RECEIVED

By Alameda County Environmental Health 10:36 am, Jul 13, 2017

July 11, 2017

Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, 2nd Floor Alameda, CA 94502

Attention: Mark Detterman

Subject: Request for Regulatory Closure Former Goldsmith Lathrop Site 5813-5815 Shellmound Street, Emeryville, California ACEH RO# 0000071; Global ID: T0600102203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Attached please find a copy of the *Request for Regulatory Closure* prepared by Gribi Associates. I have read and acknowledge the content, recommendations and/or conclusions contained in the attached document or report submitted on my behalf to ACDEH's FTP server and the SWRCB's GeoTracker website.

Very truly yours,

Charkon

Michael K. Park, M.D. 1940 Webster Street, Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94612



July 10, 2017

Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, 2nd Floor Alameda, CA 94502

Attention: Mark Detterman

Subject: Request for Regulatory Closure Former Goldsmith Lathrop Site 5813-5815 Shellmound Street Emeryville, California ACEH RO# 0000071; Global ID: T0600102203

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Gribi Associates is pleased to submit this report for the former Goldsmith Lathrop site located at 5813-5815 Shellmound Street in Emeryville, California (Site) (see Figure 1 and Figure 2). This report provides: (1) Results of recent groundwater monitoring of two Site groundwater monitoring wells; and (2) A formal request for regulatory closure of all pending environmental regulatory issues related to the Site.

A Conceptual Site Model (CSM) was prepared for the Site is being submitted under separate cover. The CSM provides a general assessment of contaminant sources, contaminant migratory pathways and impacts, and potential human health and environmental risks and exposure pathways relative to Site contaminant impacts.

1.0 BACKGROUND

1.1 Brief Site Background

Historic records indicate that the Site was part of San Francisco Bay in 1911 and was infilled prior to 1937 (see Attachment A). Paraffine Paint Company (subsequent Fiberboard) first occupied the land east of the Site prior to infilling, and the entire Site area following infilling. A 1951 Sanborn Fire Insurance map shows the Site to have been used for "Baled Rags" and parking. A 1967 Sanborn map shows the current streets to be present. The Site building is not present, and the west-adjacent building is present.

The Site building was constructed in 1971, with a concrete tilt up building on the east and asphalt parking on the west. According to Site history in past Cambria reports, a geologic log

for one boring for the initial pre-construction geotechnical investigation of the Site reported that soils from 4 to 10 feet in depth were "oil impregnated". These oils would presumably have originated from: (1) Filling in of the Site and surrounding north, south, and west land area sometime between 1911 and 1937; and/or (2) Activities associated with the former Paraffine Paint/Fiberboard facility, which occupied a large area encompassing the Site and apparently manufactured asphalt roofing felt, roofing paper, and linoleum.

The Site was occupied by F.P. Lathop Construction Company from 1972 to 1987. One 2,000gallon steel gasoline UST installed in 1978 by Lathrop in the northwest corner of the Site was removed in October 1989. The tank and associated piping were in good condition, and the tank was surrounded with sand backfill with minimal evidence of fuel releases. Two soil samples were collected beneath the removed tank, and one soil sample was collected from the excavated soil stockpile. In addition, one water sample, apparently representing sewer water from a ruptured sewer line, was collected from the tank excavation cavity. The two excavation cavity soil samples showed no detectable concentrations of TPH-G and BTEX. The soil stockpile sample showed 23 mg/kg of TPH-G, 0.28 mg/kg of Xylenes, and no detectable Benzene, Toluene, and Ethylbenzene. The water sample showed 2,800 ug/L of TPH-G, 12 ug/L of Benzene, 240 ug/L of Toluene, 61 ug/L of Ethylbenzene, and 400 ug/L of Xylenes. Tabulated soil and groundwater laboratory analytical results for previous Site investigations are included in Attachment B.

Approximately 30 soil borings and four groundwater monitoring wells were installed on the Site in the mid-1990s (see Figure 3). Shallow soil and groundwater impacts included Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline (TPH-G), Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX), Halogenated Volatile Organic Compounds (HVOCs), Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel (TPH-D), Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Motor Oil (TPH-MO), Creosote and Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PNAs). Contaminant impacts in soil and groundwater on the Site are limited to the parking lot on the west side of the Site (see Figure 4 and Figure 5).

1.2 Former Good Guys Site Background

The former Good Guys site, located immediately west from the Site at 5800 Christie Avenue, was part of the Paraffine Paint/Fiberboard facility prior to the mid-1960s, when a building was constructed on the property. This building was occupied by various tenants, including Flexo Packaging (printing plates manufacturer), Fisher Berkeley (communication equipment manufacturer), and Data Plus (software company). A vapor degreaser that apparently used Trichloroethene (TCE) was present near the northeast corner of the site building.

In 1989, the site building was vacated and various investigation and remediation activities were conducted as part of renovation activities required for Good Guys to occupy the building. Renovations included demolishing the northwest portion of the building and constructing a



new addition on the northeast side of the building. Soil sampling conducted prior to and during these activities identified elevated concentrations of TCE in a narrow, unpaved alley along the east edge of the building, near the former vapor degreaser (see Figure 2). Remediation activities conducted as part of redevelopment activities included excavation of TCE-impacted soil, implementation of targeted soil vapor extraction (SVE), and in-situ bio/chemical degradation via chemical injections. Subsequent soil and groundwater sampling indicated low to nondetectable TCE impacts in soil and groundwater on the site

On March 5, 1999, Cambria Environmental Technology submitted *Request for Change of Regulatory Status, 5313 Shellmound Street and 5800 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, California.* This report provided a site background summary, a conceptual site model, and a risk analysis relative to pre-existing hydrocarbon contaminants on both the Site and the adjacent west former Good Guys site. In February 2001, a deed restriction (*Covenant and Environmental Restriction on Property, 5800 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA*) was recorded for the west adjacent former Good Guys site. On July 9, 2001, Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH) granted regulatory closure for the TCE and gasoline-range hydrocarbons on the Good Guys site; the case was subsequently closed with the understanding that the site posed no significant risk to human health and the environment and that a deed restriction was recorded for the site. On May 31, 2001, ACDEH amended the July 9, 2001 closure to include the Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PNAs) detected on both the Good Guys property and on the Site.

2.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING ACTIVITIES AND RESULTS

Site wells C-2 and C-4 were purged and sampled on June 20, 2017. Site wells C-1 and C-3 were not accessible during this sampling event. Groundwater monitoring activities generally included: (1) Measuring static water levels in the wells to the nearest 0.01 foot using an electronic sounder; (2) Purging at least three well volumes of water from the wells while monitoring clarity, pH, electric conductivity, and temperature; (3) Pouring water directly into laboratory-supplied, 40-ml HCL-preserved VOA vials with minimum of head space; and (4) Labeling sample vials and placing them on ice for transport to the analytical laboratory under formal chain-of-custody. The groundwater samples from C-2 and C-4 were analyzed for TPH as Gasoline, Diesel, and Motor Oil; BTEX; Oxygenates and Lead Scavengers; Creosote; and Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs).

Depth to groundwater in the two wells was approximately 4.0 feet below top of casing. Groundwater laboratory analytical results for this and selected previous monitoring events are summarized on Figure 5. The laboratory data report is included in Attachment C. The groundwater sample from C-2 showed no detectable concentrations of any of the analytes except 1,100 ug/L of TPH-MO. The C-4 groundwater sample showed:



TPH-D: 2,600 ug/L TPH-MO: 640 ug/L Benzene: 24 ug/L Ethylbenzene: 14 ug/L Xylenes: 10.4 ug/L Creosote: 447 ug/L Acenaphthene: 87.4 ug/L Fluorene: 16.6 ug/L Naphthalene: 144 ug/L Phenanthrene: 26.1 ug/L

These concentrations are generally much lower than previous 1997 and 1998 concentrations for C-4.

3.0 REQUEST FOR REGULATORY CLOSURE

Previous Site reports and regulatory correspondences indicate two outstanding environmental issues relative to the Site: (1) Regulatory closure is pending for a fuel leak case for a former underground storage tank (UST) on the Site (ACEH Case RO00000071); and (2) Relict soil and groundwater hydrocarbon impacts in shallow soil and groundwater from historic Paraffine Paint/Fiberboard activities may require additional investigation. Copies of relevant reports and correspondences are included in Attachment D.

3.1 UST Case Closure

Historic soil and groundwater sample results clearly indicate no significant hydrocarbon releases or impacts relative to the former Site UST. Thus, ACDEH correspondences indicate that the UST case for the Site can be closed simply by submitting a completed *List of Landowners* form.

3.2 Relict Hydrocarbon Impacts

A March 2016 email correspondence from ACDEH staff to the Site owners indicated that they consider the relict contamination on the Site likely resulted from original in-filling of the bayside to create the surrounding land areas, but that this contamination still needs to be addressed. However, when ACDEH granted regulatory closure for the west adjoining former Good Guys site in May 2002, this closure effectively applied to both the Site and Good Guys site, since data used to make this determination came almost entirely from the Site, and not from the former Good Guys site.



In April 1998, Cambria submitted *Ground Water Monitoring and Risk-Based Corrective Action (RBCA) Evaluation, Former Lathrop Property, 5813-15 Shellmound Street, Emeryville, California.* This report included a Tier 1 and Tier 2 RBCA analysis in accordance with ASTM standards. The Tier 2 evaluation included site-specific target levels (SSTLs) for Benzene soil volatilization and groundwater volatilization of 7.0 mg/kg and 5,800 ug/L, respectively, at 10⁻⁶ target risk. This report concludes "Cambria's Tier 2 risk assessment for this site suggests that the COCs detected in soil and ground water do not pose a significant threat to the health of persons currently occupying the site or potentially occupying the site in the future."

As summarized in Section 1.2 of this report, the March 1999 *Request for Change of Regulatory Status, 5313 Shellmound Street and 5800 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, California* included a risk analysis for both the Site and for the adjoining west former Good Guys site. The contaminants of concern (COCs) used for this evaluation included the full range of hydrocarbons (TPH-G, BTEX, TPH-D, TPH-MO, Creosote, HVOCs, and PNAs). During this evaluation, a Tier 2 RBCA analysis was conducted that resulted in Benzene and Naphthalene SSTLs for the site of 1,700 ug/L and 12,300 ug/L, respectively. Based on the results of their assessment, Cambria concluded that the residual hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater did not pose a risk for current and future occupants of the Site. This report requested regulatory closure of the Site, given that: (1) The groundwater hydrocarbon plume is stable and limited in lateral extent; (2) Natural attenuation is occurring and will continue to occur at the Site; (3) The expectation of future groundwater beneficial use in the formerly industrial Emeryville is nil; and (4) A restrictive deed notification would be recorded for the Site.

As summarized in Section 1.2 of this report, ACDEH first granted regulatory closure for just the TCE and gasoline-range hydrocarbons on July 9, 2001. On May 31, 2002, ACDEH issued a second closure letter clarifying that the July 9, 2001 closure was in effect with the following addition:

"Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) were detected in soil and / or groundwater at the subject site and the adjacent site, Goldsmith Lathrop located at 5813 - 5815 Shellmound Street, Emeryville. PAHs found at both sites appeared to be from past historical use. Fiberboard Corporation previously owned both sites. Residual concentrations of PAHs including other chemicals of concern (COCs) such as petroleum hydrocarbons and benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, xylene (BTEX) detected in soil and/or groundwater at the site were evaluated. Results of the Risk Based Corrective Action (RBCA) evaluation showed that the concentrations did not pose a significant threat to occupants of the building under the current site scenario (commercial use, no direct exposure since the site is capped).



As stated in the July 9, 2001 letter, institutional controls have been implemented at the site, which included a recorded deed restriction and site information entry at City of Emeryville's One Stop Shop.

Therefore, based upon the available information and with the provision that the information provided to this agency was accurate and representative of site conditions, no further action is required regarding the solvent release and the residual petroleum hydrocarbons, BTEX and PAHs found at the subject site."

Since most of the petroleum hydrocarbons, BTEX, and PNAs used in the RBCA evaluation referred to above occurred on the Site itself, the No Further Action determination referenced in the May 31, 2002 letter should apply to both the former Good Guys site and to the subject Site. That is provided a deed notification similar to the Good Guys notification is recorded for the Site.

It is worth noting that gasoline to motor oil range hydrocarbons likely attributable to the Paraffine Paint/Fiberboard facility were previously identified on at least three immediately surrounding sites (Good Guys at 5800 Christie Avenue, Woodfins at 5800 Shellmound Street, and Days Inn Hotel at 1603 Powell Street). These sites were all granted risk-based regulatory closure without significant remediation, but rather with administrative measures (Risk Management Plan and deed notification)

3.3 Summary

Regulatory closure should be granted simultaneously for both the former Site UST case and for the relict, area-wide hydrocarbon impacts, subject to recordation of a deed notification that limits future site uses and precludes groundwater use. Regulatory closure should be granted for this Site based on the following generally-accepted closure criteria:

(1) A large portion of the contaminant source, or sources, have been removed (*Site UST removed; Paraffine Paint/Fiberboard industrial activities not present for last 50 years*)

(2) The site has been adequately characterized (over 30 borings and 4 wells on Site; impacts assessed by others on sites to the south and west);

(3) The contaminant plume is not migrating, and chemical concentrations in groundwater are expected to meet water quality objectives in the future (hydrocarbon concentrations significantly lower during recent groundwater monitoring at downgradient well C-4);



(4) No other waters of the State, water supply wells, or other sensitive receptors are likely to be impacted (there are no nearby surface water or groundwater sensitive receptors); and

(5) The site does not pose a significant risk to human health or safety (relict hydrocarbon impacts are under paved parking lot in southwest corner of Site; prior Tier 1/Tier 2 RBCA evaluation for Site and west adjoining site showed acceptable risk levels for commercial land uses).

Full regulatory closure should be granted for the Site with the provision that: (1) Site wells are properly decommissioned; and (2) A deed notification is recorded that restricts land use and precludes groundwater use at the Site.

We appreciate this opportunity to provide this report for your review. Please contact us if there are questions or if additional information is required.

Very truly yours,

James E. Gribi Professional Geologist California No. 5843

Enclosure





FIGURES













ATTACHMENT A

SELECTED HISTORICAL RECORDS







1.





