

A Report Prepared for:

Wells Fargo Bank c/o Rory Campbell, Esq. Hanson, Bridgett, Marcus, Vlahos & Rudy 333 Market Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105-2173

> REPORT SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION BILL COX CADILLAC 230 BAY PLACE OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 5 49 9

December 23, 1993

Prepared by:

Daniel E. Trumbly

Senior Staff Geologist

Andrew A. Briefer¹, P.E. Senior Engineer

Robert S. Creps, P.E. Associate Engineer

167.0200.002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES iv
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS iv
1.0 INTRODUCTION 1 1.1 Objectives and Scope 1 1.2 Site Description 2 1.3 Background Information 2
2.0 FIELD INVESTIGATIONS 4 2.1 February/March 1993 Investigation 4 2.1.1 Soil Sampling 4 2.1.2 Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation and Development 5 2.1.3 Groundwater Sampling 5 2.1.4 Analytical Program 5 2.1.5. Limited Tidal Influence Study 6 2.2.1 Soil Sampling 6 2.2.2 Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation and Development 7 2.2.3 Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation and Development 7 2.2.3 Groundwater Monitoring Well Sampling 8 2.2.4 Analytical Program 8 2.2.5 Monitoring Well Survey and Water-Level Measurement 8
3.0 RESULTS OF INVESTIGATIONS 9 3.1 Subsurface Conditions 9 3.2 Evaluation of Hydrogeologic Conditions 9 3.3 Results of Groundwater Sample Analyses 10 3.3.1 February/March 1993 Results 10 3.3.2 October 1993 Results 11
4.0 CONCLUSIONS
TABLES

ILLUSTRATIONS

TABLE OF CONTENTS Continued

APPENDICES

- A BORING LOGS
- B MW-1 WELL DEVELOPMENT AND SAMPLING REPORTS
- C ANALYTICAL REPORTS FOR FEBRUARY/MARCH 1993 INVESTIGATION
- D ANALYTICAL REPORTS FOR OCTOBER 1993 INVESTIGATION

DISTRIBUTION

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Well Completion Details
Table 2	Summary of Groundwater Elevations
Table 3	Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples, March and October, 1993

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Plate 1	Site Location Map
Plate 2	Site Plan
Plate 3	Groundwater Elevation Contours on October 13-14, 1993
Plate 4	Distribution of Dissolved Hydrocarbons in Groundwater

.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Presented herein are the results of groundwater investigations performed by PES Environmental, Inc. ("PES") in February, May and October 1993, at Bill Cox Cadillac ("the site") located at 230 Bay Place in Oakland, California. The location of the site is shown on Plate 1. The investigations were performed on behalf of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo"), trustee for the property owner, and Hanson, Bridgett, Marcus, Vlahos and Rudy, legal counsel to Wells Fargo ("Hanson, Bridgett").

1.1 Objectives and Scope

Two phases of the investigation were conducted. The investigation of February/March 1993 was performed to address a request from the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health ("ACDEH") for a soil and groundwater investigation to evaluate for the presence of residual contamination in connection with closure of a waste oil tank previously located at the site; the tank was removed in December 1988. Petroleum hydrocarbon contamination was confirmed during this initial investigation. The investigation of May 1993 was limited to a tidal influence study and is considered part of the February/March phase. The purpose was to evaluate whether tidal fluctuations of nearby Lake Merritt influence groundwater levels and flow characteristics at the site.

The investigation of October 1993 was performed to supplement information generated during the earlier investigations. The purposes of the October investigations were to: (1) further evaluate the chemical characteristics of the petroleum hydrocarbon contamination; (2) evaluate its distribution (i.e., locus and extent); and (3) identify potential sources of the contaminant release.

This report includes:

16702028.w51

- a summary of background information;
- descriptions of the investigation activities including groundwater monitoring well installation and sampling conducted in February/March 1993, the May tidal influence study, and temporary groundwater monitoring well installation and groundwater sampling conducted in October 1993;
- lithologic logs of subsurface materials encountered during the investigations;
- tabulation and graphical presentation of the results of groundwater analyses;
- an assessment of the distribution of petroleum hydrocarbons in groundwater at the site;
- a discussion of the chemical characteristics of the petroleum hydrocarbons in groundwater;

- an assessment of the probable sources of the contamination; and
- conclusions and recommendations for further actions to be taken at the site.

1.2 Site Description

The nearly 2-acre site is bounded on the northwest by Harrison Street, the southwest by Bay Street, and on the southeast by Vernon Street. The subject area of these investigations is located within the service parking lot on the east side of the Cox Cadillac building, as shown on Plate 2. The northern and eastern boundaries of the parking lot abut a steep embankment which is partially supported by a retaining wall. The southern margin of the parking lot is located adjacent to Bay Place. Access to this parking lot is provided from Bay Place. This parking area is used for vehicles being serviced or undergoing repairs including wrecked vehicles which were observed to be leaking oil. The parking lot is paved with asphalt concrete. Many locations within the parking lot are oil-stained, and/or the pavement is degraded, possibly due to leaks or spills from vehicles undergoing maintenance.

The former underground waste oil storage tank was located within the parking lot adjacent to the Cox Cadillac service facility. The former tank location is delineated by an asphalt patch placed after tank removal and excavation backfilling. A 10,000-gallon gasoline underground storage tank and associated dispenser are located in the southwestern corner of the parking lot adjacent to Bay Place. These current and historical features are shown on the site plan attached hereto as Plate 2.

1.3 Background Information

The 3,000-gallon former waste oil storage tank was removed from the site in December, 1988 by R.S. Eagan & Company ("Eagan") of Concord, California. Representatives of Subsurface Consultants, Inc. of Oakland, California were present during removal of the tank. Holes in the tank were reportedly observed at the time of removal and floating product was present in the tank excavation. Soil and groundwater in the excavation were sampled at the time of tank removal; however, analytical data were inconclusive as to the presence of petroleum hydrocarbon compounds. Excavated soil was placed back in the ground. At the request of ACDEH, the area was re-excavated and soil and groundwater were sampled in March 1989. Total petroleum hydrocarbons ("TPH") quantified as gasoline ("TPHg") and TPH quantified as diesel ("TPHd") were detected at concentrations of 45 parts per million ("ppm") and 150 ppm, respectively, in a soil sample collected from 8 feet below ground surface ("bgs"). Analysis of a water sample collected from a holding tank storing rainwater pumped from the excavation revealed the presence of 550 parts per billion ("ppb") TPHg, 2,100 ppb TPHd, and 10,000 ppb total oil and grease. Benzene was not detected in soil or water samples. A total of 27 cubic yards of petroleum hydrocarbon-affected soil was excavated in March 1989 and off-hauled for disposal in June 1989. The excavation was backfilled by Eagan in June 1989 with imported fill.

The Eagan files indicate that during an April 1989 phone conversation, Mr. Dennis Byrne of ACDEH instructed Mr. Bob Corsun of Eagan that no further excavation was required although Mr. Byrne indicated that a groundwater monitoring well must be installed on the site. In correspondence dated December 28, 1990, Mr. Paul Smith of ACDEH requested that a workplan for groundwater monitoring well installation at the site be submitted to ACDEH. Mr. Thomas F. Peacock of ACDEH requested in a letter to Mr. Bill Theuringer of Bill Cox Cadillac dated December 15, 1992 that a workplan for a soil and groundwater investigation related to the former waste oil tank be submitted to ACDEH.

PES was retained by Wells Fargo and Hanson, Bridgett in January 1993 to prepare a workplan, and install one groundwater monitoring well down gradient of the former waste oil tank and obtain groundwater samples from the well for laboratory analyses. Monitoring well installation and sampling were performed in February and March 1993 in accordance with the approved workplan. The methods of that phase of the investigation are discussed in Section 2.1 of this report. The results of the groundwater sample analyses indicated that TPHg was present at a concentration of 110 ppm. Gasoline detected in groundwater was characterized as "fresh" and no waste oil constituents were detected. Consequently, an additional phase of investigation was conducted to investigate the degree and extent, and the likely source of the gasoline contamination. This subsequent investigation is described in Section 2.2.

2.0 FIELD INVESTIGATIONS

As noted above, two phases of field investigation were performed. The first was performed in February and March 1993 and entailed the installation of one groundwater monitoring well, well development, and groundwater sample collection and analysis. A limited tidal influence study was performed in May 1993 and is considered part of the first investigation phase. The second phase of investigation was performed in October 1993 and entailed the installation of seven temporary groundwater monitoring wells, well development, and groundwater sampling and analysis. Descriptions of field activities for each phase of investigation are provided in the following sections.

2.1 February/March 1993 Investigation

The field investigation was conducted on February 23, March 1, 3, and March 31, 1993. These activities included: (1) drilling and sampling one soil boring; (2) converting the soil boring to a groundwater monitoring well (Well MW-1, as shown on Plate 2); and (3) collecting a groundwater sample from the well for laboratory analyses.

The tidal influence study was performed on May 27.

PES prepared a site safety plan for the site activities and conducted an onsite health and safety meeting with the drilling contractor prior to drilling. To avoid damaging underground utilities, the soil boring location was cleared by California Utility Surveys ("CUS") prior to drilling using subsurface detection equipment.

2.1.1 Soil Sampling

The boring for well MW-1 was drilled using a CME-75 drill rig equipped with 7.25-inch outside diameter hollow-stem augers. The boring was advanced to a depth of 20 feet bgs. A PES geologist logged the boring for lithologic description in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System ("USCS"). The boring log and USCS chart are presented in Appendix A.

Discrete soil samples were collected during drilling to make field observations and for possible analyses in the event that field observations or groundwater test results warranted soil testing. Samples were collected at approximately 5-foot intervals, at lithologic changes, and at the groundwater interface. The samples were collected by driving a 2-inch inside diameter ("I.D.") split barrel sampler, lined with stainless steel tubes, 18 inches into undisturbed soils beneath the cutting bit of the auger.

To avoid cross contamination between sampling events, equipment used for drilling and sampling was decontaminated prior to use and between each sampling event. Drilling equipment was cleaned using a combination steam/high pressure wash system. The soil sampling equipment was cleaned using a non-phosphate detergent solution and double rinsed with potable water. Soil cuttings and rinsate water and sediment from cleaning activities are contained onsite in 55-gallon drums until proper disposal is arranged.

2.1.2 Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation and Development

When drilling and soil sampling were completed at the groundwater monitoring well location, the well casing was installed in the borehole through the hollow stem of the auger. The well casing consists of 20 feet of 2-inch diameter, Schedule 40, polyvinyl chloride ("PVC") pipe with flush-threaded connections. The well screen has 0.010-inch machined slots and was installed from a depth of 5 feet bgs to 20 feet bgs. A sand filter pack consisting of Monterey No. 2/12 sand was placed in the annular space from the bottom of the borehole to 4 feet bgs. A 1-foot-thick seal of bentonite pellets was placed above the sand filter pack. The seal was completed to 0.5 feet bgs with portland cement and the well was completed at the ground surface in a traffic-rated utility vault. The well casing was fitted with a bottom cap and locking expansion plug. Well completion details are provided in Table 1 and Plate A-2.

The well was developed by surging to sort the filter pack and pumping to remove fines from the well casing. Approximately 10 casing volumes of water were purged from the well during development. A copy of a report documenting well development is included in Appendix B.

2.1.3 Groundwater Sampling

Groundwater samples were collected from Monitoring Well MW-1 on March 3, 1993. A minimum of 3 casing volumes of water were purged from the well prior to sampling, using a teflon bladder pump. Samples were then obtained using a teflon bailer and decanted into the appropriate sample containers. Samples for metal analyses were field-filtered through a 45 micron filter. The sample containers were labeled, placed in a chilled, thermally-insulated cooler for transport to the project laboratory under chain-of-custody protocol. Purge water was placed in a 55-gallon drum which remains onsite until proper disposal is arranged.

2.1.4 Analytical Program

The groundwater samples were analyzed in accordance with the California Regional Water Quality Control Board - San Francisco Bay Region (RWQCB) *Regional Board Staff Recommendations for Initial Evaluation and Investigation of Underground Tanks* for waste oil tanks. The samples were analyzed for TPHg and TPHd by EPA Method 8015 Modified, total oil and grease by EPA Method 503 A&E, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes ("BTEX") by EPA Method 8020, halogenated volatile organic compounds by EPA Method 8010, semivolatile organic compounds by EPA Method 8270, PCBs by EPA Method 8080 and dissolved concentrations of cadmium, chromium, lead, nickel and zinc by EPA Methods 6010/7000 Series.

It should be noted that a very thin layer of free-phase hydrocarbon fuel product was found in Well MW-1 during development. The free product was not observed during sampling. On March 31, 1993 a sample of water and sediment was collected and submitted to Friedman &

(6702028.w51

Bruya, Inc. of Seattle, Washington for detailed laboratory analyses of adsorbed phase hydrocarbon constituents using gas chromatography ("GC") techniques. For comparison, a sample of the fuel stored in the onsite 10,000-gallon gasoline tank was collected from the fuel dispenser and submitted to Friedman & Bruya, Inc. for analyses as per the sample obtained from MW-1.

2.1.5. Limited Tidal Influence Study

A limited tidal influence study was performed on May 27, 1993. The purpose of the study was to evaluate whether tidally-induced water surface fluctuations in Lake Merritt, located about one-eighth of a mile to the south of the site, induce groundwater level or potentiometric surface fluctuations at the subject site. The study consisted of measuring the water level in Well MW-1 at three times during the day: 7:25 AM, 1:45 PM and 6:36 PM. The times of the water level measurements corresponded with two high and one low tide at the Oakland Inner Harbor. Each water level determination was measured by taking 2 or 3 subsequent readings using an electronic sounder. Measurements were made relative to the top of the casing, which is located approximately 0.25 feet below the asphalt ground surface.

2.2 October 1993 Investigation

The field investigation was conducted on October 11 through 14, 1993. Field activities included: (1) drilling and collecting soil samples from seven soil borings; (2) converting the soil borings to temporary groundwater monitoring wells (Well TW-1 through TW-7); and (3) obtaining groundwater samples from the temporary monitoring wells and from the existing monitoring well MW-1 for laboratory analyses. Temporary monitoring well locations are shown on Plate 2 with the designation of each well by "TW" followed by the number assigned to the temporary well.

PES adapted the existing site health and safety plan for site activities and conducted an onsite health and safety meeting prior to commencing field activities. To avoid damaging underground utilities, boring locations were cleared by CUS prior to drilling using subsurface detection equipment.

2.2.1 Soil Sampling

The borings were drilled with a Deep Rock 10K drill rig equipped with 8-inch outside diameter (O.D.) hollow stem augers to depths of approximately 8 to 10 feet bgs. A PES geologist logged the borings for lithologic description of the soils encountered at each boring location in accordance with the USCS. The logs of borings are presented in Appendix A. Soil samples and soil cuttings were field-screened for the presence of volatile organic compounds ("VOCs") by collecting head-space readings using a photo-ionization detector organic vapor meter ("PID"). Head-space readings are recorded on the boring logs.

Discrete soil samples were collected for field observations and for possible analysis if field observations indicated unsaturated soil contamination was present. Samples were collected during drilling at selected depths, at lithologic changes, and at the groundwater interface.

The samples were collected by driving a 2-inch I.D. split barrel sampler lined with stainless steel tubes. The sampler was driven 18 inches into undisturbed soils beneath the cutting bit of the auger.

To avoid cross contamination between sampling, equipment used for drilling and sampling was decontaminated prior to use and/or between each sampling event. Drilling equipment was cleaned using a combination steam/high pressure wash system. The soil sampling equipment was cleaned using a non-phosphate detergent solution and double rinsed with potable water.

Soil cuttings from the borings are stored onsite on top of, and covered with 6 mil plastic sheeting until proper disposal can be arranged. Rinsate water and sediment from cleaning activities are contained onsite in 55-gallon drums until proper disposal can be arranged.

2.2.2 Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation and Development

To ensure representative sample quality, temporary wells installed during this investigation were constructed following protocol for permanent wells with the exception of their surface completion, as described below. When drilling and soil sampling were completed at each temporary well location, the well casing was installed in the borehole through the hollow stem of the augers. The well casing consists of 8 to 10 feet of 2-inch diameter, Schedule 40, PVC pipe with flush-threaded connections. The well screen has 0.010-inch machined slots and was installed from depths of 3 feet bgs to 10 feet bgs to monitor the shallow water-bearing zone. A sand filter pack consisting of Monterey No. 2/12 sand was placed in the annular space from the bottom of the borehole to approximately 1.5 feet above the screened interval. An approximate 1-foot-thick seal of bentonite pellets was placed above the sand filter pack.

