

August 6, 2004

Alameda County

AUG 19 2004

Environmental Health

ROZS

QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT
JUNE 2004 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING
ASE JOB NO. 3540

at
Oakland Truck Stop
8255 San Leandro Street
Oakland, California

Prepared for:
Mr. Nissan Saidian
5733 Medallion Court
Castro Valley, CA 94522

Prepared by:
AQUA SCIENCE ENGINEERS, INC.
208 W. El Pintado
Danville, CA 94526
(925) 820-9391

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Site Location (Site), See Figure 1

Oakland Truck Stop
8255 San Leandro Street
Oakland, California

Responsible Party

Mr. Nissan Saidian
5733 Medallion Court
Castro Valley, CA 94522

Environmental Consulting Firm

Aqua Science Engineers, Inc. (ASE)
208 West El Pintado
Danville, CA 94526
Contact: Robert Kitay, Senior Geologist
(925) 820-9391

Agency Review

Mr. Amir Gholami
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA)
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502

Mr. Chuck Headlee
California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)
San Francisco Bay Region
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400
Oakland, CA 94612

The following is a report detailing the methods and findings of the June 2004 quarterly groundwater sampling at the above-referenced site. This sampling was conducted as required by the ACHCSA and RWQCB. ASE has prepared this report on behalf of Mr. Nissan Saidian, owner of the property.

2.0 GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION AND GRADIENT

On June 22, 2004, ASE measured the depth to water in monitoring wells MW-2 through MW-9 using an electric water level sounder. Oakland Truck Stop staff had conducted weekly bailing of Liquid Phase Hydrocarbons (LPH) from monitoring well MW-1 prior to ASE arrival and the well was therefore not gauged. The surface of the groundwater in the remaining wells was also checked for the presence of LPH or sheen using an electronic oil/water interface probe.

Monitoring well MW-1 continued to contain LPH this quarter, however Oakland Truck stop staff did not record the amount prior to bailing it. Additionally, hydrocarbon sheen was observed in monitoring well MW-3. No LPH or sheen was observed in any of the remaining site monitoring wells. Groundwater elevation data is presented as Table One.

A groundwater potentiometric surface map for June 22, 2004 is presented as Figure 2. The groundwater flow direction at the site has been inconsistent and highly variable. Groundwater elevations observed this quarter were very irregular, and neither a general flow direction, nor an average gradient could be determined.

3.0 GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

Groundwater samples were collected from monitoring wells MW-2, MW-3, MW-6 and MW-9. Prior to sampling, the wells were purged of three well casing volumes of groundwater using disposable polyethylene bailers. The parameters pH, temperature, and conductivity were monitored during the well purging, and samples were not collected until these parameters stabilized. Groundwater samples were then collected from each well using disposable polyethylene bailers. With the approval of the ACHCSA, the sampling schedule for the outlying monitoring wells MW-4, MW-5, MW-7 and MW-8 has been reduced to semi-annual and samples were not collected from them this quarter. Monitoring well MW-1 contained LPH and therefore was not sampled.

All samples were decanted from the bailers into 40-ml volatile organic analysis (VOA) vials, pre-preserved with hydrochloric acid, and sealed without headspace. The samples were then labeled and placed in coolers with wet ice for transport to Kiff Analytical, LLC of Davis, California under appropriate chain-of-custody documentation. Well sampling field logs are presented in Appendix A.

The monitoring well purge water was placed in a 55-gallon steel drum, and stored on site for later removal.

The groundwater samples were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPH-D) by EPA Method 3550/8015M, and total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-G), benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (collectively known as BTEX), and oxygenates including ethanol and methanol by EPA Method 8260B. The analytical results are presented in Table Two. The certified analytical report and chain-of-custody documentation are included as Appendix B.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

Monitoring well MW-1 continued to contain LPH this quarter, though it was not measured, and MW-3 contained a hydrocarbon sheen.

In general, concentrations of dissolved hydrocarbons remained similar to previous results with a few notable changes. The TPH-D concentrations in monitoring wells MW-2, MW-3, MW-6 and MW-9 decreased. The TPH-G concentration in MW-2 and MW-6 also decreased, while the MTBE and TBA concentrations in MW-2 and MW-9 increased slightly.

Hydrocarbon concentrations in the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-2, MW-3, and MW-6, exceeded Environmental Screening Levels (ESLs) as presented in the "Screening For Environmental Concerns at Sites With Contaminated Soil and Groundwater" document prepared by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region dated July 2003.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

ASE recommends that this site remain on a quarterly sampling schedule. The next sampling is scheduled for September 2004.

Oakland Truck Stop staff will continue periodic LPH removal from monitoring well MW-1 during the next quarter. In addition, ASE has completed a pilot study for ozone-sparging remediation at the site and prepared a report dated April 7, 2004. ASE will install the ozone-sparging remediation system once approved by the ACHCSA. ASE will also conduct a soil and groundwater assessment to complete the definition of contamination during the next quarter.


6.0 REPORT LIMITATIONS


The results presented in this report represent conditions at the time of the groundwater sampling, at the specific locations where the groundwater samples were collected, and for the specific parameters analyzed by the laboratory. It does not fully characterize the site for contamination resulting from sources other than the former underground storage tanks and associated plumbing at the site, or for parameters not analyzed by the laboratory. All of the laboratory work cited in this report was prepared under the direction of independent CAL-DHS certified laboratory. The independent laboratory is solely responsible for the contents and conclusions of the chemical analysis data.


Aqua Science Engineers appreciates the opportunity to provide environmental consulting services for this project, and trust that this report meets your needs. Please feel free to call us at (925) 820-9391 if you have any questions or comments.

Respectfully submitted,

AQUA SCIENCE ENGINEERS, INC.