94 - 24 2⁹⁴ - 2



ATTACHMENT B

TABULATED SOIL & GROUNDWATER RESULTS FROM PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS



. 1 2

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Sample Depth	TPHcr	TPHd	TPHmo	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl benzene	Xylenes
		(ft)	a state and a state of the stat				(Concentratio	in in mg/kg or pa	rts per million)	
LATHROP	(5813-5815 S	hellmound)								
Tank Exca	vation Sample	5								
1512	10/26/89	-4	÷			nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1521	10/26/89	-4	-			nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1533- Comp	10/26/89	NA		÷.	÷	23	nd	nd	nd	0.28
Cambria B	lorings (Septer	nber 1994)								
SB-A	09/22/94	5.0	-		1. .	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
B-A	09/22/94	11.7	-	-	-	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
B-B	09/22/94	6.0		÷.		1.0	nd	nd	nd	nd
B-B	09/22/94	11.7	÷.			nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
B-C	-09/22/94	5.0	÷.	-	-	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
B-C	09/22/94	11.7	-	(Hereit	-	1.1	nd	nd	nd	nd
B-D	09/22/94	5.0	-	* 	-	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
B-E	09/22/94	5.0			. 	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
B-F	09/22/94	5.0	1441	-		-	-	-	4	
B-G	09/22/94	3.0				nd	32	0.69	4.4	nd
B-G	09/22/94	5.0	-	-		21	0.15	3.4	0.13	1.2
B-G	09/22/94	11.7	144			-	-	-	-	
B-H	09/22/94	3.0			*	nd	nd	0.620	0.016	0.180
B-H	09/22/94	5.0			000	15	0.052	0.066	9.8	0.380
B-H	09/22/94	11.7	() () () () () () () () () ()		1	1.1	0.012	0.650	nd	0.010
B-I	09/22/94	5.0	-		-	nd	0.011	0.0037	nd	nd
B-J	09/22/94	5.0	-	**	-			-		ING

41

Table 1. Soil Analytic Data for Hydrocarbons

F:\PROJECT\CROSBY\EMERYVLL\TBL-SOBT.WPD 10/5/94

Sample	Date Sampled	Sample	TPHcr	TPHd	TPHmo	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl benzene	Xylenes
	Gempred	(ft)					(Concentratio	on in mg/kg or pa	rts per million)	
SB-N	09/22/94	3.0	1 		(++)		+	-	144	
SB-N	09/22/94	5.0		· • •	-	1,700	5.9	2.7	10	9.8
SB-N	09/22/94	10.5	.e.			2,600	18	7.3	12	14
SB-N	09/22/94	11.7			-	-	-	11446	-	
SB-O	09/22/94	5.0	-	÷	1.44	23	0.058	0.034	0.170	0.230
SB-O	09/22/94	11.7		Gue	23	4.1	4			
SB-P	09/22/94	11.7	-i (42)	-	÷	2,300	17	1.8	13	10
Cambria B	lorings (Decen	aber 1994)								
SB-Q	12/07/94	3.5	nd	nd	1,300		<u></u>	-		4
B-Q	12/07/94	5.5	nd	8.8	26		÷.		100	-
B-R	12/07/94	5.5	nd	9.6	19				4	14.1
SB-S	12/07/94	5.5	nd	7.1	21		-	-	2.40	
SB-S	12/07/94	11	nđ	nd	690	1040				
SB-T	12/07/94	3.5	11,000	nd	nd			144	1.4	
B-T	12/07/94	5.5	25,000	nđ	68,000	-				-
B-T	12/07/94	9.0	nd	nd	570		a la companya da companya d	-	-	
B-T	12/07/94	11.0	23	nd	nd	-	Lic a cia.		1 mail 1	
B-U	12/07/94	6.0	5,200	nd	13,000		2	12	-	
B-U	12/07/94	11.0	58	nđ	nd		-	-		
B-V	12/07/94	4.0	42,000	nd	nd	-				
B-V	12/07/94	11.0	19	nd	nd	-	-	-		
B-W	12/07/94	4.0	240,000	nd	nd		12470	40		
B-W	12/07/94	6.0	nd	3,900	5,600	-				
B-W	12/07/94	11.0	36	nd	nd			-	-	
B-X	12/08/94	5.5	nđ	nd	nd	-			- 1	
B-X	12/08/94	8.5	nd	1,300	3,300					
B-X2	12/08/94	3:5	- nd	nd	67-		1	5	3.1	

Table 1. Soil Analytic Data for Hydrocarbons - Lathrop Investigation, Emeryville, California

F:\PROJECT\CROSBY\EMERYVLL\TBL-SOBT.WPD 10/5/94

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Sample Depth	TPHcr	TPHd	TPHmo	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl benzene	Xylenes
		(ft)					(Concentratio	n in mg/kg or pa	rts per million)	
SB-X2	12/08/94	5.5	nd	лd	87,000	-	-			
SB-X2	12/08/94	9.0	nd	nd	nd		-			
SB-X2	12/08/94	11.0	nd	150	550			-		
SB-Y	12/08/94	3.5	40,000	nd	nd	22				
SB-Y	12/08/94	5.5	nd	nd	nđ		-		- <u>-</u>	100
SB-Y2	12/08/94	4.0	nd	nd	nd		-			2
SB-Y2	12/08/94	6.0	nd	nd	nd	-	-		2	
SB-Y2	12/08/94	9.0	nd	nd	nd	-	2.	- <u>-</u>		
SB-Y2	12/08/94	11.0	nd	nd	nd		4	4	-	
SB-Z	12/08/94	3.5	nd	nd	170	-				
SB-Z	12/08/94	6.0	nd	nđ	nd		-		-	
C-1	12/09/94	5.5	nd	nd	2,300		-		1	
C-1	12/09/94	8.5	nd	nd	23	-	-			
C-1	12/09/94	13.5	nd	nđ	nd	**		-		-
C-1	12/09/94	18.5	nd	nd	nd	-	-			
C-2	12/09/94	3.5	nd	nđ	nd		-	14	-	
C-2	12/09/94	5.5	nd	31	50		44	122	-	
C-2	12/09/94	8.5	nd	7.9	18					-
C-2	12/09/94	11.0	12	2.30	nd			-	-	
C-2	12/09/94	15.0	nd	i c à chi		1				
C-3	12/09/94	3.5	3,700	nđ	nd	1.00				
Ċ-3	12/09/94	5.5	19,000	nd	nd		-			
C-3	12/09/94	8.5	62,000	nd	nd					-
C-3	12/09/94	11.0	14	nd	nd	-	_	1.2		
C-3	12/09/94	14.0	nd	nd	nď		-			
C-3	- 12/09/94	15.0	81.00			-	-	-		1

Table 1. Soil Analytic Data for Hydrocarbons - Lathrop Investigation, Emeryville, California

F:\PROJECT\CROSBY\EMERYVLL\TBL-SOBT.WPD 10/5/94

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Sample Depth		TPHcr	TPHd	TPHmo	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl benzene	Xylenes
		(ft)						(Concentrati	on in mg/kg or par	ts per million)	
COLEY AN Borings by	D HERRING Gils Associate	INVESTMEN	TT (5800)	Christie Street)							
1 (9665)	12/28/88	4.0		-	c#o	- A.		nd	1.400	3	8.4
1 (9666)	12/28/88	6.0		<u>á</u> .	4	Carl I	-	nd	26	nd	nd
2 (9668)	12/28/88	7.0			-			nd	87	nd	nu
2 (9667)	12/28/88	12.0		4			35	nd	56	ba	nd
8 (9669)	12/28/88	5.0		÷.			-	nd	33	nd	nd
(9670)	12/28/88	12.0			-		1.4	nd	0.81	nd	nd
(9653)	10/12/88	2.4			14		2	nd	2800	28	17
(9661)	10/12/88	3.4		÷	-	-	100	nd	nd	nd	nd
(9660)	10/12/88	3.0		ç.		-	-	nd	0.0060	nđ	0.004
(9658)	10/12/88	3.0		-	++		-	nd	nd	nd	0.004
(9659)	10/12/88	3.3			1.22		-	nd	nd	nd	nd
(9655)	10/12/88	2.0		14 () () () () () () () () () (-		nd	0.0032	nd	nd
0 (9656)	10/12/88	6.3		÷.		**		nd	0.0040	nd	nd
1 (9654)	10/12/88	4.0			-	<u></u>		nd	0.0055	nd	nd
2 (9657)	10/12/88	2.0		-	-		-	nd	0.0028	nd	nd
3 (9663)	10/27/88	6.0		-	-	1.4	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
3 (9664)	10/27/88	11.0		2		-	3	nd	ba	nd	nu nd
4 (9662)	10/27/88	11.0		-	-	-	5	nd	nd	nd	0.057
IcLaren Fo	undation Exc	avation Same	otes								
A-1	04/14/89	2.3	104 C				100	nd	0.010		
A-4	04/14/89	2.0		2.	-			nd	0.019	on	nd
A-5	04/14/89	2.7		2			-	nu	0.10	na	nd
A-6	04/14/89	3.5			-		-	nu	0.80	na .	nd
		5.5			-	1.000		na	0.12	nd	nd

÷

Table 1. Soil Analytic Data for Hydrocarbons - Lathron Investigation Emervyille California

VECT\CROSBY\EMERYVLL\TBL-SOBT.WPD 10/5/94

Sample	Date	Sample	TPHcr	TPHd	TPHmo	TPHg	Benzene	. Toluene	Ethyl benzene	Xylenes
iD.	Sampled	(ft)					(Concentrat	ion in mg/kg or pa	rts per million)	
HA-8	04/14/89	3.5				-	nd	0.048	nd	nd
HA-9	04/14/89	3.5		· · · ·	-		nd	nd	nð	nd
HA-10	04/14/89	3.5	-	-			nd	0.049	nð	nd
HA-11	04/14/89	2.5		÷	÷	4	nd	0.030	nd	nd
ETS Excav	ation Wall Sa	mples								
Al	1989	5.0	1 - Anno 1	1	-	-	nd	nd	nd	nd
A2	1989	5.0		-	0.00	-	nd	0.11	nd	nd
В	1989	5.0		1 1 1 1		-	nd	180	3.8	28
C	1989	5.0		1.000	· · · · ·		nd	320	9,3	48
D	1989	5.0	**		-	i ÷	nd	1.8	nd	nd
El	1989	5.0		0.00		1.40	0.70	0.70	0.60	1.1
E2	1989	5.0	Sec. 1	-	-		nd	nd	nd	nd
F	1989	5.0	÷.	÷	÷	-	nd	2,700	14	35
Confirmati	on Borings Al	ter SVE								
G	12/03/91	3-5		4		nd	nđ	nd	nd	nd
н	12/03/91	3-5		-		1.5	nd	0.076	0.0062	0.10
1	12/03/91	3-5		-	1 miles	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd

Table 1. Soil Analytic Data for Hydrocarbons

- Lathrop Investigation, Emeryville, California

Abbreviations

TPHcr = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as creosote by EPA Method 5020, 5030 or by modified EPA Method 8015 TPHd = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesei by EPA Method 5020, 5030 or by modified EPA Method 8015

TPmo = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as motor oil by EPA Method 5020, 5030 or by modified EPA Method 8015

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline by EPA Method 5020, 5030 or by modified EPA Method 8015

BTEX = BTEX compounds by EPA Method 601/8240 unless 8020/5030 performed also.

-- = Constituent not analyzed

nd = Not detected, or no limit given by previous consultant

1.1

Sample ID	Date	Sample Depth	vc	I,I DCA	1.2 DCE	MC	1,2 DCA	1,1,1 TCA	TCE	PCE	carbon tet	Comments
	Sampicu	(11)			_			(Concentratio	on in mg/kg or p	parts per million	i)	· ·
LATHRO	P (5813-5815 S	hellmound)										
Tank Exc	avation Sample	5										
1512	10/26/89	-4	-	a ed		-		-		_		
1521	10/26/89	~4		-	-					-		
1533- Comp	10/26/89	NA	-	-	10	-		**		(És.	-	stockpile sample
Cambria I	Borings											
B-A	09/22/94	5.0	- -	-				-	-			
B-A	09/22/94	11.7	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nđ	nd	nd	nd	a
B-B	09/22/94	6.0	-	-		-			-			
B-B	09/22/94	11.7	-		•					-	1.00	
B-C	09/22/94	5.0	-	-	**	-		- 14		(the second sec	-	
B-C	09/22/94	11.7	nd	nd	nđ	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
B-D	09/22/94	5.0				++	. 🕶 .		-		-	
B-E	09/22/94	5.0	**	-	-	-			199		÷.	
B-F	09/22/94	5.0	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
B-G	09/22/94	3.0	0.12	2.3	0.014	0.051	0.014	0.036	6.2	nd	nd	
B-G	09/22/94	5.0	0.034	0.35	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.042	nd	nd	
B-G	09/22/94	11.7	nd	0.0062	nd	0.059	nd	od	nd	nd	nd	
B-H	09/22/94	3.0	nd	0.19	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
B-H	09/22/94	5.0	3.2	1.6	0.025	0.056	0.039	nd	0.0081	nd	nd	0.067 chloroethane
B-H	09/22/94	11.7	2.3	0.66	0.059	nđ	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.010 bromoform
B-I	09/22/94	5.0	nd	0.0062	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.0066 bromomothers

F:\PROJECT\CROSBY\EMERYVLL\TBL-SOVC.WPD 10/5/94

÷ ..

Sample JD	Date	Sample Depth	VC	1,1 DCA	1.2 DCE	MC	I,2 DCA	1.1,1 TCA	TCE	PCE	carbon tet	Comments
	Sampled	(A)						(Concentratio	on in mg/kg or	parts per millior	d .	
SB-J	09/22/94	5.0	nd	nđ	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
58-N	09/22/94	3.0	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
SB-N	09/22/94	5.0	0.25	0.043	nd	0.20	0.02	0.016	nd	nd	nd	0.027 chloroform
SB-N	09/22/94	10.5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	a construction of the
SB-N	09/22/94	11.7	nd	. nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
B-O	09/22/94	5.0	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
B-0	09/22/94	11.7	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
B-P	09/22/94	11.7	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
ROLEY	ND HERRING	INVESTM	ENT (5800	Christie Stree	t)							
lorings by	Gils Associate	S										
(9665)	12/28/88	4	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	190	960	nd	23	
(9666)	12/28/88	6	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	3.7	19	nd	nd	
(9668)	12/28/88	7	nd	4.2	nd	nd	nd	76	160	nd	12	
(9667)	12/28/88	12	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	69	93	nd	11	
(9669)	12/28/88	5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	7.3	88	nd	nd	
(9670)	12/28/88	12	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.49	2.9	nd	nd	
(9653)	10/12/88	2.4	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	280	3600	nd	27	
(9661)	10/12/88	3.4	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
(9660)	10/12/88	3	nd	0.0076	0.059	nd	nd	0.077	0.14	0.034	nd	
(9658)	10/12/88	3	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
(9659)	10/12/88	3.3	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
(9655)	10/12/88	2	nd	nd	nd	0.0025	nd	nd	0.012	0.012	nd	
0 (9656)	10/12/88	6.3	nd	nd	nď	nđ	nd	0.0036	0.0091	nd	nd	
(9654)	10/12/88	4	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.0086	nd	nd	
2 (9657)	10/12/88	2	nd	nd	nd	nď	nd	nd	0.0078	nd	nd	
	-	-				100			0.0070		114	

Table 2. Soil Analytic Data for Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) - Lathrop Investigation, Emeryville, California

F:\PROJECT\CROSBY\EMERYVLL\TBL-SOVC.WPD 10/5/94

ID	Date	Sample Depth	VC	1,1 DCA	1,2 DCE	MC	1.2 DCA	1,1,1 TCA	TCE	PCE	carbon tet	Comments
	Sampled	(10)						(Concentratio	n in mg/kg or p	arts per million)	
13 (9663)	10/27/88	6	-		-		4	-			-	
13 (9664)	10/27/88	11	-				÷.,		-	-	**	
14 (9662)	10/27/88	11	÷	÷ +		-	1 4 0	-	÷.	÷.	-	
McLaren F Samples	oundation Ex	cavation										
на-і	04/14/89	2.25	nd	nd	nd	0.067	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
HA-4	04/14/89	2	nd	nd	nd	0.13	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
HA-5	04/14/89	2.7	nd	nđ	nd ·	nd	nd	nd	nd	nď	nd	
IA-6	04/14/89	3.5	nd	nd	nd	0.13	nd	nd	nd	nđ	nd	
IA-7	04/14/89	3.5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nđ	nd	b
IA-8	04/14/89	3.5	nd	nđ	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nđ	nd	c
IA-9	04/14/89	3.5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nð	nd	nđ	nd	nd	d
IA-10	04/14/89	3.5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nđ	nđ	e
IA-11	04/14/89	2.5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nđ	nd	f
TS Excava	tion Wall Sa	mples										
1	1989	5	nd	nd	nd	0.18	nd	nd	0.019	?	2	0.011 freon
2	1989	5	nd	nd	0.12	nd	nd	nd	0.10	?	7	
6 mil 1	1989	5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	130	150	2	2	•
	1989	5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	23	42	?	7	
ń	1989	5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.0	18	?	2	
1	1989	5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.50	0.80	?	?	
2	1989	5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nđ	2	2	
	1989	5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	280	1,300	?	?	18'chlorobenzene

Table 2. Soil Analytic Data for Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) - Lathrop Investigation, Emeryville, California

F:\PROJECT\CROSBY\EMERYVLL\TBL-SOVC.WPD 10/5/94

J.

