# ENGEO INCORPORATED

GEOTECHNICAL & ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS In Reply Please Refer to: N1-3174-F1

September 3, 1991

Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District 685 Las Positas Boulevard Livermore, CA 94550

Attention: Mr. R. F. D'Ambra

Subject: Transportation Facility 2900 Ladd Avenue Livermore, California

## SOIL AND GROUND-WATER STUDY

- References: 1. ENGEO Inc.; Work Plan to Study Soil and Ground-Water Contamination; December 5,1991.
  - 2. BSK and Associates; Soil Boring/Sampling and Chemical Testing, Existing Underground Gasoline Tank, Bus Maintenance Yard, 2900 Ladd Avenue, Livermore, California; August 10, 1990.

## Gentlemen:

We are pleased to present this report which provides a description of field services, soil/ground-water sampling, laboratory testing, and data analysis conducted for the subject property. The scope of services provided was performed in accordance with the referenced work plan. A copy of this report should be forwarded to Mr. Gil Wistar with Alameda County Department of Environmental Health, Hazardous Materials Division for review and comment.

If you have any questions regarding this report, or ENGEO's services conducted to date at the subject property, please contact our office.

Very truly yours,

ENGEO INCORPORATED

Shawn Munger **Environmental Geologist** 

**Brian Flaherty** CEG 1256

#### REPORT

to

### LIVERMORE VALLEY JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

LIVERMORE, CALIFORNIA

on the

## SOIL AND GROUND-WATER STUDY

for the

## TRANSPORTATION FACILITY

LIVERMORE, CALIFORNIA

**ENGEO INCORPORATED N1-3174-F1** 

SEPTEMBER 3, 1991

#### **ENGEO** INCORPORATED

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## <u>Page</u>

## Letter of Transmittal

INTRODUCTION
Purpose and Scope of Services 2
FIELD SERVICES
Exploratory Soil Borings
Ground-Water Monitoring Well Installation
LABORATORY ANALYSES
DISCUSSION
LIMITATIONS 11
APPENDIX A - Figures
APPENDIX B - Exploratory Soil Boring Logs, Ground-Water Monitoring Well Information

APPENDIX C - Sampling Information Forms, Laboratory Test Report, Chain of Custody Documents



#### INTRODUCTION

#### Site Description and Background

The subject facility is located on the north side of Ladd Avenue in Livermore, California (Figure 1). The facility includes an operations building, maintenance yard area, fuel dispensing pumps with associated underground piping and vents from three underground fuel storage tanks. The tank complex consists of a 6,000 gallon regular gasoline tank, a 6,000 gallon low-leaded gasoline tank and a 10,000 gallon diesel tank. From a review of earlier reports it appears that the tanks are located within a common excavation and rest on a concrete hold-down pad.

The regular gasoline tank failed a precision test in 1990. In order to make a preliminary assessment of possible soil contamination, the School District contracted BSK & Associates to conducted a limited subsurface investigation (Reference 2). Soil samples were collected from beneath the 6,000 gallon regular unleaded gasoline tank. Laboratory testing of the soils beneath the tank exposed total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH as gasoline) at concentrations of 2,300 ppm at 14 feet and 1,500 ppm at 17 feet. These gasoline concentrations exceeded the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) guideline level of 100 ppm for gasoline in soil. The scope of services provided in the BSK report did not allow a determination of potential ground-water impacts. We understand that an *Underground Storage Tank Unauthorized Release Report* was prepared.

In December 1990, ENGEO prepared a work plan for further subsurface studies at the subject facility. The work plan recommended the installation of a ground-water monitoring well immediately adjacent to the leaking underground fuel tank, along with exploratory soil borings around the tank complex. This work plan was subsequently reviewed and approved by the Alameda County Environmental Health Department, Hazardous Materials Division. This report presents the results of the study outlined in the referenced work plan.



### Purpose and Scope of Services

The purpose of the soil and ground-water study was to evaluate the vertical and lateral extent of the petroleum hydrocarbon contamination in the vadose zone soils, at the top of the saturated zone, and in the ground water below the tank complex.

The scope of services associated with the study included the following:

- Drilling and logging of three exploratory test borings around the underground tank complex. An Organic Vapor Meter (PID) was used during the drilling of the boreholes to monitor for the presence of volatile vapors associated with possible product leakage.
- Installation of a ground-water monitoring well within one of the exploratory borings, located adjacent to the leaking underground fuel storage tank.
- Collection of soil samples from each of the boreholes for laboratory testing.
   Collection of a ground-water sample from the monitoring well with laboratory analysis.
- Laboratory analyses of the ground water and soil samples for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as gasoline and volatile aromatic compounds (BTXE).
- Analyses of the field and laboratory data.
- Preparation of a report documenting the work performed and the findings of the laboratory testing with recommendations for further studies.



#### FIELD SERVICES

#### Exploratory Soil Borings

Exploratory drilling was conducted December 13, 1990. Three exploratory soil borings were drilled to depths ranging from 57 to 67 feet below the existing ground surface. The approximate location of the exploratory borings is shown on Figure 2.

A fourth exploratory boring located at the southeast corner of the tank complex encountered the south end of the empty 6,000 gallon regular gasoline tank. This tank had apparently been incorrectly plotted on the facility plan which was provided by the School District. Drilling at this location was discontinued after the discrepancies in the plan were discovered. The area south and west of the tank complex appears to underlain by a complex of piping and venting leading from the fuel pump island. It was extremely difficult to drill exploratory borings in this area.

The exploratory borings were advanced using a Mobile B-53 drill rig equipped with 6-inch diameter hollow stem auger. The soil samples were collected using a 3-inch diameter split-spoon sampler retaining 6-inch long brass tubes. Following recovery, the samples were immediately sealed with aluminum foil, plastic end caps and tape. Samples were retained in a cooled ice chest prior to transportation to the analytical laboratory.

Sampling equipment was washed with a trisodium phosphate (TSP) solution and rinsed with distilled water between each sampling event. Clean flight augers and drill bits were utilized for each boring location.

Drilling was performed under the direction of an ENGEO Environmental Geologist who logged the borings in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System. Soil samples were retrieved at approximately five foot intervals down to the saturated zone.



Soil samples and auger cuttings were screened in the field using a Thermo Electron 580A photoionization detector (PID) to measure detectable volatile compounds, relative to the calibration standard (Isobutylene 100 ppm). Boring log information including soil descriptions, resistance and field PID screenings are provided in Appendix B.

The soil exposed in the exploratory borings generally consists of 15 to 25 feet of clayey gravels and gravelly clay overlying interbedded silty clays with varying amounts of coarse material. Ground water was encountered at an approximate depth of 57 feet below the ground surface; however, water levels were noted to rise approximately 10 feet in the borings after drilling.

