

Technology, Engineering & Construction, Inc.

262 Michelle Court • So. San Francisco, CA 94080-6201 • Contractor's Lic. #762034 Tel: (650) 616-1200 • Fax: (650) 616-1244 • www.tecaccutite.com

RECEIVED

2:19 pm, Aug 02, 2007

Alameda County

Environmental Health

April 20, 2007

Mr. Steven Plunkett Hazardous Materials Specialist Alameda County Health Agency Division of Environmental Protection 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, 2nd Floor Alameda, CA 94502

SUBJECT: MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION REPORT

SITE: FORMER OLYMPIAN SERVICE STATION 1435 WEBSTER STREET ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA 94501

Dear Mr. Plunkett:

On behalf of Olympian, TEC Accutite is pleased to submit this Monitoring Well Installation Report for the above referenced site for your review.

Thank you for your cooperation and assistance on this project. If you have any questions, please call Marc Mullaney at (650) 616-1209.

Sincerely, TEC Accutite

Morgan A. Reed Project Geologist

Mr. Fred Bertetta c/o Ms. Janet Heikel, Olympian, 1300 Industrial Road, Suite 2, San Carlos, CA 94070
Mr. Jeff Farrar, P.O. Box 1701, Chico, CA 95927
Mr. and Mrs. Charles A. & Ose M. Begley, 2592 Pine View Dr., Fortuna, CA 95540

MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION REPORT

1435 WEBSTER STREET ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA

PREPARED FOR:

OLYMPIAN AND ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH AGENCY

APRIL 2007



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	SITE DESCRIPTION	1
3.0	ENVIRONMENTAL BACKGROUND	1
4.0	MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION	4
4.1	Scope of Work	4
4.1 4.2	Scope of Work Soil Sampling Results	4 5
4.1 4.2 5.0	Scope of Work Soil Sampling Results CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	4 5 5

TABLE

1.	SUMMARY	OF HISTORICAL	SOIL	ANALYTICAL	RESULTS

FIGURES

- 1. VICINITY MAP
- 2. SITE MAP
- 3. SITE MAP: MONITORING WELLS

ATTACHMENTS

- A PERMITS
- **B** BORING LOGS AND DWR WELL COMPLETION REPORTS
- **C** WELL DEVELOPMENT FIELD LOGS
- D WELL SURVEY DATA
- E LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT AND CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY DOCUMENTATION
- **F** GEOTRACKER SUBMISSION CONFIRMATIONS



1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of Olympian, TEC Accutite installed two new monitoring wells at the former Olympian Service Station located at 1435 Webster Street in Alameda, California. New onsite monitoring wells MW-7 and MW-8 are located within and near the area in which remedial soil excavation was conducted during February 2007. Presented below are the site background and the details of well installation activities.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located on the corner of Webster Street and Taylor Avenue in Alameda, California. Prior to 1989, the site was occupied by an Olympian Service Station. Station facilities consisted of two 10,000-gallon gasoline and one 7,500-gallon diesel underground storage tanks (USTs), two dispenser islands and a 500-gallon waste oil UST. A Vicinity Map and a Site Map are presented as Figures 1 and 2, respectively.

The surrounding topography is flat and the site is approximately 20 feet above mean sea level. The site is situated in a mixed commercial and residential area and is currently leased by the City of Alameda and used as a metered parking lot.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL BACKGROUND

October 1988, Soil Gas Survey: In October 1988, CHIPS Environmental Consultants, Inc. performed soil gas analysis at the subject site. High soil gas readings were found on the eastern side of one of the pump islands, between the pump islands, and from backfill between the gasoline storage tanks.

September 1989, Tank Removal: In September 1989, TEC Accutite removed two 10,000-gallon gasoline USTs, one 7,500-gallon diesel UST and one 500-gallon waste oil UST. Analysis of soil samples collected during removal of the USTs detected hydrocarbons at a maximum concentration of 220 parts per million (ppm) Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg), 430 ppm Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as diesel (TPHd), and 650 ppm Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Oil and Grease (TRPH).

January 1991, Soil Excavation: Remedial excavation of the hydrocarbon impacted soil was conducted by AAA Tank Removal / Forcade Excavations Services. Approximately 950 cubic yards of soil were removed from the former location of the USTs. This soil was bioremediated onsite and returned to the former excavation.

January 1993, Well Installation: Uriah Environmental Services, Inc. installed three monitoring wells onsite (MW-1 through MW-3). Soil samples collected during the well installation contained no detectable concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons. Bi-annual groundwater monitoring was initiated. Dissolved-phase hydrocarbons have been detected in all wells at variable concentrations.

February 1999, Soil Borings: TEC Accutite advanced four borings (B-1 through B-4) on- and off-site to determine the extent of hydrocarbon impact to soil and groundwater. Analsyis of soil samples detected non-significant concentrations of TPHg, benzene, toluene, ethyl-benzene, xylenes (BTEX), and methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE). Analysis of groundwater samples detected hydrocarbon concentrations up to 6,000 parts per billion (ppb) MTBE and 38,000 ppb benzene.

December 1999, Well Installations: TEC Accutite installed three additional wells, MW-4 through MW-6, to define the extent of dissolved-phase hydrocarbons and to assess the plume stability. Analysis of soil samples detected hydrocarbon concentrations of 1,100 ppm TPHg, 200



ppm TPHd and 3.4 ppm benzene from soil collected at 9.5 feet below grade (fbg) in well MW-5. No hydrocarbons were detected in the soil samples collected during the installation of wells MW-4 and MW-6. Groundwater monitoring wells MW-6 and MW-3 defined the dissolved-phase hydrocarbon plume upgradient of the former dispenser islands and cross-gradient of the former USTs.

November 2000, Site Conceptual Model: TEC Accutite completed a site conceptual model (SCM). Based on historical quarterly monitoring data, it was determined that the contaminant plume was unstable and undefined downgradient. Given the shallow groundwater elevation (9 fbg) and estimated high permeability of soils beneath the site, the potential for benzene vapor-phase migration from hydrocarbon affected groundwater to indoor and ambient air was identified as an exposure pathway requiring futher evaluation.

June 2001, Soil Borings: TEC Accutite advanced four additional borings (B-1 through B-4) to assess the extent of the plume off the site. Soil samples were collected approximately 9 fbg within the capillary fringe from soil borings B-1 through B-4. No petroleum hydrocarbons were detected in the soil above laboratory reporting limits. Insignificant concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons were detected in groundwater samples collected from downgradient and cross gradient soil borings B-1 through B-4. The greatest concentration of petroleum hydrocarbons was detected in boring B-3 at 400 ppb TPHg and 3 ppb MTBE. MTBE was detected in all soil boring groundwater samples below 5 ppb.

The greatest concentration of dissolved phase petroleum hydrocarbons were detected in monitoring well MW-1 at 18,000 ppb TPHg, 1,200 ppb benzene, and 1,500 ppb MTBE. Dissolved phase concentrations of TPHg, benzene, and MTBE in surrounding monitoring wells were either non-detect or insignificant.

February 2002, Risk Assessment: To address the potential exposure pathway identified in the SCM, TEC Accutite performed a site-specific risk assessment. The risk assessment addressed the potential inhalation risk posed by hydrocarbon impacted groundwater beneath the site assuming both residential and commercial land use scenarios. The compounds of concern were identified as TPHg and benzene. TPHg was assessed using the TPH fractional methodology developed by TPH Criteria Working Group. The calculated annual regional mean concentrations for benzene and TPHg were 2,988 ppb and 23,137 ppb, respectively. The results of the risk assessment found that concentrations of TPHg in groundwater beneath the site were below the calculated site specific target level concentrations (SSTL's) for residential and commercial scenarios. Therefore, TPHg remaining in groundwater beneath the site does not present an inhalation risk. Benzene concentrations in groundwater exceed the SSTL for a residential scenario (110 ppb) but are less than the SSTL for a commercial scenario (6,400 ppb).

