

9-15-98

left mess. for Briggs to let me know when the next sampling event will occur that is scheduled for this month. I want to use the data in the case closure summary.

Briggs left me a mess. that the ORC was installed on 5/21. We will discuss the sampling requirements in the next couple of days.

Phone Conversation 8/13/93

Steve Stahl, property owner (510) 523-7927
& Juliet Shui

RE: 2428 Central Ave., Alameda

I asked Mr. Stahl who he purchased the above property from and whether they were the last ones to operate underground storage tanks at the site.

Mr. Stahl stated that he purchased the property from Standard Oil in 1970 or 1971. He said that when he bought the property, the g. service station was already disassembled & removed from the site. He never used any petroleum on the site. He uses property for a hotel. He said that he thinks the Standard Oil Co. is based in San Francisco.

8/13/93 Left message for Mark Miller at Chevron

Standard Oil/Chevron (415) 894-7700

Environmental Affairs (510) 242-7000 →

Service Stations (510) 842-9500

USTs (510) 842-9624 or 842-9500 or 242-4414

Phone Conversation 8/17/93

Betty Owen, Chairman (510) 842-9614
+ Robert Shui
RE: 2428 Central Ave.

Ms. Owen stated that she would look for the files on the site & get back to me sometime next week. At this point, she's only identified files for a 2440 Central.

CONTACT LOG (2478 Central, Alameda)

5/20/97

Spoke to David Vossler, G-R, 510-551-7555, w/ questions re the April 18 '97 Risk-Based Corrective Action Report for the site. Following questions:

- 1) ✓ 1) How did they get a factor of 10 for K value in calculating g.w. velocity? $\rightarrow \Rightarrow$
- 2) How did they get the g.w. darcy velocity of 9.1 cm/y and g.w. transport velocity on Output Table 1.
- 3) To get the g.w. mixing zone depth, did they average DTW for all wells & all sampling periods?
- 4) How did they select the specific volumetric water & air contents for soil? \downarrow 4% of .37 = .0148 - water
.0014 - .37 = 0.35
- 5) Foundation crack fraction of 0.006 was used rather than 0.01. Why? ✓
- 2) ✓ 6) Inhalation Reference Doses for BTEX are different than RBCA reference ✓
- 7) Explain Tier 2 Worksheet 9.3.
- 8) Did they average conc. for all wells?

4% moisture content
how come 0.25?

Mr. Vossler stated that he would get back to me w/ answers to questions.

6/10/97

Called David Vossler, G-R, since I hadn't heard from him yet. He said he would get a response together & call me tomorrow.

3)

Did they sample MW 4 & MW 5 only once? If that's the case we need at least 1 more round.

Contact Log (2428 Central, Alameda)

- 5/31/96 In response to his call, I left a message for Phil Briggs, 842-9136, stating that in the w.p. doc in July '96, they could discuss the anticipated schedule for the RA, which ~~could~~^{could only} be completed ~~only~~ after collecting more site specific data.
- 7/16/96 ~~Left message~~ Spoke to Wali Aziz, Public Works. There is a storm/sewer line going down the center of Central Avenue. It is an 8-inch line ~~total~~, the bottom of the line is located at ~5' bgs, & there is usually ~6 inches of pea gravel underneath. Park St, at that corner, has a 10-inch line going down the center at a depth of ~11 bgs.
- 7/16/96 Left message for Phil Briggs in response to work plan.
- 7/17/96 Spoke to Greg, Guttler-Ryan, 916-631-1300 — will submit addendum addressing additional sample collection, sewer/storm lines, and sampling water only after at least 24 hrs after well development, within one to 2 weeks.
- 8/22/96 Barbara, Guttler Ryan, work well begin Mon. Aug 26
↑ 510-551-7444 #288
- 10/22/96 left message for Drama Harding, Guttler-Ryan, regarding "no seal" comment on Chain-of-custody.
- 10/23/96 Drama Harding called back. The Chain "no seal" comment on chain-of-custody refers to no seal on the cooler when it was shipped to lab.
- 10/29/96 David Vossler, Guttler Ryan, called to discuss RA requirements & possible use of ORCs.

PHONE LOG (2428 Central Ave).

- 11/29/93 Mark Miller left a message stating that the lab analysis conducted for ConTee's investigations is questionable. The lab tech who conducted the analysis was let go. Tom Mourou, the new lab contact will reexamine the chromatographs & submit his interpretation of the data.
- 12/27/93 Left message for Mark Miller re status of overdue work plan.
- 3/23/94 Mr. Miller returned my call & stated that the work was completed & he received a copy of the lab results on 21st. He said that a report documenting work would be submitted before 2 weeks.
- 12/9/94 Called Sierra Emurian, inquiring as to the whereabouts of the latest galy report (last report we received was dated July 25 '94). Sierra Emurian stated that they collected the last round of g.w. samples on Sept 26 '94 & sent Mr. Miller a copy on Nov 1 '94. Left message w/ Mr. Miller as to the whereabouts of report.
- 12/9/94 Mark Miller stated that galy report was being placed in mail today.
- 12/13/94 Chris Brower, Sierra, 370-1280
I inquired about the exceedingly high detection limit used in this last gty of sampling due to the foam encountered in samples. According to Mr. Brower, the foam was apparently caused by detergent residues on the pump equipment. They have since taken apart their equipment & cleaned them. Sometimes foam is caused by a reaction between the HCl preservative and the soil or water chemistry; e.g., if pH is high it reacts w/ base or calcium such as calcium carbonate.