 95812-0400

1	WASTE MANIFEST CALOUS IS EPA ID No.	Manifes Document		PE I O			the shaded at d by Federal k
3	Generator's Name and Mailing Address ALEX SHAW \$ ASSOCIATES DYNACROUP	<u> </u>			1	•	8528
****	950 CLEMENT ST. SAN FRANCISCO CA)				8528	
. 4	I. Generator's Phone (4/5) 668 - 2211			D. State	Generator's		
5	i. Transporter 1 Company Name 6. US EPA II			C, State	a Transporter	* ID /) (
<u> </u>	CHEMICAL WASTE MANAGEMENT 19AD10103		118		sporter's Pho		
7	7. Transporter 2 Company Name 8. US EPA II	D Number	. }				of the Marie of
	Designated Facility Name and Site Address 10. US EPA	D Number		CHE TANAMA	Facility's ID	C. I. A. Selberton	
	CHEMICAL WASTE MANAGENENT 35251 OLD SKYLINE BLVD			ATTE !	1144		
ľ	KETZEMAN CITY, CA CIAIDIOIOI9	.2.7.2.3.	لد ،	H. Facil	liy'a Phone		AU.
		12	Conta		13, Total	14.	Market I
Ľ	11. US DOT Description (including Proper Shipping Name, Hazard Class, and ID Numb	er)	lo.	Туре	Quantil	y Unit Wt/V	Was
8	CALIFORNIA REGULATED WASTE ON	<u>~</u>					State*
	CONTAMINATED SOIL		ا ن.م	77	0000	14	EPA/Other
-			ווי	u	AUCIO	167	State
							EPA/Other
							200
. "	,						State 3 46 3
L		₁		1	1 1 1	i .	EPA/Other
- [a						1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Şiate
<u>.</u>			_				EPA/Other
ij	Additional Descriptions for Materials Listed Above			K. Han	dling Codes (or Wastes	Listed Above
	CONTAMINATED SOIL WITH					B	
	DIESEL AND GASOLINE			CANADA		de same	<u> </u>
	2/3-2				r jelejej		
T	5. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information	2 v . 1995/88/	an records	Programme Service	. 4	and I was not	Action Contracts: 3
	PROFILE NO. SFO JOY7	150					
							¥ .
1	9.					,	
	GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby declare that the contents of this cons and are classified, packed, marked, and labeled, and are in all respects in proper	ignment are fully a	and aco	urately c	lescribed abo	ve by prop	er shipping na
	Harrows Seasument 1000/00/00/92						•
	If I am a large quantity generator, I certify that I have a program in place to reduce to be economically practicable and that I have selected the practicable method of present and future threat to human health and the environment; OR, If I am a small generation and select the best waste means and the environment.						
L	Anneation and select the post waste ingualishing their 10 US 18 BABIISDIE 10 We	and that I can all	ord.	re mage	a good raith	enort to m	inimize my wa
	TEFFREY R. CATON & ASSUCIATES	111			60	LEXSH	Month D
	JEFFREY, R. CATON & ASSUCINTS 7. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials	4771	Tol		\$ A S S	•<1 ₹ 7€	<u> </u>
	rinted/Typed Name Signature			7	,		Month D
Ļ	CARRY Kobats	Hany	K	The			1/1/12
-	Transporter Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials						
ľ	Signature Signature	Se Contract	is .		•		Month D
18	Discrepancy Indication Space		·				
1.							
20	 Facility Owner or Operator Certification of receipt of hazardous materials covered to 	by this manifest e	xcept a	s noted	in Item 19.		2.00
Pr	Inted/Typed Name Signature				- 55		Month D
1				100		•	and the second second
	1/88) Do Not Write Below				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>

APPENDIX B BORING LOGS AND WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS

MILLER ENVIRONMENTAL COMPANY BORING LOG

BORING # MW1

SHEET 1 OF 2

8th	3		RANKLIN GAT F LOT MW1 *	BUILD	PROJECT # 89-1003 PROJECT NAME:ALEX S LOCATION: 800 FRANKLIN STREET, OAKLAND, COGGED BY: REINHARD RUHMKE CONTRACTOR: HEW DRILLING DRILLING METHODS: 8 1/4" HOLLOW STEM AU SAMPLING METHODS: SPLIT SPOON SAMPLER START TIME: 9:30 DATE: 9/12/89 STOP TIME: 12:00 DATE: 9/12/89		
		SITE	E MAP		TOTAL DEPTH: 35'		
<u>D</u>	S A		т	BLOWS		Ų.	S
DEPTH	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	<pre><am </am conma</pre>	I M E	:	DESCRIPTION) SC (S	M BOL
		`					
					BROWN CLAYEY FINE SAND WITH PEBBLES; BRICK DEBRIS.	SC	
5	MW1A	18"	9:45	3-2-2	LIGHT BROWN CLAYEY FINE SAND; LOOSE; DRY; WELL-SORTED.	SC	
10-							
	MW1B	18"	9:55	7-6-7	BROWN SILTY CLAY; SLIGHTLY PLASTIC; DRY.	CL	
15—	MW1C	18"	10:00	8-12-17			
 2ø 	MW1D	18"	10:10	8-1Ø-12	VERY LIGHT BROWN-GRAY CLAYEY FINE SAND.	sc	
25_	MW1E	18"	1Ø:15	14-25-22	VERY LIGHT BROWN FINE SAND; LOOSE; DRY.	SM V	
					REMARKS		<u> </u>
					• 1 may 100 for 100 fo		

MILLER ENVIRONMENTAL COMPANY BORING LOG CONT.


BORING # MW1 (CONT

(CONTINUATION) SHEET 2 OF 2

				(CUM)INUALIUM) SHEET 2 OF 8			***************************************	131465 71	·
H E E R Y DESCRIPTION 26 LIGHT BROWN FINE SAND. SM LIGHT BROWN CLAYEY FINE SAND; WET. SC				PROJECT # 89-1003		·			
LIGHT BROWN FINE SAND. SM	SYMBOL	U 9 C 9		DESCRIPTION	BLOWS	T I M E	RECOVERY	94MPLE#	H
		SM		LIGHT BROWN FINE SAND.					26_
30_		SC		LIGHT BROWN CLAYEY FINE SAND; WET.					_
								!	30-
END OF BORING.				END OF DORING					75,
- CAD OF BORING.				END OF EURING.					
49-									40
45_								,	45
50-									5Ø-
REMARKS	· ——								

MILLER ENVIRONMENTAL COMPANY

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG BORING # MW1



FRANKLIN ST FROJECT # 89-1003 PROJECT NAME: ALEX SHA	W A
BUILD DRILLING METHODS: 8 1/4" HOLLOW STEM AUGE SAMPLING METHODS: SPLIT SPOON SAMPLER START TIME: 1:000 NOTE: 9719700	R
STOP TIME: 4:30 DATE: 9/12/89	
SITE MAP	
D S R T BLOWS E A E I P M C M T P O E H L V E E H R	
P M C M	S B
H	L
" Ŷ DESCRIPTION	
Ø — CONCRETE	
BROWN FINE SAND: WELL-SORTED; LOOSE; DRY. SI	-
BROWN FINE SAND: WELL-SORTED; LOOSE; DRY. SI	
	_
BROWN CLAYEY FINE SAND,	
5 - MW2A 18" 1:15 9-10-14	
GRAY CLAYEY FINE SAND.	
10 MW2B 18" 1:30 7-7-8	
15- MW2C 18" 1:40 7-7-14	
	-
GRAY SILTY CLAY.	-
BROWN CLAYEY FINE SAND; DRY.	_ _
MW2D 18" 1:50 8-13-14 BROWN CLAYEY FINE SAMD; DRY.	
OLIVE GREEN-GRAY CLAYEY FINE BAND. SO	
	_
	Z
11251 1 1 1 1 1	
25	

MILLER ENVIRONMENTAL COMPANY BORING LOG CONT.


BORING # MW2

(CONTINUATION) SHEET 2 OF 2

					FROJECT # 89-1003		
D EP T H	# M T T T T T	R WCOVWRY	T I M E	BLOWS		മാതാത	SYMBOL
		Y			DESCRIPTION		
26_					OLIVE GREEN-GRAY CLAYEY PILE SAND.	sc	
30-				·	OLIVE GREEN-GRAY FINE SAND	SP	
					GRAY CLAYEY FRANCESANDE METERS	 sc	
35_					END OF BORING;		
_							
4Ø_							
-							
-							
45_							
				ļ			
	•						-
5Ø							
		<u>I</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	REMARKS	<u> </u>	l