Damian Hriciga
Project Geologist




Robert E. Kitay, R.G., R.E.A.
Senior Geologist

Attachments: Table One through Three
Figures 1 and 2
Appendices A and B

cc: Mr. Nissan Saidian
Mr. Amir Gholami, ACHCSA
Mr. Chuck Headlee, RWQCB, San Francisco Bay Region

TABLES

TABLE ONE
Groundwater Elevation Data
Oakland Truck Stop
8255 San Leandro Street, Oakland, CA

Well ID & Date Sampled	Top of Casing Elevation (msl)	Depth to Water (feet)	Free-Floating Hydrocarbon Thickness (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (msl)
<u>MW-1</u>				
8/16/99	97.12	Unknown	> 1.0	Unknown
8/27/99		6.90	0.36	90.51*
9/10/99		6.85	0.18	90.41*
9/24/99		6.65	0.08	90.53*
10/18/99		6.87	0.28	90.47*
10/22/99		6.81	0.23	90.49*
11/2/99		6.94	0.31	90.43*
11/19/99		6.91	0.12	90.31*
12/6/99		6.93	0.12	90.29*
3/8/00		5.93	0.21	91.36*
6/14/00		6.57	0.72	90.41*
12/11/00		6.70	0.60	90.90*
3/6/01		5.75	0.40	91.69*
6/6/01		7.60	1.48	90.70*
9/4/01		6.80	0.20	90.48*
3/11/02		approx. 7.47	approx. 3	approx. 92.05*
6/6/02		6.49	0.67	91.17*
9/4/02	11.02	6.89	0.54	4.56*
12/17/02		4.65		6.47*
3/7/03		6.55	1.19	3.52*
6/5/03		9.77	4.63	4.95*
9/19/03		6.56	0.32	4.72*
12/12/03		5.63	0.41	5.72*
3/15/04		7.11	0.40	4.23*
6/22/04		NM	NM	NM
<u>MW-2</u>				
8/16/99	96.82	6.30	--	90.52
12/6/99		8.46	--	88.36
3/8/00		9.12	--	87.70
6/14/00		8.34	--	88.48
12/11/00		5.94	--	90.88
3/6/01		4.70	--	92.12
6/6/01		6.03	--	90.79
9/4/01		6.34	--	90.48
3/11/02		4.89	--	91.93
6/6/02		5.69	--	91.13
9/4/02	10.70	6.17	--	4.53
12/17/02		4.39	--	6.31
3/7/03		5.44	--	5.26
6/5/03		5.59	--	5.11
9/19/03		6.09	--	4.61
12/12/03		5.13	--	5.57
3/15/04		5.71	--	4.99
6/22/04		5.80	--	4.90

TABLE ONE
Groundwater Elevation Data
Oakland Truck Stop
8255 San Leandro Street, Oakland, CA

Well ID & Date Sampled	Top of Casing Elevation (msl)	Depth to Water (feet)	Free-Floating Hydrocarbon Thickness (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (msl)
MW-3				
8/16/99	96.43	5.85	--	90.58
12/6/99		5.70	--	90.73
3/8/00		5.32	--	91.11
6/14/00		6.95	--	89.48
12/11/00		6.22	--	90.21
3/6/01		4.83	--	91.60
6/6/01		5.62	--	90.81
9/4/01		5.91	--	90.52
3/11/02		4.42	--	92.01
6/6/02		5.19	--	91.24
9/4/02	10.32	5.72	--	4.60
12/17/02		3.96	--	6.36
3/7/03		4.88	--	5.44
6/5/03		5.05	--	5.27
9/19/03		5.62	--	4.70
12/12/03		4.68	--	5.64
3/15/04		4.52	--	5.80
6/22/04		6.49	--	3.83
MW-4				
8/16/99	96.60	6.12	--	90.48
12/6/99		5.98	--	90.62
3/8/00		4.32	--	92.28
6/14/00		5.58	--	91.02
12/11/00		5.70	--	90.90
3/6/01		4.46	--	92.14
6/6/01		5.89	--	90.71
9/4/01		6.16	--	90.44
3/11/02		4.67	--	91.93
6/6/02		5.50	--	91.10
9/4/02	10.50	5.97	--	4.53
12/17/02		4.22	--	6.28
3/7/03		5.23	--	5.27
6/5/03		5.38	--	5.12
9/19/03		5.91	--	4.59
12/12/03		4.91	--	5.59
3/15/04		4.94	--	5.56
6/22/04		5.68	--	4.82

TABLE ONE
Groundwater Elevation Data
Oakland Truck Stop
8255 San Leandro Street, Oakland, CA

Well ID & Date Sampled	Top of Casing Elevation (msl)	Depth to Water (feet)	Free-Floating Hydrocarbon Thickness (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (msl)	
MW-5					
12/6/99	96.30	5.94	--	90.36	
3/8/00		4.06	--	92.24	
6/14/00		5.25	--	91.05	
12/11/00		5.45	--	90.85	
3/6/01		4.12	--	92.18	
6/6/01		5.56	--	90.74	
9/4/01		5.84	--	90.46	
3/11/02		4.38	--	91.92	
6/6/02		5.16	--	91.14	
9/4/02		10.20	5.62	--	4.58
12/17/02			4.12	--	6.08
3/7/03			4.89	--	5.31
6/5/03			5.04	--	5.16
9/19/03			5.56	--	4.64
12/12/03			4.72	--	5.48
3/15/04	4.61		--	5.59	
6/22/04	5.26		--	4.94	
MW-6					
12/6/99	96.79	5.80	--	90.99	
3/8/00		4.10	--	92.69	
6/14/00		5.64	--	91.15	
12/11/00		5.72	--	91.07	
3/6/01		4.32	--	92.47	
6/6/01		5.81	--	90.98	
9/4/01		6.12	--	90.67	
3/11/02		4.49	--	92.30	
6/6/02		5.33	--	91.46	
9/4/02		10.71	5.92	--	4.79
12/17/02			3.85	--	6.86
3/7/03			4.96	--	5.75
6/5/03			5.18	--	5.53
9/19/03			5.81	--	4.90
12/12/03			4.73	--	5.98
3/15/04	4.65		--	6.06	
6/22/04	5.34	--	5.37		
MW-7					
9/4/02	9.17	4.67	--	4.50	
12/17/02		3.11	--	6.06	
3/7/03		3.89	--	5.28	
6/5/03		3.57	--	5.60	
9/19/03		4.57	--	4.60	
12/12/03		3.48	--	5.69	
3/15/04				Truck Parked Over Well	
6/22/04			4.52	--	4.65