Table 2. Soil Analytic Data for Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)

- Lathrop Investigation, Emeryville, California

Sample ID	Date	Sample Depth	VC	1,1 DCA	1,2 DCE	MC	1,2 DCA	1,1,1 TCA	TCE	PCE	carbon let	Comments
	Sampled	(II)						(Concentratio	in in mg/kg or p	arts per million	1)	
G	12/03/91	3-5	nd	nd	nđ	nd	nd	nd	nd	1	7	
Н	12/03/91	3-5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	2	,	6
1	12/03/91	3-5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nđ	0.420	0.580	2	?	g h

Abbreviations

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline by EPA Method 5020, 5030 or by modified EPA Method 8015

BTEX = BTEX compounds by EPA Method 601/8240 unless 8020/5030 performed also.

--- = Constituent not analyzed

nd = Not detected, or no limit given by previous consultant

VC= Vinyl chloride by EPA Method 8010 or 8240.

I,I DCA = 1,1 dichloroethane by EPA Method 8010 or 8240.

1,2 DCE = Trans 1,2 dichloroethene by EPA Method 8010 or 8240.

MC= methylene chloride by EPA Method 8010 or 8240.

1,2 DCA = 1,2 dichloroethane by EPA Method 8010 or 8240.

1,1,1 TCA = 1,1,1 trichloroethane by EPA Method 8010 or 8240.

TCE = Trichloroethene by EPA Method 8010 or 8240.

PCE = Tetrachloroethene by EPA Method 8010 or 8240. ? = Data unavailable.

Comments

a = 0.021 chloroform and 0.0072 bromodichloromethane

b = methylene chloride and freon detected at 0.11 and 0.014 ppm, respectively, which were less than the raised reporting limit.

c = methylene chloride was detected at 0.073 ppm which was less than the raised reporting limit.

d = methylene chloride and toluene present at 0.063 ppm and 0.0070 ppm, respectively, which were less than the raised reporting

limit.

e = methylene chloride was present at 0.071 ppm which was less than the raised reporting limit.

f = methylene choride was detected at 0.043 ppm which was less than the raised reporting limit.

g = chloroform and cis-1, 2 - dichloroethene were detected at 0.040 ppm and 0.033 ppm, respectively.

h = 0.017 ppm and cis-1,2 - dichloroethene detected.

1

Table 3. Soil Analytic Data for Polynucleararomatics (PNAs) - Lathrop Investigation, Emeryville, California

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Sample Depth (ft)	Acenap h-thene	Acenaph- thylene	Anthra- cene	Benzo- (a)an- thracene	Benzo- (b)fluor- anthene	Benzo- (k)fluor- anthene	Benzo- (a)	Benzo- (g,h.i)	Chrysene	Fluor- anthene	Flourene	Indeno- (1,2,3-cd)	2-Methyl- naphtha-	Naphtha- Jene	Phenan- threne	Pyrene
									(Conce	ntration in	mg/kg or par	ts per millio	n)	рутене	Iche			
LATHR	OP (5813-5	815 Shellm	ound)												2.42			
Cambri	a, October 1	1994																
SB-G	09/22/94	5.5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
SB-N	09/22/94	10.5	380	2,100	960	1,100	nd	nd	1,100	880	870	500	880	650	740	5 000	7 900	2 000
Cambri	a, December	r 1994													140	3,900	3,800	2,800
SB-T	12/07/94	5.5	720	nd	250	190	140	120	210	130	290	890	250	110*	170	1 400	1 600	
SB-X2	12/08/94	5.5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	hn	nd	nd	1,100	1,000	
C-2	12/09/94	5.5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	ba	nd	nd	nu	nd
C-3	12/07/94	5.5	nd	1,500	640	540	390	480	810	700	760	2,400	580	500	540	5 700	D(1	D (00
C-3	12/07/94	14.0	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	boo	nd	5,700	3,300	2,600
C-3	12/07/94	15.0	640	1,700	980	920	700	820	1,300	1,200	1,300	3,600	0,850	0,880	0,530	4,400	5,300	4,100

.

<u>Abbreviations</u> nd = Not detected, or no limit given by previous consultant.

* = Lab estimated value.

F:\PROJECT\CROSBY\EMERYVLL\TBL-SOPN.WPD 10/5/94

Table 4. Soil Analytic Data for Metals - Lathrop Investigation, Emeryville, California

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Sample Depth	Arsenic	Barium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Tin	Vanadium	Zinc
		(ñ)					(Concentration 1	n mg/kg or par	ts per million)				
LATHROP	° (5813-5815	Shellmound)										
Cambria,	December 19	94											
SB-T	12/07/94	5.5	1.1	170	44	9.0	47	94	0.0	51			1.72
C-3	12/07/94	5.5	5.3	550	17	4.6	1,700	400	nd	31	18	31	590
							4.11		'nu	41	na	20	370
DTSC TTLC	-	1	500	1,000	500	8,000	2,500	1,000	20	2,000	ne	2,400	5,000
Abbreviation nd = Not de DTSC = De TTLC = To ne = None e	ens etected, or no l partment of to tal Limit Thre estabalished	imit given b xic Substan shold Conce	y previous consult ce Control intration	lant									

Table 1. Soil Analytic Data for Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC's) - Lathrop Investigation, 5813 - 15 Shellmound Street, Emeryville, California

Sample ID	Depth (ft)	Dale	TPHC	ТРНию	TPHg	TPHd (Concentration	Benzene s (n mg/kg)	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	MTBE	BM*	Freen
C-4-5	5	2/25/97	3,600	<1,000	<1.0	<100	<0.0025	40.0025	<0.0025	⊲0.0025	1010	0.0062	
SB-88-5	5	2/25/97	4,200	<1,000	1.0	<100	0.0035	<0.0025	0.0058	0.0076	0.010	0.0002	0.0053
SB-AA-5	5	2/25/97	34,000	<12.000	1 700	-1 200	5.6	0.0000	0.0050	0.0075	<0.010	0.004	<0.0020
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	1 1005			Silena	3.0	2.5	17	14	<1.0	NA	NA

Abbreviations:

tt = foot

a = Bromomethane was detected in method blank at 0.005 mg/kg

TPHcr = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as creosole by modified EPA Method 8015

TPHmo = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as motor oil by modified EPA Method 8015

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline by modified EPA Method 8015

TPHd = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel by modified EPA Method 8015

Benzene , Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes by EPA Method 8020

MTBE = Methyl Tertlary-Butyl Ether by EPA Method 8020

BM = Bromomethane by EPA Method 8010 Freen = Freen 113 by EPA Method 8010

Only the VOC's that were detected are reported here. For the complete suito of analytes, see lab report

.

Table 2. Soil Analytic Data for Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (including PNAs) - 5813-15 Shellmound Street, Emeryville, California

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Depth (ft)	Acenaph- thene	Acenaph- thylene	Anthra- cene	Bonzo (a) anthracene	Benzo (b&k) fluor- anthene	Benzo (a) pyrene (Conc	Benzo (g.h.l) perytene centrations in	Chrysene 1 mg/kg)	Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	Fluor- anthena	Fluorene	Indeo- (1.2,3-cd) pyrene	2-Methyl- naphtha- lene	Naphtha- Iona	Phenan- threne	Pyrene
C-4-5	02/25/97	5	3.4	26	18	53	120	90	84	65	17	170	51	63				
S8-88-5	02/25/97	5	-22	20	07	~~								~	43.3	19	87	210
				69	21	60	140	100	97	70	18	170	11	71	5.1	68	130	230

Notes: Only constituents that were detected are only reported hare. For the complete suite of analytes, see lab report. All analytes detected by EPA Method 8270

· value ·

*

Sample ID	Date Sampled	TOC	GW Depth (ft)	GW Elevation (ft)	TPHcr	TPHd	TPHmo	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl-	Valanas	1 (777)
		Elevation						105		. crucile	benzene	Ayienes	MTBE
		(ft)				_		(Co	(Concentrations in ug/L) -				
Grab Ground	Water Analytic	Data										-,	
SB-BB	02/25/97		-		35,000	<500	<5,000	790	4.0	2.1	93	75	- 20
Quarterly Mon	itoring										2.5	1.5	<2.0
C-1	12/16/94	100.00	3.82	96.18	<500	NA	NA	<50	-0.5	05			
	03/19/97		4.21	95.79	<500	590ª	750	<50	-0.50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA
	05/30/97		5.45	94.55	<1,000	I,100 ^a	2.600	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.6	<2.0
	07/03/97		5.67	94.33	<2,000	2.600 ^a	3,000	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<2.0
	08/07/97		5.86	94.14	<2.000	3.700ª	8 200	-50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<2.0
						-,	0,200	00	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.5	<2.0
C-2	12/16/94	99.22	3.33	95.89	<500	NA	NA	<50	<0.5	<05	-0.5		
	03/19/97		3.61	95.61	<500	590*	790	<50	<0.50	-0.50	-0.50	<0.5	NA
	05/30/97		5.94	93.28	<500	650 ³	1,200	<50	1.1	~0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<2.0
	07/03/97		4.91	94.31	<500	1,000 ^a	1.200	<50	11	-0.50	0.6	<0.50	<2.0
	08/07/97		5.12	94.10	<500	810 ^a	1,200	<50	0.71	<0.50	1.4 2.0	<0.50 <0.50	<2.0 <2.0
C-3	12/16/94	99.24	3.82	95.42	5,100	NA	NA	17 000	1.000	100			
	03/19/97		5.82	93.42	10,000	250	<2.500	9.600	1,900	120	5.1	250	NA
	05/30/97		5.19	94.05	21,000	<500	<5,000	16,000	1,700	230	220	150	<20
	07/03/97		6.31	92.93	25,000	<500	<5,000	21,000	1,400	160	300	230	<100
	08/07/97		6.44	92.80	24,000	<1,000	<5,000	15,000	1,200	110	260	170	<200
C-4	03/19/97	98 64	6.46	07 19	25 000								~2.0
	05/30/97	20,04	6.52	92.10	25,000	<500	<5,000	5,400	540	19	62	87	<20
	07/03/97		6.52	92.12	25,000	<500	<5,000	8,800	470	22	170	97	<40
	08/07/97		6.54	92.10	18,000	<1.000	<>,000	6,800	470	12	140	74	<40
9.19			-	24010		<1,000	0,000	4,900	360	13	120	67	<20

×.

č,

17

-

2

•

H 14 3

Sample ID	Date Sampled	TOC Elevation (ft)	GW Depth (ft)	GW Elevation (ft)	TPHcr	TPHd	TPHmo	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes	MTBE
					<			— (Cor	centrations in ug/L) -				\rightarrow
ft = feet $NA = Not Ana$ $TOC = Top of$ $a = The result a$	rams per liter lyzed Casing appears to be a h	eavier hydrocau	rbon than die	sel		TPHcr = Tota TPHmo = Tota TPHg = Tota TPHd = Total Benzene, Eth	l petroleum hyd tal petroleum hyd petroleum hyd petroleum hyd ylbenzene, Tol	drocarbons as adrocarbons as rocarbons as rocarbons as uene, and Xyl	creosote by ma s motor oil by : gasoline by mo diesel by modifi lenes by EPA M	odified EPA M modified EPA dified EPA M fied EPA Meth Method 8020	ethod 8015 Method 8015 ethod 8015 od 8015		

CAMBRIA

-

1

Sample ID	Date Sampled	TOC Elevation (ft)	GW Depth (ft)	GW Elevation (ft)	Acenaphth- ene	Acenaphth- ylene	Anthra- cene	Benzo- (a)anthra- cene	Benzo- (a)pyrene	Benzo- (g,h,i) perylene (Concer	Chrysene	Fluor- anthene	Fluorene	2-Methyl- naphtha- lene	Naphtha- lene	Phenan- threne	Pyrene	Additional Compounds Detected
Quarterly S	ampling									(conten	dadons In	ug/L) -	-			-	\rightarrow	
2	1																	
C-1	12/16/94	100.00	3.82	96.18	<10	<10	<10	<10	~10	~10	~10	-10	10	1.1				
	03/19/97		4.21	95.79	<10	<10	<10	<10	~10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
	05/30/97		5.45	94.55	<11	<11	<11	~11	<11	<11	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
	07/03/97		5.67	94.33	<20	<20	<20	-20	~20	~20	<11	<11	<11	<11	<11	<11	<11	
	08/07/97		5.86	94.14	<20	<20	<20	-20	~20	~20	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	
						1.1		20	420	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	
C-2	12/16/94	99.22	3.33	95.89	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	~10	-10	-10	-10		1.00			
	03/19/97		3.61	95.61	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
	05/30/97		5.94	93.28	<9.3	<9.3	<9.3	<93	-03	-03	-0.2	<10	<10	<10	11	<10	<10	
	07/30/97		4.91	94.31	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	~10	<10	<9.5	<9.5	<9.3	<9.3	<9.3	<9.3	
	08/07/97		5.12	94.10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
											10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	
C-3	12/16/94	99.24	3.82	95.42	150	780	37	7.2F	8 5F	7.26	20							
	03/19/97		5.82	93.42	570	310	140	40	0.51	1.5	20	20	110	490	11,000	260	61	а
	05/30/97		5.19	94.05	800	550	410	<100	350	230	130	210	170	360	12,000	560	240	b
	07/03/97		6.31	92.93	2,400	520	1.200	600	850	250	430	2 000	330	680	11,000	1,200	1,000	c
	08/07/97		6.44	92.80	930	300	270	180	230	220	1,200	2,900	670	760	16,000	4,700	3,100	g
							0.4	100	250	220	200	550	240	460	12,000	1,200	810	j
C-4	03/19/97	98.64	6.46	92.18	2,400	880	1.600	1.300	1.800	1 700	2.000	5 400	1.100		11-10-T			
	05/30/97		6.52	92.12	760	210	400	<100	440	200	460	1,100	1,100	500	13,000	7,300	6,400	d
	07/03/97		6.52	92.12	680	96	140	130	150	170	160	700	300	230	5,000	1,400	1,300	e
	08/07/97		6.54	92.10	480	120	130	110	140	150	150	200	140	95	5,400	1,100	850	h
						Sale -			1.10	150	150	390	150	160	5,800	560	450	k

Table 2. Ground Water Elevation and Analytic Data for Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (including PNAs) - Lathrop Investigation, 5813-15 Shellmound Street, Emeryville, California

Table 2. Ground Water Elevation and Analytic Data for Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (including PNAs) - Lathrop Investigation, 5813-15 Shellmound Street, Emeryville, California

Sample ID	Date Sampled	TOC Elevation (ft)	GW Depth (ft)	GW Elevation (ft)	Acenaphth- ene	Acenaphth- ylene	Anthra- cene	Benzo- (a)anthra- cene	Benzo- (a)pyrene	Benzo- (g,h,i) perylene	Chrysene	Fluor- anthene	Fluorene	2-Methyl- naphtha- lene	Naphtha- lene	Phenan- threne	Pyrene	Additional Compounds Detected
					<u> </u>		_	****		(Concen	trations in	ug/L)			_		\rightarrow	
Abbreviatio	ons and No	tes:																
ug/L = Micr	ograms per	liter								f = Lab est	stimated va	lue						
a = Dibenzo	furan at 15	ug/L by EP	A Method	1 8270						g = Benzo	o (b&k) flu	oranthen	e detected a	at 1.100 no/	by EPA N	Aethod 82'	70	
b = Benzo (l	o&k) fluora	inthene dete	cted at 11	0 ug/L by E	PA Method	8270				= Diber	zo (a.h) an	thracene	detected at	110 ug/L. b	v EPA Met	hod 8270	10	
= Dibenzofuran dectected at 25 ug/L by EPA Method 8270					= Dibenzofuran detected at 73 ug/L by EPA Method 8270													
= Indeno (1,2,3 - cd)	pyrene decte	cted at 6	lug/LbyE	PA Method 8	3270				= Inden	o (1.2.3-cd) nyrene	detected at	610 ug/L by	FPA Met	0708 600		

c = Benzo (b&k) fluoranthene detected at 450 ug/L by EPA Method 8270

= Ideno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene detected at 180 ug/L by EPA Method 8270

d = Benzo (b&k) fluoranthene detected at 2,300 ug/L by EPA Method 8270

= Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene detected at 260 ug/L by EPA Method 8270