MILLER ENVIRONMENTAL COMPANY

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG BORING # MW2



MILLER ENVIRONMENTAL COMPANY BORING LOG

BORING # MW3

SHEET 1 OF 2

	* -	RANKLII	V ST	PROJECT # 89-1003 PROJECT NAME:ALEX S LOCATION: 800 FRANKLIN STREET, OAKLAND,	CA	
łs	DIR		FUILD	LUGGED BY: REINHARD RUHMKE CONTRACTOR: HEW DRILLING		
I	SITE	E MAP	-,upi. -1.711 t. m			
# # # 4 4 4 5 6	кшсостк	T I M E	BLOWS	7)E C C F T F T T T (16)		SYMBOL
					-	ļ
de arte de art				BROWN FINE SAND; WELL-SORTED; LOOSE; DRY.	 SP	
МШЗА	18"	9:20	2-7-12	LIGHT BROWN CLAYEY FINE SAND.		
мызв	18"	9:3Ø	5-5-6	OLIVE GREEN-GRAY CLAYEY FINE SAND.	sc	
WMSC	18"	1:40	7-7-14	_ GRAY SILTY CLAY; DRY BROWN CLAY; SLIGHTLY PLASTIC; STIFF. BROWN SANDY CLAY.	CL	and the state of t
мызр	18"	9:5Ø	1Ø-18 - 21	LIGHT BROWN-TAN FINE SAND; DRY; WELL-SORTED.	sp	
MMSE	18"	1:55	15-25-28		V	
	######################################	SITE S R E C C P C C C	SITE MAP SAMPLE HRY MW3A 18" 9:20 MW3B 18" 9:30 MW2C 18" 1:40 MW3D 18" 9:50	SITE MAP SA R T MAP A E M M P O E M	DIRT LOT SHILD DIRECTOR: HEW DRILLING METHODS; SILTY HOLLOW STEM AND SHIP METHODS; SILTY STORY SHIP METHODS; SILTY SHIP SHIP SHIP SHIP SHIP SHIP SHIP SHIP	DIRT LOT BUILD STITING METHODS: 8 1/4 HOLDW STEM AUGER STEM AUGER STITING METHODS: 8 1/4 HOLDW STEM AUGER STEM AUGER STITING METHODS: 8 1/4 HOLDW STEM AUGER STEM AUGUST STEM AUGUST STEM AUGUST STEM AUGUST STEM AUGUST STEM AUGUST AUGUST STEM AUGUST AUGU


MILLER ENVIRONMENTAL COMPANY BORING LOG CONT.

(CONTINUATION) SHEET 2 OF 2 BORING # MW3 PROJECT # 89-1003 BLOWS DHALI WAKP LE# RECOVERY DESCRIPTION 26-GRAY FINE SAND. SP BROWN GRAY FINE SAND; WET. 3Ø. END OF BORING;_____

REMARKS

MILLER ENVIRONMENTAL COMPANY

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG BORING # MW3



CASING #1 T' IN TOP EL. 33,42 OF CURB AT FACE 53, 22 CASING EL. 34.23

APPENDIX D

LABORATORY REPORTS



RECEIVED

3700 Lakeville Highway, Petaluma, CA 94954
P.O. Box 808024, Petaluma, CA 94975-8024
Telephone: (707) 763-8245 FAX: (707) 763-4065

OCT - 2 1989

ROBERT J. MILLER CO., INC.

Reinhard Ruhmke Miller Env. Co. 631 Marina Way South Richmond, CA 94804

Client Code: MIECl Survey # ALEX SHAW

Project/Release # 89-1003

LABORATORY RESULTS

Page 1

Date Collected: 09/07/89 Date Extracted: 09/22/89 Date Analyzed: 09/25/89

Laboratory Job No.: 894296

Date Received: 09/14/89 Date Reported: 09/27/89

ASSAY: WASTE OIL (EPA3550/SM503E)

MATRIX: SOIL LABNO SMPLNO-ID	WASTE OIL mg/kg	DETECTION LIMIT mg/kg
51557 MW-1A	30	30
51558 MW-1B	ND	30
51559 MW-1C	ND	30
51560 MW-1D	ND	30
51561 MW-1E	ND	30
51562 MW-2A	ND	30
51563 MW-2B	ND	30
51564 MW-2C	ND	30

