

## COMPREHENSIVE SITE EVALUATION AND PROPOSED FUTURE ACTION PLAN

at

Chevron Service Station 9-7127 Highway I-580 and Grant Line Road Tracy, California

prepared for

Chevron U.S.A. Products Company P.O. Box 5004 San Ramon, California 94583-0804



## COMPREHENSIVE SITE EVALUATION AND PROPOSED FUTURE ACTION PLAN

at

## Chevron Service Station 9-7127 Highway I-580 and Grant Line Road Tracy, California

prepared by

Weiss Associates 5500 Shellmound Street Emeryville, CA 94608

Cynthia N. Okano Staff Engineer

Alison W. Watts

Senior Staff Geologist

Weiss Associates work for Chevron U.S.A. Products Company, P.O. Box 5004, San Ramon, California, was conducted under my supervision. To the best of my knowledge, the data contained herein are true and accurate and satisfy the specified scope of work prescribed by the client for this project. The data, findings, recommendations, specifications, or professional opinions were prepared solely for the use of Chevrone Soletan in accordance with generally accepted professional engineering and geologic practoce we make in other warranty, either expressed or implied, and are not responsible for the interpretation by other of these data.



Eric M. Nichols, 13 October, 1994

K M. Nichols, 13 October, 1994 Registered Civil Engineer No. 42695

Page

#### CONTENTS

.

¥

.

·	
Summary	vi
Introduction	1
Site History	1
Site Setting Site Investigations Remedial Actions	1 2 7
Evaluation of Non-Attainment Area Criteria and Future Action Plan	8
Discussion of Category I Non-Attainment Area Criteria Future Action Plan	<b>8</b> 11
Conclusions	13
References	14

#### APPENDICES

#### Appendix A. Figures

Site Location Map - Former Chevron Service Station #9-7127

Monitoring Well Locations and Ground Water Elevation Contour Map (MW-1 through MW-5, February, April, June, 1994)

Site Map - Former Chevron Service Station #9-7127

Soil Vapor Sampling Points Location Map

Site Plan and Soil Boring Location Map (B-1 through B-7)

Water Supply Well Sampling Locations (T-1 and T-2)

Tank Removal Diagram, Diagram One - Soil Sampling Locations beneath Former Tanks

Tank Removal Diagram, Diagram Two - Soil Sampling Locations beneath Former Tanks

Additional Excavation Diagram

#### **APPENDICES (Cont.)**

#### Appendix B. Tables

Summary of Soil Vapor Monitoring Data

Table of Sampling Locations and Analytical Results - Tank Removal and Over-excavation

Summary of Soils Analytical Data (B1 through B7)

Soil Analytical Data (B-1 and MW-1)

Soil Analytical Data (MW-5/B-4)

Water Supply Well Sampling (1987-1989)

Water Supply Well Sampling (1989)

Water Well Analytical Data (1993)

Groundwater Elevation Data (MW-1 through MW-3, December 1992)

Water Level Data and Well Construction Details (MW-1 through MW-5, February 1994)

Groundwater Analytical Data (MW-2 and MW-3, December 1992)

Groundwater Analytical Data (MW-4 and MW-5, May 1993)

Analytical Results for Ground Water (MW-1 through MW-5, June 1994)

Appendix C. Boring Logs

#### SUMMARY

The Chevron site at Highway I-580 and Grant Line Road in Tracy, California is a former Chevron service station and is currently used for cattle-grazing. The station ceased operation in 1986 and the product dispensers were subsequently removed. In April 1991, Blaine Tech Services, Inc. (Blaine) oversaw the removal of the station's underground storage tanks (USTs) and associated product lines, and over-excavated areas suspected of containing hydrocarbon-impacted soil. Five monitoring wells were installed at the site in 1992-1993. Quarterly sampling was initiated in January 1994.

Review of historical monitoring and subsurface site investigation data shows that:

The hydrocarbon plume is primarily contained onsite: The highest concentrations of  $f_{i} = p^{columbrative}$  benzene have been detected in MW-1 and MW-3 in the court is the court of the souther in the court of the souther is the souther is the court of the souther is the souther the site. Samples collected from two wells, MW-5 and an onsite water-supply well, contained low to non-detectable levels of hydrocarbons. MW-5 is screened in a shallow water bearing zone 30 ft below ground surface (bgs). The water-supply well is apparently screened approximately 90 ft bgs. Low benzene concentrations were detected in a temporary boring installed to the north of the site. An additional monitoring well will be installed in this location to determine the extent of hydrocarbon impact in this area.

These soils were used for backfilling and compacting the excavations. The vertical extent of the excavation was limited by the underlying bedrock.

> The hydrocarbons present in the subsurface do not present a threat to human health: The area surrounding the site has a very low population density, and the primary land use is cattle grazing. The only known well in the vicinity of the plume, a water supply well located at the former Chevron site, is used for irrigation and stock watering, and is not used to supply drinking water.

To determine the full extent of the plume, and to assist in determining the direction of plume migration, Chevron will install an additional monitoring well to the north of the site. If, after installation of this well, and after additional monitoring has been performed, it appears that the plume is confined to the vicinity of the site, Chevron will request establishment of a non-attainment area at this site.

bedrock at this

site/at 20'?

#### **INTRODUCTION**

At the request of Chevron U.S.A (Chevron), Weiss Associates (WA) has prepared this site evaluation for former Chevron service station 9-7127, located at Highway I-580 and Grant Line Road, Tracy, California. The objectives of this evaluation are to: 1) address the request for additional investigation outlined in the July 19, 1994 letter to Chevron from Eva Chu, of the ACDEH; 2) Summarize all investigative and remedial actions performed at this site to date; 3) evaluate whether the site meets the proposed Regional Water Quality Control Board - San Francisco Bay Region (RWQCB) criteria for establishment of a non-attainment area; and 4) outline a recommended future action plan. This summary presents background on the site investigation and remediation activities, reviews the RWQCB criteria for establishment of a non-attainment area, and outlines the proposed future action plan. The site-specific information presented in this report was compiled from the reports listed in the References Section.

#### SITE HISTORY

#### SITE SETTING

The site is a former Chevron service station and is located at the southeast corner of the junction between Grant Line Road and Interstate 580 in Tracy, California (Appendix A). The site lies adjacent to the freeway and is situated within rolling foothills northwest of Tracy. With the exception of a water-supply well, all site structures have been removed. The site is currently used for cattle-grazing. Grant Line Road terminates at the south end of the site, creating a cul-de-sac which commuters use for daily parking. The station was operational for 15 years, between 1971 and 1986. The service station had three underground storage tanks (two 9,500-gallon and one 5,750-gallon). However, based on the extent of backfill materials northeast of the tank complex, it appears that the fuel tank complex may have formerly contained a fourth tank. A 1,500-gallon waste oil tank and a 850-gallon heating fuel tank were located northeast of the station building. All tanks were constructed of single-walled fiberglass. The underground tanks and associated piping were removed on April 4, 1991.

The site is located in a small basin in the eastern foothills of the Diablo Range, in eastern Alameda County, California. The Diablo Range is a northwest-southeast trending range of mountains bounded to the west by the flatlands of the San Francisco Bay area, and to the east by the San Joaquin Valley. Site elevation is approximately 326 ft above mean sea level (msl). The site is underlain by approximately 6 to 17 ft of fill and Quaternary alluvial fan and fluvial deposits which overly bedrock. Bedrock in the vicinity of the site is derived from two formations, the Upper Cretaceous Panoche Formation and the Miocene Neroly Formation. The Panoche Formation was not encountered during drilling activities, but has been mapped to the northwest and west of the site. The Neroly Formation has been described as a marine blue to gray sandstone, which is pebbly in some locations.

#### SITE INVESTIGATIONS

1987 Subsurface Investigation/Soil Vapor Survey: In October 1987, EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc. (EA) performed a soil vapor investigation at the site. Thirteen onsite and two offsite soil vapor points were sampled. These samples were taken at depths of 3 to 12 ft bgs. A maximum of 3,200 parts per million (ppm) benzene and 28,500 ppm TPH-G were detected in V4, at a depth of 3 ft bgs, located in the vicinity of the former gasoline tank complex and associated piping. Analytic data for soil and ground water are included in Appendix B. Based on the results of this survey, EA recommended installing five ground water monitoring wells onsite.

ž,

1987 Subsurface Investigation: In December 1987, Kleinfelder, Inc. drilled seven soil borings (B-1 through B-7) to depths ranging from 6 to 20 ft bgs. Kleinfelder encountered auger refusal at these depths due to the sandstone bedrock which underlies the surficial fill beneath the site. Soil samples collected from these borings contained maximum concentrations of 2,300 ppm TPH-G and 19 ppm benzene from B-4, at a depth of approximately 15 ft bgs. Boring B-4, located at the northeast end of the gasoline tank complex backfill, was drilled in what appeared to be an older, backfilled tank cavity. Kleinfelder also collected a water sample from an onsite tap (T-1) supplied by a well located near the southeast corner of the site. This water sample, collected on December 21, 1987, contained 2 parts per billion (ppb) benzene. No other hydrocarbon constituents were detected. A confirmation sample, collected on January 5, 1988 from tap T-2, located adjacent to the well-head, contained 4 ppb benzene. Locations of these sampling points are presented in Appendix A. Summary tables of hydrocarbon concentrations detected in soil during this investigation are presented in Appendix B, and the boring logs are included in Appendix C.

