GEIVE E Ark 081999



### QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND SAMPLLING AT THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 5175 BROADWAY STREET OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA OCTOBER 17, 1997

### PREPARED FOR: MR. MOHAMMAD MEHDIZADEH 150 RANDOM WAY PLEASANT HILL, CALIFORNIA 94523

BY: SOIL TECH ENGINEERING, INC. 1761 JUNCTION AVENUE SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95112

### LIST OF TABLES

 TABLE 1 ... Groundwater Monitoring Data and Analytical Results.

TABLE 2 ... Groundwater Analytical Results for Total Lead (Pb).

### LIST OF FIGURES

- FIGURE 1 ... Site Vicinity Map showing 5175 Broadway Street, Oakland, California.
- FIGURE 2 ... Site Plan showing location of Buildings, Former UST Excavation Areas, Monitoring Wells and Groundwater Flow Direction.

### LIST OF APPENDICES

- APPENDIX "A" ... Table 1 and Table 2.
- APPENDIX "B" ... Figure 1 and Figure 2.
- APPENDIX "C" ... Standard Operation Procedures.
- APPENDIX "D" ... Laboratory Report and Chain-of-Custody Documentation.

### TABLE OF CONTENTSPAGE NO.

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	1-2
SITE DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND	3-5
SCOPE OF PRESENT WORK	5
CURRENT FIELD WORK	5
Groundwater Monitoring Groundwater Sampling	6 6
GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION	6
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS	6-7
RECOMMENDATIONS	7
LIMITATIONS	7-8

#### APPENDIX "A"

TABLE 1 - GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA AND LABORATORY RESULTS	T1-T6
TABLE 2 - GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR TOTAL LEAD (Pb)	T7
APPENDIX "B"	
FIGURE 1 - VICINITY MAP	M1
FIGURE 2 - SITE MAP	M2

#### APPENDIX "C"

ODOUDIDWATED CAMPI DIC	SOD	1
GROUNDWATER SAMPLING	30P	T

### APPENDIX "D"

LABORATORY REPORT AND CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY DOCUMENTATION

# SOIL TECH ENGINEERING, INC.

Environmental & Geotechnical Consultants 1761 JUNCTION AVENUE, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95112 Tel: (408) 441-1881 Fax: (408) 441-0705

October 17, 1997

File No. 8-90-420-GI

**Mr. Mohammad Mehdizadeh** 150 Random Way Pleasant Hill, California 94523

#### SUBJECT: QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND SAMPLING AT THE PROPERTY

Located at 5175 Broadway Street, in Oakland, California

Dear Mr. Mehdizadeh:

This report presents the results of quarterly groundwater monitoring and sampling conducted on September 30, 1997, by Soil Tech Engineering, Inc. (STE), at the subject site located at 5175 Broadway Street, in Oakland, California (Figure 1).

Five monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-3, STMW-4 and STMW-5) located on-site (Figure 2) were monitored for presence of sheen and/or odor, sampled and analyzed for the presence of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as diesel and gasoline (TPHd and TPHg), Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Total Xylenes (BTEX), Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether (MTBE) and Total Lead (Pb).

This quarterly monitoring and sampling was conducted in accordance with STE's work plan dated October 5, 1994 and October 10, 1996 letter from Alameda County Health Department requesting immediate initiation of quarterly monitoring program.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact our office at (408) 441-1881 at your convenience.

Sincerely,

SOIL TECH ENGINEERING, INC.

L'ÁWRENCE KOO, P. E. *C. E. #34928* 

FRANK HAMED-FARD GENERAL MANAGER

# SOIL TECH ENGINEERING, INC.

2

#### SITE DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND:

The site is located at 5175 Broadway Street, in Oakland, California. The area in the vicinity of the site consists mainly of residential and light commercial (Figure 1).

In January 1990, Tank Protect Engineering, Inc. (TPE), was retained to supervise the removal of underground fuel tanks and to conduct soil sampling, soil excavation, soil treatment and disposal. In addition, TPE installed three monitoring wells on-site.

