

July 16, 2001

167.002.01.006

Greater Bay Trust Company Hanson, Bridgett, Marcus, Vlahos & Rudy 333 Market Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105-2173

JUL 1 9 2007

Attention: Leah S. Goldberg, Esq.

QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING APRIL 2001 QUARTERLY EVENT FORMER COX CADILLAC FACILITY 230 BAY PLACE OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Dear Ms. Goldberg:

This report presents the results of groundwater monitoring conducted by PES Environmental, Inc. (PES) on April 6, 2001 at the former Bill Cox Cadillac facility at 230 Bay Place, Oakland, California. The work is being performed as part of response action to address releases from a former 10,000-gallon gasoline underground storage tank (UST) operated at the site by Bill Cox Cadillac. The location of the site is shown on Plate 1. The work was performed on behalf of Greater Bay Trust Company, trustee for the Robert Shepard Trust, Brian F. Shepard Trust, Douglas C. Shepard Trust, and the Lisa C. Shepard Trust, the former owners of the property. The current owner of the site is Avalon Bay Communities.

In a letter to The Greater Bay Trust Company dated April 6, 2001, ACEHS requested that groundwater monitoring at the site be continued. The objective of the groundwater monitoring program at this site is to evaluate the presence of petroleum hydrocarbons in groundwater. The monitoring is performed in accordance with California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) guidelines.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

One groundwater monitoring well (Well MW-1) and seven temporary monitoring wells (Wells TW-1 through TW-7) were installed at the site by PES to investigate subsurface conditions following removal of a 3,000-gallon waste oil storage tank in December 1988. MW-1 was installed in February 1993 down gradient of the former waste oil tank and a



July 19, 2001

167.002.01.006

Greater Bay Trust Company c/o Hanson, Bridget, Marcus, Vlahos & Rudy 333 Market Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105

JUL 2 4 2001

Attention: Leah Goldberg, Esq.

TRANSMITTAL REVISED SIGNATURE PAGE FOR QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT APRIL 2001 QUARTERLY EVENT FORMER COX CADILLAC FACILITY 230 BAY PLACE OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Dear Ms. Goldberg:

Enclosed please the revised signature page to the above referenced report. The signature page includes Andrew Briefer's Professional Engineer's stamp. This revision is to replace the original unstamped signature page transmitted to you on July 16, 2001

Please call should you any questions.

Sincerely,

PES ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

François A. Bush Senior Geologist

Enclosure

cc: Ms. Cheryl Howell – Greater Bay Trust Company
 Mr. Don Huang – Alameda County Environmental Health Services
 Mr. Mark Owens – California UST Cleanup Fund

transmit1.doc

In accordance with the April 6, 2001 ACEHS letter, PES will continue with quarterly groundwater monitoring. If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to call either of the undersigned.

Yours very truly,

PES ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

François A. Bush Senior Geologist //

Andrew A. Briefer, P. E. Principal Engineer

| | | PROFE | SSIONA | |
|---|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| | OIS CONTRACTOR | Dru (No | | ENGIN |
| (| | 0465 EX.P. E | 18 l 5-36-03 | EEA * |
| | | OF CA | LIFORM | |

| Attachments: | Table 1 | Groundwater Elevation Data Through April 6, 2001 |
|--------------|---------|---|
| | Table 2 | Groundwater Sample Analytical Results Through April 6, 2001 |
| | Table 3 | Summary of Total Dissolved Oxygen Measurements |
| | Plate 1 | Site Location Map |
| | Plate 2 | Site Plan and Well Location Map |
| | | |

- Plate 3 Groundwater Elevation Contours on April 6, 2001
- Plate 4 Distribution of Dissolved Hydrocarbons in Groundwater -April 6, 2001

Appendix A Well Sampling Documentation

Appendix B Laboratory Analytical Reports and Chain of Custody Documentation

cc: Ms. Cheryl Howell - Greater Bay Trust Company

Mr. Don Huang - Alameda County Environmental Health Services

Mr. Mark Owens - California UST Cleanup Fund

groundwater sample was collected from it in March 1993. Elevated concentrations of total petroleum hydrocarbons quantified as gasoline (TPHg) were detected in the sample analyzed from Well MW-1. Gasoline detected in groundwater was characterized as "fresh" and no waste oil constituents were detected. Temporary wells, Wells TW-1 through TW-7 were subsequently installed in March 1993 to investigate the degree and extent, and the likely source of the gasoline contamination in groundwater. Results of the additional investigation indicated that elevated TPHg and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) were detected in groundwater samples from four of the temporary wells and in Well MW-1. MTBE was not detected in groundwater samples. The highest concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbon constituents were detected in groundwater samples from two wells (TW-5 and TW-7) closest to a 10,000-gallon gasoline tank and associated product piping located to the west of the former waste oil tank. The results of the investigations were presented in PES' report, *Soil and Groundwater Investigation, Bill Cox Cadillac, 230 Bay Place, Oakland, California* dated December 23, 1993. The well locations and former waste oil tank location are shown on Plate 2.

The 10,000-gallon underground gasoline tank and product piping were removed by DECON Environmental Services of Hayward, California and observed and documented by Eisenberg, Olivieri & Associates (EOA) of Oakland, California in January 1994. During removal, a hole was observed in the product piping between the tank and dispenser. Floating free-phase product was observed on the groundwater surface in the tank excavation. EOA, on behalf of Bill Cox, subsequently performed limited investigations to evaluate the offsite extent of gasoline contamination. EOA performed quarterly groundwater monitoring of wells MW-1, TW-2, TW-6 and TW-7 between December 1994 and February 1996.

Soil and groundwater remediation was subsequently requested by ACEHS in a letter to the Harold Shepard Trust dated October 24, 1996. In the letter, ACEHS specified that soil remediation consisting of excavation of hydrocarbon-affected soil, and groundwater remediation consisting of oxygen introduction was required. PES developed a Remedial Plan in response to that request. PES' Remedial Plan consisted of a *Revised Interim Remedial Action Plan* (IRAP) dated October 31, 1996 and an *Addendum, Revised Interim Remedial Action Plan* dated November 26, 1996. As part of the Remedial Plan, site characterization, additional well installation, soil remediation, baseline groundwater monitoring, and initial groundwater remediation were conducted by PES between June 1997 and April 1999. The results of work conducted between June 1997 and April 1999 were previously submitted to ACEHS in PES' report, *Site Characterization and Interim Remedial Actions, Former Cox Cadillac Facility, Oakland, California*, dated September 30, 1999.

A pilot program commenced in January 1999 to remediate groundwater by applying a combination of in-situ bioremediation methods to introduce oxygen and nutrients into groundwater at the site to enhance natural biodegradation rates of petroleum hydrocarbons. The methods included: (1) adding a nutrient- and hydrogen peroxide-enriched water

(hereinafter referred to as enriched water); and (2) placement of Oxygen Releasing Compound (ORC) in selected wells at the site. There were a total of eight applications of enriched water from March 1999 to November 1999. Application of hydrogen peroxide-enriched water and ORC in selected wells was not conducted in the April 2001 quarterly monitoring event.

The April 2001 monitoring is the fifth monitoring event since the groundwater remediation program and baseline monitoring was initiated by PES in January 1999. Groundwater monitoring reports presenting the results of quarterly monitoring conducted in April, July and October 1999 and January 2000 have previously been submitted to your attention. The results of the April 2001 groundwater monitoring are presented below.

GROUNDWATER MONITORING ACTIVITIES

Depth to Groundwater Measurements

Water levels were measured by Blaine Tech Services (Blaine Tech) of San Jose, California at monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, TW-2, TW-4, TW-5, TW-6, and TW-7 on April 6, 2001. Depth-to-groundwater measurements were obtained using an electronic water-level indicator and recorded to the nearest 0.01-foot. The water-level indicator was cleaned with a solution of non-phosphate detergent and de-ionized water and then rinsed before each use. Groundwater elevation data are presented in Table 1 and groundwater elevation contours are presented on Plate 3. Dissolved oxygen concentrations were measured by Blaine Tech in the five wells to be sampled prior to measuring groundwater levels.

Groundwater Sampling and Analyses

Groundwater samples were collected from wells MW-1, MW-2, TW-2, TW-6, and TW-7 by Blaine Tech on April 6, 2001. After dissolved oxygen and water-level measurements were obtained, the wells were purged by bailing until approximately three well volumes of water were removed. During purging, the water was monitored for pH, temperature, conductivity, and turbidity. Purge water was collected in DOT-approved 55-gallon steel drums and stored on site. Following well purging, a groundwater sample was collected from each well using a disposable bailer. The sample was transferred to the appropriate laboratory sample containers using a bottom draining bailer stopcock. The sample containers were filled slowly to minimize sample volatilization and ensure that the sample was free of air bubbles. The sample containers were labeled with project site, well identification number, sample number, sampling date and time, and requested analyses. Well sampling documentation is presented in Appendix A.

The groundwater samples were transported in a chilled, thermally insulated cooler under chain-of-custody protocol to Entech Analytical Labs, Inc. of Sunnyvale, California, a

California Department of Health Services-certified laboratory. The groundwater samples were analyzed for TPHg using EPA Test Method 8015 modified, BTEX and methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) using EPA Test Method 8020. Groundwater sample analytical results are presented in Table 2 and shown on Plate 4. Copies of the laboratory reports and chain-of-custody documentation are presented in Appendix B.

Dissolved Oxygen Measurements

Total dissolved oxygen was measured on April 6, 2001 in wells MW-1, MW-2, TW-2, TW-6, and TW-7, at the start of the day before measuring groundwater levels and purging and sampling. The measurements were collected from each well within the middle portion of the water column using a YSI, Inc., Model 51B Dissolved Oxygen (DO) Meter. The equipment was calibrated according to the manufacturer's specifications before use. Prior to each measurement, the portion of the equipment submerged in the well was cleaned with a solution of non-phosphate detergent and de-ionized water then rinsed with de-ionized water. Total dissolved oxygen measurements through April 6, 2001 are summarized in Table 3 and are included with the well sampling documentation presented in Appendix A.

GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS

Groundwater Elevation Measurements

Depth-to-groundwater data collected from wells MW-1, MW-2, TW-2, TW-6 and TW-7 on April 6, 2001 were converted to groundwater elevations referenced to site datum. Groundwater elevations ranged from 91.83 feet in well MW-2 to 96.97 feet in well TW-2. Groundwater flow direction at the site is to the southwest, at a hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.04-foot per foot. No floating free product or hydrocarbon sheen was observed in the wells. Groundwater elevation data are presented in Table 1 and elevation contours are presented on Plate 3.

