STID 812

Industrial and Environmental Contractor P.O. Box 448 Napa, California 94559-0448 Contractor License # 455752

Phone: (707) 252-3353

A Sec. Co. p.

Fax: (707) 252-3385

W. A. Craig, Inc., Project No. 3365 January 9, 1995

Mr. and Mrs. Casimiro Damele 3750 Victor Avenue Oakland, California 94619

SUBJECT: Transmittal of Report for Soil and Ground Water Investigation Damele Property, 4401 Market Street, Oakland, California

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Damele:

W. A. Craig, Inc. is pleased to present the attached report for the preliminary soil and ground water investigation at the above-referenced site. You have authorized W. A. Craig, Inc. to prepare this report for submittal to the Alameda County Health Services Agency-Local Oversight Program (ACHCSA). W. A. Craig, Inc. understands that you will forward copies of this report to the ACHCSA and San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) for review. W. A. Craig, Inc. will be pleased to prepare the Work Plan for the recommended additional soil and ground water assessment for submittal to the regulatory agencies, following your authorization. Please call W. A. Craig, Inc. at your convenience if you have any questions.

Sincerely. W. A. CRAIG, INC. William A. Craig, II Owner, R.E.A. 01414/

WAC/JHD/jhd Attachment



Industrial and Environmental Contractor P.O. Box 448 Napa, California 94559-0448 Contractor License # 455752

Phone: (707) 252-3353

Fax: (707) 253-3385

REPORT SOIL AND GROUND WATER INVESTIGATION DAMELE PROPERTY 4401 MARKET STREET OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA FOR MR. AND MRS. CASIMIRO DAMELE

0414

ofessi Dailey, GE 256 John H. No. 256 Exp. 3-31-96

W. A. Craig, Inc., Project No. 3365 January 9, 1995

Industrial and Environmental Contractor P.O. Box 448 Napa, California 94669-0448 Contractor License # 455752

Phone: (707) 252-3353

FAX: (707) 253-3385

pooline

REPORT SOIL AND GROUND WATER INVESTIGATION DAMELE PROPERTY 4401 MARKET STREET OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the preliminary soil and ground water investigation performed by W. A. Craig, Inc. at the subject site located at 4401 Market Street in Oakland, California. The general location of the site is shown on the Site Location Map, Plate 1. W. A. Craig, Inc. conducted the investigation at your request and following the requirements of the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA) and the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) - San Francisco Bay Region. The purpose of the investigation was to initially evaluate the lateral extent of soil and ground water contamination, if any, at the former underground storage tank (UST) excavations, and to develop recommendations for further investigation, if necessary.

2.0 BACKGROUND

The subject site is a former gasoline dispensing station located on the northwest corner of 44th Street and Market Street. Four underground storage tanks, which previously contained gasoline, were removed from the site on June 22, 1990. These tanks include one 4,000 gallon UST and three 500 gallon USTs, all constructed of single-wall steel. When the tanks were removed, they were rusted, pitted, and contained one or more holes, and the seam near the fill end of Tank D (1,000 gallon UST) was split. Slight to strong petroleum odors and staining of the surrounding soils were associated with all of the USTs. The gasoline USTs were all located in the southeastern corner of the site, but they may have been installed at different times. The ages of the USTs are not known; however, they apparently predated the construction of the sidewalks along both Market and 44th Streets because the tanks extended partially below the sidewalks. Andispenser island located west and northwest, of the USTs, and associated piping were removed with the tanks. The existing building

along with the locations of the removed dispenser island and USTs, are shown on the Site Plan, Plate 2.

Soils from the excavation that appeared to be contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons were excavated from the pit at the time of the UST removals. The depth of the excavations ranged from 7½ feet to 15 feet below grade, or approximately 2 feet (or more) below the bottoms of the USTs, as specified by Mr. Dennis Bryne of the ACHCSA. Soil samples were collected from beneath the removed USTs and from the stockpiled soils from the pit. All soil samples were analyzed by Anametrix, Inc. of San Jose, California. Laboratory test reports were previously submitted as part of Environmental Bio-Systems report dated July 26, 1990.

Up to 870 parts per million (ppm) Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as gasoline, 5 ppm benzene, 24 ppm toluene, 20 ppm ethylbenzene, and 110 ppm total xylenes were detected in the six soil samples collected from bottom of the gasoline UST excavation. The results of analytical testing of the composited soil samples from the stockpile, indicated a maximum of 130 TPH as gasoline. Based on the laboratory test results and the extension of the excavation under the sidewalks, the ACHCSA agreed that the stockpiled soil could be placed back in the excavation pit; the pit was backfilled in 1990.

bross trenching performed across the site identified in preparation for DE activities) preline of PH contamination stall locations along trench. <u>3.0 REGIONAL GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY</u> Depth?

Based on our review of published geologic literature, the subject site and vicinity are underlain by undivided Pleistocene Age alluvium and colluvium deposited on the gentle slopes located between the Oakland Hills and the San Francisco Bay. These heterogeneous deposits predominately consist of irregularly bedded and poorly consolidated clays, silts, sands, and gravels of the Tamescal Formation, and include organic material and Claremont Chert inclusions. These deposits may also include San Antonio Formation materials and artificial fill.

Ground water is typically encountered at about 23 to 25 feet below grade, in an apparent confined water bearing zone. The ground water gradient is generally to the southeast; San Francisco Bay is approximately 1¼ miles to the west and northwest of the property.

4.0 SITE SAFETY PLAN

Field work performed at the site by W. A. Craig, Inc. was conducted in accordance with the Site Safety Plan submitted with our Work Plan on February 10, 1994. The safety plan described the basic safety requirements for a subsurface environmental investigation and drilling of soil borings at the site. The Site Safety Plan was applicable to personnel and

subcontractors performing work at the site. Personnel and subcontractors scheduled to perform work at the site were briefed on the contents of the Site Safety Plan and provided a copy before work began.

5.0 BOREHOLE DRILLING

We submitted our Work Plan on February 10, 1994; however, the Work Plan was revised in our addendum letter dated September 29, 1994. After approval of our addended Work Plan, we acquired a Monitoring Well Permit from the Zone 7 Water Agency prior to drilling. We were also required to obtain encroachment permits from the City of Oakland for drilling of borings in Market and 44th Streets.

Our geotechnical engineer and/or field geologist were onsite October 27 and 28, 1994 to observe the **Athenation of the materials** encountered, and assist in collecting soil samples from the borings. The locations of the borings and monitoring wells are shown on the Site Plan with Soil Boring and Monitoring Well Locations, Plate 3. The locations of the seven borings were chosen to assess the lateral and vertical extent of petroleum hydrocarbon contamination in the soils beneath the site. The location of the borings for monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-2 were chosen to provide information on possible petroleum hydrocarbon contamination in the assumed down-gradient ground water flow direction (to the southwest) of the removed USTs. And the boring for monitoring well MW-3 was installed in the assumed up-gradient direction of the removed UST excavations. The locations of the three wells were also chosen to establish the direction of the ground water gradient.

The borings were drilled using a truck-mounted drill rig operated by Clear Heart Construction & Drilling of Guerneville, California. Four-inch-diameter continuous-flight solid augers were used to drill the soil borings, and eight-inch-diameter continuous-flight hollow-stem augers were used to drill the boreholes converted to monitoring wells. The augers were steam-cleaned prior to each use to reduce the possibility of downhole or crosshole contamination. Logs of the borings are illustrated on Plates 4A through 4G. An apparent confined ground water bearing zone was encountered at approximately 23 to 25 feet below grade in the seven borings.

The drill cuttings from the borings were placed on and covered with a plastic sheeting east of and adjacent to the existing building, and remain the responsibility of the owner. The auger decontaminate rinsate was placed in 55-gallon drums and is stored adjacent to the drill cuttings. The borings not converted to monitoring wells (SB-1, SB-2, SB-3, and SB-4) were backfilled with a cement-bentonite grout.

6.0 SOIL SAMPLING

Soil samples were collected at 5-foot intervals from the ground surface to the total depth in each boring. The soil samples were collected by driving a California type drive sampler, fitted with clean 6-inch brass liner tubes. The samples were collected by advancing the boring to a point immediately above the sampling depth and then driving the sampler 18 inches into the soil with a standard 140-pound hammer falling 30 inches. The number of blows necessary to drive the sampler each 6-inch increment was counted and recorded to evaluate the relative consistency of the soil materials.

The sampler was recovered and the samples removed and subjectively assessed for the presence of hydrocarbons; any free product, discoloration or odor was noted on the boring log by the field geologist. After subjective assessment, the soil samples were described and the brass sample tube was quickly capped on both ends with Teflon to cover the ends of the sampling tube, sealed with an air-tight polyethylene cap on each end, and taped to seal the caps. The samples were labeled and placed in zip-lock bags, then immediately placed in a refrigerated ice chest for subsequent transport to the laboratory. Formal chain-of-custody records were maintained for all samples. A copy of the Chain-of Custody Record is included with the results of the laboratory analyses which are attached as Appendix A.

Descriptions of the soils encountered in the borings are presented on the Boring Logs, Plates 4A through 4G. The Unified Soil Classification System was used to identify soil encountered in the borings. A copy of this classification system is shown on Plate 5, Unified Soil Classification Chart.

7.0 MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION

Well Installation

Three monitoring wells (MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3) were installed at the site using hollowstem flight auger techniques. All monitoring wells were completed with 2-inch-insidediameter, PVC casing set to approximately 25 feet below grade. The screened casing in the wells consists of factory-milled PVC with 0.020-inch-wide slots that were set from the total depth of the boring to approximately 20 feet below grade. Unslotted PVC casing was set from the top of the screened casing to the ground surface. The casing joints in the wells were flush-threaded; no glues, chemical cements, or solvents were used to construct the wells. The top of each well casing is covered with a locking compression cap, and the bottom has a threaded end-plug.

The annular space of each well was backfilled with a sand pack of clean, water-washed Monterey #3 sand from the total depth to approximately one foot above the top of the screened casing. The sand pack was placed by carefully pouring sand down the annulus

between the hollow-stem auger and the well casing. The auger was raised periodically and an auger flight removed to allow the sand to fill the annulus between the casing and the borehole wall. A bentonite plug, approximately one foot thick, was placed above the sand as a seal against cement entering the sand pack. The remaining annulus was backfilled to within a few inches of the ground surface with a neat cement grout containing about 5 percent bentonite. Well completions consisted of watertight "Christy" boxes with tamper deterrent bolts placed over each wellhead and set at grade in concrete. The "Christy" boxes have a watertight seal to protect the monitoring well against surface water intrusion. Well completion details are shown on the logs of borings converted to monitoring wells, Plates 4E through 4G.

Well Development

The three monitoring wells were developed on November 2, 1994. The wells were developed by a combined bailing, pumping and water-surging technique. The wells were subsequently pumped until each well was thoroughly developed and essentially free of sand, silt and turbidity. The well development water was stored in DOT Type 17E, lined 55-gallon drums that were labeled and are temporarily stored at the site.

8.0 GROUND WATER SAMPLING

On November 8, 1994, our field geologist visited the site to collect water samples from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3; the collection of water samples was performed under the direction of our geotechnical engineer. Before collecting the water samples, the depth to water was measured to the nearest 0.01-foot with a Keck Oil Water Interface Meter. Ground water samples were collected from each well by gently lowering approximately half the length of a Teflon bailer past the air-water interface. Individual clean Teflon bailers were used in each well. The samples were retrieved and examined for any evidence of floating product, sheen, and emulsion. No subjective evidence of hydrocarbon contamination was observed in the water sample from the three monitoring wells.

Water samples for analytical testing were then collected by our field geologist for submittal to the analytical laboratory. The wells were purged of at least 5 well volumes to allow representative sampling of the formation water. The purge water was stored in DOT Type 17E, lined 55-gallon drums along with the previously collected development water. After purging, the water in the monitoring wells to be sampled were allowed to recharge to within 80 percent of their static water level before they were sampled for laboratory analysis. Water samples were collected with clean Teflon bailers. To establish that the water samples were representative of the aquifer, periodic measurements for pH, temperature and specific conductance were made. The sample was collected only when the pH, temperature and

specific conductance reached a more or less constant value; copies of the field log data sheets for each well are included in Appendix B to this report. Each well was sampled using a clean Teflon bailer to prevent cross contamination between wells. Approximately half the length of the bailer was lowered past the air-water interface to collect the water sample. The water was evaluated for floating product, sheen, or emulsion.

The water samples were slowly decanted into laboratory-cleaned, 40 milliliter glass vials for low boiling hydrocarbon water samples, as appropriate for the type of analysis. The samples were quickly sealed in the sample containers with Teflon-lined caps, labeled, and placed in iced storage for transport to McCampbell Analytical Inc., a certified analytical laboratory for testing. A Chain-of-Custody Record was initiated by our field geologist; a completed copy of this record is included in Appendix B to this report, with the results of the analytical laboratory testing.

9.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The materials encountered in the borings are described on Plates 4A through 4G. The Unified Soil Classification System was used to describe soils encountered in the borings. A copy of the classification system is shown on Plate 5, Unified Soil Classification Chart. Sieve analyses were performed in the laboratory on samples encountered in the water bearing zone in monitoring well MW-2 and soil boring SB-4. The resulting grain size distributions illustrated on Plate 6, can be used to determine the appropriate sand filter pack and screen slot size for future monitoring and extraction wells.

The product was observed during drilling or measuring of water levels in the other borings. A slight to strong petroleum odor was noted in the soil during the drilling of soil borings SB-2 and SB-4 and the boring for monitoring well MW-2.

Description of the monitoring wells, the work territy were description of the monitoring wells. Following drilling of the borings and installation of the monitoring wells, the ground water had risen to about 16¹/₂ feet below ground surface in the soil borings and the borings for the monitoring wells.

10.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS OF SOIL AND GROUND WATER SAMPLES

Twenty one soil samples, three samples from each the borings, were tested for petroleum hydrocarbon contamination. The samples collected from the borings were analyzed for TPH as gasoline and BTEX by EPA Methods 5030, 8015 (modified) and 8020. The results of the laboratory analyses of the soil samples indicated that the soil at the sample locations in the borings contained up to 220 ppm TPH as gasoline and up to 1.5 ppm benzene. The results of analytical laboratory analyses on soil samples from the borings are summarized on Table 1, at the end of this report. Copies of the Report of Laboratory Analysis are included in Appendix A to this report.

Ground water samples collected from wells at the site by our geologist were also tested for possible contaminants. Samples collected on November 8, 1994, from the monitoring wells were analyzed for TPH as gasoline and BTEX by EPA Methods 5030, 8015 (modified), and 602. The results of the laboratory analyses of the water samples indicated that 54 parts per billion (ppb) TPH as gasoline was detected in the water sample collected from monitoring well MW-1, and 20,000 ppb TPH as gasoline and 1,400 ppb benzene were detected in the water sample collected from monitoring well MW-2; the water sample from monitoring well MW-3 contained 0.71 ppb benzene. The results of the laboratory analyses of groundwater samples collected from the monitoring wells are summarized on Table 2, at the end of this report. Copies of the Report of Laboratory Analysis are included in Appendix B to this report.

11.0 EVALUATION OF GROUND WATER GRADIENT

The locations and elevations of the casings of wells were surveyed by David L. Contreras, Land Surveyor, on November 2, 1994. A copy of the Monitoring Well Survey is included as Appendix C of this report. Depth to ground water was measured in the monitoring wells to the nearest 0.01-foot using a Keck Oil Water Interface Meter. Depth-to-water measurements and the surveyed wellhead elevations were used to evaluate the ground water gradient on November 8, 1994. The ground water flow direction on November 8, 1994 was to the southeast (S40°E) at a gradient of 0.0179 (1.79 feet per 100 feet). The ground water elevation data are summarized in Table 3 at the end of the report.

12.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of our preliminary soil and ground water investigation, soil and ground water beneath the site have been impacted by petroleum hydrocarbon contamination of the sole of the baseling of the sole of the sole baseling the sole baseling of the sole of the sole of the baseling of the sole of the sole of the sole baseling of the sole of t

L's See meeting notes in memo dated 3/10/95. DE confirmed to be approp. in a vicinity of SB-2.

levels of contamination (220 ppm TPH as gasoline) were detected in soil boring SB-4, which was in the west traffic lane of Market Street. However, the final decision regarding overexcavation of petroleum hydrocarbon contaminated soil, will need to be negotiated with the regulatory agencies.

Laboratory analyses of groundwater beneath the site indicates that up to 20,000 ppb TPH as gasoline and 1,400 ppb benzene are present in the groundwater underlying the site. In addition, free product was observed in the water when measuring the water level in soil boring SB-2. Additional investigation should be performed to assess the lateral limits of the petroleum hydrocarbon contamination in the groundwater beneath the site. We recommend that two additional borings be drilled down-gradient of monitoring well MW-2 and converted to monitoring wells. The additional monitoring wells would assist in defining the lateral limits of the petroleum hydrocarbon contamination contamination in the ground water.

A Work Plan for further assessment of the soil and ground water at the site will be prepared and submitted to the ACHCSA and RWQCB - San Francisco Bay Region for approval, following your authorization.

13.0 CLOSURE

This soil and ground water investigation has been performed by W. A. Craig, Inc. for the purposes of the preliminary assessment of contamination at the site of the subject, previously removed, underground tanks. The results and opinions of this work are based on data collected at the locations of soil and water samples obtained from borings performed, and monitoring wells that were installed, as part of the investigation. It should be recognized that occurrences of contamination can migrate with time and could exist, or occur in the future, at other locations, or in amounts greater than those detected during this investigation.

We trust this provides the information required at this time. If you have any questions, please call. The following plates and appendices are attached and complete this report. A copy of this report should be forwarded to the ACHCSA and RWQCB - San Francisco Bay Region.

Plate 1 Plate 2 Plate 3 Site Location Map Site Plan Site Plan with Soil Boring and Monitoring Well Locations Plates 4A through 4G Plate 5 Plate 6 Table 1 Table 2

Table 3

Appendix A

Appendix B

Appendix C

Logs of Borings and Well Completion Details Unified Soil Classification Chart Gradation Test Data

Analytical Laboratory Test Results of Soil Samples from Borings Analytical Laboratory Test Results of Groundwater Samples Ground Water Surface Elevation Data

McCampbell Analytical, Inc. Analytical Test Results (Soil Samples from Borings) McCampbell Analytical, Inc. Analytical Test Results and Field Log Data Sheets (Ground Water Sampling) Monitoring Well Survey























[MAJOR DIVIS	SIONS			TYPICAL NAMES
	Ä			GW	•••••• ••••••	WELL GRADED GRAVELS WITH OR WITHOUT SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	0. 200 SIE	GRAVELS	OR NO FINES	GP	0 - 0 - 0 0 - 0 - 0	POORLY GRADED GRAVELS WITH OR WITHOUT SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	D SOILS THAN NC	COARSE FRACTION IS LARGER THAN NO. 4 SIEVE SIZE	GRAVELS WITH	GМ		SILTY GRAVELS, SILTY GRAVELS WITH SAND
	GRAINE		OVER 15% FINES	GC		CLAYEY GRAVELS, CLAYEY GRAVELS WITH SAND
	CARSE-		CLEAN SANDS	sw		WELL GRADED SANDS WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	C SE THAN I	SANDS MORE THAN HALF	OR NO FINES	SP		POORLY GRADED SANDS WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	MOF	COARSE FRACTION IS SMALLER THAN NO. 4 SIEVE SIZE	SANDS WITH	SM		SILTY SANDS WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL
			OVER 15% FINES	sc		CLAYEY SANDS WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL
	SIEVE			MĽ		INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTS WITH SANDS AND GRAVELS
	NNO. 200	SILTS AN LIQUID LIMIT :	D CLAYS 50% OR LESS	CL	\square	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY CLAYS WITH SANDS AND GRAVELS, LEAN CLAYS
	NED SC VER THA			OL		ORGANIC SILTS OR CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
	NE-GRA ALF IS FI			мн		INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS, FINE SANDY OR SILTY SOILS, ELASTIC SILTS
	FII THAN H	SILTS AN LIQUID LIMIT GRE	D CLAYS ATER THAN 50%	СН		INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAYS
	MORE			он	///	ORGANIC SILTS OR CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY
		HIGHLY ORG	ANIC SOILS	PT		PEAT AND OTHER HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS
	Derm	- Permeshility		<u> </u>		
(Consol	- Consolidation				No coll sample Hecovered Disturbed Soil Sample Resourced
1	_L	- Liquid Limit (%)			Sample Submitted for Laboratory Applying
I	Pl	- Plastic Index (%)			Undisturbed Soil Semale Recovered
(3.	- Specific Gravit	y			First Encountered Ground Water Level
ł	MA	- Particle Size A	nalysis		i ž	- Piezometric Ground Water Level
2	2.5 YR 6/	2 - Soil Color acco Munsell Soil C	ording to blor Charts (1975 Editi	ion)	Ŧ	
ę	5 GY 5/2	- GSA Rock Col	or Chart	• •	Per	 Sample drive hammer weight - 140 pounds falling 30 inches. Blows required to drive sampler 1 foot are indicated on the logs

INDUSTRIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTRACTOR

REVJ

 \mathcal{D}

Unified Soil Classification Chart 4401 Market Street Oakland, California DATE 1/95

REVISED DATE

PLATE

5

IOD MULLIPCO
JOB NUMBER
0005
3305
0000

	13 1	J 214	J 71/4	U.S.		SIEVE SI	ZE				
100			v. 3/4 		4 No.10	No.4	<u>м</u> Мари	6.200 			
			┤┼┼┼╼╍╏	<u> </u>		╢╫┧┾╎				┢┝┝┝	
90			┼┼┼┼╍┙		┼┼╌┼──	╢╫┼┼╌			┟━╍┠━╍╌╍┠╟┝┾┿	╎╎╎╌┝	·
		╶┼──╢╢			┼┼╍┼──		++		╏╴╏──┨╢╢┼	╋┿╌┼╴┼	
80		╾┼──╂┼╋┼	┼┊╎╎╌╏				+		┝╼┼──┨╢╢╢	╏╎╵┝	[
				- 111N							
					1			▓▋▋▋			-
ے اور خ					NI						
~											
E 50											
2 40	<u><u></u></u>		┼┼┼╌┝						<u> </u>		
_ ≝	╎╎╎			 ++++ 	┿┥	ll Indel-	┽╌┼┈╼┨				
30					++]]>				┟┼┼╼╌┼╴	
	╎╎┥┍╷ ╌ <mark>╟╴</mark>		┼┼┼┼╌╢		++	┨╊╊╋┝╋╶┝╺┇┝	- Ter	┋┼┼┼╲	┝──┤───┤┤┤┝┤		
20						╢╫╫╫╖	+			┟┼┼╼┼╴	<u> </u>
									- <u>0</u> - <u>0</u> -∐ _	┟╎┼┈┼	
10			┿╢┼┼╴╏					╢┼┼┼╾		╞╌╞╾┼╌╌╎╼	-0-
1000)	100	<u>at at an </u>	10	1	.0	0.1		0.01		0.001
				G	RAIN SIZE I		ETERS				
	COBB	LES	GRA	/EL		SAND			SILT OR C		
Ĺ			COARSE	FINE	COARSE ME	DIUM	FINE				
				UNIFIED	SOIL CLA	SSICAT	ON SYST	EM			
LEGE	IND		©						A		
BOR	ING BER		MW-2			SB-2					
DEP (FEI	TH ET)		24.5			21.5			-		
SO DESCRI	IL IPTION	LIGH1 SAN	OLIVE E	ROWN (ML)	OLIVI GRAV	E GRAY	CLAYEY AND (SC)				
					<u>I</u>				<u> </u>		
				GRA	DATION	I TES		1			
W. A. CRA	IG, INC	•	····		<u>.</u>	Grad	ation Tes	Data			PLATE
INDUSTRIAL AN		NMENTAL CO	NTRACTOR			4401 Oakla	Market St and, Califo	reet rnia			6
XOB NUMBER		REVEWED					DATE 1/05		REVISED DATE		
0000		-710	<u>·</u> /				1/90				
		\checkmark									

TABLE 1 ANALYTICAL LABORATORY TEST RESULTS OF SOIL SAMPLES FROM BORINGS 4401 Market Street Oakland, California

Sample Location	TPH Gasoline	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes
SB-1 @ 10' SB-1 @ 15' SB-1 @ 20'	ND ND	ND ND<0.01 ND	ND 0.13 ND	ND 0.21 ND	ND 0.18 ND
SB-2 @ 10.5' SB-2 @ 15' SB-2 @ 20.5'	19 5.7	0.079 0.46 0.006	0.034 0.041 ND	0.43 0.31 0.010	4.7 4.2 0.079
SB-3 @ 10' SB-3 @ 15' SB-3 @ 19.5'	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND
SB-4 @ 10' SB-4 @ 15' SB-4 @ 20'	ND ND	ND ND<0.01 ND	0.005 0.60 ND	0.006 0.46 ND	0.016 0.93 ND
MW-1 @ 10' MW-1 @ 15' MW-1 @ 20'	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND 0.005 ND	ND ND ND
MW-2 @ 10' MW-2 @ 15' MW-2 @ 20'	ND 2.0	ND 1.5 ND	ND 1.4 0.009	ND 2.3 0.016	ND 12 0.062
MW-3 @ 10' MW-3 @ 15' MW-3 @ 20'	1.1 ND ND	ND ND ND	0.006 ND ND	ND ND ND	0.010 ND ND

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons Results are in parts per million ND = not detected at or above laboratory reporting limits

TABLE 2 ANALYTICAL LABORATORY TEST RESULTS OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLES 4401 Market Street Oakland, California

Sample Location	TPH Gasoline	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes
MW-1	54	ND	ND	ND	1.2
MW-2	20,000	1,400	960	980	4,600
MW-3	ND	0.71	0.84	1.2	5.8

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons Results are in parts per billion (ppb) ND = Not detected at or above laboratory reporting limits

TABLE 3GROUND WATER SURFACE ELEVATION DATAat4401 Market StreetOakland, California

Well No.	Casing	Depth to	Groundwater
	Elevation	Groundwater	Elevation
November 8, 1994			
MW-1	71.12	11.27	59.85
MW-2	70.62	11.41	59.21
MW-3	71.79	12.16	59.63

Elevation measurements are in feet and referenced to mean sea level

APPENDIX A

MCCAMPBELL ANALYTICAL, INC. ANALYTICAL TEST RESULTS (SOIL SAMPLES FROM BORINGS)

APPENDIX B

MCCAMPBELL ANALYTICAL, INC. ANALYTICAL TEST RESULTS (GROUND WATER SAMPLING)

110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553 Tele: 510-798-1620 Fax: 510-798-1622

W/ A Croig Inc												
W.A. Craig,	Inc.	Client Pro	oject ID:#3	365		Date Sample	:d: 10/27/94					
P.O. Box 448	3					Date Receiv	ed: 10/28/94	ł				
Napa, CA 94	1559	Client Co	ntact: Bill C	raig		Date Extract	ted: 10/31/9	4				
		Client P.C	D :			Date Analyz	ed: 10/31-1	1/02/94				
EPA methods	Gasoline Ran 5030, modified 8015, and	ge (C6-C1 i 8020 or 602	2) Volatile E ; California RW	lydrocarbon /QCB (SF Bay	is as Gasol Region) met	line*, with B' hod GCFID(50	TEX* 30)					
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g) ⁺	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylben- zene	Xylenes	% Rec. Surrogate				
41941	3365 SB1-10	S	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	109				
41942	3365 SB1-15	S	72,g	ND< 0.01	0.13	0.21	0.18	105				
41943	3365 SB1-20	S	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	107				
41944	3365 SB2-10.5	s	40,b	0.079	0.034	0.43	4.7	99				
41945	3365 SB2-15	s	19,b,c	0.46	0.041	0.31	4.2	100				
41946	3365 SB2-20.5	s	5.7,b,d	0.006	ND	0.010	0.079	109				
41947	3365 SB3-10	S	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	102				
41948	3365 SB3-15	S	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	104				
41949	3365 SB3-19.5	S	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	103				
41950	3365 MW1-10	s	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	105				
41951	3365 MW1-15	S	ND,d	ND	ND	0.005	ND	107				
41952	3365 MW1-20	s	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	108				
				-								
Detection I	imit unless other-	w	50 ug/L	0.5	0.5	0.5	0,5					
wise stated	i; ND means Not etected	S	1.0 mg/kg	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	1.				

*water samples are reported in ug/L, soil samples in mg/kg, and all TCLP extracts in mg/L

[#] cluttered chromatogram; sample peak co-elutes with surrogate peak

⁺ The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline?); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds are significant; no recognizable pattern; e) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (?); f) one to a few isolated peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible phase is present.

DHS Certification No. 1644

Edward Hamilton, Lab Director

110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553 Tele: 510-798-1620 Fax: 510-798-1622

W.A. Craig,	Inc.	Client Pro	oject ID: # 3	365		Date Sample	:d: 10/28/94	<u> </u>
P.O. Box 448	3					Date Receiv	ed: 10/28/94	L
Napa, CA 94	559	Client Co	ntact: Bill C	raig		Date Extract	ed: 10/31/9	4
		Client P.0	D:			Date Analyz	ed: 10/31-1	1/01/94
EPA methods 5	Gasoline Ran 030, modified 8015, an	ige (C6-C1 d 8020 or 602	2) Volatile H ; California RV	lydrocarbor VQCB (SF Bay	s as Gasol Region) met	ine*, with B'	TEX* 30)	
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g) ⁺	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylben- zene	Xylenes	% Rec. Surrogate
41932	3365 MW2-10	S	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	107
41933	3365 MW2-15	S	97,a	1.5	1.4	2.3	12	102
41934	3365 MW2-20	S	2.0,b	ND	0.009	0.016	0.062	102
41935	3365 MW3-10	S	1.1,g	ND	0.006	ND	0.010	106
41936	3365 MW3-15	S	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	107
41937	3365 MW3-20	S	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	107
41938	3365 SB4-10	S	ND,b	ND	0.005	0.006	0.016	104
41939	3365 SB4-15	S	220,b,đ	ND< 0.01	0.60	0.46	0.93	95
41940	3365 SB4-19.5	s	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	106
Detection L	imit unless other-	w	50 ug/L	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
D	etected	S	1.0 mg/kg	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	

*water samples are reported in ug/L, soil samples in mg/kg, and all TCLP extracts in mg/L

[#] cluttered chromatogram; sample peak co-elutes with surrogate peak

⁺ The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline?); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds are significant; no recognizable pattern; c) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (?); f) one to a few isolated peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible phase is present.

DHS Certification No. 1644

54

Edward Hamilton, Lab Director

QC REPORT FOR HYDROCARBON ANALYSES

4

Date:

10/30-10/31/94

Matrix: Soil

	Concent	ration	(mg/kg)		% Reco	very	
Analyte	Sample	MS	MSD	Amount Spiked	MS	MSD	RPD
TPH (gas) Benzene	0.000 0.000	2.057 0.162	1.967 0.170	2.03	101 81	97 85	4.5 4.8
Toluene Ethylbenzene	0.000	0.160 0.158	0.176 0.168	0.2	80 79	88 84	9.5 6.1
Xylenes	0.000	0.512	0.538	0.6	85	90	5.0
TPH (diesel)	0	297	299	300	99	100	0.7
TRPH (Oil & grease)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

% Rec. = (MS - Sample) / amount spiked x 100

 $RPD = (MS - NSD) / (MS + MSD) \times 2 \times 100$

110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553 Tele: 510-798-1620 Fax: 510-798-1622

QC REPORT FOR HYDROCARBON ANALYSES

Date:

11/01-11/02/94

Matrix: Soil

	Concent	ration	(mg/kg)		% Reco	very	
Analyte	Sample	MS	MSD	Amount Spiked	MS	MSD	RPD
TPH (gas) Benzene	0.000	1.640	1.738	2.03	81	86	5.8
Toluene	0.000	0.182	0.182	0.2	90	91	1.1
Ethylbenzene	0.000	0.178	0.180	0.2	89	90	1.1
Xylenes	0.000	0.550	0.552	0.6	92	92	0.4
TPH (diesel)	0	293	298	300	98	99	1.8
TRPH (oil & grease)	0.0	17.4	16.7	20.8	84	80	4.1

% Rec. = (MS - Sample) / amount spiked x 100

 $RPD = (MS - MSD) / (MS + MSD) \times 2 \times 100$

PROJECT ND.	PROJECT NAME			Ŀ,			A	NAL	YSIS	}				
3365	` <u>`</u>		·	A 's	2									
PURCHASE ORDER NO.	skihature of sampler	Matta	- cei	soil, Wat	ne (801	02/8020	el (8015	& BTEX			sved?	RE	MARKS	LABORATORY
DATE	W. A. CRAI SAMPLE ID	G, INC.'S ENTIFICATIO	N	MATRIX: S Sludge, Ot	TPHgasoli	BTEX ((TPHdies	TPHG			Prese			I. D. NUMBER
10/27 8:	15 3365 SB	11-10		SOIL				X						41045
0/27 8	30 3365 58	1 - 15	_ 					X	_					41948
10/27 8:	50 3365 SB	1 - 20						<u>×</u>	┥┥					41044
1027 10	10 3365 SB	2 -10.5						X			. 			. 41945
12 10	30 3365 582	2 - 15	<u></u>	└-{				X	╶╀──┼					41046
10 10	45 3365 SB2	2 - 20.5						X	╉┈╉				ł	A1042
427 12	<u>45 3365 SB3</u>	- 10	• • • • • • • • • • • •					X				·····		41948
0/27 13	10 3365 583	- 15						X			·		}	AIGAR
w/a7 13	<u>20 3365 SB3</u>	- 19.5	<u></u>					<u>X</u>					{/	41050
0/27 14	20 3365 MW	1-10						<u> </u>	┥┥		╉╌╍╼┠			4105 r
127 YY	35 3365 MW-	1- 15						\$⊢	╉┈╂		╉╍╍╍┨		{	A1059
0/27 14	45 3365 MAN	1 - 20	va.s'	189783	<u>.</u>	77. j	7		++	-+	<u>+</u> +			41332 /
	CETT SOOD CUNUTIENT	PERSEN: PERSENT	ADE CON			-								
ELINGLISHED	HEAD STALE NUCLY	DATE/TIME	RECEMED BY	Signature):							ATORY			
9D	1 M.H.	intra inis											W. A. CF	AIG. INC.
IEL NOUISHED	BY (Signatura):	DATE/TIME	RECEIVED BY (in all one):	/	`	_		-				P.O. BOX	448
m Co	sandu	14/18/14 8:08m	(d	1.	2	2			TU		ROUND		NAPA, C. (707) 25	A 94559-0448 2-3353
ELINQUISHED	BY (Signalem):	OATE/TIME	RECEIVED BY (ignalum):						، کار 				
			1						12	1- FC	S	257	I ATTN:	

Copyright GEOTECHINGA TECHTICH 609 (540)

17077788527 P.05

P. 09

17077788527

6

FROM McCampbell Analytical Inc

11-11-1994 @9:51AM

• -

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

<u> </u>	W.	A. CKAIG	<u>, INC.</u>		CNA			-0	05	IOL	7.T	ME	LOH		#315-4A	WAC 255
PROJECT	ND, p	IDJECT NAME			i,			A	NA	LYS	S					
336 MURCHAS ORDER H	5 *. *	ignature of sampler	Matter	icer	X: Soil, Water, A	soline (8015)	((602/8020)	Jiesel (8015)	Hg & BTEX				eserved?	RE	MARKS	LABORATORY
DATI	TIME	W. A. CRAI SAMPLE ID	G, INC.'S ENTIFICATIO	N	MATRI	TPHga	BTE	HdI	Ē				ũ.			41932
10/28	7:50	3365 MG	w2-10		SOIL	_			X		+	┥┤		.		Ц 41938 🕚
10/28	8:20	3365 ML	> 2-15		┢╌┠╌	-			X			┨──┤			····	41934
10/28	8:45	3365 Ma	12-20		╀╌┼╌	+			X		 				······	41935
10/28	11:15	3365 MW	3-10		╉╌╂╌	╂			X							41936
10/28	11: 30	3365 Mu	3.15		╉╋	- 			×-		-					A1037
10128	11:45	3365 196	4 - 10	<u></u>	╏╶╏╌	╂──			$\mathbf{\nabla}^{+}$			┝╼╌┼				41038
19/28	14:2	3365 CR	$\frac{7 - 70}{4 - 75}$			1		·	X							41939
I al 25	15:15	3365 SB	4 - 19.5						X							41940
			4	yû.	20 (D %)			L.								
		GOOD CONDITION HEAD SPACE ABS	APPRO ENTONTA	PRIATE			-1-								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	HIED BY C	Signature): <u>J. Mitheren</u> Signature): Daucuc	DATE/TIME 10/28 / 77:50 DATE/TIME 19/29/11 8:00 DATE/TIME	RECEIVED BY	(Signature): (Signature): (Signature):		с С		<u> </u>		JRN ME:	ARC	TORY:		PLEASE SEND RES W. A. CI P.O. BOX NAPA, C. (707) 25	 SULTS TO: RAIG, INC. K 448 A 94559-0448 2-3353

S.

Capyight BEOTECHNICA TECHNINA SOO (SAR

110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553 Tele: 510-798-1620 Fax: 510-798-1622

W.A. Craig, Inc. P.O. Box 448		Client Pro Oakland	oject ID: # 3	365; 4401 M	Date Sampled: 11/08/94 Date Received: 11/09/94						
Napa, CA 94559		Client Co	ntact: Bill C	raig	Date Extracted: 11/09/94						
		Client P.C):		Date Analyzed: 11/09/94						
EPA methods S	Gasoline Re	age (C6-C12) Volatile Hydrocarbons as Gasoline", with BTEX*									
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g) ⁺	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylben- zene	Xylenes	% Rec. Surrogate			
42212	3365 MW1	w	54,5	ND	ND	ND	1.2	100			
42213	3365 MW2	w	20,000,a	1400	960	980	4600	105			
42214	3365 MW3	w	ND,a	0.71	0.84	1.2	5.8	100			
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
				1				1			
					1			1 .			

*water samples are reported in ug/L, soil samples in mg/kg, and all TCLP extracts in mg/L

50 ug/L

1.0 mg/kg

"cluttered chromatogram; sample peak co-elutes with surrogate peak

W

S

⁺ The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline?); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds are significant; no recognizable pattern; c) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (?); f) one to a few isolated peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible phase is present.

0.5

0.005

0.5

0.005

0.5

0.005

0.5

0.005

DHS Certification No. 1644

Detection Limit unless other-

wise stated; ND means Not Detected

Edward Hamilton, Lab Director

17077788527 P.03

PROJECT	HG. PAC	NECT HAME 4401 MARKET S	۲ ۲		·	A	NA	LYS	IS		- 	-		
PURCHAS ORDER N	€. 94G	HATURE OF BAMPLER	Soil, Water,	ther boxed	602/8020)	sei (8015)	& BTEX				erved?	RE	MARKS	LABORATO
DATE	TIME	W. A. CRAIG, INC.'S SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	MATRIX:	Sludge, O	BTEX	TPHdie	ТРНО				Pres			I. D. NUMB
ula_	12:50	14 3365 MW 1 -	3 101	AS	X									42219
11/8	13:07	3365 MW2 .	-3 40	AS			-					DAMAG	1 SAMPLE 10 - No CEAKS	12220111
uls	13.30	3365 MW 3	- 3 10	AS					$\frac{1}{1}$					
							_							
						$\left \right $			-					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-									
				NS Q	8 A I	70	ion e						·	
		CEAT APPEN						<u>.</u>	 			·····		<u>}</u>
		HEAD SPACE ABSENT S. CONTA	NER	4	+		-	-	<u> </u>	┝╍┥				·
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					+	1.	1					····
	150 54 (Sig	Mattim 11/3 8:50 TC	D BY LEignator	l 4 + 1	20	le	li		ABC		TORY:		PLEASE SEND RESUL W. A. CRA P.O. BOX NAPA. CA	15 10 UG, INC. 448 94559-0448
<u>Felinous</u> Internous	150 BY (5190	they 9/94 Am	n Wol of signalus gide	· Ku	F. eca				JRN ME:		DUND	-	(707) 252 ATTN:	3353

.....

11-11-1994 09:47AM FROM McCampbell Analytical Inc

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING DATA

TIME		ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY {pmbos/cm}	рН		
(24 HH WWA)		650	6.36	19.0	CLEAN
10 37	0.5	<00	6.44 :	21.0	· · · ·
10:31	25	<30	6.50	20.0	
10:40	25	490	6.50	19.8	
10 7/	4.0	<00	6.47	20.1	
10.12		490	6.48	20.0	
		490	6.49	20.1	
10:99		< 203	6.46	19.5	
10:45		400	6.46	20,1	
10:46	1.3	(30	6.49	20.0	
10:48	8.5	480	.6.51	201	
10:97	1.2	680	6.46 .	20.5	
10:32	10.0	<u> </u>	6.31 .	20.5	
10.57	11				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	,	
	-				
•					
1					
DEPTH TO B	OTTOM OR CASING	3 LENGTH		WELL INSIDE DIAM	ETER
TOTAL DEPTH	25.0 - DEPTH TO WATER	- <u>//.27</u> - ΔH (WELL CASIN ./63 - VOLUME (G	FT) <u>73.73</u>	VOLUME 2" = 0,163 FACTOR 3" = 0,367 V. F. = GAL/ FT 4" = 0,653	5" = 1.02 10" = 4.08 6" = 1.47 12" = 5.87 8" = 2.61
		104		WELL DEWATERED	YES NO
DATE(S)	-UNGED /// 2	0		DATE SAMPLED	11/0/94
PURGE ME	THOD SUBM	ER. PUMP		TIME SAMPLED	11/8/11
INITIAL DEP	TH TO WATER	11.27	·		/2:30
TOTAL VO	LUME REMOVED	(GAL) /1.5		SAMPLING METHO	N BAILES
CASING V	OLUMES REMOVE	D 5./3	·	WEATHER CONDIT	IONS CLEAR
PURGE RA	TE (GPM)			PURGED / SAMPLI	ED BY GM
DEPTH TO	WATER AFTER	RECOVERY 11.32	(FT) = <u>99</u>	.5 % RECOVERED	PRIOR TO SAMPLING
NOTES		DATE	Mh)	1	· · ·
	5362	JOB NO.			CUTI D DATE I DI XTE NO
		DWG NO.	WELL PURC	GING AND SAMPLING	3 FIELD DATA
•		DRAWN			
	-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING DATA

(24 Mi ulum)	REMOVED (GAL)	CONDUCTIVITY (pmhos/cm)	рН ́.	TEMPERATURE (° c)	
11:18	1.5	770	6.46	20.8	CLEAN
11:21	2.5	810	6.40	208	
11:23	3,5	890	6.42	20.5	
11:25	4.5	· 840	6.37	206	
11:28	5.5	820	6.38	206	
11:30	6.5	820	6.39	210	
11:32	7.5	810	6.39	21.0	
11:35	8.5	800	6.39	21.0	
11:36	9.5	800	6.40	20.9	
	•		•	•••	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	······································			· ·	
			· ·		· .
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		· · ·			
					· · · ·
	TOM OR CASING		<u> </u>		
DTAL DEPTH	DEPTH TO			WELL INSIDE DIAMET	IER
ын (FT) <u>/3.</u>	<u>40</u> — WATER <u>57</u> X (V.F.) =	• <u>/1.9/</u> •△H (F WELL CASING <u>-163</u> = VOLUME (GAI	T) <u>13.57</u> 1 2.22	VOLUME 2" = 0.163 FACTOR 3" = 0.367 V. F. = GAL/ FT 4" = 0.653	\$" = 1.02 10" = 4.08 5" = 1.47 12" = 5.87 8" = 2.51
ATE(S) PUF	GED 11/8	194		WELL DEWATERED	
URGE METH	00 5.4	and Dime	·`	DATE SAMPLED	ulaleu
ITIAL DEPTH	TO WATER	HALL FOMP	, 	TIME SAMPLED	118179
		<u>//. //</u>		TIME SAMPLED	13:07
	TE REMOVED (I	GAL) 11.5		SAMPLING METHOD	BAIL
ASING VOLU	MES REMOVED	5.18	•	WEATHER CONDITIO	NS CLEAN
URGE RATE	<u>(GPM)</u>			PURGED / SAMPLED	BYCM
EPTH TO W/	ATER AFTER RE	COVERY 11.35 (FT) = 100	5 % RECOVERED P	RIOR TO SAMPLING
IOTES 33	365	DATE 11/8/94	MW-	2	
•		DRAWN CHIKD	VELL PURG	ING AND SAMPLING F	IELD DATA PLATE NO.

				<u></u>		
			CONDUCTIVITY (pmbos/cm)	рН	TEMPERATURE (° c)	TURBIDITY (UTV)
-	11:55	1 12	<∞	6.67	19.5	TURBID
-	11:56	2.0	440	6.69	20.0	
-	11:57	3.0	450	6.68	20.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u> </u>	12:01	40	410	6.54	19.9	
5 i F	12:04	5.0	400	6.47	19.9	
: F	12:06	6.0	440	6.60	19.8	
	12:08	7.0	480	6.66	19.5	
· ·	12.12	8.0	410	6.60	19.8	
· F	12:15	9.0	400	6.52	19.8	CLEANING
 	12:18	11.0	390	6.52	20.0	
	12:19	12.0	390	6.52	200	
. [12:20	13.0	410	6.47	200	
	12.24	14.0	390	6.52	200	
	12.27	15.0	400	6.50	20.0	<u>·</u>
			2) <u></u>			
				·····		
1.1						
				<u> </u>	······································	
	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -					
•		<u> </u>				
	·					····
·· ,	1		· · ·			
·	DEPTH TO BO	DITOM OR CASIN	G LENGTH		WELL INSIDE DIAM	ETER
	TOTAL DEPTH	5.0 - DEPTH T	ο- <u>12.16</u> • Δ Η WELL CAS	(FT) 12.84 ING 209	VOLUME 2" = 0.163 FACTOR 3" = 0.367 V. F. = GAL/ FT 4" = 0.655) 5° = 1.02 10° = 4.08 7 6° = 1.47 12° = 5.87 3 8° = 2.61
	ΔH (FI) /	<u>(.89 x (v.r.) -</u>	<u>./6</u> * VOLUME (UALI <u>sour</u>	WELL DEWATERED	
	DATE(S)P	UKGED ///8	194	······	DATE SAMPLED	110/94
	PURGE ME	THUE SUA	MER PUM		TIME SAMPLED	12:30
	INITIAL DEP	IN TO WATCH	12.16		SAMPLING METHO	$\frac{13.20}{2}$
· · .	TOTAL VOL	UME REMOVED	(UAL) 15.0		WEATLED CONDIT	TONS ALTAL
•	CASING VC	LUMES REMOVI	D 7.18		DUDGED / SALADI	FO BY CM
	PURGE RA	re (GPM)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PUNGEU / SAMITL	
	DEPTH TO	WATER AFTER	RECOVERY 12.19	<u>. (FT) = 79.</u> T	Y 70 HELUVERED	
	NOTES	365	DATE	MW	3	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		DWG NO	WELL PLING	ING AND SAMPLING	S FIELD DATA PLATE A
			DRAWN			

.

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING DATA

•

;

- -

DITY
· · ·
·····
,
· ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u> </u>
10" = 4,08 12" = 5,87
NO NO
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u>~</u>
7
AMPLING
AMPLING
AMPLING
AMPLING

•

APPENDIX C

MONITORING WELL SURVEY



SB-1 5039.66 4890.79 72.5 9*8-2* 5016.96 5000.28 72.0 58-3 5029.1Z 4969.48 72.3' 5 8-4 5032.79 5019.75 71.9'

DAVID L. CONTRERAS, LAND SURVEYOR (415) 892-5905

NOVATO, CA

NOVEMBER 2, 1994

20 VIVIAN CT.

5CALE : 1" = 20'

SURVEY 0035