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND APPROVED FOR RELEASE,



LABORATORY RESULTS

Page 2

Laboratory Job No.: 894296

ASSAY: WASTE OIL MATRIX: SOIL	(EPA3550/SM503E)	DETECTION
LABNO SMPLNO-ID	WASTE OIL mg/kg	LIMIT mg/kg
51565 MW-2D	50	30
51566 MW-2E	30	30
51567 MW-3A	ND	30
51568 MW-3B	ND	30
51569 MW-3C	ND	30
51570 MW-3D	40	30
51571 MW-3E	ND	30
51572 EX1-A	ND	30
51573 EX1-B	40	30
51574 EX1-C	80	30
51575 EX2-A	400 🗸	30
51576 EX2-B	ND /	30



Page 3

LABORATORY RESULTS

Date Collected: 09/07/89 Date Extracted: 09/19/89 Date Analyzed: 09/20/89 Laboratory Job No.: 894296
Date Received: 09/14/89
Date Reported: 09/27/89

ASSAY: TPH/DIESEL (EPA 3550/8015)

MATRIX: SOIL

LABNO SMPLNO-ID	RESULTS	DET.LIM
51557 MW-1A DIESEL	23 mg/kg	10 mg/kg
51558 MW-1B DIESEL	ND	10 mg/kg
51559 MW-1C DIESEL	ND	10 mg/kg
51560 MW-1D DIESEL	ND	10 mg/kg
51561 MW-1E DIESEL	ND	10 mg/kg
51562 MW-2A DIESEL	ND	10 mg/kg
51563 MW-2B DIESEL	ND	10 mg/kg
51564 MW-2C DIESEL	ND	10 mg/kg
51565 MW-2D DIESEL	llo mg/kg	10 mg/kg
51566 MW-2E DIESEL	170 mg/kg	10 mg/kg



Page 4

LABORATORY RESULTS

Laboratory Job No.: 894296

LABNO SMPLNO-ID	RESULTS	DET.LIM
51567 MW-3A DIESEL	ND	10 mg/kg
51568 MW-3B DIESEL	25 mg/kg	10 mg/kg
51569 MW-3C DIESEL	ND	10 mg/kg
51570 MW-3D DIESEL	160 mg/kg	10 mg/kg
51571 MW-3E DIESEL	ND	10 mg/kg
51572 EX1-A DIESEL	ND	10 mg/kg
51573 EX1-B DIESEL	ND	10 mg/kg
51574 EX1-C DIESEL	ND	10 mg/kg
51575 EX2-A DIESEL	250 mg/kg √	l0 mg/kg
51576 EX2-B DIESEL	ND V	10 mg/kg

NOTE: CONTAMINATION IN ALL CASES APPEARS TO BE THE HIGHER BOILING COMPONENTS OF GASOLINE.



Page 5

LABORATORY RESULTS

Date Collected: 09/07/89
Date Extracted: 09/18/89
Date Analyzed: 09/18/89

Laboratory Job No.: 894296 Date Received: 09/14/89

Date Received: 09/14/89 Date Reported: 09/27/89

ASSAY: TPH/GASOLINE/BTEX (EPA 5020/8015/8020

MATRIX: SOIL

LABNO SMPLNO-ID	RESULTS	DET.LIM
51557 MW-1A GASOLINE	ND	1.0 mg/kg
51558 MW-1B GASOLINE	ND	1.0 mg/kg
51559 MW-1C GASOLINE	ND	1.0 mg/kg
51560 MW-1D GASOLINE	52.0 mg/kg	1.0 mg/kg
51561 MW-1E GASOLINE	ND	1.0 mg/kg
51562 MW-2A GASOLINE	ND	1.0 mg/kg
51563 MW-2B GASOLINE	ND	1.0 mg/kg
51564 MW-2C GASOLINE	ND	1.0 mg/kg
51565 MW-2D GASOLINE	1,900 mg/kg	60.0 mg/kg
51566 MW-2E GASOLINE	7,800 mg/kg	60.0 mg/kg