TABLE ONE
Groundwater Elevation Data
Oakland Truck Stop
8255 San Leandro Street, Oakland, CA

Well ID & Date Sampled	Top of Casing Elevation (msl)	Depth to Water (feet)	Free-Floating Hydrocarbon Thickness (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (msl)
<u>MW-8</u>				
9/4/02	9.68	4.94	--	4.74
12/17/02		3.26	--	6.42
3/7/03		4.01	--	5.67
6/5/03		4.28	--	5.40
9/19/03		4.87	--	4.81
12/12/03		3.77	--	5.91
3/15/04		3.53	--	NA**
6/22/04		4.52	--	NA**
<u>MW-9</u>				
9/4/02	11.07	6.26	--	4.81
12/17/02		4.23	--	6.84
3/7/03		5.26	--	5.81
6/5/03		5.56	--	5.51
9/19/03		6.25	--	4.82
12/12/03			Truck Parked Over Well	
3/15/04		5.04	--	6.03
6/22/04		5.91	--	5.16

Notes:

Mid Coast Engineers (MCE) surveyed all site monitoring wells on July 11, 2002 to mean sea level (MSL). The updated elevation data is reflected in the table above.

* = Groundwater elevation adjusted for the presence of free-floating hydrocarbons by the equation: Adjusted groundwater elevation = Top of casing elevation - depth to groundwater + (0.8 x free-floating hydrocarbon thickness)

** = Top of casing elevation has changed and well has not been resurveyed.

NM = Not Measured

TABLE TWO
Summary of Chemical Analysis of GROUNDWATER Samples
Petroleum Hydrocarbons
All results are in parts per billion

WellID DATE	TPH Gasoline	TPH Diesel	TPH Motor Oil	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	TBA
<u>MW-1</u>												
8/16/99												
12/16/99												
3/8/00												
6/14/00												
12/11/00												
3/16/01												
6/16/01												
9/4/01												
3/11/02												
6/16/02												
9/4/02												
12/17/02												
3/7/03												
6/5/03												
9/19/03												
12/12/03												
12/12/03												
3/15/04												
6/22/04												
<u>MW-2</u>												
8/16/99	2,200	970*	< 500	3.8	< 2.0	3	< 4.0	< 20	NA	NA	NA	NA
12/16/99	1,900	400*	< 500	16	< 0.5	1.5	< 0.5	5.2	NA	NA	NA	NA
3/8/00	1,600*	530*	< 500	9.7	< 0.5	2.7	< 0.5	27	NA	NA	NA	NA
6/14/00	2,000	75	< 100	2.8	< 0.5	3.4	< 0.5	16	3.4	< 0.5	< 0.5	64
12/11/00	1,000	120	< 100	2.6	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	15	2.9	< 0.5	< 0.5	62
3/16/01	1,500	1,400	NA	2.2	< 0.5	1.7	< 0.5	22	3.4	< 0.5	< 0.5	83
6/16/01	1,700	190	NA	2.6	< 0.5	2.3	< 0.5	26	3.2	< 0.5	< 0.5	83
9/4/01	2,000	450	NA	2.7	< 0.5	2.1	< 0.5	33	3.4	< 0.5	< 0.5	93
3/11/02	1,100	410	NA	1.0	< 0.5	0.5	< 0.5	26	2.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	69
6/16/02	900	430	NA	12	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	23	2.8	< 0.5	< 0.5	73
9/4/02	910	510	NA	16	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	45	2.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	67
12/17/02	190	220	NA	0.65	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	34	1.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	46
3/7/03	380	300	NA	0.81	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	50	1.9	< 0.5	< 0.5	73
6/5/03	2,200	2,200	NA	17	< 0.5	1.5	< 0.5	180	4.9	< 0.5	1.3	110
9/19/03	2,300	520	NA	2.0	< 0.5	2.1	< 0.5	180	3.7	< 0.5	1.1	120
12/12/03	3,000	2,200	NA	2.1	< 0.5	1.7	< 0.5	250	4.5	< 0.5	1.6	130
3/15/04												
6/22/04	1,600	420	NA	1.3	< 0.5	1.0	< 0.5	580	4.6	< 0.5	3.9	340
<u>MW-3</u>												
8/16/99	56,000	10,000**	< 500	17,000	2,600	2,600	1,200	6,100	NA	NA	NA	NA
12/16/99	40,000	9,100*	< 500	16,000	140	1,800	100	2,200/4,000#	NA	NA	NA	NA
3/8/00	22,000	4,500*	< 500	11,000	72	1,100	130	3,400	NA	NA	NA	NA
6/14/00	34,000	16,000	< 100	13,000	94	1,300	160	4,800	31	< 10	21	2,700
12/11/00	24,000	14,000	< 100	13,000	88	780	120	4,300	< 50	< 50	< 50	2,300
3/16/01	34,000	12,000	NA	15,000	100	1,100	130	4,000	< 50	< 50	< 50	2,100
6/16/01	34,000	20,000	NA	14,000	94	550	110	4,400	< 50	< 50	< 50	2,300
9/4/01	29,000	19,000	NA	13,000	83	480	83	4,100	< 50	< 50	< 50	3,400
3/11/02	12,000	14,000	NA	2,900	< 20	110	< 20	530	< 20	< 20	< 20	330
6/16/02	20,000	14,000	NA	10,000	< 50	200	51	2,400	< 50	< 50	< 50	1,200
9/4/02	24,000	17,000	NA	11,000	< 50	140	< 50	3,200	< 50	< 50	< 50	1,400
12/17/02	4,900	17,000	NA	2,000	< 10	52	12	360	< 10	< 10	< 10	220
3/7/03	8,700	16,000	NA	2,300	< 10	43	11	770	< 10	< 10	< 10	360
6/5/03	27,000	14,000	NA	10,000	53	220	53	5,000	< 50	< 50	< 50	1,600
9/19/03	120,000	13,000	NA	20,000	170	710	250	6,100	< 25	< 25	< 25	2,600
12/12/03	29,000	27,000	NA	12,000	74	240	79	5,600	17	< 10	30	2,100
3/15/04	28,000	21,000	NA	11,000	72	220	64	8,200	< 50	< 50	< 50	2,900
6/22/04	29,000	7,600	NA	11,000	71	220	54	8,400	< 50	< 50	< 50	3,000