= Dibenzofuran dectected at 110 ug/L by EPA Method 8270

= Indeno (1,2,3 - cd) pyrene detected at 1,200 ug/L by EPA Method 8270

e = Benzo (b&k) fluoranthene detected at 290 ug/L by EPA Method 8270

= Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene detected at 230 ug/L by EPA Method 8270

Uug/L by EPA Method 82/0

h = Benzo (b&k) fluoranthene detected at 230 ug/L by EPA Method 8270

= Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene detected at 21 ug/L by EPA Method 8270

= Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene detected at 120 ug/L by EPA Method 8270

j = Benzo (b&k) fluoranthene detected at 280 ug/L by EPA Method 8270

= Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene detected at 160 ug/L by EPA Method 8270

k = Benzo (b&k) fluoranthene detected at 180 ug/L by EPA Method 8270

= Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene detected at 110 ug/L by EPA Method 8270

CAMBRIA

Well ID	Date	Well Elev.	GW Depth	GW Elev.	TPHer	TPHg	В	Т	E	x	VC	1,1 DCE	I,1 DCA	1,2 DCE	1,2 DCA	1,1,1 TCA	TCE	CA	Notes
		(11)	ii)	(11)						(Concen	tration in u	g/l or parts p	er billion)	237.4					
CROLE	Y AND HERE	NO IN	TECTMENT	T (CROOCL	tution .												-		
MW-I	4/25/94	CHAO HAY	CO I MEN	1 (3800Ch	ristie Street)	1.5												
	1120134				-	~	nd	nd	nd	nđ	nd	nd	9	9	nd	nd	nd	nd	
MW-2	4/25/89	7.42							2.0	1.1	1.1.1.						11 <u>7</u>		
	2/20/90	1.1.14	4.26	3.16	1	nd	nd	na	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
						14	nu	0.0	na	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
MW-3	4/25/89	6.42			-		nd	nd	nd	nd			14	124					
	2/20/90		5.42	1.00		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	na	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
							300			10	10	na	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
MW-4	7/13/94				646	nd	800	280	270	300	nd	nd							
	10/8/93					2,200*	290	220	120	200	nd	nd	na	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
	1/19/94				÷	350	210	25	35	37	nd	nd	nd	na	22	5	nd	nd	
		12.27											nu	na	na	nd	nd	nd	
EW-I	5/8/89	8.62			- 1	-	nd	190	nd	170	nd	78	nd	nd	nd				
	11/6/89		6.15	2.47		740	180	39	0.8	67	29	2.3	34	350	19	nd	640	nd	
	2/20/90		5.93	2.69		12,000	1,300	3,600	7.1	47	nd	14	460	2,500	34	20	/40	nd	
	3/31/90		5.86	2.76	-	24,000	56	6,100	17	140	2,600	69	1.900	110	33	1 200	1,100	29	14 MC
	17/4/00		6.30	2.32		25,000	1,100	800	nd	42	1,700	36	1.300	2.400	53	510	400	94	40 MC
	12/4/90		1.39	2.23	-	7,400	180	3,200	nd	nd	230	nd	460	1,500	nd	72	1 500	150	22 MC
	7/3/01		6.02	2.60		51,000	3,000	12,000	nd	nd	900	nd	1,800	3,700	nđ	2.900	1 300	nd	
	10/12/01		0.20	2.42	-	23,000	650	8,700	nd	nd	1,990	nd	2,000	2,000	nd	200	130	170	
	1/8/97		6.30	2.12	-	39,000	nd	1,300	nd	nd	170	nd	630	620	120	470	730	54	
	4/8/02		0.20	2.42	-	nd	nd	580	nd	nd	480	nd	420	1,520	250	89	1 700	nd	
	7/15/02		- 10		-	12,000	4,000	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1,300	nd	2,700	nd	2 800	nd	
	10/19/92		6.10	2.52	-	100,00	nd	4,700	nd	nd	150	nd	600	600	110	420	680	nd	
	1/11/93		6.10	2.32	1.1	26,000	nd	12,500	nd	nd	nd	4,800	nd	nd	nd	nd	270	nd	
	3/29/93		5.05	3.12	1	20,000	nd	7,500	nd	75	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	23	nd	42 PCE
	7/7/03		5.95	2.07	-	15,000	nd	12,000	nd	nd	nd	500	nd	nd	nd	pd	2 000	nd	42 FCB
	10/8/93		6.20	2.42		40,000	nd	3,600	nd	nd	nd	nd	1,700	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
	10/0/22		0.25	2.51		12,000	nd	11,000	nd	81	nd	nd	1,600	nd	nd	210	nd	nd	

D:\PROJECT\CROSBY\EMERYVLL\TBL-GWHC.WPD January 28, 1995

Table continues on next page

8

CAMBRIA

1.1

Well ID	Date	Well Elev. (fl)	GW Depth	GW Elev.	TPHer	TPHg	В	Т	E	x	VC	1,1 DCE	1,1 DCA	1,2 DCE	1,2 DCA	1,1,1 TCA	TCE	CA	Notes
-	-			1.9						(Concent	ration in ug	I or parts pe	r billion)						
	1/19/94		6.30	2.32	-	5,000	22	4,300	12	70	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nđ	nd	nd	
C-1	12/16/94	100.0	3.82	96.18	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd					
C-2	12/16/94	99.22	3.33	95.89	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nu	DA	na	nd	
C-3	12/16/94	99.24	3.82	95.42	5.1	17	1,900	120	5.1	250	nď	nd	nd	nd	nð	nd	nd	nd nd	
LATIIRC	P PROPERT	Y																	
Sewer W	ater Entering	Excavat	lon																
1,500	10/26/89				1990 C	2,800	32	240	61	400		-	-	-	-		14	-	
Cambria	Boring Grat	Samples																	
SB-B	9/22/94					49	nd	nd	nd	nd		-	_			1.6			
SB-C	9/22/94					31	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		1705 .
SB-D	9/22/94					19	nd	2.1	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd bre	nu	1.7 CF, a
B-E	9/22/94				-	38	0.78	1.2	nd	1.0	1.8	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nu	nd	0 7 CE
B-G	9/22/94					12,000	220	6,500	78	350	190	4.0	440	22	3.6	15	640	nu	LOTCA
B-H	9/22/94				**	40,000	230	5,200	110	300	430	1.0	1.300	24	97	35	87	nd	AGTCA
B-K	9/22/94				-	13,000	1,000	nd	140	nd		-					02	nu	J.U.ICA,
B-N	9/22/94					38,000	8,100	1,500	550	570	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		u
B-0	9/22/94					1,500	4.8	1.0	7.3	10	nd	nđ	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
B-P	9/22/94					21,000	1,500	150	260	nd	nd	nd	54	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	đ
TCC	Ta as Chats 4								1							and a	nu	nu	u
NSC MO	Ls or State A	cuon				NE	1	100	680	1,750	1.44								

D:\PROJECT\CROSBY\EMERYVLL\TBL-GWHC.WPD January 28, 1995

. .

Table continues on next page

Table 5. Ground Water Elevation and Analytic Data for Hydrocarbons and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) - Lathrop Investigation, Emeryville, California Well Date Well GW GW TPHer TPHg B T E X VC 1,1 1,1 1,2 1,2 1,1,1 1D TCE CA Elev. Depth Elev. Notes DCE DCA DCE DCA TCA (ft) A) (ft) (Concentration in ug/l or parts per billion) Notes Abbreviations Well Elevation = Top of casing elevation with respect to onsite benchmark GW = Ground water LPH = Liquid-phase hydrocarbons; calculated ground water elevation corrected for LPH by the relation: Ground Water Elevation = Well Elevation - Depth to Water + 0.8 LPH TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline by modified EPA Method 8015 B = Benzene by EPA Method 8020 E = Ethylbenzene by EPA Method 8020 T = Toluene by EPA Method 8020 X = Xylenes by EPA Method 8020 nd = Not detected, detection limit not reported by consultant DTSC MCLs = Department of ToxicSubstances Control maximum contaminant level for drinking water NE = Not established VC = Vinyl chloride 1,1 DCE = 1,1 dichloroethene 1,1 DCA = 1,1 dichloroethane 1,2 DCE = Trans 1,2 dichloroethene 1,1,1 TCA = 1,1,1 trichloroethane TCA = 1,1,2 trichloroethane TCE = Trichloroethene CA = Chloroethane CF = Chloroform PCE = Tetrachloroethene -- = Constiuent not analyzed. Notes a = 0.7 ppm BDCA b = 2, 400 cis-1,2 - dichloroethane, 0.5 tetrachlorethene, 1.9 1,1,2 - trichlorethane. c = 830 ppm cis- 1,2 - dichlorethene. d = the positive result has an atypical pattern for gasoline analysis. * = BTEX do not match gasoline pattern.

CAMBRIA

CAMBRIA

Table 7. Ground Water Analytic Data for Metals

- Lathrop Investigation, Emeryville, California

Well	Date	Cadmium	Chromium	Lead	Nickel	Tin	Vanadium	Zinc
ID				(Conc	entration in mg/kg or	parts per million)	_
LATH	OP (5813-5815 Sh	ellmound)						
Cambr Decemi	ia, per 1994							
C-1	12/16/94	nd	nd	nd	nđ	nd	nđ	nc
C-2	12/16/94	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
					0.12	nd	nd	

Abbreviations nd = Not detected, or no limit given by previous consultant na = Not analyzed

ATTACHMENT C

LABORATORY DATA REPORT FOR JUNE 20, 2017 SAMPLING EVENT



SunStar – Laboratories, Inc.

25712 Commercentre Drive Lake Forest, California 92630 949.297.5020 Phone 949.297.5027 Fax

PROVIDING QUALITY ANALYTICAL SERVICES NATIONWIDE

29 June 2017

Jim Gribi Gribi Associates 1090 Adam Street, Suite K Benicia, CA 94510 RE: Goldsmith Lathrop

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 06/22/17 10:30. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Lisa Nguyen Project Manager Assistant



25712 Commercentre Drive Lake Forest, California 92630 949.297.5020 Phone 949.297.5027 Fax

Gribi Associates	Project: Goldsmith Lathrop	
1090 Adam Street, Suite K	Project Number: [none]	Reported:
Benicia CA, 94510	Project Manager: Jim Gribi	06/29/17 16:58

ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
CC-2	T171622-01	Water	06/20/17 11:55	06/22/17 10:30
CC-4	T171622-02	Water	06/20/17 12:40	06/22/17 10:30

6/29/17 11:37

Per client's request, Creosote was reported by 8270.

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

Lisa Nguyen, Project Manager Assistant

25712 Commercentre Drive Lake Forest, California 92630 949.297.5020 Phone 949.297.5027 Fax

Gribi Associates	Project: Goldsmith Lathrop	
1090 Adam Street, Suite K	Project Number: [none]	Reported:
Benicia CA, 94510	Project Manager: Jim Gribi	06/29/17 16:58

DETECTIONS SUMMARY

Sample ID: CC-2	Laborator	y ID:	T171622-01		
	R	eporting			
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Method	Notes
C29-C40 (MORO)	1.1	0.50	mg/l	EPA 8015B	

Sample ID: CC-4	Laborate	ory ID:	T171622-02		
		Reporting			
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Method	Notes
C13-C28 (DRO)	2.6	0.50	mg/l	EPA 8015B	
C29-C40 (MORO)	0.64	0.50	mg/l	EPA 8015B	
Benzene	24	0.50	ug/l	EPA 8260B	
Ethylbenzene	14	0.50	ug/l	EPA 8260B	
m,p-Xylene	5.8	1.0	ug/l	EPA 8260B	
o-Xylene	4.6	0.50	ug/l	EPA 8260B	
Creosote	447	10.0	ug/l	EPA 8270C	
Acenaphthene	87.4	10.0	ug/l	EPA 8270C	
Fluorene	16.6	10.0	ug/l	EPA 8270C	
Naphthalene	144	5.00	ug/l	EPA 8270C	
Phenanthrene	26.1	10.0	ug/l	EPA 8270C	

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

25712 Commercentre Drive Lake Forest, California 92630 949.297.5020 Phone 949.297.5027 Fax

Gribi Associates 1090 Adam Street, Suite K Benicia CA, 94510		Proje Project Numb Project Manag	ect: Golds per: [none] ger: Jim G	mith Lathrop ribi)			Reported 06/29/17 16	: :58
			CC-2						
		T17162	2-01 (Wa	nter)					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
		SunStar L	aborator	ies, Inc.					
Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	s by 8015B								
C6-C12 (GRO)	ND	0.50	mg/l	1	7062301	06/23/17	06/24/17	EPA 8015B	
C13-C28 (DRO)	ND	0.50	"	"	"	"	"	"	
C29-C40 (MORO)	1.1	0.50	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: p-Terphenyl		93.3 %	65-	135	"	"	"	"	
Volatile Organic Compounds by EPA	Method 8260B								
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	1.0	ug/l	1	7062220	06/22/17	06/24/17	EPA 8260B	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.50	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Benzene	ND	0.50	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Toluene	ND	0.50	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	"	"	"	"	"	"	
m,p-Xylene	ND	1.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
o-Xylene	ND	0.50	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Tert-amyl methyl ether	ND	2.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Tert-butyl alcohol	ND	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Di-isopropyl ether	ND	2.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Ethyl tert-butyl ether	ND	2.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	ND	1.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Toluene-d8		98.4 %	88.8	8-117	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene		106 %	83.5	5-119	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane		94.0 %	81.1	-136	"	"	"	"	
PAH compounds by Semivolatile GC	MS								
Creosote	ND	10.0	ug/l	1	7062216	06/22/17	06/27/17	EPA 8270C	
Acenaphthene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Acenaphthylene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Anthracene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Benzo (a) anthracene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"		"	
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"		"	
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"		"	
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	ND	20.0	"	"	"	"		"	
Benzo (a) pyrene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

25712 Commercentre Drive Lake Forest, California 92630 949.297.5020 Phone 949.297.5027 Fax

Gribi Associates 1090 Adam Street, Suite K Benicia CA, 94510		Proje Project Numb Project Manag	ect: Golds er: [none] er: Jim G	mith Lathrop ribi)			Reported 06/29/17 16	: ::58
		T17162	CC-2 2-01 (Wa	iter)					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
		SunStar La	aborator	ies, Inc.					
PAH compounds by Semivolatile GCMS									
Chrysene	ND	10.0	ug/l	1	7062216	06/22/17	06/27/17	EPA 8270C	
Dibenz (a,h) anthracene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Fluoranthene	ND	5.00	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Fluorene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Naphthalene	ND	5.00	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Phenanthrene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Pyrene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Terphenyl-dl4		82.4 %	33-	141	"	"	"	"	