Groundwater Sample Analytical Results

The analytical results of the groundwater samples collected on April 6, 2001 are presented in Table 2 and shown on Plate 4. TPHg was detected in the samples from wells MW-1, MW-2, and TW-7 at concentrations of 32,000 μ g/L, 2,800 μ g/L, and 22,000 μ g/L, respectively. MTBE was detected in the samples from wells MW-1, MW-2 and TW-7 at concentrations of 470 μ g/L, 3,800 μ g/L and 990 μ g/L, respectively. Benzene was detected in the samples from wells MW-1, MW-2, and 4,800 μ g/L, respectively. The highest concentrations of 4,300 μ g/L, 2,600 μ g/L, and 7,300 μ g/L, and 5,000 μ g/L, and 7,300 μ g/L, and 5,000 μ g/L, and 7,300 μ g/L, and 5,000 μ g/L, and 5,000 μ g/L, and 7,300 μ g/L, and 5,000 μ g/L, angle 4,000 μ g/L, angle 5,000 μ g/L, an

respectively. Copies of the laboratory reports and chain-of-custody documentation are presented in Appendix B.

SUMMARY

Results of the April 6, 2001 groundwater elevations indicate a hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.04-foot per foot. As with historical observations, the groundwater flow direction continues to be toward the southwest.

Concentrations of TPHg and BTEX detected in the wells in April 2001 are similar to those detected in January 2000. However, significant decreases in TPHg and MTBE concentrations in wells MW-2 and TW-7 and significant increases in TPHg, MTBE and BTEX concentrations in well MW-1 were observed in samples from April 2001 compared to January 2000. The increase in concentrations of contaminants in well MW-1 may be a concentration rebound after discontinuing enhanced bioremediation in this well. The enhanced bioremediation program appeared to previously be effective in reducing hydrocarbon concentrations in groundwater from this well. No concentration rebound was noted in groundwater samples from well TW-6, the other well where enhanced biodegradation appeared to be effective during the 1999-2000 pilot study. The lack of rebound likely indicates that biodegradation of contaminants in groundwater in the immediate vicinity of well TW-6 is complete. Consistent with historical findings, the highest concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons were detected in the groundwater from wells nearest to the former gasoline UST and product piping, specifically Wells MW-1 and TW-7.

MTBE concentrations in wells MW-2 and TW-7, located downgradient and nearest to several utility trenches, have been significantly higher than in onsite wells. MTBE concentrations have been the highest in MW-2 since the start of monitoring for MTBE in January 1999. The high concentrations of MTBE detected in samples from well MW-2 are likely the result of elevated concentrations from offsite sources that are being conveyed toward the site via preferential flow as a result of utility trenches adjacent to the well. In 1993 PES performed sampling of groundwater from Wells MW-1, TW-4, TW-5, TW-6, and TW-7 for analyses by EPA Test Method 8260. No MTBE was detected in the samples at that time. Additionally, a utility location assessment was conducted by EOA in late 1995/early 1996. EOA identified numerous utility trenches and vaults along the western property boundary and within Vernon Street, Bay Place, and Harrison Street surrounding the site. EOA interviews with utility providers indicated most utility trenches are backfilled with permeable materials including gravel and sand. The depth of many of these utility trenches is sufficient to intercept shallow groundwater flow in the site vicinity. The results of the EOA utility assessment were presented in a document titled Corrective Action Plan Development Report, Phase I, Cox Cadillac, 230 Bay Place, Oakland, California, dated April 1, 1996.

In accordance with the April 6, 2001 ACEHS letter, PES will continue with quarterly groundwater monitoring. If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to call either of the undersigned.

Yours very truly,

PES ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

François Bush Project Geologist

0401

Andrew A. Briefer, P. E. Principal Engineer

| Attachments: | Table 1 | Groundwater Elevation Data Through April 6, 2001 |
|--------------|----------|---|
| | Table 2 | Groundwater Sample Analytical Results Through April 6, 2001 |
| | Table 3 | Summary of Total Dissolved Oxygen Measurements |
| | Plate 1 | Site Location Map |
| | Plate 2 | Site Plan and Well Location Map |
| | Plate 3 | Groundwater Elevation Contours on April 6, 2001 |
| | Plate 4 | Distribution of Dissolved Hydrocarbons in Groundwater - |
| | | April 6, 2001 |
| | Appendix | A Well Sampling Documentation |
| | Appendix | B Laboratory Analytical Reports and Chain of Custody |
| | | |

Documentation

cc: Ms. Cheryl Howell – Greater Bay Trust Company
 Mr. Don Huang – Alameda County Environmental Health Services
 Mr. Mark Owens – California UST Cleanup Fund

Table 1Groundwater Elevation Data Through January 11, 2000Interim Remedial ActionsFormer Cox Cadillac, 230 Bay PlaceOakland, California

| | | Top-of-Casing | | Groundwater |
|----------------|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Weil Number | Date Measured | Keterence Elevation (feet*) | (feet BTOC) | (feet*) |
| MW-1 | 1/12/99 | 100.00 | 2.79 | 97.21 |
| | 4/13/99 | 100.00 | 2.00 | 98.00 |
| | 7/7/99 | 100.00 | 2.60 | 97.40 |
| | 10/6/99 | 100.00 | 2.94 | 97.06 |
| | 1/11/00 | 100.00 | 2.69 | 97.31 |
| | 4/6/01 | 100.00 | 2.99 | 97.01 |
| MW-2 | 1/12/99 | 97.48 | 5.62 | 91.86 |
| | 4/13/99 | 97.48 | 5.30 | 92.18 |
| | 7/7/99 | 97.48 | 5.80 | 91.68 |
| | 10/6/99 | 97.48 | 5.99 | 91.49 |
| | 1/11/00 | 97.48 | 5.73 | 91.75 |
| | 4/6/01 | 97.48 | 5.65 | 91.83 |
| TW-2 | 1/12/99 | 100.43 | 1.91 | 9 8.52 |
| | 4/13/99 | 100.43 | 2.51 | 97.92 |
| | 7/7/99 | 100.43 | 1.89 | 98.54 |
| | 10/6/99 | 100.43 | 1.97 | 98.46 |
| | 1/11/00 | 100.43 | 1.79 | 98.64 |
| | 4/6/01 | 100.43 | 3.46 | 96.97 |
| TW-4 | 1/12/99 | 99.35 | NM | NA |
| | 4/13/99 | 99.35 | 1.82 | 97.53 |
| | 7/7/99 | 99.35 | 2.36 | 96.99 |
| | 10/6/99 | 99.35 | NM | NA |
| | 1/11/00 | 99.35 | 2.63 | 96.72 |
| | 4/6/01 | 99.35 | 3.97 | 95.38 |
| TW-5 | 1/12/99 | 99.40 | NM | NA |
| | 4/13/99 | 99.40 | 1.96 | 97.44 |
| | 7/7/99 | 99.40 | 3.12 | 96.28 |
| | 10/6/99 | 99.40 | NM | NA |
| | 1/11/00 | 99.40 | 1.03 | 98.37 |
| | 4/6/01 | 99.40 | 3.04 | 96.36 |
| TW-6 | 1/12/99 | 98.75 | 5.52 | 93.23 |
| | 4/13/99 | 98.75 | 4.91 | 93.84 |
| | 7/7/99 | 98.75 | 6.04 | 92.71 |
| | 10/6/99 | 98.75 | 6.64 | 92.11 |
| | 1/11/00 | 98.75 | 0.41 | 92.34 |
| | 4/0/01 | 38.15 | 4.33 | 33.82 |
| TW-7 | 1/12/99 | 97.96 | 4.81 | 93.15 |
| | 4/13/99 | 97.96 | 4.73 | 93.23 |
| | 7/7/99 | 97.96 | 5.17 | 92.79 |
| | 10/6/99 | 97.96 | 5.70 | 92.26 |
| | 1/11/00 | 97.96 | 5.42 | 92.54 |
| | 4/6/01 | 97.96 | 4.63 | 93.33 |

Notes:

* = Referenced to site datum

NA = Data not available

BTOC = Below top of casing

NM = Depth to water not measured

Table 2Groundwater Sample Analytical Results Through January 11, 2000Interim Remedial ActionsFormer Cox Cadillac, 230 Bay PlaceOakland, California

.

| | | TPH as | | | | Ethyl- | Total |
|--------|-------------|----------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Well | | Gasoline | MTBE | Benzene | Toluene | benzene | Xylenes |
| Number | Sample Date | (µg/L) | {µg/L} | (µg/L) | (µg/L) | (µg/L) | (µg/L) |
| MW-1 | 1/12/99 | 39,000 | 800 | 2,600 | 970 | 2,900 | 5,700 |
| | 4/13/99 | 29,000 | 520 | 1,500 | 500 | <50 | 4,000 |
| | 7/7/99 | 31,000 | <250* | 1,900 | 870 | 1,600 | 3,900 |
| | 10/6/99 | 32,000 | <250* | 2,100 | 910 | 1,800 | 4,400 |
| | 1/11/00 | 2,400 | <5.0* | 52 | 3.9 | 63 | 12 |
| | 4/6/01 | 32,000 | 470 | 4,300 | 3,200 | 2,600 | 7,300 |
| MW-2 | 1/12/99 | <50 | 2.900 | 1.5 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 |
| | 4/13/99 | <50 | 3,800 | 0.76 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 |
| | 7/7/99 | <2,500 | 7000* | <25 | <25 | <25 | <25 |
| | 10/6/99 | 2,800 | 300* | 73 | <25 | <25 | <25 |
| | 1/11/00 | 11,000 | 8400* | 890 | <100 | <100 | <100 |
| | 4/6/01 | 2,800 | 3,800 | 210 | <25 | <25 | <25 |
| TW-2 | 1/12/99 | < 50 | <u>~50</u> | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | <0.50 | < 0.50 |
| | 4/13/99 | < 50 | < 5.0 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 |
| | 7/7/99 | < 50 | < 5.0* | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 |
| | 10/6/99 | <50 | < 5.0 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 |
| | 1/11/00 | <50 | < 5.0 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 |
| | 4/6/01 | <50 | <5 | < 0.5 | <0.5 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 |
| TW-6 | 1/12/99 | 29.000 | 210 | 9 900 | 4 100 | 1 000 | 4 000 |
| | 4/13/99 | < 50 | 210 | 0,000 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | 0.62 |
| | 7/7/99 | 55 | 8.1* | 13 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | 22 |
| | 10/6/99 | <50 | < 5.0 | 0.59 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 |
| | 1/11/00 | < 50 | <5.0 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 | < 0.50 |
| | 4/6/01 | <50 | <5 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <0.5 |
| TW-7 | 1/12/99 | 29.000 | < 100 | 7 300 | 670 | 2 700 | 960 |
| | 4/13/99 | 54.000 | 1 200 | 4 500 | 1,800 | 180 | 8,200 |
| | 7/7/99 | 42.000 | 2200* | 8.000 | 4,500 | 1.200 | 3,500 |
| | 10/6/99 | 29,000 | 580* | 9,700 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 2,100 |
| | 1/11/00 | 52,000 | 2600* | 8,500 | 7,100 | 1,600 | 6,700 |
| | 4/6/01 | 22,000 | 990 | 4,800 | 1,800 | 2,200 | 3,400 |
| | | | | | | | |

Notes:

TPH - Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

MTBE - Methyl tert-butyl ether

 μ g/L = Micrograms per liter.

<0.50 = Not detected at or above indicated laboratory reporting limit.

Samples analyzed by EPA Method 8020 for BTEX and MTBE and by EPA Method 8015 for TPH/gas.