Initial analytical results of soil samples collected from the tank excavation area showed moderate levels of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline (TPHg) in two locations. The rest of the samples showed TPHg ranging from non-detected to less than 120 parts per million (ppm). Due to the presence of elevated levels of TPHg detected in the excavation, TPE installed three on-site monitoring wells (MW-1 to MW-3), as required by state and local regulatory agencies (Figure 2). TPE's preliminary groundwater assessment also indicated that the shallow groundwater had been impacted.

The Alameda County Health Department (ACHD) requested the property owner to conduct further investigation in order to define the extent of dissolved hydrocarbons contamination in the groundwater.

Soil Tech Engineering, Inc. (STE), was retained in September 1990 to conduct monitoring and sampling of the on-site monitoring wells. The objective of the quarterly groundwater sampling program was to monitor seasonal and long-term variations in the conditions of the shallow aquifer beneath the site and to assess the direction of groundwater flow for further investigation.

STE sampled the three on-site groundwater monitoring wells (MW-1 to MW-3) on September 26, 1990, and January 14, 1991. The sampling was conducted in accordance with ACHD and California Regional Water Quality Control Board (CRWQCB) guidelines and STE's Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) included in Appendix "C".

### SOIL TECH ENGINEERING, INC.

3

The three on-site wells contained moderate to high levels of dissolved hydrocarbons. A comparison of the September 1990 sampling with TPE's analytical results of April 1990 showed an increase in dissolved hydrocarbons in wells MW-1 and MW-2. In well MW-3 (the down-gradient well), TPHg and Toluene levels decreased, whereas Benzene, Ethylbenzene and Total Xylenes increased slightly.

The analytical results for groundwater samples collected on January 14, 1991, showed an increase in TPH and BTEX levels in well MW-2 compared to those reported in September 1990. Well MW-1 also showed a slight increase in TPH and Benzene, but showed a decrease in Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylenes levels. Well MW-3 showed a substantial decrease in TPH and BTEX.

The Alameda County Health Department (ACHD) in a letter dated March 29, 1991, requested additional investigation to define the extent of dissolved hydrocarbon plume. STE installed two additional monitoring wells STMW-1 (STMW-4) and STMW-2 (STMW-5) on June 21, 1991. The July 3, 1991, water sampling results showed low levels of dissolved Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) and Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylenes (BTEX) in all five wells. The presence of low levels of TPHg and BTEX in the up-gradient well, STMW-1 (STMW-4), (located on the east corner of the property) indicated a potential off-site source. Based on the water level data, the groundwater direction was west to southwest on July 3, 1991. The detail of this investigation is summarized in STE's report dated July 23, 1991. STE recommended a quarterly monitoring and sampling of five on-site wells for at least a year.

The second quarterly sampling was conducted in November 1991. The detail of the sampling is described in STE's report dated November 22, 1991. The quarterly monitoring and samplings conducted by STE are described in STE's report dated March 10, 1992, June 1992, October 1992 and January 1993.

The last quarterly monitoring and sampling was conducted by STE on August 15, 1994, details in STE report dated September 20, 1994. STE prepared a work plan proposal for additional soil and groundwater investigation of the property dated October 5, 1994 but no further activity on the subject site was authorized by the owner. Hence, there was a discontinuation of quarterly monitoring and sampling activity.

Since November 17, 1996, quarterly monitoring and sampling of the subject site was resumed without interruption.

#### **SCOPE OF PRESENT WORK:**

The scope of work for this sampling was to:

- 1) Measure the depth-to-groundwater and monitor the presence of dissolved hydrocarbons in the five on-site wells.
- 2) Collect groundwater samples from the on-site wells for analyses of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as diesel and gasoline (TPHd and TPHg), Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Total Xylenes (BTEX), Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether (MTBE) and Total Lead (Pb) and submit to a State-Certified laboratory.
- 3) Update the database for water level, dissolved hydrocarbon level and groundwater field observation data.
- 4) Review analytical results and prepare a report.

#### **CURRENT FIELD WORK:**

On September 30, 1997, the five on-site wells were monitored, purged and sampled in accordance with STE's Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) (Appendix "C"), which comprise state and local guidelines.

#### GROUNDWATER MONITORING:

During field observation, no sheen or odor were detected in monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and STMW-4. Light sewerage odor was detected in monitoring well STMW-5. Table 1 summarizes the groundwater monitoring data and laboratory analytical results.

