

Chan, Barney, Env. Health

From: Chan, Barney, Env. Health
Sent: Thursday, August 01, 2002 2:04 PM
To: 'esilverman@fugro.com'
Subject: Nady site work plan

This message follows up on our conversation and lists my concerns and comments.

- In regards to the location and number of borings, you were going to see if it was possible to add two borings, one immediately adjacent in the assumed downgradient direction to UST 5 and one at the 90 degree bend of the pipeline emanating from UST 1. Also, the proposed boring adjacent to the former fuel oil tank would be advanced within the former tank pit.
- You were going to investigate the fact that no piping run was indicated to go northward into the adjacent building next to USTs 5&6, even though piping is shown to go there in UST removal figures and photos.
- Our office requested that more than one soil sample be analyzed from the borings if necessary to determine the vertical extent of contamination
- You were going to clarify the way gw gradient was going to be determined in the temporary borings, ie several gw elevation readings would be taken for this determination
- You were going to investigate the "gas pump" shown on one of the figures submitted to our office. The "gas pump" is shown to be located in the southeast corner of the site.

Thank you,

Barney Chan
ACEH, 510-567-6765