*MTBE confirmation by EPA Method 8260.

Table 3 Summary of Total Dissolved Oxygen Measurements Interim Remedial Actions Former Cox Cadillac, 230 Bay Place Ookland, California

| Well | Date | Time | Total Dissolved | Notes |
|--------|----------|--------|-----------------|-------|
| Number | Measured | of Dav | Oxygen (ma/L) | |
| MW-1 | 1/12/99 | 15:30 | 3.4 | (1) |
| | 3/11/99 | 15:46 | 0.72 | (1) |
| | 3/17/99 | 12:30 | 14.1 | (2) |
| | 3/17/99 | 18:13 | >15.0 | (3) |
| | 4/13/99 | 9:44 | 8.9 | (2) |
| | 6/1/99 | 14:59 | 6.2 | (2) |
| | 6/1/99 | 18:46 | > 15.0 | (3) |
| | 7/7/99 | 9:20 | 3.55 | (2) |
| | 7/7/99 | 19:38 | >18.0 | (3) |
| | 8/19/99 | 10:45 | 1.0 | (2) |
| | 8/19/99 | 18:48 | > 15.0 | (3) |
| | 10/6/99 | 10:42 | 10.3 | (2) |
| | 10/6/99 | 17:11 | > 15.0 | (3) |
| | 11/17/99 | 11:13 | 4.4 | (2) |
| | 11/17/99 | 17:34 | >15.0 | (3) |
| | 1/11/00 | NA | 4.0 | (2) |
| | 4/6/01 | 10:55 | 0.45 | (4) |
| MW-2 | 1/12/99 | 12:30 | 3 | (1) |
| | 4/13/99 | 9:17 | 0.2 | (2) |
| | 4/13/99 | 19:11 | 0.6 | (3) |
| | 7/7/99 | 8:56 | 1.03 | (2) |
| | 7/7/99 | 19:13 | 7.22 | (3) |
| | 10/6/99 | 10:10 | 1.2 | (2) |
| | 10/6/99 | 16:58 | 0.5 | (3) |
| | 1/11/00 | NA | 3,9 | (2) |
| | 4/6/01 | 10:21 | 0.69 | (4) |
| TW-2 | 1/12/99 | 15:03 | 5.5 | (1) |
| | 4/13/99 | 9:10 | 2.6 | (2) |
| | 4/13/99 | 19:06 | 5.8 | (3) |
| | 7/7/99 | 8:50 | 0.65 | (2) |
| | 7/7/99 | 19:01 | 5,14 | (3) |
| | 10/6/99 | 9:59 | 3.2 | (2) |
| | 10/6/99 | 16:48 | 2.6 | (3) |
| | 1/11/00 | NA | 4.6 | (2) |
| | 4/6/01 | 9:45 | 2.9 | (4) |
| TW-4 | 3/11/99 | 15:20 | 3.4 | (1) |
| | 3/17/99 | 12:18 | 14.4 | (2) |
| | 3/17/99 | 17:54 | 12.6 | (3) |
| | 4/13/99 | 9:00 | 12.2 | (2) |
| | 4/13/99 | 19:03 | > 15.0 | (3) |
| | 6/1/99 | 14:29 | 9.3 | (2) |
| | 6/1/99 | 18:33 | > 15.0 | (3) |
| | 7/7/99 | 9:09 | >18.0 | (2) |
| | 7/7/99 | 19:36 | > 18.0 | (3) |
| | 8/19/99 | 10:41 | 13.4 | (2) |
| | 8/19/99 | 18:27 | >15.0 | (3) |
| | 10/6/99 | 9:50 | >15.0 | (2) |
| | 10/6/99 | 16:40 | >15.0 | (3) |
| | 11/17/99 | 11:16 | 10.6 | (2) |
| | 11/17/99 | 17:35 | >15.0 | (3) |
| TW-5 | 1/12/99 | 16:40 | 1.7 | (1) |
| | 3/11/99 | 15:36 | 0.58 | (1) |
| | 3/17/99 | 12:20 | 14.3 | (2) |
| | 3/17/99 | 17:57 | 14.6 | (3) |
| | 4/13/99 | 9:39 | 3.8 | (2) |

Table 3 Summary of Total Dissolved Oxygen Measurements Interim Remedial Actions Former Cox Cadillac, 230 Bay Place Oakland, California

| Well | Date | Time | Total Dissolved | Notes |
|-------------|----------|--------|-----------------|-------|
| Number | Measured | of Day | Oxvgen (mg/L) | |
| TW-5 | 4/13/99 | 19:28 | > 15.0 | (3) |
| (continued) | 6/1/99 | 14:40 | 5.4 | (2) |
| | 6/1/99 | 18:38 | >15.0 | (3) |
| | 7/7/99 | 9:05 | 0.25 | (2) |
| | 7/7/99 | 19:32 | >18,0 | (3) |
| | 8/19/99 | 10:38 | 1.0 | (2) |
| | 8/19/99 | 18:33 | >15.0 | (3) |
| | 10/6/99 | 10:31 | 0.2 | (2) |
| | 10/6/99 | 17:08 | >15.0 | (3) |
| | 11/17/99 | 11:22 | 0.8 | (2) |
| | 11/17/99 | 17:37 | > 15.0 | (3) |
| | | | | |
| TW-6 | 1/12/99 | 15:02 | 3,9 | (1) |
| | 3/11/99 | 15:39 | 0.62 | (1) |
| | 3/17/99 | 12:23 | 14.1 | (2) |
| | 3/17/99 | 18:06 | >15.0 | (3) |
| | 4/13/99 | 9:35 | 14,2 | (2) |
| : | 4/13/99 | 19:23 | >15.0 | (3) |
| | 6/1/99 | 14:48 | 11.1 | (2) |
| | 6/1/99 | 18:40 | > 15.0 | (3) |
| | 7/7/99 | 9:00 | > 18.0 | (2) |
| | 7/7/99 | 19:21 | >18.0 | (3) |
| | 8/19/99 | 10:35 | 14.8 | (2) |
| | 8/19/99 | 18:38 | > 15.0 | (3) |
| | 10/6/99 | 10:27 | 3.8 | (2) |
| | 10/6/99 | 17:06 | >15.0 | (3) |
| | 11/17/99 | 11:24 | 1.5 | (2) |
| | 11/17/99 | 17:39 | >15.0 | (3) |
| | 1/11/00 | NA | 4.9 | (2) |
| | 4/6/01 | 10:00 | 0.78 | (4) |
| TW-7 | 1/12/99 | 13:10 | 2.7 | (1) |
| | 3/11/99 | 15:42 | 0.74 | (1) |
| | 3/17/99 | 12:25 | 6.5 | (2) |
| | 3/17/99 | 18:12 | 14 | (3) |
| | 4/13/99 | 9:25 | 0.4 | (2) |
| | 4/13/99 | 19:16 | > 15.0 | (3) |
| | 6/1/99 | 14:52 | 0.7 | (2) |
| | 6/1/99 | 18:43 | >15.0 | (3) |
| | 7/7/99 | 9:15 | 0.26 | (2) |
| | 7/7/99 | 19:26 | >18.0 | (3) |
| | 8/19/99 | 10:30 | 0.9 | (2) |
| | 8/19/99 | 18:46 | > 15.0 | (3) |
| | 10/6/99 | 10:19 | 0.5 | (2) |
| | 10/6/99 | 17:03 | > 15.0 | (3) |
| | 11/17/99 | 11:28 | 1.1 | (2) |
| | 11/17/99 | 17:40 | >15.0 | (3) |
| | 1/11/00 | NA | 5.2 | (2) |
| | 4/6/01 | 11:25 | 0.53 | (4) |
| | | | | |

Notes;

>15 = Above indicated equipment quantification maximum

(1) = Baseline measurement taken before initial introduction of enriched water

(2) = Measured prior to enriched water introduction, and water-level measurement and well purging

(3) = Measured after enriched water introduction

(4) = Measured prior to water-level measurement and well purging

mg/L = milfigrams per liter

An initial approximate 200 gallons of enriched water was introduced to wells MW-1, TW-4, TW-5,

TW-6, and TW-7 in the late afternoon of March 11 and 17, 1999 during setup, testing, and refinement

of the remediation system. March 17 measurements reflect the initial introduction of enriched water.

NA = information not evailable



JOB NUMBER

DRAWING NUMBER

REVIEWED BY

5/01

DATE





HARRISON STREET

167.0201.006 JOB NUMBER 1670200006_QTR-2001.dwg DRAWING NUNBER

REVIEWED BY

5/01 DATE

Oakland, California





Groundwater Elevation Contours on April 6, 2001 Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Former Cox Cadillac-230 Bay Place Oakland, California

PLATE

3

167.0201.006 JOB NUMBER 1670200006_QTR-2001

REVIEWED BY

FA3

6/01 DATE



i

APPENDIX A

.

WELL SAMPLING DOCUMENTATION

WELL GAUGING DATA

RECEIVED APR 1 5 2001

Project # $010406-\Delta1$ Date 4-6-01 Client PES

Site 230 Bay Place. Dakland Ca.

| | | | | | Thickness | Volume of | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|----------|---------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|------------|--|
| | | Well | | Depth to | of | Immiscibles | • • | | Survey | |
| | | Size | Sheen / | Immiscible | Immiscible | Removed | Depth to water | Depth to well | Point: TOB | ω/o |
| | Well ID | (in.) | Odor | Liquid (ft.) | Liquid (ft.) | (ml) | (ft.) | bottom (ft.) | of TOP | ORC |
| | | | | | | | 2 4 | -1 - 4 | | |
| 4 | TW-2 | 2 | | | | | 3.4(e | 1.76 | TOC | |
| | TW-9 | 2 | | | | | 3.97 | 8.63 | | |
| t. | TW-5 | 2 | | | | | 3.04 | 7.58 | | |
| • | TW-6 | 2 | | | | | 4.93 | 7.65 | - | |
| | TW-7 | 2 | | | | | 4.63 | 19.67 | | |
| | MW-1 | 2 | | | | | 4.59 | 19.81 | | 299 |
| , , | MW-2 | - 2 | | | | | 5.65 | 9.55 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | programment i transmissione |
| | | | | | | | | | | - i ve i Kinn ker de |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lummunum | | | | | | | - | | | - |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | · | | | | | | 1 | | |
| | | | · | | | | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | · · · | | | |

| WELL MONITORING DATA SHEET | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Project #: 010406- | 51 | Client: PES | | | | |
| Sampler: | | Start Date: 4 | -6-01 | | | |
| Well I.D.: MW-1 | | Well Diamete | r: 🔁 3 4 | 6 8 | | |
| Total Well Depth: 19.81 | | Depth to Wate | er: 4.59 | 29.2 | | |
| Before: After: | | Before: | | After: | | |
| Depth to Free Product: | | Thickness of I | Free Product (feet | t): | | |
| Referenced to: | Grade | D.O. Meter (if | Freq'd): | YSI) HACH | | |
| Purge Method: Bailer Disposable Batter Middleburg Electric Submersible | Waterra Peristaltic Extraction Pump Other = 7.2_ | Sampling Method Other Gals. | : Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing : ter Multiplier Well Di 0.04 4" 0.16 6" | ameter <u>Multiplier</u> 0.65 1.47 | | |
| 1 Case Volume Specified Vol | umes Calculated Vo | | 0.37 Other | radius ² * 0.163 | | |
| $\frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{30} \frac{1}{30} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{1$ | | Turbidity | Gals. Removed | Observations | | |
| 1039 60.0 1.0 | 2144 | 7200 | | | | |
| 1048 59.9 6.8 | 3/04 | > 200 | 25 | | | |
| Did well dewater? Yes | NO | Gallons actuall | y evacuated: 7. | < | | |
| Sampling Time: 1055 | | Sampling Date | : 4-6-01 | | | |
| Sample I.D.: NW-\ | | Laboratory: 🗐 | NTECH | | | |
| Analyzed for: THE GENERAL | MIBE TPH-D | Other: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| Equipment Blank I.D.: Time Duplicate I.D.: | | | | | | |
| Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-D Other: | | | | | | |
| D.O. (if req'd): | Pre-purge: | 45 ^{mg} / _L | Post-purge: | ^{mg} /L | | |
| | 2 | 77 | | | | |

| ····· | WELL MONT | FORING DAT. | A SHEET | | |
|--|--|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Project #: 010406 - | Δ1 | Client: PES | | | |
| Sampler: | • | Start Date: 4 | -6-01 | | |
| Well I.D.: MW-2 | | Well Diamete | r: (2) 3 4 | 6 8 | |
| Total Well Depth: 9.5 | S | Depth to Wate | er: 5,65 | | |
| Before: After: | | Before: | | After: | |
| Depth to Free Product: | | Thickness of I | Free Product (fe | eet): | |
| Referenced to: PVC | Grade | D.O. Meter (if | req'd): | YSI HACH | |
| Purge Method: Bailer Disposable Bailer Middleburg Electric Submersible | Waterra Peristaltic Extraction Pump Other | Sampling Method | Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing | > | |
| (Gals.) X 3 1 Case Volume Specified Vo | umes Calculated Ve | Gals. | 0.04 4" 0.16 6" 0.37 Oth | <u>Diameter Multiplier</u> 0.65 1.47 er radius ² * 0.163 | |
| Time Temp (°F) pH | Cond. | Turbidity | Gals. Removed | Observations | |
| 1013 60.8 6.6 | - 2833 | 98 | 5- | | |
| 1014 Lel.7 6.6 | 2857 | 181 | 1.5 | | |
| 1016 61.8 6.6 | , 2861 | 183 | S | | |
| Did well deweter? | | | | | |
| Sompling Times | | Gallons actuall | y evacuated: | 2 | |
| Sampling Time: 102 | <u> </u> | Sampling Date: | : 4-6-01 | | |
| Sample I.D.: MW-7 | 2 | Laboratory: 🚝 | NTECH | | |
| Analyzed for: Teh-G BTEX | MTBE TPH-D | Other: | | | |
| Equipment Blank I.D.: Time Duplicate I.D.: | | | | | |
| Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX | MTBE TPH-D | Other: | | | |
| D.O. (if req'd): | Pre-purge: | .69 ^{mg} / _L | Post-purge: | ^{mg} /L | |
| ORP (if req'd): | ^D re-purge: | mV | Post-purge: | mV | |

| ····· | | V | VELL MONIT | ORING DATA | A SHEET | | |
|---------------------|--|---------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Project #: 010406-1 | | | | Client: PES | | | |
| Sampler: | | | Start Date: 4 | -6-01 | | | |
| Well I.D | : TW | -2 | | Well Diameter | r: (2) 3 4 | 68 | |
| Total We | ell Depth: | 7.76 | | Depth to Wate | r: <u>3</u> 46 | | |
| Before: | | After: | | Before: | | After: | |
| Depth to | Free Produ | uct: | | Thickness of F | Free Product (fe | et): | |
| Referenc | ed to: | PVC | Grade | D.O. Meter (if | req'd): | YSI HACH | |
| Purge Meth | od: Bailer Disposable B Middleburg Electric Subn | ailei | Waterra Peristaltic Extraction Pump Other | Sampling Method: Other: | Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing | Diameter Multiplier | |
| 1 Case Volum | _(Gals.) X neSp | <u>ج</u> ecified Volun | $= \frac{/.8}{\text{Calculated Vo}}$ | Gals. Jume | 0.04 4* 0.16 6" 0.37 Othe | 0.65 1.47 er radius ² * 0.163 | |
| Time | Temp (°F) | pН | Cond. | Turbidity | Gals. Removed | Observations | |
| 936 | 61.0 | 6.5 | 393/ | 104 | 5- | | |
| 937 | 61.7 | 6.6 | 4531 | 158 | 1 | | |
| 939 | 61.9 | 6.6 | 4504 | 199 | 2 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Did well | dewater? | Ves | No | Gallons actual | v avaavatad: | | |
| Sampling | Time: | 940 | | Sampling Data | $\frac{1}{2} \int d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d $ | | |
| Sample I | | //0 | | | - 4-6-01 | | |
| Analyzed | for: 2011 | TW-2 | MITTE | | NIUCH | | |
| Fauinmar | $\frac{101. \text{ NCH}}{101. \text{ NCH}}$ | | @ IPH-D | | | | |
| Analvzed | for TDU | ע | | Other: | | | |
| DO (if r | | | Dra murch | mg/ | D + | mg, | |
| | ~ <u>~</u> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | | Dro runge: | <u>2.9</u> 't | Post-purge: | /L | |
| <u> </u> | - <u>-</u> | | -re-purge: | mv | Post-purge: | In V | |

| WELL M | IONITORING DAT | A SHEET | | | | |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Project #: 010406-21 | Client: PE | Client: PES | | | | |
| Sampler: | Start Date: 4 | 6-01 | | | | |
| Well I.D.: TW - 6 | Well Diamete | er: 🖸 3 4 | 6 8 | | | |
| Total Well Depth: 7.65 | Depth to Wat | er: 4.97 | | | | |
| Before: After: | Before: | | After: | | | |
| Depth to Free Product: | Thickness of | Free Product (fe | et): | | | |
| Referenced to: PVC Gra | de D.O. Meter (i | freq'd): | YSI HACH | | | |
| Purge Method: Bailer Waterra Disposable Bailer Peristaltic Middleburg Extraction Electric Submersible Other | Sampling Method Pump Othe Gals. | d: Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing r: eter Multiplier Well 0.04 4" 0.16 6" | Diameter Multiplier 0.65 1.47 | | | |
| I Case Volume Specified Volumes Calculation Time Temp (°F) pH Con | <u>ilated Volume</u> | Gals Removed | Observations | | | |
| 952 595 84 347 | > 200 | S S | | | | |
| 953 60.4 8.2 312 | > > 200 | , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| 9:4 60.5 8.1 300 | >200 | 1.5 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Did well dewater? Yes No | Gallons actual | lly evacuated: | 1.5 | | | |
| Sampling Time: 1000 | Sampling Date | e: 4-6-01 | | | | |
| Sample I.D.: TW-6 | Laboratory: 🗧 | Laboratory: ENTECH | | | | |
| Analyzed for: 194-G BTEX MIBE T | PH-D Other: | | - | | | |
| Equipment Blank I.D.: @ | Equipment Blank I.D.: Time Duplicate I.D.: | | | | | |
| Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-D Other: | | | | | | |
| D.O. (if req'd): | -purge: ,78 mg/L | Post-purge: | mg/L | | | |
| ORP (if req'd): | -purge: mV | Post-purge: | mV | | | |