The results of the risk assessment suggest that benzene in groundwater beneath the site may present an inhalation risk, assuming residential land use. The risk assessment was based on the Johnson & Ettinger Vapor Fate and Transport Model, which often overestimates actual vapor concentrations at the point of exposure by factors of 10 to 100. Rather than proceed with site closure under restricted commercial land use, a soil vapor survey was recommended to validate the exposure pathway.

May 2003, Soil Vapor Investigation: In May 2003, TEC Accutite conducted a soil vapor investigation at the site. Eight soil vapor samples (SV-1 through SV-7, duplicate sample SV-7) were collected at selected locations by advancing a 1-inch diameter chrome-moly steel probe equipped with a steel drop tip into the ground to a depth of 3.5 fbg. The objective of the soil vapor investigation was to evaluate potential human exposure to site contaminants created by vapors emanating off impacted groundwater and intruding into indoor air (inhalation risk). Soil vapor was withdrawn from the formation into a small calibrated syringe connected with an on-off valve. Following sample collection, the valve was closed and the sample was immediately transferred to a state certified onsite laboratory for analysis.



Soil vapor sampling results were either non-detectable or detected below the Environmental Screening Levels (ESLs). Inhalation risk associated with exposure to vapors emanating off impacted groundwater beneath the site determined to be an invalid exposure pathway.

September 2005, Updated Site Conceptual Model: TEC Accutite completed an updated site conceptual model as required by the ACEH for site closure review. After careful evaluation of all available data, it was determined that there are uncertainties of benzene vapor concentration onsite and current groundwater conditions off-site. Therefore, TEC Accutite recommends verification sampling before the proposal for site closure.

June 2006, Soil Investigation: On June 12, 2006, TEC Accutite advanced 8 direct-push soil borings (SP-1 through SP-8) to 12 feet bsg to assess the lateral and vertical extent of petroleum hydrocarbon impact to soil in the vicinity of the former dispenser islands. All borings except for boring SP-6 were found to contain petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations above constituent ESLs.

November 2006, Pre-Excavation Soil Investigation: On November 15, 2006, TEC Accutite advanced 17 direct-push soil borings (CB-1 through CB-17) to demarcate the aerial extent of the planned soil excavation. Borings CB-1 through CB-9 were placed along the edges of the estimated excavation area, and additional borings were "stepped-out" from these edges until PID readings suggested petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations below ESLs or until the edge of the feasible excavation area was reached.

Soils were found to contain petroleum hydrocarbons at concentrations below ESLs and/or laboratory detection limits at depths shallower than 8 feet bsg, identifying shallow soils as available backfill material. Following the observed concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in soils between 10 and 12 feet bsg, the boundaries of the excavation were expanded to the west.

A geophysical analysis of site soils was conducted, yielding a classification of SP to SP-SM under the United Soil Classification System (USCS). Due to the lack of cohesiveness of these materials, it was determined that sloping or shoring would be required to maintain the integrity of the walls of the excavation.

December 2006, Confirmation Sampling and Monitoring Well Abandonment: On December 27, 2006, TEC Accutite advanced an additional 5 soil borings (DB-1 through DB-5) in order to collect soil samples for waste disposal. Five samples from between 8 and 12 feet bsg were combined into a single composite sample for TCLP benzene and a fish bioassay.

The composite soil sample contained a benzene concentration of 100 ug/L, which classified site soils as Class II waste. The 96-hour bioassay with flathead minnows yielded zero dead and a LC50 of greater than 500 mg/L.

Monitoring well MW-1 was within a few feet of the planned excavation limits. In discussions with Alameda County Public Works, it was decided that this well should be properly destroyed to prevent potential damage to the well. Monitoring well MW-5 was located just within the boundary where shoring was to be placed and was required to be properly abandoned. Accordingly, both wells were abandoned on December 27, 2006 by pressure grouting. Well boxes were removed during excavation activities.

February 2007, Soil Excavation, Groundwater Pumping, and Backfill: During February 2007, an interim remedial action was conducted at the subject site. Asphalt removal and shoring installation took place on February 7 and 8, 2007. On February 12 and 13, a total of 992.54 tons of soil were excavated and disposed of at *Forward Landfill* in Manteca, California. The excavation area was 29 feet wide, 70 feet long, and approximately 14 feet deep. Backfilling was conducted between February 14 and 16, 2007 and incorporated 717.35 tons of Tidewater sand compacted in place to 95% or better, 99.04 tons of drainrock at the deepest level of the



excavation, and 1050 pounds of Oxygen Releasing CompoundTM to enhance biodegradation of remaining petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater.

On February 12 and 13, 2007, approximately 15,000 gallons of groundwater with observed sheen were pumped from the open excavation pit and stored in 6,000 gallon tanks onsite. Prior to discharge to the sanitary sewer under a permit from EBMUD, groundwater was sediment and carbon-filtered, and discharged according to permit conditions.

This report documents the monitoring well installation completed in March 2007 on the eastern edge of the subject property following excavation activities.

4.0 MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION

The locations of the newly-installed monitoring wells are illustrated on Figure 2. The permit from the Alameda County Public Works Agency Water Resources Department for the well installations is presented as Attachment A; boring logs and California Department of Water Resources well completion reports are presented as Attachment B; well development field logs are presented as Attachment C; and well survey data are presented as Attachment D.

4.1 Scope of Work

In preparation for the remedial soil excavation conducted during February 2007, two onsite monitoring wells (MW-1 and MW-5) were abandoned on December 27, 2006. The former wells were located within or immediately adjacent to the soil excavation area. In a phone conversation with the ACDPW, it was decided that both wells would need to be properly abandoned because the proposed excavation would very likely damage or destroy both wells. Monitoring wells MW-7 and MW-8 were installed near the locations of former wells MW-5 and MW-1, respectively.

Project Personnel:	Project Geologist Nathan W. Smith conducted all fieldwork.
Permits:	Alameda County Public Works Agency- Water Resources Well Permit W2007-0273 and 0274 (Attachment A).
Drilling Co:	Gregg Drilling, C57# 485 165.
Drilling Dates:	March 9, 2007.
Number of Wells:	Two (2): monitoring wells MW-7 and MW-8.
Drilling Method:	Wells were bored by a hollow-stem auger drilling rig. Sampling was completed by the split-spoon sampling method.
Well Depth:	Both wells terminate at 20 feet bsg.
Sediment Lithology:	Sediments observed above 15 feet bsg in the boring for well MW-7 represent backfill material from the February 2007 remedial soil excavation. These are dark gray fine sands with approximately 5% silt. A lower unit, observed between 15 and 20 feet bsg in both wells MW-7 and MW-8, consists of tan to green fine silty sands. The upper unit in well MW-8 consists of medium brown fine silty sands (Attachment B).
Depth to Water:	During drilling, water was encountered at 15 feet bsg in well MW-7. Water was not observed during drilling in well MW-8.



- **Well Construction:** Wells MW-7 and MW-8 are screened with a 0.020 inch slotted screen from 10 to 20 ft bsg with a schedule 40 PVC blank from 0 to 10 ft bsg. Annular material consists of #2-12 clean sand pack from 9 to 20 ft bsg with a bentonite seal from 7 to 9 ft bsg. Wells were completed to the surface with neat cement grout.
- Well Development: All wells were developed on March 16, 2007 by the 'purge and surge' method.
- Well Survey: All wells were surveyed by Virgil Chavez Land Surveying (PLS #6323) on March 19, 2007.
- **Sample Technique:** Soil samples were collected at 5 foot intervals from 0 to 20 ft bsg by driving a split spoon sampler lined with brass sampling tubes into undisturbed sediments at the bottom of the boring. All collected soil samples were labeled, covered with Teflon liners, capped, and stored on ice until analysis.

Based on field observations, one soil sample was selected to be sent to Entech Analytical, a California State Certified Laboratory, under chain-ofcustody for analysis.