1987 Well Survey: In December 1987, Kleinfelder also conducted a well survey to identify documented water-supply wells (in addition to a water-supply well located on site) in the vicinity of the site. This survey identified two nearby wells: 1) one well located approximately one-half mile southeast (cross-gradient) of the site on the opposite side of a hill; and 2) another well located approximately 300 yards uphill (upgradient) of the site. This second well was reportedly damaged during the 1980 earthquake (along the Greenville Fault near Livermore) and is not used.

The only information regarding construction details of the onsite water supply well consisted of a verbal estimate given by Henning Brothers Drilling Company (Henning) of Modesto, California. Henning did not have any records of the onsite well but had installed several wells in the area and believed the total depth to be about 90 ft with the bottom 20 ft screened. There is no permit on file with the Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, Zone 7.

1988-1989 Water Supply Well Sampling: Additional water samples were collected from the onsite water supply well between January 1988 and May 1989, at various locations along the well piping and at the well-head. These water samples were collected by Kleinfelder and the results of these sampling events were reported in separate addenda. Benzene concentrations detected in these samples ranged from ND to 6.4 ppb.

1989 Carbon Adsorption Treatment System: In May 1989, Gettler-Ryan Inc. installed a carbon adsorption treatment system on the onsite water-supply well due to concentrations of benzene detected in water samples. Gettler-Ryan initially collected weekly water samples from the system effluent and analyzed for TPH-G and BTEX. After two months, samples were collected biweekly; beginning January 1990, samples were collected quarterly. No hydrocarbon constituents were detected in any of the effluent samples. What about mfluent panyle?

1991 Tank/Line Removal and Over-excavation: On April 4, 1991, Golden West Builders and the five underground storage tanks and the product lines from the site. Blaine Tech Services, Inc. (Blaine) visually inspected the tanks and collected and analyzed soils from the tank and product line excavations. No holes were observed in any of the tanks. A total of 33 soil samples were collected from the tank and product line excavations and from stockpiles of the excavated soils (8 samples from the tank excavation, 2 from the product line excavation, 2 from the product line excavation, 2 from the product line excavations in the soil samples indicated that hydrocarbon-impacted soils were primarily limited to the northeast and southern portion of the tank complex. Concentrations in these areas ranged from 710 to 5,700 ppm TPH-G and ND to 30 ppm benzene. Approximately 400 cubic yards of soil were excavated during excavation of the tank and product lines.

**Over-excavation** of contaminated areas was performed to remove elevated levels of hydrocarbons, but was limited to a depth of approximately 14 ft bgs by bedrock. Two soil samples were collected from the floor of the gasoline tank pit and one soil sample was collected from the floor of the waste oil/fuel oil tank pit. Four soil samples were collected from the product line area.

Hydrocarbon concentrations ranged from 16 to 710 ppm TPH-G and 0.001 to 0.013 ppm benzene in the soil samples collected from the floor of the gasoline tank pit. No TPH-G, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes (BTEX), total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPH-D) or volatile organics were detected in the soil samples collected beneath the waste oil tank. 9.2 to 220 ppm TPH-G and 0.005 to 0.085 ppm benzene were detected in the soil samples collected from the product line area. An additional 300 cubic yards of soil were excavated during the over-excavation activities.

Soils generated from the excavation activities were stockpiled and aerated on site until concentrations were reduced to less than 10 ppm TPH-G. The aerated soils, along with clean overburden materials, were used to backfill the excavations.

1992 Well Installation: Intercember 1992, Pacific Environmental Group (PEG) drilled four borings and converted three of the borings into ground water monitoring wells (B-1 and MW-1 through MW-3)<sup>\*</sup> to define the ground water flow direction beneath the site and to investigate ground water conditions. Soil boring B-1 was drilled south of the former tank complex to determine the lateral extent of hydrocarbons in this area. This boring was drilled to 22 ft bgs and ground water was not encountered. No TPH-G was detected at concentrations greater than 4 ppm in soil samples collected from B-1.

Well MW-1 was drilled in the northern portion of the tank complex. The boring location was selected to determine the vertical extent of hydrocarbons beneath the former tank complex. TPH-G and benzene were not encountered in any soil samples above 24 ft bgs. TPH-G and benzene concentrations encountered at 24 ft bgs and 29 ft bgs are probably due to hydrocarbons in the ground water, which occurs at a depth of 26.5 to 28 ft bgs. Ground water was not sampled from MW-1 due to the presence of approximately 1.67 ft of separate-phase hydrocarbons (SPH). The well was bailed on a weekly basis through January 29, 1993 and the SPH was reduced to a sheen. A passive skimmer was installed in the well on January 29, 1993 to ensure the removal of any recurring SPH. The skimmer was emptied every two weeks after its installation. Approximately 7.75 liters of SPH have been

removed from the well via these methods. SPH removed from the well was stored in a doublecontained storage drum pending disposal at Chevron's Richmond Refinery.

Additional exploratory soil borings were initially requested by ACDEH; however, after discussion with an ACDEH representative in the field, it was concluded that these borings were unnecessary based on the findings of well MW-1, which indicated that hydrocarbons beneath the tank complex had penetrated the underlying bedrock. Soils were not analyzed from the borings for wells MW-2 or MW-3 because these wells were not located in potential source areas and because chemical analysis of rock samples cannot be performed by standard methods. No odor was detected in either boring, with the exception of samples collected from near the water table in well MW-3 at a depth of approximately 30 ft bgs. Ground water samples collected from monitoring well MW-3 on December 28, 1992 contained 19,000 ppb TPH-G and 8,900 ppb benzene; no petroleum hydrocarbons were detected in the ground water sample collected from MW-2.

1993 Ground Water Sampling of the Onsite Water-Supply Well: At the request of Chevron, PEG initiated weekly ground water sampling of the onsite water and the sampling of the onsite water at the request of Chevron, PEG samples were analyzed for TPH-G and BTEX compounds. No TPH-G or benzene was detected in any of the weekly water samples collected from January 1993 through March 1993, although low concentrations of toluene and xylene were detected in one sample collected on January 29, 1993.

1993 Well Installation: In May 1993, PEG supervised the installation of two offsite ground water monitoring wells (MW-4/B-2 and MW-5/B-4) and drilling of onsite soil boring B-3. Soil samples collected from well MW-5/B-4 were analyzed for TPH-G and BTEX compounds. No detectable TPH-G or BTEX compounds were present in the samples. No soil samples were collected from boring B-3 or well MW-4/B-2 because they were not drilled in areas of potential hydrocarbon sources. Ground water "grab" samples were collected from each borehole and analyzed for TPH-G and BTEX compounds. No TPH-G was detected in the ground water "grab" samples collected from borings MW-4/B-2 and MW-5/B-4. Benzene was detected in the "grab" sample collected from well MW-4/B-2 at a

waye drast

concentration of 12 ppb. The ground water "grab" sample collected from boring B-3 contained TPH-G at a concentration of 96 ppb and benzene at a concentration of 1 ppb. This boring was backfilled with cement grout via tremie pipe. Ground water samples collected after well development from well MW-4/B-2 contained 300 ppb TPH-G and 56 ppb benzene. TPH-G and benzene were not detected in ground water samples collected from well MW-5/B-4. A site map with boring locations is illustrated in Appendix A. Summary tables of hydrocarbon concentrations detected in soil and ground water during this investigation are presented in Appendix B, and the boring logs are included in Appendix C.

#### **REMEDIAL ACTIONS**

As discussed in detail above, the underground tanks and associated product lines were removed from the site. All of the accessible hydrocarbon-impacted soil was removed and remediated before backfilling and compacting the excavations. The vertical extent of the excavation was restricted by the sandstone bedrock underlying the site. A carbon treatment system was installed in May 1989 to treat water from the water-supply well prior to use. Approximately 7.75 liters of SPH have been removed from MW-1 and no SPH are now present in the well. Recent sampling indicates that no TPH-G or benzene are present in water collected from the water supply well, and the carbon treatment system is no longer needed nor in use.

### **EVALUATION OF NON-ATTAINMENT AREA CRITERIA AND FUTURE ACTION PLAN**

#### DISCUSSION OF CATEGORY I NON-ATTAINMENT AREA CRITERIA

In the following section, each of the criterion specified by the RWQCB for establishing a category I non-attainment area are considered for the subject site.

Criterion a. The Discharger has demonstrated (e.g., pump tests, ground water monitoring, transport modeling) and will verify (e.g., ground water monitoring) that no significant pollution migration will occur due to hydrogeologic or chemical characteristics.

