## RECEIVED

By dehloptoxic at 10:51 am, Feb 01, 2007

Atlantic Richfield Company (a BP affiliated company)
P.O. Box 1257

San Ramon, California 94583
Phone: (925) 275-3801
Fax: (925) 275-3815

## 26 January 2007

## Re: Fourth Quarter 2006 Status Report

Atlantic Richfield Company Station \#2112
1260 Park Street
Alameda, California
ACEH Case \#RO0000044
"I declare, that to the best of my knowledge at the present time, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document are true and correct."

Submitted by:


Paul Supple
Environmental Business Manager

## Atlantic Richfield Company

P.O. Box 1257

San Ramon, California 94583
Submitted via ENFOS

## Attn.: Mr. Paul Supple

Re: Fourth Quarter 2006 Status Report, Atlantic Richfield Company (a BP affiliated company) Station \#2112, 1260 Park Street, Alameda, Alameda County, California; ACEH Case No.RO0000044.

Dear Mr. Supple:
Provided herein is the Fourth Quarter 2006 Status Report for Atlantic Richfield Company Station \#2112 (herein referred to as Station \#2112) located at 1260 Park Street, Alameda, Alameda County, California (Site). This report presents a summary of recent developments at the site during Fourth Quarter of 2006.

Should you have questions regarding this submission, please do not hesitate to contact us at (530) 566-1400.

Sincerely,
BROADBENT \& ASSOCIATES, INC.


Thomas A. Venus, P.E.
Senior Engineer


Robert H. Miller, P.G., C.HG.
Principal Hydrogeologist


## Enclosures

cc: Mr. Stephen Plunkett, Alameda County Environmental Health (Submitted via ACEH ftp Site) Electronic copy uploaded to GeoTracker

## STATION \#2112 QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

| Facility: \#2112 Address: | 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California |
| :---: | :---: |
| Environmental Business Manager: | Mr. Paul Supple |
| Consulting Company/Contact Person: | Broadbent \& Associates, Inc./Rob Miller \& Tom Venus, (530)566-1400 |
| Consultant Project No.: | 06-08-616 |
| Primary Agency/Regulatory ID No.: | Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) ACEH Case No. RO0000044 |

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER (Fourth Quarter 2006):

1. Prepared and submitted the Third Quarter 2006 Ground-Water Monitoring Report.
2. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the Fourth Quarter 2006.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER (First Quarter 2007):

1. Prepared and submitted this Fourth Quarter 2006 Status Report (contained herein).
2. No environmental work is scheduled to be conducted at the Site during the First Quarter of 2007.

## DISCUSSION:

Case closure was requested by BP on 4 June 2004 from ACEH. In a letter dated 20 June 2006, ACEH requested rehabilitation, redevelopment, ground-water monitoring and sampling of the onsite monitoring wells to resume the site closure process. A summary of well rehabilitation/redevelopment, and ground-water monitoring and sampling results were presented in the Third Quarter 2006 GroundWater Monitoring Report. BP is awaiting a response from the ACEH to the closure request in light of the Third Quarter 2006 monitoring results. A Ground-Water Elevation Contour and Analytical Summary Map from Third Quarter 2006 is provided as Drawing 1.

## ATTACHMENTS:

Drawing 1. Ground-Water Elevation Contour and Analytical Summary Map, 17 July 2006, Station \#2112, 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California
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Atlantic Richfield Company
P.O. Box 1257

San Ramon, California 94583
Submitted via ENFOS
Attn.: Mr. Paul Supple
Re: Second Quarter 2006 Report, Atlantic Richfield Company (a BP affiliated company) Station \#2112, 1260 Park Street, Alameda, Alameda County, California; ACEH Case No. $3629 /$ KO 44.

Dear Mr. Supple:
Provided herein is the Second Quarter 2006 Status Report for Atlantic Richfield Company Station \#2112 located at 1260 Park Street, Alameda, Alameda County, California. This report presents a summary of recent developments at the site during Second Quarter 2006.

Should you have questions regarding this submission, please do not hesitate to contact us at (530) 566-1400.

Sincerely,
BROADBENT \& ASSOCIATES, INC.


Thomas A. Venus, P.E.
Senior Engineer


Robert H. Miller, P.G., C.HG.
Principal Hydrogeologist
Enclosures

cc: Mr. Stephen Plunkett, Alameda County Environmental Health (Submitted via ACEH ftp Site) Electronic copy uploaded to GeoTracker

# STATION \#2112 QUARTERLY GROUND-WATER STATUS REPORT 

| Facility: \#2112 Address: | 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California |
| :---: | :---: |
| Environmental Business Manager: | Mr. Paul Supple |
| Consulting Company/Contact Person: | Broadbent \& Associates, Inc./Thomas Venus, (530)566-1400 |
| Consultant Project No.: | 06-08-616 |
| Primary Agency/Regulatory ID No.: | Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) ACEH Case No. 3629 / RO44 |

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER (Second Quarter 2006):

1. Prepared and submitted the First Quarter 2006 Status Report. Work performed by URS.
2. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the Second Quarter 2006.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER (Third Quarter 2006):

1. Prepared and submitted this Second Quarter 2006 Status Report (contained herein).
2. Onsite wells rehabilitated, redeveloped, and ground-water monitoring and sampling conducted at the request of ACEH. Work performed by URS in mid-July.
3. Prepare and submit the Third Quarter 2006 Ground-Water Monitoring Report.

## DISCUSSION:

Case closure was requested on 4 June 2004 from ACEH. BP had been awaiting a response from the ACEH. In a letter dated 20 June 2006, ACEH requested rehabilitation, redevelopment, ground-water monitoring and sampling of the onsite monitoring wells to resume the site closure process. A summary of well rehabilitation/redevelopment, and ground-water monitoring and sampling results will be presented in the Third Quarter 2006 when results become available.

June 20, 2006
Mr. Paul Supple
BP West Coast Products LLC
PO Box 6549
Moraga, CA 94549

Subject: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000044, Arco \#2112 1260 Park Street, Alameda, CA

Dear Mr. Supple:
Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff have reviewed the case file for the above referenced site. Considering the site history, site closure request and the incomplete site closure summary dating back to November 1997, ACEH considers it appropriate to evaluate the closure request based on current site conditions. To continue the site closure process, ACEH requests that additional groundwater monitoring and sampling be completed at the site. Our request is based on the conclusion that the most recent groundwater monitoring data available in our files dates back from 1997.

If water quality data indicate that groundwater conditions are similar to historical conditions it is likely that the site will be moved to closure. However, if current groundwater quality data indicate that elevated concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons exist further investigation may be warranted.

Based on ACEH staff review of the case file, we request that you address the following technical comments and send us the reports described below. Please provide 72 -hour advance written notification to this office (e-mail preferred to steven.plunkett@acgov.org) prior to the start of field activities.

## TECHNICAL COMMENTS

1. Well Rehabilitation and Redevelopment. Considering the length of time that has passed since the June 1997 groundwater sampling event, ACEH requests that all onsite monitoring wells should be rehabilitated and/or redeveloped; thus allowing the collection of a representative sample of formation groundwater. Please describe and present the results of the well redevelopment and rehabilitation activities in the report requested below.
2. Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling. Groundwater monitoring has not been conducted at the site since 1996. Please sample the existing monitoring wells in order to determine current groundwater conditions throughout the site. The water samples are to be analyzed for TPHg and TPHd by EPA Method 8015 M or 8260 , BTEX, EDB, EDC, MtBE, TAME, ETBE,

DIPE, TBA and EtOH by EPA Method 8260. Please present the results from groundwater monitoring and sampling in the report requested below.

## TECHNICAL REPORT REQUEST

Please submit technical reports to Alameda County Environmental Health (Attention: Mr. Steven
Plunkett), according to the following schedule:

- August 1, 2006-Groundwater Monitoring Report

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654, and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

## ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS

The Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of all reports in electronic form to the county's ftp site. Paper copies of reports will no longer be accepted. The electronic copy replaces the paper copy and will be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities. Instructions for submission of electronic documents to the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Program ftp site are provided on the attached "Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions." Please do not submit reports as attachments to electronic mail.

Submission of reports to the Alameda County ftp site is an addition to existing requirements for electronic submittal of information to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Geotracker website. Submission of reports to the Geotracker website does not fulfill the requirement to submit documents to the Alameda County ftp site. In September 2004, the SWRCB adopted regulations that require electronic submittal of information for groundwater cleanup programs. For several years, responsible parties for cleanup of leaks from underground storage tanks (USTs) have been required to submit groundwater analytical data, surveyed locations of monitor wells, and other data to the Geotracker database over the internet. Beginning July 1, 2005, electronic submittal of a complete copy of all necessary reports was required in Geotracker (in PDF format). Please visit the SWRCB website for more information on these requirements (http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/ust/cleanup/electronic reporting).

## PERJURY STATEMENT

All work plans, technical reports, or technical documents submitted to ACEH must be accompanied by a cover letter from the responsible party that states, at a minimum, the following: "I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge." This letter must be

Mr. Paul Supple
June 20, 2006
Page 3
signed by an officer or legally authorized representative of your company. Please include a cover letter satisfying these requirements with all future reports and technical documents submitted for this fuel leak case.

## PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION \& CONCLUSIONSIRECOMMENDATIONS

The California Business and Professions Code (Sections 6735, 6835, and 7835.1) requires that work plans and technical or implementation reports containing geologic or engineering evaluations and/or judgments be performed under the direction of an appropriately registered or certified professional. For your submittal to be considered a valid technical report, you are to present site specific data, data interpretations, and recommendations prepared by an appropriately licensed professional and include the professional registration stamp, signature, and statement of professional certification. Please ensure all that all technical reports submitted for this fuel leak case meet this requirement.

## UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLEANUP FUND

Please note that delays in investigation, later reports, or enforcement actions may result in your becoming ineligible to receive grant money from the state's Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Senate Bill 2004) to reimburse you for the cost of cleanup.

## AGENCY OVERSIGHT

If it appears as though significant delays are occurring or reports are not submitted as requested, we will consider referring your case to the Regional Board or other appropriate agency, including the County District Attorney, for possible enforcement actions. California Health and Safety Code, Section 25299.76 authorizes enforcement including administrative action or monetary penalties of up to $\$ 10,000$ per day for each day of violation.

If you have any questions, please call me at (510) 383-1767.

Sincerely,


Steven Plunkett
Hazardous Materials Specialist
cc:
Ms. Lynelle Onishi
URS Corporation Inc.
1333 Broadway
Oakland, CA 94610
Mr. Matthew Herrick
Broadbent \& Associates, Inc.
1324 Mangrove Ave., Suite 212

Mr. Paul Supple June 20, 2006 Page 4

Chico, CA 95926

Donna Drogos, ACEH
Steven Plunkett, ACEH $\sqrt{ }$ File


Atlantic Richfield Company
(a BP affiliated company)
P.O. Box 6549

Moraga, California 94570
Phone: (925) 299-8891
Fax: (925) 299-8872

November 2, 2005

## Re: Third Quarter 2005 Status Report <br> Atlantic Richfield Company Service Station $\# 2112$ <br> 1260 Park Street <br> Alameda, California <br> URS Project \$38487024

I declare, that to the best of my knowledge at the present time, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document are true and correct.

Submitted by:

Paul Supple
Environmental Business Manager

Ms. Donna Drogos<br>Alameda County Environmental Health<br>1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250<br>Alameda, CA 94502

## Re: Third Quarter 2005 Status Report <br> Atlantic Richfield Company Service Station \#2112 <br> 1260 Park Street <br> Alameda, California <br> URS Project \#38487024

Dear Ms. Drogos:

On behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company, a BP affiliated Company, URS Corporation (URS) is submitting the Third Quarter 2005 Quarterly Status Report for the Atlantic Richfield Company Service Station \#2112, located at 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California.

URS also requests an update on the Case Closure Report submitted on November, 20, 1996. Updates on this site have been requested in a letter dated July 30, 2003 and then in a follow-up e-mail dated May 6, 2004.

If you have any questions regarding this submission, please call at (510) 874-3280.
Sincerely,
URS CORPORATION


Scott Robinson


Project Manager
Enclosure: Third Quarter 2005 Quarterly Status Report
cc: Mr. Paul Supple, RM, (electronic copy uploaded to ENFOS)

## THIRD QUARTER 2005 QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

Facility No.:
2112
RM Environmental Business Manager:
Consulting Co./Contact Person:
Consultant Project No.:
Primary Agency:

Address:
1260 Park Street, Alameda, California
Paul Supple
URS Corporation / Scott Robinson
38487024
Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH)

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER

(Third-2005):

1. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the third quarter 2005.
2. Prepared and submitted this Third Quarter 2005 Quarterly Status Report.
3. Requested an update on Case Closure Report submitted on November, 20, 1996.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER (Fourth - 2005):

1. No environmental work is expected for the site during the fourth quarter 2005.
2. Prepare and submit Fourth Quarter 2005 Quarterly Status Report.

## Re: First Quarter 2005 Status Report <br> Atlantic Richfield Company Service Station \#2112 <br> 1260 Park Street <br> Alameda, California <br> URS Project \#38487024

Dear Mr. Schultz:

On behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company (RM), a BP affiliated Company, URS Corporation (URS) is submitting the First Quarter 2005 Status Report for the Atlantic Richfield Company Service Station \#2112, located at 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California.

URS also requests an update on the Case Closure Report submitted on November, 20, 1996.
If you have any questions regarding this submission, please call at (510) 874-3280.
Sincerely,

## ERS CORPORATION



Scott Robinson
Project Manager
Enclosure: First Quarter 2005 Status Report
cc: Mr. Paul Supple, RM, (electronic copy uploaded to ENFOS)

## ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

| Facility No.: $\quad 2112$ | Address: | 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RM Environmental Business Manager: |  | Paul Supple |
| Consulting Co./Contact Person: |  | URS Corporation / Scott Robinson |
| Consultant Project No.: |  | 38487024 |
| Primary Agency: |  | Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) |

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER (First - 2005):

1. No environmental work will be conducted at the site during the first quarter 2005.
2. Prepare and submit this first quarter 2005 status report.
3. Request an update on Case Closure Report submitted on November, 20, 1996.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER <br> (Second - 2005):

1. No environmental work is expected for the site during the second quarter 2005.
2. Prepare and submit second quarter 2005 status report.
3. Request an update on Case Closure Report submitted on November, 20, 1996.

## Acculabs Inc. - Davis

## TPH Diesel by 8015 Mod QC Report

| Matrix: | Water |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QC Batch: | DW001001 | Date Extracted: |

QC Limits Set: 7/27/00

| Parameter | Spike Conc | LCS | LCSD |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ug/L | \%Rec | $\%$ Rec | RPD |
|  | 1000 | 107 | 97 | 9.8 |


| Control Chart Limits |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lower | Upper |
| 66 | 127 |


| Method Blank | MDL | Measured value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ |
|  | $(50)$ | $<50$ |
| TPH as Motor Oil | $(100)$ | $<100$ |




June 14, 1996
Projects: 330-084.5C/330-105.5B

$$
330-106.5 \mathrm{C} / 330-109.5 \mathrm{~B}
$$

Ms. Sue Jane
East Bay Municipal Utilities District
P.O. Box 24055

Oakland, California 94623-1055
Re: Request to Relinquish Wastewater Discharge Permits Miscellaneous sites

Dear Ms. Jenne:
ARCO Products Company wishes to relinquish the wastewater discharge permits for the following sites, effective immediately. Please do not hesitate to call if you have any questions.

1. Discharge Permit 502-85611

ARCO Service Station 0374
6407 Telegraph Avenue
Oakland, California
3. Discharge Permit 502-65201

ARCO Service Station 2112
1260 Park Street
Alameda, California
2. Discharge Permit 502-64411

ARCO Service Station 2107
3310 Park Boulevard at East 34th Street
Oakland, California
4. Discharge Permit 502-62131

ARCO Service Station 4931
731 West MacArthur Boulevard
Oakland, California

Sincerely,
ARCO Products Company


Michael Whelan
Environmental Engineer

cc: Mr. Barney Chan, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency<br>Mr. Kevin Graves, Regional Water Quality Control Board - S.F. Bay Region<br>Ms. Susan Hugo, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency<br>Mr. Shaw Garakani, Pacific Environmental Group, Inc.

ALAMEDA COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL $\therefore$ HEALTH DEPARTMENT

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite \#250
Alameda, CA 94502-6577
Telephone (510) 567-6700
Fax Number (510) 337-9335
FAX COVER SHEET
DATE: Qugnate 16_1995

$$
\text { TO: } \frac{\text { MICHAEL WHELAKD }}{\text { ARCS }}
$$

Total number of pages including cover sheet $\qquad$ 2
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
NOTE:
Per your request.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## LIST OF ARCO SITES WITH UGT CLEANUP (August 16, 1995)

## STID\# ACHD CONTACT ARCO\# ADDRESS

3629 Susan Hugo 21121260 Park Street, Alameda 94501
817 Amy Leech 538720200 Hesperian Blvd. Hayward 94541
3943 Scott Seery 215222141 Center St., Castro Valley 94546
3883 Susan Hugo 6113785 E. Stanley Blvd., Livermore 94550
3873 Susan Hugo
771899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore 94550
1053 Eva Chu 60417249 Village Parkway, Dublin 94568
779 Amy Leech 60817601 Hesperian Blvd. San Lorenzo 94580
3876 Barney Chan 21859800 East 14 th Street, Oakland 94603
3756 Barney Chan 27610600 MacArthur Blvd. Oakland 94605
3874 Susan Hugo 4931731 W. MacArthur Blvd. Oakland 94609
3884 Susan Hugo 3746407 Telegraph Avenue, Oakland 94609
3626 Susan Hugo 61485131 Shattuck Avenue, Oakland 94609
3890 Barney Chan 21073310 Park Blvd. Oakland 94610
3854 Barney Chan 4494566 Hegenberger Road, Oakland 94621
3793 Susan Hugo 2169889 W. Grand Ave. Oakland 94607
3858 Barney Chan 20351001 San Pablo Avenue, Albany 94706
4275 Scott Seery 601712 Lewelling Blvd. San Leandro 94579
744 Dale Kletke 21111156 Davis Street, San Leandro 94577

Ms. Susan Hugo<br>Alameda County Heath Care Services<br>80 Swan Way, Room 200<br>Oakland, CA 94621

## Re: Transfer of ARCO Projects.

Dear Ms. Hugo:

The purpose of this letter is to inform you of changes that ARCO Products Company (ARCO) has recently made in regards to environmental consulting firms working on environmental projects at existing and former ARCO retail facilities. In an effort to promote consistency, be more proactive, streamline communications and reduce costs, ARCO has significantly reduced the number of environmental consultants performing assessment and remedial activities on these environmental projects. The consolidation, which took effect on 9/1/94, involves a reduction of approximately $75 \%$ to $80 \%$ of the consulting firms that ARCO previously used. ARCO firmly believes that this consolidation effort will dramatically streamline ARCO's communication process, both with your office and staff as well as with ARCO's remaining consultants. The consulting firms which ARCO will now use at retail facility environmental projects throughout the west (WA, OR, CA, NV and AZ) include: Brown \& Caldwell, Delta Environmental, Emcon Associates, Pacific Environmental Group, and Secor.

Attached to this letter is a list of sites in your jurisdiction that have recently been transferred to one of the five consulting firms listed above. In most cases, these five consulting firms were already working on ARCO projects in your jurisdiction. Also included in the list is the name of the ARCO engineer responsible for the site. please don't hesitate to call the respective ARCO engineer or the consultant's regional contact if you have any questions regarding any of the projects.

The transfer of the projects from the former consultant to one of the five firms listed above should be completed by October 1, 1994. Shortly thereafter I will be contacting you to schedule a meeting to introduce you to the primary contacts at the consulting companies and to review the projects with your staff. Please contact me at (415) 571-2468 if you have any questions concerning this letter.

Sincerely,


## ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH DEPT.

| Facility <br> Number | City | Address | New <br> Consulting Firm | ARCO <br> Engineer | ARCO Engineer phone number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 276 | Oakland | 10600 MacArthur Bivd. | Emcon | Mike Whelan | 415-571-2449 |
| 347 | Oakland | 2751 High Street | Brown \& Caldwell | Brad Jones | 213-486-0681 |
| 374 | Oakland | 6407 Telegraph Avenue | Pacific Env. Group | Mike Whelan | 415-571-2449 |
| 601 | San Leandro | 712 Lewelling Blvd. | Emicon | Mike Whelan | 415-571-2449 |
| 771 | Livermore | 899 Rincon Avenue | Emcon | Mike Whelan | 415-571-2449 |
| 2035 | Albany | 1001 San Pablo Avenue | Emcon | Mike Whelan | 415-571-2449 |
| 2107 | Oakland | 3310 Park Blvd. | Pacific Env. Group | Mike Whelan | 415.571.2449 |
| 2111 | San Leandro | 1156 Davis Street | Emcon | Mike Whelan | 415-571-2449 |
| 2112 | Alamada | 1260 Park Street | Pacific Env. Group | Mike Whelan | 415.571-2449 |
| 2152 | Castro Valley | 22141 Center Street | Pacific Env. Group | Mike Whelan | 415-571-2449 |
| 2162 | San Leandro | 15135 Hesperian Blvd. | Pacific Env. Group | Mike Whelan | 415.571-2449 |
| 2169 | Oakland | 889 West Grand Avenue | Emicon | Mike Whelan | 415.571-2449 |
| 2185 | Oakland | 9800 East 14th Street | Emeon | Mike Whelan | 415-571-2449 |
| 4494 | Oakland | 566 Hagenberger Road | Pacific Env. Group | Mike Whelan | 415-571-2449 |
| 4931 | Oakland | 731 West MacArthur Blvd. | Pacific Env. Group | Mike Whelan | 415-571.2449 |
| 6002 | Oakland | 6235 Seminary Avenue | Emeon | Mike Whelan | 415.571-2449 |
| 6041 | Dublin | 7249 Village Parkway | Emicon | Mike Whelan | 415-571-2449 |
| 6113 | Livermore | 785 East Stanley Blvd. | Emcon | Mike Whelan | 415-571-2449 |
| 6148 | Oakland | 5131 Shattuck Avenue. | Emcon | Mike Whelan | 415.571-2449 |
| 9908 | Oakland | 8255 San Leandro Blvd | Brown \& Caldwell | Brad Jones | 213-486-0681 |

Your Emcon contact is Mr. Jay Johnson.
Your Pacific Environmental Group contact is Mr. Greg Barclay Your Brown \& Caldwell contact is Mr. Ron Zurlinden CONSULTANTS CONTACTS

| Consultant Name | > ARCO Program Manager (APM) <br> Mikk Anderson | $\qquad$ <br> Ron Zurfinden | Area | Administrative Coordinator (AC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 150 So Arroyo Pkwy Pasadena, CA 91109 (818) 577-1020 Fax (818) 795-6016 | Ron Zurinden <br> 9616 micro Ave <br> Sacramento, CA 95813-3449 <br> (916) 444-0123 - - Fox (916) 856-5277 <br> Ron Halsey <br> 16735 Von Karman, Sulte 200 <br> Irvine, CA 92714-4918 <br> (714) 660-1070 $\cdots$ - Fax (714) 4740940 <br> Mike Higman <br> 9040 Frairs Rd, Sulte 220 <br> San Diego. CA 92108 <br> (619) 528-9090 -. - Fax (619) 528-9199 | NW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mat Quinn } \\ & 150 \text { So Amoyo Pkwy } \\ & \text { Posadena. CA } 91109 \\ & \text { (818) 577-1020 } \\ & \text { Fax (818) } 795-6016 \end{aligned}$ |
| Delta | Jon Pesicka <br> 27141 Also Creek Ra. Suite 270 <br> Aliso Viejo. CA 92656 <br> (714) 362-3077 <br> Fax (714) 362-0290 | Todd Galat 3330 Data Dr, Sulte 100 Rancho Cordova. CA 95670 (916) 638-2085 Jon Fax (916) 638-8385 (714) 362-3077 | NW | Debble Fluckiger <br> 27141 Ailso Creek Rd, \# 270 <br> Aliso Viejo. CA 92656 <br> (714) 362-3077 <br> Fax (714) 362-0290 |
| Emcon | Jack Hardin 1921 Ringwood Ave San Jose, CA 95131-1721 (408) 453-7300 <br> Fax (408) 437-9526 | Rob Dixon 15055 SW Sequola Pkwy. Suite 140 Pontland, OR 97224-7712 (503) 624-7200 Fax (503) $620-7658$ Uay Johnson 1433 N Ave Market Blva. Sacramento, CA 95834-1943 (916) 928-3300 fax (916) 928-3341 | NW | Jeanette Memeo 1921 Ringwood Ave San Jose, CA 95131-1721 (408) 453-7300 <br> Fax (408) 437-9526 |

CONTACTS.XLS

## CONSULTANTS CONTACTS

| Consultant Name | $\frac{\text { ARCO Program Manager }}{\text { (APM) }}$ | Technical Coordinator (TC) | Area | Administrative Coordinator (AC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emcon | Jock Hardin | Rlc Morgan 120 Columbia, Sulte 500 Aliso Viejo. CA 92656 (714) 362-1130 Fox (714) 362-1137 | SW | Jeanette Memeo |
| Pacific Enviro | Debra Moser2025 Gate Way Place, Sutte 440San Jose. CA 95110(408) 441-7500Fax (408) 441-7539 | Greg Barclay 3050 Fite Circle, Sutte 101 Sacramento, CA 95827 (916) 361-8091--- Fax (916) 361-8094 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NW} \\ \mathrm{SW} \end{gathered}$ | Norma Gutierrez 2025 Gate Way Place. Suite 440 San Jose, CA 95110 (408) 441-7500 Fox (408) 441-7539 |
| Group |  | Cleve Solomon 650 N Sierra Madre Villa, Sulte 204 pasadena, CA 91107 <br> (818) 351-4814 -- Fax (818) 351-4822 |  |  |
| Seacor | Davia Childs <br> 1913 Volcanic Dr. <br> Benton, AR 72015-2161 <br> (501) 776-3400 <br> Fcx (501) 776-3053 | Jilm Ritichle 90 New Montgomery St, Suite 620 San Franclisco, CA 94015-4503 (415) 882.1548 -- Fox (415) 882-4406 | NW | Cindy Malloy 1734-34th St Sacramento. CA 95816-7004 (916) 456-4333 Fax (916) 456-0110 |
|  |  | Kyle Emerson 1180 Nevada St, Sulte 200 Rediands, CA 92374 (909) 335-6116-.-Fox (909) 335-6120 | SW |  |
|  |  | Bob Wilson <br> 3485 Sacramento Dr, Sulte A <br> San Luis Oblspo. CA 93401-7160 <br> (805) 546-0455 - -- Fax (805) 546-0583 | SW |  |
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ALAMEDA COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL $\therefore$ HEALTH DEPARTMENT

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite \#250
Alameda, CA 94502-6577
Telephone (510) 567-6700
Fax Number (510) 337-9335
FAX COVER SHEET
DATE: lune 27,1995
to: DAVID LARSON.
FAX \# (4/08) 4/53-045 $\alpha$
Total number of pages including cover sheet $\qquad$ 2

FROM: SUSAN L. HUGO
$\qquad$
NOTE: List of ARCO SITES \& correspading
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(Smile) have a nice day.
DO SOMETHING FOR OUR ENVIRONMENT.
jdsb/0395

| (6/27) | (95) | LIST OF ARCO SITES | WITH UG | JGT CLEANUP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STID\# | ACHD CONTACT | ARCO FACILITY\# | ADDRES | SS |
| 3629 | Susan Hugo | Station 2112 | 1260 | Park Street <br> Alameda 94501 |
| 817 | Amy Leech | Station 5387 | 20200 | Hesperian Blvd. Hayward 94541 |
| 3943 | Scott Seery | Station 2152 | 22141 | Center Street <br> Castro Valley 94546 |
| 3883 | Susan Hugo | Station 6113 | 785 | E. Stanley Blvd. <br> Livermore 94550 |
| 3873 | Susan Hugo | Station 771 | 899 | Rincon Avenue <br> Livermore 94550 |
| 1053 | Eva Chu | Station 6041 | 7249 | Village Parkway Dublin 94568 |
| 779 | Amy Leech | Station 608 | 17601 H | Hesperian Blvd. <br> San Lorenzo 94580 |
| 3876 | Barney Chan | Station 2185 | 9800 | East 14th Street Oakland 94603 |
| 3756 | Barney Chan | Station 276 | 10600 | MacArthur Blvd. Oakland 94605 |
| 3874 | Susan Hugo | Station 4931 | 731 W | W. MacArthur Blvd. Oakland 94609 |
| 3884 | Susan Hugo | Station 374 | 6407 T | Telegraph Avenue Oakland 94609 |
| 3626 | Susan Hugo | Station 6148 | 5131 S | Shattuck Avenue Oakland 94609 |
| 3890 | Barney Chan | Station 2107 | 3310 | Park Blvd. Oakland 94610 |
| 3854 | Barney Chan | Station 4494 | 566 H | Hegenberger Road Oakland 94621 |
| 3793 | Susan Hugo | Station 2169 | 889 W | W. Grand Ave. Oakland 94607 |
| 3858 | Barney Chan | Station 2035 | 1001 S | San Pablo Avenue Albany 94706 |
| 4275 | Scott Seery | Station 601 | 712 L | Lewelling Blvd. San Leandro 94579 |

July 29, 2005

Ms. Donna Drogas
Alameda County Environmental Health
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502

## Re: Second Quarter 2005 Status Report

 Atlantic Richfield Company Service Station \#2112 1260 Park Street Alameda, California URS Project \#38487024Dear Ms. Drogas:

On behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company, a BP affiliated Company, URS Corporation (URS) is submitting the Second Quarter 2005 Status Report for the Atlantic Richfield Company Service Station \#2112, located at 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California.

URS also requests an update on the Case Closure Report submitted on November, 20, 1996. Updates on this site have been requested in a letter dated July 30,2003 and then in a follow-up e-mail dated May $6,2004$.

If you have any questions regarding this submission, please call at (510) 874-3280.
Sincerely,

## URS CORPORATION

Scott Robinson




Project Manager
Enclosure: Second Quarter 2005 Status Report
cc: Mr. Paul Supple, RM, (electronic copy uploaded to ENFOS)

| Date: | July 29, 2005 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Quarter: | 2 Q 05 |

## SECOND QUARTER 2005 STATUS REPORT

Facility No.:
2112
RM Environmental Business Manager:
Consulting Co./Contact Person:
Consultant Project No.:
Address: 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California
Paul Supple
URS Corporation / Scott Robinson
38487024
Primary Agency:
Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH)

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER

(Second - 2005):

1. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the second quarter 2005.
2. Prepared and submitted Second Quarter 2005 Status Report.
3. Requested an update on Case Closure Report submitted on November, 20, 1996.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER

(Third-2005):

1. No environmental work is expected for the site during the third quarter 2005.
2. Prepare and submit Third Quarter 2005 Status Report.

December 28, 2004


DEC 202004

Mr. Robert Schultz
Alameda County Environmental Health
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502

## Re: Fourth Quarter 2004 Status Report <br> Atlantic Richfield Company Service Station \#2112 <br> 1260 Park Street <br> Alameda, California <br> URS Project \#38486714

Dear Mr. Schultz:

On behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company (RM), a BP affiliated Company, URS Corporation (URS) is submitting the Fourth Quarter 2004 Status Report for the Atlantic Richfield Company Service Station \#2112, located at 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California.
URS also requests an update on the Case Closure Report submitted on November, 20, 1996. Updates on this site have been requested in a letter dated July 30, 2003 and then in a follow-up e-mail dated May 6, 2004.

If you have any questions regarding this submission, please call at (510) 874-3280.
Sincerely,
UR CORPORATION


Scott Robinson
Project Manager
Enclosure: Fourth Quarter 2004 Status Report
cc: Mr. Paul Supple, RM, (electronic copy uploaded to ENFOS)

| Date: | December 28, 2004 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Quarter: | 4 QQ 04 |

## ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

Facility No.:
2112
RM Environmental Business Manager:
Consulting Co./Contact Person:
Consultant Project No.:
Primary Agency:

Address:
1260 Park Street, Alameda, California
Paul Supple
URS Corporation / Scott Robinson
38486714
Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH)

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER

(Fourth - 2004):

1. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the fourth quarter 2004.
2. Prepared and submitted fourth quarter 2004 status report.
3. Requested an update on Case Closure Report submitted on November, 20, 1996.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER (First - 2005):

1. No environmental work is expected for the site during the first quarter 2005.
2. Prepare and submit first quarter 2005 status report.

November 2, 2004

Mr. Robert Schultz
Alameda County Environmental Health
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502
Re: Third Quarter 2004 Status Report
ARCO Service Station \#2112
1260 Park Street
Alameda, California
URS Project \#38486714
Dear Mr. Schultz:
On behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company, a BP affiliated Company, URS Corporation (URS) is submitting the Third Quarter 2004 Status Report for the ARCO Service Station \#2112, located at 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California.

If you have any questions regarding this submission, please call at (510) 874-3280.
Sincerely,

## UR CORPORATION



Scott Robinson
Project Manager
Enclosure: Third Quarter 2004 Status Report
cc: Mr. Paul Supple, Atlantic Richfield Company (RM), electronic copy uploaded to ENFOS

| Date: | November 2, 2004 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Quarter: | $3 Q 04$ |

## RM QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

Facility No.:
2112
RM Environmental Business Manager:
Consulting Co./Contact Person:
Consultant Project No.:
Primary Agency:

Address:
1260 Park Street, Alameda, California
Paul Supple
URS Corporation / Scott Robinson
38486714
Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH)

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER

(Third - 2004):

1. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the third quarter 2004.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER

(Fourth-2004):

1. Prepare and submit this third quarter 2004 status report.
2. No environmental work is expected for the site during the fourth quarter 2004.
3. Prepare and submit fourth quarter 2004 status report.

## DISCUSSION:

A Case Closure Request was submitted on November 20, 1996.

June 18, 2004

Mr. Amir Gholami<br>Alameda County Health Care Services Agency<br>1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250<br>Alameda, CA 94502

## Re: Second Quarter 2004 Status Report Atlantic Richfield Company Service Station \#2112 1260 Park Street Alameda, California URS Project \#38486714

Dear Mr. Gholami:
On behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company (RM)- a BP affiliated Company, URS Corporation (URS) is submitting the Second Quarter 2004 Status Report for the Atlantic Richfield Company Service Station \#2112, located at 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California.
URS also requests an update on the Case Closure Report submitted on November, 20, 1996.
Updates on this site have been requested in a letter dated July 30, 2003 and then in a follow-up email dated May 6, 2004.
If you have any questions regarding this submission, please call at (510) 874-3280.
Sincerely,

## ERS CORPORATION



Scott Robinson
Project Manager
Enclosure: Second Quarter 2004 Status Report
cc: Mr. Paul Supple, RM, (electronic copy uploaded to ENFOS)

[^0]| Date: | June 18, 2004 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Quarter: | 2 Q 04 |

## ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

Facility No.: 2112
RM Environmental Business Manager:
Consulting Co./Contact Person:
Consultant Project No.:
Primary Agency:

Address: 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California
Paul Supple
URS Corporation / Scott Robinson
38486714
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER

(Second - 2004):

1. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the second quarter 2004.
2. Prepared and submitted second quarter 2004 status report.
3. Requested an update on Case Closure Report submitted on November, 20, 1996.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER

(Third-2004):

1. No environmental work is expected for the site during the third quarter 2004.
2. Prepare and submit third quarter 2004 status report.


Alameda Corny
MAR 102004
Environmental Health

Mr. Amir Gholami
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502

## Re: First Quarter 2004 Status Report <br> ARCO Service Station \#2112 <br> 1260 Park Street <br> Alameda, California <br> URS Project \#38486714

Dear Mr. Gholami:
On behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO - a BP affiliated Company), URS Corporation (URS) is submitting the First Quarter 2004 Status Report for the ARCO Service Station \#2112, located at 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California.

If you have any questions regarding this submission, please call at (510) 874-3280.
Sincerely,

## ERS CORPORATION



Scott Robinson
Project Manager
Enclosure: First Quarter 2004 Status Report
cc: Mr. Paul Supple, ARCO, (electronic copy uploaded to ENFOS)

## URS

Date: March 2, 2004
Quarter:

## ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

Facility No.: 2112
ARCO Environmental Business Manager:
Consulting Co./Contact Person:
Consultant Project No.:
Primary Agency:

Address:
1260 Park Street, Alameda, California Paul Supple

URS Corporation / Scott Robinson 38486714

Alameda County Health Care Services Agency

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER

(First - 2004):

1. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the first quarter 2004.
2. Prepared and submitted first quarter 2004 status report.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER

(Second - 2004):

1. No environmental work is expected for the site during the second quarter 2004.
2. Prepare and submit second quarter 2004 status report.

July 30, 2003
Mr. Amir Gholami


Environmental Health Services
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250


Alameda, California 94502

## Re: Status of Case Closure Request for ARCO Service Station \#2112, 1260 Park Avenue, Alameda, California 94501

Dear Mr. Gholami:
On behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO - an affiliated company of the Group Environmental Management Company), URS Corporation (URS) is requesting additional information regarding the status of the case closure for Arco Service Station \#2112. On May 2, 1997, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA) issued a letter confirming receipt of the November 20, 1996 Case Closure Summary Report, submitted by Pacific Environmental Group, on behalf of ARCO. The ACHCSA letter stated "a case closure summary is being prepared for internal peer review process".