Selected soil cuttings were placed in sealed plastic bags and retained 5 to 10 minutes prior to PID screenings. High organic vapor readings were recorded in boring B-1 at depths of *f* 14 to 35 feet. Substantial organic vapor readings were also recorded within boring bf -1 \* at 15 to 20 feet below the ground surface. Trace organic vapor readings were noted within boring B-2 between 15 and 20 feet below the ground surface.

The drill cuttings were placed in DOT approved 55-gallon steel drums. The boreholes were backfilled with neat cement following completion of the borings. Steam clean rinseate from the flight augers was pumped into 55-gallon drums stored on-site.

## Ground-Water Monitoring Well Installation

Ground-water monitoring well MW-1 was installed on December 14, 1990, at the approximate location shown on Figure 2. The location of the ground-water monitoring well



was based on the reported ground-water gradient<sup>1</sup> (northeast) and accessibility within the tank complex area.

The monitoring well consists of 2-inch diameter PVC casing with flush joints, which was installed down through the hollow stem auger. The well was constructed with 25 feet of screened casing (0.02-inch slot width) and an appropriate length of solid PVC well casing. The total depth of the monitoring well was 67 feet below the existing ground surface. A #2 sand filter pack was placed from the base of the well to two feet above the top of the screened interval. A 24-inch bentonite seal was placed at the top of the filter pack. The remaining annular space was backfilled with a neat cement seal. The well was completed in a flush mounted christie box with a waterproof, locking well cap.

After the neat cement grout had set, the well was developed using a surge block and bailer to produce relatively non-turbid ground water. Approximately 16 casing volumes of water were removed from the well during the development process. The purged ground water was stored on site within a 55-gallon drum.

## Ground-Water Sampling

Twenty-four hours after development, the depth to the top of the ground-water was verified and the well was checked for the presence of free product. No free product or petroleum sheen was noted within the monitoring well. Prior to sampling, four casing volumes of water were removed from the well using a PVC bailer. Water quality parameters including, temperature, Ph, dissolved solids and oxidation-reduction potential were monitored to provide for adequate purging. The ground-water sample was collected for laboratory testing using a Voss Technologies dedicated polyethylene bailer. The sample was then decanted

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (Zone 7), Fall 1990 Groundwater Level Report; January 16, 1991.



into two 40-milliliter volatile organic analysis vials (VOA) and cooled in an ice chest until delivery under a documented chain-of-custody to NET Pacific Laboratories in Santa Rosa, California. Sample collection, preservation, chain-of-custody procedures and equipment decontamination were performed in accordance with ENGEO's standard quality assurance and control procedures.



#### LABORATORY ANALYSES

Laboratory testing was performed in accordance with the minimum verification analyses specified by the RWQCB Tri-Regional Board Staff Recommendations for Preliminary Evaluation and Investigation of Underground Tank Sites (August 1990).

A total of nine soil samples from the three borings were submitted for laboratory analyses. The selection of vadose zone samples was based on visual observations and PID screenings.

The ground-water and soil samples were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline and volatile aromatic compounds (BTEX) according to EPA test methods 8015/5030 and 602/8020.

Table I provides a summary of the soil and ground water analyses. Copies of the certified laboratory analyses reports and chain of custody documents are also included in Appendix C.

XYLENE	TOLUENE	E.BENZENE	BENZENE	TPH (GAS)	Depth	SAMPLE NO.
.032	.036	.0053	.180	1.1	16 FT.	1-2
.051	.071	.0081	.160	1.5	21 FT.	1-3
ND	ND	ND	.013	ND	31 FT.	1-5
ND	ND	ND	.004	ND	44 FT.	1-11
ND	.0026	ND	.016	ND	16 FT	2-2
77	27	13	<b>. 4.</b> Ť	970 9	16 FT.	MW1-2
53	27	10	ND	1000	26 FT.	MW1-4
53	27	10	ND	2700	36 PT.	MW1-6
.0099	.004	ND	.011	ND	46 FT.	M₩1-8

## TABLE I Senii Sample Laboratory Analyses Summary

(Concentrations reported in gasts par million),

## Ground Water Sample MW-1 Laboratory Analyses Summary (Concentrations reported in part billion)

TPH (GAS)	BENZENE	E.BENZENE	TOLUENE	XYLENE
- <b>1409</b> m/NA)	63 (1.0)	8.0 (680)	52 (100)	590 <i>(1750</i> )

(1.0) - State Department of Health Services MCL or AAL



#### DISCUSSION

Review of the laboratory analyses and organic vapor screenings found significant soil contamination in the monitoring well borehole(MW-1), from depths of approximately 15 to 40 feet below the ground surface. Significant organic vapor readings were recorded in boring B-1 from 15 to 20 feet in depth; however, laboratory analyses of samples at depths of 16 and 21 feet found only trace concentrations of gasoline and BTEX.

Laboratory analyses of the ground-water sample recovered from monitoring well MW-1 found a benzene concentration of 63 ppb. This concentration exceeds the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) maintained by the State Department of Health Services(DHS). The remaining aromatic compounds were reported at concentrations below State drinking water criteria.

Based on the findings of the soil and ground water study, the following additional work is recommended to address the known petroleum hydrocarbon contamination in the site soil and ground water:

- 1. The existing ground-water monitoring well should be sampled on a quarterly basis to determine possible fluctuations or attenuation of contaminant concentrations.
- 2. A work plan should be prepared for the installation of two to three additional ground-water monitoring wells to determine the extent of the contaminant plume. The work plan should be submitted to the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health for the their approval prior to the commencement of work. Due to the complex piping layout and configurations under the site, we recommend the wells be installed following the removal of the underground storage tanks. We understand that the tanks will be removed in the fall of 1991.



3. Additional soil samples should be recovered at the time of tank removal to determine the degree and extent of soil impairments beneath the tank complex. The soil sampling and laboratory testing undertaken at the time of the tank removal should follow the guidelines provided in the *Tri Regional Board Staff Recommendations for Preliminary Evaluation and Investigation of Underground Tank Sites.* 



#### LIMITATIONS

The recommendations and conclusions presented in this report were based on the findings of our study which was developed solely from the contracted services. The scope of this investigation included three exploratory soil borings with organic vapor screenings, the installation of one ground water monitoring well, sampling of soil and ground water, laboratory analysis of nine soil samples, and a review/interpretation of the field and laboratory data.

The field services completed at this site were performed to assess specific soil and ground water conditions at the points of collection. Soil/water samples collected for this study represent that portion of the substrata encountered. The test results presented within this report reflect only the laboratory analyses performed on selected soil samples. These results do not reflect the presence of organic or inorganic substances which were not analyzed or included in the reported laboratory analyses.

It is recognized and agreed that ENGEO has assumed responsibility only for undertaking the study for the Client. The responsibility for disclosures or reports to a third party and for remedial or mitigative action, shall be solely that of the Client. ENGEO agrees not to provide a report to any third party not legally required, unless authorized by the Client.