The seals were completed with portland cement to 0.5 feet bgs. Well casings were fitted with bottom caps and locking expansion plugs. Well completion details are provided on boring logs presented as Appendix A and in Table 1.

To protect the temporary wells from damage, to ensure the safety of persons working around the temporary wells, and to prevent introduction of surface water or spills, the portion of the open borehole exposed at the ground surface was backfilled to the level of the adjacent pavement surface with pea-gravel. The parking area surface at the well locations was then restored with cold patch asphalt. In the near future the cold patch will be removed, and each temporary well will be removed or completed as a permanent well by installing a trafficrated vault around the well head.

The temporary wells were developed by surging to sort the filter pack and bailing to remove fines from the well casing. Approximately 5 casing volumes of water were purged from each well during development. Development water is stored onsite in 55-gallon drums until proper disposal is arranged.

2.2.3 Groundwater Monitoring Well Sampling

Groundwater samples were collected from the seven new temporary monitoring wells and from the existing onsite well on October 13 and 14, 1993. A minimum of 3 casing volumes of water were purged from each well prior to sampling using an acrylic bailer and samples were obtained and decanted into 40-milliliter glass vials. The sample containers were labeled, placed in a chilled, thermally-insulated cooler for transport to the project laboratory under chain-of-custody protocol. Purge water was placed in 55-gallon drums which remain onsite until proper disposal is arranged.

2.2.4 Analytical Program

Samples were analyzed by a California-certified analytical laboratory. The groundwater samples collected from all monitoring wells during the October 1993 investigation were analyzed for TPHg, BTEX, 1,2-dichloroethane ("DCA"), and ethylene dibromide ("EDB") by EPA Test Method 8260 using gas chromatography/mass spectrophotometry ("GC/MS") techniques. DCA and EDB are lead "scavengers" that are typically added to leaded gasolines.

2.2.5 Monitoring Well Survey and Water-Level Measurement

The temporary monitoring wells and the existing monitoring well were surveyed for relative top-of-casing reference elevations and horizontal well coordinates. Elevations were measured to an accuracy of 0.01 foot. The reference datum for the elevations is 100 feet which was arbitrarily assigned to the top of the casing in MW-1. Water surface elevations in all wells were measured using an electronic sounder.

3.0 RESULTS OF INVESTIGATIONS

3.1 Subsurface Conditions

Surficial materials at the investigated locations consist of asphaltic concrete pavement over the entire parking area and concrete slab-on-grade in the sidewalk area. Materials beneath the paved areas consist of 2 to 4 inches of aggregate base which is underlain by gravelly sand, clayey gravel, clayey sand, and sand to depths of 1 to 1.5 feet bgs in Wells TW-2, TW-3, TW-5, and TW-6. The pavement materials in Wells TW-1 and TW-4 are underlain by silty clay and sandy clay which also underlie the shallow soils in wells TW-2, TW-3, TW-5, and TW-6. The 4-inch-thick concrete slab-on-grade sidewalk through which Well TW-7 is constructed is underlain by a second 4-inch-thick concrete slab. Materials below 2 feet bgs in all wells consist of predominantly sandy clay, silty sand, and silty clay with interbeds of sand. The sand interbeds range in thickness from 6 to 12 inches and occur at depths ranging from 3.5 to 5.5 feet bgs in all wells except TW-3 and TW-5 in which no interbedded sand was observed.

Unusual subsurface materials were encountered in Wells TW-4 and TW-5, as follows:

- (1) In Well TW-4, a 6-inch-thick concrete slab was encountered at a depth of approximately 2.75 feet bgs in well TW-4. The borehole was relocated about 4 feet southwest of the initial TW-4 borehole and the concrete slab was encountered in the relocated hole at the same depth. The concrete slab was penetrated.
- (2) A 2.5-feet-thick body of brick was found at a depth of 2 to 4.5 feet bgs in well TW-5.

Groundwater was encountered at depths ranging from 2.5 to 5 feet bgs in all borings except TW-6 in which water was encountered at a depth of about 6.5 feet bgs.

3.2 Evaluation of Hydrogeologic Conditions

Top of casing reference elevations, depth to groundwater, and groundwater elevations are presented in Table 2. The water-level elevations measured in October 1993 and groundwater surface contours are presented on Plate 3. On the basis of this data, the direction of groundwater flow is to the west-southwest, toward Bay Place, and the magnitude of the horizontal gradient is approximately 0.04 feet per foot.

A limited study of the potential for groundwater at the site to be affected by tidal fluctuations in Lake Merritt was performed in May 1993. PES reviewed USGS topographic maps and reviewed data from nearby sites for evidence of tidally influenced groundwater. On May 27, 1993 water levels were measured in MW-1 at 2.67, 2.73 and 2.77 feet below the top of the well casing at 7:25 AM, 1:45 PM and 5:25 PM, respectively. There was no appreciable water-level cycling in response to the tidal cycle. The observations showed a water-level drop of 0.1 foot during the day. This minor fluctuation may have been caused by a change in barometric pressure during the day. The results of the study do not support the existence of tidally-influenced groundwater at the site.

3.3 Results of Groundwater Sample Analyses

3.3.1 February/March 1993 Results

The results of analyses of groundwater in Well MW-1 in this investigation indicated the presence of a fresh gasoline. The concentrations of selected petroleum fuel analytes detected in groundwater are summarized in Table 3 and presented graphically on Plate 4. Copies of the laboratory reports and chain-of-custody documentation for the February/March investigation are presented as Appendix C.

The groundwater sample obtained from Well MW-1 on March 3, 1993 contained 110 ppm TPHg, 0.1 ppm TPHd, 8.5 ppm benzene, 7.5 ppm toluene, 4.4 ppm ethylbenzene and 15 ppm total xylenes. Total oil and grease was not detected above the method detection limit of 5 ppm.

Halogenated volatile organics (EPA Test Method 8010) were not detected with the exception of DCA, which was found at 0.35 ppm. Several semivolatile organic compounds were detected at low concentrations (near the method detection limits); the greatest concentrations being 2-methyl napthalene (0.078 ppm) and napthalene (0.21 ppm). These chemicals are constituents of gasoline. There were no PCB compounds detected in the sample. The metals cadmium, chromium, lead, zinc and nickel were either absent or found dissolved in the groundwater at only insignificant concentrations that could be attributable to natural conditions.

Copies of the Friedman & Bruya reports, including chromatograms, are also included in Appendix C. The adsorbed phase hydrocarbon analyzed from a sample obtained from MW-1 (Sample 930301-1) contained low and high boiling compounds such as those found in gasoline and wax. The gasoline-like material was characterized as mostly unweathered (i.e., a "fresh" gasoline) due to the relatively high amounts of the volatile fraction present in the sample. A halogenated compound which eluted from the column was initially thought to be EDB or tetrachloroethylene ("PCE"). A subsequent Friedman & Bruya evaluation of this sample (using their GSVL technique) indicated EDB may be present, and PCE was absent. No tetraethyl lead was detected. This was consistent with the results of the Test Method 8010 results, which showed the absence of PCE.

The gasoline fuel sample collected from the 10,000-gallon onsite tank (Sample 930331-2) contained low boiling point compounds such as those found in gasoline. No EDB or other halogenated compounds were present.

Based on a comparison of peak heights, and the presence of a halogenated compound in the MW-1 sample and its absence in the fuel sample, Friedman & Bruya indicated that the fresh gasoline in Well MW-1 did not match the gasoline from the tank.

3.3.2 October 1993 Results

The chemical analyses results from the October 1993 investigation revealed that petroleum hydrocarbons having characteristics of gasoline were present in samples from Wells MW-1, TW-4, TW-5, TW-6 and TW-7. No hydrocarbons were detected in samples from Wells TW-1, TW-2 and TW-3. Analytical results are presented in Table 3 and on Plate 4. Laboratory reports and chain of custody documentation for the October investigation are presented as Appendix D. Chromatograms are included with the analytical reports.

On October 14, 1993, Well MW-1 contained 74 ppm TPHg, 6.1 ppm benzene, 4.8 ppm toluene, 4 ppm ethylbenzene, 11 ppm total xylenes, 0.35 ppm DCA, and 0.08 ppm EDB. These results area consistent with those obtained from this well in March.

Sample TW-4 contained 2 ppm TPHg, 0.065 ppm benzene, 0.018 ppm toluene, 0.049 ppm ethylbenzene and 0.033 ppm total xylenes. DCA and EDB were not present in TW-4 above method detection limits.

Sample TW-5 contained 140 ppm TPHg, 20 ppm benzene, 25 ppm toluene, 3.8 ppm ethylbenzene, and 23 ppm total xylenes. DCA and EDB were not present in TW-6 above method detection limits.

Sample TW-6 contained 4.1 ppm TPHg, 3.8 ppm benzene, 1.6 ppm toluene, 0.11 ppm ethylbenzene, and 0.54 ppm xylenes. DCA and EDB were not present in TW-7 above method detection limits.

Sample TW-7 contained 100 ppm TPHg, 48 ppm benzene, 15 ppm toluene, 3.4 ppm ethylbenzene, and 16 ppm xylenes. DCA and EDB were not present at or above method detection limits in TW-7.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of the investigations, it is apparent that petroleum hydrocarbon fuel has been released to the subsurface at the site and that the primary source of the fuel release is centered around the 10,000 gallon UST on the site and its fuel dispenser. This conclusion is based on:

- (1) the lack of fuel hydrocarbons detected in Wells TW-1, TW-2, and TW-3 which indicates that the fuel hydrocarbons are not migrating on to the site from an offsite, upgradient source;
- (2) the source of the fuel hydrocarbon is not likely an offsite, downgradient source because:
 (a) the presence of a relatively steep, southwesterly hydraulic groundwater gradient would preclude migration of fuel hydrocarbon to the site from downgradient locations; and (b) the lack of tidal influence which could cause temporary variation or reversal of the hydraulic gradient;
- (3) the locus of the petroleum hydrocarbon contamination is centered on the 10,000-gallon tank and its dispenser; and
- (4) the fuel hydrocarbon release has occurred relatively recently (i.e., within approximately the last two years). This conclusion is based on the relatively high proportion of aromatic fuel hydrocarbon components in groundwater, and interpretation of chromatograms provided by the project analytical laboratories.

The source could be either a minor leak in the tank itself, a leak from the product piping or the dispenser, and/or tank overfilling.

The absence of waste oil constituents in Well MW-1 (such as solvents and metals) indicates that the remedial work conducted by Eagan in 1989 was likely adequate and the former waste oil tank is not a major contributor to the petroleum fuel contamination in Well MW-1 and downgradient wells.

The apparent lack of a correlation between samples collected from the 10,000-gallon gasoline tank and a sample from Well MW-1 was based on: (1) the absence of halogenated volatile compounds (EDB and DCA) in the fuel tank sample (as expected in an unleaded fuel) and their presence in the sample from Well MW-1; and (2) the existence of a second set of peaks at the heavier end of the well sample chromatogram (indicative of a wax). This information does not preclude the onsite tank from being the primary source because: (1) petroleum found in Wells TW-4, TW-5, TW-6 and TW-7 also did not contain EDB and DCA and is therefore indicative of an unleaded fuel; and (2) there are other possible contributing sources to the contamination near MW-1 as evidenced by the existence of wrecked cars with leaking fluids near MW-1, and the deteriorated condition of the asphalt possibly caused by fluid spills. The chemical characteristics of contaminants in Well MW-1 therefore can be explained as being from two or more sources: the primary source being a release from the gasoline tank which gives it its "fresh" characteristics; and one or more secondary sources such as a surface spill of automotive fluids, which could explain the existence of the EDB and DCA and the heavier hydrocarbon fraction.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of the investigations, PES recommends the following actions:

- (1) Because an unauthorized release of petroleum hydrocarbon fuel has been confirmed from an onsite underground fuel tank, the release should be reported to ACDEH and RWQCB;
- (2) Cox Cadillac should perform leak testing of the 10,000-gallon onsite tank. The product supply and vent lines, the product dispenser associated with this tank should also be tested;
- (3) Permit and complete six of the temporary wells (TW-2 through TW-7) as permanent monitoring wells and abandon TW-1 in accordance with applicable regulations; and
- (4) Investigate the downgradient extent of hydrocarbon contamination in soil and groundwater, and assess the need for and scope of remedial actions.

Table 1Well Completion Details

Cox Cadillac Oakland, California

Well Number	Casing Diameter (inches)	Installation Date	Total Depth (feet)	Screened Interval (feet bgs)	Sand Pack Interval (feet bgs)	Bentonite Seal Interval (feet bgs)
MW-1	2	02/23/93	20.0	5.0 - 20.0	4.0 - 20.0	3.0 - 4.0
TW-1	2	10/11/93	10.0	3.0 - 10.0	2.3 - 10.0	1.5 - 2.3
TW-2	2	10/11/93	8.0	3.0 - 8.0	2.0 - 8.0	1.0 - 2.0
TW -3	2	10/11/93	10.0	3.0 - 10.0	2.3 - 10.0	1.3 - 2.3
TW- 4	2	10/11/93	9.0	3.0 - 9.0	2.3 - 9.0	1.3 - 2.3
TW-5	2	10/12/93	8.0	3.0 - 8.0	2.0 - 8.0	1.0 - 2.0
TW-6	2	10/12/93	8.0	3.0 - 8.0	2.0 - 8.0	1.0 - 2.0
TW-7	2	10/12/93	10.0	3.0 - 10.0	2.0- 10.0	1.0 - 2.0

Notes:

feet bgs = feet below ground surface See Plate 2 for location of monitoring wells

r

Table 2Summary of Groundwater Elevations

Cox Cadillac Oakland, California

Well Number	Date	Time	Measured By	Top of Casing Elevation (feet (1))	Total Depth of Casing (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Groundwater Elevations (feet)
TW-1	10/13/93	11:35	PES	100.91	8.50	0.06	100.85
TW-2	10/13/93	12:17	PES	100.43	7.63	2.32	98.11
TW-3	10/13/93	12:49	PES	100.46	9.45	4.43	96.03
TW-4	10/13/93	16:15	PES	99.35	8.50	2.73	96.62
TW-5	10/13/93	15:25	PES	99.40	7.47	4.84	94.56
TW-6	10/14/93	13:10	PES	98.75	7.60	5.40	93.35
TW-7	10/14/93	13:25	PES	97.96	7.68	5.40	92.5 6
MW- 1	10/13/93	13:35	PES	100.00	20.00	3.55	96.45

Notes: (1) = All elevations relative to arbitrary reference datum of 100 feet at MW-1 top of casing

Table 3 Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples, March and October 1993 Cox Cadillac Oakland, California

Concentrations expressed in milligrame per liter (mg/L) - equivalent to parts per million

Well Number	Sample Date	TPH as Gasoline	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- Benzne	Total Xylenes	1,2-DCA (1)	Ethylene Dibromide
TW-1	10/13/93	<0.050	< 0.0005 (2)	< 0.0005	<0.0005	< 0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005
TW-2	10/13/93	<0.050	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	< 0.0005	<0.0005
T W-3	10/13/93	<0.050	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	<0.0005
TW-4	10/13/93	2	0.065	0.018	0.049	0.033	<0.005	< 0.005
TW-5	10/13/93	140	20	25	3.8	23	<0.1	<0.1
TW-6	10/14/93	4.1	3.8	1.6	0.11	0.54	<0.001	<0.001
TW-7	10/14/93	100	48	15	3.4	16	<0.05	<0.05
MW-1	3/3/93	110	8.5	7.5	4.4	15	0.35	NA (3)
MW-1	10/13/93	74	6.1	4.8	4	11	0.35	0.08

Notes:

(1) 1,2-DCA = 1,2-Dichlorethane

(2) < 0.0005 = Not detected at specified detection limit.