Page 6

LABORATORY RESULTS

Laboratory Job No.: 894296

LABNO SMPLNO-ID	RESULTS	DET.LIM
51567 MW-3A GASOLINE	· ND	1.0 mg/kg
51568 MW-3B GASOLINE	ND	1.0 mg/kg
51569 MW-3C GASOLINE	ND	1.0 mg/kg
51570 MW-3D GASOLINE	2,200 mg/kg	30.0 mg/kg
51571 MW-3E GASOLINE	24 mg/kg	2.7 mg/kg
51572 EX1-A GASOLINE	ND	1.0 mg/kg
51573 EX1-B GASOLINE	ND	1.0 mg/kg
51574 EX1-C GASOLINE	2.3 mg/kg	1.0 mg/kg
51575 EX2-A GASOLINE	10,000 mg/kg 🗸	60.0 mg/kg
51576 EX2-B GASOLINE	4.1 mg/kg 🗸	1.0 mg/kg



Page 7

LABORATORY RESULTS

Date Collected: 09/07/89 Date Extracted: 09/18/89 Date Analyzed: 09/18/89

Laboratory Job No.: 894296
Date Received: 09/14/89
Date Reported: 09/27/89

ASSAY: TPH/GASOLINE/BTEX (EPA 5020/8015/8020

MATRIX: SOIL

LABNO SMPLNO-ID	RESULTS	DET.LIM
51557 MW-1A		
BENZENE	ND	0.040 mg/k=
TOLUENE	ND ND	0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg
ETHYLBENZENE	ND	0.040 mg/kg
XYLENE	ND	0.040 mg/kg
		0.010 mg/ kg
51558 MW-1B		
BENZENE	ND	0.040 mg/kg
TOLUENE	ND	0.040 mg/kg
ETHYLBENZENE	ND	0.040 mg/kg
XYLENE	ND	0.040 mg/kg
ELECO MOLLO		
51559 MW-1C BENZENE	275	2 2 2 2 4
TOLUENE	ND	0.040 mg/kg
ETHYLBENZENE	ND	0.040 mg/kg
XYLENE	ND ND	0.040 mg/kg
VIDUME	ND	0.040 mg/kg
51560 MW-1D		
BENZENE	0.12 mg/kg	0.040 mg/kg
TOLUENE	0.700 mg/kg	0.040 mg/kg
ETHYLBENZENE	0.53 mg/kg	0.040 mg/kg
XYLENE	4.5 mg/kg	0.040 mg/kg
	-	5, 5
51561 MW-1E		1
BENZENE	ND	0.040 mg/kg
TOLUENE	ND	0.040 mg/kg
ETHYLBENZENE	ND	0.040~mg/kg
XYLENE	ND	0.040 mg/kg



Page 8

LABORATORY RESULTS

Laboratory Job No.: 894296

LABNO SMPLNO-ID	RESULTS	DET.LIM
51562 MW-2A BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	ND ND ND ND	0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg
51563 MW-2B BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	ND ND ND ND	0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg
51564 MW-2C BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	ND ND ND	0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg
51565 MW-2D BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	7.4 mg/kg 51.0 mg/kg 24.0 mg/kg 180.0 mg/kg	2.0 mg/kg 2.0 mg/kg 2.0 mg/kg 2.0 mg/kg
51566 MW-2E BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	52.0 mg/kg 220.0 mg/kg 77.0 mg/kg 400.0 mg/kg	2.0 mg/kg 2.0 mg/kg 2.0 mg/kg 2.0 mg/kg
51567 MW-3A BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	ND ND ND ND	0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg



Page 9

LABORATORY RESULTS

Laboratory Job No.: 894296

LABNO SMPLNO-ID	RESULTS	DET.LIM
51568 MW-3B BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	ND ND ND ND	0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg
51569 MW-3C BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	ND ND ND 0.070 mg/kg	0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg
51570 MW-3D BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	7.5 mg/kg 42.3 mg/kg 16.0 mg/kg 180.0 mg/kg	1.0 mg/kg 1.0 mg/kg 1.0 mg/kg 1.0 mg/kg
51571 MW-3E BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	0.60 mg/kg 1.10 mg/kg 0.17 mg/kg 1.40 mg/kg	0.090 mg/kg 0.090 mg/kg 0.090 mg/kg 0.090 mg/kg
51572 EX1-A BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	ND ND ND ND	0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg
51573 EX1-B BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	ND ND ND ND	0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg



3700 Lakeville Highway, Petaluma, CA 94954 P.O. Box 808024, Petaluma, CA 94975-8024 Веторново: (707) 703-8245 - LAX: (707) 703-4066

Page 10

LABORATORY RESULTS

Laboratory Job No.: 894296

LABNO SMPLNO-TI)	RESULTS	DET.LIM
51574 EX1-C BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	ND 0.050 mg/kg ND 0.14 mg/kg	0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg
51575 EX2-A BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	50.0 mg/kg / 210.0 mg/kg 54.0 mg/kg 270.0 mg/kg	2.0 mg/kg 2.0 mg/kg 2.0 mg/kg 2.0 mg/kg
51576 EX2-B BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	ND ND ND 0.15 mg/kg	0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg 0.040 mg/kg



RECEIVED

TOTAL 1 G 1989

ROBERT ! INTER CO., INC.