#### GROUNDWATER SAMPLING:

Following groundwater monitoring, the on-site wells were purged at least five well volumes and sampled. The water samples were collected in glass vials and amber bottles with Teflon-lined caps, labeled and placed in an ice-cooled chest for transportation to Priority Environmental Labs, a State-Certified laboratory with appropriate chain-of-custody record.

The water samples were analyzed for TPHg, TPHd, BTEX and MTBE and Total Lead.

#### **GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION:**

Groundwater elevation data was used to determine the direction of groundwater flow. Groundwater flow was approximately in a southwesterly direction as of September 30, 1997.

#### LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS:

Monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-2 detected TPHd, TPHg and BTEX below laboratory detection limit. Monitoring well MW-3 detected TPHd level at 1.6 mg/L; TPHg at 6 mg/L and BTEX at (0.043 mg/L, 0.036 mg/L, 0.012 mg/L and 0.11 mg/L). Monitoring well STMW-4 detected TPHg at 2.7 mg/L and BTEX at 0.042 mg/L; 0.0077 mg/L; 0.0057 mg/L and 0.026 mg/L. Monitoring well STMW-4 detected TPHd below laboratory detection limit. Monitoring well STMW-5 detected low levels of TPHd at 1.1

mg/L, TPHg at 0.71 mg/L and BTEX at 0.0058 mg/L; 0.004 mg/L; 0.001 mg/L and 0.011 mg/L, respectively. All five monitoring wells detected MTBE and Total Lead concentrations below laboratory detection limit. Table 1 summarizes the water sample analytical results.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

Since dissolved hydrocarbons continue to be present in the wells and some of the hydrocarbon constituents decreased and some have increased in the wells, STE recommends the continuation of monitoring and sampling of the five monitoring wells. In addition, STE recommends a meeting with ACEHD and the Regional Water Quality Control Board to discuss the results and obtain a sense of direction as to the additional investigation(s) necessary for the site.

A copy of this report should be sent to the Alameda County Health Department (ACHD) and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (CRWQCB).

#### LIMITATIONS:

This report and the associated work has been provided in accordance with the general principles and practices currently employed in the environmental consulting profession. The contents of this report reflect the conditions of the site at this particular time. The findings of this report are based on:

1) The observations of field personnel.

2) The results of laboratory analyses performed by a state-certified laboratory.

It is possible that variations in the soil and groundwater could exist beyond the points explored in this investigation. Also, changes in groundwater conditions of a property can occur with the passage of time due to variations in rainfall, temperature, regional water usage and other natural processes or the works of man on this property or adjacent properties.

### SOIL TECH ENGINEERING, INC.

7

This report is issued with the understanding that it is the responsibility of the owner or his her representative to ensure that the information and recommendations contained herein are called to the attention of the Local Environmental Agency.

Services performed by STE have been in accordance with generally accepted environmental professional practices for the nature and conditions of the work completed in the same or similar localities at the time the work was performed. This report is not meant to represent a legal opinion. No other warranty, express or implied is made.

# APPENDIX "A"

Date	Well No./ Elevation	Depth of Well	Perf. Length	Depth to Water	GW Elev.	Well Observation	TPHg	TPHd	В	Т	E	X	MTBE
4/30/89	MW-1 (97.71)	23	10	N/A	N/A	No sheen or odor	0.20	NA	0.018	0.005	0.002	0.012	NA
5/17/90				9.26	88.45	N/A	NA	NA	NA	NA	ŇA	NA	NA
9/26/90				9.92	87.79	No sheen/Mild petroleum odor	1.3	NA	0.055	0.031	0.12	0.1	NA
1/14/91				9.54	88.17	No sheen/Mild petroleum odor	3.1	NA	0.35	0.083	0.086	0.13	NA
7/03/91	(102.04) resurveyed			9.42	92.62	No sheen/Light petroleum odor	0.58	NA	0.032	0.041	0.04	0.055	NA
11/11/91				9.45	92.59	No sheen/Mild petroleum odor	0.33	NA	0.02	0.002	0.002	0.011	NA
3/04/92	(101.83) resurveyed			7.93	93.90	No sheen/Light petroleum odor	0.81	NA	0.011	0.005	0.010	0.023	NA
6/01/92				8.98	92.85	No sheen/Mild sewerage odor	2.2	NA	0.093	0.032	0.04	0.12	NA
9/28/92				9.29	92.54	No sheen/Mild sewerage odor	2.9	NA	0.024	0.0078	0.019	0.037	NA
1/11/93				7.56	94.27	No sheen/Light sewerage odor	1.7	NA	0.0057	0.006	0.011	0.028	NĂ
8/15/94				9.19	92.64	No sheen/Mild sewerage odor	2.0	NA	0.12	0.003	0.006	0.016	NA
11/07/96	(97.50) Resurveyed			8.73	88.77	No sheen/Light sewerage odor	1.2	0.27	0.003	0.0011	0.0015	0.0038	ND
2/12/97				7,92	89.58	No sheen/Light sewerage odor	1.8	ND	0.013	0.0057	0.0048	0.017	ND