However, ARCO has not received further correspondence regarding the request for case closure. Any information regarding the status of the Case Closure for the Site would be greatly appreciated.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact me at (510) 874-3280
Sincerely,
ERS CORPORATION


Scott Robinson
Project Manager


Robert Horwath, R.G. Portfolio Manager


Attachment: Alameda County Health Care Services Letter, May 2, 1997.
cc: Mr. Paul V. Supple, Environmental Business Manager, P.O. Box 6549, Moraga, CA 94549

ENV: $\approx$ JNMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES
May 2, 1997
Mr. Paul Supple
ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 6549

Moraga, CA 94570



Ms. Susan Hugo Alameda County Health Care Services Agency 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502

## Re: Second Quarter 2003 Status Report

ARCO Service Station \# 2112
1260 Park Street
Alameda, California
URS Project \#38486163
Dear Ms. Hugo:
On behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO-an affiliated company of the Group Environmental Management Company), URS Corporation (URS) is submitting the Second Quarter 2003 Status Report for the ARCO Service Station \#2112, located at 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California.
If you have any questions regarding this submission, please call at (510) 874-3280.
Sincerely,

## UR CORPORATION

## Sottrus

Scott Robinson
Project Manager
Enclosure: Second Quarter 2003 Status Report
cc: Mr. Paul Supple, ARCO, PO Box 6549 Moraga, CA 94570

| Date: | June 13, 2003 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Quarter: | 2 Q 03 |

## ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

Facility No.:
2112
Address:
1260 Park Street, Alameda, California
Atlantic Richfield Co. Environmental Engineer: Paul Supple
Consulting Co./Contact Person:
URS Corporation / Scott Robinson / (510) 874-3280 38486163
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER

(Secon d-2003):

1. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the second quarter 2003.
2. Prepared and submitted second quarter 2003 status report.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER

(Third - 2003):

1. No environmental work is expected for the site during the second quarter 2003.
2. Prepare and submit third quarter 2003 status report.


March 27, 2003

Ms. Susan Hugo
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502

# Alamecia County <br> APR 012003 

## Environmental Health

## Re: First Quarter 2003 Status Report ARCO Service Station \# 2112 1260 Park Street Alameda, California URS Project \#38486163

Dear Ms. Hugo:
On behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO-an affiliated company of the Group Environmental Management Company), URS Corporation (URS) is submitting the First Quarter 2003 Status Report for the ARCO Service Station \#2112, located at 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California.

If you have any questions regarding this submission, please call at (510) 874-3280.
Sincerely,
URS CORPORATION


Enclosure: First Quarter 2003 Status Report
cc: Mr. Paul Supple, ARCO, PO Box 6549 Moraga, CA 94570

| Date: | March 27, 2003 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Quarter: | 1 Q 03 |

## ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

Facility No.: 2112
Allantic Richfield Co. Environmental Engineer:
Consulting Co./Contact Person:
Consultant Project No.:
Primary Agency:

Address: 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California Paul Supple URS Corporation / Scott Robinson / (510) 874-3280 38486163
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER

(First - 2003):

1. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the first quarter 2003.
2. Prepared and submitted fourth quarter 2002 status report.
3. Prepared and submitted first quarter 2003 status report.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER

(Second - 2003):

1. No environmental work is expected for the site during the second quarter 2003.
2. Prepare second quarter 2002 status report.


## Alameda County

FEB 112003
Environmental Health

Ms. Susan Hugo
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
Alameda. CA 94502

## Re: Fourth Quarter 2002 Status Report

ARCO Service Station \# 2112
1260 Park Street
Alameda, California
URS Project \#38465920
Dear Ms. Hugo:
On behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO-an affiliated company of the Group Environmental Management Company), URS Corporation (URS) is submitting the Fourth Quarter 2002 Status Report for the ARCO Service Station \#2112, located at 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California.
If you have any questions regarding this submission, please call at (510) 874-3280.
Sincerely,

## ERS CORPORATION




Scott Robinson
Project Manager
Enclosure: Fourth Quarter 2002 Status Report
cc: Mr. Paul Supple, ARCO, PO Box 6549 Moraga, CA 94570

| Date: | February 4, 2003 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Quarter: | 4 Q 02 |

## ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

| Former Facility No.: 2112 Address: | 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California |
| :---: | :---: |
| Atlantic Richfield Co. Environmental Engineer: | Paul Supple |
| Consulting Co./Contact Person: | URS Corporation / Scott Robinson / (510) 874-3280 |
| Consultant Project No.: | 38465920 |
| Primary Agency: | Alameda County Health Care Services Agency |

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER <br> (Fourth - 2002):

1. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the fourth quarter 2002
2. Prepared third quarter 2002 status report

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER (First - 2003):

1. No cnvironmental work is expected for the site during the first quarter 2003
2. Prepare fourth quarter 2002 status report

ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT
Former Facility No.:
Atlantic Richfield Co. Environmental Engineer:
1260 Park Street, Alameda, California
Consulting Co./Contact Person:
Consultant Project No.:

## Paul Supple

URS Corporation / Scott Robinson / (510) 874-3280
38465920
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER

(This d-2002):

1. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the third quarter 2002
2. Prepared second quarter 2002 status report

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER (Fourth - 2002):

1. No environmental work is expected for the site during the fourth quarter 2002
2. Prepare third quarter 2002 status report

Ms. Susan Hugo
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502

## Re: Third Quarter 2002 Status Report ARCO Service Station \# 2112 <br> 1260 Park Street <br> Alameda, California <br> URS Project \#38465920

Dear Ms. Hugo:
On behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO-an affiliated company of the Group Environmental Management Company), URS Corporation (URS) is submitting the Third Quarter 2002 Status Report for the ARCO Service Station \#2112, located at 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California.
If you have any questions regarding this submission, please call at (510) 874-3280.
Sincerely,
UR CORPORATION


Scott Robinson
Project Manager
Enclosure: Third Quarter 2002 Status Report
cc: Mr. Paul Supple, ARCO, PO Box 6549 Moraga, CA 94570

Ms. Susan Hugo
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, 2nd Floor
Alameda, California 94502

# Alameda County 

Rc: Quarterly Status Report
Second Quarter 2002
ARCO Service Station No. 2112
1260 Park Street
NOV 06 COil
Environmental Health
Alameda, CA
URS Project \# 38465920

Dear Mr. Supple:
On behalf of ARCO (affiliated to Group Environmental Management Company), URS Corporation (URS) is pleased to submit the Quarterly Status Report. This report presents the second quarter 2002 status report of ARCO Service Station No. 2112 located at 1260 Park Street, Alameda, CA.

Please call us at 510-893-3600 if you have questions.
Sincerely,
IRS CORPORATION


Attachments: Quarterly Status Report, Second Quarter 2002

[^1]
## ARCO SECOND QUARTER 2002 STATUS REPORT

Station No.: 2112 Address: 1260 Park Street, Alameda, CA
ARCO Environmental Engineer/Phone No.: Paul Supple / (925) 299-8891
Consulting Co./Contact Person/Phone No: URS Corporation / Scott Robinson / (510) 893-3600
Consultant Project No.: 38465920
Primary Agency/Regulatory ID No. Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER (Second - 2002)

1. No cnvirommental work was conducted at the site during the second quarter 2002 .

WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER (Third - 2002)

1. No envirommental work is expected for the site during the third quarter 2002.
2. URS Corporation took over groundwater monitoring activities from Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc.

Mr. Paul Supple
ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 6549

Moraga, CA 94570


ARCO FOURTH QUARTER 2001 STATUS REPORT
Station No.: $\frac{2112}{\text { Address: } 1260 \text { Park Street at Encinal Avenue, Alameda, CA }}$
ARCO Environmental Engineer/Phone No.: Paul Supple 925-299-8891
Consulting Co./Contact Person Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc.
Steven W. Meeks, P.E.
Consultant Project No.: D000-307
Primary Agency/Regulatory ID No. Alameda County Health Care Services Agency

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER

1. Prepared and submitted quarterly status report for third quarter 2001.
2. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the fourth quarter 2001.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER

1. Prepare and submit quarterly status report for the fourth quarter 2001.
2. No environmental work is scheduled for the first quarter 2002.

## DISCUSSION:

- The IT Group submitted its Case Closure Summary to the ACHCSA on November 20, 1996. Case closure is pending final comments from the ACHCSA.
- ARCO received a letter dated May 2, 1997 from Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA) indicating that the remedial system may be decommissioned. Therefore, removal of the remedial compound and equipment was completed during the second quarter 1997. The letter also indicated that verification of groundwater conditions had been completed; therefore, the groundwater monitoring and reporting program has been terminated.

If you have any questions concerning this project, please contact Steven $W$. Meeks at (916) 536-2613.
Sincerely,
DELTA ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS, INC.


Project Manager
California Registered Civil Engineer No. C057461

## SWM (QSR006.307.doc)

Enclosures: Figure 1 (Site Map)
cc: Ms. Susan Hugo - Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
Mr. Chuck Headlee - Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region
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FIGURE 1 SITE MAP

ARCO SERVICE STATION NO. 2112
1260 PARK STREET
ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA
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Delta
Environmental
Environmental
Consultants, Inc.

Mr. Paul Supple
ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 6549

Moraga, CA 94570

## SEP 252001

3164 Gold Camp Drive
Suite 200
Rancho Cordova, CA $95670-6021$ U.S.A.

916/638-2085
FAX: 916/638-8385
Date: September 21, 2001

## ARCO SECOND QUARTER 2001 STATUS REPORT

Station No.: 2112 Address: 1260 Park Street at Encinal Avenue, Alameda, CA
ARCO Environmental Engineer/Phone No.: Paul Supple 925-299-8891
Consulting Co./Contact Person Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc.
Steven W. Meeks, P.E.
Consultant Project No.: D000-307
Primary Agency/Regulatory ID No. Alameda County Health Care Services Agency

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER

1. Prepared and submitted quarterly status report for second quarter 2001.
2. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the second quarter 2001.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER

1. Prepare and submit quarterly status report for the second quarter 2001.
2. Perform soil sampling activities during product line and dispenser upgrade activities scheduled for third quarter 2001.

## DISCUSSION:

- The IT Group submitted its Case Closure Summary to the ACHCSA on November 20, 1996. Case closure is pending final comments from the ACHCSA.
- ARCO received a letter dated May 2, 1997 from Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA) indicating that the remedial system may be decommissioned. Therefore, removal of the remedial compound and equipment was completed during the second quarter 1997. The letter also indicated that verification of groundwater conditions had been completed; therefore, the groundwater monitoring and reporting program has been terminated.

If you have any questions concerning this project, please contact Steven W. Weeks at (916) 536-2613.
Sincerely,
DELTA ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS, INC.

Steven W. Meek, P.E.
Project Manager
California Registered Civil Engineer No. C057461
SWM (QSR004.307.doc)
Enclosures: Figure 1 (Site Map)
cc: Ms. Susan Hugo - Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
Mr. Chuck Headlee - Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region


## LEGEND:

(A-1 MONITORING WELL LOCATION

- AR-1 GROUND WATER EXTRACTION WELL LOCATION
(-) AV-1 VAPOR EXTRACTION WELL LOCATION

FIGURE 1 SITE MAP

ARCO SERVICE STATION NO. 2112
1260 PARK STREET
ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA


Delta
Environmental
Consultants, Inc.
3164 Gold Camp Drive
Suite 200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6021
USA.
916:638-2085
FAX: 916:638-8385

Mr. Paul Supple
Date: June 29, 2001

ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 6549

Moraga, CA 94570

## ARC FIRST QUARTER 2001 STATUS REPORT

Station No.: 2112 Address: 1260 Park Street at Encinal Avenue, Alameda, CA ARCO Environmental Engineer/Phone No.: Paul Supple 925-299-8891

Consulting Co./Contact Person Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc. Steven W. Meeks, P.E.
Consultant Project No.: D000-307
Primary Agency/Regulatory ID No.
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER

1. Prepared and submitted quarterly status report for fourth quarter 2000.
2. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the first quarter 2001.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER

1. Prepare and submit quarterly status report for the second quarter 2000.
2. No environmental work is scheduled at the site during the second quarter 2001.

## DISCUSSION:

- The IT Group submitted its Case Closure Summary to the ACHCSA on November 20, 1996. Case closure is pending final comments from the ACHCSA.
- ARCO received a letter dated May 2, 1997 from Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA) indicating that the remedial system may be decommissioned. Therefore, removal of the remedial compound and equipment was completed during the second quarter 1997. The letter also indicated that verification of groundwater conditions had been completed; therefore, the groundwater monitoring and reporting program has been terminated.

If you have any questions concerning this project, please contact Steven W. Weeks at (916) 536-2613.
Sincerely,
DELTA ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS, INC.

Steven W. Meets, P.E.


Project Manager
California Registered Civil Engineer No. C057461
SWM (QSR003.307 .doc)
Enclosures: Figure 1 (Site Map)
cc: Ms. Susan Hugo - Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
Mr. Chuck Headlee - Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region
Providing e: Competitive Edge


3164 Gold Camp Drive
Suite 200
Rancho Cordova. CA 95670-6021
U.S.A.

916/638-2085
FAX: 916/638-8385
Date: March 29, 2001

Mr. Paul Supple
ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 6549

Moraga, CA 94570

## ARGO FOURTH QUARTER 2000 STATUS REPORT

Station No.: 2112 Address: 1260 Park Street at Encinal Avenue, Alameda, CA
ARCO Environmental Engineer/Phone No.: Paul Supple 925-299-8891
Consulting Co./Contact Person Delta Environmental Consultants, inc.
Steven W. Meeks, P.E.
Consultant Project No.:
D000-307
Primary Agency/Regulatory ID No.
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER

1. Prepared and submitted quarterly status report for second and third quarters 2000.
2. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the fourth quarter 2000.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER

1. Prepare and submit quarterly status report for the first quarter 2000.
2. No environmental work is scheduled at the site during the first quarter 2001.

## DISCUSSION:

- The IT Group submitted its Case Closure Summary to the ACHCSA on November 20, 1996. Case closure is pending final comments from the ACHCSA.
- ARCO received a letter dated May 2, 1997 from Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA) indicating that the remedial system may be decommissioned. Therefore, removal of the remedial compound and equipment was completed during the second quarter 1997. The letter also indicated that verification of groundwater conditions had been completed; therefore, the groundwater monitoring and reporting program has been terminated.

If you have any questions concerning this project, please contact Steven W. Weeks at (916) 536-2613.
Sincerely,


SWM (QSR002.307.doc)
Enclosures: Figure 1 (Site Map)
cc: Ms. Susan Hugo - Alameda County Health Care Services Agency Mr. Chuck Headlee - Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region
Providing a Competitive Edge


Delta
Environmental
Consultants, Inc.

Mr. Paul Supple
ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 6549

Moraga, CA 94570

3164 Gold Camp Drive
Suite 200
Rancho Cordova_CA 9567A-6021
U.S.A

916/638-2085
FAX: 916/638-8885
Date: Decemben 4, 2000

## ARCO SECOND/THIRD QUARTER 2000 STATUS REPORT

Station No.: 2112 Address: 1260 Park Street at Encinal Avenue, Alameda, CA
ARCO Environmental Engineer/Phone No.: Paul Supple 925-299-8891
Consulting Co./Contact Person Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc.
Steven W. Meeks, P.E.
Consultant Project No.: D000-307
Primary Agency/Regulatory ID No. Alameda County Health Care Services Agency

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER

1. Prepared and submitted quarterly status report for second and third quarters 2000.
2. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the second and third quarters 2000.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER

1. Prepare and submit quarterly status report for the fourth quarter 2000.
2. No environmental work is scheduled at the site during the first quarter 2001.

## DISCUSSION:

- The IT Group submitted its Case Closure Summary to the ACHCSA on November 20, 1996. Case closure is pending final comments from the ACHCSA.
- ARCO received a letter dated May 2, 1997 from Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA) indicating that the remedial system may be decommissioned. Therefore, removal of the remedial compound and equipment was completed during the second quarter 1997. The letter also indicated that verification of groundwater conditions had been completed; therefore, the groundwater monitoring and reporting program has been terminated.

If you have any questions concerning this project, please contact Steven W. Meeks at (916) 536-2613.
Sincerely,
DELTA ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS, INC.


Project Manager
California Registered Civil Engineer No. C057461
SWM (QSR001.307.doc)
Enclosures: Figure 1 (Site Map)
cc: Ms. Susan Hugo - Alameda County Health Care Services Agency Mr. Chuck Headlee - Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region
Providing a Competitive Edge


## LEGEND:

(-) A-1
MONITORING WELL LOCATION
AR-1 GROUND WATER EXTRACTION WELL LOCATION
(-) AV-1 VAPOR EXTRACTION WELL LOCATION

FIGURE 1
SITE MAP
ARCO SERVICE STATION NO. 2112
1260 PARK STREET
ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA
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| REVISION NO. | REVIEWED BY |
| 1 |  |

EWHRUMEANTAL PROTECTIOA 00 FEB 23 PM 3: 20

$R 044$

Mr. Paul Supple<br>ARCO Products Company<br>PO Box 6549<br>Moraga, California 94570

## ARCO QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

| Facility No.: | 2112 |
| ---: | :--- |
| ARCO Environmental Engineer: |  |
| Consulting Co./Contact Person: | Paul Supple |
| Consultant Project No.: | Pinnacle Environmental Solutions/ Glen VanderVeen |
|  | 791798 |
| Primary Agency/Regulatory ID No.: | Alameda County Heaith Care Services Agency (ACHCSA) |
|  |  |

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER (FOURTH - 1999):

1. Prepared and submitted quarterly status report for third quarter 1999.
2. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the fourth quarter 1999.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER (FIRST - 2000):

1. Prepare and submit quarterly status report for fourth quarter 1999.
2. No environmental work is scheduled at the site during the first quarter 2000.

## DISCUSSION:

- ARCO received a letter dated May 2, 1997 from ACHCSA, indicating that the remedial system may be decommissioned. Therefore, removal of the remedial compound and equipment was completed during the second quarter 1997. The letter also indicated that verification of groundwater conditions have been completed, therefore, the groundwater monitoring and reporting program has been terminated.
- A "Case Closure Summary" was submitted on November 20. 1996. Case closure is pending final comments from the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health.
- Please refer to the Fourth Quarter 1996 and Second Quarter 1997 Groundwater Monitoring Reports for historical groundwater and remedial system performance data.

Mr. Paul Supple
February 18, 2000
Page 2

If you have any questions regarding this report, please call.
Sincerely,
Pinnacle


TB Glen VanderVeen
Project Manager
Attachment: Figure 1-Site Plan
cc: Ms. Susan Hugo, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
Mr. Chuck Headlee, Regional Water Quality Control Board - S.F. Bay Region


Mr. Paul Supple<br>ARCO Products Company<br>PO Box 6549<br>Moraga, California 94570

ARCO QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT
Facility No.: 2112 Address: 1260 Park Street at Encinal Avenue, Alameda, California
ARCO Environmental Engineer: Paul Supple
Consulting Co./Contact Person: IT Corporation/Stephen Lofholm
Consultant Project No.: 803912
Primary Agency/Regulatory ID No.: Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA)

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER (FIRST - 2000):

1. Prepared and submitted quarterly status report for fourth quarter 1999.
2. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the first quarter 2000.

WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER (SECOND - 2000):

1. Prepare and submit quarterly status report for first quarter 2000.
2. No environmental work is scheduled at the site during the second quarter 2000.

## DISCUSSION:

- ARCO received a letter dated May 2, 1997 from ACHCSA, indicating that the remedial system may be decommissioned. Therefore, removal of the remedial compound and equipment was completed during the second quarter 1997. The letter also indicated that verification of groundwater conditions have been completed, therefore, the groundwater monitoring and reporting program has been terminated.
- A "Case Closure Summary" was submitted on November 20, 1996. Case closure is pending final comments from the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health.
- ARCO will transfer this project to another consultant. The new consultant will begin providing services during the second quarter 2000.
Mr. Paul Supple

June 9, 2000
Page 2

If you have any questions regarding this report, please call.
Sincerely,
IT Corporation


Stephen Lofholm, R.G. 4793
Technical Coordinator
Attachment: Figure 1 - Site Plan

cc: Ms. Susan Hugo, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency<br>Mr. Chuck Headlee, Regional Water Quality Control Board - S.F. Bay Region



April 22, 1999
Project 20805-209.002

Mr. Paul Supple<br>ARCO Products Company<br>PO Box 6549<br>Moraga, California 94570

# ARCO QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT 



## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER (FIRST - 1999):

1. Prepared and submitted quarterly status report for fourth quarter 1998.
2. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the first quarter 1999.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER (SECOND - 1999):

1. Prepare and submit quarterly status report for first quarter 1999.
2. No environmental work is scheduled at the site during the second quarter 1999.

## DISCUSSION:

- ARCO received a letter dated May 2, 1997 from ACHCSA, indicating that the remedial system may be decommissioned. Therefore, removal of the remedial compound and equipment was completed during the second quarter 1997. The letter also indicated that verification of groundwater conditions have been completed, therefore, the groundwater monitoring and reporting program has been terminated.
- A "Case Closure Summary" was submitted on November 20, 1996. Case closure is pending final comments from the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health.
- Please refer to the Fourth Quarter 1996 and Second Quarter 1997 Groundwater Monitoring Reports for historical groundwater and remedial system performance data.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please call.
Sincerely,
Pinnacle


Glen VanderVeen
Project Manager
Attachment: Figure 1 - Site Plan
cc: Ms. Susan Hugo, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
Mr. Chuck Headley, Regional Water Quality Control Board - S.F. Bay Region


## ARCO QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT



## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER (THIRD - 1998):

1. Prepared and submitted quarterly status report for second quarter 1998.
2. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the third quarter 1998.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER (FOURTH - 1998):

1. Prepare and submit quarterly status report for third quarter 1998.
2. No environmental work is scheduled at the site for the fourth quarter 1998.

## DISCUSSION:

- ARCO received a letter dated May 2, 1997 from ACHCSA, indicating that the remedial system may be decommissioned. Therefore, removal of the remedial compound and equipment was completed during the second quarter 1997. The letter also indicated that verification of groundwater conditions have been completed, therefore, the groundwater monitoring and reporting program has been terminated.
- A "Case Closure Summary" was submitted on November 20, 1996. Case closure is pending final comments from the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health.
- Please refer to the Fourth Quarter 1996 and Second Quarter 1997 Groundwater Monitoring Reports for historical groundwater and remedial system performance data.

November 19, 1998
Page 2

Please call if you have questions.
Sincerely,
Pinnacle
Uhe A Dimocin for
Glen VanderVeen
Project Manager
Attachment: Figure 1 - Site Plan
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { cc: } & \text { Ms. Susan Hugo, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency } \\ & \text { Mr. Chuck Headley, Regional Water Quality Control Board - S.F. Bay Region }\end{array}$


## PARK STREET

## EXPLANATION

() Groundwater monitoring well

- Groundwater extraction well

Trash enclosure

- Soil vapor well


## Pinnacle

ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS A DIVISION OF EMCON


FIGURE 1
ARCO PRODUCTS COMPANY SERVICE STATION 2112, 1260 PARK STREET ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA

EnvaOMHM\& AL PROTECTION

98 OCT 23 (A)

## ARCO QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

| Facility No.: | 2112 Address: | 1260 Park Street at Encinal Avenue, Alameda, California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARCO Environmental Engineer: |  | Paul Supple |
| Cons | Co./Contact Person: | Pinnacle Environmental Solutions/ Glen VanderVeen |
| Consultant Project No.: |  | 20805-209.001 |
| Primary | cy/Regulatory ID No.: | Alameda County Health Care Services Agency |

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER (Second - 1998):

1. Prepared and submitted quarterly status report for first quarter 1998.
2. No environmental work was conducted at the site during this quarter.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER (Third - 1998):

1. Prepare and submit quarterly status report for second quarter 1998.
2. No environmental work is scheduled at the site for the third quarter 1998.
3. Pursue case closure for the site.

## DISCUSSION:

- ARCO received a letter dated May 2, 1997 from ACHCSA, indicating that the remedial system may be decommissioned. Therefore, removal of the remedial compound and equipment was completed during the second quarter 1997. The letter also indicated that verification of groundwater conditions have been completed, therefore, the groundwater monitoring and reporting program has been terminated.
- A "Case Closure Summary" was submitted on November 20, 1996. Case closure is pending final comments from the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health.
- Please refer to the Fourth Quarter 1996 and Second Quarter 1997 Groundwater Monitoring Reports for historical groundwater and remedial system performance data.

Mr. Paul Supple<br>October 21, 1998<br>Page 2

Please call if you have questions.
Sincerely,
Pinnacle

Glen VanderVeen
Project Manager
Attachment: Figure 1 - Site Plan
cc: Ms. Susan Hugo, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
Mr. Chuck Headley, Regional Water Quality Control Board - S.F. Bay Region


EXPLANATION
() Groundwater monitoring well

- Groundwater extraction well
- Soil vapor well

ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS A DIVISION OF EMCON

## PARK STREET



September 15, 1998

## Mr. Paul Supple

ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 6549

Moraga, California 94570

## APCO QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

Facility No.: $\qquad$ Address: 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California
ARCO Environmental Engineer: $\qquad$
Consulting Co./Contact Person:

# Pinnacle Environmental Solutions/ Glen VanderVeen 

Consultant Project No.:
20805-209.001
Primary Agency/Regulatory ID No.: Alameda County Health Care Services Agency

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER (First - 1998):

1. Prepared and submitted quarterly status report for fourth quarter 1997.
2. No environmental work was conducted at the site during the first quarter 1998.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER (Second - 1998):

1. Prepare and submit quarterly status report for first quarter 1998.
2. Pursue case closure for the site.

## DISCUSSION:

- ARCO received a letter dated May 2, 1997 from ACHCSA, indicating that the remedial system may be decommissioned. Therefore, removal of the remedial compound and equipment was completed during the second quarter 1997. The letter also indicated that verification of groundwater conditions have been completed, therefore, the groundwater monitoring and reporting program has been terminated.
- A "Case Closure Summary" was submitted on November 20, 1996. Case closure is pending final comments from the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health.
- Please refer to the Fourth Quarter 1996 and Second Quarter 1997 Groundwater Monitoring Reports for historical groundwater and remedial system performance data.

Mr. Paul Supple
September 15, 1998
Page 2

Please call if you have questions.
Sincerely,


Project Manager
Attachment: Figure 2 - Site Plan
cc: Ms. Susan Hugo, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
Mr. Chuck Headley, Regional Water Quality Control Board - S.F. Bay Region



# Quarterly Status Reppor木 Pu 1:3: Third Quarter 1997 

ARCO Service Station 2112
1260 Park Street at Encinal Avenue Alameda, Californiá

Prepared for
Mr. Paul Supple ARCO Products Company

December 31, 1997

Prepared by
Pacific Environmental Group, Inc.
2025 Gateway Place, Suite 440
San Jose, California 95110
Project 330-106.2D


## ARCO QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT

Facility No.: 2112 Address: 1260 Park Street at Encinal 'Avenue, Alameda ARCO Environmental Engineer:
Consulting Co./Contact Person:
Consultant Project No.:

Paul Supple
Pacific Environmental Group, Inc./Shaw Garakani
330-106.2D
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency

## WORK PERFORMED THIS QUARTER (Third-1997):

1. Discontinued groundwater monitoring program.
2. Submitted second quarter 1997 groundwater monitoring report.

## WORK PROPOSED FOR NEXT QUARTER (Fourth-1997):

1. Pursue case closure for the site.
2. Prepare and submit third quarter 1997 status report.

| Current Plase of Project: | N/A | (Assmmt, Remed., etc.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency of Groundwater Sampling: | N/A | (Quarterly, etc.) |
| Frequency of Groundwater Monitoring: | N/A | (Monthly. etc.) |
| Is Free Product (FP) Present On-Site: | No | (Yes/No) |
| FP Recovered this Quarter: | None | (gallons) |
| Cumulative FP Recovered to Date: | None | (gallons) |
| Bulk Soil Removed This Quarter: | None | (cubic yards) |
| Bulk Soil Removed to Date: | 1.950 | (cubic yards) |
| Current Remediation Techniques: | Natural Attenuation | (SVE/Sparge/FP Removal etc.) |
| Approximate Depth to Groundwater: | 9.43 to 11.65 as of 2 Q97 | (Measure Feet) |
| Groundwater Gradient: | West as of $2 Q^{97}$ | (Direction) |
|  | 0.008 as of 2Q97 | (Magnitude) |
| Period TPPH-g/Benzene Removed: | 0.0/0.0 | (gallons) |
| ${ }^{\text {Cumulative TPPH-g/Benzene Removed: }}$ | 55/0.1 | (gallons) |

December 31, 1997
Page 2

## DISCUSSION:

- ARCO received a letter dated May 2, 1997 from ACHCSA, indicating that the remedial system may be decommissioned. Therefore, removal of the remedial compound and equipment was completed during the second quarter 1997. The letter also indicated that verification of groundwater conditions have been completed, therefore, the groundwater monitoring and reporting program has been terminated.
- On behalf of ARCO, PACIFIC submitted a "Case Closure Summary" dated November 20, 1990. Case closure is pending final comments from the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health.
- Please refer to PACIFIC's Quarterly (irounthicater Monitoring Reports - Fourth Quarter 1996 and Second Quarter 1997 for historical groundwater and remedial system performance data.

cc: Ms. Susan Hugo, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency Mr. Kevin Graves, Regional Water Quality Control Board - S.F. Bay Region

June 14, 1996
Projects: $330-084.5 \mathrm{C} / 330-105.5 \mathrm{~B}$
330-106.5C/330-109.5B
Ms. Sue Jenna
East Bay Municipal Utilities District
P.O. Box 24055

Oakland, California 94623-1055
Re: Request to Relinquish Wastewater Discharge Permits Miscellaneous sites

Dear Ms. Jenna:
ARCO Products Company wishes to relinquish the wastewater discharge permits for the following sites, effective immediately. Please do not hesitate to call if you have any questions.

1. Discharge Permit 502-85611 ARCO Service Station 0374
6407 Telegraph Avenue Oakland, California
2. Discharge Permit 502-65201

ARCO Service Station 2112 1260 Park Street
Alameda, California
2. Discharge Permit 502-64411

ARCO Service Station 2107
3310 Park Boulevard at East 34th Street
Oakland, California
4. Discharge Permit 502-62131

ARCO Service Station 4931
731 West MacArthur Boulevard
Oakland, California

Sincerely, ARCO Products Company


Michael Whelan
Environmental Engineer

cc: Mr. Barney Chan, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency Mr. Kevin Graves, Regional Water Quality Control Board - S.F. Bay Region<br>Ms. Susan Hugo, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency<br>Mr. Shaw Garakani, Pacific Environmental Group, Inc.

January 19, 1996
Project 330-106.5C

Mr. Ray Maxwell<br>East Bay Municipal Utilities District<br>P.O. Box 24055<br>Oakland, California 94623-1055

Re: Wastewater Discharge Permit 502-65201
ARCO Service Station 2112
1260 Park Street
Alameda, California
Dear Mr. Maxwell:
On behalf of ARCO Products Company, Pacific Environmental Group, Inc. (PACIFIC) is performing environmental services at the site referenced above. Per our phone conversation yesterday, PACIFIC requests a change to the referenced permit. In light of the new regulatory environment, and a recent meeting with the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency regarding the site, groundwater extraction at this site will no longer be required, unless further migration of the contaminated groundwater plume occurs. However, until site closure has been granted, PACIFIC would like to maintain the referenced permit. Therefore, PACIFIC requests to change the estimated annual discharge volume from 792,415 to 70,123 gallons retroactively to the start date of the current permit, and receive billing credit for fees paid to the East Bay Municipal Utilities District prior to the proposed change in discharge volume. The groundwater extraction system was shut down in August 1995 and has remained shut down to this date. Treatment system operational data are presented in Table 1.

Please do not hesitate to call if you have any questions.
Sincerely,
Pacific Environmental Group, Inc.


Shaw Garakani
Project Engineer
Attachment: Table 1 - Groundwater Extraction System Performance Datá

cc: Mr. Michael Whelan, ARCO Products Company<br>Mr. Kevin Graves, Regional Water Quality Control Board - S.F. Bay Region<br>Ms, Sugan Hugo, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency

3301055BLEBMUDI.DOC

Table 1

## Groundwater Extraction System Performance Data

ARCO Service Station 2112
1260 Park Street Alameda, California


ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 5811

San Mateo, California 94402
Attention: Mr. Michael Whelan
Reference: Monthly Site Status Update
ARCO Service Station No. 2112
1260 Park Street
Alameda, California 94501
Mr. Whelan:
This letter provides an update on activities for the site referenced above. This update reports site activities performed during the month of May, 1992 and site activities anticipated for the month of June, 1992.

## Status of Previous Month's Activities:

* Completed design and equipment selection for the proposed remedial system.
* Prepared Remedial Action Plan.

Anticipated Work to be Performed in the Next Month:

* Ground-water sampling and monitoring for the second quarter, 1992 will be performed.
* Issue Aquifer Test/Well Installation Report to ARCO, ACHA, and RWQCB.
* Secure encroachment permits from the City of Alameda for the proposed off-site recovery well and monitoring well.
* Apply for POTW permit for water discharge from the proposed groundwater treatment system.
* Apply for an air discharge permit from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District for the proposed vapor extraction system.
* Issue draft Remedial Action Plan to ARCO.


## GeoStrategies Inc.

ARCO Products Company<br>June 12, 1992<br>Page 2

Please call if you have any questions concerning this letter.

Sincerely,


JFV:rcm
cc: Ms. Susan Hugo, Alameda County Health Agency, Department of Environmental Health (certified mail) Mr. Eddy So, RWQCB, San Francisco Bay Region


## MERGEACI

Indicate whethar emergency response persomel and equippent were ingolved at any tine y in the sho 0 , Sacramento CA 95832 Covies of the OES report form may be obtainsd at your iocai underground stoxage tank permittiry ageney. Indicate whether the OES report has beem filled as of the date of this report.

LOCAD AGENCY ONLY
To avoid dupitiate notifitation pursuant to Fetelth and Safety code Section 25180.5, a goverment mptoyee showic sign and date the form in thas block A signature here doss not mean that the leak has been detemined to poas a sigrificart threat to human hedith on safour, oniy that motifination mocedures have been followed if required.

REPORTEO $\operatorname{BY}$
Enter your mame, telephone numbe:, awd address. Indicate which party you present and provide comgany oz agency hame.

ESPONSIBLE PARTY
Enter name. tolephone mumber, contatt penson, and adcress of the party responsiote for the beak. The reaponsible patty word nomaly be the tark owner

## STME LOCATION

Enter information reganing the tank facility. At a minimun, you must provids the facility name and full adduess

IMPLEMENTTNG AGENCTES
Enter names of the Local ageney and Regnonel Wate: Quality controi Board involved.

SUESTANCES TNUOLVED
Enter the name and mantity lost of the bazaraous substance involvet. Foom is provided for information on two substances if eppropriate. It moze tham two substances leaked, list the two of most concern for cleamup.

DISCOVERY/ABATERENT
Provide information =egardity the discovery and ababenent of the ienk.
SOURCE/CAUSE
Indicate sounceis) of leak. Check box(es) indoating oguse of leak.
SEE TYPE
Indicate the case type category for this ieak. Check one bex only. Case type is tased on the most sensitire resotice effeciod. For exampa, if both so: and ground water have been atfectea, oase type will be Gxown Water". Indicate "Drinking Water" cniy is one or more mumionai ar donestic water wells have actualiy been afteoted. A "Grounc hater" designation does not mply that the atiectet water canot be, oif j.s not, uged for drinking water, but only that water wells hays noz yet haen affected. It is uncerbood that case type may change upon furthem investigation.

## CURTEUT STATUS

Corded STANS Cheok one box only. The zesponse should be razative so the case type. For Chesk one box only. The mesponse sout be rat
 get of wobl. Descriptions of optons tcilow:

Mofotion Taken - No ackom haw been uaken by meponsibie pazy beywh
Whacton Taken - No ac

Leak Being Confjimec - Leak suspeotca at site, but has not been confumed. Exeliminary Zite Assessment Woxkolan Submitted - worvian/proposal requested offisumitted by responsible party to determine whether gromd water hask bent, or will be, impacted as a result of the release.
Frelinenary Site Assessment Whderway - imilementation of workplam. Boliution Charactorization - eesponsible party is in the process of fully defining the extent of contamination in sod and guound water and assessing impacts on surface ador ground water.
Remediation Plan - remediation plan submtted evalnating ionz tem remediation options. Froposal and inplewentation sohedule for appropriase Fenediation options also summitted.
Clemus Underway - implementation of remediation plan
Fosi Clpanup Monicofing in Pxogress - periodic ground mater on other monitoming at site, as necessary, to verify endior evaluate effectiveness of remedial activities.
Case Closec - zegionai boara and local agency in conourremee that no further mork is zecessaty at the sitte.