ENGEO Incorporated has prepared this report for the exclusive use of our client, The Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District. This assessment was performed in accordance with the standard of practice in Northern California in 1991. No other warranties, expressed or implied, as to the services provided are made.



## APPENDIX A

Figure 1 Figure 2

Site Location Existing Underground Gasoline Storage Tanks





EI

## APPENDIX B

Exploratory Soil Boring Logs Ground-Water Monitoring Well Information

			DATE OF BORING: December 13, 1990	N	qu	IN P	PLACE
EET)	IMBE!	TON	SURFACE ELEVATION: Approx. 490.0 feet	BLOWS/FT	UNCON. COMP.	DRY	MOIST.
H H	Ž U	DE SCAT		*MODIFIED	(TSF)	WEIGHT	CONTENT
DEPT	HAMPL	L L L	DESCRIPTION	FOR 3" O.D.	*FIELD PENET.		Z DRY
	01	L00 L		SAMPLER	APPROX.	(PCF)	WEIGHT
-0			ASPHALT				
			PEA GRAVEL.				
-			Reddish-brown silty CLAY, some gravels. (CL)		0		
-5			Brown clayey GRAVEL, medium to coarse. (GC)		0		
			Coarser gravel.				
-					0		
•							
-10	1-1		Brown sandy clayey GRAVEL, moist, very dense, slight odor.	50/5"	30		
ļ			(GC)				
			Strong petroleum stor at 14		410		
-15			feet.				
-	(1-2			22*	500		
-	(80,08)						
-29			Medium dense		532		
	(1-3)		hydrocarbon	30*	180		
	16000						
	( <b>V</b> * <b>*</b>				102		
-25			Light brown sandy gravelly				
-	1-4		CLAY, moist, very stiff, day. (CL)	28*	75		
					50		
-30							L
F		Ē	Livermore Valley Unified School District				FIGURE NO.
INC	CORPORATE	10	Livermore, California	JOB NO: N	1-3174-F		
L			L	<u> </u>			

	or or		DATE OF BORING: December 13, 1990	N	qu	IN	PLACE
EET)	MBEI	AMPL	SURFACE ELEVATION: Approx. 490.0 feet	BLOWS/FT	UNCON. COMP.	DRY	MOIST.
<b>DEPTH (F</b>	SAMPLE NU	LOG, LOCATI TYPE OF S	DESCRIPTION	*MODIFIED FOR 3" O.D. SAMPLER	STRENGTH (TSF) *FIELD PENET. APPROX.	UNIT WEIGHT (PCF)	CONTENT % DRY WEIGHT
-30	(-5) (3)200		Moist, harder, <b>slight_gdop</b> (CL)	33*	50		
-35			Reddish-brown sandy silty CLAY, with gravels, moist, stiff. (CL)		57		
	1-6			9*	30		
-	1-7		Lense of red clay at 38.5 feet.	9*			
-40	1-8		Brown sandy silty CLAY with some gravels, very moist, hard,	36*	2		
	1-9		odor. (CL)	50/5"	30		
	1-10		Brown sandy gravelly CLAY, very moist, hard, odor, (CL)	50/5"	18		
	1-11			46*	20		
- 45 - -	4 berry		·····	<b>C</b> 2+			
- 59	1-12		Mottled brown sandy gravelly CLAY, very moist, hard. (CL)	63*	0		
-55	1-13		Brown silty CLAY with some gravel, very moist, hard. (CL) Approximate water level at time of drilling	50/6"*	0		
F		- -	Livermore Valley Unified School District	BORING NO	••• 1		FIGURE NO.
INC	ORPORATE	:D	Livermore, California		1-3174-F1		}
1			F	· · · <b>· · ·</b>			

	ſ	AND M	DATE OF BORING: December 13, 1990	N	qu	IN	PLACE
		AMPL	SURFACE ELEVATION: Approx. 490.0 feet	S.P.T. BLOWS/FT	UNCON. COMP.	DRY	MOIST.
DEPTH (F	SAMPLE N	LOG, LOCATI TYPE OF S	DESCRIPTION	*MODIFIED FOR 3ª 0.D. SAMPLER	(TSF) *FIELD PENET. APPROX.	UNIT WEIGHT (PCF)	X DRY WEIGHT
-69	1_14		Brown gravelly silty CLAY, saturated, hard. (CL)	57	0		
-	1-14		Bottom of boring at approximately 61.5 feet.	57	0		
-65							
						·	
-70							
-75							
-80							
-85							
-90							
F	NGF	20	Livermore Velley Unified School District	BORING NO	.: 1		FIGURE NO.
INC	ORPORATE	0	Livermore, California	JOB NO: N	1-3174-Fi		

	r r	AND B	DATE OF BORING: December 13, 1990	N	qu	IN P	LACE
EET)	MBEI	- UU AMPL	SURFACE ELEVATION: Approx. 490.0 feet	BLOWS/FT	UNCON. COMP.	DRY	MOIST.
H (F	, ₹ ų	JCATJ OF S		*NODIFIED	(TSF)	WEIGHT	GUNTENI
DEPT	SAMPI	0a, L( TYPE	DESCRIPTION	FOR 3" O.D. SAMPLER	*FIELD PENET. APPROX.	(PCF)	X DRY WEIGHT
-a		<u>ک</u>					
			ASPHALT	-			
-			PEA GRAVEL.		0		
F							
			Brown clayey GRAVEL, coarse, moist, dense. (GC)				
-					0		
-18							
	2-1			48*	0		
-	·						
			brown moist, hard, very slight				
-15			or. (CL)				
	(2-2			51*	4		
No V	16 benz						
	Pr'x				7		
-28	Į		Brown sandy silty CLAV with				
	2-3		minor gravels, moist, hard.	34*	1		
	-				1		
-25							
	2-4		Brown silty CLAY (CL), with	50*	1		
			some gravels, moist, hard. (CL)				
			Livermore Valley Unified School District				IGURE
			Livermore, California	DATE: Sep	tember 19	91	MA.
				JUB NOT N	4-31 (4 <b>-</b> F]	<u> </u>	

	 D*	NA NA NA NA	DATE OF BORING: December 13, 1990	N	qu	IN	PLACE
EET)	MBE	AMPL	SURFACE ELEVATION: Approx. 490.0 feet	BLOWS/FT	UNCON. COMP.	DRY	MOIST.
DEPTH (FI	SAMPLE NU	LOG, LOCATI TYPE OF SI	DESCRIPTION	*MODIFIED FOR 3" 0.D. SAMPLER	(TSF) *FIELD PENET. APPROX.	UNIT WEIGHT (PCF)	X DRY WEIGHT
-30	2-5			48*	0		
- 35 -		HIN HE HE HE HE HE HE HE HE	Brown silty gravelly CLAY, moist, very stiff. (CL)			•	
-40	2-6		Brown silty CLAY with minor gravels, moist, very stiff. (CL) Light brown silty sandy CLAY, moist, very stiff. (CL)	22*	0		
-50					0		
-55	2-7		Brown silty sandy CLAY, some gravel, moist, very stiff. (CL)	22*	o		
60			Water encountered at approximately 57 feet. Bottom of boring at approximately 57 feet.				
F	NGF	<b>-</b> n	Livermore Valley Unified School District	BORING NO	.: 2		FIGURE NO.
INC	ORPORATE	:D	Livermore, California	JOB NO: N	1-3174-F1		
						- <u> </u>	