Laboratory Analysis: A soil sample from well MW-8 at 10 feet bsg was analyzed for TPHg by GC/MS, TPHd by EPA method 8015B(M), and BTEX by EPA method 8260B.

Soil Disposal: Soil cuttings from the installation of wells MW-7 and MW-8 and purged groundwater from well development were temporarily stored onsite pending removal and disposal by a certified transportation and disposal company.

4.2 Soil Sampling Results

One soil sample was submitted for laboratory analysis from the boring for well MW-8 at 10 feet bsg. Concentrations of all petroleum hydrocarbons were below laboratory detection limits. A soil sample was not taken from the boring for well MW-7 as this boring was located on the margin of the excavation area.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- The soil sample MW-8@10fbg did not contain petroleum hydrocarbons above laboratory reporting limits.
- TEC Accutite will advance approximately 4 additional off-site soil borings in order to complete off-site plume definition, as described in the TEC *Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan* dated February 16, 2006.
- TEC Accutite recommends the continuation of groundwater monitoring activities at this site, incorporating newly installed monitoring wells MW-7 and MW-8, in preparation for applying for site closure.



6.0 LIMITATIONS

Our services consist of professional opinions, conclusions and recommendations made today in accordance with generally accepted engineering principles and practices. This warranty is in lieu of all other warranties either expressed or implied. TEC Accutite's liability is limited to the dollar amount of the work performed.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide you with our services. If you have any questions, please call Marc Mullaney at (650) 616-1209.

Sincerely, TEC Accutite

Morgan A. Reed Project Geologist

Reviewed by:

dhe

Marc Mullaney, PG #7438 Project Manager





TABLE



Table 1Summary of Historical Soil Analytical ResultsFormer Olympian Service Station1435 Webster AvenueAlameda, California

Sample	Date	Depth	TPHg	TPHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	MTBE	Pb
Point					Concentrat	ions in part	ts per million (pp	om) (mg/kg)	
MW-1	6/12/1993	?	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-2	6/12/1993	?	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
MW-3	6/12/1993	?	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
B1	2/11/1999	7.5	0.65	<1.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.010	<0.005	<1.0
B2	2/11/1999	7.5	<0.5	<1.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.010	<0.005	2.0
B3	2/11/1999	6	<0.5	<1.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.010	<0.005	1.2
B4	2/11/1999	7.5	<0.5	<1.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.010	<0.005	1.2
	11/11/1000	0.5	-0 F	.1.0	-0.005	-0.00F	-0.00F	-0.010	-0.005	
N/N/ 5	11/11/1999	9.5	<0.5	<1.0 200	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.010	<0.005	
M\//-6	11/10/1999	9.5	<0.5	~1.0	-0.005	<0.005	~0.005	~0.010	<0.005	
	11/10/1000	0	<0.0	<1.0	<0.000	<0.000	<0.000	<0.010	<0.000	
B1	6/27/2001	9	<0.5		<0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.01	<0.005	
B2	6/27/2001	9	<0.5		<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01	<0.005	
B3	6/27/2001	9	<0.5		<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01	<0.005	
B4	6/27/2001	9	<0.5		<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01	<0.005	
SP-1	6/12/2006	7.5	1600**	9.5 ^a	0.44	5	38	190	<4	
SP-1	6/12/2006	10	1,530	12 ^a	3.5	23	28	150	<4	
SP-2	6/12/2006	7	586***	8.8 ^a	0.033	<1	3.1	13	<2	
SP-2	6/12/2006	10	360***	8.8 ^a	0.4	0.58 ^J	4.9	23	<2	
SP-3	6/12/2006	8	114***	2.4 ^a	<1	2.2	1.7 ^J	9.4	<2	
SP-3	6/12/2006	10	96.3***	5.5 ^a	0.46	1.4 ^J	1.2 ^J	7	<2	
SP-4	6/12/2006	4	0.0308	<2	<0.01	0.01	0.01	0.051	<0.01	
SP-4	6/12/2006	7.5	1,240	29 ^a	0.72	2	12	61	<4	
SP-4	6/12/2006	10	1,410	150 ^a	6.30	45	18	93	<4	
SP-5	6/12/2006	7	758**	42 ^a	0.24	1.7 ^J	4	35	<4	
SP-5	6/12/2006	10	1,100**	68 ^a	0.39	16	23	140	<4	
SP-6	6/12/2006	7	5.83***	64 ^a	0.019 ^J	0.037	0.48	0.71	<0.025	
SP-6	6/12/2006	10	2.78***	3.8 ^a	<0.02	0.0066	0.027	0.053	<0.02	
SP-7	6/12/2006	7.5	1,100***	200 ^a	0.032	0.027	0.066	0.29	<0.02	
SP-7	6/12/2006	10	328***	8.5 ^a	0.019 ^J	2.1 ^J	3.3 ^J	18	<4	
SP-8	6/12/2006	7	3,430	270 ^a	0.21	4.8 ^J	40	160	<20	
SP-8	6/12/2006	10	1,350	160 ^a	<10	20	31	160	<20	
CB-2	11/15/2006	6	<0.5	<2.5*	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	
CB-2	11/15/2006	10	8,800	<120*	<20	190	92	490	<100	
CB-4	11/15/2006	8	< 0.5	<2.5	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.05	
CB-4	11/15/2006	12	2,100	<120*	<5.0	14	21	52	<25	

Table 1Summary of Historical Soil Analytical ResultsFormer Olympian Service Station1435 Webster AvenueAlameda, California

Sample	Date	Depth	TPHg	TPHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	MTBE	Pb
Point			5		Concentrat	ions in part	s per million (pp	om) (mg/kg)	-	
CB-5	11/15/2006	8	<0.5	<2.5	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.05	
CB-5	11/15/2006	12	0.7	<2.5*	<0.01	<0.01	0.013	0.067	<0.05	
CB-6	11/15/2006	8	<0.5	<2.5	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	
CB-6	11/15/2006	12	8,000	<12*	57	190	94	500	<50	
CB-7	11/15/2006	12								11
CB-8	11/15/2006	8	<0.5	<2.5	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	
CB-8	11/15/2006	10	1,800	<5.0*	<5.0	<5.0	26	150	<25	4.8
CB-9	11/15/2006	8	<0.5	<2.5	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	
CB-9	11/15/2006	10	<0.5	<2.5	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	
CB-10	11/15/2006	8	2.2	<2.5*	<0.01	<0.01	0.012	<0.01	< 0.05	
CB-10	11/15/2006	12	2,800	<12*	<10	34	45	200	<50	
CB-11	11/15/2006	8	0.53	<2.5	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	
CB-11	11/15/2006	12	300	<62*	<2.0	3.8	4.8	25	<10	
CB-12	11/15/2006	8	<0.5	<2.5	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	
CB-12	11/15/2006	12	<0.50	<2.5	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	
CB-14	11/15/2006	8	<0.5	<2.5	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	
CB-14	11/15/2006	12	1.0	<2.5	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	
CB-16	11/15/2006	8	<0.5	<2.5	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	
CB-17	11/15/2006	8	<0.5	<2.5	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	
CB-17	11/15/2006	12	10,000	<50*	<20	170	120	640	<100	
MW-8	3/9/2007	10	<0.1	<2.5	<.005	<.005	<.005	<.010	<.005	
ESLs:			100	100	0.044	2.9	3.3	2.3	0.023	150
Notes:										i
= Not A	nalyzed	? = Depth ι	unknown							
ND = No [Detection at or	above labo	pratory repor	ting limits						
TPHg = T	otal petroleum	hydrocarb	ons as gasol	ine, EPA I	Method 8015.					
TPHd = Tetra	otal petroleum	hydrocarb	ons as diese	I, EPA Me	thod 8015.					
Benzene,	Ethylbenzene	, Toluene, 2	Xylenes, EP/	A Method	8020.					
MTBE = N	lethyl tert-buty	∕I ether, EP	A Method 80)20						
Pb = Leac	I, Method 742	0								
* No diese	el pattern prese	ent.								
** Hydroca	arbons respon	ded in gase	oline range, ł	out pattern	n does not ma	tch typical g	asoline (possibly	aged gasoli	ne).	
*** Hydroc	arbons respor	ided in gase	oline range,	but patterr	n does not ma	tch typical g	gasoline (heavy e	nd).		
^a Sample	chromatogram	n does not r	esemble typ	ical diesel	pattern. Unid	entified light	ter end hydrocarb	ons within th	he diesel rar	nge
quantitated	d as diesel.									