(3) NA = Not analyzed









		MAJOR DIVIS	SIONS			TYPICAL NAMES	
	S CLEAN GRAVELS		GW		WELL GRADED GRAVELS WITH OR WITHOUT SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES		
	- 200 SIEV	GRAVELS	WITH LITTLE OR NO FINES	GP		POORLY GRADED GRAVELS WITH OR WITHOUT SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES	
	D SOILS THAN NC	COARSE FRACTION IS LARGER THAN NO. 4 SIEVE SIZE	GRAVELS WITH	GM		SILTY GRAVELS, SILTY GRAVELS WITH SAND	
	GRAINE		OVER 15% FINES	GC		CLAYEY GRAVELS, CLAYEY GRAVELS WITH SAND	ŀ
	COARSE HALF IS (CLEAN SANDS WITH LITTLE	sw		WELL GRADED SANDS WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL, LITTLE OR NO FINES	
	RETHAN	SANDS	OR NO FINES	SP		POOFILY GRADED SANDS WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL, LITTLE OR NO FINES	
	Ŵ	IS SMALLER THAN NO. 4 SIEVE SIZE	SANDS WITH	SM		SILTY SANDS WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL	
			OVEN 13% FINES	SC		CLAYEY SANDS WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL	
	O SIEVE			ML		INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTS WITH SANDS AND GRAVELS	
	OILS N NO. 20	SILTS AND CLAYS LIQUID LIMIT 50% OR LESS				INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY CLAYS WITH SANDS AND GRAVELS, LEAN CLAYS	
	NEE SC			OL		ORGANIC SILTS OF CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY	
	INE-GRA			мн	ЩЦ	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACIOUS, FINE SANDY OR SILTY SOILS, ELASTIC SILTS	
			ID CLAYS EATER THAN 50%	СН		INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAYS	
	MOR			он		ORGANIC SILTS OF CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY	
		HIGHLY ORC	BANIC SOILS	PT		PEAT AND OTHER HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS	
	PID (PPI	M) - Photo lonizati parts per milli sample scree	on Detector readings in on from field headspac hing.	n xə		- No Soil Sample Recovered - Partial Soil Sample Recovered - Undisturbed Soil Sample Recovered	
	BLOWS/6" - Blows required to drive sampler 6 inches as indicated on the logs using sample drive hammer weight of 140 pounds falling 30 inches.				Ž	 Soil Sample Submitted for Laboratory Anal First Encountered Ground Water Level 	ysis
	2.5YR 6/2 - Soil Color according to Munsell Soil Color Charts (1988 Edition)						
	føet MSL	- feet above Me	ean Sea Level				
	PES E Engine	nvironmental, Inc ering & Environme	ntal Services		Unifie Cox C 230 Ba Oaklar	d Soil Classification System Chart adillac ay Place ad, California	PLATE
JOB NUMBE	R 00.002	REVIEWED BY	,			DATE REVISED DATE REVISE 11/93	D DATE

















APPENDIX B

MW-1 WELL DEVELOPMENT AND SAMPLING REPORTS

BLAINE TECH SERVICES INC.

985 TIMOTHY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95133 (408) 995-5535 FAX (408) 293-8773

March 12, 1993

PES Environmental, Inc. 1682 Novato Blvd. Novato, CA 94947

Attention: Mary Holkenbrink

srre: Bill Cox Cadillac 230 Bay Place Oakland, California

PROJECT: Well Development

PROJECT INITIATED ON: March 1,1993

WELL DEVELOPMENT REPORT 930301-Y-1

Blaine Tech Services, Inc. performs specialized environmental sampling and documentation as an independent third party. In order to avoid compromising the objectivity necessary for the proper and disinterested performance of this work, Blaine Tech Services, Inc. does not participate in the interpretation of analytical results or become involved with the marketing or installation of remedial systems. The interpretation of results should be performed by representatives of the interested regulatory agencies and those certified professionals who are engaged as paid consultants in the business of providing professional opinions along with recommendations and proposals for further investigative or remedial activities.

As an independent third party, Blaine Tech Services, Inc. routinely performs evacuation and sampling of groundwater wells. In addition, we are frequently asked to provide specialized personnel, instruments and equipment for well development work. Similar standards of care and cleanliness are required in all these activities and our personnel are accustomed to the safety measures that must be taken.

Scope of Requested Services

Blaine Tech Services, Inc. was asked to provide specialized equipment, instruments and personnel for a well development project being overseen by PES Environmental, Inc..

Execution of the Recent Work

Our personnel arrived at the site on Monday, March 1, 1993 and developed one well in accordance with our client's specifications communicated to us by Ms. Holkenbrink. A summary of the well development actions is presented in the table of field data which follow.

MW-1 WELL DEVELOPMENT LOG

Well Designation	Well Diameter <u>(inches)</u>	Well Depth (feet)	Initial Depth to Water (feet)	Volume of single case <u>(gallons)</u>
MW-1	2	21.10	4.14	2.50

Equipment Used: Middleburg / Bailer

Data collection during well development:

Date	Time	Gallons Removed	Temp. <u>1 (F)</u>	рн	EC (micromhos)	Turbidity <u>(NTU)</u>	Notes
03/01/93	9:50	2.5	63.6	7.4	2000	>200	Brown, silty,
	P	ump was	being re	epaire	i from 9:52-1	0:52	
	10:58	5.0	62.0	7.6	2000	>200	Brown, silty, gas odor.
	11:03	7.5	60.4	7.5	1900	>200	Brown, silty, gas odor, and a heavy sheen.
	11:07	10.0	62.6	7.4	1800	>200	Scattered bits of free product.
	11:12	12.5	63.0	7.3	2200	>200	Strong gas odor.
	S	urged w	ith Midd	leburg	from 11:12-1	.1:23	Slow pump rate.
	11:23	15.0	64.0	7.0	2600	>200	Strong gas odor.
	11:32	17.5	64.6	7.2	2400	>200	77 77 80
	11:45	20.0	65.0	7.4	2600	>200	11 77 14
	11:56	22.5	64.6	7.4	2400	>200	Light brown, fuel odor.
	12:16	25.5	60.8	7.2	2300	>200	n n n
	12:16	End l	og.				

PES Environmental, Inc.
STANDARD PROCEDURES

Overview

Because formations vary in their geologic composition, transmissivity and water production capability, well development cannot be reduced to a set of fixed procedures that will always produce a complete and satisfactory result if just repeated for a predetermined period of time. Instead, well development is accomplished by selecting procedures that (a.) repair that portion of the native formation that was disrupted by the cutting action of the well drilling tool, and (b.) promote the flow of water out of the native formation into the newly installed well (through the granular filter pack and well screen). Execution of development actions that are not appropriate to the native formation will be inefficient and in some cases even deleterious.

Time constraints usually prevent a precise classification of the saturated zone materials by analysis of soil samples for physical characteristics at a laboratory equipped to do physical testing. Physical tests cannot usually be completed during the brief timespan of a project that combines exploration, design, and well installation into a one day effort. Instead, the subjective judgments of the field geologist are recorded in the boring log and well installation log. The field geologist must quickly evaluate soil types by their appearance and observable characteristics and record his or her estimation of the material in the log according to the categorical definitions provided by the Unified Soil Classification System. These categorical judgments are also the basis for determining the final construction specifications of the well.

The well's total depth, the length of the screened interval, the slot size, and the size of the sand used in the filter pack are all decided on the *appearance* of soil cuttings and whatever quick tests the field geologist can perform. Because the physical specifications for the well are set at that moment and cannot be corrected later, any misclassification of soil that results in a mismatching of the well to the native formation will have to be addressed and corrected (to whatever extent is possible) with well development actions, alone.

Well development work can be directed in two ways:

First, specific well development actions can be called for by the geologist who installed the wells or by another professional reviewing that installation work. Typically, consultants specify the use of certain equipment and techniques.

Second, the consultant or client can define the goal which is being sought and place limits on the amount of effort which should be taken to achieve the goal.

Of the two types of direction, the second is far more common and also more important. Defining the extent of effort which can be expended is vital to controlling costs on a project and scheduling personnel and equipment to complete the work. Moreover, it is possible to undertake and complete work without the added and frequently unnecessary effort of working out very detailed specification which may be impractical or unwarranted.

Well Development Report 930301-Y-1

page 3

This does not mean that our personnel cannot make use of well installation logs when they are available or are not receptive to very specific directions from the consultant. It does, however, mean that when very detailed directions are given, rapid communications between our personnel and the geologist become very important. This is especially true of sites where multiple wells have been installed, because wells even a short distance apart may demonstrate quite different characteristics which may require a rapid reevaluation of what well development procedures are appropriate in light of the hydrologic condition presented by the native formation at that location on the site.

In most cases, tightly controlled action sequences are less productive than more general directions combined with plain statements of what evaluation criteria should be used for judging the progress and completeness of the well development work. The most common standards are volumetric (removal of set volumes of water), recharge rate, and water clarity (measured as nephelometric turbidity units). Given these goals and limitations, our personnel can work independently of the project geologist. In most cases, our personnel can proceed with the work without supervision or direction by relying on empirical information obtained directly from the water in the well.

Selection of Development Equipment

Each Blaine Tech Services, Inc. vehicle provided for a well development project will have a wide assortment of development tools including stainless steel surgeblocks and swabs, several types of pumps, and complete instrumentation for determining standard parameters. Special equipment which includes certain types of winches, jetting heads, and drop surging pumps can be provided.

General Policy

Truly difficult conditions which can only be resolved by the application of massive force or large volumes of high pressure air should be addressed by a drilling or pump installation contractor. Blaine Tech Services, Inc. is not in the heavy salvage business and has a general policy against the use of tools or techniques which provide enough mechanical advantage to pose a serious risk of damaging the well. The same policy prohibits introducing foreign materials into a well which could carry contaminants into the groundwater. In keeping with this policy, our personnel avoid surging with slugs of effluent water, or jetting with unfiltered air unless these actions are specifically requested by a registered professional who is cognizant of the problems and hazards that accompany the action. In a similar vein, our personnel will, whenever possible, avoid development actions that are likely to seal clay formations or promote bridging, and make every attempt to call obvious indications of such conditions to the attention of the project geologist so that a different regimen can be selected.

Effluent Materials

Groundwater well sampling protocols call for the evacuation of a sufficient volume of water from the well to insure that the sample is collected from water that has been newly drawn into the well from the surrounding geologic formation.

Well development routinely generates as much or more effluent water as does routine evacuation prior to monitoring. In some cases very large amounts of water must be removed from the well before a satisfactory level of development has been achieved. The effluent water from these development actions must be contained. Blaine Tech Services, Inc. will place this water in appropriate containers of the client's choice or bring new DOT 17 E drums to the site which are appropriate for the containment of the effluent materials. The determination of how to properly dispose of the effluent water must usually await the results of laboratory analyses of subsequent samples collected from each individual groundwater well. If those individual samples do not establish whether or not the effluent water is contaminated, or if effluent from more than one source has been combined in the same container, it may be necessary to conduct additional analyses on the effluent material.

Decontamination

All apparatus is brought to the site in clean and serviceable condition. The equipment will be decontaminated after use in each well and before leaving the site. Decontamination consists of complete disassembly of the device to a point where a jet of steam cleaner water can be directed onto all the internal surfaces. Blaine Tech Services, Inc. frequently modifies apparatus to allow complete disassembly and proper cleaning.

Personnel

All Blaine Tech Services, Inc. personnel receive 29 CFR 1910.120 training as soon after being hired as is practical. In addition, many of our personnel have additional certifications that include specialized training in level B supplied air apparatus and the supervision of employees working on hazardous materials sites. Employees are not sent to a site unless we are confident they can adhere to any site safety provisions in force at the site and unless we know that they can follow the written provisions of an SSP and the verbal directions of an SSO.

In general, employees sent to a site to perform groundwater well sampling will assume an OSHA level D (wet) environment exists unless otherwise informed. The use of gloves and double glove protocols protects both our employees and the integrity of the samples being collected. Additional protective gear and procedures for higher OSHA levels of protection are available.

Please call if we can be of any further assistance.

Richard C. Blaine

RCB/skt

Well Development Report 930301-Y-1

PES Environmental, Inc.



BLAINE TECH SERVICES INC.

985 TIMOTHY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95133 (408) 995-5535 FAX (408) 293-8773

March 15,1993

PES Environmental, Inc. 1628 Novato Blvd., Suite 100 Novato, CA 94947

Attn: Dan Trumbly

srte: Bill Cox Cadillac 230 Bay Place Oakland, California

PROJECT: PES Enviornmental, Inc. well installation project

SAMPLING EVENT: Evacuate and sample one well

DATE: March 3, 1993

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING REPORT 930303-Y-1

Blaine Tech Services, Inc. performs specialized environmental sampling and documentation as an independent third party. In order to avoid compromising the objectivity necessary for the proper and disinterested performance of this work, Blaine Tech Services, Inc. does not participate in the interpretation of analytical results or become involved with the marketing or installation of remedial systems.

This report deals with the groundwater well sampling performed by our firm in response to your request. Data collected in the course of our work at the site is presented in the TABLE OF WELL MONITORING DATA. This data was collected during our inspection, well evacuation, and sample collection. Measurements include the total depth of the well and depth to water. Water surfaces were further inspected for the presence of immiscibles. A series of electrical conductivity, pH, and temperature readings were obtained during well evacuation and at the time of sample collection. Recharge performance can be evaluated by comparing the anticipated three, four, or five case volume evacuation gallonage with the volume which could actually be purged.

Blaine Tech Services, Inc. Report No. 930303-Y-1

PES Envrionmental, Inc.

TABLE OF WELL MONITORING DATA

Well I.D.	MW-1		
Date Sampled	03/03,	/93	
Well Diameter (in.)	2		
Total Well Depth (ft.)	20.10		
Depth To Water (ft.)	2.92		
Free Product (in.)	NONE		
Reason If Not Sampled			
1 Case Volume (gal.)	2.7		
Did Well Dewater?	NO		
Gallons Actually Evacuated	14.0		
Purging Device	MIDDL	EBURG	
Sampling Device	BAILE	R	
Time	9:50	9:56	10:29
Temperature (Fahrenheit)	63.6	62.9	62.8
рH	6.8	7.0	6.8
Conductivity (micromhos/cm)	3100	3000	3600
HTS Chain of Custody	93030	3-Y-1	
BTS Sample I.D.	MW-1		
DHS HMTL Laboratory	SUPER	IOR	
Analysis	ТРН (gas),BTE	x,
	ТРН (diesel},	METALS
	EPA 8	010,8080	,8270.

In the interest of clarity, an addendum has been appended to the TABLE which lists analytical results in such a way that our field observations are presented together with the analytical results. This addendum is entitled a SUMMARY OF CAR RESULTS. As indicated by the title, the source documents for these numbers are the laboratory's certified analytical reports. These certified analytical reports (CARs) are generated by the laboratory as the sole official documents in which they issue their findings. Any discrepancy between the CAR and a tabular or text presentation of analytical values must be decided in favor of the CAR on the grounds that the CAR is the authoritative legal document.

EQUIPMENT

Selection of Sampling Equipment

The determination of what apparatus is to be used on particular wells may be made by the property owner, but is usually made by the professional consultant directing the performance of the monitoring on the property owner's behalf. When no specific requirement is made, our personnel will select equipment that will accomplish the work in the most efficient manner. Our personnel are equipped with a variety of sampling devices that include USGS/Middleburg pumps, down hole electric submersible pumps, air lift pumps, suction pumps, and bailers made of both Teflon and stainless steel.

Evacuation and Sampling Equipment Mechanics

When equipment is not selected by the client, the apparatus for well evacuation and sample collection is selected by our field personnel based on an evaluation of the field conditions. **Bailers**

USGS/Middleburg positive displacement sampling pumps

An USGS/Middleburg pump and a bailer were selected for the collection of samples at this site.

USGS/Middleburg Positive Displacement Sampling Pumps: USGS/Middleburg positive displacement sampling pumps are EPA approved pumps appropriate for use in wells down to two inches in diameter and depths up to several hundred feet. The pump contains a flexible Teflon bladder which is alternately allowed to fill with well water and then collapsed. Actuation of the pump is accomplished with compressed air supplied by a single hose to one side of the Teflon membrane. Water on the other side of the membrane is squeezed out of the pump and up a Teflon conductor pipe to the surface. Evacuation and sampling are accomplished as a continuum. The rate of water removal is relatively slow and loss of volatiles almost non-existent. There is only positive pressure on the water being sampled and there is no impeller cavitation or suction. The pumps can be placed at any location within the well, can draw water from the very bottom of the well case, and are virtually immune to the erosive effects of silt or lack of water which destroy other types of pumps.

Disadvantages associated with Middleburg pumps include their high cost, low flow rate, temperamental operation, and cleaning requirements which are both elaborate and time consuming.