Plume Location: The low hydrocarbon concentrations detected in the water-supply well, MW-2 and MW-5, indicate that the hydrocarbons detected in ground water at the site have not migrated significantly either to the east or west of the site, or vertically to the lower aquifer. Low levels of hydrocarbons detected in well MW-4 and boring B-3 indicate that limited plume migration has occurred to the north and south of the site. The absence of hydrocarbons detected in the most recent sampling of the water-supply well indicates that removal of the hydrocarbon source, including the SPH from monitoring well MW-1 have apparently reduced the onsite hydrocarbon concentrations enough to mitigate the impact to the lower aquifer level. - Needs add'l sampling to verify

Site Hydrogeology: The materials encountered during drilling consisted primarily of sand, clayey sand and clay-fill to depths of 2.5 to 17 ft bgs. These sediments are underlain by sandstone bedrock to the total depth explored. The overlying fill and alluvium thicken toward the south. Neroly Formation sandstone was first encountered from 2.5 ft bgs in the boring for well MW-2 to 17 ft bgs in boring B-1. Boring B-3 and well MW-5/B-4 were drilled outside the surficial fill area. The original tank

UT O

excavations appear to have been extended into bedrock to provide sufficient coverage for of the underground fuel storage tanks. Boring logs for all five wells are presented in Appendix C.

*Site Hydrology:* Ground water was first encountered in the borings for wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4/B-2 at approximate depths of 26.5 to 28 ft bgs, which is within the sandstone bedrock. In boring B-3 and well MW-5/B-4, located downgradient of the site and outside the surficial fill prism, ground water was encountered at approximately 14 to 15 ft bgs. The ground water flow direction appears to be variable, to the north or south, with a gradient of approximately 0.004 to 0.05 ft/ft. Compiled water level data for MW-1 through MW-5/B-4, B-1 and B-3 are presented in tables included in Appendix B. Ground water elevation contour maps are presented in Appendix A.

Criterion b. Adequate Source Removal and/or isolation is undertaken to limit future migration of pollutants to ground water.

*Source Removal:* No spill has ever been documented at the site and the source for the hydrocarbons detected in ground water has never been determined. The SPH discovered in monitoring well MW-1 was bailed and reduced to a sheen. The gasoline tanks and product piping removed in April 1991 were inspected and reported to be in good condition, and the hydrocarbon-impacted soil in the vicinity of the former tanks and product lines was excavated during tank removal and remediated prior to backfilling and compacting the excavations. There are no source areas remaining in the subsurface which require further remediation.

Criterion c. Dissolved phase cleanup is not cost-effective due to limited water quality, environmental, and human health risks and separate phases have been or are actively being removed.

SPH encountered in MW-1 after installation have been removed, and no SPH have been detected at the site in over a year. Extensive excavation has successfully removed most of the source areas as



discussed in detail under the Remedial Actions section presented above. Other potential remedial options are discussed below. The other neducal options have been consider other other being a first potential consider other other

Ground Water and Soil Vapor Extraction: Ground water extraction and treatment combined with soil vapor extraction and treatment is the most common and often most effective technology for controlling and remediating ground water hydrocarbon plumes. Ground water/soil vapor extraction is initially very effective at reducing plume mass and concentrations. However, it has been demonstrated that asymptotic extracted concentrations are frequently encountered, whereby hydrocarbon mass extracted by the system is balanced by hydrocarbon diffusion and desorption from low permeability materials in the plume. However, the remote location of the site presents significant technical difficulties; discharge of treated water would require either re-injection, or discharge to a surface point. In addition, from for these techniques would be hindered by the heterogeneity and low permeability of the surficial fill and to chart bedrock under the site.

In summary, results for the site to date indicate that the remediation which has been performed at the site has removed as much of the hydrocarbons as is technically and economically feasible. The area surrounding the site is used for cattle-grazing and has a very low population density. There is no direct threat to human health, and this site does not appear to warrant the expense and uncertainty associated with additional remedial technologies. What about air spargurgers

Criterion d. An acceptable plan is submitted and implemented for containing and managing the remaining human health, water quality and environmental risks, if any, posed by residual soil and ground water pollution.

In September, 1993, Environmental Health Consultants performed an evaluation of the health risk associated with the low levels of hydrocarbons detected in the onsite water-supply well, based on concentrations detected between December 10, 1992 and September 9, 1993. The maximum benzene concentration detected during this time was 0.8 ppb. Water from the water-supply well is currently

exposure routes were identified; human consumption, and livestock consumption. After discussion with personnel at the ranch, the California Department of Food and Agriculture, and Dr. Frank Galey, a Veterinary Toxicologist at the University of California at Davis, the study reviewed California State and United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) risk guidlines and concluded that the low levels of hydrocarbons detected in the well did not pose a threat to human health for the following reasons: 1) Benzene was not detected at concentrations exceeding California MCLs during the study period; 2) the water is not used for human consumption, therefore no direct human exposure route exists; and 3) benzene concentrations below the MCLs for human consumption would probably have no adverse effect upon the health of cows.

As discussed previously, the onsite water-supply well is not used for human consumption, and does not appear to be a risk to human health. Our plan for containing and managing the remaining risks posed by residual hydrocarbons identified in the shallow ground water at this site includes additional plume definition, continued ground water monitoring for hydrocarbons within the plume for a limited period of time and a contingency plan to be implemented if monitoring indicates significant downgradient migration and/or increasing concentrations in the plume. The proposed schedule for continued monitoring is presented in the future action plan below.

#### FUTURE ACTION PLAN

Well Installation: Chevron will install an additional site well, MW-6, to the north of the former septic tank pit, near the location of boring B-3. Although review of the site data indicate that the southern extent of the plume may not be fully defined, additional investigation in this direction is not possible due to the presence of I-580, which runs near the southeast border of the site. The nearest possible well location in this direction is several hundred feet from the site, and probably would not provide any useful information.

Ground Water Monitoring and Sampling Schedule: Currently, all five wells at the site are monitored quarterly for hydrocarbons. Although the ground water gradient direction does appear to vary, we do

not feel that monthly ground water monitoring is necessary; the most effective method of determining the direction of plume transport is by mapping the hydrocarbon distributions. The hydrocarbon concentrations detected in the existing site wells indicate that most of the hydrocarbons remain in the central and eastern portions of the site, and that some migration has occurred to the north and south. Installation of MW-6 will assist in a more accurate determination of the plume distribution.

After installation of MW-6, we propose the following monitoring schedule:

- 1) Sample the six site wells and the water-supply well quarterly through summer 1995, to complete one additional year of quarterly monitoring after well installation.
- 2) Sample the six site wells and the water-supply well semi-annually in the winter and summer quarters through 1997.
- 3) A Monitoring and Sampling Report will be submitted to the ACDEH after each sampling event.
- 3) If, by the summer of 1997, it appears that no significant plume migration is occurring, and the hydrocarbon concentrations in ground water are decreasing due to natural biodegradation, a non-attainment area will be established at this site.

If significant plume migration does occur, and appears to present a threat to human health or the surrounding aquifer, or if elevated concentrations are encountered in well MW-6, Chevron will re-evaluate this plan, and will establish an appropriate course of action.



#### CONCLUSIONS

Data collected at the site demonstrate the following points:

- the vertical direction. Not demonstrated significantly in either the horizontal or the vertical direction. Not demonstrated since supply well is X grad,
- As much of the hydrocarbon-impacted soil as was technically feasible has been removed from the site.
- The ground water gradient is relatively flat and the subsurface lithology is heterogeneous and underlain by sandstone bedrock.
- The site and vicinity is used for cattle-grazing and there is no threat to human health or further impact to the quality of the surrounding ground water.
  - Additional remediation at this site is technically difficult and may have limited value. What about air sprage lenhance biodegradation at sife (MW-J3)

After review of the data summarized in this report, and consideration of the proposed NAA criteria, we have determined that this site is not a candidate for establishment of a non-attainment area at this time. We propose, therefore, to perform additional plume definition and quarterly monitoring to more fully establish the plume location, and to determine whether significant offsite transport is occurring. If it appears that the plume is contained onsite, and that natural biodegradation is occurring, we will request that a non-attainment area be established. A monitoring and contingency plan will be developed at that time based upon the plume configuration and current regulatory requirements.

We request, therefore, that the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health accept the future action plan outlined in this report, and consider the site a potential candidate for establishment of a non-attainment area.

#### REFERENCES

EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., November 1987. Report of Investigation, Chevron SS 9-7127, Grant Line Road and Interstate 580, Tracy, California.

Kleinfelder, January 6, 1988. Final Report: Subsurface Environmental Investigation at Chevron Service Station #7127, South Grant Line Road, Tracy, California.

Kleinfelder, January 19, 1988. Addendum to Final Report: Subsurface Environmental Investigation Chevron Service Station #7127, South Grant Line Road, Tracy, CA.

Kleinfelder, August 2, 1989. Final Report, Domestic Water Contaminant Source Evaluation, Former Chevron Service Station #7127, South Grant Line Road, Tracy, California.

Environmental Health Consultants, September 20, 1993. Letter Report to Mr. Steven Krcik of Pacific Environmental Group providing a limited health risk evaluation concerning benzene, toluene and xylenes found in ground water at former Chevron Service Station #9-7127 in Tracy, California.