3700 Lakeville Highway, Petaluma, CA 94954 P.O. Box 808024, Petaluma, CA 94975-8024 Telephone: (707) 763-8246 FAX: (707) 763-4066

Reinhard Ruhmke Miller Env. Co. 631 Marina Way South Richmond, CA 94804

Client Code: MIEC1

Survey # ALEX SHAW Project/Release # 89-1003

LABORATORY RESULTS

Page 1

Date Collected: 09/21/89

Date Analyzed: 10/10/89

Laboratory Job No.: 894475 Date Received: 09/22/89

Date Reported: 10/10/89

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPA 418.1)

MATRIX: WATER

LABNO SMPLNO	COMPOUND	FOUND mg/L	DET.LIM. mg/L
52724 MW-1	'PH	ND	1.3
52725 MW-2	ТРП	3.9	1.4
52726 MW-3	TPH	4.5	1.4

ANALYST: JAN TOISTER

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND APPROVED FOR RELEASE.



LABORATORY RESULTS

Page 2

Date Collected: 09/21/89 Date Extracted: 10/02/89 Date Analyzed: 10/02/89

Laboratory Job No.: 894475
Date Received: 09/22/89
Date Reported: 10/10/89

ASSAY: TPH/DIESEL (EPA 3510/8015)

MATRIX: WATER

LABNO SMPLNO-ID	RESULTS	DET.LIM
52724 MW-1 DIESEL	ND	0.12 mg/L
52725 MW-2 DIESEL	$0.21~{ m mg/L}$	0.12 mg/L
52726 MW-3 DIESEL	0.30 mg/L	0.12 mg/L



LABORATORY RESULTS

Page 3

Date Collected: 09/21/89 Date Extracted: 10/06/89 Date Analyzed: 10/06/89

Laboratory Job No.: 894475

Date Received: 09/22/89 Date Reported: 10/10/89

ASSAY: TPH/GASOLINE and BTEX (EPA 5030/8015/602)

MATRIX: LIQUID

LABNO SMPLNO-ID	RESULTS	DET.LIM
52724 MW-1 GASOLINE	ND	0.05 mg/L
52725 MW-2 GASOLINE	38 mg/L	1.0 mg/L
52726 MW-3 GASOLINE	87 mg/L	1.0 mg/L
1111 T 110 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T		



Page 4

LABORATORY RESULTS

Date Collected: 09/21/89 Date Extracted: 10/06/89 Date Analyzed: 10/06/89

Laboratory Job No.: 894475
Date Received: 09/22/89
Date Reported: 10/10/89

ASSAY: TPH/GASOLINE and BTEX (EPA 5030/8015/602)

MATRIX: LIQUID

LABNO SMPLNO-ID	RESULTS	DET.LIM
52724 MW-1 BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	ND ND ND ND	0.001 mg/L 0.001 mg/L 0.001 mg/L 0.001 mg/L
52725 MW-2 BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	1.3 mg/L 1.2 mg/L ND 4.7 mg/L	0.02 mg/L 0.02 mg/L 0.02 mg/L 0.02 mg/L
52726 MW-3 BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE XYLENE	3.2 mg/L 8.8 mg/L ND 6.5 mg/L	0.02 mg/L 0.02 mg/L 0.02 mg/L 0.02 mg/L



Page 5

LABORATORY RESULTS

Date Collected: 09/21/89
Date Extracted: 10/02/89
Date Analyzed: 10/02/89

Laboratory Job No.: 894475

Date Received: 09/22/89 Date Reported: 10/10/89

PURGEABLES IN WATER (EPA 5030/601)