MPORTANT: THE TNFORMATMON PROUIDED ON THTS FORM IS INTENDED FOR GEAERA STATESTICAE DUREOES ONY HMD IS NOT 70 BE CONSTAUED AS RERRESENTING TEE OEFTCIAL POSTETON OE ANY GOVERMEMTAL AGEMCX

REUEDIAL ACTIOX
Indicate which action have been usec to cleamp or remeatate the leat Descriptions at options follow:

Can Eite - instalis horizontal impermeable ieyer to reduce rainfall
intilitracion.
Containmen Earriax - instali verisical dike ta block horizontal movemet of contamirant
xoavate and Dispose
site
covate ang reat - remove contaminated soil and tweat fincludes spreading
or land farning
emove Frea Paoduct - remove rioathng product from water table
Hme and Tzeas Gutmhater - genmally mployed to remove dissolved
contaminants.
mamed sodegracation - use of any aranlabie tecmology to fromote bactewien decomposition of contaminants.
peplace Supply - provide alternative water supply to aftected pantis reatment at Cockup - instell water tweataent devices at each dwailing ox other place of use
Vachan Ertyot - use purps or blowers to dyaw air through soil.
vent Soli - Dore noies in soli to allow volatilization of contamants.
Mo hotion Regutaed - inctdent is minor, reguting no zemedial action.
OMTNTS - Use this space to elaborate on any aspects of the incident.
SIOMmURE - Sign the form in the space provided.
OISTRIEUTION
If The foum is completea by the tani owner or bis agent, wotain the las cony and format the remating copies intact to your hooal tank pemitting ageray
som distribution

1. Original - Eocal Tamk Formitting Agency

 2120

- Ragional heter Guanty Gchtrol Buard
 revenve Fropesityon 6e notfications.



CC: Kyle Chnirtie - ARCO

EPERGEXCY
Thicatre mothss emengency respowse persomel and equiphent were involved at any timg. If so, a Hazaracus Materiai Incifent Repont sionla be filec


 the oES report has heer filsd as of the date of this report.

## LOCAL AGERCS OMEY

To avolu durs.ate notification pursuant to Eealeh and Safety code Section 25180.5. a goverment employee shoun sign ane date the fomin this block. A signature here does not metr that the fear has been detemined to poss a sigmificme threat to mman healin or safety, only that notifloetior procedures have heen sollowed is reatined.

## REPORTED BY

Enter your mane, texepone numben, nu adiress. Indicate whinh patyy you quresent and provid. pompany ou agenoy name.

ESPONSIBLE ZARCY
Enter name, townhone number, contast parson. anci arcoss of the zarty responsible for the leak. The responsibla party would morualy be the tank owner.

## STME LOCATEOR

Enter information nogardng the tarix facisiby. at a minimun. yau mat provide the facility name anc tuly addess.

IMPLE ENTIMG AGENCIES
Enter names of the load agenoy and Regionai watem Quality Control Roare involyed.

## SUESTAMCES THODVED

Enter the name ard cuantity lose of the hazardous swostance involuce. Room is provided for irformation on two substonces if eporowriate, tf moze than two substances leekex, list the tpo of post comom for cleanup

## ISCOYERY/AEATEVEM

Provide information reganding the discovery ane abatement of the iest.
SOURCEfMuSE

SE TYZE
ndicate the case type categony for this lyak. Cneck one bow ony. bast type is based on the most sensitive resource aftecoed. Fon oxanple, if both soil and grown wate have Deer, affecteg, oase type will tee "Gwo vater". "ndisate "Wrining hater" onjy if one or more manicipa: on

 affected. Et is uncorbod at oase type may change upor further irvesticatior.

## GURENT STMES

Indinate the oategowy which best describes the cutert status of the oaso. Cneck one box only. The response shoula bo relative to the oase tree. Fe





Leak Beine Confirmen - Leak suspected at siee, but has not Deen conifnmed. Ereliminay Site Asspssment workplan Sumittec - workolaniproposen requasted offsumitted by responsible party to determine whetne: ground rate: has been, or will be, impacted as a yesult of the release.
Exeliminaty Ste Assessment Hefemay - implementation of morkpar. Patution Chamacterization - responsible party is in the process of futy defining the extent of contamination in soil the ground wate ank assetsing Limoots on surface andror ground water
 remediation options. Eroposal and tmplementation sobedute for apropriate zemeedation options also submittea.
Geanup wiervay - impementation of remediation pion
Post Cleanu fonitoring in Pxogress - persodio ground wats ow otine montoring as site, as mecessary, to verify andior eraluate ffiectueness of remedial activities
Case Closer - regional boara anc local agency in concurrence that no funther worx is necessary at the site.

HMORTAN: THE MFORMATION PROVIDED ON TETS FORU TS TNEENDED FOR GRNEAD STATISTICAL PURDOES ONTY AND IS NOT TO BE COHSTRJED AS REPRESENTHA TEE


## BEEDAE RCTTOH

Thdifate whita action have been wisa to cheand on remediath the leak Desuriotions of octions fodion:

Can Site - install horizontal inpomeable layer to vocuce raintal
Goncainment Bamier - irseali vertical dike to block homzontai movenent of ontamincut.
cxoavate and Dispose - ferove contaminatec soil and dispose in apmoved site.
Excayate and Treat - remove contaminated sobi and treat fincuaes sureatima or land Earming
Qemove fee Ercduct - Lemove rloating product from water table Gmmanc reat froundxater - generally omployed to yemore dissolved contaminatss.
mharced Bjogegradaticr - use of any availanis technology to promote Gacterial recomposition of contaminants
Rulace Surviy - provide atmemative water suppay to affected parties
 bthar place af use.
veciam extact - use mupe or biowezs to draw aiz thurugh soil
Sat Sol- - bove holes in soil to allow volathization ot contamants
Ho Action Beatired - incident is minor, reguting no zomediai action.
Condexte - ase this space to elabozate on any aspecte of the inciaent.
Briture - Sign the form in the spaca provided
QTSREEUTIOK


fow kEtrabstion
2. Shate Weter Zesources Controi Boere, Duibion of Clean Water Erograms
 2120


5. Omarimespagisi= Earty.


## Themructuon

## ETEREENCY

Ind!ete whether emergency response persownel and equipment were Arvolved at any time
 Seoramento, Ca gs832. Copies of tia CES report form may be obtainet at your local undergrome scorage tank permithing agency. Znciate wethex the OES recort has teer filed as of the date ef this rapori.

LOCAL BGENCY OULY
 25180.5, govemment employee should sigh tad data the fom in this blook * signature bere does not mean that the Leak has benn determined to pose a A signature nere doss not mean that the leak has ben determined to procedutes have geen followed. if reoussed.

## REDORTED DY

Enter rour rame fejephone muber, ma adress. Indicate which patey you resent and pxoyde compary or agency name

CSDONSTBLE PARTY
Entex name, tolephone number, contact persor, and addess of the party resporsible for the leak. The responsible party whla nomaly os the tam owner

## SITE LOCARTON

Enter inforination zegerding the tank facinity. At a minimum, you mut provide the sacility name and fuli adduess

MPEEMENTENG AGENCIES
MPI EfENTENG AGSNCIES Encer nam
involved.

## SUESTANCES THVOLYEB

Enter ths rame and catantity lost of the hazardous suostance furoived. Room is provided for irformation on two substances if epproptate. Ff cort than two substances leared, list the two of most concem for cieamp.

DISCOVERY/ABATETENT
Pravice information zegarding the discovery and abatoment of the beat
SOLECEICAUSE
Thdicate soxnce\{r) of leck. Check box\{os\} indicatine cause of tink
SEE TYPE
Indicete the oase type categoy fow this leak. Check one bax chiy. Case type is bested or bhe rost seasisive resource affected. For Examie, if both scil and gromd water have been affected, case tyee tinli be "fuowe Water". Tndicete "rinising water" oniy if one or morz miniofol of domestic water pells have actually beer affected. A "Eround hater" designation soos rot impiy fnat the affected water damot be, oy is mot, asec for irinisin water but onlp that wates weils bave mot yet best affectoci. It is uncerstood that oase type may ohange upen Eurther investigation

SDREME Smatus
Indscato the oratagory which best describes the surnont status of we case Check one bor only. The response shoula be retative to the case thea, Eoz
 to the status of the ground wher investigation or cieanta, as oporea 00 Giat of soin Descrictions of options Eollof



Leak Betng ConEsimed - Leak suspected at sitw, but has not been conimura. Preliminary Stif AsEassment workplan Submittec - workplawiproposai recussted of/submittea by responsible party to detemmine whetwey gromd water has been, on miji be, impactec as a resule of the release.
Preliminary Sita Assessment Thdervay - imylementation of workplar.
Pollution Characterization - respensible patty is in the process of fuily
defining the extent of contaminetion in soil and ground wion and assessims inpacts on atriace andior gromd water.
Remediation Jan - remediation glan submuted evaluating leng term remediation options. Eroposal and implementation schedule for appropiate remeãation pottons also submitted
Cleancip Underway - implementation of remadiation plam
Posi Cieamu Monitoring in Progress - periocio ground mater or other monitoring at site, as nacessary, to verify andoy evaluate effectiveness of remediaj activities
Case Closwa - pegional hoard and local agency in concurrence that no further rowi is mecessary at the site
 STATISTISL FURPOSES CNL AND IS NOT TO BE COMSTRPED AS REPRESENTING THE ORFICIAL EOSTTLOM OR BTY GOVERMMENTA AGEHCY

## REDELIAL ACYICN

Indichte which ection have been used to cleanup or remediate the jeal. Destrintions of ogtions follow

Cap Sice - inasail horizontal impemeable layer to recuce ranfal hatiluxation.
Contamment Beaxiex - install veribical dixe to blook horizontal movement of contaminant.
Exobate and Eispose - remove oontaminated soil anci dispose in appoved

or land faming.
Semove Free product - remove floating product from water table.

contanimants.
mhanco blodegracation - use of axy avalable tecmology to pronote bateriah decomrosithon of contammants
Replace Supgly provice alternative water supply to affected parties Treatment at Eockio - instali water treamont devices at oach dweiling or other place of lise.
Veoum Extzan - wse purpe or hiowews to duar ain through soil.
Vent SCil bore hoies in scil to aliow voiatilization of contaminarto.
Ho Action Remireg - incient is minor, rezuining no remodiel aotion.
COAENTS - Use this space to eiabosate on any aspects of the invicent.
SIGNTVRE - Sign the fom in the space provided.
DISTEBUETE
The form is completed by the tark cwner or tis ageri, retain the tes' oony anci forment the yemaming comies intact to youm lockitank permitting agnoy


1. Oaiginal - Doas Tank Eemitting Agsncy
2. State Wator Resomees Contro Boayd, Division of Giear water Pregrans
 2120

 reosive groposionom $6=$ notifiostions
3. Omerfesransible party


## INSTMCIIONO

## MERGENCY

Indicato whethar emengency response perscmel and equipment were involved at any fine. If so, a Hazardous Material. Tmeident Report should be filed With the State Offce of Emersency Services (OES) at 2800 Meadowien Boad Sacamento, CA gs8iz Copies of the oES report fom may be obtained at your jocai underground stomage tank permitting agenoy. Indicate whether the ozs report kas betn fied as of the wate of this report.

LOCAL ECENCY GYEY
To avoid dimicate notification pursuart to Eeaith and Sasety code Section 25:80.5, a governnent enployee should sign and dete the fom in this block.
 A signabuce here does mot mean tha the heak has ween deverification proceclures have teen followed if required.

EEPORTES SU
Enter your name, telovhone number, phd adress. Indinate phich pacty you present and provids sompany or azenoy name

ESFONSIBLE PAEMY
Enter neme, telephone mumber, contact person, and adress of the patty responsiole far the ieat. me responsiole party would mormaly be the tank owner.

SITE LOCATEON
Enter inforation regarding the tank fackity. At a minimum, yoa mast provide the facility name and full aderess

TMPTEMENTING AOERCIES
Enter names of the local agency and Repionai wate: Quality contmi soard involved.

SUESTAMCES INWOLVED
Enter the mane and quantity lont of the hazardous swatance involved. Roon is proujed for information on tho substances if aperomiate. two substances leaked, list the thr of most cancern sot ciemup.

DISCOVERY/ABAYEXENT
Provide infomation $=$ egerding the discevery and abatoment of the heak.
SOURCE/CAUSE
Indicata sounce(s) of leak. Check box (?5) indicating eause of iak
SE TYPE
rdicate the case type category for this isak. Check one bor cmiy. Ces type ist tased on the mest sensitive rescarce affecuot. Fow examien, if both soil and ground water heve been affected, case type will be "Grown

 designation does not impy that the etfected natmi canot in, ow is not, used for arinxing mater, but only that fater wells bave not pest been affected. It is uncerstond that sase type may charge mpore futhez anfecisd.

CIRRENT STATUS
Indicate the bategon whin best describes the turyent status of wie case. Chaik one bor oriy. The resmonse should be relative to tha ceas type. Fo: exampe fi base type is "Grom Water", then "Cument gatus" shond refer
 biat of anofl Descriptions of ontons tollow

Ho sotion Saken - No action was been vaten by zerponctole navy beyme

Leak Renns Confinmed - Leak suspected at site, but has not men bonfirmed. gxetiminazy Slte Assessment Workolan Scomitten - workplanforoposal requested offsumitted by responstbie party to detempne whether ground water has been, or will be, impactec as a result of the release.
Ereliminazy Sita Assessment Hoderway - implementation of workplan.
polimtion chamatemzation - responsibie party is in the process of fully detinhg the exbent of contamination th soil and swound fatex and assessing impacte on surdace andor ground water
Remediation Flan - semediation plan submithed evabuaing long tem remediation options. Proposal and inplementation scheâule for appopriats comeaiation options anso summitea.
Clemup Lnciersay - implementation of remediation phan
Fost Cleanup Momtoring in Progness ${ }^{-}$pericdic oround weter or oher monitorirg at site, as necessary, to verity andor evaluate pfiectiveness of remedial activities
Case Closel regional board and local agency fri soncurrence that no funther woult is neoessary at the site.

IMEORTAN: THE INEORMTION PROUIDED ON THIS FORM IS TNTENDED FOR CENERE STATISTIEAL PURPGES ONLY AHD IS WO TO BE COHSTRUED AS REPRESEATING TEE


## RETETEAL ACTIC.

indicate whit action have been used to cleanup or wereaitate the Leak Descrighions of options follow:

Cansite - install horizontal impemeable layer to reduce ramfali infintration.
Contanment Bayrier - install vertical dike to clock horizontal covoment of contaminat
xocrate ate Dispose - zemove oontaminated soin and tispose in approved site.
 ar land faming
emove Iree Procuct - remove floating product from water table
umo ano rreat Groundoter - generally employed to remove dissoived contaminamta.
phanced Esodegeadetion - use of any availabie techology so promote becterial decomposition of contaminants
Renlacs Supely - provice elternative water surply to affectec parties zreatment au yocku - install water treatment wevices at sach dreliing o: other piace as use.
factum Extract - use punos or blowers to draw air through soil
Hent Soit bowe holes in soil to aliow volatilization of contaminames.
Ho Action Regited incidentis minor, reguiring no romedial action.
COMEXS - Use this space to eiaborate on any aspects of the incident.
SIGHAme - Sign the form in the space provicued.
TSMEDUTHOA
I the form is competad by the tanik omen or his agent, retain the last copy and forwanci the maminte copies intacs to your focal tank permitting asenoy
for cistribusion

- Original - Ladal Tan Femmeting Ageney

2. State Watcre resomuces Control Boand, Divisien of Clean Water Pregrame Enderground Storage Tank Program. F.O. Bor 744212 , Sacramento, Ca 94244 2120

- Reenoual Watex Quality Control Board
- Eocal Feato Dfficer and County Board of Supervinons on thein dosieree to recsive zuopaation 65 notificatione.

5. Ownzirespeniblu party.

GeoStrategies Inc.

January 19, 1993

Mr. Richard Hiett (Certified Mail)<br>Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region<br>2101 Webster Street, Suite 500<br>Oakland, California 94612<br>Subject: Remediation Schedules for ARCO Sites in Alameda County, California

Dear Mr. Heitt:
On behalf of ARCO Products Company (ARCO), and as requested in the meeting held on November 19, 1992 at the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA), GeoStrategies Inc. (GSI) has revised the Remediation Implementation schedules for remediation of sites under your regulatory oversight.

The darkened lines on the schedules represent deadlines which were agreed upon during the November 19, 1992 meeting. The hatchered lines represent our best guess estimates of times which may be required to complete tasks should unforeseen delays occur. These unforeseen delays include permitting issues, offsite access issues, and estimated times of operation of the respective remediation systems.

## GeoStrategies Inc.

Regional Water Quality Control Board January 19, 1993
Page 2

If you have any questions or comments, please contact us at (510) 352-4800 or Mr. Michael Whelan of ARCO at (415) 571-2449.

Sincerely,

cc: Ms. Susan Hugo, ACHA (Certified Mail)
Mr. Michael Whelan, ARCO
Mr. John Neck, ARCO Legal
Mr. H.C. Winsor, ARCO
Mr. Mark Thomson, Alameda County DA (without attachments)

(1) Soil Investigation

- Soil contamination is on-site only and has been delineated by already completed work

Additional Ground-water Investigation

- Ground-water investigation has been completed (GSI, September 25, 1992).
- Ground-water contamination was delineated upon completion of the activities proposed in the work plan.
- Field work, data evaluation, and reporting was completed on September 29, 1992.
(3) Remediation System Design (Ground-water)
- Ground-water remediation will only be required onsite.
- A Remedial Action Plan (RAP) was submitted on September 27, 1992 detailing the design of the on-site ground-water remediation system.
(4) Remediation System Permitting (Ground-water)
- Modifications to design were required for permitting.
- Planning/Building department approval was obtained on September 30, 1992.
- Discharge permit approval was obtained on July 14, 1992. The permit was issued January 5, 1993.
(5) Equipment Procurement (Ground-water)
- No equipment modification due to agency/permitting requirements.
- System equipment has been received.
(6) System Construction and Startup (Ground-water)
- System construction was started on October 19, 1992.
- System equipment installation is scheduled for completion on December 18, 1992.
- Startup originally scheduled for February 1, 1993, and was performed on January 5, 1993.
(7) System Operation and Maintenance (Ground-water)
- Five year operation of system to meet cleanup levels.
- Regulatory approval of system shutdown after five years.
(8) Performance Evaluation (Ground-water)
-Will show system is operating as designed and does not require modification.
(9) Remediation System Design (Soil)
- Soil remediation will only be required on-site.
- A RAP was prepared detailing the design of the on-site soil remediation system and was submitted September 27, 1992.
- No revisions were required following agency review.
(10) System Permitting (Soil)
- No modifications to design required for permitting
- BAAQMD permit was issued August 10, 1992.
- Building/Planning department approval was obtained September 30, 1992.


GeoStrategies Inc.

## REMEDIATION IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

ARCO Service Station \#2112
1260 Park Street
JOB NUMBER
Alameda, California
7920-RTL
REVIEWED BY
DATE
REVSED DATE
1/92

## (11) Equipment Procurement (Soil)

- No special equipment modification due to agency/permitting requirements.
- System equipment has been received.

System Construction and Startup (Soil)

- System construction was started on October 19, 1992.
- System equipment installation is scheduled for completion on December 18, 1992.
- Startup is scheduled for December 21, 1992.
(13) System Operation and Maintenance (Soil)
- One year operation of system to meet cleanup levels.
- Regulatory approval of system shutdown after one year.

Verification Soil Borings

- Borings demonstrate soil cleanup has been achieved - no further system operation.

Verification Monitoring

- One year (four quarters) of monitoring water-levels and sampling.
- Sampling demonstrates ground-water cleanup has been achieved. No further system operation required.

Site Closure

- Agency approval based on results of verification borings, monitoring and sampling.


(1) Tank Replacement
- Tank replacement was completed in March 1992 (Roux report).
- All stockpiled soil was aerated and removed from the site during March 1992.


## Additional Soil Investigation

- Soil contamination is on-site only.
- Soil contamination is delineated by completion of the activities proposed in the work plan.
- Field work, data evaluation, and reporting of the soil investigation was completed on November 24, 1992.


## Ground-water Investigation

- The work plan for on-site assessment has been submitted to the Alameda County Health Agency.
- Field work, data evaluation, and reporting of the ground-water investigation phase was completed on November 24, 1992. Field work included installation of ground-water monitoring and extraction wells, vapor extraction wells, aquifer test and vapor extraction test.
- Additional work will be required off-site to complete ground-water delineation.
- A second work plan will be prepared for an off-site ground-water investigation.
- The second work plan will be approved by the Alameda County Health Agency within one month after submittal.
- Ground-water contamination will be delineated after completion of the activities proposed in the second work plan.
- It will take approximately four months to obtain a City of Oakland Public Works Agency Encroachment Permit.
- Field work, data evaluation, and reporting of the ground-water investigation phase will require approximately two months to complete after issuance of applicable permits.


889 West Grand Avenue
(4) Remediation System Design (Ground-water)

- The tank replacement project will be completed by March 1992.
- Ground-water remediation will only be required onsite.
- A Remedial Action Plan (RAP) will be prepared detailing the design of the on-site ground-water remediation system.
- The RAP will be approved by the Alameda County Health Agency within one month of submittal.
- No revisions will be required following agency review.
(5) Remediation System Permitting (Ground-water)
- No modifications to design required for permitting.
- Planning/Building department approval within 120 days.
- BAAQMD permit within 90 days.
- Discharge permit approval within 90 days.
(6) Equipment Procurement (Ground-water)
- No equipment modification due to agency/permitting requirements.
- Equipment available from manufacturers stock within six weeks.
(7) System Construction and Startup (Ground-water)
- No delays due to underground storage tank replacement.
- No delays from utility companies.
- No special dealer/property owner requirements.
- No unusual contractor delays.
- No unforeseen on-site conditions.
- No weather delays.
- This task includes bid process, bid evaluation, contract completion and system construction.
(8) System Operation and Maintenance (Ground-water)
- Five year operation of system to meet cleanup levels.
- Regulatory approval of system shutdown after five years.
(9) Performance Evaluation (Ground-water)
-Will show system is operating as designed and does not require modification.
(10) Remediation System Design (Soil)
- The tank replacement project will be completed by March 1992.
- Soil remediation will only be required on-site only.
- A RAP will be prepared detailing the design of the on-site soil remediation system.
- The RAP will be approved by the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency within one month of submittal.
- Soil vapor extraction is a feasible remediation method.
- No revisions due to agency review.
- No modifications to system design required for permitting.
- BAAQMD approval within 120 days
- Building/Planning department approval within 120 days.
(12) Equipment Procurement (Soil)
- No special agency requirements.
- Available from manufacturer stock within eight weeks.
(13) System Construction and Startup (Soil)
- No delays due to underground storage tank replacement.
- No delays from utility companies.
- No special dealer/property owner requirements.
- No unusual contractor delays.
- No unforeseen on-site conditions.
- No weather delays.
(14) System Operation and Maintenance (Soil)
- One year operation of system to meet cleanup levels.
- Regulatory approval of system shutdown after one year.
(15) Verification Borings
- Borings demonstrate soil cleanup has been achieved - no further system operation.
(16) Verification Monitoring
- One year (four quarters) of monitoring water-levels and sampling.
- Sampling demonstrates ground-water cleanup has been achieved. No further system operation required.
(16) Site Closure
- Agency approval based on results of verification borings, monitoring and sampling.



## LEGEND

(X) FOR NOTES AND ASSUMPTIONS REFER TO PLATES 2, 3 AND
(1) Tank Replacement

- Tank replacement was completed in June 1992.
- All stockpiled soil was aerated and removed from the site in June 1992.
(2) Additional Soil Investigation
- Soil contamination is on-site only.
- Soil contamination was removed primarily during the tank replacement project.
- Field work, data evaluation, and reporting of the soil investigation was completed in October 1992.
(3) Additional Ground-water Investigation
- The work plan was prepared for the installation of one off-site monitoring well and two on-site recovery wells.
- The work plan was approved by the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency within one month of submittal
- Ground-water contamination was delineated upon completion of the activities proposed in the work plan.
- City of Oakland Public Works Agency Encroachment Permit issued June 1, 1992.
- Additional on-site recovery wells were installed June 15 and 16, 1992.
- Field work, data evaluation, and reporting of the ground-water investigation phase was completed on November 13, 1992.
- Field work was completed in June 1992.

731 West MacArthur Boulevard
(4) Remediation System Design (Ground-water)

- Ground-water remediation will only be required onsite.
- The already completed design will be approved by the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency within one month of submittal.
- No revisions will be required following agency review.
(5) System Permitting (Ground-water)
- Discharge permit will be issued in 60 days.
- BAAQMD permit will be issued in 60 days.
- Planning/Building department approval will be issued in 120 days.
- No modifications to design required for permitting.
(6) Equipment Procurement (Ground-water)
- No equipment modification due to agency/permitting requirements.
- Equipment available from manufacturers stock within six weeks.
(7) System Construction and Startup (Ground-water)
- The recovery system construction and installation was completed in November 1992.
- Startup of the system was performed on November 13, 1992.
(8) System Operation and Maintenance (Ground-water)
- Five year operation of system to meet cleanup levels.
- Regulatory approval of system shutdown after five years.
(9) Performance Evaluation (Ground-water)
-Will show system is operating as designed and does not require modification.
Remediation System Design (Soil)
- The tank replacement project was completed in June 1992.
- Soil remediation was performed by overexcavation during the tank replacement project.
(11) Verification Ground-water Monitoring
- One year length.
- Sampling demonstrates ground-water cleanup has been achieved. No further system operation required.

Site Closure

- Agency approval based on results of verification borings, monitoring and sampling.

January 13, 1993

Ms. Susan Hugo<br>Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 80 Swan Way<br>Oakland, California 94621

## ARCO Products Company Facilities in Alameda County

Dear Ms. Hugo:
Please find attached, Quarterly Summary Reports (QSRs) for ARCO Products Company Service Stations in Alameda County. The QSRs summarize activities conducted by ARCO at the respective sites during the fourth quarter of 1992; also included are projected site activities for the first quarter of 1993 and a bibliography of reports submitted for each location.

The QSRs are classified by city and address within Alameda County. We are submitting this document and attached QSRs as agreed. Please note that we are forwarding copies of the QSRs to the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB).

Please note that ARCO Products Company has reviewed the RWQCB's February 19, 1991 printout of ARCO fuel leak sites. We have evaluated each site with respect to ARCO's responsibility for investigation, monitoring, and/or remediation. Those locations for which ARCO is not responsible were listed and described in the QSR package delivered to you on July 15, 1991. The attached QSRs therefore represent only those locations for which ARCO is responsible. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions regarding this submittal.

Sincerely yours,


Kyle A. Christie
Environmental Engineer
Attachments: ARCO Facility QSRs
************************************************************************************


## MILESTONES:

The waste oil tank was removed in May, 1987 and the underground storage tanks were replaced in August, 1990. In September 1991, four monitoring wells, one recovery well, and three vapor extraction wells were installed on-site. In October 1991, A vapor extraction pilot test was performed. In December 1991, a 4 hour step/ 24 hour constant-rate aquifer test was performed. In January 1992, four vapor extraction wells were installed on-site. One down-gradient, off-site monitoring well and one on-site recovery well was installed in June 1992. Refer to the attached page for a chronological listing of reports issued for this site.
*****************************************************************************

## RECENT ACTIVITIES/FINDINGS:

Fourth quarter, 1992 ground-water monitoring and sampling were performed. Issued Quarterly Monitoring Report documenting third quarter, 1992 sampling results. Completed construction and began operation of interim remedial system.

## ANTICIPATED ACTIVITIES:

Quarterly ground-water monitoring and sampling will continue. Issue Quarterly Monitoring Report documenting fourth quarter, 1992 sampling results.
REPORT DATE
Limited Environmental Site Assessment February 20, 1990
November 7, 1990
January 2, 1991May 3, 1991Trench Excavation/Soil AerationReport
Continuing Site Assessment/Quarterly Monitoring Report
Quarterly Monitoring Report ..... April 10, 1992
Aquifer Test/Vapor Well InstallationReport
Interim Remedial Action PlanAugust 27, 1992
August 27, 1992September 25, 1992
Quarterly Monitoring/Well Installation Report
Quarterly Monitoring Report
CONSULTANT

## CONSULTANT

Applied GeoSystems
GeoStrategies Inc.
GeoStrategies Inc.
GeoStrategies Inc.

GeoStrategies Inc.

GeoStrategies Inc.
GeoStrategies Inc.

GeoStrategies Inc.
GeoStrategies Inc.

GeoStrategies Inc.

Working To Restore Nature

## TRANSMITTAL

TO: Ms. Susan Hugo
Alameda County Health Care Services
Department of Environmental Health
80 Swan Way, Room 200
Oakland, California 94612

DATE: November 4, 1992
PROJECT NUMBER:
SUBJECT: Minutes for Meeting held on September 30, 1992.

FROM: Joel Coffman
TITLE: Project Geologist
WE ARE SENDING YOU:

| COPIES | DATED | NO. | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $11 / 4 / 92$ | Minutes to meeting held at ACHCSA on September <br> $30,1992$. |  |

THESE ARE TRANSMITTED as checked below:
[] For review and comment [] Approved as submitted [] Resubmit __ copies for approval
[ X ] As requested
[] Approved as noted
[] Submit $\qquad$ copies for distribution
[] For approval
[] Return for corrections
[] Return $\qquad$ corrected prints
[] For your files
REMARKS: cc: Mr. Michael Whelan, ARCO Products Company Mr. Chris Winsor, ARCO Products Company Mr. John Meck, ARCO Legal Department Mr. John Jang, RWQCB, San Francisco Bay Region
Mr. Richard Hiett, RWQCB, San Francisco Bay Region
Mr. John Vargas, GeoStrategies

November 4, 1992
1104shgo

Ms. Susan Hugo
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
Department of Environmental Health
80 Swan Way, Room 200
Oakland, California 94612
Subject: Minutes to Meeting held at Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA) on September 30, 1992.

Dear Ms. Hugo:
On behalf of ARCO Products Company (ARCO), RESNA Industries, Inc. (RESNA has prepared these minutes for the meeting held at your offices on September 30, 1992. This meeting was held for discussion of ARCO sites located in Alameda County and under direction of Mr. Richard Hiett and Mr. John Jang of the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB). As circumstances dictated, these RWQCB personnel were not able to attend the meeting, however, they will receive a copy of these minutes. Attending the whole meeting were Ms. Susan Hugo of the ACHCSA, Mr. Michael Whelan of ARCO, and Mr. Joel Coffman of RESNA. People attending parts of the meeting while particular sites were discussed included Mr. Barney Chan and Mr. Scott Seery of the ACHCSA, Ms. Valli Voruganti of RESNA, and Mr. John Vargas and Ms. Diane Lundquist of GeoStrategies, Inc. An agenda for the meeting, including a listing of sites for discussion, was sent to the offices of the ACHCSA and the RWQCB prior to the meeting.

Items discussed include the following: ongoing assessment at the sites, offsite access problems, sites near ARCO Stations reported on leak lists, schedules and changes in schedules for remediation, and other issues concerning the sites. Specific topics discussed for each site are included in the following minutes to the meeting.

Attending the meeting during discussion of ARCO Stations 276, 2035, 2107, 2185, and 4494 were Mr. Barney Chan and Ms. Susan Hugo of ACHCSA, Mr. Michael Whelan of ARCO, and Mr. Joel Coffman and Ms. Valli Voruganti of RESNA. Site specific topics discussed are included in the following portion of these minutes.

ARCO Station 2035, 1001 San Pablo Ave., Albany, California

Discussion of this site included mention that product previously found in recovery well RW1 was collected in a passive floating product skimmer and had been hand bailed on a biweekly basis. Floating product in RW-1 has been reduced to a sheen. Ms. Hugo asked for product information concerning the skimmers, this information has been sent. Other discussion included the fact that the onsite vapor extraction wells had been installed and a vapor extraction test performed at the site in August, 1992. Offsite monitoring wells will be installed upon gaining Cal-Trans permits for the wells. During discussion concerning additional onsite monitoring wells, Mr. Chan suggested moving one of our proposed monitoring well locations (located on the southwest corner of the site) to the north a few feet so it would be situated more downgradient from the former waste oil and former gasoline storage tanks.

The ACHCSA agreed that the report for installation of the onsite vapor extraction wells and the results of the vapor extraction test would be initiated now and delivered in final form to ACHCSA by December 1, 1992. Due to the delays associated with gaining permits from Cal Trans for offsite monitoring well installation (still not received at date of this letter), the report with results of the installation offsite monitoring wells will be combined with results of installation of the additional onsite monitoring wells. ACHCSA wishes to be informed of prolonged offsite access problems, including permitting delays and obtaining offsite owner permission for installation of offsite wells.

In regards to the remediation schedule for this site, it was mentioned that the installation of vapor extraction wells and performance of a vapor extraction test and the need for additional onsite monitoring wells were not included in the original schedules. The ACHCSA agreed to a revised remediation schedule which includes these phases of work. A remedial action plan is due to the ACHCSA on March 1, 1993, and the anticipated date for start-up of an interim remediation system is July, 1993.

## ARCO Station 2107, 3310 Park Blvd., Oakland, California.

In discussions concerning this site, Ms. Hugo asked about the TPHd detected previously at the site. Mr. Whelan explained that ARCO was requesting all laboratory chromatograms from previously ran analyses for study. As ARCO has never stored diesel at this site, it is suspected that the TPHd is actually weathered gasoline, which can fall within the same detectable range as diesel in laboratory analyses.

It was explained that offsite monitoring wells had been installed at the site and that the groundwater recovery well, RW-1, would be installed in conjunction with construction of the
interim remediation system, which began October 19, 1992. This recovery well, RW-1, has now been installed. As the monitoring well MW-7, located immediately offsite and downgradient from the ARCO site has contained minor amounts of Benzene, it was agreed that no further assessment is needed at this time. The report including results of the installation of offsite monitoring wells and the recovery well is due to the ACHCSA on January 1, 1993.

ARCO Station 2185, 9800 E. 14th St., Oakland, California.
It was mentioned that the report documenting the underground storage tank removal and replacement had been issued by Roux Associates. ARCO mentioned that field work included in the recently completed subsurface investigation had been delayed until late June to early July, 1992, due to the delays related to the tank replacement activities at the site. The report for the subsurface investigation was issued in final form in September by RESNA. A work plan for additional work at the site will be prepared and submitted to the ACHCSA and RWQCB by December 1, 1992. Work to be proposed in the work plan will include installation of a groundwater recovery well, performance of an aquifer pumping and recovery test, installation of offsite groundwater monitoring wells, conducting an environmental records search, and performing a well survey to locate wells in the vicinity of the site.

In discussing the schedule for remediation at the site, it was also agreed that a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) will be prepared and submitted to the ACHCSA by June 1, 1993 and that design of an interim remediation system would be completed by August 1, 1993. Equipment needed for the system will be ordered and received by November 1, 1993, with the anticipated date for system start-up to be January 1, 1994.

## ARCO Station 4494, 566 Hegenberger Rd., Oakland, California

ARCO mentioned that after almost a year of negotiations, offsite access had been granted by the adjacent property owner in July, 1992, for installation of offsite monitoring wells. These wells were drilled and installed in July 1992 and the report detailing the findings from this monitoring well installation was delivered to the ACHCSA on October 29, 1992.

Concerning tank replacement at the site, Mr. Whelan stated that ARCO is attempting to gain approval for a station rebuild in conjunction with tank replacement at the site. Delays associated with gaining the permits and approval for the rebuild have pushed the anticipated start date for these activities to approximately the first quarter 1993. Ms. Hugo asked if ARCO was planning to excavate the area around monitoring well MW-2, the only hydrocarbon impacted part of the site, during tank replacement activities. Mr. Whelan
explained ARCO would not be able to excavate very far due to limitations posed by structures and the offsite properties adjacent to the site. It was generally agreed that overexcavation may be the only feasible alternative for this site.

ARCO Station 276, 10600 MacArthur Blvd., Oakland, California.

ARCO mentioned that the offsite monitoring wells, MW-6 and MW-7, had been installed in the Foothill Square Shopping Center parking lot. One well, MW-7, was screened in the shallow water bearing zone and the other well, MW-6, in the deeper water-bearing zone. It was brought to the attention of Mr. Chan and Ms. Hugo that, as suspected, the groundwater samples collected from the upgradient, offsite, deeper water-bearing zone (from MW-6) contained the highest concentrations of Tetrachloroethene (PCE) of any wells on or near the site. This information supports previous suspicions that the PCE and other VOCs found in the deeper water-bearing zone originate from an offsite source. Mr. Coffman pointed out that while the Foothill Square Site is listed in the Report on Releases of Hazardous Substances from Underground Storage Tanks (page 31, January 1992, Report No. 92-2CWP), it is listed only as a gasoline leak with no reference made to the VOCs. ARCO also mentioned that the ARCO site is listed in the same leak report, but is erroneously listed as a waste oil leak. This is an erroneous listing based on analytical results of soil samples collected during removal of the tank in 1988 (Pacific, February 6, 1989). The samples collected and analyzed for waste-oil compounds from the waste-oil tank pit and immediately surrounding area contained non-detectable concentrations for waste oil and volatile organic compounds. Therefore, there is no evidence of a waste oil leak at the site. Laboratory analysis data from installation of the offsite borings/wells had previously been sent to Mr. Chan along with the monthly site status letters prepared for each site in Alameda County. This data will be included in a report documenting recent onsite and offsite work at the site to be delivered to ACHCSA by February 1, 1993.

Onsite work recently completed at the site includes installation of 7 vapor extraction wells and an additional groundwater monitoring well. The new onsite wells were piped together into the existing offsite vapor extraction system. In late August, a vapor extraction performance test was conducted at the site to compare the performance of the existing offsite system, which uses soil vapor probes, with use of the system using the new onsite vapor extraction wells. Performance data collected during the test will be used to facilitate design and provide information concerning the number of offsite vapor extraction wells which will be needed to enhance operation of the offsite portion of the vapor extraction system. Results of the vapor extraction test will be included in the report to be issued by February 1, 1993.

ARCO discussed plans to add additional offsite vapor extraction wells to the existing offsite vapor extraction system. ARCO is now working to obtain access for future offsite well installations. It was agreed that the Remedial Action Plan previously submitted to the ACHCSA by ARCO concerning interim groundwater remediation (RESNA, March 18, 1992) would not be implemented by ARCO as the Deeper aquifer at the site is impacted by VOCs from an offsite source. It was also agreed that since the shallow perched aquifer at the site which is impacted by gasoline hydrocarbons will not sustain pumping, the perched water bearing zone will be remediated by the vapor extraction system. Based on the remediation schedules submitted for this site in February 1992, ARCO is either on schedule or abead of schedule for work to be performed at this site.

Upon conclusion of discussion concerning Station 276, Mr. Barney Chan departed the meeting.

## ARCO Station 374, 6407 Telegraph Ave., Oakland, California.

Discussion concerning this site centered around the fact the City of Oakland had recently re-zoned the area and that previously submitted engineering designs would now have to pass through a zoning department review process. This will slow down the installation and startup of the interim remediation system by a minimum of 60 days. Ms. Hugo asked for names of personnel she might contact at the city concerning this matter and Ms. Voruganti provided her with a listing. The names included Mr. Chris Buckley of the zoning department and Ms. Diane Bradshaw of the planning department. With these new permitting delays, the date for initiating construction of the system at the site will be moved back to at least January, 1993. This will allow for a system start-up date of not before March, 1993.

In reference to other site-related items, Mr. Whelan and Mr. Coffman pointed out that a former Mobil Oil Service Station site, which is a potential secondary source of hydrocarbons in groundwater, is a located diagonally across Telegraph Avenue, approximate 120 feet southeast and upgradient/crossgradient of ARCO 374. The street address for this site is 6398 Telegraph Avenue.

This potential secondary source is currently a vacant lot owned by Givens Investment Company (Givens). The site is known to have had an underground-storage tank (UST) leak, as evidenced by placement of the site on the Report on Releases of Hazardous Substances from Underground Storage Tanks, State Water Resources Control Board, California Environmental Protection Agency, January 1992, Report No. 92-2CWP. The service station was present at this location from at least 1957 until at least 1985. According to the Report on Releases of Hazardous Substances from Underground Storage Tanks, the
leak was reported in April 1986 and was last reviewed in June 1990 and no action has been taken by the responsible party since the initial report of the leak. Actions recommended in the report regarding the former Mobile Station included removal of free product and excavation and treatment of contaminated soil.

Mr. Coffman made reference to an AquaScience Engineers report related to tank removal at the former Mobil site, which, Ms. Hugo requested a copy be forwarded to her and one has been sent. In the AquaScience Engineers report, dated May 27, 1986, it is stated that soils in the tank pit from removed tanks had a motor fuel smell and each tank pit contained water with floating product. The tank pits were excavated to a depth of twelve feet and groundwater was encountered at approximately twelve feet. The report states that the waste oil tank and one 5,000 gallon gasoline tank had holes in them when removed and inspected. Although water was pumped from the tank pits and disposed of, there is no record that any further investigation to evaluate and delineate the impact the leaking waste oil and gasoline tanks to groundwater at the former Mobil Station. Since the tanks were leaking directly into the tank pit which was in direct communication with the aquifer, it is probable there has been impact to the groundwater from these tanks. This may be a source of the gasoline hydrocarbons detected in groundwater samples from well MW-2 at the ARCO site, which is closest to the former Mobil site and upgradient from any possible ARCO source of gasoline hydrocarbons.

Ms. Hugo asked whether ARCO intended to install offsite groundwater recovery wells to be used in the groundwater remediation system. ARCO replied that once the system was operational and data had been studied, ARCO would evaluate the need for and feasibility of additional recovery wells. ARCO stated that permitting and gaining access for installation of offsite recovery wells which would then need to be piped into the groundwater remediation system could prove to be very difficult and take several months to accomplish.

Upon conclusion of discussion concerning Station 374, Ms. Valli Voruganti departed the meeting. The following three ARCO sites, 2112, 2169, and 4931 were discussed by Ms. Susan Hugo, Mr. Michael Whelan, and Mr. John Vargas and Ms. Diane Lundquist.

## ARCO Station 2112, 1260 Park St., Alameda, California.

An Interim Remedial Action Plan was issued on August 27, 1992. This document discusses the remediation approach chose for this site. The System will include vapor extraction and groundwater pump and treat technologies. Previous vapor extraction and aquifer test data were to identify the number of required wells. All permits have been received at this time and construction is scheduled for October 19, 1992. The Remedial System is scheduled for start-up in February 1993.

Working To Restore Nature

An additional recovery well and down-gradient well were installed. Petroleum hydrocarbons in the down-gradient well were not observed.

Ms. Hugo asked if ARCO had a contingency plan to address potential breakdown of the system. GeoStrageties Inc., has prepared an Operation and Maintenance Plan. This Plan provides that any pumps or system equipment will be repaired. Telemetry will also be added to the system so that GeoStrageties Inc., will be notified as soon as there are system problems. Groundwater piping and the system enclosure slab have been designed for secondary containment.

Ms. Hugo asked how long the system will be operating. Soil clean-up should be relatively short and the anticipated groundwater clean-up duration as outlined in the schedule for this site is for five years. After an initial start-up and operation period, a better estimate may be possible.

Ms. Hugo asked about the number of carbon beds and their replacement schedule. The groundwater system will include two 180 pound carbon vessels. Calculations for the minimum replacement periods are included in the Interim Remedial Action Plan. The Operation and Maintenance Plan provides for monitoring the influent and effluent air and water systems on a routine schedule. Carbon will be replaced once breakthrough has been detected in the first carbon beds.

ARCO Station 2169, 899 W. Grand Ave., Oakland, California.
The Remediation Implementation schedule will be revised to accommodate delays in completing the onsite assessment. The original schedule assumed this assessment would be performed concurrently with the tank removal project. However, due to space limitations at the site caused by the large quantity of stockpiled soils during tank replacement, ARCO anticipates a 4-month delay in completing the assessment. This delay pushes back the estimated system start-up date to September 1, 1993.

The onsite assessment is to be completed at this time, Vapor extraction and aquifer tests have been completed. These remedial technologies appear to be feasible. The report documents these activities in a draft. Diesel was reported for previous groundwater samples at this site. Laboratory results for other ARCO stations have erroneously reported diesel. ARCO plans to do additional analysis to confirm whether diesel has impacted the soil and groundwater. A draft report has been submitted to ARCO. The report of onsite work and a Work Plan for offsite assessment will be issued in late October to early November, 1992.

ARCO Station 4931, 731 W. MacArthur Blvd., Oakland, California.

Mr. Vargas and Ms. Lundquist discussed the progress at his site. The Remedial System underground and enclosure has been completed. Equipment installation and start-up will be performed once all equipment is received from the manufacturers. GeoStrageties Inc., anticipated start-up by the first part of November.

A passive product skimmer has been installed in Well W-8. A products pump will be installed in this well when the system is operational. Recovery Well are AR-1 was installed adjacent to Well A-4 to address product in the well. A report documenting the installation of additional recovery wells is in draft. This report is scheduled for completion by the end of October early November.

Ms. Hugo wanted TOG and lead analyzed for four-quarters to verify that groundwater has not been impacted. ARCO agreed to analyze Well A-2 for these constituents.

Following this discussion, the meeting adjourned for lunch at 12:15 p.m. After the lunch break, at approximately $1: 15$ p.m., the meeting resumed with Mr. Michael Whelan, Ms. Susan Hugo, and Mr. Joel Coffman present.

ARCO Station 6148, 5131 Shattuck Ave., Oakland, California.
Discussion began with Ms. Hugo granting approval for work proposed in work plan (RESNA, September, 1992) for installation of additional onsite monitoring wells. The report containing the results to the additional well installations is due to the ACHCSA on February 1, 1993. There was a question concerning the recently submitted 2nd quarter monitoring report for the site in which floating product was reported at 0.5 feet in one of the monitoring wells. Mr. Coffman said he would check into the matter as this was probably a mistake. A follow-up letter with corrected tables showing the correct product thickness of 0.05 feet was sent to Ms. Hugo.

Ms. Hugo requested that one of the wells (MW-1 through MW-3) be tested for all waste oil constituents, including TOG, TPHd, metals, VOCs, and 8270 for a minimum of 4 consecutive quarters. If no waste oil constituents are found, analysis for those constituents will be discontinued.

Ms. Hugo agreed it would be reasonable to decommission two of the three closely spaced monitoring wells, MW-1 through MW-3, located near the former waste-oil tank at the southwestern corner of the site. The wells to be decommissioned will be determined upon installation of the proposed additional monitoring wells and using data from 1 quarter of
monthly monitoring to establish the gradient at the site. The well to remain will be the well determined to be downgradient from the former waste-oil tank.

A work plan with proposed location of a groundwater recovery well, possible vapor extractions wells (if applicable), an aquifer test, and a vapor extraction test (if applicable) will be prepared and submitted to ACHCSA by March 1, 1993. The report documenting work in this work plan will be submitted to ACHCSA by August 1, 1993. A Remedial Action Plan (RAP) will begin to be prepared for the site as soon as results from the aquifer test and/or vapor extraction test are evaluated. The RAP is due to ACHCSA by September 1, 1993. The design and permitting of an interim remediation system at the site will begin in July, 1993, and is due to be completed by October 1, 1993. This schedule will allow for construction bids to be evaluated by November 1, 1993, which will provide a February 15, 1994 construction date for the interim remediation system. This schedule will place start-up of the system at April 1, 1994.

Following discussion of this site, Mr. Scott Seery of the ACHCSA joined Ms. Susan Hugo, Mr. Michael Whelan, and Mr. Joel Coffman for the remainder of the site discussions.

## ARCO Station 6041, 7249 Village Pkwy, Dublin, California.

Discussion concerning this site began with Mr. Seery providing some groundwater monitoring data from sites on different corners of the same intersection as the ARCO 6041 site. These sites included Unocal, BP, and Oil Changers (former Shell Oil Station) sites. The information provided by Mr. Seery showed monitoring wells on the northern perimeter (closest to ARCO site) that had or continue to have much higher levels of gasoline hydrocarbons in groundwater than wells on the ARCO site. As ARCO had presented the ACHCSA a work plan in which part of the proposed work was installation of offsite groundwater monitoring wells, it was agreed the offsite portion of the work would not proceed until some of the other responsible parties were contacted by the ACHCSA. ARCO would proceed with the onsite portion of work proposed in the work plan, which included a records search to identify potential offsite sources of hydrocarbons, installation of additional onsite monitoring wells, installation of onsite vapor extraction wells, and performing a vapor extraction test. The report for this portion of the work is due to ACHCSA on February 1, 1993.

Following three months monitoring of the new and pre-existing monitoring wells at the site to determine optimal positioning of a groundwater recovery well (RW-1), RW-1 will be installed and an aquifer test will be performed at the site. The report with results of the installation of the recovery well and aquifer test is due to ACHCSA on August 1, 1993. A Remedial Action Plan is due to the ACHCSA on September 1, 1993, and design of an
interim remediation system for the site is to be completed by November 1, 1993. With this schedule, construction bids should be secured by December 1, 1993, which will allow for construction of the interim remediation system to begin on February 1, 1994. This schedule provides for start-up of the system by April 1, 1994.

The offsite investigation was not placed on any definite schedule during the meeting due to information provided by Mr. Seery which showed the properties across the streets from the ARCO site (Unocal, BP, and former Shell sites) with groundwater impacted by greater concentrations of gasoline constituents than the ARCO site. This information concerning these properties across the street also indicated some of these sites with monitoring wells which are located near property boundaries (closest to the ARCO site) which contain groundwater with relatively high concentrations of gasoline constituents. Based on this information, it was agreed that any offsite investigation by ARCO will be delayed as other parties appear more likely to be responsible for offsite groundwater which may be impacted by gasoline constituents.

## ARCO Station 2152, 22141 Center St., Castro Valley, California.

Discussion concerning this site was centered upon starting dates for construction of the soil vapor extraction system at the site. Mr. Whelan explained that the actual date for beginning construction at the site could vary anywhere from mid-November 1992 to mid-January 1993, due to internal ARCO factors associated with closing the station. Due to the amount of trenching to be performed for installation of remediation system piping, the site may have to be completely closed. In any event, the latest date given by ARCO for start-up of the system is March 15, 1993.

Groundwater has continued to contain non-detectable concentrations of gasoline hydrocarbons over the last few quarterly monitoring episodes and offsite investigation is not warranted. The extent of soils impacted by gasoline hydrocarbons has been delineated onsite.

ARCO Station 601, 712 Lewelling Blvd., San Leandro, California.
Mr. Seery said the contract between the City of San Leandro and ACHCSA was being worked out and was almost finished. He said this site was still considered to be in the deposit/refund section of oversight within the ACHCSA and would be transferred to the local oversight program (LOP) as soon as ACHCSA was granted the contract by the City. He requested a check from ARCO for $\$ 601.00$ for time he has spent reviewing the project at his $\$ 71.00 /$ hour rate.

November 4, 1992

The response letter to Mr. Seery's letter of July 30, 1992 was discussed next. Mr. Seery wanted to have additional soil borings near the former waste-oil tank drilled and sampled for waste-oil constituents. He cited the high ( 287.1 ppm ) lead content in samples previously collected from Boring B-6/MW-1 as rationale for this request. Mr. Whelan suggested we are proposing to stop any offsite migration of these compounds in groundwater with the proposed groundwater recovery system to be installed at the site and that ARCO would sample the perimeter well (MW-8) in the downgradient direction from the former waste-oil tank to ascertain that these compounds had not migrated to the site perimeter. It was pointed out that the soils at the site are very impermeable and migration of compounds from the former waste-oil tank would be very unlikely as evidenced by results from the ARCO vapor extraction test and the Aquifer test performed across the street from the site at the former Shell Station.

Mr. Seery would not agree with this proposal and asked that well MW-1, located near the former waste-oil tank be sampled and analyzed for waste-oil constituents. As this well has historically contained floating product, we explained that matrix interference would probably result. Discussion followed about laboratories as Mr. Seery stated that someone at Pace Laboratories had told him we could run analyses for halocarbons, metals, the 8270, and TOG without interference by the product in the well. It was agreed that this issue would be further explored. Mr. Seery stated that on some occasions, there was no product in well MW-1 but this had not coincided with our scheduled sampling dates. He suggested, and ARCO agreed to be ready to sample MW-1 on any occasion in which there is no product present.

ARCO suggested that we collect soil samples in proposed soil boring B-17, to be located in the southeast corner of the site, crossgradient from B-6/MW-1, and analyze them for lead content to establish background levels for lead at the site. This was suggested in response to Mr. Seery pointing out that B-6 contained lead at up to 130 ppm in samples collected below first-encountered groundwater at the site. As lead doesn't readily migrate downward through clays and silts, Mr. Whelan suggested this may be indicative of a regional problem with lead in soils.

Concerning Mr. Seery's assertion that ARCO may be required to investigate the deeper water-bearing zone for possible hydrocarbon impact, he stated this may be required in the future. He stated that boring logs from the site showed bioturbation and roots at depths up to $16-1 / 2$ feet. Mr. Coffman pointed out that soil samples collected from the clay aquitard beneath the site contained nondetectable amounts of gasoline hydrocarbons and that according to the GeoStrategies report concerning the former Shell site across the street from the ARCO site, no deeper aquifer was encountered at depths up to 25 feet below ground surface.

It was discussed that we would have the report of additional on and offsite investigation to Mr. Seery approximately 16 weeks from the date agreement was reached on scope of work to be performed and that this schedule may be altered due to city and other offsite encroachment concerns.

Discussion concerning the remediation schedule for this site included ARCO informing Mr. Seery of the fact that City Planning and Engineering Departments were requiring plans and specifications for the trench remediation system to be signed and stamped by a Professional Civil Engineer. ARCO also informed Mr. Seery that permitting delays can be expected due to the complex nature of the interim remediation system to be installed. These delays will move the anticipated start-up date for the system to the third quarter of 1993 and possibly into the fourth quarter 1993.

Discussion concluded with agreement that ARCO and Mr. Seery would speak again the week of October 5, 1992 concerning this site. The meeting was concluded at approximately 5:15 p.m.

Mr. Joel Coffman and Mr. Seery spoke on October 9, 1992 regarding ARCO's decision to proceed with drilling and sampling three soil borings in the vicinity of the former waste-oil tank, as Mr. Seery requested. These borings were drilled and sampled by RESNA on October 12, 1992 and samples from these borings will be analyzed for the waste-oil constituents as outlined in Table 2 of the Regional Water Quality Control Board's August 10, 1990 recommendations.

If you have any questions or comments concerning these minutes to the meeting, please call us at (408) 264-7723.

Sincerely, RESNA Industries Inc.


cc: $\quad$ Michael Whelan, ARCO Products Company Chris Winsor, ARCO Products Company John Meck, ARCO Legal Dept. John Jang, RWQCB Richard Hiett, RWQCB John Vargas, GeoStrategies

Ms. Susan Hugo<br>Alameda County Department of Environmental Health<br>80 Swan Way<br>Oakland, California 94621

## ARCO Products Company Facilities in Alameda County

Dear Ms. Hugo:
Please find attached, Quarterly Summary Reports (QSRs) for ARCO Products Company Service Stations in Alameda County. The QSRs summarize activities conducted by ARCO at the respective sites during the third quarter of 1992; also included are projected site activities for the fourth quarter of 1992 and a bibliography of reports submitted for each location.

The QSRs are classified by city and address within Alameda County. We are submitting this document and attached QSRs as agreed. Please note that we are forwarding copies of the QSRs to the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB).

Please note that ARCO Products Company has reviewed the RWQCB's February 19, 1991 printout of ARCO fuel leak sites. We have evaluated each site with respect to ARCO's responsibility for investigation, monitoring, and/or remediation. Those locations for which ARCO is not responsible were listed and described in the QSR package delivered to you on July 15, 1991. The attached QSRs therefore represent only those locations for which ARCO is responsible.

ARCO is planning the next comprehensive QSR submittal for ARCO sites on January 15, 1993. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions regarding this submittal.

Sincerely yours,


Kyle A. Christie

Environmental Engineer
Attachments: ARCO Facility QSRs


STATUS (Date indicates when case moved into status)


MILESTONES:
The waste oil tank was removed in May, 1987 and the underground storage tanks were replaced in August, 1990. In September 1991, four monitoring wells, one recovery well, and three vapor extraction wells were installed on-site. In October 1991, A vapor extraction pilot test was performed. In December 1991, a 4 hour step/24 hour constant-rate aquifcr test was performed. In January 1992, four vapor extraction wells were installed on-site. One down-gradient, off-site monitoring well and one on-site recovery well was installed in June 1992. Refer to the attached page for a chronological listing of reports issued for this site.

$$
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
$$

RECENT ACTIVITIES/FINDINGS:
Third quarter, 1992 ground-water monitoring and sampling were performed. Issued a Quarterly Monitoring/Well Installation Report presenting second quarter, 1992 sampling and well installation results. Issued a Remedial Action Plan. Issued a report documenting the installation of four additional vapor extraction wells and aquifer test. Released remedial system construction for bidding. Awarded remedial system contruction contract.

## ANTICIPATED ACTIVITIES:

Quartcrly ground-water monitoring and sampling will continue. Issue Quarterly Monitoring Report documenting third quarter, 1992 sampling results. Begin construction of the remedial system.

## REPORT

Limited Environmental Site Assessment

Tank Replacement Report
Work Plan
Trench Excavation/Soil Aeration Report

Continuing Site Assessment/ Quarterly Monitoring Report

Quarterly Monitoring Report
Aquifer Test/Vapor Well Installation Report

Interim Remedial Action Plan
Quarterly Monitoring/Well
Installation Report

## DATE

February 20, 1990

November 7, 1990
January 2, 1991
May 3, 1991

January 27, 1992

April 10, 1992
August 27, 1992

August 27, 1992
September 25, 1992

## CONSULTANT

## Applied GeoSystems

GeoStrategies Inc.
GeoStrategies Inc.
GeoStrategies Inc.

GeoStrategies Inc.

GeoStrategies Inc.
GeoStrategies Inc.

GeoStrategies Inc.
GeoStrategies Inc.

San Jose, CA 95118
Phone: (408) 264-7723
Fax: (408) 264-2435
September 23, 1992
0923shgo
Ms. Susan Hugo
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
Department of Environmental Health
80 Swan Way, Room 200
Oakland, California 94612
Subject: Proposed Agenda for Meeting at your office on September 30, 1992.
Dear Ms. Hugo:
We have prepared this proposed agenda for the meeting we have scheduled at your office on September 30, 1992. This meeting will be for discussion of sites under direction of Mr. Richard Hiett and Mr. John Jang of the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB). We understand these RWQCB personnel may not be able to attend the entire meetings but may be able to stop by for site specific discussions. A separate meeting to discuss sites overseen by Mr. Eddie So of the RWQCB will have to be scheduled at another date as he has other agencies involved with many of his sites.

Items we would like to discuss include the following: ongoing assessment at the sites, offsite access problems and possible offsite contributing polluters, schedules for remediation, and other issues as they arise in discussing the sites. If you have any questions or comments concerning this proposed agenda, please call us at (408) 264-7723.

Sincerely, RESNA Industries, Inc.


Project Geologist

cc: Michael Whelan, ARCO Products Company John Jang, RWQCB<br>Richard Hiett, RWQCB<br>John Vargas, GeoStrategies

## Proposed Agenda for September 30, 1992 ACHCSA Meeting




April 13, 1992

$$
92 \therefore \because \because 200
$$

Ms. Susan Hugo<br>Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 80 Swan Way<br>Oakland, California 94621

ARCO Products Company Facilities in Alameda County
Dear Ms. Hugo:
Please find attached, Quarterly Summary Reports (QSRs) for ARCO Products Company Service Stations in Alameda County. The QSRs summarize activities conducted by ARCO at the respective sites during the first quarter of 1992; also included are projected site activities for the second quarter of 1992 and a bibliography of reports submitted for each location.

The QSRs are classified by city and address within Alameda County. We are submitting this document and attached QSRs as agreed. Please note that we are forwarding copies of the QSRs to the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB).

Please note that ARCO Products Company has reviewed the RWQCB's February 19, 1991 printout of ARCO fuel leak sites. We have evaluated each site with respect to ARCO's responsibility for investigation, monitoring, and/or remediation. Those locations for which ARCO is not responsible were listed and described in the QSR package delivered to you on July 15, 1991. The attached QSRs therefore represent only those locations for which ARCO is responsible.

ARCO is planning a subsequent comprehensive QSR submittal for ARCO sites on July 15, 1992. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions regarding this submittal.

Sincerely yours,


Kyle A. Christie
Environmental Engineer
Attachments: ARCO Facility QSRs

June 12, 1992

ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 5811

San Mateo, California 94402
Attention: Mr. Michael Whelan
Reference: Monthly Site Status Update
ARCO Service Station No. 2112
1260 Park Street
Alameda, California 94501
Mr. Whelan:
This letter provides an update on activities for the site referenced above. This update reports site activities performed during the month of May, 1992 and site activities anticipated for the month of June, 1992.

Status of Previous Month's Activities:

* Completed design and equipment selection for the proposed remedial system.
* Prepared Remedial Action Plan.

Anticipated Work to be Performed in the Next Month:

* Ground-water sampling and monitoring for the second quarter, 1992 will be performed.
* Issue Aquifer Test/Well Installation Report to ARCO, ACHA, and RWQCB.
* Secure encroachment permits from the City of Alameda for the proposed off-site recovery well and monitoring well.
* Apply for POTW permit for water discharge from the proposed groundwater treatment system.
* Apply for an air discharge permit from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District for the proposed vapor extraction system.
* Issue draft Remedial Action Plan to ARCO.

Mr. Whelan:
This letter provides an update on activities for the site referenced above. This update reports site activities performed during the month of April, 1992 and site activities anticipated for the month of May, 1992.

Status of Previous Month's Activities:

* Issued Quarterly Monitoring Report for the first quarter, 1992 to ARCO, ACHA, and RWQCB.


## Anticipated Work to be Performed in the Next Month:

* Ground-water sampling and monitoring for the second quarter, 1992 will be performed.
* Issue Aquifer Test/Well Installation Report to ARCO, ACHA, and RWQCB.

Please call if you have any questions concerning this letter.
Sincerely,


JFV:rcm
cc: Ms. Susan Hugo, Alameda County Health Agency, Department of Environmental Health (certified mail) Mr. Eddy So, RWQCB, San Francisco Bay Region

May 21, 1992

ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 5811

San Mateo, California 94402
Attention: Mr. Michael Whelan
Reference: Monthly Site Status Update
ARCO Service Station No. 0414
3000 Shattuck Ave./Ashby
Berkeley, California 94705
Mr. Whelan:
This letter provides an update on activities for the site referenced above. This update reports site activities performed during the month of April 1992 and site activities anticipated for the month of May 1992.

Status of Previous Month's Activities:

* Ground-water sampling and monitoring for the first quarter, 1992 was performed.

Anticipated Work to be Performed in the Next Month:

* Issue draft Quarterly Monitroing Report for the first quarter, 1992 to ARLO.

Please call if you have any questions concerning this letter.
Sincerely,


JFV:rcm
cc: Mr. Mohammed Janjua, City of Berkeley, Department of Environmental Health and Human Services. (certified mail) Mr. Eddy So, RWQCB, San Francisco Bay Region.

May 21, 1992

ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 5811

San Mateo, California 94402
Attention: Mr. Michael Whelan
Reference: Monthly Site Status Update Former Thrifty Oil Company Site ARCO Service Station No. 5387 20200 Hesperian Blvd. Hayward, California 94541

Mr. Whelan:
This letter provides an update on activities for the site referenced above. This update reports site activities performed during the month of April, 1992 and site activities anticipated for the month of May, 1992.

Status of Previous Month's Activities:

* Issued draft Quarterly Monitoring Report for the first quarter, 1992 to ARCS.

Anticipated Work to be Performed in the Next Month:

* Issue Quarterly Monitoring Report for the first quarter, 1992 to ARCO, ACHA, and RWQCB.

Please call if you have any questions concerning this letter.
Sincerely,


John F. Vargas
Senior Geologist
JFV:rcm
cc: Ms. Pamela Evans, Alameda County Health Agency, Department of Environmental Health (Certified Mail) Mr. Eddy So, RWQCB, San Francisco Bay Region.

GeoStrategies Inc.
2140 WEST WINTON AVENUE
HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA 94545

May 21, 1992

## ARCO Products Company

P.O. Box 5811

San Mateo, California 94402
Attention: Mr. Michael Whelan
Reference: Monthly Site Status Update
ARCO Service Station No. 2169
889 West Grand Avenue
Oakland, California 94607
Dear Mr. Whelan:
This letter provides an update on activities for the site referenced above. This update reports site activities performed during the month of April, 1992 and site activities anticipated for May, 1992.

## Status of Previous Month's Activities:

* Tank replacement project and soil aeration have been completed.
* Sent letter to Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District in response to their letter concerning the report required to be submitted to them as a condition of their drilling permit. The report will be submitted upon completion of the drilling project.


## Anticipated Work to be Performed in the Next Month:

* Issue draft Well Installation Report documenting the installation of four ground-water monitoring wells and one ground-water extraction well on-site and soil and ground-water sample analyses.
* Installation of three on-site vapor extraction wells and an additional recovery well in the vicinity of the present tank complex. Installation of the vapor extraction wells was delayed due to the tank replacement project.

```
ARCO Products Company
May 21, }199
Page 2
```

Please call if you have any questions concerning this letter.
Very truly yours,


JFV:rcm
cc: Mr. Dennis Byrne, Alameda County Health Agency, Department of Environmental Health. (certified mail) Mr. Eddy So, RWQCB, San Francisco Bay Region

May 21, 1992

ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 5811

San Mateo, California 94402
Attention: Mr. Michael Whelan
Reference: Monthly Site Status Update ARCO Service Station No. 4931 731 West MacArthur Boulevard Oakland, California 94611

Dear Mr. Whelan:
This letter provides an update on activities for the site referenced above. This update reports site activities performed during the month of April, 1992 and site activities anticipated for the month of May, 1992.

Status of Previous Month's Activities:

* Continuing tank replacement project.
* Recieved an amendment to building permit for the demolition of on-site restroom building.
* Recieved conditional approval of groundwater discharge permit (POTW) final approval is on hold pending initial start-up of remedial system.
* Applied for encroachment permits with the City of Oakland for the proposed up-gradient monitoring well on MacArthur Boulevard.


## Anticipated Work to be Performed in the Next Month:

* Issue Vapor Extraction Test Report to ARCO, ACHA, and RWQCB.
* Ground-water sampling and monitoring will be performed for the second quarter, 1992.
* Completion of tank replacement project.
* Secure encroachment permit from the City of Oakland.
* Installation of three on-site ground-water recovery wells and one off-site, up-gradient monitoring well.

GeoStrategies Inc.

ARCO Products Company
May 21, 1992
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* Installation of E-Z Horne product skimmer in Well A-8 to recover floating product.
* Initiate construction of the remediation system trenching.

Please call if you have any questions concerning this letter.
Sincerely,
Plied CP Miliary
John F. Vargas Fore
Senior Geologist
JFV:rcm
cc: Ms. Susan Hugo, Alameda County Health Agency, Department of Environmental Health (Certified Mail). Mr. Eddy So, RWQCB - San Fancisco Bay Region


MILESTONES:
The waste oil tank was removed in May, 1987 and the underground storage tanks were replaced in August, 1990. In September 1991, four monitoring wells, one recovery well, and three vapor extraction wells were installed on-site. In October 1991, A vapor extraction pilot test was performed. In December 1991, a 4 hour step/ 24 hour constant-rate aquifer test was performed. Please refer to the attached page for a chronological listing of reports issued for this site.

## RECENT ACTIVITIES/FINDINGS:

Four vapor extraction wells were installed on-site in January. Quarterly ground-water monitoring and sampling were performed. Issued report documenting the installation of four monitoring wells, three vapor extraction wells, one ground-water recovery well and the results of a vapor extraction test.

## ANTICIPATED ACTIVITIES:

Quarterly monitoring and sampling will proceed on schedule. Issue a Remedial Action Plan and reports documenting the above mentioned activities.

## REPORT

## DATE

February 20, 1990
November 7, 1990
January 2, 1991
May 3, 1991

January 27, 1992

## CONSULTANT

Limited Environmental Site Assessment
Tank Replacement Report
Work Plan
Trench Excavation/Soil Aeration Report

Continuing Site Assessment/Quarterly Monitoring Report Monitorisg Report

February 28, 1992


Status of Previous Month's Activities:

* Quarterly Monitoring/Sampling was performed.

Anticipated Work to be Performed in the Next Month:

* Final report presenting the results of the Aquifer test and four additional vapor extraction wells forthcoming.

Please call if you have any questions concerning this letter.
Sincerely,
Outs fill argo

JFV: rem
cc: Ms. Susan Hugo, Alameda County Health Agency, Department of Environmental Health (certified mail) Mr. Eddy So, RWQCB, San Francisco Bay Region

January 14, 1992


Mr. Edgar Howell
Alameda County Department of Environmental Health
80 Swan Way
Oakland, California 94621

## ARCO Products Company Facilities in Alameda County

Dear Mr. Howell:

Please find attached, Quarterly Summary Reports (QSRs) for ARCO Products Company Service Stations in Alameda County. The QSRs summarize activities conducted by ARCO at the respective sites during the fourth quarter of 1991; also included are projected site activities for the first quarter of 1992 and a bibliography of reports submitted for each location.

The QSRs are classified by city and address within Alameda County. We are submitting this document and attached QSRs as agreed. Please note that we are forwarding copies of the QSRs to the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB).

Please note that ARCO Products Company has reviewed the RWQCB's February 19, 1991 printout of ARCO fuel leak sites. We have evaluated each site with respect to ARCO's responsibility for investigation, monitoring, and/or remediation. Those locations for which ARCO is not responsible were listed and described in the QSR package delivered to you on July 15, 1991. The attached QSRs therefore represent only those locations for which ARCO is responsible.

ARCO is planning a subsequent comprehensive QSR submittal for ARCO sites on April 15, 1992. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions regarding this submittal.

Sincerely yours,


Kyle A. Christie
Environmental Engineer
Attachments: ARCO Facility QSRs


## MILESTONES:

The waste oil tank was removed in May, 1987 and the underground storage tanks were replaced in August, 1990. Please refer to the attached page for a chronological listing of reports issued for this site.

## RECENT ACTIVITIES/FINDINGS:

Four groundwater monitoring wells, seven vapor extraction wells, and one groundwater recovery well were installed in September and Jaurary 1991. A vapor extraction pilot test and a 4 hour step/ 24 hour constant-rate test have been peformed at this site.

ANTICIPATED ACTIVITIES:
Quarterly monitoring and sampling will proceed on schedule. Issue reports documenting the above mentioned activities.

## REPORT

Limited Environmental Site Assessment
Tank Replacement Report
Work Plan
Trench Excavation/Soil Aeration Report

## DATE

February 20, 1990
November 7, 1990
January 2, 1991
May 3, 1991

## CONSULTANT

Applied GeoSystems
GeoStrategies Inc.
GeoStrategies Inc.
GeoStrategies Inc.

January 31, 1992

ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 5811

San Mateo, California 94402
Attention: Mr. Charles Carmel
Reference: Monthly Site Status Update ARCO Service Station 2112
1260 Park Street
Alameda, California 94501
Mr. Carmel:
This letter provides an update on activities for the site referenced above. This update reports site activities performed during the month of January, 1992 and site activities anticipated for the month of February, 1992.

Status of Previous Month's Activities:
December 18, 1991: Performed 4-hour Step/24-hour Constant-rate Aquifer test utilizing groundwater recovery well AR-1.

January 2, 1992: Installed four additonal vapor extraction wells on-site.
January 28, 1992: Issued final Continuing Site Assessment/Quarterly
Monitoring report.
Anticipated Work to be Performed in the Next Month:

* Final report presenting the results of the above mentioned Aquifer test and four additional vapor extraction wells forthcoming.

Please call if you have any questions concerning this letter.
Sincerely,

John F. Vargas
JFV/tdl
cc: Ms. Susan Hugo, Alameda County Health Agency, Department of Environmental Health (certified mail)

November 26, 1991

ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 5811

San Mateo, California 94402
Attention: Mr. Charles Carmel
Reference: Monthly Site Status Update
ARCO Service Station 2112
1260 Park Street
Alameda, California 94501
Mr. Carmel:
This letter provides an update on activities for the site referenced above. This update reports site activities performed during the month of November, 1991 and site activities anticipated for the month of December, 1991.

## Status of Previous Month's Activities:

* November 19, 1991: Draft report sent to ARCO presenting the results of the installation of four groundwater monitoring wells, Three vapor extraction wells, and one groundwater recovery well. This report also includes the results of a soil vapor extraction pilot test.


## Anticipated Work to be Performed in the Next Month:

* Final report presenting the above mentioned work forthcoming

Please call if you have any questions concerning this letter.
Sincerely,


JFV/tdl
cc: Ms. Susan Hugo, Alameda County Health Agency, Department of Environmental Health (certified mail)

October 29, 1991

Mr. Paul M. Smith
Certified Mail
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
80 Swan Way, Room 200
Oakland, California 94621

## RE: UST PERMITS

Dear Mr. Smith:
Due to a major reorganization of Arco Products Company, our Northern California office has been closed. Consequently, all environmental matters and permit coordination are now being handled in the Environmental, Health, and Safety Department in the Los Angeles office.

Our files for Arco facilities in Alameda County contain the attached locations. According to our records, please note the following relative to these locations:

- All Underground Storage Tank permit fees are current.
- $A$ and $B$ forms have been submitted to your office and copies are on file in our office of these forms.
- The following two locations have physical Underground Storage Tank permits issued for a five-year duration, expiring in 1993:

Arco Facility \#4494 Arco Facility \#6002 566 Hegenberger Rd. 6235 Seminary Ave. Oakland

Mr. Smith
October 29, 1991
Page 2

It is my understanding that the remaining 16 facilities require an inspection to be done by your office in order for an Underground Storage Tank permit to be issued. Would you please provide me with scheduled dates for inspections to be done at these facilities by your agency.

For future reference, please send all Underground Storage Tank correspondence, renewals, and permits to my attention at the above address.

If you have any questions regarding these matters, I would be pleased to respond to them. I can be reached at 213/404-5347.

I look forward to hearing from you.


Permit Coordinator
Environmental, Health, and Safety
Attachment

> ARCO PRODUCTS COMPANY
> FACILITY LIST - LOS ANGELES REGION
> BY STATE COUNTY
> 10/24/1991, 09:20 AM
> STATE: CALIFORNIA COUNTY: ALAMEDA

| FAC | DEALER | ADDRESS | CITY/STATE |  | ZIP | CROSS STREET | PHONE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FAC |  |  | OAKLAND | CA | 94605 | 10TH AVE/mACARTHUR B | 415-635-4641 |
| 00276 | dai van vo | 10600 Macarthur blvd | OAKLAND |  |  |  | 415-658-7508 |
| 00374 | SANTOSH KUMAR SUD | 6407 TELEGRAPH AVENU | OAKLAND | CA | 94609 | alcatraz ave/telegra | 415-658-7508 |
| 00498 | REGION OPERATION 5157 | 286 SO LIVERMORE AVE | LIVERMORE | CA | 94550 | 3RD ST/S LIVERMORE A | 415-449-1448 |
| 00608 | JAIME \& LEONORA ABADAM Sog | 17601 HESPERIAN BLVD 899 RINCON AVENUE | SAN LORENZO LIVERMORE | $\begin{aligned} & C A \\ & C A \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94580 \\ & 94550 \end{aligned}$ | HACIENDA/HESPERIAN B PINE/RINCON AVE | $\begin{aligned} & 415-278-2977 \\ & 415-447-1329 \end{aligned}$ |
| 00771 | JERRY SHIELDS | 899 RINCON AVENUE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02035 | RAHMAN FARSI/MAJID GHANADAN | 1001 SAN PABLO AVENU | ALBANY OAKLAND | $\begin{aligned} & C A \\ & C A \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94706 \\ & 94610 \end{aligned}$ | MARIN/SAN PABLO AVE MACARTHUR/PARK BLVD | $\begin{aligned} & 415-525-1362 \\ & 415-532-1716 \end{aligned}$ |
| 02107 | PRITINDER ARORA |  |  |  |  |  | 415-865-7335 |
| 02112 | JAVAD ROOSHAN 5 gr | 1260 PARK STREET | ALAMEDA | CA | 94501 | ENCINAL/PARK | 415-865-7335 |
| 02152 | James a Walker | 22141 CENTER ST. | CASTRO VALLEY | CA | 94546 | GROVE/CENTER ST | 415-581-1268 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 415-465-4450 |
| 02169 | RAMESH SOOD | 889 W GRAND AVE | OAKLAND | CA | 94607 | 98 TH/E 14 TH | 415-568-0590 |
| 02185 | B J A INC | 9800 E 14TH ST | OAKLAND | CA | 94605 | EDES/HEGENBERGER RD | 415-569-7561 |
| 04494 | KHALIL N ROOSHAN IVG | 566 HEGENBERGER RDD | OAKLAND | CA | 94611 | WEST/W MACARTHUR BEV | 415-658-5000 |
| 04931 | VINTNERS DISTRIBUTORS INC MICHAEL SEROY | 2770 CASTRO VALLEY R | CASTRO VALLEY | CA | 94546 | WISTERIA/CASTRO VALL | 415-582-6919 |
| 04977 | MICHAEL SEROY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | HWY 580/SEMINARY | 415-633-1042 |
| 06002 | SAMIR PATEL 5 寿 | 6235 SEMINARY AVENUE | OAKLAND | CA | 94566 | AMADOR/VILLAGE PKWY | 415-828-3163 |
| 06041 | RONALD E TEUTSCH | 7249 VILLAGE PARKWAY | LIVERMORE | CA | 94550 | MURRIETTA/E STANLEY | 415-449-2024 |
| 06113 | PRESTIGE STATIONS INC 5158 | 785 E STANLEY BLV | OAKLAND | CA | 94609 | 52ND/SHATTUCK | 415-654-3461 |



## Re: ARCO Station \#

" I declare, that to the best of my knowledge at the present time, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached proposal or report are true and correct."

Submitted by:


Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 80 Swan Way
Oakland, California 94621
Attention: Mr. Edgar Howell

## ARCO Products Company Facilities in Alameda County

## Dear Mr. Howell:

Please find attached, Quarterly Summary Reports (QSRs) for ARCO Products Company Service Stations in Alameda County. The QSRs summarize activities conducted by ARCO at the respective sites during the third quarter of 1991; also included are projected site activities for the fourth quarter of 1991 and a bibliography of reports submitted for each location.

The QSRs are classified by County and by address within the County. We are submitting this document and attached QSRs as previously agreed. Please note that we are forwarding copies of the QSRs to the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB).

Please also note that ARCO Products Company has reviewed the RWQCB's February 19, 1991 printout of ARCO fuel leak sites. We evaluated each site with respect to ARCO's responsibility for investigation, monitoring, and/or remediation. Those locations for which ARCO is not responsible were listed and described in the QSR package delivered to you on July 15, 1991. The attached QSRs therefore represent only those locations for which ARCO is responsible.

ARCO is planning a subsequent QSR submittal for ARCO sites on January 15, 1992. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions regarding this submittal.

Sincerely,


Attachments:
ARCO Facility QSRs

DAVDJ.KEARS, Ag

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Hazardous Materials Program 80 Swan Way, Rm. 200 Oakland, CA 94621 (415)

Mr. Frank Wells
ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 6411

Artesia, CA 90702-6411

RE: Inspection Reports on ARCO Facilities

Dear Mr. Wells:
Per ARCO's request, the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health, Hazardous Materials Division is forwarding copies of reports generated from inspection of ARCO facilities by this office. These enclosures include inspection reports for August, 1991.

Copies of the generator, Hazardous Materials Management Plan and underground storage tank inspection reports that representatives from this office routinely leave with the dealer/operator at the site will be forwarded to ARCO on a monthly basis.

Please contact me at (510) 271-4320 if you have any questions concerning this letter.

Sincerely,
Susan


Susan L. Hugo
Hazardous Materials Specialist
enclosures
cc: Rafat A. Shahid, Asst. Agency Director, Environmental Health Howard Hatayama, state Department of Health Services Lester Feldman, San Francisco Bay RWQCB Mark Thompson, Alameda County District Attorney's Office Files


ALAMEDA COUNTY, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Hazardous Materials Inspection Form

80 Swan Way, \#200 Oakland, CA 94621
(415) 271-4320

II, III
site
Site Name AM-PM ARCO Today'sf
Date $1,1 / 1$ site Address 1260 Bank Que.

__ MAX AMT stored $>500 \mathrm{lbs} .55$ gal. 200 cft ?
Inspection Categories:
_ I. Haz. Mat/Waste GENERATOR/TRANSPORTER
-_H. Business Plans. Acute Hazardous Materials
\& III. Underground Tanks

- Calif. Administration Code (CAC) or the Health \& Safety Code (HS\&C)

Cogmensisin Service Station virtu minimabel
III. UNDERGROUND TANKS (THe 23)


Rev 6/8B

```
white -env.health
yellow -facilly

ALAMEDA COUNTY, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

\section*{Hazardous Materials Inspection Form}
II.A BUSINESS PLANS (THIE 19)

II.B ACUTELY HAZ. MATLS

III. UNDERGROUND TANKS (Tille 23)

\(\int^{\text {Site }}\) \#779 \({ }^{\text {Site }}\) Name \(\triangle R C O\) PETROLEUM \#608 Today'8, 15,91
Site Address 17601 Hesperian Burd
Clity Saw hovengo Zip 94580 Phone 278-2977 MAX AMT stored \(>500 \mathrm{lbs}, 55 \mathrm{gal} .200 \mathrm{cft}\) ?

\section*{Inspection estegories:}
1. Haz. Mat/Waste GENERATOR/TRANSPORTER
II. Business Plans. Acute Hazardous Materials
\(\checkmark\) III. Underground Tanks


THE DEMVER KEEPS THE FOLMNDG REEORDS
DD-SIE:
(1) WRITTED MWITTORIDG PLANO (ALTHANG+1 ADTHSWFFACHANT In FORMATIOD)
(a) DALLY DNVENTORY RECONCMAATMS
(3) AGRETMETT BETWEAS ARCO and DEALER TO KEEP JHONITORANG RELORDS O-SITE FOR (3)
YEARS TRUKS CITELICE DUEGUTY RECORAE, SERNICE (4) TRUKS C: PITELILE DUEZATY RELORAS, SERUICE
 BE TMGAD ID ORDER TO COMPLY WTH TTLE 23 REGWLATIODS NDD RELATED HONTH í SAFETY CODE: (1) PRONDE US WTTH A COFY DF AN UPDPTED, STEEK Wrimpoditornd PUAN. CURRET COPY WMCH is \(\Longrightarrow\) OD-FILE and AT THE SITE IS INSUFIFICINDT. DETMILS OF WIHT A WRATTO MODTITMAS PLAN SHOULD CONSIST OF WILL BE INCLUDED IN
A LUTLER TO BE SENT an winti A wek.
(2) SUBMT A SITE-SPEUFIC WRATTEX

SPIVLILEANC RYBPODSE DUAN DEANDG WiTH II, Ill Contact: Nrimic AB NDTMUTHO

Title:
Signature:

inspector: MARYA ANTDETE B. NEXDDOEA Signature:

white -env.health yellow -facillty plnk -fles

ALAMEDA COUNTY, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

\section*{Hazardous Materials Inspection form}
II.A BUSINESS PLANS (THE 19)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & 1. Itronediate Reporting \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & 2. Bus. Plon stids. \\
\hline & 3. Rn Cars > 30 doys \\
\hline & 4. inventory inlommation \\
\hline & 5. Inventory Complele \\
\hline & 6. Emergency Response \\
\hline & 7. Jraining \\
\hline & 8. Deflclency \\
\hline & 9. Modification \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{1.8 ACUTELY HAZ MATLS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline . Registation form & 25533(0) \\
\hline Complele & 25533(b) \\
\hline 12. RMPP Contents & 25534(c) \\
\hline 13. mplerrent Sch. Read? (Y/N) & \\
\hline 14. Otrsite Conseq. Asse & 25524(c) \\
\hline . Proboble Risk Assessme & 25534(d) \\
\hline Persons Respor & 25534(0) \\
\hline Certincation & 255340 \\
\hline Exemption Requert? (V/L) & 25536 (b) \\
\hline Trade Socret Requesto & 25538 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
III. UNDERGROUND TANKS (Tille 23)


\footnotetext{
Rev 6/88
}

2703
\(25503(b)\) \(25503(\mathrm{~b})\)
25503.7 25503.7
\(25504(\mathrm{a})\) 25504
2730 2730
\(25504(b)\) \(25504(\mathrm{~b})\)
\(25504(\mathrm{c})\) 25505(a) 25505(b)

Site \# 779 Site Name ARCD prmantoul \#60\% Today's, 15 , 9)
" Calif. Administration Code (CAC) or the Health \& Safety Code (HS\&C)


Inspector: Signature:

MAFEA AOTOMTE B Movara The cinceres onoura
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
white & -env.health \\
yellow & -facillty \\
pink & -files
\end{tabular}

II. 8 ACUTELY HAZ MATLS

iil. UNDERGROUND TANKS (THIE 23)


Rov 6/88

ID \# \(\qquad\) Site
site Addrass for San Pubto Ave -------------


Inseection Cotegories:
__ 1. Haz. Mat/Waste GENERATOR/TRANSPORTER
\(\qquad\) II. Business Plans. Acute Hazardous Materials
\(\qquad\) iii. Underground Tanks
comments:
 uGTs on ofte. Ale fous theba. have-heen tested by coury 3 minhern . Thente rexulite dee tugh, we will qut nealte atow. offer nextweene. Peal tecket time Lenk dreckr Leat tr be coree wect of of \(26 / 51\). Mi. Sikenel hea dine, revmiculaz



 derel pump *9. Clewn off the eslers nsex when The diepuresen sita. Thit to be deroel in 7 lay.
(2) Suchit quentenk perwnon neports tup preverina
 (5) to ow offecen Subunt with 10 dengin)
 on offeie.
(t) Subint ted axeket Lan- Leate detectoi teat resalta in 10 biop. Ast Methaxal Leak Alert unoulong sypitimuse wos.

contact: \(V O H N D S R S=\) TKKANS
Title:
Signature: \(\qquad\) inspector:


Once sel above correctione are Lone a syees lexdengsobe tank bemnt will the iossked.
white -env.health yellow facillty pink -files

ALAmEDA COUNTY, DEPARTMEN OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH


Calif. Administration Code (CAC) or the Health \& Safety Code (HS\&C)


September 11, 1991

Ms. Susan Hugo
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Health Care Services
Department of Environmental Health
80 Swan Way
Room 200
Oakland, California 94621

RE: ARCO Service Station \#2112 -- 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California

Dear Ms. Hugo:
This letter is submitted in response to your letter of August 29, 1991 concerning remediation activities at this gasoline service station.

It is clear from the recent exchange of correspondence between ARCO and the Alameda County Health Care Services, Department of Environmental Health that there is some confusion and misunderstanding about what information has been provided to the County by ARCO concerning the removal of underground storage tanks and contaminated soil at this facility and the remediation of soil and groundwater. For ARCO's part, I apologize if we are responsible for any of this confusion.

Our review of the files on this facility, which are described in detail below, indicates that ARCO has attempted to provide all of the information requested by the county in a timely fashion and in accordance with all appropriate regulatory requirements. I trust that this letter and the attached information will end any confusion concerning ARCO's activities at this facility and that we will be able to move ahead quickly with the task of remediating any remaining contamination.

As a starting point, I would like to point out that as the recent exchange of correspondence demonstrates, ARCO had provided to the County by April 1991 all the information requested or needed to approve the proposed workplan prepared by GeoStrategies, Inc., dated January 2, 1991 (see Attachment 1). The requested information was provided to Ms. Katherine Chesick orally
in April of this year and in written form in May. Our position, which has not changed, is that we were prepared in January 1991 to implement the Workplan but did not do so because the county had not yet reviewed the Workplan.

Listed below, with reference to the numbered sections of your August 29 letter, is ARCO's response to the specific issues which you have raised:

\section*{1. Chronology}

In your August 29 letter, you state that the "Summary of communication" at page 1, paragraph 3, of my letter of August 26, 1991 is "accurate." By this, I take it to mean that you agree that Kyle Christie of ARCO informed Ms. Katherine Chesick of the County in early April 1991 that no changes to the proposed Workplan were anticipated and that ARCO was prepared to begin to implement the Workplan as soon as the County approved it.

Let me take this opportunity to summarize the chronology of the events that occurred thereafter. At Ms. Chesick's request, ARCO provided the County with the Trench Excavation/Soil Aeration Report prepared by GeoStrategies, Inc., dated May 3, 1991 (see Attachment 2) describing the soil samples taken from the piping excavation on the north side of the facility. As stated in my August 26 letter, Ms. Chesick contacted Keith Bullock of GettlerRyan, the contractor for site remediation work at the facility, on May 20, 1991 and informed him that the Workplan had not yet been reviewed. On June 17, 1991, Mr. Bullock spoke with Mr. Lowell Miller at the County and asked him who was responsible for approving the Workplan. Mr. Miller indicated that he was not certain of the individual to whom the project was assigned and said that he would call Mr. Bullock back to let him know. On August 5, 1991, Mr. Bullock spoke with you and asked if the Workplan had been approved. You informed him that you had recently sent out a letter on this site.

As of early April 1991, approximately five months ago, ARCO informed the County that no changes to the Workplan were anticipated and, as a result, was awaiting the County's approval to begin the Workplan's implementation. The additional information you have now requested in your letters of August 3 and 29, 1991 was not brought to ARCO's attention until those letters were received and ARCO was unaware that additional information would be so requested.
2. 1987 Removal of Waste Oil Tank and Associated Contaminated Soil

In your letter of August 29, you indicate that information on the method and location of disposal of any hazardous substances and any contaminated soils at the station was not
provided to the County by ARCO until August 26, 1991. This statement is not accurate.

The method and location of disposal of any tanks, piping and contaminated soils excavated in 1990 and 1991 are described in the November 7, 1990 GeoStrategies, Inc., Report (see Attachment 3) and in the May 1991 Report. The 550 gallon waste oil tank removed in May 1987, as described in my letter of August 26, 1991, was properly disposed of as was the associated contaminated soil. I have provided you with the manifest forms for this disposal as well as the name of the scrap metal company which received the waste oil tank. The information on the removal of this tank and the associated soil was provided to the County at the time of removal and subsequently in 1989.

On June 8, 1987, Ellen Cianciaruli of ARCO wrote Ted Gerow at the County enclosing the soil sample test results from the excavation of the waste oil tank at the Station (see Attachment 4). In that letter, she stated:
"All soil removed has been hauled to a Class I dump site and the excavation backfilled with clean sand."

Subsequently, on September 28, 1987, Mr. S. Hetznecker of Brown \& Caldwell, ARCO's contractor for the facility at that time, spoke by telephone with Mr. Ted Gerow of the Department (see Attachment 5). His notes of that conversation are as follows:
"According to information in the County file, specifically a letter dated June 16, 1987 from Ellen Cianiaruli of ARCO, says that the "dirty" soil was excavated and removed, an analysis shows the hole was excavated to cleanliness. Mr. Gerow says everything looks OK as far as the County goes. No further action at this point. His only question is: Will the site remain a service station?"

Clearly, the County had given its approval on the excavation and the information submitted. Subsequently, certain of these documents must have been misplaced by the county because on November 14, 1989, Greg Barclay, Project Branch Manager at Applied GeoStrategies, Inc. wrote to Mr. Ariu Levi at the County and provided the County with another set of the laboratory reports for the soil samples collected at the site in May 1987, another copy of the letter from Ms. Cianciaruli and a copy of the record of telephone conversation between Mr. Hetznecker and Mr. Gerow (see Attachment 6). Mr. Barclay asked Mr. Levi to call if he could be of any further assistance in this matter. No request for further information or documentation was made by the county. Since the waste oil tank was pulled more than four years ago, there has not
been any question as to whether the tank and associated contaminated soil were properly disposed of.

\section*{3. Piping and Aeration}

You state in your August 29 letter that ARCO had not previously informed you, prior to my letter of August 26, 1991, that all piping at the facility had been removed. This is incorrect. The piping was removed in two stages. The first stage was described in the November 1990 Report. Plate 4 to that Report shows the location of the first stage of piping that was removed from the vicinity of the facility pump islands. Page 8 of that Report states:
"After aerated soils have been removed from the site, the remaining product piping on the north side of the site will be removed."

The May 1991 Report which describes the removal of the remaining piping from the north side of the facility states at page 2:

\section*{"Trenches were excavated to expose and remove existing fuel product lines."}

These "existing fuel product lines" are shown in Plate 3 of the May 1991 Report. These reports, when read together, state that all the original piping was removed.

Your August 29 letter thanks ARCO for stating that no excavated soils were replaced in the ground. This point had been previously disclosed in the November 1990 and May 1991 Reports which state that excavated soil from the trenches was first stockpiled and sampled. Upon receipt of the chemical analyses, stockpiled soils were removed and transported to an appropriate disposal facility (November 1990 Report pp. 2-3; May 1991 Report pp. 3-4).

You next state that in your August 29 letter you have been "advised" that the BAAQMD was not notified twenty-four hours before the commencement of aeration of the excavated soil. To the contrary, Gettler-Ryan Inc., ARCO's consultant, provided notice to the District on August 9, 1990, twenty-four hours prior to the commencement of aeration pursuant to District Rule 8-40-403. Attachment 7 is a copy of Gettler's telephone log for August 9, 1990 indicating that such notice was made and a "Rapid Memo" to the Project File on the same subject. Further, the November 1990 Report, at page 3 , states that the soils on site were aerated in compliance with District guidelines. No soils were aerated in connection with the removal of piping at the north side of the facility, as described in the May 1991 Report.

\section*{4. Hazardous Waste Manifests}

ARCO has provided you with copies of the hazardous waste manifests for any tanks and associated-contaminated-soil removed from the facility. However, that Section 2652 of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations does not require that Hazardous waste manifests be submitted to the local agency. Section 2652 (c) (4) merely requires an indication as to "whether a hazardous waste manifest[s] is utilized."

With regard to Condition No. 22 of the "closure permit," that ARCO supply the manifests within 60 days of receipt of sample results, I assume you are referring to the Underground Tank Closure/Modification Plan (see Attachment 8). You are correct that Section 22 (c) of the Plan requests that ARCO forward to your office "TSD to Generator copies of wastes shipped and received." The manifests which were provided to you as an attachment to my August 26 letter confirmed that the hauler used to transport the contaminated soil from the facility was the hauler described in the plan. I very much appreciate your courtesy in pointing out to me any additional information that ARCO needs to provide to the County. I mistakenly had thought that, based on your August 3 letter, you required these manifests to be submitted before you could review the Workplan.

\section*{5. Adequacy of Proposed Workplan}

In your August 3 letter, you state that the Workplan submitted by ARCO is not "adequate" to fully define the extent of soil and groundwater contamination. In my letter to you of August 26, I explained that the Workplan proposes to include the installation of five onsite groundwater wells, three onsite vapor extraction wells and the performance of a vapor extraction test. Following the initial onsite groundwater monitoring, offsite wells may be installed if necessary to determine the extent of any dissolved contaminants. This assessment process is in keeping with the LUFT Manual (October 1989), as described in Section 7. below.

\section*{6. Purpose of Proposed Workplan}

As the Workplan states, and as described in Section 5. above, its purpose is "to address the locations of known soil contamination" and "to provide groundwater-quality and potentiometric data for evaluating shallow ground-water flow direction and gradient." It is only after these data are generated that ARCO will be able to address many of the issues you have raised.

I now understand, based on your August 29 letter, that the proposed Workplan is approved subject to the conditions detailed on the last page of that letter, i.e., that the issues discussed on the bottom of page 2 and on pages 3 and 4 of your

August 3 letter be addressed in the development of a remediation plan.

As I mentioned above, I mistakenly believed that you required further information from ARCO in order to complete your review and approval of the Workplan. Now that we have confirmed that no further information was required, we will commence implementation of the Workplan.

\section*{7. Timing of Remediation}

You describe as a "general rule" in your August 29 letter that site work in the form of assessment and remediation is to be implemented only after a workplan has been approved by the county. Your letter goes on to state, however, that if there is free product or dissolved product in the groundwater, ARCO "can and must" commence remedial action while it is in the process of obtaining approval from the County of its workplans.

I am uncertain as to the circumstances when this "exception" would apply. If you mean that ARCO must initiate remedial action prior to assessment or even approval of a workplan in all cases where there is any free product or dissolved product in the groundwater, then this exception is contrary to regulatory guidelines, practical limitations and good engineering practice.

To begin with, each site must be evaluated on a case-bycase basis. The LUFT Manual describes a "phased approach" to the investigation and cleanup of leaking underground fuel tank which is "tailored to the severity of each specific site" (LUFT Manual p. 9). The procedures set forth in the Manual "are intended to avoid unwarranted analysis while ensuring that adequate analysis is done to identify the extent of contamination problems" (LUFT Manual p. 2). An objective of the LUFT Manual is thus to prevent duplicative efforts that might result from proceeding with remediation without agency approval. Similarly, the Tri-Regional Recommendations anticipate that there will be a soil and groundwater investigation prior to remediation (p. 15 fig. 1).

The LUFT Manual goes on to state that the "cleanup of all contaminated soil and dissolved product in ground water is not always necessary to protect public health and the environment,"." (LUFT Manual p. 1). In some cases, free product or dissolved product will be left in place with a groundwater monitoring program to ensure the effectiveness of the remedial action (LUFT Manual p. 61). Surely, if the LUFT Manual contemplates that dissolved product or free product may be left in place, ARCO cannot be under an affirmative obligation to remove all free and dissolved product prior to approval of both its assessment and remediation plan.

There are additional reasons for obtaining local agency review before commencing remediation. Closure of an individual
site and that site's removal from the LUST computer file can only be granted by the State's Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB). The RWQCB issues the closure decision based on the recommendations from the local agency. At "Category 3 sites" where there is known or suspected groundwater pollution or areas with shallow groundwater, as there is at this facility, the LUFT Manual requires consultation with the Regional Water Quality Control Board and responsible agencies "to determine required remedial action" (LUFT Manual p. 60). If the lead agency is not the Regional Board and the groundwater is threatened or affected, then the lead agency must consult with the appropriate Regional Board to ensure that the anticipated remedial action is consistent with the applicable water quality control plans and policies (LUFT Manual p. 60). It would be entirely inappropriate to proceed with remediation until receiving this consistency determination from the appropriate agency.

If the contamination presents an immediate threat to human health or safety, action should and will be taken by the operator. The LUFT Manual states that questions regarding "site health and safety hazards" should be asked and answered in the earliest stages of problem identification (LUFT Manual p. 12-13). If they exist, sources of possible hazardous vapor should be identified and eliminated. Similarly, the American Petroleum Institute Guide to the Assessment and Remediation of Underground Petroleum Releases, August 1989, also states that the "first step" in any site assessment of a petroleum release is to ascertain the immediate safety hazards (API Guide at p. I). If there is a known release, the API Guide recommends that it be stopped and the hazards be mitigated (Figure 1 and Figure 17).

There are, of course, technical limitations to what can be accomplished at any given site in terms of immediate remediation where even a safety threat is present. As the API Guide points out, the "feasibility of liquid hydrocarbon removal is site specific and a function of the earth materials, hydrocarbon characteristics, and equipment limitations. In general, only part of the total original release volume is recoverable as a free liquid. Most skimming pumps require the accumulation of at least \(1 / 8\) inch of hydrocarbon in the well before they will operatell (API Guide pp. 41-43).

Remediation activities at a site are also technically complex and expensive. Engineering remedial systems without obtaining the proper amount of background information can lead to a wasted remedial approach and can actually make the problem worse by mixing distinct constituents, puncturing an aquifer or by altering the groundwater gradient. These examples are self evident and can only be avoided by sound engineering. Without aquifer testing, site hydrogeologic characteristics will not be easily understood and can waste our recovery efforts for both the dissolved constituents and floating product. Pumping rates,
aquifer transmissivity, area of influence need to be addressed so that the optimum recovery criteria can be reached. By over pumping an aquifer, additional geology can be affected which only increases the total impact to the site. Product only recovery systems (without groundwater extraction) can mask recovery efforts by capturing floating product very close to the individual recovery well. After the minor amount of floating product is captured, groundwater has a rebounding effect. By removing the weight of the floating product, groundwater equalizes (rebounds) with the release of the hydrostatic head and can push away the product surrounding the recovery well. Thus, product only recovery techniques can create the appearance of remediation without substantial results.

Many remediation activities, such as pumping groundwater or aeration, require the notification of other agencies, and in some cases the acquisition of waste discharge, air quality and other permits. These permits may not be available without agency approval of the contemplated remediation. In instances where there is a mixture of water and petroleum, there will almost certainly be delays associated with obtaining air quality and water discharge permits as well as practical problems associated with what to do with the water which is removed, Access to neighboring properties and city streets is often time-consuming. Remediation cannot be commenced without obtaining the appropriate governmental permits and access to private property.

Finally, it has been suggested that ARCO has been less than aggressive in pursuing the remediation of contamination at ARCO facilities. I do not think this characterization is accurate nor is it supported by the facts we have described in this or other situations. In January 1991, when the workplan was submitted, we Volunteered to proceed on parallel tracks with the implementation of the Workplan and the gathering of further information on the piping located on the north side of the facjlity. We were informed by the county that the Workplan would not be approved until the remaining piping had been removed. Even after the piping was Femoved and that information was transmitted to the county, review of the Workplan was delayed for a further four months. We are eager to proceed with the activities described in the Workplan and will keep you posted on our progress.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,


Chuck Carmel Environmental Engineer

\section*{Enclosures}
cc: John Meck, ARCO Products Company Chris Winsor, ARCO Products Company Lester Feldman, San Francisco RWQCB Howard Hatayama, State Department of Health Services Keith Bullock, Gettler-Ryan, Inc. Mark Thomson, Alameda County District Attorney's Office

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
Hazardous Materials Program
80 Swan Way, Rm. 200
Oakland, CA 94621
(415)

August 29, 1991

Mr. Chuck Carmel
Environmental Engineer
ARCO Products Company
Box 5811
San Mateo, CA 94402
Re: 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California-\#2112
Dear Mr. Carmel:
I am in receipt of your August 26 , 1991 letter with enclosures. I shall deal sequentially with each issue you raise.
1. Your summary of communication at page 1, paragraph 3, is accurate.
2. In my August 3, 1991 letter, I requested information concerning the disposal of the waste oil tank and contaminated soils associated with the waste oil tank. You question "the need for these documents."

Title 23 , Section 2652 provides that a report to the local agency shall be submitted which details the "method and location of disposal of the released hazardous substance and any contaminated soils". Until August 26,1991 , that information had not been provided the local agency.
3. You state, "all of the piping has been removed". As you know, the piping at this site was removed in phases. Thank you for including in your August 26, 1991 letter a definitive statement that all piping has been removed; such a declaration has not been provided in any report submitted to this office.

Thank you for supplying the billing invoices in response to our request for full documentation of the disposal of the soils.

Thank you for declaring, "no excavated soils were placed back into the ground".

Reference is made to the fact that these "soils were aerated on site". The District Attorney's Office has contacted the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. I am advised the
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Air District was never notified 24 hours before the commencement of aeration in violation of Regulation 8, Rule 40.
4. Thank you for supplying the manifests for the five underground tanks removed on 7/26/90.

When I reviewed your workplan, I also reviewed the entire file. A condition of the closure permit, \#22, was that ARCO would supply the manifests within 60 days of receipt of sample results. As noted at point 2 above, Title 23 , Section 2652 , also requires the requested information.

Your letter suggests you believe I required the manifests "to be submitted before review of the workplan". Actually, as my August 3, 1991 letter states at page 1, "we have reviewed the proposal and note the following areas of concern".

As you know, the Alameda County District Attorney's Office is suing your company. I have been instructed to provide ARCO information so that it can come into compliance with the law. Rather than being challenged on the request for manifests, I rather thought you would appreciate the courtesy of my pointing out to you ARCO had failed to supply the manifests to this agency.
5. While I am pleased that ARCO has indicated that it will follow the LUFT guidelines as it assesses and remediates this site, I must remind you that the specific issues I mentioned in my 8/3/91 letter (starting at the bottom of page 2 and continuing half way down page 3) must be addressed before I' will consider remediation complete.

I also wish to clarify the relationship between the LUFT manual and the Tri-Regional Recommendations issued by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board and two other regional boards. The Tri-Regional Recommendations are "intended to expand and clarify and, in some cases, present alternatives to several areas addressed in LUFT", (Introduction Page 1, Paragraph 2, last sentence). Keeping this in mind, it is necessary to follow both LUFT and the TriRegional Recommendations.
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6. Your detailed comments regarding the proposed in-situ remediation using vapor extraction wells are consistent with the requirements of my letter. Thank you for clarifying areas of concern such as the expedient removal of any free product and the development of a remediation action plan with a time schedule for implementation which were not addressed in the proposed work plan.
7. In my August 3, 1991 letter, I wrote:

This department will oversee the assessment and remediation for this site. You may implement remedial actions before approval of the workplan to act diligently in protecting the waters of the State. Please be advised that final concurrence by this office will depend on the extent to which the work done meets the requirements of this letter.

In his November 23, 1988 letter to ARCO's Kyle Christie, Steven R. Ritchie, Executive Officer of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board-San Francisco Bay Region, wrote:

> The Regional Board is responsible for the oversight of soil and groundwater pollution cases which threaten or impact waters of the state...In some counties, local agencies are working with the Regional Board and are taking the lead role for case handling. Regardless of the level of oversight from agencies, you are responsible for the timely reporting, investigation, and cleanup of soil and groundwater pollution such that the beneficial uses of water of the State are protected, and appropriate policies complied with.

Because of the implications for this and other sites within the jurisdiction of the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency, there must be no misunderstanding between us on this issue.

This department will oversee assessment and remediation. As a general rule, site work in the form of assessment and remediation is to be implemented only after workplans have been approved by this department.
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However, ARCO must protect the beneficial use of the waters of the state from the contamination it caused. If the beneficial uses of state waters are endangered, ARCO can't use the inherent delay factor in the workplan preparation/approval process as an excuse to not protect our water. (Note: The same rule holds if there is a fire or explosive threat.)

For example, if ARCO has knowledge that there is free product at a given site or that there is dissolved product in a source of drinking water, ARCO can and must commence appropriate remedial actions while it is in the process of preparing and obtaining approval of the measures it has implemented.

This approach makes common sense. In appropriate cases, ARCO must be in a position of being able to protect its property, the property of others and water resources without waiting for the workplan preparation/approval process to be completed. Obviously, such work will have to be reviewed by this office after the fact. If the work is deficient, it will have to be done correctly. (Note: ARCO must, of course, also comply with any local permitting requirements.)

I encourage you to have Mr. Meck contact Mr. Thomson if ARCO feels it needs further clarification of this matter.

You inquire as to "written guidelines for ARCO to follow in performing assessment and remedial work". The guidelines include, but are not limited to, the following:
1) Chapter 6.7 of the Health and Safety Code
2) California Code of Regulations Title 23 Waters Chapter 3 Water Resources Control Board Subchapter 16 UST Regulations
3) The LUFT Manual
4) The Tri-Regional Recommendations
5) The Alameda County Water District Guidelines for Investigation and Remediation at Fuel Leak Sites
6) Directives from the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region
```
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```

With the supplemental information provided in your August 26, 1991 letter, I can approve your January 2, 1991 workplan on the following conditions:
1) The condition detailed at 5 above must be followed.
2) Reports documenting implementation of the workplan must contain the 14 points I detail in my August 3, 1991 letter.

Very truly yours,


SH: shb

UST LEAK
SITE UPDATE

Date of Iast
Review/Update: 6/21/91

Current
Date: \(8 / 26 / 91\)

SITE IDENIIFICATION

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{County: Alameda} & & 94501 \\
\hline & City & Zip code \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Substance: Gasoline} \\
\hline Regional Board: & San Francisco Bay Region & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CASE TYPE


\section*{COMMENIS:}

The waste oil tank was removed in May, 1987 and the underground storage tanks (UGSI) were replaced in August, 1990. A work plan proposing the installation of five groundwater monitoring wells and three vapor extraction wells has been issued for this site.

The anticipated activities for the work plan dated January 2, 1991 to be initiated upon approval from Alameda County.

\section*{REPORT}

\section*{DATE}

February 20, 1990
November 7, 1990
January 2, 1991

\section*{CONSULTANT}

Tank Replacement Report
Work Plan

Applied GeoSystems GeoStrategies Inc. GeoStrategies Inc.

August 26, 1991
Ms. Susan Hugo
Alameda County Health Care Services
Department of Environmental Health
80 Swan Way, Room 200
Oakland, CA 94621
RE: Investigation of Soil and Groundwater Hydrocarbon Impact at ARCO Service Station \#2112, 1260 Park Street, Alameda, CA

Dear Ms. Hugo:
This letter is submitted in response to your letter dated August 3, 1991 concerning the review of GeoStrategies, Inc. (GSI) Work Plan dated January 2, 1991. The responses to your letter are presented in the order in which they appear in your letter.
> "Through telephone conversation between Mr. Kyle Christie and a representative from this office..............This office was never informed subsequent to February 14, 1991 as to whether or not the workplan was to be revised."

Kyle Christie called Ms. Cheswick of your office during early April, 1991 to inform her that all soil samples from the piping excavation were nondetectable and therefore no changes to the workplan were warranted and ARCO was prepared to implement the work. Ms. Cheswick concurred but stated she wanted to review the report prior to approving the workplan. The report was provided to the ACHD on May 3, 1991. On May 20, 1991 Keith Bullock of Gettler-Ryan was contacted by Ms. Cheswick and informed the workplan had not yet been reviewed and therefore was not approved and also she was no longer going to be supervising this project and did not know who would be supervising it in the future. ARCO is still awaiting approval of the \(1 / 2 / 91\) workplan.

\footnotetext{
"A waste oil tank was removed on May, 1987........Manifest for the waste oil tank....have not been submitted to this office.
}


Alameda County Health Care Services
Department of Environmental Health


80 Swan Way, Room 200
Oakland, CA 94621


RE: Investigation of Soil and Groundwater Hydrocarbon Impact an ARCO Service Station \#2112, 1260 Park Street, Alameda, CA

Dear Ms. Hugo:
This letter is submitted in response to your letter dated August 3, 1991 concerning the review of GeoStrategies, Inc. (GSI) Work Plan dated January 2, 1991. The responses to your letter are presented in the order in which they appear in your letter.
"Through telephone conversation between Mr. Kyle Christie and a representative from this office..............This office was never informed subsequent to February 14, 1991 as to whether or not the workplan was to be revised."

Kyle Christie called Ms. Cheswick of your office during early April, 1991 to inform her that all soil samples from the piping excavation were nondetectable and therefore no changes to the workplan were warranted and ARCO was prepared to implement the work. Ms. Cheswick concurred but stated she wanted to review the report prior to approving the workplan. The report was provided to the ACHD on May 3, 1991. On May 20, 1991 Keith Bullock of Gettler-Ryan was contacted by Ms. Cheswick and informed the workplan had not yet been reviewed and therefore was not approved and also she was no longer going to be supervising this project and did not know who would be supervising it in the future. ARCO is still_awaiting approval of the 1/2/91 workplan.
"A waste oil tank was removed on May, 1987........ Manifest for the waste oil tank....have not been submitted to this office.

According to ARCO records, a 550 gallon waste oil tank was removed on or about May 29, 1987. The hazardous waste manifest forms for both the liquids and soils removed are attached to this letter. It is our understanding that hazardous waste manifest forms were not required for the waste oil tank however, ARCO has records that show that the waste oil tank was destroyed by S\&G, a scrap metals company, located in Oakland, CA. It is not clear why the need for these documents which pertain to a tank removal over 4 years ago should delay your review and approval of the \(1 / 2 / 91\) workplan. Ms. Cheswick never indicated that she wanted ARCO to submit these manifests or that it was necessary to submit these documents in order to review and approve the workplan.
"Contamination of up to 21,000ppm TPH......It is unclear if all the piping associated with the former five underground storage tanks have been removed at this time. Disposition of all the stockpiled soil generated at this site from the removal and installation of the underground storage tanks and piping was not fully documented. Did any stockpiled soil go back into the ground?"

As described in the GeoStrategies Report dated May 3, 1991 provided to your office, all of the piping has been removed. The disposition of the soil from the tank and piping excavation and removal was documented in GSI reports dated November 7, 1990 and May 3, 1991. All of the soils were aerated on site and disposed at either the Redwood sanitary landifll in Novato or at the Laidlaw landfill in Kern County. No excavated soils were placed back into the ground. Please find attached paid ARCO invoices from Laidlaw Environmental Services and Dillard Trucking, Inc. that document when and where all excavated soils were disposed.
> "Manifests for the five underground storage tanks removed on 7/26/90 and contaminated soil hauled off site have not been submitted to this office"

Attached to this letter are the manifest forms for the five underground storage tanks. Again, it is not clear why these manifests should have
impeded review of the workplan. Ms. Cheswick never mentioned that they needed to be submitted before review of the workplan.
"The work plan submitted to this agency on January 4, 1991 is not adequate to fully define the extent of soil and groundwater contamination."

To date only soil borings have been performed at this site. As described in the LUFT manual the standard procedure following discovery of onsite soil contamination involves determining the distance to groundwater, the groundwater gradient and the presence of groundwater contamination. These LUFT requirements are established through the installation of onsite groundwater monitoring wells. Following this necessary initial onsite groundwater monitoring step, offsite wells may be installed if necessary to determine the extent of any dissolved contaminants. The 1/2/91 workplan as proposed includes the installation of five onsite groundwater wells, three onsite vapor extraction wells and the performance of a vapor extraction test. It is difficult to understand your comment that the workplan does not address the extent of soil and groundwater contamination when it is clear that to date no groundwater data has been generated because of the lack of approval of the \(1 / 2 / 91\) workplan. The determination of the extent of contamination must start with the performance of the \(1 / 2 / 91\) workplan which is imperative to document the presence, character, and concentration of any groundwater contaminants as well as the flow direction. This information would then be used to guide any further investigations.
> "The overall effectiveness of the proposed in-situ remediation using vapor extraction wells should be verified by an appropriate monitoring program......"

As proposed in the GSI work plan, a vapor extraction test will be performed that will determine the area of influence and the system's overall effectiveness as a remedial approach. Following the completion of the assessment and vapor extraction test, and the determination of any groundwater contamination and any necessary groundwater pump tests, a remedial action plan (RAP) will be developed that will include an approximate time schedule for the RAP to be implemented. To move
forward, however, toward the implementation of a RAP, it is imperative that the \(1 / 2 / 91\) workplan be performed without any further delay. After the installation of the monitoring wells, any free product will be removed on a weekly basis up to the time when an automated extraction system is installed. The remedial plan will include the determination of aquifer characteristics and the capture zone of the extraction system.
"This department will oversee the assessment and remediation for this site. You may implement remedial actions before approval of the workplan to act diligently in protecting the waters of the State. Please be advised that final concurrence by this office will depend on the extent to which the work done meets the requirments of this letter."

This is the first time ARCO has been advised by any agency within Alameda County that ARCO has the right to implement remedial actions prior to agency approval of the workplan. To date it has been our understanding that in the case of the subject site the Alameda County Environmental Health Department had to approve all workplans prior to their implementation.

As described above to date only soil borings have been performed at this site. It is necessary at this time to install monitoring wells to establish the criteria required by the LUFT manual. As such, although your letter indicates that ARCO "...may implement remedial actions before approval of the workplan...", in accordance with LUFT it is necessary to establish the character and extent of the any groundwater contamination before initiating remedial action. ARCO will therefore initiate implementation of the 1/2/91 workplan following your approval. Furthermore, also as described in LUFT, if and when groundwater contamination is confirmed and if free product is identified ARCO will implement free product recovery measures.

Since this seems to be a change in Alameda County Health Department policy it would be helpful to have the following areas clarified: (a) does this program apply to all sites within the ACHCS's jurisdiction, (b) does this program apply to all aspects of site assessment and remediation or
just "remedial actions" as stated in your letter, (c) is a workplan necessary to file if work can proceed prior to Agency approval and (d) rather than receiving comments about a certain aspect of an investigation or remedial plan after its implemention, does the County have written guidelines for ARCO to follow in performing assessment and remedial work.

If the Alameda County Environmental Health Department policy allows completion of assessment work in addition to "remedial actions" prior to workplan approval please advise me as soon as convenient as ARCO will proceed immediately with implementation of the workplan as originally proposed. Please don't hesitate to call me at (415) 571-2469 if you have any questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,


Chuck Carmel Environmental Engineer

\author{
cc: John Neck, ARCO Products Company Chris Winsor, ARCO Products Company Lester Feldman, San Francisco RWQCB Howard Hatayama, State Department of Health Services Keith Bullock, Gettler-Ryan Inc. Mark Thomson, Alameda County District Attorney's Office
}

\author{
enclosures
}


WASTE DIL. TANK


Date of
\(\frac{1987}{1 s t}\) itr.
Manifest
Manifest \#
Quantity

2nd Qtr.
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
5129187 & 570.7509 & 706 x .00417=3.75 \mathrm{~T} \\
61187 & 97027028 & 14 y \times .8428=\frac{11.80 T}{15.55 \mathrm{~T}}
\end{array}
\]

3ràrtr.

4th itr.

Totel 1907
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Dropartment of Healith 8eviloen Form Anproved JWM
obece prit or sye？
 FO N HAZARDOUS
 3．General General
Arco form and Malling

4．Generitor＇s Phon（ 213 ） 57 tra407
 CROSBI AND OVEViCOH WhI
7．Tranaporter 2 Company Name
6．USEPA D Number
\(1 C^{2} A 129181416110.614\)

D．Dealgnated Facility Name and Site Addreas \(\frac{10}{10}\) 10．US EPA ID Number
Cabmalia Resources
H．T．U．Rd．
Casma118，CA 93429

\section*{CADORDRA8：1 5}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 11．Us DOT Description（including Proper Shipping Name，Hazard Cliass，and to Number） & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 12. Containerar } \\
\text { No. Cype }
\end{gathered}
\] & 13．Toflaters Quandty &  \\
\hline  &  & 201 & \\
\hline a & \begin{tabular}{|c|c|} 
\\
-1
\end{tabular} &  & \\
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{ll|l|}
\(*\) \\
& \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & 111 &  \\
\hline  & &  & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Yownew own wrown
名wher，

作

15．8peci Thencling metruatione end Alditioned information
Neat pónteotiva efotivar and afe motion the

\section*{56.}

 inter ational and national govermment requations．
U I ath a large quantity generator；I certity that i have a program in place to redaco the voll end toxicity of waste generated to the deoree it bive determined to be oconomically practicable and that i have selected the practicable method o treathentsistorage，or disposai currently avahable to



\section*{Printed／Typed Name}



故
－，空

（\％
18．Tran portt 2 Acknowiedooment of Receipt of Materiala





State of Callfomia-Health and Welfare Agency
Form Apnoved OMB No. 2050-0039 (Expires e-30-9
See Instructions on Back of Page 6 itch typowriter). and Front of \({ }^{\text {ath }} 7\)

\section*{UNIFORM HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST}
7. Generator's US EPA ID No.'
 3. Generator's Name and Mailing Addrese MPCO
P. O. Box 5811, San Retea, Ch 94408
4. Generator's Phone ( 419 ) \(571-2434 / 571-2426\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 5. Transporter 1 Company Name H \({ }^{*}\) H Ship service & Compday \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{7. Transporter 2 Company Name} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{9. Desionated Facilly Name and Site Address} \\
\hline H \({ }^{\text {a }}\) H Ship gervice & Comprany \\
\hline 220 china Basin ste & \\
\hline San Franciaco, CA & 94107 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

> 6. US EPA ID Number
\(|C| B|D| 0|0| 4|7| 7|1| 1 \mid 618\) 8. US EPA ID Number 1

\begin{tabular}{|c|l} 
2. Page 1 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Information in the shaded areas \\
of 1
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

15. Special Handing Instructiona and Additional information

\section*{JOB 5106}

\section*{APPROPRIATH PROTRCTIVF CLOHBING AND RESPIRATOR,} 18.

GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby declare that the contents of this consignment are fully and accurately described above by proper shipping name and are classified, packed, marked, and labeled, and are in atl respects in proper condition for transport by highway according to applicable international and natlonal govemment regulations.
If I am a targe quantity generator, I certify that I have a program in place to reduce the volume and toxicity of waste generated to the degree I have determined to be economicatly practicable and that I have selected the practicable method of treatment, storage, or disposai currently available to me which minimizes the present and future threat to human health and the environment; OR, if \(I\) am a small quapity generator, I pavermade a good falth effort to minimize my waste


JOA sTTE: ARCO STATION, 2112
1260 Park street
Alameda, california

DHS 8022 A
EPA 8700-22
(Rev. 8.89) Previous editlons are obsolete.

See Instructions on Back of Page 6 and Front of P-4 7


\section*{3. Generator's Name and Mailing Addrese}

ARCD
P. 0. Sox 5a11, sinn Nateo, OR 94402
4. Generator's Phone (415) 571-8434/571-2428


11. Us DOT Description (Including Proper Shipping Name, Hazard Class, and ID Number) a.

REBIDUS GASDHINE TABK MON-RCRA HAZARDOUS WASTE SOLID
REG1DUE OASOLITE TAKT MOA-RCRA HAZARDOUS WAFTR SOLID c.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{12. Containers} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{13. Total \({ }_{\text {Quantity }}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { 14. } \\ \text { Whit } \\ \text { Wit } \mathrm{V}_{0}\end{gathered}\right.\)} \\
\hline No. & Type & & \\
\hline 0101 & \% \(\mathbf{T}^{\text {P }}\) & 016101010 & P \\
\hline 01011 & TP & 014101010 & \(\pm\) \\
\hline 11 & 1 & 1 1 & \\
\hline 1.1 & & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
14 . \\
\text { Unit } \\
\text { Wt } / \text { Vol }
\end{gathered}
\] & Waste No. \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1} & Stare of \({ }^{\text {a }}\), \\
\hline & EPA/Other \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{4} & State \(54 \pm\) \% \\
\hline & EPAIOTHior \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & Stinte \\
\hline &  \\
\hline & State \\
\hline & EPNAOTher \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{astee Lieted Ahove:
b)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{d:} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
16. Special Handiling Instructions and Additional Information

J08 \(\$ 5106\)
APPRUPRIATE PROTIXCTITE CLOTHING AHD RESPIRATOR,
18.

GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby declare that the contents of this consignment are fully and accurately described above by proper shipping name and are clasaified, packed, marked, and tabeled, and are in all respects in proper condition for transport by highway according to applicable international and
If I am a large quantity generator, I centify that I have a program in place to reduce the volume and toxicity of waste generated to the degree I have datermined present and future threat to human health and the environment; OR, if I am a smaill quantity generage, or disposal currently avaitable to me which minimizes the present and future threat to human health and the environment; OR, if I am a amall quantity genergetoril have made a good faith effort to minimize my waste generation and select the beat waste management method that is available to meand that I canfafford.

19. Discrepancy Indication Space

> TOA STTE: ARCO STATTOX, 2112 1260 Park gtreet Almeda, California

State of California-Health and Wolfare Agency
Form Approved OMB'No. 2050-0039 (Expires 9-30-91) itch typewriter).

See Instructions on Back of Page 6 and Front of P , 7

H H Ghip Service Company
220 China Basin 5trott
Gn解 Trancioco, CA 94107 0.
regidee gasolinhe tark MOM-RCDA MAZARDOUS MASTE SOLID b.

\section*{REBIDUE GASOLINE TANR}

Manifest

\begin{tabular}{|c|l} 
2. Page 1 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Information in the shaded areas \\
of 1
\end{tabular} \\
is not required by Federal law.
\end{tabular}

\section*{A. State Manifent Document Number
at}
8. State abacrator ex
 c. state ramporiorata, \(4+1,1 / 1 \%\)




H. Frallhytymonex
11. US DOT Description (Including Proper Shipping Name, Hazard Class, and iD Number) MON-RCRA HABARDOOS WASYE BOLID c. \(\quad\)
d.
3. Additional Desoriptions for Materiale Listed Above

FriPED OHP 6,000 gallon and 4,000 gallon tanta lant containing getolime, qanice inorted wich der tee for trangport.


8. US EPA ID Number
10. US EPA ID Number

3. Bepagitor's Name and Mailing Address
P. O. Box 5811, Sin Hateo, CA 94402
4. Generator's Phono ( \(\{415\}\) 571-2434/571-2428


16. Special Handiling Inetructions and Additional information

\section*{508 5106}

\section*{AEPROPRIAFS PROTECTIVE CCOTHLMA AM GESPIRATOR}

\section*{SOB gITS: ARCO ByATION, E2112} 1260 Park street Mlameda, Ealifornis.

GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby declare that the contents of this consignment are fuffy and accurately described above by proper shipping name and are clasaified, packed, marked, and labeted, and are in all respects in proper condition for transport by highway according to applicable international and: national government regulations.
If I am a large quantity generator, I centify that I have a program in place to reduce the volume and toxicity of waste generated to the degree I have determined to be economically practicable and that i have selected the practicable mothod oftraatment, storage, or disposal currently available to me which minimizes the prasent and future threat to human health and the environment; OR, if I amp snyill quantity generator, i have made a good faith effort to minimize my waste


\section*{17. Transporter Acknswiedgement of Receipt of Materials}

Printed/Typed Name

\section*{DOMINDO WILLIANS}
18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials Printed/Typed Name


DHS 8022 A
EPA 8700-22
(Rev. 6.89) Previous editions are obsolete.


Dillard trucking, INC. P.0. BOX 218

CA 94514
(415) 634-6850

ARLO PRODUCTS
POO. BOX 5811 SAN MATED CA 94402

PAGE: 01

\section*{BYRON}


RECEIVE
and
au ivy
KA. CHRISTIE

DATE: 03/19/91
INVOICE NO: 00722
ACCOUNT NO: 00001

J08ः: 0001/08 ARCO STATION \$2112
FROM: ARC 2112/ALAMEDA
TO: REDWOOD SANITARY LAN
COMOTY: BS-BULK SOIL



OILLARD TRUCKING, INC. ROUTE1 BOX 73

BYRON CA 94514

\section*{DATE: 01/21/91}

RECEIVEDINOOICE NO: 00537
whiv 2 :3 1991 account no: 0000:
ARCO PRODUCTS P.O. B0X 5811 SAN MATEO CA 94402
K.A. CHRISTIE


> JOB: \(0001 / 35\) BLANKET 07-045
> FROH: ARCO 2112/ALAMEDA
> TO: \(65 X / 8 U T T O N W I L L O W ~\)
> COMDTY: 85 -BULK SOIL


OILLARD TRUCKING, INC. ROUTEI BOX 73

BYRON
CA 94514

RECEIVED
PAGE: 01


UEC 311990

\author{
K.A. CYRISTIE
}

OATE: \(12 / 27 / 90\)
INYOICE NO: 00465

ACCOUNT NO: 00001
ARCO PRODUCTS P.0. B0X 5811 SAN MAIEO CA 94402

J08!: 0001/35 BLANKET 07-045
FROM: ARCO/ALAMEDA
TO: GSX/8UTTONWILLOW
COMDTY: BS-BULK SOIL
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline AIE & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{FREIGHT BILL WEIGHT TAG} & TRK & MANIFEST * & DESCRIPTION & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{UNITS} & RATE & AMOUNT \\
\hline \(\therefore / 18 / 90\) & 10199 & -00 & 187785 & & NON HAZ 3220 & & \(\checkmark 24.720\) & ins & 40.0000 & 988.80 \\
\hline 2/18/90 & 12385 & -00 & 187779 & & NON HAZ 3218 & & - 24.610 & ins & 40.0000 & 984.40 \\
\hline 12/18/90 & 12923 & -00 & 187786 & & NON HAZ 3213 & & . 24.750 & Ins & 40.0000 & 990.00 \\
\hline 12/18/90 & 12928 & -00 & 187787 & & NON HAZ 3216 & & \(\bigcirc 24.830\) & Ins & 40.0000 & 993.20 \\
\hline 12/18/90 & 13047 & -00 & 187774 & 13 & NON HAZ 3208 & & \(\sim 23.970\) & Ins & 40.0000 & 958.80 \\
\hline 12/18/90 & 13210 & -00 & 187771 & & NON HAZ 3214 & & -22.630 & Ins & 40.0000 & 905.20 \\
\hline 12/18/90 & 13568 & -00 & 187783 & & NON HAZ 3219 & & -24.720 & Tns & 40.0000 & 988.80 \\
\hline 12/18/90 & 13781 & -00 & 187776 & & NON HAZ 3207 & & 23.370 & Tbs & 40.0000 & 934.80 \\
\hline \(12 / 1^{8 / 00}\) & 13800 & -00 & 187777 & & NON HAZ 3209 & & -24.900 & Tns & 40.0000 & 996.00 \\
\hline 12/. & 14026 & -00 & 187773 & & NON HAZ 3210 & & -23.840 & Ins & 40.0000 & 953.60 \\
\hline 12/18/90 & 14143 & -00 & 187784 & & NON HAZ 3212 & & 24.920 & Tns & 40.0000 & 996.80 \\
\hline 12/18/90 & 14881 & -00 & 187781 & & NON HAL 3211 & & 23.550 & Ins & 40.0000 & 942.00 \\
\hline 12/18/90 & 14906 & -00 & 187775 & & NON HAZ 3215 & & -23.920 & Tns & 40.0000 & 956.80 \\
\hline 12/18/90 & 3204 & -00 & 187782 & & NON HAZ 3217 & & \(=24.930\) & Tas & 40.0000 & 997.20 \\
\hline 12/18/90 & LOADER & -00 & ADL CHG & & & LOADER AND OPERATOR & & & & 800.00 \\
\hline 12/19/90 & 13057 & -00 & 187819 & 13 & NON HAZ 3222 & & \% 23.600 & Tns & 40.0000 & 944.00 \\
\hline 12/19/90 & Standiy & & 187819 & & NON HAZ 3222 & & 1.50 & Hrs & 50.0000 & 75.00 \\
\hline 12/19/90 & 13211 & -00 & 187820 & & NON HAZ 3223 & & \(\checkmark 22.910\) & Ins & 40.0000 & 916.40 \\
\hline 12/19/90 & StANDB & & 187820 & & NON HAZ 3223 & & 1.50 & Hrs & 50.0000 & 75.00 \\
\hline & & U8TOTL & TRANSPORT & & & & 386.170 & Ins & & 15,446.80 \\
\hline & & UBTOTL & & & & & 16.000 & Lds & & 16,396.80 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


DILLARD TRUCKING, INC. ROUTE 1 80X 73

PAGE: 01

BYRON
CA 94514

ARCS PRODUCTS POO. BOX 5811 SAN MATE CA 94402

RECEIVED
 Kargeate

DATE: \(11 / 23 / 90\)
INVOICE NO: 00390
ACCOUNT NO: 00001

J08t: 0001/23 BLANKET 107-045
FROM: ARCO, PARK/ENSANEL
TO: REDWOOD LANDFILL COMITY: BS-BULK SOIL

\begin{tabular}{ccccc|}
\hline ACCT & COST CENTER & FAC. 1 & TYPE \\
8491 & \(J 232\) & 2112 & 21 \\
Approved: & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
dillard trucking, inc. ROUTE 1 80X 73

BYRON
CA 94514

ARLO PRODUCTS
POO. BOX 5811
SAN MATED CA 94402

DATE: 10/17/90
PAGE: OI

INVOICE NO: 00276
ACCOUNT NO: 00001


OILLARD TRUCKING, IMC. ROUTE1 BOX 73

BYRON
CA 94514

PAGE: 01
\[
N E \pi=\cdots
\]

DATE: 10/17/90

INVOICE NO: 00275
ACCOUNT NO: 00001
ARCO PROOUCTS P.0. B0X 5811 SAN MATEO CA 94402

J084: 0001/17
FROM: ARCO/ALAMEDA
TO: REDHOOD LANDFILL
COMDTY: 8S-BULX SOIL



OILLARO TRUCKING, INC. ROUTE1 BOX 73

BYRON
CA 94514
PAGE: 01

DATE: 10/17/90
INVOICE NO: 00277

\section*{}

ARCO PROOUCTS
p.0. Box 5811

SAM MATEO CA 94402

ACCOUNT NO: 00001

J08: : 0001/17 STATION 2112
FROH: ARCO/ALAKEDA
TO: REDHOOD LANDFILL COMOTY: BS-BULK SOIL


OILSARO TRUCKING, IMC. ROUTEI BOX 73

BYROW
CA 94514
DATE: 08/31/90
IWOICE MO: 00187
ACCONT Mo: 00001
mRCO PROOUCTS P.0. B0X 5811 SAM MATEO CA 94402

J088: 0001/08 ARCO STATION \(\$ 2112\)
FROH: ARCO/ALAMEDA
TO: REDHOOA SAMITARY LAN COMOTY: 8S-8tLK SOIL


\title{
DIILAARD TRUCKING, IMC.
} routel 80 X 73

PAGE: 02

8rROW
CA 94514
DATE: 08/31/90
IWOICE MO: 00187
ACCOUNT MO: 00001
arco prooucts P.O. BOX 5811 SAM MATEO CA 94402

JOB8: 0001/08 ARCO STATION \(12: 12\)
FROH: ARCO/ALAKEDA
to: reduood samitary lan
COMDTY: 8S-BULK SOIL

- OILLRORO TRUCKIMG, IMC.

ROUJEI BOX 73

OATE: 08/31/90
INVOICE MO: 00187

ACCOUNT MO: 00001

ARCO PROOUCTS
P.O. B0X 5811

SAN MATEO CA 94402

3088: 0001/08 ARCO STAIION 12112
FROM: ARCO/AKAWEDA
10: REDHOOD SANITARY LAM COHDTY: 8S-8ULK SOIL

\begin{tabular}{ccccc|}
\hline ACCT & COST CENTER & FAC. \(\%\) & TYPE \\
8491 & 2112 & 21 \\
Approved: & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{date}
K.A. GiiRIETIE

Page 001
FEDERAL ID NUMBER: 57-089:472

ATTN: ACCOUNTS PAYABLE
ARCO PRODUCTS COMP
P.O.BOX 5811

SAN MATEO, CA 94402

ARCO SS\#2112

ALAMEDA, CA SALES: JOHN BEALE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{TRANSPORTED BY} & tenms & DATE SHIPPED & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{P.O. NUMBER}} \\
\hline L\&L DA & & Net 10 Days & 01/17/91 & & \\
\hline WORK DRDIR NO. & QUANTITY SHIPPED & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{DESCRIPTION} & UNIT PRICE & AMOUNT \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{DISPOSAL} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{188681} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{23.420} & \begin{tabular}{l}
DISPOSAL SOLIDS \\
MANIFEST \# 3604
\end{tabular} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{WASTE COD} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 60.0000 \\
& \mathrm{G} 1216
\end{aligned}
\] & 1405.20 \\
\hline & & DISPOSAL GROUP SUBTOTAL & & \$ & 1405.20 \\
\hline & & SUBTOTAL FOR INVOICE & & \(s\) & 1405.20 \\
\hline & & County Tax & & \$ & 0.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|ccccc|}
\hline ACCT & COST CENTER & FAC. H & TYPE \\
A4P & 5232 & 2112 & 21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
* NOTE: SERVICES RENDERED :/:5/91
}

Fiease Remit Tc: \(\operatorname{IATDLAW}\) ENV. SVCS (ICKERN:, INC
IOKEE:
P.O. \(90 \times 100025\)

AKERSFIELD, CA \(93309^{\circ}\)
Telephone: 805-835-5800
FEDERAL ID NUMBER: 57-0891472

Page 001
880120

ATTN: ACCOUNTS PAYABLE ARCO PRODUCTS COMP P.O.BOX 5811

SAN MATEO, CA 94402

ARCO SS\#2112

ALAMEDA, CA
SALES: JOHN BEALE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline TRANSPORTED B & & terms & DATE SHIPPED & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{P.O. NUMBER} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{VARIOUS} & Net 10 Days & 12/21/90 & & \\
\hline Mork & QuANTITY SHIPPED & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{description} & UNIT PRIGE & AMOUNT \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{DISPOSAL} \\
\hline 187776 & 23.370 & DISPOSAL SOLIDS & \multirow{3}{*}{WASTE CODE} & 60.0000 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1402.20} \\
\hline & & MANIFEST \# 3207 & & G1216 & \\
\hline 187774 & 23.970 & DISPOSAL SOLIDS & & G1216.0000 & 1438.20 \\
\hline 187777 & 24.900 & DISPOSAL SOLIDS & WASTE CODE: & 6120.0000 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1494.00} \\
\hline & & MANIFEST \# 3209 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{WASTE CODE:} & G1216 & \\
\hline 1 n7773 & 23.840 & DISPOSAL SOLIDS & & 60.0000 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1430.40} \\
\hline 187781 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{23.550} & MANIFEST \# 3210
DISPOSAL SOLIDS & WASTE CODE: & G1216 & \\
\hline & & MANIFEST \# 3211 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{WASTE CODE:} & G1216 \({ }^{60.000}\) & 1413.00 \\
\hline 187784 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{24.920} & DISPOSAL SOLIDS & & 60.0000 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1495.20} \\
\hline & & MANIFEST \# 3212 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{WASTE CODE:} & G1216 & \\
\hline 187786 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{24.750} & DISPOSAL SOLIDS & & 60.0000 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1485.00} \\
\hline & & MANIFEST \# 3213 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{WASTE CODE:} & G1216 & \\
\hline 187771 & 22.630 & DISPOSAL SOLIDS
MANIFEST \# 3214 & & G1216.0000 & 1357.80 \\
\hline 187775 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{23.920} & DISPOSAL SOLIDS & WASTE CODE: & 60.0000 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1435.20} \\
\hline & & MANIFEST \# 3215 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{WASTE CODE:} & G1216 & \\
\hline 187787 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{24.830} & DISPOSAL SOLIDS & & 60.0000 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1489.80} \\
\hline 187782 & & MANIFEST \# 3216 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{WASTE CODE:} & G1216 & \\
\hline 187782 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{24.930} & DISPOSAL SOLIDS & & 60.0000 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1495.80} \\
\hline 187779 & & MANIFEST \# 3217 & WASTE CODE: & G1216 & \\
\hline & 24.610 & MANIFEST \# 3218 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{WASTE CODE:} & G1216 & 1476.60 \\
\hline 187783 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{24.720} & DISPOSAL SOLIDS & & 60.0000 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1483.20} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{187785} & & MANIFEST \# 3219 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{WASTE CODE: G1216 \(\begin{aligned} & 60.0000\end{aligned}\)}} & \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{24.720} & DISPOSAL SOLIDS & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1483.20} \\
\hline & & MANIFEST \# 3220 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{WASTE CODE: G1216} & \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{DISPOSAL GROUP SUBTOTAL} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{S 20379.60} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Please Remit To:} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{LAIDLAW ENV: SVCS (LOKERN), INC LOKERN
\[
\text { P.O. BOX } 100026
\]} & \begin{tabular}{l}
** CONTINUED ON \\
** PAGE: 002
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{KERSFIELD, CA JTJUY}
lephone: 805-835-5800

FEDERAL ID NUMBER: 57-0891472
Page 002

880120
ATTN: ACCOUNTS PAYABLE ARCO PRODUCTS COMP P.O.BOX 5811

SAN MATEO, CA 94402

ARCO SS\#2112

ALAMEDA, CA SALES: JOHN BEALE



NOTE: SERVICES RENDERED \(12 / 18 / 90\)
Please Remit To: LAIDLAW ENV. SVCS (LOKERN), INC LOKERN
P.O. BOX 100026

LOKESN LAIDLAW NV. SVCS (LOKERN), INC. 5500 MING AVE, SUITE 130 BAKERSFIELD, CA 93309 Telephone: 805-835-5800

FEDERAI ID NUMBER: 57-0891472

880120
ATTN: ACCOUNTS DAYABLE ARCO PRODUCTS COMP D.O.BOX 5811

SAN MATEO, CA 94402

LAIDLAW Environmental 5500 Ming Avenue
Sulte 130
Bakersfield. CA 93309
(Pn5) 835-580:

oate
invoice no.

RECEIVED UEC:31990
K.1. CYPISTIE

Page 00:
34
\(\qquad\) ARCO SS\#2152

ALAMEDA, CA SALES: JOHN BEALE


PW
GS SERVICES (PW) INC. 5500 MING AVE. SUITE 130
BAKERSFIELD, CA 93309
Telephone: 805/835-5801
FEDERAL ID NUMBER: 57-0891472

MT - \(\quad 000120\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ATTN: ACCOUNTS PAYABLE & ARLO \\
ARGO PRODUCTS COMP \\
F.O. BOX 5811 & \\
SAN MATES, CA 34402 & ALAMEDA, CA \\
\end{tabular}

\(184858 \quad 25.340 /\) DISPOSAL SOLIDS
184859
184862
1.4860

184861

184895

184890

184037
184896
184202
MANIFEST \# 2842
- 60.0000

WASTE CODE: G1216
60.0000

WASTE CODE: G1216
60.0000

WASTE CODE: G1216
60.0000

WASTE CODE: G1216
23.930 DISPOSAL SOLIDS

MANIFEST \# 2846
60.0000

WASTE CODE: G1216
60.0000

WASTE CODE: G1216
60.0000

WASTE CODE: G1216
60.0000

WASTE CODE: G1216
60.0000

WASTE CODE: G1216
60.2000

WASTE CODE: G1216
DISPOSAL GROUP SUBTOTAL
1520.40
1387.80
1458.60
1437.60
1435.80
1305.00
1434.60
1415.40
1417.80
1451.40
14324.40
\(238.74 \operatorname{ToNS} \times 60^{\circ}=14,324.40\)



Non-Hazardous Solid:
477480.00 LBS
238.74 TON


\section*{RECEIVED}

AUG 101990
KA. CHRISTIE

DATE:
OUR INVOICE NO.:
JOB NO.:
CUSTOMER'S PRO. NO.: RM110439 CUSTOMER'S JOB NO. \(\qquad\) KAC-90-170

ARCO PRODUCTS COMPANY PRO. BOX 5811
SAN MATED, CALIFORNIA 94402

FURNISHED VACUUM TRUCK AND OPERATOR TO PUMP OUT OIL AND WATER, AND DISPOSE OF SAME.

WORK PERFORMED: 07/24/90, ARCO STATION \#2112
1260 PARK STREET
ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA
```

VAC. TRUCK \& OPERATOR ( 4.5 HOURS \& 65.00) DISPOSAL OF OIL AND WATER (560 GALS. e . 30) DISPOSAL OF FUEL ( 240 GALS. @ 1.50) SOLIDS SURCHARGE ( 8 GALS. e 3.50)
LAB ANALYSIS BRIDGE TOLLS

TOTAL INVOICE


OK to Any:RE MEan $10 / 8 / 80$
Afc tisory extra?
inv?
$1 \% \%$ PER MONTH CARRYING CHARGE ON REMAINING balanCE AfTiz̃ jj D.iYs


Alameda County - Department of Environmental Health - Hazardous Materials Division
80 Swan Way. 200 Oakland, CA 94621 (415) 271-4320
BILLING ADJUSTMENT FORM

Pam Affected Billing Acct.*
Date: $8 / 7 / 4$
[] Generator . .H $\qquad$
[1] AB2185 ... L
24 UT $\ldots$. 61043
HazMat StUD* : $\qquad$
Caller: $\qquad$ Phone: $\qquad$
Company Name: Ace STation OKla
site Address: 1260 Park $5 t$. Alameda $\frac{94501}{20}$
Requested changes: Card Rn-bill for state charges how lastalled
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$
Inspectors' Conclusion
[ ] Rescind Bill for following reasons:

```
[ ] No Hazardous Waste [ ] Moved out of County
[ ] Qty's under 2185 Min. [ ] Closed / Out of Business
[ ] UGTanks removed
l 1 Other
```

$\qquad$
$X$
Continue Billing With Following Changes:
From: To:
$\qquad$ Change number of
EMPLOYEES
$t$ Change number of
TANKS

$\qquad$ AB2185: Changes attached
$\qquad$ Reopen Site Address / New Owner
co. Name AM-PM Aec So. \#02/l2
Owner $\qquad$ Phone $\qquad$
$\qquad$ New Address
Site Address $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Mail Address $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


HM Chg: $\qquad$
inspector: Fuskre W, Who Date: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Rev 1//89 Mac-Billadj
white -env.health
yellow -facillty
pink -files

ALAMEDA COUNTY, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

80 Swan Way, \#200 Oakiand, CA 94621
(415) 271-4320

II,III

II.B ACUTELY HAZ MATLS
_ 10. Reglstration Form fled

- 11. Form Complete
- 12. RMPP Contents $25534(\mathrm{C})$
- 13. mplement Sch. Req'd? (Y/N)
_ 14. Ottsite Conseg. Assess. 25524 (c)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 15. Probable Risk Assessment } 25534(\mathrm{~d}) \\ \text { 16. Persons Responslble } & 25534(\mathrm{~d})\end{array}$
- 17. Certincation $25534(1)$
— 18. Exemption Request? (N/N) $25536(\mathrm{~b})$
_ 19. Trade Secrot Requested? 25538
III. UNDERGROUND TANKS (THle 23)

-6. Mettrod


Rov 8/88

1) Monthly Test
2) Daly Vodose Serrtcorviad gnatwater
One the sols
3) One thre sols Amualtark test
4) Mortity Gnatworer One trine sols
5) Daif imentory Arrual tork teatiry Cont ploe leck det Vackse/anctwater mon.
6) Dally Imentory

Arruci torik festio
Contplpe leck det
7 Weeldy Tark Gouge
Arrual terk tstio
8) Arrual Tork lesting Daly Imentory
9) Other $\qquad$
ID \# $\qquad$ Site
Name $\qquad$
AM-PM ARCO Toaday'8(1, 91
site Address 1260 Rank Aue.

$\qquad$ MAX AMT stored $>500 \mathrm{lbs}, 55 \mathrm{gal}$. 200 cft ?
Inspection Categories:
$\qquad$ 1. Haz. Mat/Waste GENERATOR/TRANSPORTER
$\qquad$ 1. Business Plans, Acute Hazardous Materlals \& III. Underground Tanks

- Callf. Administratton Code (CAC) or the Health \& Safety Code (HS\&C)

$\qquad$



Tha ke men eistalud 1990
Leak alūection tiviel- 11 - $20-90$ presed.
Writha moniforifif plan ba sele
Phecision tex

$\qquad$
Neute:

1) tur all monifang perobl you
thetinder ground tand ica cule yrv
$\qquad$


3). Hugardave maturial
matuo be dopodated.

Title:
Signature:


Inspector: Signature:


ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS DIVISION
80 SWAN WAY, ROOM 200
OAKLAND, CA 94621
\#415/271-4320
Not
a generator

FACILITY QUESTIONNAIRE
$====================$

GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Establishment Name: AM-PM ARCD
2. Site Address: 260 PARK AVE .
$\qquad$
3. Mailing Address (if different): $\qquad$

4. Owner Name:

owner Phone: struftry
5. Name of Previous Owner:

6. Date you assumed business:

7. Std. Industrial Classification (SIC) 5574
8. Number of Employees: $\qquad$ 11. EPA ID \#: $\qquad$

PERMITS Check if you have permits from any of the following:
Local Agencies
12. [ ] Local Sewer District (industrial waste discharges)

Name of District $\qquad$
13. $\downarrow$ ] City or Local Fire Dept. (Underground tanks, storage) Name of City or Dept. $\qquad$ aearueda
Type of Permit $\qquad$
14. UJ Alameda County Dept. of Health (Underground tanks)
15. [ ] S.F. Regional Water Quality Management District
16. [~] Bay Area Air Quality Management District

CALIFORNIA Department of Health Services:
17. [ ] Treatment, Storage, Disposal Facility
18. [ ] Hazardous Waste Hauler

County Use Only
\# $\qquad$ Site ID [ ]1 Entry [ ]2

Alameda County, HazMat Generator Questionnaire
Site ID No: $\qquad$ *

## OTHER

Please check if the following applies at your facility:
19. [ / Acutely hazardous materials are handled (Attachment 1)
20. [N] More than $500 \mathrm{lbs}, 55 \mathrm{gal}$. or 200 cu . ft. of hazardous materials are handled (per year?) (See attachment 2)
21. [ $]$ Hazardous materials are contained in underground tanks or
22. [UJ You have submitted a business plan to the Alameda County Division of Hazardous Materials under California Health \& Safety Code, Chapter 6.95.
23. Which of the following categories of hazardous materials are handled at your facility:
[ Toxic [ ] Corrosive [c] Flammable [ ] Reactive

## 24. LIST OF CHEMICALS HANDLED

Please list the County Inventory Numbers (CIN) or Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) numbers of any of the hazardous chemicals that you handle. CIN numbers have been assigned to the more commonly used hazardous chemicals. If CAS numbers are used, please precede each number with an asterisk (*).


## CERTIFICATION

I hereby gert fy that the information on this form is, to the best of


Department of Environmental Health Hazardous Materials Division
please return completed form to:
3/88
mam

Ms. Elaine Lavine Arco Products Company
Suite 218
2000 Alameda de las Pulgas
San Mateo, California 94403

RE: Underground Storage Tank Inspection at ARCO Facility \# 2112 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California 94501

Dear Ms. Lavine:
On August 1, 1991, the above referenced station was inspected by the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health, Hazardous Materials Division for compliance with Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, and Chapter 6.7 of the California Health and Safety code. The following list of violations were identified:

* As-built drawings of the facility indicating the actual location and orientation of the four underground storage tanks and appurtenant piping systems have not been submitted to this office since the installation of the tanks on August, 1990. Records in our file showed that a letter from this office dated April 23, 1990 which was sent to Mr. Kyle Christy stated that tank and piping as-builts must be submitted within 30 days upon completion of tank installation.
* Records of the initial precision test performed on the four underground tanks at the facility are incomplete. Only two precision test results were available on site.
* Underground storage tank permit applications submitted to this office were either incorrect or incomplete. As stated in the letter from this office dated April 23, 1990 which was addressed to Mr. Kyle Christy, the four blank application "part B" forms must be completed for each underground tank and re-submitted to this department. Our office have not received these "Part B" forms.
* Records of leak detector repair showed that the alarm system experienced at least four times being in the alarm mode since the installation of the underground tanks on August, 1990. Mr. Rooshan explained that this situation is due to water run off which collects at the sump. This office is concerned that these false alarms are not actual unauthorized releases.

Ms. Elaine Lavine
August 3, 1991
Page 2 of 2

Please submit a Plan of Correction to this department within 15 days of the date of this letter or by August 18, 1991 to resolve the violations noted above.

Should you have any questions about this letter, please contact me at (415) 271-4320.

Sincerely,
Suran $\mathcal{Z}$. thego
Hazardous Materials Specialist
cc: Rafat A. Shahid, Asst. Agency Director, Environmental Health Mark Thompson, Alameda County District Attorney's Office Javad Rooshan, Dealer (1260 Park Street, Alameda, CA 94501) $\checkmark$ files

August 3, 1991

Mr. Chuck Carmel
Arco Products Company
2000 Alameda de las Pulgas
Suite 218
San Mateo, California 94403

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Hazardous Materials Program 80 Swan Way, Rm. 200 Oakland, CA 94621
(415)

RE: Investigation of Subsurface and Groundwater Contamination at ARCO Facility \# 2112 - 1260 Park St. Alameda, CA 94501

Dear Mr. Carmel:
The Alameda County Department of Environmental Health, Hazardous Materials Division has reviewed the files concerning the soil and groundwater contamination from underground storage tanks at the referenced site. We are in receipt of the following reports:

Limited Environmental Site Assessment (February 20, 1990) prepared by Applied Geosystems
Tank Replacement Observation Report (November 7, 1990) prepared by GeoStrategies,Inc.
Workplan (January 2, 1991) prepared by GeoStrategies, Inc. Trench Excavation/Soil Aeration Report (May 3, 1991) prepared by GeoStrategies, Inc.

Through telephone conversation between Mr. Kyle Christy and a representative from this office on February 14, 1991, it was discussed that ARCO planned to remediate the remaining contaminated soil through in-situ soil vapor extraction rather than through soil excavation, treatment and disposal. Mr. Christy stated that the January 2, 1991 Workplan was a preliminary work plan and would be revised depending on the additional soil contamination data that would be obtained when the remaining piping from the former underground storage tanks was removed. We were advised by Mr. Christy that ARCO would contact this office concerning on whether or not there would be a revision in the January 2, 1991 Work Plan. It is still unclear at this time if all the pipings associated with the former underground storage tanks have been removed. This office was never informed subsequent to February 14, 1991 as to whether or not the workplan was to be revised.

The Alameda County District Attorney has informed this office, ARCO represents we have delayed responding to your January 2, 1991 proposal. Actually we have been waiting to hear from ARCO. Nevertheless, we have reviwed the proposal and note the following areas of concern to this department which must be addressed:

Mr. Chuck Carmel
August 3, 1991
Page 2 of 4

* A waste oil tank was removed on May, 1987. Initial soil samples revealed 430 ppm TPH diesel and $2,400 \mathrm{ppm}$ motor oil. Following further excavation, soil contamination was reduced to $<10 \mathrm{ppm}$ motor oil. Manifest for the waste oil tank or bill of lading for any contaminated soil hauled off site have not been submitted to this office.
* Contamination of up to $21,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ TPH gasoline was discovered during the limited environmental site assessment conducted by Applied GeoSystems on January 22 and 29, 1990 and described in their report of February 20,1990 . Upon the removal of the five underground storage tanks on July 26, 1990, contamination of up to $23,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ TPH gasoline was discovered in the soil at a depth of 12 feet. Free product was observed on the water surface in the pit during the tank removal. On August, 1990 piping along the pump island was removed and soil samples collected showed contamination of up to $5,800 \mathrm{ppm}$ TPH gasoline. Another piping was removed on October 25, 1990 and soils collected showed up to $15,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ TPH gasoline contamination. On March 5, 1991, some more piping associated with the former underground storage tanks was removed and soil samples collected showed non detect for TPH gasoline, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene. It is unclear if all the piping associated with the former five underground storage tanks have been removed at this time. Disposition of all the stockpiled soil generated at this site from the removal and installation of the underground storage tanks and pipings was not fully documented. Did any stockpiled soil go back into the ground?
* Manifest for the five underground storage tanks removed on $7 / 26 / 90$ and contaminated soil hauled off site have not been submitted to this office.
* The workplan submitted to this agency on January 4, 1991 is not adequate to fully define the extent of soil and groundwater contamination:
- Soil and groundwater contamination must be defined to "non-detect" levels.
- Verified downgradient flow of groundwater must be established at the site.
- Monitoring wells must be screened to intercept any free floating product. All monitoring wells must be sampled monthly for free product and analyzed for TPH gasoline, TPH diesel, BTXE, and oil and grease by a State certified laboratory for the first three months following well installation. After three months of consecutive sampling, sampling may be conducted as needed for remediation purposes but must occur at least quarterly. Before each

Mr. Chuck Carmel
August 3, 1991
Page 3 of 4
sampling event is begun, free product thickness and water level must be determined.

- Water level contour maps, groundwater gradient determinations, and free and dissolved product definition maps must be routinely prepared and submitted with analytical data from each sampling event. Fluctuations in groundwater levels due to tidal action should also be documented. Geologic cross-sections, groundwater gradient (horizontal and vertical) and tidal effects must be interpreted to explain pollution migration pattern.
- Potential short- and long-term impacts of the pollution plume on the beneficial uses of ground and surface water in the area must be determined. Beneficial uses include municipal water supply, groundwater recharge, fresh water habitat, wildlife habitat, contact and non-contact recreation, and fish migration.
- The overall effectiveness of the proposed in-situ remediation using vapor extraction wells should be verified by an appropriate monitoring program. The remediation plan must include a time schedule for plan implementation and at a minimum address the following: a) expedient removal of all free product at the site including monitoring and tabulating actual amount.
b) remediation of contaminated groundwater such that beneficial uses of the ground and surface water are restored and/or protected as required by RWQCB.
c) determination of aquifer characteristic and capture zone of the extraction system.
- Permit requirements from other regulatory agencies which are applicable to the proposed remediation system must be followed.
* Reports documenting implementation of the workplan must contain:

1. Actions that have occurred since the last report
2. Water level records
3. Clear records of field observations
4. Chain of custody forms
5. Laboratory-originated analytical results
6. Water level contour maps
7. Gradient determinations
8. Status of free product remediation
9. Status of soil remediation
10. Status of soil contamination definition
11. Status of dissolved constituents remediation
12. Status of dissolved constituents plume definition
13. Copies of TSDF to Generator manifests for any hazardous wastes hauled off site.

Mr. Chuck Carmel
August 3, 1991
Page 4 of 4
14. Soil boring/well logs of existing/ new wells/ borings signed by appropriate registered or certified professional

This department will oversee the assessment and remediation for this site. You may implement remedial actions before approval of the workplan to act diligently in protecting the waters of the State. Please be advised that final concurrence by this office will depend on the extent to which the work done meets the requirements of this letter.

A report must be submitted within 30 days after completion of this investigation. Subsequent reports must be submitted quarterly until the site can be recommended for RWQCB's "sign off". All reports and proposals must be submitted under seal of a California Registered Geologist or Registered Civil Engineer. Copies of reports and proposals must also be submitted to RWQCB (attention: Lester Feldman).
please be aware that any extensions of stated deadlines or changes in the workplan must be confirmed in writing and approved by this agency.

Should you have any questions about this letter, please contact me at (415) 271-4320.

Sincerely,
Suan \&. Hugo
Susan L. Hugo
Hazardous Materials Specialist

CC: Rafat A. Shahid, Asst. Agency Director, Environmental Health Lester Feldman, San Francisco Bay RWQCB Howard Hatayama, State Department of Health Services Keith Bullock, Gettler-Ryan Inc.
Mark Thompson, Alameda County District Attorney's Office $\checkmark$ Files

## ALAMEDA COUNTY

## -HEALTH CARE SERVICES

Mr. Chuck Carmel
Arco Products Company
2000 Alameda de las Pulgas
Suite 218
San Mateo, California 94403

August 3, 1991

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH } \\
& \text { Hazardous Materials Program } \\
& 80 \text { Swan Way, Rm. } 200 \\
& \text { Oakland, CA } 94621 \\
& \text { (415) }
\end{aligned}
$$

RE: Investigation of Subsurface and Groundwater Contamination at ARCO Facility \# 2112 - 1260 Park St. Alameda, CA 94501

Dear Mr. Carmel:
The Alameda County Department of Environmental Health, Hazardous Materials Division has reviewed the files concerning the soil and groundwater contamination from underground storage tanks at the referenced site. We are in receipt of the following reports:

Limited Environmental Site Assessment (February 20, 1990) prepared by Applied Geosystems
Tank Replacement Observation Report (November 7, 1990) prepared by GeoStrategies,Inc.
Workplan (January 2, 1991) prepared by GeoStrategies, Inc. Trench Excavation/Soil Aeration Report (May 3, 1991) prepared by GeoStrategies, Inc.

Through telephone conversation between Mr. Kyle Christy and a representative from this office on February 14, 1991, it was discussed that ARCO planned to remediate the remaining contaminated soil through in-situ soil vapor extraction rather than through soil excavation, treatment and disposal. Mr. Christy stated that the January 2, 1991 Workplan was a preliminary work plan and would be revised depending on the additional soil contamination data that would be obtained when the remaining piping from the former underground storage tanks was removed. We were advised by Mr. Christy that ARCO would contact this office concerning on whether or not there would be a revision in the January 2, 1991 Work Plan. It is still unclear at this time if all the pipings associated with the former underground storage tanks have been removed. This office was never informed subsequent to February 14, 1991 as to whether or not the workplan was to be revised.

The Alameda County District Attorney has informed this office 4 thar ARCO represents the have delayed responding to your January 2, 1991 proposal. Actually we have been waiting to hear from ARCO. Nevertheless, we have reviwed the proposal and note the following areas of concern to this department which must be addressed:

Mr . Chuck Carmel
August 3, 1991
Page 2 of 4

* A waste oil tank was removed on May, 1987. Initial soil samples revealed 430 ppm TPH diesel and $2,400 \mathrm{ppm}$ motor oil. Following further excavation, soil contamination was reduced to $<10 \mathrm{ppm}$ motor oil. Manifest for the waste oil tank or bill of lading for any contaminated soil hauled off site have not been submitted to this office.
* Contamination of up to $21,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ TPH gasoline was discovered during the limited environmental site assessment conducted by Applied GeoSystems on January 22 and 29, 1990 and described in their report of February 20,1990 . Upon the removal of the five underground storage tanks on July 26, 1990, contamination of up to $23,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ TPH gasoline was discovered in the soil at a depth of 12 feet. Free product was observed on the water surface in the pit during the tank removal. On August, 1990 piping along the pump island was removed and soil samples collected showed contamination of up to $5,800 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{TPH}$ gasoline. Another piping was removed on October 25,1990 and soils collected showed up to $15,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ TPH gasoline contamination. On March 5, 1991, some more piping associated with the former underground storage tanks was removed and soil samples collected showed non detect for TPH gasoline, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene. It is unclear if all the piping associated with the former five underground storage tanks have been removed at this time. Disposition of all the stockpiled soil generated at this site from the removal and installation of the underground storage tanks and pipings was not fully documented. Did any stockpiled soil go back into the ground?
* Manifest for the five underground storage tanks removed on 7/26/90 and contaminated soil hauled off site have not been submitted to this office.
* The workplan submitted to this agency on January 4, 1991 is not adequate to fully define the extent of soil and groundwater contamination:
- Soil and groundwater contamination must be defined to "non-detect" levels.
- Verified downgradient flow of groundwater must be established at the site.
- Monitoring wells must be screened to intercept any free floating product. All monitoring wells must be sampled monthly for free product and analyzed for TPH gasoline, TPH diesel, BTXE, and oil and grease by a state certified laboratory for the first three months following well installation. After three months of consecutive sampling, sampling may be conducted as needed for remediation purposes but must occur at least quarterly. Before each
sampling event is begun, free product thickness and water level must be determined.
- Water level contour maps, groundwater gradient determinations, and free and dissolved product definition maps must be routinely prepared and submitted with analytical data from each sampling event. Fluctuations in groundwater levels due to tidal action should also be documented. Geologic cross-sections, groundwater gradient (horizontal and vertical) and tidal effects must be interpreted to explain pollution migration pattern.
- Potential short- and long-term impacts of the pollution plume on the beneficial uses of ground and surface water in the area must be determined. Beneficial uses include municipal water supply, groundwater recharge, fresh water habitat, wildlife habitat, contact and non-contact recreation, and fish migration.
- The overall effectiveness of the proposed in-situ remediation using vapor extraction wells should be verified by an appropriate monitoring program. The remediation plan must include a time schedule for plan implementation and at a minimum address the following:
a) expedient removal of all free product at the site including monitoring and tabulating actual amount.
b) remediation of contaminated groundwater such that beneficial uses of the ground and surface water are restored and/or protected as required by RWQCB.
c) determination of aquifer characteristic and capture zone of the extraction system.
- Permit requirements from other regulatory agencies which are applicable to the proposed remediation system must be followed.
* Reports documenting implementation of the workplan must contain:

1. Actions that have occurred since the last report
2. Water level records
3. Clear records of field observations
4. Chain of custody forms
5. Laboratory-originated analytical results

6 . Water level contour maps
7. Gradient determinations
8. Status of free product remediation
9. Status of soil remediation
10. Status of soil contamination definition
11. Status of dissolved constituents remediation
12. Status of dissolved constituents plume definition
13. Copies of TSDF to Generator manifests for any hazardous wastes hauled off site.

Mr. Chuck Carmel
August 3, 1991
Page 4 of 4
14. Soil boring/well logs of existing/ new wells/ boring signed by appropriate registered or certified professional

This department will oversee the assessment and remediation for this site. You may implement remedial actions before approval of the workplan to act diligently in protecting the waters of the State. please be advised that final concurrence by this office will depend on the extent to which the work done meets the requirements of this letter.
A report must be submitted within 30 days after completion of this investigation. Subsequent reports must be submitted quarterly until the site can be recommended for RWQCB's "sign off". All reports and proposals must be submitted under seal of a California Registered Geologist or Registered Civil Engineer. Copies of reports and proposals must also be submitted to RWQCB (attention: Lester Feldman).
please be aware that any extensions of stated deadlines or changes in the workplan must be confirmed in writing and approved by this agency.

Should you have any questions about this letter, please contact me at (415) 271-4320.

Sincerely,


Susan L. Hugo
Hazardous Materials Specialist

CC: Raft A. Shahid, Asst. Agency Director, Environmental Health Lester Feldman, San Francisco Bay RWQCB Howard Hatayama, State Department of Health Services Keith Bullock, Gettler-Ryan Inc. Mark Thompson, Alameda County District Attorney's Office Files

August 3, 1991

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
Hazardous Materials Program
80 Swan Way, Rm. 200
Oakland, CA 94621
(415)

Ms. Elaine Lavine
Arco Products Company
Suite 218
2000 Alameda de las Pulgas
San Mateo, California 94403

RE: Underground Storage Tank Inspection at ARCO Facility \# 2112 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California 94501

Dear Ms. Lavine:
On August 1, 1991, the above referenced station was inspected by the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health, Hazardous Materials Division for compliance with Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, and Chapter 6.7 of the California Health and Safety Code. The following list of violations were identified:

* As-built drawings of the facility indicating the actual location and orientation of the four underground storage tanks and appurtenant piping systems have not been submitted to this office since the installation of the tanks on August, 1990. Records in our file showed that a letter from this office dated April 23, 1990 which was sent to Mr. Kyle Christy stated that tank and piping as-builts must be submitted within 30 days upon completion of tank installation.
* Records of the initial precision test performed on the four underground tanks at the facility are incomplete. Only two precision test results were available on site.
* Underground storage tank permit applications submitted to this office were either incorrect or incomplete. As stated in the letter from this office dated April 23, 1990 which was addressed to Mr. Kyle Christy, the four blank application "Part B" forms must be completed for each underground tank and re-submitted to this department. Our office have not received these "Part B" forms.
* Records of leak detector repair showed that the alarm system experienced at least four times being in the alarm mode since the installation of the underground tanks on August, 1990. Mr. Rooshan explained that this situation is due to water run off which collects at the sump. This office is concerned that these false alarms are not actual unauthorized releases.

Ms. Elaine Laving
August 3, 1991
Page 2 of 2

Please submit a plan of correction to this department within 15 days of the date of this letter or by August 18, 1991 to resolve the violations noted above.

Should you have any questions about this letter, please contact me at (415) 271-4320.

Sincerely,
Sunder $\mathcal{L}$. thego
Susan L. Hugo
Hazardous Materials Specialist
cc: Rafat A. Shahid, Asst. Agency Director, Environmental Health Mark Thompson, Alameda County District Attorney's Office Javad Rooshan, Dealer (1260 Park Street, Alameda, CA 94501) files

July 15, 1991

Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 80 Swan Way<br>Oakland, California 94621

Attention: Mr. Rafat Shahid

## ARCO Products Company Facilities in Alameda County - RWQCB Fuel Leaks List

Dear Mr. Shahid

Please find attached, Quarterly Summary Reports (QSRs) for ARCO Products Company Service Stations in Alameda County. The QSRs summarize activities conducted by ARCO at the respective sites during the second quarter of 1991 ; also included are projected site activities for the third quarter of 1991 and a bibliography of reports submitted for each location.

The QSRs are classified by address within the County. We are submitting this document and attached QSRs as agreed in our recent meeting with the RWQCB. Please note that we are forwarding copies of the QSRs to the RWQCB as well.

ARCO Products Company has reviewed the Regional Water Quality Control Board's (RWQCB) February 19, 1991 printout of ARCO fuel leak sites in the San Francisco Pay Area. We have evaluated each site with respect to ARCO's responsibility for investigation, monitoring, and/or remediation. It is ARCO's belief that several of the sites originally attributed to ARCO are actually the responsibility of other parties. We have therefore prepared QSRs and a brief discussion regarding those sites which we helieve should either be removed from ARCO responsibility or be considered for closure.

ARCO is planning a subsequent comprehensive QSR submittal for ARCO sites on October 15, 1991. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions regarding this submittal.

Sincerely,


Kyle A. Christie
Environmental Engineer
Attachments:
Non-ARCO Facility/Site Closure Discussion and QSRs ARCO Facility QSRs

# NON-ARCO FACILITY/SITE CLOSURE DISCUSSION AND QSRS 

Alameda County

## Alameda County Sites

Two ARCO facilities including Station Numbers 4977 and 6002 (located at 2770 Castro Valley Road, Castro Valley and 6235 Seminary Avenue, Oakland) experienced vapor/vent line failure during UST system precision testing. In accordance with State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) letter LG-43, ARCO requests that these facilities be removed from the RWQCB fuel leaks list.

A small volume of hydrocarbons were released from ARCQ Station_Number 498 located at 286 South Livermore Avenue, Livermore. The product was released to an on-site secondary containment trench and was subsequently removed; no product was released to the soil or groundwater. Alameda County issued a letter to ARCO on May 24, 1991 stating that no further action is necessary at this site.

A total of seven Alameda County ARCO facilities listed by the RWQCB were not ARCO-owned at the time of the release discovery and/or report. These sites include Station Numbers 188, 329, and 623 (respectively located at 4191 First Street, Pleasanton, 2032 12th Street, Oakland, and 2110 Mountain, Oakland) and facilities located at 2951 High Street, 4401 Market Street, 2844 Mountain Boulevard, and 2740 98th Street, Oakland.

ARCO has prepared QSRs for each of these facilities; however, we request that the cases be omitted from the leaks list or be referred to the actual responsible party, as appropriate. The ownership information for the individual sites is included on the attached QSRs.

Finally, the RWQCB February 19, 1991 printout lists two sites which are actually the same. The facility listed as 71 MacArthur Boulevard is actually ARCO Service Station Number 4931 located at 731 West MacArthur Boulevard in Oakland.

Attachment:
Non-ARCO Facility QSRs
gettler - ryan inc.

July 8, 1991

ARCO Products Company<br>P.O. Box 5811<br>San Mateo, California 94402<br>Attention: Mr. Charles Carmel<br>Reference: Monthly Site Status Update ARCO Service Station 2112 1260 Park Street Alameda, California 94501

Mr. Carmel:
This letter provides an update on activities for the site referenced above. This update reports site activities performed during the month of May, 1991 and site activities anticipated for the month of June, 1991.

## Status of Previous Month's Activities:

* May 3, 1991: GeoStrategies Inc. Trench Excavation/Soil Aeration Report was issued.
* May 20, 1991: Keith Bullock (Gettler-Ryan Inc.) called Alameda County Health Agency to speak with Katherine Chesick at the Department of Environmental Health regarding the Work Plan issued on Jan. 2, 1991. At this time, the report had not been reviewed and due to personnel changes she was no longer in charge of this site and did not know who was overseeing it.


## Anticipated Work to be Performed in the Next Month:

* June 18, 1991: Keith Bullock (Gettler-Ryan Inc.) spoke with Mr. Lowell Miller to get the January 2, 1991 GSI Work Plan approved. He said he would find out who was overseeing the site and call Gettler-Ryan Inc. back.
* Gettler-Ryan Inc. will start work upon approval of the Work Plan by the
Alameda County Health Agency.

Please call if you have any questions concerning this letter.
Sincerely,


Keith Bullock
KB/elm
cc: Alameda County Health Agency, Department of Environmental Health


ALAMEDA COUNTY, DEPARTMENTOF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

Hazardous Materials Inspection Form
II,III
Site $\# \mathrm{ID} \quad \mathrm{Site} \mathrm{Na}$
II.A BUSINESS PLANS (THie 19)

- 1. Immediate Reporting
- 2. Bus. Pran Stds.
_ 3. RR Cors $>30$ doys 25503.7
- 4. inventory information 25504 (a)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { - 5. Inventary Complete } & 2730 \\ \text { - } 6 \text {. Emergency Response } & 25504(0)\end{array}$
- 7. Ifolinng 25504(c)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { - 8. Defliclency } & \text { 25505(a) } \\ \text { - 9. Madification } & 25505(0)\end{array}$
II.B ACUTELY HAZ MATLS
_ 10. Registration Form fied
- 11. Form Completo
- 12. RMPP Contents
_ 13. mplement Sch. Req'd7 (V/N)
_ 14. Ortsite Conseq. Assess.
_ 15. Proboble Rlak Assessment
- 16. Persons Responsible
- 17. Certilication

18. Exemption Request? (Y/N) $25536(b)$
-_ 19. Irade Secret Requested? 25538
III. UNDERGROUND TANKS (Title 23)


Rov $6 / 88$
 Joadove, (1, 91
sto Aadass $\alpha_{60}$ b Park ane.

__ MAX AMT stored > $500 \mathrm{lbs}, 55$ gal., 200 cft ?
Inspection Categories:
___ I. Haz. Mat/Waste GENERATOR/TRANSPORTER
____I. Business Plans. Acute Hazardous Materials
\& Ill. Underground Tanks

- Calif. Administration Code (CAC) or the Health \& Safety Code (HS\&C)


$\qquad$
Writhen monitore flan ba sele
Prensin tert 2 tarke ( $10 / 4 / 90$ )

$\qquad$



1) Heye monc filling pecober you
theturder ground tanke ba cite for
$\qquad$
2) Yrordide the ayt. unith egprex of Iork fermit foms AGB?
13. Angardave Mativils Managemini Plom
molict be reyodated.
II, III
Title:
Signature:
 Signature:


## gettler - ryan inc.

July 8, 1991
ARCO Products Company
P.O. Box 5811

San Marco, California 94402
Attention: Mr. Charles Carmel
Reference: Monthly Site Status Update ARCO Service Station 2112 1260 Park Street Alameda, California 94501

Mr. Carmel:

This letter provides an update on activities for the site referenced above. This update reports site activities performed during the month of May, 1991 and site activities anticipated for the month of June, 1991.

## Status of Previous Month's Activities:

* May 3, 1991: GeoStrategies Inc. Trench Excavation/Soil Aeration Report was issued.
* May 20, 1991: Keith Bullock (Gettler-Ryan Inc.) called Alameda County Health Agency to speak with Katherine Chesick at the Department of Environmental Health regarding the Work Plan issued on Jan. 2, 1991. At this time, the report had not been reviewed and due to personnel changes she was no longer in charge of this site and did not know who was overseeing it.


## Anticipated Work to be Performed in the Next Month:

* June 18, 1991: Keith Bullock (Gettler-Ryan Inc.) spoke with Mr. Lowell Miller to get the January 2, 1991 GSI Work Plan approved. He said he would find out who was overseeing the site and call Gettler-Ryan Inc. back.
* Gettler-Ryan Inc. will start work upon approval of the Work Plan by the Alameda County Health Agency.

Please call if you have any questions concerning this letter.
Sincerely,


[^2]$\mathrm{KB} / \mathrm{elm}$
cc: Alameda County Health Agency, Department of Environmental Health

UST LEAK SITE UPDATE

Date of Last
Review/Update: $4 / 8 / 91$

Current
Date: 6/21/91

## SITE IDENTIFICATION

Name: Arco Products Company \#2112
Case No.
Address: 1260 Park Street Street Number street

Alameda City $\quad 94501$
County: Alameda County Substance: gasoline
Local Agency: Department of Environmental Health
Regional Board: San Francisco Bay Region

LEAD STAFF PERSON
CASE TYPE


STATUS (Date indicates when case moved into status)


COMMENTS: Please refer to the attached page for a chronological listing
of reports issued for this site.

RESPONSIBLE PARTY IDENTIFICATION (only if newly discovered or changed)
Name:
Contact: $\qquad$ Phone $\qquad$ )

Address:
Street Number Street

## REPORT

## DATE

| Limited Environmental Site Assessment |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tank Replacement Report |  |  |
| Work Plan | February 20, 1990 | Applied GeoSystems |
| November 7, 1990 | GeoStrategies Inc. |  |
|  | January 2, 1991 | GeoStrategies Inc. |