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

25712 Commercentre Drive Lake Forest, California 92630 949.297.5020 Phone 949.297.5027 Fax

Gribi Associates 1090 Adam Street, Suite K Benicia CA, 94510		Proje Project Numb Project Manag	ect: Golds er: [none er: Jim C	smith Lathrop] dribi)			Reported 06/29/17 16	:58
		T17162	CC-4 2-02 (W	ater)					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
		SunStar La	aborator	ies, Inc.					
Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons by	v 8015B								
C6-C12 (GRO)	ND	0.50	mg/l	1	7062301	06/23/17	06/24/17	EPA 8015B	
C13-C28 (DRO)	2.6	0.50	"	"	"	"	"	"	
C29-C40 (MORO)	0.64	0.50		"	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: p-Terphenyl		99.3 %	65	-135	"	"	"	"	
Volatile Organic Compounds by EPA M	ethod 8260B								
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	1.0	ug/l	1	7062220	06/22/17	06/24/17	EPA 8260B	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.50	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Benzene	24	0.50	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Toluene	ND	0.50	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Ethylbenzene	14	0.50	"	"	"	"	"	"	
m,p-Xylene	5.8	1.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
o-Xylene	4.6	0.50	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Tert-amyl methyl ether	ND	2.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Tert-butyl alcohol	ND	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Di-isopropyl ether	ND	2.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Ethyl tert-butyl ether	ND	2.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	ND	1.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Toluene-d8		97.1 %	88.	8-117	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene		112 %	83.	5-119	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane		87.0 %	81.	1-136	"	"	"	"	
PAH compounds by Semivolatile GCMS	5								
Creosote	447	10.0	ug/l	1	7062216	06/22/17	06/27/17	EPA 8270C	
Acenaphthene	87.4	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Acenaphthylene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Anthracene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Benzo (a) anthracene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	ND	20.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Benzo (a) pyrene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Chrysene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

25712 Commercentre Drive Lake Forest, California 92630 949.297.5020 Phone 949.297.5027 Fax

Gribi Associates 1090 Adam Street, Suite K Benicia CA, 94510		Proje Project Numb Project Manag	ct: Golds er: [none] er: Jim G	mith Lathrop] ribi				Reported 06/29/17 16	: :58
		T17162	2-02 (Wa	ater)					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
		SunStar La	aborator	ies, Inc.					
PAH compounds by Semivolatile GCMS									
Dibenz (a,h) anthracene	ND	10.0	ug/l	1	7062216	06/22/17	06/27/17	EPA 8270C	
Fluoranthene	ND	5.00	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Fluorene	16.6	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Naphthalene	144	5.00	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Phenanthrene	26.1	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Pyrene	ND	10.0	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Terphenyl-dl4		88.7 %	33-	-141	"	"	"	"	

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

25712 Commercentre Drive Lake Forest, California 92630 949.297.5020 Phone 949.297.5027 Fax

Gribi Associates	Project: Goldsmith Lathrop	
1090 Adam Street, Suite K	Project Number: [none]	Reported:
Benicia CA, 94510	Project Manager: Jim Gribi	06/29/17 16:58

Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons by 8015B - Quality Control

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

		Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch 7062301 - EPA 3510C GC										
Blank (7062301-BLK1)				Prepared: (06/23/17 A	nalyzed: 06	/24/17			
C6-C12 (GRO)	ND	0.50	mg/l							
C13-C28 (DRO)	ND	0.50	"							
C29-C40 (MORO)	ND	0.50	"							
Surrogate: p-Terphenyl	3.70		"	4.00		92.5	65-135			
LCS (7062301-BS1)				Prepared: (06/23/17 A	nalyzed: 06	/24/17			
C13-C28 (DRO)	20.1	0.50	mg/l	20.0		101	75-125			
Surrogate: p-Terphenyl	3.31		"	4.00		82.7	65-135			
Matrix Spike (7062301-MS1)	Sou	rce: T171622-	01	Prepared: ()6/23/17 A	nalyzed: 06	/24/17			
C13-C28 (DRO)	22.8	0.50	mg/l	20.0	ND	114	75-125			
Surrogate: p-Terphenyl	3.72		"	4.00		93.1	65-135			
Matrix Spike Dup (7062301-MSD1)	Sou	rce: T171622-	01	Prepared: (06/23/17 A	nalyzed: 06	/24/17			
C13-C28 (DRO)	22.7	0.50	mg/l	20.0	ND	114	75-125	0.373	20	
Surrogate: p-Terphenyl	3.69		"	4.00		92.4	65-135			

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

25712 Commercentre Drive Lake Forest, California 92630 949.297.5020 Phone 949.297.5027 Fax

Gribi Associates	Project: Goldsmith Lathrop	
1090 Adam Street, Suite K	Project Number: [none]	Reported:
Benicia CA, 94510	Project Manager: Jim Gribi	06/29/17 16:58

Volatile Organic Compounds by EPA Method 8260B - Quality Control

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

		Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch 7062220 - EPA 5030 GCMS										
Blank (7062220-BLK1)				Prepared: ()6/22/17 Ai	nalyzed: 06	6/24/17			
Benzene	ND	0.50	ug/l							
Toluene	ND	0.50	"							
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	"							
m,p-Xylene	ND	1.0	"							
o-Xylene	ND	0.50	"							
Tert-amyl methyl ether	ND	2.0	"							
Tert-butyl alcohol	ND	10	"							
Di-isopropyl ether	ND	2.0	"							
Ethyl tert-butyl ether	ND	2.0	"							
Methyl tert-butyl ether	ND	1.0	"							
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	7.73		"	8.00		96.6	88.8-117			
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	8.86		"	8.00		111	83.5-119			
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane	7.08		"	8.00		88.5	81.1-136			
LCS (7062220-BS1)				Prepared: ()6/22/17 Ai	nalyzed: 06	6/24/17			
Chlorobenzene	23.8	1.0	ug/l	20.0		119	75-125			
1,1-Dichloroethene	21.3	1.0	"	20.0		106	75-125			
Trichloroethene	21.3	1.0	"	20.0		107	75-125			
Benzene	22.9	0.50	"	20.0		114	75-125			
Toluene	22.2	0.50	"	20.0		111	75-125			
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	7.49		"	8.00		93.6	88.8-117			
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	8.38		"	8.00		105	83.5-119			
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane	7.73		"	8.00		96.6	81.1-136			
LCS Dup (7062220-BSD1)				Prepared: ()6/22/17 Ai	nalyzed: 06	6/24/17			
Chlorobenzene	23.8	1.0	ug/l	20.0		119	75-125	0.0842	20	
1,1-Dichloroethene	21.4	1.0	"	20.0		107	75-125	0.516	20	
Trichloroethene	23.8	1.0	"	20.0		119	75-125	11.0	20	
Benzene	23.6	0.50	"	20.0		118	75-125	2.93	20	
Toluene	22.0	0.50	"	20.0		110	75-125	1.08	20	
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	7.49		"	8.00		93.6	88.8-117			
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	8.16		"	8.00		102	83.5-119			
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane	7.91		"	8.00		98.9	81.1-136			

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

25712 Commercentre Drive Lake Forest, California 92630 949.297.5020 Phone 949.297.5027 Fax

Gribi Associates	Project:	Goldsmith Lathrop	
1090 Adam Street, Suite K	Project Number:	[none]	Reported:
Benicia CA, 94510	Project Manager:	Jim Gribi	06/29/17 16:58

PAH compounds by Semivolatile GCMS - Quality Control

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

		Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes

Batch 7062216 - EPA 3510C GCMS/ECD

Blank (7062216-BLK1)				Prepared: 06/22	/17 Analyzed: 06	5/27/17			
Creosote	ND	10.0	ug/l						
Acenaphthene	ND	10.0	"						
Acenaphthylene	ND	10.0	"						
Anthracene	ND	10.0	"						
Benzo (a) anthracene	ND	10.0	"						
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	ND	10.0	"						
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	ND	10.0	"						
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	ND	20.0	"						
Benzo (a) pyrene	ND	10.0	"						
Chrysene	ND	10.0	"						
Dibenz (a,h) anthracene	ND	10.0	"						
Fluoranthene	ND	5.00	"						
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	ND	10.0	"						
Fluorene	ND	10.0	"						
Naphthalene	ND	5.00	"						
Phenanthrene	ND	10.0	"						
Pyrene	ND	10.0	"						
Surrogate: Terphenyl-dl4	208		"	200	104	33-141			
LCS (7062216-BS1)				Prepared: 06/22	/17 Analyzed: 06	5/27/17			
Creosote	ND	10.0	ug/l						
Acenaphthene	101	10.0	"	200	50.6	50-130			
Pyrene	107	10.0	"	200	53.6	26-127			
Surrogate: Terphenyl-dl4	187		"	200	93.5	33-141			
LCS Dup (7062216-BSD1)				Prepared: 06/22	/17 Analyzed: 06	5/27/17			
Creosote	ND	10.0	ug/l						
Acenaphthene	113	10.0	"	200	56.6	50-130	11.2	31	
Pyrene	109	10.0	"	200	54.3	26-127	1.22	31	
Surrogate: Terphenyl-dl4	212		"	200	106	33-141			

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.



25712 Commercentre Drive Lake Forest, California 92630 949.297.5020 Phone 949.297.5027 Fax

Gribi Associates	Project: G	Goldsmith Lathrop	
1090 Adam Street, Suite K	Project Number: [r	none]	Reported:
Benicia CA, 94510	Project Manager: Ji	im Gribi	06/29/17 16:58

Notes and Definitions

DET Analyte DETECTED

ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit

NR Not Reported

- dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis
- RPD Relative Percent Difference

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

Lisa Nguyen, Project Manager Assistant

SunStar Laborator PROVIDING QUALITY ANALYTICAL SE 25712 Commercentre	ies, Inc ervices Nationwide Drive, Lake F	• Forest, CA	92630	Chain d	of C	Cus	stod	y R	eco	rd									
Client: <u>Grb;</u> Address: 1090	Associa	efes St. H	K. Be	······································	04		F)ate:_ ?roiec	6 Nar	/	20/	12:	5/	<u>}</u>	ith	_ Pag	e:	_Of	
Phone: Jon-748 - Project Manager: D .	7743	Fax: 7	07-7	18-776	3	•	C E	ollec atch	or: #:	M	• P	22		3		Clier EDF	nt Project #: #: 06	00/0729	23
							T CXY	Devenance			n Chain	Metals	N/ 10						S
	Date		Sample	Container	60	160 + OXY	60 BTEX, OXY	21 BTEX	15M (gasoline)	15M (diesel)	15M Ext./Carbor	10/7000 Title 22		6 C J O J		boratory ID #			otal # of containe
Sample ID	Sampled	Time // / Z Y J	Type Water	Type	8	82	X 83	8 8	80	80	× ×		2 7 X			0] 02	Comment	s/Preservative	<u> </u>
Relinquished by: (signature)	Date / Ti 66/2-/17	me / 1700	Received b	v (signature) 7 Beze	7	06			1700	Cha	in of (Tota	al # o dy se	f cont	ainers			Notes	
Relinquished by: (signature) GSO 6-22- Relinquished by: (signature)	[•] Date / Ti // <i>/0:30</i> Date / Ti	inie ime	Received b Received b	y: (signature) <u>6-24</u> y: (signature)	2-17		Date / Date /	Time		Re	eceive	Seal ed goo	s inta od co	ct?(Ŷ nditio	/N/NA n/cold	5.0			

COC 160300



SAMPLE RECEIVING REVIEW SHEET

Batch/Work Order #:	n en
Client Name:	Project:
Delivered by: Client SunStar Cour	ier 🛛 GSO 🗌 FedEx 🗌 Other
If Courier, Received by:	Date/Time Courier Received:
Lab Received by:	Date/Time Lab Received: 6-22-17 / 10:30
Total number of coolers received: /	
Temperature: Cooler #1 52. °C +/- the CF (- 0.2°C	C) = s.o °C corrected temperature
Temperature: Cooler #2 °C +/- the CF (- 0.2°C	$C) = ^{\circ}C$ corrected temperature
Temperature: Cooler #3 °C +/- the CF (- 0.2°C	C) = °C corrected temperature
Temperature criteria = $\leq 6^{\circ}$ C Within (no frozen containers)	criteria? Xes No
If NO:	
Samples received on ice?	□No → Complete Non-Conformance Sheet
If on ice, samples received same day collected?	$\rightarrow A_{\text{coontchio}}$ $\square No \rightarrow$
	Complete Non-Conformance Sheet
Custody seals intact on cooler/sample	Complete Non-Conformance Sheet Yes No*
Custody seals intact on cooler/sample Sample containers intact	Complete Non-Conformance Sheet Yes Yes Yes Yes
Custody seals intact on cooler/sample Sample containers intact Sample labels match Chain of Custody IDs	Complete Non-Conformance Sheet Yes No*
Custody seals intact on cooler/sample Sample containers intact Sample labels match Chain of Custody IDs Total number of containers received match COC	Complete Non-Conformance Sheet Yes No* Yes No* Yes No*
Custody seals intact on cooler/sample Sample containers intact Sample labels match Chain of Custody IDs Total number of containers received match COC Proper containers received for analyses requested on COC	Complete Non-Conformance Sheet Yes No* Yes Yes No* Yes No* Yes No*
Custody seals intact on cooler/sample Sample containers intact Sample labels match Chain of Custody IDs Total number of containers received match COC Proper containers received for analyses requested on COC Proper preservative indicated on COC/containers for analy	Complete Non-Conformance Sheet Yes No* Yes Yes No* Yes No* Yes No* Yes No* Yes No* No* Yes No* No*
Custody seals intact on cooler/sample Sample containers intact Sample labels match Chain of Custody IDs Total number of containers received match COC Proper containers received for analyses requested on COC Proper preservative indicated on COC/containers for analy Complete shipment received in good condition with correct containers, labels, volumes preservatives and within method holding times	Complete Non-Conformance Sheet Yes No* Yes Yes No* Yes No* Yes No* Yes No* Yes No*
Custody seals intact on cooler/sample Sample containers intact Sample labels match Chain of Custody IDs Total number of containers received match COC Proper containers received for analyses requested on COC Proper preservative indicated on COC/containers for analy Complete shipment received in good condition with correct containers, labels, volumes preservatives and within method holding times * Complete Non-Conformance Receiving Sheet if checked	Complete Non-Conformance Sheet Yes No* Cooler/Sample Review - Initials and date: # 6-22-17
Custody seals intact on cooler/sample Sample containers intact Sample labels match Chain of Custody IDs Total number of containers received match COC Proper containers received for analyses requested on COC Proper preservative indicated on COC/containers for analy Complete shipment received in good condition with correct containers, labels, volumes preservatives and within methololing times * Complete Non-Conformance Receiving Sheet if checked	Complete Non-Conformance Sheet Yes No* Cooler/Sample Review - Initials and date: 2 6-22-17
Custody seals intact on cooler/sample Sample containers intact Sample labels match Chain of Custody IDs Total number of containers received match COC Proper containers received for analyses requested on COC Proper preservative indicated on COC/containers for analy Complete shipment received in good condition with correct containers, labels, volumes preservatives and within methololing times * Complete Non-Conformance Receiving Sheet if checked Comments:	Yes No* Cooler/Sample Review - Initials and date: 2 6-22-17

Page 1 of ____

ATTACHMENT D

SELECTED REGULATORY RECORDS



ALAMEDA COUNTY



06-03-0

AGENCY DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

May 31, 2002

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

Mr. Richard Herring Croley & Herring Investment 353 Beacon Ridge Lane Walnut Creek, California 94596

RE: CASE CLOSURE - PROPERTY Located at 5800 Christie Avenue Emeryville, CA 94608 (STID 334 / CO # 591)

Dear Mr. Herring:

Per your request, this letter will clarify the conditions of the case closure issued by this agency and concurred by the San Francisco Bay RWQCB on July 9, 2001 for the above referenced property.

The contents of the closure letter dated July 9, 2001 (see attachment) is in effect with the following addition:

 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) were detected in soil and / or groundwater at the subject site and the adjacent site, Goldsmith Lathrop located at 5813 - 5815 Shellmound Street, Emeryville. PAHs found at both sites appeared to be from past historical use. Fiberboard Corporation previously owned both sites. Residual concentrations of PAHs including other chemicals of concern (COCs) such as petroleum hydrocarbons and benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, xylene (BTEX) detected in soil and/or groundwater at the site were evaluated. Results of the Risk Based Corrective Action (RBCA) evaluation showed that the concentrations did not pose a significant threat to occupants of the building under the current site scenario (commercial use, no direct exposure since the site is capped).

