

November 5, 1998

Ms. Madhulla Logan Alameda County Health Care Services Agency Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, 2nd Floor Alameda, CA 94502

2 14 KA 6- NGM 36

Mills College Corporation Yard, Oakland, California Project No.: K275-H (982)

October 1998 Semiannual Ground Water Sampling Report

Dear Ms. Logan:

Re:

We are pleased to submit our report for the above referenced project. In summary, no significant changes were observed at the site during the October 1998 monitoring event. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Harza Engineering Company of California

Jana (Miller

Tacia Miller Project Manager

CC\TM:sj\encl. Copies: Addressee (1) Mr. David Johnson (Mills College -1) Mr. Chuck Headler (Regional Water Quality Control Board -1)

Harza Engineering Company, Western Division425 Roland WayOakland, California 94621Tel: (510) 568-4001Fax: (510) 568-2205

K275GRPT.005 11-05-98

_ _ _

October 1998 Semiannual Ground Water Sampling Report Mills College Corporation Yard Oakland, California

November 5, 1998

Prepared For:

Mills College 5000 MacArthur Boulevard Oakland, CA 94613

Prepared By:

Harza Engineering Company of California 425 Roland Way Oakland, CA 94621

this take

Christophe R.P. Collet Staff Geologist

Jacia C. Miller

Tacia Miller Project Manager



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|
| 2.0 | BACKGROUND | 1 |
| 3.0 | SCOPE OF SERVICES | 2 |
| 4.0 | FIELD INVESTIGATION | 2 |
| | 4.1 Monitoring Well Sampling | 2 |
| | 4.2 Ground Water Gradient | 2 |
| 5.0 | ANALYTICAL RESULTS | 3 |
| | 5.1 Laboratory Procedures | 3 |
| | 5.2 Analytical Results | 3 |
| 6.0 | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 3 |
| 7.0 | LIMITATIONS | 4 |

TABLES

Ĩ

| 1 | Ground Water Elevation Data |
|---|--|
| 2 | Ground Water Sample Analytical Results |

FIGURES

| 1 Site | Vicinity | Map |
|--------|----------|-----|
|--------|----------|-----|

2 Site Plan

APPENDIX

| А | Laboratory | Analytical | Reports |
|---|------------|------------|---------|
|---|------------|------------|---------|



October 1998 Semiannual Ground Water Sampling Report

Mills College Corporation Yard, Oakland, California

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the October 1998 semiannual ground water sampling performed at the Mills College Corporation Yard in Oakland, California. The project location is shown on the Site Vicinity Map (Figure 1).

The purpose of the investigation has been to evaluate the extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in ground water related to a previously removed gasoline underground storage tank (UST) at the site. The investigation included collecting and analyzing ground water samples from five existing monitoring wells. This investigation was performed to comply with the continuing monitoring program under the jurisdiction of Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA).

2.0 BACKGROUND

In October 1988, a 1,000-gallon gasoline UST was removed from the Corporation Yard facility. A report prepared by Blaine Tech Services, Inc. of San Jose, California, indicated that soil samples collected from a depth of 21 feet below ground surface (bgs) following tank removal contained moderately high levels of total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg). It is understood that 100 cubic yards of contaminated soils were excavated from the tank pit area at the time of tank removal and aerated on-site.

Beginning in June 1989, Harza (formerly Kaldveer Associates) performed soil and ground water quality investigations at the site, consisting of the installation and sampling of three ground water monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-3) and two additional shallow soil borings. The results of these investigations, presented in a report titled *Soil and Ground Water Testing Report for Mills College Corporation Yard*, dated May 7, 1991, indicated that the majority of gasoline contamination in the unsaturated zone in the vicinity of the tanks appeared to have been removed during the soil excavation program conducted when the tanks were removed. Additional wells were installed in May 1994 (MW-4) and April 1995 (MW-5).

Analysis of ground water samples collected from the monitoring wells since June 1989 have indicated the presence of TPHg at concentrations up to 11 parts per million (ppm). The measured ground water flow direction at the site has been toward the south to west-southwest.