^j Value should be considered estimated.

FIGURES







S:\1 Environmental. Dept/Active Sites(Olympian)1435 Webster/FIGURES\Well Install\WI 1435 webster 0407.dwg, 4/19/2007 2:42:31 PM



ATTACHMENT A:

PERMIT



Alameda County Public Works Agency - Water Resources Well Permit

and the	and a second
	PUBLIC
Sectores .	WORKS

399 Elmhurst Street Hayward, CA 94544-1395 Telephone: (510)670-6633 Fax:(510)782-1939

Application Approve	d on: 03/05/2007 By jamesy	Permit Numbers: W2007-0273 to W2007-0274 Permits Valid from 03/12/2007 to 03/12/2007				
Application Id: Site Location:	1172712524164 1435 Webster St.	City of Project Site: Alameda				
Project Start Date:	03/12/2007	Completion Date:03/12/2007				
Applicant:	TEC Accutite - Marc Mullaney	Phone: 650-616-1209				
Property Owner:	_ Geoffrey A. Farrar and George P. Harrison	Phone:				
Client:	Trust P.O. Box 1701, Chico, CA 95927 _ Olympian JV 1300 Industrial Ave., Suite 2, San Carlos, CA §	Phone: 94070				
	Receipt Number: WR2007-0108 Payer Name : Eddy A Tabet	Total Due:\$600.00Total Amount Paid:\$600.00Paid By: VISAPAID IN FULL				

Works Requesting Permits:

Well Construction-Monitoring-Monitoring - 2 Wells Driller: Gregg Drilling and Testing - Lic #: 485165 - Method: hstem

Specifications

Permit #	Issued Date	Expire Date	Owner Well	Hole Diam.	Casing	Seal Depth	Max. Depth
			ld		Diam.		
W2007- 0273	03/05/2007	06/10/2007	MW-7	8.00 in.	2.00 in.	8.00 ft	20.00 ft
W2007-	03/05/2007	06/10/2007	MW-8	8.00 in.	2.00 in.	8.00 ft	20.00 ft

Specific Work Permit Conditions

1. Permittee shall assume entire responsibility for all activities and uses under this permit and shall indemnify, defend and save the Alameda County Public Works Agency, its officers, agents, and employees free and harmless from any and all expense, cost, liability in connection with or resulting from the exercise of this Permit including, but not limited to, properly damage, personal injury and wrongful death.

2. Permitte, permittee's contractors, consultants or agents shall be responsible to assure that all material or waters generated during drilling, boring destruction, and/or other activities associated with this Permit will be safely handled, properly managed, and disposed of according to all applicable federal, state, and local statutes regulating such. In no case shall these materials and/or waters be allowed to enter, or potentially enter, on or off-site storm sewers, dry wells, or waterways or be allowed to move off the property where work is being completed.

3. Prior to any drilling activities, it shall be the applicant's responsibility to contact and coordinate an Underground Service Alert (USA), obtain encroachment permit(s), excavation permit(s) or any other permits or agreements required for that Federal, State, County or City, and follow all City or County Ordinances. No work shall begin until all the permits and requirements have been approved or obtained. It shall also be the applicants responsibilities to provide to the Cities or to Alameda County an Traffic Safety Plan for any lane closures or detours planned. No work shall begin until all the permits and requirements have been approved or obtained.

4. Compliance with the well-sealing specifications shall not exempt the well-sealing contractor from complying with

Work Total: \$600.00

Alameda County Public Works Agency - Water Resources Well Permit

appropriate State reporting-requirements related to well destruction (Sections 13750 through 13755 (Division 7, Chapter 10, Article 3) of the California Water Code). Contractor must complete State DWR Form 188 and mail original to the Alameda County Public Works Agency, Water Resources Section, within 60 days. Including permit number and site map.

5. Applicant shall contact Vicky Hamlin for an inspection time at 510-670-5443 at least five (5) working days prior to starting, once the permit has been approved. Confirm the scheduled date(s) at least 24 hours prior to drilling.

6. Wells shall have a Christy box or similar structure with a locking cap or cover. Well(s) shall be kept locked at all times. Well(s) that become damaged by traffic or construction shall be repaired in a timely manner or destroyed immediately (through permit process). No well(s) shall be left in a manner to act as a conduit at any time.

7. Minimum surface seal thickness is two inches of cement grout placed by tremie

8. Minimum seal (Neat Cement seal) depth for monitoring wells is 5 feet below ground surface(BGS) or the maximum depth practicable or 20 feet.

9. Copy of approved drilling permit must be on site at all times. Failure to present or show proof of the approved permit application on site shall result in a fine of \$500.00.

ATTACHMENT B:

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES WELL COMPLETION REPORTS AND BORING LOGS





Technology, Engineering & Construction, Inc.

262 Michelle Court • So. San Francisco, CA 94080-6201 • Contractor's Lic. #762034 Tel: (650) 616-1200 • Fax: (650) 616-1244 • www.tecaccutite.com

March 30, 2007

Department of Water Resources Central District 3251 S Street Sacramento, California 95816

SUBJECT: WELL COMPLETION REPORTS FOR NEW WELLS

SITE: FORMER OLYMPIAN SERVICE STATION 1435 Webster Street Alameda, California 94501

To Whom It May Concern:

TEC Accutite is submitting the attached Well Completion Reports for new wells MW-7 (e052311) and MW-8 (e052310) located at 1435 Webster Street, Alameda, California.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me at (650) 616-1209 or mmullaney@tecaccutite.com.

Sincerely,

Marc Mullaney, PG #7438 Project Manager



CONFIDENTIAL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DWR WELL COMPLETION REPORT (WELL LOGS)

REMOVED

-		~~							N	IONITORING WELL	
IE	CA		UIIIE				Wel	I Log		MW-7	
CLIENT LOCATI DRILLIN DRILLIN WELL D GEOLO PE/RG: DATE S	: ON: IG CO IG ME IAME ⁻ GIST:	MPA THC FER	Olympian 1435 Wel ANY: <u>Greeg Dr</u> DD: <u>Hollow-S</u> : <u>4 inches</u> <u>N.W.Smi</u> <u>M.Mullan</u> 03/09/2007 D/	n Oil oster, A illing tem Au th ney PG	<u>Alameda</u> 1 <u>ger</u> #7438	ETED	• 03/09/2007	TOTAL DEPTH: WELL DEVELOPMENT SURFACE ELEVATION WELL CASING ELEVA SCREENED INTERVA FIRST ENCOUNTERE STATIC WATER LEVE SAMPLING METHOD:	T DATE: N ITION: L: D WATER L:	20 ft bsg 03/16/2007 19.33 ft msl 18.93 ft msl 10-20 ft bsg 15 ft bsg 7.90 ft bsg (3/29/2007) split-spoon	
ELEVATION (ft msl)	DEPTH (ft bgs)	VIEWED INT.	SAMPLE ID	WATER LEVEL	MOISTURE	PID (mqq) DIA	LITHOLC (Field obse	OGIC DESCRIPTION ervation unless noted)	LITHOLOGIC SYMBOL	WELL CONSTRUC	ΓΙΟΝ
L	_ 0							-14			Vault Box
-	-						ASPHAL I: Asph SAND (SP): dark (5%), loose, dry, 1	gray, fine sand (95%), silt no hydrocarbon odor.			Cement
15 	- 				Dry	2.3					40 Sch. PVC Casing
- 10 	- - 10 -			*		27.9					Bentonite Seal #2/12 Sand Pack
- 	- - 15 -			\bigtriangledown	Wet	0.6	SILTY SAND (SI (10%), clay (5%), odor.	M): tan, fine sand (85%), silt loose, wet, no hydrocarbon			0.02 Slot Screen
- 0 -	- 					1.0	END OF BORING	3			