GeoStrategies Inc., September 14, 1989. Sampling Data Summary, Chevron Service Station #7127, South Grantline Road, Tracy, California.

Gettler-Ryan, Inc., February 5, 1990. Water Treatment System Sampling Report, Chevron Service Station #7127, Grantline/I-580, Tracy, California.

Gettler-Ryan, Inc., October 1, 1990. Water Treatment System Sampling Report, Chevron Service Station #7127, Grantline/I-580, Tracy, California.

Gettler-Ryan, Inc., April 9, 1991. Water Treatment System Sampling Report, Chevron Service Station #7127, Grantline/I-580, Tracy, California.

Blaine Tech Services, Inc., June 24, 1991. Full Service Station Demolition with Removal of All Above Ground and Subsurface Installations, Chevron Service Station No. 97127, I-580 & Grantline Road, Tracy, California.

Pacific Environmental Group, Inc., March 22, 1993. Soil and Groundwater Investigation, Former Chevron U.S.A. Service Station 9-7127, Highway I-580 at Grant Line Road, Tracy, California. Project 325-04.01.

#### REFERENCES (cont.)

Pacific Environmental Group, Inc., December 3, 1993. Installation of Off-site Groundwater Monitoring Wells MW-4/B-2 and MW-5/B-4, Former Chevron U.S.A. Service Station 9-7127, Interstate 580 at Grant Line Road, Tracy, California. Project 325-04.04.

Sierra Environmental Services, March 25, 1994. Quarterly Ground Water Sampling Report, Former Chevron Service Station #9-7127, Interstate 580 at Grant Line Road, Altamont Pass, California. SES Project #1-369-04.



Figure 1: Location of Chevron Service Station 9-7127, Tracy, California.

1.11.014



Former Chevron Service Station #9-7127, Interstate 580 and Grant Line Road, Altamont Pass, California

7/6/94

MW-5 is men screened from 5-25'- could be above agoicter/dury









#### TANK REMOVAL DIAGRAM

DIAGRAM ONE

.

April 4, 1991 / 910404-G-1



#### TANK REMOVAL DIAGRAM

DIAGRAM TWO



#### ADDITIONAL EXCAVATION DIAGRAM



TABLE	1
-------	---

#### 

#### SUMMARY OF SOIL VAPOR MONITORING DATA

#### CHEVRON SERVICE STATION #7127

#### TRACY, CALIFORNIA

	**********			222266883341	22722685557353
		PRIOR TO			DETECTED
SAMPLE	SAMPLE	BENZENE(1)	BENZENE	TOLUENE	<b>KYDROCARBONS</b>
LOCATION	DEPTH	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)
		****************		***********	22233525852322
V1	3	<5	<1	<1	<5
V1/8	5	3700	650	3200	7500
V1/C	8	18000	600	2800	20000
<b>V2</b>	5	130	<5	30	160
V3	3	10	5	10	30
V3/B	5	<5	1	10	15
V4	3	20000	3200	5200	28500
V4/B	5	120	130	1900	2000
<b>v</b> 5	5	1	. <1	<5	<5
V5/B	7	620	40	<1	750
V6	5	1150	540	160	7300
v7 ·	5	1300	<5	<5	1400
VB	3	<1	<1	<1	<1 .
V8/B	8	. <1	<1	<1	<1
v9	8	1	<sup>·</sup> <1	<10	10
V10	8	<1	<1	<1	<1
V11	5	<1	<1	<1	<1
V12	8	<1	<b>&lt;</b> 1	<1	<1
v13	12	20	<1	<1	25
V14	8	<1	<b>&lt;1</b> -	<1	<1
V15	12	<1	<1	<1	<1
BLANK	NA	<0.1	<0,1	<0.1	- HA
BLANK	NA	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	NA
Detection Li	mīt	0.5	0.5	0	.5 1
	**********		************	========================	

NA = Not Applicable ppm = parts per million

(1) Quantification based on the volt-second:ppm response ratio for benzene. Source: EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc. report dated 11/13/87

(Note: See Plate 2 for sampling point locations.)

# (PAGE 1)

# TABLE OF SAMPLING LOCATIONS AND ANALYTICAL RESULTS

#### NOTE: Analytical results are reported in Parts Per Million or Parts Per Billion

I.D. Given	SAMPLZ DEPTH	SAMPLING	TYPE & METHOD			BTS							(		<b></b>
SAMPLE AREA	BELOW GRADE	DICTATED BY	FOR THE SAMPLE OBTAINED	SAMPLE MATRIX	DATE SAMP LED	CHAIN OF CUSTODY I.D.	BTS SAMPLE I.D.	NAME OF DOHS HMTL LABORATORY	LABORATORY SAMPLE I.D.	TPH As GAS	BEN- Zene	TOL- UENE	ETHYL BEN- ZENE	XY- Lines	TOTAL LEAD
AF'	14.0	STANDARD	INTRFACE	SOIL	04/04/91	910404-G-1	#5	SEQUOIA	104-0738	4000	ND	41	66	310	13
Хор	13.5	LIA	SIDEWALL	SOIL	04/04/91	910404-G-1	F4	SEQUOIA	104-0737	1.0	0.0070	ND	0.0050	0.030	9.1
BP	14.0	STANDARD	INTRFACE	SOIL	04/04/91	910404-G-1	16	SEQUOIA	104-0739	5700	20	220	110	560	80
Вор	14.0	'LIA	SIDEWALL	SOIL	04/04/91	910404-G-1	#3	SEQUOIA	104-0736	ND	0.0070	0.016	0,012	0.030	۲.1
CF	12.5	LIA	SIDEWALL	SOIL	04/04/91	910404-G-1	17	SEQUOIA	104-0740	2.1	0.018	0,013	0.014	0.046	6.9
Cop	15.0	STANDARD	INTRFACE	SOIL	04/04/91	910404-G-1	F2 ·	SEQUOIA	104-0735	2900-	30 . 🦊	180	.60	350	14
	13.0 15.0	ELECTIVE	CONFIRM	SOIL	04/16/91 04/16/91	910416-V-1 910416-V-1	F1 F2	SEQUOIA SEQUOIA	104-2649 104-2650	16 310	0.0090 0.013	0.014 0.063	0.021 0.096	0.17 0.41	3.6 8.1
PRODUCT	LINE/DI	SPENSER PU	AP ISLAND					,							
41 10 421 112	2.5 4.0 4.0 4.0	LIA Lia Lia Lia	INTRFACE INTRFACE INTRFACE INTRFACE	SOIL SOIL SOIL SOIL	04/04/91 04/04/91 04/04/91 04/04/91	910404-G-1 910404-G-1 910404-G-1 910404-G-1 910404-G-1	●1 ●10 ●11 ●12	SEQUOIA SEQUOIA SEQUOIA SEQUOIA	104-0734 104-0743 104-0744 104-0745	1200 3.3 750 15	3.3 0.20 12 0.23	17 0.043 33 0.19	17 0.060 19 0.26	86 0,16 110 1,3	17 7.7 9.5 6.9
#5 #8 #13 #14	13.0 14.0 15.0 13.0	ELECTIVE ELECTIVE ELECTIVE ELECTIVE	CONFIRM CONFIRM CONFIRM CONFIRM	SOIL SOIL SOIL SOIL	04/16/91 04/16/91 04/16/91 04/16/91	910416-V-1 910416-V-1 910416-V-1 910416-V-1	#5 #8 . #13 #14	SEQUOIA SEQUOIA SEQUOIA SEQUOIA	104-2653 104-2656 104-2661 104-2662	<b>220</b> 33 11 9,2	ND 0.085 ND 0.0050	0.80 0.24 0.047 0.0060	1.7 0.27 0.044 0.030	10 1.5 0.31 0.13	2.6 6.1 3.6

Standard - The location conformed to established (professional or regulatory) definitions for the type of sample being collected. Example: a standard RWQCB interface sample.

LIA - The local implementing agency inspector chose a sampling location that was different from a standard (pre-defined) location.

Elective = Elective samples are not taken to comply with regulatory regulrements, but to obtain information. Sampling locations may be chosen by the property owner, the contractor, a consultant, etc. The samples may or may not be analyzed.

Blaine Tech Services, Inc. Report No. 910614-G-1

Chevron Station 97127

page 14

(PAGE 2)

#### TABLE OF SAMPLING LOCATIONS AND ANALYTICAL RESULTS

#### NOTE: Analytical results are reported in Parts Per Hillion or Parts Per Billion

I.D. GIVEN THIS SAMPLE AREA	SAMPLE DEPTH IN FT. BELOW GRADE	SAMPLING LOCATION DICTATED BY	TIPE E METHOD FOR THE SAMPLE OBTAINED	SAMP LE MATRIX	DATE SAMPLED	BTS CHAIN OF CUSTODY I.D.	BTS SAMPLE I.D.	NAME OF DOES EMTL LABORATORY	LABORATORY SAMPLE 1.D.	TPH AS GAS	BEN- Lene	TOL- <u>UENE</u>	PN ETHYL BEN- ZENE	XY - LENES	TOTAL LEAD
NoM	11.0	STANDARD	INTRFACE	SOIL	04/04/91	910404-G-1	•8	SEQUOIA	104-0741	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	3.3
Fold	11.0	STANDARD	INTRFACE	SOIL	04/04/91	910404-G-1	19	SEQUOIA	104-0742	370	ND	ND	ND	2.7	1.7
115	18.0	ELECTIVE	CONFIRM	SOIL	04/16/91	910416-V-1	#15	SEQUOIA	104-2663	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	6.1
STOCK	6-12" 6-12" 6-12" 6-12"	RWQCB RWQCB RWQCB RWQCB	DISCRETE DISCRETE DISCRETE DISCRETE	SOIL SOIL SOIL SOIL	04/04/91 04/04/91 04/04/91 04/04/91	910404-G-1 910404-G-1 910404-G-1 910404-G-1 910404-G-1	130 131 132 133	SEQUOIA SEQUOIA SEQUOIA SEQUOIA	104-0763 104-0764 104-0765 104-0765	ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND	2.6 4.1 5.9 2.5

IASLE 2	T	A9	LE	2
---------	---	----	----	---

£22872752:	SUMMARY OF SOILS ANALYTICAL DATA CHEVROW SERVICE STATION #7127 TRACY, CALIFORNIA											
SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DEPTH*	BENZENE (ppm)	TOLUENE (ppm)	TOTAL XYLENES (ppm)	ETHYLBENZENE (ppm)	TPH (ppm)						
======================================	10	ND	ND	ND	 DM	ND						
82-20	20	0.001	ND	4	0.003	0.8						
83-14	14	1.2	0.680	2	0.8	76						
B4-15	15	19	85	140	28	2300						
BS-5	5	0.076	0.007	0.030	0.002	0.5						
B6-5	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND						
87-5	5	0.022	0.003	0.024	0.046	0.7						
Detection												
Limit	0.5	0.5	0.9	5 0.5	1							
********	***********	***********		F <b>777777</b> 2222222222222222222222222222222	2982232222222222							

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

\* Feet below ground surface

ppm = parts per million

Benzene, Toluene, Total Xylenes and Ethylbenzene concentrations converted from ppb to ppm.

SOURCE: Subsurface Environmental Investigation, January 6, 1988; Kleinfelder Inc.

(Note: See Plate 3 for boring locations.)

#### Table 2 Soil Analytical Data Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH as Gasoline and BTEX Compounds)

#### Former Chevron U.S.A. Service Station 9-7127 Highway I-580 at Grant Line Road Tracy, California

Boring Number	Sample Date	Sample Depth (feet)	TPH as Gasoline (ppm)	Benzene (ppm)	Toluene (ppm)	Ethylbenzene (ppm)	Xylenes (ppm)
B-1	12/09/92	7 12.5 17.5 21.5	ND 4.0 ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND	ND ND 0.014 0.013 0.0056	ND ND ND ND	ND 0.015 0.025 0.018 0.0079
		24 29 30.5 38.5	2,600 8,100 ND ND	<5.0* 21 ND ND	79 560 . ND 0.013	30 150 ND ND	200 840 ND 0.024
Detection	Limits:		1.0	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
ppm = Par ND = Not * Elevated	ts per million t detected 1 method reporti	ng limit.			•		

.

# Table 1Soil Analytical DataTotal Petroleum Hydrocarbons(TPH as Gasoline and BTEX Compounds)

#### Former Chevron U.S.A. Service Station 9-7127 Interstate 580 at Grant Line Road Tracy, California

Boring Number	Date Sampied	Sample Depth (feet)	TPH as Gasoline (ppm)	Benzene (ppm)	Toluene (ppm)	Ethylbenzene (ppm)	Xylenes (ppm)
MW-5/B-4 SPOILS	05/25/93 05/25/93	10 15 N/A	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND
Detection L	imits:		1.0	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.015
ppm = Part ND = Not N/A = Not	s per million detected applicable				•		

vvn	1.5	-	$ \downarrow$		المالك تساليان	SHNIPLING
	(1	48.	}- (	(989)	)	

			TABLE 3			
	*******	SUMMARY OF ( CHEVRON	GROUND-WATE SERVICE ST. TRACY, CA	R ANALYTICAL DA Ation #7127 Lifornia	TA	
SAMPLE DATE	SAMPLING POINT	BENZENE (ppb)	TOLUENE (ppb)	TOTAL XYLENES (ppb)	ETHYLBENZENE (ppb)	ТРН (ррт)
12/21/87	T-1	2	ND	ND	ND	NT
01/05/88	· T+2	4	ND	ND	ND	NT
01/08/88	T-2	1	ND	ND	ND	ТК
01/08/85	i+∠ Velt	1.1 ND	NU	ND	טא	N 1 N T
02/19/88	J-1	ND	NO	סא	ND	ND
02/19/00	T-1	ND	ND	40 ND	ND	ND
02/19/00			ND ND	10		20
02/19/88	TB	ND	ND	ND	ND ND	ND
03/14/89	Well #	3.7	0.8	ти	. NT	DN
03/14/89	Well *	ND	ND	ND	. NT	ND
03/14/89	T-2 #	2.7	0.4	NT	NT	ND
03/14/89	T-2 *	ND	סא	NT	NT	ND
03/14/89	T-3 #	1_4	0.4	NT	NT	ND
03/14/89	·T-3 *	ND	ND	, NT	NT	ND
03/14/89	TB *	ND	ND	NT	· NT	- ND
04/03/89	Well *	7	3	ND	NT	ND
04/05/89	Well #	6.4	2.3	- 1	NT	ND
04/05/89	T-2 *	6	3	3	NT	ND
04/05/89	T-2 #	5	1.5	0.7	лт	S
04/05/89	T-3 *	2	ND	RN	NT	ND
04/05/89	T-3 #	2.3	0.6	ND	ти	ND
04/05/89	<b>TB #</b>	ND	ND	0.6	ĸŢ	ND
Detection Li	nit	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1

TB = Trip Blank

NT = not tested

ppm = parts per million

ppb = parts per billion

\* Analyzed by Med-Tox Associates, Inc.

# Analyzed by Clayton Environmental Consultants, Inc.

Well = samples collected from domestic well-head.

(Note: See Plate 4 for sampling point locations.)

#### TABLE 1

#### ANALYTICAL RESULTS OF WATER SAMPLES CHEVRON, TRACY concentrations in µg/l (ppb)

Sample Location Wellhead	Sample Date 3-14-89 4-5-89 4-28-89 5-18-89	Well Volumes 3 0 3 6 5 5 5	TPH as Gasoline ND (ND) ND ND ND (ND) NT NT	Benzene ND (3.7) ND ND 7.0 (6.4) 5.0 ND	Total <u>Xylene</u> ND (ND) ND ND ND (1.0) ND ND	<u>Toluene</u> ND (0.8) ND 3.0 (2.3) 2.0 ND	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u> ND (ND) ND ND ND (ND) ND ND
Tap-2 (T-2)	3-14-89 4-5-89 4-28-89 5-18-89	3 0 3 6 5 5	ND (ND) ND ND ND (ND) NT NT	ND (2.7) ND ND 6.0 (5.0) 4.0 ND	ND ND 3.0 (0.7) ND ND	ND (0.4) ND ND 3.0 (1.5) 2.0 ND	ND ND ND ND (ND) ND ND
Tap-3 (T-3)	3-14-89 4-5-89 4-28-89 5-18-89	-3 0 3 6 5 5	ND (ND) ND ND ND (ND) NT NT	ND (1.4) ND 2.0 (2.3) 1.0 ND	ND ND ND ND (ND) ND ND ND	ND (0.4) ND ND ND (0.6) ND ND	ND (ND) ND ND ND (ND) ND (ND) ND ND
Travel Blank	3-14-89 4-5-89 4-28-89 5-18-89	-	ND ND (ND) NT NT	ND ND (ND) ND ND	ND ND (0.6) ND ND	ND ND (ND) ND ND	ND ND (ND) ND ND
Detection Limit	•	-	100 (50)	0.5 (0.4)	2.0 (0.4)	0.5 (0.3)	<b>0.5 (0.3)</b>

ND = Not detected at or above laboratory limits of detection

NT = Compound not tested for in specific sampling round

Disease

Results and detection limits of duplicate analyses are shown in parentheses

Duplicate analyses were performed by Clayton Environmental. All other analyses were performed by Med-Tox Associates.

#### Table 4 Water Well Analytical Data Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH as Gasoline and BTEX Compounds)

#### Former Chevron U.S.A. Service Station 9-7127 Highway I-580 at Grant Line Road Tracy, California

Sample Date	TPH as Gasoline (ppb)	Benzene (ppb)	Toluene (ppb)	Ethylbenzene (ppb)	Xylenes (ppb)
01/07/93	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
01/22/93	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
01/29/93	ND	ND	3	ND	2
02/04/93	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
02/12/93	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
02/19/93	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
02/26/93	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
03/04/93	ND ·	ND	ND	ND	ND
03/11/93	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limits:	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	50
······································	·		·	A	·····

ppb = Parts per billion

ND = Not detected at or above limit of detection

\* The trip blank (TB-1) also contained detectable xylenes at 0.9 ppb.