3.0 SCOPE OF SERVICES

The investigation consisted of the following tasks:

- Measuring ground water levels for use in developing a ground water elevation contour map.
- Collecting ground water samples from the five existing wells at the Corporation Yard.
- Analyzing the ground water samples for TPHg and for purgeable aromatic compounds (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes [BTEX]).

4.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION

4.1 <u>Monitoring Well Sampling</u>

Monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-3 and MW-5 were sampled on October 13, 1998, and MW-4 was sampled on October 14, 1998. Following an initial ground water level measurement, a minimum of three well-casing volumes of water was purged from each well using a Teflon bailer. Purging consisted of the gradual removal of water from the well until physical parameters of pH, temperature, and electrical conductivity (EC) stabilized.

Following purging, samples were collected using a Teflon bailer, placed in appropriate sample containers, labeled, and placed in refrigerated storage for transport to the laboratory under chain-of-custody control. All equipment was washed with Alconox detergent and rinsed with deionized water between wells to reduce the potential for cross contamination. Purge water was contained on-site in 55-gallon drums.

4.2 Ground Water Gradient

Well-top elevations, depth to water, and calculated water-surface elevations are presented in Table 1. These data are used to generate the ground water elevation contours presented on Figure 2. No significant changes were observed from the previous monitoring event.

The water levels are similar in wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3, suggesting a flat gradient in this area. However, a relatively steep, southwestward gradient is depicted using wells MW-1, MW-4, and MW-5. In our opinion, ground water levels measured in wells MW-1 through MW-3 appear to be influenced by the highly transmissive backfill used in the former tank excavation.

Only data from wells MW-1, MW-4, and MW-5 were used to calculate the ground water gradient and flow direction shown on Figure 2. It is our professional opinion that ground water most likely follows the natural surface topography and flows toward the west or southwest. Wells MW-4 and MW-5 appear sufficient for monitoring downgradient water quality in any of the historically observed or potential ground water flow directions.

5.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS

5.1 Laboratory Procedures

Ground water samples were analyzed by Columbia Analytical Services (CAS) of Santa Clara, California. CAS is certified by the California Environmental Protection Agency for the analyses performed. Samples from each well were analyzed for TPHg using EPA Method 5030/GC-FID, and for BTEX using EPA Method 8020.

5.2 <u>Analytical Results</u>

The results of the chemical analyses are presented in Table 2 and laboratory analytical reports are attached as Appendix A. A historical summary of ground water sample analytical results is also included in Table 2. No significant changes were observed from the previous monitoring event.

TPHg was detected in the sample from well MW-1 at a concentration of 0.42 ppm. BTEX compounds were detected in the sample from well MW-1 at concentrations of 0.058, 0.005, 0.0088, and 0.0062 ppm, respectively. A petroleum odor was recognized during the purging of the well.

TPHg and benzene were detected in the sample from well MW-2 at 0.180 and 0.058 ppm respectively. Benzene was detected in the sample from well MW-3 at 0.0068 ppm, and Xylenes were detected in the sample from well MW-4 at 0.0007 ppm. TPHg concentrations were below the laboratory method reporting limit (MRL) of 0.05 ppm in wells MW-3 and MW-4. No TPHg or BTEX compounds were detected at or above the MRLs in the sample from well MW-5.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The October 1998 analytical results are consistent with recent monitoring events, and no significant changes have been observed in ground water quality. It should be noted that Xylenes were reported slightly above defection limit in the groundwater sample collected from well MW-4.



The plume does not appear to be migrating significantly, as evidenced by nondetectable levels of contaminants in downgradient well and MW-5. Measured hydrocarbon concentrations appear relatively stable in wells MW-2 and MW-3. Ground water elevations in wells MW-1, MW-4, and MW-5 indicate a general ground water flow direction toward the southwest.

Preparation and submittal of reports will continue on a semiannual basis, contingent on ground water quality continuing to exhibit little variation, and on contaminants remaining on-site. The next monitoring event is scheduled for April 1999.

7.0 LIMITATIONS

The purpose of a geologic/hydrogeologic study is to reasonably characterize existing site conditions based on the geology/hydrogeology of the area. In performing such a study, a balance must be struck between a reasonable investigation into the site conditions and an exhaustive analysis of each conceivable condition. The following paragraphs discuss the assumptions and parameters under which such a study is conducted.