COMPOUNDS:	LAB# SMP# dil.		LIM. 1	52725 MW-2 4	DET. LIM.	52726 MW-3 4	DET. LIM. O		
PURGEABLES		u	g/L	u	ıg/L	ug/L			
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE		ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
BROMOFORM		ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
BROMOMETHANE		ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE		ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
CHLOROBENZENE		ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
CHLOROETHANE		ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
2-CHLOROETHYLVINYL ETH CHLOROFORM	IER	ND	1.0	ND	40.0	ND	40.0		
CHLOROFORM CHLOROMETHANE		0.8	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE		ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE		ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE		ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE		ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE		ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE		ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE		8.6	0.5	ND	20.0	70	20.0		
TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHE	NE	ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	ME	ND ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPEN	เก		0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
TRANS-1, 3-DICHLOROPROP	ene Ene	ND ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	DNL	ND	0.5 0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETH	ANE	ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
TETRACHLOROETHENE		ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE		ND	0.5	ND ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE		ND	0.5	ND ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
TRICHLOROETHENE		ND	0.5	ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE		ND	0.5	ND ND	20.0	ND	20.0		
VINYL CHLORIDE		ND	1.0	ND ND	40.0	ND ND	20.0		
			2.0	IVD	~ U • U	ND	40.0		



Page 6

LABORATORY

RESULTS

Laboratory Job No.: 894475

COMPOUNDS: LAB# 52724 DET. 52725 DET. 52726 DET. SMP# MW-2 MW-1LIM. LIM. MW-3LIM. dil. 40 40 **PURGEABLES** ug/L ug/L ug/L

NOTE: THE DETECTION LIMITS FOR SAMPLES MW2 AND MW3 ARE ELEVATED DUE TO THE PRESENCE OF HYDROCARBONS.

ANALYST: DAVE BUSCH



3700 Lekeville Highway, Peteluma, CA 94952

P.O. Box 808024, Petaluma, CA, 94975-8024

(10 000 7AT)

Telephone:	(707) 763-	8245 F/	AX: (70	7) 763-	4065	SAI	MPLE CHAIN	OF CUS	TOD	Y / Y	WOF	RK C	RDI	R				***	: ' \
Client's N	ame				En	HYUM	menta							1	F	hone 41	<u> </u>	33-90	188
Address _ City, State		b.				May	South- 94804	···· ,							****				
Client's o	100		• •			Don		nu	1/2		1.				*				
signature	author	izes the	work	and	terma liste	(woled b		7 1500	,	-1-6-									····
All sam to pick	iples r up sa	remaii mples	n tho 3.	e pro	operty of	the cli	ent who is re	esponsib	le fo	or di	spo	Bal.	Adi	spos	sal fee	may be	impo	sed if	client fai
PROJ. N	10.5	PROJE	CT N	AME			<u> </u>					/-		7	7	///			/
\$9-100 SAMPLE	75 * RS (Slai	nature)	l-lx	<u>_ ح</u>	haw			-				(y)	//				REMAR	ks /	<i>,</i> *
Dei	nst.		1	1	u Ann	nle		NO. OF CON-					3 0	(i)					LAB
STA. NO.	DATE	TIME	COMP	GRAB			OCATION	TAINERS	1						//			:	USE ONL LAB NO
MUL	9/21				Ala	Clar	3 W	4	V	X	€		7						
	1				- 		100	+	1	 (`	1^	7						4.	
MWZ	9/21				1120	<u> </u>	au	4	X	X	×	X							
		,		ŀ	7 1 3 1		LT. D(/	1		-		1					1		
MUZ	9/21	15			Also	She	เพ	4	V	X	X	X			-				
					7					(`	 ``	-			, .				
											 				:				***************************************
A beauti																	- 1.1		
	7 4		*	*															
																			
			•																
																• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,		
																	# 1 P		
1 (2)																	\$11 \$185	. Bristy	
																1.1	-0		
			ļ													e de la companya de l	N.		
			<u> </u>	ļ							<u> </u>					Ţģ.	- 1755 - 1755	o ora	
			_												<u>:</u>	No. of Assessment	1		
								<u> </u>		_						. 14	11.	·	
Jorgania Barring				4:			<u> </u>											alit List	
Rejinquish	ad by: (St	mahimi			DATE	T14.15	In	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		لـــا							
11000	1		/.		DATE	TIME	Received by: (Sig	gnature)	10	ľ	Genera	il Rem	arks:	<u> </u>					
Relinguishe	Y(() ed by: (Sig	nature)	U/W	rn/r	DATE	TIME	Received by: (Sig	nature)	X	\dashv		<u> </u>				1		**************************************	
			pro.	٠.															· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Relinquishe	d by: (Sig	nature)			DATE	TIME	Received by: (Sig	nature)											Production of the Party of the
a.							<u>.</u>												