#### Table 1 Groundwater Elevation Data

#### Former Chevron U.S.A. Service Station 9-7127 Highway I-580 at Grant Line Road Tracy, California

Well Number	Sample Date	Well Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet, TOC)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
MW-1	12/28/92	329.18	30.78*	299.09*
MW-2	12/28/92	327.22	28.59	298.63
MW-3	12/28/92	329.26	30.69	298.57

TOC = Top of casing

\* Separate-phase hydrocarbons (1.67 feet) were reported; level measured represents the top of liquid.

Elevations relative to bench mark 477-R at 309.20 feet, USC & GS datum.



Well ID	Date Measured	DTW (ft)	TOC (ft)	GWE (msl)	Product Thickness* (ft)	Screen Interval <j< th=""><th>Sand Pack Interval feet below grade</th><th>Bentonite/Grout Interval</th></j<>	Sand Pack Interval feet below grade	Bentonite/Grout Interval
	2/15/94	29.77	329.17	299.40	0	22:37		
MW-2	2/15/94	27.09	327.22	300.13	0	21-36	<b></b>	
MW-3	2/15/94	29.87	329.28	299.41	0	22-37		
MW-4	2/15/94	29.90	329.44	299.54	0	22 - 37	20 - 37	0 - 20
MW-5	2/15/94	25.10	312.88	287.78	0	5 - 25	4 - 25	0 - 4

# Table 1. Water Level Data and Well Construction Details - Former Chevron Service Station #9-7127, Interstate 580 at Grant Line Road, Altamont Pass Area, California

#### EXPLANATION:

NOTES:

4

DTW = Depth to water

TOC = Top of casing elevation

GWE = Ground water elevation

msl = Measurements referenced relative to mean sea level

--- = Not available/not applicable

All top of casing elevations were surveyed by Tronoff Land Surveying, Davis, California on November 2, 1993.

• Product thickness was measured on and after February 15, 1994 with an MMC flexi-dip interface probe.

Well construction details for MW-1 through MW-3 not available for inclusion in this report.

Well construction details for MW-4 and MW-5 taken from the Well Installation Report prepared for Chevron by Pacific Environmental Group, Inc., December 3, 1993.

#### 36904T.WL

# Table 3Groundwater Analytical DataTotal Petroleum Hydrocarbons(TPH as Gasoline and BTEX Compounds)

#### Former Chevron U.S.A. Service Station 9-7127 Highway I-580 at Grant Line Road Tracy, California

Well Number	Sample Date	TPH as Gasoline (ppb)	Benzene (ppb)	Toluene (ppb)	Ethylbenzene (ppb)	Xylenes (ppb)			
MW-2	12/28/92	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.6*			
MW-3	12/28/92	19,000	8,900	660	380	720			
Detection	Limits:	50	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4			
ppb = Parts per billion ND = Not detected at or above limit of detection									

\* The trip blank (TB-1) also contained detectable xylenes at 0.9 ppb.

# Table 2Groundwater Analytical DataTotal Petroleum Hydrocarbons(TPH as Gasoline and BTEX Compounds)

#### Former Chevron U.S.A. Service Station 9-7127 Interstate 580 at Grant Line Road Tracy, California

Boring Number	Date Sampied	TPH as Gasoline (ppb)	Benzene (ppb)	Toluene (ppb)	Ethylbenzene (ppb)	Xylenes (ppb)			
(Grab Sample) MW-4/B-2	05/21/93	ND	12	2	ND				
B-3	05/21/93	96	1	, 0.5	ND	ND			
(Grab Sampie) MW-5/B-4	05/25/93	ND	ND	ND	ND	- 0.9			
MW-4	05/25/93	300	56	10	0.8	-3			
M₩-5	05/25/93	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Detection L	.imits:	50	0.5	0.5	- 0.5	0.5			
ppb = Pa ND = No	ppb = Parts per billion ND = Not detected at or above limit of detection.								



.

Well ID/ TOC (ft)	Date	DTW (ft)	GWE (msl)	Product Thickness* (ft)	Analytic Method	1PPH(G) <	B	T ppt	E	X
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
329.17	2/15/94	29.77	299.40	. 0	8015/8020	99,000	20,000	24,000	2,000	9,800
~ ~ 7 '	4/21/94	29.85	299.32	0						
2-21	6/1/94	29.92	299.25	0.	8015/8020	56,000	12,000	15,000	1,100	5,800
	aizlay			0.5						
MW-2/										
327.22	2/15/94	27.09	300.13	0	8015/8020	83	21	6	1	3
. 2, '	4/21/94	27.81	299.41	0						
21.26	6/1/94	27.98	299.24	0	8015/8020	<50	1.3	0.5	`<0,5	<0.5
	9/2/94					82	13	La	3.6	14
MW-3/									-	• •
329.28	2/15/94	29.87	299.41	0	8015/8020	23,000	11,000	1,700	540	1,000
	4/21/94	29.96	299.32	0						
2-51	6/1/94 વાટીવર્ષ	30.11	299.17	0	8015/8020	27,000 34,000	12,000 しちゃのの	2,600 4,100	600 776	2,200 3,000
ww-4	5/21/93				8015/8020	<50	12	201 <b>2</b>	<0.5	1
	11/5/93				8015/8020	300	56 <sub>مە</sub> ن	(ear 10	0.8	3
329.44	2/15/94	29.90	299.54	0	8015/8020	260 <sup>-</sup>	47	12	2	4
2-37'	4/21/94	29.99	299.45	0			V	<sup>6</sup>		
- 2 •	6/1/94 વ(ટ(વપ	30.14	299.30	0	8015/8020	860 t <sub>1</sub> 700	200	23 2	2.8 6.4	<b>9.6</b> (5
4W-5	5/25/93				8015/8020	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.9
	11/5/93				8015/8020	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
312.88	2/15/94	25.10	287.78	0	8015/8020	<50	<0.5	1	<0.5	1
-751	4/21/94	13.21	299.67	0						
	6/1/94	13.39	299.49	0	8015/8020	<50	<0,5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	9/2/94					<50	3.2	LŐ	05	2.1
rip Blank									-13	
B-LB	2/15/94			'	8015/8020	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	6/1/94				8015/8020	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Bailer Blank										
	2/15/94			***	8015/8020	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5

Table 1.Water Level Data and Ground Water Analytic Results - Former Chevron Service Station #9-7127, Interstate 580 at<br/>Grant Line Road, Altamont Pass Area, California

.

Screenad When tal



Table 1. Water Level Data and Ground Water Analytic Results - Former Chevron Service Station #9-7127, Interstate 580 at<br/>Grant Line Road, Altamont Pass Area, California (continued)

EXPLANATION:	ANALYTIC METHODS:
DTW = Depth to water TOC = Top of casing elevation GWE = Ground water elevation	8015 = EPA Method 8015/5030 for TPPH(G) 8020 = EPA Method 8020 for BTEX
msl = Measurements referenced relative to mean sea level TPPH(G) = Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline B = Benzene T = Toluene E = Ethylbenzene X = Xylenes ppb = Parts per billion	<ul> <li><u>NOTES</u>:</li> <li>All top of casing elevations were surveyed by Tronoff Land Surveying, Davis, California on November 2, 1993.</li> <li>Product thickness was measured on and after February 15, 1994 with an MMC flexi-dip interface probe. Analytic data prior to February 15, 1994 compiled from the Well</li> </ul>
= Not analyzed/Not applicable	Installation Report prepared for Chevron by Pacific Environmental Group, Inc., December 3, 1993.

36904T.WLG

,¢

	Blow/ Ft.	Sample No.	USCS	Description	Const
0			ML	Asphalt Fill - SANDY SILT - light brown to brown, with some	
4				Kngular gravel, NCSC	
6 -	22			Fill - SILTY CLAY - brownish gray, stiff, jow plasticity	
8 -				dry to moist, NOSC	
10 -	65	B1 • 10	5		
12 -			SM	Gravelly SILTY SAND - gray, very dense fine grained sand, well rounded gravel up to 1/4 inch present NOSC	
() • 14 • 14 • )	46		r		
416 -					
18 -			a	SILTY CLAY - gray, firm, low plasticity, moist, gravel up to 1/4 inch, NOSC	
20 -				Total Depth = 19 feet, 6 inches Logged By: Steve Fox Drilling Date: 12/7/87	
22 -					
24					
28					
30 -					
E	3 - 1				
<b>K</b>	KLE	INFELD	DER	CHEVRON, USA - STATION 7127 GRANT LINE ROAD TRACY, CALIFORNIA	PLATE
OJECT ND	. 10-	-1782-01		BORING LOG B-1	7 7 2

.

.