As stated in the July 9, 2001 letter, institutional controls have been implemented at the site, which included a recorded deed restriction and site information entry at City of Emeryville's One Stop Shop.

Therefore, based upon the available information and with the provision that the information provided to this agency was accurate and representative of site conditions, no further action is required regarding the solvent release and the residual petroleum hydrocarbons, BTEX and PAHs found at the subject site.





Mr. Richard Herring RE: 5800 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA 94608 May 31, 2002 Page 2 of 2

If you have any questions regarding this letter or the subject site, please contact me at (510) 567-6780 or Ravi Arulanantham at (510) 622-2308.

Sincerely,

Susan L. Hugo Supervisor, HMS

Ravi Arulanantham, Ph.D. Staff Toxicologist Cal-EPA / S.F. Bay RWQCB

enclosure

C:

Mee Ling Tung, Director, Department of Environmental Health Stephen Hill, Chief, Toxics Cleanup Division, Cal-EPA / S.F. Bay RWQCB Ignacio Dayrit, City of Emeryville, 1333 Park Avenue, Emeryville, CA 94608 SH / RA/ files

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES



76-0

DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

AGENCY

July 9, 2001

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

R02496

Mr. Richard Herring Croley & Herring Investment 353 Beacon Ridge Lane Walnut Creek, California 94596

RE: CASE CLOSURE - PROPERTY Located at 5800 Christie Avenue Emeryville, CA 94608 (STID 334 / CO # 591)

Dear Mr. Herring:

This agency has reviewed the case file concerning the solvent release found in soil and groundwater at the above referenced site. The property is in a commercial section of Emeryville and currently leased to the Good Guys Store, an electronic merchandise retailer.

Soil contamination (halogenated volatile organic compounds) was detected at the site in 1988. Site investigation was conducted and identified that surface release was associated with operations of tenants at the facility. The contamination was found to be localized in narrow alleyway behind the building. Contaminated soil along the alleyway was excavated to a depth of approximately five feet. In addition, a vapor extraction system (VES) was installed to mitigate residual volatile organic compounds in soil at the site. Confirmation soil samples were collected and the soil VES was decommissioned in December 1991. An in-door vapor monitoring system was also installed inside the building from 1989 to 1993 with no methane detected during the monitoring period.

In 1992, an electrokinetic enhanced in-situ biotreatment system was installed to remediate VOCs found in groundwater beneath the site. Chlorinated solvents in groundwater were subsequently treated to below detection limits and a closure letter was issued in 1996 for the chlorinated solvents found at the site. However, continued groundwater monitoring for petroleum hydrocarbons and volatile organic compounds was required. Groundwater has been monitored at the site from 1989 to 1998. The last groundwater sampling collected from monitoring wells EW-1 and MW-4 in 1998 showed up to 4,240 parts per billion (ppb) of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) as gasoline, 808 ppb benzene, 1970 ppb toluene, 174 ppb xylenes, 297 ppb ethylbenzene. Methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) was not detected in groundwater beneath the site.

This agency and the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board have evaluated the historical data collected for the referenced site. Both agencies agreed that the site is a low risk site based on the following rationale:

- The extent of contaminants in soil and groundwater has been adequately defined.
- The plume is stable and limited in extent.
- Primary source of contamination has been removed / remediated.





Mr. Richard Herring RE: 5800 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA 94608 July 9, 2001 Page 2 of 2

- No water wells, deeper drinking water aquifers, surface water, or other sensitive receptors are likely to be impacted.
- The site presents no significant risk to human health and the environment.
- Institutional controls have been implemented at the site. On February 27, 2001, a deed
 restriction was recorded for the site. A copy of the recorded deed restriction was submitted
 to Emeryville Building and Planning Department.

Therefore, based upon the available information and with the provision that the information provided to this agency was accurate and representative of site conditions, no further action regarding the solvent release is required at the subject site.

If you have any questions regarding this letter or the subject site, please contact me at (510) 567-6780 or Ravi Arulanantham at (510) 622-2308.

Sincerely,

Susan L. Hugo

Acting Supervisor, HMS

Ravi Arulanantham, Ph.D. Staff Toxicologist, Cal-EPA / S.F. Bay RWQCB

C:

Mee Ling Tung, Director, Department of Environmental Health Stephen Hill, Chief, Toxics Cleanup Division, Cal-EPA / S.F. Bay RWQCB Ignacio Dayrit, City of Emeryville, 1333 Park Avenue, Emeryville, CA 94608 SH / RA/ files

Recording Requested By:

Croley and Herring Investment Co.

When Recorded, Mail To: Mee Ling Tung, Director Alameda County Environmental Health Services 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, California 94502

COVENANT AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESTRICTION ON PROPERTY

5800 Christie Avenue Emeryville, CA

This Covenant and Environmental Restriction on Property (this "Covenant") is made as of the 23" day of February 2001, by Croley and Herring Investment Co. ("Covenantor") who is the Owner of record of that certain property situated at 5800 Christie Avenue, in the City of Emeryville, County of Alameda, State of California, which is more particularly described in Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference (such portion hereinafter referred to as the "Burdened Property"), for the benefit of the Alameda County Environmental Health Services (the "County"), with reference to the following facts:

A. The Burdened Property and groundwater underlying the property contains hazardous materials.

B. Contamination of the Burdened Property. Soil at the Burdened Property was contaminated by historic industrial activities conducted by previous occupants. These operations resulted in contamination of soil and groundwater with inorganic and organic chemicals including polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons and petroleum hydrocarbons and their constituents, which constitute hazardous materials as that term is defined in Health & Safety Code Section 25260. Covenantor excavated contaminated soil at the Burdened Property and conducted groundwater remediation, significantly reducing the levels of contaminants in the groundwater. The remediation was performed under the direction and supervision of the County. An environmental site and risk assessment has been performed with regard to the contamination on the Burdened Property. The site is capped and there are no significant risks to human health or the environment.

C. Exposure Pathways. The contaminants addressed in this Covenant are present in soil and groundwater on the Burdened Property. Without the mitigation measures which have been performed on the Burdened Property, exposure to these contaminants could take place via: in-place contact and surface-water runoff, resulting in dermal contact, inhalation, or ingestion by humans. The risk of public exposure to the contaminants has been substantially lessened by the remediation and controls described herein.

D. Adjacent Land Uses and Population Potentially Affected. The Burdened Property is used for commercial land uses and is adjacent to, commercial land uses.

E. Full and voluntary disclosure to the County of the presence of hazardous materials on the Burdened Property has been made and extensive sampling of the Burdened Property has been conducted.

F. Covenantor desires and intends that in order to benefit the County, and to protect the present and future public health and safety, the Burdened Property shall be used in such a manner as to avoid potential harm to persons or property that may result from hazardous materials that may have been deposited on portions of the Burdened Property.

ARTICLE I GENERAL PROVISIONS

1.1 Provisions to Run with the Land. This Covenant sets forth protective provisions, covenants, conditions and restrictions (collectively referred to as "Restrictions") upon and subject to which the Burdened Property and every portion thereof shall be improved, held, used, occupied, leased, sold, hypothecated, encumbered, and/or conveyed. The restrictions set forth in Article III are reasonably necessary to protect present and future human health and safety or the environment as a result of the presence on the land of hazardous materials. Each and all of the Restrictions shall run with the land, and pass with each and every portion of the Burdened Property, and shall apply to, inure to the benefit of, and bind the respective successors in interest thereof, for the benefit of the County and all Owners and Occupants. Each and all of the Restrictions are imposed upon the entire Burdened Property unless expressly stated as applicable to a specific portion of the Burdened Property. Each and all of the Restrictions run with the land pursuant to section 1471 of the Civil Code. Each and all of the Restrictions are enforceable by the County.

1.2 Concurrence of Owners and Lessees Presumed. All purchasers, lessees, or possessors of any portion of the Burdened Property shall be deemed by their purchase, leasing, or possession of such Burdened Property, to be in accord with the foregoing and to agree for and among themselves, their heirs, successors, and assignees, and the agents, employees, and lessees of such owners, heirs, successors, and assignees, that the Restrictions as herein established must be adhered to for the benefit of the County and the Owners and Occupants of the Burdened Property and that the interest of the Owners and Occupants of the Burdened Property shall be subject to the Restrictions contained herein.

1.3 Incorporation into Deeds and Leases. Covenantor desires and covenants that the Restrictions set out herein shall be incorporated in and attached to each and all deeds and leases of any portion of the Burdened Property. Recordation of this Covenant shall be deemed binding on all successors, assigns, and lessees, regardless of whether a copy of this Covenant and Agreement has been attached to or incorporated into any given deed or lease.

1.4 **Purpose.** It is the purpose of this instrument to convey to the County real property rights, which will run with the land, to facilitate the remediation of past environmental

contamination and to protect human health and the environment by reducing the risk of exposure to residual hazardous materials.

ARTICLE II DEFINITIONS

2.1 County. "County" shall mean the Alameda County Environmental Health Services and shall include its successor agencies, if any.

2.2 Improvements. "Improvements" shall mean all buildings, roads, driveways, regradings, and paved parking areas, constructed or placed upon any portion of the Burdened Property.

2.3 Occupants. "Occupants" shall mean Owners and those persons entitled by ownership, leasehold, or other legal relationship to the exclusive right to use and/or occupy all or any portion of the Burdened Property.

2.4 Owner or Owners. "Owner" or "Owners" shall mean the Covenantor and/or its successors in interest, who hold title to all or any portion of the Burdened Property.

ARTICLE III

DEVELOPMENT, USE AND CONVEYANCE OF THE BURDENED PROPERTY

3.1 Restrictions on Development and Use. Covenantor promises to restrict the use of the Burdened Property as follows:

(a) Development of the Burdened Property shall be restricted to industrial, commercial or office space;

(b) No residence for human habitation shall be permitted on the Burdened

Property;

(c) No hospitals shall be permitted on the Burdened Property;

(d) No schools for persons under 21 years of age shall be permitted on the Burdened Property;

(e) No day care centers for children or day care centers for Senior Citizens shall be permitted on the Burdened Property;

(f) No Owners or Occupants of the Property or any portion thereof shall conduct any excavation work on the Property, unless expressly permitted in writing by the County. Any contaminated soils brought to the surface by grading, excavation, trenching, or backfilling shall be managed by Covenantor or his agent in accordance with all applicable provisions of local, state and federal law;

(g) All uses and development of the Burdened Property shall be consistent with any applicable County Cleanup Order or Risk Management Plan, each of which is hereby

incorporated by reference including future amendments thereto. All uses and development shall preserve the integrity of any cap, any remedial measures taken or remedial equipment installed, and any groundwater monitoring system installed on the Burdened Property pursuant to the requirements of the County, unless otherwise expressly permitted in writing by the County.

(h) No Owners or Occupants of the Property or any portion thereof shall drill, bore, otherwise construct, or use a well for the purpose of extracting water for any use, including but not limited to, domestic, potable, or industrial uses, unless expressly permitted in writing by the County.

(i) The Owner shall notify the County of each of the following: (1) The type, cause, location and date of any disturbance to any cap, any remedial measures taken or remedial equipment installed, and of the groundwater monitoring system installed on the Burdened Property pursuant to the requirements of the County, which could affect the ability of such cap or remedial measures, remedial equipment, or monitoring system to perform their respective functions and (2) the type and date of repair of such disturbance. Notification to the County shall be made by registered mail within ten (10) working days of both the discovery of such disturbance and the completion of repairs;

(j) The Covenantor agrees that the County, and/or any persons acting pursuant to County cleanup orders, shall have reasonable access to the Burdened Property for the purposes of inspection, surveillance, maintenance, or monitoring, as provided for in Division 7 of the Water Code.

(k) No Owner or Occupant of the Burdened Property shall act in any manner that will aggravate or contribute to the existing environmental conditions of the Burdened Property. All use and development of the Burdened Property shall preserve the integrity of any capped areas

3.2 Enforcement. Failure of an Owner or Occupant to comply with any of the restrictions, as set forth in paragraph 3.1, shall be grounds for the County, by reason of this Covenant, to have the authority to require that the Owner modify or remove any Improvements constructed in violation of that paragraph. Violation of the Covenant shall be grounds for the County to file civil actions against the Owner as provided by law.

3.3 Notice in Agreements. After the date of recordation hereof, all Owners and Occupants shall execute a written instrument which shall accompany all purchase agreements or leases relating to the property. Any such instrument shall contain the following statement:

The land described herein contains hazardous materials in soils and in the ground water under the property, and is subject to a deed restriction dated as of February ___, 2001, and recorded on ______, 2001, in the Official Records of Alameda County, California, as Document No. ______, which Covenant and Restriction imposes certain covenants, conditions, and restrictions on usage of the property described herein. This statement is not a declaration that a hazard exists.

ARTICLE IV VARIANCE AND TERMINATION

4.1 Variance. Any Owner or, with the Owner's consent, any Occupant of the Burdened Property or any portion thereof may apply to the County for a written variance from the provisions of this Covenant.

4.2 Termination. Any Owner or, with the Owner's consent, any Occupant of the Burdened Property or a portion thereof may apply to the County for a termination of the Restrictions as they apply to all or any portion of the Burdened Property.

4.3 Term. Unless terminated in accordance with paragraph 4.2 above, by law or otherwise, this Covenant shall continue in effect in perpetuity.

ARTICLE V MISCELLANEOUS

5.1 No Dedication Intended. Nothing set forth herein shall be construed to be a gift or dedication, or offer of a gift or dedication, of the Burdened Property or any portion thereof to the general public.

5.2 Notices. Whenever any person gives or serves any notice, demand, or other communication with respect to this Covenant, each such notice, demand, or other communication shall be in writing and shall be deemed effective (1) when delivered, if personally delivered to the person being served or official of a government agency being served, or (2) three (3) business days after deposit in the mail if mailed by United States mail, postage paid certified, return receipt requested:

If to:	"Covenantor"	Croley and Herring Investment Co. 353 Beacon Ridge Lane Walnut Creek, California 94596 Attn: Mr. R. D. Herring
If to:	"County"	Alameda County Environmental Health Services Attention: Director 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, California 94502

5.3 Partial Invalidity. If any portion of the Restrictions or terms set forth herein is determined to be invalid for any reason, the remaining portion shall remain in full force and effect as if such portion had not been included herein.

5.4 Article Headings. Headings at the beginning of each numbered article of this Covenant are solely for the convenience of the parties and are not a part of the Covenant.

5.5 Recordation. This instrument shall be executed by the Covenantor and by the Director of Environmental Health Services. This instrument shall be recorded by the Covenantor in the County of Alameda within ten (10) days of the date of execution.

5.6 References. All references to Code sections include successor provisions.

5.7 Construction. Any general rule of construction to the contrary notwithstanding, this instrument shall be liberally construed in favor of the Covenant to effect the purpose of this instrument and the policy and purpose of the Water Code. If any provision of this instrument is found to be ambiguous, an interpretation consistent with the purpose of this instrument that would render the provision valid shall be favored over any interpretation that would render it invalid.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties execute this Covenant as of the date set forth above.

Covenantor: Croley and Herring Investment Co.

By: Richard D. Herring, General Par Title:

Date:

Agency:

February <u>23</u>, 2001

Alameda County Environmental Health Services

By: Title: Director Date: 2 23

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF Mameda

On <u>166.33</u>, 20% before me, the undersigned a Notary Public in and for said state, personally appeared [Covenanted], personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who executed the within instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Notary Public in and for said County and State



STATE OF CALIFORNIA) COUNTY OF Alameda

On <u>*Tel. .23*</u>, 200/ before me, the undersigned a Notary Public in and for said state, personally appeared [<u>Soventher</u>], personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who executed the within instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Notary Public in and for said County and State



EXHIBIT A

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

Real Property described in Exhibit A-1, APN. 049-1493-007-02, less 393 square feet of land, more or less, described in Exhibit A-2 and attached Plat conveyed to the City of Emeryville.