TABLE TWO
Summary of Chemical Analysis of GROUNDWATER Samples
Petroleum Hydrocarbons
All results are in parts per billion

Well ID DATE	TPH Gasoline	TPH Diesel	TPH Motor Oil	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	TBA
MW-4												
5/16/99	61***	1,100*	< 500	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 1.0	86	NA	NA	NA	NA
12/16/99	130***	220*	< 500	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	130	NA	NA	NA	NA
3/18/00	< 50	220*	< 500	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	130	NA	NA	NA	NA
6/14/00	< 50	< 50	< 100	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	100	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	20
12/11/00	< 50	< 50	< 100	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	110	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	16
3/16/01	< 50	670	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	110	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	9.9
6/16/01	< 50	790	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	110	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	20
9/14/01	< 50	950	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	110	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	26
3/11/02	< 50	250	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	84	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	21
6/16/02	< 50	710	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	92	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	21
9/14/02	< 50	1,100	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	150	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	18
12/17/02	< 50	470	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	120	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 5.0
3/17/03	< 50	470	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	120	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.52	18
6/15/03	< 50	2,000	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	110	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.50	23
9/19/03	< 50	830	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	110	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.80	23
12/12/03	< 50	1,700	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	120	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.50	16
3/15/04	< 50	2,200	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	110	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.50	20
MW-5												
12/16/99	450***	2,000*	< 500	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	21	NA	NA	NA	NA
3/18/00	51***	530*	< 500	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	84	NA	NA	NA	NA
6/14/00	380	1,400	< 100	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	160	12	< 0.5	< 0.5	22
12/11/00	540	590	< 100	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	240	9.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	32
3/16/01	510	2,900	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	140	13	< 0.5	< 0.5	19
6/16/01	280	2,700	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	180	13	< 0.5	< 0.5	26
9/14/01	630	2,600	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	180	9.4	< 0.5	< 0.5	29
3/11/02	97	3,500	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	29	0.79	< 0.5	< 0.5	7.4
6/16/02	61	3,500	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	150	2.9	< 0.5	< 0.5	34
9/14/02	92	6,100	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	370	3.6	< 0.5	< 0.5	72
12/17/02	110	2,100	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	110	4.2	< 0.5	< 0.5	14
3/17/03	71	1,600	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	150	2.2	< 0.5	< 0.5	35
6/15/03	95	3,300	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	170	4.6	< 0.5	< 0.5	43
9/19/03	100	1,400	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	310	5.2	< 0.50	0.68	86
12/12/03	< 50	7,600	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	270	5.9	< 0.50	0.70	91
3/15/04	95	1,700	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	290	6.7	< 0.50	0.92	200
MW-6												
12/16/99	13,000	< 50	< 500	180	21	11	24	< 100	NA	NA	NA	NA
3/18/00	< 10,000	4,600*	< 500	230	26	18	39	12,000	NA	NA	NA	NA
6/14/00	8,400	12,000	< 100	190	12	9.5	22	15,000	< 5.0	< 5.0	70	3,300
12/11/00	< 5,000	10,000	< 100	190	< 50	< 50	< 50	14,000	< 50	< 50	74	2,900
3/16/01	5,300	6,700	NA	220	< 50	< 50	< 50	13,000	< 50	< 50	84	2,100
6/16/01	5,000	23,000	NA	210	< 25	< 25	< 25	12,000	< 25	< 25	84	4,200
9/14/01	5,400	22,000	NA	190	12	< 10	23	15,000	< 10	< 10	79	4,000
3/11/02	4,600	11,000	NA	160	< 25	< 25	< 25	15,000	< 25	< 25	39	5,100
6/16/02	< 5,000	14,000	NA	200	< 50	< 50	< 50	17,000	< 50	< 50	77	8,700
9/14/02	< 5,000	50,000	NA	140	< 50	< 50	< 50	21,000	< 50	< 50	52	7,500
12/17/02	< 5,000	9,100	NA	130	< 50	< 50	< 50	16,000	< 50	< 50	64	6,300
3/17/03	< 5,000	12,000	NA	160	< 50	< 50	< 50	20,000	< 50	< 50	53	7,500
6/15/12003	< 5,000	23,000	NA	230	< 50	< 50	< 50	19,000	< 50	< 50	86	7,100
9/19/03	8,900	24,000	NA	220	< 25	< 25	< 25	15,000	< 25	< 25	74	8,100
12/12/03	8,000	24,000	NA	190	< 25	< 25	32	14,000	< 25	< 25	65	7,400
3/15/04	4,400	26,000	NA	190	< 25	< 25	< 25	9,900	< 25	< 25	61	6,700
6/22/04	3,500	7,000	NA	150	< 20	< 20	< 20	9,200	< 20	< 20	51	6,100

TABLE TWO
Summary of Chemical Analysis of GROUNDWATER Samples
Petroleum Hydrocarbons
All results are in parts per billion

