From: <u>Laurie Sherwood</u>
To: <u>bkellehr@ix.netcom.com</u>

Cc: Joseph D. Ryan; William L Nagle; Kim Dincel; WARD, MARGARET G; Barbara Holland; Hal Reiland; Jay Holland;

Guy Holland; frontdeskeem@yahoo.com; matt kaempf; Matt Paulus; Plunkett, Steven, Env. Health

Subject: RE: Pearce v Thompson et al: 900 Central Alameda: California Legislation - Bills Recently Sent to the Governor

Date: Friday, December 11, 2009 1:03:26 PM

Dear Brian: Is there any update on the submission of the application to the Fund including any determination as to whether Barbara Holland's returns were sent to the Fund? Any further word from the County/Jim Plunkett since your 12/1 report? Thank you. Laurie

From: Brian Kelleher [mailto:bkellehr@ix.netcom.com]

Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2009 5:37 PM

To: Laurie Sherwood

Cc: Joseph D. Ryan; William L Nagle; Kim Dincel; WARD, MARGARET G; Barbara Holland; Hal Reiland; Jay Holland; Guy Holland; frontdeskeem@yahoo.com; matt kaempf; Matt Paulus; Plunkett, Steven, Env. Hoolth

Subject: Re: Pearce v Thompson et al: 900 Central Alameda: California Legislation - Bills Recently Sent to the Governor

To all:

I have attached RRM's preliminary T&M cost estimate for conducting the additional vapor sampling that the County tentatively indicated would be needed as a condition of CAP approval. Without knowing how many sampling points the County is going to ultimately ask for or approve, RRM is estimating the costs at about \$15,000.

It is my understanding, that RRM attempted to convince the County that the sampling was not really needed given site specific conditions, but to no avail.

Most of the local oversight programs are requesting soil gas sampling these days to support site closure petitions where the RP's consultants are proposing to leave levels of contamination in place that exceed risk based cleaned goals for soil and groundwater. That is not the case here. Remarkably, in some cases oversight agencies, presumably under pressure from the cash-strapped FUND, are requiring consultants to conduct soil gas sampling to prove that leaving relatively high levels of contamination in place creates a vapor intrusion hazard to defend an active remediation proposal. This is a somewhat controversial new development that has many environmental professionals like myself shaking their heads. In preparing the CAP, RRM had already taken this new development into consideration as well as the fact that the site is a residential setting and the contaminated interval potentially intercepts underground utilities. If RRM thought the testing was soil gas testing was needed they would have recommended it

At this point, we are still awaiting the County's preliminary approval letter for the CAP. Given that we still need to go through a 30-day public comment period before the County can issue its final approval, the CAP implementation work is going to have to take place next year if it takes place at all. The fact that the County is not returning calls or e-mails at this point is not a good sign

I did try hard, but to no avail. Its been that kind of year.

With respect to the Hollands, as far as I know, Jack Holland Jr is the only one who

has sent tax info to the FUND so far. The last I heard from Hal Reiland, he was still holding Barbara Holland's tax returns but was going to forward them to the FUND. I cannot confirm he actually did. I placed a call to the FUND and expect to know by this Thursday if they have received Barbara's records. Mr. Reiland has not been returning calls of late.

At this point there is still significant uncertainty as to whether Anne Marie Holland is going to submit any records to the FUND. Mr. Martins, who is the attorney for the Jack Holland Sr estate, reportedly was scheduled to meet with Anne Marie last week. He has not returned my latest calls which is not a good sign.

In short, the case settlement remains in peril.

I already recently forwarded the good news that the governor signed AB 1188 increasing the UST maintenance fee for one year by 0.6 cents per gallon, so the FUND has a lease on life for the next year or two.

Hopefully the County will respond soon, Barbara Holland and the Holland Estate will cooperate by submitting tax records to the FUND, and the three carriers will agree to cover the increased costs if the County requires vapor sampling.

I will keep everyone posted.

Brian Kelleher Court consultant/Project coordinator

Laurie Sherwood wrote:

```
Also, do we have an estimate for the additional work required by
County and/or is there any way to minimze this? Thank you. Laurie
----Original Message----
From: Laurie Sherwood
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2009 1:58 PM
To: 'bkellehr@ix.netcom.com'; Joseph D. Ryan
Cc: William L Nagle; Kim Dincel; WARD, MARGARET G; Barbara
Holland; Hal
Reiland; Jay Holland; Guy Holland; frontdeskeem@yahoo.com; matt
Matt Paulus; Plunkett, Steven, Env. Health
Subject: RE: Pearce v Thompson et al: 900 Central Alameda:
California
Legislation - Bills Recently Sent to the Governor
Brian: Any update on the Holland parties? Thanks. Laurie
----Original Message----
From: Brian Kelleher [mailto:bkellehr@ix.netcom.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2009 11:47 AM
To: Joseph D. Ryan
Cc: William L Nagle; Laurie Sherwood; Kim Dincel; WARD, MARGARET
G;
Barbara Holland; Hal Reiland; Jay Holland; Guy Holland;
frontdeskeem@yahoo.com; matt kaempf; Matt Paulus; Plunkett, Steven,
Health
Subject: Re: Pearce v Thompson et al: 900 Central Alameda:
```

California Legislation - Bills Recently Sent to the Governor

All three Holland parties have contacted me since my last e-mail and all three have expressed a willingness to cooperate. I will provide an update at the end of the week.

Brian Kelleher Project coordinator/court consultant

Joseph D. Ryan wrote:

To all:

What do we do about the Holland parties recalcitrance, sue them for $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

declaratory relief? Comments?

----Original Message---From: Brian Kelleher [mailto:bkellehr@ix.netcom.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2009 11:28 AM
To: William L Nagle; Laurie Sherwood; Kim Dincel; Joseph D. Ryan; WARD,

MARGARET G; Barbara Holland; Hal Reiland; Jay Holland; Guy Holland; frontdeskeem@yahoo.com
Cc: matt kaempf; Matt Paulus; Plunkett, Steven, Env. Health
Subject: Pearce v Thompson et al: 900 Central Alameda: California
Legislation - Bills Recently Sent to the Governor
To all:

According to the attached, we are just the governor's signature away

from a least a temporary resolution of the USTCF's current cash flow problems. Assuming he signs it, the one-year increase in the fuel maintenance fee from 1.4 to 2 cents per gallon will go into effect 1/1/10.

Brian Kelleher

http://www.aroundthecapitol.com/billtrack/enrolled.html

This message (and any associated files) is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is confidential, subject to copyright or constitutes a trade secret. If you are not the

intended recipient you are hereby notified that any dissemination, copying or distribution of this message, or files associated with this message, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Messages sent to and from us may be monitored.

Internet communications cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. Therefore, we do not accept responsibility for any errors or omissions that are present in this message, or any attachment, that have arisen as a result of e-mail transmission. If verification is required, please request a hard-copy version. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the company.

This message (and any associated files) is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is confidential, subject to copyright or constitutes a trade secret. If you are not the intended recipient you are hereby notified that any dissemination, copying or distribution of this message, or files associated with this message, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Messages sent to and from us may be monitored.

Internet communications cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. Therefore, we do not accept responsibility for any errors or omissions that are present in this message, or any attachment, that have arisen as a result of e-mail transmission. If verification is required, please request a hard-copy version. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the company.