Bailers: A bailer, in its simplest form, is a hollow tube which has been fitted with a check valve at the lower end. The device can be lowered into a well by means of a cord. When the bailer enters the water, the check valve opens and liquid flows into the interior of the bailer. The bottom check valve prevents water from escaping when the bailer is drawn up out of the well.

PES Envrionmental, Inc.

Two types of bailers are used in groundwater wells at sites where fuel hydrocarbons are of concern. The first type of bailer is made of a clear material such as acrylic plastic and is used to obtain a sample of the surface and the near surface liquids in order to detect the presence of visible or measurable fuel hydrocarbon floating on the surface. The second type of bailer is made of Teflon or stainless steel and is used as an evacuation and/or sampling device.

Bailers are inexpensive and relatively easy to clean. Because they are manually operated, variations in operator technique may have a greater influence than would be found with more automated sampling equipment. Also where fuel hydrocarbons are involved, the bailer may include near surface contaminants that are not representative of water deeper in the well.

STANDARD PRACTICES

Evacuation

Groundwater well sampling protocols call for the evacuation of a sufficient volume of water from the well to insure that the sample is collected from water than has been newly drawn into the well from the surrounding geologic formation. The protocol used on these wells called for a volumetric removal of three case volumes with stabilization of standard water parameters. There are situations where up to ten case volumes of evacuation may be removed, especially when attempting to stabilize turbidity in undeveloped wells. Different professional consultants may specify different levels of evacuation prior to sampling or may request that specific parameters be used to determine when to collect the sample. Our personnel use several standard instruments to record the changes in parameters as the well is evacuated. These instruments are used regardless of whether or not a specific volumetric standard has been called for. As a result, the consultant will always be provided with a record of the pH, EC, and temperature changes that occurred during the evacuation process. Additional information obtained with different types of instruments (such as dissolved oxygen and turbidity meters) can also be collected if requested in advance.

Effluent Materials

The evacuation of purge water creates a volume of effluent water which, in most cases, must be contained. Blaine Tech Services, Inc. will place this water in appropriate containers of the client's choice or bring new DOT 17 E drums to the site which are appropriate for the containment of the effluent materials. The determination of how to properly dispose of the effluent water must usually await the results of laboratory analyses of the sample collected from the groundwater well.

Observations and Measurements

Included in the scope of work are routine measurements and investigative procedures which are intended to determine if the wells are suitable for evacuation and sampling. These include measurement (from the top of the well case) of the total depth of the well; the depth to water, and the thickness of any free product zone (FPZ) encountered. The presence of a significant free product zone may interfere with efforts to collect a water sample that accurately reflects the condition of groundwater lying <u>below</u> the FPZ. This interference is caused by adhesion of petroleum to any device being lowered through the FPZ and the likelihood that minute globules of petroleum may break free of the sampling device and be included in the sample. Accordingly, evaluation of analytical results from wells containing any amount of free petroleum should take into account the possibility that positive results have been skewed higher by such an inclusion. The decision to sample or not sample such wells is left to the discretion of our field personnel at the site and the consultant who establishes sampling guidelines based on the need for current information on groundwater conditions at the site.

Sampling Methodology

Samples were obtained by standardized sampling procedures that follow an evacuation and sample collection protocol. The sampling methodology conforms with State and Regional Water Quality Control Board standards and specifically adheres to EPA requirements for apparatus, sample containers and sample handling as specified in publication SW 846 and the T.E.G.D. which is published separately.

Sample Containers

Sample material is collected in specially prepared containers appropriate to the type of analyses intended. Our firm uses new sample containers of the type specified by either EPA or the RWQCB. Often times analytical laboratories wish to supply the sample containers because checks performed on these bottles are often part of a comprehensive laboratory QC program. In cases where the laboratory does not supply sample containers our personnel collect water samples in new containers that are appropriate to the type of analytical procedure that the sample is to receive. For example, 40 ml volatile organic analysis vials (VOAs) are used when analysis for gasoline and similar light volatile compounds is intended. These containers are prepared according to EPA SW 846 and will usually contain a small amount of preservative when the analysis is for TPH as gasoline or EPA 602. Vials intended for EPA 601 analysis and EPA 624 GCMS procedures are not preserved. The closure of volatile organic analysis water sample containers is accomplished with an open headed (syringe accessible) plastic screw cap brought down on top of a Teflon faced septum which is used to seal the sample without headspace.

Water samples intended for semivolatile and nonvolatile analysis such as total oil and grease (TOG) and diesel (TPH HBF) are collected and transported in properly prepared new glass liter bottles. Dark amber glass is used in the manufacture of these bottles to reduce any adverse effect on the sample by sunlight. Antimicrobial preservative may be added to the sample liquid if a prolonged holding time is expected prior to analysis. Closure is accomplished with a heavy plastic screw cap.

Groundwater well samples intended for metals analysis are transported in new plastic bottles and preserved with nitric acid. Our personnel can field filter the sample liquid prior to placing it in the sample container if instructed to perform this procedure.

Sample Handling Procedures

Water samples are collected in any of several appropriate devices such as bailers, Coliwasas, Middleburg sampling pumps etc. which are described in detail only as warranted by their employment at a given site. Sample liquid is decanted into new sample containers in a manner which reduces the loss of volatile constituents and follows the applicable EPA procedures for handling volatile organic and semi-volatile compounds.

Groundwater samples that are to receive metals analyses can be filtered prior to being placed in the plastic sample bottles that contain the nitric acid preservative. The filtration process employs new glass containers which are discarded and laboratory quality disposable filtering containers which are also discarded. A frequently used filtering procedure employs a vacuum pump to draw sample material through a 0.45 micron filter. The 0.45 micron pore size is standard, but the amount of filter available varies with the type of package selected. Filters are selected on the basis of the relative turbidity of the water sample. Samples which are relatively clean can be efficiently filtered with relatively inexpensive filters while very turbid water will require a very large filter with a high tolerance for sediments. One of several such filters our firm uses are the Nalgene Type A filters in which an upper and lower receptacle chamber are affixed to the filter. Sample material is poured into the upper chamber and a vacuum pump attached to the lower chamber. Simple actuation of the vacuum pump induces the flow of water through the filter and into the lower chamber. The sample is then decanted into the laboratory container and the filter assembly discarded. Cartridge type flow-through filters are more expensive but can be fitted directly to the discharge line of most sampling pumps (USGS/Middleburg pumps) and electric submersible pumps.

Following collection, samples are promptly placed in an ice chest containing prefrozen blocks of an inert ice substitute such as Blue Ice or Super Ice. The samples are maintained in either an ice chest or a refrigerator until delivered into the custody of the laboratory.

Sample Designations

All sample containers are identified with both a sampling event number and a discrete sample identification number. Please note that the sampling event number is the number that appears on our chain of custody. It is roughly equivalent to a job number, but applies only to work done on a particular day of the year rather than spanning several days as jobs and projects often do.

Hazardous Materials Testing Laboratory

After completion of the field work, the sample containers were delivered to Superior Analytical Laboratory in San Francisco, California. Superior Analytical Laboratory is certified by the California Department of Health Services as a Hazardous Materials Testing Laboratory and is listed as DOHS HMTL #220.

All samples were turned over to Dan Trumbly, PES Environmental, Inc., representative, on site.

Certified Analytical Report

The certified analytical report (CAR) generated by the laboratory is the official document in which they issue their findings. Any discrepancy between verbally communicated results and the analytical values issued in a certified analytical report should be decided in favor of the CAR, for while it may, itself, be in error with regard to a particular number, the CAR remains the recognized authoritative legal document until such time as it is amended with a corrected report.

Personnel

All Blaine Tech Services, Inc. personnel receive 29 CFR 1910.120(e)(2) training as soon after being hired as is practical. In addition, many of our personnel have additional certifications that include specialized training in level B supplied air apparatus and the supervision of employees working on hazardous materials sites. Employees are not sent to a site unless we are confident they can adhere to any site safety provisions in force at the site and unless we know that they can follow the written provisions of an SSP and the verbal directions of an SSO.

In general, employees sent to a site to perform groundwater well sampling will assume an OSHA level D (wet) environment exists unless otherwise informed. The use of gloves and double glove protocols protects both our employees and the integrity of the samples being collected. Additional protective gear and procedures for higher OSHA levels of protection are available.

Decontamination

All apparatus is brought to the site in clean and serviceable condition. The equipment is decontaminated after each use and before leaving the site. Decontamination procedures include complete disassembly of the device to a point where a jet of steam cleaner water can be directed onto all the internal surfaces. Blaine Tech Services, Inc. frequently modifies apparatus to allow complete disassembly and proper cleaning.

Please call if we can be of any further assistance.

mes aller for: hard C. Blaine

RCB/skt

APPENDIX C

ANALYTICAL REPORTS FOR FEBRUARY/MARCH INVESTIGATION



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

LABORATORY NO.:56120 CLIENT:PES Environmental Inc. CLIENT PROJECT NO.:167.02.002 DATE RECEIVED: 03/04/93 DATE REPORTED:03/15/93

Following is a list of Cross referenced Lab Numbers and Sample I.D.'s for referring to the following reports.

Superior Lab Number

Subbed Lab Number

Customer Sample Identification

56120-1

93030.62-01A

MW-1

Subbed to: CLAYTON ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS DOHS#1196.



PES ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. Attn: DAN TRUMBLY Project 167.02.002 Reported 11-MARCH -1993

ANALYSIS FOR POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS

Sample preparation by microextraction into hexane, and by gas chromatography using an electron capture detector. (EPA Method 8080).

Chronology				Laboratory	Number	56120
Identification	Sampled	Received	Extracted	Analyzed	Run #	Lab #
MW-1	03/03/93	03/04/93	03/10/93	03/10/93		1

Page 1 of 3



PES ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. Attn: DAN TRUMBLY Project 167.02.002 Reported 11-MARCH -1993

	ANAL	YSIS FOR POLYCHLORI	NATED BIPHENYLS	
Laborato	ry Number	Sample Identifica	ation	Matrix
56120- 1		MW-1		Water
Laborat	ory Number:	RESULTS OF ANA 56120- 1	LYSIS	
AROCLOR	1016:	ND<0.1		
AROCLOR	1221:	ND<0.1		
AROCLOR	1232:	ND<0.1		
AROCLOR	1242:	ND<0.1		
AROCLOR	1248:	ND<0.1		
AROCLOR	1254:	ND<0.1		
AROCLOR	1260:	ND<0.1		
Concentr	ation:	ug/L		

ANALYSIS FOR POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS Quality Assurance and Control Data - Water Laboratory Number 56003

Compound		Method Blank (ug/L)	PQL (ug/L)	Average Spike Recovery (%)	Limits (%)	RPD (%)	
AROCLOR	1016:	ND<0.1	0.1				
AROCLOR	1221:	ND<0.1	0.1				
AROCLOR	1232:	ND<0.1	0.1				
AROCLOR	1242:	ND<0.1	0.1				
AROCLOR	1248:	ND<0.1	0.1				
AROCLOR	1254:	ND<0.1	0.1	96	60-140	6	
AROCLOR	1260:	ND<0.1	0.1				

Definitions:

QC File No. 56120

ND = Not Detected RPD = Relative Percent Difference PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit Richard Srna, PhD

Emp Alino (m) Laboratory Director

Page 3 of 3



PES ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. Attn: DANIEL TRUMBLY Project 167.02.002 Reported 03/11/93

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS					
Lab #	Sample	Identification	Sampled	Analyzed Matrix	
56120- 1	MW- 1	<u></u>	03/03/93	03/10/93 Water	
		RESULTS OF A	NALYSIS		
Laboratory	Number:	56120- 1			
Oil and Gre	ase:	ND<5000			
Diesel:		100*			
Gasoline:		110000			
Benzene:		8500			
Toluene:		7500			
Ethyl Benze	ne:	4400			
Xylenes:		15000			
Concentrati	on:	ug/L			



Richard Srna, Ph.D.

Mun A Ningu (Tar Laboratory Director

Superior Precision Analytical, Inc.

1555 Burke, Unit L • San Francisco, California 94124 • (415) 647-2081 / fax (415) 821-7123

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

LABORATORY NO.: 56120-1 CLIENT: PES ENVIRONMENTAL JOB NO.: 167.02.002 DATE SAMPLED: 03/03/93 DATE RECEIVED: 03/04/93 DATE ANALYZED: 03/09/93

EPA SW-846 METHOD 8010 HALOGENATED VOLATILE ORGANICS SAMPLE: MW-1

Compound	MDL (ug/L)	RESULTS (ug/L)
Chloromethane/Vinvl Chloride	50	ND
Bromomethane/Chloroethane	50	ND
Trichlorofluoromethane	25	ND
1,1-Dichloroethene	25	ND
Methylene Chloride	25	ND
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	25	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane	25	ND
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	25	ND
Chloroform	25	ND
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	25	ND
Carbon tetrachloride	25	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane	25	350
Trichloroethylene	25	ND
1,2-Dichloropropane	25	ND
Bromodichloromethane	25	ND
Cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	25	ND
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	25	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	25	ND
Tetrachloroethene	25	ND
Dibromochloromethane	25	ND
Chlorobenzene	25	ND
Bromoform	25	ND
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	25	ND
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	25	ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	25	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	25	ND

MDL = Method Detection Limit ug/L = parts per billion (ppb) QA/QC Summary: Daily Standard RPD =< 15% MS/MSD average recovery = 104 % :MS/MSD RPD = 2 % Richard Srna, Ph.D.

> Aun A Wingman, Laboratory Director

Superior Precision Analytical, Inc.

PO. Box 1545 • Martinez, California 94553 • (510) 229-1590 / fax (510) 229-0916

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

LABORATORY NO.: 87996 CLIENT: PES ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. CLIENT JOB NO.: 167.02.002

DATE RECEIVED: 03/04/93 DATE REPORTED: 03/10/93 DATE SAMPLED: 03/03/93

ANALYSIS FOR CADMIUM, CHROMIUM, LEAD & ZINC by EPA SW-846 Method 6010

LAB #	Sample Identification	Cadmium	Concentrat Chromium	ion(mg/L) Lead	Zinc
-					
1	MW - 1	ND<0.05	0.11	0.2	0.30

mg/L - parts per million (ppm)

Method Detection Limit for Cadmium in Water: 0.05 mg/L Method Detection Limit for Chromium in Water: 0.05 mg/L Method Detection Limit for Lead in Water: 0.1 mg/L Method Detection Limit for Zinc in Water: 0.05 mg/L

QAQC Summary: MS/MSD Average Recovery : 90% Duplicate RPD : 2 %

For Richard Srna, Ph.D.

In anal 3/10/93 Laboratory Manager



LABORATORY NO.: 87996 CLIENT: PES ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. CLIENT JOB NO.: 167.02.002

DATE RECEIVED: 03/04/93 DATE REPORTED: 03/10/93 DATE SAMPLED : 03/03/93

ANALYSIS FOR TOTAL NICKEL by SW-846 METHOD 6010

LAB #	Sample Identification	Concentration(mg/L) Total Nickel
1	MW - 1	0.2

mg/L - parts per million (ppm)

Method Detection Limit for Nickel in Water: 0.1 mg/L

QAQC Summary: MS/MSD Average Recovery : 90% Duplicate RPD : 1 %

For Richard Srna, Ph.D.