No investigation is thorough enough to detect every geologic/hydrogeologic condition of interest at a given site. If conditions have not been identified during the study, such a finding should not therefore be construed as a guarantee of the absence of such conditions at the site, but rather as the result of the services performed within the scope, limitations, and cost of the work performed.

We are unable to report on or accurately predict events that may change the site conditions after the described services are performed, whether occurring naturally or caused by external forces. We cannot assume responsibility for conditions we were not authorized to evaluate, or conditions not generally recognized as predictable when services were performed.

Geologic/hydrogeologic conditions may exist at the site that cannot be identified solely by visual observation. Where subsurface exploratory work was performed, our professional opinions are based in part on interpretation of data from discrete sampling locations that may not represent actual conditions at unsampled locations.



TABLES



TABLE 1Ground Water Elevation Data

October 1998 Semiannual Ground Water Sampling Report Mills College Corporation Yard, Oakland, California (Reported in Feet)

| Date | Monitoring Well | Relative Well- Top Elevation ⁽¹⁾ | Depth to Water | Ground Water Elevation |
|---------------|--------------------|--|----------------|---------------------------|
| June 1989 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 19.44 | 80.56 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 19.36 | 80.62 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 19.40 | 80.61 |
| December 1990 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 22.05 | 77.95 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 21.96 | 78.02 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 22.00 | 78.01 |
| June 1991 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 20.85 | 79.15 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 20.76 | 79.22 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 20.81 | 79.20 |
| March 1992 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 19.87 | 80.13 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 19.92 | 80.06 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 19.82 | 80.19 |
| October 1992 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 21.69 | 78.31 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 21.60 | 78.38 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 21.65 | 78.36 |
| May 1994 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 19.66 | 80.34 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 19.62 | 80.36 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 19.60 | 80.41 |
| | MW-4 | 88.88 | 13.60 | 75.28 |
| June 1994 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 19.72 | 80.28 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 19.65 | 80.33 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 19.65 | 80.36 |
| | MW-4 | 88.88 | 14.01 | 74.87 |
| October 1994 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 20.17 | 79.83 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 20.10 | 79.88 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 20.08 | 79.93 |
| | MW-4 | 88.88 | 17.95 | 70.93 |
| January 1995 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 17.46 | 82.54 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 17.48 | 82.50 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 17.30 | 82.71 |
| | MW-4 | 88.88 | 10.76 | 78.12 |
| May 1995 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 15.56 | 84.44 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 15.75 | 84.23 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 15.50 | 84.51 |
| | MW-4 | 88.88 | 9.25 | 79.63 |
| | MW-5 | 99.98 | 27.66 | 72.32 |

•



TABLE 1 Ground Water Elevation Data

October 1998 Semiannual Ground Water Sampling Report Mills College Corporation Yard, Oakland, California (Reported in Feet)

| | Monitoring | Relative Well- | | Ground Water |
|----------------|------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Date | Well | Top Elevation (1) | Depth to Water | Elevation |
| October 1995 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 18.68 | 81.32 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 18.21 | 81.77 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 18.62 | 81.39 |
| | MW-4 | 88.88 | 14.65 | 74.23 |
| | MW-5 | 99.98 | 28.36 | 71.62 |
| May 1996 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 15.92 | 84.08 |
| - | MW-2 | 99.98 | 15.70 | 84.28 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 15.83 | 84.18 |
| | MW-4 | 88.88 | 9.55 | 79.33 |
| | MW-5 | 99.98 | 25.51 | 74.47 |
| September 1996 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 17.74 | 82.26 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 17.67 | 82.31 |
| · | MW-3 | 100.01 | 17.64 | 82.37 |
| | MW-4 | 88.88 | 14.59 | 74.29 |
| | MW-5 | 99.98 | 27.83 | 72.15 |
| April 1997 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 16.91 | 83.09 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 16.82 | 83.16 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 16.83 | 83.18 |
| | MW-4 | 88.88 | 11.77 | 77.11 |
| l | MW-5 | 99.98 | 26.93 | 73.05 |
| October 1997 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 19.00 | 81.00 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 18.96 | 81.02 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 18.98 | 81.03 |
| | MW-4 | 88.88 | 16.10 | 72.78 |
| | MW-5 | 99.98 | 31.25 | 68.73 |
| May 1998 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 14.36 | 85.64 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 14.37 | 85.61 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 14.11 | 85.90 |
| | MW-4 | 88.88 | 8.84 | 80.04 |
| | MW-5 | 99.98 | 23.38 | 76.60 |
| October 1998 | MW-1 | 100.00 | 17.44 | 82.56 |
| | MW-2 | 99.98 | 17.33 | 82.65 |
| | MW-3 | 100.01 | 17.34 | 82.67 |
| | MW-4 | 88.88 | 14.29 | 74.59 |
| | MW-5 | 99.98 | 25.85 | 74.13 |