```
April 19, 1991
```

Mr. Ed Howell
Alameda County Public Health Service Hazardous Materials Section 80 Swan Way, Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94621

SUBJECT: ARCO Facility \#2112
1260 Park Street Alameda, CA 94501

Dear Mr. Howell:

Enclosed is an Underground Storage Tank Unauthorized Release (Leak)/Contamination Site Report for the above ARCO facility. Please distribute the report as noted in the attached instructions.

Should you have any questions or comments regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to call me at (415) 571-2482.

Sincerely,
Environmental Compliance Administrator
enc.
ES:011/y c'2ydyl6


Date of Last
Review/Update: $1 / 3 / 91$

Current
Date: 4/8/91

## SITE IDENTIFICATION

Name: Arco Products Company \#2112
Case No.

Address: 1260 Park Street Street Number

Street
Alameda
94501
Zip Code
County: Alameda County

## City

Local Agency: Department of Environmental Health Regional Board: San Francisco Bay Region

LEAD STAFF PERSON
CASE TYPE


REMEDIAL ACTIONS:3-vapor extraction wells, 4 groundwater monitoring wells, and 1 recovery well are proposed.

COMMENTS:A tank replacement project was completed during the fourth quarter of 1990. Contaminated soil was aerated on site and/or shipped to the appropriate disposal site. Additional contaminated soil will be remediated in-situ. A remedial plan has been proposed in a report that was submitted January 2, 1991. Please refer to the attached page for a chronological listing of reports issued for this site.

RESPONSIBLE PARTY IDENTIFICATION (only if newly discovered or changed) Name:

Contact: $\qquad$ Phone ( $\qquad$ )

Address: $\qquad$

City
State
zip Code

## REPORT

Limited Environmental Site Assessment
Tank Replacement Report
Work Plan

## DATE

February 20, 1990
November 7, 1990
January 2, 1991

Applied GeoSystems
GeoStrategies Inc.
GeoStrategies Inc.


EMERGENCY
Indicate whether emergency response persomel and equipment pere involved at any time. If so, a Eazardous Material Incident Report should be filed with the State Office of Emergency Services (OES; at 2800 Keadowiew Road, Sacramento, CA 95832 . Copies of the OES report form may be obtained at Your local underground storage tank permitting agency. Indicate whether the OES report has been filed as of the date of this report.

LOCAL AGENCY ONLY
 25180.5 , a government employee should sign and date the form in this block. A signature here does not mean that the leak has been determined to pose a significant threat to human health or safety, onlw that notification procedures have been followed if required.
REFORTED BY
Enter your name, telephone number, and address. Indicate which party you epresent and provide company or agency name.
RESPONSIBLE PARTY
Enter name, telephone number, contact person, and address of the party responsible for the leak. The responsible party would nomality be the tank owner.

## SITE LOCATION

Enter information regarding the tank facility. At a minimum, you must provide the facility name and full address.

## IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES

Enter mames of the local agency and Regional Water Quality Control Board invoived.

SURSTANCES IMVOLVED
Enter the name and quantity lost of the hazardous substance involved. Room s provided for information on two substances if appropriate. If more than two substances leaked, list the two of most concern for cleanup.

DISCOVERY/ABATEMENT
Provide information regarding the discovery and abatement of the leak.
SOURCE/CAUSE
indicate source(s) of ieak. Check box(es) indicating cause of laak.

## TASE TYO

Indicate the case type category for this leak. Check one box only. Case bype is besed on the most sensitive resource affected. For example, if both soil and ground water have been affected, case type will be "or Water". Indicate "Drinking Water" only if one or more municipal or domestic water wells have actually been alfected. A cround ber or is not desigmation does not whellis have not yet reer used for drinking water, but oniy that water wells have not further affected. Tt is uncerstood that case type may change upon further それvestigation.
CURRENT STATUS
Indicate the category which best describes the current status of the case. Check one box only. The response shoula be relative to the case type. For example. if case type is "Ground water", then "Gurrent Status" should refer to the status of the ground water investigation or cleanup, as opposed to thet of shil. Descriptions of options follow:

No Aotion Taken - No acticn has been teken by responsible party beyond $\frac{\text { No Action Taken - No ac }}{\text { inithel. report of ieak. }}$

Leak Being Confirmed - Leak suspected at site, but has not been confirmed. reliminary Site Assessment Workplan Submittea - workplan/proposal recuested of/submitted by responsible party to determine whether ground water has been, or will be, impacted as a result of the release. Preliminary Site Assessment Underway - implementation of workplan. Pollution Characterization - responsible party is in the process of fully defining the extent of contamination in soil and ground water and assessing impacts on surfiace and/or ground water.
Remediation Plan - remediation plan submitted evaluating long term remediation options. Proposal and implementation schedule for appropriate remediation options also submitted.
Cleanuo Underway - implementation of remediation plan.
Cost Cleanup Monitoring in Frogress - pericdic ground water or other monitoring at site, as necessary, to verify and/or evaluate effectiveness of remedial activities.
Case Closed - regional board and local agency in concurrence that no further work is necessary at the site.

MPORTANT: THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ON THIS FORM IS INTENOED FOR GENERAL STATISTICAL PURPOSES ONLY AND IS NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS REPRESENTING TAE OFFICIAL POSITION OF ANY GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY

REMEDIAL ACTION
indicate which action have been used to cleanup or remediate the leak. Descriptions of options fallow:

Cap Site - install horizontal impermeable layer to reduce rainfall infilitration.
Containment Barrier - instali vertical dike to block horizontal movement of contarinant.
Excavate and Dispose - remove contaminated soil and dispose in approved
Ercavate anc Treat - remove contaminated soil and treat (includes spreading or land faxming).
Remove Free Product - remove floating product from water table.
Pump and Treat Groundwater - generally employed to remove dissolved contaminants.
Enhanced Biodegradation - use of any available technology to promote bacterial decomposition of contaminants.
Replace Supply - provide alternative water supply to affected parties. Ireatment at Hookue - install water treatment devices at each dweiling or other place of use.
Facuum Extract - use pumps or blowers to draw air through soil.
Vent Soil - bore holes in soil to allow volatilization of contaminants.
No Action Recuired - incident is minor, requiring no remedial action.
COMENTS - Use this space to elaborate on any aspects of the incident.
SIGNATURE - Sign the form in the space provided.
DISTRIBUTION
If the form is completed by the tank owner or his agent, retain the last copy and forward the remaining copies intact to your local tank permitting agency for cistinibution

1. Orisinal - Local Tank Permitting Agency
2. State Water Resoumaes Control Zoard, Division of Clean Water Prograns Underground Storage Tank Program, F.O. BoZ: 944212, Sacremento, CA $94244-$ 2120
3. Regional Water Quality Control Board
4. Locai Health Officer and County Board of Superyisors or their designee to receive Proposition 65 notifications.
5. Onner/responsible party.


## EMERGENCY

Indicate whether emergency response personnel ad equipment were involved at any time. If so, a Hazardous Material Incicent Report should be Siled with the State Office of Emergency Services (OES, at 2800 Meadowyew Road Sacramento, CA 95832 . Copies of the OSS report form may be obtained at your local underground storage tank permitting agency. Indicate whether the OES report has been filed as of the diate of thes report.

LOCAL AGENCY ONLY:
To avoí duplicate notification pursuant to Eacth and Safety code Section 25180 , a goternment employee should sign and date the form this bloct A signeture here does not mean. that the leak has toen desermined to pose: a significant threate to human health of safety, onit that notifioation piocedures have been followed if required.

REPORTED BY.
Enter your name, telephone number, and address. Indicate which party you epresent and provide company or agency neme.
RESPONSIBLE PARTY
Enter name, telepnone number, contact person, and address of the party responsible for the leak. The responsible party would nomally be the tank owner

SITE LOCATION
Enter information regarding the tank facility, At a minimum, you must provide the facility hame and full address.

## IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES

Eniter names of the local agency and Regionai Water Quality Control Board involvea.

## SUBSTANCES INVOLVED

Enter the name and quantity iost of the hazarcious substance involved. Room is provided for information on two substances if appropriate.. If more than is provided substances leaked, list the two of most concern for cleanup.

## DISCOVERY/ABATEMENT

Provide information regarding the discovery and abatenent of the leak.
SOURCE/CAUSE
indicate source(s) of leak. Check bories) indicating cause of leak.
Indicate the case type category for this leak. Check one box only. Case Indicate the case type category for this leak. Cneck one box only. Case
type is onsed on the most sensitive resource affected. For example, if bype is oased on the most sensitive resource affected. For example, both scil anci ground water have been affected, case type will be "G
water". Water". maicate "Drinking Nater" only if one or more manicipal or domestic water wells have actually been affected. A "Ground water" designation does not imply, that the affected water cannot be, yet been used for diniking water, but only thet water wells have not yet oee
affected. It is understood that case type may change upon further investigation.

## CURRENT STATUS

Indicate the category which best aescribes the current status of the case. Check one category which best describes the current status of the case. Check one box oniy. The response shoulc be relative to the case whpe refer example, fase type is Ground weter, the status of the grom whter investigation or cleanup, as opposed to to the status of the ground water investibation
thet of soil. Descriptions of options follow

No action Taken - No action has been taken by responsible party beyond Anithal report of leak.

Leak Being Confirmed - Leak suspected at site, but has not been confirmed Freliminary Site Assessment Wotkolan Submitted - workplan/proposal requested of/submitted by responsible party to determine whether ground water has been, or will be, impacted as a result of the release.
Preliminary Site Assessment Underway implementation of workplan
Pollution Characterization responsible party is in the process of fully definifig the extent of contamination in soil and ground water and assessing impacts on surface andfor ground watex.
Remediation flan - remediation plan submitted evaluating long, term $\because$ remediation options. Proposat ard implementation schedule for appropriate remediation options also submitted.
Cleanup Uncerway - implementation of remediation plan. . . . post Cleanup Monitoringin Progress pericdic ground water or other monforing at site, as necessary, to verify andfor evaluate effectivenos of remedial activities
Case Closed - regional board and local agency in concurrence that no further work is, necessary at the site.

IMPORTANT: THE INFORMATION PROUIDED ON TAIS FORM IS INTENDED FOR GENERAL STATISTICAL PURPOSES ONLY AND IS NOT TO EE CONSTRUED AS REERESENTINE TBE OFFICIAL POSITION OF ANY GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY

## REMEDIAL ACTION

Indicate which action have been used to cleanup or remediate the leak Descriptions of options follow:

Cap Site - install horizontal impermeable layer to reduce rainfall infiltration.
Containment Barrier - install vertical dike to block horizontal movement of contaminant.
Excavate and Dispose - remove contaminated soil and dispose in approved site. or land farming).
Remove Free Product - remove floating product from water table
Puop and Treat Groundwater - generally employed to remove dissolved contaminants.
Enhanced Biodegradation - use of any available technology to promote
bacterial decomposition of contiaminants.
Replace Supply - provide alternative water supply to affected parties. Treatment at Hookup - install water treatment devices at each dweiling or other place of use.
Vacuum Extract - use pumps or blowers to draw air through soil.
Vacuum Extract - bore holes in soil to allow volatilization of contaminants.
No Action Recrired - incident is minor, requiring no remedial action.
COMENTS - Use this space to slaborate on any aspects of the incident
SIGNATYRE - Sign the form in the space provided.

## DISTRIBUTION

If the form is completed by the tank owner or his agent, retain the last copy and forward the remaining copies intact to your local tank permitting agency. for distribution.
.. Original - Lacal Tank Eermititing Agency
2. State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Clean Water Programs, Underground Storage Tank Program, P.O. Boz 944212 , Sacramento, CA 942442120
3. Regional Water Quality Control Board (4. Local Health Officer and County Board of Superisors or their designee to recsive Froposition 65 notifications.
5. Owner/responsible party.


## REPORT

DATE

February 20, 1990
November 7, 1990
January 2, 1991

Applied GeoSystems GeoStrategies Inc.

GeoStrategies Inc.


## REPORT

Limited Environmental Site Assessment
Tank Replacement Report
Work Plan

## DATE

November 7, 1990
January 2, 1991

Applied GeoSystems
GeoStrategies Inc.
GeoStrategies Inc.

## SUMMARY REPORT

Third Quarter 1990
ARCO Service Station 2112
1260 Park Street/Encinal Avenue
Alameda, California
Alameda County

## BACKGROUND

For site history prior to 1990 refer to the October-December 1989 Quarterly Summary Report issued in January 1990.

- January 22 and 29, 1990 - Applied GeoSystems performed a Limited Environmental Site Assessment, including drilling six soil borings to depths ranging from 11-1/2 to 13 feet, with the exception of B-1, which was drilled to 25 feet (Plate 1). Soil samples were collected from $\mathbf{B - 1}$ for soils engineering purposes. This assessment was performed prior to future tank replacement activities at the site. Ground water was encountered in the borings at the site at 12 feet. (Applied GeoSystems Report 69048-1, dated February 20, 1990). Report submitted to RWQCB and ACHA.
o March 12, 1990 - Limited Environmental Site Assessment report submitted to RWQCB and ACHA.
o August 1990-Gettler-Ryan removed five underground gasoline-storage tanks from the site and excavated the majority of hydrocarbon-impacted soil near the pump island and beneath the former tanks. Stockpiled soil is presently being aerated onsite. Four 10,000 -gallon tanks installed at site.


## SOIL CONDITIONS

No change from last quarter. See Applied GeoSystems Limited Environmental Site Assessment Report 69048-1, dated February 20, 1990, for laboratory results of soil samples collected at the site.

## QUARTERLY GROUND-WATER MONITORING

No monitoring wells onsite.

## STATUS SUMMARY: REMEDIATION

Hydrocarbon-impacted soil from the former underground storage tank pit was removed and is being aerated onsite. Options for further soil remediation will be considered in the future.

## ANTICIPATED WORK FOR NEXT QUARTER

o Prepare report summarizing results of tank removal at the site.


90 OCT 15 Paliz: ig

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ABID/BillIID } \\ & ====/====== \end{aligned}$ | Name of Site <br> ============= | Site Address | zip | SysEntry S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /L71107 | Arco Station \#02112 | 1260 Park St. | 501 | 10/24/90 C |
| /L91227 | Arco Station \#02035 | 1001 San Pablo Ave. | 706 | 08/14/89 C |
| 658/HL7111 | Arco Station \#04977 | 2770 Castro Valley Blvd. | 546 | 08/14/89 C |
| /HL7112 | Arco station \#02152 | 22141 center St. | 546 | 08/14/89 C |
| 1053/HL7114 | Arco Station \#06041 | 7249 Village Pkwy: | 568 | 06/04/90 C |
| /LC1217 | Arco Station \#05387 | 20200 Hesperian Blvd. | 541 | 06/04/90 C |
| /L22223 | Airport Arco | 20450 Hesperian Blvd. | 541 | 08/01/89 C |
| $/$ | Springtown Arco | 909 Bluebell St. | 550 | P |
| /L41112 | Arco Station \#00771 | 899 Rincon Ave. | 550 | 07/28/89 |
| /L81123 | Arco Station \#02185 | 9800 E. - 14 th St. | 603 | 08/14/89 C |
| 1130/L91386 | Freeway Arco | 2740 - 98th Ave. | 608 | 09/18/89 C |
| /L81146 | Arco Station \#02169 | 889 W. Grand Ave. | 607 | 08/14/89 C |
| /L81176 | Arco Station \#04494 | 566 Hegenberger Rd. | 621 | 08/14/89 C |
| 1038/ | High St. Arco | 2951 High St. | 619 | 03/21/89 P |
| /L81178 | Arco Station \#04931 | 731 W. MacArthur Blvd. | 609 | 06/04/90 C |
| /L81128 | Arco Station \#00276 | 10600 MacArthur Blvd. | 605 | 11/20/89 C |
| 851/L12017 | Mountain Blvd. Arco Servi | 2844 Mountain Blvd. | 602 | 11/30/90 M |
| - /L81188 | Arco Station \#02107 | 3310 Park Blvd. | 610 | 08/14/89 C |
| /L81295 | Sunshine Petroleum, Inc. | 6211 San Pablo Ave. | 608 | 12/28/90 M |
| /HL8112 | Arco Station \#06002 | 6235 Seminary Ave. | 605 | 08/31/90 C |
| /L81130 | Arco Station \#06148 | 5131 Shattuck Ave. | 609 | 06/04/90 C |
| /L81179 | Arco Station \#00374 | 6407 Telegraph Ave. | 609 | 08/14/89 C |
| 744/HL7116 | Arco Station \#02111 | 1156 Davis St. | 577 | 08/14/89 C |
| /HL7118 | Arco Station \#00601 | 712 Lewelling Blvd. | 579 | 08/14/89 C |
| 170401469 | - | 222 Ave. | 580 | $07+28+89$ |
| 779/HL7119 | Arco Station \#00608 | 17601 Hesperian Blvd. | 580 | 08/14/89 C |

Arco Stati
Report Total :

Status Codes: C=Current/Part2 $B=$ ready for Billing

M=Current/Part1
$A=r e a d y$ for Billing

P=awaiting busPla I=Inactive

## UTID/

Status Name of Site
BillID
-0- C Arco Station \#02112 T61043
784 C Arco Station \#02035 T61043
658 C Arco Station \#04977 AM/PM T61043
-0- C Arco Station \#02152
T61043
*1053) C Arco Station \#06041 T61043

* (813) C Arco K\&V Gas Foodmart T10115
I $*$ C Arco Station \#05387
T61043
$\star=0-$
T21120
* C Springtown Arco T42311
-0- C Arco Station \#00771
T61043
198 R Wong's Arco
T61026
*-0- C Arco Station \#02185 T61043
1130 C Freeway Arco
TA1036
-0- C Arco Station \#02169 T61043
*-0- R Gin's Arco Service -0-
-0- C Arco Station \#04494 T61043
水(1038) C High St. Arco
T21043
-0- C Arco Station \#04931 T61043
-0- C Arco Station \#00276 T61043
851 C Mountain Blvd. Arco T41043
-0- C Arco Station \#02107 T61043
-0- C Arco Station \#06002 T61043
-0- C Arco Station \#06148 T61043
-0- C Arco Station \#00374 T61043
? - 0- F Freedom Arco
-0-
1794 G-THarco
T81053
779 C Arco Station \#00608
T61043

Site Address

1260 Park St.
Alameda , CA 94501
1001 San Pablo Ave.
Albany , CA 94706
2770 Castro Valley Blvd.
Castro Valley , CA 94546
22141 Center St.
Castro Valley , CA 94546
7249 Village Pkwy.
Dublin , CA 94568
6211 San Pablo Ave.
Emeryville, CA 94608
20200 Hesperian Blvd.
Hayward , CA 94541
20450 Hesperian Blvd.
Hayward , CA 94541
909 Bluebell Dr.
Livermore, CA 94550
899 Rincon Ave.
Livermore, CA 94550
2032 E. - 12th St.
Oakland , CA 94606
9800 E. - 14 th St.
Oakland , CA 94603
2740 - 98th Ave.
Oakland , CA 94605
889 W. Grand Ave.
Oakland , CA 94607
706 Harrison St.
Oakland , CA 94612
566 Hegenberger Rd.
Oakland , CA 94621
2951 High St.
Oakland , CA 94619
731 W. MacArthur Blvd.
Oakland , CA 94609
10600 MacArthur Blvd. Oakland , CA 94605
2844 Mountain Blvd.
Oakland , CA 94602
3310 Park Blvd.
Oakland , CA 94610
6235 Seminary Ave. Oakland , CA 94605
5131 Shattuck Ave. Oakland , CA 94609
6407 Telegraph Ave. Oakland , CA 94609
15101 Freedom Ave. San Leandro , CA 94578
2222 Grant Ave.
San Lorenzo, CA 94580
17601 Hesperian Blvd.
San Lorenzo , CA 94580

5 I:09/06/88 F:-0-
4 I:09/06/88 F:-0-
3 I:09/06/88 F: 07/05/88
3 I:09/06/88 F:-0-
4 I:09/06/88 F:-0-
3 I:-0-F:-0-
4 I:09/06/88 F:-0-
3 I:02/03/89 F:-0-
3 I:-0-F:-0-
5 I:09/06/88
F:-0-
0 I:-0-F:-0-
4 I:09/06/88 F:-0-
4 I: 10/13/87 F:-0-
5 I:09/06/88 F:-0-
0 I:-0-F:-0-
3 I:02/05/88 F:-0-
5 I:02/05/88 F:-0-
4 I:09/06/88 F:-0-
3 I:09/06/88 F:-0-
4 I:04/14/88 F:-0-
3 I:09/06/88 F:-0-
4 I:09/06/88 F:-0-
3 I:09/06/88 F:-0-
3 I:09/06/88 F:-0-
3 I:-0-F:-0-
$1-1: 08 / 16 / 88$ F:-0-
4 I:09/06/88 F:-0-

## SUMMARY REPORT

First Quarter 1990

ARCO Service Station No. 2112
1260 Park Street/Encinal Avenue
Alameda, California
Alameda County

## BACKGROUND

For site history prior to 1990 refer to the October-December 1989 Quarterly Summary Report issued in January 1990.
o January 22 and 29, 1990 - Applied GeoSystems performed a Limited Environmental Site Assessment, including drilling six soil borings to depths ranging from $11-1 / 2$ to 13 feet, with the exception of $\mathrm{B}-1$, which was drilled to 25 feet (Plate 1). Soil samples were collected from B-1 for soils engineering purposes. Soil samples were collected from the borings for laboratory analysis of hydrocarbons. This assessment was performed prior to future tank replacement activities at the site. Ground water was encountered in the borings at the site at 12 feet. (Applied GeoSystems Report 69048-1, dated February 20, 1990).

## SOIL CONDITIONS

Analysis of soil samples collected from the soil borings on January 22 and 29, 1990, drilled in the area of the gasoline-storage tanks, indicated concentrations of total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) up to 21,000 parts per million (ppm) and concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylene isomers (BTEX) up to 210, 1,100, 320, and $2,600 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively (Table 1 ).

## QUARTERLY GROUND-WATER MONITORING

No monitoring wells onsite.

## STATUS SUMMARY: REMEDIATION

No remediation taking place at present time. Options for soil remediation will be considered in the future.

## ANTICIPATED WORK FOR THE NEXT QUARTER

- ARCO plans to replace the underground storage tanks during 1990.
o An assessment to evaluate the extent hydrocarbons in soil adjacent to the tanks will be performed during tank replacement activities at the site.
o Prepare a Work Plan to evaluate the extent of hydrocarbons in soil and potential hydrocarbons in first-encountered ground water beneath the site, and direction and magnitude of the ground-water gradient.


## TABLE 1

RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES ARCO Station 2112 1260 Park Street Alameda, California

| Sample <br> Number | TPHg | B | T | E | X |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S-6-B1 | 12 | 0.16 | 0.34 | 0.14 | 1.3 |
| S-10-B1 | 1,700 | 15 | 72 | 22 | 180 |
| S-6-B2 | $<2.0$ | $<0.050$ | $<0.050$ | $<0.050$ | $<0.050$ |
| S-11-B2 | 570 | 3.9 | 13 | 11 | 82 |
| S-6-B3 | $<2.0$ | 0.097 | $<0.050$ | $<0.050$ | 0.20 |
| S-11-B3 | 10,000 | 47 | 350 | 120 | 940 |
| S-6-B4 | $<2.0$ | 0.063 | 0.096 | $<0.050$ | 0.20 |
| S-11-B4 | 21,000 | 210 | 1,100 | 320 | 2,600 |
| S-6-B5 | 3.7 | $<0.050$ | 0.081 | $<0.050$ | 0.18 |
| S-11-B5 | 5,400 | 8.8 | 27 | 66 | 160 |
| S-5.5-B6 | $<2.0$ | $<0.050$ | $<0.050$ | $<0.050$ | $<0.050$ |
| S-10-B6 | $<2.0$ | $<0.050$ | $<0.050$ | $<0.050$ | $<0.050$ |

Results in milligrams per kilogram or parts per million
$\mathrm{TPHg}=$ Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline
$\mathrm{B}=$ benzene $\mathrm{E}=$ ethylbenzene $\mathrm{T}=$ toluene $\mathrm{X}=$ total xylene isomers
$<=$ indicates less than the reported limit
Sample identification:

```
S-10-B6
Boring number
Approximate sample depth in feet
Soil sample
```



# QUARTERLY SUMMARY REPORT <br> Alameda County <br> January 1990 

ARCO Service Station No. 2112<br>1260 Park Street/Encinal Avenue<br>Alameda, California

## Brief History

- May 14, 1987, a waste-oil tank was removed from the site by Crosby and Overton Environmental, Inc. The former location of the tank is shown on Plate P-1. Two soil samples were collected from the soil beneath the waste-oil tank. Results of soil analyses by Anatec Laboratories, Inc., (letters dated May 19, 1987 and May 27, 1987) are presented in Table 1.
- May 21, 1987, additional contaminated soil was removed from the excavation and was hauled to a Class I landfill. One soil sample was collected at a depth of 6 feet at the bottom of the excavation, and laboratory results of the soil sample indicated no detectable levels of total extractable hydrocarbons as motor oil (Table 1). The excavation was backfilled with clean sand.


## Work Performed - Fourth Quarter 1989

- November 14, 1989, mailed copies of file information on behalf of ARCO to Mr. Ariu Levi of the Alameda County Health Agency, as requested by Mr. Levi.


## Future Work

ARCO plans no further work at this site at this time, as indicated in a telephone conversation with Mr. Gerow of the Alameda County Department of Health Services and Brown and Caldwell, on September 28, 1987.

| Quarterly Summary Repo ARCO Station No. 2112, | Alameda, Califor |  | Janua <br> AGS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TABLE 1 <br> RESULTS OF CHEMICAL ANALYSES ON SOIL SAMPLES ARCO Service Station No. 2112 1260 Park Street/Encinal Avenue Alameda, California |  |  |  |
| Sample Number | Date Sampled | TEH <br> (as diesel fuel) | TEH <br> (as motor oil) |
| 9310-1 <br> (bottom of tank) | 5/14/87 | 430 | 2,400 |
| $9310-2$ <br> (west side of tank) | 5/15/87 | $<10$ | $<10$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 934 \%-1 \\ & \text { (6-foot depth) } \end{aligned}$ | 5/21/87 | NA | $<10$ |

Results in milligrams $/$ kilogram ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) = parts per million ( ppm ) TEH: Total extractable hydrocarbons
NA: Not analyzed
Sampled by Crosby and Overton Environmental Management, Inc.


Source: Based on ARCO site plan dated 1983

|  |  | Approximate | Scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 20 | 0 | 40 |

feet

|  | GENERALIZED SITE PLAN <br> ARCO Station No. 2112 1280 Park Street Alameda, Callfornia | $\begin{gathered} \text { PLATE } \\ \text { P-1 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT NO. 18011-1 |  |  |
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Mr. Ed Howell, Senior Specialist Alameda County Public Health Service Hazardous Materials Section<br>80 Swan Way, Suite 200<br>Oakland, CA 94621

Subject:
ARCO Station \#2112
1260 Park Street Alameda, CA 94501

Dear Mr. Howell:
Enclosed is the Underground Storage Tank Unauthorized Release (Leak)/Contamination Site Report for the subject ARCO Petroleum Products Company Station. Please distribute the report as noted in the instructions on the reverse side of each form.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to call Mrs. Elaine Laving of ARCO at (415) 571-2482.

Sincerely,
PACIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, INC.

enclosure

C: SWRCB, Sacramento RWQCB, Oakland

November 14, 1989
1114alev
Mr. Ariu Levi
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Health Agency
80 Swan Way, Room 200
Oakland, California 94621
Subject: File Information on ARCO Station No. 2112, 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California.

Mr. Levi:
As you requested on November 11, 1989, and as authorized by Mr. Kyle Christie of ARCO Products Company (ARCO), enclosed are copies of records on file with ARCO regarding the subject site. This information includes:
o laboratory reports (Anatec Laboratories Inc., of Santa Rosa, California) and chain of custody records (Environmental Management, Inc. of Long Beach, California), for three soil samples collected at the site in May 1987,

- a letter, dated June 8, 1987, from Ms. Ellen Cianciaruli of ARCO to Mr. Ted Gerow of the Alameda County Health Agency, and
- a "record of telephone conversation", dated September 28, 1987, reporting a telephone conversation between S. Hetznecker of Brown and Caldwell, of Walnut Creek, California, and Mr. Gerow of the Alameda County Health Agency.

Please call if we can be of any further assistance in this matter.
Sincerely,
Applied GeoSystems


Project Branch Manager
cc: Mr. Kyle Christie, ARCO

## Bo: or os vare

## UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK UNAUTHORIZED RELEASE (LEAK) / CONTAMINATION SITE REPORT
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REVIEW DATE: $-3,3,87$
SITE NAME: ARC
STREET NO.: 1260
STREET: Pan $k$
STREET: Park
CITY: Alameda.
COUNTY: Or
PRIORITY: 83
RANK: -
SUBSTANCE/PRIMARY: 12635
SUBSTANCE/SECONDARY: -
CASE TYPE: $A$
STATUS: $=x$
SOIL AFFECTED: $Y$
MAXIMUM SOIL CONCENTRATION (ppm): 2400
MAXIMUM RESIDUAL SOIL CONCENTRATION (PPM): 10
SOIL STATUS: $X$
DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: 4
GROUNDWATER AFFECTED: $\mu$
MAXIMUM GROUNDWATER IMPACT: $l$
GROUNDWATER STATUS:~
DRINKING WATER AFFECTED: $n$
DRINKING WATER STATUS:N
REMEDIAL ACTION: ED
DATE OF LAST CORR.: $6130 / 87$

Comment =
mop
NFA proposed


Dan Heath
Crosby \& Overton 8430 Amelia Street Oakland, CA 94621

May 19, 1987
anatec log No. 9310 (1-2)
Series No: 356/007
Client Ref: Job 694

Subject: Analysis of Two Soil Samples Referenced "ARCO, 1260 Park St., Alameda" Received May 15 on an ASAP Priority Basis

Dear Mr. Heath:
Analysis of the samples referenced above has been completed. This report is written to confirm results transmitted verbally on May 18, 1987.
Samples were prepared for motor oil and diesel fuel analysis by thorough mixing and subsequent extraction with methylene chloride; extraction, aided by sonication, was performed three surcessive times for each sample. Extracts were then combined, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated in Ruderna-Danish apparatus. Extracts were then analyzed by capillary-column gas chromatography with flame ionization detection. preparation and analysis of samples was accompanied by similar treatment of a method blank and a fortified sample. Response of the chromatographic system to calibration standards prepared with commercial motor oil and diesel fuel were compared with system response to samples for purposes of qualitative and quantitative interpreration.

Details of the analytical methodology are consistent with requirements specified in "Guidelines for Addressing Fuel Leaks," revised February, 1986, Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region; the preparation procedures used are described in detail in, "Sonication Extraction," Method 3550, in "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods, ${ }^{0}$ U.S. EPA SW-846, and edition, revised 1985.

Results of analyses are summarized below in Table l. please feel welcome to contact us should you have questions regarding procedures or results.

Submitted by:


Approved by:


TABLE 1. SUMMARIZED ANALYTICAL TESTING RESULTS

| Parameter | Bottom of 5-14-87 D. (9310-1 | ank <br> iles | West Side of Tank 5-15-87 D. Liles (9310-2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extractable hydrocarbons as diesel fuel (mg/kg)a | 430 | ppm | <10. |
| Extractable hydrocarbons as motor oil (mg/kg)b | 2.400 | ppm | $<10$ |

aData are expressed in units of milligrams diesel fuel per liter sample, as-received basis.
bData are expressed in units of milligrams motor oil per liter sample, as-received basis.

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

LOCATION OF SAMPLING: $\qquad$ PRODUCER $\qquad$ hauler DISPOSAL SITE
$\qquad$ OTHER: U Waste oil On er: waste al

 collector's NM E Latiner DATE SAMPLED $\qquad$ time samples $1400 \%$ Hours $1 / 2$ TYPE OF PROCESS PRODUCING WASTE $\qquad$ Teak Removal field information 2 soilsemples waste ail below tank

SAMPLE RECEIVER:
SAMPLE RECEIVER:

1. Anatec, 435 Tesconi Circe Santa Rosa, CA 9540.
-NAME AND ADDRESS OF ORGANIZATION RECEIVING SAMPLE-
2. $\qquad$
3. $\qquad$
CHAIN OF POSSESSION:


Dan Heath
Crosby \& Overton
8430 Amelia Street
Oakland, CA 94621

May 27. 1987
ANATEC LOg NO. 9347 (-1)
Series No: 356/008 Client Ref: Job $\$ 694$

Subject: ASAP Analysis of One Soil Sample Identified as "ARCO Station, 1260 Park, Alameda, CA" Received May 22. 1987.
$5+2112$
Dear Mr. Heath:
Analysis of the sample referenced above has been completed. This report is written to confirm results transmitted verbally on May 26. 1987.

Sample delivery to the laboratory was conducted under chain-ofcustody. On receipt, sample custody was transferred to ANATEC sample control personnel who subsequently documented receipt and condition of the sample and placed it in secured storage at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until analysis commenced.

The sample was prepared for extractable hydrocarbons measurement by thorough mixing and subsequent extraction with methylene chloride; extraction, aided by sonication, was performed three successive times. Extracts were then combined, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated in Kuderna-Danish apparatus. Extracts were then analyzed by capillary-column gas chromatography with flame ionization detection. Preparation and analysis of the sample was accompanied by similar treatment of a method blank and a motor oil-fortified sample. Response of the chromatographic system to calibration standards prepared with commercial motor oil were compared with system response to the sample for purposes of qualitative and quantitative interpretation.

Details of the analytical methodology are consistent with requirements specified in "Guidelines for Addressing Fuel Leaks," revised February, 1986, Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Erancisco Bay Region; the preparation procedures used are described in detail in, "Sonication Extraction," Method 3550 in "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods," O.S. EPA SW-846, 2nd edition, revised 1984.

Results of analysis are summarized in Table 1 . Attached is the custody document. Please feel welcome to contact us should you have questions regarding procedures or results.

Submitted by:

Susan Joy tindexitulan Susan Joy UGiffi
Project Chemist

Approved by:


## Encl: Custody Record

TABLE 1. SUMMARIZED RESULTS FOR " $5 / 21 / 87$ R CAMPBELL ${ }^{2} 2$ FROM $6^{\circ}$ DEPTH 1030" (ARCO STATION, 1260 PARK, ALAMEDA, CA) (ANATEC LAB NO. 9347-1)



Chain of custody record

LOCATION OF SAMPLING: $\qquad$ PRODUCER $\qquad$ HAULER $\qquad$ DISposal site
$\qquad$


DATE SAMPLED $5 / 21 / 87$ TIME SAMPLES/OZ 30 HOURS $\qquad$ TYPE OF PROCESS PRODUCING WASTE WASTE OR TANK REMOVAl/ FIELD INFORMATION $\qquad$
 3:
SAMPLE RECEIVER: Bruce G. Cughingham

1.


9347

FIGURE 2.0-3

INCLUSIVE DATES
$\qquad$
INCLUSIVE DATES

- INCLUSIVE DATES

COLLECTOR'S SAMPLE NO.

2000 Alamein de las Pulas Mailing Ad is: Box 5811
San Mate, California 94402
Telephone 4155712400

Alameda County Health Department
June 8,1987
470 27th Street
Oakland, California 94612 Attn: -Ted Serow

Re: SS \#2112, 1260 Park Blvd., Alameda, Ca.
Dear Mr. Gerow,
Enclosed are soil sample test results from the abovementioned site. After removal of a waste oil tank, we obtanned samples at the bottom of the excavation. All soil removed has been hauled to a Class I dump site and the excavation backfilled with clean sand.

If you have any questions, please call.

cc:R.Schultheis

RECORD OF TELEPHONE CONVASATION

subject: Arco Service Station 2112
1260 Park St, AlamentafA
NOTES:
According to information in the county file, specifically a letter dated June 14,1987 from Ellen Cianiarula of Arco, Says that the "dirty'soll' was excavated and removed, and analysis shows the hole was excavate to cleanliness.
Mr. Gerow says everything looks ok as far as the county goes.
No further action at this point. His only question is: will the site remain a service station?

# QUARTERLY SUMMARY REPORT <br> Alameda County <br> September 1989 

ARCO Service Station No. 2112 1260 Park Street/Encinal Avenue Alameda, California

## Brief History

- May 14, 1987, a waste-oil tank was removed from the site by Crosby and Overton Environmental, Inc. The former location of the tank is shown on Plate P-1. Two soil samples were collected from the soil beneath the waste-oil tank. Results of soil analyses by Anatec Laboratories, Inc., (letters dated May 19, 1987 and May 27, 1987) are presented in Table 1.
- May 21, 1987, additional contaminated soil was removed from the excavation and was hauled to a Class I landfill. One soil sample was collected at a depth of 6 feet at the bottom of the excavation, and laboratory results of the soil sample indicated no detectable levels of total extractable hydrocarbons as motor oil (Table 1). The excavation was backfilled with clean sand.


## Future Work

ARCO plans no further work at this site at this time, as indicated in a telephone conversation with Mr. Gerow of the Alameda County Department of Health Services and Brown and Caldwell, on September 28, 1987.

## TABLE 1

## RESULTS OF CHEMICAL ANALYSES

ON SOIL SAMPLES
ARCO Service Station No. 2112
1260 Park Street/Encinal Avenue Alameda, California

| Sample <br> Number | Date <br> Sampled | TEH <br> (as diesel fuel) | TEH <br> (as motor oil) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $9310-1$ <br> (bottom of tank) | $5 / 14 / 87$ | 430 | 2,400 |
| $9310-2$ <br> (west side of tank) | $5 / 15 / 87$ | $<10$ | $<10$ |
| $9347-1$ <br> (6-foot depth) | $5 / 21 / 87$ | NA | $<10$ |

Results in milligrams/kilogram ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) = parts per million (ppm)
TEH: Total extractable hydrocarbons
NA: Not analyzed
Sampled by Crosby and Overton Environmental Management, Inc.


Source: Based on ARCO site plan dated 1983



QUARTERLY SUMMARY REPORT
Alameda County
July 1989

## ARCO Service station No. 2112

1260 Park Street/Encinal Avenue Alameda, California

## Brief History

- May 14, 1987, a waste-oil tank was removed from the site by Crosby and Overton Environmental, Inc. The former location of the tank is shown on Plate P-1. Two soil samples were collected from the soil beneath the waste-oil tank. Results of soil analyses by Anatec Laboratories, Inc., (letters dated May 19, 1987 and May 27, 1987) are presented in Table 1.
- May 21, 1987, additional contaminated soil was removed from the excavation and was hauled to a Class I landfill. One soil sample was collected at a depth of 6 feet at the bottom of the excavation, and laboratory results of the soil sample indicated no detectable levels of total extractable hydrocarbons as motor oil (Table 1). The excavation was backfilled with clean sand.
- ARCO plans no further work at this site at this time, as indicated in a telephone conversation with Mr. Gerow of the Alameda County Department of Health Services and Brown and Caldwell, on September 28, 1987.



Source: Based on ARCO site plan dated 1983

feet

|  | GENERALIZED SITE PLAN <br> ARCO Statlon No. 2112 1260 Park Street Alameda, Callfornia | PLATE $P=1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT NO. 19011-1 |  |  |

Alameda County Health Department
June 8,1987 470 27th Street Oakland, California 94612
Attn: Ted Gerow
Re: SS\#2112, 1260 Park B1vd., Alameda,Ca.
Dear Mr. Gerow,
Enclosed are soil sample test results from the abovementioned site. After removal of a waste oil tank, we obtained samples at the bottom of the excavation. All soil removed has been hauled to a Class I dump site and the excavation backfilled with clean sand.

If you have any questions, please call.

cc: K.Schultheis



Dan Heath
Crosby \& Overton
8430 Amelia Street
Oakland, CA 94621

May 27, 1987
ANATEC Log No. 9347 (-1)
Series No: 356/008
Client Ref: Job \# 694

Subject: ASAP Analysis of One Soil Sample Identified as "ARCO Station, 1260 Park, Alameda, CA" Received May 22, 1987.

Dear Mr. Heath:
Analysis of the sample referenced above has been completed. This report is written to confirm results transmitted verbally on May 26, 1987.

Sample delivery to the laboratory was conducted under chain-ofcustody. On receipt, sample custody was transferred to ANATEC sample control personnel who subsequently documented receipt and condition of the sample and placed it in secured storage at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until analysis commenced.

The sample was prepared for extractable hydrocarbons measurement by thorough mixing and subsequent extraction with methylene chloride; extraction, aided by sonication, was performed three successive times. Extracts were then combined, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated in Kuderna-Danish apparatus. Extracts were then analyzed by capillary-column gas chromatography with flame ionization detection. Preparation and analysis of the sample was accompanied by similar treatment of a method blank and a motor oil-fortified sample. Response of the chromatographic system to calibration standards prepared with commercial motor oil were compared with system response to the sample for purposes of qualitative and quantitative interpretation.

Details of the analytical methodology are consistent with requirements specified in "Guidelines for Addressing Fuel Leaks," revised February, 1986, Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region; the preparation procedures used are described in detail in, "Sonication Extraction," Method 3550 in "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods," U.S. EPA SW-846, 2nd edition, revised 1984.

Results of analysis are summarized in Table l. Attached is the custody document. Please feel welcome to contact us should you have questions regarding procedures or results.

Submitted by:


Approved by:


## Encl: Custody Record

TABLE 1. SUMMARIZED RESULTS FOR "5/21/87 R CAMPBELL \# 2 FROM $6^{\prime}$ DEPTH 1030" (ARCO STATION, 1260 PARK, ALAMEDA, CA) (ANATEC LAB NO. 9347-1)

Parameter
Results (mg/Kg)1
(Extractable) Petroleum
$<10$
Hydrocarbons, as motor oil
$1_{\text {Data }}$ are milligrams motor oil per kilogram sample, as-received basis.
e vironmental manage nt, inc.
1610 WEST 17 th StREET LONG beach, GALIFORNIA 90813

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

LOCATION OF SAMPLING: $\qquad$ PRODUCER $\qquad$ HAULER $\qquad$ DISPOSAL SITE
$\qquad$

SHIPPER NAME: $\qquad$ Coos by $\ddagger$ Ox dod
ADDRESS: $\frac{8430}{\text { NUMBER }}$ AME/IA St. OAKlANd CA $\quad 94621$. COLLECTOR'S NAME MR. CAMphEl/pl/ TELEPHONE: (4/5) $633-0336$
DATE SAMPLED $5 / 21 / 81$ TIME SAMPLES /0 30 HOURS $\qquad$ TYPE OF PROCESS PRODUCING WASTE WASTE OK TANK REMOVAL FIELD INFORMATION $\qquad$ Secondary SAMplE FROM C FEET EXCAVATION


SAMPLE RECEIVER: Bruce G. Gurgingham

1. $\qquad$ 2. $\qquad$
2. $\qquad$ CHAIN OF POSSESSION:
3. 

 $\because$ INCLUSIVE DATES . INCLUSIVE DATES . INCLUSIVE DATES

9347
FIGURE 2.0-3
COLLECTOR'S SAMPLE NO.

EXAMPLE OF CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Dan Heath
Crosby \& Overton
8430 Amelia Street
Oakland, CA 94621

May 19, 1987
ANATEC LOg No. 9310 (1-2)
Series No: 356/007
Client Ref: Job 694

Subject: Analysis of Two Soil Samples Referenced "ARCO, 1260 Park St., Alameda" Received May 15 on an ASAP Priority Basis

Dear Mr. Heath:
Analysis of the samples referenced above has been completed. This report is written to confirm results transmitted verbally on May 18, 1987.
Samples were prepared for motor oil and diesel fuel analysis by thorough mixing and subsequent extraction with methylene chloride; extraction, aided by sonication, was performed three surcessive times for each sample. Extracts were then combined, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated in Kuderna-Danish apparatus. Extracts were then analyzed by capillary-column gas chromatography with flame ionization detection. Preparation and analysis of samples was accompanied by similar treatment of a method blank and a fortified sample. Response of the chromatographic system to calibration standards prepared with commercial motor oil and diesel fuel were compared with system response to samples for purposes of qualitative and quantitative interprestation.
Details of the analytical methodology are consistent with requirements specified in "Guidelines for Addressing Fuel Leaks," Francisco Bay Region; the preparation procedures used are described in detail in, "Sonication Extraction," Method 3550 , in "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid

Results of analyses are summarized below in Table l. Please feel welcome to contact us should you have questions regarding procedures or results.

Submitted by:


Approved by:


Encl: Custody Record

TABLE 1. SUMMARIZED ANALYTICAL TESTING RESULTS

Parameter
Extractable hydrocarbons as diesel fuel (mg/Kg) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Extractable hydrocarbons as motor oil $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg})^{\mathrm{b}}$

Bottom of Tank
5-14-87 D. Liles (9310-1)

430 2,400

West Side of Tank 5-15-87 D. Liles (9310-2)
$<10$.
<10 ppm
a Data are expressed in units of milligrams diesel fuel per liter sample, as-received basis.
bData are expressed in units of milligrams motor oil per liter sample, as-received basis.

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

LOCATION OF SAMPLING: $\qquad$ PRODUCER $\qquad$ DISPOSAL SITE
$\qquad$ other: Waste $\overline{\text { SAMPLE }}$
shipper name: ARC
ADDRESS: 1260 PUMER Park St, Alameda, CA $9 \nmid 501$
 date sampled $\qquad$ $5-14-87$ time samples 1400 Hours $1 / 2$ TYPE OF PROCESS PRODUCING WASTE $\qquad$ Teak Removal Field information 2 soil Samples waste oil below tank

SAMPLE RECEIVER:

1. Anatec, 435 Tesconi Circle, Santa Rosa, CA 95401 -NAME AND ADDRESS OF ORGANIZATION RECEIVING SAMPLE-
2. $\qquad$
3. $\qquad$ Chain of possession:



FIGURE 2.0-3
COLLECTOR'S SAMPLE NO.

[^0]:    URS Corporation
    1333 Broadway, Suite 800
    Oakland, CA 94612-1924
    Tel: 510.893.3600
    Fax: 510.874.3268

[^1]:    ce: l'aul Supple, $\wedge$ RC(), PO Box 6549 Moraga, CA 94570
    Mr. Chuck Headlee - Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region

[^2]:    Keith Bullock