CONFIDENTIAL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DWR WELL COMPLETION REPORT (WELL LOGS)

REMOVED

		~~						N	ONITORING WELL		
IE	CA		UIIIE				Well Log		MW-8		
CLIENT	:		<u>Olympiar</u>	<u>ı Oil</u>			TOTAL DEPTH:		<u>20 ft bsg</u>		
LOCATI	ION:		<u>1435 Web</u>	oster, A	lameda	l	WELL DEVELOPMEN	DATE:	03/16/2007		
DRILLIN	IG CO	MPA	ANY: <u>Gregg Dr</u>	illing			SURFACE ELEVATION	١	<u>19.77 ft msl</u>		
DRILLIN	IG ME	THC	D: Hollow-S	tem Au	iger	WELL CASING ELEVATION: <u>19.33 ft msl</u>					
WELL D	DIAMET	ER	4 inches				SCREENED INTERVA	L:	<u>10-20 ft bsg</u>		
GEOLO	GIST:		N.W.Smit	<u>th</u>		FIRST ENCOUNTERED WATER 15 ft bsg					
PE/RG:			M.Mullar	iey PG	<u>#7438</u>		STATIC WATER LEVE	L:	8.40 ft bsg (3/29/2007)		
DATE S	TARTE	Đ:	<u>03/09/2007</u> DA	TE C	OMPL	ETED	03/09/2007 SAMPLING METHOD:		<u>split-spoon</u>		
ELEVATION (ft msl)	DEPTH (ft bgs)	VIEWED INT.	SAMPLE ID	WATER LEVEL	MOISTURE	PID (ppm)	LITHOLOGIC DESCRIPTION (Field observation unless noted)	LITHOLOGIC SYMBOL	WELL CONSTRUC	ΓΙΟΝ	
I	0								<u></u>	Vault Box	
_							ASPHALT: Asphalt	/ <mark>:: :: :</mark>		Vault DOX	
-	-						SILTY SAND (SM): medium brown, fine sand (85%), silt (10%), clay (5%), loose, dry, no hydrocarbon odor.			Cement	
- 15	5					32.2				40 Sch. PVC Casing	
- 10				¥						Bentonite Seal	
-			MW-8 @10fbg		Moist	1298	SILTY SAND (SM): medium brown, fine sand (85%), silt (10%), clay (5%), loose, moist, moderate hydrocarbon odor.			#2/12 Sand Pack	
	- 15			\bigtriangledown	Wet	12 1				0.02 Slot Screen	
-	-				Wei	12.1	SILTY SAND (SM): green, fine sand (85%), silt (10%), clay (5%), loose, wet, moderate hydrocarbon odor.	t			
0	20				Wet	84.5	(10%), clay (5%), loose, wet, slight hydrocarbor odor. END OF BORING				

ATTACHMENT C:

WELL DEVELOPMENT FIELD LOGS



TEC Accutite Well Development Data Sheet

Client Name:	Manager:	Well ID:
Olympian	Marc Mullaney	AW-SHU MW-7
Ung mp recit	Date: 2/16/07	Well Diameter: (//)
Site Address:		/
1435 Webster	Method: SUPOP & PUPOP	Technician:
Ruging Equipment:		
Puging Equipment. SUB	None	If Free Product, thickness:
Depth to Water (DTW):	Total Well Depth (DTB):	DTB-DTW =
pre-development = 7.6	pre-development = 19.2	11.59
post-development = 1.3^{*}	post-development = $/9.85$	
1 Case Volume (gal) = 7.53	x 10 Case Vol. (gal) = 75.33	Dewater ?: NO

Well Diameter	Volume/ft
2"	0.17
4"	0.65
6"	1.47

Field Measurements

Time (2400 <u>hr)</u>	Temp. (celsius)	pH (units)	Conductivity (µmhos/cm)	Turbidity (NTUs)	Color (visual)	Amount Purged (gal.)	Comments	
1125							Startor	Surging (~15min)
1140					1		Stoppe	Surging
1145	18.2	5.65	137.8	High	drk Brn	7.53	/ /	
1/51	18.3	6.24	292	<u>//</u>	11	5.06		
1/53	18.4	6.34	318	1/	light istown	ph59		
1156	18.8	6.49	337		11	b9. 1 2		
1159	19.0	6.52	335-	11	11	36.65		
1207	19.2	6.49	333	MOD	American	44.1 8		
1215	19.0	6.49	324	low	Clear	51.71		
				_			- 	
			_					

* = Rising Rapidly

TEC Accutite Well Development Data Sheet

Client Name:	Manager: Marc Mullaney	MW- 8
Site Address:	Date: 3/16/07	Well Diameter: 4/11
1435 webster	Method: Surge & Purge	Technician: ANHONV
Puging Equipment: SUB . Pump	Odor: Slight Odor	If Free Product, thickness:
Depth to Water (DTW):	Total Well Depth (DTB):	DTB-DTW =
pre-development = $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{G}}/\mathfrak{P}$	pre-development = 1907	11.51
post-development = $\langle f \rangle$	post-development = 19.29	
1 Case Volume (gal) = $7_0 4/8$	x 10 Case Vol. (gal) = 74,8/	Dewater ?: Yes @ 1252

Well Diameter	Volume/ft
2"	0.17
4"	0.65
6"	1.47

Field Measurements

Time (2400 <u>hr)</u>	Temp. (celsius)	pH (units)	Conductivity (µmhos/cm)	Tu r bidity (NTUs)	Color (visual)	Amount Purged (gal.)	Co	omments
1225							Storat .	Surging
1235			\mathbf{Y}				Stopped	Surging
1240	18.9	296	6,93	High	drigen	7.48		
1246	18.8	6.74	169.9	11	11	14.96		
iasa		<u> </u>				22.444	An Well	went Dry
						(
				_				
•								

ATTACHMENT D:

WELL SURVEY DATA



Virgil Chavez Land Surveying

721 Tuolumne Street Vallejo, California 94590 (707) 553-2476 • Fax (707) 553-8698 March 30, 2007 Project No.: 2114-03A Revised

Marc Mullaney TEC Accutite 262 Michelle Court South San Francisco, CA 94080

Subject: Monitoring Well Survey 1435 Webster Street Alameda, CA

Dear Marc:

This is to confirm that we have proceeded at your request to survey the ground water monitoring wells located at the above referenced location. The survey was completed on March 19, 2007. The benchmark for this survey was a USC&GS benchmark in catch basin top east side of Park and approximately 100 feet north of centerline of Otis Dr. The latitude, longitude and coordinates are for top of casings and are based on the California State Coordinate System, Zone III (NAD83). Benchmark Elevation = 8.14 feet (NGVD 29).

<u>Latitude</u>	Longitude	<u>Northing</u>	Easting	<u>Elev.</u>	Desc.
	-	-	-	20.59	RIM MW-6
37.7727476	-122.2771778	2108713.83	6048039.51	20.27	TOC MW-6
				19.33	RIM MW-7
37.7727224	-122.2769964	2108703.65	6048091.76	18.93	TOC MW-7
				19.77	RIM MW-8
37.7725779	-122.2769925	2108651.02	6048091.90	19.33	TOC MW-8



Sincerely, Virgil D. Chavez, PLS 6323

ATTACHMENT E:

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT AND CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY DOCUMENTATION



3334 Victor Court , Santa Clara, CA 95054

Phone: (408) 588-0200

0 Fax: (408) 588-0201

Nate Smith TEC Accutite 262 Michelle Court South San Francisco, CA 94080 Lab Certificate Number: 54377 Issued: 03/19/2007

P.O. Number: 12900 Global ID: T0600100766

Project Name: 1435 Webster Project Location: Alameda, CA

Certificate of Analysis-Revision

Note: This is a revision of the original 03-19-07 issue to correct sample ID per client request.