10 day TAT RK ORDER

Phone 4/5-233-9068

SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY / WORK ORDER

Client's N Address _		M 2/	<i>ال</i> هٔ ۸	4,	En	Way	menta,	1 Co.						Phone 4/4	- 233	9068
City, State	25/200		?, ∈	h	11 0 1 d		A)//	7480	,y/		1					
Client's or (signature All sam to pick	author ples r	izes the 'email	work n/the	and	terms list	of the cli	ent who is	responsib	le for	dis	posal.	A disp	osal fe	e may be	Imposed	if client fa
PROJ. N).	PROJE	CT N	AME										///	1	7
89-100 SAMPLE	23	ALE	X	44	11W,						Įŝ		Y /		REMARKS	
SAMPLE		gaure)	1	1	D. 1	1		NO. OF	İ		3 /3					LAB
STA. NO.	6ATE	TIME	d#IOS	GRAB	se	STATION L	OCATION	CON- TAINERS								USE ON LAB NO
	9/2		-	V	τV	1- 1		1		Ĭ.	\mathcal{T}					
	9/7	145		<i>r</i>	EX	1 - 12	·············	1	1	$\forall I$	Y _	 -		•		
	9/2			V	EY	- 13 1 - C			XI	11	1		<u>-</u>			
14	71	•	ir.		_ ```	<u></u>			 / \ /	+	•		.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
* * * *,	 -				***		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\Box		_		_			
	9/8			X	1= X	7 - A	1			小			-	* N		
	4/0	· a*		X	EV	7 - 5	<u> </u>	1	7	XT	XI		1.			
	70	ें रक														
	1.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u> </u>										
											-					<u> </u>
	. **				-	"				十			1			
		,				····				\top						
										\top						
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		٠,												****		
					-								_			
										T						1.
	+-1						-			7				satura Piking		· · ·
													 			
Relinquishe		//	L		DATE 9	TIME 2:00	Redeived by:	welly	M	n U	neral Rem	narks:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Religiquishe	Meri	2/4	M	m	DATE	TIME	Received by:	(Signature)			Q V X	سنهر سا	·			
Relinquishe	d by: (\$lg	nature)			DATE	TIME	Received by:	(Signature)						2.		



 10 day 1At

Phone 1115-273-9068

SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY / WORK ORDER

(signature	author ples :	izes the remait	work n the	and	terms liste	d below)	ent who is re			- •	ispos	sal.	A di	spo	sal fee	may be	imposed	l if clier	nt fails
PROJ. NO. PROJECT NAME 89-1003 Alex Shaw SAMPLERS (Signature)								aan						Z		//	REMARKS	$\overline{/}$	
		1111	11	1	Sm	1.		NO. OF											LAB
STA. NO.	DATE	TIME	COMP.	GRAB	<i>200000</i> 8	TATION LO	OCATION	CON- TAINER!	3				New York		//			US L/	LAB E ONLY AB NO.
	9/12			X	MU	1-1	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	\ \	ĺχ	Tv								····
	1		7 m.	1	MW	•		i		1	1					1			
			3		MW	-		1	\prod		1								
		• ` `				1-0		Ī											
\$ 14,750 No.		-			MW	-E		1											
					MW	2-A		1						:					
a .	:"			\perp	MW	2-B_													
				_	MW	<u> </u>		1		Ц	Ш								
7					MW	2-12				Ц						. دادمو		· .	
	V			\perp	MW	2-E		1	11	11									
. 11.24	9/13				MW	3-A_		1	\coprod	\coprod									
				+	Mu/	3-B_	7.44	1	\coprod	\coprod									
	-			+	MW3	- <		1 1	11]									
	1,				MW			1	╂	1									
	V			4	MW	} E	<u></u>		1	W	I								
			-		·				—	ļ	<u> </u>								
<u> </u>	•								-	_									
·		."	\dashv					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	├-		_							
		-		_			<u></u>		\vdash							· · ·			
			\dashv		·	<u> </u>				-						· ·			
Herihard Huling 18				Received by: (Sign	<i></i>			Genera	General Remarks:										
Relinquished by: (Signature) DATE TIME Received by: (Signature)						pature)													