Laboratory Manager 3/10/212

PES Environmental, Inc. Engineering & Environmental Services		1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100 CORD 57772 Novato, California 94947 (415) 899-1600 FAX (415) 899-1601
-	SAMPLERS: Mary K Hulker	ANALYSISREQUESTED
108 NUMBER: 167.02.002		
NAMELOCATION: Cox Codillac/OC PROJECT MANAGER: Daniel E Tru	mbly RECORDER: Mary Molling	A duest
DATE SAMPLE NUMBER OR LAB NUMBER	W MATRIX # CONTAINERS W MATRIX & PRESERV. DEPTH IN N IN PRESERV. IN FEET IN	COP COPE A 62 482 COP COPE A 62
YR MO DY TIME YR WK SEQ	88 885 5 4 5 2	
9303031040mu-1	23 × 6 4	
		Dec Oclim
		Somples/Stored n call Appropriation call call call Samples Stored n call Appropriation call call call Samples pacaser call call
		Comments
NOTES	Luole #93-00032	- CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD
Normal Turn around Time	(5 DAY) RELINQUISHED BY: Gine	ure) <u>RECEIVED BY: (Signature)</u> DATE TIME
1 Gas/BTEr by EPA 5030/80151	7/8020 2 VOA'S RELINCUISHED BY: Signa Kulwant RELINCUISHED BY: Signa	771 RECEIVED BY: (Signature) DATE TIME 3/3 3:21 RECEIVED BY: (Signature)
DTOG by DHS-LUET 5520F	12 2 V () A'S RELINCUISIED BY: 00000	AECEIVED BY: (Signature) DATE TIME
5 Metals by ICP (6000 series - Cd 6) SOC'S by EPA 8270 IL	(rec d b. oken)	e) DATE TIME RECEIVED FOR LAB BY: DATE TIME (Signature) Think 3/1/17/1/1
D 8080 1L	METHOD OF SHIPMENT:	Shopanetz (
	Laboratory Copy Project Office Copy Fie White Yellow	Pink Pink

1252 Quarry Lane P.O. Box 9019 Pleasanton, CA 94566 (510) 426-2600 Fax (510) 426-0106



March 12, 1993

Ms. Rowena Romero SUPERIOR ANALYTICAL LABORATORY 1555 Burke Street, Unit 1 San Francisco, CA 94124

> Client Ref. 56120 Clayton Project No. 93030.62

Dear Ms. Romero:

Attached is our analytical laboratory report for the samples received on March 5, 1993. A copy of the Chain-of-Custody form acknowledging receipt of these samples is attached.

Please note that any unused portion of the samples will be disposed of 30 days after the date of this report, unless you have requested otherwise.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of assistance to you. If you have any questions, please contact Suzanne Silvera, Client Services Supervisor, at (510) 426-2657.

Sincerely, istte a. In for

Ronald H. Peters, CIH Director, Laboratory Services Western Operations

RHP/caa Attachments



Results of Analysis for Superior Analytical Laboratory

Client Reference: 56120 Clayton Project No. 93030.62

Sample Identification:	56120-1	Date Sampled: 03/03/93
Lab Number:	9303062-01A	Date Received: 03/05/93
Sample Matrix/Media:	WATER	Date Extracted: 03/08/93
Extraction Method:	EPA 3510	Date Analyzed: 03/10/93
Analytical Method:	EPA 8270	

Analyte	CAS #	Concentration (ug/L)	Limit of Detection (ug/L)
Acid Extractables			
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	59-50-7	ND	5
2-Chlorophenol	95-57-8	ND	5
2,4-Dichlorophenol	120-83-2	ND	5
2,4-Dimethylphenol	105-67-9	24	5
2,4-Dinitrophenol	51-28-5	ND	20
2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol	534-52-1	ND	20
2-Methylphenol	95-48-7	28	5
4-Methylphenol	106-44-5	37	5
2-Nitrophenol	88-75-5	ND	5
4-Nitrophenol	100-02-7	ND	20
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	ND	20
Phenol	108-95-2	42	5
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	95-95-4	ND	5
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	88-06-2	ND	5
Base/Neutral Extractables			
Acenaphthene	83-32-9	ND	5
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	ND	5
Anthracene	120-12-7	ND	5
Benzidine	92-87-5	ND	30
Benzoic acid	65-85-0	50	20
Benzo(a)anthracene	56-55-3	ND	5
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	205-99-2	ND	5
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	207-08-9	ND	5
Benzo(ghi)perylene	191-24-2	ND	5
Benzo(a)pyrene	50-32-8	ND	5
Benzyl alcohol	100-51-6	ND	10
Benzyl butyl phthalate	85-68-7	ND	5
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	111-91-1	ND	5
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	111 - 44 - 4	ND	5
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	108-60-1	ND	5
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	ND	10
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	101-55-3	ND	5
4-Chloroaniline	106-47-8	ND	20
2-Chloronaphthalene	91-58-7	ND	5



-

7

Page 3 of 8

•

Results of Analysis for Superior Analytical Laboratory

Client Reference: 56120 Clayton Project No. 93030.62

Sample Identification:	56120-1	Date Sampled: (03/03/93
Lab Number:	9303062-01A	Date Received: (03/05/93
Sample Matrix/Media:	WATER	Date Extracted: (03/08/93
Extraction Method:	EPA 3510	Date Analyzed: (03/10/93
Analytical Method:	EPA 8270		

Base/Neutral Extractables (continued)4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether7005-72-3ND5Chrysene218-01-9ND5Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene53-70-3ND5	
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether7005-72-3ND5Chrysene218-01-9ND5Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene53-70-3ND5	
Chrysene218-01-9ND5Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene53-70-3ND5	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene 53-70-3 ND 5	
Dibenzofuran 132-64-9 ND 5	
Di-n-butylphthalate 84-74-2 ND 5	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene 95-50-1 ND 5	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene 541-73-1 ND 5	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene 106-46-7 ND 5	
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine 91-94-1 ND 40	
Diethylphthalate 84-66-2 ND 5	
Dimethylphthalate 131-11-3 ND 10	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene 121-14-2 ND 5	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene 606-20-2 ND 5	
Di-n-octylphthalate 117-84-0 ND 5	
Fluoranthene 206-44-0 ND 5	
Fluorene 86-73-7 ND 5	
Hexachlorobenzene 118-74-1 ND 5	
Hexachlorobutadiene 87-68-3 ND 5	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene 77-47-4 ND 5	
Hexachloroethane 67-72-1 ND 5	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene 193-39-5 ND 5	
Isophorone 78-59-1 ND 5	
2-Methyl naphthalene 91-57-6 78 5	
Naphthalene 91-20-3 210 5	
2-Nitroaniline 88-74-4 ND 20)
3-Nitroaniline 99-09-2 ND 20)
4-Nitroaniline 100-01-6 ND 20)
Nitrobenzene 98-95-3 ND 5	
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine 86-30-6 ND 5	
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine 621-64-7 ND 5	
Phenanthrene 85-01-8 ND 5	
Pyrene 129-00-0 ND 5	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 120-82-1 ND 5	



Page 4 of 8

Results of Analysis for Superior Analytical Laboratory

Client Reference: 56120 Clayton Project No. 93030.62

Sample Identification:	56120-1	Date Sampled:	03/03/93
Lab Number:	9303062-01A	Date Received:	03/05/93
Sample Matrix/Media:	WATER	Date Extracted:	03/08/93
Extraction Method:	EPA 3510	Date Analyzed:	03/10/93
Analytical Method:	EPA 8270		
-			

Analyte	CAS #	Concentration (ug/L)	Limit of Detection (ug/L)
Surrogates		Recovery (%)	QC Limits (%)
2-Fluorobiphenyl	321-60-8	74	43 - 116
2-Fluorophenol	367-12-4	47	21 - 100
Nitrobenzene-d5	4165-60-0	67	35 - 114
Phenol-d6	13127-88-3	36	10 - 94
Terphenyl-d14	98904-43-9	85	33 - 141
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	118-79-6	85	10 - 123

ND: Not detected at or above limit of detection --: Information not available or not applicable Results are reported on a wet weight basis, as received



Results of Analysis for Superior Analytical Laboratory

Client Reference: 56120 Clayton Project No. 93030.62

Sample Identification: METHOD BLANK Date Sampled: --Lab Number: Date Received: ---9303062-02A Date Extracted: 03/08/93 Sample Matrix/Media: WATER Extraction Method: EPA 3510 Date Analyzed: 03/10/93 Analytical Method: EPA 8270

Analyte	CAS #	Concentration (ug/L)	Limit of Detection (ug/L)
Acid Extractables			
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	59-50-7	ND	5
2-Chlorophenol	95-57-8	ND	5
2,4-Dichlorophenol	120-83-2	ND	5
2,4-Dimethylphenol	105-67-9	ND	5
2,4-Dinitrophenol	51-28-5	ND	20
2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol	534-52-1	ND	20
2-Methylphenol	95-48-7	ND	5
4-Methylphenol	106-44-5	ND	5
2-Nitrophenol	88-75-5	ND	5
4-Nitrophenol	100-02-7	ND	20
Pentachlorophenol	87-86 - 5	ND	20
Phenol	108-95-2	ND	5
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	95-95-4	ND	5
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	88-06-2	ND	5
Base/Neutral Extractables			
Acenaphthene	83-32-9	ND	5
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	ND	5
Anthracene	120-12-7	ND	5
Benzidine	92-87-5	ND	30
Benzoic acid	65-85-0	ND	20
Benzo(a)anthracene	56-55-3	ND	5
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	205-99-2	ND	5
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	207-08-9	ND	5
Benzo(ghi)perylene	191-24-2	ND	5
Benzo(a)pyrene	50-32-8	ND	5
Benzyl alcohol	100-51-6	ND	10
Benzyl butyl phthalate	85-68-7	ND	5
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	111-91-1	ND	5
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	111 - 44 - 4	ND	5
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	108-60-1	ND	5
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	ND	10
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	101-55-3	ND	5
4-Chloroaniline	106-47-8	ND	20
2-Chloronaphthalene	91-58-7	ND	5



Page 6 of 8

--

--

Results of Analysis for Superior Analytical Laboratory

Client Reference: 56120 Clayton Project No. 93030.62

Sample Identification: METHOD BLANK Date Sampled: Date Received: Lab Number: 9303062-02A Date Extracted: 03/08/93 Sample Matrix/Media: WATER Extraction Method: EPA 3510 Date Analyzed: 03/10/93 Analytical Method: EPA 8270

Analyte	CAS #	Concentration (ug/L)	Limit of Detection (ug/L)
Base/Neutral Extractables (con	tinued)		
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	7005-72-3	ND	5
Chrysene	218-01-9	ND	5
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	53-70-3	ND	5
Dibenzofuran	132-64-9	ND	5
Di-n-butylphthalate	84-74-2	ND	5
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	95-50-1	ND	5
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	541-73-1	ND	5
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	ND	5
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	91-94-1	ND	40
Diethylphthalate	84-66-2	ND	5
Dimethylphthalate	131-11-3	ND	10
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	121-14-2	ND	5
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	606-20-2	ND	5
Di-n-octylphthalate	117-84-0	ND	5
Fluoranthene	206-44-0	ND	5
Fluorene	86-73-7	ND	5
Hexachlorobenzene	118 - 74 - 1	ND	5
Hexachlorobutadiene	87-68-3	ND	5
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	77-47-4	ND	5
Hexachloroethane	67-72-1	ND	5
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	193-39-5	ND	5
Isophorone	78-59-1	ND	5
2-Methyl naphthalene	91-57-6	ND	5
Naphthalene	91-20-3	ND	5
2-Nitroaniline	88-74-4	ND	20
3-Nitroaniline	99-09-2	ND	20
4-Nitroaniline	100-01-6	ND	20
Nitrobenzene	98-95-3	ND	5
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	86-30-6	ND	5
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	621-64-7	ND	5
Phenanthrene	85-01-8	ND	5
Pyrene	129-00-0	ND	5
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1	ND	5



Page 7 of 8

Results of Analysis for Superior Analytical Laboratory

Client Reference: 56120 Clayton Project No. 93030.62

Sample Identification:	METHOD BLANK	Date Sampled:
Lab Number:	9303062-02A	Date Received:
Sample Matrix/Media:	WATER	Date Extracted: 03/08/93
Extraction Method:	EPA 3510	Date Analyzed: 03/10/93
Analytical Method:	EPA 8270	

Analyte	CAS #	Concentration (ug/L)	Limit of Detection (ug/L)
Surrogates		Recovery (%)	QC Limits (%)
2-Fluorobiphenyl	321-60-8	84	43 - 116
2-Fluorophenol	367-12-4	55	21 - 100
Nitrobenzene-d5	4165-60-0	88	35 - 114
Phenol-d6	13127-88-3	48	10 - 94
Terphenyl-d14	98904-43-9	107	33 - 141
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	118-79-6	68	10 - 123

ND: Not detected at or above limit of detection --: Information not available or not applicable Results are reported on a wet weight basis, as received

Page 8 of 8

Quality Assurance Results Summary for Clayton Project No. 93030.62

Clayton Lab Number:	9303062-MB	Analytical Method:	EPA625_8270
Ext./Prep. Method:	EPA3510	tastrument ID:	05624
Date:	03/08/93	Date:	03/10/93
Analyst:	HYT	Time:	11:41
Std. Source:	M921202-01W	Analyst:	AC
Sample Matrix/Media:	WATER	Units:	UGZL

Analyte	Sample Result	Spike Level	Matrix Spike Result	MS Recovery (%)	Matrix Spike Duplicate Result	MSD Recovery (%)	Average Recovery (% R)	LCL (% R)	UCL (% R)	R PD (%)	UCL (%RPD)
1, 2, 4 - Trichlorobenzene	ND	100	63.0	63	60.0	60	62	39	98	4. 9	28
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	NÐ	100	63.0	63	62. D	62	63	36	97	1.6	28
2,4-Dinitrotaluene	ND	100	70.0	70	70.0	70	70	24	96	D. O	38
2-Chlorophenol	ND	100	71.0	71	79.0	79	75	27	123	11	40
4-Chloro-m-cresol	ND	100	64.0	64	66.0	66	65	23	97	3. 1	42
4-Nitrophenol	ND	100	15.0	15	17.0	17	16	10	80	13	50
Acenaphthene	ND	100	69.0	69	66.0	66	68	46	118	4.4	31
N-Nitrosodipropylamine	ND	100	91.0	91	89.0	89	90	41	116	2. 2	38
Pentachlorophenol	ND	100	51.0	51	63. D	63	57	9	103	21	50
Pheno I	ND	100	40.0	40	44.0	44	42	12	89	9. 5	42
Pyrene	ND	100	80.0	80	77.0	77	79	26	127	3.8	31

9000002 Section I	Ch	nai	in	0	f C	Cus	to	dy	a	nd Anal	ys	is	R	eq	uest page_/of_/
From: Superio	r Precisi	on A	naly	tical	, Inc	•			Tu	Irn Around Time			_		
155	5 Burke	St.	Unit	;	-					(circle one)		Q:	SL	iperio	or Precision Analytical, Inc.
San	Francisc	co, C	A 9	212	4		-		Sam	e Day 72 Hrs		1			P.O. Box 1545
Phone No. (415	647-20	81	Fax	No.	[41	5) 821	-712	3	24	Hrs <u>5 Day</u>	4			٩	Martinez, California 94553
Contact: K			Rei	تعرده	 			<u> </u>	48	Hrs 10 Day					
$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}$	170			110	<u>~</u> 0				Wo	rk Subcontrac	ted t	io:	Ćla	i ti	
P.U. NO	100					-					<u></u>			J	
Section II: Anal	ysis Rec	ques	t											1	
Laboratory Sample	S = Soil A = Air W = Water	M17	tais:	8.1	20	30 st. and PCB's)				Client Sample	nber of Containers	servative (yes or no)			Sampling Remarks Chevron Non-Chevron * * Please Fax Results * *
Identification	Matrix	CAI	Į ₹	4	8					Identification	nn N	Ł			page nor
1 56/20-1	W				\bowtie					MUL' - 1	1	9		<u> </u>	
2												ļ			
3	ļ		ļ									┨───			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4				<u> </u>											
<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>								1		+	
<u>-</u> 7	<u> </u>			┨───	$\left - \right $							╂━━━		+	
8			┨	+						<u> </u>		1	<u> </u>		
9				f -	+							 	†		
10			┟╍╴		+							1	t	-	
11	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>†</u>	<u>†</u>				-+				1			
12					1										
Relinquished by Organization	Lin Ner A	Va nu	, L. f. n	 2	Data 31 9	a/Time	Rece Orga	ived by nizatio	n	Ner Salur IC.E.C.	Dat 3/5/	e/Tim 9:3:3:	e 45 ₁	Lab Semp	please initial the following:
Relinquished by Drganization					Date	o/Time	Rece Orga	ived by nizatio	/ n		Det	e/Tim	0 	Appro Samp VDAs	without Headspace
Relinquished by					Dat	e/Time	Received by				Date/Time Comments Resci				nents <u>Recent 7281</u>

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Andrew John Friedman James E. Bruya, Ph.D. (206) 285-8282 3008-B 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119 FAX: (206) 283-5044

April 8, 1993

Andrew Briefer, Project Leader PES Environmental, Inc. 1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100 Novato, CA 94948

Dear Mr. Briefer:

Enclosed are the amended results from the testing of material submitted on April 1, 1993 from Project 167.02.002, Cox Cadillac/Oakland. The retention times for the second envelope of peaks have been corrected.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

Kathy Mc Mullen

Kathy McMullen Chemist

KMC/dp

Enclosures

FAX: (415) 899-1601

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

AMENDED 4/08/93

Date of Report: April 5, 1993 Date Received: April 1, 1993 Project: 167.02.002, Cox Cadillac/Oakland

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE WATER AND SOIL SAMPLE FOR FINGERPRINT CHARACTERIZATION BY CAPILLARY GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY USING A FLAME IONIZATION DETECTOR (FID) AND ELECTRON CAPTURE DETECTOR (ECD)

Sample

930301-1

(Well MW-1)

GC Characterization

The gas chromatographic FID trace showed the presence of low and high boiling compounds, such as those found in gasoline and wax. This characterization is based on the presence of a relatively smooth envelope of peaks present from ca n-C₇ to n-C₁₂ with a maximum near n-C₇, as well as a second envelope of peaks from ca n-C₂₄ to beyond n-C₃₅ with a maximum near n-C₃₁. Augmented levels of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and the xylenes were seen which are common to most gasolines. The material appeared to be mostly unweathered due to the amount of the more volatile fraction present. The large peak seen near 26 minutes is pentacosane, a compound added as a QA/QC check. The GC/ECD trace showed the presence of halogenated compounds, including a large peak at ca 4 minutes which may be tetrachloroethylene or ethylene dibromide. although this would be somewhat unusual since there is no peak for tetraethyl lead present. The GC/FID trace lacks the later eluting gasoline-range material seen in 930331-2. Also, the peak at ca 4 minutes was not seen on the GC/ECD trace of 930331-2. This data does not confirm that the source of 930331-1 came from 930331-2.