NOTE

⁽¹⁾ Well-top elevations are based on an arbitrary datum of 100.00 feet at MW-1.



TABLE 2Ground Water Sample Analytical Results

October 1998 Semiannual Ground Water Sampling Report Mills College Corporation Yard, Oakland, California

| Sample ID | Sample Date | TPHg | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylenes |
|-----------|------------------|------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|
| | | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm |
| MW-1 | June 1989 | 11. | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.031 | 1.4 |
| | December 1990 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.21 | 0.056 | 0.31 |
| | June 1991 | 16. | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.41 | 2.8 |
| | March 1992 | 1.6 | 0.26 | 0.1 | 0.47 | 0.12 |
| | October 1992 | 2.8 | 0.33 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.2 |
| | October 1992 (D) | 4.2 | 0.54 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.36 |
| | May 1994 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.15 |
| | October 1994 | 8.7 | 1.0 | 0.29 | 0.14 | 0.36 |
| | January 1995 | 5,9 | 1.5 | 0.088 | 0.13 | 0.14 |
| | April 1995 | 3.4 | 0.78 | 0.34 | 0.1 | 0.21 |
| | October 1995 | 0.87 | 0.092 | 0.026 | 0.041 | 0.025 |
| | May 1996 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.068 | 0.035 | 0.05 |
| | September 1996 | 1.5 | 0.27 | 0.073 | 0.064 | 0.0095 |
| | April 1997 | 0.6 | 0.12 | 0.027 | 0.024 | 0.028 |
| | October 1997 | 1.0 | 0.16 | 0.036 | 0.035 | 0.07 |
| | May 1998 | 0.51 | 0.16 | 0.041 | 0.045 | 0.022 |
| | October 1998 | 0.42 | 0.058 | 0.0051 | 0.0088 | 0.0062 |
| MW-2 | June 1989 | ND | ND · | ND | ND | ND |
| | December 1990 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | June 1991 | ND | 0.005 | 0.0005 | ND | ND |
| | March 1992 | 0.09 | 0.047 | ND | ND | ND |
| | October 1992 | ND | 0.003 | 0.0006 | ND | ND |
| | May 1994 | 0.2 | 0.084 | ND | ND | ND |
| | October 1994 | 0.2 | 0.13 | ND | ND | ND |
| | January 1995 | 0.7 | 0.21 | ND | ND | ND |
| | May 1995 | ND | 0.004 | ND | ND | ND |
| | October 1995 | 0.2 | 0.11 | ND | ND | ND |
| | May 1996 | 0.2 | 0.086 | ND | 0.001 | ND |
| | September 1996 | 0.09 | 0.059 | ND | ND | ND |
| | April 1997 | ND | 0.022 | ND | ND | ND |
| | October 1997 | ND | 0.022 | ND | ND | ND |
| | May 1998 | ND | 0.012 | ND | ND | ND |
| | October 1998 | 0.18 | 0.058 | ND | ND | ND |
| MW-3 | June 1989 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | December 1990 | 0.05 | 0.011 | ND | ND | ND |
| | June 1991 | 0.1 | 0.007 | ND | ND | ND |
| | March 1992 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.0009 | ND | ND |
| | October 1992 | ND | 0.005 | ND | ND | ND |