	DEPTH (FEET) MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL		а Ч Ч Ч	DATE OF BORING: December 14, 1990	N	OVM	IN P	LACE
EET.			TON	SURFACE ELEVATION: Approx. 490.0 feet	BLOWS/FT	P.I.D. (18.0=V)	DRY UNIT	MOIST. CONTENT
DEPTH (1			LOG, LOCAT TYPE OF (	DESCRIPTION	*MODIFIED FOR 3" 0.D. SAMPLER	(parts per million)	WEIGHT (PCF)	% DRY WEIGHT
-0	·			ASPHALT cover.				
		  -		Brown silty CLAY, dry. (CL)				
	· :	: `  		PEA GRAVEL.				
-5	·    ·							
$\left  \right $	٩	Δ		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	. ↓ ↓ 	· .						
-10	<b>⊲</b> `	D		MW1 – 1				
-	·	D		Brown clayey GRAVEL, medium to coarse, moist, medium dense,	7*	0		
	₫			odor (GC)		- -		
-15	·	· •			50/5N	540		
	.ª.  .₁	>		MW1-2 Very dense, surong odor	50/5"	970 an T	ملا	
						8,100ppb	ung Ung	
	·						0	
-20	· ·     · ∢     ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		MW1-3				
	••  •	· [			34*	540		
	Ч р							
-25	۰    ·	Δ		MW1-4 Brown gravelly sandy CLAY, moist, hard. stands				
	·	· D		petroleum hydrocarbon <b>edur</b> . (CL)	15*	400		
$\left  \right $	۵	>					٠	
-30	₽.	· -		Brown silty sandy CLAY, moist, hard, states over, (CL)		602		
E	NG	E	0	Livermore Velley Unified School District	WELL NO.: DATE: Sen	MW1 tember 19		FIGURE NO.
INC	ORPOR	ATE	5	Livermore, California	JOB NO.:	N1-3174-I	=1	

Ì

Γ			<del></del> -	A N N N N	DATE OF BORING: December 14, 1990	N	OVM	IN	PLACE
	EET)	E UE		TON	SURFACE ELEVATION: Approx. 490.0 feet	BLOWS/FT	READING P.I.D.	DRY	MOIST.
	DEPTH (F MONITORIN CONSTRUC DETAI		LOG, LOCAT	DESCRIPTION	*MODIFIED FOR 3" 0.D. SAMPLER	(parts par million)	(PCF)	% DRY WEIGHT	
	30	D D .	· A · · · · A		MW1-5 Brown gravelly sandy CLAY, moist, hard, <b>edom</b> . (CL)	44*	250		
	35		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		MW1-6 Mottled brown silty CLAY some sand and gravels, moist, very stiff, <b>effor</b> , (CL)	27*	100 153 2700/NT	>.	
  -  -	40				Brown sandy silty CLAY, moist, very stiff, <b>edor:</b> (CL) MW1-7	30*	300 413		
-	45				Brown silty CLAY, minor sand and gravel, moist, very stiff, ador. (CL)		100		
	50				MW1-8 Brown gravelly CLAY, moist, hard, <b>odor.</b> (CL)	42*	38 ND/11		
	55				Brown silty CLAY, minor gravel, moist, hard, ador. (CL)		6		
-	50				MW1-9 Brown sandy gravelly CLAY, moist, hard, slight <u>V</u> odor. (CL) Approximate water level at the time of drilling.	50*	2		
		<b>K</b> 14		:0	Livermore Velley Unified School District	WELL NO.:	MW1		FIGURE
		ORPO			Livermore, Celifornia	DATE: Sep	tember 19	91	
1						JOB NO.:	N1-3174-F	"1	

	1-	A ND H	DATE OF BORING: December 14, 1990	N	OVM	IN P	LACE
EET)			SURFACE ELEVATION: Approx. 490.0 feet	BLOWS/FT	READING P.I.D.		MOIST.
E H	DRIN	DCAT OF 1		*MODIFIED		WEIGHT	
DEPT	MONITC	LOG, L	DESCRIPTION	FOR 3" O.D. SAMPLER	(perts per million)	(PCF)	% DRY WEIGHT
-60							
F					0		
- 66			Yellow-brown clayey gravelly SAND,saturated. (SP) Running sands at approximately 63.5 feet.		0		
-78			Bottom of boring at approximately 67 feet. NOTE: After removal of augers from hole, water measured at approximately 46 feet.				
- 75							
- - 80 -						·	
- 85							
-90	4						
	NGE		Livermore Valley Unified School District	WELL NO .:	MW1	I	FIGURE NO.
INC			Livermore, California	JOB NO.:	Cember 19  N1-3174-F	1	

	BORING/WELL	NO. MW1
MONITORI	NG WELL DETAIL	
PROJECT NUMBER N90-3174-F1	DATE OF INSTALLATION Decembe	<u>r 14, 19</u> 90
PROJECT NAME Livermore Vlly Sch.	Dist. TOP OF CASING ELEV	
COUNTYAlameda	GROUND SURFACE ELEV. ±490	
WELL PERMIT NO90702	DATUM MSL	
H		
	EXPLORATORY BORIN	G
	A. TOTAL DEPTH	<u>67</u> _FT.
	B. DIAMETER	<u> </u>
	DRILLING METHOD Hollow stem aug	ers
	MCI I CONCTONCTION	1
	WELL CONSTRUCTION	67
F	C. CASING LENGTH	<u> </u>
	MATERIAL SCH 40 PVC	2
A C	DIAMETER	IN.
	D. SLOTTED INTERVAL LENGTH	FT.
	GLOTTED INTERVAL FROM TO _	020 FT.
	SLOT SIZE	<u>.020</u> IN.
	E. GROUT INTERVALTO	<u> </u>
	GROUT MATERIAL Real Cement	
	F. FILTER PACK SEAL 38 TO	<u>40    </u> F1.
	SEAL MATERIAL Bentonite	<b>*</b> *
	G. FILTER PACK INTERVAL 40 TO	<u>67</u> FT.
	FILTER MATERIAL #2 sand	
	H. Christy box (flush with surfa	<u>ace)</u>
⊢ <del></del> B <del></del>	and locking well cap.	<u></u>





## APPENDIX C

Sampling Information Forms Laboratory Test Reports Chain of Custody Documents Monitoring Well Permit

N1-3174-F1 September 3, 1991

.