| Project #: $010406 - \Delta1$ Client: \mathcal{PES} Sampler: Δ Start Date: $4 - (6 - 0)$ Well I.D: $TW - \gamma$ Well Diameter: $2)$ 4 6 8 Total Well Depth: 19.67 Depth to Water: 7.62 Before: After: Depth to Free Product: Thickness of Free Product (feet): Referenced to: \mathcal{PC} Grade D.0. Meter (if req'd): $Y3D$ HACH Purge Method: Bailer Vacorra Depticated Tubing Dedicated Tubing Dedicated Tubing Vacorra Peristilic Extraction Fort Extraction Fort 046 6° 147 1 Case Volume Specified Volumes Calculated Volume 006° 147 0.63 1 Case Volume Specified Volumes Calculated Volume 006° 147 0.46° | | | V | VELL MONIT | ORING DAT. | A SHEET | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|--------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Start Date: $4 - 6 - 01$ Well I.D.: Twite Well Diameter: 2) 3 4 6 8 Total Well Depth: 19.67 Depth to Water: 9.62 Before: After: Before: After: Depth to Water: 9.62 Before: After: Before: After: Depth to Water: 9.62 Before: After: Before: After: Depth to Water: 9.62 Purge Method: Extraction Fort Bailer Waterra Dedicated Tubing Middleburg Extraction Pump Dedicated Tubing 0door 0door I Case Volume Specified Volumes' Calculated Volumes' 0door 0door I Case Volume Specified Volumes' Calculated Volumes' 0door 0door 0door I Case Volume Specified Volumes' Calculated Volumes' 0door 0door 0door I Case Volume Specified Volumes' Calculated Volumes' 0door 0door 0door I Case Volume Gallons actually evacuated: 7.5 0door 0dooo 0doo <td< td=""><td>Project #</td><td>4: 010 d</td><td>-010-A</td><td>1</td><td>Client: PE</td><td>5</td><td></td></td<> | Project # | 4: 010 d | -010-A | 1 | Client: PE | 5 | | | | | |
| Well I.D.: Twl - 7 Well Diameter: \bigcirc 3 4 6 8 Total Well Depth: 19.67 Depth to Water: 7 .63 6 8 Before: After: Before: After: Depth to Water: 7 .63 Depth to Free Product: Thickness of Free Product (feet): Referenced to: 6 5 6 Purge Method: Bailer Watorra Bailer National Port 7 7 7 Purge Method: Bailer Vatorra Peristalitic Bailer 10 < | Sampler | : 0 | | - | Start Date: 4 | -6-01 | | | | | |
| Total Well Depth: 19.67 Depth to Water: 7.63 Before: After: Before: After: Depth to Free Product: Thickness of Free Product (feet): Referenced to: GYC Grade D.O. Meter (if req'd): YSD HACH Purge Method: Bailer Waterra Digptable Bailer HACH Purge Method: Bailer Waterra Digptable Bailer Digptable Bailer Middleburg Extraction Pourp Dedicated Tubing Extraction Fort Electric Submersible Other Other Other: 0.64 4.63 1 Case Volume Specified Volumes "Z.2.0 Gats 0.16 6.147 0.37 mdm ²⁺ 0.163 1 Case Volume Time Temp (°F) pH Cond. Turbidity Gals. Removed Obscrvations 1 D OP 6.9 9.66 7.2ω 2.5 0.66 1.47 1 1 0 4 7.7 0.7 8.66 7.260 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 | Well I.D | Tr | 1-7. | | Well Diameter: (2) 3 4 6 8 | | | | | | |
| Before: After: Before: After: Depth to Free Product: Thickness of Free Product (feet): Referenced to: PVC Grade D.O. Meter (if req'd): VST HACH Purge Method: Bailer Sampling Method: Bailer Bailer Disposable Bailer Purge Method: Waterra Peristaltic Extraction Purop Dedicated Tubing Electric Submersible Other Other Other 0.04 4* 0.65 1 Case Volume Specified Volumes Calculated Volume Null Diameter Multiplice Wetline 0.04 4* 0.65 1 Case Volume Specified Volumes Calculated Volume 0 0.16 6* 1.63 1 Deg 6.0.9 $S.5.6$ 7.2ω $Z.5$ 0.66 1.63 1 Deg 6.9 $S.66$ 7.2ω $Z.5$ 0.66 1.63 1 Deg 6.9 $S.66$ 7.2ω $Z.5$ 0.66 1.63 1 Deg 6.9 $S.66$ 7.2ω $Z.5$ 0.66 1.63 1 Did well dew | Total We | ell Depth: | 19.67 | | Depth to Water: 9.63 | | | | | | |
| Depth to Free Product:Thickness of Free Product (feet):Referenced to: \overrightarrow{PC} GradeD.O. Meter (if req'd): \overrightarrow{YS} Purge Method:Sampling Method:BailerBailerWaterraDeformable BailerQisposable BailerPeristalticExtraction PortMiddleburgExtraction PumpDedicated TubingElectric SubmersibleOtherOtherI Case Volume $\overrightarrow{Specified Volumes}$ $\overrightarrow{Calculated Volume}$ $\overrightarrow{Well Diameter Multipliter Well Diameter MultipliterI Case Volume\overrightarrow{Specified Volumes}\overrightarrow{Calculated Volume}\overrightarrow{Well Diameter Multipliter Well Diameter MultipliterI Case Volume\overrightarrow{Specified Volumes}\overrightarrow{Calculated Volume}\overrightarrow{Well Diameter Multipliter Well Diameter MultipliterI Case Volume\overrightarrow{Specified Volumes}\overrightarrow{Calculated Volume}\overrightarrow{Well Diameter Multipliter Well Diameter Multipliter11 O 9\emph{Gals}\emph{Specified Volumes}\overrightarrow{Calculated Volume}\overrightarrow{Well Diameter Multipliter Well Diameter Multipliter11 O 9\emph{Gals}\emph{Specified Volumes}\overleftarrow{Calculated Volume}\overrightarrow{Valcores}11 O 9\emph{Gals}\emph{Specified Volumes}\emph{Calculated Volume}\emph{Calculated Volume}11 O 9Gals$ | Before: | | After: | | Before: | | After: | | | | |
| Referenced to: \underline{PV} GradeD.O. Meter (if $req'd$): \underline{VS} HACHPurge Method:Sampling Method:BailerBailerDisposable BailerDisposable BailerDisposable BailerPurge Method:BailerPeristalticExtraction PumpDedicated TubingDedicated TubingMiddleburgExtraction PumpDedicated TubingDedicated TubingElectric SubmersibleOtherOther $Uspleter$ 0.041 Case VolumeSpecified VolumesCalculated Volumes 0.04 4"0.651 Case VolumeSpecified VolumesCalculated Volumes 0.16 6"1.411 Case VolumeTimeTemp (F)pHCond.TurbidityGals. RemovedObservations1 D 9 60.9 6.9 8.56 7.2ω $2.5'$ 0.66 1.411 D 9 60.9 6.9 8.52 2.60 5^- 1.411 1 4 61.7 6.8 8.22 2.60 5^- 1 1 9 $6/.9$ 6.8 8.22 2.20 7.5^- 1 0 9 6.8 8.22 2.20 7.5^- 1 1 9 $6/.9$ 6.8 8.22 2.20 7.5^- 1 0 9 1.25 Sampling Date: $4-6-01$ $4-6-01$ 1 1 9 1.25 Sampling Date: $4-6-01$ $4.6-0.1$ 1 2 5Sampling Date: $4-6-0.1$ $5^ 1.53$ 1 3 4 5 5 5 $5.53^{mg/L}$ Post-purge: mg/L 1 4 5 5 5 3^{mg/L} $0.53^{mg/L}$ $0.$ | Depth to | Free Produ | uct: | | Thickness of H | Free Product (fe | et): | | | | |
| Purge Method: Sampling Method: Bailer Bailer Waterra Desposable Bailer Peristaltic Extraction Pump Dedicated Tubing Electric Submersible Other Dedicated Tubing I Case Volume Specified Volumes Calculated Volume Multiplier Well Diamage Multiplier I Case Volume Specified Volumes Calculated Volume Other 0.16 4 0.65 I Case Volume Specified Volumes Calculated Volume Other 0.16 4 0.65 I Case Volume Specified Volumes Calculated Volume Other 0.16 6 1.41 I D 9 6.0.9 8.72 2 cov 2 st 0 c6 1.41 I D 9 6.9 8.72 2 cov 2 st 0 c6 1.114 I D 9 6.9 8.72 2 cov 2 st 0 c6 1.119 I D 9 6.9 8.72 2 cov 2 st 0 c6 1.114 I D 9 6.8 8.72 2 cov 7 st 5 Sampling Time: II 2 st | Referenc | ed to: | PVC | Grade | D.O. Meter (if | req'd): (| YSI HACH | | | | |
| $\frac{2.4}{1 \text{ Case Volume}} (\text{Gals.}) X \underbrace{3}_{\text{Specified Volumes}} = \underbrace{Z.2}_{\text{Calculated Volume}} (\text{Gals.}) X \underbrace{3}_{\text{Specified Volumes}} = \underbrace{Z.2}_{\text{Calculated Volume}} (\text{Gals.}) X \underbrace{3}_{\text{Specified Volumes}} = \underbrace{Z.2}_{\text{Calculated Volume}} (\text{Gals.}) X \underbrace{4^{\circ}}_{\text{S}} (\text{Gals.}) X \underbrace{3^{\circ}}_{\text{Specified Volumes}} = \underbrace{Z.2}_{\text{Calculated Volume}} (\text{Gals.}) X \underbrace{4^{\circ}}_{\text{S}} (\text{Gals.}) X \underbrace{4^{\circ}}_{\text{S}} (\text{Gals.}) X \underbrace{3^{\circ}}_{\text{S}} (\text{Gals.}) X \underbrace{3^{\circ}}_{\text{S}} (\text{Gals.}) X \underbrace{4^{\circ}}_{\text{S}} (\text{Gals.}) X \underbrace{5^{\circ}}_{\text{S}} (\text{Gals.}) $ | Purge Meth | nod: Bailer Disposable B Middleburg Electric Subm | ailer | Waterra Peristaltic Extraction Pump Other | Sampling Method: Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing Other: | | | | | | |
| TimeTemp (°F)pHCond.TurbidityGals. RemovedObservations $1 09 $ $60.9 $ $6.9 $ $f.36 $ $72w$ $2.5'$ 0.6_{0-1} $1 14 $ $61.7 $ $6.8 $ $8.66 $ $72w$ $2.5'$ 0.6_{0-1} $1 19 $ $61.9 $ $6.8 $ $8.22 $ $72w$ $2.5'$ 0.6_{0-1} $1 19 $ $61.9 $ $6.8 $ $8.22 $ $72w$ $7.5'$ 0.6_{0-1} $1 19 $ $61.9 $ $6.8 $ $8.22 $ $72w$ $7.5'$ 0.6_{0-1} $1 19 $ $61.9 $ $6.8 $ $8.22 $ $72w$ $7.5'$ 0.6_{0-1} Did well dewater?Yes N_0 Gallons actually evacuated: $7.5'$ Sampling Time: $1125'$ Sampling Date: $4-6-01$ Sample I.D.: $TW - 7'$ Laboratory: $ENTECtH$ Analyzed for: $EHG_EEEEX_MTEE_TPH-D$ Other:Equipment Blank I.D.: $@$ TimeDuplicate I.D.:Analyzed for:TPH-GBTEXMTBETPH-DD.O. (if req'd): $Pre-purge:$ $.53^{-10}/L$ Post-purge: mg/L ORP (if req'd): $Pre-purge:$ mVPost-purge: mg/L | Z.4 1 Case Volui | (Gals.) X neSp | S ecified Volun | $\frac{2.2}{\text{Calculated Vc}}$ | Gals. | e <u>r Multiplier Well</u> 0.04 4* 0.16 6* 0.37 Oth | Diameter <u>Multiplier</u> 0.65 1.47 er radius ² * 0.163 | | | | |
| 1109 60.8 6.9 $f36$ 7200 2.5 0.66 1114 61.7 6.8 866 7200 5 1119 61.9 6.8 8.22 7200 7.5^{-1} 1119 61.9 6.8 8.22 7200 7.5^{-1} 1119 61.9 6.8 8.22 7200 7.5^{-1} 1119 61.9 6.8 8.22 7200 7.5^{-1} 1119 61.9 6.8 8.22 7200 7.5^{-1} 1119 61.9 6.8 8.22 7200 7.5^{-1} 1119 61.9 6.8 8.22 7200 7.5^{-1} 1119 61.9 6.8 8.22 7200 7.5^{-1} 1119 61.9 6.8 8.22 7200 7.5^{-1} 1119 61.9 8.22 8.22 7200 7.5^{-1} 1119 61.9 8.22 8.22 7200 7.5^{-1} 1119 61.9 8.22 8.22 8.22 7200 7.5^{-1} 1119 12.5 $Sampling Date: 4-6-01$ $4.6-01$ 12.5^{-1} 12.5^{-1} 1119 112.5^{-1} 112.5^{-1} 112.5^{-1} 112.5^{-1} 112.5^{-1} 1119 112.5^{-1} 112.5^{-1} 112.5^{-1} 112.5^{-1} 112.5^{-1} 1120 112.5^{-1} 112.5^{-1} 112.5^{-1} 112.5^{-1} 112.5^{-1} 1120 112.5^{-1} 112.5^{-1} 112.5^{-1 | Time | Temp (°F) | pH | Cond. | Turbidity | Gals. Removed | Observations | | | | |
| 1.114 6.7 6.8 8.66 7.200 5^{-1} 1119 6.9 6.8 8.22 7.30 7.5^{-1} 1119 6.9 6.8 8.22 7.30 7.5^{-1} 1119 6.9 6.8 8.22 7.30 7.5^{-1} 1119 6.9 6.8 8.22 7.30 7.5^{-1} 1119 6.9 6.8 8.22 7.30 7.5^{-1} 1119 6.9 6.8 8.22 7.30 7.5^{-1} 1119 6.9 6.8 8.22 7.5^{-1} 1119 6.9 6.8 8.22 7.5^{-1} 1119 6.9 6.8 8.22 7.5^{-1} 1119 6.8 8.22 7.5^{-1} 7.5^{-1} 1119 6.8 8.22 8.22 7.5^{-1} 1119 6.8 8.22 8.22 7.5^{-1} 1119 1125 $Sampling Date: 4-6-01$ 1119 1125 $Sampling Date: 4-6-01$ 1119 1125 $Sampling Date: 4-6-01$ 1119 1125 1125 1119 1125 1125 1119 1125 1125 1119 1125 1125 1119 1125 1125 1119 1125 1125 1119 1125 1125 1119 1125 1125 1119 1125 1125 1119 1125 1125 1119 1125 1125 | 1109 | 60-8 | 6.9 | 836 | 7 200 | 25 | 000- | | | | |
| $ll19$ $6/.9$ 6.8 8.22 7.36 Did well dewater?YesNoGallons actually evacuated: 7.56 Sampling Time: 1125 Sampling Date: $4-6-01$ Sample I.D.:TW - 7Laboratory: $E A TECH$ Analyzed for:TEHG RETEX ATEBTPH-DOther:Equipment Blank I.D.:@TumeDuplicate I.D.:Analyzed for:TPH-GBTEXMTBETPH-DORP (if req'd):Pre-purge: $.53^{mg/L}$ Post-purge: mg/L | 1.114 | 61.7 | 6.8 | 866 | 7200 | 5 | | | | | |
| Did well dewater?YesNoGallons actually evacuated:7.5Sampling Time: 125 Sampling Date: $4-6-01$ Sample I.D.: $TW - 7$ Laboratory: $E \wedge TEC+1$ Analyzed for: $TW - 7$ Laboratory: $E \wedge TEC+1$ Analyzed for: $TW - 7$ Duplicate I.D.:Equipment Blank I.D.: $@$ TumeDuplicate I.D.:Analyzed for:TPH-GBTEXMTBEDO. (if req'd): $Pre-purge:$ $.53^{mg/L}$ Post-purge:ORP (if req'd): $Pre-purge:$ mVPost-purge: | 1119 | 61.9 | 6.8 | 822 | 7200 | 7.5- | | | | | |
| Did well dewater? YesNoGallons actually evacuated: 7.5^{-1} Sampling Time: 1125 Sampling Date: $4-6-01$ Sample I.D.: $TW - 7$ Laboratory: $ENTECH$ Analyzed for: $TPH-G$ ETEX MTBETPH-DEquipment Blank I.D.: $@$ Duplicate I.D.:Analyzed for:TPH-G BTEX MTBETPH-DOther: $@$ $Other:$ D.O. (if req'd): $Pre-purge:$ $S3^{mg/L}$ Post-purge: $Pre-purge:$ mV Post-purge: mV | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sampling Time: 1125 Sampling Date: $4-6-01$ Sample I.D.: $TW - 7$ Laboratory: $EATECH$ Analyzed for: $FH-G$ KTEX MTBE TPH-DOther:Equipment Blank I.D.: $@$ TimeDuplicate I.D.:Analyzed for:TPH-GBTEXMTBETPH-DOther:D.O. (if req'd): $Pre-purge:$ $S3^{mg}/L$ Post-purge: mg/L ORP (if req'd): $Pre-purge:$ mV Post-purge: mV | Did well | dewater? | Yes (| No | Gallons actuall | y evacuated: | 7.5 | | | | |
| Sample I.D.: TW-7 Laboratory: ENTECH Analyzed for: NTEE TPH-D Other: Equipment Blank I.D.: @ Duplicate I.D.: Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-D Other: Other: ORP (if req'd): Pre-purge: Pre-purge: ORP (if req'd): Pre-purge: | Sampling | ;Time: \ | 125 | | Sampling Date | : 4-6-01 | | | | | |
| Analyzed for: TH-G RIEX MIBE TPH-D Other: Equipment Blank I.D.: Image: Duplicate I.D.: Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MIBE TPH-D Other: D.O. (if req'd): Pre-purge: - 53 ^{mg} /L Post-purge: mg/L ORP (if req'd): Pre-purge: mV Post-purge: mg/L | Sample I. | D.: TU | D-7 | | Laboratory: ENTECH | | | | | | |
| Equipment Blank I.D.: Image: Time Duplicate I.D.: Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-D Other: D.O. (if req'd): Pre-purge: • 53 mg/L Post-purge: mg/L ORP (if req'd): Pre-purge: mV Post-purge: mg/L | Analyzed | for: THE | G RTEX | MTBE, TPH-D | Other: | | | | | | |
| Analyzed for:TPH-GBTEXMTBETPH-DOther:D.O. (if req'd):Pre-purge:• 5 3 mg/LPost-purge:mg/LORP (if req'd):Pre-purge:mVPost-purge:mg/L | Equipmer | nt Blank I.I | D.: | @ Time | Duplicate I.D.: | | ······································ | | | | |
| D.O. (if req'd): ORP (if req'd): $Pre-purge:$ $S3^{mg}/L$ Post-purge: mV Post- | Analyzed | for: TPH-0 | G BTEX | MTBE TPH-D | Other: | | | | | | |
| ORP (if req'd): Pre-purge: mV Post-purge m | D.O. (if r | eq'd): | | Pre-purge: | • 53 ^{mg/L} | Post-purge: | mg/L | | | | |
| I ost huge. | ORP (if r | eq'd): | | ^{.o} re-purge: | mV | Post-purge: | mV | | | | |