TABLE 2Ground Water Sample Analytical Results

October 1998 Semiannual Ground Water Sampling Report Mills College Corporation Yard, Oakland, California

| Sample ID | Sample Date | TPHg | Вепzеле | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylenes |
|-------------|----------------|------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|
| | | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm |
| MW-3 | May 1994 | ND | 0.005 | ND | ND | ND |
| (continued) | October 1994 | ND | 0.004 | ND | ND | ND |
| | January 1995 | ND | 0.012 | ND | ND | ND |
| | May 1995 | 0.07 | 0.006 | ND | ND | ND |
| | October 1995 | ND | 0.002 | ND | ND | 0.002 |
| | May 1996 | ND | 0.007 | ND | ND | ND |
| | September 1996 | ND | 0.012 | ND | ND | ND |
| | April 1997 | ND | 0.043 | ND | ND | ND |
| | October 1997 | ND | 0.0057 | ND | ND | ND |
| | May 1998 | ND | 0.0049 | ND | ND | ND |
| | October 1998 | ND | 0.0068 | ND | ND | ND |
| | May 1994 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | June 1994 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | October 1994 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | January 1995 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | May 1995 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | October 1995 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | May 1996 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | September 1996 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | April 1997 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | October 1997 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | May 1998 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | October 1998 | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.0007 |
| MW-5 | April 1995 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | October 1995 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | May 1996 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | September 1996 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | April 1997 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | October 1997 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | May 1998 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| | October 1998 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |

NOTES

TPHg: Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline

ppm: Parts per million or milligrams per liter

ND: Not detected at or above the laboratory method reporting limits

(D): Duplicate sample analytical results



FIGURES

I







APPENDIX A Laboratory Analytical Reports

1





October 22, 1998

Service Request No.: S9802738

Chris Collet Harza Consulting Engineers and Scientists 425 Roland Way Oakland, CA 94621

RE: Mills College/K275-H

Dear Mr. Collet:

The following pages contain analytical results for sample(s) received by the laboratory on October 15, 1998. Results of sample analyses are followed by Appendix A which contains sample custody documentation and quality assurance deliverables requested for this project. The work requested has been assigned the Service Request No. listed above. To help expedite our service, please refer to this number when contacting the laboratory.

Analytical results were produced by procedures consistent with Columbia Analytical Services' (CAS) Quality Assurance Manual (with any deviations noted). Signature of this CAS Analytical Report below confirms that pages 2 through 10, following, have been thoroughly reviewed and approved for release in accord with CAS Standard Operating Procedure ADM-DatRev3.

Please feel welcome to contact me should you have questions or further needs.

Sincerely, Steven L. Green

Project Chemist

Acronyms A2LA American Association for Laboratory Accreditation ASTM American Society for Testing and Materials BOD **Biochemical Oxygen Demand** BTEX Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes CAM California Assessment Metals CARB California Air Resources Board **CAS Number** Chemical Abstract Service registry Number CFC Chlorofluorocarbon CEU Colony-Forming Unit COD Chemical Oxygen Demand DEC Department of Environmental Conservation DEQ Department of Environmental Quality DHS Department of Health Services DLCS Duplicate Laboratory Control Sample DMS Duplicate Matrix Spike DOE Department of Ecology DOH Department of Health U. S. Environmental Protection Agency EPA ELAP Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program GC Gas Chromatography GC/MS Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry IC Ion Chromatography ICB Initial Calibration Blank sample ICP Inductively Coupled Plasma atomic emission spectrometry ICV Initial Calibration Verification sample J Estimated concentration. The value is less than the MRL, but greater than or equal to the MDL. If the value is equal to the MRL, the result is actually <MRL before rounding. LCS Laboratory Control Sample LUFT Leaking Underground Fuel Tank M Modified MBAS Methylene Blue Active Substances Maximum Contaminant Level. The highest permissible concentration of a MCL substance allowed in drinking water as established by the U. S. EPA. MDL. Method Detection Limit MPN Most Probable Number MRL Method Reporting Limit MS Matrix Spike MTBE Methyl tert-Butyl Ether NA Not Applicable NAN Not Analyzed NC Not Calculated National Council of the paper industry for Air and Stream Improvement NCASI ND Not Detected at or above the method reporting/detection limit (MRL/MDL) NIOSH National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health NTU Nephelometric Turbidity Units Parts Per Billion ppb ppm Parts Per Million PQL Practical Quantitation Limit QA/QC Quality Assurance/Quality Control RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act RPD **Relative Percent Difference** SIM Selected Ion Monitoring SM Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 18th Ed., 1992 STLC Solubility Threshold Limit Concentration Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods, SW-846, sW 3rd Ed., 1986 and as amended by Updates I, II, IIA, and IIB. TCLP **Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure** TDS Total Dissolved Solids TPH Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons Trace level. The concentration of an analyte that is less than the PQL but greater than or equal tr to the MDL. If the value is equal to the PQL, the result is actually <PQL before rounding. TRPH Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons TSS Total Suspended Solids TTLC Total Threshold Limit Concentration VOA Volatile Organic Analyte(s)