## ENGEO INCORPORATED HAZARDOUS SOIL SAMPLING INFORMATION

Date: <u>Decen</u> Job Number: Location:	mber 13, 19 N90-3174- Boring Bl	D90 F1 DRILLING	By: <u>Rachel H</u> Job Name: <u>Tran</u> Client: <u>Liverr</u> Unifig F INFORMATION License #48	Hess hsportation Yard nore Valley Joint d ed School District 32390
Auger Type:	Hollow ste	em auger	Sampler Type:_	<u>California Mo</u> d.
Hole Diamet	ter: <u>6</u> "			
		SAMPLE	INFORMATION	
Decon Proce	dure: T S	SP olvent	Dist. H <sub>2</sub> O <u>X</u> Acid	
<u>Sample</u>	Time	<u>Size</u>	Test	<u>Comments</u>
1-1	11:00	2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> "X6"	Hold	<u>10' to 11}'</u>
1-2	11:12	2½"X6"	TPH(g)/BTEX	<u>15' to 16½'</u>
1-3	11:22	2 <u>1</u> "X6"	TPH (g) / BTEX	20' to 211'
1-4	11:33	2 <del>1</del> "X6"	Hold	25' to 261'
1-5	11:46	2 <u>1</u> "X6"	TPH(g)/BTEX	30' to 311'
1-6	12:08	<u>21</u> "X6"	Hold	<u>35' to 361'</u>
1-7	12:12	2½"X6"	Hold	36½' to 38'
1-8	12:28	<u>21</u> "X6"	Hold	<u>38' to 39}'</u>
1-9	12:37	2½"X6"	Hold	39½ to 41'
1-10	12:47	<u>2</u> <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> "X6"	Hold	41' to 421
1-11	12:57	2½"X6"	TPH(g)/BTEX	421' to 44'
1-12	13:09	2½"X6"	Hold	48' to 49½'
1-13	13:28	<u>21</u> "X6"	Hold	55' to 561'
1-14	13:48	2½"X6"	Hold	60' to 61½'

## ENGEO INCORPORATED HAZARDOUS SOIL SAMPLING INFORMATION

Date: <u>Decem</u> Job Number: Location: Drilling Contr Auger Type:_ Hole Diamete	ber 13, 1990 N90-3174-F1 Boring B2 ractor: <u>Kvilha</u> Hollow Stem er: <u>6</u> "	DRILLING ]	By: <u>Rachel He</u> Job Name: <u>Tran</u> Client: <u>Livermo</u> Unified School INFORMATION License # <u>4823</u> Sampler Type: <u>Ca</u>	ss sportation Yard vre Valley Joint District
		SAMPLE I	VFORMATION	
Decon Proced	lure: TSP Solv	X ent	Dist. H <sub>2</sub> O <u>x</u> Acid	-
<u>Sample</u>	Time	Size	Test	Comments
$ \begin{array}{r} 2-1 \\ 2-2 \\ 2-3 \\ 2-4 \\ 2-5 \\ 2-6 \\ 2-7 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	15:15 15:22 15:36 15:51 16:00 16:31 16:58	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\frac{1}{2} " \times 6" \\ \end{array} $	Hold TPH(g) & BTEX Hold Hold Hold Hold Hold Hold Hold Hold	10' to 11½' 15' to 16½' 20' to 21½' 25' to 26½' 30' to 31' 40' to 41½' 55' to 56½'

## ENGEO INCORPORATED HAZARDOUS SOIL SAMPLING INFORMATION

Date: Decemb Job Number: Location: Mor Drilling Contra Auger Type: Hole Diameter	Der 14, 1990 N90-3174-F1 Nitoring Wei Actor: <u>Kvilha</u> Hollow ster	D L DRILLING IN Aug n auger	By: <u>Rachel H</u> Job Name: <u>Tra</u> Client: <u>Livern</u> Unifie FORMATION License #4 Sampler Type:_	<u>Hess</u> <u>insportation</u> Yard <u>more Valley J</u> oint ed School District 182390 California Mod.
		SAMPLE INF	ORMATION	
Decon Procedu	ıre: TSF Solv	yent	Dist. H <sub>2</sub> O <u>x</u> Acid	
<u>Sample</u>	<u>Time</u>	Size	<u>Test</u>	<u>Comments</u>
MW1-1	8:16	2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> "X6"	Hold	<u>10' to 11}'</u>
MW1-2	8:50	21 X6"	TPH(g)/BTEX	<u>15' to 16'</u>
MW1-3	8:53	2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> "X6"	Hold	20' to 211'
MW1-4	9:03	2½"X6"	TPH(g)/BTEX	25' to 26}'
MW1-5	9:18	2 <u>1</u> "X6"	Hold	30' to 311
MW1-6	9:30	2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> "X6"	TPH(g)/BTEX	<u>35' to 36½'</u>
MW1-7	9:45	2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> "X6"	Hold	40' to 41½'
MW1-8	10:05	2½"X6"	TPH (g) / BTEX	45' to 46½'
MW1-9	11:05	2½"X6"	Hold	55' to 561
			•	
	<u> </u>			
	<u> </u>			
			<u> </u>	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	



NET Pacific, Inc. 435 Tesconi Circle Santa Rosa, CA 95401 Tel: (707) 526-7200 Fax: (707) 526-9623

ß E Ŵ 12 Ŋ DEC 2 8 1990 

Rachel Hess ENGEO 2280 Diamond Blvd., Ste 200 Concord, CA 94520-5719 Date: 12-27-90 NET Client Acct No: 442 NET Pacific Log No: 5387 Received: 12-19-90 0800

Client Reference Information

Livermore Valley Joint Unified School; Project: N903174F1

Sample analysis in support of the project referenced above has been completed and results are presented on following pages. Please refer to the enclosed "Key to Abbreviations" for definition of terms. Should you have questions regarding procedures or results, please feel welcome to contact Client Services.

Approved by:

Jules Skamarack

Laboratory Manager

Enclosure(s)



.

NET Pacific, Inc.Client Acct: 442NET Log No: 5387

Date: 12-27-90 Page: 2

## Ref: Livermore Valley Joint Unified School; Project: N903174F1

		Descri	ptor, Lab No.	and Results	
		* <b>1</b> *2 <b>16</b> ' 12-13-90 1112	1-3 21: 12-13-90 1122	1-5 31' 12-13-90 1146	
Parameter	Reporting Limit	71049	71050	71051	Units
PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS					
VOLATILE (SOIL)					
DILUTION FACTOR *		1	1	1	
DATE ANALYZED	,	12-20-90	12-20-90	12-21-90	
METHOD GC FID/5030					
as Gasoline	1	1.1	1.5	ND	mg/Kg
METHOD 8020					
DILUTION FACTOR *		1	1	1	
DATE ANALYZED		12-20-90	12-20-90	12 <b>-21-90</b>	
Bensene	2.5	-180.	160-	13	, Mg/Kg
Ethylbenzene	2.5	5.3	8.1	ND	ug/Kġ
Toluene	2.5	36	71	ND	ug/Kg
Xylenes, total	2.5	32	51	ND	ug/Kg



Client Acct: 442 Client Name: ENGEO NET Log No: 5387 Date: 12-27-90 Page: 3

### Ref: Livermore Valley Joint Unified School; Project: N903174F1

		Descri	ptor, Lab No.	and Results	
		1-11 44' 12-13-90 1257	2-2 16' 12-13-90 1522	12-14-90 0850	
Parameter	Reporting Limit	71052	71053	71054	Units
PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS					
VOLATILE (SOIL)					
DILUTION FACTOR *		1	1	200	
DATE ANALYZED		12-20-90	12-20-90	12-20-90	
METHOD GC FID/5030					
as <b>Gaseline</b> `	1	ND	ND	<b>*976</b>	<b>aneγ</b> Kg
METHOD 8020					
DILUTION FACTOR *		1	1	200	
DATE ANALYZED		12-20-90	12 <del>-</del> 20-90	12-20-90	
Bentene	2.5	4.0	16	<b>8</b> 41 <b>0</b> 0	<b>*0g/9</b> g
Ethylbenzene	2.5	ND	ND	13,000	ug/Kg
Toluene	2.5	ND	2.6	27,000	ug/Kg
Xylenes, total	2.5	ND	ND	77,000	ug/Kg



Client Acct: 442 Client Name: ENGEO NET Log No: 5387 Date: 12-27-90 Page: 4

Ref: Livermore Valley Joint Unified School; Project: N903174F1

		Descrip	otor, Lab No.	and Results	
		MW1-4 26' 12-14-90 0908	MW1-6 36' 12-14-90 0930	MW1-8 46' 12-14-90 1005	
Parameter	Reporting Limit	71055	71056	71057	Units
PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS		<b>~–</b>		<b>-#</b>	
VOLATILE (SOIL)					
DILUTION FACTOR *		500	500	1	
DATE ANALYZED		12-21-90	12-21-90	12-20-90	
METHOD GC FID/5030					
as Gaspline	1	1900 -		ND	₩9/K9
METHOD 8020					
DILUTION FACTOR *		500	500	1	
DATE ANALYZED		12-21-90	12-21-90	12-20-90	
Benzene	2.5	ND	ND	11	ug/Kg
Ethylbenzene	2.5	10,000	38,000	ND	ug/Kg
Toluene	2.5	27,000	70,000	4.0	ug/Kg
Xylenes, total	2.5	53,000	230,000	9.9	ug/Kg



<

**KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS and METHOD REFERENCES** 

not detected at the value following.

: Less than; When appearing in results column indicates analyte

This datum supercedes

the listed Reporting Limit. : Reporting Limits are a function of the dilution factor for any given sample. To obtain the actual reporting limits for this sample, multiply the stated Reporting Limits by the dilution factor (but do not multiply reported values). : Initial Calibration Verification Standard (External Standard). ICVS : Average; sum of measurements divided by number of measurements. mean Concentration in units of milligrams of analyte per kilogram mg/Kg (ppm) : of sample, wet-weight basis (parts per million). Concentration in units of milligrams of analyte per liter of mg/L 1 sample. mL/L/hr Milliliters per liter per hour. : MPN/100 mL Most probable number of bacteria per one hundred milliliters : of sample. N/A : Not applicable. NA Not analyzed. 1 Not detected; the analyte concentration is less than applicable ND 1 listed reporting limit. Nephelometric turbidity units. NTU : RPD Relative percent difference, 100 [Value 1 - Value 2]/mean value. : : Standard not available. SNA Concentration in units of micrograms of analyte per kilogram ug/Kg (ppb) : of sample, wet-weight basis (parts per billion). Concentration in units of micrograms of analyte per liter of ug/L 2 sample. umhos/cm : Micromhos per centimeter. Method References

Methods 100 through 493: see "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water & Wastes", U.S. EPA, 600/4-79-020, rev. 1983.

<u>Methods 601 through 625:</u> see "Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants" U.S. EPA, 40 CFR, Part 136, rev. 1988.

Methods 1000 through 9999: see "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid - Waste", U.S. EPA SW-846, 3rd edition, 1986.

 $\underline{SM}$ : see "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water & Wastewater, 16th Edition, APHA, 1985.

## ENGEO INCORPORATED GROUND-WATER SAMPLING INFORMATION

Date: December 19, 1990	By: <u>Rachel Hess</u>
Job Number:	Job Name: Transportation Yard
Location: Livermore, California	Client: Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District
WELL INFORMATION	ON
Well Number:MW1	Diameter (in):2
Total Depth (ft): <u>66.1</u>	Screen Length:25 '
Depth to Water (ft):43.8	Well Volume (gal): <u>3.8</u>
PURGING INFORMAT	FION
Bailer: X Pump: (rate): Variable	Time: (init./fin.) <u>8:40/9:50</u>
Volume Removed (gal): <u>15</u>	No. of Well Vol:4
pH Reading: 7.2	Temp (C): <u>16.5</u>
TDS (ppm)820	eh (mV):18
SAMPLE INFORMAT	ION
Bailer: <u>X</u> Pump:	(rate): <u>Variable</u>
Decon Procedure: Solvent TSP DisposableX	Acid Dist. H <sub>2</sub> 0 Other
Sample Time Size Presv. 1	<u>Comments</u>
<u>MW1 9:50 (4)40ml HCL B</u>	TEX/TPH as qas Turbid, slight odor

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING, INC.

NET Pacific, Inc. 435 Tesconi Circle Santa Rosa, CA 95401 Tel: (707) 526-7200 Fax: (707) 526-9623

ß  $\mathbb{N}$ Ę ĵ, H DEC 2 8 1990 • ( 12 na N

Rachel Hess ENGEO 2280 Diamond Blvd., Ste 200 Concord, CA 94520-5719 Date: 12-27-90 NET Client Acct. No: 442 NET Pacific Log No: 5403 Received: 12-20-90 0800

Client Reference Information

Livermore Valley Joint Unified School; Project: N903174F1

Sample analysis in support of the project referenced above has been completed and results are presented on following pages. Please refer to the enclosed "Key to Abbreviations" for definition of terms. Should you have questions regarding procedures or results, please feel welcome to contact Client Services.