the same a

APPENDIX B

ļ

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS AND CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY DOCUMENTATION

Entech Analytical Labs, Inc. RECEIVED APR 2 5 2001

3334 Victor Court • Santa Clara, CA 95054 • (408) 588-0200 • Fax (408) 588-0201

April 18, 2001

Andy Briefer PES Environmental, Inc. 1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100 Novato, CA 94947

| Order: | 25142 | Date Collected: | 4/6/01 |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Project Name: | | Date Received: | 4/9/01 |
| Project Number: | BTS# 010406-A1 | P.O. Number: | BTS# 010406-A1 |
| Project Notes: | | | |

On April 09, 2001, samples were received under documentented chain of custody. Results for the following analyses are attached:

<u>Matrix</u> <u>Test</u> Liquid Gas/BTEX/MTBE

MTBE by EPA 8260B

Method EPA 8015 MOD. (Purgeable) EPA 8020 EPA 8260B

Chemical analysis of these samples has been completed. Summaries of the data are contained on the following pages. USEPA protocols for sample storage and preservation were followed.

Entech Analytical Labs, Inc. is certified by the State of California (#2346). If you have any questions regarding procedures or results, please call me at 408-588-0200.

Sincerely,

Michelle L. Anderson Lab Director

Environmental Analysis Since 1983

3334 Victor Court • Santa Clara, CA 95054 • (408) 588-0200 • Fax (408) 588-0201

PES Environmental, Inc. 1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100 Novato, CA 94947 Attn: Andy Briefer Date: 4/18/01 Date Received: 4/9/01 Project Name: Project Number: BTS# 010406-A1 P.O. Number: BTS# 010406-A1 Sampled By: Client

Certified Analytical Report

| Order ID: 251 | 42 | Lab Sa | mple II |): 2514 | 2-001 | | Client Sam | ple ID: M | W-1 | |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------|----------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| Sample Time: 10: | 55 AM | Sam | ple Dat | e: 4/6/0 | 1 | | 1 | Matrix: Li | quid | |
| Parameter | Result | Flag | DF | PQL | DLR | Units | Extraction Date | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method |
| Benzene | 4300 | | 50 | 0.5 | 25 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 |
| Toluene | 3200 | | 50 | 0.5 | 25 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 2600 | | 50 | 0.5 | 25 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 |
| Xylenes, Total | 7300 | | 50 | 0.5 | 25 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 |
| | | | | | Surroga | ate Surr | | ogate Recover | y Contr | trol Limits (%) |
| | | | | aa | a-Trifluoro | toluene | | 93 | 65 | - 135 |
| Parameter | Result | Flag | DF | PQL | DLR | Units | Extraction Date | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method |
| Methyl-t-butyl Ether | 470 | | 50 | 5 | 250 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 |
| | | | | | Surroga | ıte | Surrogate Recovery | | ry Contr | ol Limits (%) |
| | | | | aa | a-Trifluoro | toluene | | 93 | 65 | - 135 |
| Parameter | Result | Flag | DF | PQL | ÐLR | Units | Extraction Date | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method |
| TPH as Gasoline | 32000 | | 50 | 50 | 2500 | μ g /L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8015 MOD. (Purgeable) |
| | | | | | Surroga | ite | Surr | ogate Recovei | ry Contr | ol Limits (%) |
| | | | | aa | a-Trifluoro | toluene | | 85 | 65 | - 135 |

DF = Dilution Factor

ND = Not Detected

DLR = Detection Limit Reported

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

Analysis performed by Entech Analytical Labs, Inc. (CA ELAP #2346)

Michelle L. Anderson, Laboratory Director

3334 Victor Court • Santa Clara, CA 95054 • (408) 588-0200 • Fax (408) 588-0201

PES Environmental, Inc. 1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100 Novato, CA 94947 Attn: Andy Briefer Date: 4/18/01 Date Received: 4/9/01 Project Name: Project Number: BTS# 010406-A1 P.O. Number: BTS# 010406-A1 Sampled By: Client

| | | | Certif | ied An | alytica | l Repo | rt | - | | | | |
|----------------------|----------|---------------------|---------|----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Order ID: | 25142 | Lab Sa | mple II |): 2514 | 2-002 | | Client Sam | ple ID: MV | V-2 | | | |
| Sample Time: | 10:21 AM | Sample Date: 4/6/01 | | | | | Matrix: Liquid | | | | | |
| Parameter | Result | Fiag | DF | PQL | DLR | Units | Extraction Date | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method | | |
| Benzene | 210 | | 50 | 0.5 | 25 | μg/L | N/A | 4/16/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 | | |
| Toluene | ND | | 50 | 0.5 | 25 | μg/L | N/A | 4/16/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 | | |
| Ethyl Benzene | ND | | 50 | 0.5 | 25 | μ g/L | N/A | 4/16/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 | | |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | | 50 | 0.5 | 25 | μg/L | N/A | 4/16/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 | | |
| | | | | | Surroga | ate | Surr | ogate Recovery C | | ol Limits (%) | | |
| | | | | aa | a-Trifluoro | toluene | | 98 | 65 | 5 - 135 | | |
| Parameter | Result | Flag | DF | PQL | DLR | Units | Extraction Date | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method | | |
| Methyl-t-butyl Ether | 3800 | | 50 | 5 | 250 | μg/L | N/A | 4/16/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 | | |
| | | | | | Surroga | ate | Surr | ogate Recovery | . Contr | ol Limits (%) | | |
| | | | | aa | a-Trifluoro | toluene | | 98 | 65 | 5 - 135 | | |
| Parameter | Result | Flag | DF | PQL | DLR | Units | Extraction Date | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method | | |
| TPH as Gasoline | 2800 | x | 50 | 50 | 2500 | μg/L | N/A | 4/16/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8015 MOD. (Purgeable) | | |
| | | | | | Surroga | ite | Surro | ogate Recovery | Contr | ol Limits (%) | | |
| | | | | 223 | a-Trifluoro | toluene | | 101 | 65 | 5 - 135 | | |

DF = Dilution Factor

ND = Not Detected

DLR = Detection Limit Reported

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

Analysis performed by Entech Analytical Labs, Inc. (CA ELAP #2346)