DESCRIPTION

Page 1 Order No. 910812 CITY OF EMERYVILLE

COMMENCING AT THE INTERSECTION OF THE EASTERLY LINE OF SHELLMOUND STREET AND THE SOUTHWESTERLY BOUNDARY OF A 20 FOOT WIDE RAILROAD EASEMENT MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN BOOK 2523 OF DEEDS AT PAGE 206, SAID INTERSECTION BEING 420 FEET, MORE OR LESS, NORTH OF THE CENTERLINE OF POWELL STREET, ALL AS SHOWN ON THE RECORD OF SURVEY FILED IN THE RECORDS OF THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA, ON NOVEMBER 4, 1964 IN RECORD OF SURVEY BOOK 5, PAGE 43; THENCE ALONG A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTH OF RADIUS 272.21 FEET SOUTHEASTERLY AND EASTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHWESTERLY BOUNDARY, A DISTANCE OF 216.23 FEET TO ITS INTERSECTION WITH THE MOST WESTERLY BOUNDARY OF A PARCEL OF LAND LABELED "FIBREBOARD PAPER PRODUCTS CORP.", AS SHOWN ON SAID RECORDS OF SURVEY; THENCE ALONG SAID WESTERLY BOUNDARY SOUTH 13° 56' 16" EAST, 62.30 FEET TO THE ACTUAL POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH 83° 09' 10" WEST, 3.73 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE ALONG A TANGENTIAL CURVE TO THE RIGHT OF RADIUS 430 FEET AND CENTRAL ANGLE OF 17° 30' 07", WESTERLY AND NORTHWESTERLY, A DISTANCE OF 131.35 FEET, TO A POINT OF REVERSE CURVATURE; THENCE ALONG A CURVE TO THE LEFT OF RADIUS 45 FEET AND CENTRAL ANGLE OF 114° 41' 17" WESTERLY, SOUTHWESTERLY AND SOUTHERLY, A DISTANCE OF 90.08 FEET TO A POINT OF TANGENCY WITH A LINE 33.00 FEET FROM AND PARALLEL TO THE CENTERLINE OF THE AFOREMENTIONED SHELLMOUND STREET, THENCE SOUTHERLY ALONG SAID LAST MENTIONED PARALLEL LINE, SOUTH 14° 02' 00" EAST, 122.84 FEET; THENCE LEAVING SAID PARALLEL LINE, NORTH 75° 58' 00" EAST 3.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE SOUTHERLY AND SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG A CURVE OF A RADIUS 42 FEET, NORMAL, AT THE POINT OF CURVATURE TO THE LAST DESCRIBED COURSE, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 90° 13' 15", A DISTANCE OF 66.14 FEET TO A POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE NORTH 75° 44' 45" EAST, 9.97 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE ALONG A TANGENTIAL CURVE TO THE RIGHT OF RADIUS 1208 FEET AND CENTRAL ANGLE OF 5° 13' 56", EASTERLY A DISTANCE OF 110.31 FEET TO A POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE NORTH 80° 58' 41" EAST 27.81 FEET TO THE AFOREMENTIONED MOST WESTERLY BOUNDARY OF PARCEL LABELED "FIBREBOARD PAPER PRODUCTS CORP."; THENCE ALONG SAID MOST WESTERLY BOUNDARY OF PARCEL LABELED "FIBREBOARD PAPER PRODUCTS CORP.", NORTH 13° 56' 16" WEST 176.34 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NO. 049-1493-007-02

City of Emeryville Croley & Herring Dedication Rancho Peralia Job No. 22-98183

EXHIBIT A-2

EXHIBIT "

LAND DESCRIPTION OF 393 SQUARE FEET OF LAND, MORE OR LESS, SITUATE IN THE CITY OF EMERYVILLE, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND BEING A PORTION OF THAT CERTAIN PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED IN THOSE QUIT CLAIM DEED(STTO CROLEY & HERRING INVESTMENT COMPANY RECORDED NOVEMBER 8, 1985 AND DECEMBER 27, 1989, SERIES NO.'S 85-240717 & 89-347319 RESPECTIVELY, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF ALAMEDA COUNTY, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A NORTHWEST CORNER OF THE SAID LANDS OF CROLEY & HERRING INVESTMENT COMPANY, SAME CORNER BEING ON THE EAST RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF CHRISTIE AVENUE AND THE SOUTH CURVING RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SHELLMOUND WAY, BEING A CURVE TO THE RIGHT FROM WHICH THE CENTER BEARS NORTH 77° 07' 01" EAST;

THENCE, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE LANDS OF CROLEY & HERRING INVESTMENT COMPANY AND THE SOUTH CURVING RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SHELLMOUND WAY, AN ARC DISTANCE OF 21.41 FEET, HAVING A RADIUS OF 45.00 FEET AND THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 27° 15' 58';

THENCE, LEAVING THE AFORESAID LINE AND CROSSING THROUGH THE SAID LANDS OF CROLEY & HERRING INVESTMENT COMPANY FOR THE FOLLOWING THREE (3) COURSES:

- 1. SOUTH 12° 52' 59" EAST, 67.05 FEET TO THE BEGINNING OF A TANGENT CURVE TO THE RIGHT:
- 21.63 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE TO THE RIGHT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 76.00 FEET AND THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 16° 18' 30" TO A POINT OF TANGENCY;
- SOUTH 03° 25' 31" WEST, 6.92 FEET TO THE POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE WEST LINE OF SAID LANDS OF CROLEY & HERRING INVESTMENT COMPANY, SAME POINT BEING ON THE EAST RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF CHRISTIE AVENUE;

THENCE, ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE LANDS OF CROLEY & HERRING INVESTMENT COMPANY AND THE EAST RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF CHRISTIE AVENUE, NORTH 12° 52' 59" WEST. 74.41 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING AND CONTAINNING 393 SQUARE FEET OF LAND AREA MORE OR LESS.

APN 049-1493-007-02

PROFESSI 2HILLP Prepared March 26, 1999 by MARK THOMAS & CO. INC No. 28231 Exp. 3/31/2002 211 OF CA RCE No 28231 Phillip R. Savio U 03/31/2002 Expiration Date

Signed on

Page 1 of 1

Detterman, Mark, Env. Health

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:

Detterman, Mark, Env. Health Tuesday, March 01, 2016 10:59 AM 'Michael Mauldin' Roe, Dilan, Env. Health RE: Update Regarding Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000071 at 5813-5815 Shellmound St.

Hi Michael,

BRE Properties did not respond to several letters, and did not fill out a copy of the st of Landowners form. The case has not been closed as a result. To restart the closure process for the underground storage tank (UST), the Landowners form would need to be signed in the section most appropriate, and returned to me at ACDEH (email or snail mail).

Hopefully you are aware that case closure would be only for the underground storage tank (UST) at the site. There appears to be significant contamination, most likely associated with the original landfilling activities (land creation) at the site and vicinity. Contamination associated with the UST appears for the most part to be imprinted on top of contamination associated with the landfill. As a part of the closure process for the UST, ACDEH would like to talk to the Essex Property Trust to discuss that contamination, and ways to proceed forward.

Mark Detterman Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist, PG, CEG Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, CA 94502 Direct: 510.567.6876 Fax: 510.337.9335 Email: <u>mark.detterman@acgov.org</u>

PDF copies of case files can be downloaded at:

http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/ust.htm

From: Michael Mauldin [mailto:MMauldin@essex.com] Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2016 10:06 AM To: Detterman, Mark, Env. Health Cc: Roe, Dilan, Env. Health Subject: Update Regarding Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000071 at 5813-5815 Shellmound St. Importance: High

Good morning Mr. Detterman,

I am with Essex Property Trust, Inc. which through a merger with BRE Properties, Inc. in April 2014 acquired the office building at 5813-5815 Shellmound St. Emeryville, CA 94608. We are currently in the process of selling the property and we noticed when looking through property records that as far as we can tell **Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000071 and Geotracker Global ID T0600102203** was not closed.

It appears BRE Properties Inc. received correspondence from you dated August 28, 2014 (also attached to this email) requesting a List of Landowners Form to close the case. I am contacting you to see if BRE ever submitted the List of Landowners Form and if not, to see what we need to do now to close this case and get a "No Further Action" letter.
Thank you for your help,

Michael Mauldin | Disposition Administrator Essex Property Trust, Inc.

1100 Park Place, Suite 200 San Mateo, CA 94403 Phone 650.655.7865 Cell 650.388.0857

Disclaimer: This message and any attachments may be privileged, confidential or proprietary. If you are not the intended recipient of this email or believe that you have received this correspondence in error, please contact the sender through the information provided above and permanently delete this message.

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES AGENCY

REBECCA GEBHART, Interim Director



DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH LOCAL OVERSIGHT PROGRAM (LOP) For Hazardous Materials Releases 1131 HARBOR BAY PARKWAY ALAMEDA, CA 94502 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

June 8, 2017

BRE Properties, Inc. 525 Market Street, 4th FI San Francisco, CA 94105

F.P. Lathrop Goldsmith Lathrop Address Unknown BRE Properties, Inc. 44 Montgomery, Floor 36 San Francisco, CA 94104-4602

SPK Industrial Portfolio LLC c/o Prop Tax Dept. PO Box A-3879 Chicago, IL 60690

FP and Marcia F. and Sandra Hyde FP and Marcia F. and Sandra Hyde Trust Address Unknown

Subject: List of Landowners Form for Case Closure Consideration; Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000071 and Geotracker Global ID T0600102203, Goldsmith Lathrop, 5813-5815 Shellmound St, Emeryville, CA 94608

Dear Ladies and Gentleman:

Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH) has reviewed the fuel case file for the above referenced site for potential case closure. As you are aware a site investigation and groundwater monitoring for underground storage tank (UST) leak case has been performed at the subject property to which you are named as the primary or active responsible party.

On December 6, 2013 and August 28, 2014, ACDEH sent you notices that closure of the case was under consideration, informed you that a public comment notice had been generated and would be sent to interested parties, provided you a copy of the public notice and a list of known interested parties, and requested the return of a completed *List of Landowners Form*. As of today the *List of Landowners Form* has not been returned completed; however the public comment period has ended. The public comment period did not yield any public comments. Case closure cannot proceed until the *List of Landowners Form* is completed and returned. As described below, the intent of the form is to verify that all recent parcel owners have received a copy of the public comment, and have had an opportunity to comment on the potential closure of the environmental case. Please be aware that the closure is specifically limited to contamination associated with the former UST and not other sources known to be present beneath the site.

List of Landowners Form

Pursuant to Section 25297.15 (a), Alameda County Environmental Health (ACDEH), the local agency, shall not consider cleanup or site closure proposals from the primary or active responsible party, issue a closure letter, or make a determination that no further action is required with respect to a site upon which there was an unauthorized release of hazardous substances from an underground storage tank subject to this chapter unless all current record owners of fee title to the site of the proposed action have been notified of the proposed action by the primary or active responsible party. ACDEH is required to notify the primary or active responsible party of their requirement to certify in writing to the local agency that the notification requirement in the above-mentioned regulation has been satisfied and to provide the local agency with a complete mailing list of all record fee title owners.

To satisfy this requirement, please complete the enclosed *List of Landowners Form*, and mail or e-mail it back to ACDEH by the date identified below. Also your comments, if any, must be considered prior to the proposed closure. Please respond within 30 days from the date of this letter for your comments to be considered.

Ladies and Gentleman RO00000071 June 8, 2017, Page 2

TECHNICAL REPORT REQUEST

Please upload technical reports to the ACDEH ftp site (Attention: Mark Detterman), and to the State Water Resources Control Board's Geotracker website, in accordance with the specified file naming convention below, according to the following schedule:

• July 10, 2017 - Return List of Landowner Form File to be named: RO71_LNDOWNR_F_yyyy-mm-dd

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654, and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

Online case files are available for review at the following website: http://www.acgov.org/aceh/index.htm.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (510) 567--6876 or send me an electronic mail message at mark.detterman@acgov.org.

Sincerely,

Marke-

Mark E. Detterman, PG, CEG Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist

Enclosures: Attachment 1 – Responsible Party (ies) Legal Requirements/Obligations & Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions

Attachment - List of Landowners Form

cc: Dilan Roe, ACDEH, (Sent via electronic mail to: <u>dilan.roe@acgov.org</u>) Paresh Khatri, ACDEH; (Sent via electronic mail to: <u>paresh.khatri@acgov.org</u>) Mark Detterman, ACDEH, (Sent via electronic mail to: <u>mark.detterman@acgov.org</u>) Electronic File; GeoTracker

LIST OF LANDOWNERS FORM

County of Alameda Environmental Health Services Environmental Protection 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577

CERTIFIED LIST OF RECORD FEE TITLE OWNERS FOR:

Site Name: Goldsmith Lathrop	
Address:5813 – 5815 Shellmound Street	
City, State, Zip: Emeryville, CA 94608	
Record ID #: R00000071	

Please fill out item 1 if there are multiple site landowners (attach an extra sheet if necessary). If you are the sole site landowner, skip item 1 and fill out item 2.

1. In accordance with Section 25297.15(a) of Chapter 6.7 of the California Health & Safety Code, I, complete list of current record fee title owners and their mailing addresses for the above site:

Name:	and the second
Address:	
City, State, Zip: E-mail Address:	
Name:	
Address:	
City, State, Zip: E-mail Address:	
Name:	
Address:	
City, State, Zip: E-mail Address:	

2. In accordance with Section 25297.15(a) of Chapter 6.7 of the California Health & Safety Code, I _____, certify that I am the sole landowner for the above site.

Date

Sincerely,

Signature of Primary Responsible Party

Printed Name

Attachment 1

Responsible Party(ies) Legal Requirements / Obligations

REPORT REQUESTS

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654, and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS

Alameda County Department of Environmental Health's (ACDEH) Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs, Local Oversight Program (LOP) and Site Cleanup Program (SCP) require submission of reports in electronic form. The electronic copy replaces paper copies and is expected to be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities. Instructions for submission of electronic documents to the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Program File Transfer Protocol (FTP) site are provided on the attached "Electronic Report Upload Instructions." Submission of reports to the Alameda County FTP site is an addition to existing requirements for electronic submittal of information to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) GeoTracker website. In September 2004, the SWRCB adopted regulations that require electronic submittal of information for all groundwater cleanup programs. For several years, responsible parties for cleanup of leaks from underground storage tanks (USTs) have been required to submit groundwater analytical data, surveyed locations of monitoring wells, and <u>other</u> data to the GeoTracker database over the Internet. Beginning July 1, 2005, these same reporting requirements were added to SCP sites. Beginning July 1, 2005, electronic submittal of a complete copy of all reports for all sites is required in GeoTracker (in PDF format). Please visit the SWRCB website (<u>http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/ust/electronic_submittal/</u>) for more information on these requirements.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT STATEMENT

All work plans, technical reports, or technical documents submitted to ACDEH must be accompanied by a cover letter from the responsible party that states, at a minimum, the following: "I have read and acknowledge the content, recommendations and/or conclusions contained in the attached document or report submitted on my behalf to ACDEH's FTP server and the SWRCB's GeoTracker website." This letter must be signed by an officer or legally authorized representative of your company. Please include a cover letter satisfying these requirements with all future reports and technical documents submitted for this fuel leak case.

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION & CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The California Business and Professions Code (Sections 6731, 6735, and 7835) requires that work plans and technical or implementation reports containing geologic or engineering evaluations and/or judgments be performed under the direction of an appropriately licensed or certified professional. For your submittal to be considered a valid technical report, you are to present site-specific data, data interpretations, and recommendations prepared by an appropriately licensed professional and include the professional registration stamp, signature, and statement of professional certification. Please ensure all that all technical reports submitted for this case meet this requirement. Additional information is available on the Board of Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists website at: http://www.bpelsg.ca.gov/laws/index.shtml.