Approved by:

Jules Skamarack Laboratory Manager

Enclosure(s)



## CORPOR IN 2280 DIAMOND BOULEVARD, SUITE 200 CONCORD, CALIFORNIA 94520

۰t

	Dec	embe	r 190	70 (	CHAIN	I OF	С	ĽU	SI	[O]	D	Y	R	E(	CC	)R	D					226 C() PH	80 DIAMOND BOULEVARD, SUITE 20 INCORD, CALIFORNIA 9452 HONE (415) 687–9700
PROJECT NU NADO	MBER 3174F1 (SIGNATURE)	PROJECT NUM	vore V	lly Join	nt Uliúfie	d Schad	CASOLINE 015/5030)	- DIESEL \/3550/3510)	LE AROMATICS 602. 8020)	C HALOCARBONS 01. 8010)	C CRGANICS	JTRALS, ACIDS 525.8270)	L & GREASE	NCIDES/PCB 38, 8080)	5271C1DES 4/8140)	6 METALS	Y METALS	SEE PRINT					REMARKS REQUIRED DELECTION LIMITS
SAMPLE NUMBER	DATE	lime	MATRIX	NUMBER OF CONTAINERS	CONTAINER SIZE	PRE 5ERVAINE	1 1 (5 3)	7 C L (EPA 8015	PURGEAB	PURCEABLE (EPA 6	VOLATILÊ (EPA 6	BASE/NE	TOTAL OI (SHWW	OC PES	0 0 0 (EPA 51	TITLE 2	LAORI LAORI	FUEL FILE EAA 826					
1-2	12-13-90	11:12	Soil	1	24246	-" ICe	$\boldsymbol{\lambda}$		$\boldsymbol{X}$	[								[					16'
1-3	12-13	11:22	Soil	1	Z/2×6	"ICe	$\times$		X		<u> </u>												21'
1-5	12-13	11:46	501	ļļ	Z12"x6	" 1Ce	X		X		ļ				·····			L.					31'
1-11	12-13	12 51	Soil	<u> </u>	2/z x4	2 ICe	X		X				 	<b>_</b>									441
2-2	12.13	15:22	soil	1	2'12'×6'	· lie	<b>X</b> .		X														16'
NW 1-2	12.14-90	8:50	Soil		21/2×6	10	×		×														
MW 1-4	12-14	9:08	Soi ()	<u> </u>	2/12×6	- ico	X							<u> </u>				<u> </u>			$\vdash$		16
MW1-6	12-14	9:30	Soil		21/2×6	" ice	x		×		1										<u> </u>		36
<u>Mw-18</u>	12-14	10:05	scil	<b>I</b>	21/3×6	ice.	X		X										└── 				46'
																<u> </u>						-	
											ł	CI	JS	T(	DE	Y	S	E		EI	Di	2/	18/10
												6		1			Ī		0		F	<b></b>	<b></b>
				l								Q	74	00		WL.	ろ	17	ûn	21	i		
			-														a	1	ta	+	4.		-/
RELINGUISHE	D BY: (SICNATUR	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	}				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	L				
Ra	chel	Bildest	<u>ט</u>	12-18-	10. 12:29	Michay	P U	$v_{a}$	ZJI S JUK	/90 uì	REI	INQUIS	HED B	r: (SiG	NATUR	E)			L		IME		ACCEMED BY: (SIGNATURE)
RELINGUISHE	D BY: (SIGNATUR	uaui		12/18/9	E/TIME F	RECEIVED BY: (SIG	NATUR	ε)			REL	inquis	HED B	r: (SIG	NATUR	E)			(		INE		RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE)
RELINQUISHE	D BY: (SIGNATUR	RE)		блі	E/TIME R	ECEIVED FOR LAB	ORATO	RY BY:	(SIGN/	NURE)			DATE/	TINE	<u> </u>	REM	ARKS	- <u>-</u>	5T"	FO	R.	TF	"H (GAGOLINE)+BTX
L	,	DISTRI	BUTION: (	DRIGINAL	ACCOMPANIES	SHIPMENT:	-Се. сог	 >Y T(	) PR	OJE'C	12  :T FI	<u>////</u> ELD	145 FILE	033 	ю. С			E		τ	>¢	Y	TURNAROUND.



NET Pacific, Inc.Client Acct: 442NET Pacific, Inc.Client Name: ENGEONET Log No: 5403

Date: 12-27-90 Page: 2

## Ref: Livermore Valley Joint Unified School; Project: N903174F1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: \*\*\*\*\*\* 12-19-90 0950 LAB Job No: (-71131 )

Parameter	Method	Reporting Limit	Results	Units
PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS				
VOLATILE (WATER)				
DILUTION FACTOR *			1	
DATE ANALYZED			12-21-90	
METHOD GC FID/5030				
as <b>Gasolin</b> e		0.05	1.4	ang/L
METHOD 602				- · ·
DILUTION FACTOR *	,		10	
DATE ANALYZED			12-26-90	
Benzene		0.5	63	ag/b
Ethylbenzene		0.5	8.0	ug/L
Toluene		0.5	52	ug/L
Xylenes, total		0.5	590	ug/L



.

<	:	Less than; When appearing in results column indicates analyte not detected at the value following. This datum supercedes the listed Reporting Limit.
*	:	Reporting Limits are a function of the dilution factor for any given sample. To obtain the actual reporting limits for this sample, multiply the stated Reporting Limits by the dilution factor (but do not multiply reported values).
ICVS	:	Initial Calibration Verification Standard (External Standard).
mean	:	Average; sum of measurements divided by number of measurements.
mg/Kg (ppm)	:	Concentration in units of milligrams of analyte per kilogram of sample, wet-weight basis (parts per million).
mg/L	:	Concentration in units of milligrams of analyte per liter of sample.
mL/L/hr	:	Milliliters per liter per hour.
MPN/100 mL	:	Most probable number of bacteria per one hundred milliliters of sample.
N/A	:	Not applicable.
NA	:	Not analyzed.
ND	:	Not detected; the analyte concentration is less than applicable listed reporting limit.
NTU	:	Nephelometric turbidity units.
RPD	:	Relative percent difference, 100 [Value 1 - Value 2]/mean value.
SNA	:	Standard not available.
ug/Kg (ppb)	:	Concentration in units of micrograms of analyte per kilogram of sample, wet-weight basis (parts per billion).
ug/L	:	Concentration in units of micrograms of analyte per liter of sample.

umhos/cm : Micromhos per centimeter.

#### Method References

Methods 100 through 493: see "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water & Wastes", U.S. EPA, 600/4-79-020, rev. 1983.

Methods 601 through 625: see "Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants" U.S. EPA, 40 CFR, Part 136, rev. 1988.

Methods 1000 through 9999: see "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste", U.S. EPA SW-846, 3rd edition, 1986.

SM: see "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water & Wastewater, 16th Edition, APHA, 1985.

