

From: [Detterman, Karel, Env. Health](#)
To: ["John Lane"](#)
Cc: [Roe, Dilan, Env. Health](#); jeff@main-main.com; "Paul Dotson"; janeth@ogpinc.net
Subject: RE: 1435 Webster Street - Fuel Leak Case No. R000000193; GeoTracker Global ID T0600100766, Olympian #112, 1435 Webster Street, Alameda, CA 94501
Date: Tuesday, May 20, 2014 9:29:57 AM
Attachments: [RP ID criteria - 4 items.pdf](#)

Hello John:

Some of your questions can be answered by reviewing the attached *Responsible Party (RP) Identification* document. As soon as the property is sold, I send a *Notice of Responsibility* (NOR) to the newest buyer in addition to the existing RPs, alerting all RPs that a new RP has been added. It is solely up to the RPs to decide who will take the role of the active RP.

1. When is the soonest that construction could begin? This depends on the level of comfort the developer/contractor requires from ACEH to break ground. Providing the data currently being collected demonstrates the case can close under the LTCP, ACEH will move the case into the closure review process. Because of the number of items required, including well destruction and a 60-day public comment period, the process takes approximately 6 months. A Site Management Plan (SMP) may be required to manage residual contamination during site redevelopment and will also take time to generate and submit to ACEH for approval before the case is closed.
2. Does Olympian's responsibility remain after the Low-Threat UST Closure Policy (LTCP) is in place? Refer to the attached *Responsible Party Identification* document; once anyone, including the buyer, is named an RP (see number 3 of the attachment), they will always remain an RP. However closure under LTCP makes residual contamination a concern between the buyer and seller and does not involve the Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (USTCF). It becomes a cost of redevelopment.
3. How long does the monitoring last? Until the case is closed;
4. Who would be responsible for the LTCP monitoring and is it reimbursable by the LUST Fund? Until the case is closed, including the time during redevelopment, groundwater monitoring is potentially reimbursable. After case closure monitoring is not reimbursable;
5. Who conducts the Annual Review? What do you mean by an *Annual Review*? I am not familiar with an *Annual Review*.
6. At what point are all monitoring wells properly abandoned? When the case qualifies for closure under the LTCP and has completed the 60-day public comment period.

Please e-mail me any further questions.

Thank you,

Karel Detterman, PG
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Environmental Health
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway
Alameda, CA 94502

Direct: 510.567.6708
Fax: 510.337.9335
Email: karel.detterman@acgov.org

PDF copies of case files can be downloaded at:

<http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/ust.htm>

From: John Lane [<mailto:jlane@chicoenvironmental.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 14, 2014 8:22 AM
To: Detterman, Karel, Env. Health
Subject: Re: 1435 Webster Street - Fuel Leak Case No. RO00000193; GeoTracker Global ID T0600100766, Olympian #112, 1435 Webster Street, Alameda, CA 94501

Thanks Karel, I have a few additional questions that I hope you can answer;

When is the soonest that construction could begin?
Does Olympian's responsibility remain after the Low-Threat UST Closure Policy (LTCP) is in place?
How long does the monitoring last?
Who would be responsible for the LTCP monitoring and is it reimbursable by the LUST Fund?
Who conducts the Annual Review?
At what point are all monitoring wells properly abandoned?

Thank you for your assistance and I hope you have a great day.

Best regards,

Prof. John Lane P.G.
CSU, Chico/Chico Environmental
333 Main St. Ste. 260
Chico, CA 95928
(530) 899-2900 FAX 899-2754
www.chicoenvironmental.com

On May 13, 2014, at 9:44 AM, Detterman, Karel, Env. Health <Karel.Detterman@acgov.org> wrote:

Hi John:

Below my name is the link.

Thank you,

Karel Detterman, PG