W. A. CRAIG, INC. **Environmental Consulting and Contracting** P. O. Box 448 Napa, California 94559-0448 **Contractor and Hazardovs Substances License #455752 Cal/OSHA Statewide Annual Excavation Permit #559351** (800) 522-7244

Fax: (707) 252-3385

Berkeley (510) 525-2780

A musana profession **GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT** June 1997

DAMELE PROPERTY 4401 Market Street **Oakland**, California

EL 14 NA DE MAR 15

194

June 27, 1997 W.A. Craig, Inc. Project No. 3365



June 27, 1997

Mr. and Mrs. Casimiro Damele 3750 Victor Avenue Oakland, California 94619 510/ 531-0778

Project No. 3365

Subject: REPORT - Groundwater Monitoring June 1997 Damele Property 4401 Market Street Oakland, California

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Damele:

W. A. Craig, Inc. (WAC), is pleased to submit this Groundwater Monitoring Report for sampling conducted on June 12, 1997 at 4401 Market Street (site) in Oakland, California (**Figure 1**). This is the ninth quarter of groundwater monitoring since the installation of three groundwater monitoring wells at the site in October, 1994. This work was performed in accordance with the scope of work presented in WAC's Work Plan dated February 10, 1994.

Scope of Work

The scope of work conducted by WAC during this period included the following tasks:

- Measuring static water levels in three monitoring wells;
- Purging and sampling groundwater from the three monitoring wells at the site;
- Analyzing groundwater samples for total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline range organic compounds (TPH-g), and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) and methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE); and
- Preparation of this report.

Groundwater Elevations

On June 12, 1997, WAC technical staff measured water levels in the monitoring wells using an electronic water level indicator. The monitoring wells were surveyed by a State-Licensed surveyor in November, 1994. The surveyed elevations and the field water level measurements were used to calculate the groundwater surface elevations at the site. The groundwater gradient and flow direction on June 12, 1997 were 0.011 ft/ft and S25°W, respectively. The average groundwater elevations were approximately 2-feet lower than were measured during the previous, December 1996, sampling event. Groundwater elevations for this and previous monitoring events are presented in **Table 1**. The locations of the monitoring wells and a depiction of the site groundwater elevation contours are shown in **Figure 2**.

Groundwater Sampling

Three well casing volumes were purged from each monitoring well prior to collecting groundwater samples. Field parameters including temperature, pH, conductivity, and turbidity were intermittently monitored during purging of the wells. Groundwater samples were collected using disposable polyethylene bailers. Field observations and well volume calculations were recorded on field groundwater sampling logs. Copies of the field logs are included as **Attachment A**.

Groundwater samples were submitted under chain-of-custody control to McCampbell Analytical, Inc. (MAI), of Pacheco, California. The purged groundwater is currently stored on-site in labeled, DOT approved, 55-gallon, steel drums.

Analytical Results

The groundwater samples were analyzed by MAI for TPH-g using EPA Method 8015 (modified) and purgeable aromatic hydrocarbons (BTEX) and MTBE using EPA Method 8020. MAI is certified by the State of California to perform the required analyses. The results of the analyses are summarized on Table 2. Copies of the laboratory analytical report and chain-of-custody documents are in Attachment B.

Groundwater samples from monitoring well MW-2 were reported to contain 5100 micrograms per liter ($\mu g/l$) TPH-g, 320 $\mu g/l$ benzene, 32 $\mu g/l$ toluene, 190 $\mu g/l$ ethylbenzene, and 880 $\mu g/l$ xylenes. MTBE was not detected above 32 $\mu g/l$. MTBE was reported at 12 $\mu g/l$, and TPH-g was reported at 190 $\mu g/l$ in monitoring well MW-1. TPH-g, BTEX and MTBE were not reported above the laboratory limits of detection in the groundwater samples collected from monitoring well MW-3. Figure 3 is a plot of TPH-g and benzene concentrations in monitoring well MW-2 and TPH-g concentrations in monitoring well MW-1 for the monitoring period (11/94 to 6/97). Figure 4 is a linear regression best fit plot of the same data plotted on a log-normal scale. These data suggest that the concentrations of TPH-g and benzene in the monitoring wells are relatively stable or decreasing.

Conclusions

Groundwater elevations were approximately 2-feet lower than previously measured during the December 1996 sampling event. The groundwater flow in the general site area is consistently toward the south.

Analytical results for monitoring well MW-1 have remained below the detectable reporting limits for BTEX. All analytes in monitoring well MW-2 were reported at lower concentrations than were reported during the previous sampling period, although the concentrations are generally consistent with historical concentrations. Concentrations of all analytes in monitoring well MW-2 appear to increase with rising groundwater and decrease with falling groundwater. The groundwater sample analytical results for samples from MW-3 are consistent with previous monitoring periods and continue to be below the laboratory limits of detection for TPH-g, BTEX, and MTBE.

The upgradient monitoring well (MW-3) has had trace to non-detectable concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbon constituents. Monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-2 are down gradient of the site. Based on regression analysis of the groundwater data, there appears to be a clear trend indicating that all constituents are decreasing over time.

Recommendations

On the basis of WAC's review of the groundwater quality results information from ninth quarterly groundwater monitoring events and the results of previous investigations, WAC recommends expanding the current groundwater monitoring well network to include one, or more, down gradient monitoring wells. These wells would be used to assess and monitor the lateral extent of gasoline and related constituents. WAC further recommends that the existing groundwater monitoring program should be continued and expanded to include any new wells.

Professional Certification

This report has been prepared by the staff of W. A. Craig, Inc., under the professional supervision of the persons whose seals and signatures appear hereon. No warranty, either expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice presented herein. The analysis, conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based upon site conditions as they existed at the time of quarterly monitoring and sampling and they are subject to change.

The conclusions presented in this report are professional opinions based solely upon visual observations of the site and vicinity, and interpretation of available information as described in this report. W.A. Craig, Inc., recognizes that the limited scope of services performed in execution of this scope of work may not be appropriate to satisfy the needs, or requirements of other regulatory agencies, or of other users. Any use or reuse of this document or its findings, conclusions or recommendations presented herein is at the sole risk of the user. There is no other warranty, either expressed or implied.

Closing Statement

The next quarterly sampling event is tentatively scheduled for September, 1997. We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you on this groundwater monitoring project. Should you have any questions regarding this report please give us a call at (707) 252-3353.

Sincerely,

W.A. Craig, Inc.,

David E. Conley, R.G. Geologist

W.A. Craig II, R.E.A. Owner

Attachments:	Table 1 - Groundwater Elevations						
	Table 2 - Groundwater Sample Analytical Results						
	Figure 1 - Site Location Map						
	Figure 2 - Groundwater Elevation Contours						
	Figure 3 - TPH-g & Benzene vs Time Plot						
	Figure 4 - Linear Regression Log Plot						
	A - Groundwater Sampling Logs						
	B - Laboratory Analytical Reports						

cc: Ms. Amy Leech, Alameda County Department of Environmental Management

A:\DAMELE9Q\QMR697.WPD

TABLE 1Groundwater Elevations4401 Market StreetOakland, California

• ~~

-

Well Number	Date	Well Elevation	Depth to Water	Elevation
MW-1	02/14/95	71.12	12.65	58.47
	06/07/95	71.12	14.62	56.50
	08/29/95	71.12	15.04	56.08
	12/08/95	71.12	15.94	55.18
	03/07/96	71.12	12.36	58.76
	06/19/96	71.12	13.70	57.42
	12/20/96	71.12	12.35	58.77
	06/12/97	71.12	14.64	56.48
MW-2	02/14/95	70.62	12 12	58 50
	06/07/95	70.62	14.38	56.24
	08/29/95	70.62	14.40	56.22
	12/08/95	70.62	15.22	55.40
	03/07/96	70.62	12.04	58.58
	06/19/96	70.62	13.38	57.24
	12/20/96	70.62	12.22	58.40
	06/12/97	70.62	14.08	56.54
MW-3	02/14/95	71.79	13.45	58.34
	06/07/95	71.79	14 64	57 15
	08/29/95	71.79	14 94	56.85
	12/08/95	71.79	15.82	55.97
	03/07/96	71.79	12.89	58.90
	06/19/96	71.79	13.94	57.85
	12/20/96	71.79	12.86	58.93
	06/12/97	71.79	14.50	57.29

Note: Groundwater elevations are referenced to Mean Sea Level.

TABLE 2 Groundwater Sample Analytical Results 4401 Market Street, Oakland, California (reported in µg/l)

Well Number	Sample Date	MTBE	TPH-g	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzenc	Xylenes
MW-1	11/08/94	NT	54	ND	ND	ND	1.2
	02/14/95	NT	71	ND	ND	ND	0.97
	06/07/95	NT	540	0.60	ND	1.7	1.3
	08/29/95	NT	440	ND	ND	1.3	1.1
	12/08/95	NT	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	03/07/96	44	77	ND	ND	ND	ND
	06/19/96	84	500	ND	ND	0.85	0.36
	12/20/96	28	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	06/12/97	12	190	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-2	11/08/94	NT	20.000	1,400	960	980	4,600
	02/14/95	NT	8600	380	210	410	2,000
	06/07/95	NT	6200	500	78	270	1,200
	08/29/95	NT	4100	330	61	210	980
	12/08/95	NT	9400	360	190	440	2,000
	03/07/96	18	12,000	790	170	440	2,000
	06/19/96	ND	9000	520	82	350	1,500
	12/20/96	ND*	13,000	830	180	410	2200
	06/12/97	ND	5100	320	32	190	880
MW-3	11/08/94	NT	ND	0.71	0.84	1.2	5.8
	02/14/95	NT	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	06/07/95	NT	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.6
	08/29/95	NT	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	12/08/95	NT	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	03/07/96	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	06/19/96	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	12/20/96	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	06/12/97	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Californ	ia MCL	40	None Listed	1.0	150	700	1750

MCL = Maximum Contaminant Level Primary Drinking Water Standard

ND = Not detected above the laboratory limit of detection.

NT = Not Tested

 μ g/l= micrograms per liter * = Not detected above 16 μ g/l













ATTACHMENT A

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOGS

1

			WATER SA		NG	
WELL NUME	BER:	MUJ-1				
	TED:	6/12/17		- 		
TIME START	ED:				<u>/(au</u>	sell Gentry
DATE COMP	LETED:			MRF	:H:	3365
	ETED:			ME:	_	Daniele
DEPTH TO BOT	TOM OR CASING	LENGTH		1 14/2-1		
DEPTH TO 22	SA DEPTH	11.1.1.1		WEL	L INSIDE DIAME	TER
воттом Δ H (FT) <u><u>9</u>.</u>	WATER	<u>77.67</u> = Δ(FT) WELL CASING 0.163 = VOLUM	<u>9.44</u>	VOLU FACT V.F.=	UME FOR GAL/FT	1"=0.041 4"=0.653 1-1/2"=0.092 6"=1.469 2"=0.163 8"=2.611 3"=0.367 12"=5.87
PURGE NETHO	D:			INCOL.		
INITIAL DEPTH T		Hand ba Ter		WEL	DEWATERED	LIYES 141NO
TOTAL VOLUME	BEMOVED (CALL			TIME	SAMPLED:	6/12/97
CASING VOLUME	S REMOVED (GAL):	4.75		SAME	PLING METHOD	15:00
		3+		WEAT	THER	Railer
PURGE RATE (GI	PM):			CONE	DITIONS: (
			1	PURG	ES/SAMPLED B	Y: A
FIELD PARAMETE TIME (24 HR CLOCK)	RS; VOLUME REMOVED (GAL)	TEMPERATURE	ELECTRIC	% RE(COVERED PRIO	
14:45	1.5	181	CONDUCTI	VITY	<u></u>	
14:51	3.0	60.6	4.66		6.20	(1000)
14:55	4.25	62.9	4,62]	6.60	- Crear
		02.9	4.56	T	6.67	Gear
						- Clar_
				╺╼╼╾┟╸		
				+-		
MMENTS:	Shight od	lec, no.	sheen	d	stacted.	
Doc/Forme/GW Same						

Sampling Log 10/31/95

a an an ann an an an ann an an

n			WATER SA		NG		
WELL NUME	BER:	MW-2					
DATE STAR	TED:	6/12/97		PER	SON(S):		
TIME START	ED:					ussel	Genti
DATE COMP	LETED:		JOB NI	JMBE	R:		
	ETED:		JOB NA	AME:	-	Dande	<u> </u>
DEPTH TO BOT	TOM OR CASING	LENGTH					
DEPTH TO 24	CV, DEPTH			WEL	L INSIDE DIAN	AETER	
воттом 21	WATER	$\frac{B' \cdot OB'}{CASINC} = \Delta(FT)$ WELL CASINC	10.46	VOLU FACT V.F.=	JME For Gal/Ft	1"=0.041 1-1/2"=0.092 2"=0.163	4"=0.653 6"=1.469 8"=2.611
DATE(S) PURGE	D:	(GAL)	E <u>/-/</u>			3 =0.367	12"=5.875
PURGE METHO	D: Diso. h	calle Jer		WEL	DEWATERED		LATRIO
TOTAL VOLUME	O WATER:				SAMPLED:	6/12/97	
CASING VOLUME	S REMOVED (GAL):	5.25		SAME	SAMPLED:	15:00	
	O NEWOVED:	34		WEAT	HER METHOL): Baiter	
PURGE RATE (GF	PM):			CONE	DITIONS:	Sun	
				PURG	ES/SAMPLED	BY: A	<u> </u>
IELD PARAMETE		ERY <u>/4.30</u> (FT) =		% RE(OVERED PRI	OR TO SAMPLI	NG
TIME (24 HR CLOCK)	REMOVED (GAL)	TEMPERATURE		CAL VITY	PH	TURBI	
14:29		240	8.22		7.00	(NTI	U)(U
14:37	<u> </u>	69.4	8.47		<u> </u>	- Clear	
		69.1	8.36	+	<u> </u>	- Clear	
					0.7-	<u>Sliĝk</u> t	<u> </u>
MMENTS:	Odor , As	sheen.					
	· 						
				··			

>. Doc Forms GW Sampling Log 10/31/95

_ · ·		GROUNDW WELL DEV	ATER SAN	MPLIN	G						
WELL NUMB	ER:	MW-3	FIELDE								
	ED:	6/12/97									
	ED:				/~	2210	try				
	_ETED:				. –	0365					
	ETED:	*	JOB NA	ME:	-	Dande	<u> </u>				
DEPTH TO BOT		SNOTU-				······································					
TOTAL		ENGIH		WELL	INSIDE DIAN	ETER					
A H (FT)	<u>2'</u> X (V.F.)	<u>14.50'</u> = 4(FT) WELL CASING O.163 = VOLUMI (GAL)	<u>1012</u>	VOLU FACT(V.F.= (ME DR GAL/FT	1"=0.041 4"= 1-1/2"=0.092 6"= 2"=0.163 8"= 3"=0.367 12"	0.653 1.469 2.611 =5.875				
PURCE NETUO	0:			WELL							
NITIAL DEPTUT	<u>);</u> Oliverando I	and builer		DATE	SAMPLED.	TYES P	NO				
OTAL VOLUME	O WATER:			TIME	SAMPLED:	6/12/17					
CASING VOLUME	REMOVED (GAL):	4.25		SAMP	UNG METUO						
	S REMOVED:	74		WEAT	HER	. Bailer					
URGE PATE (CI	34 AV.			COND	ITIONS:	C					
	-m):			PURG	ES/SAMPLED	_ Jun, her					
2. 	NAFIER RECOVE	ERY <u>/4,60</u> (FT) =	99	% BEC							
EIELD PARAMETE	RS; VOLUME REMOVED	TEMPERATURE	<u><u><u></u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	% REC	OVERED PRI	OR TO SAMPLING]]				
TIME (24 HR CLOCK)	RS; VOLUME REMOVED (GAL)	TEMPERATURE	ELECTRIC CONDUCTI	% REC	OVERED PRI	OR TO SAMPLING	×]				
TIME (24 HR CLOCK)	RS; VOLUME REMOVED (GAL)	TEMPERATURE	ELECTRIC CONDUCTI 4.20	% REC	PH		Y				
TIME (24 HR CLOCK) (2 + 22 (2 + 22) (2	RS; VOLUME REMOVED (GAL)	TEMPERATURE 68.3 67.9	29 ELECTRIC CONDUCTI 4.20	% REC	PH	OR TO SAMPLING TURBIDIT (NTU)	¥				
EIELD PARAMETE TIME (24 HR CLOCK) /S:22 /S:30 /S:'33	RS; VOLUME REMOVED (GAL) (GAL) (GAL) (GAL)	TEMPERATURE 68.3 67.5 66.5	29 ELECTRIC CONDUCTI 4.20 4.12 4.06	% REC CAL IVITY	PH 2.14 6.94	OR TO SAMPLING TURBIDIT (NTU) Clear Clear	Y				
ELD PARAMETE TIME (24 HR CLOCK) /S:2.2 /S:30 /S:'33	RS; VOLUME REMOVED (GAL) /, S 3.2.5	$\frac{TEMPERATURE}{68.3}$	29 ELECTRIC CONDUCTI 24.20 44.12 4.06	% REC	PH 214 6-94	OR TO SAMPLING TURBIDIT (NTU) Clar Clar	Y				
ELD PARAMETE TIME (24 HR CLOCK) /S:22 /S:30 /S:33	No Shee	TEMPERATURE $ \begin{array}{c} 68.3\\ 67.5\\ 66.5\\ \end{array} $	29 ELECTRIC CONDUCTI 41.22 41.12 41.12 41.12 41.12 41.12 41.12 41.12 41.12 41.12 41.12 41.12 41.12 41.12	% REC	PH 2.14 6.94 6.96	OR TO SAMPLING	Y				

g Log : 10/31/95

W.A. Craig, Inc.

ATTACHMENT B

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS

34

.

McCAMPBELL ANALYTICAL INC.

110 Second Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553 Telephone : 510-798-1620 Fax : 510-798-1622 http://www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

W. A. Craig, Inc.			Client Pro	oject ID: #	3365; Dane	Date Sampled: 06/12/97					
P.O. Boz	448					Date Received: 06/12/97					
Napa, CA	1 94559-0448		Client Co	ntact: Dav	e Conley	Date Extracted: 06/14-06/16/97					
		;	Client P.C	D:			Date Analy	zed: 06/14	06/16/97		
Gasolin SPA metho	te Range (Có- xde 5030, modifie	thyl tert-Bu	ityl Ether 30)	• & BTEX*							
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g)*	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylben- zene	Xylenes,	% Recovery Surrogate		
77476	MW-1	w	190,ъ	12	ND	ND	ND	ND	100		
77477	MW-2	w	\$100,a	ND<36	320	32	190	880	101		
77478	MW-3	W	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	102		
·								•			
								- 1			
								;			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								******		
Reporting otherwise	g Limit uniess se stated; ND	W	50 ug/L	5.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	. , .		
means not the rep	detected above orting limit	S	1.0 mg/kg	0.05	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005			

* water and vapor samples are reported in ug/L, wipe samples in ug/wipe, soil and sludge samples in mg/kg, and all TCLP and SPLP extracts in ug/L

* cluttered chromatogram; sumple peak coelutes with surrogate peak

The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline?); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds having broad chrometographic peaks are significant; biologically altered gasoline?; e) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (?); f) one to a few isolated peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~5 vol. % sediment; j) no recognizable pattern.

DHS Certification No. 1644

_____Bdward Hamilton, Lab Director

Russe El Pictursiti	EU BY (Sign	duro)	UNTE/TIME	60	RECEIVED BY	(Signature);	. (TU	BOR M	ATO AZ IROL	DRY:	PIEALE SIND RE W. A. C. P.O. BO NAPA, C (707) 25	RAIG, INC. X 448 X 94559-0448 2-3353
ELINGUISH	EU BY (Sign	duset.	DASERIA		RECEIVED BY	Signaturaj:				<u> </u>						1
			·····		!					 				[
					·····											
												┝╼┠╸	+			
					/	•										
	· ·					· · · ·			·				+-			
				·		-										
												┠╼╍┠╸			·····	
								┝╼╼╀							······	
V	<u>15:39</u>	14-3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		····	W	2	2				╞╌┠				
	15:10	10-1	···			W	-	┝╌┤			-			\leq		
à 1997 6/0	F	SAMPLE	DENTIFIC	ATIC	N	MATT Siudg	4						7	CE Her		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
ATE	ME	W. A. CR	AIG, INC.'S	\$	· · · · · · · ·	ie Official	14 °							neser	REMARKS	
	0, •••	Bunce	Jen	P		oil, Wa								ipe,		
S.S.		Dunde				ter, A										
						<u></u>	1		· AN	AL	YS	S			•	

05-18-1997 10:17AM FROM McCampbell Analytical Inc