Analytical Report

HARZA Mills College/K275-H Water
 Service Request:
 \$9802738

 Date Collected:
 10/13/98

 Date Received:
 10/15/98

BTEX and TPH as Gasoline

| Sample Name: | MWI | | Units: | ug/L (ppb) |
|--------------|--------------|---|--------|------------|
| Lab Code: | S9802738-001 | • | Basis: | NA |
| Test Notes: | | | | |

| Analyte | Prep Method | Analysis Method | MRL | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Result | Result Notes |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| TPH as Gasoline | EPA 5030 | CA/LUFT | 50 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | 420 | |
| Benzene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | 58 | |
| Toluene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | 5.1 | |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 5030 | 802 0 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | 8.8 | |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | 6.2 | |

1822/020597p

Analytical Report

HARZA Mills College/K275-H Water
 Service Request:
 \$9802738

 Date Collected:
 10/13/98

 Date Received:
 10/15/98

BTEX and TPH as Gasoline

| Sample Name: | MW2 | | Units: ug/L (ppb) |
|--------------|--------------|---|-------------------|
| Lab Code: | S9802738-002 | • | Basis: NA |
| Test Notes: | | | |

| Analyte | Prep Method | Analysis Method | MRL | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Result | Result Notes |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| TPH as Gasoline | EPA 5030 | CA/LUFT | 50 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | 180 | G2 |
| Benzene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | 58 | |
| Toluene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |

G2

The sample contains a single fuel component eluting in the gasoline range, and quantitated as gasoline. The chromatogram does not match the typical gasoline fingerprint.

1S22/020597p

Analytical Report

Client: Project: Sample Matrix: HARZA Mills College/K275-H Water
 Service Request:
 \$9802738

 Date Collected:
 10/13/98

 Date Received:
 10/15/98

BTEX and TPH as Gasoline

Sample Name: Lab Code: Test Notes:

MW3 S9802738-003 Units: ug/L (ppb) Basis: NA

| Analyte | Prep Method | Analysis Method | MRL | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Result | Result Notes |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| TPH as Gasoline | EPA 5030 | CA/LUFT | 50 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Benzene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | 6.8 | |
| Toluene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |

.

1822/020597p

Analytical Report

HARZA Mills College/K275-H Water
 Service Request:
 \$9802738

 Date Collected:
 10/14/98

 Date Received:
 10/15/98

,

BTEX and TPH as Gasoline

| Sample Name: | MW4 | | Units: ug/L (ppb) |
|--------------|--------------|---|-------------------|
| Lab Code: | S9802738-004 | - | Basis: NA |
| Test Notes: | | | |

| Analyte | Prep Method | Analysis Method | MRL | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Result | Result Notes |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| TPH as Gasoline | EPA 5030 | CA/LUFT | 50 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Benzene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Toluene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NÀ | 10/17/98 | 0.7 | |

1S22/020597p

Analytical Report

Service Request: \$9802738 Date Collected: 10/13/98 Date Received: 10/15/98

BTEX and TPH as Gasoline

| Sample Name: | MW5 | | Units: | ug/L (ppb) |
|--------------|---------------|---|--------|------------|
| Lab Code: | \$9802738-005 | - | Basis | NA |
| Test Notes: | | | | |

| Analyte | Prep Method | Analysis Method | MRL | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Result | Result Notes |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| TPH as Gasoline | EPA 5030 | CA/LUFT | 50 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Benzene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Toluene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0,5 | I | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 5030 | 802 0 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/ 17/98 | ND | |