0 2 4				
2 -				
4		SM	Fill - SILTY SAND - light brown tan, NOSC	
-		α	Fill - SILTY CLAY - grey, stiff, low plasticity, moist, slight odor	
6 - 12			<ul> <li>tip reading of 25 ppm on drill cuttings</li> </ul>	
8 -			- some sand present, slight odor	
10 51				
12 —				
	B4 - 15	SP	- GRAVELLY SAND - gray, dense, sand fine grained, mois gravels from 1/4 to 1/2 inch tip reading of over 2000	
			- ppm- Total Depth = 19 feet, 6 inches Logged By: Steve Fox Drilling Date: 12/7/87	
20 -				
22 -			•	
24 -			•	
26 -				
28 -				
30 -				
B - 4	<u>_</u>			
кı	LEINFELD	ER	CHEVRON, USA - STATION 7127 GRANT LINE ROAD TRACY, CALIFORNIA	
			- BORING LOG B-4	Λu





.



	•		PACIF		VIRC	NMENTAL GROUP, INC. WELL NO. WILL NO.		
NORTHING EAS 154.6 172	N <u>ELEVATIC</u> 29.18	PROJEC LOGGEI DRILLEF DRILLIN SAMPLI CASING SLOT SI GRAVEI	T NO. D BY: G MEI NG MEI TYPE ZE: 0. PACI	325- RWN EAT S THOD THOI : Sch : Sch :020" <: #2-	-04.01 CLIENT: CHEVRON IT DATE DRILLED: 12-8-92 SIERRA LOCATION: Grant Line Road D: AIR ROTARY HOLE DIAMETER: 10" DD: DRY CORE HOLE DEPTH: 39.5' h 40 PVC WELL DIAMETER: 4" WELL DEPTH: 38' 2-/16 Lonestar CASING STICKUP: ~2.3			
WELL COMPLETION WELL COMPLETION	RUN MOISTURE CONTENT	PID ROD (%)	DEPTH (FEET) весочент	GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / REMARKS		
	Dp	0 0	- 1- - 2- -		SC	CLAYEY SAND - FILL: dark grayish brown; low to moderate plasticy; 40% clay; 15% silt; 45% fine to medium sand; weak subangular blocky; minor angular gravel fragments; loose; no product odor.		
		16			GC- SC	CLAYEY GRAVEL to CLAYEY SAND - FILL: dark gray; 60% clay; 10% silt; 30% medium to coarse sand with 1" angular gravel fragments throughout; minor iron oxide staining and caliche; medium dense; weak product odor.		
	2	· 0			SC	CLAYEY SAND: dark greenish gray; low to medium plasticity; 50% clay; 15% silt; 35% medium to coars sand; granular; loose texture; paleosol odor; no product odor.		
		12				-		
	3	1	12	<u> </u>	GC	SILTY GRAVEL: silica cemented 1/4 - 1 1/4" diameter rounded quartz pebbles; poor core recovery.		
BENTO	4 Dry	16				SANDSTONE - (Neroly Formation): very dark green brown; 80-90% medium quartz, feldspar and matic mineral grains subrounded with 10-20% coarse rounded 1/4 - 1* diameter conglomeratic pebbles; minor mica; local 1/4" bandof white altered feldspa rich zone perpendicular TCA; sandstone is granula poorly sorted and is derived from intermediate volo		
SAND SAND	5	3	19 - 20 - 21 - 22			rocks (andesite); low hardness; no product odor. @19': weak product odor increasing to strong product odor at 23'.		

	PACIFIC ENVIRON	IMENTAL GROUP, INC. WELL 1
See Page One	PROJECT NO. 325-04 LOGGED BY: DRILLER: DRILLING METHOD: SAMPLING METHOD: CASING TYPE: SLOT SIZE: GRAVEL PACK:	4.01 CLIENT: DATE DRILLED: LOCATION: HOLE DIAMETER: HOLE DEPTH: WELL DIAMETER: WELL DEPTH: CASING STICKUP:
METT NOISTURE RUN MOISTURE CONTENT PID ROD (%)	DEPTH (FEET) RECOVERY SAMPLE ANALYZED GRAPHIC SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / REMARKS
$ \begin{array}{c c} & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & $	SS 23	<ul> <li>SANDSTONE (Neroly Formation): continued</li> <li>@23': 1/2" altered epidotized vein at 35° TCA, horizontal parting common; very strong product odor at 25' and continues with depth.</li> <li>@29': bedding at 80° TCA.</li> <li>@31': moderate product odor; equigranular sandstone.</li> <li>@32': poor core recovery due to saturation of sandstone; weak product odor.</li> <li>@38': 5" bed of subrounded conglomerate pebbles from 1/4" to 2" diameter; no product odor.</li> <li>@39': 1mm wide chlorite veinlets at 12° TCA.</li> <li>BOTTOM OF BORING AT 39.5'</li> </ul>

LOC/	ATIC		IAP				1	PACIFIC	EN	VIRC	ONMENTAL GROUP, INC. WELL NO. MW-2 PAGE 1 OF 2
NW-2 NW-2 NORTHING EASTING ELEVATION 270.1 131.9 27.22						<u>=LEV/</u> 27.22		PROJECT LOGGED DRILLER: DRILLING SAMPLING CASING T SLOT SIZ GRAVEL	NO. BY:   GRE MET G ME TYPE: E: 0. PACK	325-4 RWNT EAT S THOD: THOE: Sch 020" (: #2-	04.01CLIENT: CHEVRONDATE DRILLED: 12-10-92IERRALOCATION: Grant Line RoadAIR ROTARYHOLE DIAMETER: 8"D: DRY COREHOLE DEPTH: 37'40 PVCWELL DIAMETER: 2"WELL DEPTH: 36'(16 LonestarCASING STICKUP: ~2.1
W COMF	'ELL 'LET	ION	CORE BOX	RUN	MOISTURE	DID	ROD (%)	DEPTH (FEET) recovery sample interval	GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / REMARKS
ND GROUT GROUT		BENTONITE 		1 3	Dp Dp Mst	0	م 16 8			SC SS	<ul> <li>CLAYEY SAND - FILL: brown to dark brown; low plasticity; 25% clay; 15% silt; 60% medium sand; abundant subangular lithic fragments throughout; loose; no product odor.</li> <li>SANDSTONE (Neroly Formation): &gt;90% fine to medium sand as subangular quartz and mafic mineral grains and weakly altered feldspar; sucrosic texture; weak alteration; moderate to hard; no product odor.</li> <li>@2-5.5': moderate alteration evident as iron oxide surrounding up to 10% rounded 1/4 - 1" conglomeratic pebbles; 50% pebbles from 2-3'.</li> <li>@5': bedding attitude at 55° TCA.</li> </ul>
L SA			2	4			100	21 – - 22 –			intergranular porosity; angular grains; pebbles are subangular, 1/4 - 1" diameter pebbles weathered by iron oxide and manganese oxide; hard; no product odor.

			PACIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, INC. WELL MW-2 PAGE 2 OF 2						
See	On	е	PROJECT LOGGED & DRILLER: DRILLING SAMPLING CASING T SLOT SIZE GRAVEL P	NO. BY: METH MET YPE: E: ACK:	325-0 HOD: THOD	4.01 CLIENT: DATE DRILLED: LOCATION: HOLE DIAMETER: HOLE DEPTH: WELL DIAMETER: WELL DEPTH: CASING STICKUP:			
	DID	ROD (%)	DEPTH (FEET) RECOVERY SAMPLE ANALYZED	GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / REMARKS			
	3	5 Dp- Mst Wt Mst Wt Dp Wt	0.001	6	$ \begin{array}{c} 23 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 26 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 30 \\ 31 \\ 32 \\ 33 \\ 34 \\ 33 \\ 34 \\ 35 \\ 36 \\ 37 \\ 36 \\ 37 \\ 38 \\ 40 \\ 41 \\ 42 \\ 43 \\ 44 \\ 44 \\ 44 \\ 44 \\ 44 \\ 44 \\ 44$		SS	<ul> <li>SANDSTONE (Neroly Formation): continued</li> <li>@25-26': sandy claystone; brown to dark brown; fine sandy texture; horizontal platy fracturing; rare mineral grain solution cavities; moderate hardness; no product odor.</li> <li>@27.5': parting common at 80° TCA.</li> <li>@28.5-29.3': sandy claystone; brown to dark brown; fine sandy texture; horizontal platy fracturing; rare mineral grain solution cavities; moderate hardness; no product odor.</li> <li>@31.5': bedding at 75° TCA.</li> <li>@33.3-34': brecciated claystone as described above; rare biotite; moderate hardness; crushed fracturing; no product odor.</li> <li>@34-36': Neroly Formation; intense parting at 76° TCA.</li> <li>@36-36.2': brecciated claystone as described above; rare biotite; moderate hardness; crushed fracturing; no product oodor.</li> <li>BOTTOM OF BORING AT 37'</li> </ul>	