Well ID DATE	TPH Gasoline	TPH Diesel	TPH Motor Oil	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	TBA
MW-7												
9/4/02	< 50	130****	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	3.4	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 5.0
12/17/02	< 50	220	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	2.8	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 5.0
3/7/03	< 50	140	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.8	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 5.0
6/5/03	< 50	200	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	2.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 5.0
9/19/03	< 50	320	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	5.0	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 5.0
12/12/03	< 50	380	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	2.3	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 5.0
3/15/04	Not Sampled - Truck Parked Over Well											
MW-8												
9/4/02	< 50	170	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 5.0
12/17/02	< 50	100	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 5.0
3/7/03	< 50	62	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	33	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 5.0
6/5/03	< 50	270	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	13	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 5.0
9/19/03	< 50	250	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	11	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 5.0
12/12/03	< 50	420	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	11	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 5.0
3/15/04	< 50	250	NA	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	6.4	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 5.0
MW-9												
9/4/02	< 2,500	1,000	NA	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	12,000	< 25	< 25	70	1,700
12/17/02	< 2,000	880	NA	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	4,500	< 20	< 20	23	2,300
3/7/03	< 500	450	NA	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	1,700	< 5.0	< 5.0	8.4	6,600
6/5/03	< 500	4,500	NA	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	120	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	17,000
9/19/03	< 1,000	4,500	NA	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	38	< 10	< 10	< 10	15,000
12/12/03	Not Sampled - Truck Parked Over Well											
3/15/04	< 1,000	82	NA	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	38	< 10	< 10	< 10	18,000
DHS MCL	NE	NE	NE	1	150	700	1,750	13	NE	NE	NE	NE
ESL	400	500	500	46	130	290	1,100	1,800	NE	NE	NE	NE

Notes.

Non-detectable concentrations are noted by the less than symbol (<) followed by the detection limit.

Most recent concentrations are in bold.

DHS MCL is the California Department of Health Services maximum contaminant level for drinking water.

ESL = Environmental screening levels presented in the "Screening For Environmental Concerns at Sites With Contaminated Soil and Groundwater (July 2003)" document prepared by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region.

NE = MCL/ESL not established

NA = Sample not analyzed for this compound.

* = Non-typical diesel pattern, hydrocarbons in early diesel range.

** = Estimated concentration due to overlapping fuel patterns in the sample

*** = Non-typical gasoline pattern.


**** = Non-typical diesel pattern

= MTBE concentration by EPA Method 8260

FIGURES



NORTH




LOCATION MAP

OAKLAND TRUCK STOP
8255 SAN LEANDRO STREET
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA


AQUA SCIENCE ENGINEERS, INC.

Figure 1



APPENDIX A

Well Sampling Field Logs



WELL SAMPLING FIELD LOG

Project Name and Address: _____ 015
 Job #: 35160 Date of sampling: 2/22/07
 Well Name: MW 2 Sampled by: DM
 Total depth of well (feet): 41.6 Well diameter (inches): 2
 Depth to water before sampling (feet): 5.50
 Thickness of floating product if any: _____
 Depth of well casing in water (feet): 8.8
 Number of gallons per well casing volume (gallons): 1.4
 Number of well casing volumes to be removed: 3
 Req'd volume of groundwater to be purged before sampling (gallons): 4.2
 Equipment used to purge the well: BAILER
 Time Evacuation Began: 1200 Time Evacuation Finished: 1240
 Approximate volume of groundwater purged: 4.2
 Did the well go dry?: No After how many gallons: _____
 Time samples were collected: 1245
 Depth to water at time of sampling: 9.52
 Percent recovery at time of sampling: _____
 Samples collected with: BAILER
 Sample color: _____ Odor: _____
 Description of sediment in sample: _____

CHEMICAL DATA

Volume Purged	Temp	pH	Conductivity
0	11.6	6.58	2595
1.4	70.0	6.67	2392
2.8	68.9	6.59	2341
4.2	68.6	6.50	2320

SAMPLES COLLECTED

Sample	# of containers	Volume & type container	Pres	iced?	Analysis
MW-2	3	40 ml VOA	HCC	Y	



WELL SAMPLING FIELD LOG

Project Name and Address: 015
 Job #: _____ Date of sampling: 6/22/07
 Well Name: MW-3 Sampled by: DH
 Total depth of well (feet): 150 Well diameter (inches): 2
 Depth to water before sampling (feet): 6.49
 Thickness of floating product if any: SHEEN
 Depth of well casing in water (feet): 851
 Number of gallons per well casing volume (gallons): 1.4
 Number of well casing volumes to be removed: 3
 Req'd volume of groundwater to be purged before sampling (gallons): 4.1
 Equipment used to purge the well: BAILER
 Time Evacuation Began: 1330 Time Evacuation Finished: 1435
 Approximate volume of groundwater purged: 4.1
 Did the well go dry?: NO After how many gallons: —
 Time samples were collected: 1400
 Depth to water at time of sampling: 10.05
 Percent recovery at time of sampling: —
 Samples collected with: BAILER
 Sample color: — Odor: STRONG HC
 Description of sediment in sample: _____

CHEMICAL DATA

Volume Purged	Temp	pH	Conductivity
<u>0</u>	<u>71.8</u>	<u>6.10</u>	<u>1447</u>
<u>1.4</u>	<u>72.4</u>	<u>6.78</u>	<u>1520</u>
<u>2.8</u>	<u>72.4</u>	<u>6.83</u>	<u>1450</u>
<u>4.1</u>			

SAMPLES COLLECTED

Sample	# of containers	Volume & type container	Pres	Iced?	Analysis
<u>MW-3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4,000 VOA</u>	<u>MC</u>	<u>X</u>	
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____



WELL SAMPLING FIELD LOG

Project Name and Address: OTS
Job #: 3520 Date of sampling: 6/22/04
Well Name: MW-4 Sampled by: DH
Total depth of well (feet): _____ Well diameter (inches): 2
Depth to water before sampling (feet): 5.68
Thickness of floating product if any: _____
Depth of well casing in water (feet): _____
Number of gallons per well casing volume (gallons): _____
Number of well casing volumes to be removed: _____
Required volume of groundwater to be purged before sampling (gallons): _____
Equipment used to purge the well: _____
Time Evacuation Began: _____ Time Evacuation Finished: _____
Approximate volume of groundwater purged: _____
Did the well go dry: _____ After how many gallons: _____
Time samples were collected: _____
Depth to water at time of sampling: _____
Percent recovery at time of sampling: _____
Samples collected with: _____
Sample color: _____ Color: _____
Description of sediment in sample: _____

CHEMICAL DATA

<u>Volume Purged</u>	<u>Temp</u>	<u>pH</u>	<u>Conductivity</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

SAMPLES COLLECTED

<u>Sample</u>	<u># of containers</u>	<u>Volume & type container</u>	<u>Pres</u>	<u>Iced?</u>	<u>Analysis</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

NOT SAMPLED THIS QUARTER



WELL SAMPLING FIELD LOG

Project Name and Address: OTS
Job #: 3540 Date of sampling: 6/22/04
Well Name: MW-5 Sampled by: DA
Total depth of well (feet): _____ Well diameter (inches): 2
Depth to water before sampling (feet): 5.26
Thickness of floating product if any: _____
Depth of well casing in water (feet): _____
Number of gallons per well casing volume (gallons): _____
Number of well casing volumes to be removed: _____
Required volume of groundwater to be purged before sampling (gallons): _____
Equipment used to purge the well: _____
Time Evacuation Began: _____ Time Evacuation Finished: _____
Approximate volume of groundwater purged: _____
Did the well go dry: _____ After how many gallons: _____
Time samples were collected: _____
Depth to water at time of sampling: _____
Percent recovery at time of sampling: _____
Samples collected with: _____
Sample color: _____
Description of sediment in sample: _____

CHEMICAL DATA

<u>Volume Purged</u>	<u>Temp</u>	<u>pH</u>	<u>Conductivity</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

SAMPLES COLLECTED

<u>Sample</u>	<u># of containers</u>	<u>Volume & type container</u>	<u>Pres</u>	<u>Iced?</u>	<u>Analysis</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

NOT SAMPLED THIS QUARTER



WELL SAMPLING FIELD LOG

Project Name and Address: 015
 Job #: _____ Date of sampling: 6/22/04
 Well Name: M.W. 6 Sampled by: PH
 Total depth of well (feet): 113 Well diameter (inches): 2
 Depth to water before sampling (feet): 3.3'
 Thickness of floating product if any: _____
 Depth of well casing in water (feet): 8.96
 Number of gallons per well casing volume (gallons): 1.4
 Number of well casing volumes to be removed: 3
 Req'd volume of groundwater to be purged before sampling (gallons): 4.3
 Equipment used to purge the well: BAILER
 Time Evacuation Began: 1300 Time Evacuation Finished: 320
 Approximate volume of groundwater purged: _____ After how many gallons: 45
 Did the well go dry?: _____
 Time samples were collected: 1325
 Depth to water at time of sampling: 6.53
 Percent recovery at time of sampling: _____
 Samples collected with: BAILER
 Sample color: _____ Odor: SRAMIC
 Description of sediment in sample: _____

CHEMICAL DATA

Volume Purged	Temp	pH	Conductivity
<u>0.5</u>	<u>73.9</u>	<u>6.91</u>	<u>920</u>
<u>1.428</u>	<u>72.0</u>	<u>6.92</u>	<u>949</u>
<u>2.8</u>	<u>70.6</u>	<u>6.96</u>	<u>939</u>
<u>4.3</u>	<u>69.9</u>	<u>6.96</u>	<u>924</u>

SAMPLES COLLECTED

Sample	# of containers	Volume & type container	Pres	ICcd?	Analysis
<u>1306</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>10 ml WJA</u>	<u>HCC</u>	<u>Y</u>	
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____



WELL SAMPLING FIELD LOG

Project Name and Address: OTS
 Job #: 3540 Date of sampling: 6/22/07
 Well Name: MW7 Sampled by: PH
 Total depth of well (feet): - Well diameter (inches): 2
 Depth to water before sampling (feet): 4.52
 Thickness of floating product if any: _____
 Depth of well casing in water (feet): _____
 Number of gallons per well casing volume (gallons): _____
 Number of well casing volumes to be removed: _____
 Required volume of groundwater to be purged before sampling (gallons): _____
 Equipment used to purge the well: _____
 Time Evacuation Began: _____ Time Evacuation Finished: _____
 Approximate volume of groundwater purged: _____
 Did the well go dry: _____ After how many gallons: _____
 Time samples were collected: _____
 Depth to water at time of sampling: _____
 Percent recovery at time of sampling: _____
 Samples collected with: _____
 Sample color: _____ Color: _____
 Description of sediment in sample: _____

CHEMICAL DATA

Volume Purged	Temp	pH	Conductivity
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

SAMPLES COLLECTED

Sample	# of containers	Volume & type container	Pres	Iced?	Analysis
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

NOT SAMPLED THIS QUARTER



WELL SAMPLING FIELD LOG

Project Name and Address: OTS
 Job #: 3540 Date of sampling: 6/22/04
 Well Name: MW-8 Sampled by: DH
 Total depth of well (feet): _____ Well diameter (inches): 2
 Depth to water before sampling (feet): 4.52
 Thickness of floating product if any: _____
 Depth of well casing in water (feet): _____
 Number of gallons per well casing volume (gallons): _____
 Number of well casing volumes to be removed: _____
 Required volume of groundwater to be purged before sampling (gallons): _____
 Equipment used to purge the well: _____
 Time Evacuation Began: _____ Time Evacuation Finished: _____
 Approximate volume of groundwater purged: _____
 Did the well go dry: _____ After how many gallons: _____
 Time samples were collected: _____
 Depth to water at time of sampling: _____
 Percent recovery at time of sampling: _____
 Samples collected with: _____
 Sample color: _____
 Description of sediment in sample: _____


CHEMICAL DATA

Volume Purged	Temp	pH	Conductivity
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

SAMPLES COLLECTED

Sample	# of containers	Volume & type container	Pres	Iced?	Analysis
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