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: April 5, 1993 Date Received: April 1, 1993 Project: 167.02.002, Cox Cadillac/Oakland

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE PRODUCT SAMPLE FOR FINGERPRINT CHARACTERIZATION BY CAPILLARY GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY USING A FLAME IONIZATION DETECTOR (FID) AND ELECTRON CAPTURE DETECTOR (ECD)

Sample #

GC Characterization

930331-2 (Fuei Tank)

The gas chromatographic FID trace showed the presence of low boiling compounds, such as those found in gasoline. This characterization is based on the presence of a relatively smooth envelope of peaks present from ca n-C₇ to beyond n-C₁₃ with a maximum near n-C₈. Augmented levels of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and the xylenes were seen which are common to most gasolines. The large peak seen at 26 minutes is pentacosane, a compound added as a QA/QC check. The GC/ECD trace showed an absence of material.



Sig. 1 in C:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\04-01-93.C\023F0701.D



Sig. 1 in C:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\04-01-93.C\023F0701.D



Sig. 2 in C:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\04-01-93.C\023R0701.D


Sig. 1 in C:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\04-01-93.C\022F0701.D



Sig. 2 in C:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\04-01-93.C\022R0701.D



Sig. 1 in C:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\04-01-93.C\021F0701.D



Sig. 2 in C:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\04-01-93.C\021R0701.D

•				:	SAM	PLE	RS: .	2). -	IP	10	77	24	2									-	ſ				A	NA	LY	SIS	RE	QL	JES	TEI	D	
NUMBER: 163.02 ME/LOCATION: <u> </u>	TOZ DHUPE/OAKLAND			-	BEC		FB		f	[ly ly										-					d Matala	R MELES	ne/Ayrene	rdrocarb.		-	Ţ			
	SAMPLE NUMBER OR LAB NUMBER	DE	13	MAT	RIX		pres.	# CG # CG # CG # CG			uirec ERS IV.			ĻΟί Γ		H		CO MT CE		c		E		PA 601/8010	⁻ A 602/8020	⁵ A 624/8240	PA 625/8270	inter Bolh to	nurky runual		otal Petrol. Hy						
MO DY TIME 203211220	YR WK SEQ 430331-1+	80 80	ц Х	≰ ॐ (3 0	5	5 X	Ŧ	Ī	ш× Х	(3	39	47	.4	8							Ш	Ш	Ξ	Ш				-	+		+		-+-	+
202011225	930321-2 730301-1		X)			-	X X			X X		(3	8/7 1 8	74/ 25	9. /	50		-		_															+	
											-	-		-									-				2	2		¥					<u>`</u>		+
	* Sanple 73	033 19 Cl	/-/	So	201 19	1	र्भ	3	}		-				• i	łi	4.4		7.	r		_									+	-		+		_	+
		"µ <u>−</u>				-								1		+					-								~		+			_	+	+	
						-					, , ,			1		<u>.</u>			,	3									+-						-+-		
						_ _					•	┢	-	+	+					-	+							+	+		+	+	-+	+		+	+

i anti

••

NOTES	CHAIN OI	F CUSTODY RECORD	
PRODUCT SAMPLES FIR FUEL FUEL FUEL PRINT	RELINGUISHED BY: (Jangarge)	RECEIVED BY: (Signature)	DATE TIM
ON RECEIPT OF SMIPLES. (415) 899-1600	PELINQUISHED BY: (Signature)	REČEIVEO) BY: (Signature)	DATE TIM
To Discuss ANALYTICAL PROBLAM	RELINQUISHED BY: <i>(Signature)</i>	RECEIVED BY: (Signature)	DATE TIM
	RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature)	RECEIVED BY: (Signature)	DATE TIM
	DISPATCHED BY: (Signature) DATE	TIME RECEIVED FOR LAB BY: (Signature)	DATE TIM

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Andrew John Friedman James E. Bruya, Ph.D. (206) 285-8282 3008-B 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119 FAX: (206) 283-5044

April 7, 1993

Andrew Briefer, Project Leader PES Environmental, Inc. 1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100 Novato, CA 94948

Dear Mr. Briefer:

Enclosed are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 1, 1993 from Project 167.02 002, Cox Cadillac/Oakland.

The GSVL data indicates that sample 930301-1 came from a different gasoline than 930331-2.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

Lathy Mc Mullen

Kathy McMullen Chemist

KMC/dp

Enclosures

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: April 7, 1993 Date Received: April 1, 1993 Project: 167.02 002, Cox Cadillac/Oakland

RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF GASOLINE COMPARISON BY INDIVIDUAL COMPONENTS (GC-FID) (Relative Abundance as Ratio of Peak Height to Peak A)

Sample ID		Pea	k	
	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	D
930331-2	1.0	1.05	1.28	1.21
930301-1	1.0	1.03	0.14	0.12
<u>Quality Assurance</u>				
Blank	1.0	0	0	0

DB NUMBER: _167.02	Cos			SAMPL	.ERS:	Ď	. IR	UM	Re	¥	--							ANAL	YSIS F	EQUE	STED	
AMERIOCATION: Cox Can	our loaken	 מכ					1				· ·							윎	e			
ROJECT MANAGER: ROJE	ITS. CEEPS			RECOF	RDER:			4	IJ									Ă K	droca			
			<u>м</u>			(Signa # CC	NTAIN	ERS/	7			1	T		010	020	22	oluer oluer	Ϋ́Η			
DATE	OR LAB NUMBER	E E E E E E	-	ETT	- si	å P	BESER		-{'	DEPT)	ł			AC	Į	902/E	20		Pet			
YR MO DY TIME	YR WK SEQ				D C	N Y	읽 릞.			FEET		CD	α	DDE	Ā	A G	A		a l			
303311220	930331-12	KT	X		X				3	947	48		┨┯╴		H				╶┨╌┨	╤╋╋	╉	+
30331/320	930331-2		X		X				নি	894	1.50	<u> </u> <u> </u>	┨┤	╞╌┼╌╏		+	╉╾┠			++	╶╋╌╄╴	┨
303011100	930301-1		X	+ ++++++++++++++++++++++++ - +	X	4	X		붜	2995	5	1+-		+		+					-	
								i 1	\$\$					*- +		\$	818	71	Чđ	चि	5 - 2	
							1	•			• } +			! !						╒╪╼┥		Nº.
	* sample 93	¢33	1-1 5	eal		1				41	4						11 -				\$	<u>Nr -</u>
	broke duri	195	uppi	ngQ	/ of	3)						- 14-	1.13			-		•		- F	36	
		Ĩ				-	4 -					,	4							\mathbf{V}	4	<u>-</u>
						•	† † ·			Ling	7	100	4					а 1. Д.	5		57	
			. 1	· • •			• 1					211	#	13				d C	2]_,	N X	Y	
				+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	-	-	- -										44					
			<u> </u>																			
	NOTES	····											-			0500	200		<u> </u>			
	<u> </u>				. <u> </u>				2								JHU	-				
ADUCT SAMPLES	FRE EVEL FN	ares	2R11	T			HELIN		HEDI		1			REC		BY:	(Signa	ture)	1	1	DATE	TIME
LALL ANDREW &	ZIEFER, PES S	YIR	NME	NTAC	_		FELIN	iouis	HED	BY: (Signa	ng fei	· - <u></u>		REC	HO EIVE	BY:	A ISiona		<u>a</u>	<u> </u>	1.7.5	10:93
ON RECEIPT O	F Someres (4	<u>15) 8</u>	99-	1600		····									~				-		AIC	INNE
10 -ALSCUSS	GUASYTICAL PR	ചപ	m	- ti	+		RELIN	IQUIS	HEDI	BY: (Signa	ture)			REC	EIVED	BY:	(Signat	ure)			DATE	TIME
Do HCIDEN W	ate can de	the p	Too.	1	<u> </u>		RĒLIN	QUIS	HEDI	Y Sim		~				01	<u></u>			\square		
reduct. IF	- sumple	1	NKI	U ag	am	AT	1				urc/			net.	CIVED	01: (Signat	ure)			ATE	ТΜΕ
	proa to any	y	<u>, ca</u>	<u>xx °</u> v	And	<i>ч</i> -	DISPA	TCHE	D BY	: (Signatur	e)	D	ATE	TIME	REC	EIVE	D FOI	BALF	BY:	-+ c		TIME
					к ⁻		1						I		(Sign.	(erust					- 1	

dibration results
 a matrix efficient

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Andrew John Friedman James E. Bruya, Ph.D. (206) 285-8282 3008-B 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119 FAX: (206) 283-5044

April 9, 1993

Mr. Robert S. Creps PES Environmental, Inc. 1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100 Novato, CA 94948

Dear Mr. Creps:

Regarding your project Cox Cadillac/Oakland, project 167.02.002, we ran sample 930301-1/on our GSVL analysis and determined it contains a peak eluting within the retention time of ethylene dibromide but no peak within a retention time for tetrachloroethylene.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Well HW-1

Kathy McMullen

Kathy McMullen Chemist

KMC/dp



JOB NUMBER: 167.02. 002	CUSTODY RECO	RD	1682 Novato Bouleva Novato, California 94 (415) 899-1600 FA	1947 1947 1945) 8	100 199-1601	
NAMELOCATION: COX CADILLAS OD KI AND			ANALYSIS	REQUEST	 ED	
PROJECT MANAGER: RODERTS CECO	A		2 2 2	TTT		
RECORDER:	lemselly		Aeta Aeta			
DATE SAMPLE NUMBER W MATRIX #	CONTAINERS					
	PRESERV DEPTH		100 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			
	S N IN MI		Petr P 25, 4 002			
2203311220930331-1X X						
1203311/320930331-21X	38947.48			┽┼┼	┥┽┼┼┤	<u> </u>
(30301110930301-1 X K	38949.50		╼╂╌╂╶╂╸╂╍╂╼╂╼╉╶╉	╶╆╌╁╌╂╴	┽┾┝┥	
	- X 38950	╶┠╾╁╶╉╌╄╌┨┠	╶╁┼╢╾┠╍╂╼╂╼╋	╶╂╾╂-╉╴	┽┼┾╢	10
╞┼┽┽┽┽┽┥┙╹╹╹╹╹╹╹╹		╶╂╌┼╌┼╌┨┠	ISER NO	789	$+ + + \phi$	Pelin
**************************************		╶╂╶╀╍╄╼┼╾┨┠╴	┽┼╎╪╪┾╍┾┤┯		112	গ
brote during studied and	414.	╆┽┼┽┨┠	┼┼┼┼╤╧╪╪	┽┽┾╸		
		41. 62 - 1 -	╅┼┾┼┽┽┯	1-1-1-	m.	
┊┶┶┶┶┶┶╵╵╹╹╹╹╹╹╹╹		╉┿┽┽┨┠╴	╉╉╋	1970		7
	1 1 2 2 4 1 4 1 4	┨╝╵╵╵ ╹┠╴	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	\mathbf{V}		1
and the and weed to			+++++++++2	9	>	7
was persont	KUK-4.12.52	1 9.	H h h H h	EXT		1
	ict to bar 1 date	┟┼┼┼┤┠ _{╴┤}	Fm F		+++	1
ED	B or PCF	- Kaufain			-+-+-	
NOTES	per Mr.	Creps KMC	4.8-9.3	—— <u>+</u>	╺╾┽╾╌┵╶╌┟╴╶╷	1
		HAIN OF CUSTODY	(PECODD			1
CALL & WAR	RELINGUISHED BY: Stonation					
and PRIEFER, PES ENVIRONMENTAL	Luce III	RECEIVE	D BY: (Signature)	DATE	TIME	
DN FREEIPT OF SAMPLES. (415) 899-1600	MELINQUISHED BY: (Signat 19)	BECEIVER	rela Vlajo	44.19:	310:45	4.
10 DISCUSS ANALYTICK- PROGRAM		I CENE	BY: (Signature)	DATE	TIME	
) HCIDY (the good fraction)	RELINQUISHED BY: Signature)	RECEIVED	BY Simetral			
1 1 Weter samplear Matter	RELINGUISHED BY		(orginalure)	DATE	TIME	
educt. It to prod to analyze a polar	Signature)	RECEIVED	BY: (Signature)	DATE		
Jo , call Andy. I	ASPATCHED BY: Signature			LAIE	TME	1
to says then 36951 only and it we find when	DAT	E TIME RECE	EIVED FOR LAB BY:	DATE		
the tun 3049 (orderet inc 4.163	TETHOD OF SHIPMENT:			_		
Laboratory Coov				<u> </u>		
White Yoject (Office Copy Field or Office Copy ellow	/				
and the second sec	r ank					:

APPENDIX D

1

ANALYTICAL REPORTS FOR OCTOBER 1993 INVESTIGATION

COAST - TO -	Air, Water & Hazardous Waste Sampling, Analysis & Consultation Certified Hazardous Waste, Chemistry, Bacteriology & Bioassay Laboratories													
COAST ANALYTICAL	San Lu Anaheim, CA	nis Obispo, CA • B • Tempe, AZ • V	enicia, CA • Camarillo, (alparaiso, IN • Westbroo	CA • Se ok, ME	in Jose, CA • Indianapolis, IN	ſ								
SERVICES	NorCal Division (San Jo	ose Laborator	y)		San Jose,	CA 95131								
	2059 Junction Ave.				(408)	955-9077								
			Lab Number	::JJ	-2054-4									
CLIENT: Andy Brie	fer		Project	: 00	x Cadillac									
PES Envir	onmental Inc		-											
1682 Nova	to Boulevard, Suite 100		Analyzed	: 10)/20/93									
Novato, C	A 94947		Analyzed k	y: ON	T									
			Method	: As	Listed									
	REPORT	OF ANALYTICA	l results		Page	1 of 1								
SAMPLE DESCRIPTIO	N	MATRIX	SAMPLED BY		SAMPLED DATE	RECEIVED								
		Aqueous	Paul Lohman		10/13/93	10/13/93								
CONSTITUENT			(CAS RN)	*PQL	RESULT	NOTE								
			ŀ	ıg/L	µg/L									
FUEL FINGERPRINT	ANALYSIS					1,2								
Benzene			Ę	50.	6100.									
Toluene			ŝ	50.	4800.									
Ethylbenzene			5	50.	4000.									
Xylenes			5	50.	11000.									
1,2-Dichloroetha	ne		5	50.	350.									
Ethylene dibromi	de		ş	50.	80.									
Total Petroleum	Hydrocarbons (Gasoline)		500	00.	74000.									
Total Petroleum	Hydrocarbons (Diesel 2)		500	00.	ND									
Percent Surrogat	e Recovery				87.									

San Jose Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1204 *RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit) (1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH, EPA 8260 modified (GC/MS)

10/23/93 MSD1/1AS96A MC/et/mcc/on MSD1-1020 Respectfully submitted, COAST-TO-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Mannel



1.1

Air, Water & Hazardous Waste Sampling, Analysis & Consultation Certified Hazardous Waste, Chemistry, Bacteriology & Bioassay Laboratories

San Luis Obispo, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA • San Jose, CA • Goleta, CA Anaheim, CA • Tempe, AZ • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME • Indianapolis, IN

NorCal Division (San Jose Laboratory) 2059 Junction Ave.

San Jose, CA 95131 (408) 955-9077

		Lab Number	:	JJ-2054-1
CLIENT:	Andy Briefer	Project	:	Cox Cadillac
	PES Environmental Inc			
	1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100	Analyzed	:	10/20/93
	Novato, CA 94947	Analyzed by	y:	ON
		Method	:	As Listed

REPORT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Page 1 of 1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	SAMPLED BY	SAMPLE	DATE RECEIVED
TW1	Aqueous	Paul Lohman	10/1	3/93 10/13/93
CONSTITUENT		(CASRN) *1 µg,	XQL RE /L μg	SULT NOTE /L
FUEL FINGERPRINT ANALYSIS				1,2
Benzene		0.5	5 ND	
Toluene		0.9	5 ND	
Ethylbenzene		0.!	5 ND	
Xylenes		0,!	5 ND	
1,2-Dichloroethane		0.1	5 ND	
Ethylene dibromide		0.!	5 ND	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gasoline)	50.	ND	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Diesel 2)	50.	ND	
Percent Surrogate Recovery	•		86.	

San Jose Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1204 *RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit) (1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH, EPA 8260 modified (GC/MS)

10/23/93 MSD1/1AS89A MC/et/mcc/on MSD1-1020

COAST - TO -

ANALYTICAL

ERVICES

COAST

Respectfully submitted, COAST-TO-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Munul

```
Data file: 55:001AS89A.D
   Sile type: GC / MS BATA FILE
   Mame Info: JJ2054-' TW-1
   Mise Info: ALS#4 - OML
   Openator : ON 10.23.93
        : 19 Cot 53 11:35 pm
   Sate
   instrment: MS_6970
   Inlet : 60
   Sequence index :
                   · 3
   Als bottle num :
                   58
   Seplicate num
     ion range 48.00 to 250.00 amu. from V3:00185898.0
    1.5E61
    2.0E6
Fundance
    1.555-
    1.000
    5.0E5-
                    િ
                4
                        18
                               9
                                              12
                                                      4
                                       10
                                                                     18
                              Time (min.)
```

.

COAST - TO -	Air, ¹ Certified I	Water & Hazardou Hazardous Waste,	is Waste Sampling, Ana Chemistry, Bacteriolog	pling, Analysis & Consultation Bacteriology & Bioassay Laboratories		
ANALYTICAL	San I Anaheim, C	uis Obispo, CA + A + Tempe, AZ + 1	Benicia, CA • Camarille Valparaiso, IN • Westb	o, CA • S rook, ME	an Jose, CA • Indianapolis, IN	1
SERVICES	NorCal Division (San J	lose Laborato	ry)		San Jose,	CA 95131
	2059 Junction Ave.				(408)	955-9077
			Lab Numb	er : JJ	J-2054-2	
CLIENT: Andy Brid	efer		Project	: 0	ox Cadillac	
PES Envi	ronmental Inc		-			
1682 Nov	ato Boulevard, Suite 100)	Analyzed	: 10	0/20/93	
Novato, (CA 94947		Analyzed	by: O	۲.	
			Method	: A	listed	
	REPORT	OF ANALYTIC	AL RESULTS		Page	l of 1
SAMPLE DESCRIPTIO	ON	MATRIX	SAMPLED BY		SAMPLED DATE	RECEIVED
TW2		Aqueous	Paul Lohman		10/13/93	10/13/93
CONSTITUENT			(CAS RN)	*PQL µg/L	RESULT µg/l	NOTE
FUEL FINGERPRINT	ANALYSIS					1,2
Benzene				0.5	ND	-
Toluene				0.5	ND	
Ethylbenzene				0.5	ND	
Xylenes				0.5	ND	
1,2-Dichloroeth	ane			0.5	ND	
Ethylene dibrom	ide			0.5	ND	
Total Petroleum	Hydrocarbons (Gasoline))		50.	ND	
Total Petroleum	Hydrocarbons (Diesel 2))		50.	ND	
Percent Surroga	te Recovery				85.	

San Jose Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1204 *RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit) (1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH, EPA 8260 modified (GC/MS)

10/23/93 MSD1/1AS94A MC/et/mcc/on MSD1-1020

Manuel

Marissa Coronel Laboratory Director



÷ .

COAST - TO -	Air, V Certified H	Vater & Hazardou Iazardous Waste, (s Waste Sampling, Analy Chemistry, Bacteriology	ysis & C & Bioas	onsultation say Laboratories	
COAST	San L	uis Obispo, CA • 1	Benicia, CA • Camarillo,	CA • S	an Jose, CA	
SERVICES	Anaheim, CA	• Tempe, AZ • \	alparaiso, IN • Westore	ok, Me	• Indianapolis, IN	ON 05121
SERVICES	Norcal Division (San J	ose Laborato	F Y)		San Jose,	CA 95131
	2059 Junction Ave.				(406)	955-9077
			Lab Numbe	r : J.	J-2054-3	
CLIENT: Andy Brie	efer		Project	: 00	x Cadillac	
PES Envi	connental Inc		2			
1682 Nova	ato Boulevard, Suite 100		Analyzed	: 10	0/20/93	
Novato, (CA 94947		Analyzed	by: O	4	
			Method	: A	: Listed	
	REPORT	OF ANALYTIC	AL RESULTS		Page (l of 1
SAMPLE DESCRIPTIO	NC	MATRIX	SAMPLED BY		SAMPLED DATE	RECEIVED
TW3		Aqueous	Paul Lohman		10/13/93	10/13/93
CONSTITUENT			(CAS RN)	*PQL µg/L	RESULT µg/L	NOTE
FUEL FINGERPRINT	ANALYSIS		· · · · · · · · ·			1,2
Benzene				0.5	ND	
Toluene				0.5	ND	
Ethylbenzene				0.5	ND	
Xylenes				0.5	ND	
1,2-Dichloroeth	ane			0.5	ND	
Ethylene dibrom	ide			0.5	ND	
Total Petroleum	Hydrocarbons (Gasoline)		5	i0.	ND	
Total Petroleum	Hydrocarbons (Diesel 2)		5	50.	ND	
Percent Surroga	te Recovery				73.	

*RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit) (1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH, EPA 8260 modified (GC/MS)

10/23/93 MSD1/1AS95A MC/et/mcc/on MSD1-1020

Monnel

Marissa Coronel Laboratory Director

	Cate file: V3 File type: 60	:0018595 :/ MS EA	A.B Ta file						
	Mame Info: J. Misc Info: AL Operator : GN	12054-3 .5#10 1 0.20.	2 - نە⊤ 93						
	Date : 20 Instrment: MS Inlet : 60) Oct 93 8_5970)	2:39	ăM					
	Requence inde Als bottle m Replicate nur	ex i d am t BE n t s							
	ion rang	e 49.	विशे हि	350.09	amu.	fram	√3:001P	1995A.D	
•	:.3E64								
	1.0E8								1
	L.4E6				ł				
	1.2E8								
dan o t	1.0E6								
turn.	e.gest								
: : :	e. 0 E 5 {		5						
	4.0E5								
	2.0E5								
		4		ç Time (10 nin.)	12	14	16	13

COAST - TO -	Air, W Certified H	ater & Hazardous azardous Waste, C	Waste Sampling, Analysi hemistry, Bacteriology &	s & Co Bioass	nsultation ay Laboratories	
COAST ANALYTICAL	San Lu Anaheim, CA	iis Obispo, CA • B • Tempe, AZ • Va	enicia, CA • Camarillo, C. alparaiso, IN • Westbrook	A • Sa : ME •	n Jose, CA Indianapolis, IN	
SERVICES	NorCal Division (San J	ose Laborator	у)		San Jose,	CA 95131
	2059 Junction Ave.				(408)	955-9077
			Lab Number	: JJ	-2054-6	
CLIENT: Andy Bri	efer		Project	: Co	x Cadillac	
PES Envi	ronmental Inc		-			
1682 Nov	ato Boulevard, Suite 100		Analyzed	: 10	/20/93	
Novato,	CA 94947		Analyzed by	7: ON		
			Method	: As	Listed	
SAMPLE DESCRIPTI	REPORT	OF ANALYTICA	L RESULTS		Page SAMPLED DATE	l of 1 RECEIVED
		Denomina	Baul Lobman		10/13/93	10/13/93
		Aqueous	Faut Lonian			
CONSTITUENT			(CASRN)	*PQL g/L	RESULT μ g/L	NOTE
FUEL FINGERPRINI	ANALYSIS					1,2
Benzene			5	•	65.	
Toluene			5	•	18.	
Ethylbenzene			5	•	49.	
Xylenes			5	•	33.	
1,2-Dichloroeth	hane		5	•	ND	
Ethylene dibrom	uide		5	•	ND	
Total Petroleum	a Hydrocarbons (Gasoline)		500	-	2000.	
Total Petroleum	Hydrocarbons (Diesel 2)		500	•	ND	
Percent Surroga	te Recovery				88.	

San Jose Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1204 *RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit) (1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH, EPA 8260 modified (GC/MS)

10/23/93 MSD1/1AS98A MC/et/mcc/on MSD1-1020

Mound

Marissa Coronel Laboratory Director



. .

.

COAST - TO -	Air, Water & Hazardous rtified Hazardous Waste, (Waste Sampling, Analysis & Chemistry, Bacteriology & Bio	Consultation assay Laboratories	
	San Luis Obispo, CA • B	enicia, CA • Camarillo, CA •	San Jose, CA	T
SEQUICES NorCol Division	eim, CA • Tempe, AZ • V	alparaiso, IN • Westerook, M	E • Indianapolis, ir San Jose	רגנפבא מיש
2059 Junction Ave	(San Jose Laborator 2.	Y)	(408) 955-9077
		Lab Number :	JJ-2054-5	
CLIENT: Andy Briefer		Project :	Cox Cadillac	
PES Environmental Inc		-		
1682 Novato Boulevard, Suit	te 100	Analyzed :	10/22/93	
Novato, CA 94947		Analyzed by:	ON	
		Method :	As Listed	
1	REPORT OF ANALYTIC	L RESULTS	Page	1 of 1
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	SAMPLED BY	SAMPLED DAT	E RECEIVED
TW5	Aqueous	Paul Lohman	10/13/93	10/13/93
CONSTITUENT	· · · ·	(CAS RN) *PC µg/I	L RESULT μg/L	NOTE
FUEL FINGERPRINT ANALYSIS		······································		1,2
Benzene		100.	20000.	·
Toluene		100.	25000.	
Ethylbenzene		100.	3800.	
Xylenes		100.	23000.	
1,2-Dichloroethane		100.	ND	
Ethylene dibramide		100.	ND	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gas	oline)	10000.	140000.	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Die	sel 2)	10000.	ND	
Percent Surrogate Recovery			84.	

San Jose Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1204 *RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit) (1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap) (2) ANALYZED by CAL DUE DEDET THE FEB 9260 modified (CC(MS)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH, EPA 8260 modified (GC/MS)

10/23/93 MSD1/1AT25A/S97A MC/et/mcc/on MSD1-1020 Respectfully submitted, COAST-TO-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Monul



.

.

COAST - TO -	Air, Water & Hazardous Waste Sampling, Analysis & Consultation Certified Hazardous Waste, Chemistry, Bacteriology & Bioassay Laboratories											
COAST ANALYTICAL	San Luis Obispo, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA • San Jose, CA Anaheim, CA • Tempe, AZ • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME • Indianapolis, IN											
SERVICES	NorCal Division (San J	ose Laboratory)			San Jose, Cl	A 95131						
	2059 Junction Ave.				(408) 99	55-9077						
			QC Batch	ID: MSD1-	-1020							
CLIENT: Coast-to-	-Coast Analytical Servic	es, Inc.	-									
			Analyzed	: 10/20	0/93							
			Analyzed	by: ON								
			Method	: As L	isted							
	м	ETHOD BLANK										
	REPORT OF	ANALYTICAL RESULTS	5		Page 1 d	of 1						
SAMPLE DESCRIPTIO	NC.	MATRIX S	SAMPLED BY	SA	MPLED DATE RI	ECEIVED						
METHOD BLANK		Aqueous										
CONSTITUENT			(CAS RN)	*PQL µg/L	RESULT µg/L	NOTE						
FUEL FINGERPRINT	ANALYSIS					1,2						
Benzene				0.5	ND							
Toluene				0.5	ND							
Ethylbenzene				0.5	ND							
Xylenes				0.5	ND							
1,2-Dichloroeth	ane			0.5	ND							
Ethylene dibrom	ide			0.5	ND							
Total Petroleum	Hydrocarbons (Gasoline)			50.	ND							
Total Petroleum	Hydrocarbons (Diesel 2)			50.	ND							
Percent Surroga	te Recovery				83.							
-	-											

*RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit) (1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH, EPA 8260 modified (GC/MS)

10/23/93 MSD1/1AS87A MC/et/mcc/on JJ2054-1 Respectfully submitted, COAST-TO-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Mume

COAST - TO -	Air, Water & Hazardous Waste Certified Hazardous Waste, Chemisti	Sampling, Ar ry, Bacteriolo	nalysis & Co gy & Bioass	nsultation ay Laboratorie	s	
COAST Analytical	San Luis Obispo, CA • Benicia, (Anaheim, CA • Tempe, AZ • Valparais	CA • Camari io, IN • West	llo, CA • Sa brook, ME •	n Jose, CA Indianapolis,	IN	
SERVICES NorCal Divis	ion (San Jose Laboratory)			San Jos	e, CA 9	5131
2059 Junction	n Ave.			(40)	8) 955-	9077
CLIENT: Coast-to-Coast Analytic	cal Services, Inc.	QC Batc	h ID: MS	0 1 -1020		
		Analyze Analyze	d: 10 dby: ON	/20/93		
		Method	: As	Listed		
	QC MATRIX SPIKE					
:	REPORT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS			Pag	e 1 of	1
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX S.	AMPLED BY		SAMPLED DA	TE RECE	IVED
MATRIX SPIKE	Aqueoua					
CONSTITUENT		ORIGINAL RESULT	SPIKE AMOUNT	RESULT µg/L	*REC	NOTE
FUEL FINGERPRINT ANALYSIS		· · · ·		е <u>е</u>		1,2
Benzene		ND	10.	9.9	99.	
Toluene		ND	10.	11.	110.	
Ethylbenzene		ND	10.	11.	110.	
Xylenes		ND	10.	10.	100.	
1,2-Dichloroethane		ND	10.	9.8	98.	
Ethylene dibromide		ND	10.	9.8	98.	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	(Gasoline)	ND	250.	300.	120.	

*RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit) (1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH, EPA 8260 modified (GC/MS)

10/23/93 MSD1/1AS90A/92A MC/et/mcc/on JJ2054-1

Manne Ŀ

Marissa Coronel Laboratory Director

COAST - TO -	Air, Water & Hazard Certified Hazardous Was	ious Waste Sam te, Chemistry, B	pling, Analy lacteriology (sis & Consula & Bioassay Lal	poratories	i	
	San Luis Obispo, CA	• Benicia, CA	Camarillo,	CA • San Jose	, CA		
	Anaheim, CA • Tempe, AZ	• Valparaiso, II	N • Westbro	ok, ME • india	napous, I	IN (73 0)	
SERVICES Norcal Divisi	on (San Jose Laborat	cory)		2	an Jose (400	2) GEE_0	2131
2059 Junction	Ave.				(400		5077
		0	C Batch (ID: MSD1-1	020		
LIENT: Coast-to-Coast Analytic	al Services, Inc.	×					
		А	nalyzed	: 10/20/	93		
		A	nalyzed l	by: ON			
		M	ethod	: As Lis	ted		
	OC MATRIX SPI	KE					
F	REPORT OF ANALYTICAL	RESULTS			Page	e 1 of	1
F SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	EPORT OF ANALYTICAL MATRIX	RESULTS SAME	PLED BY	SAMP	Page LED DAT	elof TERECE	1 IVED
F SAMPLE DESCRIPTION MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE	EPORT OF ANALYTICAL MATRIX Aqueous	RESULTS	YLED BY	SAMP	Page LED DA	elof TERECE	l IVED
F SAMPLE DESCRIPTION MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE CONSTITUENT	EFORT OF ANALYTICAL MATRIX Aqueous	RESULTS SAME ORIGINAL	YLED BY SPIKE	SAMP	Page LED DA: *REC	≥ 1 of TE RECE &DIFF	1 IVED NOTE
F SAMPLE DESCRIPTION MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE	EPORT OF ANALYTICAL MATRIX Aqueous	RESULTS SAME ORIGINAL RESULT	PLED BY SPIKE AMOUNT	SAMP RESULT µg/L	Page LED DA: &REC	≥ 1 of TE RECE &DIFF	1 IVED NOTE
F SAMPLE DESCRIPTION MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE CONSTITUENT FUEL FINGERPRINT ANALYSIS	EFORT OF ANALYTICAL MATRIX Aqueous	RESULTS SAME ORIGINAL RESULT	YLED BY SPIKE AMOUNT	SAMP RESULT µg/L	Page LED DA &REC	≥ 1 of TE RECE %DIFF	l IVED NOTE 1,2
F SAMPLE DESCRIPTION MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE CONSTITUENT FUEL FINGERPRINT ANALYSIS Benzene	EFORT OF ANALYTICAL MATRIX Aqueous	RESULTS SAME ORIGINAL RESULT ND	SPIKE AMOUNT	SAMP RESULT µg/L 10.	Page LED DA &REC 100.	≥ 1 of TE RECE %DIFF 1.	I IVED NOTE 1,2
F SAMPLE DESCRIPTION MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE CONSTITUENT FUEL FINGERPRINT ANALYSIS Benzene Toluene	EPORT OF ANALYTICAL MATRIX Aqueous	RESULTS SAME ORIGINAL RESULT ND ND	SPIKE AMOUNT 10.	SAMP RESULT µg/L 10. 11.	Page LED DA %REC 100. 110.	≥ 1 of IE RECE %DIFF 1. 0.	I IVED NOTE 1,2
F SAMPLE DESCRIPTION MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE CONSTITUENT FUEL FINGERPRINT ANALYSIS Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	EPORT OF ANALYTICAL MATRIX Aqueous	RESULTS SAME ORIGINAL RESULT ND ND ND	SPIKE AMOUNT 10. 10.	SAMP RESULT μg/L 10. 11. 10.	Page LED DA %REC 100. 110. 100.	≥ 1 of TE RECE %DIFF 1. 0. 9.5	I IVED NOTE 1,2
F SAMPLE DESCRIPTION MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE CONSTITUENT FUEL FINGERPRINT ANALYSIS Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes	EFORT OF ANALYTICAL MATRIX Aqueous	RESULTS SAME ORIGINAL RESULT ND ND ND ND ND	PLED BY SPIKE AMOUNT 10. 10. 10. 10.	SAMP RESULT μg/L 10. 11. 10. 10.	Page LED DA %REC 100. 110. 100. 100.	≥ 1 of TE RECE %DIFF 1. 0. 9.5 0.	I IVED NOTE 1,2
F SAMPLE DESCRIPTION MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE CONSTITUENT FUEL FINGERPRINT ANALYSIS Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes 1,2-Dichloroethane	EFORT OF ANALYTICAL MATRIX Aqueous	RESULTS SAME ORIGINAL RESULT ND ND ND ND ND ND	2LED BY SPIKE AMOUNT 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.	SAMP RESULT μg/L 10. 11. 10. 10. 10.	Page LED DA %REC 100. 110. 100. 100. 100.	≥ 1 of TE RECE %DIFF 1. 0. 9.5 0. 2.	I IVED NOTE 1,2
F SAMPLE DESCRIPTION MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE CONSTITUENT FUEL FINGERPRINT ANALYSIS Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes 1,2-Dichloroethane Ethylene dibromide	EFORT OF ANALYTICAL MATRIX Aqueous	RESULTS SAME ORIGINAL RESULT ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	PLED BY SPIKE AMOUNT 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.	SAMP RESULT µg/L 10. 11. 10. 10. 10. 11.	Page LED DA %REC 100. 110. 100. 100. 100. 110.	≥ 1 of TE RECE %DIFF 1. 0. 9.5 0. 2. 12.	I IVED NOTE 1,2

*RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit) (1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH, EPA 8260 modified (GC/MS)

10/23/93 MSD1/1AS91A/93A MC/et/mcc/on JJ2054-1 Respectfully submitted, COAST-TO-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Manuel

		PES I Engin	Environm eering & E	ental, nviron	Inc. menta	l Ser	vices			С	H	• Al	N	0	FO	CI D	JS	ST(]C	DY	R	E	СС	DR	RD				168 Nov (41	2 N /ato 5) 8	lova o, Ca 199-	ato i alife 160	Bot ornia 10	ilev: a 9 Fi	ard, 494 AX (Su 7 (415	ite 1 5) 89	100 99-1	601			
JOB N	UMB)ER:	(av								_	S	AMP	LER	.S:	11			<u> </u>	<u>.>H</u>	<u>r (</u>		•									ta s	VAL'	YSIS	S RE		STE	ED	E Char	5		
		MANAG		24	BRI	EF	EE			····		F	ECO	RDE	R:	ignat		lequire	R	\sum		\						-	010	020	240	tutarrt Me	oluene/X	Hydroc	ſ		R81	via .		the state		
<u> </u>	T	DAT	E	5	Sample Or Lab		MBER IBER		DURCE	ų –	ater				npres.		tered 5	EBY.			DE I FE	PTH N ET		CC MT C	ж ГD D	00	DE		PA 601/8	PA 602/8	PA 6248	nority Pol	enzene/T	otal Petro	-	ц Ц Ц	STEX					
YR	MC	ya c	TIME		i wк	<u> </u>	SEQ	_	80	5	×	М	Ø Ö		<u>5</u> :		E	Ŧ	+		10	নি	71		_		<u> </u>	-	Ξ					μ μ		-1				+	┝┤	
93	1	2 :	5127	51	<u>4</u> 1.						X	•				-		5		A	14	124	F- 	/				-								<u>}</u>	ì				-	
					<u>N</u> N 3				-	- -				·	•				-	-F		╞╌┠	-12	3	.			-														
[]			144	<u>)</u>	wi	-+		·		-													- 4	i				-														
			155	51	JS								_			_							- 0	7				-								.						
				211	411 115						X							2	+			- .	- 7	2											X		X	H	ØĽ	D	d	(F)
																																						(00) 11 1	e la	01		Va
													_			_ _	1											-					$\left - \right $		· _		4	Į.	2n mi		PA P	as
	$\frac{1}{1}$			-				· ··		- -	_			 						. +	_	 -			┝╼╾┠╴	_		-									-1	ea	In	ŧ,	ŧ	K
												.~									_								/						•~~•		Þ	97	dy	10	Į,	193

NOTES	CHAIN	
regular TAT confirmed per Andy 10/14. (A) Wals placed in quard bottles area6.	RELINQUISHED BY: (Stanature)	RECEIVED BY: (Signature) RECEIVED BY: (Signature) DATE TIME DATE TIME DATE TIME
	AELINQUISHED BY: (Signature)	RECEIVED BY: (Signature) DATE TIME
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature)	RECEIVED BY: (Signature) DATE TIME
	DISPATCHED BY: (Signature) DATE	TIME RECEIVED FOR LAB BY: DATE TIME (Signature) AUSAN(AGTA AMA 1805
	METHOD OF SHIPMENT: Mold Curren	coor, intact
Laboratory Copy Proje White	ect Office Copy Field or Office Copy Yellow Pink	

~



Air, Water & Hazardous Waste Sampling, Analysis & Consultation Certified Hazardous Waste, Chemistry, Bacteriology & Bioassay Laboratories

San Luis Obispo, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA • San Jose, CA Anaheim, CA • Tempe, AZ • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME • Indianapolis, IN NorCal Division (San Jose Laboratory) San Jose, CA 95131 2059 Junction Ave.

(408) 955-9077

CLIENT:	Andy Briefer	Lab Number Project	:	JJ-2066-1 167.0200.002, Cox
	1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100	Analyzed	:	Cad111ac 10/22/93
	Novato, CA 94947	Analyzed by Method	?: :	ON As Listed

REPOR	RT OF ANALYTIC		Page 1 of 1					
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	SAMPLED BY		SAMPLED DATI	E RECEIVED			
TW6	Aqueous	Paul Lohmar	3	10/14/93	10/14/93			
CONSTITUENT	<u></u>	(CAS RN)	*PQL μg/L	RESULT µg/L	NOTE			
FUEL FINGERPRINT ANALYSIS					1,2			
Benzene			1.	3800.				
Toluene			1.	1600.				
Ethylbenzene			1.	110.				
Xylenes			1.	540.				
1,2-Dichloroethane			1.	ND				
Ethylene dibromide			1.	ND				
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gasoline	⊋)		100.	4100.				
Percent Surrogate Recovery				83.				

San Jose Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1204

*RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit) (1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH, EPA 8260 modified (GC/MS)

10/25/93 MSD1/1AT32A/40A MC/mcc/on MSD1-1022

Marne

Marissa Coronel Laboratory Director



•.

Air, Water & Hazardous Waste Sampling, Analysis & Consultation COAST - TO -Certified Hazardous Waste, Chemistry, Bacteriology & Bioassay Laboratories Coast San Luis Obispo, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA • San Jose, CA ANALYTICAL Anaheim, CA • Tempe, AZ • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME • Indianapolis, IN SERVICES NorCal Division (San Jose Laboratory) San Jose, CA 95131 2059 Junction Ave. (408) 955-9077 Lab Number : JJ-2066-2 CLIENT: Andy Briefer Project : 167.0200.002, Cox PES Environmental Inc Cadillac 1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100 Analyzed : 10/22/93 Novato, CA 94947 Analyzed by: ON Method : As Listed REPORT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS Page 1 of 1 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION SAMPLED DATE RECEIVED MATRIX SAMPLED BY TW7 10/14/93 10/14/93 Aqueous Paul Lohman CONSTITUENT (CAS RN) *POL RESULT NOTE µg/L µg/L FUEL FINGERPRINT ANALYSIS 1,2 Benzene 50. 48000. Toluene 50. 15000. Ethylbenzene 50. 3400. Xylenes 50. 16000. 1,2-Dichloroethane 50. ND Ethylene dibromide 50. ND Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gasoline) 5000. 100000. Percent Surrogate Recovery 85.

San Jose Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1204

*RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit) (1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH, EPA 8260 modified (GC/MS)

10/25/93 MSD1/1AT33A/41A MC/mcc/on MSD1-1022

Mumel

Marissa Coronel Laboratory Director



PES Environmental, Inc. Engineering & Environmental Services	CHAIN O		1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100 Novato, California 94947 (415) 899-1600 FAX (415) 899-1601
	SAMPLE	RS: TAUL LOHMAN	ANALYSIS REQUESTED
JOB NUMBER: Q I. JEJU. JUL		\bigcirc	
PROJECT MANAGER: ANDI BELEFER	RECORD	DER: A. W. Cotton	
DATE SAMPLE NUMBER OR LAB NUMBER		# CONTAINERS & PRESERV. DEPTH COL QA	601/801 601/801 601/801 601/801 601/801 701/101/801/801 701/101/801/801/801/801/801/801/801/801/8
YR MO DY TIME YR WK SEQ	Oit Sedir		
131D141357 WG		5	
1310141305TW7		34(93) -	2 X X
			┟╌┃╻┫╌┼╌┟╌╎╌╎╌┥╌┥╌┥
]	╷║╌╎╌┊╶┊╴╎╶╎┄╎╴║╶┼╌┾╌┾╌┾╌┼╍╏╍╏╍╎╌║┈┼╶┊╴	<mark>╶╶</mark> ┃ ┃ ╶╷╷╶╏╶╎╍╏╍┥╍┥╺┥ ╼┤╌┤╌╎┄┠─╎╼┧╍┼╍╏
╽┉┧╍╽╍╎┅╽┅┥┉┝╸┟╌┟╴╽╶┟╌┟╌┟╍╎╍┝╍┞╍┥╍		╌┨╶┥╌┥╌╎╌╎╌┼╌╢╌┼╌╎┯┿┯╋┯┧╌║╌┥╴╏╌┼╌╢┈	┟┈╸╽╴╏╶╌┝╍╌╎╍╍╎╍╌╎╌╴╎╌╴╎╌╴╎╌╴╎╌╌╎╌╌╎╌╌╎╌╌╎
]]	┉╹┉┉╎┉╎╷┼┼┼┼╎┥╌╎┈╎┈╵╎┈╵╎╌╵╎╌╴╎╌╴┨╼╌┨╼╌┤╼╴	╞╌┨╴╏╼╪╾╂╌┼╼┧╼╊╼┠╌╎╌╎╌╎╌╎╌╎╌┼╍╋╼┾╼╏
		╴╏╺╾┨╼╴╽╍┅╞╾╌┼╾╌┥╶╌┽╌╶┨╶╌┼╌╶╎╌╴┤╌╴┦╶╴┨╺╾┨╼╾┨╼╾	┟╼╴┨╶╶┥╼╏╾╎╾┥╾┼╼┼╍╎╍╬╍╎╌┽╌╎╌╎╌╎╌╎╌╎╌
╽╶┼╌┼╌╎┈╎╌┼╌╎╼┿╍┾╍╎╼╻┅┼╶┤╼╊╶┾╾┾╌┼╴┤╴		╶┨┈╎╓╎╷┼╌┼╌┼╌┼╌┦╌┨╍┾╍┽╍┥╓╴┝╌╢╌┨╶┾┄┨╓╞╼┾─	╞╌┨╶╢╍┿╼╂╍┽╍╞╌┨╶╂╴╎╌╿╶┤┙╢╼┝╓┽╌╏╌╹
╽┄┼╌╢╌┼╌┼╌┼╌┼╌╎╺┼╌╏╺┥╍┥╍┼╾┼╾╎┈╎╌┼╌╎	I -+- I -+- I - IIIII -	╌┨╶┤╌╎╌╢╌┼╌┝╌┦╌┦╍┼╍┾╍┾╌┼╌┨╌┦╌╿╌╿╌	╞─┨╶╏┅┼╍┫╼┦╍╀╌┞╌╽╌╎╌┤╌┦╌┦╍╿╍┥╌╿╌╿╴
╽╍┟╾╿╾┦╼┽╍┦╾┞╼╀╌┟╶┞╶┞╌┞╌┝╌┝╌┝╌┞╌┞╌╿╌┨╼┨		╶╏╴┼╌┽╌┽╍┧╍┨╌┨╌╂╶┼╶┼╍┾╍┽╼╂╍╎╴┨╌┼╶┾╴	
		╶╏╌╎╌┥╼╀╾┼╾┤╶┤╴╿╶┼╌╎╶┼╴╽╶┼╌╢╶╇╼┼╼	

NOTES	СНА	IN OF CUSTODY RECORD	
Mals placed in guard bottles & lab	RECINQUISHED BY: (Signature)	RECEIVED BX+ (Signature) RECEIVED BY: (Signature)	DATE TIME
	RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature)	RECEVED BY: (Signature)	DATE TIME
	RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature)	RECEIVED BY: (Signature)	DATE TIME
	DISPATCHED BY: (Signature) DATE	TIME RECEIVED FOR LAB BY: (Signature)	18/17/93TIME
	METHOD OF SHIPMENT: VIA NORUP GURRER	TO CLAS SANT JEEE	cool, intag
Laboratory Copy White	Project Office Copy Yellow Field or Office Copy Pink		

DISTRIBUTION

REPORT SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION BILL COX CADILLAC 230 BAY PLACE OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

December 23, 1993

COPY NO. <u>4</u>

Copy No.

2 Copies	Mr. Rory Campbell, Esq. Hanson, Bridgett, Marcus, Vlahos & Rudy 333 Market Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105-2173	1-2
1 Сору	Mr. Steven Schulman Wells Fargo Bank c/o Mr. Rory Campbell, Esq. Hanson, Bridgett, Marcus, Vlahos & Rudy 333 Market Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105-2173	3
1 Сору	Brian Oliva Alameda County Environmental Health Services Hazardous Materials Division 80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, California 94621	4
2 Copies	PES Job Files	5-6