Michelle L. Anderson, Laboratory Director

3334 Victor Court • Santa Clara, CA 95054 • (408) 588-0200 • Fax (408) 588-0201

PES Environmental, Inc. 1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100 Novato, CA 94947 Attn: Andy Briefer Date: 4/18/01 Date Received: 4/9/01 Project Name: Project Number: BTS# 010406-A1 P.O. Number: BTS# 010406-A1 Sampled By: Client Certified Analytical Report

| Order ID: 25142 | 2 | Lab Sa | mple II | D: 2514 | 2-003 | | Client Sam | ple ID: T | N-2 | |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Sample Time: 9:45 | AM | Sam | ple Dat | e: 4/6/0 | 1 | |] | Matrix: Li | quid | |
| Parameter | Result | Flag | DF | PQL | DLR | Units | Extraction Date | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method |
| Benzene | ND | | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 |
| Toluene | ND | | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 |
| Ethyl Benzene | ND | | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | | i | 0.5 | 0.5 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 |
| | | | | | Surroga | ıte | Surr | ogate Recover | y Control Limits (%) | |
| | | | | aa | a-Trifluoro | toluene | | 102 | 65 | 5 - 135 |
| Parameter | Result | Flag | DF | PQL | DLR | Units | Extraction Date | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method |
| Methyl-t-butyl Ether | NÐ | | 1 | 5 | 5 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 |
| | | | | | Surroga | te Surrogate Reco | | ogate Recover | ry Control Limits (%) | |
| | | | | aa: | a-Trifluoro | toluene | | 102 | 65 | 5 - 135 |
| Parameter | Result | Flag | DF | PQL | DLR | Units | Extraction Date | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method |
| TPH as Gasoline | ND | | 1 | 50 | 50 | μ g /L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8015 MOD. (Purgeable) |
| | | | | | Surroga | ite | Sarr | ogate Recover | ry Contr | ol Limits (%) |
| | | | | aa | a-Trifluoro | toluene | | 104 | 65 | i - 135 |

DF = Dilution Factor ND =

ND = Not Detected

DLR = Detection Limit Reported

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

Analysis performed by Entech Analytical Labs, Inc. (CA ELAP #2346)

Michelle L. Anderson, Laboratory Director

Environmental Analysis Since 1983

3334 Victor Court • Santa Clara, CA 95054 • (408) 588-0200 • Fax (408) 588-0201

PES Environmental, Inc. 1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100 Novato, CA 94947 Attn: Andy Briefer Date: 4/18/01 Date Received: 4/9/01 Project Name: Project Number: BTS# 010406-A1 P.O. Number: BTS# 010406-A1 Sampled By: Client

| | | | Certif | ied An | alytica | l Repo | rt | - | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|---------------------|--------|----------------|-------------|----------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Order ID: 2 | 5142 | Lab Sa | mple I | D: 2514 | 2-004 | | Client Sam | ple ID: TW | 7-6 | | | |
| Sample Time: 1 | 0:00 AM | Sample Date: 4/6/01 | | | | | Matrix: Liquid | | | | | |
| Parameter | Result | Flag | DF | PQL | DLR | Units | Extraction Date | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method | | |
| Benzene | ND | | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 | | |
| Toluene | ND | | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 | | |
| Ethyl Benzene | ND | | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 | | |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 | | |
| | | | | | Surrog | ate | Surr | ogate Recovery | 7 Contr | ol Limits (%) | | |
| | | | | aa | a-Trifluoro | otoluene | | 98 | 65 | 5 - 135 | | |
| Parameter | Result | Flag | DF | PQL | DLR | Units | Extraction Date | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method | | |
| Methyl-t-butyl Ether | ND | | 1 | 5 | 5 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 | | |
| | | | | | Surrog | ate | Surr | ogate Recovery | Z Contr | ol Limits (%) | | |
| | | | | aa | a-Trifluoro | otoluene | | 98 | 65 | 5 - 135 | | |
| Parameter | Result | Flag | DF | PQL | DLR | Units | Extraction Date | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method | | |
| TPH as Gasoline | ND | | 1 | 50 | 50 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8015 MOD. (Purgeable) | | |
| | | | | | Surrog | ate | Surr | ogate Recovery | / Contr | ol Limits (%) | | |
| | | | | aa | a-Trifluoro | otoluene | | 100 | 65 | 5 - 135 | | |

DF = Dilution Factor

ND = Not Detected

DLR = Detection Limit Reported

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

Analysis performed by Entech Analytical Labs, Inc. (CA ELAP #2346)

Michelle L. Anderson, Laboratory Director

3334 Victor Court • Santa Clara, CA 95054 • (408) 588-0200 • Fax (408) 588-0201

PES Environmental, Inc. 1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100 Novato, CA 94947 Attn: Andy Briefer Date: 4/18/01 Date Received: 4/9/01 Project Name: Project Number: BTS# 010406-A1 P.O. Number: BTS# 010406-A1 Sampled By: Client

| | | | Certifie | d An | alytical | Repor | rt | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------|--------|-----------|-------|-------------|----------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Order ID: | 25142 | Lab Sa | mple ID: | 2514 | 2-005 | | Client Sam | ple ID: TW- | .7 | | | |
| Sample Time: | 11:25 AM | Sam | ple Date: | 4/6/0 | 1 | | Matrix: Liquid | | | | | |
| Parameter | Result | Flag | DF | PQL | DLR | Units | Extraction Date | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method | | |
| Benzene | 4800 | | 50 | 0.5 | 25 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 | | |
| Toluene | 1800 | | 50 | 0.5 | 25 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 | | |
| Fibrd Benzene | 2200 | | 50 | 0.5 | 25 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 | | |
| Yulanes Total | 3400 | | 50 | 0.5 | 25 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 | | |
| Aylenes, Iotai | 5400 | | | | Surroga | ite | Surr | ogate Recovery | Contro | ol Limits (%) | | |
| , | | | | aa | a-Trifluoro | toluene | | 91 | 65 | - 135 | | |
| Parameter | Result | Flag | DF | PQL | DLR | Units | Extraction Date | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method | | |
| B.F. alard & Looked Ethon | 000 | | 50 | 5 | 250 | ug/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8020 | | |
| Metnyl-t-butyl Ether | 990 | | 20 | 5 | Surrog | re – | Surr | ogate Recovery | Contro | ol Limits (%) | | |
| | | | | aa | a-Trifluoro | otoluene | | 91 | 65 | - 135 | | |
| Parameter | Result | Flag | DF | PQL | DLR | Units | Extraction Date | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method | | |
| TPH as Gasoline | 22000 | | 50 | 50 | 2500 | μg/L | N/A | 4/13/01 | WGC4010412 | EPA 8015 MOD. (Purgeable) | | |
| | | | | | Surrog | ate | Surr | ogate Recovery | Contr | ol Limits (%) | | |
| | | | | 92 | a-Trifluoro | otoluene | | 85 | 65 | - 135 | | |

DF = Dilution Factor

ND = Not Detected

DLR = Detection Limit Reported

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

Analysis performed by Entech Analytical Labs, Inc. (CA ELAP #2346)

Michelle L. Anderson, Laboratory Director

Environmental Analysis Since 1983

3334 Victor Court • Santa Clara, CA 95054 • (408) 588-0200 • Fax (408) 588-0201

PES Environmental, Inc. 1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100 Novato, CA 94947 Attn: Andy Briefer Date: 4/18/01 Date Received: 4/9/01 Project Name: Project Number: BTS# 010406-A1 P.O. Number: BTS# 010406-A1 Sampled By: Client

Certified Analytical Report

| Order ID: 25142 | | Lab Sample ID: | | 25142-001 | | Clie | nt Sample ID: | MW-1 | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Sample Time: | 10:55 AM | :55 AM Sample Dat | | | 4/6/01 | | | Matrix: Liquid | | | |
| Parameter Methyl-t-butyl Ether | | Result | Flag | DF 2 | PQL 5 | DLR 10 | Units ug/L | Analysis Date 4/17/01 | QC Batch ID WMS2010413 | Method EPA 8260B | |
| Mealyr r Dulyr Dalor | | Surroga | te | - | Surrogat | e Recovery | y y | Control Limits (| (%) | | |
| | | 4-Bromo | fluorobenzene | 2 | | 99 | | 65 - 135 | | | |
| | | Dibromo | Dibromofluoromethane | | | 97 | | 57 - 139 | | | |
| | Dibrom Toluene | | d8 | | 1 | 102 | | 65 - 135 | | | |

Comment:

Sample diluted due to high concentrations of non-target hydrocarbons.

DF = Dilution Factor

ND = Not Detected

DLR = Detection Limit Reported

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

Analysis performed by Entech Analytical Labs, Inc. (CA ELAP #2346)

but.

Michelle L. Anderson, Laboratory Director Environmental Analysis Since 1983

3334 Victor Court • Santa Clara, CA 95054 • (408) 588-0200 • Fax (408) 588-0201

PES Environmental, Inc. 1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100 Novato, CA 94947 Attn: Andy Briefer Date: 4/18/01 Date Received: 4/9/01 Project Name: Project Number: BTS# 010406-A1 P.O. Number: BTS# 010406-A1 Sampled By: Client

| | | Cert | tified A | Analytic | cal Rep | ort | | | | |
|----------------------|----------|---------------|-------------------|----------|------------|-------|----------------|-------------|-----------|--|
| Order ID: | 25142 | Lab Sam | ple ID: | 25142-0 | 02 | Clie | nt Sample ID: | MW-2 | | |
| Sample Time: | 10:21 AM | Sampl | mple Date: 4/6/01 | | | | Matrix: | | | |
| Parameter | Result | Flag | | PQL | DLR | Units | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | D Method | |
| Methyl-t-butyl Ether | 3900 | | 50 | 5 | 250 | μg/L | 4/17/01 | WMS2010413 | EPA 8260B | |
| | Surrog | ate | | Surroga | te Recover | у | Control Limits | (%) | | |
| | 4-Brom | ofluorobenzen | e | | 96 | | 65 - 135 | | | |
| | Dibron | ofluoromethan | e | | 90 | | 57 - 139 | | | |
| | Toluen | e-d8 | | | 100 | | 65 - 135 | | | |

DLR = Detection Limit Reported

DF = Dilution Factor ND = Not Detected Analysis performed by Entech Analytical Labs, Inc. (CA ELAP #2346)

Jatt &

Michelle L. Anderson, Laboratory Director Environmental Analysis Since 1983

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

3334 Victor Court • Santa Clara, CA 95054 • (408) 588-0200 • Fax (408) 588-0201

PES Environmental, Inc. 1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100 Novato, CA 94947 Attn: Andy Briefer