NOT SAMPLED THIS QUARTER



WELL SAMPLING FIELD LOG

Project Name and Address: JTS
 Job #: _____ Date of sampling: 6/22/04
 Well Name: MW-9 Sampled by: DM
 Total depth of well (feet): 19.9 Well diameter (inches): 4
 Depth to water before sampling (feet): 5.91
 Thickness of floating product if any: 13.99
 Depth of well casing in water (feet): 13.99
 Number of gallons per well casing volume (gallons): 8.4
 Number of well casing volumes to be removed: 3
 Req'd volume of groundwater to be purged before sampling (gallons): 25.2
 Equipment used to purge the well: PERMP BALLOON
 Time Evacuation Began: 1420 Time Evacuation Finished: 1525
 Approximate volume of groundwater purged: 25.2
 Did the well go dry?: NO After how many gallons: —
 Time samples were collected: 1530
 Depth to water at time of sampling: 6.02
 Percent recovery at time of sampling: —
 Samples collected with: BALLOON
 Sample color: — Odor: SLIGHT HC
 Description of sediment in sample: —

CHEMICAL DATA

Volume Purged	Temp	pH	Conductivity
<u>0</u>	<u>65.3</u>	<u>7.07</u>	<u>1850</u>
<u>8</u>	<u>67.4</u>	<u>7.05</u>	<u>1380</u>
<u>17</u>	<u>66.8</u>	<u>7.05</u>	<u>1269</u>
<u>25</u>	<u>66.7</u>	<u>7.05</u>	<u>1732</u>

SAMPLES COLLECTED

Sample	# of containers	Volume & type container	Pres	Iced?	Analysis
<u>MW-9</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>40 ml Vial</u>	<u>ACC</u>	<u>Y</u>	
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

APPENDIX B

Certified Analytical Report
and
Chain of Custody Documentation



Report Number : 38909

Date : 6/30/2004

David Allen
Aqua Science Engineers, Inc.
208 West El Pintado Rd.
Danville, CA 94526

Subject : 4 Water Samples
Project Name : OAKLAND TRUCK STOP
Project Number : 3540

Dear Mr. Allen,

Chemical analysis of the samples referenced above has been completed. Summaries of the data are contained on the following pages. Sample(s) were received under documented chain-of-custody. US EPA protocols for sample storage and preservation were followed.

Kiff Analytical is certified by the State of California (# 2236). If you have any questions regarding procedures or results, please call me at 530-297-4800.

Sincerely,



Joel Kiff



Report Number : 38909

Date : 6/30/2004

Project Name : OAKLAND TRUCK STOP

Project Number : 3540


Sample : MW-2

Matrix : Water

Lab Number : 38909-01

Sample Date :6/22/2004

Parameter	Measured Value	Method Reporting Limit	Units	Analysis Method	Date Analyzed
Benzene	1.3	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Toluene	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Ethylbenzene	1.0	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Total Xylenes	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	580	1.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	4.6	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Tert-amyl methyl ether (TAME)	3.9	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Tert-Butanol	340	5.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Methanol	< 100	100	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Ethanol	< 5.0	5.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
TPH as Gasoline	1600	50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Toluene - d8 (Surr)	99.6		% Recovery	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	104		% Recovery	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
TPH as Diesel (Silica Gel)	420	50	ug/L	M EPA 8015	6/30/2004

Approved By:  Joel Kiff



Report Number : 38909

Date : 6/30/2004

Project Name : OAKLAND TRUCK STOP

Project Number : 3540


Sample : MW-3

Matrix : Water

Lab Number : 38909-02

Sample Date :6/22/2004

Parameter	Measured Value	Method Reporting Limit	Units	Analysis Method	Date Analyzed
Benzene	11000	50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Toluene	71	50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Ethylbenzene	220	50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Total Xylenes	54	50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	8400	50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	< 50	50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	< 50	50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Tert-amyl methyl ether (TAME)	< 50	50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Tert-Butanol	3000	500	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Methanol	< 5000	5000	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Ethanol	< 500	500	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
TPH as Gasoline	29000	5000	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Toluene - d8 (Surr)	99.9		% Recovery	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	98.4		% Recovery	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
TPH as Diesel (Silica Gel)	7600	50	ug/L	M EPA 8015	6/30/2004

Approved By:  Joel Kiff



Report Number : 38909

Date : 6/30/2004

Project Name : OAKLAND TRUCK STOP

Project Number : 3540

Sample : MW-6

Matrix : Water

Lab Number : 38909-03

Sample Date :6/22/2004

Parameter	Measured Value	Method Reporting Limit	Units	Analysis Method	Date Analyzed
Benzene	150	20	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Toluene	< 20	20	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Ethylbenzene	< 20	20	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Total Xylenes	< 20	20	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	9200	20	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	< 20	20	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	< 20	20	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Tert-amyl methyl ether (TAME)	51	20	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Tert-Butanol	6100	200	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Methanol	< 2000	2000	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Ethanol	< 200	200	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
TPH as Gasoline	3500	2000	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Toluene - d8 (Surr)	101		% Recovery	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	94.2		% Recovery	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
TPH as Diesel (Silica Gel)	7000	50	ug/L	M EPA 8015	6/30/2004

Approved By:  Joel Kiff



Report Number : 38909

Date : 6/30/2004

Project Name : OAKLAND TRUCK STOP

Project Number : 3540


Sample : MW-9

Matrix : Water

Lab Number : 38909-04

Sample Date :6/22/2004

Parameter	Measured Value	Method Reporting Limit	Units	Analysis Method	Date Analyzed
Benzene	< 10	10	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Toluene	< 10	10	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Ethylbenzene	< 10	10	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Total Xylenes	< 10	10	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	38	10	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	< 10	10	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	< 10	10	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Tert-amyl methyl ether (TAME)	< 10	10	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Tert-Butanol	18000	100	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Methanol	< 1000	1000	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Ethanol	< 100	100	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
TPH as Gasoline	< 1000	1000	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Toluene - d8 (Surr)	100		% Recovery	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	98.3		% Recovery	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
TPH as Diesel (Silica Gel)	82	50	ug/L	M EPA 8015	6/30/2004