On March 12, 2007, a sample was received under chain of custody for analysis. Entech analyzes samples "as received" unless otherwise noted. The following results are included:

Matrix Test / Comments

Solid TPH-Extractable with SGCU: EPA 3630C / EPA 8015B(M) TPH-Purgeable: GC/MS VOCs: EPA 8260B

Entech Analytical Labs, Inc. is certified for environmental analyses by the State of California (#2346). If you have any questions regarding this report, please call us at 408-588-0200 ext. 225.

Sincerely,

C. L. Thom

C. L. Thom Laboratory Director

3334 Victor Court , Santa Clara, CA 95054

TEC Accutite 262 Michelle Court South San Francisco, CA 94080 Attn: Nate Smith

Certificate of Analysis - Data Report

Phone: (408) 588-0200

Matrix: Solid

Fax: (408) 588-0201

Sample Date: 3/9/2007

Project Name: 1435 Webster Project Location: Alameda, CA GlobalID: T0600100766 P.O. Number: 12900 Samples Received: 03/12/2007 Sample Collected by: Client

Lab #: 54377-001	Sample ID:	MW-8@10 fbg
------------------	------------	-------------

VOCs: EPA 8260B									
Parameter	Result	Qual	D/P-F	Detection Limit	Units	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analysis Date	QC Batch
Benzene	ND		1.0	5.0	µg/Kg	N/A	N/A	3/13/2007	SM3E070313E
Toluene	ND		1.0	5.0	µg/Kg	N/A	N/A	3/13/2007	SM3E070313E
Ethyl Benzene	ND		1.0	5.0	µg/Kg	N/A	N/A	3/13/2007	SM3E070313E
Xylenes, Total	ND		1.0	10	µg/Kg	N/A	N/A	3/13/2007	SM3E070313E
Methyl-t-butyl Ether	ND		1.0	5.0	µg/Kg	N/A	N/A	3/13/2007	SM3E070313E
Surrogate	Surrogate Recover	у	Control 1	Limits (%)			Analyzed by: Mfelix		
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97.0		60 -	- 130				Reviewed by: Eric	Kum
Dibromofluoromethane	91.2		60 -	- 130					
Toluene-d8	99.8		60 -	- 130					
TPH-Purgeable: GC/MS									
Parameter	Result	Qual	D/P-F	Detection Limit	Units	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analysis Date	QC Batch
TPH as Gasoline	ND		1.0	100	µg/Kg	N/A	N/A	3/13/2007	SM3E070313E
Surrogate	Surrogate Recover	у	Control 1	Limits (%)				Analyzed by: Mfel	ix
4-Bromofluorobenzene	106		60 -	- 130				Reviewed by: Eric	Kum
Dibromofluoromethane	98.6		60 -	- 130					
Toluene-d8	105		60 -	- 130					
TPH-Extractable with SGO	CU: EPA 3630C / EPA	A 8015B	5(M)						

Parameter	Result (Qual D/P-F	Detection Limit	Units	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analysis Date	QC Batch
TPH as Diesel	ND	0.99	2.5	mg/Kg	3/13/2007	SD070313AS	3/15/2007	SD070313AS
Surrogate	Surrogate Recovery	Control	Limits (%)				Analyzed by: NBoc	calan
o-Terphenyl	55.7	28	- 129				Reviewed by: jhsian	ng

3334 Victor Court , Santa Clara, CA 95054 Phone: (408) 588-0200 Fax: (408) 588-0201

Method Blank - Solid - TPH-Extractable with SGCU: EPA 3630C / EPA 8015B(M) QC/Prep Batch ID: SD070313AS QC/Prep Date: 3/13/2007

Parameter			Result	DF	PQLR	Units
TPH as Diesel			ND	1	2.5	mg/Kg
Surrogate for Blank	% Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	42.3	28 - 129				

Validated by: jhsiang - 03/15/07

3334 Victor Court , Santa Clara, CA 95054

Method Blank - Solid - VOCs: EPA 8260B

QC Batch ID: SM3E070313E

QC Batch Analysis Date: 3/13/2007

Parameter			Result	DF	PQLR	Units
Benzene			ND	1	5.0	µg/Kg
Ethyl Benzene			ND	1	5.0	µg/Kg
Methyl-t-butyl Ether			ND	1	5.0	µg/Kg
Toluene			ND	1	5.0	µg/Kg
Xylenes, Total			ND	1	10	µg/Kg
Surrogate for Blank	% Recovery	Control Limits				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	87.4	60 - 130				
Dibromofluoromethane	88.3	60 - 130				
Toluene-d8	101	60 - 130				

Method Blank - Solid - TPH-Purgeable: GC/MS QC Batch ID: SM3E070313E

QC Batch Analysis Date: 3/13/2007

Parameter			Result	DF	PQLR	Units
TPH as Gasoline			ND	1	100	µg/Kg
Surrogate for Blank	% Recovery	Control Limits				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	96.7	60 - 130				
Dibromofluoromethane	96.6	60 - 130				
Toluene-d8	108	60 - 130				

Validated by: EricKum - 03/14/07

Validated by: EricKum - 03/14/07

Phone: (408) 588-0200 Fax: (408) 588-0201

3334 Victor Court, Santa Clara, CA 95054

LCS / LCSD - Solid - TPH-Extractable with SGCU: EPA 3630C / EPA 8015B(M) QC Batch ID: SD070313AS QC/Prep Date: 3/13/2007 LCS Parameter Method Blank Spike Amt SpikeResult Units % Recovery **Recovery Limits** TPH as Diesel <2.5 50 26.3 45 - 140 mg/Kg 52.6 TPH as Motor Oil <10 50 25.3 mg/Kg 50.7 45 - 140 Surrogate % Recovery **Control Limits** o-Terphenyl 47.7 28 - 129 LCSD Parameter Method Blank Spike Amt SpikeResult Units % Recovery RPD **RPD Limits** Recovery Limits **TPH** as Diesel <2.5 50 27.2 3.3 30.0 45 - 140 mg/Kg 54.4 50 28.7 30.0 45 - 140 TPH as Motor Oil <10 mg/Kg 57.4 13