# SOIL TECH ENGINEERING, INC.

Ę

Date	Well No./	Depth of	Perf.	Depth to	GW	Well Observation	TPHg	TPHd	В	Т	E	х	MTBE
	Elevation	Well	Length	Water	Elev.								
6/16/97	MW-1	23	10	9.04	88.46	No sheen/Very	0.33	ND	0.0027	ND	ND	0.0012	ND
	(97.50)					light sewerage odor							
9/30/97				7,56	89.94	No sheen or odor	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4/30/89	MW-2	23	15	N/A	N/A	No sheen or odor	0.23	NA	0.039	0.018	0.005	0.023	NA
	(97.78)												
5/17/90				10.00	87.78	N/A	NA	NA	NA	ŇA	NA	NA	NA
9/26/90				10.83	86.95	No sheen/Mild petroleum odor	0.85	NA	0.94	0.005	0.025	0.047	NA
1/14/91				10.63	87.15	No sheen or odor	3.1	NA	0.35	0.083	0.086	0.13	NA
7/03/91	(102.02)			10.08	91.94	No sheen/Light	1.59	NA	0.03	0.052	0.024	0.034	NA
	resurveyed					petroleum odor							
11/11/91				10.21	91.81	No sheen/Mild	0.96	NA	0.32	0.015	0.004	0.029	NA
		1				petroleum odor							
3/04/92	(101.67)			8.70	92.97	No sheen/Light	1.5	NA	0.0095	0.0084	0.0098	0.022	NA
	resurveyed					petroleum odor							
6/01/92		-		9.52	92.15	No sheen	2.8	NA	0.084	0.041	0.059	0.095	NA
	i					Mild sewerage odor							
9/28/92				10.09	91.58	No sheen	1.6	NA	0.047	0.020	0.047	0.097	NA
						Mild sewerage odor							
1/11/93				8.52	93.15	No sheen	2.5	NA	0.0086	0.01	0.017	0.032	NA
			1			Light sewerage odor		Í	1		l		
8/15/94				9.91	91.76	No sheen/Light	6.0	NA	0.45	0.06	0.1	0.095	NA
						petroleum odor							
11/07/96	(97.49)			10.02	87.47	No sheen/Very	4.2	0.78	0.025	0.0049	0.0081	0.014	ND
	resurveyed					light sewerage odor							