Approved By:  Joel Kiff

Report Number : 38909

Date : 6/30/2004

QC Report : Method Blank Data

Project Name : **OAKLAND TRUCK STOP**

Project Number : **3540**

Parameter	Measured Value	Method Reporting Limit	Units	Analysis Method	Date Analyzed
TPH as Diesel (Silica Gel)	< 50	50	ug/L	M EPA 8015	6/29/2004
Benzene	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Toluene	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Ethylbenzene	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Total Xylenes	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Tert-amyl methyl ether (TAME)	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Tert-Butanol	< 5.0	5.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Methanol	< 5.0	5.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Ethanol	< 5.0	5.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
TPH as Gasoline	< 50	50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Toluene - d8 (Surr)	101		%	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	99.0		%	EPA 8260B	6/26/2004
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/25/2004
Methanol	< 5.0	5.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/25/2004
Benzene	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Toluene	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Ethylbenzene	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Total Xylenes	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Tert-amyl methyl ether (TAME)	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Tert-Butanol	< 5.0	5.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Methanol	< 5.0	5.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Ethanol	< 5.0	5.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
TPH as Gasoline	< 50	50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
Toluene - d8 (Surr)	106		%	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	95.0		%	EPA 8260B	6/28/2004

Parameter	Measured Value	Method Reporting Limit	Units	Analysis Method	Date Analyzed
Benzene	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Toluene	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Ethylbenzene	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Total Xylenes	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Tert-amyl methyl ether (TAME)	< 0.50	0.50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Tert-Butanol	< 5.0	5.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Ethanol	< 5.0	5.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
TPH as Gasoline	< 50	50	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
Toluene - d8 (Surr)	104		%	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	105		%	EPA 8260B	6/24/2004

Approved By:  Joel Kiff

KIFF ANALYTICAL, LLC

2795 2nd St, Suite 300 Davis, CA 95616 530-297-4800

Report Number : 38909


Date : 6/30/2004

QC Report : Matrix Spike/ Matrix Spike Duplicate

Project Name : OAKLAND TRUCK STOP

Project Number : 3540

Parameter	Spiked Sample	Sample Value	Spike Level	Spike Dup. Level	Spiked Sample Value	Duplicate Spiked Sample Value	Units	Analysis Method	Date Analyzed	Spiked Sample Percent Recov.	Duplicate Spiked Sample Percent Recov.	Relative Percent Diff.	Spiked Sample Percent Recov. Limit	Relative Percent Diff. Limit
TPH as Diesel	Blank	<50	1000	1000	1070	794	ug/L	M EPA 8015	6/29/04	107	79.4	29.8	70-130	25
Benzene	38903-04	<0.50	39.8	40.0	37.4	38.2	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/04	94.0	95.5	1.59	70-130	25
Toluene	38903-04	<0.50	39.8	40.0	37.1	38.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/04	93.2	95.0	2.00	70-130	25
Tert-Butanol	38903-04	<5.0	199	200	186	188	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/04	93.3	94.1	0.801	70-130	25
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	38903-04	24	39.8	40.0	61.5	61.8	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/04	94.0	94.6	0.614	70-130	25
Benzene	38931-01	<0.50	40.0	40.0	39.5	38.9	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/25/04	98.8	97.2	1.58	70-130	25
Toluene	38931-01	<0.50	40.0	40.0	39.5	38.6	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/25/04	98.7	96.5	2.29	70-130	25
Tert-Butanol	38931-01	<5.0	200	200	199	198	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/25/04	99.4	99.1	0.296	70-130	25
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	38931-01	<0.50	40.0	40.0	38.4	38.3	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/25/04	95.9	95.9	0.0360	70-130	25
Benzene	38971-02	<0.50	40.0	40.0	40.5	39.2	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/04	101	98.1	3.18	70-130	25
Toluene	38971-02	<0.50	40.0	40.0	42.4	41.3	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/04	106	103	2.78	70-130	25
Tert-Butanol	38971-02	<5.0	200	200	199	200	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/04	99.6	100	0.595	70-130	25
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	38971-02	<0.50	40.0	40.0	43.0	42.5	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/04	107	106	1.15	70-130	25
Benzene	38909-01	1.3	40.0	40.0	38.6	37.7	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/04	93.1	91.0	2.22	70-130	25
Toluene	38909-01	<0.50	40.0	40.0	38.4	38.5	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/04	96.0	96.2	0.190	70-130	25
Tert-Butanol	38909-01	340	200	200	588	573	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/04	125	118	6.14	70-130	25
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	38909-01	510	40.0	40.0	569	559	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/04	138	112	20.5	70-130	25

Approved By:  Joe Kiff

KIFF ANALYTICAL, LLC

2795 2nd St, Suite 300 Davis, CA 95616 530-297-4800

Report Number : 38909

Date : 6/30/2004

QC Report : Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

Project Name : OAKLAND TRUCK STOP


Project Number : 3540

Parameter	Spike Level	Units	Analysis Method	Date Analyzed	LCS Percent Recov.	LCS Percent Recov. Limit
Benzene	40.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/04	95.0	70-130
Toluene	40.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/04	94.4	70-130
Tert-Butanol	200	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/04	94.4	70-130
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	40.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/26/04	97.4	70-130
Benzene	40.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/25/04	90.0	70-130
Toluene	40.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/25/04	91.5	70-130
Tert-Butanol	200	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/25/04	89.9	70-130
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	40.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/25/04	88.4	70-130
Benzene	40.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/04	98.6	70-130
Toluene	40.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/04	104	70-130
Tert-Butanol	200	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/04	100	70-130
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	40.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/28/04	100	70-130
Benzene	40.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/04	95.0	70-130
Toluene	40.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/04	98.5	70-130
Tert-Butanol	200	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/04	102	70-130
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	40.0	ug/L	EPA 8260B	6/24/04	97.8	70-130

KIFF ANALYTICAL, LLC

2795 2nd St, Suite 300 Davis, CA 95616 530-297-4800

Approved By:


Joel Kiff