Phone: (408) 588-0200

Control Limits Surrogate % Recovery o-Terphenyl 52.9 28 - 129

Fax: (408) 588-0201

Reviewed by: jhsiang - 03/15/07

3334 Victor Cou	rt , Santa Cl	ara, CA	95054	Phone	: (408) 588	3-020	0 Fax:	(408) 588-0201
LCS / LCSD - Solid QC Batch ID: SM3I	d - VOCs: El E070313E	PA 8260B					Reviewed	by: EricKum - 03/14/07
QC Batch ID Analys	Sis Date: 3/13/	2007						
LCS Parameter	Method Blank	Spike Amt	SpikeResult	Units	% Recovery			Recovery Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene	<5.0	40	30.3	µq/Kq	75.8			70 - 135
Benzene	<5.0	40	37.6	μg/Kg	94.0			70 - 135
Chlorobenzene	<5.0	40	35.4	µg/Kg	88.5			70 - 135
Methyl-t-butyl Ether	<5.0	40	40.3	µg/Kg	101			70 - 135
Toluene	<5.0	40	38.2	µg/Kg	95.5			70 - 135
Trichloroethene	<5.0	40	36.3	µg/Kg	90.8			70 - 135
Surrogate	% Recovery C	ontrol Limits						
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101.0	50 - 130						
Dibromofluoromethane	91.0	50 - 130						
Toluene-d8	104.0	60 - 130						
LCSD								
Parameter	Method Blank	Spike Amt	SpikeResult	Units	% Recovery	RPD	RPD Limits	Recovery Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene	<5.0	40	37.6	µg/Kg	94.0	22	30.0	70 - 135
Benzene	<5.0	40	43.8	µg/Kg	110	15	30.0	70 - 135
Chlorobenzene	<5.0	40	40.4	µg/Kg	101	13	30.0	70 - 135
Methyl-t-butyl Ether	<5.0	40	38.0	µg/Kg	95.0	5.9	30.0	70 - 135
Toluene	<5.0	40	43.1	µg/Kg	108	12	30.0	70 - 135
Trichloroethene	<5.0	40	40.8	µg/Kg	102	12	30.0	70 - 135
Surrogate	% Recovery C	ontrol Limits						
4-Bromofluorobenzene	103.0	60 - 130						
Dibromofluoromethane	96.7	50 - 130						
Toluene-d8	98.1	50 - 130						
LCS/LCSD - Solid	d - TPH-Purg	geable: GC	:/MS					
QC Batch ID: SM3	E070313E	-					Reviewed	by: EricKum - 03/14/07
QC Batch ID Analys	sis Date: 3/13/	2007						
1.09								
LCS Parameter	Method Blank	Snike Amt	SnikeResult	Units	% Recovery			Recovery Limits
TPH as Gasoline	<100	250	201	ua/ka	80.4			70 - 130
Surrogato	% Pocovory	ontrol Limite	_0.	P9/119	0011			
4-Bromofluorobenzene	95.3	50 - 130						
Dibromofluoromethane	92.2	50 - 130						
Toluene-d8	104.0	50 - 130						
LCOD Parameter	Method Blank	Spike Amt	SnikeResult	Unite	% Recovery	RPD	RPD imite	Recovery Limits
TPH as Gasoline	<100	250	254	ua/ka	102	23	30.0	70 - 130
Surrogate	% Recovery	ontrol Limite	·	6.1.9				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	100.0	50 - 130						
Dibromofluoromethane	101.0	50 - 130						
Toluene-d8	103.0	60 - 130						

(400) 500 0000

Entech / 3334 Victor Cou		cal I 8) 588-0	_ab	s, Ir	IC	. C l	ha	in	of	C	us	to	dy		\n	aly	/si	s I	Re	qu	es	t			
Santa Clara, CA	95054 (408	3) 588-0	201 - F	ax			ELA	AP No	o. 23	46															
Attention to: Now Smith (050X014-1250					Purcha	Purchase Order No.: 17,600			Invoice to: (If Different) Phone:																
Company Name: T.E.C. ACCUDIE (050)0(10-1200				Project	Project No. / Name:			Compa	ny:																
Mailing Address: Email Address: NSm. hofeco				antion of AMEDA				Billing Address: (If Different)																	
City CT State: Zip Code:				Project Location:				City: State: Zio:																	
Entech Order ID:						7			\leftarrow		7-	,	7	7	7	.		7		, I					
EDF Global ID:	4377	- Q Sa Q 2 C Q 4 C	me Day Day Day Qi 10 t	1 Da 3 Da A 5 Da	ay ay ay		(Appli	Circle cable						Pair Oranico	56 - 2006 - Shr	Potor Oil Ork	4 8015.	81200							/
Sampler <u>Mus</u>	ple Information	1 		_		ntainers		3	in the second		/	/	e Neuro				44 ×	/	/	/	/	/	econ.	9/ 	
Client ID	Field Point	Date	Time	Entech Lab. No.	Matrix	No. of Cor	EN COL	In the second	N A A	/	/	100 CO	Person in the second		A COLORED	and the second s	/	/	/	/	/	Merone .		Rema Instruct	rks tions
MW-8@ 10.fb		3/9/07		- 201	5	1		×						メ				_							
				<u> </u>		 		<u> </u>	ļ	1		ļ				<u> </u>					L				
			<u> </u>						<u> </u>		-						ļ		ļ						
				<u> </u>	\vdash				<u> </u>							<u> </u>			<u> </u>		1				
					+				<u> </u>						<u> </u>				<u> </u>						
······								-	<u> </u>	┼										<u> </u>					
				1	-					-															
																					<u> </u>				
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		L	 	ļ	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			L	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	-		_	
Relinquished by:	Received by:		12 10 10 1	Time:	3 3	Labl	Jse:		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	[l			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
Rélinquished by:	Received by:	Dime	3 12 0	Time:	40																				
Relinquished by:	Received by:		Date:	Time:		Meta	ls:	Al, As	, Sb, B Platir	Ba, Be, I ng	Bi, 8, 0	d, Ca, C LUFT	Cr, Co, -5	Cu, Fe	, Pb, Li	, Mg, N RCRA	4n, Hg, 1-8	Mo, Ni	, K,Si,	Ag, Na PPM-	, Se, Ti 13_	, Sn, Ti	, Zn, V	CAM-1	7
Lab Use:	//N Ter tainers/Preservat	mperature ives: Y/I	∷ N		Shij Cus	pment stody !	Meth Seals?	iod: _ Y/N						lf an	y N's	, Exp	lain:								
Labels match Co	C <u>? Y/N</u>	Headspa	ace? Y/	N	Sep	erate	Recei	pt Lo	g Y/I	N															

L

ATTACHMENT F:

GEOTRACKER SUBMISSION CONFIRMATIONS



Your	EDF file has been succe	ssfully uploaded!	
Confirmati	on Numbon 774107852	0	
	on number: 774127852		
Date/Time o	of Submittal: 4/1//2007 2	2:43:03 PM	
Facilit	y Global ID: T06001007	66	
Fa	cility Name: OLYMPIA	N #112	
Sub	mittal Title: Monitoring	Well Installation	Report
Sub	mittal Type: Soil & Wat	er Investigation R	eport
Click <u>here</u>	to view the detections I	report for this upl	oad.
OLYMPIAN #112 1435 WEBSTER ALAMEDA, CA 94501	<u>Regional Board - C</u> SAN FRANCISCO <u>Local Agency (lead</u> ALAMEDA COUN	Case #: 01-0832 BAY RWQCB (RE (agency) - Case #: 1 TY LOP - (SP)	GION 2) RO0000193
CONF # TITL	E		QUARTER
7741278529 Mon	itoring Well Installation Re	eport	Q1 2007
<u>SUBMITTED BY</u> Nicholas Haddad	<u>SUBMIT DATE</u> 4/17/2007	<u>STATUS</u> PENDING RE	VIEW
# FIELD POINTS SAMPLE	D		1
# FIELD POINTS WITH D	ETECTIONS		C
# FIELD POINTS WITH W	ATER SAMPLE DETECTION	S ABOVE MCL	C
SAMPLE MATRIX TYPES			SOIL
METHOD QA/QC RE	PORT	8260TPH	CATEH SW8260F
METHODS LISED	ANALYTES?	0200111	, o, (111, o (102002) N
METHODS USED TESTED FOR REQUIRED A			
METHODS USED TESTED FOR REQUIRED / MISSING PARAMETERS	S NOT TESTED:		
METHODS USED TESTED FOR REQUIRED / MISSING PARAMETERS - SW8260B REQUIRES	S NOT TESTED: EDB TO BE TESTED		
METHODS USED TESTED FOR REQUIRED / MISSING PARAMETERS - SW8260B REQUIRES LAB NOTE DATA QUALIFI	S NOT TESTED: EDB TO BE TESTED ERS		Ν
METHODS USED TESTED FOR REQUIRED / MISSING PARAMETERS - SW8260B REQUIRES LAB NOTE DATA QUALIFI OA/OC FOR 8021/8	S NOT TESTED: EDB TO BE TESTED ERS B260 SERIES SAMPLI	<u>ES</u>	Ν
METHODS USED TESTED FOR REQUIRED / MISSING PARAMETERS - SW8260B REQUIRES LAB NOTE DATA QUALIFI OA/QC FOR 8021/8 TECHNICAL HOLDING TIM	S NOT TESTED: EDB TO BE TESTED ERS B260 SERIES SAMPLI ME VIOLATIONS	<u>ES</u>	N
METHODS USED TESTED FOR REQUIRED / MISSING PARAMETERS - SW8260B REQUIRES LAB NOTE DATA QUALIFI OA/OC FOR 8021/8 TECHNICAL HOLDING TIM METHOD HOLDING TIME	S NOT TESTED: EDB TO BE TESTED ERS 3260 SERIES SAMPLI ME VIOLATIONS VIOLATIONS ABOVE REPORTING DETER	ES	N (((
METHODS USED TESTED FOR REQUIRED / MISSING PARAMETERS - SW8260B REQUIRES LAB NOTE DATA QUALIFI OA/QC FOR 8021/E TECHNICAL HOLDING TIME LAB BLANK DETECTIONS LAB BLANK DETECTIONS	S NOT TESTED: EDB TO BE TESTED ERS B260 SERIES SAMPLI ME VIOLATIONS VIOLATIONS ABOVE REPORTING DETEC	E <u>S</u> CTION LIMIT	N ((((((((())))))))))))))))))))
METHODS USED TESTED FOR REQUIRED / MISSING PARAMETERS - SW8260B REQUIRES LAB NOTE DATA QUALIFI OA/OC FOR 8021/8 TECHNICAL HOLDING TIME LAB BLANK DETECTIONS LAB BLANK DETECTIONS DO ALL BATCHES WITH T	S NOT TESTED: EDB TO BE TESTED ERS B260 SERIES SAMPLI ME VIOLATIONS VIOLATIONS ABOVE REPORTING DETECTIVE THE 8021/8260 SERIES INC	E S CTION LIMIT CLUDE THE FOLLOV	N C C C C WING?
METHODS USED TESTED FOR REQUIRED / MISSING PARAMETERS - SW8260B REQUIRES LAB NOTE DATA QUALIFI OA/QC FOR 8021/8 TECHNICAL HOLDING TIM METHOD HOLDING TIME LAB BLANK DETECTIONS LAB BLANK DETECTIONS DO ALL BATCHES WITH T - LAB METHOD BLANK	S NOT TESTED: EDB TO BE TESTED ERS 3260 SERIES SAMPLI ME VIOLATIONS VIOLATIONS ABOVE REPORTING DETECTIVE THE 8021/8260 SERIES INC	ES CTION LIMIT CLUDE THE FOLLOV	N C C C WING?
METHODS USED TESTED FOR REQUIRED / MISSING PARAMETERS - SW8260B REQUIRES LAB NOTE DATA QUALIFI OA/OC FOR 8021/8 TECHNICAL HOLDING TIME LAB BLANK DETECTIONS LAB BLANK DETECTIONS DO ALL BATCHES WITH T - LAB METHOD BLANK - MATRIX SPIKE	S NOT TESTED: EDB TO BE TESTED ERS 3260 SERIES SAMPLI ME VIOLATIONS VIOLATIONS ABOVE REPORTING DETECTIVE THE 8021/8260 SERIES INC	E S CTION LIMIT CLUDE THE FOLLON	N C C C WING? N N
METHODS USED TESTED FOR REQUIRED / MISSING PARAMETERS - SW8260B REQUIRES LAB NOTE DATA QUALIFI OA/OC FOR 8021/8 TECHNICAL HOLDING TIME LAB BLANK DETECTIONS LAB BLANK DETECTIONS DO ALL BATCHES WITH T - LAB METHOD BLANK - MATRIX SPIKE - MATRIX SPIKE DUPLIC	S NOT TESTED: EDB TO BE TESTED ERS B260 SERIES SAMPLI ME VIOLATIONS VIOLATIONS ABOVE REPORTING DETEC THE 8021/8260 SERIES INC CATE	E <u>S</u> CTION LIMIT CLUDE THE FOLLON	N C C C WING? N N N N

WATER SAMPLES FOR	8021/8260 SERIES					
MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX S	PIKE DUPLICATE(S) % RECOV	ERY BETWEEN 65-135%	n/a			
MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE(S) RPD LESS THAN 30%						
SURROGATE SPIKES % RECOVERY BETWEEN 85-115%						
BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATES % RECOVERY BETWEEN 70-130% n/a						
SOIL SAMPLES FOR 80	21/8260 SERIES					
MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE(S) % RECOVERY BETWEEN 65-135% n/a						
MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE(S) RPD LESS THAN 30%						
SURROGATE SPIKES % RECOVERY BETWEEN 70-125%						
BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPI	KE DUPLICATES % RECOVERY	BETWEEN 70-130%	Y			
FIELD QC SAMPLES						
SAMPLE	COLLECTED	DETECTIONS >	REPDL			
QCTB SAMPLES	Ν	0				
QCEB SAMPLES N 0						
QCAB SAMPLES	Ν	0				

Logged in as TEC-OLYMPIAN (AUTH_RP)

CONTACT SITE ADMINISTRATOR.

Electronic Submittal Information Main Menu View/Add Facilities Upload EDD Check EDD								
UPLOADING A GEO_XY FILE								
Processing is complete. No errors were found! Your file has been successfully submitted!								
Submittal Title:	Monitoring Wells MW-7 and MW-8							
Submittal Date/Time:	4/17/2007 11:55:44 AM							
Confirmation1667347550Number:								
Back to Main Menu								

Logged in as TEC-OLYMPIAN (AUTH_RP)

CONTACT SITE <u>ADMINISTRATOR</u>.

	Electronic Submittal Information Main Menu View/Add Facilities Upload EDD Check EDD											
OI 14 AL	OLYMPIAN #112 - T0600100766 - <u>BACK TO SUBMITTAL STATUS</u> 1435 WEBSTER ALAMEDA, CA 94501											
Submitted By NICHOLAS HADDAD (AUTH_RP)					Submitte 4/17/2007	e <mark>d Date</mark> 7	<u>C</u> 8	onfirmation 849247574	<u>n #</u>	<u>Glob</u> T060	<u>al ID</u> 00100766	
<u>#</u>	GLOBAL ID	FIELD PT NAME	<u>ELEV</u> SURVEY DATE	ELEVATION	<u>elev</u> Method	<u>ELEV</u> DATUM	ELEV ACC VAL	<u>ELEV</u> SURVEY ORG	<u>RISEF</u> <u>HT</u>	R <u>ELEV</u> DESC	EFFECTIVE DATE	
1	T0600100766	MW-7	3/19/2007	18.93	CGPS	29	0.5	Virgil Chavez Land Surveving			3/19/2007	
2	T0600100766	MW-8	3/19/2007	19.33	CGPS	29	0.5	Virgil Chavez Land Surveying			3/19/2007	

Logged in as TEC-OLYMPIAN (AUTH_RP)

CONTACT SITE ADMINISTRATOR.

Electronic Subn Main Menu View/Add Facil	nittal Information
UPLOADING A GEO_BO	RE FILE
YOUR IMAGE UPLOA	AD WAS SUCCESSFUL!
Facility Name:	OLYMPIAN #112
<u>Global ID:</u>	T0600100766
Field Pt Name:	MW-7
Submittal Type:	GEO_BORE
Submittal Date/Time:	4/17/2007 12:05:08 PM
Confirmation Number:	2859087884
Click <u>here</u> to	view the image.
Back to Main Menu	
ogged in as TEC-OLYMPIAN	

Logged in as TEC-OLYMPL (AUTH_RP)

CONTACT SITE <u>ADMINISTRATOR</u>.

Electronic Subn Main Menu View/Add Facil	nittal Information
UPLOADING A GEO_BO	RE FILE
YOUR IMAGE UPLOA	AD WAS SUCCESSFUL!
Facility Name:	OLYMPIAN #112
<u>Global ID:</u>	T0600100766
Field Pt Name:	MW-8
Submittal Type:	GEO_BORE
Submittal Date/Time:	4/17/2007 12:07:39 PM
Confirmation Number:	7078648447
Click <u>here</u> to	view the image.
Back to Main Menu	
ogged in as TEC-OLYMPIAN	

Logged in as TEC-OLYMPL (AUTH_RP)

CONTACT SITE <u>ADMINISTRATOR</u>.