Date	Well No./	Depth of	Perf.	Depth to	GW	Well Observation	TPHg	TPHd	В	Т	E	x	MTBE
	Elevation	Well	Length	Water	Elev.								
2/12/97	MW-2	23	15	8.91	88.58	No sheen/Very	1.8	5.7	0.016	0.0031	0.0034	0.0088	ND
	(97.49)					light sewerage odor							
6/16/97				9.75	87.74	No sheen/Very	2.5	ND	0.022	0.0051	0.0078	0.011	ND
						light sewerage odor							
9/30/97				7.98	89.51	No sheen or odor	ND	ND	ND	DN _	ND	ND	ND
4/30/90	MW-3	27	20	N/A	N/A	No sheen/Mild	56	NA	3.6	8.6	1.3	7.2	NA
	(98.14)					petroleum odor							
5/17/90				12.42	85.72	N/A	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
9/26/90				13.50	84.64	No sheen/Mild	54	NA	5.1	0.42	1.6	8.0	NA
						petroleum odor							
1/14/91				12.58	85.56	Light sheen/Strong	35	NA	2.6	6.6	1.5	5.7	NA
						petroleum odor	}			[			
7/03/91	(102.46)			12.08	90.38	Rainbow sheen	33	NA	4.12	4.3	1.4	4.8	NA
1	resurveyed		J			Strong petroleum odor							
11/11/91				12.29	90.17	V. light rainbow sheen	57	NA	3.9	8.4	2.1	14	NA
		l				Mild petroleum odor							
3/04/92	(102.18)			10.26	91.92	Brown sheen/Strong	57	NA	0.72	0.87	0.81	3.1	NA
	resurveyed					petroleum odor							
6/01/92				11.40	90.78	Rainbow sheen/Mild	50	NA	0.24	0.24	0.22	0.74	NA
				_		petroleum odor							
9/28/92				12.64	89.54	Rainbow sheen spots	64	NA	0.11	0.093	0.097	0.25	NA
ļ			l	<u> </u>		Strong petroleum odor		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>
1/11/93				10.10	92.08	Rainbow sheen/Mild	61	ŇA	0.21	0.28	0.36	0.99	NA
						petroleum odor							

Date	Well No./	Depth of	Perf.	Depth to	GW	Well Observation	TPHg TPHe		В	Т	E	X	MTBE
	Elevation	Well	Length	Water	Elev.								
8/15/94	(97.94)	27	20	12.20	89.98	Brown sheen spots	50	NA	0.87	1.2	1.3	3	NA
	Resurveyed					Mild petroleum odor							
11/07/96				12.40	85.54	Very thin layer of	68	0.47	0.033	0.027	0.063	0.12	ND
						brown sheen/Light							
						petroleum odor							
2/12/97				10.23	87.71	Brown sheen spots	25.0	3.5	0.039	0.043	0.015	0.091	ND
						Light petroleum odor							
6/16/97				11.79	86.15	Light brown sheen	9.7	ND	0.026	0.029	0.045	0.081	ND
						spots/Very light							
						petroleum odor			1				
9/30/97				9.40	88.54	No sheen or odor	6	1.6	0.043	0.036	0.012	0.11	ND
7/03/91	STMW-1	19.5	11.5	11.00	92.58	Light rainbow sheen	3.1	NA	0.61	0.062	0.039	0.15	NA
	(103.58)					Mild petroleum odor							
11/11/91	STMW-4			11.08	92.5	Light rainbow sheen	3.6	NA	0.99	0.015	0.0026	0.18	NA
	(renamed)					Strong pet. odor							
3/04/92	(103.08)			9.44	93.64	Brown sheen spots	5.0	NA	0.035	0.02	0.022	0.071	NA
	resurveyed					Mild petroleum odor							
6/01/92				10.32	92.76	No sheen	13	NA	0.14	0.045	0.063	0.21	NA
						Light petroleum odor			1	1			
9/28/92				10.76	92.32	Brown sheen spots	40	NA	0.035	0.020	0.048	0.11	NA
			]			Mild petroleum odor	•	1.					
1/11/93				9.28	93.80	Brown sheen spots	24	NA	0.026	0.088	0.092	0.28	NA
1						Mild petroleum odor	1			1			