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLEANUP FUND

Please note that delays in investigation, late reports, or enforcement actions may result in your becoming ineligible to receive grant money from the state's Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Senate Bill 2004) to reimburse you for the cost of cleanup.

AGENCY OVERSIGHT

If it appears as though significant delays are occurring or reports are not submitted as requested, we will consider referring your case to the Regional Board or other appropriate agency, including the County District Attorney, for possible enforcement actions. California Health and Safety Code, Section 25299.76 authorizes enforcement including administrative action or monetary penalties of up to \$10,000 per day for each day of violation.

HEALTH CARE SERVICES

ALAMEDA COUNTY





December 19, 2002

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

12-23-02

RD2769

Mr. Sam Hardage Hardage Construction Corporation/Woodfin Suite Hotels 12730 High Bluff Drive, Suite 250 San Diego, CA 92130

RE: Woodfin Suite Hotel (STID# 6593) 5800 Shellmound Avenue, Emeryville, CA 94608

Dear Mr. Hardage:

This agency has reviewed the following reports submitted for the above subject site:

- Post Construction Risk Management Plan dated January 16, 2002, prepared and submitted by RGA Environmental, Inc.
- Soil and Water Management Documentation Report dated January 15, 2002, prepared and submitted by RGA Environmental, Inc.
- Well Completion Report Transmittal dated December 16, 2002, prepared and submitted by RGA Environmental, Inc.

The referenced reports documented the recent work conducted to address residual contaminants found in soil and groundwater during the development of the property.

The subject property, approximately 2 acres in size, is located in the commercial /.light industrial section of Emeryville. From the early 1900's to 1974, the site was used as an industrial manufacturing facility for asphalt roofing felt, roofing paper and linoleum. From 1974 to the time of development of a hotel, the site was used as an asphaltic concrete-covered parking lot.

During development of the site, the asphaltic concrete cover was removed. Subsurface soil excavation was performed within the building footprint, along the utility lines and in the swimming pool area outside of the building footprint. Sheet of plastic was placed over the ground surface and covered with several inches of clean imported soil.

Soil contaminants identified at the site have included arsenic, lead, copper, mercury, oil and grease, diesel and gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons, benzene, toluene, ethyl





Mr. Sam Hardage RE: 5800 Shellmound Ave., Emeryville, CA 94608 December 19, 2002 Page 2 of 2

benzene, xylenes, and polynuclear aromatic compounds. The distribution of contaminant types and concentration in soil and water at the site is highly variable. The source of contamination found at the site appears to be from fill material used to develop the site and from historic industrial site use.

Based on the data submitted to date for the subject site and with the provision that all the information provided are accurate and representative of site conditions, no further action is necessary at the site provided the following conditions are implemented:

- The deed restriction recorded for the property under Department of Toxic Substance Control remains valid and effective.
- The post construction Risk Management Plan (RMP) will be implemented at the site at all times. It is our understanding that Hardage Construction is responsible for the RMP's implementation.
- 3) The site should be entered in Emeryville's One Stop Shop.

If you have any questions regarding this letter or the subject site, please contact me at (510) 567-6780.

Sincerely,

hum d. Hugo

Susan Hugo U Supervising Hazardous Materials Specialist

c: Mee Ling Tung, Director, DEH

Barbara Cook, P.E. Chief, Cal-EPA / DTSC, 700 Heinz Ave., Ste. 200, Berkeley, CA 94710 Stephen Hill, Chief, Toxics Cleanup Division, Cal-EPA / S.F. Bay RWQCB Ignacio Dayrit, City of Emeryville, 1333 Park Avenue, Emeryville, CA 94608 Tom Farrell, Woodfin Suite Hotels, 5800 Shellmound Avenue, Emeryville, CA 94608 Paul King, RGA Environmental, Inc., 4701 Doyle St., Emeryville, CA 94608 SH / file

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES



AGENCY DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

> ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 (510) 337-9335 (FAX)

REMEDIAL ACTION COMPLETION CERTIFICATION

January 19, 1999

Mr. Rodney Chen Clement Chen and Associates 831 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94133

Mr. Charles Goldman Emeryville Days Limited 5820 W. Irlo Bronson Hwy. Kissimmee, Florida 34746

RE: STID # 5826 Former Days Inn Hotel 1603 Powell Street, Emeryville, California 94608

Dear Messrs. Chen and Goldman:

This letter confirms the completion of a site investigation and remedial action for the underground storage tanks formerly located at the above-described location. Thank you for your cooperation throughout this investigation. Your willingness and promptness in responding to our inquiries concerning the former underground storage tank are greatly appreciated.

Based on information in the above-referenced file and with the provision that the information provided to this agency was accurate and representative of site conditions, no further action related to the underground tank release is required.

This notice is issued pursuant to a regulation contained in Section 2721 (e) of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations.

Please contact our office if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely.

Mee Ling Tung, Director

c: Chuck Headlee, San Francisco Bay RWQCB Dave Deaner, SWRCB, UST Cleanup Fund Program (with enclosure) George Warren, Emeryville Fire Department, 2333 Powell Street, Emeryville, CA 94608 Ignacio Dayrit, Emeryville Redevelopment Agency, 2200 Powell St, 12th Floor, Emeryville, CA 94608 Susan Hugo (2 copies of letter only)

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES



DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

AGENCY

R0#712

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway. Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 (510) 337-9335 (FAX)

January 19, 1999

Mr. Rodney Chen Clement Chen and Associates 831 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94133

Mr. Charles Goldman Emeryville Days Limited 5820 W. Irlo Bronson Hwy. Kissimmee, Florida 34746

RE: Fuel Leak Site Case Closure – Former Days Inn Hotel (STID # 5826) 1603 Powell Street, Emeryville, California 94608

Dear Messrs. Chen and Goldman:

This letter transmits the enclosed underground storage tank (UST) case closure letter in accordance with Chapter 6.75 (Article 4, Section 25299.37 [h]). The State Water Resources Control Board adopted this letter on February 20, 1997. As of March 1, 1997, the Alameda County Environmental Health Services, Local Oversight Program is required to use this case closure letter for all UST leak sites. We are also transmitting to you the enclosed case closure summary. These documents confirm the completion of the investigation and cleanup of the reported release at the subject site. The subject fuel leak case is closed.

Site Investigation and Cleanup Summary:

Please be advised that the following conditions exist at the site:

- Sixty nine parts per million (ppm) Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) as Gasoline, 2,868 ppm TPH as Diesel, 1900 ppm TPH as motor oil, 18,996 ppm oil and grease, 18.7 ppm benzene, 24 ppm ethyl benzene, 11.9 ppm toluene and 25.2 ppm xylene remain in the soil at the site.
- Four hundred seventy parts per billion (ppb) TPH diesel and 1,800 ppb TPH motor oil remain in the groundwater beneath the site.
- Prior to any construction activities at the site, a risk management plan must be submitted and approved by this
 agency.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (510) 567-6780. Thank you.

Sincerely,

- Hugo

Susan L. Hugo, Hazardous Materials Specialist

Enclosures: 1. Case Closure Letter

2. Case Closure Summary

c: George Warren, Emeryville Fire Department, 2333 Powell Street, Emeryville, CA 94608 Ignacio Dayrit, Emeryville Redevelopment Agency, 2200 Powell St., 12th Fl., Emeryville, CA 94608 SH / files

Rb&le# 01-2155

CASE CLOSURE SUMMARY Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program

I. AGENCY INFORMATION Agency Name: Alameda County-HazMat City/State/Zip: Alameda, CA 94502 Responsible Staff Person: Susan L. Hugo			Date: June 26, 1998 Address: 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Phone: (510) 567-6700 Title: Hazardous Materials Specialist					
II. CASE Site Facility Na Site Facility Ad RB LUSTIS Ca URF Filing Date	INFORMATIO me: Former Da dress: 1603 Pow se No: N/A e: 4/15/96	N 195 Inn Hotel vell Street , Emer	yville, (CA 94608 Local Case No./ SWEEPS No.:	LOP Cas N/A	e No. 5826		
<u>Responsible Parties:</u> Clement Chen & Associates Attn: Mr. Rodney Chen		<u>Addre</u> 831 M San F	<u>Address:</u> <u>Phone</u> 831 Montgomery Street (415) San Francisco, California 94133			<u>Numbers:</u> 392-8260		
<u>Tank No:</u> Five USTS	<u>Size in gal:</u> Unknown	<u>Contents:</u> Unknown	<u>Closed in-place or removed?:</u> Reportedly removed prior to construction of hotel			<u>Date:</u> 1984		
Date Approved I Number: Six (f Highest GW dep Flow direction: I Are drinking wa Is surface water Off-site benefici Report (s) on fild Where is report (by oversight agen by below ground North ter wells affected affected?: No al use impacts (a e?: Yes (s) filed?: Alam	ncy: 4/2/96 surface: 6.08 feet l: No ddress /location): 1 eda County, 1131	Moni Propo Lowe Most Aqui Nearo Unknov	itoring wells installed orly screened interva est depth: 9.28 fect sensitive current use fer name: NA est affected SW nam yn or Bay Parkway, Al	ameda,	Yes mercial CA 94502		
Treatment and	Disposal of Affe	cted Materials:						
Materials	Amount (Include units)		Action (Treatment /or Disposal with Destination)		Date			
Tanks	5 USIs unknow	unknown capacity		Unknown			Unknown	
Maximum Documented Contaminant Concentrati Contaminant Soil (ppm)			tions Before and After Cleanup Water (ppb)					
TPH (gasoline)	Before	<u>After</u> * 69		<u>Before</u> * 9,300	*	After*** nd		
TPH (motor oil)	2	2,000		2/3,000	***	470		
Oil & Grease		18 996		*****		1,000		10
Benzenc	-	18.7		834		nd		0
Ethyl benzene	14 C	24		24 1.495		nd		i.
Toluene		11.9		714		nd		5
Xylene	9	25.2		3,520		nd		
MTBE	1 e 1	-		-		nd		-77
*Soil samples co. **Water sample ***Water sample	llected from bori collected from bo	ng SB2-8 on 5/4/9 pring SB2-8 on 5/4	3. /93.	4/24/06 there 1 class	107			H 7:51

Water sample collected from monitoring wells between 4/24/96 through 6/26/97. *Soil sample collected from boring SB-5 on 3/18/93.

*****Grab water sample from boring SB2-14.

******Oil & Grease at 7,506,000 ppb detected in grab water sample from boring SB2-1.

1.

.

CASE CLOSURE SUMMARY Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program Page 2 of 3

IV. CLOSURE

7

Does completed corrective action protect existing beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? Undetermined

Does completed corrective action protect potential beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? Undetermined

Does corrective action protect public health for current land use? Yes Site management requirements: Risk Management Plan must be submitted and approved by ACDEH prior to any future construction and /or change in land use at the site.

Should corrective action be reviewed if land use changes? Yes

Monitoring wells decommissioned: No, will close wells after receiving case closure concurrence from the RWQCB.

Number Decommissioned: None Number Retained: Six (6)

List enforcement actions taken: None

List enforcement action rescinded: NA

V. LOCAL AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE DATA

Name: Susan L. Hugo

Signature: Juson 7. Hugo

Title: Hazardous Materials Specialist Date: 8/3/98

Reviewed by:

.1

Name: Thomas Peagock Pril LEVI Signature:

Date: 5/10/54

Title: Manager, LOP

Name: Larry Scto Signature:

Title: Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist

Date: 8-6-98

VI. RWQCB NOTIFICATION Date Submitted to RB: 8/18/98 RB Resp RWQCB Staff Name: Charles Headlee Title: As Signature: Charles Headlee Date:

RB Response: 8/19/98

Title: Associate Water Resources Control Engineer Engineering Geologist Date: 8/19/98

CASE CLOSURE SUMMARY Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program Page 3 of 3

VII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

The subject site, approximately 1.58 acres, is located on the northern edge of the Emeryville industrial area, and about 0.2 miles east of San Francisco Bay. A seven-story hotel built in 1985 occupies the property. General land use of the surrounding area is light-industrial, commercial and retail. Between 1949 until early 1980s, auto freight depot operated at the site.

In March 1993, as part of a property transaction, an environmental assessment was performed which included soil and groundwater sampling at the site. Five underground storage tanks (USTs) and two above ground storage tanks were reportedly removed from the southeast corner of the property prior to construction of the hotel building in 1985. Twenty-one soil borings were drilled on-site; four of the borings (SB2-11, SB2-12, SB2-13 & SB2-14) were placed off – site. Fill soils and Bay Muds were identified in the soil borings. Groundwater was encountered at 7 feet below ground surface (bgs). Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) consisting of motor oil, oil and grease, gasoline and/or diesel fuels were detected in soil and groundwater beneath the site.

Further site characterization was conducted on April 1996 and six shallow groundwater-monitoring wells were installed on-site. Soil borings indicated that soils from the ground surface to approximately 10 feet bgs consisted of fill materials such as clay, silt, sand and gravel with pieces of brick and concrete. Bay Muds were encountered at depths greater than 10 to 12 feet bgs. Regional groundwater flow in the area is to the west, towards the San Francisco Bay. However, groundwater beneath the subject property flows in a northerly direction and may be affected by tidal influence. Soil samples collected from the borings at 5 feet to 7 feet bgs showed no detectable concentration of TPH gasoline, TPH diesel, benzenc, toluene, ethyl benzene & xylene (BTEX), methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE), chlorinated solvents and semi-volatiles. TPH as motor oil at 430 ppm was detected in the soil. Low levels of metals were also detected in the soil (0.4 ppm cadmium, 8.3 ppm arsenic, 35 ppm chromium, 4.6 ppm lead, 45 ppm nickel and 170 ppm zinc). Groundwater samples collected from the wells did not detect TPH gasoline, BTEX, MTBE and chlorinated solvents. TPH as motor oil was found in one well (MW-2) at 300 ppb. TPH diesel (up to 1,600 ppb) was detected in all wells with the exception of MW-4. Low levels of semi-volatiles (85 ppb acenaphthene, 15 ppb fluorene, and 34 ppb phenanthrene) were detected in well MW-1. The only metals found in the groundwater was nickel (10 ppb in MW-1 and 20 ppb in MW-3) and arsenic (up to 34 ppb in MW-3).

Four consecutive quarters (4/96 to 6/97) of groundwater monitoring has been conducted at the site. The dissolved petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations are consistently low and the plume appears to be stable. BTEX was not detected in the groundwater with the exception of xylene found at 1.4 ppb in well MW-1 on 6/26/97.

No further investigations related to the five USTs and two above ground tanks reportedly removed at the site are recommended since the site appears to meet the San Francisco Bay RWQCB's definition of a "low risk" soil and groundwater case:

- 1) Aggressive source removal has occurred at the site. The tanks have been removed in 1985 prior to construction of the hotel at the subject property.
- 2) The extent of soil and groundwater contamination has been adequately characterized. Although petroleum hydrocarbons in soil remain at the site, it does not appear to be an on going source. Groundwater data collected to date showed that the plume is stable and not migrating.
- 3) Analytical groundwater data collected for the site showed no significant impact to groundwater. Total dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations (1,000 ppm to 7,210 ppm) in groundwater indicate that water may have limited potential use.
- 4) No water wells, deeper drinking water wells, surface water or other sensitive receptors are likely to be impacted.
- 5) The site does not appear to present a significant risk to human health and the environment. Soil samples showed low levels of BTEX and groundwater samples showed low levels of PNAs (17 ppb acenaphthene), and no detectable level of BTEX and MTBE.
- 6) A risk management plan is required to manage the residual contamination left at the site and will include notifying ACDEH and City Building and Planning Department prior to any construction, redevelopment and /or change in land use.