, <b>1</b>				<b>1</b> 0000	CHAI	N OF		• •	S	FO	ם ער דים	7	R	E(		5 R	■ 40 D	3		<b>ا</b> ج-		E I I 22E CO	ENGEO NCORPORATED BO DIAMOND BOULEVARD, SUITE 200 DNCORD, CALIFORNIA 94520
ROJECT NU	MBER	PROJECT NAME		1900			Tu V		~ . [γ]	. ↓ [v]	<u>в</u>	v I	<u>л</u>		<i>и</i>	<u>и</u>	<b>v</b>					PI	HONE (415) 687-9700
5091	174F1	Liver	nore	VIIya	Loint U	nifiel Sc	, Z	13 13 13 13	MATIC 20)	ARBON	0 NV V	ACID ACID	REAS	D d / S	ŭ O	TAL:	TAL						
MPLED BY:	(SIGNATURE)	BARS	N	j			* 8015/5030	015/3550/31	ABLE ARO EPA 602.80	BLE HALOC	1 624, 8240)	4EUTRALS, 34 625,8270)	01L & G N 5520(F))	ESTICIDES 608, 8080)	⊃ESTIC 614/8140)	26 ME (17)	ст. (เ.)						REMARKS REQUIRED DETECTION LIMITS
SANPLE NUMBER	ØATE	Тіме	MATRIX	NUMBER OF CONTAINERS	CONTAINER SIZE	PRESERVAIN		I A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	PURGE BTEX (	PURGEA (EP	VOLAT (E)	BASE/I	TOTAL (SW	11 13 00	2 4 0	TITLE	e E O			-			EdauTAT
NN I	12-19-90	9:50	Wodar	<b>9</b> 4	Hom	HCL	X		Х														Sungtat
	, 	 																	i				
					- <u></u>																		
_								<b> </b>													-	<u> </u>	-
							-			-													
																2	S	ΓC	D	Y	SI	ĒA	LED 12/19/40
	 															5	01	2		m	$\mathbf{F}$		
					·											<u> </u>	140		-4	¥7,	jsnje 	1 4	Wall see that
ELINQUISHE	d by: (signatur	E Bloke	de	DAT 12/19/94	e/time   4:00	RECEIVED BY: (51		E R	MIS UN	2/90 1	REL	M	ED BY	r: (sigi	HATUR	E) NU	•	1	2/1	date/ 9	INE		RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE)
ELINQUISHE	d by: (Signatui	RE)		DAT	e/time	RECEIVED BY: (S	GNATU	E)			RELI	NQUISH	ED 87	: (SIGN	VATURI	E)			 ,	DATE/	RME		RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE)
LINQUISHE	D 9Y: (SIGNATU	RE) [VZA A	JL()	DAT	E/TIME	RECEIVED FOR LA	BORATO	RY BY:	(SIGN	IATURE)	12	( 1201	DATE /1	IME O O Z		REM	arks TE	 51	· F		2-7	Pł	tg & BTEX
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	DISTRI	BUTION: (	ORIGINAL	ACCOMPANII	es shipment	; co.	J PY T	0 PF	ROJEC	T FL	ELD .	FILE	s				5	20	Ła	М	Ti	rnaround



ALAMEDA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

5997 PARKSIDE DRIVE

PLEASANTON, CALIFORNIA 94588

**)** (415) 484-2600

#### 11 December 1990

Engeo, Inc. 2280 Diamond Boulevard, #200 Concord, CA 94520



Gentlemen:

Enclosed is Groundwater Protection Ordinance permit 90702 for a monitoring well construction project at 2900 Ladd Avenue in Livermore for Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District.

3

Please note that permit condition A-3 requires that a well construction report be submitted after completion of the work. The report should include drilling and completion logs, location sketch, and permit number.

If you have any questions, please contact Todd Wendler or Craig Mayfield at 484-2600.

Very truly yours,

J. Killingstad, Chief Water Resources Engineering

TW:mm Enc.



### ALAMEDA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

5997 PARKSIDE DRIVE . PLEASANTON, CALIFORNIA 94566 . (415) 484-2600

#### GROUNDWATER PROTECTION ORDINANCE PERMIT APPLICATION

#### FOR APPLICANT TO COMPLETE

(I)	LOCATION OF PROJECT LIVER More Valley School District
	Transportation Facility
	2900 LADD AVE, LIVERMORE
(2)	CLIENT R.F. D'AMBRA c/o Name Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District Address 685 Las Bostas Blvd Phone 4479500
Ì	City Livermore Zip 94550
(3)	APPLICANT
	Name BRIAN FLAMETZY C/0
	Address 2280 Diamond Blud 200 Phone 415 687 9700 City Concord Zip 94520
(4)	DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT Water Well Construction × Geotechnical ×
	Cathodic Protection Well Destruction
(5)	PROPOSED WATER WELL USE Domestic Industrial Irrigation
	Municipal Monitoring 🔀 Other
(6)	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION Drilling Method: Mud Rotary Air Rotary Auger X Cable Other
	WELL PROJECTS 6" AUGER Drill Hole Diameter <u>8</u> in. Depth(s) <u>40-50</u> ft. Casing Diameter <u>2</u> in. Number Surface Seal Depth <u>30</u> <sup>40</sup> ft. of Wells <u>1</u> Driller's License No. <u>Kvilhav</u> <u>482390</u>
	GEOTECHNICAL PROJECTS Number <u>4-6</u> Diameter <u>8</u> in. Maximum Depth <u>40</u> ft. (0
(7)	ESTIMATED STARTING DATE DEC 13,1090 ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE DEC 14, 1990
(8)	I hereby agree to comply with all requirements of this permit and Alameda County Ordinance No. 73-68.
	APPLICANT'S Fright Celling Date 12.5.90

#### FOR OFFICE USE

PERMIT NUMBER	90702
LOCATION NUMBER	



#### PERMIT CONDITIONS

Circled Permit Requirements Apply

#### A.) GENERAL

- A permit application should be submitted so as to arrive at the Zone 7 office five days prior to proposed starting date.
- Notify this office (484-2600) at least one day prior to starting work on permitted work and before placing well seals.
- 3. Submit to Zone 7 within 60 days after completion of permitted work the original Department of Water Resources Water Well Drillers Report or equivalent for well projects, or bore hole logs and location sketch for geotechnical projects. Permitted work is completed when the last surface seal is placed or the last boring is completed.
- 4. Permit is void if project not begun within 90 days of approval date.

) WATER WELLS, INCLUDING PIEZOMETERS

- 1. Minimum surface seal thickness is two inches of cement grout placed by tremle, or equivalent.
- Minimum seal depth is 50 feet for municipal and industrial wells or 20 feet for domestic, irrigation, and monitoring wells unless a lesser depth is specially approved. tremied cement grout

) GEOTECHNICAL. Backfill bore hole with <del>compacted tot</del> <del>dings</del>=or heavy bentonite and upper two feet with compacted material.

D. CATHODIC. Fill hole above anode zone with concrete placed by tremie, or equivalent.

E. WELL DESTRUCTION, See attached.