Date	Well No./	Depth of	Perf.	Depth to	GW	Well Observation	TPHg TPH		В	Т	E	X	MTBE
	Elevation	Well	Length	Water	Elev.								
8/15/94	STMW-4	19.50	11.50	10.54	92.54	Light rainbow sheen	9	NA	0.5	0.034	0.046	0.13	NA
	(98.80)					spots/Light petroleum							
	Resurveyed					odor							
11/07/96				10.37	88.43	Rainbow sheen spots	13	0.18	0.04	0.0029	0.0078	0.019	ND
						Very light petroleum							
						odor							
2/12/97				9.36	89.44	Rainbow sheen spots	5.3	5.7	0.095	0.0053	0.0059	0.018	ND
						Very light petroleum							
						odor				0.00/0			
6/16/97		1		10.40	88.40	No sheen/Very light	5.3	ND	0.037	0.0062	0.0017	0.011	ND
						sewerage odor				0.0077	0.0077	0.000	
9/30/97				8.50	90.30	No sheen or odor	2.7	ND	0.042	0.0077	0.0057	0.026	ND
7/03/91	STMW-2	24	16	13.92	88.07	No sheen or odor	0.69	NA	0.099	0.081	0.019	0.098	NA
	(101.99)					•							
11/11/91	STMW-5			14.00	87.99	No sheen/Very light	0.41	NA	0.061	0.0024	0.0014	0.02	ŇA
	(renamed)					petroleum odor							
3/04/92	(101.36)			11.80	89.56	No sheen/Very light	0.46	NA	0.013	0.0065	0.011	0.018	NA
	resurveyed					petroleum odor							
6/01/92				13.06	88.30	No sheen	1.80	NA	0.027	0.02	0.021	0.043	NA
						Mild petroleum odor							
9/28/92				14.04	87.32	No sheen	1.5	NA	0.014	0.0061	0.018	0.022	NA
						Mild sewerage odor	<u> </u>						
1/11/93				11.61	89.75	No sheen	0.8	NA	0,0018	0.003	0.0031	0.0094	NA
				<u> </u>		Light sewerage odor	ļ	ļ					<u> </u>
8/15/94				13.85	87.51	No sheen	3.0	NA	0.32	0.062	0.034	0.22	NA
1	1					Mild sewerage odor	1	1		1	]		

### TABLE 1 CONT'D GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA (feet) AND ANALYTICAL RESULTS (mg/L)

Date	Well No./	Depth of	Perf.	Depth to	GW	Well Observation	TPHg	TPHd	В	Т	E	X	MTBE
	Elevation	Well	Length	Water	Elev.								
11/07/96	STMW-5	24	16	13.67	87.51	Rainbow sheen spots	1.2	0.33	0.011	0.0017	0.0044	0.013	ND
	(97.14)					Very light petroleum							
	Resurveyed					odor							
2/12/97				12.07	85.07	Rainbow sheen spots	1.0	3.7	0.011	0.0017	0.0017	0.0097	ND
					į	Very light petroleum							
						odor							
6/19/97				13.33	83.81	No sheen/Very	0.95	2.3	0.0074	0.001	0.001	0.0072	ND
						light sewerage odor							
9/30/97				11.24	85.90	No sheen	0.71	1.1	0.0058	0.004	0.001	0.011	ND
						Light sewerage odor							

**TPHd** - Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as diesel **BTEX** - Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Total Xylenes **GW Elev.** - Groundwater Elevation **ND** - Not Detected

N/A - Not Applicable

**TPHg** - Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline **MTBE** - Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether **Perf.** - Perforation **NA**- Not Analyzed

Ξ.

### TABLE 2 GROUNDWATER SAMPLES ANALYZED FOR TOTAL LEAD (Pb) IN mg/L

Date	Sample Number	Lead (Pb) Results
11/07/96	MW-1	Not Detected
	MW-2	Not Detected
	MW-3	Not Detected
	STMW-4	Not Detected
······································	STMW-5	Not Detected
2/12/97	MW-1	Not Detected
	MW-2	Not Detected
	MW-3	Not Detected
	STMW-4	Not Detected
	STMW-5	Not Detected
6/16/97	MW-1	Not Detected
	MW-2	Not Detected
<u> </u>	MW-3	Not Detected
,	STMW-4	Not Detected
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	STMW-5	Not Detected
9/30/97	MW-1	Not Detected
	MW-2	Not Detected
···· //***	MW-3	Not Detected
	STMW-4	Not Detected
nee	STMW-5	Not Detected

۲

# APPENDIX "B"

.





mage installed

# APPENDIX "C"

r

ng gragogeneter i

### **GROUNDWATER SAMPLING PROCEDURE**

Prior to collection of groundwater samples, all of the sampling equipment (i.e. bailer, cables, bladder pump, discharge lines and etc...) was cleaned by pumping TSP water solution followed by distilled water.

Prior to purging, the well "Water Sampling Field Survey Forms" were filled out (depth to water and total depth of water column were measured and recorded). The well was then bailed or pumped to remove four to ten well volumes or until the discharged water temperature, conductivity and pH stabilized. "Stabilized" is defined as three consecutive readings within 15% of one another.