Date: 4/18/01 Date Received: 4/9/01 Project Name: Project Number: BTS# 010406-A1 P.O. Number: BTS# 010406-A1 Sampled By: Client

| Certified | Analytical | Report |
|-----------|------------|--------|
|-----------|------------|--------|

| Order ID: | 25142 | | Lab Sam | ple ID: | 25142-0 | 05 | Clie | nt Sample ID: | TW-7 | |
|-----------------------|-------|-----------|---------------------|---------|---------|------------|-------|----------------|-------------|-----------|
| Sample Time: 11:25 AM | | | Sample Date: 4/6/01 | | | | | | | |
| Parameter Result | | sult | Flag | DF | PQL | DLR | Units | Analysis Date | QC Batch ID | Method |
| Methyl-t-butyl Ether | 69 | 90 | _ | 10 | 5 | 50 | μg/L | 4/17/01 | WMS2010413 | EPA 8260B |
| | Su | Surrogate | | | Surroga | te Recover | y | Control Limits | (%) | |
| | 4-I | Bromof | luorobenzene | e | | 97 | | 65 - 135 | | |
| | Dil | bromof | luoromethan | e | | 90 | | 57 - 139 | | |
| | To | luene-c | 18 | | | 100 | | 65 - 135 | | |

DF = Dilution Factor

ND = Not Detected

DLR = Detection Limit Reported

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

Analysis performed by Entech Analytical Labs, Inc. (CA ELAP #2346)

Michelle L. Anderson, Laboratory Director Environmental Analysis Since 1983

3334 Victor Court • Santa Clara, CA 95054 • (408) 588-0200 • Fax (408) 588-0201

STANDARD LAB QUALIFIERS (FLAGS)

All Entech lab reports now reference standard lab qualifiers. These qualifiers are noted in the adjacent column to the analytical result and are adapted from the U.S. EPA CLP program. The current qualifier list is as follows:

| Qualifier (Flag) | Description |
|---------------------|---|
| U | Compound was analyzed for but not detected |
| J | Estimated value for tentatively identified compounds or if result is below PQL but above MDL |
| N | Presumptive evidence of a compound (for Tentatively Identified Compounds) |
| В | Analyte is found in the associated Method Blank |
| E | Compounds whose concentrations exceed the upper level of the calibration range |
| D | Multiple dilutions reported for analysis; discrepancies between analytes may be due to dilution |
| Х | Results within quantitation range; chromatographic pattern not typical of fuel |

3334 Victor Court • Santa Clara, CA 95054 • (408) 588-0200 • Fax (408) 588-0201

Quality Control Results Summary

QC Batch #:

WMS2010413 Matrix: Liquid

Units: μg/L 4/13/01

Date Analyzed:

| Paramete | r Method | Blank Result | Spike Sample ID | Spike Amount | Sample Result | Spike Result | QC Type | % Recovery | RPD | RPD Limits | Recovery Limits |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------|---------------|--------------------|
| Test: | Oxygenates by EPA | A 8260B | | | | | | | | | |
| Methyl-t-b | outyl Ether EPA 8260B | | 20 | | 19.2 | LCS | 96.0 | | | 65.0 - 135.0 | |
| ſ | Surrogate | | Surrog | ate Recove | гу | Control 3 | Limits (%) | | | | |
| | 4-Bromofluorol | oenzene | | 90 | | 65 - | 135 | | | | |
| ĺ | Dibromofluoro | nethane | | 87 | | 57 - | 139 | | | | |
| | Toluene-d8 | | 88 | | 65 - | 135 | | | | | |
| Test: | Oxygenates by EPA | A 8260B | | | | | | - | | | |
| Methyi-t-butyl Ether EPA 8260B ND | | | | 20 | | 20.4 | LCSD | 102.0 | 6.06 | 25.00 | 65.0 - 135.0 |
| Γ | Surrogate | | Surrog | ate Recover | ry | Control | Limits (%) | | | • | |
| | 4-Bromofluorol | oenzene | | 92 | | 65 - | 135 | | | | |
| | Dibromofluoro | nethane | | 92 | | 57 - | 139 | | | | 1 |
| | Toluene-d8 | | | 86 | | 65 - | 135 | | | | |

3334 Victor Court • Santa Clara, CA 95054 • (408) 588-0200 • Fax (408) 588-0201

Quality Control Results Summary

| QC Batch #: Matrix: | WGC40104 Liquid | 412 | Units: µg/L Date Analyzed: 4/12/01 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|------|---------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Parameter | Method | Blank Result | Spike Sample ID | Spike Amount | Sample Result | Spike Result | QC Туре | % Recovery | RPD | RPD Limits | Recovery Limits | | | | |
| Test: TPH TPH as Gasoline | as Gasoline EPA 8015 M | ND | | 561 | 561 | | LCS | 78.3 | | | 65.0 - 135.0 | | | | |
| | Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotol | uene | Surrogate Recovery 95 | | | Control 65 | Limits (%) 135 | | | • | | | | | |
| Test: BTE | X | · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | EPA 8020 | ND | | 6.2 | | 5.75 | LCS | 92.7 | | | 65.0 - 135.0 | | | | |
| Ethyl Benzene | EPA 8020 | ND | | 7.8 | | | LCS | 90.1 65.0 - 135 | | | | | | | |
| Toluene | EPA 8020 | ND | | 35.8 | | 33.4 | LCS | 93.3 | | | 65.0 - 135.0 | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | EPA 8020 | ND | | 43 | | 36.7 | LCS | 85.3 | | | 65.0 - 135.0 | | | | |
| | Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotol | uene | Surrog | ate Recove 101 | ry | Control 65 - | Limits (%) 135 | | | | | | | | |
| Test: MTE | BE by EPA 802 | 0 | · · · · · | | | | | | | · · · | | | | | |
| Methyl-t-butyl Et | her EPA 8020 | ND | 52.8 | | | 46.3 | LCS | 87.7 | | | 65.0 - 135.0 | | | | |
| | Surrogate | | Surrog | ate Recove | гу | Control | Limits (%) | | | | | | | | |
| | aaa-Trifluorotol | uene | 101 | | | 65 - | 135 | | | |] | | | | |
| Test: TPH | as Gasoline | ND | | 5(1 | | 4267 | LCSD | 77.0 | 0.57 | 25.00 | 65.0 135.0 | | | | |
| IPH as Gasonne | EPA 8015 M | ND | | | | 430.7 | LLSD | 77.0 | | 23.00 | 05.0 - 155.0 | | | | |
| 1 | aaa-Trifluorotol | uene | Surrog | 93 | ſÿ | 65 - | 135 | | | | | | | | |
| | v | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | EPA 8020 | ND | | 6.2 | | 5.64 | LCSD | 91.0 | 1.93 | 25.00 | 65.0 - 135.0 | | | | |
| Ethyl Benzene | EPA 8020 | ND | | 7.8 | | 6.99 | LCSD | 89.6 | 0.57 | 25.00 | 65.0 - 135.0 | | | | |
| Toluene | EPA 8020 | ND | | 35.8 | | 33.8 | LCSD | 94.4 | 1.19 | 25.00 | 65.0 - 135.0 | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | EPA 8020 | ND | | 43 | | 36.8 | LCSD | 85.6 | 0.27 | 25.00 | 65.0 - 135.0 | | | | |
| | Surrogate | | Surrog | ate Recove | ĽÅ | Control | Limits (%) | | | | | | | | |
| | aaa-Trifluorotol | uene | | 97 | -2 | 65 - | 135 | | | | | | | | |
| Test. MTH | RE by EPA 802 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Methyl-t-butyl Et | her EPA 8020 | ND | | 52.8 | | 45.3 | LCSD | 85.8 | 2.18 | 25.00 | 65.0 - 135.0 | | | | |
| | Surrogate | n. | Surrog | ate Recove | ry | Control | Limits (%) | | | | | | | | |
| | aaa-Trifluorotoluene | | | 97 | | 65 - | 135 | | | | | | | | |

| DLA TECH SEF | NUCES, № | 5A c. | N JOSE, | CALIFO FA PHON | RNIA 95112-1 X (408) 573-7 IE (408) 573-0 | 105 771 555 | | | | | | UCIEU | | ALL ANALYSES MUST LIMITS SET BY CALIF | Entech MEET SPECIF ORNIA DHS AN [| ICATIONS AND D] RWQCB RE | |
|-----------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-----|--------|------------|--|--|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | | BTS # | # 010 | 040(| 0- A1 | | | | | | | | | | • | | |
| CLIENT | PES | | | | | NERS | | | | | | | | SPECIAL INSTRUCTION | DNS DC | CENTO | |
| SITE | 230 Bay | Place | | | • | TAL | | | м 2 | | | ۱. | | Invoice and Dana | | CEIVEU | AFK I O ZUŞ |
| | Oakland | I, CA | · · | | · | Ч <mark>У</mark> - | 15) | | * | | | | | Attn: Chris Poss | | | |
| | | | | | | | 80 | 50) | 20) | | | | | * Confirm MTR | R hite her BD | A 9260 | |
| | | | | | NTAINERS | MPOSI | Gas | <u>c (80</u> | Ш (8) (8) | | · , | | | | E mis by Er | A 0200 | (r x - |
| | | | 02H= | | Ι | l S I | - Hd | IEX | TBI | | | | 1 | | l | | • |
| SAMPLE I.D. | | | <u>öş</u> | TOTAL | Helvon | | F | <u>m</u> | Σ | | | | | ADD'L INFORMATION | STATUS | CONDITION | LAB SAMPLE # |
| | <u> </u> | 1055 | <u> w</u> | | Hellon | | X | × | X | | | _ | | | ······································ | 2514 | 2-00/ |
| | | 1021 | <u></u> | + | · | <u></u> | X | X | X | | | | - | | ····· | | -002 |
| <u>TW-2</u> | | 945 | ┼╌┼─ | ┼┈┼╸ | | - | X | ĮΥ | ΙX_ | | | _ | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | - 003 |
| <u>TW-6</u> | | 1000 | | ┥╌┦╌ | | <u> </u> | X | X | <u> </u> X | | | _ | - | | | | - 004 |
| <u> </u> | - <u> </u> | 1125 | | + | × | | 12 | <u>×</u> | X | ┢──┤. | | | | | | | -005 |
| ····· | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | ┠───┼ | | _ | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | + | | <u> </u> | <u> .</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | | | + | { | | + | | | | ╋╌╌╂ | | | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | <u></u> | | | | | | | | <u> </u> - | | | | | | | |
| SAMPLING COMPLETED | DATE | TIME | SAMPLI | ING RMED B | Y Osca. | ⊥ ~∤ | $\frac{1}{\lambda n}$ | \$ 11 | <u>را</u> | | | | | RESULTS NEEDED | Per Client | | |
| | Jon | lo | | | 4/9/1 | | TE XX | 7 | | 30 | RE | | | Thory | | 10ATE 4/9/0 | I 18 20 |
| · | | | | | | 1erri | - | | | | | CEIVEL | лыт ~ ~ | . . . | | DATE V | TIME |
| IRELEASED BY | | | | | | DAT | E | | TIME | | RE | CEIVED | BY | ······································ | · | DATE | TIME |
| SHIPPED VIA | | | | | | DAT | ESEN | 11 | TIME | SENT | | OLER# | | | <u> </u> | | |
| | | | | | | ╺┛┯┲╼┈╸ | | | L | <u> </u> | l | | | <u></u> | | - | |
| • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ··· . | | | |