LOCA		IAP			1	PACIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, INC. WELL NO. MW-3 PAGE 1 OF 2				
<u>NORTI</u> 220	HING E		MW-3	<u>ELEV/</u> 29.2		PROJECT NO. 325-04.01CLIENT: CHEVRONLOGGED BY: RWNTDATE DRILLED: 12-10-92DRILLER: GREAT SIERRALOCATION: Grant Line RoadDRILLING METHOD: AIR ROTARYHOLE DIAMETER: 8"SAMPLING METHOD: DRY COREHOLE DEPTH: 40'CASING TYPE: Sch 40 PVCWELL DIAMETER: 2"SLOT SIZE: 0.020"WELL DEPTH: 37.5'GRAVEL PACK: #2-/16 LonestarCASING STICKUP: ~2.3				
METT CORE BOX MOISTURE MOISTURE MOISTURE MOISTURE					ROD (%)	DEPTH (FEET) RECOVERV SAMPLE INTERVAL GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / REMARKS		
GROUT	TE -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -		1 Dp	0	0	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ - \\ 5 \\ - \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ - \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ - \\ 14 \\ - \\ 14 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ -$	SP	<ul> <li>CLAYEY SAND - FILL: moderate plasticity; 50% clay; 10% silt; 40% fine to medium sand; occasional to 3" angular lithic fragments throughout; minor roots; soft; no product odor. @1': 3-4" asphalt layer</li> <li>SANDY CLAY - FILL: yellowish brown; medium plasticity; 65% clay; 10% silt; 25% fine to medium sand; subangular blocky peds; calcium carbonate and iron oxide blebs and fracture fills; in part lithified with low hardness; minor rounded to 1" pebbles; rare manganese oxide; stiff; no product odor.</li> <li>SAND (Neroly Formation): black; &lt;15% fines; 85% fine to medium, subangular, volcanically derived sand; poorly graded; massive; weathered feldspar grains; weakly oxidized; poor recovery; loose; no product odor.</li> </ul>		
	BENTON		Dp Dp Wt	3	0	15 - 16 - 17 - 18 - 19 - 20 - 21 -	SS	<ul> <li>CONGLOMERATIC SANDSTONE (Neroly Formation): matrix as sand above, but lithified in part; subrounded pebbles to 2" diameter; minor calcium carbonate and iron oxide around pebble edges; intense fracturing; as strong iron oxide alteration throughout matrix from 16-17' and 20-21'.</li> <li>@17-18': rounded 2" diameter pebbles recovered; no sand matrix.</li> <li>@21': see next page.</li> </ul>		

		PACIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, INC. WELL MW-3 PAGE 2 OF 2					
See Page Or	e	PROJECT LOGGED B DRILLER: DRILLING I SAMPLING CASING TY SLOT SIZE GRAVEL P	NO. ( 3Y: METH MET (PE: : ACK:	325-0 IOD: THOD:	4.01 CLIENT: DATE DRILL LOCATION: HOLE DIAM HOLE DEPT WELL DIAM WELL DEPT CASING ST	LED: ETER: 'H: ETER: 'H: ICKUP:	
MEER NOISTURE CONTENT NOISTURE	RQD (%)	DEPTH (FEET) RECOVERY SAMPLE INTERVAL	GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / RE	MARKS	
16 16 16 16 16 17 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	6	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		SS	<ul> <li>SANDSTONE (Neroly Formation): subangular quartz and weathered feldspar grains fine to medium gr sucrosic texture; homogeneous; it to intense fracturing; weakly weat no product odor.</li> <li>@22-24': slight clay enriched zon subhorizontal parting.</li> <li>@23.5': bedding at 62° TCA with running at 77° TCA.</li> <li>@28': bedding at 77° TCA with sit fracture perpendicular to beddin increased hardness due to ceme common along bedding planes at @30': slight product odor.</li> <li>@36': bedding at 55° TCA.</li> <li>@38': high angle fractures at 30°</li> <li>BOTTOM OF BORIN</li> </ul>	black; 90% d mafic minerals; minor ained; 10% fines; moderate thered; low hardness; e; brittle perpendicular fracture milar high angle g at 25° TCA; entation; parting at 75° and 83° TCA. TCA and 11° TCA.	

	PACIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, INC. BORING NO.B-1 PAGE 1 OF 1				
NORTHING EASTING ELEVATION 154.6 172.9 29.18	PROJECT NO. 325-04.01CLIENT: CHEVRONLOGGED BY: RWNTDATE DRILLED: 12-9-92DRILLER: GREAT SIERRALOCATION: Grant Line RoadDRILLING METHOD: AIR ROTARYHOLE DIAMETER: 6"SAMPLING METHOD: DRY COREHOLE DEPTH: 22'CASING TYPE: NAWELL DIAMETER: NASLOT SIZE: NAWELL DEPTH: NAGRAVEL PACK: NACASING STICKUP; NA				
CORE BOX RUN MOISTURE CONTENT PID PID	DEPTH (FEET) AMPLEINTERVAL SOIL TYPE SOIL TYPE				
	<ul> <li>SP SAND - FILL: variable color from yellow to dark yellowish brown; no plasticity; 15% clay; 15% silt; 70% fine to medium sand; subrounded; minor wood fragments; local rooted peds of gray clay; loose; no product odor.</li> <li>SM SILTY SAND - FILL: brown; low plasticity; 15% clay; 25% silt; 60% fine to medium sand; loose; subrounded gravel to 1/2" diameter; no product odor.</li> <li>SC CLAYEY SAND - FILL: low plasticity; dark grayish brown; 30% clay; 15-20% silt 50-55% fine to medium sand; abundant angular to 1-1/2" diameter gravel fragments; no product odor.</li> <li>CL CLAY - FILL: very dark greyish brown; low plasticity; subangular conglomeratic pebbles in dark gray sandy clay matrix; 60% clay; 20% silt; 20% fine to coarse sand; silty texture; angular coarse sand fragments throughout; rare iron oxide blebs; soft; no product odor.</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>SM</li> <li>SILTY SAND - FILL: grayish green; no to low plasticity; 15% silt;10% clay; 75% medium to coarse sand; subrounded coarse sand pebbles; loose; slight product odor.</li> <li>SANDSTONE (Neroly Formation): variable color from white to very dark gray brown; 10% clay;10% silt; 80% medium quartz and weathered mafic minerals and iron oxide altered feldspars, subangular; abundant to 1/2" clastic fragments; weak fracturing; intragranular porosity; hard; no to weak product odor.</li> <li>(@19': very dark gray; 10% fines; 90% fine to medium sand; subangual granular sucrosic texture; weak fracturing and alteration; dense; no to weak product odor.</li> <li>(@20': bedding at 77° TCA.</li> <li>(@22': moderate product odor.</li> </ul>				
	BOTTOM OF BORING AT 22'				

LOCATION MA	P			PACIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, INC. WELL NO. MW-4/B-2 PAGE 1 OF 1						
Grant Line Road		N .	.2	PROJECT NO. 325-04.04CLIENT: ChevronLOGGED BY: AFWDATE DRILLED: 5-21-93DRILLER: Great SierraLOCATION: Grant Line RoadDRILLING METHOD: AIRHOLE DIAMETER: 8 7/8"SAMPLING METHOD: COREHOLE DEPTH: 37'CASING TYPE: Sch 40 PVCWELL DIAMETER: 2"SLOT SIZE: 0.020"WELL DEPTH: 37"GRAVEL PACK: 2 X 12 SandCASING STICKUP: 3'						
WELL COMPLETION	MOISTURE	CONTENT, PID	PENETRATION (BLOWS/FT)	DEPTH (FEET)	RECOVERY SAMPLE INTERVAL	GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / REMARKS		
Image: Same start     Image: Same st		p 0 p 0.1	pusi	2			SS	CLAYEY SAND - FILL: dark brown; 30-40% fines; abundant lithic fragments; loose; no product odor. SANDSTONE (Neroly Formation): olive green >90% fine to medium sand; subangular quartz, lithic fragments, and weakly altered feldspar; faint product odor. @30': as above; no product odor.		

.



•				4										
LOCATION	LOCATION MAP B-3 Grant Line Road							PACIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, INC. BORING NO. B-3 PAGE 1 OF 1						
B-3 Grant Line								PROJECT NO. 325-04.04CLIENT: ChevronLOGGED BY: CJMDATE DRILLED: 5-21-93DRILLER: Great SierraLOCATION: Grant Line RoadDRILLING METHOD: AIRHOLE DIAMETER: 94 mmSAMPLING METHOD: COREHOLE DEPTH: 25'CASING TYPE: NAWELL DIAMETER: NASLOT SIZE: NAWELL DEPTH: NAGRAVEL PACK: NACASING STICKUP: NA						
WELL COMPLETIO	N	MOISTURE	Qid	PENETRATION (BLOWS/FT)	DEPTH (FEET)	RECOVERY SAMPLE INTERVAL	GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY /	REMARKS				
- Backfilled With Cement		x 8 Mst	0		2 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 -			SS	SANDSTONE (Neroly Formati sand; subangular; lithic fragm no product odor. @15': bluish/green; 90% med no lithic fragments; moderat BOTTOM OF BC	on): green; >85% coarse nents; moderate to hard				
					42 - 44 -									

ł

•