1822/020597p

Client:

Project:

Analytical Report

HARZA Mills College/K275-H Water Service Request: \$9802738 Date Collected: NA Date Received: NA

BTEX and TPH as Gasoline

| Sample Name: | Method Blank | | Units: ug/L (ppb) |
|--------------|--------------|---|-------------------|
| Lab Code: | S981017-WB1 | - | Basis: NA |
| Test Notes: | | | |

| Analyte | Prep Method | Analysis Method | MRL | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Result | Result Notes |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| TPH as Gasoline | EPA 5030 | CA/LUFT | 50 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Benzene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Toluene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 5030 | 8020 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 10/17/98 | ND | |

1S22/020597p

QA/QC Report

| Client: Project: Sample Matrix: | HARZA Mills C Water | A Follege/K275-H | Surrogate Recove BTEX and TPH | Se D I D D ery Summary as Gasoline | rvice Request: S9802738 Date Collected: NA Date Received: NA ate Extracted: NA Date Analyzed: NA |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Prep Method: | EPA 50 | 30 | | | Units: PERCENT |
| Analysis Method: | 8020 | CA/LUFT | | | Basis: NA |
| | | | Test | Percent | Recovery |
| Sample Name | | Lab Code | Notes | 4-Bromofluorobenzene | a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene |
| MW1 | | \$9802738-001 | | 86 | 114 |
| MW2 | | S9802738-002 | | 99 | 96 |
| MW3 | | S9802738-003 | | 102 | 96 |
| MW4 | | S9802738-004 | | 108 | 92 |
| MW5 | | S9802738-005 | | 105 | 95 |
| Method Blank | | S981017-WB1 | | 89 | 90 |

CAS Acceptance Limits:

•

69-116

69-116

| Contact: <u>Chris</u> (HARZA Consulting En | oll T | entiste | | | | | | | | | /5 | $\left\langle \right\rangle$ | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|-------------------|---|------------------------|----------|-----------------|--------------|----------|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------------|
| 125 Roland Way Dakland, CA 94621 | (510) 56 (510) 56 | 8–4001 8–2205 Fa | x | | | | | | | / | | | urb ² | | C STRAN | \$/ 8 ³ / \$/ | | ' | |
| Project Number K275-H | · ' | Lab Proje | ect Numt | ber | | | | | ß | | Sei - See | No Series | 3 | orgonit | | | | | |
| Mills College | | Sampler's | Nome | (printed) | T | | | Cherry . | | | AND AND | \$ \$] \$ | Server C | SPERIO | | | | , | |
| Harza Sample ID | Lab Sample ID | Date | Time | Sample Type | Num of (| iber/Type Container | | & (* (* | S WETTO WETT | A JETTO | Serro serre | A JER | *e ^{d5} | | | // | | Remarks | |
| MWI | <u> </u> | 10/13 | | utr | 3V0 | OAs | Х | | | | | | | | | ĺ | | | |
| MW2 | 2 | 10/13 | | | | | ΙX | | | | | _ | | | _ | | | | |
| MUU | <u>3)</u> | 10/13 | | $\left \right $ | <u>├ </u> | | K | | | + | ┨┥ | | | | | | | | · · · · |
| MWS | <u>ም</u> | 6/13 | | | | | 1× | | + +- | | | | | · · | | | | - | |
| | | | | x | • | | | | | | | - | | | | | . <u></u> | <u></u> | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | - | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ···· •· | | | | | | • | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 19 , 1 | - | | | _ | | | | | _ | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | $\left\{ \ldots \right\}$ | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | | + | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | · • • • • • • | | | | + | | | | | | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| elinguiched by (Signature) | | | , | | Data | | 0 | | /12: | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Cimquistica by. (Signatore) | italy) | | | | 15 CT. 4 | B 12:46H | Recei | | (signature | in C | <i>С_</i> | | | | | | | Dote | i Time רבי, ק |
| elinquished by: (Signature) | | | | | Date | Ťime | Recei | ved by: | (Signature |) | . | | | | | | <u></u> | Date | Time |
| elinquished by: (Signature) | | | | | Date | Time | Recei | ved by: | (Signature |) | | | | | | | | Date | Time |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |