

Quality Time Up
2780 C. J. Blvd
Castro Valley

8/26/99

Memo to file:

Gary Aquiar (Hageman-Aquiar) called today to inform that they are scheduled to sample the wells @ this site on August 30th. A report will be forthcoming.

SOS

Phone Log (2780 Castro Valley Blvd)

01/02/96 Spoke w/ Gary Aguilar regarding status of site. He said that last sampling event was 6/95 and that RP had not authorized proposed work to delineate the extent of the plume.

He indicated Larry Armstrong is the RP contact for this site.

Told him I would contact RP to request w/p to delineate the plume & to continue periodic gw monitoring (1st & 2nd quarters)

Keft a msg for Larry Armstrong (RP) to call. He is out of the office until Jan. 8.

01/02/96

2/5/96 Spoke w/ Bruce Dazeman regarding this site. He indicated a letter has been sent to us in response to our 1/3/96 letter.

He believes that source of cont. found @ MW3 is coming from Allied Glass and ~~we~~ asserted that a "hydropunch" study along the fence line would confirm this. However, Mr. Armstrong has decided not to pursue delineating ~~the~~ the plume to the North because he feels money might be better spent on a risk-assessment & request for case closure.

I told Mr. Dazeman that the source of cont. @ this site is still a question & may need further invest. before closure can be pursued.

Review Allied Glass
Cost: re: 157 hours
& Closure

Mark Out What Needs Changing and Hand to LOP Data Entry
(Name/Address changes go to Annual Programs Data Entry)

Insp:

AGENCY # : 10000 SOURCE OF FUNDS: F SUBSTANCE: 8006619
 StID : 969 LOC:
 SITE NAME: Quality Tune Up DATE REPORTED : 06/17/91
 ADDRESS : 2780 Castro Valley Blv DATE CONFIRMED: 06/17/91
 CITY/ZIP : Castro Valley 94546 MULTIPLE RPs : Y

SITE STATUS

CASE TYPE: G CONTRACT STATUS: 4 PRIOR CODE:2A3 EMERGENCY RESP:
 RP SEARCH: S DATE COMPLETED: 03/04/92
 PRELIMINARY ASMNT: U DATE UNDERWAY: DATE COMPLETED:
 REM INVESTIGATION: DATE UNDERWAY: DATE COMPLETED:
 REMEDIAL ACTION: DATE UNDERWAY: DATE COMPLETED:
 POST REMED ACT MON: DATE UNDERWAY: DATE COMPLETED:
 ENFORCEMENT ACTION TYPE: 1 DATE ENFORCEMENT ACTION TAKEN: 03/04/92
 LUFT FIELD MANUAL CONSID: 3SCA
 CASE CLOSED: DATE CASE CLOSED:
 DATE EXCAVATION STARTED : 06/17/91 REMEDIAL ACTIONS TAKEN: NT

RESPONSIBLE PARTY INFORMATION

RP#1-CONTACT NAME: William & Mary Gong
 COMPANY NAME: n/a
 ADDRESS: 4320 View Crest Ct.
 CITY/STATE: Oakland, Ca 94619

RP#2-CONTACT NAME: Larry Armstrong
 COMPANY NAME: Quality Tune Up
 ADDRESS: 2780 Castro Valley Blvd.
 CITY/STATE: Castro Valley, Ca 94546

(408)374-2001

INSPECTOR VERIFICATION:			
NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE	
Name/Address Changes Only			
ANNP GMS		LOP	DATE
		Case Progress Changes	
		LOP	DATE

2780' Castro Valley Blvd.

MEMO to file:

6/25/91

Matt Winter called today. He wanted my "blessing" to put the soil back into the hole. I reminded him that the soil had detectable HC, specifically TPH-D/G, O+G, and TEX, albeit the highest levels of any contaminant was O+G at 24ppm. Nonetheless, the most recent RWQCB policy requires that soils be ND for target compounds before being placed back into the pit. I had already explained this to him on 6/21, but he doesn't seem to grasp the concept. He said Hagenan-Schauk told him that there was "no problem" with putting the soil back into the pit. I haven't confirmed one way or the other what they told him.

I'm awaiting receipt of Chromalab's report regarding the condition of samples when received by the lab.

SJS

MEMO to file:

6/21/91

I spoke to Matt Minter of Minter & Fahy Construction this afternoon regarding the FAXed results (from Chromalab - San Ramon) of samples analyzed following their collection at the cited Quality Time-Up site. He is anxious to fill the hole up with the previously excavated backfill material.