The groundwater sample was collected when the water level of the well recovered to 80% of its static level.

Forty milliliter (ml) glass volatile organic analysis (VOA) vials with Teflon septa were used as sample containers. The groundwater sample was decanted into each VOA vial in such a manner that there was a meniscus at the top. The cap was quickly placed over the top of the vial and securely tightened. The VOA vial was then inverted and tapped to see if air bubbles were present. If none were present, the sample was labeled and refrigerated for delivery under chain-of-custody to the laboratory. The label information would include a sample identification number, job identification number, date, time, type of analysis requested and the sampler's name.

# SOIL TECH ENGINEERING, INC.

SOP1

# APPENDIX "D"



Precision Environmental Analytical Laboratory

October 03, 1997

PEL # 9802014

SOIL TECH ENGINEERING

Attn: Frank Hamedi

Re: Five water samples for Gasoline/BTEX with MTBE and Diesel analyses.

Project name: 5175 Broadway St., - Oakland Project number: 8-90-420-GI

Date sampled: Sep 30, 1997Date submitted: Oct 01, 1997Date extracted: Oct 01-02, 1997Date analyzed: Oct 01-02, 1997

RESULTS:

SAMPLE	Gasoline	Diesel	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	Total Xvlene	MTBE
1.0.	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)
 MW-1	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
MW-2	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
MW-3	6000	1600	43	36	12	110	N.D.
MW-4	2700	N.D.	42	7.7	5.7	26	N.D.
MW-5	710	1100	5.8	4.0	1.0	11	N.D.
Blank	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Spiked Recovery	83.1%	90.2%	86.3%	89.4%	90.1%	100.6%	
Detection limit	50	50	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Method of Analysis	5030 / 8015	3510 / 8015	/ 602	602	602	602	602

David Duong Laboratory Director



# PRIORITY ENVIRONMENTAL LABS

Precision Environmental Analytical

Laboratory

Oct.03,1997

PEL # 9802014

SOIL TECH ENGINEERING

Attn: Frank Hamedi

Re: Five water samples for total Lead analysis.

Project name: 5175 Broadway st. - Oakland. Project number: 8-90-420-GI

Date	sampled: Sep. 30, 1997	Date	submitted	: Oct.01,1997
Date	extracted: Oct.01-02,1997	Date	analyzed:	Oct.01-02,1997

#### **RESULTS:**

SAMPLE I.D.	Lead (mg/L)
MW -1 MW -2 MW -3 STMW -4 STMW -5	N.D. N.D. N.D. N.D. N.D.
Blank	N.D.
Detection limit	0.50
Method of Analysis	7420

David Duong

Laboratory Director

Ruhen mander					CON-								REMARKS				
NO.	DATE	TIME	Soll	WRT		LOCATION		//	Žľ	Ľ	ZŸ	<u>×</u>		<b>P</b>	EL	<b>#</b> 9802014	
1	9/30/9 <del>1</del>	10:5		-	 	MW-1	2	V	V.	$\checkmark$				II II	V	<b>#</b> 28187	
2		1145				MW-2	2			<b>/</b>		_	<b>_</b>	-			
<u>ງ</u> /L		1519			, 	MW-5	2		 /		$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$			<u>_</u>			·
5	$\mathbf{V}$	1655			ST	MW)-5	21	Ň	V					·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
														- <u></u>			
		<u> </u>														······	
						·											
								<u> </u>									
	<u> </u>	<u></u> _				<u> </u>					┝╼╍╋╸	-+	_	u			
								<u> </u>					<u> </u>				
inguist hetr	ed by: 1	Sign <b>ature</b> MM	, l./	10	Date / Time	Received by: (Signetur	<b>;</b>	Reli	nquis	hed b	y: (Sign	eture)		Date	/ Time	Receive by: (Signature)	
elinquished by: (Signature) Date / Time Received by: (Signature)				Relinquished by: (Signature)					ature)		Date	Time	Received by: (Signature)				

# SOIL TECH ENGINEERING

Environmental and Geotechnical Engineers

1761 Junction Ave. San Jose CA 95112 (408)441-1881