I told him that I was concerned about the results because they don't appear consistent with my observations at the time UST closure. There was an obvious HC_A^{odor} in the soil collected at the south end of the tank, and GW in the pit had a HC sheen. The soil samples from both ends of the tank were ND for all analytes, except for (presumably) background levels of metals. The water sample only showed 0.9 ppm O+G and 0.011 ppm Zinc.

I further informed Mr. Minter that the RCRCB requires ND for all petroleum compounds before ~~the~~^{soil} can be returned to the UST pit; a composite from the excavated backfill had detectable conc. of O+G, TEX, and TPH-G. Therefore, it must be reduced below detection before returning to the hole.

I then called the lab, and requested a brief report regarding the condition of the samples upon receipt (e.g., temp., air bubbles in VOA's, preservation, etc.), and a review of chromatogram. The chemist told me that a second set of water samples were submitted the day after the first set had been submitted, and that a request was made to analyze the second set and not the first. Hum?

Quality Inc. up
2780 Castro Valley Blvd

MEMO to file

Apr 27, 1991

I spoke w/ Larry Armstrong today regarding the schedule for UST closure at this site. The closure plan was approved Feb 8, 1991, yet this Dept. has yet to be notified regarding the date closure will be affected. I asked him that he contact the consultant regarding the field activities and get back to me w/in 2 days.

I also reminded him that this Dept. has no information regarding a final closure report documenting the removal of 3 USTs in late 1986 or early 1987. He said that he doesn't know where to find this info, or even if it exist(ed). I asked that he do what he can to acquire any info involving the environmental aspects of these UST closures.

SDS

MEMO to file:

8/16/91

I spoke to John Mendrin (4M Construction) today to follow up on the records search he was to complete for ~~the~~ me. He said he was expecting the records to be forwarded to him by Wednesday (8-21).

SOS

I called for Bruce Hageman (Hageman-Aguilar) later this day to ask about the results of the additional pit water analysis. He was not in, so I left a message.

SOS

As I have not heard back from Mr. Mendrin, I called him today, leaving a message to call me.

SOS

10-29-91

Called John Mendrin. He said he thinks 4M found the info I was looking for, but the secretary handling it is out of the office. He promised to call back Friday A.M.

2780 Castro Valley Blvd

6/28/91

MEMO to file:

I have received and reviewed Chromalab's report. The report indicates that all samples were received in good condition, and were refrigerated. However, the initial water sample had been placed on "hold", and a subsequent sample analyzed. The report says that one of the 1-liter bottles from the initial sampling had a detectable HC odor; the other seemed "relatively clean."

Bruce Hageman (Hageman-Aquiar) called today. We discussed filling the UST pit, and the delay caused by properly treating the previously-excavated soils ~~to~~ to below ND levels. Mr. Hageman suggested that the material be hauled off to a Class III site (BFI) and bring in clean fill. I concurred with this proposal. I requested they analyze the 1st GW sample for TPH-G/D and TOC, only.

I then spoke with Eric Tam of Chromalab, and told him that Hageman-Aquiar will likely be contacting him regarding the analysis of the first GW sample. He also confirmed that the one composite sample had been composited in the lab.

209) 673-
22 28
4M

I spoke with John Mendrin of 4M Construction today regarding the tank removals which reportedly occurred during 1986/1987 at this site.

In a letter dated Oct 20, 1986, Larry Armstrong of Quality Tune Up said that a waste oil tank(s) and two (2) fuel tanks would be removed. This may include 3-4 tanks (Note: It is unclear whether there were 1 or 2 waste oil tanks because in one instance a single tank is referred to, in another "waste oil tanks" are mentioned).

In ~~my~~ review of info sent from Quality Tune Up via 4M Construction recently, it is unclear whether any tanks were removed. A chain-of-custody form dated 12/19/87 was included with this info indicating 6 soil and one (1) water sample were collected. It is unknown whether 4 of the soil samples (Samples #1-4) were collected from one pit or several, or reflected one tank or several. It appears water was present somewhere, and was collected. No lab analysis was provided. There is also a copy of a form entitled "TANK INFORMATION & HISTORY FORM"; it's not clear what this form represents, but states the address where a 7,500 gallon UST was removed as 1001 Castro Valley Blvd. It further states, "Tank pumped & sealed per local fire department." Does this mean that this particular tank was closed in place, or removed? And what of the other 2-3 tanks? Is this even reflecting this site (2780 CV Blvd.)?